

**Subject:** Thanks for acknowledging our similarities with our neighbors from Imperial Valley

**From:** "Eduardo Guevara" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 16:41:41 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commissioners,

I wish to thank you all for listening to our concerns. We as citizens feel very proud when we see our representatives hear our voice.

We in the East part of our Coachella Valley share many interests with our neighbors from Imperial Valley, and some of their problems also. We see us both as if we were part of a big valley, and not two separate ones. We, as residents, are happy to see that you see also those shared interests in keeping us intact in the Assembly.

We hope that those similar interests will be more than enough to keep the East part of our Valley with Imperial Valley, both in the Senate and in the Congress.

Sincerely,

Eduardo Guevara

Mecca Resident

George Di Leo

Phone Number [REDACTED]

Fax Number [REDACTED]

FAX TRANSMITTAL FORM

---

To: California Citizens Redistricting Commission  
Name:  
CC:  
Phone:  
Fax: 916-651-5711

From: Terese & George Di Leo  
Date Sent: 7/14/11  
Number of Pages: 2

---

Message:

I tried several times to email you the attached statement at [voters-firstact@crc.ca.gov](mailto:voters-firstact@crc.ca.gov).  
This email address was listed in the Press Enterprise.

WE STRONGLY OBJECT TO THE SPLITTING OF TEMECULA INTO TWO  
DIFFERENT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS.

Terese & George Di Leo

I am a resident of Temecula, southern portion, and I very strongly object to your proposed splitting of Temecula into Riverside County and San Diego County. We do not belong with San Diego County in Southern Temecula while the rest of our city is in Riverside county district. This is complete insanity and is apparently politically motivated. By what insane logic have you arrived at this conclusion. Be assured that residents of Southern Temecula will be seeking an injunction against your decision becoming effective.

You do not have the right to split our city. Reverse your decision now. For once it is time for government, whether appointed commission or elected, listen to the people.

*Regards*

*George & Terese Di Leo*

[REDACTED] Temecula, CA 92592

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Glenn Miller** <[REDACTED]>  
Date: Fri, Jul 15, 2011 at 9:47 AM  
Subject: Coachella Valley Redistricting  
To: [REDACTED]

Commissioner Claypool - Please allow this email to follow-up to my in-person testimony before the Commission this past Wednesday, July 12, 2011. During my testimony you referenced a website which stated that agriculture is the largest industry in the Coachella Valley. This information is incorrect and outdated. The largest industry in the Coachella Valley, by a significant margin, is our world renowned tourist and hospitality industry which brings in revenue over \$1 billion dollars annually. This is supported by employment statistics available on the Coachella Valley Associations of Governments (CVAG's) website ([www.cvag.org](http://www.cvag.org)).

2009 Employment figures by City:

City of Coachella 1,360 in Agriculture, Natural Resources & Mining 2,381 in Hospitality & Leisure  
Cathedral City 178 in Agriculture, Natural Resources & Mining 1,641 in Hospitality & Leisure  
City in Indio 1,458 in Agriculture, Natural Resources & Mining 2,910 in Hospitality & Leisure

Further in today's Desert Sun newspaper statistics were just released on the recent concerts held in the City of Indio. According to figures released by Concert industry publication Pollstar, Coachella Fest was the highest grossing concert in North America to date for 2011, earning just under \$25M in revenue from tickets sales alone. In 4th place was the Country western Stagecoach 2-day festival earning just over \$9M in revenue; and the newest addition to Indio's outdoor concert festivals the one day "Big 4" heavy metal concert produced \$4.8 million in ticket sales.

The two examples above clearly indicate that the City of Indio is not agriculturally based. I respectfully suggest that if residents and elected officials from the City of Coachella wish to be drawn with Imperial County that the Commission should allow them to do so. However, the over-whelming majority of residents in the City of Indio wish to be drawn with their partner cities in the rest of the Coachella Valley.

Sincerely,  
*Glenn A. Miller*  
*Mayor Pro Tem - City of Indio*

[REDACTED]

*Indio, CA 92201*

**Subject:** keep Mary Bono in San Jacinto Hemet area

**From:** Patti thormahlen [REDACTED]

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 18:00:23 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

Keep the San Jacinto Valley (Hemet and San Jacinto) together don't split us up we have to many problem in are area and Mary Bono knows our area

I have lived in this area for almost 8 years

Patricia Thormahlen

prior busness owner

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - Riverside

**From:** Joaquin Uribe <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 17:19:47 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Joaquin Uribe <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Indio CA

Message Body:

Commissioners,

I first off would like to thank you for listening to the concerns of our community. As a result, I see you have added the East Coachella Valley with Imperial in the Assembly.

Our interests are similar and I am grateful that you have recognized that.

Please keep us with Imperial Valley.

Thank you,

Joaquin Uribe  
Indio, CA

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - Riverside

**From:** Emmanuel Marquez <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 17:25:16 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Emmanuel Marquez <[REDACTED]>

Subject: East Coachella Valley

Message Body:

Dear Commission,

I have been closely monitoring the redistricting process. Though I was concerned at first with the direction it was headed for us here in the East Coachella Valley, I now see hope. I appreciate you for keeping our area with Imperial in the Assembly and hope you recognize our community of interests and do the same in the Senate and Congress.

Thank you again for listening to us residents.

Emmanuel Marquez  
Indio, CA

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - Riverside

**From:** Alejandrina Mercado <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 18:21:46 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

**From:** Alejandrina Mercado <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Indio CA

**Message Body:**

Commissioners,

I submitted my comments before in favor of maintainig our community of interest with the Imperial Valley. I see now that you have seen how closely we are linked with Imperial and have kept us with them in the Assembly.

Please continue to keep the East Coachella Valley with Imperial Valley in the Assembly and please recognize those similarities and attach us in the Senate and Congress also.

Thank you for all your hard work!

Alejandrina Mercado

Indio, CA

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - Riverside

**From:** Dean Kimberly <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 19:53:55 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Dean Kimberly <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Riverside VS San Bernardino

Message Body:

If this would pass, this will cost my business at least \$350,000.00 per year.

I have the two contracts with the County of Riverside that require I be in the County. If this is done I will lose the contracts and must lay off seven of my eleven employees. Why would you cut the new city of Jurupa Valley in half..This is stupid.

Sincerely

Dean Kimberly

Owner

Rubidoux Mortuary-Kimberly Family Chapel

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - Riverside

**From:** Beth Groves <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 20:44:23 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Beth Groves <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Congressional district line

Message Body:

As the City Manager for the City of Norco in Riverside County, I want to draw attention to an issue that does not impact population numbers, but rather, open space and river trail features. The congressional district boundary itself is well defined by keeping our communities of interest together, and respecting city and county boundaries. My comment is more to "exactness" of the boundary line. The congressional lines on the edges of Norco-- especially the north, northwest and northeast sides-- should be sure to include the entire river, trail or open space segment. To have a district line run through the middle of a river or trail system can impact our City's work with federal agencies such as the Army Corps of Engineers. We work closely with federal resource agencies on watershed, trail and river maintenance, and habitat issues.

Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - Riverside

**From:** John Kopp <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 21:32:25 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: John Kopp <[REDACTED]>

Subject: New Cities of Eastvale & Jurupa Valley - Both Cities Need to be in the SAME CD: "RVMVN"

Message Body:

Since I wrote you on 07/13/2011 about the need to keep the two newest cities in California -- Eastvale and Jurupa Valley, both Riverside County cities -- concerning the fact that both were split, you've come up with two new visualizations, "2011-07-13 07:09PM congress social opt 2" and "2011-07-13 07:09PM congress social opt 3" in which each town is now wholly intact.

Thank you for this change!

What still remains as a problem is that these two new side-by-side cities are in different CDs. Eastvale, in "opt 2" is in CD "CNAME" and in "opt 3" CD "PRS". The new 15 day old city of Jurupa Valley is, in both "opt 2" and "opt 3" visualizations, in CD "RVMVN".

As I said the other day, with Senate Bill 89 having been signed by Governor Brown, these cities will be deprived of funds from the DMV Vehicle Licensing Fee, which is an essential part of any new city's startup budget. As Riverside County Local Agency Formation Committee Executive Director George Spiliotis is quoted as having said

"... a successful [new city] incorporation is next to impossible without the revenue of the DMV [Vehicle] Licensing Fee as part of the new city['s] budget."

Two days ago, I concluded my statement with the following request.

"Given the extremis in which these two new Riverside County cities find themselves, they will struggle over the next decade. They need to speak as whole cities and they need to speak together to protect their threatened strength and even existence. Stated more bluntly, these two cities need to work together, lobby together and otherwise gather their resources together to protect themselves from predation by State of California budget shortfalls."

Notwithstanding that both of your newer iterations ("opt 2" and "opt 3") now have both of these cities no longer bifurcated into different districts, the fact remains that, even though now whole cities, both EASTVALE AND JURUPA VALLEY NOW NEED TO BE PUT IN THE SAME CD, and THAT CD SHOULD BE THE ONE YOU'VE STYLED "RVMVN". Neither new city has very much in common with the huge, largely rural (and truly freakishly-shaped) CD that in "opt 2" is styled as "CNAME" and in "opt 3" as "PRS".

You already have Jurupa Valley -- in my view -- properly placed in "RVMVN". Now, to provide an opportunity for these two new cities to operate in unison, i.e., to exercise joint influence through a single Congressional representative, you need to place Eastvale in "RVMVN" along side with Jurupa Valley. They've just had their budget 'raided' by the State of California and, in such unplanned for financial difficulty, their very existence is called in to question. Eastvale and Jurupa Valley need to act in concert on the levers of power. The CRC can give them a running start by putting them in the same 'urban' CD, specifically "RVMVN".

Respectfully,

John Kopp  
Eastvale, CA

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Redistricting - Keep ECV with IVC

**From:** "George Duran" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 15 Jul 2011 16:31:01 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Good day, dear Commissioners.

I would like to thank you for listening to our concerns, and to our voice.

We in the the East Coachella Valley share many interests and problems with the Imperial Valley, and we appreciate the fact that you have acknowledged those similarities of both areas in the Assembly.

We trust that those same similarities can be a driving force to keep the East Coachella Valley with Imperial Valley in the Senate and Congressional as well.

Thank you again, thank you very much.

George Duran

Coachella Valley Resident