

Subject: On redistricting the two assembly districts denoted as "West Side- Santa Monica" and "Thousand Oaks - Santa Monica Mountains".

From: Robert Moskowitz <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 13:06:06 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

June 22, 2011

Honorable Commissioners:

Thank you for the opportunity to offer insight, opinion, and facts relevant to your efforts to redraw the boundaries of the two assembly districts denoted as "West Side- Santa Monica" and "Thousand Oaks - Santa Monica Mountains".

As you know, these two districts include historical "communities of interest" that meet all criteria set forth by the Commission. They are contiguous and they include the greater Santa Monica Mountains/Bay area, as well as all the natural communities in that region, such as the West Side Cities COG and the Las Virgenes/Malibu COG of the Santa Monica Mountains.

These boundaries also respect existing municipal lines and Los Angeles County unincorporated areas that have developed, over many past decades, a vast network of mutual and interconnected relations in socio-economic and environmental matters, transportation infrastructure, cultural interests and educational endeavors. They are also geographically unified with a compact shape well defined by regional geography, including the Santa Monica Mountains, the Santa Monica Bay and other major transportation corridors.

My entire family feels that these two assembly districts represent excellent practicable boundaries for a Senate district and fit the bill perfectly for nesting two assembly districts within one Senate District. However, the Senate District denoted as California State Senate – "LASCV", as drawn, ignores some 70% of the contiguous "West Side – Santa Monica" district and includes areas far to the north known as "Santa Clarita. "

This northern assembly district is linked to the "Thousand Oaks -Santa Monica Mountains" Assembly district by a narrow LA City corridor and has little if any commonalities with the communities of the Santa Monica Mountains/Bay and the West Side. The vast majority of people in the City of Santa Clarita and communities of the two Santa Monica Mountains/Bay Assembly districts all consider this to be an awkward and unmanageable Senate District configuration.

It makes far more sense to honor the Commission's guidelines and use the new Assembly

boundaries encompassing the "West Side – Santa Monica" and the "Thousand Oaks – Santa Monica Mountains" Districts as a compact, geographically contiguous Senate District to sustain the needs of the interconnected communities encompassed therein.

My family and I request, with all due respect, that the Commission revisit Senate District "LASCV" and separate the northern and southern assembly districts currently shoehorned into this proposed Senate district. Instead, the two "Santa Monica" assembly districts would be better served if they were to be nested within and denote the boundaries for a "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay – West Side" Senate District.

Respectfully,

Robert Moskowitz
Santa Monica, CA

Subject: 36th and redistricting

From: "Frieda Wang" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 13:46:06 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to see Harbor City, Lennox, and West Carson stay with the Beach Cities for congressional redistricting.

Tks.

B. Rgds,

=====

Frieda Wang

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Brian Saunders <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 09:44:48 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Brian Saunders <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Please do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Mary D Hall <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 10:22:45 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Mary D Hall <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting

Message Body:

Efforts to keep the Santa Clarita Valley as one district and not attempt to take parts of it and add it to the San Fernando Valley areas especially with a mountain range inbetween., Santa Clarita has done a great job for all of the people living in its vast valley. Newhall, Canyon Country, placerita canyon should not be taken away from the Sant Clarita Valley

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Chino and Chino Hills United

From: "TenniesFamily" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 10:27:18 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Redistricting Commission,

As a resident of Chino Hills, I feel strongly that Chino and Chino Hills should be united. Chino and Chino Hills share the same School District, Fire District and are in the same Water District. Chino and Chino Hills community members participate in events together. In fact my husband and I put on an event to raise money for mental disorders - [REDACTED] and we had representation from officials from Chino and Chino Hills.

As you can see we are basically one community and need to be united, and represented as one.

I really appreciate you taking the time to read my e-mail.

Sincerely,

Linda & David Tennies

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 6229
(20110622) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

<http://www.eset.com>

June 22, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Sent via facsimile: 916-651-5711 and e-mail: votersfirstact@crc.ca.gov

Dear Redistricting Commission:

As a resident of Toluca Lake, I want to thank you for keeping our community of interest intact. We needed to be representing under one District and you kept us together with Hollywood in SD 22.

Sincerely,



Brian Folb

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Richard Kern <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:12:51 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Richard Kern <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Palos Verdes Redistricting - CD36

Message Body:

I thank the Commission for giving me the opportunity to participate in drawing the lines for our community. I am Richard Kern and I am a resident of Rolling Hills Estates.

I have reviewed the preliminary district maps issued by the commission on the Commission's website for the Palos Verdes Est-Beach Cities. I find much of the proposed districts to be acceptable, however I do respectfully request the Commission modify the maps per my recommendations that follow. My proposed modifications affect all three districts.

Others and I were very pleased with the preliminary edition of the 36th congressional district (CD) available on the website, June 2, 2011. It was almost a carbon copy of what many of us proposed and placed on the Commissions website prior to that date. However, between June 2nd and the June 10th preliminary releases, a few significant changes were made to the preliminary 36th CD. Specifically, several key cities of our community were removed from our proposed congressional district, namely Lawndale, Hawthorne, Lennox, Wilmington and a portion of San Pedro. These cities were replaced with Venice, Santa Monica, Harbor City and a portion of Harbor Gateway.

Please understand, Venice and Santa Monica are not a part of our Southbay community while the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much a part of our Southbay community. In fact, the city of Lawndale posts on their website that they are "The Heart of the Southbay." Except for the fact that Venice and Santa Monica are cities on the Pacific coastline, they have little else in common with the Southbay. Most of us seldom visit the cities of Venice and Santa Monica and we certainly do not work, shop or recreate in these cities. Further, folks from Venice and Santa Monica likewise testified at hearings that they are not part of the Southbay and wish to be removed from the proposed 36th CD.

To the contrary, many of those who reside in the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne work at Southbay small businesses and are employed by our aerospace industry. Further, many of us residing in the peninsula cities have friends and relatives residing in these cities as well as own and operate businesses in these cities. The cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much "communities of interest" to us.

I respectfully request the Commission include Lawndale and Hawthorne in the final 36th CD and eliminate Venice and Santa Monica from it. From the viewpoint of population, it is practically a one for one swap. Venice and Santa Monica have a combined population of approximately 129,000 and Hawthorne and Lawndale have a combined population of approximately 118,000. To accommodate the difference, I suggest the Commission consider adding the section of Harbor Gateway south of the 405 Freeway and north of Sepulveda to the section of Harbor Gateway already included. This adds approximately 6,000 people to the proposed final congressional district, thus making up most of the loss from the desired swap. Additionally, I strongly recommend all of San Pedro be included in our CD as well as Lennox and Gardena west of Western Ave. This yields a population of approximately 704,000, the required number of people for a congressional district in accordance with the 2010 census data.

Regarding the Assembly District, I request the elimination of Westchester and Marina Del Rey from the Commission's preliminary map and the addition of Lawndale and the section of Del Aire south of El Segundo Blvd. This is practically a one-for-one swap in population numbers. This permits the city of Lawndale to be in the same assembly and congressional districts and it complies with the Assembly District population requirement of approximately 465,000.

I again thank the Commission for your interest in our community and your conscientious work in our behalf.

Sincerely,

Richard Kern

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Joe Klocko <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:59:48 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Joe Klocko <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Congressional District

Message Body:

From reviewing the 1st Draft map, I see that the proposed Congressional District takes the south west portion of the Santa Clarita valley and excludes it from the proposed district. The area is now proposed to be in the W. San Fernando Valley - Calabasas district. In accordance with the commission's criteria that districts should not try to divide "communities of interest", including the entire Santa Clarita Valley within only one Congressional, State Senate, State Assembly, and Board of Equalization district would seem to be in order.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Ellen C. Garrett" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:58:17 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ellen C. Garrett <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga redistricting

Message Body:

Please do not redraw the district Senate boundaries to include Topanga with Santa Clarita. Those two communities are far apart - both geographically and in concerns, population and community spirit. Topanga has always identified with the Santa Monica/West Los angeles communities. Please include Topanga in this more appropriate boundary.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Paul Glickler <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:56:30 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Paul Glickler <[REDACTED]>

Subject: proposed Senate district

Message Body:

I'm a resident of Topanga (90290) and am writing to oppose your proposed Senate district which links Topanga to Santa Clarita. Topanga is a part of the Westside of Los Angeles (Malibu, Pacific Palisades, Santa Monica, etc.) Many of us work on the Westside, shop and recreate there. Our very strong environmental concerns mirror those of other communities in the Santa Monica Mountains and coastal area. Why do you link us to Santa Clarita, a city that very few of us ever go to, that has a totally different social and political ecology than ours? Please reconsider this ill conceived district.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Elaine Hanson <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:53:27 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Elaine Hanson <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Proposed redistricting of Topanga

Message Body:

I live in the unincorporated area of Topanga and work and shop in Woodland Hills, Calabasas, Malibu and Santa Monica. My children went to school in Pacific Palisades, our designated school. I proudly consider myself both a Valley Girl and Westsider.

Santa Clarita is a good hour away from my home. Why on earth would we be in the same district? It sounds like Santa Clarita is wondering the same thing about Topanga.

Why separate it from the contiguous West Side-Santa Monica district and join it with Santa Clarita, an hour away? I've read that this is the opinion held by both the City of Santa Clarita and communities of the two proposed Santa Monica Mountains Assembly Districts - the entire affected area.

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and nest these Santa Monica Assembly districts within a logical Senate District renoted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side".

Thank you for your service,
Elaine Hanson
Topangan

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

2011 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

- Phillip A. Koonce, Chairman**
PAK Financial Group
- Jill Lederer, President/CEO**
Greater Conejo Valley
Chamber of Commerce
- Pete Turpel, Chairman-Emeritus**
Phone On-Hold Marketing Systems
- John LaRocca, Chairman-Elect**
Sage Bankcard
- Leonard Greenlee, Treasurer**
Baxter Healthcare Corp.
- Marcia Sutton, Secretary**
Dole Food Co., Inc.
- Kristen Davis, Director-at-Large**
Amgen, Inc.
- Dave Friedl, Director-at-Large**
CR Print
- Susan Murata, Director-at-Large**
Silver Star Automotive

DIRECTORS

- Fariborz Aframian,**
Paris Cut Hair Design
- Danielle Borja,** Caruso Affiliated
- George Cogswell III,**
Ventura County Star
- Rodd Feingold,**
Conejo Valley Florist
- Betsy Friedman,** NAI Capital, Inc.
- Mary Guy,** First California Bank
- Rob Hagelberg,**
Four Seasons Hotel Westlake Village
& California Health & Longevity Inst.
- Tammie Helmuth,** Conejo Awards
- Peggy Hinz,**
Anthem Blue Cross, Wellpoint
- Matthew Huss,**
Renaissance Agoura Hills Hotel
- Gregory Kaapuni,** Attorney at Law
- Paul Long,** Oaks Christian School
- Matthew Midura,**
Pepperdine University
- Jacqueline Pick,**
Doctor of Chiropractic
- Yavar A. Raffieha,**
Power of Home-Agoura Design Ctr
- Angel Robertson,** Dallas Capital
- Lisa Safaeinili,**
Westminster Free Clinic
- Candice Shehorn,**
Key Pointe Coaching
- Keith Sinclair,** Sinclair Company
- Greg Taylor,**
Hub International Insurance Services
- Ryan VanOmmeren,**
California Lutheran University
- Nicole Winner,**
WinnerQuotes Insurance



June 21, 2011

TO: California Citizens Redistricting Committee

FROM: Greater Conejo Valley Chamber of Commerce

RE: Public Comment on latest maps for assembly, senate, board of equalization and congressional districts for the City of Thousand Oaks and the Conejo Valley

On behalf of the Board of Directors of the Greater Conejo Valley Chamber of Commerce, serving approximately 1300 business members including the residents of the Conejo Valley, we wish to voice our opposition to the recently released first draft maps.

We are in complete support of the City of Thousand Oaks remaining a part of Ventura County that should not be integrated into Los Angeles County. We share the same common interest, economic and social levels, service providers and programs including police and fire protection as the other ten cities in Ventura County.

The new maps also show the City split into two separate assembly districts indentified as west of Lynn Road and north of Avenida de los Arboles. The senate district, under the new guidelines, would incorporate the San Fernando Valley and the City of Santa Clarita which have no common grounds with this area.

The Chamber strongly supports the City of Thousand Oaks remaining solely in Ventura County in one complete community and should not be incorporated into Los Angeles County. And, the City should be maintained as a whole district not divided.

Sincerely,

Jill Lederer
President/CEO

Jan Smith
Director of Governmental Affairs

CHAIRMAN'S CLUB

Amgen · Anthem Blue Cross · CLU · Caruso
Affiliated · Dole · First California Bank · Los
Robles Hospital & Medical Center · Montecito
Bank & Trust · Pepperdine · Silver Star
Automotive · The Oaks · TOBA/BID · US Bank
· Verizon

WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91361
TEL: [REDACTED] FAX: [REDACTED] WWW.CONEJOCHAMBER.ORG



Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Sue M.Forbes" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 13:35:12 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Sue M.Forbes <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga Canyon redistricting

Message Body:

Pairing Topanga Canyon with Santa Clarita makes NO sense to Topanga residents. What's the thought behind this??? Logic escapes us in this instance. There are 12 of us bright, aware women who meet regularly and we don't have a clue as to what the intent is here. We feel at this point that this idea is BAD.Thank you for your service. Please fill us in. Aside from being geographically apart we are also politically apart. Our interests, priorities and needs are divergent.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Judy Allegra <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 14:06:38 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Judy Allegra <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support keeping the Santa Clarita Valley whole.

Message Body:

Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Sue Schmitt [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:41:15 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Sue Schmitt <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Monica Assembly Districts

Message Body:

I live in the unincorporated area of Topanga and work and shop in Calabasas. My children go to school in the Westside where we play. I proudly consider myself both a Valley Girl and Westsider. Great job on the Assembly Districts-"West Side-Santa Monica Mountains" and "Thousand-Oaks- Santa Monica Mountains". Collectively these districts share much in common and would make a great Senate District and meet the Commission's goals and criteria. However, you have chosen to divide this area noting it as "LASCV" and by doing so separatating it from the contiguous West Side-Santa Monica district and joining it with Santa Clarita, a community of NO interest, which by the way is the opinon held by both the City of Sta Clarita and communitis of the two proposed Sta Monica Mountains Assembly Districts.

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and nest these Santa Monica Assembly districts within a logical Senate District renoted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side".

Thank you for your service,
Sue Schmitt

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Veronica Nourafchan <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 14:59:29 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Veronica Nourafchan <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Venice & Sta. Monica not part of South Bay

Message Body:

I thank the Commission for giving me the opportunity to participate in drawing the lines for our community. I am Veronica Nourafchan and I am a resident of Palos Verdes.

I have reviewed the preliminary district maps issued by the commission on the Commission's website for the Palos Verdes Est-Beach Cities. I find much of the proposed districts to be acceptable, however I do respectfully request the Commission modify the maps per my recommendations that follow. My proposed modifications affect all three districts.

Others and I were very pleased with the preliminary edition of the 36th congressional district (CD) available on the website, June 2, 2011. It was almost a carbon copy of what many of us proposed and placed on the Commissions website prior to that date. However, between June 2nd and the June 10th preliminary releases, a few significant changes were made to the preliminary 36th CD. Specifically, several key cities of our community were removed from our proposed congressional district, namely Lawndale, Hawthorne, Lennox, Wilmington and a portion of San Pedro. These cities were replaced with Venice, Santa Monica, Harbor City and a portion of Harbor Gateway.

Please understand, Venice and Santa Monica are not a part of our South Bay community while the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much a part of our South Bay community. In fact, the city of Lawndale posts on their website that they are "The Heart of the South Bay." Except for the fact that Venice and Santa Monica are cities on the Pacific coastline, they have little else in common with the South Bay. Most of us seldom visit the cities of Venice and Santa Monica and we certainly do not work, shop or recreate in these cities. Further, folks from Venice and Santa Monica likewise testified at hearings that they are not part of the South Bay and wish to be removed from the proposed 36th CD.

To the contrary, many of those who reside in the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne work at South Bay small businesses and are employed by our aerospace industry. Further, many of us residing in the peninsula cities have friends and relatives residing in these cities as well as own and operate businesses in these cities. The cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much "communities of interest" to us.

I respectfully request the Commission include Lawndale and Hawthorne in the final 36th CD and eliminate Venice and Santa Monica from it. From the viewpoint of population, it is practically a one for one swap. Venice and Santa Monica have a combined population of approximately 129,000 and Hawthorne and Lawndale have a combined population of approximately 118,000. To accommodate the difference, I suggest the Commission consider adding the section of Harbor Gateway south of the 405 Freeway and north of Sepulveda to the section of Harbor Gateway already included. This adds approximately 6,000 people to the proposed final congressional district, thus making up most of the loss from the desired swap. Additionally, I strongly recommend all of San Pedro be included in our CD as well as Lennox and Gardena west of Western Ave. This yields a population of approximately 704,000, the required number of people for a congressional district in accordance with the 2010 census data.

Regarding the Assembly District, I request the elimination of Westchester and Marina Del Rey from the Commission's preliminary map and the addition of Lawndale and the section of Del Aire south of El Segundo Blvd. This is practically a one-for-one swap in population numbers. This permits the city of Lawndale to be in the same assembly and congressional districts and it complies with the Assembly District population requirement of approximately 465,000.

I again thank the Commission for your interest in our community and your conscientious work in our behalf.

Veronica Nourafchan

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Edward Hosken <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:46:39 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Edward Hosken <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

As a voter I do not want to be included in the 36 district again as my conservitive voice will not be heard. I live in Palos Verdes Est and do not want to be part of Santa Monica and Venice

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: JEAN COLEMAN <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 13:58:31 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: JEAN COLEMAN <[REDACTED]>

Subject: KEEP SANTA CLARITA WHOLE

Message Body:

DO NOT SPLIT THE CITY OF SANTA CLARITA INTO TWO SEPARATE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.
PLEASE ADD THE COMMUNITY OF NEWHALL INTO THE ANTELOPE VALLEY - SANTA CLARITA VALLEY
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.

THANK YOU

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Kathy Klocko <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:00:00 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kathy Klocko <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Congressional District

Message Body:

From reviewing the 1st Draft map, I see that the proposed Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Congressional District takes the south west portion of the Santa Clarita valley and excludes it from the proposed district. The area in question is now proposed to be in the W. San Fernando Valley - Calabasas district. In accordance with the commission's criteria that districts should not try to divide "communities of interest", including the entire Santa Clarita Valley within only one Congressional, State Senate, State Assembly, and Board of Equalization district would seem to be in order.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: julie henry <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 13:42:59 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: julie henry <[REDACTED]>

Subject: City of Santa Clarita Re-Districting

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall and Sand Canyon at Placerita Canyon into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

Julie Henry

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Claudia Hasenhuttl <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:58:01 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Claudia Hasenhuttl <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Monica Districts

Message Body:

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and nest the Santa Monica Assembly districts within a Senate District renoted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side". Connecting it to Santa Clarita could have serious repercussions

for the future of our leadership that has faithfully represented the needs we hold dear like the environment, land-use, parks, emergency preparedness and response. It would make much more sense uniting the Santa Monica districts with their neighboring communities of interest on the Westside.

Thank you for your service,
Claudia

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Victor S. LoCicero" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:33:53 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Victor S. LoCicero <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Proposed 36th Congressional District

Message Body:

I thank the Commission for giving me the opportunity to participate in drawing the lines for our community. I am Victor S. LoCicero and I am a resident of Rancho Palos Verdes.

I have reviewed the preliminary district maps issued by the commission on the Commission's website for the Palos Verdes Est-Beach Cities. I find much of the proposed districts to be acceptable, however I do respectfully request the Commission modify the maps per my recommendations that follow. My proposed modifications affect all three districts.

Others and I were very pleased with the preliminary edition of the 36th congressional district (CD) available on the website, June 2, 2011. It was almost a carbon copy of what many of us proposed and placed on the Commissions website prior to that date. However, between June 2nd and the June 10th preliminary releases, a few significant changes were made to the preliminary 36th CD. Specifically, several key cities of our community were removed from our proposed congressional district, namely Lawndale, Hawthorne, Lennox, Wilmington and a portion of San Pedro. These cities were replaced with Venice, Santa Monica, Harbor City and a portion of Harbor Gateway.

Please understand, Venice and Santa Monica are not a part of our Southbay community while the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much a part of our Southbay community. In fact, the city of Lawndale posts on their website that they are "The Heart of the Southbay." Except for the fact that Venice and Santa Monica are cities on the Pacific coastline, they have little else in common with the Southbay. Most of us seldom visit the cities of Venice and Santa Monica and we certainly do not work, shop or recreate in these cities. Further, folks from Venice and Santa Monica likewise testified at hearings that they are not part of the Southbay and wish to be removed from the proposed 36th CD.

To the contrary, many of those who reside in the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne work at Southbay small businesses and are employed by our aerospace industry. Further, many of us residing in the peninsula cities have friends and relatives residing in these cities as well as own and operate businesses in these cities. The cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much "communities of interest" to us.

I respectfully request the Commission include Lawndale and Hawthorne in the final 36th CD and eliminate Venice and Santa Monica from it. From the viewpoint of population, it is practically a one for one swap. Venice and Santa Monica have a combined population of approximately 129,000 and Hawthorne and Lawndale have a combined population of approximately 118,000. To accommodate the difference, I suggest the Commission consider adding the section of Harbor Gateway south of the 405 Freeway and north of Sepulveda to the section of Harbor Gateway already included. This adds approximately 6,000 people to the proposed final congressional district, thus making up most of the loss from the desired swap. Additionally, I strongly recommend all of San Pedro be included in our CD as well as Lennox and Gardena west of Western Ave. This yields a population of approximately 704,000, the required number of people for a congressional district in accordance with the 2010 census data.

Regarding the Assembly District, I request the elimination of Westchester and Marina Del Rey from the Commission's preliminary map and the addition of Lawndale and the section of Del Aire south of El Segundo Blvd. This is practically a one-for-one swap in population numbers. This permits the city of Lawndale to be in the same assembly and congressional districts and it complies with the Assembly District population requirement of approximately 465,000.

I again thank the Commission for your interest in our community and your conscientious work in our behalf.

Victor S. LoCicero

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Hope for my new congressional district

From: Charellc <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 03:38:56 -0400 (EDT)

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Commissioners,

I grew up in Manhattan Beach and Hermosa Beach but I moved to Pico Rivera in 1984. Although I am white, I very much enjoy living in a mostly Latino neighborhood. Since I settled here I found that I do most of my business and visiting in these local cities: Whittier, Downey, Santa Fe Springs, Montebello and La Mirada. I now consider these areas my "home turf." Most of my friends live in Whittier and it seems like we should be in the same district but we are not... at least not yet.

My preference for my new district would include:

Pico Rivera, Whittier, Downey, Montebello, La Mirada, Norwalk and Santa Fe Springs.

Thank you for considering my input.

Charell W. Charlie

[REDACTED]
Pico Rivera, CA 90660

[REDACTED]

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Deborah Moorehead <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 19:38:53 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Deborah Moorehead <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support nesting like areas and changing the 1st draft maps.

Message Body:

Commissioners:

In your first draft maps, you created two State Senate seats that should be changed. By switching the nesting of two districts, you can keep like communities together, in line with community of interest testimony you have received.

Instead of nesting Santa Clarita with Malibu, you should nest Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Keeping Camarillo, Thousand Oaks, Moorpark and Simi Valley connected to Santa Clarita in a Senate seat will keep inland valleys together and better represented. Historically, for over 30 years, these areas have been connected in a Senate seat.

Connecting these areas to the coast divides both the inland and coastal populations. Please keep our inland suburban valleys connected by nesting Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Alyssa Alderman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 18:58:37 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Alyssa Alderman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Dear Commissioners:

Please do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

Alyssa Alderman

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Mildred Hubert <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 21:38:06 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Mildred Hubert <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley

Message Body:

Please leave the Santa Clarita Valley whole especially in the Senate. The Assembly if OK but Agua Dulce really belong to SCV.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Glen Dake <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:46:15 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Glen Dake <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Silver Lake and both Hollywoods

Message Body:

Placing the neighborhoods of Hollywood, Silver Lake, and North Hollywood in one assembly district would improve the ability of these Californians to access the legislature. California's entertainment industry is concentrated there, and I've participated in much activism around Griffith park where we addressed the State.

Thanks for serving!

Glen Dake from Silver Lake

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Alyssa Alderman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 19:00:28 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Alyssa Alderman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support nesting like areas and changing the 1st draft maps.

Message Body:

Dear Commissioners:

In your first draft maps, you created two State Senate seats that should be changed. By switching the nesting of two districts, you can keep like communities together, in line with community of interest testimony you have received.

Instead of nesting Santa Clarita with Malibu, you should nest Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Keeping Camarillo, Thousand Oaks, Moorpark and Simi Valley connected to Santa Clarita in a Senate seat will keep inland valleys together and better represented. Historically, for over 30 years, these areas have been connected in a Senate seat.

Connecting these areas to the coast divides both the inland and coastal populations. Please keep our inland suburban valleys connected by nesting Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Evelyn Taibi-Richards <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 18:44:17 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Evelyn Taibi-Richards <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita

Message Body:

Please make sure to leave all of Santa Clarita in the same district. It doesn't make any sense to split it up.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Reginald E. Fear" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 21:38:33 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Reginald E. Fear <[REDACTED]>

Subject: District Lines

Message Body:

Keep Santa Clarita whole, that is, keep it all in one district.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Deborah Moorehead <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 19:36:53 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Deborah Moorehead <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I Support Keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole!

Message Body:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: John Stratton [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:12:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: John Stratton <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Good job

Message Body:

I have just reviewed the proposed map and they all look good to me. If the pols are upset then you have done a good job.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Patricia A. Starr" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 18:53:49 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Patricia A. Starr <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Palos Verdes Est.-Beach Cities Districts

Message Body:

Dear Commissioners:

I thank you for giving me the opportunity to participate in drawing the lines for our community. I am Patricia Starr and I am a resident of Rolling Hills Estates.

I have reviewed the preliminary district maps issued by the commission on the Commission's website for the Palos Verdes Est-Beach Cities. I find much of the proposed districts to be acceptable, however I do respectfully request the Commission modify the maps per my recommendations below. My proposed modifications affect all three districts.

Others and I were very pleased with the preliminary edition of the 36th congressional district (CD) available on the website, June 2, 2011. It was almost a carbon copy of what many of us proposed and placed on the Commissions website prior to that date. However, between June 2nd and the June 10th preliminary releases, a few significant changes were made to the final release of the preliminary 36th CD. Specifically, several key cities of our community were removed from our proposed congressional district, namely Lawndale, Hawthorne, Lennox, Wilmington and a portion of San Pedro. These cities were replaced with Venice, Santa Monica, Harbor City and a portion of Harbor Gateway.

Please understand, Venice and Santa Monica are not a part of our Southbay community while the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much a part of our Southbay community. In fact, the city of Lawndale posts on their website that they are "The Heart of the Southbay." Except for the fact that Venice and Santa Monica are cities on the Pacific coastline, they have little else in common with the Southbay. Most of us seldom visit the cities of Venice and Santa Monica and we certainly do not work, shop or recreate in these cities. Further, folks from Venice and Santa Monica likewise testified at hearings that they are not part of the Southbay and wish to be removed from the proposed 36th CD.

To the contrary, many of those who reside in the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne work at Southbay small businesses and are employed by our aerospace industry. Further, many of us residing in the peninsula cities have friends and relatives residing in Lawndale and Hawthorne as well as own and operate businesses in these cities. The cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much "communities of interest" to us and are important cities in our Southbay community.

I respectfully request the Commission include Lawndale and Hawthorne in the final 36th CD and eliminate Venice and Santa Monica from it. From the viewpoint of population, it is practically a one for one swap. Venice and Santa Monica have a combined population of approximately 129,000 and Hawthorne and Lawndale have a combined population of approximately 118,000. To accommodate the difference, I suggest the Commission consider adding the section of Harbor Gateway south of the 405 Freeway and north of Sepulveda to the section of Harbor Gateway already included. This adds approximately 6,000 people to the proposed final congressional district, thus making up most of the loss from the desired swap. Additionally, I strongly recommend all of San Pedro be included in our CD as well as Lennox and Gardena west of Western Ave. This yields a population of approximately 704,000, the required number of people for a congressional district in

accordance with the 2010 census data.

Regarding the Assembly District (AD), I request Westchester and Marina Del Rey be eliminated from the final AD map and Lawndale and the section of Del Aire south of El Segundo Blvd. be added. This is practically a one-for-one swap in population numbers. This permits the city of Lawndale to be in the same assembly and congressional districts and it complies with the AD population requirement of approximately 465,000.

I again thank the Commission for your interest in our community and your conscientious work in our behalf.

Patricia A. Starr

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: LASCV Senate Distric Comments

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 14:52:59 -0400

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Roy Emberland and I am a resident of Thousand Oaks for the last 15 years.

I have looked at the draft redistricting maps and have the following concerns:

- * Thousand Oaks should be left **unified** rather than split it up in State Senate District LASCV.
- * Remove Santa Clarita from the LASCV map. This north/south district **cannot be accessed easily** from the Thousand Oaks area. Someone representing this district would have a very long drive from Malibu to the Kern County Line. The high-desert area has very little in common with the coastal communities.
- * Place Santa Clarita Valley with its high-desert neighbors in the Antelope Valley.
- * Replace Santa Clarita Valley with the Santa Monica Bay area. This would meet the community of interest goal and it is an easily accessible district using the Pacific Coast Highway, Freeways 101 and 405.
- * Swapping Santa Clarita Valley for the Santa Monica Bay area would provide more geographical integrity and shared communities of interest.

I urge you to consider this swap to meet the communities of interest criteria.

Thank you, Roy Emberland

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Edward Gladbach <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:57:31 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Edward Gladbach <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I support nesting like areas and changing the 1st draft maps

Message Body:

Dear Commissioners:

In your first draft maps, you created two State Senate seats that should be changed. By switching the nesting of two districts, you can keep the communities together, in line with communities of interest testimony you have received.

Instead of nesting Santa Clarita with Malibu, I urge you to nest Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Keeping Camarillo, Thousand Oaks, Moorpark and Simi Valley connected to Santa Clarita in a Senate seat will keep inland valleys together and be better represented. Historically, for over 30 years, these areas have been connected in a Senate seat.

Connecting each of these areas to the coast divides both the inland and coastal populations. Please keep our inland suburban valleys connected by nesting Santa Clarita with East Ventura County.

Thank you for your consideration in this important matter.

Edward G. "Jerry" Gladbach

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: CHRISTINA POLINO <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 20:08:28 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: CHRISTINA POLINO <[REDACTED]>

Subject: SIMI VALLEY/MOORPARK REDISTRICTING

Message Body:

I believe you are doing serious harm to the voters of Simi Valley and Moorpark by taking us out of Ventura County and, instead, placing us within the Los Angeles County, Santa Clarita District.

You are not respecting city and county boundaries or considering the natural communities of interest.

We are separated by mountains from Los Angeles County. Our taxes go to Ventura County for roads, improvements, schools and quality of life. How can a representative who is largely responsible for Los Angeles County voters give equal representation to a small number of voters in Ventura County. I strongly urge you to reconsider your proposed congressional district and keep Simi Valley and Moorpark within a Ventura County District."

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Edward Gladbach <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:42:58 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Edward Gladbach <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Support keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Intact

Message Body:

Dear Commissioners:

I have lived in the Santa Clarita Valley since 1968 and have been active in the community, I strongly urge you not to split this great community into two Congressional Districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope-Santa Clarita Valley Congressional District.

Thank You for your Consideration in the matter, which is very important to me.

Edward G. "Jerry" Gladbach

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Cynthia Hazard <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 20:56:53 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Cynthia Hazard <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting of part of City of Santa Clarita

Message Body:

The people of Santa Clarita developed a separate city (City of Santa Clarita) to unify,empower, and focus on the specific needs of our valley. This action displays to the people of our valley an attempt to divide and conquer our efforts and hard work in creating our city. The City of Santa Clarita is geographically a completely separate valley from the San Fernando Valley. We the people have all worked in unison to organize,and develop our city to what it is today. This would truly be a slap in the face. I disapprove of this decision. Please reconsider these bounderies for the sake of the hard working people our city. Don't be the pin to pop our dreams for the future of our city. Cindy Hazard

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: laura duffy <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 04:01:08 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: laura duffy <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Palos Verdes Estates & Redistricting

Message Body:

I have reviewed the preliminary district maps issued by the commission on the Commission's website for the Palos Verdes Est-Beach Cities. I find much of the proposed districts to be acceptable, however I do respectfully request the Commission modify the maps per my recommendations that follow. My proposed modifications affect all three districts.

Others and I were very pleased with the preliminary edition of the 36th congressional district (CD) available on the website, June 2, 2011. It was almost a carbon copy of what many of us proposed and placed on the Commissions website prior to that date. However, between June 2nd and the June 10th preliminary releases, a few significant changes were made to the preliminary 36th CD. Specifically, several key cities of our community were removed from our proposed congressional district, namely Lawndale, Hawthorne, Lennox, Wilmington and a portion of San Pedro. These cities were replaced with Venice, Santa Monica, Harbor City and a portion of Harbor Gateway.

Please understand, Venice and Santa Monica are not a part of our Southbay community while the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much a part of our Southbay community. In fact, the city of Lawndale posts on their website that they are "The Heart of the Southbay." Except for the fact that Venice and Santa Monica are cities on the Pacific coastline, they have little else in common with the Southbay. Most of us seldom visit the cities of Venice and Santa Monica and we certainly do not work, shop or recreate in these cities. Further, folks from Venice and Santa Monica likewise testified at hearings that they are not part of the Southbay and wish to be removed from the proposed 36th CD.

To the contrary, many of those who reside in the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne work at Southbay small businesses and are employed by our aerospace industry. Further, many of us residing in the peninsula cities have friends and relatives residing in these cities as well as own and operate businesses in these cities. The cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much "communities of interest" to us.

I respectfully request the Commission include Lawndale and Hawthorne in the final 36th CD and eliminate Venice and Santa Monica from it. From the viewpoint of population, it is practically a one for one swap. Venice and Santa Monica have a combined population of approximately 129,000 and Hawthorne and Lawndale have a combined population of approximately 118,000. To accommodate the difference, I suggest the Commission consider adding the section of Harbor Gateway south of the 405 Freeway and north of Sepulveda to the section of Harbor Gateway already included. This adds approximately 6,000 people to the proposed final congressional district, thus making up most of the loss from the desired swap. Additionally, I strongly recommend all of San Pedro be included in our CD as well as Lennox and Gardena west of Western Ave. This yields a population of approximately 704,000, the required number of people for a congressional district in accordance with the 2010 census data.

Regarding the Assembly District, I request the elimination of Westchester and Marina Del Rey from the Commission's preliminary map and the addition of Lawndale and the section of Del Aire south of El Segundo Blvd. This is practically a one-for-one swap in population numbers. This permits the city of Lawndale to be in the same assembly and

congressional districts and it complies with the Assembly District population requirement of approximately 465,000.

I again thank the Commission for your interest in our community and your conscientious work in our behalf. Laura Duffy of Palos Verdes Estates

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Roland Ilsen <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 02:15:48 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Roland Ilsen <[REDACTED]>

Subject: SHAPE OF THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

Message Body:

Please keep the South Bay area (Torrance - Palos Verdes) contiguous for purposes of voting. We have common problems of transportation, law enforcement, use of local educational facilities, and so on, not with Santa Monica, but Gardena, Carson, and other neighboring communities.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Fred Seeley <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 02:12:54 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Fred Seeley <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita

Message Body:

Message: Commissioners:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Charlene Voss <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 23:43:07 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Charlene Voss <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep Santa Clarita whole

Message Body:

Keep Santa Clarita whole.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Sahaja Douglass <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 00:16:09 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Sahaja Douglass <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga CA redistricting

Message Body:

I vehemently oppose redistricting Topanga and attaching it to Santa Clarita. It is geographically implausible and Santa Clarita does not share the same interests of Topanga residents who are politically active and concerned with the environment, our parks in the Canyon and many issues that have nothing to do with Santa Clarita. We will not have proper representation and given the high taxes I pay, I want fair and concerned representation from my gov rep.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: redistricting

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 12:00:59 -0400 (EDT)

To: [REDACTED]

As a Santa Monica voter I strongly oppose the redistricting measure. Adding a spurious piece of the Valley onto our district is clearly against our interests. Please do whatever it takes to stop this egregious gerrymandering. Thanks, Mike Waterhouse.

[REDACTED]
Santa Monica
CA. 90405

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Peter Anton <[REDACTED]>

To: [REDACTED]

From: Peter Anton <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga's CA Senate District adjoining it to Santa Clarita??

Message Body:

I live in the unincorporated area of Topanga and work in Santa Monica and shop in Woodland hills and Santa Monica.

My child to school in Topanga, and actively participates in sports on the west-side. I proudly consider myself both a Valley and West-sider. Great job on the Assembly Districts-"West Side-Santa Monica Mountains" and "Thousand-Oaks- Santa Monica Mountains". Collectively these districts share much in common and would make a great Senate District and meet the Commission's goals and criteria. However, you have chosen to divide this area noting it as "LASCV" and by doing so separating it from the contiguous West Side-Santa Monica district and joining it with Santa Clarita, a community of no interest.

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and nest these Santa Monica Assembly districts within a Senate District denoted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side". Thank you for your service.

Sincerely,
Peter Anton

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Frank Harper <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 00:43:32 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Frank Harper <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga and redistricting

Message Body:

I live in the unincorporated area of Topanga and work and shop in Calabasas. My children go to school in the Westside where we play. I proudly consider myself both a Valley and Westsider. Great job on the Assembly Districts-"West Side-Santa Monica Mountains" and "Thousand-Oaks- Santa Monica Mountains". Collectively these districts

share much in common and would make a great Senate District and meet the Commission's goals and criteria.

However, you have chosen to divide this area noting it as "LASCV" and by doing so separatating it from the contiguous West Side-Santa Monica district and joining it with Santa Clarita, a community of NO interest, which by the way is the opinon held by both the City of Sta Clarita and communitis of the two proposed Sta Monica Mountains Assembly Districts.

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and nest these Santa Monica Assembly districts within a Senate District renoted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side".

Thank you for your service,
(Your name)

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Ronald Sharrin <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 01:12:29 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ronald Sharrin <[REDACTED]>

Subject: senate redistricting

Message Body:

I am a resident of the unincorporated area of Topanga and work and shop in Calabasas. I consider myself both a Valley and Westsider.

I think you did a great job on the

Assembly Districts: "West Side-Santa Monica Mountains" and

"Thousand-Oaks-Santa Monica Mountains". Collectively, these districts

share much in common and would make an appropriate Senate District and meet the Commission's goals and criteria.

However, you have chosen to divide

this area noting it as "LASCV" and by doing so separating it from the contiguous West Side-Santa Monica district and joining it with Santa Clarita, a community of NO interest, which by the way is the opinion held by both the City of Sta Clarita and communities of the two proposed Santa Monica Mountains Assembly Districts.This makes no sense!

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and nest these Santa Monica Assembly districts within a logical Senate District denoted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side".

Thank you for your service,
Ronald M. Sharrin

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Catherine Robin Rudnikoff <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 01:23:19 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Catherine Robin Rudnikoff <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

I live in the unincorporated area of Topanga. I work, exercise, and shop in Calabasas. I proudly consider myself both a Valley and Westsider. It is perfectly done, the Assembly Districts-"West Side-Santa Monica Mountains" and "Thousand-Oaks- Santa Monica Mountains". Collectively these districts share much in common and would make a great Senate District and meet the Commission's goals and criteria.

However, choosing to divide this area noting it as "LASCV" is separating it from the contiguous West Side-Santa Monica district and joining it with Santa Clarita, a community of NO connection with ours, which is the opinion held by both the City of Santa Clarita and communities of the two proposed Santa Monica Mountains Assembly Districts.

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and nest these Santa Monica Assembly districts within a Senate District re-noted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side".

Thank you for your service,

Robin

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml—

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: RC Brody <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 04:58:07 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: RC Brody <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Topanga

Message Body:

I live in the unincorporated area of Topanga and work and shop in Calabasas. My children go to school in the Westside where we play. I proudly consider myself both a Valley and Westsider. Great job on the Assembly Districts-"West Side-Santa Monica Mountains" and "Thousand-Oaks- Santa Monica Mountains". Collectively these districts share much in common and would make a great Senate District and meet the Commission's goals and criteria.

However, you have chosen to divide this area noting it as "LASCV" and by doing so separatating it from the contiguous West Side-Santa Monica district and joining it with Santa Clarita, a community of NO interest, which by the way is the opinon held by both the City of Sta Clarita and communitites of the two proposed Sta Monica Mountains Assembly Districts.

Please consider revisiting Senate District LASCV and nest these Santa Monica Assembly districts within a Senate District renoted as "Santa Monica Mountains/Bay-West Side".

Thank you for your service,

Brody

--

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Berta González-Harper <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 22:17:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Berta González-Harper <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Truly Keeping Santa Clarita Valley Whole

Message Body:

Dear California Citizens Redistricting Commission Members,

Is the California Citizens Redistricting Commission creating artificial Hispanic districts? I pose this question because I look at what you the California Citizens Redistricting Commission is proposing for the Newhall area of the Santa Clarita Valley led by Commissioner Gabino Aguirre a board member of MALDEF, and the other so called "immigrants rights" groups. In my opinion, it does not make any sense to carve out Newhall and pair the area instead with the San Fernando Valley. The only justification appears to be in order to artificially create a Hispanic district.

I understood the CRC was created in order to prevent gerrymandering of districts. I have some serious concerns that some of the members of this so called impartial commission are themselves gerrymandering in a "racist" manner to the detriment of legal area residents whether of Hispanic ancestry or not. The residents of Newhall south of Lyons have very few common interests with folks in the San Fernando Valley. Newhall residents share with the rest of the Santa Clarita Valley our only hospital, Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial Hospital, the Castaic Lake Water Agency our only water wholesaler, the William S. Hart Union High School District our only Junior and Senior High School District, the College of the Canyons our only community college. We also share our same interconnecting Public Trails and Open Space Districts, our same Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department to address public safety issues, our same Los Angeles County Fire Department for fire suppression and o!

ther emergency services, our same Business Enterprise Zone to attract and retain businesses, The Signal our only local newspaper, and KHTS AM 1220 our only local radio station.

Newhall residents also share our same desire to live in a safe beautiful cleaner better governed and maintained area, with more open space and better public amenities than most City of Los Angeles areas of the San Fernando Valley.

Additionally, residents in the Santa Clarita Valley south of Lyons also use our same parks, attend the same churches, travel the same streets and roads, and shop at the same stores. They eat in the same restaurants, go to the same libraries, and patronize the same barber and beauty shops. Many young families also left the SFV and similar areas because of the poorly performing schools and their desire to enroll their children in our award winning schools here in the SCV.

Many Hispanics just like me moved to Santa Clarita to enjoy a higher quality of life and escape the highly dense areas of the San Fernando Valley and all of its attendant problems of violent crime and gangs, deteriorating neighborhoods, and the obvious neglect by residents and City of Los Angeles elected leaders.

Apparently, the California Citizens Redistricting Commission has not looked closely at maps others and I have provided indicating there is a large mountain range separating the Santa Clarita Valley from the San Fernando Valley and Newhall residents live on the SCV side of this mountain range. Our entire valley is cut off from the SFV and greater LA during natural disasters and we are forced by geography to function as one community.

I personally even question whether it is legal to form a specifically Hispanic district and voting block. If qualified candidates of Hispanic ancestry choose to run in ANY district, Americans of Hispanic ancestry and for that matter anyone else, can support them, vote for them, and elect those candidates to office.

I am an American of Hispanic ancestry and prefer to cast my vote for the best person for the job regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, religion, sexual preference, party affiliation, etc. I could mention the names of plenty of Hispanic politicians I would never support, as their views do not reflect my values.

To quote the Daily News Posted: 06/19/2011 01:00:00 AM PDT:

“The California Citizens Redistricting Commission is promoting inequality by adhering to mistaken, misunderstood or misguided judicial and legislative guidelines.

Specifically, the commission redrew lines for elected state and congressional seats based on population, including illegal residents, instead of the rational basis of eligible voters.

The commission believes that all people - legal and illegal immigrants, green card holders, and the "others" - should all be counted in apportioning legislative seats.

That gives even greater voting strength to a Hispanic political juggernaut that already dominates the state legislature and some major cities.”

To read the complete article please see http://www.dailynews.com/ci_18301948

The Newhall area and also the community of Agua Dulce are part of our Santa Clarita Valley, and should be included in any district (s) drawn to keep the Santa Clarita Valley whole. To do otherwise violates the very reason the Citizens Redistricting Commission was approved by the VOTERS to keep communities of interest whole, respect geographic barriers such as mountain ranges, and allow for equal and fair representation.

Unfortunately, some of the decisions apparently coming from you the Citizens Redistricting Commission appear to be illegal gerrymandering to me.

Please prove me wrong and do what is right for my community, the Santa Clarita Valley.

Sincerely,
Berta González-Harper

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Abby Diamond <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 23:40:11 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Abby Diamond <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Foothills Community District

Message Body:

I live in Tujunga, and I strongly believe that we should be in the same district as Kagel Canyon and Lake View Terrace to the west, La Crescenta and La Canada to the east, Burbank and Glendale to the south. Our communities share the same traffic and commercial corridor - I-210, equestrian properties, open space, as well as the fact that many work in, and we shop in nearby Glendale and Burbank. This would be an ideal district.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Gail Adams <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 21:53:47 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Gail Adams <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Pasadena Hub Area and the Foothills

Message Body:

The connection between Pasadena, Altadena, Sierra Madre, Monrovia, Duarte, San Marino, Arcadia, and South Pasadena, is forged by common shopping, entertainment, education, transportation, and emergency services. We all live in the shadow of the San Gabriel Mountains, where we often go for recreation and exercise. All too often, we are impacted when the mountains burn, in what is a natural (or human-induced) fire cycle. Pasadena is the heart of this community, and to tear it in half to create an Asian gerrymander-shaped district is an irresponsible act. We are all Americans, and I don't refuse to vote for anyone because of his or her ethnicity. If you say that they have problems that are peculiar to them, well so do those of us in the Pasadena hub area. Creating districts by reference to ethnicity sounds very racist to me. I thought that we had gotten away from that.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Redistricting the Crescenta Valley

From: "Landoll Adam" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 11:18:10 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Thank you for your hard work on setting up new districts for our state. I am most familiar with the Crescenta Valley which stretches along Foothill Blvd. For years our area has been carved up real funny. with multiple assemblymen, senators representing portions of our valley. I like having us all in one area whether our street is north of something or east of something else. This has affected us at all levels of government.

Since you are starting over from scratch my wife and I would like to see Tujunga and Sunland be part of the same area. We share a lot of issues in common. There's even an organization that brings all the Foothill communities together because of common concerns. Foothill is an important link for all of us. The mountains separate us from a lot of other communities and that makes our valley all the more connected.

Thank you for listening to my thoughts. And thank you all for putting in all this time for us.
Adam Landoll

Washington DC's Largest FREE Email service. ---> <http://www.DCemail.com> ---> A Washington Online Community Member --->
<http://www.DCpages.com>


Subject: Public Comment-Tomi Lyn Bowling

From: Tomi Bowling <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 13:00:17 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

The map below constitutes the ONLY logical district. These communities all have something in common. The boundaries are not zig zagged and dog legged here and there but a contiguous connection from all of these boundaries. It is critically important that all Foothill Communities that share a connection to the San Gabriel Mountains be in the same district. Critically important. Thank you for your time and consideration.



Tomi Lyn Bowling

Tomi Lyn Bowling
20 years sales experience
Certified Short Sale
& Foreclosure Resource Specialist

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] # [REDACTED]

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Florence Hanan <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 04:31:26 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Florence Hanan <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Leave Santa Clarita Undivided

Message Body:

Do not split the City of Santa Clarita into two separate congressional districts. Please add the community of Newhall into the Antelope Valley - Santa Clarita Valley congressional district.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

I thank the Commission for giving me the opportunity to participate in drawing the lines for our community. I am Madeline M and I am a resident of Rancho Palos Verdes.

I have reviewed the preliminary district maps issued by the commission on the Commission's website for the Palos Verdes Est-Beach Cities. I find much of the proposed districts to be acceptable, however I do respectfully request the Commission modify the maps per my recommendations that follow. My proposed modifications affect all three districts.

Others and I were very pleased with the preliminary edition of the 36th congressional district (CD) available on the website, June 2, 2011. It was almost a carbon copy of what many of us proposed and placed on the Commissions website prior to that date. However, between June 2nd and the June 10th preliminary releases, a few significant changes were made to the preliminary 36th CD. Specifically, several key cities of our community were removed from our proposed congressional district, namely Lawndale, Hawthorne, Lennox, Wilmington and a portion of San Pedro. These cities were replaced with Venice, Santa Monica, Harbor City and a portion of Harbor Gateway.

Please understand, Venice and Santa Monica are not a part of our Southbay community while the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much a part of our Southbay community. In fact, the city of Lawndale posts on their website that they are **"The Heart of the Southbay."** Except for the fact that Venice and Santa Monica are cities on the Pacific coastline, they have little else in common with the Southbay. Most of us seldom visit the cities of Venice and Santa Monica and we certainly do not work, shop or recreate in these cities. Further, folks from Venice and Santa Monica likewise testified at hearings that they are not part of the Southbay and wish to be removed from the proposed 36th CD.

To the contrary, many of those who reside in the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne work at Southbay small businesses and are employed by our aerospace industry. Further, many of us residing in the peninsula cities have friends and relatives residing in these cities as well as own and operate businesses in these cities. The cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much "communities of interest" to us.

I respectfully request the Commission include Lawndale and Hawthorne in the final 36th CD and eliminate Venice and Santa Monica from it. From the viewpoint of population, it is practically a one for one swap. Venice and Santa Monica have a combined population of approximately 129,000 and Hawthorne and Lawndale have a combined population of approximately 118,000. To accommodate the difference, I suggest the Commission consider adding the section of Harbor Gateway south of the 405 Freeway and north of Sepulveda to the section of Harbor Gateway

already included. This adds approximately 6,000 people to the proposed final congressional district, thus making up most of the loss from the desired swap. Additionally, I strongly recommend all of San Pedro be included in our CD as well as Lennox and Gardena west of Western Ave. This yields a population of approximately 704,000, the required number of people for a congressional district in accordance with the 2010 census data.

Regarding the Assembly District, I request the elimination of Westchester and Marina Del Rey from the Commission's preliminary map and the addition of Lawndale and the section of Del Aire south of El Segundo Blvd. This is practically a one-for-one swap in population numbers. This permits the city of Lawndale to be in the same assembly and congressional districts and it complies with the Assembly District population requirement of approximately 465,000.

I again thank the Commission for your interest in our community and your conscientious work in our behalf.

Madeline M.

Subject: Redistricting South Bay districts

From: Carol Cohen <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:14:57 -0700 (PDT)

To: [REDACTED]

I feel that the Hollyglen and Del Aire neighborhoods of Hawthorne that are west of the 405 freeway should be included in the districts to the west that include Palos Verdes Estates and the Beach Cities. Economically and demographically, these neighborhoods are very similar to the beach cities and have nothing in common with areas to the east of the freeway. I feel that these neighborhoods have no current representation because the elected officials only pay attention to the east side of their districts, if they pay attention to anything at all. Additionally, these neighborhoods share a school district with the city of El Segundo which is in the PVE/Beach Cities district. I feel the neighborhoods would have much better representation if we were included in the districts to the west and the 405 freeway were used as a natural dividing line. If the goal is to combine districts that respect demographics and communities of interest, we are much more aligned with our neighbors to the west. If you feel you cannot split a city, then include all of Hawthorne in the 36th, 28th and 53rd districts as it has little in common with areas to the east. Thank you

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Connie Ratner <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 05:35:36 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Connie Ratner <[REDACTED]>

Subject: proposed redistricting

Message Body:

Dear Sir or Ms.,

I am writing to urge you to keep the wonderful community of Topanga, a small unincorporated community of Los Angeles, in its current district. We are located in the middle of the 3rd. District with Highway 27 acting as a thoroughfare to our surrounding communities. Our lives are deeply connected to the communities that border us, Pacific Palisades, the West San Fernando Valley, Calabasas and Malibu. We shop for our food and clothing in these communities, our children attend school in these communities, we maintain businesses with our neighboring communities, we spend our leisure time driving to and through these communities, we have political associations we feel connected to in these communities and these are the communities we share common interests and concerns with. We support each other in times of need and in times of celebrations. We work together to maintain, protect and manage the gem of our communities, the Santa Monica Mountains. A place for EVERYONE to come to!

enjoy nature away from the city. I kindly request your support that you please maintain the district lines as they were drawn in 1991 and 2001. I urge you to please consider how well these communities support each other. We belong together in the same district, the 3rd District now and possibly forever.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my comments.

Sincerely yours,
Connie Ratner

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: redistricting

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 18:28:56 -0400 (EDT)

To: [REDACTED]

To whom it may concern:

I am a resident of the city of Thousand Oaks (91320) and am strongly opposed to the current redistricting lines as they are drawn. It is incomprehensible to think that it would be beneficial to cut the city of Thousand Oaks in half. It also does not seem like a good idea to place parts of Ventura County, such as Thousand Oaks, into the same district that supports peices of LA county (San Fernando Valley, for example).

I strongly urge you to reconsider the way that Thousand Oaks is currently split into two districts and hope that you will make the city whole and make it part of the district that is part of Ventura County as a whole.

Thank you,

Aaron Kitzman

Subject: South El Monte Apartheid

From: John <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 14:53:15 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

I believe it is a gross injustice, to separate my city by having one side represented by someone different than on the other side of town.
South El Monte shares similar type of citizens with Baldwin Park, La Puente, Irwindale, and Monrovia.
It does not have much in common w/ Rosemead, Temple City, or Monterey Park.
thanks
John

Subject: Truly keeping the Santa Clarita Valley Whole

From: Berta González Barbier <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:42:07 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear California Citizens Redistricting Commission Members,

Is the California Citizens Redistricting Commission creating artificial Hispanic districts? I pose this question because I look at what you the California Citizens Redistricting Commission is proposing for the Newhall area of the Santa Clarita Valley led by Commissioner Gabino Aguirre a board member of MALDEF, and the other so called "immigrants rights" groups. In my opinion, it does not make any sense to carve out Newhall and pair the area instead with the San Fernando Valley. The only justification appears to be in order to artificially create a Hispanic district.

I understood the CRC was created in order to prevent gerrymandering of districts. I have some serious concerns that some of the members of this so called impartial commission are themselves gerrymandering in a "racist" manner to the detriment of legal area residents whether of Hispanic ancestry or not. The residents of Newhall south of Lyons have very few common interests with folks in the San Fernando Valley. Newhall residents share with the rest of the Santa Clarita Valley our only hospital, Henry Mayo Newhall Memorial Hospital, the Castaic Lake Water Agency our only water wholesaler, the William S. Hart Union High School District our only Junior and Senior High School District, the College of the Canyons our only community college. We also share our same interconnecting Public Trails and Open Space Districts, our same Los Angeles County Sherriff's Department to address public safety issues, our same Los Angeles County Fire Department for fire suppression and other emergency services, our same Business Enterprise Zone to attract and retain businesses, The Signal our only local newspaper, and KHTS AM 1220 our only local radio station.

Newhall residents also share our same desire to live in a safe beautiful cleaner better governed and maintained area, with more open space and better public amenities than most City of Los Angeles areas of the San Fernando Valley.

Additionally, residents in the Santa Clarita Valley south of Lyons also use our same parks, attend the same churches, travel the same streets and roads, and shop at the same stores. They eat in the same restaurants, go to the same libraries, and patronize the same barber and beauty shops. Many young families also left the SFV and similar areas because of the poorly performing schools and their desire to enroll their children in our award winning schools here in the SCV.

Many Hispanics just like me moved to Santa Clarita to enjoy a higher quality of life and escape the highly dense areas of the San Fernando Valley and all of its attendant problems of violent crime and gangs, deteriorating neighborhoods, and the obvious neglect by residents and City of Los Angeles elected leaders.

Apparently, the California Citizens Redistricting Commission has not looked closely at maps others and I have provided indicating there is a large mountain range separating the Santa Clarita Valley from the San Fernando Valley and Newhall residents live on the SCV side of this mountain range. Our entire valley is cut off from the SFV and greater LA during natural disasters and we are forced by geography to function as one community.

I personally even question whether it is legal to form a specifically Hispanic district and voting block. If qualified candidates of Hispanic ancestry choose to run in ANY district, Americans of Hispanic ancestry and for that matter anyone else, can support them, vote for them, and elect those candidates to office.

I am an American of Hispanic ancestry and prefer to cast my vote for the best person for the job regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, religion, sexual preference, party affiliation, etc. I could mention the names of plenty of Hispanic politicians I would never support, as their views do not reflect my values.

To quote the Daily News Posted: 06/19/2011 01:00:00 AM PDT:

“The California Citizens Redistricting Commission is promoting inequality by adhering to mistaken, misunderstood or misguided judicial and legislative guidelines. Specifically, the commission redrew lines for elected state and congressional seats based on population, including illegal residents, instead of the rational basis of eligible voters.

The commission believes that all people - legal and illegal immigrants, green card holders, and the "others" - should all be counted in apportioning legislative seats. That gives even greater voting strength to a Hispanic political juggernaut that already dominates the state legislature and some major cities.”

To read the complete article please see http://www.dailynews.com/ci_18301948

The Newhall area and also the community of Agua Dulce are part of our Santa Clarita Valley, and should be included in any district (s) drawn to keep the Santa Clarita Valley whole. To do otherwise violates the very reason the Citizens Redistricting Commission was approved by the VOTERS to keep communities of interest whole, respect geographic barriers such as mountain ranges, and allow for equal and fair representation.

Unfortunately, some of the decisions apparently coming from you the Citizens Redistricting Commission appear to be illegal gerrymandering to me.

Please prove me wrong and do what is right for my community, the Santa Clarita Valley.

Sincerely,

Berta González-Harper

Subject: Thank you for submitting Public Comment RE: Ventura County and Region 4 EVENT

From: "Office, Communications" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:42:27 -0700

To: jimjust [REDACTED]

Thank you for taking the time to share your views with the Commission. Comments will be posted as soon as practicable after processing. The Commission reserves the right not to post comments that are inflammatory, offensive or otherwise inappropriate.

Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: distric

From: Ivonne Correa <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 21:14:38 -0700 (PDT)

To: [REDACTED]

Favor de que las decisiones sean justas,favor de tomar en cuenta nuestras preocupaciones,
SOUTH EAST CITIES ES una comunidad unida.

Gracias.Buenas noches.

Subject: Redistricting

From: Jo Anne Blugrind <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:55:23 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Redistricting Commission,

I am OPPOSED to the way your redistricting plan would give Chino Hills and representative Gary Miller THE SHORT END OF THE STICK!!! You say your plan would attempt to keep communities whole to maximize their voices in the redrawn districts. That is exactly WHAT WILL NOT HAPPEN IN CHINO HILLS if your redistricting plan is approved!!! Representative Miller's district would LOSE its Orange County cities and be drawn into THE HEAVILY DEMOCRATIC Hispanic and Asian-American district of DEMOCRATIC REPRESENTATIVE Judy Chu, THUS FAVORING THE DEMOCRATS IN THAT AREA AT THE EXPENSE OF THE REPUBLICANS IN THAT AREA!!! This plan will put the northern part of Chino Hills in a district located ENTIRELY IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, despite the fact that Chino Hills IS IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY! There is an old saying that goes, "If it ain't broke, don't fix it." The way Chino Hills is now represented is JUST FINE and I am respectfully requesting that you LEAVE IT THE WAY IT IS.

Thank you for your consideration of this E-Mail.

Sincerely yours,

Larry Blugrind

Input regarding the Pomona Valley Assembly District:

This "draft" is *almost* well-designed for the members in this AD. I am happy that Pomona is included with Chino, Montclair & Ontario, but you continue to neglect the community of Chino Hills, which is 1/2 of the CHINO VALLEY along with Chino.

Chino and Chino Hills share the same School District, same Fire District and are in the same Water District. Chino Hills is definitely a community of interest as residents from Chino, Pomona, Montclair and Ontario shop, attend recreation events, and attend Faith services in Chino Hills. Chino Hills has a State Park that is used by many in Pomona, Ontario, Montclair and of course Chino.

To continue to include Chino Hills with Orange and LA County cities is not complying with the Federal Voting Rights Act that is supposed to ensure that Minority voters have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice (Chino Hills has a **29.9% Asian** population and a **29.1% Latino** Population), which would be unrepresented under the current draft.

Remove FONTANA from the current draft and draw **all** of Chino Hills into the Pomona Valley AD, where it rightfully belongs.

Input regarding the Pomona- San Bernardino Senate District:

Similar to my input above, Chino Hills is once again in a Senate District with Orange & LA County cities. You have drawn-in Rialto, Colton & Grand Terrace, which are too far East for there to be any common interests with the **West-End** of San Bernardino County.

Chino Hills should be drawn into this Senate District and the cities to the East should be placed in a Senate district that will provide them a better opportunity to be included in a community of interest and with people that share similar work opportunities, use the same transportation facilities and whom share common goals.

Input regarding the Congressional/ONT draft:

Do **NOT** split-up Chino Hills. ALL of Chino Hills should be in this Congressional District. Cities should not be divided. This "draft", with the exception of the division of Chino Hills, is exactly what we need in the West-End in order to ensure that Minority voters receive an equal opportunity to elect candidates of our choice.
- THANK YOU!!!!

Thank You,

Alvon Blair, III

Subject: A letter to the CRC regarding a suggested change to the West Valley Congressional District.

From: "Abrams Creative" [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 22:48:57 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Distinguished Members of the California Citizens Redistricting Commission:

I am President of the Board of Neighborhood Commissioners for the City of Los Angeles. Our Commission draws the lines and sets policy for all of LA's 95 Neighborhood Councils, from Sylmar to San Pedro.

I first presented my comments to you at Los Angeles City Hall. As a resident of Tarzana, located in the West San Fernando Valley, I want to thank you for preserving the unity of our great community.

In the past, we were split in half, and you've done an excellent job of understanding the importance of our unification. I would like to ask you to keep things that way in all subsequent drafts you do, and, of course, in your final map.

Secondly, I'd like to speak about the West San Fernando Valley more generally. The neighborhoods should be respected and kept whole to the best of your abilities. I know that in your most recent congressional draft map you split Reseda in half and divided Northridge. This should be corrected as soon as possible.

As drawn in your current draft, half of Reseda and parts of Northridge are situated in the East Valley. It should be kept whole in a West Valley Congressional District, and so should Northridge. I can't stress how important this is. These are important West Valley communities that belong in the West Valley.

If you unify these two communities, I know that you'll have to make up that population elsewhere.

I suggest that the communities of Valley Glen, Van Nuys, and North Hollywood, which are now split up in both the West and East Valley Districts, be unified as whole communities in the East Valley Congressional District.

If you make those population swaps, they should roughly even out. Keeping the West Valley communities whole and completely within the West Valley -- and doing the same for the East Valley -- would really represent well these respective communities of interest.

Additionally, the communities of Studio City and Sherman Oaks are now split also. I respectfully request that you unify those communities as well. For population reasons, it may be necessary to put Sherman Oaks in one Congressional district and Studio City in another, but the key is that each community should be unified.

Finally, I've learned that VICA has put forth a map for your consideration, one that is reflective of many of my same suggestions. I want to extend my support for it, as I believe it does an excellent job of unifying like-Valley communities.

Thank you again for your time and your excellent work on behalf of the people of California. I look forward to seeing the final maps.

Sincerely,

Albert Abrams
President
Board of Neighborhood Commissioners

City of Los Angeles

[REDACTED]

President

Abrams Creative Services

Creative Writing, PR & Public Affairs Consulting

[REDACTED]

Tarzana, CA 91356

[REDACTED]

Phone:

[REDACTED]

Fax:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Modifications of Palos Verdes-Beach Cities Maps

From: "Brenda and David McKinnon" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 20:53:32 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

I thank the Commission for providing the various forums that permit me, and all California citizens, to participate in drawing the lines for our community. I am David McKinnon and I am a resident of Rancho Palos Verdes, a city in Los Angeles County.

I have reviewed the preliminary district maps issued by the commission on the Commission's website for the Palos Verdes - Beach Cities. I find much of the proposed districts to be acceptable. I believe the Board of Equalization map needs little if any modification. However, I do respectfully request the Commission modify the maps per my recommendations that follow for three proposed districts (congressional, state assembly, and state senate).

I was very pleased with the preliminary edition of the 36th congressional district (CD) available on the website, June 2, 2011. It was almost a carbon copy of what many of us proposed and placed on the Commissions website prior to that date.

However, between June 2nd and the maps available on June 22nd, a few significant changes were made to the preliminary 36th CD. Several key cities of our community were removed from our proposed congressional district, namely Lawndale, Hawthorne, Lennox, Wilmington and a portion of San Pedro. These cities were replaced with Venice, Santa Monica, Harbor City and a portion of Harbor Gateway.

Please understand, **the cities of Venice and Santa Monica are not a part of our Southbay community while the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne are very much a part of our Southbay community.** In fact, the city of Lawndale posts on their website that they are **"The Heart of the Southbay."**

Except for the fact that Venice and Santa Monica are cities on the Pacific coastline, they have little else in common with the Southbay community. Indeed, the folks from **Venice and Santa Monica testified** at hearings that they **are not part of the Southbay** and do not want to be included the proposed 36th CD. Most of us in the South Bay, who seldom visit the cities of Venice and Santa Monica (and we certainly do not routinely work, shop or recreate in those cities), agree with those folks.

Many of those who reside in the cities of Lawndale and Hawthorne either work at Southbay small businesses or are employed by the aerospace industry located in the South Bay. Further, many of us residing in the Palos Verdes Peninsula cities have friends and relatives residing in Lawndale and Hawthorne, and some of us own and

operate businesses in these cities. The cities of **Lawndale and Hawthorne** are very much “**communities of interest**” to us.

I respectfully request the Commission include Lawndale and Hawthorne in the final 36th CD and eliminate Venice and Santa Monica from it. From a population consideration, it is essentially a one for one swap.

Venice and Santa Monica have a combined population of approximately 129,000 and Hawthorne and Lawndale have a combined population of approximately 118,000. To accommodate the difference, I suggest the Commission consider adding the section of Harbor Gateway south of the 405 Freeway and north of Sepulveda to the section of Harbor Gateway already included. This adds approximately 6,000 people to the proposed final congressional district, thus making up most of the loss from the desired swap.

Also, I strongly recommend all of San Pedro be included in our CD as well as Lennox and Gardena west of Western Ave. This yields a population of approximately 704,000, the required number of people for a congressional district in accordance with the 2010 census data.

Regarding the **Assembly District**, I request the **elimination of Westchester and Marina Del Rey from the Commission’s preliminary map and the addition of Lawndale and the section of Del Aire south of El Segundo Blvd.** This permits the city of Lawndale to be in the same assembly and congressional districts, is practically a one-for-one swap in population numbers -- it complies with the Assembly District population requirement of approximately 465,000.

I did not find a detailed Senate District map on your website for the Palos Verdes – Beach Cities. Any comments or suggestions for that map will follow the considerations and logic I used for the proposed 36th CD and the proposed Assembly District.

I again thank the Commission for your interest in our community and your conscientious work in our behalf.

David McKinnon

OLIVIA J. VALENTINE, Esq.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Hawthorne, CA 90250
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

To: Citizens' Redistricting Commission
[REDACTED]

Dated: June 22, 2011

Commissioners:

Hawthorne is currently located in the 35th Congressional District (CD). The Preview Map shows that the Commission proposes that Hawthorne remain in that district. I request that you reconsider this districting plan and combine Hawthorne with the 36th CD where it shares a community of interest with the beach cities in that district.

We have shared common social and economic interests with the beach cities of the 36th CD. We are connected to the beach cities. This is where we work, shop, and dine. Residents of Hawthorne patronize the businesses and restaurants in the Manhattan Beach, and Hermosa Beach area as well as make use of the beach as a place of recreation, since it is only 20 minutes from Hawthorne. Hawthorne residents enjoy the movies at the Arclight in El Segundo as well as shop and go to the movies at the South Bay Galleria in Redondo Beach. Residents of the 36th CD patronize Hawthorne businesses such as COSTCO, South Bay Ford, Staples, and Bed, Bath and Beyond.

Like cities in the 36th Congressional District, Hawthorne shares the aerospace industry with the beach cities. SpaceX is located in Hawthorne. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is located in Hawthorne. Hawthorne has its own airport which brings in commerce from all parts of the country. Hawthorne Airport is used by business aircraft which are operated by aerospace and film industry personnel who are doing business in aerospace and entertainment in the 36th CD. When they fly into Hawthorne Airport they frequently stay at Hawthorne hotels such as the Ayres, the Ramada Inn, and Springhill Suites.

Hawthorne also shares north-south arterials Crenshaw Boulevard and Hawthorne Boulevard, and east-west arterials Marine Avenue, Rosecrans Avenue, and El Segundo, which are major business and shopping thoroughfares, with the beach cities of the 36th CD. The residents of the beach cities frequently access the 405 Freeway by using entrances and exits located in Hawthorne. They also commute to downtown Los Angeles by using the 105 Freeway, which is located in Hawthorne, in order to access the 110 Freeway north into the downtown area.

It is clear that Hawthorne shares a community of interest with the beach cities of the 36th CD and I request that Hawthorne be made a part of that district.

Thank you for your consideration.

Olivia J. Valentine

June 22, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Sent via facsimile: 916-651-5711 and e-mail: votersfirstact@crc.ca.gov

Dear Redistricting Commission:

As a business in Hollywood, we want to thank you for listening to our comments and keeping Hollywood as a whole in one District, represented in Senate District 22.

We appreciate knowing our voices were heard. We hope the map remains the same.

Sincerely,



Brad Folb
president

June 17, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K St.
Suite 101
Sacramento, CA, 95814

Dear Commissioners,

When we reviewed the first draft of the maps, we realized you split the Santa Clarita Valley into different congressional districts and we wish to voice our opposition to the plan. In fact, our neighborhood is divided into the East San Fernando Valley/Tujunga congressional district and the Antelope Valley/Santa Clarita congressional district.

The Sand Canyon Homeowners Associations represents over 950 homeowners. Located in the easternmost section of the valley, our neighborhood is a *community of interest* with the rest of the Santa Clarita Valley not with the east San Fernando Valley.

We hope you will consider keeping our neighborhood intact and move the boundary to the mountaintop instead of Placerita Canyon Road. In addition, we believe the mountains should be the congressional boundary for the entire valley because they define us as a *community of interest*.

For the past 10 years, our valley has been separated into the 38th AD which is Assemblyman Smyth's district and the 37th AD which is currently held by Assemblyman Jeff Gorell. The Santa Clarita Valley's Senate Districts are in Senator Runner's 17th District and Senator Strickland's 19th District. Coordinating with four different legislative offices

Did you know that with the plan to split our area into different districts, the Newhall School District, the Wm. S Hart School District and the College of the Canyons district will be represented by 2 different congressional districts? Coordinating with an additional governmental office will compound the difficulty of maintaining excellence in education.

Our valley is geographically defined by the surrounding mountains and is most definitely a *Community of Common Interest*. It would be most appreciated if you will reconsider your map configurations and keep the Santa Clarita Valley intact.

We appreciate the difficulty of your task, but sincerely request that our valley not be divided as we have been for the past ten years.

Sincerely,

Sand Canyon Community Homeowners Association
Board of Directors: Ruthann Levison, David Hauser, Dana Martin, Debbie Martin, John Higby,
Russell Myers, Sandi Parris & JJ Cacavas