

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Bruce Satzger <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:37:58 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Bruce Satzger <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting Maps

Message Body:

Please support the maps submitted by Inland Action.

Thank you

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** John Hoffman <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 23 Jun 2011 03:58:46 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: John Hoffman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: San Bernardino Mountain Communitis

Message Body:

It is very important to try to keep the needs of the mountain communities in the same district and the same county supervisor and federal representatives. There are special needs and conditions in the mountain communities and most folks that do not live here do not understand the needs herein our mountain.

John Hoffman  
Jack Hoffman Associates, Inc.  
President

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Mark Bulot <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 23 Jun 2011 05:14:20 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Mark Bulot <[REDACTED]>

Subject: re-drawn divisions

Message Body:

This commission was supposed to maintain the integrity of communities in the realignment of legislative districts. The recent draft is no better than the gerrymandered divisions prepared by the legislature. It appears to me that the commission is trying to achieve a similar result to that of the legislative manipulation districts-maintenance of a democrat-controlled legislature, by slicing and dicing contiguous geographic and municipal boundaries. I am deeply dissappointed.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Matthew Martin [REDACTED]

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:42:38 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Matthew Martin <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Support Inland Action's proposed maps of San Bernardino/Riverside Counties

Message Body:

Please support Inland Action's  
proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties."

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Jack Dangermon <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:25:54 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Jack Dangermon <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Draft Maps

Message Body:

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Steve von Rajcs <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 14:49:54 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Steve von Rajcs <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Endorsing Inland Action proposal

Message Body:

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Treva Webster <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 23:44:39 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Treva Webster <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redlands

Message Body:

The city of Redlands CA must not be divided in representation. Redlands is not a community with Mono Lake or Bishop CA. Please re-draw!

Treva Webster

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** "Robert W. Heinze" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 23 Jun 2011 05:37:27 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Robert W. Heinze <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Comment on California Citizens Redistricting Commission draft proposal

Message Body:

I support Inland Action's map submittal in it's entirety as it relates to San Bernardino and Riverside Counties and in particular as it relates to the City of Redlands where I reside. I voted for the redistricting initiatives, but was very disappointed in the California Citizens Redistricting Commission draft proposal and how it divided Redlands and removed affiliation with surrounding communities with common interests.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

To: California Citizen's Redistricting Commission  
From: Greg Rodriguez-Palm Springs California  
Date: June 22, 2011  
Subject: Supplemental written testimony

Dear Redistricting Commissioners,

I first of all want to thank you for your efforts in this very tedious process. Your professionalism and openness are to be highly commended. I also want to apologize on behalf of some of the public who seem to attack you in personal ways that are not warranted for the task you have taken on.

I am writing you today to offer some answers and observations to some of the questions that arose at both the San Bernardino and San Diego public hearings this past week. For your reference I was speaker number 32 in San Bernardino and was speaker number 3 at the Palm Springs public testimony held in May.

During my testimony in San Bernardino I made a suggestion that the map makers should think about starting their drawing process from the eastern border of California and move west. I am actually very serious about this suggestion. After hearing the testimonies in San Bernardino and San Diego, I believe this would help address a lot of the concerns voiced by not just eastern Riverside County, but the communities of San Diego and San Bernardino/Redlands area.

During my testimony in Palm Springs I included a power point presentation (I have reattached to this email as a pdf for you) that included maps for your consideration. I strongly believe that if you use these maps, especially those for the 45<sup>th</sup> Congressional, 80<sup>th</sup> and 65<sup>th</sup> Assembly Districts and the 40<sup>th</sup> Senate Seat, this will allow you to address the concerns of the citizens of Imperial County, Eastern Coachella Valley, and the eastern portions of Riverside County.

I also believe that by following these maps, you can utilize the current cities and communities in the first draft maps to accommodate the changes desired in the San Bernardino Redlands area and the areas of San Diego that were spoken about on Monday night. The cities that are included in the first draft maps that border the western portion of the maps I proposed at the Palm Springs hearing could be used to make up for the population shifts required to satisfy the eastern portions of San Diego County, but especially the communities of San Bernardino and Redlands and the western areas of Riverside County.

I would just like to close reemphasizing the overwhelming testimony you have heard in our area about including Imperial County with the Coachella Valley. Including both of these areas in the Congressional District should satisfy the Voting Rights Act as well as the numerous communities of interest expressed throughout all the hearings. Creating an Assembly District with Imperial County and the Eastern portions of Coachella Valley will also accomplish these two objectives.

I know that there were questions from commissioners about including Palm Springs in this AD, but that would not be the case if you look at the proposed maps I submitted in Palm Springs. I am suggesting that the 65<sup>th</sup> AD extend from the western portions of the Coachella Valley to the Beaumont Banning area

which again share many commonalities expressed during public testimony. The dividing line would be Bob Hope Street which divides the eastern and western portions of the Coachella Valley.

In San Diego there seemed to be a recurring theme about the affluence of Palm Springs. While it is true that there are some affluent people in the Palm Springs area, the majority of our full-time residents do not fit that criterion. One only has to look at the statistics for the Palm Springs Unified School District which encompasses the cities of Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Cathedral City, Desert Hot Springs and Thousand Palms that show 85% of our children are on free and reduced lunch.

Finally, as I expressed at the conclusion of my testimony in San Bernardino, the proposed Senate seat does not satisfy the nesting requirements and includes communities that have absolutely no common interests with each other. Once again, I refer you to the original maps I proposed that would nest the 80<sup>th</sup> and 65<sup>th</sup> AD's into the 40<sup>th</sup> Senate seat.

Thank you again for your service to the citizens of California and good luck to you as you conclude this very important process.

Respectfully Submitted,

Greg Rodriguez  
Palm Springs, California

██████████  
██████████

**Subject:** District Zone Remain the Same

**From:** "ken terry" [REDACTED]

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 22:20:05 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Keep our district zone the same. We are a republican base zone and wish to remain that way. Ken Terry Yucaipa, Ca. 92399

**Subject:** Support Inland Action's Proposal

**From:** Jeremy Milliorn <[REDACTED]>

Message Body:

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties. Trying to redraw lines for political gains will disenfranchise the people. Separating a single smaller city into two different congressional districts is not necessary and thus an abuse. Please respect the voter. I cannot be a proud Californian without a voice to share that message.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Delivered-To: [REDACTED]  
Received: by 10.68.62.196 with SMTP id a4cs248279pbs;  
Wed, 22 Jun 2011 10:07:08 -0700 (PDT)  
Received: by 10.42.141.136 with SMTP id o8mr1017699icu.512.1308762428134;  
Wed, 22 Jun 2011 10:07:08 -0700 (PDT)  
Return-Path: <[REDACTED]>  
Received: from wedrawthelines.ca.gov (184-106-92-95.static.cloud-ips.com [184.106.92.95])  
by mx.google.com with ESMTPS id f4si1818710ibn.70.2011.06.22.10.07.07  
(version=TLSv1/SSLv3 cipher=OTHER);  
Wed, 22 Jun 2011 10:07:08 -0700 (PDT)  
Received-SPF: neutral (google.com: 184.106.92.95 is neither permitted nor denied by best guess record for domain of [REDACTED] client-ip=184.106.92.95;  
Authentication-Results: mx.google.com; spf=neutral (google.com: 184.106.92.95 is neither permitted nor denied by best guess record for domain of [REDACTED])  
Received: from wedrawthelines.ca.gov (localhost [127.0.0.1])  
by wedrawthelines.ca.gov (8.13.8/8.13.8) with ESMTPT id p5MH779h010045  
for <[REDACTED]> Wed, 22 Jun 2011 10:07:07 -0700  
Received: (from [REDACTED])  
by wedrawthelines.ca.gov (8.13.8/8.13.8/Submit) id p5MH77gv010044;  
Wed, 22 Jun 2011 10:07:07 -0700  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino  
Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:07:07 +0000  
From: Jeremy Milliorn <[REDACTED]>  
Message-ID: <[REDACTED]>  
X-Priority: 3  
X-Mailer: PHPMailer (phpmailer.sourceforge.net) [version 2.0.4]  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"

From: Jeremy Milliorn <[REDACTED]>  
Subject: Support Inland Action's Proposal

Message Body:

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties. Trying to redraw lines for political gains will disenfranchise the people. Separating a single smaller city into two different congressional districts is not necessary and thus an abuse. Please respect the voter. I cannot be a proud Californian without a voice to share that message.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Cynthia Taylor [REDACTED]

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:21:20 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Cynthia Taylor [REDACTED]

Subject: Inland Action

Message Body:

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Rachel Reed <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:24:29 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Rachel Reed <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Support Inland Action Maps!

Message Body:

Hello,

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino / Riverside county.

Thank you!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Allen Edgar <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:04:09 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Allen Edgar <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Support Inland Action's Maps

Message Body:

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Hong <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:00:22 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Hong <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Inland Action's proposed maps

Message Body:

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** "John F. Prentice" [REDACTED]

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:51:29 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: John F. Prentice <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Map revisions

Message Body:

I support the recommendations of Inland Action, whose maps solve the lack of fair representation which will result for Redlands, Fontana, Upland, Chino Hills and part of Rancho Cucamonga.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

**From:** Thomas Brickley <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:39:09 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Thomas Brickley <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Support of Inland Actions maps & documentation

Message Body:

As a concerned citizen of the Inland Empire I am requesting you give your utmost support to the testimony & documentation provided my Inland Action at the redistricting meeting held on 6/19/11 in San Bernardino.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Inland Action proposal

**From:** "Steve von Rajcs" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 22 Jun 2011 07:48:52 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

**CC:** "'Carole Beswick'" [REDACTED]

Please support Inland Action's proposed maps for San Bernardino/Riverside counties. Their maps successfully incorporate all the guidelines and more importantly, provide much-needed continuity for our representatives.

Thank you.

*Steve von Rajcs*

*President/CEO*

*California Housing Foundation*

[REDACTED]

*Redlands, CA , 92374*

[REDACTED]