

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Himanshu Majmudar <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 25 Jun 2011 03:38:11 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Himanshu Majmudar <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Fremont as part of Alameda congl dist.

Message Body:

WE want to express our thoughts that we keep all of Fremont with the Tri-Cities in the Alameda county Congressional district.

Thank you

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: redrawn Map

From: luck Chart <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 11:26:42 -0700 (PDT)

To: [REDACTED]

CC: luck Chart <[REDACTED]>

Dear Members of the California Redistricting Commissions,

First, we want to thank the Commission for giving us, the community members of Fremont and the Tri-Cities (Fremont, Newark and Union City) a chance to speak during public comment at your business meeting. Based on direction we heard from you, we believed it was important for us to show the Commission an alternative congressional district map. This map we are presenting to you takes into account your feedback, the unanimous community of interest testimony of the people of the people who live in the Tri-Cities and East Alameda County, and based on the Commission's explicit direction to Q2.

As the Commission directed, this map puts the Tri-Cities back into Alameda County, and separates the communities of Eastern Alameda County and puts them in with an inland San Jose district-- consistent with testimony from residents of these areas who did not want to be a part of a Tri-Cities/Hayward district. Commissioners Malloy and Forbes made these suggestions as recorded in Q2's notes. The map also minimizes ripple effects, while making sure that none of the Bay Area districts have to cross a bridge.

The map not only improves the Hayward-Fremont-Newark-Union City district, but also solves other issues of concern expressed by the Commission. For example, [it unites Richmond as a whole with Contra Costa County](#). As well, the Eastern Alameda communities have their own voice in an inland district, per their request in the community of interest testimony. The maps of all the Bay Area districts are compact, and the maps meet the population requirements.

We appreciate the Commissioners listening to our testimony, and we believe this map solves the problems the Commissioners and Q2 have been grappling with. We respectfully request that the Commission and Q2 adopt this map which will be responsive to the overwhelming and unanimous citizen testimony and the Commission's direction. Attached is our proposed map along with accompanying data.

Sincerely Yours

Luck Rukchart

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Contra Costa

From: Michael Parker <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 25 Jun 2011 03:41:27 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Michael Parker <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Richmond

Message Body:

I oppose any division of Richmond as part of two congressional districts. We are working very hard to unify Richmond and deal with immense urban problems. Such a division would undermine all of our hard work.

I have no position on what CD Richmond is assigned as long as it is is kept together

Mike Parker

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: 90049

From: "Susan Hirsch" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 17:30:08 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commission:

It has come to my attention that the current redistricting plans would split Brentwood 90049 along San Vicente Ave and place north and south in different congressional districts. However, Brentwood 90049 functions as a unit, especially the corridor along San Vicente, on so many local issues (with the VA, merchants on both sides, etc.) that "Brentwood" should really be contained within one congressional district.

Thank you,
Susan Hirsch

[REDACTED]
Los Angeles CA 90049

Subject: City of Richmond redistricting

From: "Nancy Webb" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 12:19:01 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

The proposed 1st Draft District Map for Congressional redistricting would split Richmond in half. Richmond should be in one district, and it should be more closely aligned with West Contra Costa County, which has an identity distinct from the rest of Contra Costa County. Even our local Contra Costa newspaper has an edition called the "West County Times." Remember to "Respect cities, counties, communities of interest and neighborhoods where possible." Hercules, San Pablo and other West County cities have very similar interests.

Please don't separate our interests! Please head our City Councilman Tom Butt's thorough analysis of the situation.

Regards,
Nancy Webb
City College of San Francisco
CS/CNIT Instructor

From: John Polz [REDACTED]
Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 18:14:44 -0700 (PDT)
To: [REDACTED]

My name is John A. Polz. I am a U S CITIZEN and a RESIDENT OF FREMONT CA.94539. I am extremely dissapointed and quite frankly appalled at the redistricting of the city of Fremont. This destroys the unity of the city by cutting it up in pieces and even going as far as putting some of our district in another county. The county of Santa Clara and the City of Fremont have extremely divergent viewpoints in politics, government and county functions. Fremont has a population of approximately a 1/4 OF A MILLION RESIDENTS. That is a huge voting majority. We require to chart our own course economically and poltically. I have heard nothing but negative input on these newly proposed boundaries. The word that comes to mind is GERRYMANDERING! How many members from Fremont were on the Redistricting committee if any at all? The voters here are not pleased to say the least. this makes about as much sense as combining for example the city of Oakland with San Francisco. I URGE YOU TO RETHINK THIS MYOPIC redistricting folly and go back to the drawing board. I would be happy to volunteer for your committee and provide you with my services I was a fully qualified surveyor in the US ARMY. I know how and where to draw boundary lines. Not through the middle of a very large city! I require no pay and no stipends.

Respectfully;

John Alexander Polz. [REDACTED] Fremont Ca.94539 [REDACTED]

Subject: redistricting

From: Ruth Duncan <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 10:28:34 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

Hello,

I would like to voice my opinion that the City of Fremont should be kept all in one district. Please consider adjusting the proposed congressional districts to keep our city together.

Thank you,

Ruth Duncan
Fremont Resident

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Jaya Mirchandani <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 25 Jun 2011 01:04:46 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Jaya Mirchandani <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Fremont

Message Body:

Splitting Fremont between two congressional districts will be unfair to the interest of the rogressive population of the City of Fremont.

Fremont should continue to be kept with Tri-Cities and Southern Alameda County.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: Wendy Lu <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 18:11:49 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Wendy Lu <[REDACTED]>

Subject: City of Fremont

Message Body:

I am very confused over the maps as to why Fremont is cut in half. Moreover, the second half of Fremont, where I am a resident of, belongs to Milpitas/San Jose. This does not make sense.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: re-districting

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 22:28:51 -0400 (EDT)

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Sirs,

As a long time resident of Fremont (a considerable size city) I am appalled that the proposed new districts would split Fremont roughly in half! Not to be disrespectful but this is an idiotic & ludicrous idea, what rocket scientists came up w/ this plan?

I urge you to re-consider this proposal. A large city the size of Fremont should not be parceled out to the San Jose district, since they have their own issues & their rep. would not have the time or inclination to fairly represent Fremont. Why not Split San Jose in two, I didn't think so.

I can understand maybe splitting small city's in two, but not a city w/ the population & square mileage Fremont has!!!

Sincerely, Steven Richards

[REDACTED]
Fremont, CA. 94538

Subject: Redistricting - Will we be well represented if we are split in two?

From: vyjayanthimala susarla <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 14:16:21 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

I want Fremont to be represented as one and don't want any splits.

Mala

Subject: Keep Fremont Together!

From: sukanya dasarathy <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 13:11:30 -0700 (PDT)

To: [REDACTED]

We dont want Fremont to be split!!!

Sukanya Dasarathy

[REDACTED]
Fremont CA 94555

Subject: Public Comment: 8 - Alameda

From: karin fetherston <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 17:10:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: karin fetherston <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Thank you

Message Body:

I am very impressed with the work you have done. All maps appear logical and seem to have avoided the pitfalls of gerrymandering. My home is Piedmont and for assembly we have been grouped with West Contra Costa. Disparity of richest and poorest of the area. We will figure it out. Good work and thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Splitting Fremont

From: "Malakar, Pompa" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 24 Jun 2011 10:50:15 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

I strongly vote against the proposal to split Fremont. It will not be good for the citizens or the city in any possible way.

Thanks,
Pompa Malakar