

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Michael Grossman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 14:44:10 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Michael Grossman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: redistricting

From: "Sue Lloyd" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 12:32:12 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

CC: <[REDACTED]>

I live in Encino and when the districts are redrawn we need to be included in the WEST valley as we have specific needs that are different than the east valley communities. Please consider this when redrawing the district map.

Susan Lloyd

Lloyd Lloyd & Holmes Accountancy Corporation

[REDACTED]

Encino, Ca 91436

Phone [REDACTED]

Fax [REDACTED]

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE

We are required by IRS Circular 230 to inform you that, unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended or written to be used, and may not be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax tax-related matters addressed herein.

Subject: Redistricting, San Fernando Valley West

From: lgstarr <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 11:18:30 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

To Whom It May Concern:

It is of the highest importance that our State Assembly and Congressional Districts are completely contained in the West Valley and do NOT cross over into the East Valley! Attempts to disenfranchise us by ignoring the fact that the San Fernando Valley is divided by the 405 (West Valley from the 405 to the western L.A. County line) are NOT acceptable.

The West Valley ends at the L.A. County line and includes the Conejo Valley.

East Valley is from the 405 to Burbank/Glendale and they should have their own representation.

Linda & Gary Starr

[REDACTED]

Chatsworth, CA 91311

[REDACTED]

Dear Commissioners:

My name is Maggie Daret and I currently reside in Los Angeles in the neighborhood of Glassell Park. I testified at the April 28th hearing at Los Angeles City Hall and I am writing to express my support for the new district boundaries released June 10, 2011. As a community activist leader in this particular area feel that uniting the Northeast area is a great benefit to us because we will be properly represented not divided like we have been.

I appreciate that you took into account my testimony and the testimony of others. This new map is a fair representation on my community and is respectful on my City's boundaries, our school district and our public safety service areas. It keeps our community intact and allows us to have a stronger political representation. It's representing our community as a whole not interrupted by different offices and maybe now we will accomplish more in our communities. This is about the people and improving the quality of neighborhoods not keeping politicians in office. Thank you for your hard work and for staying so late on April 28, 2011 to listen to what everyone had to say.

Sincerely,

Maggie Daret

Subject: Redistricting

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 11:10:25 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

I am a resident of Woodland Hills in the west San Fernando Valley. I am very concerned over what appears to be an incorrect and unfair redistricting plan in the works.

Please be aware that the west San Fernando Valley is bounded on the east by the 405 freeway and on the west by the L.A. county line and includes Conejo Valley.

The **west San Fernando Valley residents deserve to be represented by State Assembly and Congressional districts that are wholly intact** and not divided up so as to disenfranchise the entire area. Anything else would be unjust. The entire west San Fernando Valley is congruous geographically and shares similar interests and concerns. The east San Fernando Valley deserves their own representation as well - without dividing up the west portion to be included with the east.

Since your decisions will affect all of us for the next ten years - it is vital that we (residents of the west San Fernando Valley) receive a fair and sensible redistricting plan. We have been told that the whole idea of redistricting was to make it more fair and representative than it has been.

I trust you'll not ignore our plea for a fair and just decision.

Thank you,

Betty Breneman

[REDACTED]
Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Comment submitted to Citizens Redistricting Commission, June 17, 2011

Marge Nichols, [REDACTED], Altadena, CA 91001

A. Altadena, an unincorporated community, and the City of Pasadena should not be separated in the Assembly district maps.

1. The two communities are integrally connected, sharing a common history, economy, social and cultural institutions and a common school district that also includes unincorporated East Pasadena and the city of Sierra Madre.
2. 12,000¹ of the area's Black residents are in Altadena, and 19,000² live in Pasadena, with the greatest concentration in the western area of both communities.
3. Dividing Altadena and Pasadena would "crack" the African American community and greatly diminish its policy profile if divided among two Assembly districts.

B. South Pasadena, our sister city to the south, should not be divided or assigned to a legislative district or districts in Los Angeles or communities far to the south.

1. South Pasadena has a strong association with communities in the West San Gabriel Valley, as well as with Pasadena.
2. South Pasadena residents came to a redistricting public forum in Pasadena this week, as someone said, "with their hair on fire," objecting intensely to their small, tight-knit city being divided and assigned to districts with which they have little in common.
3. South Pasadena should be kept together in an election district related to the Pasadena-Altadena area, or to the West San Gabriel Valley.

¹ ACS 2006-2008 Estimate

² 2010 Census

Subject: Testimony

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 13:59:05 -0700 (PDT)

To: [REDACTED]

I prepared the attached statement, together with a map, for the June 17 hearing in Whittier. I handed it in when I received a very high number that wouldn't be called, but wanted to be sure that it is on file with the Commission.

Thanks.

Marge Nichols

Comment submitted to Citizens Redistricting Commission.doc

Subject: REDISTRICTING THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY

From: Gretchen Martin <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 09:58:03 -0700 (PDT)

To: [REDACTED]

REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE:

PLEASE KEEP THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY IN TACK (405 FRWY TO L.A. COUNTY LINE) WE NEED TO HAVE OUR OWN REPRESENTATION IN THE ASSEMBLY, STATE SENATE AND CONGRESS. STOP UNFAIR REDISTRICTING PRACTICES.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION TO THIS MATTER

GRETCHEN MARTIN
38TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT
27TH CONGRESSIONAL

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Victor Lindenheim <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 01:53:00 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Victor Lindenheim <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Please keep the Santa Clarrita Valley Whole

Message Body:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts.
Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Karen & Tom Zimmerman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 05:18:39 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Karen & Tom Zimmerman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Congressional Dist: Sunland-Tujunga & the Crescenta Valley

Message Body:

We believe that the foothills communities of Sunland-Tujunga, Kagel Canyon, La Tuna Canyon, and Shadow Hills should be included in the same congressional district as La Crescenta, Glendale, Burbank and La Canada.

Sunland-Tujunga et al are the northeastern terminus of the City of Los Angeles. There is a stronger bond between these foothills communities and the greater Crescenta Valley than with the Eastern San Fernando Valley communities.

An example of the shared interests is the Crescenta Valley Community Association [<http://crescentavalleycommunity.com/index.html>] which was founded four years ago to bring together the communities of the greater Crescenta Valley, including Sunland-Tujunga, La Crescenta/Glendale Annex, La Crescenta/Unincorporated County, and La Canada Flintridge - the communities which share the Crescenta Valley and the mountains north and south of our valley.

We hope you will explore this designation. It would provide constituents of the greater Crescenta Valley a more equitable representation at both the state and federal levels of government.

Thank you,

Tom & Karen Zimmerman

[REDACTED]
La Crescenta, CA 91214

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Matt Berkelhammer <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 02:46:16 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Matt Berkelhammer <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Whittier Area Assembly, State Senate, and Congressional Districts

Message Body:

Statement by Matt Berkelhammer

to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission Hearing, 6/17/2011, Whittier, CA

Good evening. My name is Matt Berkelhammer, and I live at 7515 Vanport Avenue, Whittier, CA 90606, in the unincorporated part of Los Angeles county known as West Whittier, sometimes known as Los Nietos. I own my own home and have lived here since 1985.

When I first moved to California from NY in the late 1970's, I settled in Los Angeles. However, after I began work as a machine operator at Ryerson Steel in the city of Vernon in 1980, I moved to Pico Rivera in order to live closer to my job and avoid long rush hour commutes. After a few years living in Pico Rivera, I decided to purchase a home and moved to my current residence in West Whittier.

I believe that the City of Whittier, as well as all the unincorporated portions of LA county known as East Whittier, South Whittier, and West Whittier, should all be included in the same Assembly District, the same State Senatorial District, and the same Congressional District. Whittier and surrounding communities should not be fragmented as they are now.

In addition, I believe that the cities surrounding Whittier and unincorporated Whittier, including especially Pico Rivera, Santa Fe Springs, Montebello, Norwalk, Downey, La Habra, and La Habra Heights, should also be the nucleus of the same Assembly District, the same State Senatorial District, and the same Congressional District.

These communities are closely connected and share a long history and common interests, dating back to the Pio Pico homestead, which sits right on the border of Whittier and Pico Rivera. And by the way, this State Historic Park must be saved from the budget cuts currently being proposed in Sacramento!

These communities are linked by their proximity to the San Gabriel River and the 605 Freeway, and as the northern end of the Gateways Cities corridor that extends all the way down to the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach. They are further linked by family migration patterns, and common shopping, recreation, educational, and health care services. For example, my children went to El Rancho HS in Pico Rivera and Pioneer HS in Santa Fe Springs; I frequently shop in Whittier, Pico Rivera, Santa Fe Springs, Norwalk, Montebello, and Downey; and I obtain my health care services from Kaiser in Downey and Whittier.

The proposed Eastside Transit Corridor light rail project, in either configuration, but especially the Washington Boulevard alternative, will most certainly draw these communities even closer together. The cities of Pico Rivera, Santa Fe Springs, Norwalk, and Downey should not be split up, as they are in the current proposed maps.

As you know, according to the 2010 Census figures, the population of the City of Whittier currently consists of 85,331 people, of whom 65.72% are Hispanic or Latino, 28.27% are white (not Hispanic or Latino), 3.82% are Asian, 1.28% are Black or African

American, 1.28% are American Indian and Alaska Native, .14% are Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander, and 4.45% are of two or more races. 24.43% list themselves as "some other race".

It is unfortunate that this overwhelming and growing Latino majority has been unable to secure any representation on the Whittier City Council going back decades, and I understand that is not your area of responsibility. But this must change!

The proposal by Joe Vinatieri and the Whittier City Council to create a new Assembly District for Whittier, including Hacienda Heights and Rowland Heights, and stretching from Whittier all the way east to Diamond Bar, does not correspond to community realities. It appears to be politically motivated to increase Republican representation, and will certainly have the effect of diluting Hispanic and Latino representation. I hope you will reject that proposal.

Thank you for your consideration.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Ruth Evans <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 03:44:16 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ruth Evans <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

It is not appropriate to divide the city of Santa Clarita and take Newhall and lump it with a city 40 miles away. The citizens of Newhall live and work with Saugus, Stevenson Ranch and Valencia. We want our congressman to represent us and our neighbors. The divide should remain below the 5/14 split. CRC should not try to divide "communities of interest". The entire Santa Clarita Valley should be located within only one Congressional, State Senate, Assembly, and Board of Equalization district.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Tonia Reyes Uranga <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 03:52:35 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Tonia Reyes Uranga <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Long Beach

Message Body:

I spoke regarding Long Beach the tale of two cities. Additional data can be found here:

<http://www.laane.org/downloads/B335P3112C.pdf>

and here:

<http://www.longbeach.gov/civica/filebank/blobdload.asp?BlobID=30918>

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Ricky Grubb <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 06:31:32 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ricky Grubb <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Sunland Tujunga with Crescenta valley communities

Message Body:

Commissioners:

I wish to make you aware that I do support the new map which puts Sunland Tujunga into the same district with Kagel Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills, La Tuna Canyon, La Crescenta, Montrose, Glendale and Burbank; communities with which we compare favorably. Here in Sunland Tujunga, the Crescenta valley / fellow foothill communities are with whom we associate and relate. These are communities which have views of, and access to the Verdugo mountains and hills, most have open spaces, have some rural lifestyle, overall we residents live in this environment with the desire to enjoy and preserve it. We fit together, and Sunland Tujunga thinks of itself as part of the Crescenta Valley and the San Gabriel Foothills, with good justification.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Olga Kaczmar <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 22:14:32 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Olga Kaczmar <[REDACTED]>

Subject: makes no sense

Message Body:

It makes no sense to clip off a portion of Newhall and add it to San Fernando Valley. Don't you know there is a mountain in between?

If you make these people drive to San Fernando to vote, they won't vote or they will be very angry at the drive.

Newhall should stay in tact with Santa Clarita. Someone must have been driving heavy when they drew the lines.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Ami Cooper <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 23:04:12 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ami Cooper <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley - Newhall area

Message Body:

Please keep the Santa Clarita Valley whole for Congress redistricting. The Newhall area (of the Santa Clarita Valley) does not demographically match well with the San Fernando Valley and City of Los Angeles. Thank you for your consideration.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: John Dortch <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 23:15:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: John Dortch <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Santa Clarita Whole

Message Body:

Keep Santa Clarita Whole!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Michael DeLeeuw <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 23:18:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Michael DeLeeuw <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Don't listen to the politicians and their spouses

Message Body:

You all did a pretty good job with your maps. I like that Pasadena is in an Assembly District with Burbank and Glendale. That makes so much sense since we all have similar economies, populations, governments and schools. We also have very similar populations with Armenian, Latino, African American and Caucasian populations that are very much middle and working class people.

I do notice that almost all of the comments that advocate for changing the state office districts are coming from people who are invested in keeping safe districts for incumbents. One letter even came from Senator Carol Liu's husband asking you to, essentially, keep her seat safe. I saw comments from all the local Democratic party leadership asking for the same thing, to cut out Glendale and Burbank and include the cities along the 210 freeway instead. Don;t listen to Wendler, Fuhrman, Mann, Lutz and all the others who want to keep another seat safe for their Democrat.

My only gripe is that you cut Pasadena in half for the seat for U.S. House of Representatives. I am not a huge Adam Schiff fan but it makes no sense to cut off the south half of Pasadena and link it to places like Walnut, Industry, Chino and Diamond Bar. Please keep Pasadena whole.

Thanks,

Michael DeLeeuw
Southeast Pasadena resident

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: John Dortch <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 23:24:10 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: John Dortch <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Nest SCV With E Ventura County - Senate

Message Body:

Nest Santa Clarita Valley with East
Ventura County in a Senate Seat!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Gaynor Grubbs <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 23:51:42 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Gaynor Grubbs <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Santa Clarita Whole

Message Body:

We did not move from the San Fernando Valley in 1989 to now be considered part of it again. Don't separate us from the rest of our community!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Bonnie Hood <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 00:59:52 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Bonnie Hood <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

Bonnie Hood

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Barbara Shoag <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 01:33:12 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Barbara Shoag <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Long Beach

Message Body:

Thank you for keeping Long Beach a complete city in all of your maps!!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Cris Hughes <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2011 01:06:39 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Cris Hughes <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Want To Be In CD2

Message Body:

I prefer to be in CD2 because I feel I deserve a Councilmember who is responsive to my concerns. Let me not for get to mention, that is knowledgeable about most subjects the concerns the people and can really connect with the people. That has wonderful support people that are just as passionate for the concerns of the people served. With that being said, I beg you to please, please place all of Lake View Terrace under the wings CD2.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Richard Brunner <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 20:53:03 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Richard Brunner <[REDACTED]>

Subject: comment on redistricting boundaries

Message Body:

Our community needs a representative boundary contiguous with like communities. I enthusiastically support your boundary proposals for the South Bay. Thank you for your efforts.

Respectfully,

Richard Brunner

Peninsula Verde HOA, President

[REDACTED]
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Dara Pettinato <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 21:37:10 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Dara Pettinato <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita as one district.

Message Body:

As a resident of the Santa Clarita Valley, I would request that our valley be kept as one entity for all redistricting. Our neighbors have chosen to reside in this area, due to our love of the ideals represented in the community & would best benefit by keeping us together as one district in all aspects of governance. Thank you, Dara Pettinato

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: harry knafla <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:09:04 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: harry knafla <[REDACTED]>

Subject: keep Santa Clarita Valley Whole

Message Body:

keep all of SCV in the Antelope Valley-Santa Clarita Valley congressional district

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Alexandre Monteiro <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 21:04:37 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Alexandre Monteiro <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Hawthorne & Congressional District

Message Body:

My name is Alex Montiero. I serve on the school board in Hawthorne. As a school board member, I work with the school board members in Hawthorne, Gardena and Centinela Valley. We cooperate with each other on opportunities and we are always looking to support initiatives to improve the quality of education for our students. We work well together and we share information and apply for grants together. Our communities not only share education resources but we have created a social network that relies on cooperation. We do not wish our network of education and social services undermined by dividing up our cities. We believe Inglewood, Gardena, Hawthorne, Lawndale and Westchester should continue the relationship we have built over time.

Hawthorne Inglewood, Gardena, and Lawndale have been know as the Centinela Valley Area for more than 100 years. We share same cultural, values and demographics. We collaborate in provides medical services, youth, safety, law enforcement, and other vital services to our communities.

Hawthorne, Lawndale and Lennox share the same School District for the High School Children. The Centinela valley Unified School District (9-12th grades). We have bonds that cannot be broken . We share Federal, State, and LAC resources, and other federal grants.

Hawthorne is also vital for the LAX-Los Angeles Airport. The jet fuel pine lines run thru Hawthorne City. Hawthorne is part of the Airport Citizen Commissioner. We provide workforce, housing and supplemental hotels to the airport employers and employees. We have some of the operational services of the Northrop Corporation here in Hawthorne. Furthermore, The SPACE X Corporation that will build the next generation of space Rockers that will take new space shutter to the moon and beyond is here in Hawthorne and SPACE X most have connection to the LAX.

Please do not separate Hawthorne from it existent 35th congressional district. Please maintain it the same as before.

Thank You,
Alexandre Monteiro

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Naomi Carmona-Morshead <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 21:05:26 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Naomi Carmona-Morshead <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Congressional Districts

Message Body:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Naomi Carmona-Morshead <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 21:06:50 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Naomi Carmona-Morshead <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Senate District

Message Body:

Commissioners:

In your first draft maps, you created two State Senate seats that should be changed. By switching the nesting of two districts, you can keep like communities together, in line with community of interest testimony you have received.

Instead of nesting Santa Clarita with Malibu, you should nest Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Keeping Camarillo, Thousand Oaks, Moorpark and Simi Valley connected to Santa Clarita in a Senate seat will keep inland valleys together and better represented. Historically, for over 30 years, these areas have been connected in a Senate seat.

Connecting these areas to the coast divides both the inland and coastal populations. Please keep our inland suburban valleys connected by nesting Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Paul Little [REDACTED]

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 21:57:05 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Paul Little <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Pasadena in one Congressional District

Message Body:

Good afternoon,

First, thank you for the good work you have done so far.

I am very pleased to see that Pasadena, Glendale and Burbank are included together in districts for State Senate and State Assembly. Our cities have much in common and will be well represented in a single district for state senate and assembly seats.

I also expect races for those seats will be more competitive than in the past as there is a great diversity among our populations and it is likely that many and varied people will run for each to those seats out of each of our communities.

I am disappointed that you split Pasadena into two different Congressional districts. Our community, along with Burbank and Glendale, deserves to be kept in its entirety in a single district and is best served by remaining with those communities in a single district.

The southern half of Pasadena has little, if anything, in common with Diamond Bar, Chino Hills and the other communities it is currently anticipated will be included in that district. Perhaps there are communities to the east and south that can be included with those cities to offset the southern half of Pasadena. Surely, you can find 50,000 residents with more in common with those in southern Orange County and far southeast Los Angeles County.

Again, thank you for your thoughtful and hard work thus far. I hope you can find a way to keep Pasadena whole and maintain our city in a district with our neighbors Glendale and Burbank.

I had submitted an email on June 10th, but do not see that on the posts so far. Please excuse me if this is a duplicate.

Paul Little
Pasadena, CA

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: teri knafla <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:08:23 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: teri knafla <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Santa Clarita Valley Whole

Message Body:

keep all of SCV in the Antelope Valley-Santa Clarita Valley congressional district

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Lynndell Kennedy <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:09:10 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Lynndell Kennedy <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Hollydale Area of South Gate

Message Body:

CA Senate Map: The Hollydale area of South Gate has always been disenfranchised by that City. It would more more appropriate for that small section to be included with the Long Beach/Downey/Paramount areas. Better representation by leadership attuned to the needs of that small community vs. the LARGE and complex needs to the West Side of South Gate. Frankly, in times of need, the city of South Gate will completely forget about those sections on the east side of the freeway and we don't feel well represented by current leadership.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: teri knafla <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:10:04 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: teri knafla <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita valley w/E. Ventura Cty in senate seat

Message Body:

SCV be nested with East Ventura County in a Senate seat.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Patricia E. Dempsey" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:25:03 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Patricia E. Dempsey <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Jerrymandering the districts

Message Body:

PLEASE!! Our requestis that you not divide up the Santa Clarita Valley. Newhall belongs in the area..After all it was one of the original communities in the SCV. Also we request you keep us with the adjacent communities such as Acton, Aqua Dulce, etc., not with Malibu which is on the coast, over an hour away and has totally different requirements and needs. Please use common sense and don't try to split up areas so they favor the left even more than they already do. We are sick at how California has gone from a woder-ful, clean, profitable place to live,to a place where people cannot make a decent living and opportunities are quickly disappearing. People used to come here because there was opportunity,.Think about what has happened over the past 25-30 years!

Thank you!

Patricia E. Dempsey, Michael Dempsey

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: harry knafla <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:10:48 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: harry knafla <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley w/E. Ventura Cty senate seat

Message Body:

SCV be nested with East Ventura County in a Senate seat.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Jeannie Atkins <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:14:09 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Jeannie Atkins <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

I have been a resident of Santa Clarita for 26 years. I take pride in and participate with all community events. Santa Clarita needs to remain as one vibrant community. Please Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. I ask that you please reconsider and add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Repectfully, Jeannie Atkins

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Aggi Oschin <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 19:01:18 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Aggi Oschin <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Patricia A. Kelly" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 19:55:56 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Patricia A. Kelly <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep SCV Whole in Congressional District & nest SCV with East Ventura County in Senate seat

Message Body:

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district. And Second, I am requesting SCV be nested with East Ventura County in a Senate seat.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Robert Hazard <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 16:34:38 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Robert Hazard <[REDACTED]>

Subject: New District Lines

Message Body:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

Robert Hazard

[REDACTED]

Newhall, California 91321

[REDACTED]

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Irene Boyd <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:00:30 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Irene Boyd <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Draft Maps

Message Body:

I cannot tell from the maps on line where the boundaries are regarding the proposed new districts affecting me (zip code: 91325, area: Northridge. Where can I find more detailed maps and/or information that shows actual proposed boundaries for the proposed new congressional, senate and assembly districts? I need this information asap. Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Bob Bennett [REDACTED]

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 17:20:15 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Bob Bennett <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Congressional District Draft

Message Body:

I find it difficult to understand why the original tract development (Old Orchard I) in Valencia is excluded along with Newhall from the rest of the Santa Clarita Valley for only the Congressional District Draft. The characteristics and integrity of our small town atmosphere should be maintained for all the various districts. We have lived here for 35 years and love the way Newhall Land developed the area. Lets keep it together.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Christine Green <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 16:20:31 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Christine Green <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Congressional Redistricting

Message Body:

Please do not split Newhall from it's current district. Leave us in with the rest of the Santa Clarita Valley. Thank you

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Mary Sinclair <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 17:00:36 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Mary Sinclair <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Good job - mostly (please keep Pasadena whole and watch out for self-serving politicians trying to influence your decision)

Message Body:

Good morning. Thank you for your mostly excellent work in remapping the political boundaries around my city of Pasadena.

I am very pleased to have Pasadena in the same Assembly district as Burbank and Glendale. That makes so much more sense and will make for a more competitive election for that seat as we will have people running from each of those cities (potentially, anyway).

I have one quibble with you: I would like you to put Pasadena into one Congressional district, however. For the south part of the city to be in a district with Diamond Bar makes no sense. (I have never even been to Diamond Bar.) I think with a little effort you could keep all of Pasadena in a district with Burbank and Glendale and the other Foothill communities along the 210.

Finally, please don't give a lot of thought to people like Mayor Mary Ann Lutz from Monrovia or the Mayor of La Canada who wants to keep their cities in the same Assembly district as Pasadena. Each wants to run for her town's seat and thinks if the districts stays solid Democrat she can win election there. Changing the boundaries the way you have it makes the Foothill cities Assembly district much more competitive and splits voters more evenly along party lines. Same with putting Pasadena, Burbank and Glendale together.

Right now, I appreciate the way you drew the Assembly and Senate districts and think you made wise choices as the areas around Pasadena are concerned.

Please, just fix that one problem and make Pasadena whole for the Congressional race.

Thanks,

Mary Sinclair
Pasadena

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission



OFFICE OF THE VICE MAYOR

June 20, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

AMENDED AND CORRECTED

Re: Public Comment for public meeting on Re-Districting held in Whittier June 17, 2011

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter was originally submitted to you with speaker card #128 on June 17, 2011 however that evening I addressed you as speaker #66. Following the hearing, I noticed an error in my letter under solution (C), which I have corrected herein and noted by italicizing the correction.

Thank you for the opportunity to present views on the impact of the recently announced tentative district boundaries on the City of Pasadena.

I am a City Council Member and Vice Mayor of Pasadena. Each of Pasadena's seven Council Districts contains about 20,000 residents, represents a distinct part of Pasadena, and is designed to include whole neighborhoods, preserve the Voting Rights Act and include a portion of our historic Colorado Boulevard, which lies south of the 210 freeway. Five of our seven Council Districts include areas north and south of the 210 freeway in order to include portions of Colorado Boulevard, the heart of our City. Pasadena thinks and acts as one community. The proposed map threatens to unnaturally break up our city into two Congressional Districts.

By way of background, when the 210 freeway was constructed in the 1970's, it destroyed neighborhoods and created a deep gash in our community, dividing the City and separating neighborhoods. One reason our Council Districts are so designed is to knit together the fabric of the community torn by the freeway. A further separation of the City into separate congressional districts by using the 210 freeway as a dividing line would undo decades of work we've done to restore ourselves as one community, one Pasadena.

As presently drafted, the tentative boundaries divide the City of Pasadena in the Congressional map between two districts. Preserving Pasadena whole would improve the map, and would be beneficial for the City, its residents, and many important institutions, such as Caltech and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory.


A proposed solution would be as follows:

- (A) Move the southern portion of Pasadena from the East San Gabriel Valley-Diamond Bar district into the San Gabriel Mountains Foothill district to make it whole.
- (B) Move most of Upland from the San Gabriel Mountains Foothill district into the Ontario district.
- (C) *Move the southeastern portion of Chino Hills from the Ontario district into the East San Gabriel Valley-Diamond Bar district.*

These adjustments keep Pasadena together; keep the San Gabriel Mountains Foothill district within Los Angeles County, instead of reaching into San Bernardino County; restore a community of interest in the East San Gabriel Valley-Diamond Bar district by uniting the city of Chino Hills; and preserve the Voting Rights Act status of the Ontario district.

Thank you for the opportunity to present my views of the best interests of the City of Pasadena and its neighborhoods. Please feel free to contact me directly at [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] should you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Margaret McAustin
Vice Mayor

MM:jls

Subject: AMENDED LETTER to CRC on Redistricting - Vice Mayor Margaret McAustin

From: "Stewart, Jana" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 19:31:48 +0000

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

This letter was originally submitted to the CRC with speaker card #128 on June 17, 2011 at the public meeting held in Whittier, but Vice Mayor McAustin addressed the CRC as speaker #66.

Attached is an amended and corrected version of the previously submitted letter.

Thank you very much.

Jana Stewart
Office of the Mayor & City Council

[doc01149220110620122328.pdf](#)

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Michael Kelly [REDACTED]

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:04:02 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Michael Kelly <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Santa Clarita whole

Message Body:

There is no reason to re-district the city of Santa Clarita at this time maybe in 20 years but Now is the time to KEEP Santa Clarita whole and not to divide /switch any other parts of it to another district.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Congressional District MAP-Concerning about Hawthorne-LAX & SPACE X

From: "Monteiro, Alexandre" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 14:05:14 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

CC: <[REDACTED]>

My name is Alex Montiero. I serve on the school board in Hawthorne. As a school board member, I work with the school board members in Hawthorne, Gardena and Centinela Valley. We cooperate with each other on opportunities and we are always looking to support initiatives to improve the quality of education for our students. We work well together and we share information and apply for grants together. Our communities not only share education resources but we have created a social network that relies on cooperation. We do not wish our network of education and social services undermined by dividing up our cities. We believe Inglewood, Gardena, Hawthorne, Lawndale and Westchester should continue the relationship we have built over time.

Hawthorne Inglewood, Gardena, and Lawndale have been know as the Centinela Valley Area for more than 100 years. We share same cultural, values and demographics. We collaborate in provides medical services, youth, safety, law enforcement, and other vital services to our communities.

Hawthorne, Lawndale and Lennox share the same School District for the High School Children. The Centinela valley Unified School District (9-12th grades). We have bonds that cannot be broken . We share Federal, State, and LAC resources, and other federal grants.

Hawthorne is also vital for the LAX-Los Angeles Airport. The jet fuel pine lines run thru Hawthorne City. Hawthorne is part of the Airport Citizen Commissioner. We provide workforce, housing and supplemental hotels to the airport employers and employees. We have some of the operational services of the Northrop Corporation here in Hawthorne. Furthermore, The SPACE X Corporation that will build the next generation of space Rockers that will take new space shutter to the moon and beyond is here in Hawthorne and SPACE X most have connection to the LAX.

Please do not separate Hawthorne from it existent 35th congressional district. Please maintain it the same as before.

Thank You,
Alexandre Monteiro

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Linda Lambourne <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 18:00:17 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Linda Lambourne <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission



Founder
Hon. Edward R. Roybal (Ret.) †

Board of Directors

Hon. Juan C. Zapata, **Chairman**
Member, Intergovernmental Policy Committee on Trade

Hon. Hector Balderas, **Treasurer**
New Mexico State Auditor

Ms. Ivelisse R. Estrada, **Secretary**
Univision Communications Inc.

Mr. Andrew Baldonado
Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc.

Hon. José (Pepe) Estrada
WalMart Stores, Inc.

Hon. Anitere Flores
Florida State Representative

Hon. Mike Fernandez
Cargill

Hon. Ron Garcia
Councilmember, City of Brea, CA

Hon. Stephanie Garcia
Pueblo City Schools Board President

Hon. Sylvia R. Garcia, **NALEO President**
Former Commissioner, Harris County, TX

Hon. Uvaldo Herrera
State Farm Insurance Companies

Hon. Pauline Medrano
Deputy Mayor Pro-Tem, City of Dallas, TX

Hon. Lucille Roybal-Allard
Member of Congress

Hon. Susan Santana, Esq.
AT&T

Board of Advisors

Hon. Karen Avilla
First Vice-President, Hispanic Elected Local Officials, National League of Cities

Hon. Kevin Carbo
Chair, National Hispanic Caucus of School Board Members

Hon. Pedro Colón
Milwaukee County Circuit Court Judge

Hon. Rey Colón
Alderman, City of Chicago, IL

Hon. Lorraine Cortés-Vázquez
AARP

Hon. Mike DeLaO
Chair Elect, National Hispanic Caucus of School Board Members Ex-Officio Member

Hon. John J. Duran
Mayor Pro-Tem, City of West Hollywood, CA

Hon. Luis Fortuño
Governor, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

Hon. Charles Gonzalez
Member of Congress, Congressional Hispanic Caucus

Hon. Ana Sol Gutierrez
Maryland State Delegate

Hon. Gerri Guzman
School Board Member, Montebello Unified School District, CA

Hon. Paul D. López
Councilmember, City of Denver, CO

Hon. Iris Y. Martínez
Illinois State Senator, President, National Hispanic Caucus of State Legislators

Hon. Michele Martinez
Councilmember, City of Santa Ana, CA

Hon. Alex Padilla
California State Senator

Hon. Ana Rivas Logan
School Board Member, Miami-Dade County, Public Schools, FL

Hon. Justin Rodriguez
Councilmember, City of San Antonio, TX

Hon. Sergio Rodriguez
President, Hispanic Elected Local Officials National League of Cities

Hon. Ross Romero
Utah State Senator

Hon. John Sapien
New Mexico State Senator

Hon. Fernando Shipley
Mayor, City of Globe, AZ

Hon. Fernando M. Treviño
School Board President, East Chicago, IN

Hon. Don Francisco Trujillo II
Former Deputy Secretary of State, NM

Hon. Luz Urbáez Weinberg
Commissioner, City of Aventura, FL

Executive Director
Arturo Vargas

† Deceased

Partnership Council Members

Chairman's Council Member
State Farm Insurance Companies

Advance America
AT&T
Southwest Airlines
Univision Communications Inc.
WalMart Stores, Inc.
The Walt Disney Company

June 20, 2011

Via Electronic Mail
Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Public testimony about California's redistricting process

Dear Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

On behalf of the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) Educational Fund, I am writing to express our concerns about the Commission's June 17 public input hearing and its choice of a venue that had an inflexible closing time of 9:00 pm. This inflexible closing time prevented many Los Angeles County community members who were eager to participate in the hearing process from presenting in-person testimony.

Although the Commission generally informs the public that not all persons who obtain a number in a timely manner will have an opportunity to speak, it also appears to be making inconsistent choices with respect to the closing times of venues for its public input hearings. For example, on June 16, the Commission's hearing was held in a venue in Culver City that allowed the Commission to take testimony until 11:00 pm. Thus, members of the public who chose to attend the Whittier hearing, whether because of its proximity, or the day it was scheduled, had less of an opportunity to testify in-person than those who attended the Culver City hearing.

Most of the Los Angeles Latino community members we have been working with chose to attend the Whittier hearing for two reasons. First, the Whittier site was closer to their homes or place of work. Los Angeles' Latino population is largely concentrated east and southeast of the city of Los Angeles, in the San Gabriel Valley, and in areas adjacent to the 605 Freeway. The Whittier public input was clearly more accessible to these communities. In addition, because of work responsibilities, many Latino community members informed us that they preferred testifying on a Friday night, so that they could stay late on an evening that did not precede a work day.

Latino community members made many efforts to attend the Whittier hearing to represent their communities. Some left work early, others pooled resources to rent a 12-passenger van and attend in a group. Others brought their children with them because they did not have child care. Despite these efforts, these community members were not able to obtain a speaking number low enough that would allow them to testify that day.

The level of attendance at the public input hearings so far is certainly a tribute to the outreach the Commission has conducted, and the effectiveness of its efforts in educating the public about the importance of redistricting to all Californians. However, when community members take the Commission's message to heart, and

Los Angeles, CA 90015 Washington, DC 20003 Houston, TX 77002 New York, NY 10005 Orlando, FL 32819
Tel: (213) [redacted] Tel: (202) [redacted] Tel: (713) [redacted] Tel: (212) [redacted] Tel: (321) [redacted]
Fax: (213) [redacted] Fax: (202) [redacted] Fax: [redacted] Fax: (212) [redacted]

are then denied the opportunity to speak in-person, it erodes the public's confidence in the fairness of the hearing process, particularly when both Los Angeles hearing venues did not have the same closing time. This created the perception that there was a "different set of rules" for different communities in the Los Angeles area.

We understand that members of the public can provide written testimony, and we commend the Commission for emphasizing that written testimony will be given the same weight as in-person testimony. However, in-person testimony has a different impact than written testimony. It provides Commissioners the opportunity to see the impact of the proposed lines on community members in a very real and vivid manner. It permits community members who do not yet have high literacy skills to provide more effective input to Commission. It also enables the Commissioners to ask questions, and obtain clarification about the testimony presented.


In light of the challenges presented by the inconsistent closing times of the Commission's two Los Angeles area hearings, we provide the following recommendations:

- Strongly consider having one additional hearing in the Los Angeles area: We understand that the Commission faces budget and logistical constraints, but we believe that scheduling at least one additional hearing in the Los Angeles area would provide community members with a more fair opportunity to provide in-person testimony. Ideally, this hearing could be scheduled very soon after the June 28 hearing in Sacramento, to ensure the testimony could be considered early in the Commission's second draft map drawing process.
- Assess the feasibility of ensuring that all remaining venues for public hearings can accommodate extended closing times: The Commission should actively work with any venues that cannot accommodate extending closing times to see if arrangements can be made to permit the venues to close later (such as the deployment of facilities or security staff for longer hours).
- Publicize closing times of remaining venues: The Commission should inform the public of the closing times of the remaining venues at least one day in advance. This will allow members of the public to make informed choices about the feasibility of attending hearings in-person, and maintain public confidence in the transparency of the Commission's public input process.

In this connection, we note that the Commission cited a desire to incorporate greater public input as the rationale for its recent decision to move the release of its second draft maps to July 12. Scheduling an additional Los Angeles public input hearing is consistent with this decision for several reasons. First, now that the Commission has some additional days beyond its original schedule to release its second draft maps, it is more feasible for it to obtain public comment for some short period of time after the June 28 hearing. Moreover, the Commission also decided not to hold additional public input hearings after this round. Thus, this round of hearings is the last chance for members of the public to provide in-person testimony, and it is critical that there be an additional opportunity for in-person public input in the Los Angeles area.

We believe the Commission shares our vision of an accessible and inclusive public participation process that provides all Californians with an opportunity to provide meaningful input to the Commission. We look forward to continuing our work together to achieve this important goal. Thank you for your consideration of our views.

Sincerely,



Rosalind Gold

Senior Director, Policy Research and Advocacy

Subject: Comments on closing times of venues selected for public input hearings

From: Rosalind Gold <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 11:46:16 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

CC: Astrid Garcia <[REDACTED]>

Dear Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

On behalf of the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) Educational Fund, I have attached a letter setting forth our concerns about the difference in the closing times of the two venues selected for public input hearings in Los Angeles County. We also provide recommendations on how the Commission can address the challenges that arose as a result of this issue.

Thank you for your attention to this matter, and we look forward to continuing our work together as California's redistricting process moves forward.

Sincerely,

Rosalind Gold
Senior Director of Policy, Research and Advocacy

NALEO Educational Fund

[REDACTED]
Los Angeles, CA 90015
213/[REDACTED] Ext [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Fax
[REDACTED]

The NALEO Educational Fund is the leading nonprofit organization that facilitates full Latino participation in the American political process, from citizenship to public service.

Not a Member? Join Now!!

[REDACTED]

Ltr to CRC re Whittier hearing fin 06-11.pdf

Subject: New Pasadena district

From: Michael Logan <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 10:30:05 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commission,

I have seen the proposed new district lines for Pasadena and have objections. First, do not split Pasadena in two. Second, instead of the horse shoe shaped district with a mish mash of cities how about a district where cities really interact like this:

Pasadena, Arcadia, South Pasadena, Monrovia, Glendale and Burbank

This makes more sense.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael Logan
Pasadena, Ca.

June 20, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Commissioners:

My name is Alina Bokde and I currently reside in the Los Angeles in the neighborhood of Lincoln Heights (90031). I am a voter and very concerned with the redistricting process and have reviewed your new proposed district boundaries and I am writing to express **my support for the new district boundaries released June 10.**

I appreciate that you took into account the testimony of my neighbors and created a district boundary that represents the community that resides within the boundaries. This new map is a fair representation of my community and is respectful of my City's boundaries, our school district and our public safety service areas. It keeps our community intact and allows us to have stronger political representation. Thank you for your work and for developing a fair boundary for the downtown and NorthEast LA communities.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Alina Bokde".

Alina Bokde
Resident of Lincoln Heights
Zip Code 90031

Subject: New Pasadena district

From: Michael Logan <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 10:30:18 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commission,

I have seen the proposed new district lines for Pasadena and have objections. First, do not split Pasadena in two. Second, instead of the horse shoe shaped district with a mish mash of cities how about a district where cities really interact like this:

Pasadena, Arcadia, South Pasadena, Monrovia, Glendale and Burbank

This makes more sense.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael Logan
Pasadena, Ca.

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: WILLIAM HOWARD FAIRCHILD <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, 20 Jun 2011 13:23:51 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: WILLIAM HOWARD FAIRCHILD <[REDACTED]>

Subject: DISAPPROVAL OF PROPOSED NEW BOUNDARIES IN SANTA CLARITA

Message Body:

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

WILLIAM HOWARD FAIRCHILD

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission