


REGION 2: SAN BERNARDINO



7-22-11

Please move S.B. Congressional District north to National Forest Boundary, east to I-15. This removes choke point and matches approach for LA County National Forests.

— John Monsen

Subject: redistricting

From: "Fawn Witten" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/22/2011 1:07 PM

To: <[REDACTED]>

As a resident of Chino Hills, CA, I adamantly oppose slicing our city into. That is absolutely absurd!
We don't want to be an-unwanted step-child of LA County.
We have close ties with Ontario-Pomona and our San Bernardino neighboring cities.

PLEASE don't divide us.

Respectfully,

Fawn E. Witten

[REDACTED]
Chino Hills, CA 91709

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Chino Hills Redistricting

From: Alvon Blair <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/22/2011 9:17 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Input regarding the Pomona Valley Assembly District:

This "draft" is *almost* well-designed for the members in this AD. I am happy that Pomona is included with Chino, Montclair & Ontario, but you continue to neglect the community of Chino Hills, which is 1/2 of the CHINO VALLEY along with Chino.

Chino and Chino Hills share the same School District, same Fire District and are in the same Water District. Chino Hills is definitely a community of interest as residents from Chino, Pomona, Montclair and Ontario shop, attend recreation events, and attend Faith services in Chino Hills. Chino Hills has a State Park that is used by many in Pomona, Ontario, Montclair and of course Chino.

To continue to include Chino Hills with Orange and LA County cities is not complying with the Federal Voting Rights Act that is supposed to ensure that Minority voters have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice (Chino Hills has a **29.9% Asian** population and a **29.1% Latino** Population), which would be unrepresented under the current draft.

Remove FONTANA from the current draft and draw **all** of Chino Hills into the Pomona Valley AD, where it rightfully belongs.

Input regarding the Pomona- San Bernardino Senate District:

Similar to my input above, Chino Hills is once again in a Senate District with Orange & LA County cities. You have drawn-in Rialto, Colton & Grand Terrace, which are too far East for there to be any common interests with the **West-End** of San Bernardino County.

Chino Hills should be drawn into this Senate District and the cities to the East should be placed in a Senate district that will provide them a better opportunity to be included in a community of interest and with people that share similar work opportunities, use the same transportation facilities and whom share common goals.

Input regarding the Congressional/ONT draft:

Do **NOT** split-up Chino Hills. ALL of Chino Hills should be in this Congressional District. Cities should not be divided. This "draft", with the exception of the division of Chino Hills, is exactly what we need in the West-End in order to ensure that Minority voters receive an equal opportunity to elect candidates of our choice.
- THANK YOU!!!!

Thank You,

Alvon Blair, III

Subject: Redistricting

From: Enrique Siliezar <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/22/2011 4:08 PM

To: [REDACTED]

— Attachments: —

CRC_comment_template[1] Revised[1].doc 30.0 KB

CRC_comment_template[2] Lib club[1].doc 29.0 KB

July 19, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K. Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, California 95814

Please Extend San Bernardino Congressional District North to Include the San Gabriel Mountains

Dear Citizens Redistricting Commission:

My name is Enrique Siliezar and as a frequent hiker, adventurer, and average citizen, I am very concerned about the future of the San Gabriel Mountains where my friends and family are frequent visitors and admirers of its unique landscape.

Recreational conditions in many areas in the San Gabriel's are substandard, especially areas frequented by people of color. How Congressional Districts that include the San Gabriel's are designed will influence the people's ability to improve these conditions. We are pleased that the Commission has generally placed the federal public land in the San Gabriel Mountains in the same Congressional Districts as the foothill and San Gabriel River watershed communities to the south of the range, which form a community of interest. The Redistricting Commission has admirably united foothill residents with their federal public lands in the San Gabriel Mountains as reflected in all three of the Los Angeles County Congressional Districts visualizations.

I believe that the Congressional District visualizations that include the section San Gabriel Mountains located in San Bernardino County above Rancho Cucamonga falls far short of the mark by including this heavily used section of the range in the same Congressional District as Death Valley far the north, with which it has little in common. Even worse, the most heavily populated foothill city adjacent to the San Gabriel's, Rancho Cucamonga, would no longer in the same Congressional District as its backyard mountain range. Like the Pasadena area to the west, Rancho has a very intimate relationship with the San Gabriel Mountains. **We urge the Commission add the San Gabriel Mountains to the north of Rancho Cucamonga to the San Bernardino Congressional District, which includes Rancho Cucamonga, and to reflect this change in its visualizations of the district.** This will also better link Rancho Cucamonga with the remainder of the district to the east by expanding the linkage in the San Gabriel Mountains. I believe that is design will look much more logical to the public and it fits well with what the Commission has done to the west in Los Angeles County.

I strongly recommend that the San Bernardino Congressional District be expanded to the north above Rancho Cucamonga to include the section of the San Gabriel Mountains in San Bernardino County that is located west of the I-15. Adjusting the proposed San Bernardino Congressional District can readily be accomplished by extending the district north from Rancho Cucamonga to the northern national forest boundary. The western district boundary in the San Gabriel Mountains would be the LA County line and the eastern boundary I-15. With this boundary adjustment the entire San Gabriel range and the citizens who have the strongest

relationship with the mountains will be located in a series of well-designed Congressional Districts which recognize the community of interest of foothill communities in both Los Angeles and western San Bernardino Counties.

Sincerely,

Enrique Siliezar

[REDACTED]

Downey, Ca 90240

[REDACTED]

Cell [REDACTED]

Home [REDACTED]

July 19, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K. Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, California 95814

Please Extend San Bernardino Congressional District North to Include the San Gabriel Mountains

Dear Citizens Redistricting Commission:

The Cerritos College Library Club is very concerned about the future of the San Gabriel Mountains where our members are frequent visitors. Our organization is dedicated to connecting the students of Cerritos College back to its student body by actively involving them in a variety of free events to fundraisers. We also feel that a great way to create these connections is through nature and often hold group hikes in the San Gabriel Mountains.

Recreational conditions in many areas in the San Gabriel's are substandard, especially areas frequented by people of color. How Congressional Districts that include the San Gabriel's are designed will influence the people's ability to improve these conditions. We are pleased that the Commission has generally placed the federal public land in the San Gabriel Mountains in the same Congressional Districts as the foothill and San Gabriel River watershed communities to the south of the range, which form a community of interest. The Redistricting Commission has admirably united foothill residents with their federal public lands in the San Gabriel Mountains as reflected in all three of the Los Angeles County Congressional Districts visualizations.

We believe that the Congressional District visualizations that include the section San Gabriel Mountains located in San Bernardino County above Rancho Cucamonga falls far short of the mark by including this heavily used section of the range in the same Congressional District as Death Valley far the north, with which it has little in common. Even worse, the most heavily populated foothill city adjacent to the San Gabriel's, Rancho Cucamonga, would no longer in the same Congressional District as its backyard mountain range. Like the Pasadena area to the west, Rancho has a very intimate relationship with the San Gabriel Mountains. **We urge the Commission add the San Gabriel Mountains to the north of Rancho Cucamonga to the San Bernardino Congressional District, which includes Rancho Cucamonga, and to reflect this change in its visualizations of the district.** This will also better link Rancho Cucamonga with the remainder of the district to the east by expanding the linkage in the San Gabriel Mountains. We believe that is design will look much more logical to the public and it fits well with what the Commission has done to the west in Los Angeles County.

We strongly recommend that the San Bernardino Congressional District be expanded to the north above Rancho Cucamonga to include the section of the San Gabriel Mountains in San Bernardino County that is located west of the I-15. Adjusting the proposed San Bernardino Congressional District can readily be accomplished by extending the district north from Rancho Cucamonga to the northern national forest boundary. The western district boundary in the San

Gabriel Mountains would be the LA County line and the eastern boundary I-15. With this boundary adjustment the entire San Gabriel range and the citizens who have the strongest relationship with the mountains will be located in a series of well-designed Congressional Districts which recognize the community of interest of foothill communities in both Los Angeles and western San Bernardino Counties.

Sincerely,

Cerritos College Library Club



Norwalk, CA 90650-6298

Subject: Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

From: Mike Hidalgo <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/22/2011 4:50 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Mike Hidalgo <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Fontana-Rialto in the Latino Congressional District

Message Body:

I have lived in both Fontana and Rialto since the late 1960s. You are doing a good job with the Latino congressional district, as I watch online, but you just need to switch Fontana and Rialto. You should keep Rialto whole in the Latino Congressional District, but if you can't...If you have to divide Rialto, use Interstate 210 as the line. That freeway divides the city by home values and by the local economy. Rialto residents south of Interstate 210 would not mind being in the Latino district. You can balance it by taking more of Fontana around the Heritage Neighborhood near Foothill and Interstate 15. Heritage residents in Fontana are higher income and would appreciate being taken out of the heavy Latino district.

Thank you for allowing me to provide my knowledge and input of my both of my hometowns.

Mike Hidalgo

[REDACTED]
Fontana, CA 92337

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Important Adjustment To The San Bernardino Congressional District

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 7/22/2011 4:49 PM

To: [REDACTED]

[PLEASE FILE WITH SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY COMMENTS SINCE CENTRAL RECOMMENDATION IS TO EXTEND THE SAN BERNARDINO CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT NORTH -- THANKS!]

July 18, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Recommended Improvements for Congressional Districts That Include the San Gabriel Mountains

Dear Citizens Redistricting Commission:

The iconic San Gabriel Mountain range is located north of the 210 Freeway and runs from I-14 on the west in Los Angeles County to I-15 in the east in San Bernardino County. Citizens for the San Gabriel Mountains has recommended as a general redistricting principle that the federal public land in the San Gabriel Mountains be placed in the same Congressional Districts as the foothill and San Gabriel River watershed communities to the south of the range, which form a community of interest. These cities have extensive geographic, economic and recreational connections to the range and share this relationship with each other. These communities are home to the most frequent visitors to the federal public lands in the San Gabriels and they have the highest stake in the management of the range.

The Redistricting Commission has admirably recognized this principle of uniting foothill residents with their federal public lands in Los Angeles County Congressional Districts in all three of its visualizations. **We urge the Commission to complete this effort by placing the remaining section of San Gabriel Mountains to the north of Rancho Cucamonga in the same San Bernardino Congressional District as Rancho Cucamonga and to reflect this change in its visualizations of the district.** This change would also significantly improve the district's design by eliminating the choke point at the I-15 in northeastern Rancho Cucamonga. Currently, to the lay viewer the District looks like two Congressional Districts linked by a small portal. Our recommendation fixes this. Please see the attached a map of our recommended improvements in the district design.

We believe that all three of the current visualizations for the San Bernardino Congressional District fall short of the mark with the most heavily populated foothill city, Rancho Cucamonga, no longer in the same Congressional District as its backyard mountain range, the San Gabriel Mountains. This would be a step backwards. Residents of Rancho Cucamonga look north into the San Gabriel Mountains every day. Like the Pasadena area to the west, Rancho has a very intimate relationship with the range. The range provides a striking scenic backdrop to the city with snow-covered Ontario and Cucamonga Peaks often featured in city promotional materials on city websites. The city's 165,000 residents are frequent forest visitors according to Forest Service visitation studies. Rancho Cucamonga has a park and trail system that leads north into the San Gabriels. The San Gabriel watershed is an important source of the city's drinking water. Like the Pasadena

area, Rancho Cucamonga is located near one of the major gateways to the San Gabriels off of the 210 Freeway. Mt. Baldy Road provides access for Rancho residents and other area residents to Mt. Baldy Village, its ski area, and many famous San Gabriel Mountain trails in the Cucamonga Wilderness. Public safety issues, such as fire and debris management, are important to the city as they are to the other foothill cities in the community of interest.

We strongly recommend that the San Bernardino Congressional District, which includes Rancho Cucamonga, be expanded to the north to include the section of the San Gabriel Mountains in San Bernardino County that is located west of the I-15. Adjusting the proposed San Bernardino Congressional District can readily be accomplished by extending the district north from Rancho Cucamonga to the northern national forest boundary as has been done in Los Angeles County. The western district boundary in the San Gabriel Mountains would be the LA County line and the eastern boundary I-15. This change should be relatively easy since Baldy Village, Lytle Creek and Wrightwood are not heavily populated with roughly one-twentieth the population of Rancho Cucamonga.

It should be noted that the San Bernardino and Angeles National Forest have a strong history of cooperative management of the section of the San Gabriel Mountains that is located in San Bernardino County with a significant portion of it administered by the Angeles National Forest although it is technically located in the San Bernardino National Forest. The Baldy Village ranger station, for example, is staffed by the Angeles National Forest. The Wrightwood area has benefited from forest thinning projects administered by the Angeles. It should also be noted that the forest management issues facing the communities in the eastern San Gabriels – Wrightwood, Lytle Creek and Baldy Village – have far more in common with each other and foothill cities to the south such as Rancho Cucamonga than they do with the vast desert and Sierra public lands in Inyo and Mono Counties. For example, the fire landscape in the San Gabriels is dominated by Santa Ana winds and chaparral-driven brush fires such as the Station Fire; conditions like this do not exist in the desert and Sierras.

Again, we salute the Commission for recognizing the important link between foothill and watershed communities and their public lands in the San Gabriel Mountains. The Commission has done excellent job in Los Angeles County. We urge the Commission to finish this fine effort in the San Bernardino Congressional District in San Bernardino County above the major foothill city of Rancho Cucamonga. With this boundary adjustment the entire San Gabriel range and the citizens who have the strongest relationship with the mountains will be located in a series of well-designed Congressional Districts, which is very much to the public benefit.

Sincerely,

John Monsen,
Citizens for the San Gabriel Mountains

[REDACTED]
Tujunga, CA 91042
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Attachment:

Proposed adjustment to the San Bernardino Congressional District to include the San Gabriel Mountains north of Rancho Cucamonga.


—New_SB_District_Lines.JPG



—Attachments:

New_SB_District_Lines.JPG

141 KB



Subject: New Submission dated 7-22-11 Petition in Support of 1st draft maps LASGF

From: Fabian Paredes [REDACTED]

Date: 7/22/2011 2:23 AM

To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commissioners,

New Submission dated 7-22-11

Please consider adoption of the 1st Draft Maps for State Assembly San Gabriel Mountain Foothill and State Senate District LASGF. **We are submitting additional information for your consideration dated 7-22-11.** The Citizens of Upland and Rancho are submitting additional supporting documentation for the Petition In Support of the 1st Draft Maps for State Assembly San Gabriel Mountain Foothill and State Senate District LASGF. Please maintain the City of Upland as part of the San Gabriel Mountain Foothill and State Senate district LASGF. Our petition represents citizens of Upland in support of adoption of the 1st draft maps.

Please accept our formal petition with additional supporting documentation demonstrating communities of interest, contiguity, and commonality with the city of Claremont, Laverne, etc... We appreciate your hard work and the 1st maps demonstrate COI and Contiguity. Per our petition we are in support of maintaining the city of Upland in the 1st draft maps for State Assembly San Gabriel Mountain Foothill and State Senate District LASGF.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Respectfully submitted,
Fabian Paredes

— Attachments: —

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF 1st MAPS 7-22-11.pdf	575 KB
Petition In Support of 1st draft maps LASGF Part II.pdf	377 KB
MAP FLOW OF TRAFFIC CITY OF UPLAND FROM WEST AND OTHER DIR..pdf	153 KB

July 22, 2011

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF THE 1ST DRAFT MAPS FOR STATE ASSEMBLY SAN GABRIEL MOUNTAIN FOOTHILL AND STATE SENATE DISTRICTS LASGF. THIS PETITION REPRESENTS CITIZENS IN SUPPORT OF THE 1ST REDISTRICTING MAPS EFFECTING COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST IN UPLAND AND RANCHO CUCAMONGA. (Additional Information Submitted as of July 22, 2011).

Dear Commissioner's

We would appreciate your consideration of our Petition in the final redistricting maps for the city of Upland. The information we provided was researched from the city of Upland 2010 Consumer Confidence Report, San Antonio Community Hospital 2009-2010 report, and Cooper's Regional History Museum.

This information provides a prospective on the rationale we believe supports the 1st draft maps that include the city of Upland in the LASGF for Senate and Assembly districts. Please maintain the proposed 1st draft maps for the City of Upland with the LASGF. Where I live I can see the San Gabriel Mountains that surround the foothills. It is quite evident that the foothills are part of our community. The City of Upland list the San Gabriel Mountains on their website indicating a direct relationship of points of interests. (See below) The rationale to include Upland in the LASGF is in the best interest of COI and contiguity.

According to Cooper's Regional History Museum Upland history is directly connected to the San Gabriel Mountains.

However, our 'sphere of influence' is larger -

The valley we are in is called the Pomona Valley **and is formed by the San Gabriel Mountains on the north and a smaller group of hills running**, basically north-south that separate the eastern edge of Los Angeles County. The area we 'serve' is in the western edge of San Bernardino County **and bounded on the west by Los Angeles County**, the north by the Angeles National Forest and the south by Riverside County. The area is some times referred to as the "West End".

For thousands of years this area was populated by the indigenous people known as the Tongva. **In the 1760's the Spanish sent their Catholic Missionaries to California and Mission San Gabriel was established in Los Angeles County. This "west end" of the valley was under its influence.** About 1834, when Mexico obtained its independence from Spain, the missions were basically abandoned and huge tracts of land were granted to favored politicians and military men. Not long thereafter immigration from the eastern United States began, basically as a result of the California 'gold rush'. The southern California climate was very attractive, the railroads moved west and so did the people. The land grants had not been developed to any degree and when offers to purchase came forward, sales were made.

Though the Cooper Museum's mission statement names the communities of Upland, Ontario, Rancho Cucamonga, Mt Baldy, and Montclair these names primarily name incorporated cities. We also refer to our area as the Chaffey Communities because the real "development of the area began when George and William Chaffey arrived from Canada, saw an investment opportunity, started buying and mapping large areas of land.

The Chaffey's purchases primarily involve the communities of Etiwanda (now part of Rancho Cucamonga), Ontario, Upland and San Antonio Heights (unincorporated). Their direct involvement in the area only lasted from about 1881-1885 but their efforts that established irrigation for agricultural development is the reason this area attracted so many people and became so well known for citrus groves and vineyards.



San Antonio Community Hospital report list the surrounding communities it serves based on hospital admissions.

“San Antonio Community Hospital is located in the west end of Southern California’s, where four major freeways converge, linking residents and businesses with neighboring Los Angeles and Orange Counties and providing a direct transportation corridor to destinations throughout the United States. Given this prime location, the hospital has grown to serve a region covering 360 square miles and a population exceeding 1.2 million people. SACH’s primary service area, from which **80% of its hospital admissions are derived, is comprised of the cities of Chino, Claremont, Montclair, Ontario, Rancho Cucamonga, and Upland.** The secondary service area includes Pomona on the west. **Together, these service areas represent 90% of SACH’s total admissions.** The individual communities served by the hospital are distinct, with each city and neighborhood displaying unique characteristics, yet there are similarities across the service area.” (SACH 2009-2010 report)

WE REPRESENT THE CITIZENS IN SUPPORT OF THE 1ST REDISTRICTING MAPS FOR UPLAND AND RANCHO CUCAMONGA AREAS. IT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CITIZENS TO INCLUDE BOTH UPLAND AND THE WEST END OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA WITH THE San Gabriel VALLEY FOOTHILL COMMUNITIES. MANY CITIZENS FROM UPLAND SHOP IN CLAREMONT AND ATTEND COLLEGE AT ONE OF THE CLAREMONT COLLEGES. UPLAND RESIDENTS UTILIZE CLAREMONT COLLEGE EXTENSIVE LIBRARY SYSTEM.

I LIVE IN UPLAND AND WORK IN THE SAN MARINO/ARCARDIA AREAS. ALL MY ACTIVITIES ARE DIRECTED TO THE WEST OF THE MAPS. I UTILIZE THE MAIN POST OFFICE IN LAVERNE, CALIFORNIA OFF OF THE 210 FWY. UPLAND HAS MORE COMMONALITY WITH THE FOOTHILL COMMUNITIES BECAUSE MANY CITIZENS FROM CLAREMONT, LAVERNE AND SAN DIMAS SUPPORT MOUNT BALDY COMMUNITIES BECAUSE OF THE CLOSE PROXIMITY. THE RESIDENTS IN CLAREMONT, MONTCLAIR, PARTS OF LAVERNE, AND RANCHO CUCAMONGA UTILIZE SAN ANTONIO COMMUNITY HOSPITAL IN UPLAND.

UPLAND THE CITY OF GRACIOUS LIVING SUPPORTS AND SERVES MANY CITIZENS FROM THE WEST WITH VITAL EMERGENCY SERVICES. THE RESIDENTS FROM CLAREMONT, LAVERNE MONTCLAIR SHOP AT THE FOOTHILL COLONIES COMMUNITIES. THESE STORES SERVE MANY CITIZENS WHO LIVE IN THE WEST DUE TO its LOCATION OFF THE 210 FWY OF THE FOOTHILLS. UPLAND PROVIDES A FARMERS MARKET THAT IS SUPPORTED BY CITIZENS FROM THE WEST WHICH

INCLUDES: CLAREMONT, LAVERNE, MONTCLAIR AND SAN DIMAS.

THE CITY OF UPLAND'S "Approximately 5.826 billion gallons of groundwater was pumped from seven City wells, seven San Antonio Water Company wells, and three West End Water Company wells, fulfilling 81.71% of our customers' needs. The groundwater produced from these wells was extracted from Chino, *Claremont Heights*, and *Cucamonga Aquifers*."

THE CITY OF UPLAND WORKS WITH THE COMMUNITY OF CLAREMONT TO PROVIDE THE ESSENTIALS OF GROUND WATER FROM THEIR WELLS. THE CITY OF UPLAND COMMONALITY IS DEMONSTRATED IN THE USE OF THESE COMMON SERVICES. THE CITY OF UPLAND DRAFTED A MAP OF THE FLOW OF TRAFFIC FROM THE WEST TO EAST ON THE FOOTHILLS. IT SHOWS HOW MANY CITIZENS TRAVEL INTO UPLAND FROM THE WEST DAILY. (PLEASE SEE MAP ATTACHED).

THE MAP REPRESENTS OVER 25,000 PEOPLE TRAVELING THROUGH THE COMMUNITY OF UPLAND. THE FACTS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES UPLAND'S COMMUNITY OF INTEREST IS ALIGNED MORE CLOSELY WITH THE COMMUNITIES IN THE WEST WHICH INCLUDES THE CITIES OF CLAREMONT, LAVERNE AND SAN DIMAS. I MENTIONED IN MY TESTIMONY THE SHARED SERVICES OF HOSPITALS, WATER AND LIBRARIES UTILIZED BY CITIZENS RESIDING IN THE WEST. THERE ARE MANY OTHER CITIZENS LIVING IN UPLAND AND RANCHO CUCAMONGA IN SUPPORT OF THE 1ST PROPOSED DRAFT

**MAPS FOR THE SAN GABRIEL MOUNTAIN FOOTHILL
ASSEMBLY AND STATE SENATE DISTRICTS LASGF.**

**PLEASE REVIEW THE ATTACHED SIGNATURES OF CITIZENS IN
SUPPORT OF THE 1ST PROPOSED DRAFT MAPS FOR SAN
GABRIEL MOUNTAIN FOOTHILL ASSEMBLY AND STATE SENATE
DISTRICTS LASGF. WE ASK THE COMMISSIONER'S NOT TO
CHANGE TO THE 1ST PROPOSED DRAFT MAPS AND KEEP
UPLAND IN THE WEST WITH THE CITY OF CLAREMONT,
LAVERNE, ETC... PLEASE SUPPORT AND ADOPT THE 1ST
PROPOSED DRAFT MAPS.**

THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT AND COOPERATION.

**Respectfully Submitted,
FABIAN PAREDES**

See attached list of citizen's signatures in support of Petition.

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF THE 1ST DRAFT MAPS FOR STATE ASSEMBLY SAN GABRIEL MOUNTAIN FOOTHILL AND STATE SENATE DISTRICTS LASGF. THIS PETITION REPRESENTS CITIZENS IN SUPPORT OF THE 1ST REDISTRICTING MAPS EFFECTING COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST IN UPLAND AND RANCHO CUCAMONGA.

NAME

ADDRESS

Dr. TALAT KHAN
AKhan

[REDACTED]
ALTA LOMA CA 91737
RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA

AMIN KHAN
Amin Khan

[REDACTED]
ALTA LOMA, CA 91737
RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA



CITY OF UPLAND

2005

AVERAGE DAILY COUNTS

TOTAL BOTH DIRECTIONS

() YEAR COUNT TAKEN



VOLUMES SHOWN REPRESENT AN AVERAGE FOR BOTH DIRECTIONS OF TRAVEL OF 24 HOUR MECHANICAL COUNTS TAKEN AT EACH LOCATION THROUGHOUT THE YEAR.

Subject: Revisions

From: Virginia Comstock <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/22/2011 8:11 AM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

I recognize the work that has gone into the proposed districting. However, I find it uncompromising that communities and marketplace continuums are sacrificed in order to maximize ethnic voting blocks. In fact, I realize that some blocks are advocating for more influence. I live in Rancho Cucamonga and find it deplorable that my neighbors in Upland are set up with Los Angeles County cities. The Rancho Cucamonga, Upland, Ontario, Chino and Montclair area are an identified locale with similar problems and concerns, why is not this area combined--it would not disenfranchise voters or similar groups. Virginia Comstock

Subject: Mt. Baldy needs unified representation!

From: "Darryl&Bea Churchill" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/22/2011 10:48 AM

To: <[REDACTED]>

CC: "Sue Cate" <[REDACTED]>

To whom it may concern, the powers that be:

I have been a resident of Mt. Baldy since November of 1963 (47 + years). I am very concerned that Mt. Baldy area become a block unit for districting purposes, otherwise we become disenfranchised in so many ways! Please consider our community as a whole!

Sincerely, Beatrice Churchill

[REDACTED]
Mt. Baldy, CA 91759