

**Subject:** The new proposed Senate District EVENT

**From:** Jolie Willett [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 17:35:26 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

**To the Redistricting Commission,**

**The new proposed Senate District EVENT does not work!**

Sincerely,

Jolie Willett

[REDACTED]

Calabasas, CA 91302

**Subject:** 36th Boundary decision

**From:** Neal Polan <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:44:55 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Hi,

I appreciate you taking the time to hear "the peoples input" before setting the boundaries for the 36th Congressional District & Assembly District.

My neighborhood and myself ask that you include Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, Palos Verdes, El Segundo, Hermosa Beach, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, Rolling Hills Estates, Torrance, San Pedro, Lomita, Hawthorne, Gardena in the same district. This group of cities has a very similar desire for the role of government in our lives and therefore should be able to elect someone that best represents those desires and goals. Its also important that these requested group of cities become district 36 because we also share the same problems and concerns.

I would like to get more involved with this issue if possible. Can I attend any of these meetings as they take place? Thanks again for all that you do.

Neal Polan

[REDACTED]  
Torrance, Ca 90503

**Subject:** 36th Congressional District and 53rd Assembly District

**From:** "John Bailey" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:01:03 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

**CC:** <[REDACTED]> "Nick Green" <[REDACTED]>

I have reviewed the third proposal for the 36th CD and the 53rd AD. It makes no sense. It will be one of the worst gerrymandered districts in the state.

Splitting up Torrance is insane. It looks like the Commission is not going to honor its pledge for fairness.

Here are two options:

Option 1.

The South Bay should be from Westchester to the entire Palos Verdes Peninsula without cutting Torrance in half.

Option 2.

Torrance should be back in its entirety with El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Palos Verdes Estates, Rolling Hills, Rancho Palos Verdes, San Pedro, Hawthorne and Gardena.

You need to keep us together so the elected officials will live in our community can actually represent us.

Sincerely,  
John Bailey

[REDACTED]  
Torrance CA 90501

[REDACTED]

**Subject:** 36th Congressional District

**From:** "Denise Delurgio" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:08:53 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

We are a community in South Bay. We live, shop, work, and play in this area.  
Our friends live here. We have nothing in common with Malibu or Calabasas!

Please don't stretch our district up the coast for 50? miles, and over the canyons into the Valley.

Look at the map and see how Torrance, Gardena, Lomita, San Pedro, Palos Verdes Peninsula, Redondo, Hermosa, Manhattan Beaches, and El Segundo form a reasonable boundary.

We need our own district.

**Subject:** 36th District

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 18:35:28 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Commission members,

The boundaries you proposed in your first draft are no improvement over the current gerrymandered district. Our South Bay community includes: Westchester, El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne, and Gardena. We have common local interests and these belong in the same district.

This is our community. Our personal lives, business interests, economic interests are bound up together. Please create a district that makes common sense!

Stan & Wanda Yetter

**Subject:** 36th district.

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:48:25 EDT

**To:** [REDACTED]

please vote for a sensible, concise district with PV, RPV, torrance, redondo, hermosa and Manhattan beach in one district. we don't need some long strange boundaries that even include part of the valley? Who is kidding who. These boundaries are supposed to be fair.

steve felstein.

**Subject:** 36th District

**From:** "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 03:12:25 GMT

**To:** [REDACTED]

I live in the South Bay, which is commonly known throughout the state of the communities of Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, the Palos Verdes Peninsula, San Pedro, and Carson. It is my understanding the gerrymandering is planning on deleting Torrance from the district. Torrance is the central part of it! The district should reflect the community, not the whims of politicians needing protection in the next election. Let's see common sense reign.

Susan Nielsen  
Torrance resident

**Subject:** 36th District

**From:** Jolaine Merrill <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:20:49 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

Thirty Sixth District shuld be El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rancho Palos Rolling Hills, Rolling Hills Estates, San Pedro, Hawthorne & Gardena  
This is the South Bay

*Jolaine Merrill, CRS, GRI, SRES*

*Broker Associate*

*Associate Manager*

*Coldwell Banker Palos Verdes*

[REDACTED]  
*Palos Verdes, CA 90274*  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**From:** alisa ismailyan <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 18:57:24 -0700 (PDT)  
**To:** [REDACTED]

"As a member of the Armenian community of Glendale , I wish to support the new Congressional visualization you released on July 14, 2011. I would urge you to favor option two which keeps the Armenian communities in Glendale as well as in larger parts of Altadena and Pasadena as one voting block".

**From:** JOHN RICHARD WENKER [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:12:08 -0700 (PDT)  
**To:** [REDACTED]

Keep Torrance in the 36th. Only Westchester and cities to the south are acceptable to me for the new 36th South Bay district . The long strung out district proposed reeks of the Gerrymandering which has for a long time ignored the wishes of the people in favor of protecting incumbents in California. The areas of Venice and other communities to the north of Westchester have little in common with the South Bay.

J.R. Wenker  
Torrance resident since 1963

**From:** Nancy Thomason <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:48:51 -0700 (PDT)  
**To:** [REDACTED]

Oh, my, my, my.

A whole new 36th District - without Torrance.

Who in the world came up with this scheme?

All parts south of Westchester including Torrance should be part of the 36th.

Please don't fix what ain't broken.

Nancy and Stuart Thomason

**Subject:** Bad Redisricing plan!

**From:** yehuda <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 18:58:44 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

The Santa Monica Mountain/Coastal communities should be together in an east/west District that does not include the north inland communities of Simi Valley, Moorpark, or Santa Clarita! The proposed re districing cut through well established unified local neighborhoods and will be a travesty. Please save our local cultural unity and sense of communities

Yehuda Netanel

Monte Nido

**Subject:** Boundaries for the 36th District

**From:** Linda Mikyska <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:12:57 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Hello,

I understand your committee is waiting for public comment before establishing the boundaries for the 36th Congressional District and Assembly District.

What a pleasure to hear that you are considering the input of the citizens of the district before making your final decision. Thank you for that.

I urge you to include El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, Lomita, Torrance, San Pedro, Hawthorne and Gardena to be in the same district. Our visions, values and goals and the role of government in our lives is very similar and we as the people should be allowed to elect someone to represents us that shares our same ideals. This will not happen when these cities are combined with other cities that have very dissimilar problems and issues.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Linda Mikyska

[REDACTED]  
Redondo Beach, Ca 90277

**Subject:** District request re coastal cities.

**From:** Susan Cloke <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 18:16:08 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

July 16, 2011

**Honorable Commission Members:**

I'm writing to you to express my concern regarding the importance of maintaining a continuous district for the coastal cities in order to provide maximum protection for the Santa Monica Bay.

The Santa Monica Bay is a precious environmental resource and I believe you will agree that it is our responsibility to be stewards of that resource. Moreover, it is a precious economic and recreational resource. Coastal dependent tourism is a multi-billion dollar economic engine in Southern CA. The beaches and the Bay provide recreation for millions of people annually. The Bay is a federally listed impaired water body. In order to have maximum protection we need maximum focus and co-ordination of our work.

However, current maps seem to indicate that the coastal cities are to be divided into three separate assembly districts. I urge you not to allow that to happen. Please keep the Santa Monica Bay coastal cities together as you prepare the new District Maps.

I am a resident of Santa Monica, a former Santa Monica Planning Commissioner, a former Member of the Marina Del Rey Design Control Board, and a former water quality regulator for the State. These positions have given me the unique opportunity to understand the importance of the Santa Monica Bay and the importance of protecting the Bay and enhancing the water quality of the Bay.

Thank you for considering my request and thank you for being willing to tackle this difficult job.

Sincerely,

Susan Cloke  
Santa Monica

**Subject:** Event Senate boundaries do not work...

**From:** "Peter Heumann" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:57:15 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

To Whom It May Concern:

The EVENT boundaries proposed do not work...it aligns coastal communities and the Santa Monica Mountains communities with communities that do not share the same issues or concerns or even geography. The Santa Monica Mountains are a protected National Recreation Area and we should be connected to other communities on the east and west...not Simi Valley or Moorpark. That makes no sense whatsoever. The proposed redistricting does not work. Please reconsider these boundaries. Thank you.

Peter Heumann

[REDACTED]  
Calbasas, CA 91301

[REDACTED]

**Subject:** gerrymandering

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 22:33:53 EDT

**To:** [REDACTED]

Please see to it that Torrance is kept in the 37th district.....

**Subject:** gerrymandering

**From:** June Bostick [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:12:41 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

i am writing to protest the new district that is drawn outrageously.

Westchester should be in the South Bay.

These silly slivers of area on the extreme Westside should be in another area.

Now is the time for a correct district drawing.

June Bostick, voter

36th District

**Subject:** Hawthorne/Wiseburn in the South Bay district

**From:** Bill Anderson <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:28:39 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

To Whom it may Concern,

On behalf of the Board of Trustees of the Wiseburn School District and the Wiseburn Community, I am writing this letter to urge the Redistricting Committee to reconsider the redistricting plan that would move the City of Hawthorne out of its current district. The Wiseburn School District is the second oldest school district in the South Bay, established in 1896. Currently Wiseburn spreads across the borders of two cities and two sections of unincorporated Los Angeles County. Historically, our district was once much larger, incorporating all of the City of El Segundo, nearly all of Hawthorne, all of Lawndale, and much of Redondo Beach, Hermosa Beach and Manhattan Beach. The City of Hawthorne has always identified with the South Bay as its Community of Interest. For years our School District has been split between the congressional districts of Maxine Waters and Jane Harman. Now, in 2011, the Redistricting Committee has an opportunity to finally get it right and put all of the South Bay Communities with the same Community of Interest, in the same congressional district. It is bitter irony that Hawthorne, the Home of the Beach Boys, is currently placed in a congressional district that has no connection whatsoever to Hawthorne's historical roots in the South Bay. I urge you to carefully reconsider the placement of Hawthorne/Wiseburn in THE SOUTH BAY DISTRICT and to consider a community such as Carson, with no ties to the South Bay, for placement in the new district.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

William Anderson

Wiseburn Resident for 20 years

Redondo Beach Resident for 35 years

**Subject:** Keep our 36th Congressional & Assembly District in the South Bay area including Torrance  
**From:** Ines Maria Shipe <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 23:33:15 -0400  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**CC:** [REDACTED]

Hello,

Please keep our 36th Congressional and Assembly District in the South Bay area. The South Bay has always been the South Bay, not the Westside. Torrance is part of it, PLEASE put it back. Look at the phone books, the local newspapers, high school sports, our jr. colleges etc. Even my grandchildren know what makes up the South Bay - our community. Our South Bay starts at Westchester south to San Pedro.

Why would you want to break us up with this improper gerrymandering? Living in El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne, or Gardena we do not have much in common with the proposed cities you want to add on except for the coastline. Our personal lives, business interests, economic activity and everything else are intertwined with our neighbors and our community.

We voted for this Commission to create lines that make sense, not ones that divide our community.

Sincerely,

Ines M. Shipe

**Subject:** Keep the South Bay District Intact

**From:** <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 22:52:47 -0400

**To:** [REDACTED]

To whom it may concern,

We in Palos Verdes expect to be included in the South Bay district. We demand representation which reflects our needs and expectations. We have had enough of gerrymandering, and no representation. If the commission appears more concerned with bias and dilution of our representation, we will have to take legal measures we would prefer not to take.

It would be very sad that while this commission is in it's infancy, it should take an unfair approach to what was expected to be representative, and on the up and up. We look forward to straight lines from Palos Verdes to Westchester. This was initially what we were told would be the case. Gerrymandering the lines should go out with the past. This is the Commission's opportunity to illustrate that the people's vote for them was justified.

Priscilla Clark,  
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA

**Subject:** make the 36th district THE SOUTH BAY ONLY

**From:** "Lazar" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:05:26 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Please stop manipulating the 36 district for your benefit. SOUTH BAY CITIES ONLY INCLUDING TORRANCE.

Steve Lazar

Lazar Design + Build  
[REDACTED]

**Subject:** Please change the latest congressional map which divides Valley Village, CA

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:17:39 -0400 (EDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

**CC:** [REDACTED]

I live in Valley Village, located in Los Angeles, California. I am very very concerned that the new map proposed earlier this week will divide Valley Village into 2 parts. I do not understand why they would redraw the line 2 blocks to exclude my home from the rest of Valley Village when the obvious boundary is and always has been the freeway. I and many of my neighbors purchased in this neighborhood to belong to a a community and a unified district. Please keep us on this side of the freeway.

I live 2 blocks east of colfax, and one block west of the 170 freeway next to the park, again not sure why they would redraw the line to exclude me and my neighbors out when it is just 2 blocks but would be interested to hear the rational behind this.

Please redraw the line so that it follows the 170 freeway and keeps the 25,000 stakeholders in Valley Village in one congressional district.

Respectfully  
David Zelaskowski

**Subject:** Please keep Torrance in the 36th District

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:52:04 -0400

**To:** [REDACTED]

Dear Redistricting Commission:

I am writing to you, to plead with you, to keep Torrance in the 36th District. We (Torrance) are proud to be a part of the great South Bay. We are a fairly large city with a small town feel. That, in part, is due to our neighboring cities. The small communities on the Hill, to the small beach towns of Redondo, Hermosa and Manhattan Beach.

Please go back to your meetings and think again about taking Torrance out of the picture. We may be our own city, but we ARE the South Bay. Please keep up intact.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Roquemore  
Torrance, CA 90505

**Subject:** Proposed 36th Congressional District

**From:** "Jeff Kreager" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 18:42:29 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

The proposed redistricting of the 36<sup>th</sup> Congressional District is unbelievably even less fair than the existing gerrymandered district.

The "South Bay" does not include portions of Santa Monica, Venice and Beverly Hills. The South Bay does include Torrance.

Common sense, fair-minded re-districting would include Torrance, Hawthorne, Lawndale, Palos Verdes, Redondo, Hermosa and Manhattan Beach and most certainly exclude the Westside (areas of Santa Monica and Beverly Hills in particular that are proposed to be included).

Thank you for your consideration.

Jeff Kreager



Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Victoria Talbot <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 01:09:27 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Victoria Talbot <[REDACTED]>  
Subject: VA property in Brentwood district

Message Body:

RE: splitting the VA property into another district.

Brentwood has a very symbiotic relationship with the VA that is mutually beneficial. We have worked hard, side by side, with the administration, to improve and maintain the property and conditions; changing the district would disengage us from our natural partner and create obstacles to our partnership.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Johnathan Pregler <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 01:21:31 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Johnathan Pregler <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Latest maps

Message Body:

I support the map labeled 7-15 congress la opt 1.2. It looks like the best option for West LA and Culver City. One of the mandates for the commission is to group similar interests and demographics and this map does the best for this area. The 7-16 7:47P map will disenfranchise a significant part of west la.

Thanks.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Elin Schwartz <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 01:24:57 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Elin Schwartz <[REDACTED]>

Subject: ReDistricting for Brentwood -- LA 90049

Message Body:

The Brentwood community should not be split into two districts. We are a cohesive community with ongoing interests and problems that should be addressed as a whole -- and not splintered into two districts, e.g.

The VA.

Our community organizations -- BCC, BHA, SBRA, etc. have always worked closely with our representatives offices and splintering the community into two districts will cause a lot of duplication of effort and confusion within the community.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** "Joan Kay: Monte Nido Fire Safe Council" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 01:27:56 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Joan Kay: Monte Nido Fire Safe Council <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Proposed Senate District EVENT

Message Body:

On behalf of our Fire Safe Council sponsored by the California Fire Safe Council we join with the Monte Nido Valley Community Association and the Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation to strongly oppose the proposed Senate District EVENT. We have nothing in common with Simi Valley, Moorpark and Santa Clarita INLAND corridor. Santa Monica mountains/COASTAL corridor shares interests of neighboring coastal communities. We must be left intact.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Roz Gamble <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 01:48:43 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Roz Gamble <[REDACTED]>  
Subject: Brentwood's Redistricting re: VA

Message Body:

1. Keep the VA in our district- we have worked diligently with them to improve, maintain and protect the contiguous land and its use.
2. Many of our programs and governance is done within our zip code area to strengthen our input as a community

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** peter zeegen <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 01:49:59 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: peter zeegen <[REDACTED]>

Subject: our 90049 district

Message Body:

We are very concerned about the proposal for splitting our 90049 Brentwood district and splitting the Wadsworth VA from our district. Our district has worked diligently for many years to keep and maintain the contiguous VA land and its important use. Please do not change the district borders which is so important to its governance.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Mary Helen Michel <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 02:09:35 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Mary Helen Michel <[REDACTED]>

Subject: VA redistricting

Message Body:

Keep the VA in our district. Our Brentwood community has worked hard to maintain and protect the contiguous VA land.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Will and Patricia Stokes <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 03:00:40 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Will and Patricia Stokes <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Current Proposed EVENT Senate District - Does NOT Work !

Message Body:

The current proposal does not include Calabasas in it's common interest area, which is an East-West configuration including Agoura Hills and Westlake Village. We have no common interests with areas to the north-east of us, especially in the San Fernando Valley.

Thank you for your consideration.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Ruth Williams [REDACTED]

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 03:26:52 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Ruth Williams <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Silver Lake Area

Message Body:

You put Silver Lake in the wrong district! It belongs with Los Feliz, the Hollywood Hills, and Burbank.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Barbara Bloom <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 03:35:55 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Barbara Bloom <[REDACTED]>

Subject: VA redistricting NO

Message Body:

Brentwood and its neighbors have worked hard to create a positive relationship with the VA and local community.

I request that the VA be kept in our district- we have worked diligently with them to improve, maintain and protect the contiguous land and its use. Many of our programs and governance is done within our zip code area to strengthen our input as a community

this is prime real estate that developers want to get their hands on to promote selfish causes and change the nature of the West side and services for veterans forever.

Keep the VA in our district

Barbara Bloom

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Redistricting of the South Bay

**From:** Kristy Knowlton <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:38:06 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

To Whom It May Concern:

As a resident of Torrance and a graduate of Torrance High I plead with you to keep the city of Torrance in the 36th Congressional district! We are the heart of the South Bay. We should not be expelled from the other South Bay cities. Our community is all the South Bay cities together, that includes Palos Verdes, Torrance, San Pedro, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Hawthorne, Lawndale, Lomita, Harbor City. I was born and raised in this area all my life of 57 years. This is our home. Please do not divide up our district!!!!

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. James Knowlton & Family

**Subject:** REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE...WHAT'S UP?

**From:** JOEL TOWLER [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 18:20:15 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

**TO THE COMMITTEE:**

WHY ON EARTH WOULD YOU REMOVE THE 36TH DISTRICT FROM THE SOUTHBAY AREA WHERE IT HAS SERVED SO WELL? IT MAKES NO SENSE AT ALL. MANY OF MY FRIENDS IN THE SOUTHBAY AREA ARE QUITE UPSET. AND TO MAKE THE 36TH A PART OF THE BEACH CITIES MAKES EVEN LESS SENSE. SEEMS POLITICAL, THOUGH I KNOW NO ONE WOULD EVER 'FESS UP TO IT. THE OLD ADAGE APPLIES HERE: "IF IT AIN'T BROKE, DON'T TRY TO FIX IT!"

JOEL TOWLER

**Subject:** Redistricting of the South Bay.

**From:** Nosijam <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:18:51 -0400 (EDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

To Whom it May Concern,

I am a citizen of the South Bay in the California district no. 36. When we voted for redistricting, we voted to have South Bay beach cities to be in one district as was voted upon. Now I hear that this has changed to exclude Torrance and to include Venice, Santa Monica, Malibu, Beverly Hills, Bel Air and some other Los Angeles cities that have nothing to do with the interest in the South Bay community. Please put Torrance back in the 36th Congressional District (and Assembly District). The South Bay should be Westchester and south thereof to include El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne, and Gardena. This is our community. Our personal lives, business interests, economic activity and everything else are intertwined with our neighbors and our community.

Thank you.

Alison Jamele

**Subject:** Redistricting the 36th District

**From:** Robert Ishii <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:18:59 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

Why in the world are you taking Torrance out of the 36th district. Also, our district should be from Westchester down to PV. This is our community, not yours!!! Leave it alone!!!

Sincerely,

Robert I. Ishii  
El Segundo Resident

**Subject:** redistricting the South Bay

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:24:31 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

The new proposed district for the South Bay is not to include Torrance?

This proposed district will only serve to keep incumbents in office and is not what the voters had in mind when we voted for redistricting.

Put Torrance back in the 36th Congressional District (and Assembly District).  
The South Bay should be Westchester south only.

Jolene Denova  
Torrance, 90504

**Subject:** Redistricting

**From:** Candy Messer <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:17:05 -0700

**To:** "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Dear Sirs:

I just found out about the new redistricting lines and am unhappy with the results. I'm not sure why the South Bay was changed so drastically. Please keep the cities together that represent the South Bay. We don't need you to add cities further North but keep out cities more local to us. I look forward to seeing a more reasonable district.

Sincerely,  
Candace Messer

**Subject:** Redistricting

**From:** "bonney larson" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:18:13 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

The new proposed redistricting is not aimed at the public good. It should include an actual community. Our community is Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Palos Verdes Peninsula, Lomita, Torrance, San Pedro, South Westchester, Hawthorne, Lawndale and Gardena.

Please do the job correctly.

Bonney Larson

Long time resident of the South Bay Community

**Subject:** RE-SEND -- CORRECTED Testimony on New Visualization Maps

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:12:27 EDT

**To:** [REDACTED]

**CC:** [REDACTED]

To: California Citizens Redistricting Commission

From: Marianne Tyler

[REDACTED]

Playa del Rey, CA 90293

[REDACTED]

(Please note, the 00's after mtyler are zeros.)

**Subject:** Comments on "Visualization" Maps included in the files entitled "2011-07-14 08:42AM assembly la opt1"; "2011-07-14 08:42AM assembly la opt2"; and "2011-07-16 07:47PM congress la"

**Date:** 18 July 2011, 6 p.m. \*\*

**Pages:** 3 in toto

\*\* **Please Note**: This is a re-fax of testimony that I first transmitted shortly after 3 p.m. today. In that text, I inadvertently used the word "visions" rather than "visualizations". The following corrects that error. I would, as result, appreciate your replacing the testimony I faxed at 3 p.m. with this corrected copy. Thank you.

Delivered by FAX to [REDACTED] and emailed to [REDACTED]

Dear Members of the California Citizens Redistricting Commission:

I have lived in Playa del Rey for more than 14 years. On June 16<sup>th</sup>, I testified before you (I was #44) at the Public Input Hearing you held in Culver City concerning the first-draft maps you had created for the Assembly, State Senate and Congressional Districts that include my community.

Today, I would like to offer just a couple of follow-up comments re: the "visualization" maps you have drawn for our area in response to the testimony that you received at the June 16<sup>th</sup> hearing and via the U.S. Postal Service, e-mail and fax.

At the Culver City hearing, I stated that I was basically comfortable with the first-draft State Senate and Congressional District maps, but had grave concerns about the first-draft Assembly District map.

Based on the new “visualization” maps I have viewed on your website, my concerns are now reversed – I am, for the most part, comfortable with the “visualization” Assembly map (LAIHG) but am deeply disturbed by the placement of both Playa del Rey (or, I should say a **portion of** Playa del Rey) and our sister, Westchester, in the new “visualization” Congressional map (IGWSG).

This shift is the result of the Commission’s taking what I felt to be the strengths of the first-draft Congressional map vis-à-vis Playa del Rey and Westchester and incorporating these strengths into the new “visualization” Assembly map while, much to my dismay, incorporating the problems of the first-draft Assembly map into the “visualization” Congressional map. Specifically:

1. Re: “Visualization” Assembly District Map entitled LAIHG (i.e., in the files entitled “2011-07-14 08:42AM assembly la opt1” and “2011-07-14 08:42AM assembly la opt2”

My grave concerns with the first-draft Assembly District map including Playa del Rey and Westchester (i.e., the June 10<sup>th</sup> map entitled “Palos Verdes E. – Beach Cities”) were two-fold:

First, the residents of Playa del Rey and Westchester are citizens of Los Angeles. As such, our history, our municipal government, our issues and our future are those of L.A. The first-draft Assembly map separated us from all this. What’s more, we, as citizens of Los Angeles, were such a small portion of the June 10<sup>th</sup> Palos Verdes E. – Beach Cities Assembly District that I was very worried our voices would be seriously diminished for a decade to come.

Second, I was also deeply worried that the first-draft Assembly District map separated Playa del Rey and a portion of the Ballona Wetlands from the rest of the Wetlands, and, what was worse, from Playa Vista. What happens in Playa Vista profoundly affects what happens in all of the Wetlands and in Playa del Rey. They are very much of a piece and, as a result, should remain together in the same Assembly District.

To my great relief and joy, both of these concerns were addressed in the new “visualization” Assembly map entitled LAIHG. Playa del Rey, Westchester, Playa Vista and the Ballona Wetlands are all included in that district along with another of our Los Angeles community sisters, Venice. Playa del Rey and Westchester not “only” share a history and a municipal government with Venice, we also have a vast commonality of issues, concerns and problems that, thanks to our increased numbers, I now feel will be heard and addressed. And, I truly do not have the words to express how grateful I am for

this.

The one serious downside I see with the new “visualization” Assembly maps for my area (i.e., the ones included in the files entitled “2011-07-14 08:42AM assembly la opt1” and “2011-07-14 08:42AM assembly la opt2”) is the fact that they divide Venice among three different Assembly districts (LAWSC, LAMWS and LAIHG). Venice is an extremely close-knit community, not “just” in terms of issues and concerns, but economically and psychologically as well. Tearing that community apart is wrong on every level, so, for all of the just-mentioned things-we-hold-in-common, I would like to suggest that all of Venice be added to LAIHG.

I realize that including all of Venice in LAIHG would probably make LAIHG too large, population-wise. Consequently, I might also suggest including all of Lawndale and Gardena in the “visualization” Assembly district entitled LAPVB, which would save those communities from being split between districts as well.

2. Re: “Visualization” Congressional District Map entitled IGWSG (i.e., in the file entitled “2011-07-16 07:47PM congress la”):

I strongly object to IGWSG for all the reasons that I objected to the first-draft Assembly District map entitled “Palos Verdes E. – Beach Cities”. IGWSG separates Playa del Rey and Westchester from other Los Angeles communities that have issues and concerns akin to ours. Still more troubling, we make up a far lesser percentage of IGWSG than we would have of the first-draft Assembly District making it even more likely that our voices will be diminished.

And that’s just the beginning of the diminishment of the voices of those of us who live in Playa del Rey. The new “visualization” Congressional Districts in the file entitled “2011-07-16 07:47PM congress la” literally split Playa del Rey in two, with the western half (along with a portion of the Wetlands) being placed in the “visualization” Congressional District entitled WLADT and the eastern half of Playa del Rey going with Playa Vista into IGWSG. This makes no sense and, like the division of the Venice community into three Assembly Districts, is wrong economically, psychologically, issues-wise and, in the case of Playa Vista/Ballona/Playa del Rey, ecologically.

The first-draft Congressional District map entitled “Palos Verdes Est – Beach Cities” had it right, putting Westchester, Playa del Rey, the Ballona Wetlands and Playa Vista together and including us with Venice so our myriad common concerns and issues can be faced, and solved, together.

Thank you so much for your time, your consideration and all the heavy lifting you have done and continue to do. They are most appreciated.

Sincerely,  
Marianne Tyler

**Subject:** senate district lines

**From:** Frances Alet - SBC <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:11:34 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

to whom it may concern:

i urge you to reconsider the current incarnation of the proposed senate district which groups the cities of calabasas, hidden hills, agoura hills, westlake village along with moorpark, parts of simi valley and santa clarita, and excludes malibu.

the cities of calabasas, agoura hills, westlake village, and thousand oaks are nestled along the santa monica mountains, along with the city of malibu. the geographical location of these cities bonds them in common goals as well as services. to group simi valley, santa clarita and moorpark with calabasas, agoura hills, westlake village and thousand oaks makes no sense. we share no services; we have different priorities as cities; there are no communities of interest between these two groups. it would be very difficult to represent both ends of the current district the way it's drawn.

i urge you to keep the cities of calabasas, agoura hills, westlake village, thousand oaks and malibu in one senate district -- not with simi valley, moorpark and santa clarita. calabasas, agoura hills, westlake village, thousand oaks and malibu have a long history of common interests and services. i urge you to re-examine your choices.

respectfully,

frances alet

[REDACTED]  
calabasas, ca. 91302

**Subject:** south bay district of southern california

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:46:23 -0400 (EDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

[votersfirstact@crc.ca.gov](mailto:votersfirstact@crc.ca.gov).

this is an incredibly gerrymandered district as I am sure you realize.  
I think the population would be better served with a cohesive district including Palos Verdes Peninsula, Torrance, The 3 beach cities Hermosa, Manhattan and Redondo.

Then I would suggest for consideration to include as much of Harbor City and Lomita, and El Segundo as possible.

Thank you

Laurence Brody  
South Bay resident since 1966

**Subject:** Special interests

**From:** "Denise Delurgio" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:16:15 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

I am upset that the original applicants were not 'diverse' enough for the politicians. So, they packed the committee with 'representatives' of every minority in California. Since these people were chosen because of their diverse backgrounds did they think that they had an obligation to the group they represented instead of to all Californians.

We have suffered for too many years with gerrymandered districts so that we don't know who has which representative. The numbers of our districts change, and our neighbors on four corners can be in different districts. We can't talk to each other about our neighborhoods.

This committee has come up with the same kind of crazy boundaries that we have lived with for years. Follow city limits, freeways, geographical barriers. Use common sense.

Denise Nolan Delurgio  
Redondo Beach  
36<sup>th</sup> Congressional District, currently

**Subject:** Stop Gerrymandering!

**From:** "Mike McCaskill" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 18:58:23 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

I have learned that your redistricting plans include making the 36<sup>th</sup> Congressional/Assembly district a worse hashup than it was before. Your attempts to gerrymander will not be tolerated. El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne, and Gardena belong in the same district -- period.

Dividing up the electorate to suit your political goals is a major reason California is a mere shadow of the state she used to be.

Be honest, be fair, and stop the nonsense.

B. McCaskill  
Rancho Palos Verdes.

**Subject:** Topanga Redistricting

**From:** Lin Ilsley <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:10:09 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Topanga has been an extraordinarily proactive community, both politically and as regards to emergency preparedness. We do NOT want to have lines drawn by some outside authority that has little understanding of what community means to this particular community. I stand firmly against this, and I vote.

Thank you for your consideration,

Linda Ilsley

[REDACTED]  
Topanga 90290

--

L.H. Ilsley

[REDACTED]

**Subject:** Torrance back in South Bay 36th district

**From:** "Paul Kasper III" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:21:45 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Please put Torrance back in the 36th district with the other South Bay cities....we have common interests.

The 36th district should be Westchester south only.

Paul & Donna Kasper

[REDACTED]  
Torrance, Ca 90503

**Subject:** Torrance matters!

**From:** "George O." <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 18:06:01 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Dear Sirs,

Please put Torrance back in the 36th Congressional District and Assembly District. South Bay should be Westchester South only.

Thank you, a lifetime Torrance resident,  
Linda Olmos

**Subject:** Valley Village

**From:** Stephanie Palmer <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:49:10 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

To Whom it May Concern,  
Do not separate Valley Village and divide us into two! Keep our neighborhood intact!  
Thank you,  
Stephanie Palmer

**Subject:** Valley Village

**From:** "Kelly Newby" [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 19:54:38 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Hello!

I live in Valley Village and have just been notified that there is a redrawing of the map that excludes my neighborhood.

Why would anyone split Valley Village in two parts?

We are any active and vital community. 1400 neighbors participated in our 4<sup>th</sup> of July Parade.

Please redraw the line so that it follows the 170 Freeway and keeps the 25,000 Valley Village stakeholders in one congressional district. The actual lines you should be following are listed here in our local community website.

[REDACTED]

I appreciate your immediate attention in this matter.

Thank you.

*Kelly Newby*

[REDACTED]

Valley Village CA 91601

[REDACTED]

P Consider the environment. Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to.

**Subject:** 36th Congressional District

**From:** Patricia LaGrelus <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:00:31 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Dear Committee:

Please be advised that I am frustrated with the 36th Congressional District as it stands.....it should have recently included the Palos Verdes Peninsula.... North of Westchester doesn't not make a good fit.....the 36th should be Westchester south to San Pedro, including the peninsula....and now I hear that you want to remove Torrance from the district.....that makes no sense to me. It would be ridiculous...please stop playing games.

Sincerely,

Patti LaGrelus  
Torrance Resident

**Subject:** 36th Congressional District

**From:** Norman Goyette [REDACTED]

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:16:02 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

Dear Redistricting Commissioners,

Please restore the 36th Congressional District as a South Bay district, not gerrymandered to Santa Monica and other North Bay communities with which we have little in common. Thank you for doing what makes sense for the good of our community.

Norm Goyette

El Segundo

**Subject:** 36th Congressional District

**From:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 22:10:10 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Dear Redistricting Commission,

I am writing to ask you to keep all the South Bay area neighborhoods south of Westchester, including Torrance, together in the 36th congressional district, These communities live and function together and deserve to be represented by a local representative from our common personal, economic and business neighborhoods.

Thank you,  
Anita Zitta  
voter from San Pedro

**Subject:** 36th district TORRANCE is!

**From:** LAVON <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 23:42:04 -0500 (CDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

Hi,

My name is Lavon Heinemann, and I am a property owner in Torrance, and I am concerned about not being part of the 36th district. Torrance is definitely a beach city, and that is one of the reasons we purchased in Torrance was because of the BEACH-

i am requestiong to leave the City Of Torrance is the South Bay 3th District!!

Lavon Heinemann

[REDACTED]  
Torrance Ca 90505

**Subject:** 36th District

**From:** Gordon Peay <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 20:40:26 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Dear Sir or Madam

Please maintain the 36th district as representative of the South Bay communities - including Torrance. From LAX south (including Westchester) we have much in common. Please keep us together as a group.

Sincerely,

Gordon Peay

Gordon Peay, CFP, CLU, ChFC, MA  
Legacy Financial Group

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Torrance, Ca 90502

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Securities offered through SagePoint Financial, a registered broker-dealer and member FINRA, SIPC. Advisory services offered through SagePoint Financial Advisory Corporation, a Registered Investment Advisor. Neither SagePoint Financial nor SagePoint Financial Advisory Corporation are affiliated with Legacy Financial Group. This message and any attachments contain information which may be confidential and/or privileged, and is intended for use only by the addressee(s) named on this transmission. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivery of the message to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, copying, distribution or use of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please (i) notify the sender immediately by email or by telephone and (ii) destroy all copies of this message. If you do not wish to receive marketing emails from this sender, please send an email to [REDACTED] or a postcard to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Torrance, CA 90502.

**Subject:** 36th District

**From:** "Lance Newhart" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 22:38:09 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Hello,

I recently heard of a plan to split Torrance from the 36<sup>th</sup> district and create a "beach" district. As a Torrance resident who has spent the last 15 years living and working in El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, Hermosa Beach, and Torrance, I can assure you that these cities, Torrance included, make up the South Bay community. In fact, my house in West Torrance is closer to the beach than most of North Redondo Beach. Venice, Marina Del Rey, and Santa Monica are like an entirely different city and have little in common with Manhattan, Hermosa, & Redondo Beaches. Torrance, on the other hand, is an integral part of the South Bay community.

Please do not split Torrance from the "Beach Cities" of Manhattan, Hermosa, & Redondo. We are all a community and splitting this community is splitting a family.

Thank you,

Michael L. Newhart

Hermosa Beach resident, 1995-1997

Redondo Beach resident 1997-2006

Torrance resident, 2006-present

Worked in El Segundo, 1995-2000

Worked in Redondo Beach, 2000-2002 & 2008-present

Worked in Manhattan Beach, 2002-2008

**Subject:**

**From:** <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 2:48:08 -0400

**To:** [REDACTED]

To Whom it may concern:

Please be fair and just. Put Torrance back in the 36th Congressional District (and Assembly District)

The South Bay should be Westchester south only including El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne, and Gardena belong in the same district.

This is our community. Our personal lives, business interests, economic activity and everything else are intertwined with our neighbors and our community.

We in the South Bay ask that you keep us together. This is very important to us. It's not about party or ideology. It's about our neighbors and having a representative who lives in our community and can actually represent us.

Sincerely,  
Marion Haynes  
San Pedro resident

**Subject:** Boundaries

**From:** <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 21:33:10 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Please keep Torrance in the 36th District. Everything south of Westchester should be the South Bay.

Dolores Garren

**Subject:** California Reistricting Commission: Hawthorne

**From:** Jeff Tiddens <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 22:16:29 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of the Fusion residential complex which resides in Hawthorne, CA. Our complex has an interesting location as it very closely borders Redondo Beach, Manhattan Beach (directly across the street from us) and El Segundo. Our residence is full of families with young children and the family feel grows more and more every day. The great part about our location is that we do have wonderful neighborhoods with excellent police and emergency departments near our location, but the negative side is that we do get a good amount of bad elements coming into our neighborhood due to the proximity to some seedier sections of Hawthorne.

The proposed redistricting of Hawthorne would create a very bad situation for our 280 residential units since we rely on a neighborhood watch program combined with quick responses from the Hawthorne police to keep the bad elements from doing more damage to our personal property and God forbid, to any children or residents. By redistricting Hawthorne, the police resources that we contribute our taxes to will be pulled toward the South Central area more often, leaving our residence open for more bad elements to move in. The police resources are already strained, but what will happen to the fairly quick response times we currently have? The delayed response time will create much larger windows for thieves, drug dealers, etc, which we have already had problems with, to do their unsavory business without that fear of being caught. Right now, through the often excellent response times of our police, we have been able to not only thwart these activities in our complex, but to actually send these individuals to jail.

Unless something can be worked out with Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach and/or El Segundo to support our area with police or emergency departments, our residence, families and children will be put into a dangerous environment by redistribution of resources. Please do not redistrict Hawthorne and burden the services for which we pay our taxes in order to live in a safe neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Jeff

**Subject:** COI: Altadena 91001, PUSD, PCC, Franklin, Black American

**From:** Martin Enriquez <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 23:47:40 -0700

**To:** "[REDACTED] we draw the lines" <[REDACTED]>

July 18, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission

1130 K Street, Suite 101

Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County:

COI: Altadena 91001, PUSD, PCC, Franklin, Black American

Attention: Jeanne Raya & Connie Galambos Malloy

Dear Honorable Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

The undersigned person has the following COI's:

[REDACTED] 91001

- 1) Franklin School
- 2) Zip Code , 91001,
- 3) Pasadena City College
- 4) Pasadena Unified

Enclosed is a MAP for the Pasadena Area Community College District.

City boundaries should be respected.

Unified School districts should be respected.

Zip Codes are the domain of the United States Postal Service, a GSE. They are a Federal sanction of sub-units of geography. Therefore well under your jurisdiction to determine for your consideration.

In testimony COI's are give the same weight as county lines.

Sincerely,

Martin A. C. Enriquez\*Marquez

**335 Philip Jordan, Altadena, 91001.pdf**

—2. Pasadena City College 07.14.11.pdf—

---

**2. Pasadena City College 07.14.11.pdf**

—1. Pasadena Unified, ElementaryAttendanceAreas2011-12.pdf—

---

**1. Pasadena Unified, ElementaryAttendanceAreas2011-12.pdf**

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME: Phillip ~~Jordan~~ Jordan

ZIP CODE 91601

CITY OF RESIDENCE Altadena

NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL ~~Alt~~ Franklin

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Pasadena

COMMUNITY COLLEGE PCC

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

SIGNATURE 

DATE 7/18

~~CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN~~

Black American



**Subject:** COI: Saint Alphonsus Church, East Los Angeles, 90022, Spanish Speaking  
**From:** Martin Enriquez <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 23:58:27 -0700  
**To:** "[REDACTED] we draw the lines" <[REDACTED]>  
**CC:** Astrid Garcia <[REDACTED]>

July 15, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County:  
East Los Angeles, Montebello COI's, Spanish Speakers, Ethnic bloc, etc

Attention: Jeanne Raya & Connie Galambos Malloy

Dear Honorable Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

The following persons have the following COI's in common:

339 Yesenia Munoz, LA 90022  
338 Fernando Perez, Montebello, 90022  
337 Carmen Carrillo, Montebello, 90022  
336 Ma. Elena Carbajal, East Los Angeles, 90022

- 1) East Los Angeles, Montebello
- 2) Zip Code: 90022
- 3) Place of Worship:  
Saint Alphonsus Church  
532 S. Atlantic Blvd.  
Los Angeles, CA 90022

Zip Codes are the domain of the United States Postal Service, a GSE. They are a Federal sanction of sub-units of geography. Therefore well under your jurisdiction to determine for your consideration.

In testimony, COI's are given the same weight as county lines.

Sincerely,

Martin A. C. Enriquez\*Marquez

CC: Astrid Garcia( )  
Spanish speakers, Neighborhood School, Community College

**337 Carmen Carrillo, Montebello, 90022.pdf**

— 336 Ma. Elena Carbajal, East Los Angeles, 90022.pdf —

**336 Ma. Elena Carbajal, East Los Angeles, 90022.pdf**

— 338 Fernando Perez, Montebello, 90022.pdf —

**338 Fernando Perez, Montebello, 90022.pdf**

— 339 Yesenia Munoz, LA 90022.pdf —

**339 Yesenia Munoz, LA 90022.pdf**

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME: CARMEN CARRILLO DE PEREZ

ZIP CODE 90022

CITY OF RESIDENCE MONTEBELLO

NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL JOSEPH GASCON

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT L.A

COMMUNITY COLLEGE L.A U

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking,

PLACE OF WORSHIP: ~~Rasadena, St Philips the Apostle~~  
~~Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association~~

ST ALPHONSUS

SIGNATURE Carmen Carrillo

DATE 7/17/11

CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO (MEXICAN AMERICAN)



Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME: Ma Elena Carbajal

ZIP CODE 90022

CITY OF RESIDENCE East L.A.

NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL Garfield

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT L.A.

COMMUNITY COLLEGE L.A.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking,  
PLACE OF WORSHIP: ~~Pasadena, St Philips the Apostle~~  
~~Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association~~

SIGNATURE Ma Elena Carbajal

DATE 07-17-11

CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO MEXICAN AMERICAN  
Lo



Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME: Fernando Perez

ZIP CODE 90022

CITY OF RESIDENCE MONTEBELLO

NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL Los Angeles CC

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SAN ALFONSO L.A.

COMMUNITY COLLEGE LA CC

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking ,  
PLACE OF WORSHIP: Pasadena, St Philips the Apostle  
Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association

ST ALFONSO

SIGNATURE Fernando Perez

DATE 07-17-11



CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME:

Yesenia Muñoz

ZIP CODE

90022

CITY OF RESIDENCE

Los Angeles

NEIGHBORHOOD  
SCHOOL

Garfield High School

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Lausd

COMMUNITY COLLEGE

East Los Angeles

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking ,

PLACE OF WORSHIP: ~~Pasadena, St Philips the Apostle~~  
~~Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association~~

Saint Alphonso

SIGNATURE

Yesenia Muñoz

DATE

7/17/11

CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN



**Subject:** COI: Sacred Heart Church, Altadena 91001, Spanish Speakers, Ethnic Bloc, etc  
**From:** Martin Enriquez <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Mon, 18 Jul 2011 23:39:50 -0700  
**To:** "[REDACTED] we draw the lines" <[REDACTED]>  
**CC:** Astrid Garcia <[REDACTED]>

July 18, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission

1130 K Street, Suite 101

Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County:

Altadena-Pasadena COI's, Spanish Speakers, Ethnic bloc, etc

Attention: Jeanne Raya & Connie Galambos Malloy

Dear Honorable Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

The undersigned persons have the following COI's:

345 Samuel Skiner, Sacred Heart Church, Altadena 91001

344 Monica Garcia, Sacred Heart Church, 91104

343 Jersain Garcia, Sacred Heart Church, 91104

342 Juan Garcia, Sacred Heart Church, 91106

- 1) Place of Worship: Sacred Heart Church, [REDACTED] Altadena, CA 91001
- 2) Zip Code , 91001, 91104, 91106
- 3) Pasadena City College
- 4) Pasadena Unified

Enclosed is a MAP for the Pasadena Area Community College District.

City boundaries should be respected.

Unified School districts should be respected.

Zip Codes are the domain of the United States Postal Service, a GSE. They are a Federal sanction of sub-units of geography. Therefore well under your jurisdiction to determine for your consideration.

In testimony COI's are give the same weight as county lines.

Sincerely,

Martin A. C. Enriquez\*Marquez

CC: Astrid Garcia( [REDACTED] )

Spanish speakers, Neighborhood School, Community College

Enclosures: Pasadena Unified School District

**345 Samuel Skiner, Sacred Heart Church, Altadena 91001.pdf**

— 344 Monica Garcia, Sacred Heart Church, 91104.pdf —

**344 Monica Garcia, Sacred Heart Church, 91104.pdf**

— 343 Jersain Garcia, Sacred Heart Church, 91104.pdf —

**343 Jersain Garcia, Sacred Heart Church, 91104.pdf**

— 342 Juan Garcia, Sacred Heart Church.pdf —

**342 Juan Garcia, Sacred Heart Church.pdf**

— 1. Pasadena Unified, ElementaryAttendanceAreas2011-12.pdf —

---

**1. Pasadena Unified, ElementaryAttendanceAreas2011-12.pdf**

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME: Samuel Skinner

ZIP CODE 91001

CITY OF RESIDENCE ~~out~~ outtedeha

NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL ~~with~~ Wilerd

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Pasadena

COMMUNITY COLLEGE POCC

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking ,

PLACE OF WORSHIP: Pasadena, ~~St Phillips the Apostle~~  
~~Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association~~

SIGNATURE Sam

DATE 7-12-11



CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN  
Isan American

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME:

Mónica García

ZIP CODE 91104

CITY OF RESIDENCE Pasadena

NEIGHBORHOOD  
SCHOOL Pasadena

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Pasadena Unified

COMMUNITY COLLEGE Pasadena City College

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking ,

PLACE OF WORSHIP: Pasadena, St Philips the Apostle  
Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association

Sacred Heart Church

SIGNATURE

Mónica García

DATE

07/17/2011



CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME: Jesus Garcia

ZIP CODE 9104

CITY OF RESIDENCE Pasadena

NEIGHBORHOOD  
SCHOOL \_\_\_\_\_

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT P.U.S.D

COMMUNITY COLLEGE \_\_\_\_\_

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking ,  
PLACE OF WORSHIP: Pasadena, St Philips the Apostle  
~~Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association~~

  
SIGNATURE



DATE  
7/27/11

CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME:

Juan Garcia

ZIP CODE

91106

CITY OF RESIDENCE

Pasadena

NEIGHBORHOOD  
SCHOOL

pasadena

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

PUSD

COMMUNITY COLLEGE

PCC

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking ,

PLACE OF WORSHIP: Pasadena, ~~St Philips the Apostle~~  
~~Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association~~

Sacred Heart Church

SIGNATURE

Juan Garcia

DATE

7-16-11

CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN






# Pasadena Unified School District

Elementary Boundaries December 2010

Consolidation of  
Loma Alta to Altadena and Franklin

Consolidation of  
Burbank to Longfellow and Webster



- ALTADENA ES
- CLEVELAND ES
- FIELD ES
- FRANKLIN ES
- HAMILTON ES
- JACKSON ES
- JEFFERSON ES
- LONGFELLOW ES
- MADISON ES
- MCKINLEY SCHOOL
- ROOSEVELT ES
- SAN RAFAEL ES
- SIERRA MADRE ES
- WASHINGTON ES
- WEBSTER ES
- WILLARD ES
- CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS

Shaded Areas: 2011/12 Boundaries




# Pasadena Unified School District

Elementary Boundaries December 2010

Consolidation of  
Loma Alta to Altadena and Franklin

Consolidation of  
Burbank to Longfellow and Webster



- ALTADENA ES
  - CLEVELAND ES
  - FIELD ES
  - FRANKLIN ES
  - HAMILTON ES
  - JACKSON ES
  - JEFFERSON ES
  - LONGFELLOW ES
  - MADISON ES
  - MCKINLEY SCHOOL
  - ROOSEVELT ES
  - SAN RAFAEL ES
  - SIERRA MADRE ES
  - WASHINGTON ES
  - WEBSTER ES
  - WILLARD ES
  - CURRENT ES AREAS
  - CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS
- Solid Blue Outline:**  
2010/11 Boundaries
- Shaded Areas:**  
2011/12 Boundaries

Source:  
Pasadena U.S.D.  
Davis Demographics & Planning, Inc.0  
December, 2010

0 0.25 0.5 1  
Miles




# Pasadena

Unified School District

Elementary Boundaries December 2010

Consolidation of  
Loma Alta to Altadena and Franklin

Consolidation of  
Burbank to Longfellow and Webster



NEW ES AREAS CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS

Source:  
Pasadena U.S.D.  
Davis Demographics & Planning, Inc.  
December, 2010



0 0.25 0.5 1  
Miles






# Pasadena

Unified School District

Elementary Boundaries December 2010

Consolidation of  
Loma Alta to Altadena and Franklin

Consolidation of  
Burbank to Longfellow and Webster



CURRENT ES AREAS

NEW ES AREAS

CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS

Source:  
Pasadena U.S.D.  
Davis Demographics & Planning, Inc.  
December, 2010

