

6/29 Region 1 San Diego before 5

----- Original Message -----

Subject:Division of Communities on Proposed Maps

Date:Wed, 29 Jun 2011 10:53:43 -0700 (PDT)

From:D Armstrong <[\[REDACTED\]](#)>

To:[\[REDACTED\]](#)

With regard to the redistrict of Pine Valley CA 91962 (*which is in the Easterly part of San Diego County*) to Imperial County. What are you thinking!!!! You are merging two different worlds. Pine Valley is connected with the cities of San Diego County in every way. We have NO connection with the Imperial Valley. Imperial County is an agricultural, farming county. It is a desert town below sea level. Pine Valley is in the mountains of San Diego County at 4500 feet. Please, please, please do your homework!! This change is just ridiculous.

Thank you.

Debbie Armstrong

[\[REDACTED\]](#)
Pine Valley CA 91962

REGION 1: SAN DIEGO

RECEIVED JUN 29 REC'D

The Dieterichs
PO Box 2590
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

June 25, 2011

Dear Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

As 28-year residents of Rancho Santa Fe— always referred to as “North County”— we encourage you to reconsider the boundries for the state senate and state assembly, and make similar to the proposed Congressional district CTSN.

Currently, the proposed CRC Draft Senate Plan of district CSAND and Assembly Plan of district CNSAN goes from Rancho Santa Fe (a rural, inland community) to San Diego (pop. 1,307,402), Imperial Beach, and Chula Vista some 50 plus miles to the south. In the existing CRC proposal, the “Community Services,” “Fire Protection,” irrigation, and local school districts are all divided into different assembly and senate districts. Please see http://www.sdlafco.org/Webpages/agency_maps_links.htm.

Tiny Rancho Santa Fe covenant (pop. 3,200) with the community of Whispering Palms should be included in SANOC (Senate) and NCOASTSAND (Assembly) districts so as to have like areas of interest. These districts are similar to Congressional district CTSN in that all communities have similar social, geography and demographic interests.

The suggestions we offer here are reasonable. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Brad Dieterich

Nick Dieterich

CITY OF POWAY

DON HIGGINSON, Mayor
JIM CUNNINGHAM, Deputy Mayor
MERRILEE BOYACK, Councilmember
DAVE GROSCHE, Councilmember
JOHN MULLIN, Councilmember



June 27, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
[REDACTED]

Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Commissioners:

Please consider keeping the Cities and communities of Poway (92064), Rancho Bernardo/4SRanch (912128 92127), and Rancho Peñasquitos (92129) included as one Congressional district. Unfortunately, in the draft plan proposed, the area of the zip code in the unincorporated county commonly known as "4S Ranch" was separated in the Congressional map. These San Diego North Inland areas share connections that bind them together as a cohesive community of interest.

These connections include but are not limited to the following:

- These areas fall within *The California State University, San Marcos* service area in North San Diego County, north of the State Route 56;
- *Palomar Pomerado Health*, serves a 2200 square mile territory that covers all of these inland North San Diego County communities;
- *Palomar Community College District* serves all of these North County Communities;
- *Poway Unified School District* connects the communities of Rancho Bernardo, 4S Ranch, Rancho Peñasquitos, Carmel Mountain Ranch, Sabre Springs and the City of Poway under one school District;
- The business group, *San Diego North Economic Development Council*, works to support the economic development of these areas along with the other North County Communities;
- The *San Diego North Chamber of Commerce* serves as a regional business group with small and large business members in the North San Diego County areas along Interstate 15 including these communities.

Beyond this, others recognize this part of North San Diego County Inland as distinctive community of interest. In print media, the *San Diego Reader*, *San Diego North County Times* and the *San Diego Union Tribune* identify these communities as a distinctive "North Inland Community" with separate editions or community updates grouping these communities together.

City Hall Located at [REDACTED]
Mailing Address: [REDACTED] Poway, California 92074-0789

www.poway.org

A real estate website www.homesalessandiego.com describes, "The area of the San Diego region referred to as 'North County Inland' is a reference to the several communities that lie north of the downtown area of San Diego but five or more miles inland from Southern California's coast. Most of the communities are smaller or mid-sized, though there are a few larger, stand-alone cities as well. Generally, the area refers to communities like Mira Mesa, Escondido, San Marcos, Vista, Poway, Ramona, Mira Mesa and Carmel Mountain Ranch, in addition to several others."

These North Inland Communities of San Diego are an important community of interest that should not be fragmented in their representation not only at the state level, but also in the Congressional level. Poway (92064), Rancho Bernardo/4SRanch (912128/92127), Rancho Peñasquitos (92129) and adjacent neighborhoods are recognized collectively as the "North San Diego County Inland" region.

Thank you for your consideration of this information as you undertake this important task of drawing the district line that will serve us for the next ten years.

Sincerely,



Don Higginson
Mayor, City of Poway



RECEIVED JUN 29 REC'D

REGION 1: SAN DIEGO

San Diego East County Economic Development Council

June 24, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission

[REDACTED]
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Members of the California Citizens Redistricting Commission,

The East County Economic Development Council believes that it is imperative that the cities of El Cajon, La Mesa, Lemon Grove and Santee, as well as the unincorporated communities of Alpine, Borrego Springs, Lakeside, Rancho San Diego, Jamul and Spring Valley remain together in single State Assembly, State Senate, and U.S. Congressional district when the new boundaries based on the 2010 census are set.

These communities have experienced a shared history and constitute an integral unit with common needs and concerns that are often substantially different from surrounding areas. We ask that you preserve these communities' rights to be associated with their neighboring communities, with whom they share numerous common ties. These include major transportation networks, school districts, water and energy infrastructure, public safety and fire protection, and economic development programs.


We appreciate the Commission's commitment to a transparent public process in compliance with the Voters FIRST Act of 2008. Your efforts will be critical in defining districts that are geographically compact, contiguous, and respectful of the geographic integrity of cities, counties, local neighborhoods and local communities of interest. Our request to keep the East County San Diego County community of interest intact is consistent with the spirit of the act as presented to California's voters.

We greatly appreciate your consideration of the needs of the citizens of East San Diego County and believe that keeping all our East San Diego County communities together within single State Assembly, State Senate, and U.S. Congressional districts achieves all the goals of the Voters FIRST Act.

Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to comment on the 2011 State redistricting process. Please let us know if we can provide you with any additional information on the East San Diego County subregion.

We would be happy to meet with you at your convenience to further discuss our unique East County community of interest.

Sincerely,


Jo Marie Diamond
President and CEO

[REDACTED]
El Cajon, CA 92020

[REDACTED]
www.eastcountyedc.org

REGION 1 : SAN DIEGO

RECEIVED JUN 29 REC'D

JUNE 25, 2011

DEAR COMMITTEE MEMBERS,

THE PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY MR. HAYNOR AT THE JUNE 20TH COMMISSION HEARING SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCES THE GERRYMANDERING AT THE NORTHERN END OF THE COASTAL SAN DIEGO DISTRICT (CSAND).

MR. HAYNOR'S PROPOSAL IS BRIEFLY SUMMARIZED IN THE FOLLOWING EXCERPT FROM HIS REPORT ON THE MEETING:

" I ATTENDED THE REDISTRICTING MEETING AND SPOKE (#104 LAST SPEAKER AT 9:30). I SUGGESTED TO THEM THAT RATHER THAN DIVIDING UCSD DOWN THE 5, THAT THEY SHOULD MOVE THE BOUNDARY OVER TO 805 AND TO BELOW THE 52 TO INCLUDE KEARNEY MESA WHICH WOULD PROVIDE ENOUGH ADDITIONAL POPULATION TO BE ABLE TO SHIFT RSF, FAIRBANKS, AND DEL MAR BACK INTO THE NORTH COUNTY ASSEMBLY DISTRICT INSTEAD OF THE CURRENTLY PROPOSED DISTRICT THAT GOES SOUTH THROUGH THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO TO THE MEXICAN BORDER. THEY LIKED THE IDEA SO (FINGERS CROSSED) THEY WILL INCORPORATE MY SUGGESTION INTO THE NEXT ITERATION."

THE COMMISSION'S MISSION OF ACHIEVING A NON- CONVOLUTED AND STRAIGHTFORWARD OUTLINE OF DISTRICT BOUNDARIES WILL BE WELL SERVED BY IMPLEMENTING MR. HAYNOR'S SUGGESTION.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION,

JACK MCROSKEY

[REDACTED]
RANCHO SANTA FE, CA 92067
[REDACTED]



RECEIVED JUN 29 REC'D

REGION 1 : SAN DIEGO

San Diego East County Economic Development Council

June 24, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission

[REDACTED]
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Members of the California Citizens Redistricting Commission,

The East County Economic Development Council believes that it is imperative that the cities of El Cajon, La Mesa, Lemon Grove and Santee, as well as the unincorporated communities of Alpine, Borrego Springs, Lakeside, Rancho San Diego, Jamul and Spring Valley remain together in single State Assembly, State Senate, and U.S. Congressional district when the new boundaries based on the 2010 census are set.

These communities have experienced a shared history and constitute an integral unit with common needs and concerns that are often substantially different from surrounding areas. We ask that you preserve these communities' rights to be associated with their neighboring communities, with whom they share numerous common ties. These include major transportation networks, school districts, water and energy infrastructure, public safety and fire protection, and economic development programs.


We appreciate the Commission's commitment to a transparent public process in compliance with the Voters FIRST Act of 2008. Your efforts will be critical in defining districts that are geographically compact, contiguous, and respectful of the geographic integrity of cities, counties, local neighborhoods and local communities of interest. Our request to keep the East County San Diego County community of interest intact is consistent with the spirit of the act as presented to California's voters.

We greatly appreciate your consideration of the needs of the citizens of East San Diego County and believe that keeping all our East San Diego County communities together within single State Assembly, State Senate, and U.S. Congressional districts achieves all the goals of the Voters FIRST Act.

Thank you for providing us with the opportunity to comment on the 2011 State redistricting process. Please let us know if we can provide you with any additional information on the East San Diego County subregion.

We would be happy to meet with you at your convenience to further discuss our unique East County community of interest.

Sincerely,


Jo Marie Diamond
President and CEO

[REDACTED]
El Cajon, CA 92020

[REDACTED]
www.eastcountyedc.org

REGION 1 : SAN DIEGO

RECEIVED JUN 29 REC'D

JUNE 25, 2011

DEAR COMMITTEE MEMBERS,

THE PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY MR. HAYNOR AT THE JUNE 20TH COMMISSION HEARING SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCES THE GERRYMANDERING AT THE NORTHERN END OF THE COASTAL SAN DIEGO DISTRICT (CSAND).

MR. HAYNOR'S PROPOSAL IS BRIEFLY SUMMARIZED IN THE FOLLOWING EXCERPT FROM HIS REPORT ON THE MEETING:

" I ATTENDED THE REDISTRICTING MEETING AND SPOKE (#104 LAST SPEAKER AT 9:30). I SUGGESTED TO THEM THAT RATHER THAN DIVIDING UCSD DOWN THE 5, THAT THEY SHOULD MOVE THE BOUNDARY OVER TO 805 AND TO BELOW THE 52 TO INCLUDE KEARNEY MESA WHICH WOULD PROVIDE ENOUGH ADDITIONAL POPULATION TO BE ABLE TO SHIFT RSF, FAIRBANKS, AND DEL MAR BACK INTO THE NORTH COUNTY ASSEMBLY DISTRICT INSTEAD OF THE CURRENTLY PROPOSED DISTRICT THAT GOES SOUTH THROUGH THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO TO THE MEXICAN BORDER. THEY LIKED THE IDEA SO (FINGERS CROSSED) THEY WILL INCORPORATE MY SUGGESTION INTO THE NEXT ITERATION."

THE COMMISSION'S MISSION OF ACHIEVING A NON- CONVOLUTED AND STRAIGHTFORWARD OUTLINE OF DISTRICT BOUNDARIES WILL BE WELL SERVED BY IMPLEMENTING MR. HAYNOR'S SUGGESTION.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION,

JACK MCROSKEY

[REDACTED]
RANCHO SANTA FE, CA 92067
[REDACTED]

REGION 1: SAN DIEGO

RECEIVED JUN 29 REC'D

The Dieterichs
PO Box 2590
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

June 25, 2011

Dear Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

As 28-year residents of Rancho Santa Fe-- always referred to as "North County"-- we encourage you to reconsider the boundaries for the state senate and state assembly, and make similar to the proposed Congressional district CSTSN.

Currently, the proposed CRC Draft Senate Plan of district CSAND and Assembly Plan of district CNSAN goes from Rancho Santa Fe (a rural, inland community) to San Diego (pop. 1,307,402), Imperial Beach, and Chula Vista some 50 plus miles to the south. In the existing CRC proposal, the "Community Services," "Fire Protection," irrigation, and local school districts are all divided into different assembly and senate districts. Please see http://www.sdlafco.org/Webpages/agency_maps_links.htm.

Tiny Rancho Santa Fe covenant (pop. 3,200) with the community of Whispering Palms should be included in SANOC (Senate) and NCOASTSAND (Assembly) districts so as to have like areas of interest. These districts are similar to Congressional district CSTSN in that all communities have similar social, geography and demographic interests.

The suggestions we offer here are reasonable. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Brenda Dieterich

Nick Dieterich