



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Item for 6/16/11 Business Meeting

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:51 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:44 AM
Subject: Fwd: Item for 6/16/11 Business Meeting
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Fri, Jun 10, 2011 at 7:34 AM
Subject: Item for 6/16/11 Business Meeting
To: Angelo Ancheta <[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio <[REDACTED]> Jodie
Filkins-Weber <[REDACTED]> Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]>

Commissioners:

I have added the following items to the 6/16 business meeting that will require reports from our newly formed "working plan group":

1. Report on the status of Mr. Barreto's RPV analysis
2. We need discuss Q2 results of the over 45% CVAP districts with the use of voter registration data and surname dictionary (and potentially the "rule of thumb" test) so that we are ready to give line drawing instruction on other potential Sect. 2 districts.
3. Decision on Section 5 districts as to how to minimize retrogression.

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
(916) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: alternate fresno hotel

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:47 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:54 AM

Subject: Fwd: alternate fresno hotel

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, Jun 4, 2011 at 1:11 PM

Subject: Re: alternate fresno hotel

To: "Raya, Jeanne" <[REDACTED]> Peter Yao <[REDACTED]> Andre Parvenu

<[REDACTED]>

Cc: Christopher Compton <[REDACTED]>

I'm going to look into that one.

On 6/3/11, Raya, Jeanne <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> there is also a courtyard by marriott a few blocks I think from the venue,

> if you prefer. I haven't checked whether the state rate is available.

>

> --

> Jeanne E Raya, Commissioner

> California Citizens Redistricting Commission

> "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

> (626) [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]

>

--

Sent from my mobile device

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"


www.wedrawthelines.com <<http://www.wedrawthelines.com>>ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--
Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: Ca Supreme court case addressing even and odd numbered districts issue

Miller, Kirk <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:42 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:05 AM
Subject: Fwd: Ca Supreme court case addressing even and odd numbered districts issue
To: Kirk Miller <[redacted]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Sat, May 21, 2011 at 7:32 AM
Subject: Ca Supreme court case addressing even and odd numbered districts issue
To: Angelo Ancheta <[redacted]> Kirk Miller <[redacted]> Michelle DiGuilio
<[redacted]> Jeanne Raya <[redacted]> Vincent Barabba
<[redacted]> Jodie Filkins-Weber <[redacted]>

<http://www.lawlink.com/research/CaseLevel3/7156>

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Car rental

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:48 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:51 AM

Subject: Fwd: Car rental

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 6:27 PM

Subject: Re: Car rental

To: [REDACTED]

right!

On Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 5:50 PM, <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Maria would you pls bring the paperwork for the car? Thanks

Jeanne

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: CDs San Diego

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:46 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:57 AM

Subject: Fwd: CDs San Diego

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, Jun 2, 2011 at 8:58 PM

Subject: CDs San Diego

To: Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]>

Congressional San Diego

Move Poway over to NESD

Put Descanso with Alpine

To bring down deviation for the border Congressional shed from the top of the finger.

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Check out "Influx of Latinos creates new majority in Coachella Valley" on HispanicPro.com

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:42 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:06 AM
Subject: Fwd: Check out "Influx of Latinos creates new majority in Coachella Valley" on HispanicPro.com
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, May 17, 2011 at 10:46 AM
Subject: Fwd: Check out "Influx of Latinos creates new majority in Coachella Valley" on HispanicPro.com
To: Commissioners <[REDACTED]> Karin MacDonald <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Maria Blanco** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, May 17, 2011 at 10:45 AM
Subject: Check out "Influx of Latinos creates new majority in Coachella Valley" on HispanicPro.com
To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Check out "Influx of Latinos creates new majority in Coachella Valley" on HispanicPro.com

To view this blog post, visit:

<http://network.hispanicpro.com/profiles/blog/show?id=2221997%3ABlogPost%3A64052>

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Child care costs

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:38 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:14 AM

Subject: Fwd: Child care costs

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Mar 28, 2011 at 11:16 PM

Subject: Child care costs

To: Connie Galambos-Malloy <[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio

<[REDACTED]>

Connie and Michelle,

A group of us have discussed starting a fund to cover child care costs. The general idea (we have to fill in lots of details) is that commissioners would voluntarily donate their incidental money for childcare. If that doesn't work as a concept/reimbursement policy, we would like to ask commissioners to donate to a childcare fund. We think that there is an individual willingness to do this if we can't do this officially. Before we ask, we need to ask you if this is something you would like us to go forward with.

Maria
Gabino
Jean

--

Sent from my mobile device

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines. <<http://www.wedrawthelines.com>>ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)

(916) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Common Cause

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:48 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:52 AM

Subject: Fwd: Common Cause

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 4:33 PM

Subject: Fwd: Common Cause

To: Gil Ontai <[REDACTED]> Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]>

I believe we should not have Gibson Dunn respond tit for tat to the letter. We get a lot of letters and we don't do that. It seems to me that Mr. Kolkey's letter is defensive and doesn't help clarify but may actually confuse our work, which we are conducting quite well.

I will send along the email from Kolkey to Kirk.

Maria

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 4:04 PM

Subject: Re: Common Cause

To: "Miller, Kirk" <[REDACTED]>

Kirk:

I strongly object to replying to Common Cause with this letter and consequently to posting this letter. This email to you is overly defensive and more importantly, it doesn't necessarily clarify questions that Commissioners have about prioritization of other factors over compactness. This response will create confusion rather than assure us that we are on tract with our application of the criteria and that all we need are minor clarifications.

Maria

On Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 3:26 PM, Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Maria:

We have a couple of ways to respond to Common Cause. One would be to turn the message below into an actual letter to the Commission which could be posted along with the Common Cause letter. I don't think it requires a point by point response, but the analysis below is comprehensive, and might also be helpful to the Commissioners. We

will discuss this on the call tomorrow, but I thought it would be helpful to you to take a look at it ahead of time.

Best wishes. Kirk

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kolkey, Daniel M.** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sat, Jun 4, 2011 at 8:28 PM
Subject: RE: 06.02.11 Letter to CRC re Constitutional Redistricting Criteria
To: "Miller, Kirk" <[REDACTED]> "Brown, George H." <[REDACTED]>

Kirk,

I have reviewed Common Cause's Letter of June 2. There is much to commend in the letter. But its criticisms of Gibson Dunn miss the mark. Let me make a number of points:

1. I agree with Common Cause's assertion regarding the priority of the criteria with which districts must comply. Nothing in Gibson Dunn's Guidelines was to the contrary. Indeed, as noted in the admonition to the materials, nothing therein was intended to suggest any priority other than that set forth in the California Constitution. The order set forth in Gibson Dunn's outline never suggested that a lower-ranked criterion should govern over a higher-ranked criterion.
2. However, the fact that final maps must *comply* with the criteria specified in the California Constitution in the order stated therein does not mean that line drawers must *consider* only higher ranked criteria before even *considering* lower-ranked criteria. Instead, it means that in the event of a conflict between a lower-ranked and higher-ranked criterion, the higher-ranked criterion must control. For instance, even though population equality is the highest-ranked criterion, that does not mean that Voting Rights Act districts cannot be drawn first and then adjusted to meet the population equality standard.
3. Common Cause's letter fails to acknowledge the interrelationship between some lower-ranked criteria and higher-ranked criteria. For instance, the case law is clear that some deviation in population equality among state legislative districts can be justified by the application of consistently applied, neutral (but lower-ranked) state redistricting criteria. Likewise, drawing districts that comply with the geographic integrity of counties, cities, local neighborhoods, and local communities of interest may result in majority minority districts without considering whether those districts were required under the Voting Rights Act.
4. Common Cause's letter also misconceives other guidance provided by Gibson Dunn. For instance, it states at page 3, "to the extent that the Handout or the Gibson Dunn presentation on April 28, 2011 conveys that the integrity of cities and counties and other 'objective geographic boundaries' should be prioritized before the Voting Rights Act or communities of interest, we recommend this also be corrected." We never suggested that the integrity of cities and counties be given higher status than local communities of interest or before the Voting Rights Act. But again, the Commission can certainly direct that maps be drawn to minimize the divisions of cities, counties, and communities of interest and then adjusted to comply with the Voting Rights Act as long as the higher-ranked criterion ultimately controls in the event of a conflict among criteria in the establishment of the final maps. Moreover, in the drawing process, because of uncertainties of whether a section 2 Voting Rights Act district is required and the constraints imposed by the Fourteenth Amendment (which is nowhere mentioned in the Common Cause letter), there is a benefit in following neutral criteria which may eliminate the need to do a section 2 analysis (because a sufficiently large, geographically compact minority population falls within the district) and then addressing the remaining Voting Rights Act issues that the neutral criteria does not address and making adjustments to comply with the Act. But ultimately, compliance with the Voting Rights Act

must control over lower-ranked criteria.

5. The Common Cause letter refers often to the language in Proposition 11, without acknowledging that Proposition 20 made some changes to Proposition 11's language. For instance, it continues to refer to "counties, cities, neighborhoods and communities of interest" (see pages 3 and 4), which was the language in Proposition 11, without acknowledging that Proposition 20 changed this to counties, cities, "local neighborhoods," and "local communities of interest." However, I generally agree with Common Cause's discussion on page 4 that "the economic or environmental or cultural interests of an area might transcend city boundaries" and that in "populous large cities, where the entire population cannot be fit into a single district, [local] communities and [local] neighborhoods might serve as appropriate building blocks for a district and help guide your deliberation about where to split a city or county." I also agree with its statement that "[i]n rural and mountainous areas where the population is sparse, people may have greater identification with counties" and that "[i]n many parts of California, small and medium cities may help to serve as building blocks for districts."

6. Common Cause takes issue with Gibson Dunn's assertion that there is a compactness component to the contiguity criterion. (Letter, p. 5.) In doing so, it takes issue with the firm's reference in its handout to the definition of contiguity in the ballot materials that accompanied the enactment of the contiguity criterion as part of Proposition 6. It concludes that "Gibson Dunn's reading of contiguity with a compactness component requires one to import the definition for contiguity that existed before the ranked criteria of Props. 11 and 20 were adopted." (Letter, p. 5.) This misconceives both Gibson Dunn's materials and proper constitutional interpretation. First, Gibson Dunn's materials very carefully and candidly raised two alternative definitions for contiguity and posed the question for the Commission whether it should take a functional or literal approach to contiguity. (See Tab B of Gibson Dunn's handout.) Second, at page 30 of tab B of the Gibson Dunn handout, the firm carefully noted that in interpreting contiguity, the Commission should remember that there are important differences in language between the criteria developed by the Special Masters in 1973, the California constitutional standards in 1980, and the current constitutional requirements. Nonetheless, we properly noted that the ballot pamphlet for Proposition 6 had provided a definition of "contiguity" as "districts being composed of adjacent territory and not widely separated areas . . ." Where a statutory or constitutional amendment adopts the same words used in the immediately prior text, a common rule of interpretation is that the same meaning that was given the prior text should be given the same words in the amendment. There is not much difference between the language used for contiguity in the 1980 Constitution and the current Constitution. In 1980, as adopted in Proposition 6, the Constitution provided, "Every district shall be contiguous." Proposition 11 and 20 provided, "Districts shall be geographically contiguous." Of course, we have no judicial decision interpreting "contiguity" under Propositions 11 and 20. Accordingly, the Gibson Dunn handout noted an alternative definition for contiguity as merely meaning that the entirety of the district be connected, citing the definition of the Arizona and Colorado courts. Common Cause acknowledges that this alternative definition was presented by Gibson Dunn and suggests that the Commission adopt this definition. Thus, Common Cause is urging a definition adopted by two other states' courts, rather than the definition reflected in California ballot arguments, later endorsed by the California Supreme Court in 1992 as the proper definition of contiguity. While Common Cause is quite right that the definition of contiguity cannot import in its entirety the lower-ranked compactness criterion, whether contiguity merely requires that the entire district be connected (even by narrow corridors) is another matter entirely, which merits more discussion.

7. We could certainly prepare a letter in response to the Common Cause letter if the Commission so requests.

Daniel M. Kolkey

GIBSON DUNN

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

San Francisco, CA 94105-2933

Tel [REDACTED] • Fax [REDACTED]

www.gibsondunn.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Barabba, Vincent <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sat, Jun 4, 2011 at 12:01 PM
Subject: Re: 06.02.11 Letter to CRC re Constitutional Redistricting Criteria
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> "Wilcox, Rob" <[REDACTED]> "Filkins-Webber, Jodie" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "DiGuilio, Michelle" <[REDACTED]> "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Andre Parvenu <[REDACTED]> Cynthia Dai <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]> "Galambos-Malloy, Connie" <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> Lilbert Ontai <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]> Michael Ward <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Peter Yao <[REDACTED]> Stanley Forbes <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Christina Shupe <[REDACTED]> "Ancheta, Angelo" <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]>

As we get close to the release of the maps...we need to make sure the public understands that the maps reflect the extent to which we are following the Constitutional mandates. We also have to remove or correct any comments that leave the impression that we are doing otherwise. I would think a quick and public response to Feng's note is required.

Vince

On Sat, Jun 4, 2011 at 11:24 AM, Ancheta, Angelo <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I think we should definitely reconcile the various legal issues. There's also a difference between what's on paper and what we've been doing in practice in instructing Q2. For the most part, I think we've been consistent in practice with the state constitutional mandates, but during our discussions we do occasionally intermix the requirements.

Angelo

On Fri, Jun 3, 2011 at 10:28 PM, DiGuilio, Michelle <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Based on the comments in the attached letter from Kathy Feng of Common Cause, it appears that there are potential legal inaccuracies (or at least inconsistencies) within the Gibson Dunn material.

I would like to submit a request to the Chair, Vice Chair, legal counsel and Legal Advisory Committee to investigate the issues raised in the attached letter in order to report to the commission

any findings and/or recommendations addressing these issues.

Thank you,

Michelle

On Fri, Jun 3, 2011 at 4:19 PM, Claypool, Daniel <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Commissioners,

For you information.

Christina, please post.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Kathay Feng <[REDACTED]>
Date: Fri, Jun 3, 2011 at 11:47 AM
Subject: 06.02.11 Letter to CRC re Constitutional Redistricting Criteria
To: [REDACTED] "Wilcox, Rob" <[REDACTED]>

From: Kathay Feng
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2011 11:49 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: 'Steven J. Reyes'
Subject: 06.02.11 Letter to CRC re Constitutional Redistricting Criteria

We commend the Commission for its continued dedication and commitment in administering the redistricting reform mandates ushered in by California voters. Your rigorous schedule of community hearings has demonstrated an attentiveness and respect for the process and for members of the public who themselves have often sacrificed time and expense to actively participate in the process and provide the Commission with their views.

As you weigh the significant volume of public testimony and redistricting plans submitted to the Commission, we felt it important to provide our views on key portions of the redistricting criteria, as enumerated in the California Constitution. As two of the key authors of Proposition 11, we hope that our perspective will help clarify the plain language of those criteria and assist you in applying those redistricting criteria. It is important to note that we do not want to comment on any of the proposed plans or testimony; our comments are focused solely on the proper application of the

Constitutional redistricting criteria.

We are attaching the full letter for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kathay Feng

Executive Director, California Common Cause



www.commoncause.org/ca



CommonCauseCA



California Common Cause

--

Daniel M. Claypool

Executive Director

Citizens Redistricting Commission

Tel: 

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

--

Michelle R. DiGuilio, Commissioner

California Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov



|

--

Angelo Ancheta
Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)

(916) 

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message.

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA. 95814
[REDACTED] (o)
(916) [REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814
[REDACTED] (o)
(916) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: CRC_Chair_Tips.doc [redacted]

Miller, Kirk <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:40 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:12 AM
Subject: Fwd: CRC_Chair_Tips.doc ([redacted])
To: Kirk Miller <[redacted]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Wed, Apr 20, 2011 at 3:16 PM
Subject: Re: CRC_Chair_Tips.doc ([redacted])
To: [redacted], [redacted], [redacted], [redacted]

I've already let Gil and Vincent know that I placed in doogle docs a while back.

MB

On 4/20/11, [redacted] <[redacted]> wrote:

> I've shared a document with you:
>
> CRC_Chair_Tips.doc
> https://docs.google.com/a/crc.ca.gov/document/d/1zRO98xDO3UFS78CjKaP1blh3UtvPiu_C19X1jfU488A/edit?hl=en

>
> It's not an attachment -- it's stored online at Google Docs. To open this
> document, just click the link above.

>
> Vince, Gabino, Gil, and Maria--
> This version of Tips for the Chair includes input from Cyn, Mike, Connie,
> and Jodie. Not sure if Maria added anything, but this is your opportunity
> to add to it based on your experience as chair. Vince and I are planning to
> further refine to integrate our thoughts on public hearings and will share
> with the rest of the Commission when it's a little more baked. Feel free to
> add/edit after reflecting on your experiences. Every chair should be better
> than the last!

>
> --}cyn
>

--
Sent from my mobile device

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines. <<http://www.wedrawthelines.com>>[ca.gov](http://www.wedrawthelines.com)

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Culver City and Fresno Business Meetings (June 16 and 23)

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>
To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 10:59 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]>
Date: Thu, Jun 16, 2011 at 7:11 AM
Subject: Fwd: Culver City and Fresno Business Meetings (June 16 and 23)
To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

PRA request

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]>
Date: Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 3:08 PM
Subject: Culver City and Fresno Business Meetings (June 16 and 23)
To: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> Daniel Claypool
<[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio
<[REDACTED]> Angelo Ancheta
<[REDACTED]> Peter Yao <[REDACTED]> Jodie
Filkins-Weber <[REDACTED]> Kirk Miller
<[REDACTED]>

It is possible (not confirmed) that we will do line drawing with Q2 at the business meetings on the above dates. Please stay tuned.
Maria

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Marian M. Johnston





Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: Draft Guidelines for Statewide and Regional Plans

Miller, Kirk <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:40 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:09 AM
Subject: Fwd: Draft Guidelines for Statewide and Regional Plans
To: Kirk Miller <[redacted]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 9:29 PM
Subject: Re: Draft Guidelines for Statewide and Regional Plans
To: "Ancheta, Angelo" <[redacted]>
Cc: Andre Parvenu <[redacted]>, Connie Galambos-Malloy <[redacted]>
Cynthia Dai <[redacted]>, Gabino Aguirre <[redacted]>, Jeanne Raya
<[redacted]>, Jodie Filkins-Webber <[redacted]>, Lilbert Ontai
<[redacted]>, Michael Ward <[redacted]>, Michelle DiGuilio
<[redacted]>, Peter Yao <[redacted]>, Stanley Forbes <[redacted]>
Vincent Barabba <[redacted]>, Daniel Claypool <[redacted]>, Kirk Miller
<[redacted]>

This is extremely helpful. Thanks so much for putting this together.

Maria

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 7:50 PM, Ancheta, Angelo <[redacted]> wrote:

Greetings. Attached is a draft set of guidelines for the presentation of statewide and regional plans to the Commission. Kirk, Gibson Dunn, and Q2 have reviewed the document, and the Technical/Outreach advisory committee will be discussing the document at its meeting on Wednesday afternoon. Please feel free, of course, to comment on the draft or to participate in the discussion on Wednesday.

Angelo

--

Angelo Ancheta
Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Draft Message From Commission On Release of Draft Maps June 10, 2011

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:46 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:58 AM

Subject: Fwd: Draft Message From Commission On Release of Draft Maps June 10, 2011

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 8:22 PM

Subject: Re: Draft Message From Commission On Release of Draft Maps June 10, 2011

To: "Dai, Cynthia" <[REDACTED]>

I will also take a close look.

On Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 6:05 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Rob--

I would temper the message because there will be a lot of people who will be disappointed despite our best efforts. A key point is that the Commission was tasked with balancing the needs of all Californians (as opposed with individuals and groups who advocated for specific causes or areas). I'll think about this some more tonight and get more specific feedback to you by tomorrow.

--}cyn

On Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 11:40 AM, Wilcox, Rob <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Commissioners:

Working with Commissioners Barabba and Raya, I have crafted a message for the Commission on the release of the draft maps on June 10, 2011.

The message is intended to capture the dedication and purpose of the Commission. The technical aspects of the process i.e. specific criteria, population deviations, etc... will be dealt with in another document to be attached to the maps. This message is intended to be released at 8:00 a.m. on June 10th before the release of the draft maps.

Releasing this early on June 10th will enable the Commission to get its own message out in advance, setting the tone.

--

Rob Wilcox

Director of Communications
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy At Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](http://www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\[REDACTED\]](tel:(916) [REDACTED]) (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: el monte city file

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:44 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:04 AM

Subject: Fwd: el monte city file

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, May 28, 2011 at 9:55 AM

Subject: el monte city file

To: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

<http://healthyelmonte.org/City%20of%20El%20Monte%20Health%20and%20Wellness%20Element%20Public%20Review%20Draft%20April%202011.pdf>

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: E-Mails to Commissioners

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:37 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:29 AM
Subject: Fwd: E-Mails to Commissioners
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dai, Cynthia** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Mar 22, 2011 at 8:25 PM
Subject: Re: E-Mails to Commissioners
To: "Ancheta, Angelo" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Rob Wilcox <[REDACTED]> Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]> Andre Parvenu <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Connie Galambos-Malloy <[REDACTED]> Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> Jodie Filkins-Webber <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Lilbert Ontai <[REDACTED]> Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]> Michael Ward <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio <[REDACTED]> Peter Yao <[REDACTED]>
Stanley Forbes <[REDACTED]> Vincent Barabba <[REDACTED]>

Actually, I wonder if we are required to post this as public comment 😊? Kirk?

--}cyn

On Tue, Mar 22, 2011 at 8:24 PM, Ancheta, Angelo <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Rob -- While Mr. Quinn and Mr. Maviglio are free to engage in a colloquy with each other, I don't think it is appropriate for them to be sending CCs to the Commissioners. Presumably, this exchange is not public comment. My feeling is that they should be admonished to confine their remarks to the public media.

Angelo

--

Angelo Ancheta
Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814
[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Final Security Plan

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:48 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:53 AM

Subject: Fwd: Final Security Plan

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller

Chief Counsel

Citizens Redistricting Commission

901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A

Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)

[\[REDACTED\] \(916\) \[REDACTED\]](tel:(916) [REDACTED]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: First Week of Hearings

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:39 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:13 AM
Subject: Fwd: First Week of Hearings
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Apr 18, 2011 at 12:51 PM
Subject: Fwd: First Week of Hearings
To: [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Apr 18, 2011 at 12:50 PM
Subject: Fwd: First Week of Hearings
To: Karin MacDonald <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tony Quinn** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Apr 18, 2011 at 10:44 AM
Subject: First Week of Hearings
To: [REDACTED]

My Dear Commissioners and Staff:

I watched with some concern your "wrap up" session in Merced and I can assure you that if you do not instruct your staff to provide you with more precise information on the state's population and geography you will waste hours in endless confusion.

As a start, your staff should be telling you what options you don't have, so you could take them off the table. The language in SEC 2 (d) (5) that "nearby areas of population are not bypassed for more distant population" means you may not cross large mountain ranges or string together distant populations, save perhaps for VRA purposes. Thus you may not run a district from Redding to Eureka, or from San Luis Obispo to Bakersfield, or from Modesto to Salinas.

Given this constitutional restraint, your staff should have divided northern California into its logical parts: the north coast, Bay Area, central coast, Sacramento Valley, Central Valley. Your staff should have told you how many districts are allowed in each region, and where population overlap may require crossing regional lines. They should include regional maps overlaid with transportation corridors, and you would see how the districts must be built. Then you could have had a useful discussion about how to draw districts within these regions. You would not be wasting your time with such things as divided San Luis Obispo County at the Cuesta Grade.

If you would like to see how this should be done, you need look no further than the 1991 Supreme Court Masters report in *Wilson v. Eu*, which explains the regions. It is the 1991 Masters lines that transformed California from the largely all-white (and male) legislature for whom I drew district lines to the diverse legislature we have today.

Further, I am just astounded that you went to three of the four Section 5 counties without any of your Voting Rights Act attorneys and prior to your VRA training. You wasted an incredible amount of your time. Section 5 requires that counties such as Merced and Kings be included in districts with certain levels of minority population. Apparently no one on your staff supplied you with the minority population numbers that are required for these counties. I was interested in Bakersfield that the farm worker representative said she was satisfied with the current divisions of Latino neighborhoods. That is because they were so organized in 1991 to meet the requirements of the Voting Rights Act. Unless you are going to short change the farm workers, you will be required to maintain minority voting populations as they are now and your range of options are greatly limited. Your staff should have explained this to you before you went there.

The same is true along the Central Coast, which is actually a fairly simple division. Your staff should have told you that Monterey County, a Section 5 county with 55 percent Latino population, cannot be combined with San Luis Obispo County because that would dilute the Latino population. I assume no one on this commission wishes to defy the Justice Department and that you actually want to comply with the Voting Rights Act. Well then, you staff better tell you what the numbers are.

Secondly, you cannot run that county out to Bakersfield because the state criteria will not allow it. In fact, you cannot divide San Luis Obispo County at the Cuesta Grade or anyplace else; it must combine south with Santa Barbara County.

Your staff should begin each hearing with an overview of the geography of the area, and if they had you would have learned that northern Santa Barbara County actually has a geographic affinity to San Luis Obispo County, making it much easier for you to instruct your staff on how to do this particular set of districts.

If you had properly regionalized the state, you could have told the people who testified the options you will have in drawing districts for their communities. You can ask for their input but at this point you should also be able to discuss with them the population restraints you will be under. You left the impression that everyone could cut their slice of the pie right out of the middle.

Finally, I thought it was most telling that when a commissioner asked in Bakersfield where the growth had occurred your staff could not tell you. The final census figures have been out for weeks, how can it be that your staff is coming to these hearings without information on where the growth has taken place? Your job is to draw district lines based in that growth; how can you do that if you don't know.

And now you are heading into Los Angeles where the complexities will increase one hundred fold. I certainly hope your briefings will include a detailed discussion of the demographics of Los Angeles, with color coded census tract maps so you can see the different areas of ethnic population. Here you will for the first time confront Section 2 issues. As an example, almost all the current Section 2 districts in Los Angeles are underpopulated. I hope your staff is prepared to discuss with you the difficult decisions you will face in retaining all these districts.

Frankly, from your first week of hearings you look like you are trying to build an airplane without considering the engine.

Tony Quinn

New E-Mail: [REDACTED]

New Home Page:

<http://www.tonyquinnhomepage.com>

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: fresno - surname matched registration for api population

Ontai, Lilbert <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 3:00 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ontai, Lilbert** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, Jun 8, 2011 at 10:52 AM
Subject: Re: fresno - surname matched registration for api population
To: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

Thank you. Gil

On Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 10:03 AM, Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> wrote:

hello commissioner ontai
first, please accept my apology that this document gets to you so late! it's been pretty crazy at line-drawing headquarters over the past week!
i am attaching a file for fresno that has some maps and a stat overview for the various groups within the api category for which the statewide database surname matches the registration files.
i hope you'll find this helpful. please let me know if you have questions.
i will see you this afternoon!
best,
.
karin

--
Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--
Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: friday morning @crc

Ontai, Lilbert <[REDACTED]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 2:59 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Claypool, Daniel <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 8:22 AM

Subject: Re: friday morning @crc

To: "Blanco, Maria" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: [REDACTED] Gil Ontai <[REDACTED]>

Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]>

Karin

Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

Rob Wilcox <[REDACTED]>

Jeanne Raya

<[REDACTED]>

I believe that the commission needs to see four full maps, at a minimum, in order to complete their vote. All else sounds good.

On Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 7:31 AM, Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]> wrote:
agreed

On Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 6:53 AM, <[REDACTED]> wrote:
I think this presentation is needed. Kirk

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: "Ontai, Lilbert" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 9 Jun 2011 06:23:42 -0700

To: Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

Rob Wilcox <[REDACTED]>

Daniel

Claypool <[REDACTED]>

Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

Blanco,

Maria <[REDACTED]>

Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: friday morning @crc

Gabino, This is your decision to make.

On Wed, Jun 8, 2011 at 11:37 PM, Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> wrote:
hello commissioners ontai and aguirre,

i am wondering what you would like me to do on friday morning. i am on the agenda from 9:30 to 11:30 apparently, and i am thinking through what might be helpful for the commission.

at this point, my thought was to prepare a ppt presentation that has all of the districts that were changed since yesterday so i can walk the crc through those (briefly - i will NOT have a mapper or a mapping machine with me). does this sound alright? or what did you have in mind?

best regards,

karin

--

Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Daniel M. Claypool
Executive Director
Citizens Redistricting Commission
Tel: [REDACTED]
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

--

Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: From Tea Party Website

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:44 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:04 AM
Subject: Fwd: From Tea Party Website
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sat, May 28, 2011 at 9:21 PM
Subject: From Tea Party Website
To: "Wilcox, Rob" <[REDACTED]> Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> Gil Ontai
<[REDACTED]> Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "Brown, George H." <[REDACTED]>

FYI for Public Information Committee regarding messaging.

<http://www.uncoverage.net/2011/05/please-email-today-to-fight-california-congressional-district-gerrymandering/>

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
(916) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[redacted]>

Fwd: Imperial and SD counties

Miller, Kirk <[redacted]>
To: Lonn Leitch <[redacted]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:47 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:55 AM
Subject: Fwd: Imperial and SD counties
To: Kirk Miller <[redacted]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Fri, Jun 3, 2011 at 5:21 PM
Subject: Re: Imperial and SD counties
To: "Dai, Cynthia" <[redacted]> Gabino Aguirre <[redacted]>
Cc: "Brown, George H." <[redacted]>

Cynthia,

I have long been in favor of this idea. The quasi border assembly district we just created because of the Section compact AD in San Diego does not work. It is all of Imperial and then pushes up to the middle of San Diego county in a way that is not compact, for which we have no COI, and connect 2 areas that have absolutely nothing in common (rural east SAn diego with Imperial Vllej).

We keep repeating that folks wanted to keep Coachella whole but there testimony was more nuanced: we heard testimony from members of the West Coachella Valley who stated that they did not want to be with the farmworker/agricultural Imperial Valley because they were a rather different tourist, high income area. This was their major concern, as much as not wsanting Coachella split. If we did split Coachella and the resort/tourist/high income section of Coachella did not get united with Imperial County, I think that they would be staisfied that they have not been place with an agricultural area. Moreover, we did hear that the agricultural areas of east Coachella and Imperial County had a lot in common.

Finally, I don't think we can have a hard and fast rule to not split a region or county when there are COIs to consider and where we are putting those areas whole in other districts (Congress and Senate).

Maria

On Fri, Jun 3, 2011 at 12:35 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[redacted]> wrote:
Hey, Riverside and San Diego note-takers--

I just wanted to share the thought that if our mappers get stuck, I think we should seriously consider dividing Coachella in the assembly district. We keep them whole in the Senate and Congressional districts, and everywhere else, we have shared the pain. I think this might allow us to keep some other communities whole, and not screw up San Diego too much once we draw the Section 2 district there. We got a lot of conflicting testimony in this area, and I thought Gabino's reminder about the testimony about agriculture/food industry which links part of Coachella Valley w/Imperial Valley vs. Palm Springs' affluent, tourism focus is a reasonable split. Another alternative was the one

which splits Imperial County around the Salton Sea and keeps Coachella whole, which was almost a perfect Assembly district (463k), but I think the rest of the Commission was unwilling to split Imperial County.

Am copying the Chair/Vice Chair.

--}cyn

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\[REDACTED\] \(916\) \[REDACTED\]](tel:(916) [REDACTED]) (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Look at definition of west contra costa as a school district and defined community

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:46 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:58 AM
Subject: Fwd: Look at definition of west contra costa as a school district and defined community
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 2:49 PM
Subject: Look at definition of west contra costa as a school district and defined community
To: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--
Kirk E. Miller

Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Los Angeles Assembly Maps - Can we have early call this morning

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>
To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 10:58 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]>
Date: Thu, Jun 16, 2011 at 7:13 AM
Subject: Fwd: Los Angeles Assembly Maps - Can we have early call this morning
To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

PRA

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, Jun 8, 2011 at 8:41 AM
Subject: Re: Los Angeles Assembly Maps - Can we have early call this morning
To: "Blanco, Maria" <[REDACTED]>

keep me posted. can't promise how much they can fix today but you know they will do what they can!!!

.
k

On Wed, Jun 8, 2011 at 8:06 AM, Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]> wrote:

- >
- > Folks:
- > I have have spent time since we ended last night reviewing our Assembly maps and I have Section 2 legal concerns that I would like to discuss in order to make some changes before our release of draft maps on Friday.
- > In LA County our Assembly maps 2 less Latino CVAP districts than in the current Assembly maps. While population in general has shifted from LA to the Inland Empire, I do not believe that Los Angeles has lost Latinos in the last decade. I am concerned that we will face a dilution claim.
- > The dilution seems to be due to in great part to the super packed Pico Montebello district which is at 79% LCVAP. This packing has a eliminated the previous Section 2 45th AD to the left which we earlier had as a Section 2 Latino downtown district and where we had said we would do a RPV analysis because it was over 50% LCVAP. The packing has also led to the complete elimination of the current 46th AD which is a Section 2 LCAVP district (Mayflower, Huntington Park etc).
- >
- > Overall our maps have eliminated LCVAP districts in the Coachella Valley, Santa Ana, and 2 in LA.
- > I think this is problematic and could be easily remedied today.
- >
- > Maria
- >
- > --
- >
- > María Blanco, Commissioner
- >
- > Citizens Redistricting Commission
- > "Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

>

>

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Marian M. Johnston





Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Meeting of March 24 and 25.

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:36 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:38 AM
Subject: Fwd: Meeting of March 24 and 25.
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Mar 22, 2011 at 2:05 PM
Subject: Meeting of March 24 and 25.
To: Andre Parvenu <[REDACTED]> Angelo Ancheta <[REDACTED]> "Blanco, Maria"
<[REDACTED]> Connie Galambos-Malloy <[REDACTED]> "Dai, Cynthia"
<[REDACTED]> Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]> Gil Ontai <[REDACTED]>
Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> Jodie Filkins-Weber <[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio
<[REDACTED]> Mike Ward <[REDACTED]> Peter Yao <[REDACTED]> Stan
Forbes <[REDACTED]> Vincent Barabba <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]> Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commissioners:

I have created a group on my Google mail for the CRC so from here forward all commissioners should now be receiving emails. Sorry for any omissions.

To recap: We will be meeting at the SOS offices on March 24th and at the Capitol on March 25. The list of agenda items for the advisory committees has been growin as many and most of you have been submitting items to place on the respective meeting agendas.

The Technical Committee and the Outreach Committee will hold a joint meeting to help refine our needs for the imminent input meetings. To tee up the discussion for the meetings two Commissioners will be meeting with our new technical experts to identify needs and solutions for capturing input information.

The Public Information committee will be considering how to deal with the increasing and frequent number of public comments, many of which occur during our meetings.

The Legal Committee will be working on the firewall language and discuss how we will interfact with our new VRA lawyers.

Finance and Administration will carry over items from the last meeting.

ON ANOTHER NOTE: I ask that you be patient with staff and not expect immediate responses or corrections that you ask of them. Overwhelming them with emails acutally compounds the problem. Wait a while before you complain

something has not been done or addressed per your request - in most cases it is being addressed.

Maria

--

María Blanco
Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

(o)
(916) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: my last draft maps

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:53 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:39 AM

Subject: Fwd: my last draft maps

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, Jun 8, 2011 at 8:33 PM

Subject: Re: my last draft maps

To: "DiGuilio, Michelle" <[REDACTED]>

Yes. I replied. Sorry it didn't go through. Will bring tomorrow to meeting.

On 6/8/11, DiGuilio, Michelle <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> hey maria.... i left a voice mail at a 213 number, but just wanted to
> mention that you still have my last set of draft maps. just bring them
> tomorrow and i'll pick them up in the morning.
> thanks!

>

>

> --

> Michelle R. DiGuilio, Commissioner

> California Citizens Redistricting Commission

> *"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"*

> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov <<http://www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov>>

> (866) [REDACTED]

>

--

Sent from my mobile device

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.com <<http://www.wedrawthelines.com>>ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: notes for san diego

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:47 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:57 AM

Subject: Fwd: notes for san diego

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, Jun 3, 2011 at 5:01 PM

Subject: Re: notes for san diego

To: Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]>

not different but let me double check

On Fri, Jun 3, 2011 at 2:50 PM, Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Maria,

Is there a way for us to access the notes in the google docs for the commission (if they are different)? We want to be sure we have everything in terms of notes so want to follow up to google docs the reference in your email.

Thanks!

Ana

On Thu, Jun 2, 2011 at 7:49 PM, Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]> wrote:

San Diego

George Brown: Border district not a section 2 district because it is not compact, would have to be based on COI and other Constitutional redistricting criteria.

Are we overlooking a section 2 district in San Diego by drawing the border district?

Brown: if have a geographically compact area in San Diego that is over 50 CVAP can't overlook or dilute that district by drawing a COI border district. Brown believes that there is potentially a sect 2 district in SE San Diego and that we have to do an RPV.

If have to respect the section 2 district in San Diego, then should look at an Assembly district that is wholly in San Diego and goes up towards Poway but to the east of Chula Vista (805) and stops somewhat short of Escondido. In order to keep Coachella whole might not pick up as much population in san diego in the eastern section.

Ana,

The notes are also in the google dox for the Commission.

San Diego

Keep north coast SD as is.

NESD stops at alpine descanso pine valley. Border district will have alpine and other low mountain east rural cities.

CRNOSD stay the same

This is where we have a possible section 2 AD. This will require looking at a east west Chula Vista split.

Keep LMSANT as is

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: notes

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:55 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:48 AM
Subject: notes
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

Kirk:

I misspoke about not having notes. I looked at computer dox and had none but I do have a notebook. Do I send it to you and you guys copy it???

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\[REDACTED\] \(916\) \[REDACTED\]](tel:(916) [REDACTED]) (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: On another point

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:39 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:12 AM

Subject: Fwd: On another point

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Apr 18, 2011 at 1:53 PM

Subject: On another point

To: [REDACTED] Karin MacDonald <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]>

Ana,

I can't do so on behalf of the commission but I want to apologize for the disrespectful manner in which you were addressed during the regional wrap up session. However unclear we all were about this first attempt at a wrap up and about our notice, that didn't justify the harsh manner and blame you received.

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
(916) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Pico Rivera Assembly

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:50 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:48 AM
Subject: Fwd: Pico Rivera Assembly
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, Jun 8, 2011 at 6:17 AM
Subject: Re: Pico Rivera Assembly
To: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

I caught that. Why?

On 6/8/11, Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> wrote:
> the issue isn't with the 40% districts in south l.a., its that they brought
> the downtown district from 52% down to 34% lcvap! so its packed because they
> dismantled the downtown m/m district.

>
> On Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 11:27 PM, Blanco, Maria
> <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>
>> I apologize for this late note but in reviewing today's handouts I noticed
>> that the Pico Rivera Assembly District has a Latino CVAP of over 70%. I
>> think this is problematic considering we have adjacent districts with
>> Latino
>> CVAP in the 40s. Is this something we fixed today (I got to the meeting
>> after this area had been covered I believe).

>>
>> Maria

>> --

>> Maria Blanco, Commissioner
>> Citizens Redistricting Commission
>> "Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

>> www.wedrawthelines. <<http://www.wedrawthelines.com>>ca.gov

>>
>>
>>
>>

>

--

Sent from my mobile device

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines. <<http://www.wedrawthelines.com>>ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: Pls help them

Miller, Kirk <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:35 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:40 AM
Subject: Fwd: Pls help them
To: Kirk Miller <[redacted]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dai, Cynthia** <[redacted]>
Date: Mon, Mar 21, 2011 at 11:09 PM
Subject: Pls help them
To: Maria Blanco <[redacted]> Jodie Filkins-Weber <[redacted]> Stan Forbes
<[redacted]> Mike Ward <[redacted]>
Cc: Rob Wilcox <[redacted]>

count the # of Congressional districts. Can't believe they still have it wrong: http://www.lawdragon.com/images/uploads/pdf/gibsondunn_hiredtoadviseca_032111.pdf

--}cyn

--
Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
[redacted]

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--
Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\(916\)](#)  (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Polarized Voting Study

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:41 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:09 AM
Subject: Fwd: Polarized Voting Study
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 9:44 PM
Subject: Re: Polarized Voting Study
To: "Miller, Kirk" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "Ancheta, Angelo" <[REDACTED]> "Dai, Cynthia" <[REDACTED]> Vincent Barabba
<[REDACTED]> Jodie Filkins-Webber <[REDACTED]> Stanley Forbes
<[REDACTED]> Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]>

I am willing to work on this. I don't believe we need full commission to authorize a form but up to you counselor.

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 2:09 PM, Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Does the full commission need to approve the form asking for maps?
Would it be enough to authorize their document, and appoint one or two commissioners to approve the form of the request? Kirk

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 1:57 PM, Ancheta, Angelo

<[REDACTED]> wrote:
> A prudent decision -- let's keep it simple.

> On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 1:48 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>> You're right, but other items have been prioritized by Michelle and the
>> Chair for this meeting, so it would otherwise need to be deferred to our 5/5
>> meeting. Suggest you touch base with Michelle.

>> On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 1:06 PM, Ancheta, Angelo <[REDACTED]>
>> wrote:

>>> I believe Cynthia is incorrect. It is Item #9 on the Technical
>>> Committee Agenda. We may not get to #9 given the timing, but it has
>>> been a consistent carryover item for the committee.

>>> On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 12:08 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>
>>> wrote:

>>> > Correction, Angelo. It is NOT currently on the Technical agenda, which
>>> > is

>>> > quite full at the moment. Pls discuss with Michelle if you feel it is
>>> > necessary for both Advisory Committees to discuss separately. Note that
>>> > the
>>> > full Commission will be in attendance for all Advisory Committee
>>> > meetings,
>>> > so I suggest that Technical defer the discussion to Legal and that Jodie
>>> > permit Technical representatives to provide input at that time.
>>> > --}cyn

>>> > On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 11:48 AM, Ancheta, Angelo
>>> > <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>> >> Kirk - The Technical Advisory Committee also has this on its agenda,
>>> >> so I think that committee should also receive copies. Presumably,
>>> >> we're trying to keep the commission reviewing team down to two to
>>> >> avoid Bagley-Keene problems, so I think we should get sign-offs from
>>> >> technical and legal on the RFI, and have the full commission vote to
>>> >> approve a designated hiring team.

>>> >> Angelo

>>> >> On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 11:38 AM, Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
>>> >> wrote:

>>> >> > Attached is a draft RIF for an expert to assist with a polarized
>>> >> > voting study. I have discussed the document with Jodie and Angelo
>>> >> > and
>>> >> > received their comments. This matter will be discussed with the
>>> >> > Commission as part of the Legal Advisory Committee agenda. The form
>>> >> > of
>>> >> > the RFI is the same as used for VRA counsel. Ideally, the
>>> >> > Commission
>>> >> > can authorize Jodie and Angelo, together with staff and the law firm,
>>> >> > to identify and retain such an expert, subject to budget limitations,
>>> >> > but without further Commission review. Do each of the commissioners
>>> >> > also need to approve the form itself? Kirk

>>> >> > --
>>> >> > Kirk E. Miller
>>> >> > Chief Counsel
>>> >> > Citizens Redistricting Commission
>>> >> > 1130 K Street, Suite 101
>>> >> > Sacramento, CA. 95814
>>> >> > (916) [REDACTED] (o)
>>> >> > (916) [REDACTED]

>>> >> Angelo Ancheta
>>> >> Commissioner
>>> >> California Citizens Redistricting Commission
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > --
>>> > Cynthia Dai, Commissioner

>>> > California Citizens Redistricting Commission
>>> > "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
>>> > www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
>>> > [REDACTED]
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>>
>>> Angelo Ancheta
>>> Commissioner
>>> California Citizens Redistricting Commission
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
>> California Citizens Redistricting Commission
>> "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
>> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
>> [REDACTED]
>>
>
>
>
> --
>
> Angelo Ancheta
> Commissioner
> California Citizens Redistricting Commission
>

--
Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA. 95814
[REDACTED] (o)
[\[REDACTED\]](tel:(916) [REDACTED])

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[Redacted\]](tel:(916) [Redacted]) (c)





Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Press release and map release suggestions

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:49 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:50 AM
Subject: Fwd: Press release and map release suggestions
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 1:27 PM
Subject: Press release and map release suggestions
To: Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> "Wilcox, Rob" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]> Gil Ontai <[REDACTED]>

Suggestions for messages surrounding release of the maps:

High level message

This preliminary maps restore integrity to California's Constitutional mandate to redistrict to ensure fair representation.

The maps have 3 advantages over the existing maps (media loves 3 of anything):

1. Drawn without regard to political incumbants and partisan considerations
2. Reflect geographic and common sense boundaries.
3. District lines Incorporate factual information and the interests of local residents

How to Describe Next Input Phase

The CRC has entered its second phase of public input. While general comments are welcome, we are inviting comments specific to to the 3 sets of preliminary maps prepared by the Commission: 53 Congressional maps , 40 State Senate maps, and 80 State Assembly maps.

Press Conference

We should consider a Press Conference call with an 800 number. Will get maximum participation for media that now can't afford to send staff to Sacramento. Call could be a one way call or we could limit the call to 10 questions.

Our media packet should include maps and a list of commonly asked questions with their answer.

After the initial presentation of the maps at the conference we should ask a questions that we want to make sure is asked and answered, for example:

"A question we have heard a lot (we have been asked often) what does the existence of 4 Section 5 districts in California mean for drawing political boundaries?"

Anyway... those are my thoughts.

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

(o)
(916) (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: public comments

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:36 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:30 AM

Subject: Fwd: public comments

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dai, Cynthia** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, Mar 22, 2011 at 6:25 PM

Subject: public comments

To: Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]>

Maria--

I'm still a little confused as to why you want the PI committee to discuss public comments when our primary function is build awareness, and the Outreach committee is supposed to deal with input. Public comments taken through the website or during our meetings must all be captured as input. When we set up the two committees, we were clear that one is supposed to provide the public with information--therefore the name--while the other one was supposed to capture input from the public. (Perhaps Outreach is still too confusing a name 😊.)

The only overlap is that the input will be taken through the website, which PI is working with Rob on. Having said that we are happy to discuss it, but I think the Outreach committee has the primary responsibility in this case since most of the comments moving forward will be about maps.

--}cyn

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](http://www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Public Records Act request

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 3:08 PM

To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[REDACTED]>

I think this was the last time the per diems were copied for me, so at least you have a date to start with to get more recent ones.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Davis, Deborah <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 3:59 PM
Subject: Fwd: Public Records Act request
To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Raul Villanueva <[REDACTED]> Daniel Claypool
<[REDACTED]> Lisa Halterman
<[REDACTED]>

We have made two sets of per diem documents. They are in my cubicle. Page count is 146.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 11:08 AM
Subject: Re: Public Records Act request
To: "Sargis, Janece" <[REDACTED]> Deborah Davis
<[REDACTED]>

No deadline. If you would both just let me know when the copies are ready, I will come in and review them. thanks!

On Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 11:01 AM, Sargis, Janece

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

>
> I'll will certainly shoot for that deadline.

>
> On Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 10:58 AM, <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>
>> Fine. Tomorrow?

>>
>> Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

>>
>> -----
>> From: "Sargis, Janece" <[REDACTED]>
>> Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2011 10:39:43 -0700
>> To: Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>
>> Subject: Re: Public Records Act request
>> They are not compiled into one place. I can give you copies of all individual roll call sheets.

>>
>>
>> On Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 8:04 AM, Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>>

>>> Here is the second public records act request from Maviglio. We need to get copies of all the per diem claims by the commissioners. I assume you can make copies, Deborah? And we need the attendance records. Janeece, are these compiled anywhere? If not, we could just get copies of your roll calls at the start of each meeting. Thanks.

Marian

>>>

>>> ----- Forwarded message -----

>>> From: Claypool, Daniel <[REDACTED]>

>>> Date: Fri, May 27, 2011 at 3:02 PM

>>> Subject: Fwd: Public Records Act request

>>> To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

>>>

>>>

>>> Surprise!

>>>

>>> ----- Forwarded message -----

>>> From: Steven Maviglio <[REDACTED]>

>>> Date: Fri, May 27, 2011 at 11:37 AM

>>> Subject: Public Records Act request

>>> To: [REDACTED]

>>>

>>>

>>> VIA EMAIL & U.S. MAIL

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Daniel Claypool, Executive Director

>>>

>>> Citizens Redistricting Commission

>>>

>>> 901 P Street, STE 154-A

>>>

>>> Sacramento, CA 95814

>>>

>>> [REDACTED]

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Re: Public Records Act Request

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Dear Mr. Claypool:

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code, § 6253 et seq.), please provide me with the following documents. I am willing to pay the reasonable costs of copying any responsive documents.

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> 1. Per Diem

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> The CRC Staff has provided the Commission and the Public with a monthly breakdown of costs incurred by the Citizens Redistricting Commission to date. Specifically the report has been titled "CRC Budget Report, Date: Commissioner Per Diem." However, that material does not include a breakdown of per diem incurred by each

Commissioner, but rather provides totals by the Commission as a whole.

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Please provide a breakdown of per diem requests by each, individual Commissioner. Please also provide a copy of any and all per diem requests and backup submitted by Commissioner Michael Ward.

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> In lieu of the above report, please provide a copy of all per diem requests for all commissioners in lieu of this new report.

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> 2. Public Records Act Requests

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Please provide a copy of all Public Record Act requests that the Citizens Redistricting Commission has received to date, as well as copies of the responses provided for each request. Please also provide a copy (upon receipt) of any future Public Record Act request received by the Commission. Please also provide a copy of the response for each request at the time that is made available to the requestor of each Public Record Act disclosure. Please consider this an ongoing request until notified of the suspense of this request.

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> 3. Attendance

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Please provide any record discussing or related to any Commissioner's absence from any Business Meeting or input hearing of the Commission.

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Thank you for your attention to this request.

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> Sincerely,

>>>

>>> Steven Maviglio

>>>
>>>

>>> Steven Maviglio

>>> www.forzacomunications.com

>>> [REDACTED]

>>> Skype: StevenMaviglio

>>> Quote of the week:

>>> "Never look down on anybody unless you're helping him up." ~ Jesse Jackson

>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> --

>>> Daniel M. Claypool

>>> Executive Director

>>> Citizens Redistricting Commission

>>> Tel: [REDACTED]

>>> "Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> --

>>> Marian M. Johnston

>>> (916) [REDACTED]

>>

>>

>>

>> --

>> Janeece Sargis

>> Commission Liasion

>> Citizens Redistricting Commission

>> (916) [REDACTED] - office

>> (916) [REDACTED] - cell

>> "Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

>>

>

>

>

> --

> Janeece Sargis

> Commission Liasion

> Citizens Redistricting Commission

> (916) [REDACTED] - office

> (916) [REDACTED] - cell

> "Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

>

--

Marian M. Johnston

[REDACTED]

--

Deborah R. Davis

Budget Officer

Citizens Redistricting Commission

Tel. [REDACTED]

"Fair Representation - Democracy At Work!"

--

Marian M. Johnston

[REDACTED]



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Recap of advisory committee meeting agenda

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:37 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:29 AM

Subject: Fwd: Recap of advisory committee meeting agenda

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Galambos-Malloy, Connie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, Mar 23, 2011 at 9:21 AM

Subject: Re: Recap of advisory committee meeting agenda

To: "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: "Blanco, Maria" <[REDACTED]> "Sargis, Janeece" <[REDACTED]> Gil Ontai

I like that format - we usually run into redundancy where F & A reports back some of the same items that you've got in your report, but since we've not been privy to the report ahead of time we don't realize it until already in motion.

Connie

On Wed, Mar 23, 2011 at 8:42 AM, Claypool, Daniel <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Maria,

I think the best way to handle the issues in the Finance and Administration column is to have me address them in my Executive Director's report to the full commission. The commission will have the opportunity to ask questions and we'll move through them quickly. If that's acceptable, we need to get the revised agenda out as quickly as possible so that the Commissioners have the opportunity to prepare.

Dan.

On Tue, Mar 22, 2011 at 9:35 PM, Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Finance and Administration will be handled in full commission.

Legal: 1-2 pm

Firewall language

Coordination with VRA counsel

Outreach and Technical Combined: 2-4pm

(you have all the items I believe)

Public Information 1-2

Website and other technology improvement to accomodate large volume of public comment
Standing agenda (you should have it)

Maria

--

María Blanco
Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission

--

Daniel M. Claypool
Executive Director
Citizens Redistricting Commission
Tel: [REDACTED]

--

Connie Galambos Malloy
Commissioner, [California Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Sacramento carpools

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:45 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:02 AM

Subject: Fwd: Sacramento carpools

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, May 30, 2011 at 11:16 AM

Subject: Re: Sacramento carpools

To: "Dai, Cynthia" <[REDACTED]>

Hope so because the day I can't make it at all is the 8th. June 1 I will get there in the afternoon and get myself over to the meeting. I will need a ride back to the hotel.

Maria

On Mon, May 30, 2011 at 10:31 AM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sounds like Vince has room if you are arriving in the evening. Lemme know if you'd like to join for dinner. Apparently Connie is still trying to figure out if we can cancel 6/8. I imagine Q2 needs the time to draw...

--}cyn

On Mon, May 30, 2011 at 10:08 AM, Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I will be arriving late on June 1 because of a Board of Directors meeting in LA at 8:00 am.

Maria

On Mon, May 30, 2011 at 9:50 AM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hey, team--

Happy Memorial Day from gray San Francisco ☺!

In an effort to minimize the hassle (and expense) of rental cars (and hotel parking) for our 10-minute commute to McGeorge in the upcoming days, here is your opportunity to carpool. I will be renting a 4-passenger car, and Connie, Peter and Jeanne have already called dibs. Pls let us know if you have free spots in your cars or need a ride. I think all of the Surenos have discovered the \$1 Yolo bus from/to the airport by now.

Cheers,

--}cyn

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner

California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov



--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov



--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\(916\)](#)  (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Sample talking points

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 11:02 AM

To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, Jun 15, 2011 at 10:48 PM

Subject: Fwd: Sample talking points

To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

re: abrahms2, item 1

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Jan 24, 2011 at 10:50 AM

Subject: Re: Sample talking points

To: "Dai, Cynthia" <[REDACTED]> Andre Parvenu

<[REDACTED]> Connie Galambos-Malloy

<[REDACTED]> Gabino Aguirre

<[REDACTED]> Gil Ontai <[REDACTED]>

Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> Jodie Filkins-Weber

<[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio

<[REDACTED]> Mike Ward <[REDACTED]>

Peter Yao <[REDACTED]> Stan Forbes

<[REDACTED]> Vincent Barabba

<[REDACTED]>

Thanks.

Mb

On 1/21/11, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> As requested, I am forwarding the talking points we prepared after our
> December meeting for the first 8 commissioners...also has the link to our
> interviews.

>

> --}cyn

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Cynthia Dai

> Sent: Wed 12/15/2010 7:00 PM

> To: Vincent Barabba; Jodie Filkins Webber; Stanley Forbes; Connie Galambos
> Malloy; Elaine Kuo; Jeanne Raya; Peter Yao

> Cc: Margarita Fernandez; Steven Russo

> Subject: Suggested talking points for First 8

>

> Fellow Commissioners--

>

> Here are some suggested talking points based on our discussions from Friday
> and today, and the interviews Vince, Stan and I did with Etopia News. Here

> is the link BTW: <http://etopianews.blogspot.com/>
>
> -Today we selected the final six Commissioners for the Citizens
> Redistricting Commission. We were fortunate that the Bureau of State Audits
> had already provided us with a pool of finalists who were all well qualified
> and met the minimum qualifications of being impartial, appreciating
> California's diverse demographics and geography, and possessing relevant
> analytical skills.
> -We considered six different criteria in choosing the remaining six
> Commissioners: four diversity factors required by the Voters First Act,
> including geographic, race/ethnicity, gender and economic status, as well as
> two additional ones--skills/experience that complement those of the existing
> eight Commissioners and would advance our work, including their ability to
> work well as part of a diverse, 14-member team (cultural fit).
> -Given these diversity criteria, we believe we put the best slate of six
> forward in light of the legal requirements to select two Democrats, two
> Republicans and two not from either party--and the fact that the eight of us
> had already been selected by random lottery. As a 14-member commission, we
> have a broad range life experiences: They encompass urban to rural in a
> diverse set of industries from agriculture, health, government, education,
> law, financial services, transportation, urban planning, manufacturing and
> high technology, among others. We have multiple small business owners among
> us. We even have an age span of 40+ years among the Commissioners.
> -All of us believe that we must represent all Californians to do a fair job
> of redistricting. Our charter is to reach out across California to listen to
> public input and ensure that communities of interests are fairly
> represented.
> -We are looking forward to working together with our new fellow
> commissioners and are confident that our interactions will be as thoughtful,
> respectful and collegial as they have been among the First Eight.
> -Our next challenges are to organize quickly as a full Commission in the new
> year and put a plan together that will enable us to meet the legal deadlines
> within a very tight budget.
>
> Hope you find these helpful for us to speak with one voice in case you have
> to field any interviews from the media.
>
> --}cyn
>

--
Sent from my mobile device

--
Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--
Marian M. Johnston
[REDACTED]



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Spanish movie script

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:38 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:28 AM

Subject: Fwd: Spanish movie script

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Aguirre, Gabino** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Mar 27, 2011 at 10:04 PM

Subject: Re: Spanish movie script

To: "Galambos-Malloy, Connie" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]> Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> "Wilcox, Rob"

<[REDACTED]> Michael Ward <[REDACTED]> "Claypool, Daniel"

<[REDACTED]>

Connie (Jeanne y Maria),

Adjunta encontraran la traduccion del texto del video en espanol. Quizas les sirva para sus entrevistas con los medios de comunicacion con audiencias hispana-parlantes. Hasta pronto...

- Gabino

On Fri, Mar 25, 2011 at 6:13 PM, Galambos-Malloy, Connie <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Gabino, could I ask for an electronic version of our movie script? I think it will come in handy as I am interfacing with the local Bay Area ethnic media. Mil gracias por su liderazgo en este asunto. Si se puede :)

--

Connie Galambos Malloy, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Dr. Gabino Aguirre, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



CRCvideoScriptTrnsln...Spanish 3.25.11.doc

39K

CRC Video Script:
Translation to *Español* by Gabino Aguirre (3.25.2011)

Este es José. Él vive en Mi Pueblito, California donde trabaja, paga sus impuestos y ejerce su voto. Desafortunadamente para él, su voto no cuenta como el se imagina.

Esta es la Congresista Maria. Ella es miembro de la Casa de Representantes del Congreso Federal como representante de California.

Es de suponer que si Jose tiene el apoyo de la comunidad, él pudiera votar y desplazar a Maria de su puesto como Congresista. Pero, dentro del actual sistema de votación, él no puede lograrlo.

Pero como puede ser esto? Porque actualmente el gobierno decide en cual distrito puede votar Jose. El partido político de Maria asegura que este distrito no tenga suficientes votantes que la opongán. Han diseñado su distrito para incluir votantes que la apoyen y excluir la oposición.

Afortunadamente, cada diez años, se diseñan los distritos políticos en California despues del censo nacional y éste año vamos a hacerlo de forma diferente. Cuando pasó la Proposición 11 en el año 2008, se propuso una comisión de ciudadanos (gentes como ud. y yo) para decidir como y donde serían estos distritos.

Este año las cifras y datos del Censo 2010 y la participación de residentes de California son la base para diseñar distritos que verdaderamente representan su comunidad. Pero para lograr esto, algo muy importante tiene que ocurrir.

“Sea parte de la historia. Una de las reformas mas importantes del sistema politico está ante nosotros y ud. es el que está a cargo de esta reforma.

Creada por los votantes, la Comisión de Ciudadanos para Dibujar Distritos Politicos en California autoriza a personas como usted a diseñar distritos que aseguran que los votantes puedan escoger sus representantes ... y no que los políticos escojan sus votantes!

?Y porqué debe preocuparse usted acerca de este diseño de distritos?

Porque esto concierne a usted y su comunidad. Politicos diariamente hacen decisiones importantes tales como la calidad de escuelas para sus niños y cuantos impuestos tiene que pagar como dos ejemplos ...

El modo en que se trazan los distritos políticos determina la representación de su comunidad, cuáles otras comunidades son incluidas en su distrito y, ultimadamente, quién será elegido para representarlos.

Ahora nos tienen a nosotros. Somos los 14 miembros de la Comisión de Ciudadanos para Dibujar Distritos Politicos en California. Nosotros diseñaremos los distritos. Antes de esta Comisión, estas líneas se imponían sin participación del público. No más!

Una computadora puede calcular poblaciones del mismo tamaño.. Pero esta computadora no sabe los detalles de su comunidad que solo usted conoce: quienes son ustedes? cuáles características los distinguen de otras comunidades? cuáles conexiones, diferencias y semejanzas tienen con sus vecinos?

Es por esto que estamos escuchándolos a ustedes, para trazar distritos justos que verdaderamente estan al servicio de los que residen en ellos.

Hay tres cosas que pueden esperar si usted y su comunidad se involucran con este proceso de diseño de distritos.

Primero, mapas con distritos justos resultarán en elecciones con mas competencia. Los distritos ya no servirán para proteger a los actuales politicos.

Segundamente, el re-diseño efectivo resultará en mas contabilidad de los legisladores. Comunidades como la de usted entonces tendrán el poder para sacar representantes que no ponen atención a sus necesidades.

Y terceramente, mejores distritos proveerán mas acceso a legisladores. Si cada voto es verdaderamente igual, entonces los temas y problemas de los votantes serán considerados igualmente por sus representantes electos. Mas y mejor representación resultarán naturalmente.

Entonces, díganos de su comunidad y sus conexiones con otras vecindades y la forma que toman. Nadie conoce su comunidad mejor que usted. Necesitamos sus comentarios e información para asegurar su representación efectiva en el gobierno.

Vamos a bajar a través de todo el estado para escuchar lo que es de importancia en su comunidad. Necesitamos oír de usted! El éxito de esta Comisión depende de usted.

Entonces acuda al sitio de Internet: www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov para mejor informarse de esta Comisión y como puede involucrarse con nosotros. Busque y acuda a la audiencia del public mas cercas de su comunidad o puede someter sus ideas y comentarios sobre el Internet. Cambie y mejore su comunidad! Sea parte de la historia y reponga nuestra democracia!

Juntos, cambiaremos el futuro!



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Special Masters

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:41 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:07 AM

Subject: Fwd: Special Masters

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, May 6, 2011 at 8:29 AM

Subject: Special Masters

To: Andre Parvenu <[REDACTED]> Angelo Ancheta <[REDACTED]> "Blanco, Maria"
 <[REDACTED]> Connie Galambos-Malloy <[REDACTED]> "Dai, Cynthia"
 <[REDACTED]> Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]> Gil Ontai <[REDACTED]>
 Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> Jodie Filkins-Weber <[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio
 <[REDACTED]> Mike Ward <[REDACTED]> Peter Yao <[REDACTED]> Stan
 Forbes <[REDACTED]> Vincent Barabba <[REDACTED]>

Fellow Commissioners,

Several commissioners asked me about the 1991 Special Masters Plan we have heard about in our hearings. Here is a link to the Special Masters materials.

<http://igs.berkeley.edu/library/research/quickhelp/policy/government/Redistricting/reap90index.html>

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Story is online

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:51 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:43 AM

Subject: Fwd: Story is online

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 4:14 PM

Subject: Re: Story is online

To: "Dai, Cynthia" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: "Galambos-Malloy, Connie" <[REDACTED]> "Claypool, Daniel"

<[REDACTED]> Andre Parvenu <[REDACTED]> Angelo Ancheta

<[REDACTED]> "DiGuilio, Michelle" <[REDACTED]> Gabino Agu

<[REDACTED]> Jeanne Raya <[REDACTED]> Jodie Filkins-Webber <[REDACTED]>

<[REDACTED]> Lilbert Ontai <[REDACTED]> Michael Ward <[REDACTED]> Peter Yao

<[REDACTED]> Stanley Forbes <[REDACTED]> Vincent Barabba

<[REDACTED]> Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Marian Johnston

<[REDACTED]> Rob Wilcox <[REDACTED]> "Sargis, Janeece"

Extremely unfortunate with the potential consequence, intentional or unintentional, of undermining confidence in our entire commission and in our historic, independent, non-partisan maps.

Maria

On Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 4:08 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I think it is extremely unfortunate that a report clearly marked "confidential attorney-client privilege" was leaked, given that our Commissioner and Staff Code of Conduct clearly requires us to keep privileged information privileged.

--}cyn

On Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 4:05 PM, Galambos-Malloy, Connie <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Personally, I find it quite disappointing that someone so close within our midst took it upon him or herself to provide this internal report to outside sources. This represents an egregious distraction from the real work at hand, on the eve of our historic draft map release.

We have a right to know any information that fellow Commissioners or staff have on the source from which this document was leaked.

Connie

On Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 3:56 PM, Claypool, Daniel <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Commissioners,

Rob Wilcox and Marian Johnston have brought this link and article to my attention. Kirk Miller has also reviewed the document.

The story includes a link to the "Confidential" report that was issued by staff to the Chair and the Vice-Chair.

<http://blogs.sacbee.com/capitolalertlatest/2011/06/california-redistricting-commission-inves.html>

--

Daniel M. Claypool

Executive Director

Citizens Redistricting Commission

Tel: [REDACTED]

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

--

Connie Galambos Malloy, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner

California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)

"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
(916) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Suggested template design to provide sufficient time for citizens at input hearings.

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:41 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:08 AM
Subject: Fwd: Suggested template design to provide sufficient time for citizens at input hearings.
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 9:59 PM
Subject: Re: Suggested template design to provide sufficient time for citizens at input hearings.
To: "Dai, Cynthia" <[REDACTED]>

huh?

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 9:52 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

No, we're announcing that we have one b/c it was formally requested. This outline is being submitted for Outreach's approval for our meetings moving forward. (We can't keep taking up 50 minutes of a 3-hour public input hearing with Commissioner comments...)

--}cyn

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 9:50 PM, Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]> wrote:

great. better to announce up front than to wait for a request as currently outlined in the doc we received.

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 9:45 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Yes, we do!

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 9:38 PM, Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Vincent,

Please clarify in the introduction that we have an interpreter available for those who need it. We do, right?

Maria

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 3:06 PM, Barabba, Vincent <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hello everyone. It is Tuesday afternoon and Cynthia and I have just finished an attempt to design a process for the input hearings to try to provide the maximum time for citizens coming to the meeting to express their concerns, issues, and suggestions. The document is not as "finished" as we had hoped but we felt we should get it out to everyone before the business meeting tomorrow so you can consider any suggestions to make it better.

Looking forward to an "interesting" and hopefully productive week!!

Vince

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

 (o)
[\[redacted\]](tel:(916) [redacted]) (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Suggestions for maps

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:49 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:50 AM

Subject: Fwd: Suggestions for maps

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 8:42 PM

Subject: Suggestions for maps

To: Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]> Gil Ontai <[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio

<[REDACTED]> Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

Folks,

I have come down with a very nasty stomach flu and am not sure I will make it tomorrow (although I will try). In the event that I can't make it, please see my notes below for changes to our visualizations based on having reviewed written testimony and based on full commission conversations.

Notes for 6/7/11 Line Drawing Session

Sacramento

1. South Los Angeles Assembly District

Is there a problem with diluting a Section 2 Latino district if move Lynwood into a horizontal assembly district as per AARC and our directions to Q2 6/2/11.

2. Assembly District Border San Diego

Like our CD, goes very high into rural, eastern San Diego. Could draw an AD that includes East Coachella and Imperial County. Have several written comments to that effect. Would not place West Coachella with Imperial which seemed to be of equal concern as staying whole.

3, Congress San Diego

I believe it goes too far into East San Diego. We have several written comments to the effect that Alpine, Descanso, Pine Valley don't have anything to do with the desert in Imperial Valley.

4. Congress Orange County

Our placement of Santa Ana with the coastal district is problematic. Have several pieces of written testimony that Anaheim and Santa are very similar and are almost a COI. Could still keep the Westminster COI whole in a CD with Anaheim and Santa Ana. i

Maria

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: translation of the video

Miller, Kirk <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:37 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:28 AM
Subject: Fwd: translation of the video
To: Kirk Miller <[redacted]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Raya, Jeanne** <[redacted]>
Date: Wed, Mar 23, 2011 at 10:59 AM
Subject: translation of the video
To: Gabino Aguirre <[redacted]> Maria Blanco <[redacted]> Connie Galambos-Malloy <[redacted]>

Hello,
Mike Ward just asked if we can translate the script for tomorrow. I have asked one of my staff to begin and maybe among the four of us we can come up with something. I suggested to Mike that we shorten it since there are just 4 of us. if we have something done before I leave I'll send you a draft for consideration. otherwise, I guess we get to it sometime tonight or in the morning. I'll be arriving at the Sheraton around 9 pm if there are no flight delays.

--
Jeanne E Raya, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[redacted] or [redacted]

--
María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Video Script

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:38 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:15 AM

Subject: Fwd: Video Script

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, Mar 23, 2011 at 6:30 AM

Subject: Re: Video Script

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller

Chief Counsel

Citizens Redistricting Commission

901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A

Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)

[\[REDACTED\] \(916\) \[REDACTED\]](tel:(916) [REDACTED]) (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: Warren Institute Redistricting Brief

Miller, Kirk <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:40 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:10 AM
Subject: Fwd: Warren Institute Redistricting Brief
To: Kirk Miller <[redacted]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[redacted]>
Date: Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 3:29 PM
Subject: Re: Warren Institute Redistricting Brief
To: "Galambos-Malloy, Connie" <[redacted]>
Cc: [redacted] "Dai, Cynthia" <[redacted]> Commissioners
<[redacted]> Daniel Claypool <[redacted]> Rob Wilcox <[redacted]>
Kirk Miller <[redacted]>

The Warren Institute publication is Blue and Gold and a short 8 pages. It was linked but you can also find it on the Warren Institute's website.

Maria

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 11:33 AM, Galambos-Malloy, Connie <[redacted]> wrote:
We definitely received [Redistricting Law 2010](#), which is also a partially red book...but I was referring to the [Citizen's Guide to Redistricting](#), which is also red and the one I intended to pick up.

Connie

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 11:29 AM, <[redacted]> wrote:
Is this the red book? I have one and I think we received it from Justin Leivy

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: "Galambos-Malloy, Connie" <[redacted]>
Date: Tue, 26 Apr 2011 11:12:23 -0700
To: Dai, Cynthia<[redacted]>
Cc: Commissioners<[redacted]> Daniel Claypool<[redacted]> Rob Wilcox<[redacted]> Kirk Miller<[redacted]>
Subject: Re: Warren Institute Redistricting Brief

Greetings all:

I am happy to track down copies of the Brennan Center publication (it is quite a large document to print), on behalf of the Commission from the TAC while in Los Angeles.

I am estimating I will pick up 12 copies for Commissioners (all, minus Cynthia and Vince); along with any copies that Dan would like me to pick up on behalf of staff.

Please let me know if you would NOT like me to pick you up a copy.

Connie

On Fri, Apr 22, 2011 at 5:18 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hey, team--

Some weekend reading for you! Yesterday, I took the opportunity to check out the Berkeley Technical Assistance Center while meeting with Q2. They had extra copies of the Citizens' Guide to Redistricting put out by the Brennan Center. Here is a link to the soft copy, but I suggest you visit your local TAC and pick up a hard copy: http://www.brennancenter.org/content/resource/a_citizens_guide_to_redistricting_2010_edition/

Also, pls find attached a link to a brief on Race and Redistricting put out by Gilda Daniels and the Earl Warren Institute. Dan/Rob, we may want to link to these resources on our website.

--}cyn

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ana Henderson** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Fri, Apr 22, 2011 at 5:06 PM
Subject: Fwd: Warren Institute Redistricting Brief
To: [REDACTED]

Dear Commissioner Dai,

Attached please find the Warren Institute's recently published issue brief regarding the judicially acceptable consideration of race in redistricting. This issue brief describes both Voting Rights Act and Constitutional requirements and restraints of the consideration of race in redistricting. Please feel free to share it.

In addition, I can provide hard copies of the document if you would like. Please let me know if you require further information or assistance.

Take care,
Ana

- > --
- > Ana Henderson
- > Director of Opportunity & Inclusion
- > Chief Justice Earl Warren Institute on Law & Social Policy University of California, Berkeley School of Law
- >

--
Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--

Connie Galambos Malloy, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Connie Galambos Malloy, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Welcome for Los Angeles City Hall Meeting

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:41 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:07 AM

Subject: Fwd: Welcome for Los Angeles City Hall Meeting

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, Apr 27, 2011 at 10:21 AM

Subject: Welcome for Los Angeles City Hall Meeting

To: Vincent Barabba <[REDACTED]> Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]> "Dai, Cynthia"

<[REDACTED]>

Cc: [REDACTED]

The Commission will be welcomed to LA City Hall on Thursday by Aileen Adams, Deputy Mayor for Strategic Partnerships. Deputy Mayor Adams was in charge of the census for Los Angeles and was Secretary of the State Consumer and Services Agency under former Governor Davis. I will try and connect with her today to brief her on our meeting agenda. Please put her near the top so she can give us our official welcome to the city.

Maria

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\(916\)](#) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Withheld Comment

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:49 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:51 AM

Subject: Fwd: Withheld Comment

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 10:41 AM

Subject: Fwd: Withheld Comment

To: [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Office, Communications** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 8:15 AM

Subject: Withheld Comment

To: Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]> Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Lilbert Ontai

<[REDACTED]> Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]> Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]>

I am recommending the following comment be withheld from posting on the CRC website.

Christina Shupe
Senior Operations Analyst
Citizens Redistricting Commission

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Joel Sundquist** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, Jun 3, 2011 at 12:21 PM

Subject: Blanco

To: [REDACTED]

I was at the redistricting meeting in Lancaster and quickly saw that Blanco has a strong socilaist bent. Why is she on this commission at all. She wants to turn this country (or at least California) into another USSR. Her tactics are straight out of the Saul Alinski handbook.

What she has done should be public knowledge. It is a CITIZEN'S COMMISSION, is it not?

Blanco apparently made false accusations against one of her fellow commissioners. It is her attempt to protect the liberal Q2 company selected by democrats to do the redistricting

Joel Sundquist

Tehachapi, CA

California Tea Party Group coordinator

Tea Party Patriots coordinator

The problem with socialism is that eventually you run out of other peoples money

- Margaret Thatcher

Government always finds a need for whatever money it gets.

Government does not solve problems; it subsidizes them.

- Ronald Reagan

The danger to America is not Barack Obama but a citizenry capable of entrusting a man like him with the presidency. Blaming the Prince of fools is not the solution.

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
(916) [REDACTED] (c)



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

News

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:45 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 7:59 AM
Subject: Fwd: News
To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 2:20 PM
Subject: Re: News
To: "DiGuilio, Michelle" <[REDACTED]>

I heard that, sometimes I thought I had the easier end of the stick when I ran off to my day job!

On Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 1:44 PM, DiGuilio, Michelle <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Thanks Maria! It's my most important job and I'm glad there can be some "kudos" to all those hard-working moms at home.

*Michelle 😊

On Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 6:57 AM, Blanco, Maria <[REDACTED]> wrote:

What a great story about Michelle!

On Wed, Jun 1, 2011 at 5:50 AM, Wilcox, Rob <[REDACTED]> wrote:

http://www.recordnet.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20110601/A_NEWS/106010313

<http://www.sacbee.com/2011/06/01/3667531/capitol-in-brief-two-redistricting.html>

--

Rob Wilcox
Director of Communications
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy At Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Michelle R. DiGuilio, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
[\[REDACTED\] \(916\) \[REDACTED\]](tel:(916) [REDACTED]) (c)



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Watching webcast

Miller, Kirk <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Aug 22, 2011 at 4:39 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Blanco, Maria** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Aug 21, 2011 at 8:14 AM

Subject: Fwd: Watching webcast

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

[Quoted text hidden]

--

María Blanco, Commissioner
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

--

Kirk E. Miller
Chief Counsel
Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 "P" Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA. 95814

[REDACTED] (o)
(916) [REDACTED] (c)