

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Carol J Kennedy <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 18:30:24 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Carol J Kennedy <[REDACTED]>

Subject: SPLITTING MY CITY

Message Body:

Are you joking? Who would even come up with an idea like this. Leave my city alone. Property values are bad enough without you splitting my city in half. We will have no voice at all. We don't want to be part of Oakland or Hayward.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Redistricting maps for the Congressional and state legislative districts

From: "Mueller, Stefan" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 11:22:00 -0700

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

To the Citizens Redistricting Committee:

As a San Leandro resident, I want my city to have full representation in congress, and in the state Assembly and Senate districts, not partial or divided. San Leandro should NOT be split into two different districts. We are a small city and dividing it amongst multiple districts will have significant impact and weaken our voice as a city.

I'd like to see San Leandro as a whole, to be represented under one congressional district.

Sincerely,
Stefan Mueller

Subject: Keep Fremont In Alameda County Coalition:Map Proposal

From: Anu Natarajan <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:50:55 -0700

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]> "[REDACTED]"

<[REDACTED]>

CC: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Dear Members of the California Redistricting Commissions,

First, we want to thank the Commission for giving us, the community members of Fremont and the Tri-Cities (Fremont, Newark and Union City) a chance to speak during public comment at your business meeting. Based on direction we heard from you, we believed it was important for us to show the Commission an alternative congressional district map. This map we are presenting to you takes into account your feedback, the unanimous community of interest testimony of the people of the people who live in the Tri-Cities and East Alameda County, and based on the Commission's explicit direction to Q2.

As the Commission directed, this map puts the Tri-Cities back into Alameda County, and separates the communities of Eastern Alameda County and puts them in with an inland San Jose district-- consistent with testimony from residents of these areas who did not want to be a part of a Tri-Cities/Hayward district. Commissioners Malloy and Forbes made these suggestions as recorded in Q2's notes. The map also minimizes ripple effects, while making sure that none of the Bay Area districts have to cross a bridge.

The map not only improves the Hayward-Fremont-Newark-Union City district, but also solves other issues of concern expressed by the Commission. For example, it unites Richmond as a whole with Contra Costa County. As well, the Eastern Alameda communities have their own voice in an inland district, per their request in the community of interest testimony. The maps of all the Bay Area districts are compact, and the maps meet the population requirements.

We appreciate the Commissioners listening to our testimony, and we believe this map solves the problems the Commissioners and Q2 have been grappling with. We respectfully request that the Commission and Q2 adopt this map which will be responsive to the overwhelming and unanimous citizen testimony and the Commission's direction. Attached is our proposed map along with accompanying data.

Sincerely,
Anu Natarajan
Fremont City Council Member

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

District	Population	Deviation	% Deviatio	% Hispanic	% H18+_P	% SWDB_I	% G10_Re	% G10_Vo
FRNWU	702904	-1	-1.4E-06	0.245281	0.220416	0.170011	0.174428	0.15535
SANJO	702904	-1	-1.4E-06	0.315904	0.284282	0.226637	0.205545	0.18356
SNACL	702905	0	0	0.296943	0.260207	0.189552	0.157321	0.129025
OKLND	702904	-1	-1.4E-06	0.208314	0.179669	0.106184	0.098433	0.084834
COCO	702904	-1	-1.4E-06	0.246785	0.213018	0.118736	0.108732	0.087785

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

% NH_DO	% 18+_AP	% NH18+_	% SWDB_	% NH_DO	% NH18+_	% 18+_AP	% G10_Re	% G10_Vo
0.068449	0.072338	0.064951	0.074719	0.36186	0.357481	0.372434	0.168391	0.146308
0.030208	0.037035	0.031778	0.03785	0.381021	0.38608	0.397056	0.228241	0.206524
0.030953	0.035608	0.030529	0.028225	0.198688	0.197663	0.206576	0.111831	0.099299
0.199256	0.209118	0.19451	0.232484	0.222625	0.227275	0.236622	0.107534	0.088659
0.089742	0.094907	0.087108	0.095976	0.140329	0.141679	0.150269	0.056979	0.050441

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

% G10_Re	% G10_Vo	% NH_Wht	% NH_DO	% NH_DO	% NH_DO	% NH_DO	% 18+_Po	% NH18+
0.036092	0.031618	0.289765	0.005336	0.013239	0.002636	0.013433	0.748778	0.32456
0.037496	0.033911	0.25449	0.004316	0.004481	0.002217	0.007364	0.748498	0.280287
0.013323	0.011478	0.454103	0.00615	0.004355	0.002818	0.005989	0.752967	0.493406
0.013178	0.011504	0.342237	0.005577	0.0054	0.004012	0.012578	0.800355	0.373772
0.015182	0.012886	0.499236	0.00628	0.005005	0.004036	0.008587	0.766459	0.536337

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

% NH18+_	% NH18+_	% NH18+_	% NH18+_	% SWDB_				
0.005508	0.012948	0.002331	0.011805	0.742132	0.829835	0.440978	0.279479	0.003907
0.004508	0.004529	0.002007	0.006529	0.733571	0.773291	0.372273	0.33781	0.004402
0.006398	0.004263	0.002496	0.005039	0.826988	0.810498	0.603962	0.156842	0.00302
0.005818	0.004926	0.003605	0.010425	0.794055	0.893655	0.449572	0.176789	0.003333
0.006474	0.004748	0.003599	0.007037	0.855088	0.881327	0.634554	0.12168	0.003022

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

% SWDB_	Hispanic O	NH_Wht						
0.00958	0.004375	0.006662	0.001777	0.001121	0.007133	0.021068	172409	203677
0.005553	0.003516	0.00606	0.001485	0.000552	0.003778	0.015391	222050	178882
0.002113	0.003603	0.007466	0.001629	0.000334	0.003304	0.016336	208723	319191
0.004533	0.003976	0.008715	0.004958	0.004226	0.004954	0.026829	146425	240560
0.003679	0.006388	0.008236	0.002896	0.000812	0.003803	0.022135	173466	350915

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

NH_DOJ_E	NH_DOJ_I	NH_DOJ_F	NH_DOJ_C	NH_DOJ_C18+_Pop	H18+_Pop	NH18+_Wf		
48113	3751	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	1853	9442	526319	116009	170822
21233	3034	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	1558	5176	526122	149567	147465
21757	4323	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	1981	4210	529264	137718	261142
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	2820	8841	562573	101077	210274
63080	4414	98638	3518	2837	6036	538747	114763	288950

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	SWDB_CV	SWDB_H	SWDB_NH
34185	2899			1227	6213	390598	66406	324132
16719	2372			1056	3435	385948	87470	298450
16158	3386			1321	2667	437695	82966	354751
				2028	5865	446714	47434	399208
46929	3488	76329	2558	1939	3791	460676	54699	406006

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

SWDB_NH	SWDB_BL	SWDB_AS	SWDB_INI	SWDB_HV	SWDB_INI	SWDB_AS	SWDB_BL	SWDB_INI
172245	29185			3742	1709	2602	694	438
143678	14608			2143	1357	2339	573	213
264351	12354	68649	1322			3268	713	146
200830	103854	78974	1489	2025	1776	3893	2215	1888
292324	44214	56055	1392	1695	2943	3794	1334	374

Fremont_final_plan_demographics

SWDB_OT	SWDB_2+	G10_Reg_	G10_Reg_	G10_Reg_	G10_Reg_	G10_Vote_	G10_Vote_	G10_Vote_
2786	8229	315254	54989	53086	11378	182588	28365	26714
1458	5940	289041	59411	65971	10838	174842	32094	36109
1446	7150	332797	52356	37217	4434	218501	28192	21697
2213	11985	389830	38372	41920	5137	240776	20426	21347
1752	10197	373137	40572	21261	5665	250509	21991	12636

G10_Vote_FILTOT

5773

5929

2508

2770

3228

CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics

District	Population	Deviation	% Deviatio	% Hispanic	% H18+_P	% SWDB_I	% G10_Re	% G10_Vo
COCO	702904	-1	-1.4E-06	0.207633	0.180666	0.109537	0.100694	0.082238
FRNWU	702904	-1	-1.4E-06	0.253991	0.22621	0.159668	0.167954	0.146321
SANJO	702905	0	0	0.326929	0.294146	0.243632	0.232165	0.21521
SNACL	702904	-1	-1.4E-06	0.297086	0.260267	0.189625	0.157398	0.129053
OKLND	702904	-1	-1.4E-06	0.227589	0.195408	0.110293	0.094774	0.080747

CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics

% NH_DO	% 18+_AP	% NH18+_	% SWDB_	% NH_DO	% NH18+_	% 18+_AP	% G10_Re	% G10_Vo
0.063342	0.067389	0.060875	0.064558	0.170092	0.165	0.173656	0.066351	0.057896
0.078086	0.083368	0.075555	0.082889	0.284629	0.283157	0.296645	0.123021	0.104093
0.029509	0.03533	0.029909	0.038013	0.45303	0.458883	0.471542	0.29075	0.26872
0.030929	0.035572	0.030492	0.028212	0.198206	0.197251	0.206167	0.111422	0.098996
0.216742	0.227677	0.212346	0.257475	0.198566	0.205458	0.214492	0.098256	0.082119

CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics

% G10_Re	% G10_Vo	% NH_Wht	% NH_DO	% NH_DO	% NH_DO	% NH_DO	% 18+_Po	% NH18+_
0.015825	0.01326	0.535917	0.006312	0.004784	0.003722	0.008199	0.763094	0.572512
0.033936	0.029378	0.349153	0.005984	0.012867	0.00286	0.012431	0.754591	0.383275
0.042631	0.039551	0.17051	0.00381	0.00546	0.002034	0.008718	0.750356	0.197769
0.013277	0.011437	0.454475	0.006172	0.004353	0.002797	0.005982	0.753285	0.493804
0.010913	0.009712	0.329778	0.005382	0.005016	0.004306	0.012621	0.79573	0.362054

CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics

% NH18+_	% NH18+_	% NH18+_	% NH18+_	% SWDB_				
0.006479	0.004568	0.003253	0.006648	0.837772	0.890572	0.670654	0.126282	0.002975
0.00619	0.012511	0.002492	0.010609	0.78622	0.840236	0.492085	0.231188	0.004731
0.003999	0.005472	0.001902	0.007921	0.711944	0.756194	0.290581	0.400522	0.003867
0.006421	0.004259	0.002478	0.005028	0.825006	0.810414	0.604807	0.155903	0.003026
0.005634	0.00457	0.003914	0.010616	0.791887	0.889549	0.436966	0.161573	0.003034

CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics

% SWDB_1	% SWDB_2	% SWDB_3	% SWDB_4	% SWDB_5	% SWDB_6	% SWDB_7	% SWDB_8	% G10_Re Hispanic O
0.003427	0.006812	0.008719	0.002481	0.000677	0.003694	0.022383	0.845889	145946
0.009244	0.00489	0.005791	0.001832	0.001091	0.006357	0.019961	0.800448	178531
0.006743	0.002738	0.006908	0.001771	0.000602	0.004573	0.016591	0.716028	229800
0.00212	0.003603	0.007474	0.001625	0.000334	0.003303	0.01634	0.762432	208823
0.003786	0.003748	0.008363	0.005136	0.004353	0.004931	0.026531	0.867023	159973

CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics

NH_Wht	NH_DOJ_E	NH_DOJ_I	NH_DOJ_F	NH_DOJ_C	NH_DOJ_(18+_Pop	H18+_Pop	
376698	44523	4437			2616	5763	536382	96906
245421	54887	4206			2010	8738	530405	119983
119852	20742	2678			1430	6128	527429	155141
319452	21740	4338			1966	4205	529487	137808
231802					3027	8871	559322	109296

CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics

NH18+_WI	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	NH18+_DC	SWDB_CV	SWDB_H
307085	32652	3475	88503	2450	1745	3566	449366	49222
203291	40075	3283			1322	5627	417015	66584
104309	15775	2109			1003	4178	375500	91484
261463	16145	3400			1312	2662	436830	82834
202505					2189	5938	442920	48851


CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics

SWDB_NH	SWDB_NH	SWDB_BL	SWDB_AS	SWDB_INI	SWDB_HV	SWDB_INI	SWDB_AS	SWDB_BL
400193	301369	29010	56747	1337	1540	3061	3918	1115
350391	205207	34566	96409	1973	3855	2039	2415	764
283951	109113	14274	████████	████████	2532	1028	2594	665
354013	264198	12324	68103	1322	████████	████████	3265	710
393999	193541	114041	71564	1344	1677	1660	3704	2275

CRC_Draft_CD_plan_demographics


SWDB_INI	SWDB_OT	SWDB_2+	G10_Reg_	G10_Reg_	G10_Reg_	G10_Reg_	G10_Vote_	G10_Vote_
1928	2184	10058	379786	38242	25199	6010	258602	21267
		8324	334479	56177	41148	11351	198208	29002
		6230	269451	62557	78343	11487	155876	33546
		7138	332672	52362	37067	4417	218422	28188
		11751	383671	36362	37698	4187	236108	19065

G10_Vote_	G10_Vote_FILTOT
14972	3429
20632	5823
41887	6165
21623	2498
19389	2293



Map layers
 County
 City
 Incorporated Cities
 Draft CD Plan lines
 State Highway

SANJO



Subject:Keep Fremont In Alameda County Coalition

Date:Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:32:35 -0700 (PDT)

From:Aref Aziz <[REDACTED]>

To:Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]>

Natrajan's email that attaches a western Alameda County congressional map based on the commissions direction.

We took seriously your call to provide a solution with minimal ripple effects. I am confident this map does so. It is consistent with the general approach Q2 has already taken. It is also consistent with communities of interest testimony from nearly all of the communities. The valley area has a strong valley district united with Silicon Valley, which would allow the area to further focus on their emphasis on innovation. In our map the City of Richmond is united in a Contra Costa District. And most importantly to our coalition the Tri-Cities are unified in a congressional district.

The entire leadership of Fremont and many in the Tri-Cities have been engaged in commissioning this map. We plan to attend the June 25 hearing to present the map. We anticipate a significant turnout on June 25 as this is still an issue of significant importance to our community.

We are grateful to the commission for giving us this opportunity, and confident that q2 will find this map a promising solution that meets the community of interest testimony and commissions direction.

Best of Regards,

Aref Aziz
Chair-Keep Fremont in Alameda County Coalition

p.s. I have also attached the map submission file, but this is not another map proposal.



Keep Fremont in Alameda County Coalition Proposal.zip
3805K

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Michael Greenslade <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 17:32:43 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Michael Greenslade <[REDACTED]>

Subject: San Leandro

Message Body:

Please do not split San Leandro into two different districts.

There is nothing worse than being represented as the "AND PART OF"

The cities on either side of us Oakland and Hayward will be getting dedicated full city representation. As part of the "and part of San Leandro" we will not have the full attention of either representative.

How is that a fair district for us?

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Chris Crow <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 20:52:35 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Chris Crow <[REDACTED]>

Subject: State Assembly and Senate Redistricting

Message Body:

I am opposed to the 1st draft that includes separating San Leandro from it's Eden Area roots.

We are in the Eden Township Health district, and share a lot of the same utilities. We also share ammenities in Chabot Park and others.

I would like to speak as one voice in the State Assembly and Senate with my Eden area neighbors.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Patricia Silva [REDACTED]

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 18:10:48 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Patricia Silva <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

Please, please, please do not split San Leandro into two different districts. There is nothing worse than being represented as the "AND PART OF" The cities on either side of us Oakland and Hayward will be getting dedicated full city representation. As part of the "and part of San Leandro" we will not have the full attention of either representative.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Elissa Kartman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 01:36:41 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Elissa Kartman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting Alameda County

Message Body:

I live in (north) San Leandro. I am not in favor of the proposed redistricting plan that would put my area in with the Oakland area.

San Leandro is a small city, with its own unique set of issues, and we need complete unity in our community and representation.

Sincerely,

Elissa Kartman

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Cynthia Jaynes <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 16:14:00 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Cynthia Jaynes <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Don't Sever San Leandro

Message Body:

The proposed redistricting would sever the northern part of our small city, San Leandro, California and put it with the much larger cities of Oakland and Berkeley. We are currently well served by our representatives and we will lose what little power we have in Congress as we will be subject to the whims of these much larger cities. For the Assembly and Senate, our ties to other local communities that share our community colleges and fire departments are compromised. I strongly disagree with this redistricting plan and urge you to reconsider the lines that run through our small city.

Thank you,

Cynthia Jaynes

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

From: Paul <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Pleasanton

Message Body:

The City of Pleasanton has been unequally cut into three parts. Please allow the Cit of Pleasanton to be a whole....Thank you

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

From: Vik Ghai <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Please Don't Split Fremont Community

Message Body:

I will like the Citizens Redistricting Commission to know that the new maps should keep Fremont with the Tri-Cities and southern Alameda county and should not split Fremont between congressional and legislative districts.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: San Leandro Redistricting

From: cynthia clark <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2011 15:39:11 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

Please do not split San Leandro into two different districts. Citizens are best represented when grouped with those who have similar issues and concerns. If part of San Leandro is grouped with Oakland and Berkeley then our priorities will likely be overshadowed and become a disregarded minority. Please keep San Leandro whole!

Cynthia Clark

Resident/Homeowner in the Broadmore Neighborhood of San Leandro