



Leitch, Lonni &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

---

## PRA #12 - Item 7

---

Filkins-Webber, Jodie &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:06 PM

To: Lonni Leitch &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Marian Johnston &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Jun 12, 2011 at 9:04 PM

Subject: Detailed Agenda 6/16

To: Michelle DiGuilio &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Michael Ward &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; "Dai, Cynthia"

&lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Jeanne Raya &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Maria Blanco &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; "Claypool, Daniel" &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Janeece Sargis

&lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

In a prior email, I shared a Google Doc of the detailed agenda for this Thursday's meeting. It appears the document has not been updated by Tech, Public Info, and Finance. Please provide a time estimate for your meetings and complete the detailed agenda. Please note that we would like this document to be as complete as possible, thinking ahead to future meetings. Please prioritize your items in order of urgency because if we cannot get to every item in the time allotted, it will be deferred to the next business meeting. I am suggesting that we leave everything on this detailed agenda, even items likely to be deferred, so we do not let any item slip through the cracks or get missed on a future agenda.

Please provide your information no later than Monday at 5:00.

Thank you,

Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

--

Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

---



Leitch, Lonn &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

---

## PRA 12 IItem 7

---

Filkins-Webber, Jodie &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:34 PM

To: Lonn Leitch &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, Mar 25, 2011 at 10:29 PM

Subject: Final Matters today

To: Maria Blanco &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Hi Maria,

Just checking in regarding whether the commission approved the calendar for at least 2nd half of April through June with expected final details to be worked out by staff and Q2? I need to figure out my work calendar.

Thank you,  
Jodie

--

Jodie Filkins Webber

Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"



Leitch, Lonn &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

---

## PRA 12 Item 7

7 messages

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:20 PM

To: Lonn Leitch &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Marian Johnston &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 8:01 PM

Subject: Agendas moving quickly- heads up!

To: Lilbert Ontai &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Gabino Aguirre &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Maria Blanco

&lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Peter Yao &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; "Dai, Cynthia" &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Angelo

Ancheta &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Janeece Sargis &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; "Claypool, Daniel" &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

As we are all aware, things are moving quickly and I have not been chair or vice chair in sometime, and will certainly defer to our current chair (which will change after tomorrow evening), but each of us are either a chair or vice chair through mid-July.

At this time, I am not aware that we have confirmed venues for July 1 - which requires proper notice by **next Friday** (Cyn Dia - chair and me as Vice Chair again) - and we only have next Thursday 6/16 as the next/last noticed Business meeting until 6/29 (with location to be determined).

I recommend that each of you please view your chair leadership schedule in conjunction with the meeting/hearing schedule we have presently. I anticipate that we need to decide a July schedule for post-draft map input hearings no later than 6/16 and 6/23. (Please note that this will be one and two weeks after our draft map release - so I expect Tech to weigh in re proper venues, as well as the full commission with suggested venues).

Time is of the essence - given that our rotating chair schedule is so tight now, a coordinated effort to make sure we do not miss critical discussions (agendized meetings) is necessary. Please make sure that staff agendizes your meeting properly, coordinates venues with proper time for your meetings, and that each of us pay attention to discussions postponed to another meeting because we cannot miss any time now until 7/28.

Thank you for looking ahead!

--

Jodie Filkins Webber

Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

--

Jodie Filkins Webber

Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:30 PM

To: Lon Leitch &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Marian Johnston &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Apr 25, 2011 at 7:08 PM

Subject: Legal Advisory Committee Agenda - Long Beach

To: Stanley Forbes &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Maria Blanco &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Angelo Ancheta

&lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Please see the Google Doc sent by Cynthia. The Legal Advisory Committee had prior general topics listed and - for right now- I have added three sub-items under the topics. With 20 minutes - I doubt we will get to everything, but we can revisit on 5/5. Please advise me if you have other items you would like our committee to address at this time, or consider in more detail when we have more time 5/5. Please review the 5/5 Legal Advisory Committee Agenda also to see if your topic of discussion would be best "agendized" for the 5/5 meeting as Cynthia and I added other general items to cover us for topics in the months ahead.

Thank you,

Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:36 PM

To: Lon Leitch &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Marian Johnston &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Mar 20, 2011 at 10:22 AM

Subject: Thursday Agenda

To: "Blanco, Maria" &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Hello,

In reviewing the agenda for next Thursday, I notice that the advisory committees are not agendized. I see that they may meet between 1:00-2:15, but there is no notice as to what will be discussed. I was going to request that Mr. Miller commence work on drafting the necessary firewall commitment of Q2, but I suspect members of the public may wish to comment, but the manner in which the agenda is drafted, I suspect, prevents this from occurring.

Thank you,  
Jodie

--

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:49 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

ALL OF THE FOLLOWING EMAILS ARE IN RESPONSE TO PRA #12 ITEM 7 ---

---

from [REDACTED]  
reply-to [REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Jun 13, 2011 at  
10:00 AM  
subject Re: New Developments  
for 6/16 Agenda  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)  
Important mainly  
because you often read  
messages with this label.

10am is fine to get started. Focus for discussion is good. If we move into directing Q2 after george then we need Kyle, at least and a mapper on the phone. Although we may want to view maps on a screen, can we give direction without such? I think we can, and such will have to do as karin is adamant that she can't be there before 5.

Thanks  
Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

rom [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 13  
[REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Jun 13, 2011 at  
11:07 AM  
subject Re: New Developments  
for 6/16 Agenda  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)  
Important mainly  
because of your interaction  
with messages in the

conversation.

One other question, upon what information, article, etc. are you relying that we have to look at Coachella again for AD? I did not read any news article re criticism of ad in coachella, only to LA AD. Did you ask george to look at the area for som reason? MALDEF does not assert coachella as a sec 2, so just thinking I missed something, and we want to narrow potential problem areas.

Thanks,  
Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 13

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Jun 13, 2011 at  
1:55 PM  
subject Re: New Developments  
for 6/16 Agenda  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)  
Important mainly  
because you often read  
messages with this label.

The la times interactive map is good- all of us can use that and our website.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 13

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Jun 13, 2011 at  
1:57 PM  
subject Re: New Developments  
for 6/16 Agenda  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)  
Important mainly  
because of your interaction  
with messages in the  
conversation.

Sure I recall the discussion, I just think we may be exchanging lack of compactness with another option even less compact, but we do need to look again.

Thanks  
Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 13  
[REDACTED]  
to "Miller, Kirk"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Jun 13, 2011 at  
9:49 AM  
subject Todays Weekly call  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Although Karin is not available for our call, we should still proceed at 6pm today as this may be a good time to discuss the issues raised by George. I envision that these issues should be part of the workplan and the requests should flow through michelle and angelo.

Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 13  
[REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Jun 13, 2011 at  
9:42 AM  
subject Fw: Weekly Call  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)  
Important mainly  
because of your interaction  
with messages in the  
conversation.

I am not sure if you received this email from George. Good points, but I believe these are issues to be worked out in the work plan and for michelle and angelo to help facilitate.

Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Jun 12  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]  
to "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Michelle DiGuilio  
<[REDACTED]>  
Daniel Claypool

< [REDACTED] >  
 date Sun, Jun 12, 2011 at 6:47 PM  
 subject Re: Hard copies requested of  
 Draft 1 Maps  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)  
 Important mainly because you  
 often read messages with this label.

I concur. Dan, will you please convey commission's request to Karin.

Thanks,  
Jodie

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 11

to "Blanco, Maria"  
 < [REDACTED] >  
 cc Angelo Ancheta  
 < [REDACTED] >  
 Michelle DiGuilio  
 < [REDACTED] >  
 date Sat, Jun 11, 2011 at 10:19 AM  
 subject Re: Gibson Dunn  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)  
 Important mainly because you  
 often read messages with this  
 label.

I dont mind him contacting them, as he did obtain useful information in his contact with AARC.

I can put George on the Agenda, the problem will me getting Nicole there. I sent an email to Karin a few nites ago about scheduling Kyle and some mappers for our business meeting 6/16 so we could move forward with Sec. 5 issues also, but Karin stated that they cannot get there before 5:00pm and she was suggesting doing it after the input hearing. However, given the location of the input hearing - we will not likely be done before 11:00pm (I am guessing) - so doing commission work after is not practical.

Maria, maybe you can call Karin and work something out with her to have her or Ana in early, and Nicole and Kyle. Let me know so we can identify proper placement on the agenda.

Thanks,  
Jodie

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 11

reply-to [REDACTED]  
 to Angelo Ancheta  
 < [REDACTED] >  
 Maria Blanco  
 < [REDACTED] >  
 cc "Wilcox, Rob"  
 < [REDACTED] >  
 Kirk Miller  
 < [REDACTED] >  
 Connie Galambos-Malloy  
 < [REDACTED] >  
 >,

Commissioners  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Daniel Claypool  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Raul Villanueva  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Christina Shupe  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Lonn Leitch  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Janeece Sargis  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Marian Johnston  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Deborah Davis  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Oral Washington  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Lisa Halterman  
 <[REDACTED]>

date Sat, Jun 11, 2011 at 9:47 AM  
 subject Re: News  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

This and other assignments to brown should be discussed in our conference call this coming week. I am developing items for the call agenda and will convey to kirk later today, as some things have slipped through the cracks.

Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 10

to "Dai, Cynthia"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 cc Maria Blanco  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Daniel Claypool  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Kirk Miller  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Rob Wilcox  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Michelle DiGuilio  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Angelo Ancheta  
 <[REDACTED]>

date Fri, Jun 10, 2011 at 9:05 PM  
 subject Re: Schedule for 2nd draft maps  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Important mainly because you often read messages with this label.

Funny how we must have munched on the same "food" as I had the same thoughts. I also advised three reporters today that we would like to encourage those satisfied with the districts drawn to advise us, as any other drastic change could affect their district which may result in their ultimate dissatisfaction. Not one of them had thought of the idea. Santa Clarita reporter is expected to mention this idea as he never thought of it himself. All

of them were aware of the Times article referencing our drafts as being impossible to change, etc. and I advised them that there will be changes and several commissioners even mentioned today what districts they may wish to revisit despite the unanimous vote on the drafts.

I think Tech/Outreach is planning to discuss the July schedule on 6/16 - I will see that it is on the agenda - you have made great points for discussion.

Thank you for the input, always helpful  
Jodie

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 10  
reply-to [REDACTED]  
to Michelle DiGuilio  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]>  
date Fri, Jun 10, 2011 at 4:25 PM  
subject Re: Commissioner comments on 1st draft maps ([REDACTED])  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Important mainly because you often read messages with this label.

Images from this sender are always displayed. [Don't display from now on.](#)

I sent an email to kirk to find out if this doc can be shared with anyone else. So hold tight on sharing with others just yet. As we discussed it will help prioritize discussion, but let's see what kirk says first.

Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 10  
[REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Fri, Jun 10, 2011 at 4:17 PM  
subject Re: as system to track Commissioner suggestions with 1st draft map  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Important mainly because you often read messages with this label.

Did you send me the email you reference? I may have missed it.

On another note, do you have moment to chat this weekend or this evening regarding the agenda and other matters?

Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Jun 9  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
to Michelle DiGuilio  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
Karin Mac Donald  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 11:41 PM  
subject 6/16 meeting  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Hi Michelle,

In preparing for next week's meeting, and once Karin can come up for air and sleep, can you help facilitate her availability for our 6/16 meeting. As you will recall, we should have our Sec. 5 summary from GDC by 12:00pm Tuesday. Thus, the commission anticipates directing Q2 on its decisions for each sec. 5 district on 6/16.

I need to determine the proper place on the agenda for the discussion by the commission (probably Legal), and confirm that Karin recognizes that we will need the notetaker present, but I am not sure if we should have the sec. 5 mappers. This could mean that nearly all the mappers (except Alex) would be present, unless we can coordinate a better plan with Karin's input. If it is easier for them to be present later in the day, I would appreciate her input on scheduling this portion of the meeting.

(I have cc'ed Karin simply for her information, but I think you can explain what we hope to accomplish 6/16 based on our discussion today).

Thank you,

--  
Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Jun 9  
[REDACTED]  
to "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc "Dai, Cynthia"  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Galambos-Malloy, Connie"  
[REDACTED]  
"Claypool, Daniel"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Andre Parvenu  
<[REDACTED]>  
Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
"DiGuilio, Michelle"  
<[REDACTED]>

Gabino Aguirre  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Jeanne Raya  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Lilbert Ontai  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Michael Ward  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Peter Yao  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Stanley Forbes  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Vincent Barabba  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Kirk Miller  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Marian Johnston  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Rob Wilcox  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 "Sargis, Janeece"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 date Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 4:24 PM  
 subject Re: Story is online  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

I am also extremely upset regarding the release. Despite my misunderstanding last week, each of you should be assured that I value my oath and would never disclose a document marked attorney-client privilege.  
 Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Jun 6  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 to "Dai, Cynthia"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 date Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at  
 9:20 PM  
 subject Re: Agendas moving  
 quickly- heads up!  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

I sent Gabino a doc and called him - with no response - to date(time) - I will chat with him tomorrow.

I fly in tomorrow AM 7:20- must leave tomorrow PM, but will work on this - please see my next email,  
 Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) May 31  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 to Maria Blanco  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Connie Galambos-Malloy  
 <[REDACTED]>

cc "Claypool, Daniel"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 "Ancheta, Angelo"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Commissioners  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Kirk Miller  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Janeece Sargis  
 <[REDACTED]>

date Tue, May 31, 2011 at 7:43 PM

subject Re: Compilation of Previous CRC Adopted Motions

mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)  
 Important mainly because you often read messages with this label.

Hello Dan,

Connie has asked that this issue be added to the agenda for legal on Thursday. Please provide status on the compilation.

Thank you,  
Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,**  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]

[hide details](#) May 31

to "Claypool, Daniel"  
 <[REDACTED]>

cc Andre Parvenu  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Angelo Ancheta  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Cynthia Dai  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 "DiGuilio, Michelle"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Gabino Aguirre  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 "Galambos-Malloy, Connie"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 [REDACTED],  
 Jeanne Raya  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Lilbert Ontai  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Maria Blanco  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Michael Ward  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Peter Yao  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Stanley Forbes  
 <[REDACTED]>

Vincent Barabba  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Kirk Miller  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Raul Villanueva  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 date Tue, May 31, 2011 at 7:16 PM  
 subject Re: Weekly ED Report: Phone  
 calls to the 800 line.  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

I believe this report summary regarding phone calls should continue as it appears some people find it more affective to voice their opinion over the phone and such information should be provided to the commission.

Thank you,  
 Jodie

from [jodie.filkins-  
webber@crc.ca.gov](mailto:jodie.filkins-webber@crc.ca.gov) [hide details](#) May 30

reply-to [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 to Connie Galambos-Malloy  
 [REDACTED]  
 Maria Blanco  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 date Mon, May 30, 2011 at 3:57  
 PM  
 subject Re: June 1 & 2  
 Agenda.doc  
 [REDACTED]  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

What is the purpose of mr. Brown 's discussion since he went over everything last week?

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) May 30

reply-to [REDACTED]  
 to Michelle DiGuilio  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Maria Blanco  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 cc Cynthia Dai  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Commissioners  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 date Mon, May 30, 2011 at 10:48 AM  
 subject Re: Sacramento carpools  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

Michelle I will need a ride thursday can't make it wed

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) May 26

[REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Thu, May 26, 2011 at  
4:00 PM  
subject Fwd: Instructions for  
Required Ethics Training  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)  
Important mainly  
because you often read  
messages with this label.

See ethics training instructions below.  
Jodie

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) May 25

reply-to [REDACTED]  
to Cynthia Dai  
<[REDACTED]>  
Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Vincent Barabba  
<[REDACTED]>  
Connie Galambos-Malloy  
<[REDACTED]>  
[REDACTED]  
Andre Parvenu  
<[REDACTED]>  
Gabino Aguirre  
<[REDACTED]>  
Jeanne Raya  
<[REDACTED]>  
Lilbert Ontai  
<[REDACTED]>  
Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
Michael Ward  
<[REDACTED]>  
Michelle DiGuilio  
<[REDACTED]>  
Peter Yao  
<[REDACTED]>  
Stanley Forbes  
<[REDACTED]>  
Daniel Claypool  
<[REDACTED]>  
Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>  
Karin Mac Donald  
<[REDACTED]>

[redacted]  
date Wed, May 25, 2011 at 12:43 PM  
subject Re: Topics for May 27 Discussion  
on Line Drawing Directions  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

My two cents-  
Consider charging kirk or gdc with task of legal issue on numbering districts?  
I would like a legal opinion first and steer clear of any suggestion or perception of partisan preference.  
Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) May 24  
**Jodie** [redacted]

- to "Claypool, Daniel"
- <[redacted]>
- cc [redacted]
- Andre Parvenu
- <[redacted]>
- Angelo Ancheta
- <[redacted]>
- Christina Shupe
- <[redacted]>
- Cynthia Dai
- <[redacted]>
- "DiGuilio, Michelle"
- <[redacted]>
- Gabino Aguirre
- <[redacted]>
- "Galambos-Malloy, Connie"
- <[redacted]>
- [redacted]
- Jeanne Raya
- <[redacted]>
- Kirk Miller
- <[redacted]>
- Lilbert Ontai
- <[redacted]>
- Maria Blanco
- <[redacted]>
- Michael Ward
- <[redacted]>
- Peter Yao
- <[redacted]>
- Raul Villanueva
- <[redacted]>
- Rob Wilcox
- <[redacted]>
- "Sargis, Janeece"
- <[redacted]>
- Stanley Forbes
- <[redacted]>

Vincent Barabba  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Tue, May 24, 2011 at 7:16 PM  
subject Re: Citizens Redistricting  
Commission: Assembly Budget  
Hearing  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Wonderful news!  
Thank you,  
Jodie

---




from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) May 20  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Fri, May 20, 2011 at 11:55  
AM  
subject Fwd: Requests For Group  
Presentations  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

- Show quoted text -

--  
Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

---

**3 attachments** — [Download all attachments](#)

-  **May 27 Presentations.xlsx**  
11K [View](#) [Open as a Google spreadsheet](#) [Download](#)
-  **May 24 Presentations.xlsx**  
11K [View](#) [Open as a Google spreadsheet](#) [Download](#)
-  **May 26 Presentations.xlsx**  
11K [View](#) [Open as a Google spreadsheet](#) [Download](#)

---

[Reply](#)      [Forward](#)      Maria is not available to chat

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) May 13  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]  
to "Claypool, Daniel"  
<[REDACTED]>

cc Andre Parvenu  
<[REDACTED]>  
Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
Cynthia Dai  
<[REDACTED]>  
"DiGuilio, Michelle"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Gabino Aguirre  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Galambos-Malloy, Connie"  
<[REDACTED]>  
<[REDACTED]>  
,  
Jeanne Raya  
<[REDACTED]>  
Lilbert Ontai  
<[REDACTED]>  
Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
Michael Ward  
<[REDACTED]>  
Peter Yao  
<[REDACTED]>  
Stanley Forbes  
<[REDACTED]>  
Vincent Barabba  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Fri, May 13, 2011 at 3:17 PM  
subject Re: San Marcos Input Hearing:  
Planned Tea Party Activity  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Just an FYI for better perspective on the "Tea Party" - as no one should have any preconceived notions. Here is a link to a recent survey:

<http://thehill.com/blogs/ballot-box/polls/90541-survey-four-in-10-tea-party-members-dem-or-indie>

(block and copy the link to your browser).

Jodie Webber

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) May 10  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
"Miller, Kirk"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Brown, George H."  
<[REDACTED]>  
Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Tue, May 10, 2011 at 9:43 AM  
subject Re: Edits  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Kirk,

I am aware that proceeding with an interagency contract is easier, but what we have seen, is problems arise when one wears too many hats, so to speak. Further, I hesitate to do any outreach to anyone until we have a legal opinion, addressed to the full commission, regarding whether we need to do a study for any area. I do not agree with moving this quickly, reaching out to people who may, or may not, be qualified, when the commission has not made a decision.

I would ask that you continue to work with DGS as well, in the event we must release a RFB, which I would prefer.

Thank you,  
Jodie

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) May 9  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
to "Miller, Kirk"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Yao, Peter"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Daniel Claypool  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Brown, George H."  
<[REDACTED]>  
Karin Mac Donald  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, May 9, 2011 at 8:16 PM  
subject Re: First Weekly Conference  
Call Regarding VRA Issues  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

As I recall, we will not be having any input hearings this week. In any event, I am available.  
Jodie

from [jodie.filkins-  
webber@crc.ca.gov](mailto:jodie.filkins-webber@crc.ca.gov) [hide details](#) Apr 20  
reply-to [REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Miller, Kirk"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Wed, Apr 20, 2011 at 1:51  
PM  
subject Fw: Legal standing agenda  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

See cynthia revisions below. She is also asking for a detailed agenda to post tomorrow. Per marias request to prioritize I think we need to discuss pra request status as one item, but that appears covered. Let me know what detail you want me to convey to cynthia.

Thanks

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [jodie.filkins-webber@crc.ca.gov](#) [hide details](#) Apr 20  
reply-to [REDACTED]  
to Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>  
Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Wed, Apr 20, 2011 at 8:20 AM  
subject Re: Legal Advisory Agenda  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](#)

Certainly this a lot. The point is to have a general agenda to allow us to provide proper notice and allow us to discuss issues that may arise in the categories.

It is my recollection the we are to provide the chair a more detailed agenda re discussion issues 72 hours prior and that will be posted.

Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [Filkins-Webber,](#) [hide details](#) Apr 19  
[REDACTED]  
to "Claypool, Daniel"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Andre Parvenu  
<[REDACTED]>  
Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
Cynthia Dai  
<[REDACTED]>  
"DiGuilio, Michelle"  
<[REDACTED]>  
,  
Gabino Aguirre  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Galambos-Malloy, Connie"  
[REDACTED]  
Jeanne Raya

- < [redacted]
- Lilbert Ontai
- < [redacted]
- Maria Blanco
- < [redacted]
- Michael Ward
- < [redacted]
- Peter Yao
- < [redacted]
- Stanley Forbes
- < [redacted]
- Vincent Barabba
- < [redacted]

date Tue, Apr 19, 2011 at 4:17 PM

subject Re: Communications Protocol Clarification

mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Chair & Vice Chair,

Please advise me if I am cleared to address Norco City Council tomorrow to make comments regarding our public input hearing 5/5, or whether you would like a formal statement to review. Obviously, I cannot anticipate what inquires from the public I may get but do I have clearance to answer questions of the city council, mayor, city manager and the public regarding the input hearing 5/5?

Thank you for your consideration,  
Jodie Filkins Webber

from **Filkins-Webber,**  
**Jodie** [redacted]

[hide details](#) Apr 19

- to "Claypool, Daniel"
- < [redacted]
- cc [redacted]
- Andre Parvenu
- < [redacted]
- Angelo Ancheta
- < [redacted]
- Christina Shupe
- < [redacted]
- Cynthia Dai
- < [redacted]
- "DiGuilio, Michelle"
- < [redacted]
- Gabino Aguirre
- < [redacted]
- "Galambos-Malloy, Connie"
- [redacted]
- Jeanne Raya
- < [redacted]
- Kirk Miller
- < [redacted]
- Lilbert Ontai
- < [redacted]

Maria Blanco  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Michael Ward  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Peter Yao  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Raul Villanueva  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Rob Wilcox  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 "Sargis, Janeece"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Stanley Forbes  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Vincent Barabba  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 date Tue, Apr 19, 2011 at 3:11 PM  
 subject Re: Commission  
 Communications Protocol  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Dear Chair and Vice Chair,

I am not certain of the circumstance that generated this email; however, I have made public comments at a Redistricting Educational Workshop for the Greenlining Institute in March and The Redistricting Group, I met with the Press Enterprise Editorial Board, held other interviews and I have made and will make public comments at the Norco City Council meetings -- not one time was I advised that I needed to have any such public statements cleared by the Chair or Vice Chair. I dont recall anyone submitting anything to me while I was chair, etither.

Given that I have a City Council meeting tomorrow nite - Mr. Barabba, would you like me to prepare a formal statement for your review? I generally just remind the public and council about the Commission's work throughout the state and remind them of our public input hearing 5/5, toolkit and websites to help - I dont typically prepare a formal statement to read to my neighbors, but if you would like me to, let me know.

Thank you,  
 Jodie Filkins Webber

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Apr 11  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]  
 to "Dai, Cynthia"  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 cc Jeanne Raya  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Peter Yao  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Maria Blanco  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Mike Ward  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 date Mon, Apr 11, 2011 at 8:27  
 PM  
 subject Re: Airports you recommend  
 for Long Beach/Antelope  
 Valley?

mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

This is my recommendation to Michelle as she asked as well - if any other Surenos have other suggestions, chime in:

4/27 - fly into Long Beach, John Wayne or LAX

5/1 -likely Burbank

5/4 - Ontario (ONT)

5/6- either John Wayne (SNA ?) or Ontario (I have room for 3 to drop off at ONT if you cant get a flight out of John Wayne on 5/6 after our meeting- if necessary).

Call Cal Travel to make the final arrangements - they were very helpful.

Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 21

**[REDACTED]**  
to "Sargis, Janeece"  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
cc "Blanco, Maria"  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
"Claypool, Daniel"  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
date Mon, Mar 21, 2011 at 5:06  
PM  
subject Re: Draft Expanded  
Agenda for 3/24-25  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

Now I am feeling as frustrated as the public. I booked my flight today and made other arrangements for Friday, given the posted agenda and Maria's email that we would only be meeting on Thursday. This was after considerable discussion in the last two weeks regarding the necessity for a two day meeting and Maria told everyone as of last nite, there will be no meeting on Friday.

Is this now confirmed? Because now I need to change my flight and book a hotel room that I was not otherwise intending to do.

Please provide further clarification regarding this change and whether it is now confirmed.

Jodie Filkins Webber

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 21

**[REDACTED]**  
to "Sargis, Janeece"  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
cc Maria Blanco  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
Lilbert Ontai  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
Michelle DiGuilio  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
,  
Cynthia Dai  
<**[REDACTED]**>

Gabino Aguirre  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Claypool, Daniel"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Mar 21, 2011 at 5:08  
PM  
subject Re: Draft Expanded Agenda  
for 3/24-25  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Legal and Finance do not have an agenda?

Just wondering as there nothing under either on this "expanded" agenda.

Jodie Filkins Webber

---

from [jodie.filkins-  
webber@crc.ca.gov](mailto:jodie.filkins-webber@crc.ca.gov) [hide details](#) Mar 16  
reply-to [REDACTED]  
to "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>  
Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Wed, Mar 16, 2011 at 7:05  
PM  
subject Re: My edits to the  
questions below - could not  
attach with tracked changes  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

No objection. I see the underlying purpose for the breakdown between 2 and 3.  
Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Mar 16  
[REDACTED]  
to "Miller, Kirk"  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Ancheta, Angelo"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Stanley Forbes  
<[REDACTED]>

Michael Ward

<[redacted]>

date Wed, Mar 16, 2011 at 7:18 PM

subject Re: My edits to the questions below - could not attach with tracked changes

mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

True. Thank you.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

from [redacted] [hide details](#) Mar 16

[redacted]  
[redacted]

to "Miller, Kirk"

<[redacted]>

"Ancheta, Angelo"

<[redacted]>

cc "Blanco, Maria"

<[redacted]>

Stanley Forbes

<[redacted]>

Michael Ward

<[redacted]>

date Wed, Mar 16, 2011 at 7:29 PM

subject Re: My edits to the questions below - could not attach with tracked changes

mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Your motion is seconded.

Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

from [redacted] [hide details](#) Mar 16

[redacted]  
[redacted]

[gov](#)

to "Ancheta, Angelo"

<[redacted]>

"Miller, Kirk"

<[redacted]>

cc "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Michael Ward  
<[REDACTED]>  
Stanley Forbes  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Wed, Mar 16, 2011 at 6:38 PM  
subject Re: Fw: Fwd: Questions for  
VRA Attorneys  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

I like Angelos suggested change.  
Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Mar 16  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
to "Sargis, Janeece"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc "Claypool, Daniel"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Wed, Mar 16, 2011 at 12:30  
PM  
subject Re: Revised agenda  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](mailto:crc.ca.gov)

I would like to see it first in the event I have questions.  
Thanks,  
Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 16  
[REDACTED]  
to "Sargis, Janeece"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Claypool, Daniel"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>

date Wed, Mar 16, 2011 at 1:20  
PM  
subject Re: Revised agenda  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Thank you.

Given that we are not having any training on Friday, you may remove item #4. Thus, the timing may move up.

Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 11

**[REDACTED]**  
to "Ancheta, Angelo"  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
cc Maria Blanco  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
Lilbert Ontai  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
Daniel Claypool  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
date Fri, Mar 11, 2011 at 11:26  
AM  
subject Re: Agenda for March  
24-25  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

If all goes well next week, we will not likely meet on 3/22-3/23.

In reviewing the agenda for 3/24, I was under the impression that we would have more individuals available to provide additional training (on the issues you raised) the afternoon of 3/24 so the committees could meet on Friday and report back on Saturday. I believe we have a significant amount of work to get done that is not indicated on the agenda. Now, it seems odd that this meeting is even scheduled for 3/24 only. I had a different vision for 3/24-3/26, but I will no longer be chair at that time.

Just my personal thoughts,  
Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 7

**Jodie** **[REDACTED]**  
**[REDACTED]**  
to "Miller, Kirk"  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
cc Angelo Ancheta  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
"Blanco, Maria"  
<**[REDACTED]**>  
date Mon, Mar 7, 2011 at 7:34 PM  
subject Re: Citizens Redistricting  
Commission  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Have you had any further discussions with Mr. Levitt regarding the following week of March 24-26 meeting for

additional training? I will leave it up to Angelo to provide focus for what we want.

Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 7  
[REDACTED]  
to "Claypool, Daniel"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Mar 7, 2011 at 5:30  
PM  
subject Bid Released today?  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Just checking in on status of the bid and whether such was released today.

Thank you,  
Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 7  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] aneece"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
Lilbert Ontai  
<[REDACTED]>  
"Claypool, Daniel"  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Mar 7, 2011 at 11:26  
AM  
subject Re: Meeting March 24-26?  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

I think it is a strong possibility, even if we can get the bid released today. As you are aware, our present agenda for 3/17 is dependent on getting the bid released today, or at the latest, tomorrow. If the bid does not get released in the next two days we will need the following week anyway to review the bids.

If we get the bid out today, then it is not likely we will get to other business, so 3/24 will still be necessary for other business we will not get to 3/24. I will chat with Maria tonite regarding the issues that have been passed on to me as chair that I will not be able to cover and such will assist her in organizing the agenda for release 3/10. I presume 3/24 will be advisory committee meeting day-so keep that in mind for the technical aspect of scheduling.

Thanks,  
Jodie Filkins Webber

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 6  
[REDACTED]  
to "Villanueva, Raul"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
Daniel Claypool  
<[REDACTED]>  
Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Sun, Mar 6, 2011 at 12:32  
PM  
subject Re: IFB for Line Drawer  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Hello,

I am very impressed with this Invitation. I believe it properly addresses many issues raised by the commission previously when it was an RFB and also addresses the public's comments and concerns.

I have reviewed the IFB and have but a few questions:

1. The invitation requests 20 hard copies of the bid itself and then asks for two separate CDs, one for the bid and a CD for the separate cost. Should they be required to submit multiple copies of Vol. V cost worksheet, or will Dan or I be opening only the one sealed document submitted and announcing the bids for those that pass?
2. Typo on page 16 - section C - should read "per diem" - not "oer diem".
3. the last question on page 4 -- I thought we were going to request that the bidder execute a statement that they will not be receiving funding from any other source except the CRC? I like the required disclosure; however, the only statement of prohibition is on p. 37 - is this enough? Or should there be a separate section of prohibition?
4. Page 37, sec. b - I dont understand the first sentence - is something missing?

Thank you for your obvious laborious diligence,  
Jodie Filkins Webber

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Mar 6  
[REDACTED]  
to "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc "Villanueva, Raul"  
<[REDACTED]>  
Daniel Claypool  
<[REDACTED]>  
Kirk Miller  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Sun, Mar 6, 2011 at 5:37  
PM  
subject Re: IFB for Line Drawer  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Maria,  
It is in there- it is at the bottom of page 24.

Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Feb 28  
[REDACTED]  
to Angelo Ancheta  
<[REDACTED]>  
Maria Blanco  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Feb 28, 2011 at 3:20  
PM  
subject Fwd:  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

My response to Kirk, below.  
Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Feb 28  
[REDACTED]  
to "Blanco, Maria"  
<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Feb 28, 2011 at  
8:06 PM  
subject Re:  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

But -- see Kirk's response -- you apparently discussed this in the Legal Advisory Committee last week:

Thanks for your follow-up -- here is the language history. One the one hand, there is a desire for a fixed price, but at the same time, this portion of the work can not yet be quantified. That is, the number of public meetings counsel will need to attend is uncertain, and will remain so at least until the Commission establishes a meeting schedule. Even then, VRA counsel's actual attendance may vary based on our meeting experience. As such, the committee felt it would be difficult for a firm or lawyer to include this work in a fixed price proposal, and opted to permit them to break out this change in order to encourage more flat fee bids. Best wishes. Kirk

Hope Miami is great!  
Jodie

---

from **Filkins-Webber,** [hide details](#) Feb 1  
**Jodie** [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
to "Parvenu, Andre"  
<[REDACTED]>  
cc "Barabba, Vincent"  
<[REDACTED]>  
[crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov),  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
Michelle DiGuilio

<[REDACTED]>  
"Claypool, Daniel"

<[REDACTED]>  
date Tue, Feb 1, 2011 at 9:26 PM  
subject Re: Census Bureau Webinar  
mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

Again, as I stated in our last meeting - I am not our staff counsel, but, until then, I feel I need to advise this commission- per Government Code §8253(a)(3), "Commission members and staff may not communicate with or receive communications about redistricting matters from anyone outside of a public hearing."

Although I respect Mr. Barabba's enthusiasm in conveying this information - we must be conscious of the provisions of the VFA as stated above.

Please have Dan post this email and the contents on our website to comply with the law.

To the extent anyone questions my recommendations in this regard, or has any questions regarding why the email from Mr. Barabba may be in violation of the law without proper notice, please promptly convey your inquiries to Dan in care of Mr. Miller or to Cy Rickards.

Thank you,  
Jodie Filkins Webber

---

ALL ABOVE EMAILS IN RESPONSE TO PRA #12 ITEM 7

Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]> Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 7:01 PM  
To: Lon Leitch <[REDACTED]>  
Cc: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]> Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>  
Date: Thu, Jul 7, 2011 at 8:54 PM  
Subject: Public Input Database Issues  
To: "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]> "Galambos-Malloy, Connie" <[REDACTED]>  
>, Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]>

As you are all aware, as present chair, I was asked to address the issue that has arose regarding prior format of public input going to Q2, naming of files, backlog with incompatible names, etc.

Please cease all emails on this issue. Mr. Claypool and Ms. Schupe will come to our hearing tomorrow and we will

have an opportunity to clarify the solution to solve the problem. CRC is committed to correcting the backlog of the approximate 1600 once the solution is confirmed to the satisfaction of all those involved.

Thank you,

--

Jodie Filkins Webber  
 Commissioner  
 Citizens Redistricting Commission  
 "Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

**Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 7:06 PM**

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Please see additional emails and communications with Ana Henderson re PRA 12 Item 7:

---

from [REDACTED] [hide details](#) Jun 6  
 reply-to [REDACTED] [v](#)  
 to Alex Woods  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Cynthia Dai  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Michael Ward  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 cc Karin Mac Donald  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 Ana Henderson  
 <[REDACTED]>  
 date Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 9:33 AM  
 subject Re: Anaheim & Pomona Valley  
 Questions  
 mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

I have been reviewing public comments and waiting to hear from C. Ward for input as well. I am struggling with this and want to study it more tonite. I will tell you that despite the Artesia / Cerritos testimony, we cannot sacrifice Buena Park to LA county. At a minimum we don't want to split Artesia/cerritos and the little india area, but we can't put them in oc if it means buena park goes into la county. we need to respect county lines for the oc city of buena park, that may be the tough choice we have to make.

Jodie

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

--

Jodie Filkins Webber  
 Commissioner  
 Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]> Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 7:07 PM

To: Lon Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

from [REDACTED] [hide details Jun 6](#)

reply-to [REDACTED]

to Alex Woods

<[REDACTED]>  
Cynthia Dai

<[REDACTED]>  
Michael Ward

cc Karin Mac Donald

<[REDACTED]>  
Ana Henderson

<[REDACTED]>  
date Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 9:33 AM

subject Re: Anaheim & Pomona Valley  
Questions

mailed-by [crc.ca.gov](http://crc.ca.gov)

I have been reviewing public comments and waiting to hear from C. Ward for input as well. I am struggling with this and want to study it more tonite. I will tell you that despite the Artesia / Cerritos testimony, we cannot sacrifice Buena Park to LA county. At a minimum we don't want to split Artesia/cerritos and the little india area, but we can't put them in oc if it means buena park goes into la county. we need to respect county lines for the oc city of buena park, that may be the tough choice we have to make.  
Jodie

[Quoted text hidden]

---





Leitch, Lonn &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

---

## PRA 12 ITEM 7

---

Filkins-Webber, Jodie &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:39 PM

To: Lonn Leitch &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Marian Johnston &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, Mar 15, 2011 at 5:17 PM

Subject: Friday's Agenda

To: "Claypool, Daniel" &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; "Blanco, Maria" &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Kirk Miller

&lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

In preparation for our meeting on Friday, am I correct in assuming that the Legal Advisory Committee will not be reporting to the commission in AM because they are providing their report at 2:00? Or would legal like to discuss the "not to exceed" number (discussed today) with the full commission in their slot at 11:30AM? Further, may I assume the VRA training is cancelled freeing up an hour in the afternoon? Did Legal discuss the timing for presentation for each proposed attorney at Friday's meeting? What will be the order of presentations and by whom (particular name of attorney so I may introduce them properly).

Thank you,  
Jodie

--

Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

---



Leitch, Lonn &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

---

## PRA 12 - Item 7

6 messages

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:16 PM

To: Lonn Leitch &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Marian Johnston &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, Jun 10, 2011 at 9:36 PM

Subject: Your agenda additions

To: Maria Blanco &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

I appreciate the additions you made to the Google Doc agenda. I left your name in parenthesis for those topics identified for other committee leads so they know who added the topic. I removed your name only from the Legal Committee items as I recognize you put them there (thank you), but just so I don't forget to remove it later as I will make sure each name is removed before Janece posts the agenda, but all your items are still in there.

I think all detailed items should (from now on) remain on the Google detailed agendas, whether we get to them or not in a meeting. If you and I, as chair and vice chair, think we have to defer discussion to your next meeting, I will instruct the leads during the meeting to document deferral, but at least it will remain on the detailed agenda so the item does not get overlooked. I think this is a good chair tip to pass along. Then the next chair and vice chair can just block and copy from the Google Detailed agenda from the last meeting and delete items covered and moved forward on items previously deferred.

Have a great weekend. I am available at any time to chat about any other agenda items for this week, and in particular, setting a discussion timeline once every lead gets their items in the Google Doc.

Thanks,

Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

--  
Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:18 PM

To: Lonn Leitch &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

Cc: Kirk Miller &lt;[REDACTED]&gt; Marian Johnston &lt;[REDACTED]&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, Jun 9, 2011 at 11:28 PM

Subject: Kirk's Schedule

To: Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

The commission asked that we obtain a legal opinion from Kirk regarding the numbering of Senate districts and that such should be considered at our next meeting 6/16. However, Kirk is not available to attend our hearings next week. Thus, he has asked that I advise you so we can agendize the discussion under Legal Advisory for your meeting 6/23.

Thanks,

--

Jodie Filkins Webber

Commissioner

Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:20 PM

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 3:50 PM

Subject: Detailed Agenda for 6/9-6/10 Meetings ([REDACTED])

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

I've shared [Detailed Agenda for 6/9-6/10 Meetings](#)

**Message from** [REDACTED]

The attached Google doc is a format for our detailed agenda for THIS THURSDAY's business meeting. As each lead has - please provide detailed items for discussion under your advisory committee, provide a detail estimated timeline and PLI BY 11:00 am TOMORROW.

Click to open:

[Detailed Agenda for 6/9-6/10 Meetings](#)

Google Docs makes it easy to create, store and share online documents, spreadsheets and presentations.

[Logo for Google Docs](#)

[Quoted text hidden]

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]> **Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:31 PM**  
 To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>  
 Cc: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>  
 Date: Sat, Apr 23, 2011 at 8:29 PM  
 Subject: Traffic info 5/5/-5/6  
 To: "Sargis, Janeece" <[REDACTED]>  
 Cc: [REDACTED] Andre Parvenu  
 [REDACTED] Angelo Ancheta <[REDACTED]> Christina Shupe  
 [REDACTED] "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]> Cynthia Dai  
 [REDACTED] "Galambos-Malloy, Connie" <[REDACTED]> Jeanne Raya  
 [REDACTED] Lilbert Ontai <[REDACTED]> Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]>  
 Michael Ward <[REDACTED]> Peter Yao <[REDACTED]> Raul Villanueva  
 [REDACTED] Stanley Forbes <[REDACTED]> Vincent Barabba  
 [REDACTED] Rob Wilcox <[REDACTED]> Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]> Karin  
 Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

Ok gang - your traffic guru here for 5/5-5/6 meetings -

I asked Janeece to get you into Ontario for the 5/5 meeting, but that did not work with DGS.

If you are coming in Wed eve for the Thursday meeting -- Staff and others traveling into Norco from Riverside Thursday AM - please be sure to take the 60 west from Riverside -- DO NOT TAKE the 91 WEST!!! - Regardless of your GPS!

From the 60 West you will go south on the 15 and get off at 6th Street - go right and then an immediate left on Hamner and right on 4th to Clark and then left into the first parking lot on the right. Turn right in the parking lot and go around to the back. - Not sure about parking at that hour, but the entrance will be on your left after the sheriff cage.

For those coming in Thursday AM - Cyn is getting a cab from ONT so check with her re: timing if you want to join her.

As for AM Friday 5/6 from Riverside --- you are in luck - it is suppose to be "Friday Morning Lite" - but dont count on it. Your GPS will direct you to the 91 West (the most congested freeway in all of So. Cal - other than the 405/101 at rush hour) -If staff needs to be there before 9:00 am - I suggest staff leave at 7:45 - for commissioners - you can leave by 8:30am, just to be on the safe side.

I do not know what GPS direction you will get, but the Santa Civic Center is an area of several buildings, including the Federal Building, Superior Court, Law Library and City Hall - whatever entrance you come into (i.e. Broadway, Civic Center, etc.) just ask for them to direct you to 22 - I believe it is the furthest building to the South.

Good luck and welcome to So. Cal!  
 Jodie

Fri, Apr 22, 2011 at 9:40 AM, Sargis, Janeece <[REDACTED]> wrote:

The hotel reservations (which are being made through DGS) have been problematic in some cases. To complicate things, DGS books the hotels based on our original input hearing times, and sometimes the hotel is already booked when the schedule changes and business meetings are added on for a morning start time.

Unfortunately, DGS signs contracts with the hotels (based on the approved calendar), then gives us the details for

booking. It becomes difficult to change hotel plans after the contract is signed. (By the way, the reason that we are having DGS book these hotels is because they have the authority to sign the hotel contracts and we do not).

In this case, you can stay at whichever hotel you would like to stay at (as long as you can get the state rate). However, if we don't fill our room block for the hotel where the contract is signed, it reflects badly on DGS (and us) for any future bookings.

Short answer: Stay at any hotel that works for your schedule, and we will try to avoid these issues in the future. I apologize that this one didn't work out very well.

On Fri, Apr 22, 2011 at 12:44 AM, <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hello group:

In looking at my hotel options for May 5 and 6, it occurs to me that it might be wise if we did the May 6th hotel (in Santa Ana) on the night of May 5th instead of the Riverside hotel. It would mean driving to Santa Ana after the Norco input hearing (about 28 miles) but the next morning the commute to the Santa Ana business mtg starting at 10:00 a.m. would only be 4 miles instead of approx 55 miles from Riverside. Considering morning traffic in LA I think it would be much easier (and faster) to travel after the night mtg than battle it in the morning going with traffic.

Just a suggestion but one I think I'll do and hoping someone else with transportation may be interested in. Though Janeece, can we switch dates on the hotels - especially when the cut off date was yesterday?

\*Michelle

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

---

**From:** "Sargis, Janeece" <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Mon, 11 Apr 2011 17:30:40 -0700  
**To:** <[REDACTED]> Andre Parvenu<[REDACTED]>  
 Angelo Ancheta<[REDACTED]> Christina Shupe<[REDACTED]> Claypool,  
 Daniel<[REDACTED]> Cynthia Dai<[REDACTED]> Galambos-Malloy,  
 Connie<[REDACTED]> Jeanne Raya<[REDACTED]> Jodie Filkins-  
 Webber<[REDACTED]> Lilbert Ontai<[REDACTED]> Maria  
 Blanco<[REDACTED]> Michael Ward<[REDACTED]> Michelle  
 DiGuilio<[REDACTED]> Peter Yao<[REDACTED]> Raul Villanueva<[REDACTED]>  
 >; Stanley Forbes<[REDACTED]> Vincent Barabba<[REDACTED]> Rob  
 Wilcox<[REDACTED]> Lon Leitch<[REDACTED]> Karin Mac Donald<[REDACTED]>  
 >; <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Hotel reservation information through May 6

Hello all,

I have attached information for hotel reservations through our Santa Ana meeting on May 6. Please pay attention to the Group Name to which you should refer for each reservation and the cut-off date for making reservations. Also, a couple of these locations have a higher state rate (as noted). As always, let me know if I can assist you in any way.

--

Janeece Sargis  
 Commission Liason  
 Citizens Redistricting Commission

[REDACTED] - office

[REDACTED] - cell

**"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"**

--

Janeece Sargis  
Commission Liasion  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
[REDACTED] - office  
[REDACTED] - cell

**"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"**

--

Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

**Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:32 PM**

To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

**From: Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, Apr 19, 2011 at 8:02 PM

Subject: Legal Advisory Agenda

To: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Maria Blanco <[REDACTED]> Angelo Ancheta

<[REDACTED]>

I have been asked by the Chair and Vice Chair to provide a Legal Advisory General Agenda for the upcoming meetings. Please see my suggestions below. The Vice Chair has asked that I provide this to her by tomorrow AM. I know she does not require a more detailed agenda until 72 hours before, but she would like us to get back to better agendas. Please review and provide any suggested changes or corrections before tomorrow AM, if possible. If not, I will submit this list to her and we can formalize during our meeting next week.

Legal Advisory Committee

1. Consideration of further training regarding VRA, map-drawing, PRA, and any other general legal topics of relevance to the Commission.
2. Consideration of Commission legal obligations and governance matters.
3. Consideration of necessary requirements of Department of Justice Pre-Clearance
4. Consideration and review of Commission requests of VRA counsel, including but not limited to, preparation of assignments, status of assignments, completion of work, billing/cost control of legal fees, and any and all other issues/requests made by VRA counsel to the Commission.
5. Consideration of Public Record Act requests, status of responses by staff, further advice of counsel for Commission consideration in preparation for response.
6. Consideration of any and all issues related to potential or actual litigation.
7. Consideration of possible IFB from Racially Polarized Voting Analysis expert consultant, including Commission direction regarding the same.
8. Consideration of any other Commission requests to the Legal Advisory Committee.

Thanks,

Jodie Filkins Webber

Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Filkins-Webber, Jodie** <[REDACTED]> **Tue, Aug 16, 2011 at 6:59 PM**  
To: Lonn Leitch <[REDACTED]>  
Cc: Kirk Miller <[REDACTED]> Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Filkins-Webber, Jodie <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Fri, Jul 8, 2011 at 10:02 PM  
**Subject:** The least of your worries  
**To:** Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]> "Shupe, Christina" <[REDACTED]>

In reviewing the visualizations for Reg. II - it appears the labels are wrong. The RIVMV SD visualization puts the label over the POMSB SD and vice versa.  
By the way, I know Alex did not do these and I suspect she did not do the CDs for Reg. I, II and III , can you tell me why?

Thanks,

Jodie Filkins Webber  
Commissioner  
Citizens Redistricting Commission  
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"

[Quoted text hidden]