

From: tim winkey <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, 17 Aug 2011 16:06:53 -0700 (PDT)

To: '[REDACTED]' <[REDACTED]>

Citizens' Redistricting Commission:

Our comment regarding the inclusion of Cypress and Los Alamitos/Rossmore in a district that includes Long Beach is that the interests of these two inland, Orange County cities would be completely overshadowed by the large, Los Angeles County port city. Having lived and worked in both Cypress and Long Beach, we know that the interests of the two Orange County communities are nowhere similar to those of Long Beach, and that the redistricting, as proposed, would effectively dilute our county identity and political voices.

Sincerely,
Tim Winkey and Joanne DiMeo
Cypress, CA

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Voter <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 17 Aug 2011 10:01:40 -0700

To: christ [REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

Date: Wed, 17 Aug 2011 16:15:30 +0000

From: Kimberly Rodrigues <[REDACTED]@hills.ca.us>

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kimberly Rodrigues <[REDACTED]@hills.ca.us>

Subject: Administrative Order No. 2011-8-15

Message Body:

Good morning! In viewing the link for the Administrative Order, it appears that part of it is missing (i.e., number 2). Would it be possible to email

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Christie Woo-Thibodeaux <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 17 Aug 2011 22:04:55 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Christie Woo-Thibodeaux <[REDACTED]>

Subject: How Can I Be Fairly Represented?

Message Body:

Funny how Westwood could be lumped with Culver City rather than W. Los Angeles. My area just west of Century City has nothing in common with Culver City. Get rid of those red light cams in Culver City. I will never step foot into Culver City or make any purchases there, when the city is out to make \$\$ with a fee of over \$480 when said and done to comply with a red light cam ticket.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Kathleen M. Travers" <arspoet@redistricting.com>

Date: Wed, 17 Aug 2011 18:29:48 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kathleen M. Travers <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Proposed Assembly District 39

Message Body:

Your purpose was supposed to have been to remove artificial boundaries that did not take geographic borders such as mountains into effect, and to remove the politically-driven boundaries that separated people from the community in which they live. In creating Assembly District 39, you have betrayed both functions for my community of Sunland-Tujunga. Instead of grouping us with the foothill communities with which we share the Crescent Valley (La Crescenta, Montrose and La Canada), with which we share the common boundary of the >2,000 ft. elevation Verdugo Hills that separate us from the greater Los Angeles area -- instead of grouping these communities who were united in their fear of destruction by the Station Fire and who are united in their love of the mountains in their backyards and in their appreciation of the surrounding wilderness, and who are united by their semi-rural lifestyle (shared with nearby Kagel Canyon), YOU CHOSE INSTEAD to put us in the east San Fernando!

Valley, an area with which we share NOTHING, with which we have in common NOTHING. I challenge you to visit us in our mountain valley where we live at a significantly higher elevation from the rest of Los Angeles, from where a view of the Santa Monica Mountains or the Pacific Ocean is impossible no matter how high we climb above our roofs, where we live without sidewalks, or sewers or in many cases without streetlights; where our average rainfall is 3x (or more) that of the San Fernando Valley, the San Gabriel Valley or the Basin, where flood is still a constant threat and where on many streets you can be jailed for lighting a cigarette because the threat of fire danger is with us every year, regardless of drought or no; where the houses are built of native fieldstone or river rock, where natural streams empty into the streets, where taking a rock or a plant from the side of the road is illegal and where the sighting of a red-tailed hawk or a golden eagle is far more regul-

lar than a police car; where endangered species surround us, half of a

11 households contain a [probably] unmedicated asthmatic here to save her life, where the sense of history is palpable and known by all the school children, and all the businesses are on one street. I challenge you to visit us and the east San Fernando Valley, and find anything that we share with it, other than the agricultural history that much of Los Angeles shares -- and even that was very different here in Sunland Tujunga, where there was never a corporate farm, but family lots of half an acre, at a time when land in an isolated rocky valley was cheap.

Please reconsider your actions, and put Sunland-Tujunga in district that will offer its residents a hope of representation.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Kimberly Rodrigues <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 17 Aug 2011 16:15:30 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kimberly Rodrigues <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Administrative Order No. 2011-8-15

Message Body:

Good morning! In viewing the link for the Administrative Order, it appears that part of it is missing (i.e., number 2). Would it be possible to email me a complete copy or direct me to where I may find one? In addition, you may wish to rescan/post this item to the website. Thank you!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Redistricting of 36th Congressional District - gerrymandering must be stopped

From: "Archibald, Nancy" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 17 Aug 2011 19:05:35 -0700

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Dear Redistricting Commission,

I am strongly opposed to the gerrymandering of the 36th Congressional District and request that the Commission correct its new boundaries to properly reflect the true "South Bay".

We were promised that the Redistricting Commission would draw up new boundaries that were fair and competitive, but what has been redrawn is unfair and illogical.

As a resident of Torrance, I am requesting the following:

- Put all of Torrance and Lomita back in the old 36th Congressional District
- Keep all of Torrance and Lomita together
- The South Bay Congressional District should be Westchester south only El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes Peninsula, San Pedro, Hawthorne, and Gardena belong in the same district. And the Assembly District should keep El Segundo in it.
- Remove all of the areas that are not defined as the "South Bay" - Dockweiler Beach, Venice, Santa Monica, Malibu, Bel Air and all the way to Beverly Hills, Topanga, Calabasas, Agora, and parts of L.A.

This is our community. Our personal lives, business interests, economic activity and everything else are intertwined with our neighborhood and our community.

I am strongly opposed to this gerrymandering and want a district with commonality.

We in the South Bay ask that you keep us together. This is very important to

us. It's not about party or ideology or ethnic makeup. It's about our neighbors and having a representative who knows our community and can actually represent us.

Best regards,

Nancy Archibald