

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: James flournoy <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 04:02:36 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: James flournoy <[REDACTED]>

Subject: congressional district 91770 90640

Message Body:

We wrote earlier about assembly district lines in south san gabriel with 91770 zip codes currently south of the 60 freeway being in a Montebello assembly district and it appears that you have considered that- thanks

Similarly the line for the Congressional districts have the same problem

Homes north of the Montebello Hills in 91770 need to be with Rowemead.

In your first draft you have a north bulge picking up additional 91770 homes in south san gabriel and putting them in a district on the other side of the hill.

It's real simple

keep 91770 together and keep 90640 together.

The proposed senate district

We would prefer The district expand east into the san gabriel valley instead of south over the hills but that is a much bigger change than the assembly and congress

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "B. J. Atkins" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 04:57:11 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: B. J. Atkins <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Message: Commissioners:

Message Body:

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Hadley Rierson <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 03:52:17 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Hadley Rierson <[REDACTED]>

Subject: PLEASE Keep HOLLYWOODS TOGETHER!

Message Body:

I urge you to keep the communities that touch Griffith Park in one Assembly district. These include Atwater Village, Silver Lake, Los Feliz, the Hollywood Hills, Hollywood proper, and Burbank.

Our communities share history, culture, shopping, and most importantly, employment patterns, key industries, and same state issues of concern.

THANK YOU!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Thaddeus Wadleigh <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 05:10:09 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Thaddeus Wadleigh <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga redistricted with Santa Clarita??

Message Body:

I live in Topanga California and spend my time on the West Side and Woodland Hills/Calabasas area. I have no interest in Santa Clarita, and i believe they have no interest in me, or my community. Why have you chosen to re district us with a community that has none of our attributes? I hope you will reconsider this move and re district us with the Westside which we share the same issues with. Sincerely

Thaddeus Wadleigh
Topanga CA

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Richard B. Winslow" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 05:01:42 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Richard B. Winslow <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Concern about representation for Topanga Canyon

Message Body:

I live in the unincorporated area of Topanga Canyon. I work in West Los Angeles (Westwood Village, to be exact), and my family shops and otherwise frequents both the Westside of Los Angeles (Santa Monica, in particular) and the West San Fernando Valley (Woodland Hills, West Hills and Canoga Park).

I understand that you are considering grouping Topanga Canyon with Santa Clarita, a distant area we very seldom visit and which shares few interests, politically, with ours.

As I understand, there is "West Side-Santa Monica Mountains" district that would be a far better match for Topanga Canyon.

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and join these districts within a Senate district renoted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side."

Thank you very much for your service and your time,
- Richard B. Winslow

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Bill Hopkins <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 04:49:47 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Bill Hopkins <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Don't split Granada Hills

Message Body:

The proposed new districts cuts right down the middle of Granada Hills, and also splits the North Neighborhood Council. Instead, use the 5 fwy until it joins with the 405.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: sue mansis <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 05:24:13 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: sue mansis <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting Lines

Message Body:

Dear Sirs: As a 32 resident of Sunland/Tujunga/Shadow Hills,I am requesting that our district be kept as is; those politicians who are drawing lines do NOT live in our district or neighborhoods. We would like the lines to include Sunland/Tujunga/Shadow Hills/Lakeview Terrance/Glendale/La Crescenta. We believe we have the most commonalities with them in terms of neighborhood uses and socio-economic population needs. Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Sheila O'Neill O'Connor" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 02:58:08 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Sheila O'Neill O'Connor <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Regarding Proposed Redistricting

Message Body:

I thank the Commission for giving me the opportunity to participate in drawing the lines for our community. I am Sheila O'Neill O'Connor and I am a resident of Palos Verdes Estates.

I have reviewed the preliminary district maps issued by the commission on the Commission's website for the Palos Verdes Est-Beach Cities. I find much of the proposed districts to be acceptable, however I do respectfully request the Commission modify the maps per my recommendations that follow. My proposed modifications affect all three districts.

Others and I were very pleased with the preliminary edition of the 36th congressional district (CD) available on the website, June 2, 2011. It was almost a carbon copy of what many of us proposed and placed on the Commissions website prior to that date. However, between June 2nd and the June 10th preliminary releases, a few significant changes were made to the preliminary 36th CD. Specifically, several key cities of our community were removed from our proposed congressional district, namely Lawndale, Hawthorne, Lennox, Wilmington and a portion of San Pedro. These cities were replaced with Venice, Santa Monica, Harbor City and a portion of Harbor Gateway.

Please understand, Venice and Santa Monica are not a part of our Southbay community while the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much a part of our Southbay community. In fact, the city of Lawndale posts on their website that they are "The Heart of the Southbay." Except for the fact that Venice and Santa Monica are cities on the Pacific coastline, they have little else in common with the Southbay. Most of us seldom visit the cities of Venice and Santa Monica and we certainly do not work, shop or recreate in these cities. Further, folks from Venice and Santa Monica likewise testified at hearings that they are not part of the Southbay and wish to be removed from the proposed 36th CD.

To the contrary, many of those who reside in the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne work at Southbay small businesses and are employed by our aerospace industry. Further, many of us residing in the peninsula cities have friends and relatives residing in these cities as well as own and operate businesses in these cities. The cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much "communities of interest" to us.

I respectfully request the Commission include Lawndale and Hawthorne in the final 36th CD and eliminate Venice and Santa Monica from it. From the viewpoint of population, it is practically a one for one swap. Venice and Santa Monica have a combined population of approximately 129,000 and Hawthorne and Lawndale have a combined population of approximately 118,000. To accommodate the difference, I suggest the Commission consider adding the section of Harbor Gateway south of the 405 Freeway and north of Sepulveda to the section of Harbor Gateway already included. This adds approximately 6,000 people to the proposed final congressional district, thus making up most of the loss from the desired swap. Additionally, I strongly recommend all of San Pedro be included in our CD as well as Lennox and Gardena west of Western Ave. This yields a population of approximately 704,000, the required number of people for a congressional district in accordance with the 2010 census data.

Regarding the Assembly District, I request the elimination of Westchester and Marina Del Rey from the Commission's preliminary map and the addition of Lawndale and the section of Del Aire south of El Segundo Blvd. This is practically a one-for-one swap in population numbers. This permits the city of Lawndale to be in the same assembly and congressional districts and it complies with the Assembly District population requirement of approximately 465,000.

I again thank the Commission for your interest in our community and your conscientious work in our behalf.

Best regards,

Sheila O'Neill O'Connor

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Maddie Stodart <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 03:07:18 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Maddie Stodart <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Please keep Santa Clarita Whole!

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Lani Luedde <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 03:21:48 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Lani Luedde <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Please exclude these districts

Message Body:

Per the recommendation of Gene Starr, please exclude the Venice and Santa Monica areas from the 36th district. They are not representative of our demographics.

Thank you....

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Lucia Affatato <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 03:50:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Lucia Affatato <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Hollywoods Together

Message Body:

As a native of North Hollywood, I am appalled at the prospect of separating my city from the rest of Los Angeles. It is imperative that you keep the communities that border Griffith Park and Hollywood in one Assembly and Senate district. It is critical from a business and economic standpoint as well as a personal one, that the Hollywoods be kept together. We share many aspects in common -- the movie industry, social, cultural community, and parks and recreational activities, and state issues.

Please reconsider the redistricting proposal!

Sincerely,

Born and raised in North Hollywood

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Laura McMillan <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 03:52:08 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Laura McMillan <[REDACTED]>

Subject: splitting up Santa Clarita Valley

Message Body:

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: steven turner <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 01:33:36 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: steven turner <[REDACTED]>

Subject: districting

Message Body:

keep santa clarita as one city . Keep Newhall par of us

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Robert K. Comer" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 02:22:15 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Robert K. Comer <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting of Santa Clarita

Message Body:

Please don't do this as we need the district to remain intact.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Teresa Bunnell-Penner <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 01:30:18 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Teresa Bunnell-Penner <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga

Message Body:

To whom it may concern,

Why would Topanga be put in the Santa Clarita District??? Please redraw the line.

Teresa Penner

Topanga Resident

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: S L Wojciechowski <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 01:47:58 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: S L Wojciechowski <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Cerritos

Message Body:

The maps provided on line for the Los Angeles County, Orange County areas. Please advise where Cerritos is positioned.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Julie Levine <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 01:41:26 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Julie Levine <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga

Message Body:

I am very concerned about the proposed redistricting of Topanga. We are westsiders, live on the ocean side, have a 310 area code, and we have little in common with local areas in the San Fernando Valley much less with Santa Clarita. This seems like a political decision to me, and one that is not the choice of Topanga residents. I would appreciate a response.

Sincerely,
Julie Levine

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Dorothy Cole <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 02:21:29 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Dorothy Cole <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support keeping the Santa Clarita Valley whole!

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts.
Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley-Santa Clarita Valley
congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Kenneth Mazur <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:27:42 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kenneth Mazur <[REDACTED]>

Subject: LASCV

Message Body:

To the Citizens Redistricting Commission

I am very proud of the Committee and of California for creating a political instrument of the people that encourages input from the voters and works to be balanced and practical.

As a Westside resident living in Topanga, I would like to voice my opinion concerning the new State Senate District, LASCV. The West Side of LA County and Santa Clarita have little in common politically, economically, ecologically and pragmatically. The creation of the Assembly Districts West Side-Santa Monica and Thousand Oaks-Santa Monica Mountains was a very intelligent and sensitive achievement demonstrating the effectiveness of the Committee. These Districts encompass populations and communities with shared interests and challenges. It is my opinion that placing them together to form a Senate District would also be a very wise choice. I ask you to please review the creation of LASCV district and consider creating an alternate District by combining these Assembly Districts.

Sincerely,

Ken Mazur

Topanga Animal Rescue [REDACTED]

Topanga Association for a Scenic Community

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Carol Upton <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:27:37 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Carol Upton <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support nesting like areas and changing the 1st draft maps.

Message Body:

Commissioners:

In your first draft maps, you created two State Senate seats that should be changed. By switching the nesting of two districts, you can keep like communities together, in line with community of interest testimony you have received.

Instead of nesting Santa Clarita with Malibu, you should nest Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Keeping Camarillo, Thousand Oaks, Moorpark and Simi Valley connected to Santa Clarita in a Senate seat will keep inland valleys together and better represented. Historically, for over 30 years, these areas have been connected in a Senate seat.

Connecting these areas to the coast divides both the inland and coastal populations. Please keep our inland suburban valleys connected by nesting Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Kenneth Mazur <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:27:42 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kenneth Mazur <[REDACTED]>

Subject: LASCV

Message Body:

To the Citizens Redistricting Commission

I am very proud of the Committee and of California for creating a political instrument of the people that encourages input from the voters and works to be balanced and practical.

As a Westside resident living in Topanga, I would like to voice my opinion concerning the new State Senate District, LASCV. The West Side of LA County and Santa Clarita have little in common politically, economically, ecologically and pragmatically. The creation of the Assembly Districts West Side-Santa Monica and Thousand Oaks-Santa Monica Mountains was a very intelligent and sensitive achievement demonstrating the effectiveness of the Committee. These Districts encompass populations and communities with shared interests and challenges. It is my opinion that placing them together to form a Senate District would also be a very wise choice. I ask you to please review the creation of LASCV district and consider creating an alternate District by combining these Assembly Districts.

Sincerely,

Ken Mazur

Topanga Animal Rescue [REDACTED]

Topanga Association for a Scenic Community

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Karilyn Crolius <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:29:42 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Karilyn Crolius <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Karilyn Crolius <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:33:15 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Karilyn Crolius <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support nesting like areas and changing the 1st draft maps

Message Body:

Commissioners:

In your first draft maps, you created two State Senate seats that should be changed. By switching the nesting of two districts, you can keep like communities together, in line with community of interest testimony you have received.

Instead of nesting Santa Clarita with Malibu, you should nest Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Keeping Camarillo, Thousand Oaks, Moorpark and Simi Valley connected to Santa Clarita in a Senate seat will keep inland valleys together and better represented. Historically, for over 30 years, these areas have been connected in a Senate seat.

Connecting these areas to the coast divides both the inland and coastal populations. Please keep our inland suburban valleys connected by nesting Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: josie kelly <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:36:16 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: josie kelly <[REDACTED]>

Subject: topanga

Message Body:

I am in strong protest of associating topanga with santa clarita!!??? instead of the westside!! We have traditionally been associatede with the westside and the change makes no sense. It will also endanger and damage our hope for adequate support, which we are in desparate need of. (emergency preparedness and response, land use, environment etc.)

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Liz Bush <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:42:33 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Liz Bush <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Beverly McCalla <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:50:05 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Beverly McCalla <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

For the Congressional Dist, please keep the city Santa Clarita Valley whole by drawing your lines to include all of Newhall, especially South of Lyons Ave. Newhall has been a part of Santa Clarita ever since it became a city 30+years ago!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Linda Tarnoff <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 01:04:38 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Linda Tarnoff <[REDACTED]>

Subject: KEEP SANTA CLARITA VALLEY WHOLE!

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

Please do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Newhall is a world away from the San Fernando Valley-Tujunga, separated by a mountain range. For fair representation, it is appropriate that Newhall remain in the same district as the other communities in the City of Santa Clarita.

Thank you,

Linda Tarnoff

[REDACTED]

Newhall 91321

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Stacy Sledge <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:07:12 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Stacy Sledge <[REDACTED]>

Subject: State Senate District

Message Body:

Dear Redistricting Commission,

The proposed Senate map, LASCV, makes no sense as communities of common interests. Santa Clarita valley in no way, shape or form has commonalities with Topanga, Malibu, Woodland Hills, Calabasas and Thousand Oaks. They do not share the same watershed, nor do they have similar interests in wildlife and the environment (Santa Monica Mountains). My request is that you re-visit your proposal and consider mapping the lines similar to the 1991 and 2001 district maps, which worked well as a whole.

Thank you,

Stacy Sledge
Topanga resident

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Eileen Daniels <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:08:58 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Eileen Daniels <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Santa Clarita whole

Message Body:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate districts. Please add the community of Newhall in the AV/Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thanks you for your time.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Carol Upton <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:21:07 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Carol Upton <[REDACTED]>

Subject: AV-Santa Clarita Congressional District

Message Body:

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: William paige <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:04:06 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: William paige <[REDACTED]>

Subject: West los Angeles area

Message Body:

In all of your maps The West Los Angeles area is in a seperate district than all of its neighbors (Santa Monica, Beverly Hills, Westwood, and Brentwood) WHY? The Westside of Los Angeles should be in one district. Why seperate my neighborhood from the rest of the westside of los angeles? the map of los angeles loks like all of the High income areas are in one district, the low income area's in one district. Please take a look and change it.

William paige

[REDACTED]

West los Angeles Ca. 90025

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Michael Lewis [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:24:55 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Michael Lewis <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting harms Granada Hills

Message Body:

I believe you are violating some county or state ordinance by chopping up a town in two, as you're proposing to do with Granada Hills, by using Balboa as the dividing line. Instead why don't you just continue south on the 5 until it meets the 405. That's a lot cleaner.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Alison Robinson <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:23:01 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Alison Robinson <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Granada Hills being split in two

Message Body:

Hello. It's not wise to split Granada Hills in two, as is currently proposed. It violates the integrity of the town. Instead of using Balboa as a boundary line, simply continue south on the 5 until it meets the 405.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Joanne Grosh <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:24:57 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Joanne Grosh <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita

Message Body:

Keep Santa Clarita together.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission