

Subject: Fwd: Important: Prevent Marginalization of GWNC, Hancock Park and Windsor Squ...

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Fri, 29 Jul 2011 18:36:27 -0400 (EDT)

To: [REDACTED]

We fully support the email we are forwarding and request that you do not change the current District lines.

Mr and Mrs William D. Schulte, Hancock Park Residents

—Important: Prevent Marginalization of GWNC, Hancock Park and Windsor.eml

Subject: Important: Prevent Marginalization of GWNC, Hancock Park and Windsor Square

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Thu, 14 Jul 2011 22:36:37 -0400

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Greater Wilshire, Hancock Park, and Windsor Square stakeholders –

We need your help so that we are not completely marginalized in future California elections. Please forward the message below to the California redistricting commission. Ask them to fix our boundaries. The address to send your email is [REDACTED] :

Dear California Redistricting Commission --

I am writing on behalf of Greater Wilshire, a century-old community in Los Angeles of 50,000 residents.

Your draft maps propose to split us in half. See our details and requests for correction below. The proposed boundary line that you have drawn of Plymouth Boulevard cannot stand. Please keep us intact by conforming to our eastern boundary, which is Western Avenue, in your mapping.

1. **Who We Are.** We are voters who live in the community known as Greater Wilshire in Los Angeles. Our community includes 15 residential associations with histories dating back nearly 100 years. Our two largest and best known neighborhoods are Hancock Park and Windsor Square. Our eastern boundary is Western Avenue. Our western boundary is La Brea Avenue. You have proposed to split us in half at Plymouth Boulevard in your draft redistricting maps.

2. **The Redistricting Mission.** You are obliged to keep our century-old neighborhood intact in your redistricting effort, pursuant to the following governing rule: "*The geographic integrity of any city, county, city and county, neighborhood, or community of interest shall be respected to the extent possible without violating the requirements of any of the preceding subdivisions. Communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.*"

3. **Required Corrections to Draft Maps:**

a. **State Board of Equalization.** Please return us to the LA district for the State Board of Equalization. We lie at the midpoint of Los Angeles, but you have inexplicably removed us and placed us in a district called East, where we claim no community of interest.

b. **Congressional Districts.** In each of the 3 options, you have divided us in half at Plymouth Boulevard. We belong with

WLADT. The westernmost boundary of ELABH should not begin until Western Avenue.

c. **Assembly Districts.** In both of the 2 options, you have divided us in half at Plymouth Boulevard. We belong with LAMWS or with LADNT, but the entirety of Greater Wilshire from La Brea Avenue to Western Avenue must be included in one or the other, not both.

Signed, Your Greater Wilshire Neighbors

John Welborne, Jane Usher, Liz Fuller, Jack Humphreville, Owen Smith, Wendy Savage, Cindy Chvatal-Keane, John Gresham

Important: Prevent Marginalization of GWNC, Hancock Park and Windsor.eml

Subject: Redistricting Project

From: "Westreich, Benzion J." [REDACTED] >

Date: Fri, 29 Jul 2011 22:42:07 +0000

To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am an attorney living in the Hancock Park community and working in Century City. My son and his family live in the Pico-Robertson neighborhood and I therefore spend a lot of time in that neighborhood, having also established relationships there. Our communities are fully integrated, whether for personal/family purposes, business purposes, recreational purposes, and community outlook. Whatever affects one neighborhood and its members affects the other. It is only fair and equitable if Fairfax/Hancock Park and Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood are all in the "LAMWS" district.

My children attend school in my neighborhood, on Olympic. My grandchildren attend the yeshiva on Clinton and La-Brea. I spend most of my waking time in Century City and the proximate environs, having many lunches along the Pico corridor. Many of our needs are supplied by the businesses on Pico and Olympic.

The 2 communities are in essence one community. Uniting our community in a single district will not weaken the representation of any other minority group or community of interest and will provide for a fair representation of our global interests.

Thank you for putting some of Pico-Robertson into the "LAMWS" district, but I beg of you not to divide Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood in half. All of Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood should be in the "LAMWS" district, along with Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park and Beverly Hills. This would be counter-productive to the City and to the communities.

I understand that you have a most difficult, if not impossible, job to do. We and I appreciate your efforts. I am a real estate attorney and frequently get involved in the Master Planning process -- my job is easier than yours because we do what we have to do before the communities and the diverse interests are created. Nevertheless, we understand the importance of providing fair representation of the diverse groups so that they can speak plainly and uniformly.

Thank you for considering this letter.

Benny

BENZION J. WESTREICH
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP

[REDACTED]
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012

[REDACTED] / www.kattenlaw.com

=====
CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Pursuant to Regulations Governing Practice Before the Internal Revenue Service, any tax advice contained herein is not intended or written to be used and cannot be used by a taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer.
=====

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:
This electronic mail message and any attached files contain information intended for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any viewing, copying, disclosure or distribution of this information may be subject to legal restriction or sanction. Please notify the sender, by electronic mail or telephone, of any unintended recipients and delete the original message without making any copies.

=====
NOTIFICATION: Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP is an Illinois limited liability partnership that has elected to be governed by the Illinois Uniform Partnership Act (1997).
=====