

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the matter of
Full Commission Line-Drawing Meeting

Volume II

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law
Classroom C
3200 Fifth Avenue
Sacramento, California

Thursday, July 7, 2011

10:07 A.M.

Reported by:
Kent Odell

APPEARANCES

Commissioners Present

Jodie Filkins Webber, Chairperson

Angelo Ancheta, Vice-Chairperson

Gabino Aguirre

Vincent Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle DiGuilio

Stanley Forbes

Connie Galambos Malloy

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai

M. Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Peter Yao

Staff Present

Janeece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Consultants Present

Karin MacDonald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Jamie Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Tamina Alon, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Kyle Kubas, Q2 Data and Research, LLC

Also Present

Public Comment

Mary Garza

Maye Lee

Aref Aziz

I N D E X

Page

Introduction

Public Comment

Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (all Northern California districts) (Time permitting: BOE and Non-LA County areas of Southern California) (Imperial County; potential Section 2 areas in Orange, San Diego And San Bernardino Counties)

Lunch

Continuation of Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (See above)

Break

Continuation of Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (See above)

198

Adjournment

329

Certificate of Reporter

329

1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

(Back on the record at 3:30 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you very much.

We are resuming our meeting of the Citizens Redistricting Commission.

And it has been brought to my attention that we missed something, so I really wanted to make sure that we all were aware that it is Jaime's birthday today.

(Applause)

COMMISSIONER DAI: So, happy birthday, Jaime, and thank you for all of your hard work and thank you for sacrificing your celebration today to be with us. So, we certainly appreciate it.

COMMISSIONER FORBES: This is a sacrifice?

CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: When it comes to a celebration that we probably all need yes, it is.

So, thank you very much and we can move into the Congressional districts for Northern California.

MS. ALON: Okay, we are going to start in the Bay Area and give Jaime a break. And the Bay Area, the main direction that I was given and the direction which drove this map was not to split Richmond, and so we have Richmond whole up here in this Northern district, which Jaime will talk about a little bit more. But I just wanted to point out that that is -- that's what was

1 driving this particular configuration.

2 So, before you throw fruit at me, I just wanted
3 you to know that's where that came from.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, before we leave that
5 are we allowed to make comments, even if it's not fruit?

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Instead of throwing
7 fruit?

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm looking at a couple
9 things with this and we'll probably look at the Yolo,
10 eventually, but it seems like at this point it might be an
11 opportunity that we could make San Leandro whole and put
12 it in with its Eden Valley, then you'd have to switch
13 the -- because I think there's a couple things. There's
14 also the fact that we're going up and over those mountains
15 from Castro Valley into the -- you know, it has the San
16 Ramon Valley area; it's kind of reaching in there. So, if
17 you put San Leandro in and then you took out San Ramon,
18 and then you would have to bump back up.

19 I mean, it's going to -- what it's probably going
20 to mean is we'll have to do something in that top. I
21 don't know if it ends up splitting Richmond, but I'm
22 wondering if the split for Richmond is worth San Leandro,
23 and maybe San Ramon, and some of the other consequences
24 for that circle around there.

25 MS. ALON: Sure. Let me tell you a little bit

1 about this. So, we have this district, it's called
2 Richmond district, which no longer has Richmond in it,
3 which has San Leandro whole, Oakland whole, Alameda whole,
4 Piedmont, Emeryville whole, Berkeley and Albany whole in
5 Congress. So, none of those cities are split here.

6 When I came down here the question was whether to
7 -- because remember we are pushing up from the south with
8 Monterey, which is right down here on the horizon. And so
9 the question was whether to come up and take San Leandro
10 and keep it with Eden or not.

11 And this purple line that you're seeing is
12 actually the Fremont Coalition's lines, so I went back to
13 them to see who they wanted to have included in their --
14 in their COI and they did not include San Leandro, they
15 came up and included San Ramon and part of Dublin.

16 Now, that is -- San Ramon and part of Dublin is
17 almost an even switch for San Leandro. However, if you
18 did -- if you take San Leandro into Eden, take this out
19 and put this back into the green then you will split
20 Richmond.

21 And since my direction was not to split Richmond,
22 then this is why this came out this way.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco?

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, I hate to be a party
25 pooper, but if you go up to where Richmond is it's not

1 split, but show me that district, the one -- the
2 Congressional district that Richmond's in, the entirety of
3 that district.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Actually, Yolo, it goes
5 way up there to --

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay, I -- so, Richmond, I
7 have a problem -- Richmond is part of Contra Costa and now
8 it's not in Contra Costa County. The thing that's really
9 closest to it, even if it's not in Contra Costa, is to
10 sort of Alameda County, Berkeley, those cities, and now we
11 have Richmond in a county that goes up and is very rural
12 and -- I mean a Congressional district that's very rural,
13 very different. Yes, there's an 80 corridor, but 80
14 corridor to where?

15 And I -- we've gotten a lot of testimony from
16 Richmond, some of it was about not being split, but some
17 of it was basically between wanting to be with Contra
18 Costa, wanting to be with Alameda, but nobody saying that
19 they wanted to be in a Congressional district with Yolo,
20 so I have some problems here.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Galambos
22 Malloy, then DiGuilio, and then Commissioner Barabba.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And I just wanted
24 to confirm, so at this point we have San Leandro is
25 together in two out of three, they're together in two out

1 of the three sets of districts. Then we have Richmond has
2 not been split in any?

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: That's correct. San Leandro is
4 whole but it's not together with Eden.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes, all correct.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, we have a
7 couple of different things going on; I'm just sorting it
8 out.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
10 DiGuilio?

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, it seems to kind of
12 address some of these balancing. If Richmond hasn't been
13 split, yet, we know that's not ideal. But I think going
14 back to Commissioner Blanco's is it would prefer to be
15 with Alameda and Contra Costa, but not Yolo.

16 So, if we wanted to do the split -- I mean the
17 exchange, it would be San Leandro with its Eden partners,
18 you would take out -- that even exchange that Ms. Alon was
19 mentioning, but San Ramon back in with its COI, and then
20 you'd move up around the top and you could probably put
21 some of the, you know, northern part of Contra Costa with
22 Yolo if you have to, which mirrors what we've done in some
23 of our other districts.

24 And then maybe bring some of the Richmond; part of
25 Richmond would be Oakland and then maybe the other part we

1 could work out to be with Contra Costa.

2 It seems like that would be a much -- it would
3 address a lot of different problems we have with this if
4 we do that rotating exchange of population.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba?

6 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, I think we tied
7 Tamina's hands by the hard line because it forced her into
8 what looks like some not-so-good decisions, so I would say
9 we relieve that response.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you agree with
11 Commissioner DiGuilio?

12 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, but I'm not sure
13 whether I would move -- I would just try to put Contra
14 Costa back into the -- Richmond, excuse me, back into
15 whatever they're calling it, that Albany district.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Commissioner
17 Forbes and then Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, can I see the eastern
19 side of the COCO district because what I'm thinking is
20 that -- okay, because I'm wondering whether --

21 MS. ALON: You know what this -- actually, the
22 eastern side of this, Jaime has the proper map, I wasn't
23 expecting to put this map up.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay. Well, my point is
25 that I agree that putting this in Yolo County is a

1 stretch. But I need to know whether it's possible to move
2 the population -- leave San Leandro alone and move this
3 into COCO, move COCO into -- you know, move around and
4 come into it into the Yolo/Solano district from the south?

5 MS. CLARK: Okay, I have more updated
6 visualizations including a visualization where Richmond is
7 not with Yolo County, but with Napa County. I know also
8 not ideal.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Based on the -- what
10 you've heard thus far, before I move on to other
11 Commissioners, Tamina did you have any response to
12 Commissioner DiGuilio's idea? I think it -- I agree that
13 I think it preserves a lot of community of interests with
14 San Ramon, Dublin, it creates -- it solves the San
15 Leandro, potentially.

16 But if you can give us some idea of the
17 consequences to this suggestion and then I would go back
18 to Commissioner Galambos Malloy and anyone else that
19 wanted to speak.

20 MS. ALON: Sure. Well, definitely this exchange
21 can happen between San Leandro and the San Ramon/Dublin.

22 Where I get population to take this back from I
23 need to talk with Jaime about. And maybe when you look at
24 her maps you can figure out how you want that to look.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Commissioner

1 Galambos Malloy, did you have anything?

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: No, I really -- I
3 would support Commissioner DiGuilio's assessment. I have
4 had concerns from the beginning, as we've looked at this
5 proposal that preserves the Fremont COI intact, that the
6 San Ramon grab really does not fit with -- with how I
7 understand the region to function and the strong COI from
8 that area. So, I think it's a way of balancing the
9 various COIs that we've had in the area.

10 Do you have an estimate and maybe it's going back
11 to one of the previous visualizations that you had shown
12 us, of what the split in Richmond would look like or if
13 whether it might be possible to avoid the split, but just
14 have Richmond going towards -- oriented towards the south?

15 MS. ALON: It would be about an 85,000-person
16 split, so you'd be looking probably right here whether it
17 breaks up along the annex would be coming that way, coming
18 down and then north, I don't know where.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, it's south of the annex
20 that would be with -- and north of the annex would be with
21 Pinole and El Sobrante and all that?

22 MS. ALON: Yeah, thereabouts, yes.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Boy, I still think that's
24 problematic. I mean kind of the heart of -- I mean the
25 annex is actually the part that's more like Albany and El

1 Cerrito is the part north of the Annex that's, you know,
2 the least like Yolo.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, how far, just to
4 address that concern, if you were to go all the way up to
5 -- you're saying Pinole would be the northern --
6 Commissioner Blanco is Pinole the northern border or is
7 that where the dividing line is? It's like I'm just --

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think Pinole; I mean that
9 whole area there is now so urban, including Pinole. I
10 think Rodeo, Hercules, maybe you could go over, Rodeo, but
11 Pinole down to -- I mean Kensington really doesn't, you
12 know. But Pinole --

13 (Laughter)

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We're laughing, I mean
15 Kensington is this sort of high-end Berkeley neighborhood
16 kind of --

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, it's Berkeley,
18 really.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But Pinole down I think is
20 really urban Bay Area.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, that amount of
22 population, Ms. Alon, is how much from Richmond all the
23 way up to there, that section we're talking about?

24 MS. ALON: Significant.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, so if you do that

1 you have to pull up your Richmond --

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: We'll note that the current
3 visualization is also having us crossing the bridge
4 substantially because of the population shift.

5 MS. ALON: Right, I can give you an idea. So, you
6 would split Oakland probably down the middle. This is
7 actually similar to a previous visualization we've done.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's the last one we did,
9 actually.

10 MS. ALON: We actually did this before, it sounds
11 really familiar. You split Oakland down the middle, then
12 you come and take all of this and you split Fremont in
13 half, and then you come down and you split all the San
14 Jose communities in half and, which I haven't gotten to,
15 yet, by the way, are all of these San Jose communities.

16 And if you can see how tightly they're all packed
17 in right now, this is Evergreen, this is Little Saigon,
18 this is Berryessa, this is the LGBT community, this is
19 downtown and this is the Golden Triangle. This is the
20 only way not to split those. So, just so you --

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, you'd have to split
22 the northern part of Richmond. If you had to split it
23 away from the urban core what would be the next choice,
24 the best choice for those locations? I'm assuming not
25 with Yolo.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Not.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But what would be the
3 next -- Commissioner Blanco, what would be the next best
4 choice for them?

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I mean I can't -- I can't do
6 the numbers for you. I mean they -- they are Contra Costa
7 County.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, go more with Contra
9 Costa, maybe?

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So then the exchange
12 would have to be on the other end of the Contra Costa
13 district, the COCO district.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay. I think that's
16 what -- we just have to give them some direction, which
17 goes into Jaime. But if it -- if the ripple effects for
18 Pinole down, all of that to go with the Richmond, the
19 Oakland/Richmond district, if that can't be possible, then
20 the next best choice is to try and link them with Contra
21 Costa.

22 MS. ALON: And that -- that would be moving
23 Pittsburgh, Bay Point, Concord, potentially Pleasant Hill
24 or like the Walnut Creek area east and either moving --
25 moving all of that population east to join with part of

1 San Joaquin County and split Stockton, or have that
2 population move north into Solano County or have an
3 equivalent population move south and ultimately cross the
4 bridge 200,000 people, or more than 200,000 people.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, just so everyone's clear
6 because we didn't look at the Monterey district, this is
7 being driven on the bottom and south by the Monterey
8 district and by the desire not to split Richmond right
9 now, this particular configuration.

10 What we had -- and so what it does is it forces
11 the -- it forces population over the bridge.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Which bridge?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: The Golden Gate.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, so this does put it
15 over the --

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah. So, I mean there's
17 basically we have this kind of horseshoe rotation of
18 population because of Monterey at the bottom.

19 MS. CLARK: So, right now this visualization is
20 pushing population over the Golden Gate Bridge and into
21 Marin County and if all of that population did need to go
22 south, then all of Marin -- it would take all of Marin
23 County and southern Sonoma County as well.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: At this point I'm
25 most concerned with that YOSON district.

1 MS. CLARK: I have another visualization for that
2 district.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I am, too, that's my -- in
5 here, that -- compared to some of the detailed thinking
6 we've given to other areas, this is -- those cities really
7 do not belong there.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you have other
9 options for the Commission to take a look at before we
10 struggle with this more? Great, okay.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And can I just ask one
12 last question about this, though? What is -- we know
13 we're starting with the Monterey but what's driving --
14 like what's driving -- I know you mentioned that the blue,
15 the Tri-Cities one was what was proposed by the Fremont
16 group, but is that your starting piece because --

17 MS. ALON: What's driving this is Monterey from
18 the south and not splitting Richmond from the north.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay. So, if we say you
20 can split the Richmond, but then we have to try and deal
21 with the consequences of those communities above them to
22 try and keep them out of Yolo that's -- so, we can address
23 one part of it by making that switch -- split Richmond,
24 but then we have to find a good home for --

25 MS. ALON: For these communities. And by the way

1 that will look just like the first draft maps.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Do you want to put up the
3 first -- why don't you put up the first draft maps just to
4 remind everyone what those look like? It's nice to know
5 we did something right the first time.

6 MS. ALON: We're just going to switch to Jaime's
7 computer real quick.

8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: They look better than that.

9 MS. CLARK: This is the -- this is the first draft
10 map.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, in the first draft we --

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So much better.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, we didn't go over the
14 bridge. I think the only issue that Commissioner Galambos
15 Malloy and I had pointed out was the grab over to grab El
16 Cerrito in the COCO district but at least it's in the same
17 county.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, that to me, being
19 that's where my house is, in El Cerrito, it is Contra
20 Costa County, it doesn't feel like -- it's not a grab,
21 that's the county that El Cerrito's in.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: The one thing I have an
23 issue on this -- on this district, though, is that the
24 eastern part of Alameda going over across into -- that's
25 the problem area in my mind. I don't know if there's a

1 way to switch because you have to -- you have to push
2 population up and around through Contra Costa and back
3 down through Richmond and Oakland, but maybe that's --

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: On the other hand
5 you could look at it that in that green district you
6 have -- you have two COIs that are intact. You have the
7 Eden area intact and then you have the Tri-Cities area
8 intact. And what it does, though, is when we get to the
9 southern border then we have split the Fremont COI.

10 Can you explain more what the splits are?

11 MS. ALON: We have about 90,000 people split off
12 from Fremont here and it's just north of Newark.

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just don't -- I mean this
14 map in so many ways preserves so many more communities
15 where they need to be. And I know we've heard testimony
16 about Fremont being split, but when you look at everything
17 that flows from changing that, where you have a county
18 like -- a city like Richmond being put in Yolo and, you
19 know, it just -- to me you have -- that's a large city, it
20 has 200 and some thousand people and you have the -- you
21 know, it's creating pairings that make no sense in terms
22 of communities of interest.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And it causes --
24 the alternative view we had, I feel, causes the small
25 cities to really bear the brunt of the regional issues.

1 MS. ALON: And just to point out, quickly, that
2 Richmond is not whole in this visualization.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, that was where the split
4 was and we were trying to correct that.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, is the split an
6 east/west split in this one?

7 MS. ALON: It is an east/west split.

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: What's the street?

9 MS. ALON: Well, it kind of comes up to the 80
10 but --

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, the other map was,
12 again, as you said, was trying to keep Richmond whole.

13 MS. ALON: The other map was trying to keep
14 Richmond whole.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But an east/west might be
16 better than a north, where it's the annex, which is really
17 not the heart of Richmond.

18 MS. ALON: Those pink areas are the areas of
19 Richmond which are not currently in with the body of
20 Richmond.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, the idea is we have a
22 split -- split Richmond with two -- going into two areas
23 that are more like it, rather than a whole Richmond going
24 in with Yolo.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right. So, you have --

1 that's the 80, right?

2 MS. ALON: Yes.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think that split's way
5 more preferable than the one that was basically the annex
6 and then everything else going into Yolo.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: And if you look at this, this
8 again is our first draft maps, there's no -- there's no
9 reach over the Golden Gate Bridge. I think we were
10 actually able to keep the West Valley cities together in
11 this one, is that correct, Tamina, if you go down?

12 MS. ALON: Let's see, we have Sunnyvale, Santa
13 Clara, yeah, they're together here.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, that's the only version of
15 our maps right now where we have the West Valley together,
16 they're split in the Assembly and the Senate.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: How do other folks -- I'm no
18 longer in the Bay Area, but former, feel about that split
19 where the split is, that most of Richmond on the west side
20 is kept whole and it's the part of Richmond -- you know,
21 Richmond goes up into the hills, you know, east of the 80,
22 it's a very different part of Richmond, the part of
23 Richmond that's east of the 80.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think this might
25 be one of the ones that would be worth looking at, at the

1 street level detail. Not right now, but maybe outside of
2 this meeting, like we did with the San Leandro one.

3 I feel like in this visualization we are able to
4 give more communities some of what they want, although
5 many don't get everything they want, right. So, you know,
6 I think of, for example, we have the Hayward being
7 connected with the Tri-Cities. That's not an exact
8 pairing but, yet, again, we have the Eden area, we have
9 the Tri-Cities area, we have -- you know, I think the Yolo
10 to Richmond connect is really tenuous. And I think it's
11 one thing to get testimony from Richmond saying keep us
12 whole and with Contra Costa but, yeah, the connection with
13 Yolo I think was probably not something that they had even
14 considered would happen.

15 And, you know, I also -- I think it bears
16 mentioning that we have gotten some COI testimony that
17 links the flatlands of Oakland with Emeryville and with
18 Berkeley as areas that are significant for the API COI and
19 service provision, et cetera. And that corridor is not
20 one that we've had together in other pairings.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I wanted to jump in
22 here just real quick. Do we -- we don't have Union City,
23 Hayward and Newark whole at Assembly or --

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: We have it in the Senate.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we have it in

1 the Senate.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Commissioner
4 Forbes, and then Commissioner DiGuilio, and then
5 Commissioner Barabba.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It never got -- on the other
7 map I talked about the possibility of -- I mean, I agree
8 that it didn't belong with Yolo County, Richmond, but I
9 had asked about whether it could be moved to the east and
10 how that would move population around and come -- and
11 backfill from the south. Does that not work at all?

12 MS. ALON: Due to Monterey, we can't fill anything
13 from the south.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, no, no, no, I'm sorry.
15 South meaning like San Joaquin or Contra Costa, not any
16 further south than that.

17 MS. CLARK: Could you repeat the question, please?

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay. So that Richmond goes
19 into the COCO district and then COCO moves the same amount
20 of population into San Joaquin. is that SNJOA?

21 MS. CLARK: Yes.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And then you pull population
23 north so, basically, Oakley, Knightsen and, you know, part
24 of Antioch goes into the Solano district and Richmond has
25 gone into the COCO district, and then Pittsburgh has gone

1 in to the -- these are just gross numbers, it's gone into
2 the SNJOA.

3 MS. CLARK: Right. I believe that all of -- all
4 of these communities would go into --

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, Richmond's only a
6 hundred -- what's Richmond's population?

7 MS. CLARK: But I believe it was to also move El
8 Cerrito, El Sobrante, everything up to Pinole.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think we could, I
10 mean given what we're seeing as the tradeoffs, I don't
11 think that that larger grouping is set, personally.

12 I definitely agree with the comments that
13 Commissioner Blanco gave, but I think that there is some
14 feasibility towards moving Richmond around and keeping it
15 whole. By the time you link all these corridors together,
16 I think they might need to be split at least once.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Because I'm -- I'm sort of
18 reluctant to give up Fremont at this point.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You're reluctant?
20 I'm sorry, is that what you said?

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Commissioner
23 DiGuilio and then Commissioner Barabba.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I have two questions.
25 So, right now in this Richmond is linked with Napa, is

1 that correct?

2 MS. CLARK: Yes.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, in some ways, I still
4 feel like it's the issue, right, it's whether Richmond's
5 linked with Yolo or Napa is the same thing, right?

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Agreed.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, I think that's still
8 the problem. I do think maybe there's some rotations we
9 need to do around there between -- well, you can't really
10 backfill San Joaquin because it's next to Merced. So, I
11 don't know what we'd have to do around there to switch
12 between Yolo, San Joaquin, Napa and Contra Costa, but I
13 still feel like the issue with Richmond, whether it's Yolo
14 or Napa, it's the same problem.

15 The other thing, I will go back though and say
16 with my original comment is that I think overall it does a
17 lot of -- much more good with the, you know, San Mateo,
18 San Jose districts, keeping some of those COIs that
19 haven't been able to be kept together in the past.

20 And I know that the Tri-Cities area was together
21 in the Senate, at least, but it wouldn't be able to be
22 kept whole here.

23 And I know even the Tri-Valleys which is that --
24 or the San Ramon Valley, the Pleasanton, Livermore, Dublin
25 has been together, I believe, for both the ADs and SDs, so

1 now it's not optimal that they go, cross over into
2 Hayward, Union City, but at least, you know, they've had a
3 chance to some degree to have been together.

4 So, I think the places where it's problematic, I
5 think it's a balancing act with what you give up in terms
6 of the positive side.

7 So, the only thing I would say is you'd have to
8 address that Richmond area going with Napa, now, instead
9 of Yolo.

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would agree and I --

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry,
12 Commissioner Barabba was next.

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, sorry, I didn't see him.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And then I'll get
15 you. Thank you.

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: But then a consequence of
17 this is also going over the bridge and creating a
18 Congressional district between Marin and San Francisco.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, it does? I thought
20 it didn't.

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes, it looks that way to
22 me.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: It does. Oh, it does.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And this version as
25 well.

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And that really flies in
2 the face of whatever we heard, as I recall.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco?

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Are you talking about our first
5 draft maps or this visualization, those are the two that
6 we're looking at.

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: This one. Yeah, this one.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, I'm sorry, I'm asking
9 Commissioner DiGuilio?

10 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I thought for our
11 first -- this is our first --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, this is not our first draft
13 map.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: This was the first
15 visualization.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: This is the only visualization
17 we've seen outside of the first draft maps.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, but the --

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, you switched back
20 and forth, right?

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm confused because --

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I thought we had the
23 first draft map up, right.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: No, our first draft map
25 had -- yeah, Richmond was with Oakland.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right. I mean so I really
2 am confused because --

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I guess because the
4 other one had -- the first visualization we had, had
5 Richmond with Yolo.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, hold on, hold
7 on, hold on. What is this that we have up on the screen
8 right now?

9 MS. ALON: This is the new visualizations prepared
10 for today.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, which is --
12 then what did we have up earlier where Richmond was with
13 Yolo?

14 MS. ALON: That was a different set of
15 visualizations for Congressional districts in Northern
16 California.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: For today?

18 MS. ALON: For today.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so we really
20 have two options.

21 MS. ALON: Sorry.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And then we have the
23 pre-draft. So, they switched around, because I got
24 confused, too, when I looked back at San Francisco.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Me, too, yeah.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So --

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, when we saw that one
3 when we went, oh, that one's pretty good, were we looking
4 at our original first draft maps, because then we switched
5 over to this and I --

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: What do you want to
7 do, do you want to go back and look at the first draft map
8 again or --

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, I just --

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm confused, too.

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just don't know that this
12 switching to the second visualization deals with the issue
13 of where Richmond and those cities belong, that's why I'm
14 confused. Napa is like Yolo, as far as I'm concerned, in
15 terms of where --

16 MS. ALON: Yeah.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- the difficulty of putting
18 Richmond into it.

19 MS. ALON: I just switched to it because somebody
20 asked to see it.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, sorry, that's
22 okay. If we weren't paying attention -- Commissioner
23 Barabba?

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The first draft map is not
25 acceptable because it doesn't adjust the Monterey, right?

1 So, we can't use the first draft, right, or can we?

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you come closer
3 to the microphone?

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The first draft map that --
5 the first draft map does not incorporate the changes we
6 made in Monterey, okay, so the first draft is not usable;
7 is that correct?

8 MS. ALON: Yes, that's correct.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, Ms. Alon, do you have an
10 alternative that uses the correct Monterey district?

11 MS. ALON: This one.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, there's only one
13 alternative for the Bay Area?

14 MS. ALON: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, with adjusted
16 Monterey we have to cross the Golden Gate is what you're
17 saying?

18 MS. ALON: If you want to keep Richmond whole.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: No.

20 MS. ALON: Oh, by the way, Richmond -- because
21 Richmond has pieces of it which are not contiguous and you
22 have to take the little cities and unincorporated areas
23 within it, it really comes out to about 157,000, instead
24 of 100,000.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, is it --

1 MS. ALON: If you take El Cerrito, then that's
2 another 24,000 and Kensington another five.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, is it possible
4 or at least to advise the Commissioner if we kept -- if we
5 did not cross the Golden Gate Bridge then where does
6 Richmond wind up in either of those options, either this
7 option or the earlier option that we saw, and where would
8 it be split as the solution to not cross over the Golden
9 Gate Bridge.

10 MS. ALON: It would become -- part of it would
11 become part of with the actual Richmond district there,
12 with Oakland would gain probably about 100,000 of it, and
13 the rest of it would be wherever you would put it north.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so you're
15 saying a hundred thousand from Richmond, because it shows
16 there that it's 103,000.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Do you mean of
18 Richmond proper or the El Cerrito/Richmond combination?

19 MS. ALON: I mean of the whole combination.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

21 MS. ALON: So, Richmond, the number 103,000 is
22 only the yellow areas. If you take in everything else
23 around it, then you're looking at more closer to 200,000.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so you're going
25 to split 200,000 people from this area of Richmond, El

1 Sobrante, Pinole, El Cerrito if we do not cross the Golden
2 Gate Bridge, and you'll put 100,000 people into the RCMD,
3 which is the Oakland/Berkeley district; correct?

4 MS. ALON: Roughly, yes.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And then where do the
6 other 100,000 go?

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And I would just add I don't
8 think it has to happen like that.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I'm asking her.
10 If there's another option -- that's what she had
11 explained, because she said that if we don't cross the
12 Golden Gate Bridge then you have to consider a split of
13 this Richmond area. Is there -- and Commissioner Blanco,
14 do you think that there's some other option?

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm just saying that it
16 doesn't have to be the entire area. You could take
17 Richmond west of the 80, you know, and I don't think you
18 have to put El Sobrante and El Cerrito in with the
19 district below. You know, I'm not going to tell you where
20 to put it, but that doesn't have to be part of the
21 cluster. You know, those, El Cerrito, El Sobrante could
22 go, you know --

23 MS. ALON: But like the first draft map.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, I don't think you have
25 to keep that whole cluster is my point and that -- and

1 that if you had to split Richmond, you could split at the
2 90.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner DiGuilio
4 and then Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I guess at some point I
6 would just like to back up for a second and say I'd like
7 to just see or hear -- if we keep Monterey as we have to
8 have it, and we have the Golden Gate as a boundary, I'd
9 like to start in San Francisco and wrap myself around to
10 see, because I'm assuming all those districts change and
11 I'd like to just see what would happen if we keep the
12 Golden Gate as the line and Monterey, because it wouldn't
13 look like that; correct?

14 MS. ALON: No, it would look very similar to the
15 first draft maps.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Which we largely liked, except
17 for the Richmond split.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, so can --

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But as Commissioner Barabba
20 has told us, there's a problem with --

21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: With Monterey.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- with Monterey in those.
23 But how much does it change --

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, but it doesn't change
25 that much.

1 MS. ALON: But the population change is not going
2 to change that much. You will see a little bit of a
3 difference at the Monterey line and with the SNACL and
4 SNMSC districts but, aside from that, you won't see a lot
5 of difference.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: That's why I asked to see the
7 first draft maps because it doesn't change that much.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, maybe we should put
9 the first draft map up.

10 MS. ALON: Okay, would you like to see the first
11 draft map, again?

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yes, please. I mean I
13 think it's important that we -- I do think we see what the
14 options are because we can honestly say we looked at some
15 other options, we saw the consequences of it and if we
16 don't like it, let's go back to what we had and see if
17 that fits better as a whole, and we may need to do some
18 adjustments but --

19 MS. ALON: So, this is the first draft maps. And
20 so what's going to change is we take Gilroy into the blue
21 and we split Santa Cruz City and everything north of it
22 into the green.

23 Aside from that the little population exchange
24 that happens between the purple, the green and the blue,
25 the rest of it is largely -- can be largely untouched.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And, again, we had to
2 make that change in Monterey in order to meet our Section
3 5 requirements, that's why the City of Santa Cruz is
4 split.

5 MS. ALON: Yes, that's correct.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, but the
8 different also is that in the earlier version we saw
9 Fremont, Hayward and Union City were together and they're
10 not here.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Correct and they
12 couldn't --

13 MS. ALON: Yes. No, I meant that if I change
14 those at the bottom to the new Monterey, which meets the
15 benchmark, then this map would not otherwise change. But
16 this map is, as you know, very different from the new one
17 that was presented today, which has Fremont and their
18 areas together.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Right.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, here I want -- I think
21 this is important. We got a lot of testimony about
22 keeping those cities together but, basically, whether
23 they're together or not they're in counties -- I mean not
24 in counties, in Congressional districts that make sense
25 for them.

1 Whereas by trying to force -- to put them together
2 we are really distorting the community -- you know,
3 political representation for folks in other parts of the
4 Bay Area.

5 It may be great, would be great to have them all
6 in one Congressional district, but they're not in
7 districts that are that different for them in terms of
8 their -- you know, their representation. Whereas what it
9 has created for Richmond and some of those cities around
10 it is a real disjoinder of political interests.

11 So, I -- for me, it's not -- if I had to choose
12 between keeping all of those in one Congressional district
13 and keeping Richmond where it should be in terms of where
14 it can actually have representation with like-minded
15 cities and communities, I would want to keep Richmond in
16 with either, you know, that east Contra -- you know, east
17 Contra Costa area or the Berkeley/Oakland area.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Even if Richmond is split?

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: If we -- even if Richmond
20 were split because I think we could split it in a way --
21 it's not optimal, but it's much better to split where half
22 of it is with, say, some areas that are -- that split I'm
23 talking about is one that could work and I think it's
24 preferable to Richmond being in with either Napa or Yolo.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, with this split

1 you're saying that part of it is with the Oakland base and
2 part is with Contra Costa, is that correct, as I look at
3 it?

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba
6 and then Commissioner Forbes. Do you have your mic?

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It seems to me what we
8 should probably do is give direction something to the
9 effect that there's a solid line at the Golden Gate
10 Bridge. There's a -- you have to keep Monterey to meet
11 the requirements and see what else they could do, and that
12 we should look at that rather than try and figure out,
13 without these numbers in front of us, what that might be.

14 And they've heard all the comments relative to
15 what the interests are relative to Richmond, so Richmond
16 cannot be kept whole, like we held you to before, but
17 there's ways of breaking it up. We should just see what
18 they can come up with that we could take a look at.
19 Otherwise we're not for sure knowing what we're doing.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Does that work for
21 you, Tamina, or do you have any other questions?

22 MS. ALON: It's going to look like that. Because
23 the only things, if you just change out Monterey at the
24 bottom it's not going to affect going north and so, unless
25 you have a different split that you want in Richmond,

1 now --

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Well, that's what she's
3 saying.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: The only different split, I
5 would say, is let's look like we've done in the past,
6 let's go to the street -- offline we can look at the
7 street level splits.

8 MS. ALON: Sure.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Because I think there are
10 some different -- Richmond does have different parts to it
11 and we might be able to do it better. And if they were
12 partly in Contra Costa and partly in that other district,
13 instead of being in Napa and Yolo, I think it's better.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, and I think
15 there are portions of Richmond -- we did get conflicting
16 testimony about Richmond, whether it made more sense being
17 with its Contra Costa neighborhoods or whether it made
18 more sense going into the Alameda County/Oakland area.
19 And so I think our split could reflect some of those
20 similarities with the two different districts.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes?

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, we've taken -- if
23 we're going to take 200,000 people out of the Yolo/Solano
24 district, can I have some kind of idea of what that's
25 going to look like? I mean, we made --

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: This is it.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, but I don't -- I'm not
3 looking at the old Solano district. I mean how is that
4 going to be affected? Is that what it's going to look
5 like?

6 MS. CLARK: Well, this --

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And now Green Valley or,
8 rather, is American Canyon out of it at this point? I
9 mean that's not back in Napa.

10 MS. CLARK: I don't --

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And then what is that going
12 to do to the Santa Rosa district? I mean you just can't
13 pull 200,000 people out without having an impact.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that was my
15 concern, as well, that we've had quite a bit of testimony,
16 I thought, about this draft map and I thought we were
17 trying to find, you know, workable solutions between
18 everything, and now I'm trying to go back to the
19 beginning, you know, and think in my mind of those areas
20 that we've received quite a bit of testimony about that --
21 that was the input that caused us to consider some of
22 these changes.

23 And, frankly, other than -- other than going over
24 the Golden Gate Bridge I think that -- and I recognize the
25 Richmond Yolo or Richmond Napa, but I just feel that the -

1 - the way that you preserved the communities of interest
2 in the San Jose area, that you pointed out, and you've got
3 the Fremont/Newark/Hayward, you've got the San Leandro
4 swap that you could do with San Ramon, to me I think
5 the -- that other version is respecting far more
6 communities of interest than what we see here in the draft
7 map and --

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could I ask to swap
9 out, again, so we can look at the other version?

10 MS. CLARK: The new version?

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And -- or maybe
13 looking at what we did before earlier in the day, when we
14 were talking about Assembly, we took a look at these
15 options and we gave directions to combine option one with
16 option two.

17 MS. CLARK: But with Congress that is not
18 possible.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Not possible.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: No, I understand, but
21 I'm still -- maybe I'm still confused about this Golden
22 Gate Bridge and having Richmond being the only, I guess,
23 option -- I guess the only resulting city that would be
24 affected in --

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But, I'm sorry,

1 Commissioner Filkins Webber, so in this aspect, because
2 you were mentioning that you thought there might be some
3 more COI -- there's some more integrity to this. I guess
4 other than the Fremont/Newark/Hayward and Union City that
5 I --

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I thought we had the
7 San Jose area, the Milpitas/Berryessa I think is -- I
8 think, if I recall correctly, it was more impacted at our
9 draft level than -- or in our draft map than it is here.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is that true, Tamina? I mean
11 I -- were we able to adjust for the -- you know, I thought
12 in our first draft we did respect Berryessa, we did
13 respect --

14 MS. ALON: We respected Berryessa but then we got
15 a lot of testimony about some other neighborhoods which we
16 had split up.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Evergreen, right.

18 MS. ALON: Evergreen and Little Saigon.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: But is that -- can that be
20 addressed?

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It is here.

22 MS. ALON: This way.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Just this way.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, if we -- and
25 that's what my concern was, I thought that we had -- this

1 version corrects for a lot of the input testimony that we
2 have received, you know, and there -- I think this is a
3 good example of some balances.

4 So, if we really look at the communities of
5 interest that we're preserving here at the sacrifice of,
6 maybe, some others that we're preserving at a lower
7 level --

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I can't vote for this map
9 with Richmond where it is.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: What about the Yolo?
11 With the Yolo it's the same?

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: The same.

13 MS. CLARK: Well, okay, let's talk about maybe
14 some possibilities. If we move Richmond -- okay, I think
15 the number 156,000 refers to Richmond without El Cerrito,
16 also without Pinole and Hercules. If we wanted to move
17 all of that east, that is 200,000 people.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: How -- when you say
19 east --

20 MS. CLARK: I mean into this COCO district.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

22 MS. CLARK: Then Antioch would be whole,
23 Pittsburgh and Bay Point would be -- would also have to
24 move out of that district. And Concord would be split.

25 Then the choice would be what to do with the

1 200,000 extra people in this San Joaquin-based district.
2 You could either move -- you could either include Galt
3 through Lodi and part of Stockton in with this Solano
4 County-based district or you could move some of this
5 population, Bethel Island, Oakley, Knightsen, Discovery
6 Bay, Byron, Brentwood and almost all of Antioch into this
7 district.

8 And what this would look like up north, this was a
9 separate set of visualizations so as you can see these
10 wouldn't necessarily -- or, okay, Napa and Solano are not
11 linked in this visualization so -- so then Fairfield and
12 Vacaville would also move in with Napa.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any thoughts,
14 Commissioner DiGuilio?

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, I see the push a
16 little bit. You're taking the Richmond area, you're
17 putting it into Contra Costa, and then that other
18 population has to go up into the Solano area, and then I
19 can see how it moves over into Napa. But I guess I'm
20 still -- what's left in San Joaquin, then, because you're
21 either over- or under-populated depending -- if you take
22 that population out and put it into Solano, you're under-
23 populating San Joaquin.

24 If you push it into San Joaquin, then you're over-
25 populated; right?

1 MS. CLARK: Well, first -- first, you're -- first,
2 before you're moving this population out, then you would
3 be moving this Pittsburgh, Bay Point, part of Concord
4 into --

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Into Solano?

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: San Joaquin.

7 MS. CLARK: Yeah, to San Joaquin.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But then you're --

9 MS. CLARK: Oh, so I guess this population would
10 move north, essentially.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, you'd have Contra
12 Costa would be -- Concord, that part, Concord, Pittsburgh
13 would be up with Solano and then the -- so, that 4
14 corridor, you have part of it in San Joaquin, part of it
15 in Solano, and part of it in Contra Costa?

16 MS. CLARK: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, that's -- in order to
18 get Richmond over into Contra Costa, you have to break up
19 those communities?

20 MS. CLARK: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Actually, into four. You
22 have part of it into San Joaquin, part up into Solano,
23 part -- the Martinez part is up with Napa, so you'd have a
24 lot of different breaks there for Congressional.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But as it is, right now,

1 Contra Costa County's split into one, two --

2 MS. CLARK: Five.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, it would be adding
4 one more split. It has one, two, three, and you'd be
5 adding four.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: And, Tamina, can I ask you if
7 we looked at the first draft maps and just fixed around
8 the edges the splits of those smaller communities? I mean
9 they may not be together and I don't think they have to be
10 together, I think the main issue is not splitting them.
11 Can we -- is it possible to keep them whole, but in
12 separate districts?

13 Right now you happen to have all those COIs in a
14 single district, which I don't believe was their request.
15 Their request was not to be split.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And what area are you
17 talking about?

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: San Jose, the Evergreen area,
19 the Berryessa area, all of those that you have the
20 overlay. Can you show it on this map?

21 Because you have them all in one district and I
22 don't believe any of the testimony was necessarily to have
23 them in a district, it was simply not to split them.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, there were
25 subgroupings of the areas.

1 MS. ALON: I can try. I think the LGBT area will
2 be split, but I think I can keep Evergreen and Little
3 Saigon together. Downtown, and Berryessa, and East San
4 Jose should be okay, it's Evergreen and -- but I can work
5 on that when I work on Monterey.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, so I just don't want
7 there to be a false choice here, that we're thinking that
8 we have to go with this other version of the map because
9 that's the only one that keeps those COIs together. None
10 of those COIs asked to be put together; they just asked
11 not to be split.

12 And I think the first draft map does -- you know,
13 like I said, it keeps the West Valley cities together,
14 which we have not been able to do in any other incarnation
15 of the map. It doesn't cross the bridge. And if we can
16 fix those COI splits, those are more minor problems, I
17 think.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: But we'd still want
19 to keep the smaller subgroupings of the COIs, so the
20 Evergreen with Little Saigon, the downtown San Jose with
21 the --

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: With East San Jose.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- Eastside San
24 Jose. Berryessa together and whole, if possible, with
25 Milpitas.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right. But all of those don't
2 need to be together.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: No.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other thoughts in
5 this area? Do you have an understanding of Commission
6 desire?

7 MS. ALON: So, the direction would be to revert
8 back to the first draft maps, clean up Monterey, attempt
9 to get the COIs looking better in the San Jose district,
10 keep the ones together that want to be together, if
11 possible, and then look at the street level view of
12 Richmond and work offline to see if there could be a more
13 responsible split.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you pull back a
15 little bit?

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But I think we have to then
17 talk about what is that -- what's the implication for Yolo
18 and Solano.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, we do.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And American Canyon.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And American Canyon.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, we do.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And San Ramon and
24 Dublin.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: And that means that Jaime has
2 more work.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And Fremont, Hayward
4 and Union.

5 So, that's what I see happening with the draft map
6 in comparison to this next visualization that appeared to
7 fix American Canyon, Fremont. So, I might be inclined to
8 want -- to see what Jaime was talking about in moving that
9 population around and them moving Richmond into Contra
10 Costa.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean I think that's
12 worth it. I will note that we've received several
13 proposals from the public that fix the problems in the
14 north without affecting the Bay Area districts, so I think
15 it is possible to be done.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
17 DiGuilio?

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think the problem
19 with -- I think we can look at the north, the American
20 Canyon issue, probably make some adjustments.

21 I think the Hayward/Fremont area is just -- you
22 know, again, it's together in the Senate. But I think
23 based on the other constraints that that's the one area we
24 probably couldn't -- we don't have much ability to fix in
25 the Congressional, we just don't. We could work on some

1 in the north, but not in the Hayward/Fremont/Union City
2 area.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ladies, you have
4 questions, clarification on direction, options?

5 MS. CLARK: Yeah, I'm just trying to think about
6 what -- based on these lines what I can and can't fix up
7 north.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: Chair?

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao?

10 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is it easy to check the public
11 comments and see on Richmond whether they have any
12 comments associated with the city wanting to be associated
13 with cities to the north, south or west?

14 We heard that they would prefer to associate, that
15 Richmond, with the county and with the cities to the east,
16 but do we have any testimony to the other effect?

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We have --

18 MS. CLARK: One second, please.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We have competing
20 COI that puts Richmond with Contra Costa County and we
21 also have COI that puts Richmond with Oakland as a city
22 that has similar socioeconomic population and similar
23 issues. So, it has not been conclusive. I think those
24 were the two directions that were stated.

25 We have no testimony to my -- in my review of the

1 public comment linking San Rafael to Richmond. In fact I
2 think we have significant COI testimony to the contrary.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any further -- sure.

4 MS. MAC DONALD: Do you want me to check?

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sorry, I was a little
6 distracted.

7 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, so I'm going through the
8 Richmond public hearing testimony and we have a comment
9 about Richmond being in the I-80 district.

10 We're having some -- we had some testimony about
11 using the West Contra Costa School District lines for
12 Richmond.

13 We have some testimony about keeping Richmond
14 whole.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: We had lots of that.

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And it has been
17 kept whole in the Assembly and Senate level maps, correct?

18 MS. MAC DONALD: We have some testimony about
19 keeping the Tri-Cities -- this is in support of the
20 Congressional district map that keeps the Tri-City area
21 whole and also keeps Richmond intact, and then splits
22 Oakland.

23 We have some testimony about putting Richmond back
24 in with Contra Costa County.

25 Testimony about Richmond being a community of

1 interest.

2 And also about not putting Richmond in with
3 Oakland because it competes with Oakland for resources, it
4 would be better to have in a different Congressional -- in
5 a separate Congressional district.

6 So, basically, yeah --

7 MS. KUBAS: For written comments there's only one
8 that opposes the division of Richmond, two comments --
9 sorry, three comments asking for Richmond to stay with
10 Oakland and Berkeley, and one with Albany.

11 Keeping the Tri-Valley together and separate from
12 Richmond.

13 And, yeah, and keeping Richmond with Contra Costa.

14 MS. MAC DONALD: And I have one more about San
15 Pablo, that San Pablo should be with Richmond because they
16 work together a lot.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's east and south off
18 the 80.

19 MS. MAC DONALD: And then, basically, just a
20 comment about Albany being separate from Richmond that
21 Albany should go in with Lamorinda.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
23 DiGuilio, then Commissioner Galambos Malloy?

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just had a question in
25 terms of we -- Ms. Alon had mentioned that she might be

1 able to fix some things in the north, but might not. I'm
2 just curious to get her -- I think one of the fixes we
3 were looking at was American Canyon, whether that would be
4 fixed or if there were constraints in terms of what you
5 could do up there?

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, I think the other big
7 one was Santa Rosa, that was tied with Yuba City. That
8 was, to me, the biggest one.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, and I'm not sure if
10 this is the time to do that, now, or if we're done with
11 that -- with the Richmond area, it sounds like there's
12 some --

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, but I don't think you
14 can -- I mean you talk about fixing problems, that's --

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I think that's a
16 problem, I just wanted to see if we were done with the
17 other area because I would like to move up to see about
18 the --

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We're going to have
20 to move on.

21 Commissioner Galambos Malloy and then we'll
22 summarize some direction here and then move to the other
23 districts we have, we've spent a lot of time on this.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I know at one point
25 we considered what it would look like to do more of an

1 east/west split when you look at the Richmond and Oakland
2 areas, so if I was to make a gross generalization let's
3 say it was the flats of Richmond, you know, going down,
4 taking flats areas and moving to Oakland, and then having
5 a more kind of hills area. Can you walk us through the
6 impacts of doing something like that on the COIs and city
7 splits?

8 MS. ALON: Well, the two -- the problem with that
9 is that the two sides don't have equal population.

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah.

11 MS. ALON: The population is much more
12 concentrated on the western end, toward the 880. So, I'm
13 not -- it wouldn't be an even swap.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah. Yeah, and
15 the same problem we ran into in Hayward.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Based on the
17 discussion of the Commissioner and -- do you have any
18 other questions on working out some of these issues based
19 on the community of interest testimony we've also
20 received?

21 MS. ALON: So, again, sorry, the direction is?

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Why don't you
23 summarize what you believe --

24 MS. ALON: Okay.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- and it will help

1 me as well.

2 MS. ALON: Okay, sure.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, we're all on the
4 same page here, because we've been working off of
5 different visualizations.

6 MS. ALON: All right. So, I understand the
7 direction to be to revert to this map, which is the first
8 draft map; take Richmond down to the street level,
9 offline, to figure out if we can make a more responsible
10 split.

11 Use the new Monterey, which meets the benchmark,
12 which will reconfigure some of the districts around it,
13 including the ones in the San Jose area and, hopefully,
14 check with those COIs down there and make sure that
15 they're not split, to the best of my ability.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And in doing that
17 then we maintain the Golden Gate Bridge separation.

18 MS. ALON: Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And so is that
20 consistent with this Commission's understanding of the
21 direction following the discussion?

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It is with me.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward,
24 did you have one further comment?

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes, I just wanted to make

1 sure that in the list of COI in the San Jose district that
2 we -- I didn't see the Silicon Valley Triangle listed on
3 that visualization and I just wanted to make sure that was
4 a COI we took into consideration for keeping whole.

5 MS. ALON: It is there. Right now, in this
6 visualization, it is not whole. In the new visualization
7 it is whole. And I will do my best but I don't think I
8 can get all of them together.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. So, we'll take
10 a look at that.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: And, again, they don't have to
12 be together, we're just trying to keep them whole.

13 MS. ALON: Right, they just -- a couple of them
14 overlap is what I meant.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, yes.

16 MS. ALON: But, yes, it's on the list.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I just -- I have
19 one final thing to say about this. I think I, as someone
20 who's from the Bay Area, I definitely understand the
21 concerns about crossing the Golden Gate Bridge, I think we
22 do have to underscore the fact we have had some COI that
23 has indicated that that's an okay thing to do. And I feel
24 like by giving the direction that we're giving, we are,
25 you know, essentially preserving wealthier, more affluent

1 areas on the west side of the Bay at the expense of some
2 of the working class communities on the eastern side,
3 particularly in this kind of Richmond cluster,
4 Richmond/San Pablo.

5 That's a concern I have. I think that we have not
6 crossed the bridge in our Assembly districts, we've not
7 crossed it in our Senate districts and, you know, given
8 the impacts that it's having I don't feel totally
9 comfortable with the idea that we won't even entertain
10 that.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think that we were happy to
12 entertain it but, remember in this visualization we're
13 also able to keep the Eden area together, which we were
14 not able to do in the other version, and then Richmond
15 ends up in Yolo. So, I don't know that that does it a
16 service, either.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, we were -- in
18 the other version you could swap out San Ramon and San
19 Leandro.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But Richmond still goes with
21 either Napa or Yolo, correct?

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, for me it's not so
23 much --

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: I don't think it's about the
25 bridge, I think it's about all the other areas.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because I don't have a
2 problem with crossing the bridge if it fixes other
3 problems. But if it -- if you cross it and it just
4 creates a lot of other problems, then I kind of revert
5 back to trying to do the least harm.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And, Commissioner
7 Galambos Malloy, I recognize you are correct that there
8 was some testimony in this regard.

9 We need to make a decision and if -- which
10 visualization we would direct Q2 to go with at this second
11 draft map stage, which is where we're at right now. So,
12 recognizing the different choices that we have here -- you
13 know, I know we're all struggling, so I guess the idea is
14 that what we're getting to in the second draft map is
15 going to be we can't make the decision to go over the
16 bridge, you know, after our second draft map, so we do
17 need to work this out right now.

18 Commissioner Barabba and then Commissioner Blanco?

19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would be opposed to
20 crossing the bridge for the many reasons that we heard.
21 And I'm not sure that there's not a lot of only wealthy
22 people on the west side of the Bay, there's some areas
23 that have been pointed to us that are not that wealthy.
24 So, I don't think we do it -- that decision is not based
25 on satisfying the needs of the wealthy versus the poor.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco
2 and then we'll move to the north to see -- we do need to
3 move on to these other districts, but I think this was
4 very valuable discussion for the impact for this entire
5 area.

6 Commissioner Blanco and then Commissioner
7 DiGuilio?

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right, I just am intrigued
9 by Commissioner Galambos Malloy's comment, but I'm -- like
10 Commissioner DiGuilio said, I don't know that some of the
11 problems that we've identified with Richmond, San Pablo,
12 that area, I mean correct me -- really, tell me, are they
13 due to not jumping the bridge or are they -- I mean I --

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: As I understood
15 that's what Tamina -- the Monterey fix is -- I think
16 that's where the swap was at. Tamina, or if you wanted to
17 clarify that just a bit?

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just want to know what
19 this -- I just got confused right at the last, with the
20 last comment about whether the problem we're trying to fix
21 up in West Contra Costa with Richmond and San Pablo,
22 whether that would be fixed by crossing the bridge? I
23 didn't understand it to be the case.

24 MS. ALON: So, is the question, basically, can you
25 not go over the bridge and keep Richmond intact with

1 Contra Costa County?

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, no, no, no, I think
3 we've already -- the instruction about that we'll find a
4 split. No, can we keep the majority or the responsible
5 part of Richmond, whatever we want to call it, in that
6 Alameda 880 corridor, or maybe going to Contra Costa and
7 out of Napa, out of Yolo without crossing the bridge, can
8 we --

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That's what she just
10 said.

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right. So, I was -- I just
12 got confused because somebody, I think Commissioner
13 Galambos Malloy made -- indicated that this was partly due
14 to jumping the bridge and I just want to make sure that's
15 not the case.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
17 DiGuilio, and then Commissioner Forbes, and then we do
18 have -- we do have to move on to look at these other
19 districts that impact to the north.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: It seems to me that
21 there's a little more consensus for this idea, not
22 because, necessarily, we don't want to cross the bridge
23 but because of the impacts it has, but I want to make sure
24 that we're all okay with that.

25 I know we need to move on because we're on time,

1 but I think it shouldn't come at the expense that there's
2 still some Commissioners that feel like this is not
3 acceptable to them. So, I feel like if that's the case,
4 then I don't know if, Commissioner Galambos Malloy, you
5 feel that way. I just feel like this is the time for us,
6 as a Commission, to say what's acceptable or not. So, I
7 just wanted to check in that way, if that's okay.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's fine.
9 Commissioner Forbes?

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, crossing the bridge
11 for me is not acceptable and the reason is that we've
12 been -- we were concerned about Richmond not being
13 effectively represented by being in Yolo County or Napa
14 County. A hundred thousand people in Marin County,
15 attached to San Francisco, is just as unrepresented, I
16 think. And so I think you gain nothing by crossing the
17 bridge.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Galambos
19 Malloy, any final thoughts?

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I can't say I
21 disagree with Commissioner Forbes' last statement and I
22 think there were members of the public who did testify
23 about there being a potential for effective
24 representation. That's not the point that I really want
25 to focus on.

1 I think this is an example of where the
2 configuration is not ideal for everyone but it is much as
3 the tradeoffs we've been dealing with, with San Leandro,
4 and other small cities. So, we were able to keep them
5 whole in two districts, we're going to split them in
6 another. We worked offline to make sure we had a
7 responsible split and I think that's really what we're
8 looking at with Richmond.

9 Here we're able to preserve the Eden corridor,
10 which is the only preservation of that area that we have.
11 We have conceded in this area. I think one area,
12 additional area of concern would be the connection between
13 the 580/238, like Hayward all the way to Livermore, I
14 think that's not reflective of the COI we had there to
15 keep the -- the bay side away from the eastern side.

16 But, again, I think this is, you know, a balance.
17 We've got many different versions of the districts that
18 we're doing here and my goal is that in each of the maps
19 that various communities get the opportunity to have very
20 fair and effective representation.

21 So, I can live with it and I will work with Tamina
22 to try and identify where the split happens in Richmond.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great. Let's
24 move on. I mean unless -- do you need further -- I
25 thought Tamina had summarized it properly and there wasn't

1 really any change based on the Commission. So, the
2 summary that you provided, Tamina, appears to be
3 consistent with this Commission's recommendation.

4 MS. CLARK: Okay. At this point I don't have any
5 updated visualizations for Northern California based on
6 these lines because I was drawing off of the new lines.
7 We can -- we can do another round of instruction or we can
8 go through looking at each district a little bit more
9 closely than we did in the last round of Congressional
10 district line-drawing direction.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If we're working off
12 of this draft, then we need to go back up, again, so let's
13 start over.

14 MS. CLARK: Okay. So, right now the North Coast
15 district is Western Siskiyou, Del Norte, Humboldt,
16 Trinity, Mendocino, Sonoma County with the exception of
17 Santa Rose, Rohnert Park, and then some of -- and the City
18 of Sonoma, and the entire County of Marin.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, let me ask you
20 this. You've obviously spent a lot of time and work in
21 creating visualizations based on instructions we had
22 previously given; correct?

23 MS. CLARK: Yes, based --

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And you have those
25 visualizations to present to us today?

1 MS. CLARK: Yes, but they're going to be a hundred
2 thousand people off.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The only nuances
4 that -- okay. I don't want us to recreate the wheel here
5 and redo it all. So, I'm wondering, based on what you
6 know, if you wanted to present to us the changes that
7 we've made to this Northern California area and advise us,
8 based on what we've done from San Francisco and this East
9 Bay area where the impacts could be, that might be --
10 again, I don't -- I see us now going back into -- you've
11 already done a lot of work here and I don't, again, want
12 to revisit this if you've made changes that we can just do
13 some nuances to, that fixed a lot of these other areas.
14 Whatever you think might be best to assist you or do you
15 think that we are starting over?

16 MS. CLARK: I think -- I think that we're starting
17 over.

18 COMMISSIONER YAO: Chair?

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao?

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: If we don't go through the
21 visualization phase, which is what we've been doing the
22 last -- or what we plan to do this week, we'll basically
23 be doing this work next week right before the vote.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, we won't be because
25 we can't do it next week. There's no --

1 COMMISSIONER YAO: That's what I'm saying is if we
2 don't take time to do it now --

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, no, we are. What
4 I'm saying is that she's already created visualizations
5 based on instructions we gave last week for this area.
6 And so she can present those visualizations if she feels
7 that they can contribute to this discussion that we've
8 already had. We've now said we're going to work off of
9 the draft map, but that's not what she had been doing
10 previously. So, now, we're back to what we were doing
11 last week again

12 And Commissioner Dai?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I have a suggestion
14 because, like I've said, we've received several proposals
15 from the public that make minor fixes in the -- minor
16 population exchanges just in the Northern California area,
17 assuming that our Bay Area districts weren't going to
18 change, which is essentially where we're at right now.
19 So, you know, I'm just wondering -- I mean I looked at
20 some of the visualizations that were sent out last night
21 for the northern area and I thought there were actually a
22 lot of problems that were created with the extra
23 population that was now pushing up from San Francisco
24 so --

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

1 MS. CLARK: I think that besides the North Coast
2 and then the sort of Yuba to Napa region, the population
3 switch should be largely -- if we don't -- if we don't
4 look at those two districts, then the population shift
5 should largely be dealt with in those districts and then
6 my updated districts, that I prepared for today, are close
7 to accurate.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Let's see what you
10 got. And then Commissioner Forbes?

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, I think we need to look
12 at what she's done because we've made a judgment that
13 Richmond should not be in Yolo or Napa County based -- but
14 out of context. If it -- if the benefits of, let's just
15 say it, putting Richmond in the Yolo district solves a
16 whole bunch of other problems, maybe that's something we
17 don't -- you know, at this point we didn't want to do it,
18 but when we saw the other benefits, you know, we may have
19 to undo that choice.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But, Commissioner Forbes,
21 that also means you're also crossing the Golden Gate
22 Bridge.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: We don't know that, yet.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But, yeah, she's basing
2 this visualization off of the fact that we crossed the
3 Golden Gate Bridge.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, you can see the San
5 Francisco district down there and that's half of Marin.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, that's what she's
7 saying is that population, if we no longer cross, is going
8 to be pushed up.

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Correct, you're right.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, we can look at them,
12 but knowing that we have to discuss these in terms of a
13 population shift in a hundred thousand people.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I see.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right. And the way to look at
16 it, again, is just to think of it as a wheel and we're
17 going to shift it back the other way, we're going to turn
18 it. So, instead of going across the -- well, we can do
19 that more, I mean you can think of that as another
20 district because our original direction was to look at
21 doing two North Coast districts and maybe starting at
22 Marina and going east, instead, along the southern -- and
23 maybe taking Southern Sonoma --

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And Napa.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: -- and Napa, and maybe part of

1 Yolo.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Jodie, go
3 ahead.

4 MS. CLARK: Is that -- I'm sorry, is that based on
5 the idea of still crossing the bridge or --

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, no, the bridge is hard.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, that was based on not
8 crossing. That was based on not crossing.

9 MS. CLARK: Okay, I'm going to pull up the first
10 round draft maps, which does not cross the bridge.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, let's -- that would be
12 helpful.

13 MS. CLARK: This Santa Rosa population needs to go
14 east or move out of Sonoma County, unless the direction is
15 for there to be an east to west district in Northern
16 California. No?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can I just -- if we start
18 kind of on the top, I think we all agree to take that
19 small, little bit of Siskiyou out, right? I mean it's not
20 that much in terms of population.

21 MS. CLARK: Right, so that is about six or seven
22 thousand.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, so you just have to
24 pick some of that up on the bottom, it's small,
25 percentages-wise.

1 So, we could -- can we keep a lot of that district
2 the way it is, with the exception of those, that small
3 population. Can we -- is there -- can we agree on that
4 district, as a starting point, with the exception of the
5 Western Siskiyou?

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: As I recall, there
7 was a lot of testimony about Del Norte and Marin, which I
8 thought led to the possibility of splitting the district
9 at Sonoma.

10 MS. CLARK: That will create the big east to west
11 block in Northern California.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, that was the --
13 okay.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: You have to come -- from
15 Del Norte you have to go all the way into Marin to get the
16 population.

17 So, then the question is can you go from maybe
18 Santa Rosa and do a Napa/Solano/Yolo east/west instead of
19 the north/south, is that -- which you'd be able to pick up
20 American Canyon back into Napa that way. Is that a
21 possibility at all, Ms. Clark?

22 MS. CLARK: You would have to break up this Delta
23 community from the majority of Solano County. I believe
24 that this area of Yolo is approximately 110,000 so --

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It's 150.

1 MS. CLARK: Okay.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah. Well, Yolo's --

3 MS. CLARK: Well, and West Sacramento is not
4 included.

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I understand.

6 MS. CLARK: Okay.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can you do the Santa
8 Rosa, Napa, southern part of Solano? I mean Solano then
9 part of --

10 MS. CLARK: That would look similar to the newer
11 visualization that I had prepared for today where Napa,
12 some of this portion of Northern Contra Costa County. It
13 doesn't -- it does not include Solano County or the
14 eastern part of Solano County. I think it's a
15 possibility; I haven't drawn it, yet.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: We also talked about possibly
17 putting Lake in with the Mendocino district, which I know
18 doesn't help that much, but it's 64,000 people.

19 MS. CLARK: Doing that would just cause a split in
20 Santa Rosa.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, I'd be curious to see
23 if we could keep, maybe, the integrity of that Coastal
24 district with the exception of the Western Siskiyou, and
25 then maybe see if Ms. Clark could do the Santa Rosa, Napa,

1 Solano, that -- from what you'd had, it sounds like, with
2 the other visualization. And that would allow for the
3 Yuba, Sutter, Colusa, Lake, Yolo and maybe some of the
4 other parts of Southern Yolo, too, to go in there. I'm
5 not sure if that works for you but --

6 MS. CLARK: I believe, just thinking about the
7 numbers, that -- then Yolo County would still be split.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But it's split now,
9 though, correct?

10 MS. CLARK: Yes, but not in one of the
11 visualizations I prepared for today.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I guess I'd be curious to
13 see where the split is to see if there's the integrity of
14 the communities intact. Because I think we're trading off
15 the Yuba all the way down to Santa Rosa connection by
16 making more of an eastern -- east/west split.

17 MS. CLARK: Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: The suggestion from the
19 testimony we got, when was it? I'm trying to remember
20 when we got it, from the League of Conservation Voters,
21 also had a suggestion for the Congressional district. And
22 I think the one that probably makes the most sense is
23 extending east to include the Delta.

24 I'm going to just bring this down to Ms. Clark.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think it was the

1 same handout I passed to you guys this morning that we
2 looked at for Marin/Sonoma.

3 MS. CLARK: I think that there -- at least the
4 image on here is 200,000 people off.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: And I think they were
6 suggesting arrows there to where they would pick those
7 people up.

8 MS. CLARK: They -- they want to go over the
9 bridge, but this is over-populated, this green one would
10 be over-populated.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think those were choices.
12 So, either you would go north, or you would go
13 Yuba/Sutter, or you would do the Delta, or you would go
14 over the bridge. And I think probably going into the
15 Delta makes more sense.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, let me -- I'm
17 sorry.

18 MS. CLARK: I think that if you went east like
19 this, and this area of Sonoma County is approximately
20 200,000, plus if the entirety of Napa is 136,000, plus
21 Solano County, which is 415,000 is approximately 700,000.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, it looks like a
23 Congressional district.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, as long as -- I
25 think the one thing is you just have to kind of not leave

1 those Delta areas down -- the eastern part of Solano.

2 MS. CLARK: That --

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: The eastern part of
4 Solano right there, which is actually in Sacramento,
5 right, I think?

6 MS. CLARK: Yes. And that also is not including
7 this population that we picked up in North Contra Costa
8 County.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, you may have to
10 actually do something like that but drop the -- instead of
11 keeping the counties whole I mean you'd have to almost
12 kind of split by bringing the line down south, further
13 south, right? You'd have to take off a little Napa; you'd
14 take off a little Solano, because you have to pick up the
15 Contra Costa. And I just don't feel like isolating
16 those -- those Deltas because you'd -- you'd be leaving
17 them going -- the Delta a part of Sacramento, it would
18 have to wrap way up and around with Yolo which would be --

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: So, we've also left 150,000
20 people in Yolo County with no place to go. If you put
21 Santa Rosa, and Napa, and Sonoma and a little bit of
22 Contra Costa, you still have the blue part of Yolo County
23 there, which has no home.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: You'd have to --

25 MS. CLARK: Assumedly, Yolo County would remain

1 whole and then go with Yuba County.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That would replace Santa
3 Rosa, in other words, in gross numbers?

4 MS. CLARK: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That would be -- that would
6 work.

7 MS. CLARK: But if we moved Napa out, also, then
8 Butte County -- not all of Butte County could go in or
9 Tehama and part of Butte.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: This is what you've
11 done. This is part of the work that you've done for some
12 of the visualizations that we're looking at, because I'm
13 looking at the visualizations that -- for instance, NEBAY,
14 which is the Napa, which has Santa Rosa and picks up --
15 and I know it does pick up a little bit of the Richmond,
16 but you've got American Canyon whole in there, then you've
17 got -- yeah, so --

18 MS. CLARK: Right, it would be trading this --
19 Santa Rosa is not actually in here --

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, it isn't, I
21 couldn't tell.

22 MS. CLARK: -- because that population -- yeah,
23 that population is made up in the Marin/San Francisco
24 district in this visualization.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure, and we

1 recognize that. We recognize that in the draft map and
2 what you had up there earlier, you know, is all of Marin.
3 But what we're trying to deal with is that what was
4 previously on the orange portion of the draft map, which
5 we're working out right now, but it looks like that you
6 have that here in this visualization just --

7 MS. CLARK: Right. So, if this moved south and
8 this moved west and picked up Santa Rosa again, and then
9 this -- that same amount of population in this Richmond
10 area was removed then, yeah, something like this.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And could you go into
12 Solano, then, up that 80 corridor a little bit to make up
13 the population in Solano? I'm not sure how far. You'd be
14 in the Napa area, the NEBAY, you would be including Santa
15 Rosa, right, you'd be adding that to it.

16 MS. CLARK: That it would be a -- it would be a
17 full circle because you would add this area, Santa Rosa
18 into this Northeast Bay, and then you'd pick up that
19 population here in San Francisco -- or in South Marin and
20 then the U would happen, and then this Richmond district
21 would pick up Richmond.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct.

23 MS. CLARK: And then the circle would be complete.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, does that --
25 that's consistent with what we saw earlier.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, you got it. I
3 mean, you've done a lot of work with these visualizations
4 and that's why I didn't want us to lose anything here
5 because I think that there is a blend that really could
6 work out even though we went back to the draft maps for
7 the East Bay, San Francisco area here. So, I don't want
8 to lose any of that work we've already done and what
9 they've done, so --

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And could you -- if we're
11 looking at this, can we pull out a little bit to see
12 that -- you know, there was some switches, I'd like to see
13 what the --

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: This is the
15 visualization she brought for today so --

16 MS. CLARK: Which district are you --

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just looking to see
18 what Yuba and North Coast look like.

19 MS. CLARK: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because, basically, this
21 would be remaining similar; is that correct?

22 MS. CLARK: Yes, the North Coast right now,
23 Siskiyou -- the change is that Siskiyou is moved out and
24 then here, in the south end of that district, then Santa
25 Rosa would be removed and this southern part of Marin

1 County would be added.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, the populations, like
3 you were saying, the exchanges are going to happen down in
4 the bottom --

5 MS. CLARK: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- so for the most part
7 the North Coast, and then I'm looking at the Mountain Cap
8 would -- would remain unchanged for the most part, maybe
9 some Butte or Glenn switches up there.

10 MS. CLARK: Yes.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay. And so looking at
12 that then you go back down into -- it looks like in that
13 one you have Tahoe with El Dorado and Placer?

14 MS. CLARK: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And you have two
16 Sacramento-based districts.

17 What's the north part of Sacramento in those two
18 districts, I'm curious to see where the split is?

19 So, you have --

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Can you tell me why you cut
21 into Florin; you crossed the 99, what the thinking was
22 there?

23 MS. CLARK: This is the same -- this is the same
24 district that was in the first draft maps and I got no
25 direction to change it.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, that answered
2 that question.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, but I understand that,
4 but why in the first draft map, since we didn't say
5 anything --

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because crosses --
7 doesn't Florin, as a city boundary, cross the 99?

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, Florin --

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you put your
10 microphone up, Commissioner DiGuilio?

11 MS. CLARK: For population and to not split
12 Florin. Also, the City of Sacramento, and West
13 Sacramento, and Elk Grove are whole in this visualization.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I just -- I just didn't know
15 whether it made any more sense to cut off Elk Grove and
16 move up the 80?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And put Elk Grove where?

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Elk Grove would go into the
19 blue district and you'd move the salmon up the 80
20 toward --

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: That would put the API
22 community back together.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

24 MS. CLARK: The API community also includes South
25 Sacramento and West Sacramento.

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, but that's already
2 lost. I mean we -- I'm not talking about changing that.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: We've already split that.

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But you'd get more of it if
5 you put Elk Grove with Florin and Vineyard than you have
6 now.

7 MS. CLARK: Can we sort of back up and go through
8 district by district, and then I can get more clear
9 direction?

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes, yes.

11 MS. CLARK: Okay, thank you.

12 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: That was a birthday
13 present.

14 (Laughter)

15 MS. CLARK: Wow, thank you Commission.

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We're feeling really
17 generous.

18 MS. CLARK: Okay. I'm just -- if we go back to
19 this North Coast from this visualization, is it safe to
20 assume that South Marin should be included in exchange for
21 Santa Rosa?

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Again, can you also put Lake in
23 for that or would that cause a split?

24 MS. CLARK: No Lake. I will look into it, but I
25 think no Lake.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, you're correct.

2 Okay.

3 MS. CLARK: Okay. For -- so then I think from
4 here on out there -- we can just look at the exchange --
5 or just look at the districts and talk about moving them
6 and it will be much smaller moves.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would --

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco?

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would be remiss if I
11 didn't mention that we received substantial testimony,
12 after this visualization was posted, substantial testimony
13 about Trinity not being with the Coastal districts.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Hum, Trinity not being
15 with Coastal?

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes, we got many, many --

17 MS. CLARK: Asking to move --

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I thought it was the
19 Siskiyou, Shasta, Tehama. I think there was occasional
20 mention of Trinity but I --

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: If you look at --

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Trinity is the mountain;
23 it's kind of what divides both sides.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: In the public comments in
25 the last couple of days there's been a lot of that,

1 maybe --

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, this
3 visualization -- our draft map had Trinity in the Coast.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: It did, like this one.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, okay, sorry. Then it
6 was the Shasta comments then.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, early on there
8 was a little bit of comment on Trinity, but we have never
9 been able to build on it.

10 So, go ahead, Jaime.

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Sorry.

12 MS. CLARK: So, is the direction to move Trinity
13 east?

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: No, no, no.

15 MS. CLARK: Keep it.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: No, sorry. We
17 didn't -- we didn't give you another birthday present.

18 MS. CLARK: So, in this visualization Fairfield is
19 split. If we're looking at this Yuba district, Fairfield
20 is split. This Delta area in Sacramento County is
21 included with Solano. Yolo County, with the exception of
22 West Sacramento, is whole.

23 Lake, Colusa, Sutter and Yuba Counties are whole
24 and most of Glenn is incorporated. However, some of it
25 had to be moved out for population.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions? And
2 the areas of Glenn that had to move out were they, you
3 know, county seat or is it anything significant for that
4 split there?

5 MS. CLARK: Unincorporated areas.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Comments,
7 questions, concerns?

8 Okay, we can move on.

9 MS. CLARK: Okay, the next is this Mountain Cap
10 district. Siskiyou, Modoc, Shasta, Tehama, Lassen,
11 Plumas, Butte, a small part of Glenn County, Sierra
12 Counties are whole.

13 Nevada County is whole, with the exception of
14 Truckee to maintain the integrity of the Lake Tahoe Basin.

15 And then part of Placer County here, along the 80
16 is included for population.

17 North Auburn is in this Mountain Cap
18 visualization, Colfax and Ulta.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, Auburn's split or
20 is --

21 MS. CLARK: Auburn is not split. Or, okay,
22 there's a zero population split.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I see, now.

24 MS. CLARK: North Auburn is a Census Place that's
25 separate from the City of Auburn.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns?

2 Okay.

3 MS. CLARK: Okay. The Foothills district now
4 includes Lake Tahoe, most of Placer, with the exception of
5 that bit that we just saw. El Dorado County is whole.
6 Then all of the counties going down to Madera, which is
7 just Eastern Madera and then Eastern Fresno, there has
8 been a switch here so that -- I mean we'll get to this,
9 but Fresno County is only in four Congressional districts,
10 as opposed to five.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That's good.

12 MS. CLARK: Only.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Galambos
14 Malloy? I mean DiGuilio, sorry.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That's okay; I'll take
16 that as a compliment.

17 I would just -- I think this is fine; I just would
18 make one small note. I know our intention was to keep
19 Truckee with Lake Tahoe, because we did hear some of that.
20 I think it was also the idea was for Nevada to be with
21 those as well, too, because I think again there's a little
22 bit of an issue of separating Truckee from Nevada, the
23 County of Nevada.

24 But I think for now I'd like to leave it as it is
25 but just make a note that at some point we may need to

1 kind of -- if we get -- if we hear anything from the
2 County of Nevada, they may ask for Truckee back, or to
3 some degree to switch that back. But I think -- I just
4 wanted to make a note about it in case we hear some COI
5 testimony around that.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, anything
7 further on the Foothills?

8 Okay, we can move on.

9 MS. CLARK: Now, if we can move to the Sacramento
10 County area. In this East Sacramento County, Citrus
11 Heights, Rancho Cordova, Vineyard, Florin --

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm sorry, can I go --
13 one other thing, just Commissioner Forbes, I'm a little --
14 maybe we don't have a choice, but we have Roseville,
15 Granite Bay going all the way with the Foothills all the
16 way down to Tulare.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right. Right, and then
18 that's --

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And it's just a
20 population.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It's a population number,
22 it's just you can't get it without Roseville.

23 And I did sort of the numbers and the mountain
24 communities will -- will have a majority in that district
25 so --

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, it's not at the
2 expense of an urban area, it's really --

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Precisely.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, so --

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Precisely, it's not so
6 much --

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- the integrity of the
8 mountains?

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's right.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, to some degree
11 it's -- the apologies go to Roseville.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Precisely.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: And I just want to note that
15 Mono is not with the Foothill communities in this
16 iteration and it's -- I don't know if that helps at all
17 with moving some of those other communities out.

18 MS. CLARK: Right, yeah. I did not include Mono
19 and Inyo with any other districts in these visualizations
20 for population.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think it's not -- I
22 think if you put it in, it doesn't solve -- you can't push
23 out -- punch out the --

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: It doesn't solve the problem.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, you can't punch out

1 the population.

2 MS. CLARK: Right, it would split Fresno County,
3 again.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, only if it helps.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Thank you for being
6 willing to give up Mono, though.

7 I'm sorry, thank you, Ms. Clark, if you want to go
8 back to the Sacramento area.

9 MS. CLARK: And then, again, this Sacramento City
10 district is the City of Sacramento, with a small split
11 right here, West Sacramento and Elk Grove all intact.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Again, I go back to I think
13 I would split off Elk Grove and have it join the blue so
14 it would be -- the API would be there with Elk Grove,
15 Florin, and Vineyard, and I would pick up the population
16 by moving up the 80. Exactly, that area right in there.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, would you like Elk
18 Grove, Vineyard, all the way up to Folsom?

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, it would be Elk
21 Grove, Vineyard, Rancho Cordova --

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right. Maybe up the
23 American River and I'd have to see -- again, the American
24 River runs along there. And how the population's split, I
25 can't be sure. But I think you'd be more contiguous and

1 you've have the API combined.

2 And, again, I think Sacramento looks more to the
3 northeast than it does to the south. I mean, our shopping
4 centers, for example, are up the 80.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And Elk Grove is with
6 Sacramento in the ADNSD?

7 MS. CLARK: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, and it's --

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm a little
10 concerned about the population splits that are going to
11 occur given the size of the population from Citrus
12 Heights, and Arden, and Carmichael up -- in comparison to
13 153,000, you've got to pick up 153,000.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: You could put Antelope,
15 Citrus Heights, or North Highlands, Foothill Farms,
16 Antelope and, you know, again, it's just a matter of the
17 numbers.

18 And, again, with a population deviation of one I'm
19 not -- I'm not terribly anxious about this. But I do
20 think, you know, keeping the I-80 corridor together makes
21 some sense. And Sacramento does look, as I said, more to
22 the northeast.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other comments on
24 that? Commissioner Raya?

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I just wanted to have

1 clarified what cities are going where? You're taking Elk
2 Grove --

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Elk Grove is coming out.

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And putting it into the SACCO,
5 with Vineyard and so on.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Into the blue.

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And then where is the line
8 going to be up, right on the I-80?

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, but that's sort of the
10 core. If you headed in that direction, that's a
11 population, how you work the population out.

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay. Because then you --
13 well, I don't know, it looks like you would split Citrus
14 Heights and who else?

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But, again --

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: All of that's okay?

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- Arden Arcade -- is
18 Arden Arcade even a city or is it a neighborhood?

19 MS. CLARK: The only -- right now, in the blue --

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Uh-huh.

21 MS. CLARK: -- the only cities are Rancho Cordova,
22 Citrus Heights, and Folsom.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: So, you see, North Highlands
24 and Arden Arcade are not cities to be split, they're
25 unincorporated Sacramento County.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And refresh my
2 recollection, Citrus Heights' community of interest --

3 MS. CLARK: Rancho Cordova.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- was with Rancho
5 Cordova.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, no, we had that
7 conversation, it was not with Rancho Cordova, it was with
8 itself, that was --

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: To be whole.

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: To be whole, that was its
11 message.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And I think we can probably
14 shift the population and respect that as well.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is that acceptable
16 general direction?

17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great.
19 Anything further, Jamie, on the SACCO district?

20 MS. CLARK: No, thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

22 MS. CLARK: Okay. Next, if we move to the San
23 Joaquin-based district, the only change from last time is
24 that I incorporated Galt into this district. What
25 happens, though, is that -- well, basically, there was a

1 circle that went this way, although it seems like there's
2 not a problem here in North Sacramento County.

3 And -- okay, basically, it ended up moving -- just
4 changing the split in Antioch. This section of Antioch is
5 not included.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, I'm wondering, now,
7 if you rotated around to fix the Antioch split if Elk
8 Grove -- if Elk Grove goes with the blue, if you -- you
9 could link Galt -- Galt with Elk Grove. I mean there's --

10 MS. CLARK: Yes. Previously, Galt was with this
11 East Sacramento County district, so it could go back
12 without having to really move Antioch too far.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I would say -- I would
14 say you could link Galt back in the SACCO if Elk Grove is
15 there, because I think that sits -- it's schools are with
16 Lodi, but we also heard quite a bit about Galt's fire and
17 other things being linked with Elk Grove.

18 So, I don't know if the Commission wants to trade
19 off keeping Antioch more whole and putting Galt in with
20 SACCO and Elk Grove.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: How's that going to work in
22 population, though, if you put 23,000 into the blue --

23 MS. CLARK: And then the line over here would move
24 east.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right, but what comes out of

1 the blue? I mean you've added -- you've got two districts
2 there with a deviation of none.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Right up there.

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But you've got -- if you're
5 going to put 23,000 from the gray into the blue, you've
6 got to move 23,000 out of the blue, but you've still got
7 to take -- put it into the red. You've added 23,000
8 people.

9 MS. CLARK: So, what -- the way that I moved Galt
10 in, if we go in reverse from that, if Galt goes back in --
11 excuse me -- into this blue area, and then this line moves
12 west. And I can change the -- this population afterwards,
13 then this moves west.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay.

15 MS. CLARK: Then this Fairfield split also moves
16 west.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay.

18 MS. CLARK: And this split in Martinez moves south
19 and then this split in Antioch moves west -- or east.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Are you going to make
21 Antioch whole by doing that?

22 MS. CLARK: No.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, okay, I thought you
24 made Antioch whole, sorry.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: And we did just have testimony

1 this morning about Elk Grove not wanting to go with Galt
2 and Lodi, which we already did in another district.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And that's -- that's
4 fine, we can -- I thought it would fix the Antioch split
5 but if it doesn't, yeah, leave it, it's fine with Lodi.

6 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any other comments? Do
7 you have enough clarity on this district?

8 MS. CLARK: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think it's good the way
10 it is, right, there's no changes, yeah.

11 MS. CLARK: Okay, things -- depending on this
12 border with the second draft maps, things could change a
13 little bit in here. I'm not -- oh, actually -- so,
14 actually, it's very similar, the line is pretty similar in
15 the second draft maps so it -- or, I'm sorry, the first
16 draft maps. So, this shouldn't -- yeah, that's fine.

17 Can we move on?

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Uh-hum.

19 MS. CLARK: Okay, and there's no direction about
20 this district?

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I don't think there's
22 much you can do, I think that's -- the borders on the west
23 are set and the south because of the impacts of Merced, so
24 I think this -- yeah.

25 MS. CLARK: Thank you. This district is, I think,

1 very similar to the last time you saw it. Again, it's
2 Stanislaus County, Tracy, Manteca, Escalon.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think this is a good
4 split. Stanislaus County is the one that's been split in
5 the AD and SD to make up for the Merced Section 5 issues,
6 I think this is their one chance to be whole. And the
7 pairing with the southern San Joaquin Counties again it
8 gives Lathrop a chance to be with Stockton, and Tracy and
9 Manteca can go south. I think it's about as good as
10 you're going to get.

11 MS. CLARK: Okay. This is the Merced County
12 Section 5 Congressional district. I believe it hasn't
13 changed since -- yeah, it hasn't changed since you last
14 saw it. This Sunnyside area -- or this tract just below
15 Sunnyside I can't -- can't fit for LVAP numbers.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, that was that public
17 comment we had about Sunnyside, just it can't be included,
18 that's why it's split. Okay, so that addresses the public
19 comments.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And we have Mr.
21 Brown's confirmation this is non-retrogressive and it
22 appears okay.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, I think there's a set
24 district, I don't think there's much we could or should do
25 with it. Check.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Moving on.

2 MS. CLARK: This district, which sort of connects
3 the North Fresno, City of Fresno, the northern area, with
4 Tulare and Visalia, the more populated areas that remain
5 after the Section 5 districts in these counties. This is
6 changed in that it now incorporates Squaw Valley. This
7 district no longer takes Squaw Valley; it was just a trade
8 of population to reduce the number of times that the
9 County of Fresno was split in Congressional districts.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Uh-hum, because before
11 Fresno was pink, part of the pink, the green, the brown,
12 the purple, and then part of the yellow. So, this way
13 it's down to four.

14 I think if you remember, some of the public
15 testimony was try and reduce -- they were okay with four
16 splits, but they just didn't want five. I mean, I'd say
17 okay in the sense that they realized that was going to be
18 the case, so this was an attempt to at least get it from
19 five to four.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions
21 about this district? Okay. Seeing none, okay, we can
22 move on.

23 MS. CLARK: Okay, this is the Kings County
24 Congressional district. This district hasn't changed
25 since last time you saw it. I -- these are the updated

1 lines. I redrew it to boost the LCVAP up to above 50
2 percent, so it's 50.54 percent and that is as a result of
3 adding this City of -- or this -- yeah, City of Dinuba.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can we see that? Okay, so
5 that's the --

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Right now, Mr. Brown
7 had mentioned that this could be a possible Section 2.
8 We'll check in with him tomorrow, because on his
9 visualization he may not have seen the detail in that one
10 city that --

11 MS. CLARK: I've sent him a visualization of that,
12 as well.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, that little
14 corner?

15 MS. CLARK: Yes.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great.

17 COMMISSIONER WARD: Can we see that corner, again?
18 I'm sorry, I was --

19 MS. CLARK: And I think that maybe this is the
20 visualization that was the -- yeah, maybe the mix-up
21 between Senate and Congressional was this as far as
22 compactness. Was it?

23 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We should just confirm
24 with him when he comes in tomorrow.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward, do

1 you have any questions about that area?

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: Just curious as to -- just
3 trying to see if there's any way to widen the neck there
4 in the middle that would make it more compact. I mean I
5 assume it's following county boundaries on the east side?

6 MS. CLARK: Yes, and this was mapped on the block
7 level. I looked at this with Commissioner Ancheta and
8 basically incorporating any of these areas or taking away
9 this area will drop the LCVAP below 50 percent.

10 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And I want Mr. Brown's
11 opinion of this. You know, this is one of those areas
12 where you clearly have a section -- you're Section 5
13 compliant, but if you try to push to a Section 2 you hit
14 this compactness question.

15 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right.

16 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And if it's not
17 compact, you probably don't want to do it. If it is
18 compact, go ahead and do it. And, again, it's not a
19 bright line distinction. And I think he's tentatively
20 given an okay, but there may be some confusion between the
21 SDs and the CDs, so I'd like to confirm with him what his
22 opinion would be.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I made a note of it.
24 Any other questions on this district? Okay, we can move
25 on.

1 MS. CLARK: This -- oh, this is 17 people under,
2 but we're just right now working on moving population
3 between Northern California and Southern California to
4 meet up and get everything plus or minus one. So, that is
5 somewhat similar to how it would look when it is balanced.
6 This is Eastern Tulare and then the remainder of Kern
7 County. This moves into Antelope Valley.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Were you able to reduce
9 that split at all or is it still -- in that
10 Lancaster/Palmdale split in L.A. County?

11 MS. CLARK: Actually, these, I didn't -- I didn't
12 move these lines, the southern lines.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: You couldn't do anything
14 about it. Okay, I just wanted to check to see, so just
15 because of population we have to go into that area, okay.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns?
17 Seeing none, we can move on.

18 MS. CLARK: This is the San Luis Obispo/Santa
19 Barbara Congressional district. It moves -- right, so San
20 Luis Obispo, all of Santa Barbara intact. This northern
21 area of Ventura and -- okay, so then Ojai, Oakview are
22 included in -- oh -- okay, this, actually, is not the
23 most -- this boundary for East Ventura is the most updated
24 and I also redrew this -- one second. Okay. I redrew
25 this based on the unified school district boundary to

1 include the upper Ojai Valley and then did change the
2 boundary here in Ventura. Let me pull that up really
3 quick.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And did -- yeah, we'll
5 see. We also had an issue with Malibu going north, as
6 opposed to going south.

7 MS. CLARK: Right, these -- one moment.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

9 MS. CLARK: Okay. So, these are the new lines
10 that I drew for you today. So, okay, this is based on the
11 unified school district for upper Ojai, so this area we
12 heard testimony about the upper Ojai Valley being included
13 with Ojai.

14 I also was given direction to redraw the split in
15 Ventura to go along the coast. In this visualization the
16 Ventura -- Ventura Boulevard -- Ventura Avenue?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Avenue.

18 MS. CLARK: Ventura Avenue is intact. I can show
19 the streets.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, did you work
21 with Commissioner Aguirre on that?

22 MS. CLARK: Yes.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can we just do the
25 southern part just very quickly? We can look at the

1 streets later, but I'm just curious about the part of
2 the --

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, because we do
4 need to move on, we'd like to get to Board of Equalization
5 today.

6 MS. CLARK: Okay, certainly. And then in Eastern
7 Ventura County, Thousand Oaks, Oak Park, Westlake,
8 Moorpark is included with this visualization. And then I
9 did have to split Simi Valley. I believe the split is
10 8,000 people and I just chose to split it near Moorpark
11 because there's that tie between Moorpark and Simi Valley.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?
13 Commissioner DiGuilio?

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The only thing I'd
15 say is we -- I think for both the ADs and SDs we had --
16 remember, there was -- when we first did this there was
17 some discussion about splitting Simi in some things, and
18 splitting Thousand Oaks in others.

19 I think with the new AD and SDs we were able to
20 keep Thousand -- we didn't have to split Thousand Oaks,
21 nor Simi, but Simi was put outside of Ventura County and
22 now it will be put out of Ventura County for all three. I
23 don't know if we have any choice, but just to make a note
24 that for all three Simi Valley's been out of the county.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is the City of Reseda still

1 whole?

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's a different
3 area.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: Oh, that's a different area,
5 okay.

6 MS. CLARK: That's in L.A.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It's a different
8 area, it's in Los Angeles.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And where does Simi
10 Valley go, does it go with Santa Clarita?

11 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I know that's Nicole.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It looks like it.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, for all three it will
15 go with Santa Clarita.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other concerns?
17 Any other suggested changes? Okay, we can move on. Or
18 are you done?

19 MS. CLARK: That's it.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's it. Okay, any
21 other questions? Does anybody want to look at another
22 district for the Congressional, any thoughts crossing
23 their mind before we leave Congressional district for
24 Northern California and move to Board of Equalization?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Only that I want to offer the

1 team access to probably both the Bay Area and the Northern
2 California teams, as you re-juggle the middle part there,
3 Marin, Solano -- you know, Marin through Solano,
4 basically, if you need clarification.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

6 MS. CLARK: Thank you. BOE?

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: BOE.

8 MS. ALON: Okay, BOE. So, first off, I just need
9 to tell you this is slightly different from the
10 visualization that you have printed, and that's just due
11 to a little glitch but -- a computer glitch. But when I
12 fixed the glitch, I was actually able to fix a county
13 split, so I guess it wasn't a horrible thing.

14 Just overview, both the west and the east meet the
15 benchmark in this visualization and that's what drove
16 everything.

17 So, the western district just spans the western
18 coast all the way from the northern tip down to the south.
19 This is similar to what you saw last time we did BOE's,
20 except there was a request to put Ventura County back with
21 the coast, instead of with L.A. and so that was
22 accomplished here.

23 The L.A. district is -- excuse me -- almost
24 exclusively all of L.A. County. Sorry, L.A. and Orange
25 County, with the exception of this little bit that was

1 taken out for population reasons.

2 So, Orange County here is whole and L.A., with the
3 exception of Lancaster.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: So, the L.A. County is just
5 split two ways in the BOE?

6 MS. ALON: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Madam Chair? My
8 understanding is that based on what we heard yesterday,
9 and public comment, is that the -- and the office
10 locations, that Ventura County is most appropriately
11 aligned with the east, the more agricultural zone, as
12 opposed to the coastal zone. That's their previous
13 arrangement and I understood them to not want to deviate
14 far, that much further from that as a result of
15 administrative reasons and other justifications for being
16 aligned with that agricultural zone.

17 MS. ALON: They want to come in here, in other
18 words?

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's my understanding.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, I think what they
21 were saying is this is based on their district offices is
22 what we got from the State Board of Equalization.

23 And before they had -- out of that district that
24 did come down through Kern into -- I think that -- Ventura
25 and Bakersfield were servicing that south part.

1 But I notice that they also don't have a district
2 for that whole south part of the county except for up in
3 Salinas, right. I'd be more concerned -- what I'm more
4 concerned about is, let's see, where's -- you're also
5 taking out the Bakersfield office in Kern, it looks like.
6 Is that the other one that's being taken out?

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: Chair Webber?

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, sorry.

9 COMMISSIONER YAO: I'm not sure these are
10 considerations that we should take into consideration
11 because I don't think these are mentioned anywhere in
12 Prop. 11. I know we heard the conversation from the group
13 yesterday, but I'm afraid if we take that into
14 consideration it's going to derail us from our criteria.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: But in accordance --

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, we might be
17 interested in having a brief discussion. I mean there
18 isn't any prohibition on it, either. If we wanted to
19 spend five minutes on what we think our understanding is
20 but --

21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think that's a good
22 question in terms of what is COI, if they gave us
23 testimony, can we consider that?

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I've got Commissioner
25 Raya, then Commissioner Parvenu, and then Commissioner

1 Aguirre.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, that was going to be my
3 comment. It seems to me -- I take it as something akin to
4 COI testimony in that there was an explanation of what --
5 why certain communities should be together and how it
6 relates to the administration of their duties, so I think
7 that makes sense. I don't see that as being tremendously
8 different from somebody saying I got to Bakersfield, you
9 know, to get county services or I --

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Or the Silicon Valley COI, for
11 that matter.

12 MS. ALON: Can I just jump in here and point out
13 the benchmarks here of what we have and how really, really
14 close we are to the benchmarks on both of these? The
15 eastern one, over here, it's 34.34 and we're at 34.42. It
16 is very, very, very difficult to get to the benchmarks
17 here and especially if you want to keep counties intact in
18 any way.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Now, as it turns out,
20 the county split may not have been as significant as we
21 thought prior to the presentation.

22 So, right now I've got Commissioner Parvenu?

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, I'll be brief. I
24 just want to summarize the letters that we have here from
25 Mr. Bill Leonard. He says, in one sentence, is that:

1 "There should be an exchange of the San Fernando Valley
2 into the Los Angeles district, with Ventura County going
3 into the east district." That's testimony number one or
4 comment number one.

5 Number two, from the board of supervisors, from
6 Mr. Peter Foy, and I'll summarize one sentence: "The links
7 between Ventura County and the east district include
8 shared watershed, common industries, including agriculture
9 and common role in suburban lifestyles. This shared way
10 of life is a strong link creating a community of
11 interest."

12 The third, of four, is from the Greater Los
13 Angeles African American Chamber of Commerce. Under the
14 first draft maps it says, okay, "Rural suburban county" --
15 this is regarding the office being in Los Angeles, tied to
16 the rural area.

17 He says: "Under the first draft of maps the bulk
18 of the City of Los Angeles and the rural suburban County
19 of Ventura are linked together in the Los Angeles BOE
20 district. The links between Ventura County and the east
21 district include shared watershed, common industries" and
22 so on.

23 And number four, from the California Farm Bureau
24 Federation; they state essentially the same thing.

25 "Ventura County has been included in the Los Angeles

1 District pairing a more rural, agriculturally-based county
2 with a very urban portion of Los Angeles County that
3 includes the bulk of Los Angeles."

4 And he goes on to say that Ventura County -- "A
5 better option," he says, "for more ideal communities of
6 interest would be swapping Ventura County out of the Los
7 Angeles district and trading for the San Fernando Valley
8 currently drawn in the east district."

9 So, that's four items right there.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, Ventura is out of L.A., but
11 it's now with the west district.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, yeah, after -- well,
13 that's -- yeah.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: So the question, I think,
15 Tamina --

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I had
17 Commissioner Aguirre in there real quick.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Sorry.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Then I'll go with
20 Commissioner Dai.

21 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: You're next.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh, go ahead.

23 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: I like this configuration
24 because there's really very little connection, outside of
25 the fact that there is agriculture in the Ventura County

1 and Western Santa Barbara County area and, of course, the
2 Central Valley.

3 But, you know, the difference is in terms of
4 transportation, and corridors, and all of that then the
5 COI really rests more in line with the coastal atmosphere
6 of the Tri-Counties area, along the Tri-Coastal county
7 areas, and the agriculture.

8 And, really, even though we're pretty close to Los
9 Angeles, Los Angeles is very urban, of course, and Ventura
10 is suburban to rural.

11 So, I like this configuration here as is.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I was going to say that
14 we heard a lot about the difference in agriculture along
15 the coast versus the Central Valley, so this actually puts
16 most of the Central Valley together and separates the
17 coastal agriculture.

18 And as Tamina pointed out, we're just in danger of
19 not meeting benchmark, which is our number two criteria,
20 way above communities of interest.

21 So, unless there's another way to configure this
22 district that meets the benchmark, I think we should be
23 looking at tweaking this around the edges.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
25 DiGuilio?

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think the first and
2 foremost thing we heard, you know, we even got the
3 permission to break counties. It wasn't -- we were under
4 a different assumption.

5 I think the bottom line is if the east and west
6 are going to make their benchmark, this is -- this is how
7 they're going to do it, so period. So, that's kind of
8 regardless of COI, as Commissioner Dai was saying.

9 So, then you kind of can look at the last two
10 districts to see if those are pretty much intact. But in
11 terms of being able to fudge around the east and west, it
12 seems like those are set pieces.

13 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Uh-hum.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think that there
15 might be other options. I think this is the only option
16 when you're not splitting counties.

17 But -- and, again, it might very well be dependent
18 on what truly constitutes a community of interest for a
19 Board of Equalization. But it is -- it is businesses and
20 location of businesses.

21 Ms. MacDonald?

22 MS. MAC DONALD: May I ask a question? How would
23 you define this particular COI? I mean I have not seen
24 the list of offices, but it sounds to me like they have
25 offices everywhere. And then how would you want to -- how

1 would you want to distribute that? You would want to
2 geocode them and then get an equal number of offices into
3 these districts, or how would you want to treat it?

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just to summarize
5 real quick, based on what they provided yesterday, when I
6 added this up in the districts, it appears to be about
7 five offices in each of their districts. Maybe the only
8 one that might not be is district two, which only has
9 probably about four.

10 But for the most part the coast has five or six,
11 and then the district three, which is San Bernardino
12 county to the border, has got five so --

13 MS. MAC DONALD: You know, if you look at these
14 offices, basically, most of them are in the areas that
15 we're not going to be able to really move around in
16 because of the benchmarks.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, I was wondering
18 if --

19 MS. MAC DONALD: And so then, basically, then
20 we're looking at Southern California and, you know, in one
21 of the areas there's just not a lot of offices so --

22 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: They also mentioned that
23 they -- given redistricting that they were, of course,
24 might have to open up some offices and retrain some staff
25 to accommodate the boundary lines as were being drawn.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: But they also didn't
2 seem to recognize our issue with benchmark.

3 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: No.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And the Department of
5 Justice requirements in drawing these districts, as well.
6 Although we certainly can understand, you know, a
7 potential cost issue there, we have a higher priority in
8 meeting the Voting Rights Act so --

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, can we look at the southern
10 districts?

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: it goes from the
12 border all the way up to Lake Tahoe. That's the one that
13 I'm a little more worried about. But that -- that doesn't
14 have any -- we don't have any Section 5 issues on the
15 ORSD, as you're calling it.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, basically, they don't --
17 they don't have an office for the northern part of that
18 district, essentially?

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The closest one would
20 be Sacramento, so it would really only be one, and then
21 you've got the Riverside for that, and maybe -- and
22 Bakersfield.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And Bakersfield.
24 Bakersfield is in with Kern.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Not to mention all

1 the ones in the southern part, you've got El Centro, San
2 Marcos, San Diego, Rancho Mirage, Riverside. But for that
3 entire area from Kern all the way up in that ORSD, you
4 only have Bakersfield and then Sacramento.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And the person said
6 yesterday the individuals who are servicing these, the
7 businesses can go to any location. So, for them it's not
8 the impact, the impact comes on the staff when they're
9 doing the audits. But I'm not sure we have -- you know,
10 we can't pull in that northern part. It would seem like
11 the only tradeoffs we could do is in the southern part and
12 maybe wrapping that up into the L.A. area.

13 But because you can't get the mountainous part of,
14 you know, Tuolumne, Mariposa, and all of those things into
15 that district without affecting the --

16 MS. MAC DONALD: I think they just don't have a
17 lot of offices in this particular -- in this one
18 particular area.

19 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah.

20 MS. MAC DONALD: So, I don't know that we can fix
21 that.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: No.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, we're not trying to
24 fix.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: We're not trying to fix that.

1 What they said was really -- you know, the communities of
2 interests for the Board of Equalization are businesses,
3 basically, so those are generally urban cores.

4 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Right. I just want to be
5 clear that I just stated what their community of interest
6 testimony was for the record, so they know we've
7 acknowledged it, we've considered it.

8 But this looks very clean to me, more of Los
9 Angeles is in the same district and we got rid of that
10 finger extending out towards -- that eastern finger
11 extending towards San Bernardino, so it looks very
12 compact.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And it might be a chance
14 for them to open up a new office for the population that's
15 been shifting, too.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's right.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just a thought.

18 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: That's right.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think this is also --
20 Commissioner Parvenu, I don't know if you looked, I think
21 we got a submission, one map submission from Mr. Neff, I
22 think this is remarkably similar to what he had as well.

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And do you recall if
25 Mr. Neff's met the benchmark? Did he look at it for that

1 purpose as well? Is that a yes?

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, yes.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: For the record, that
4 was yes.

5 Any other suggestions, questions, comments, will
6 not likely be any -- I mean any direction for changes,
7 looking at other options or will this likely be the
8 consideration for our second draft map and then maybe get
9 some input.

10 Commissioner Barabba?

11 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would just say that if
12 they were able to improve the ratings to the benchmark by
13 splitting a county, they should feel free to do so.

14 MS. ALON: It's been done, actually.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I should have known.

16 MS. ALON: I had to split Fresno and I think this
17 is Madera --

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah.

19 MS. ALON: -- in order to meet this benchmark.
20 But thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything further?
22 Okay.

23 Okay, we have 20 minutes until six o'clock, which
24 would be our close of business today, and we've asked to
25 go ahead and begin on Southern California.

1 We'll take a look at -- are we going to look at
2 Riverside, Orange County, San Diego? We're going to steer
3 clear of Los Angeles at this point.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Not with 20 minutes.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Exactly.

6 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Maybe 20 hours.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Let's look at Riverside because
8 we just finished looking at the Foothills district.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: At the Assembly level
10 or --

11 MS. ALON: This is Assembly.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The Assembly.

13 MS. MAC DONALD: We need birthday mapper right
14 now.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Birthday mapper.

16 MS. ALON: The other half of my brain needs to
17 come in.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We have 20 minutes,
19 Jaime, so we would -- if we've got to jump on this, this
20 might be the area that we'll return to tomorrow morning
21 because we do have a schedule adjustment and change for
22 tomorrow.

23 So, this is the Assembly level for region two in
24 Riverside County; is that correct?

25 Now, some of this, if I recall correctly, was

1 contingent upon Section 2 out of Pomona Valley and Rialto,
2 so if we wanted to maybe start there and see.

3 And Commissioner Dai had also asked, probably
4 looking, maybe, in San Bernardino County to see the impact
5 with the Foothills district that came down south.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And, Commissioner Filkins
7 Webber, these areas have been reviewed by Mr. Brown; is
8 that correct, I believe he's -- the areas -- the areas
9 outside of L.A. have been reviewed by him?

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can we start with the Mono/Inyo
11 district?

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: To answer
13 Commissioner DiGuilio's question, I believe these
14 visualizations have been sent to him, I don't think he had
15 summarized them in the last e-mail that we received, but
16 we can find confirmation tomorrow.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That would be
18 really helpful because we had those two alternatives in
19 terms of Section 2 and we need to hear from him about
20 whether we're -- we need to go with the one that's
21 clearly --

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure, so why don't we
23 start with Mono and Inyo, and work down.

24 MS. CLARK: Okay, one second. First, we're just
25 adding some more information on the district labels.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because, Commissioner
2 Filkins Webber, I believe he received those yesterday, it
3 looks like, so I'm just wondering if he'll have -- maybe
4 he could say something to us for tomorrow morning and get
5 us started.

6 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Since Mr. Brown is not
7 scheduled to come in until I think 1:00 p.m., now, would
8 the Commission like him to at least give us some sort of
9 e-mail response or something so we can move ahead?

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yes.

11 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

12 MS. CLARK: Okay. So, now these district labels
13 are showing the name, the percent deviation, LCVAP, black
14 CVAP and Asian CVAP, just for context. Should we start
15 with these potential Section 2 districts? I think that
16 would be the most helpful for the Southern California
17 people coming in tomorrow.

18 So, which ones should we -- which districts should
19 we look at, the POMVL or LTFO?

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can I ask a context just
21 really quickly, just which ones we're looking at, are
22 these the ones that --

23 MS. CLARK: These are Assembly districts.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I'm just wondering
25 in terms of are these the recommendations that we

1 originally gone with and said unless we hear different
2 because these were the preferred based on his revisions?
3 Okay, I just wanted to set that up.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, the only comment, did you
5 find the question of there was a little missing part of
6 Rialto that it looks like it's incorporated?

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: At the top.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah. I think it -- yeah, it
9 looks like it's in there, now.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Move it down a little
11 bit more? Oh, it must be.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it has to be because
13 Muscoy's on the other side, right?

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions? Were
15 there any noted changes? Because I don't think we made
16 any changes, other than the Rialto on this one, so I don't
17 want to spend a lot of time here. We have the 53.7, we'll
18 get confirmation from Mr. Brown for tomorrow morning, see
19 if there's any noted changes, but I don't think that we
20 made any other recommendations on this district.

21 Okay, so let's take a look at the Pomona. And
22 what we were talking about on that Rialto, it's an
23 unincorporated part so I don't think it would necessarily
24 come up in that little display. But we -- I recognized
25 it, but I wasn't certain it was going to be all that

1 helpful.

2 MS. CLARK: So, it looks like this is Pomona,
3 Montclair, Chino, and Ontario, and then a small area of
4 Fontana.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And if I recall, this
6 hasn't changed much, either, so we are hitting the 50
7 percent and will get Mr. Brown's confirmation for any
8 issues.

9 But this, again, is also, just for the record,
10 consistent with the community of interest testimony that
11 we received for Pomona, Ontario and Chino.

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: Chair?

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, Commissioner
14 Ward?

15 COMMISSIONER WARD: I was just going to see if you
16 can refresh my memory of why we went into Fontana?

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: In the unincorporated
18 part in there?

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Primarily because
21 this is a potential Section 2 and the numbers at this
22 point evidence that fact, and I believe that was confirmed
23 by Mr. Brown that we would look at these areas for Section
24 2. And we took a -- when we discussed this, prior to the
25 first draft maps, I wanted to consider looking at keeping

1 Fontana whole with that unincorporated part and when you
2 do that it's not -- it doesn't work.

3 And we talked about it significantly because even
4 though it's an unincorporated part, you know, the
5 community -- it flows from one area to the other, but we
6 recognize what we deal with, with unincorporated parts,
7 and we're looking at a possibility of two Section 2
8 districts here so --

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And just along those lines,
10 because I do remember, but I don't remember this
11 particular configuration, why this part of Fontana, if we
12 could just say something about that for the record?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: This was the unincorporated
14 part.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: This part?

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay. All right.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: So then we didn't have to break
19 into Fontana.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Then we didn't have to break
21 into the city proper?

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, that's consistent
24 with our draft map.

25 MS. CLARK: I also, just really quickly, wanted to

1 mention that with the Rialto district Grant Terrace is
2 taken out of this visualization.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You mean on the San
4 Bernardino?

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: And was that just for
6 population?

7 MS. CLARK: For population.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: We did have a lot of testimony
9 about, I think, Colton and Grant Terrace being together.
10 Are there any other -- are there splits in this one?

11 MS. CLARK: No.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is Loma Linda split? It kind
13 of looks like it is, but it may not be.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: No, move to the east,
15 right in there. Is Loma Linda split at all?

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: It doesn't look like it.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Unless it has that
18 little --

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: That little tail, yeah.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, which you never
21 know with cities anymore, so maybe we can highlight the
22 city. Okay, great.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, looks good. So, Loma
24 Linda's with Redlands, which is what they had asked for.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It might very well be

1 that the Grant Terrace issue might have affected the
2 Latino CVAP.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's possible.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If it was in there
5 but, okay.

6 MS. CLARK: Okay.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Now, the resulting
8 district.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Above it?

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, SBCUC, which is
11 Rancho Cucamonga all the way to Highland, where does that
12 go? To Wrightwood?

13 MS. CLARK: So, that's Rancho Cucamonga,
14 Wrightwood, and then on the east Redlands, Highland.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: We fixed the Crestline split?

16 MS. CLARK: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Looks pretty good, Redlands,
18 Loma Linda, Highland and Mentone are together, along with
19 San Bernardino.

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: It's too bad that we kind of
21 isolated Rancho Cucamonga, but there didn't appear to be
22 anything we can do about it.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, it's not optimal but --
24 and there is a split in the City of San Bernardino and,
25 again, that's because of the Section 2.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And I believe there's
2 been no changes at that split. I believe Commissioner
3 Parvenu had asked about that, I think that's probably
4 still consistent, at least it looks like it at this
5 visualization. Okay.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can we look at the mountain
7 communities and going north?

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We can move, yes, to
9 the next, MISBK.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's much more compact, now.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And on the south part
12 there that has all those areas we were trying to keep
13 together, that we split before. Is it --

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, the Big Bear --

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, the Big Bear area is
16 together.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is that small town
18 of Adelanto, is that over in the purple district?

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It is part of,
21 technically, the Victor Valley, but I think we've been
22 struggling with the population issue in the
23 Lancaster/Palmdale when we came down, so we've never been
24 able to change that, it looks like.

25 But we have kept the Big Bear, yeah, mountain

1 community, which some people -- and when you're looking at
2 a flat map don't understand that, where it says Big Bear
3 Lake, those are big mountains. So, it runs from Crestline
4 all the way across, so it's not like we're avoiding any
5 population in drawing this district, it's geographically
6 necessary.

7 And then you move to the high desert in Hesperian
8 and Apple Valley.

9 Now, I'd like to take a look at what we were doing
10 in the -- I don't believe it was in this district before,
11 the Kern County corner. It might have been in there
12 before -- it was in there before?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it was in there.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: That's left over from the Kern
16 County one.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any questions,
18 concerns?

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, we got lots of testimony
20 about that high desert area so I think that's consistent
21 with COI.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Okay, do we
23 want to move into the -- I guess it would be the BBCOH,
24 moving down into Riverside County?

25 MS. CLARK: So, this has 29 Palms, Geca Valley,

1 Palm Springs, Indian Wells, San Jacinto, Yucaipa,
2 Calimesa.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, the concern we had with
4 this one was the split in San Jacinto, with Hemet.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because the testimony was
6 they wanted to be kept together?

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, and they're together in
8 the other two districts, but they're not here.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And the only other
10 concern at the Congressional level is San Jacinto and
11 Hemet are with the desert and not with what's considered
12 the San Jacinto Valley.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: What else is considered the San
14 Jacinto Valley?

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, technically,
16 down to Menifee, that entire area and, technically, not
17 Banning and Beaumont. But San Jacinto all the way down to
18 Menifee is the agricultural area which doesn't go as far
19 as Temecula. But you've got Menifee and all of those
20 areas closer to Hemet, which is technically considered
21 that valley.

22 So, we don't have them whole with the rest of
23 Menifee and the Valley in the Congressional, and they're
24 split at the Assembly. And if I'm not mistaken, I recall
25 at the Senate level, then Menifee and the rest of the

1 valley would be split from them as well.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Is there any way for --
3 any suggestions on how to -- how much of that population
4 is left in Hemet there, where it's splitting off? That's
5 big, yeah.

6 So, my only thought and -- my only thought here
7 would be if that population could be exchanged with the
8 whole 29 Palms area and come back down to the border that
9 would move the line over, as well, in the northern
10 district.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can we look back to see
12 that exchange at 29 Palms?

13 MS. CLARK: So, the highlighted area is 58,000
14 people in Hemet.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay.

16 MS. CLARK: So, in this district to the east it's
17 about 20,000.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, so if you look at the
19 population, I think, in the 29 Palms area it's probably
20 around 60,000 or so, if you could bring that down so we
21 could look at the north, yeah.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Then you would have to
23 repopulate the district you took from Hemet out of, as
24 well, so then I'd like to see what you'd suggest for that
25 switch.

1 So, you could put the 29 Palms into the pink, and
2 Hemet, but you'd have to repopulate the Hemet district
3 with something.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Temecula. No, sorry.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: We are trying to see if we can
6 get Temecula back in, I just don't know if it's going to
7 happen.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Or at least a portion
9 of Murrieta, we might be able to make Murrieta a little
10 whole.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, Murrieta's also split in
12 this visualization so --

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think if you draw the road
14 leading to 29 Palms, you'll see that it's going to be very
15 tough to tie 29 Palms to the pink area.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, I was thinking --

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, the road goes
18 from Palm Springs area into 29 Palms, that's how you get
19 to 29 Palms.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can you put the freeway on
21 there?

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, there's another
23 freeway that goes up from the 20.

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, that's --

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: There you go.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, that's the way you get
2 there. So, that is --

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: If you pull it down and then
4 you have to follow it all the way around here.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think that in order to
6 get Hemet in with San Jacinto you have to pull 29 Palms
7 down, then you're going to have to rotate from the kind of
8 lightish purple into the lightish pink and then up and
9 around -- I mean it's a big rotation. And then back up
10 into green because green needs to be repopulated, right?

11 MS. MAC DONALD: Could I just add to that? I was
12 actually mapping in that area yesterday and looked at that
13 area for about three hours, and I just got a chat from Ana
14 and they looked at it today for a really long period of
15 time as well, and this was really the best that we could
16 come up with unless if you want a really long, skinny
17 district. You know, if compactness is not an issue then,
18 of course, you can do a lot of things.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, the other --
20 the potential is that you've got a lot of the cities right
21 there in Coachella Valley.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: Right.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And right now, with
24 this visualization, we're trying to maintain the
25 integrity. In fact, if I'm not mistaken, the only city

1 split in this visualization is Desert Hot Springs.
2 Because if you rotate down, you could end up resulting in
3 city splits, as well as failure to recognize the actual
4 community of interest testimony that's supporting this
5 visualization.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: It sounds like they've tried.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah.

8 MS. CLARK: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: WE gave due diligence to
10 Hemet.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, just a note that they are
12 whole and with San Jacinto in the other -- well, in
13 Congress.

14 MS. CLARK: Okay. So, now if we look at this very
15 southeast district called COACH, much of Imperial County,
16 it looks like.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: All of it.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: All of it.

19 MS. CLARK: All of Imperial County, right on. And
20 then East Riverside County, can we zoom in? It looks like
21 the City of Coachella is included, and Indio, Desert Hot
22 Springs is split, and Cathedral City.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you go in a
24 little closer and tell me where the Thermal Mecca, at the
25 bottom of Coachella Valley -- it's down, actually.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it looks like it's in
2 there.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, all of it's in
4 there, okay.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah. So, this is the --

6 MS. CLARK: Thermal, Mecca are included in this
7 visualization.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: This is the Eastern
9 Coachella/Imperial Valley COI.

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Remind me what the
11 thought was of why we put Cathedral City where we put it?

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: There was one -- one
13 person who had mentioned Cathedral -- sorry, I was trying
14 to just get back to the mic, and then think about what
15 time it is, also, and that we might need to come back and
16 start our discussion tomorrow in this COACH Valley. But
17 as I recall there was only one community of interest
18 testimony that put Cathedral City with them.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, most of it -- most of it
20 focused on Indio, Coachella, Thermal and Mecca, but then
21 people said east all the way up to Desert Hot Springs.
22 So, there were several people who just kind of did it as a
23 range and then I think Commissioner Filkins Webber's
24 right, one person specifically mentioned Cathedral City.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'd like to -- we
2 do -- we've had a request that we would stop at six
3 o'clock. I do want to pick up this district tomorrow.
4 But let me just advise the Commission, as well as the
5 members of the public, as to what the change in our
6 schedule is for tomorrow. And, again, this just goes on.
7 The incredible work that's being done by Q2 to meet the
8 demands of this Commission and the vast number of changes
9 that we have asked to districts, and they're working
10 diligently.

11 And then we have also asked that our VRA attorney,
12 Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, provide a presentation to this
13 Commission regarding their opinions, because we recognize
14 in Southern California area there are a number of
15 potential Section 2 districts that will dictate the manner
16 in which we draw all the surrounding areas.

17 And so we have asked that Gibson Dunn & Crutcher
18 take a look at the visualizations. Those are getting to
19 him -- or Mr. Brown, actually, in particular. All of
20 these visualizations are getting to him through the course
21 of today and further this evening.

22 So, tomorrow, the agenda previously was to have
23 Mr. Brown address us at 9:00 a.m. That has been changed
24 to 1:00 p.m. And we will have a brief session, open
25 session discussion with Mr. Brown and then we will be

1 going into closed session at approximately 1:15 to discuss
2 litigation matters and that will go from approximately
3 1:15 to 2:15, give or take some questions, 2:15 to 2:30,
4 about an hour, hour and 15 minutes, preferably, only an
5 hour at this point, given our day.

6 So, tomorrow morning we will commence at 9:00 a.m.
7 We will pick up at the Assembly level for these non-L.A.
8 districts and commence our discussion where we can.

9 As I understand it through some of the
10 visualizations, the Section 2 issues, we can take a look
11 at Riverside, San Bernardino, like we just did. Orange
12 County also might very well be whole, with the respect of
13 the district -- or the county lines at Orange County and
14 Los Angeles, which we're going to be excited to see. So,
15 we'll pick it up from there.

16 Commissioner DiGuilio, did you have a question?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, will be able to -- I
18 think maybe Commissioner Ancheta was working with Mr.
19 Brown on that but -- so we -- since they have the
20 visualizations for all of the areas outside of L.A., we're
21 hoping that we can have some type of opinion tomorrow
22 morning when we do these areas outside of L.A.?

23 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes, I'm hoping we'll
24 get something from him tonight via e-mail, yeah.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, good, because that

1 way we -- since he has those, we can have the opinion and
2 we can work on those areas.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure, he gave us a
4 summary like he did with the Section 5s, so and I know
5 there hasn't been much in the way of changes.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Great.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, we should be able
8 to get something from him verbally so that we can address
9 any of your questions or concerns regarding potential
10 Section 2 here. Like, for instance, in Riverside and some
11 of those other non-L.A. areas and we can, hopefully, have
12 that for you tomorrow and work efficiently and
13 productively before he can arrive at 1:00 p.m.

14 Any other questions? Okay, so Commissioner Ontai?

15 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: So, San Diego is after 2:15?

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, no, we'll -- I
17 know there's -- no, we can probably get into San Diego.
18 We'll work -- San Bernardino we've kind of pretty much
19 already covered. There's some issues in Riverside to just
20 clean up and then we can probably move in -- I think
21 that's going to affect San Diego discussion in the morning
22 before one o'clock, so I anticipate maybe San Diego
23 tomorrow morning.

24 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Great.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Anything

1 further? Seeing none then we are adjourned for this
2 evening.

3 (Off the record at 6:05 p.m.)

4 --oOo--

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25