

**Subject:** Public Comment: General Comment

**From:** Brian Wilfley <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 14 Jun 2011 17:42:09 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Brian Wilfley <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep up the good work

Message Body:

Hi all,

Your draft maps appeared this past Friday and immediately there were comments by incumbent legislators that such-and-such had to change.

I say, you are doing an excellent job. Keep doing it. Do not be dissuaded by yammering from those whose primary interest is to keep their secure seats.

Be of good courage.

Thank you.

Brian

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Prepared June 14, 2011 for Commission meeting June 16, 2011

TO: California Redistricting Commission Members and Staff

FROM: Brian Lawson

**RE: Numbering Senate Districts to minimize deferred population**

- 1) An area in a new Senate district is considered deferred if that area was in an odd-numbered district in the old map and is an even numbered district in the new map. Voters in this area voted in 2008, but will not vote again until 2014.
- 2) The table on the next page shows the total population in each Senate district and the population in each district which was in an odd-numbered district in the old map and the population which was in an even-numbered district in the old map.
- 3) The 20 districts with the largest population coming from the old odd districts are defined as odd districts and then the remaining 20 districts (which have the largest population coming from the old even districts) are defined as even districts. The specific numbers were assigned from the north to the south.
- 4) This produces a random geographic distribution of odd and even districts, except in one area. In the Bay Area it produces a large number of even districts (five: EALAM, MATEO, OAKRI, SF, SJOSE) and only one odd district (HAYWD).
- 5) With regard to possible costs of special elections associated with candidates who will run "out of sequence" to follow an area closer to their current district whose number changes from even to odd it is probably best to follow the precedent of the 1990 Special Master report and leave that to the courts to decide since the commission is specifically precluded from recognizing incumbents.

A spreadsheet containing the information in the table is here:

<http://sites.google.com/site/briancrcobserve/home/file-cabinet/senatedistrictnumbers.xls?attredirects=0&d=1>

Maps of the districts identified as odd (red) and even (black) are here:

<http://sites.google.com/site/briancrcobserve/home/file-cabinet/senatedistrictnumbers-maps.pdf?attredirects=0&d=1>

Total deferred population: **4,212,863** (11.3% of entire population)

Deferred population is equal to the population in 2001 odd districts that are in even districts in the new map.

This is the total of the last 20 numbers in the first column.

Senate Labels	Pop in 2001 odd districts	Pop in 2001 even districts	Total Population	2001 odd pop as % of total	Twenty highest odd population 1 = odd 0 = even	Proposed District Numbers
<b>Population from PL 94-171 Table P0010001</b>						
EVENT	949,675	0	949,675	100.00	1	21
COAST	939,678	6,523	946,201	99.31	1	17
SJOSE	932,771	0	932,771	100.00	1	15
CSTIV	925,965	0	925,965	100.00	1	35
RIVMV	922,948	0	922,948	100.00	1	37
EALAM	882,289	70,548	952,837	92.60	1	5
OAKRI	865,718	84,987	950,705	91.06	1	7
LASCV	864,633	65,523	930,156	92.96	1	25
LASGF	835,859	93,539	929,398	89.94	1	29
LALBS	809,115	128,339	937,454	86.31	1	27
MISKL	757,225	178,713	935,938	80.91	1	19
SBBAN	751,362	183,107	934,469	80.41	1	23
LAWBC	644,503	286,851	931,354	69.20	1	31
DBYLA	586,484	352,671	939,155	62.45	1	33
MATEO	543,297	374,470	917,767	59.20	1	13
STANJ	511,013	409,798	920,811	55.50	1	9
ESAC	483,838	435,070	918,908	52.65	1	3
SF	451,575	488,785	940,360	48.02	1	11
CSAND	425,072	509,332	934,404	45.49	1	39
NORTH	422,605	488,104	910,709	46.40	1	1
ECONT	399,615	532,278	931,893	42.88	0	6
WSTAN	397,336	525,463	922,799	43.06	0	32
LAPVB	384,351	547,278	931,629	41.26	0	20
CCHTM	380,626	546,557	927,183	41.05	0	34
SANOC	371,146	563,900	935,046	39.69	0	36
SAC	306,989	614,620	921,609	33.31	0	4
ISAND	255,255	676,613	931,868	27.39	0	40
FOOTH	227,793	712,240	940,033	24.23	0	8
NESAN	217,243	716,038	933,281	23.28	0	38
LAWSG	189,710	741,384	931,094	20.37	0	22
MERCD	184,762	741,191	925,953	19.95	0	12
HAYWD	183,525	738,304	921,829	19.91	0	10
YUBA	145,054	791,684	936,738	15.49	0	2
LADNT	143,733	786,758	930,491	15.45	0	24
LASFE	113,877	817,482	931,359	12.23	0	26
POMSB	108,866	824,590	933,456	11.66	0	18
LAWSC	105,472	826,686	932,158	11.31	0	30
LACVN	97,493	830,666	928,159	10.50	0	28
TULKE	17	921,565	921,582	0.00	0	16
KINGS	0	923,811	923,811	0.00	0	14

**Subject:** Public Comment: General Comment

**From:** steven horstman <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 14 Jun 2011 19:12:22 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: steven horstman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 1st draft map detail

Message Body:

are maps available with some more detail, such as streets or boundaries which coincide with the district lines? this would allow the particular location of the district lines to be seen.

thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission