

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Robynn A. Creitz" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 18:33:59 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Robynn A. Creitz <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Elena Perez <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 18 Jun 2011 03:49:30 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Elena Perez <[REDACTED]>

Subject: We Who Adjoin Griffith Park

Message Body:

We urge you in the strongest way possible to keep the communities that touch Griffith Park in one Assembly district. These include Atwater Village, Silver Lake, Los Feliz, the Hollywood Hills, Hollywood proper, and Burbank.

Our communities share history, culture, shopping, and most importantly, employment patterns, key industries, and same state issues of concern.

Please honor our requests. Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Lisa Lehman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 18 Jun 2011 05:53:46 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Lisa Lehman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita-keep whole

Message Body:

Please keep Santa Clarite whole. Please add Newhall and Antelope Valley

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Dale Lehman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 18 Jun 2011 05:57:09 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Dale Lehman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita-keep it whole

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Please keep Santa Clarite whole as one district. Please add Newhall to Antelope Valley. Thank you

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Brian <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 18 Jun 2011 04:11:56 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Brian <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Google Maps or Something Similar

Message Body:

Hello,

I don't know what the rules and regulations are for producing map drafts, but can I suggest/request that you provide your maps on Google Maps-style maps that allow users to zoom in and out with a more detailed understanding of which houses fall in which districts? Thank you so much for all your hard work!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Carrie Scoville <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 22:56:58 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Carrie Scoville <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Public Input on 1st Round of Redistricting Maps - Los Angeles Harbor Area

Message Body:

(Hard Copy with maps being sent via email transmittal)

June 17, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Public Input on 1st Round of Redistricting Maps - Los Angeles Harbor Area

To whom it may concern,

First of all, thank you for separating the Los Angeles/Long Beach port complex as I had recommended in my letter of May 23. It makes a world of difference in how the port communities are supported, and the port industry would have stronger representation by the good fortune of having two elected officials in each house.

I continue to have concerns, however with how the first round of maps are drawn for the South Bay. Specifically, the shredding of city of Los Angeles.

It would appear that the boundary lines have been drawn along the Harbor Freeway (I-110) and its implied extension to the ocean along Gaffey Street in San Pedro. We all know that freeways do not define communities, they divide them. By using this method the Commission has halved the representation for the City of Los Angeles in the South Bay.

The Port of Los Angeles is a main feature in this region, but the maps have it cut off from a good portion of the community it serves. Furthermore, the Los Angeles waterfront has little to do with areas to the north of Carson. I would strongly recommend broadening the district at the coastline instead to include the Los Angeles communities of San Pedro, Wilmington, Harbor City, and Harbor Gateway in their entirety and within the same district as the Port of Los Angeles.

As these districts are now drawn they also appear to be wholly along "like communities" of income levels. In other words, a corridor of poverty is being created from Lynwood to San Pedro, while the wealth has been preserved in the Palos Verdes/Beach Cities districts.

Should a balance be needed to create a more equitable income or political party distribution then add the Palos Verdes peninsula into the mix.

This would keep Los Angeles whole, preserve the city's waterfront, and include the community with the Port that serves them.

Thank you once again for this opportunity.

Regards,

Carrie Scoville
enclosures: Maps (6)

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Yvonne E. Pine" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 23:43:32 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Yvonne E. Pine <[REDACTED]>

Subject: South Pasadena, City

Message Body:

It is totally unacceptable to have So. Pasadena joined with Los Angeles and cities to the south in the Congressional District.

Our history is very close association with Pasadena and San Marino and we are also physically divided from Los Angeles by the Arroyo Seco. Our experience has been that we have had almost no connection with our State Senator Gilbert Cedillo as he represents mostly Los Angeles.

If we are trying to increase citizen participation in government, we absolutely must NOT leave the district lines as they are in the draft proposal.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Arpi Kevorkian <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 18 Jun 2011 03:19:14 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Arpi Kevorkian <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Oft-Repeated Request

Message Body:

I have read the letters on your website from the Chambers of Commerce and what seems like hundreds of individuals from Burbank, Hollywood, all the way through Atwater Village.

We urge you in the strongest way possible to keep the communities that touch Griffith Park in one Assembly and Senate district. These are: Atwater Village, Silver Lake, Los Feliz, the Hollywood Hills, ALL Hollywoods, and Burbank.

Shared history, shared culture, shared shopping, shared employment patterns, shared industry, and most important: shared state issues of concern.

Thank you and God bless.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Dennis Lord <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 18 Jun 2011 02:13:49 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Dennis Lord <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Map Typo

Message Body:

On your Hawthorne-Gardena-Compton map, Gardena is misspelled. Just helping you look professional...

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Rebecca A. Basham" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 18 Jun 2011 00:21:59 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Rebecca A. Basham <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support Keeping Santa Clarita Whole

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley-Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you

RA Basham

Newhall

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Tim Wendler [REDACTED]

Date: Sat, 18 Jun 2011 02:56:07 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Tim Wendler <[REDACTED]>

Subject: San Gabriel Valley - Congressional

Message Body:

[REDACTED], Pasadena CA 91104 *** (626) [REDACTED] *** [REDACTED]

June 16, 2011

Dear Mr. Chair and Commissioners,

My name is Tim Wendler. I have resided in the foothill communities of the San Gabriel mountains for 25 years, with the most recent 15 years spent living in Pasadena.

Although the Commission did an excellent job of drawing districts, it failed to keep a significant number of cities whole. I do not understand why so many cities in the San Gabriel Valley were unnecessarily split.

In regards to the three Congressional Districts drawn for the San Gabriel Valley please note the following.

Pasadena should be united in one district. East Pasadena is clearly a community of interest with Pasadena. Pasadena, East Pasadena, Altadena and Sierra Madre all share the same school district. These communities should be together in the Congressional District with Glendale and Burbank.

I am also very familiar with the cities throughout the San Gabriel Valley. Duarte, Monrovia, Azusa, La Verne and Glendora should not be split. Adding Claremont, which like Duarte and Monrovia has a sizable African American Community, to the COVNA district makes geographic and community of interest sense. The cities in the Eastern Part of the San Gabriel Valley should be kept together and moved into the COVNA district. It makes far more sense to have this eastern San Gabriel Valley district compact than it is to have these cities connected with Glendale and Burbank. Frankly, the eastern San Gabriel Valley cities have nothing in common with Glendale and Burbank. Geography and compactness should be paramount in their application of the San Gabriel Valley.

Shifting Baldwin Park or another city from the lower or western part of the COVNA district to into SGVDB district will balance out the population and give the San Gabriel Valley three better districts.

Sincerely,

Timothy P. Wendler

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Michael DeNeal <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 21:37:16 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Michael DeNeal <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Newhall split from Santa Clarita

Message Body:

I support keeping the Santa Clarita Valley whole!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Tor Hyams <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 14:06:32 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Tor Hyams <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting issues for Los Feliz. Los Angeles

Message Body:

Dear Sirs,

I am the a board member of the Los Feliz Improvement Association, one of the oldest (nearly 100 years old) and largest neighborhood associations in the state, representing thousands of households in the Los Feliz district of Los Angeles. I am also former VP of administration for the Greater Griffith Park Neighborhood Council (GGPNC).

I am very concerned that our neighborhood--which includes Griffith Park and the residential neighborhoods immediately south of the park--is proposed to be attached to communities that are completely different from ours demographically, economically, and characteristically. The concerns and interests of our neighborhood are completely different from the other communities that we are to be included with. We are one of the most affluent neighborhoods in Los Angeles with some of the city's highest property values, and the rest of the areas in our proposed state assembly and senatorial districts as well as the proposed congressional district are among the poorest with some of the lowest property values. Our neighborhood has one of the highest percentages of home ownership in the city, while the other neighborhoods in our proposed districts have the lowest. We have traditionally been part of the Hollywood Hills and Glendale communities, and we now we will be totally separated !

from them. The result is that this redistricting proposal will virtually disenfranchise our residents who have concerns that are completely different from the rest of the proposed district communities included in our proposed districts. I strongly urge you to reconsider our inclusion within the districts that you have proposed which are illogical and harmful to the concerns and interests of the residents of Los Feliz.

Sincerely,

Tor Hyams

board member, Los Feliz Improvement Association

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: David Crowley <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 14:19:23 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: David Crowley <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Non-Details of Maps

Message Body:

It's hard to see exactly what areas are covered in depth as no street names are given in any of the maps available online. You can see a broad view, but no specific areas. It would help, I think, if major boundary streets were named at least. Example: on the 33rd Congressional map, I cannot tell if the northern border above the 10 Freeway is Pico Blvd, Olympic Blvd or some other street. Could this be corrected by the time the second version of the maps are released?

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Joan Curd <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 16:00:06 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Joan Curd <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I SUPPORT KEEPING THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY WHOLE!

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts.

Please keep Newhall in the Santa Clarita Valley.

Thank You.

Joan Curd

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Debi Statland <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 21:41:08 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Debi Statland <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistrict mapping

Message Body:

I live in Tujunga, CA. and if you look at regular map you will see that we are situated in the hills nearest La Crescenta, Burbank, Glendale, Montrose, La Tuna Canyon, Lake View Terrace and Shadow Hills.

These are the communities where we do business and interact as a functional group with similar interests. We are all Foothill communities with interest in maintaining our green views and animal friendly environment.

Please allow us to be placed in this mapping group and for the first time we will actually have much in common with our entire area, as you set for representation.

Thank you.

Debi Statland

[REDACTED]

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Chris Townsley <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 21:31:04 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Chris Townsley <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping Newhall & Santa Clarita Valley Whole

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

Please, please, please - we are a geographically divided area and Newhall-Valencia does NOT belong as part of the San Fernando Valley! It must remain as part of the Santa Clarita Valley whole, inasmuch as there is a large mountain range and the Newhall Pass separating us from the San Fernando Valley.

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Sincerely,

Chris Townsley

Newhall homeowner (part of the Santa Clarita Valley too!)

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Glenda Johnson <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 07:40:43 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Glenda Johnson <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Santa Clarita whole

Message Body:

I have lived in the south side of Newhall for over 40 years and worked hard to create the City of Santa Clarita. I want to be governed as a citizen of Santa Clarita, NOT part of the San Fernando Valley and west to Simi Valley NOR east to the Antelope Valley. Leave Santa Clarita WHOLE.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Ann Tomkins <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 17:23:18 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ann Tomkins <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Quality of Maps

Message Body:

Can't you put better maps on your website. I can't tell where my home is on these maps. Why aren't they street level maps?

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "William D. Creitz" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 18:32:39 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: William D. Creitz <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Darlene Zavalney <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 19:45:48 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Darlene Zavalney <[REDACTED]>

Subject: San Pedro

Message Body:

The current redistricting map divides our city and takes away the heart of our city, the Port, from the people of San Pedro. This is a town who draws its strength from being a town united and passionate about its future. PLEASE do not divide San Pedro into the haves and have nots and do not put our Port in the hands of another town that has no connection to the port.

Thank you for consideration.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Larry Wims [REDACTED]

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 15:12:19 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Larry Wims <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

Please do not let Valencia be moved from Santa Clarita to San Fernando.

Thank You!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Willard C. Gekler" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 16:52:32 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Willard C. Gekler <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Relocation of Los Alamitos and Rossmoor

Message Body:

The reason for this move is unclear since we have NO community of interest with the proposed Long Beach district. We have nothing in common with Long Beach. We are in Orange County not Los Angeles County. Our voice in Congressional matters would be squelched. We believe that Congressman Royce is doing an excellent job. Further Congresswoman Richardson's activities are much more focused on Long Beach and West Long Beach. Please do not make this change.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: John Haggard <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 17:28:24 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: John Haggard <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: San Fernando Valley

Message Body:

Dear Commission,

I attended your hearing on Thursday, June 16 in Culver City, but had to leave before I got a chance to speak. I would just like to ask that you keep the San Fernando Valley as unified as possible. In the past we have had to share a congressional district with places as far flung as Malibu, and these are areas that have very different interests than we do. So, as far as other considerations will allow, please keep the San Fernando Valley as unified and autonomous as possible. Thank you for your work.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Joan Baumann <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 17 Jun 2011 20:20:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Joan Baumann <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole

Message Body:

Message Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts.
Please add the Community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley-Santa Clarita Valley
Congressional District

Thank You

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission