

BEFORE THE  
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the Matter of  
Full Commission Business Meeting

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law  
Classroom C  
3200 Fifth Avenue  
Sacramento, California

VOLUME II

Wednesday, July 8, 2011

2:54 P.M.

Reported by:  
Kent Odell

APPEARANCES

Commissioners Present

Jodie Filkins Webber, Chairperson

Angelo Ancheta, Vice Chairperson

Gabino T. Aguirre

Vincent Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle Di Giulio

Stanley Forbes

Connie Galambos Malloy

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai

M. Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Peter Yao

Staff Present

Kirk Miller, Legal Counsel

Janeece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

APPEARANCES (Cont.)

Also Present

Nicole Boyle, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

George Brown, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher

Holly Chow, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Jamie Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Ana Henderson, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Karin Mac Donald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Public Comment

Jim Whiteaker, Sutter County Supervisor

Tom Bosenko, Sheriff, Shasta County

Jacqueline DuPont-Walker

Lillia Rivera, Council of Asian Pacific Islanders  
Together for Advocacy and Leadership

Maye Lee, San Diego, on behalf of CAPAFR Coalition

Alice Huffman, NAACP, Los Angeles President

Aref Aziz

I N D E X

	PAGE
6. Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher (Open Session Review of Non-LA Potential Section 2 Areas)	
George Brown, Esq., Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher	181
7. Review of Commission Schedule	
Jodie Filkins Webber, Chairperson	186, 306
8. Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (All remaining Southern California Districts)	
Adjourn	322
Certificate of Reporter	323

1

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

JULY 8, 2011

2:54 P.M.

(Reconvene 2:54 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We are resuming our meeting of the Citizens Redistricting Commission. We were in closed session earlier, and I would ask Mr. Miller to give a brief summary regarding the closed session.

MR. MILLER: In closed session, the Commission discussed with counsel various threats that parties could bring in litigation and anticipated responses to them.

CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: At this time, we've asked our Voting Rights Attorney to stick with us and I will turn it over to Mr. Brown.

VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: Thank you. I just wanted to offer a few updates to our opinions and a few comments before you get started on the line drawing exercise. First, several reminders. The Commission has been advised to follow the criteria and priorities in the California Constitution. The first priority is following the U.S. Constitution, including the equal protection clause; the second criteria are to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act. The Commission must prioritize the Voting Rights Act when drawing districts over the lower ranked criteria. The Commission is also

1 constrained by the limits on the Voting Rights Act as  
2 interpreted by the U.S. Supreme Court. It is not free to  
3 come up with its own interpretations.

4 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, as your Voting Rights  
5 Act counsel, has not drawn any districts and has not  
6 instructed the Mappers to draw any particular district or  
7 set of districts in a particular manner. All Mapping  
8 instructions come from the Commission.

9 Now, the drafting process necessarily involves  
10 Mappers interpreting Commission direction and trying to  
11 be responsive. The Commission has been advised to  
12 seriously consider the input of organized groups and  
13 other members of the public, and I know they've been  
14 doing that. This would include submissions by AARC,  
15 MALDEF, CAPAFR, and the Unity Proposal, as well as other  
16 submissions.

17 Now, let me summarize where we are in thinking  
18 about Section 2 potentially required areas. First  
19 starting outside of LA County, we've advised you that the  
20 Commission should consider in Fresno County Assembly  
21 District FSEC2 as a potentially required majority-  
22 minority Latino District. In the San Bernardino County  
23 Area, we've advised that Assembly District POMVL, the  
24 July 5 iteration; Assembly District RLTF0, the July 30  
25 iteration; Senate District POMSB, June 30 iteration;

1 Congressional District SBRIA, July 5<sup>th</sup> Option 1. All are  
2 areas that should be treated as potentially requiring a  
3 majority-minority District for Latinos. I know that  
4 there are other iterations, but our view will remain the  
5 same so long as those are greater than 50 percent  
6 districts.

7           There were two options I saw recently in San  
8 Bernardino for Congressional Districts, and in Option 2,  
9 the ONTPM, July 6<sup>th</sup> version, would be an acceptable  
10 alternative to the SBRIA, July 5<sup>th</sup> Option 1.

11           In San Diego County, we've advised that a  
12 potential majority-minority Latino District would be  
13 appropriate for Assembly District SSAND, the July 6<sup>th</sup>  
14 iteration; and in Orange County, we've advised that a  
15 potential majority-minority Latino District would be  
16 appropriate for Assembly District SNANA, the July 2<sup>nd</sup>  
17 iteration.

18           With respect to LA County, we've been evaluating  
19 evidence of Racially Polarized Voting, as well as the  
20 public input and the Totality of the Circumstances. Our  
21 view is that there is evidence of Racially Polarized  
22 Voting between Latinos and Whites in certain areas and  
23 between Latinos and African Americans in certain areas.  
24 Based on our overall review of the facts and  
25 circumstances, several majority-minority Latino Districts

1 should be drawn to comply with Section 2 of the Voting  
2 Rights Act. We urge the Commission to continue to focus  
3 on the use of Redistricting criteria other than race and  
4 ethnicity as the primary focus, with sensitivity to  
5 avoiding over-concentration of single minority groups.  
6 In particular, it's our view that the Commission should  
7 go through the maps and consider approaching it by asking  
8 some of the following questions -- they are non-  
9 exclusive, of course: First, what's in the district?  
10 What cities, neighborhoods, and other geographic areas  
11 are in the district? What local communities of interest  
12 recognized by the Commission are included in the  
13 district? What other communities are reflected by that  
14 district?

15           We've discussed the idea that the concept of  
16 community and shared interest is somewhat broader than  
17 and distinct from the term of art used in the California  
18 Constitution. I think the Commission should describe  
19 briefly for each of the districts what the shared  
20 interests of the voters in that district are, and this  
21 information can include info that comes from public  
22 testimony, from written testimony, from known facts, and  
23 from U.S. Census Data. The Census Data, for example, can  
24 show similarities in household income, education, number  
25 of children and homes, and other relevant socioeconomic

1 indicators.

2 I think the Commission should also consider what  
3 splits of geographic units occurred by drawing the  
4 district, and explain briefly the reason for the splits.  
5 I think using that approach would be a useful exercise in  
6 advancing the Commission's analysis.

7 I also wanted to point out that, based on our  
8 review, we believe there is not a sufficient basis to  
9 conclude that a majority African American District would  
10 be required by Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act in Los  
11 Angeles County.

12 And that's it. I'll be here for questions.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?

14 Okay, seeing none, sorry.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So there was an issue  
16 in the Central Valley regarding the Kings County  
17 Districts, which might -- there is Section 5 coverage,  
18 but there might be a Section 2 option, and I wonder if  
19 you had any comments on those.

20 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: Generally, we've been unable  
21 to establish the existence of Racially Polarized Voting  
22 in the Kings area, based on the information that we've  
23 looked at so far. If that changes, we'll let you know.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions  
25 of Mr. Brown? Okay, Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

1           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mr. Brown, are you  
2 planning on providing any context to where we are at with  
3 the Racially Polarized Voting Analysis?

4           VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: I can report that, yeah,  
5 we've continued to have meetings with Dr. Barretto and  
6 we've received data and have had discussions with him,  
7 and we've reviewed other sources of information, as well.

8           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Any  
9 other questions? Okay, before we resume our line  
10 drawing, I've asked to check in with Ms. Mac Donald, as  
11 well as the Commission, as far as where we are at in our  
12 status. We do presently still have a hearing scheduled  
13 for tomorrow that has not been cancelled. So, given the  
14 lateness of the hour and quite a bit of travel  
15 arrangements that might have to be made by a number of  
16 Commissioners, as well as many of us probably canceling  
17 our hotel rooms for tonight in anticipation that we would  
18 be finished, I wanted to touch base with Ms. Mac Donald  
19 on her schedule, as well as open it up to the Commission  
20 for just a brief discussion on where we're at, where  
21 we're going, where we have been, looking at the larger  
22 picture, and what we need to accomplish and how it is  
23 going to affect moving into next week.

24           So, at this point, as I understand from the  
25 Commission's feelings earlier, due to some of the new

1 Visualizations that we saw at the Congressional level for  
2 Regions 1, 2 and 3, we did not provide any direction to  
3 Q2, even though there was some hesitation, we also wanted  
4 to see where we were going to get with Los Angeles. So,  
5 as I understand, Ms. Mac Donald can go until 6:30 this  
6 evening, but if we do consider tomorrow, it would be like  
7 an 11:00 to 3:00, so it would be taking up a large  
8 portion of our day. And what we need to get through this  
9 evening are the Assembly Districts for Los Angeles,  
10 Senate Districts, Congressional Districts, and then go  
11 back and take a look at what impacts some of our  
12 decisions and directions would have in looking back at  
13 the Congressional Districts for Regions 1, 2 and 3. So  
14 that's what we would technically have to accomplish  
15 between now and the close of business at 6:30.

16 So, Ms. Mac Donald, is that consistent with my  
17 understanding of where we are at this point?

18 MS. MAC DONALD: Yes, I think that is consistent.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any questions  
20 or concerns about where we're at? Otherwise, we'll just  
21 move into Los Angeles. Commissioner Forbes, Commissioner  
22 Di Guilio, and then Commissioner Parvenu.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I just wanted to make a  
24 comment regarding Area 9, Region 9. I did not feel  
25 yesterday that we had given very thorough conversations,

1 and we didn't really even see a functioning map for  
2 Sonoma, Solano, Yolo and Napa, and so I feel very  
3 uncomfortable at this point regarding voting next week on  
4 a map that dealt with those districts, but for my  
5 responsibility. And so I just wanted to say that because  
6 I think I would like us to spend some time if we have  
7 anything tomorrow to get an idea, at least, how things  
8 are happening.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di  
10 Guilio.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: This was what I was  
12 going to bring up and Commissioner Forbes, I guess, is  
13 going to segue, maybe Ms. Mac Donald would like to  
14 mention this, but one of the things we've realized is  
15 that, whether it's in Northern California or Southern  
16 California, the Mappers will take the directions we've  
17 given and they will not be able to come back tomorrow or  
18 the next day to show us, they physically need days to  
19 work on these changes, so when we come back on the 12<sup>th</sup>  
20 and the 13<sup>th</sup> for review, that is the first time we will  
21 see Northern California on the 12<sup>th</sup> and Southern on the  
22 13<sup>th</sup>. I need to put this on the table because we, as a  
23 Commission, need to realize what we're dealing with here.  
24 If we get back, whether we go all day today, tomorrow, to  
25 do what we need to do, it simply will be directions, we

1 will see the results of those on Tuesday and Wednesday.  
2 If at that point we do not feel like those maps are where  
3 we want them to be, then that means we would not be  
4 prepared for a vote and it would mean we would continue  
5 to do line drawing and there would be no second Draft  
6 Map. It would be that, on the 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup>, when we were  
7 doing the review, we would be using it as a line drawing  
8 session, maybe even one additional day after that based  
9 on what we've seen. That means it will be a continual  
10 ongoing public comments, we would forgo the second Draft  
11 Map in order to do the best job that we feel is possible  
12 on these maps, it would allow Q2, then, to have another  
13 four days or so to redraw what they give them as  
14 direction, one last time. And then we would come back  
15 and we would still have the opportunity to do the live  
16 line drawing to clean up the lines. So what we trade off  
17 by inserting one more time to review and give direction  
18 is that there will be no second Draft Maps.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
20 Parvenu.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Earlier this morning, we  
22 received an email from yourself and I believe it was,  
23 well, from Q2, with the Visualizations for the LA Section  
24 2 stats for Assembly Districts and that was very useful  
25 information, it gave me a preview of what to expect in

1 our line drawing exercise later today. But I was curious  
2 to know if the other Visualizations are also available  
3 for some of those other districts in LAWSC, LAIHG, LAFLO,  
4 LAWBC, and several others. Are those actually available  
5 for us to preview prior to actually going into the line  
6 drawing session for the first time seeing it?

7 MS. MAC DONALD: You mean next week?

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, today for the Assembly  
9 District.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Today, yeah, we sent all of  
11 them.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Everything is here.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, I don't have all of  
14 them, so maybe I'm missing an email, perhaps?

15 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, I don't know, I don't send  
16 directly to the Commission, but all of the Visualizations  
17 should be up on the website.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: For Assembly Districts?

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It should be on the  
21 website, whatever we're looking at today. Commissioner  
22 Ward.

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Oh, I just wanted to clarify  
24 with Commissioner Di Giulio, is it likely that we --  
25 under what circumstances would we not have a vote for a

1 second Draft Map? The only reason I ask, maybe to  
2 address the concern, is I know we've been doing a lot of  
3 interviews and things building up to it, and my  
4 understanding from those is that there's a lot of people  
5 that are waiting for a second Draft to provide comment,  
6 in other words, Visualizations change a lot, so they are  
7 waiting for a comment point. So my concern would be, if  
8 we don't have some kind of actual release that we might  
9 not be soliciting comment that we need to get it right.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, I think there are  
11 two things, I think one is our intention is to proceed  
12 with our original schedule to the best of our ability. I  
13 think the only reason that we would get to the point  
14 where we came back and saw Visualizations, if there just  
15 wasn't a level of comfort with those Visualizations, that  
16 wouldn't allow us to vote on those maps. And I think  
17 this would be something the Commission would not take  
18 lightly at all; the only reason we would forego a second  
19 draft map is because we felt the benefit of having the  
20 extra time to spend giving direction to Line Drawers  
21 outweighed a commitment for a press release, or a second  
22 Draft Map. So it's not that -- I don't think that we  
23 would feel -- my feeling is that I don't feel beholden to  
24 releasing a second Draft Map unless it is as good as I  
25 felt comfortable with, it's not a tradeoff for me just to

1 release something just because, I would want to make sure  
2 it is something I felt like I could release.

3 But to address your concern about public  
4 comments, I think that would be a very legitimate concern  
5 the public would have, and I think the way we would  
6 probably have to do it is that the Visualizations that we  
7 would get next week would be what we were working off of,  
8 and they could comment on those, in addition to the  
9 directions that we provide at the time. So, we are not  
10 closing public comments, we are simply asking the public  
11 to bear with us and to try and work with us on the  
12 visualizations that will be on the website, and they will  
13 hear our conversation. It will just have to be more of a  
14 moving target, then. Ms. Mac Donald?

15 MS. MAC DONALD: I'd like to add to this  
16 conversation if I may, that this process is basically  
17 very similar to the process we had before the first Draft  
18 release, which is basically you are giving direction at  
19 this point. So, we're going to have a number of options  
20 for LA, for example. But, really, my Mappers only have a  
21 day to implement and that's for, you know, all the  
22 districts. So, BOE, we had to chuck, so now we have AD,  
23 SD, CD. So if there is any major redrawing that you want  
24 to do, I mean, we're kind of running up against the clock  
25 with a major redraw, anyway. If it's internal rotations

1 within a Mapper's region, depending on what it is, that  
2 may be able to be accomplished, right? But if it's  
3 anything that goes across borders significantly, if it  
4 takes longer than a day, then we're not going to be able  
5 to be here on Tuesday, not even with Nor Cal, probably,  
6 depending on what happens. We also, what we need, is we  
7 have these three days because it takes about 18 hours  
8 just to merge all of the different plans together, just  
9 so we can actually start looking at the entire State of  
10 California; so, I mean, we're running up against  
11 technology and a lot of time, so I would just like to  
12 throw this out as a caveat and understand that a lot of  
13 what we're talking about really depends on what happens  
14 with LA here today and whether we have some options that  
15 are, you know, acceptable.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
17 Galambos Malloy, and then we'll move into LA, and then  
18 we'll see as we go, we'll take a travel break at 4:30.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Like Commissioner  
20 Di Guilio, I really do not take lightly the possibility  
21 of not releasing a second Draft, however, when I remember  
22 back to when we took the vote on the first Draft Maps,  
23 the talking points that most of us had were that, "I have  
24 significant concerns, but I know that we have opportunity  
25 to address substantive changes for the next round." And

1 we are reaching the point where we know that's not true  
2 anymore, and so I can't imagine that, if there are some  
3 of us that would have significant concerns, that we would  
4 be so generous with our vote because we know that this is  
5 really one of the last opportunities to impact the Map  
6 significantly, and so I think we do have to be open to  
7 that possibility, depending on how the nature of our  
8 conversation goes today. On a more immediate and  
9 pragmatic note, I do have some concern around the idea  
10 of, if we work on Saturday, do we have to end early? Do  
11 we have to start so late? I just feel like, is it the  
12 best use of the Commission's time to start at 11:00 and  
13 end at 3:00, and meanwhile, we could all be going home at  
14 noon? So I just wanted to explore whether we had the  
15 flexibility to burn the midnight oil, or the early  
16 morning oil a little bit here?

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We do. It was a  
18 combination for others involved. Go ahead, Ms. Mac  
19 Donald.

20 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, and this, I think there  
21 has to be, I'm sad to tell you, but we have not slept, I  
22 mean, we just cannot do this. It's not feasible anymore.  
23 Seriously, we're all at our absolute end. So, when we  
24 get out of here, if we stay another half hour, we're not  
25 home until 9:00, and then to be here tomorrow morning at

1 9:00, we don't get any drawing done, we have requests  
2 left and right, it's just not feasible, we just can't do  
3 it. Physically, it's just not possible anymore.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So let's move into  
5 LA and let's see what our progress is and then we will  
6 take a break at 4:30 to make arrangements for hotels and  
7 flight arrangements for those of us in Southern  
8 California. So let's move forward to our Assembly  
9 Districts in Los Angeles. Ms. Mac Donald.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: So can we start in North County,  
11 LA? Is that all right?

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Los Angeles sounds  
13 good.

14 MS. MAC DONALD: I'm pulling up the labels.

15 MS. BOYLE: So the first district in Northern LA  
16 County, the LAVV, has changed only a little bit from  
17 previous iterations. Some people were removed from the  
18 Victor Valley portion to be transferred to a San  
19 Bernardino District, and that caused me to have to pull  
20 the border down, all the way down to Santa Clarita, it is  
21 flush with the border, but it's not split. And Agua  
22 Dulce could not be included in Santa Clarita for that  
23 reason, so it's part of the Lancaster, Antelope Valley,  
24 Victor Valley District.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And, again, it could not be

1 included because?

2 MS. BOYLE: We did a population exchange with San  
3 Bernardino and Mono and Inyo was pulling from the  
4 Southern California pull, and that caused an under-  
5 population in San Bernardino County, so in assistance to  
6 help them shuffle it, more of Victor Valley was removed  
7 from the district and, to compensate, we moved south to  
8 pick up parts north of Santa Clarita.

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Are there any city splits on  
11 the Victor Valley side?

12 MS. BOYLE: Yes, I believe so. Would you like to  
13 know specifically?

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I'm assuming - it looks  
15 like Adelanto is --

16 MS. BOYLE: I didn't draw this boundary, but we  
17 can look at it right now. Victor Valley is not split,  
18 Oak Hills is split, Adelanto is not split. Oak Hills  
19 appears to be the only split.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay. It looks like it's not  
21 contiguous. Is that true?

22 MS. BOYLE: There is a little issue here, but  
23 it's within the population deviations for Assembly. What  
24 do you mean "not contiguous?" The purple areas here?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: No. When you drew the Oak

1 Hills.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: In Oak Hills, it showed up  
3 in two spots.

4 MS. BOYLE: Oh, correct. Yes, it has two non-  
5 contiguous areas, correct. I thought you were referring  
6 to the district. My apologies.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm imagining that, since it  
8 has a natural split there, I'm wondering --

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We talked about this  
10 at the Assembly level when we were in Riverside, earlier  
11 this morning. And she said it was at the split of the  
12 Oak Hill boundary.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: It is at the boundary, then.  
14 Okay, good.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah -- as I recall.

16 MS. BOYLE: There are other options. Instead of  
17 splitting Oak Hills, we could do a small change and split  
18 Victorville instead -- I'm sorry, not Victorville, which  
19 is here.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It seems probably at  
21 a natural border with Oak because of the way that the  
22 city is separated. Okay, any other questions about this  
23 Assembly District for North LA County?

24 CHAIRPERSON DAI: Just in keeping with our  
25 practice here, this keeps most of the Lancaster Palmdale

1 area together and matches it with at least part of the  
2 other desert communities and Victor Valley.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct. I mean,  
4 again, this comes down to a population issue with  
5 Adelanto, recognizing it is part of the Victor Valley,  
6 but we don't have very many places to go for population  
7 in this area. Go ahead.

8 MS. MAC DONALD: So we'll move on to LASCV.

9 MS. BOYLE: So this is LASCV, it incorporates the  
10 line direction for changes to the Assembly boundary with  
11 Ventura County. It has Simi Valley not split, Santa  
12 Suzanna, most of Chatsworth, but Chatsworth is split,  
13 Porter Ranch, part of Grenada Hills, Santa Clarita,  
14 Valley Verde, Castaic.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?  
16 Commissioner Di Guilio.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Maybe we'll get it to  
18 the SDs, I know probably Chatsworth and Porter Ranch and  
19 Grenada Hills would prefer to be with the San Fernando  
20 Valley, but I see it as simply a matter of it's a  
21 population situation. Do you happen to know if it's  
22 together in San Fernando for the SDs?

23 MS. BOYLE: I believe I have them together,  
24 Chatsworth, Porter Ranch, Grenada Hills with West Hills,  
25 Canoga Park, and Winnetka in the SD. But could we look

1 at that when we get there?

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, that's fine.

3 MS. BOYLE: Because I did some blending, so it's  
4 not purely nested.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, I saw that as a  
6 bit of a -- just to recognize the COI testimony we've  
7 gotten, that we understand that, we've heard the  
8 testimony, but in this situation this is just where we  
9 are.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: And actually, the Porter Ranch  
11 Chatsworth area was often also matched with Simi Valley,  
12 Moore Park, so it's just a different community of  
13 interest testimony, conflicting.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions?  
15 Okay, thank you.

16 MS. MAC DONALD: We'll move to LASFE.

17 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so this is our Eastern San  
18 Fernando Valley District, it's changed very little from  
19 the previous iteration that you saw on July 3<sup>rd</sup>. It  
20 includes Sylmar, the City of San Fernando, Mission Hills,  
21 Pacoima, Lake View Terrace, Arleta, Sun Valley, North  
22 Hollywood, and Grenada Hills is split again.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions. I'm  
24 sorry, on this particular district there was relatively  
25 no changes from our last direction?

1 MS. BOYLE: Very small changes.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And those small  
3 changes, just to refresh our recollection?

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, please.

5 MS. BOYLE: It would have been for population  
6 adjustments possibly down through here, and I think  
7 Grenada Hills may have been traded in and out, I can't  
8 recall.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Where is Tujunga?

10 MS. BOYLE: Tujunga is in this district. I  
11 believe it's here. I believe if I zoom in enough, it  
12 should show up. This is Tujunga. I hope you'll take my  
13 word for it.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just, we did get a lot of  
15 testimony for Tujunga wanting to be with La Crescenta and  
16 La Canada, but I know we couldn't do it for population  
17 purposes.

18 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, it's about 30,000 people  
19 combined in here.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah. When we had a  
21 Foothills District, I think that was more of a  
22 configuration, but when we moved away from that, we  
23 weren't able to keep that.

24 MS. BOYLE: Right. Adding Tujunga and making  
25 adjustments would pull up this boundary with Silver Lake

1 more, splitting like right along the Griffith Park, so  
2 that's a potential option.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, I just wanted to have us  
4 remember that, you know, we did get that testimony, that  
5 we looked at it, but it was really hard because what they  
6 were asking for, in terms of those three cities together,  
7 was a large population.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions,  
9 concerns? Okay, great. Moving on.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: We are moving on to LASFW.

11 MS. BOYLE: So this is our Western San Fernando  
12 Valley District, it's changed quite a bit from the  
13 district you saw on July 2<sup>nd</sup>. If you recall, it was like  
14 an arm reaching in to Western San Fernando Valley and  
15 previously it included Reseda and Lake Balboa and did not  
16 include Park South, Sherman Oaks, Studio City, or Valley  
17 Village, we had that "C" that was wrapping around, so the  
18 Commission asked me to go ahead and break those in half  
19 evenly within the north-south boundary, so that's what  
20 I've done. Burbank is split here. It includes North  
21 Hills, Panorama City, Van Nuys, Lake Balboa, Valley Glen,  
22 Encino is split, Sherman Oaks, Valley Village, Studio  
23 City, Toluca Lake, Universal City, and part of Burbank.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could I ask for  
25 more information on the Burbank City split? I know that

1 we had gotten some COI testimony on if there was a split,  
2 some suggestions on where it would be. Do you know if  
3 our split follows that?

4 MS. BOYLE: No, it doesn't, but I could look at  
5 it. I just split it, I didn't use any reference from COI  
6 testimony, unfortunately.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That split looks like you  
8 may have captured the Burbank Airport. Can you tell us,  
9 we certainly don't want to split the Airport from the  
10 city.

11 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment, please. Let me see if  
12 I can locate it.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I recall the same  
14 testimony that there was a part of Burbank that's  
15 affiliated with the film industry, that's a natural --

16 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The western part of  
17 Burbank has that link, correct. I think they even gave  
18 us permission on a location to split it.

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I still don't see -- that  
20 corner bothers me, that little colored in corner right  
21 there, past -- west of Vertigo Park. Yeah, that area. I  
22 would like to know what is in that area that's being cut  
23 off from Burbank, proper, because the Disney Studio,  
24 those NBC Studios, I think there's a north-south street,  
25 if it's not Tujunga, Warner Bros. -

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: That's close to 5, isn't  
2 it?

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I just need to know where  
4 the airport is, I don't know how you're going to depict  
5 that. Perhaps if you show the streets off, I'll be able  
6 to discern. Yeah, it's close to 5.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We can see that  
8 there is some split of that entertainment area that  
9 Commissioner Dai was just looking at, so Commissioner  
10 Parvenu, your request is just making sure that the  
11 Airport is in the Glendale, proper area to the --

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, Burbank, actually.  
13 And I think Commissioner Barabba answered that by saying  
14 it's close to the 5, so if that is the case, it would be  
15 inside that district.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any general --

17 MS. BOYLE: We believe this is the airport here.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: My thought would be to look at  
20 the COI testimony about what the natural split is for  
21 Burbank, West Burbank, and maybe look at the possibility  
22 of making -- is it Encino that you ended up splitting?

23 MS. BOYLE: Yes. There is a possibility of  
24 reuniting Burbank and there is also a Pasadena split, so  
25 there is a slightly different option for configuring the

1 districts that I can show you later, once we've done this  
2 one.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So make a note of  
4 this, Commissioner Dai, and we can come back to take a  
5 look at some of this entertainment area that did get  
6 split, the film industry.

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And is that little piece up  
8 there, is that the rest of the airport? That little  
9 triangle?

10 MS. BOYLE: I believe so, but it's not in  
11 Burbank, proper. The city boundary is here.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Is it quick for you to do  
13 the street overlay, to bring that up? I prefer the  
14 streets, it's all a blank right here, to me. Okay, now  
15 if you can zoom in a little, I can get my bearings  
16 straight. Okay, San Fernando Blvd., okay.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think that one yellow  
18 triangle on the top might be part of the airport. I  
19 think it's a very easy switch, but we can just make a  
20 note of it, that's all. You don't need a runway!

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So we'll look at  
22 making the airport whole and we'll revisit those other  
23 options that Ms. Boyle might have for us. Any other  
24 questions or concerns on this district?

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I do what to know what is

1 in that southwest corner that got split off, I'd like to  
2 know what is in that corner, if there is a split, I would  
3 at least like to have the knowledge of what that is. Is  
4 it part of the entertainment district? Is it warehouses?  
5 Is it residential, or manufacturing?

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
7 Parvenu is asking about the piece down by the - I think  
8 it's the Warner Bros. Studios, the part that went over  
9 was Studio City.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Exactly. That piece.  
11 Okay, it looks like it's residential.

12 MS. BOYLE: This is the Warner Bros. Studio.  
13 Would you like to see it in ---

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, no, I just wanted to  
15 see what that olive colored area was that was split up,  
16 so I see what it is now. That is Burbank, proper. I  
17 just wanted to know what zone uses were in that area.  
18 Now I see it is residential, that's fine.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Boyle, you had  
20 said that you had another Visualization you'll show us  
21 later that keeps Burbank whole?

22 MS. BOYLE: Yes, I would like to show you that.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, now or another  
24 time?

25 MS. BOYLE: After we've run through this one.

1 They're all kind of interrelated to each other, so there  
2 are tradeoffs between all the districts, depending. So I  
3 think it's helpful to show you one and then go to the  
4 next.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Perfect. Okay, any  
6 additional questions or concerns on this Burbank  
7 District? Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Could I just get one  
9 quick clarification? What Ms. Boyle just said was that,  
10 so all of these, we're looking at all of these in  
11 conjunction as one option? And what is it that is  
12 changing the options?

13 MS. BOYLE: Can I show you when we get to the  
14 next option? It's just a handful of districts in one,  
15 and then the second one involves changes through the  
16 Foothill Districts.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, so up here, this  
18 will probably stay a lot the same on both options?

19 MS. BOYLE: Yes, these districts up here stayed  
20 the same in both the options, the changes occur through  
21 here in Option 3, the third one I want to show you.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, thank you. I just  
23 wanted to get my bearings.

24 MS. BOYLE: Uh huh.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead, we can

1 move on. Maybe we didn't look at that Burbank one  
2 entirely on the north side yet.

3 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, we'll circle back around to  
4 that one if that is okay.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Absolutely, we'll  
6 follow you.

7 MS. BOYLE: And so this is the Western San  
8 Fernando Valley. It includes most of Chatsworth,  
9 Northridge, a tiny part of North Hills, Reseda, Winnetka,  
10 Canoga Park, West Hills, Woodland Hills, Tarzana, Encino,  
11 Hidden Hills, Calabasas, and Bell Canyon.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao and  
13 then Commissioner Barabba.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Reseda was split previously.  
15 Did we keep them whole this time?

16 MS. BOYLE: Yes, they are whole.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
18 Barabba, anything? Anyone else? What I like about this  
19 district is we finally got to be able to put Bell Canyon  
20 with the Valley, as they had said before and we struggled  
21 with that for some time. We've got the hub of the Valley  
22 and Reseda whole, and right there in the center, or  
23 almost in the center, Calabasas concerns. This looks  
24 pretty good. Commissioner Parvenu, did you have a  
25 question?

1           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, I just echo that, this  
2 looks good. Where exactly is the LA County Ventura line?  
3 I think that boundary west of -

4           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The other side of Oak  
5 Park.

6           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It's on the other side of  
7 Oak Park, okay, that looks good, I agree.

8           MS. BOYLE: The mouse is tracing it.

9           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree, okay.

10          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Great, anything  
11 else? No? We can move on. Good fix of the valley  
12 there, Ms. Boyle.

13          MS. BOYLE: Thank you, Commissioner. So this is  
14 now our west side Malibu District. It includes most of  
15 this community of interest without Calabasas, Malibu,  
16 Topanga, Pacific Palisades, Santa Monica, Mandeville  
17 Canyon, Brentwood, Los Angeles, including the VA Hospital  
18 to the southern boundary, I think, with the VA Hospital,  
19 part of Westwood, Bel Air, Beverly Crest, Beverly Hills,  
20 West Hollywood, Carthey, Hollywood, part of Hollywood  
21 Hills, Little Armenia, Melrose, most of Hancock Park,  
22 Miracle Mile is in the district to the south, including  
23 parts of the COI have been separated from Hancock Park,  
24 but I have another option.

25          COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is all of UCLA in that

1 district? Is it down below? I just want to be sure that  
2 UCLA is not split here.

3 MS. BOYLE: Here is UCLA, it appears not to be  
4 split, but it is right at its boundary.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions  
6 or concerns on this district?

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I guess I'm  
8 thinking ahead a little bit to some of the other  
9 districts when we start to get farther south, but I  
10 notice in this Visualization it appears that Venice and  
11 Santa Monica are split. Is that true? Or are they  
12 together and both oriented north?

13 MS. BOYLE: No, I'm sorry, Venice is oriented  
14 south and, in this iteration, the Playa Del Rey and the  
15 Los Angeles beach communities have been split. They're  
16 split here. Mar Vista is with the LAWSC, Venice, Marina  
17 Del Rey, Del Rey, Playa Vista, Playa Del Rey is with the  
18 Inglewood District.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, Chair, this  
20 might be a question for you. Are you planning to have us  
21 keep moving south from here? Because I think some of my  
22 ideas or suggestions might start to get into that Venice  
23 and farther south.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Let me check with  
25 Ms. Boyle on what she had worked on for other options.

1 Your idea is that you wanted to run through each of  
2 these, then you're going to go to another version of the  
3 Assembly level, and point out the highlights, and then --  
4 do you have one more version, or two? She's worked hard,  
5 ladies and gentlemen, so we'll probably look at all of  
6 them - that's why I'm saying make some notes on the  
7 districts that you like here, where you might have some  
8 suggestions, because when we go between these options,  
9 let's just move through them because we're not going to  
10 give her any directions yet until we see what these other  
11 options are. So, let's go. Because I'm making notes  
12 along the way, as well, but go back to maybe the Miracle  
13 Mile later, but we'll see because you said it's different  
14 in another version, so...

15 MS. BOYLE: I think I have a different version in  
16 the Assembly.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great.

18 MS. BOYLE: It could be Congressional, but I  
19 think it's Assembly. So this is our LAWSC District. It  
20 contains Hyde Park, View Park, Windsor Hills, Ladera  
21 Heights, Culver City, it's split, the LA Beach cities  
22 community by including Mar Vista not with Venice, West  
23 Los Angeles, Century City, Rancho Park, Cheviot Hills,  
24 Beverly Wood, Renier Village, Regent Square, Leimert  
25 Park, Crenshaw District, Cloverdale, Corkran, Wellington

1 Square, Victoria Park, Country Club Park, Mid-City, South  
2 Carthay, and Miracle Mile.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns?

4 Oh, we're just going to keep going, okay.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just see them all, we'll  
6 come back.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead.

8 MS. BOYLE: This is our Inglewood District.

9 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, this is one includes Del  
10 Rey, Playa Vista, Marina Del Rey, Venice, the Marina  
11 Peninsula, Playa Del Rey, Westchester, El Segundo, Del  
12 Air, Lennox, Inglewood, Westmont, Hawthorne, and West  
13 Athens. Alondra Park is also in here.

14 MS. BOYLE: And then the northern portion of  
15 Gardena split above Rosencrans with the southern portion  
16 being to the south of Torrance.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm sorry, you said  
18 Rosencrans, right, that it was split at Rosencrans?

19 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And that is per  
21 the API COI in that area.

22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Keeping the Japanese  
23 community together, excellent.

24 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we'll move to LAPVB. And  
25 this district includes Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach,

1 Redondo Beach, Alondra Park, Gardena, Torrance, West  
2 Carson, Lomita, Palos Verdes Estates, Rolling Hills  
3 Estates, Rolling Hills, Rancho Palos Verdes, Harbor City.  
4 And that's it.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And San Pedro is not  
6 in that district, correct?

7 MS. BOYLE: No, it is not in this district, it is  
8 in the one to the east.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Moving on.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we will move on to the  
11 purple district, and that's LALBS. And in this  
12 Visualization, we have San Pedro, parts of Harbor City,  
13 the Port, the Long Beach Port, as well, the "Ports," I  
14 should say, Long Beach, Signal Hill -

15 MS. BOYLE: Most of Long Beach, but Long Beach is  
16 split. It's split twice in this iteration, the previous  
17 iteration, you saw Assemblies with a four-way split of  
18 Long Beach, it can be reduced to two, I have included the  
19 [quote unquote] "Compton Triangle" of Long Beach with  
20 Compton, but that could easily be removed to reduce the  
21 split to only two. I included it for COI, but it can be  
22 shuffled around.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Great.

24 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we'll move on to LAWBC.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And can I confirm

1 what are your assumptions in putting this together was a  
2 hard line at the Orange County border?

3 MS. BOYLE: Yes, that's correct. We implemented  
4 an Orange County Long Beach fix and pulled 80,000 back  
5 into my district, which we shuffled out, and removing  
6 Inyo and Mono from the pool actually assisted with that,  
7 and then we removed 30,000 through Victor Valley and  
8 10,000 through the Rancho Cucamonga split, and I think  
9 there was one more swapped to equal the population, but  
10 we were able to pull the border -- I mean, the border of  
11 this district to the OC border.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that's a  
13 mouthful of ripples. Who would have thought that Mono  
14 and Inyo could --

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: End up in the harbor!

16 MS. BOYLES: The lines are like surfboards and  
17 the people are water.

18 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, so back to the district.  
19 LAWBC, and this district contains Vermont Vista, Green  
20 Meadows, Watts, Century Palms Cove, Willow Brook, West  
21 Rancho Dominguez, Compton, East Rancho Dominguez, we have  
22 Cal State Dominguez Hill in here, and there is Carson,  
23 West Carson, Wilmington, and that's it.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Madam Chair?

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

2 Parvenu.

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Quickly. As a resident of  
4 this area, and one who has been involved with community-  
5 based organizations in this area, I'm very pleased with  
6 these Visualizations. I just want to thank Q2 for doing  
7 this work to bring this about, to reflect very closely to  
8 what the Unity Map also reflects. You've done a great  
9 job.

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, I'm  
11 restraining because I think there are refinements that  
12 could be made, but as a potential base, you really come a  
13 long way.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

15 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we'll move on to LABSQ.  
16 And let's start in the upper corner with West Adams,  
17 Adams Normandy, University Park, Exposition Park,  
18 Central, Vermont Central, South Park, West Vernon,  
19 Vermont Square, Harvard Park, Vermont Knolls, Florence  
20 Graham, Gramercy Place, and Florence Firestone.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, moving on.

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could I ask you to  
23 just wave your hand over where USC is located? It's by  
24 University Park, is that correct?

25 MS. MAC DONALD: Just one second, yes.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you.

2 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, now, yeah.

3 MS. BOYLE: So this includes part of Hancock Park  
4 that is within the Korea Town, this is the LADNT  
5 District. It stretches from Korean Town in the northwest  
6 to Commerce and Bell in the southeast. This is the  
7 boundary of Korea Town as provided by CALAFR with a small  
8 portion that is in here for population reasons, it  
9 includes Wilshire Center, Harvard Heights, Pico Union,  
10 West Lake, the previous iteration had West Lake with the  
11 East LA District, we were able to reunite it, Downtown,  
12 Little Tokyo, the Artists District, Boyle Heights,  
13 Vernon, Commerce, Maywood, and Bell.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: This is a long  
15 district, however, within the district you have several  
16 very strong COI configurations and we have a grouping of  
17 the southeast cities, I think in previous iterations, we  
18 had them more split, so I like that we have a cohort of  
19 them. I also like, when you look at the north end, how  
20 we've managed to put some of the API communities like the  
21 Filipino Town and Chinatown are in the northern district,  
22 but they're together and then you have the Korea Town and  
23 we've managed to maintain some of these. We weren't able  
24 to put all of them together, but they have their  
25 integrity and they are in smaller groupings, which I

1 think is a significant improvement.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
3 Barabba.

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And also, we moved the  
5 Echo Park, Galecian Park, and Silver Lake areas, which  
6 were having a hard time identifying with the East LA, I  
7 would think.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And to address  
9 Commissioner Galambos Malloy's question, USC is -- okay,  
10 you have your hand over it, yeah, it's right in that  
11 area.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, if we can move  
13 on?

14 MS. MAC DONALD: We'll move on to Northeastern  
15 LA. This is the LAELA District, L-A-E-L-A. And it has  
16 Eagle Rock, Glassell Park, Highland Park, El Sereno,  
17 Lincoln Heights, Galecian Valley, Silver Lake, Echo Park,  
18 Galecian Park, Filipino Town, China Town, East Los  
19 Angeles, all of East LA.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could we ask as a  
21 rule just if there are any city splits, that we'll assume  
22 that you'll mention them?

23 MS. MAC DONALD: Yes.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we can move  
25 on.

1 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we'll move north. This is  
2 LAGBP. And it contains La Crescenta Montrose, La Canada,  
3 Flintridge, Burbank, Burbank is split, Glendale, Los  
4 Feliz, Atwater Village, Hollywood Hills, Thai Town, and  
5 East Hollywood.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can I have you zoom in to  
7 that line going -- okay, never mind. Okay, you changed  
8 it from the last iteration. Okay, that's good.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, go ahead.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: We're going to move east.  
11 LASGF. And this district contains Altadena, Pasadena,  
12 Pasadena is split, South Pasadena in its entirety, East  
13 Pasadena, San Moreno, no, wait a second, it's San  
14 Pasqual, I'm sorry, Sierra Madre --

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Before we speed  
16 through, could I ask more about the Pasadena split?

17 MS. BOYLE: Sure. What would you like to know,  
18 what the boundaries are?

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, just how  
20 many folks did you split and what order did you use?

21 MS. BOYLE: Sure. I was going to ask for  
22 direction on specifically doing the split, at this point  
23 it's based on population and it was including the  
24 northwest portion with the district because including  
25 southerly would have created an uglier split and would

1 have kind of created a hook down to South Pasadena, and  
2 there wasn't - I don't think I could have taken all of  
3 Altadena out and the point was for Altadena to be with  
4 Pasadena, so I opted for taking out this portion of  
5 Pasadena, but I'm open to guidance on the split, and the  
6 split is 10,057 people that are in with Glendale and not  
7 in with Pasadena.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, thank you.

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And do you know if the  
10 boundary there is -- it looks like, oh, whoops, along the  
11 Arroyo, yes, okay. Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If you could take  
13 some notes there, and if this is the option that you'd  
14 like, then we can look at these details when we come  
15 back. Sorry, Commissioner Di Guilio.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Sorry, and that's why, I  
17 just want to touch base, these are all -- these areas are  
18 now into the areas that will change in your other  
19 Visualizations. Is that correct?

20 MS. BOYLE: There is a Visualization where this  
21 -- an option, what I am calling "3," where Pasadena is  
22 whole and Burbank is whole, but I had to shift around the  
23 communities that are included in the Foothill District.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That's fine, I will wait  
25 to see that, just again was trying to see if this was an

1 area, still, that was more staying or static.

2 MS. BOYLE: So what isn't changing about the  
3 Foothill District is its most eastern boundary. We still  
4 have Upland, San Antonio, and Rancho Cucamonga in the  
5 district. That does not change.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Rancho Cucamonga is in or out?

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It's that little  
8 portion that we talked about earlier.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh, the little -

10 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, it's got the northwest corner  
11 for population reasons.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

13 MS. BOYLE: Oh, I'm sorry, and Glendora, San  
14 Dimas, Laverne, Claremont, San Antonio Heights, Upland,  
15 and the northwest portion of Rancho Cucamonga.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: Outside the Rancho Cucamonga,  
17 any of these eastern cities split, San Dimas? Glendora?

18 MS. BOYLE: No. Only Pasadena.

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just want to say that  
21 that's also, I mean, I don't know what's going to come  
22 later, but that really captures so much of what we've  
23 heard, including putting cities back together, keeping  
24 some Foothills, the cities, it's a good option.

25 MS. BOYLE: This is our LACVN District.

1 MS. MAC DONALD: This one has Duarte, Monrovia,  
2 Bradbury, Azusa, Monrovia Island, Irwindale, Vincent,  
3 Covina, Charter Oak, Citrus, Baldwin Park, West  
4 Puentevella, Baldwin Park, West Puente Valley, West  
5 Covina, Valinda, and Avocado Heights.

6 MS. BOYLE: West Covina is split in this  
7 iteration and in most of our iterations, West Covina  
8 seems to get split and the southern portion is included  
9 with the Diamond Bar District.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. We can move  
11 on.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: You know, I'm  
13 looking right now at the Unity Map that was provided and  
14 they have a very similar configuration and it's difficult  
15 for me to tell from the way it was printed whether they  
16 also split West Covina. Do you happen to know?

17 MS. BOYLE: I don't, but I have the Unity lines  
18 right here and we could take a look real quick. West  
19 Covina is not split, it would appear. We can verify  
20 that. It is not split.

21 COMMISSIOENR GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, well, if we  
22 go with this option we can certainly explore refinements.

23 MS. MAC DONALD: Let's go to LAWSG. And the  
24 Visualization has East San Gabriel, Arcadia, San Marino,  
25 Mayflower Village, North El Monte, Temple City, El Monte,

1 parts of El Monte, parts of South El Monte, Rosemead,  
2 South San Gabriel, part of Montebello, Monterey Park, and  
3 Alhambra.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And this is the one  
5 that essentially stayed the same, correct?

6 MS. BOYLE: Essentially it stayed the same.  
7 Previously you saw a split of South Pasadena; that was  
8 corrected. Aside from that, it's pretty stable. So, to  
9 make up for the split in South Pasadena, we picked up  
10 more of El Monte.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we can move  
12 on.

13 MS. MAC DONALD: We'll go to the LAPRW District.  
14 And this Visualization has parts of South El Monte, most  
15 of Montebello, has most of Pico Rivera, has Rose Hills,  
16 Hacienda Heights, Industry, La Habra Heights, Whittier,  
17 West Whittier, South Whittier, East La Mirada, and La  
18 Mirada.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

20 MS. MAC DONALD: LADNN has Bell Gardens, Pico  
21 Rivera, part of Pico Rivera, Santa Fe Springs, Norwalk,  
22 Cerritos, Artesia, Lakewood, and Downey, oh, and Hawaiian  
23 Gardens, Downey and then Bell Gardens.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'll reserve my comments  
25 for the next option.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, well, we're  
2 almost going now for 45 minutes on this version, which  
3 I'm assuming when we get to the other options, we'll be  
4 focusing just on the differences, so... Just make a note  
5 of it, Commissioner Blanco.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Next.

8 MS. MAC DONALD: LASGL. Huntington Park, Walnut  
9 Park, Cudahy, South Gate, Linwood, Paramount, Bellflower,  
10 North Long Beach.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just one question.  
12 When you say North Long Beach, do you have the northern  
13 part of Long Beach split between the Compton, Carson like  
14 you discussed before, as well as this district?

15 MS. BOYLE: Correct. Right here.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that was  
17 pursuant to COI, like you had mentioned earlier?

18 MS. BOYLE: Yes. It could be reunited to reduce  
19 the split, it's 11,000 people.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Is that it?  
21 We're back. Okay, so why don't we then bring up your  
22 option 2 and then I'm assuming you'll just highlight  
23 where some of the differences are between all of the  
24 districts that we just went through. Correct?

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'm sorry, before you

1 leave this version, I'm sorry, what is that diagonal  
2 street there in North Long Beach? What is the actual  
3 street? The diagonal, yes.

4 MS. BOYLE: This one?

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The one that goes - that  
6 one, right there. I still don't --

7 MS. MAC DONALD: East Harbor.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: East Harbor, okay, thank  
9 you.

10 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so in this option, I attempted  
11 to keep -- I have the OC, Long Beach OC county border,  
12 that's consistent through all of these Visualizations  
13 you'll see today, but I started here with trying to  
14 reunite as many of the southeast cities in one district  
15 as possible, so I rearranged the lines, so let's kind of  
16 start north to west. So this district is the same with a  
17 small kind of in and out here, but then the changes start  
18 with the LDNN and the LABSQ, so in order to move the  
19 southeast cities out of the LADNT, which were included in  
20 the previous iteration, we had the southeast cities split  
21 between two, we had Maywood and Huntington Park up here,  
22 or Maywood and Bell. I moved them south and, in order to  
23 pick up population, I moved this boundary south into Park  
24 and Central to make up for the exchange. So this is the  
25 Option 2. Do we see this now? So that's Option 2. Here

1 you can see the southeast cities are altogether, so we  
2 picked up Maywood and Bell and pulled -- went down into  
3 Long Beach more.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So I'm seeing some  
5 overlapping boundaries, the blues and the purples?

6 MS. BOYLE: Yes, the blue is the new option and  
7 the purple is the previous.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: May I ask a question?  
10 Nicole, are your legend numbers updated with option 2, or  
11 are they still the same?

12 MS. BOYLE: No, let me fix that.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can we take it from the top  
15 -- I'm sorry -- from that one, the Downtown one that was  
16 shorter?

17 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So the difference in this one  
18 is - in this boundary here and this boundary here, the  
19 purple is the previous iteration. So I removed Maywood  
20 and Bell so they could be reunited with the other  
21 southeast cities, so removing that population required me  
22 to pick up, and I picked up here.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And we do need the legends  
24 for these.

25 MS. BOYLE: Oh, yeah. I apologize for the

1 formatting. The first one is the percent deviation, the  
2 second one is the Latino CVAP, the third one is the Black  
3 CVAP, and the fourth one is the Asian CVAP.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: They're all in CVAPs?

5 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, you're  
7 bringing up the one for the Exposition Park?

8 MS. BOYLE: Yes, thank you for pointing that out.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think in this  
10 option, we start to see, particularly in the southeast  
11 cities where we have very high Latino concentration, the  
12 configurations that preserve aspects of the southeast  
13 cities together are very reflective of COI that was very  
14 consistent testimony from the community about keeping the  
15 southeast cities together, and if we do that we do end up  
16 with very high concentrations of Latinos, but it is  
17 reflective of their desires.

18 MS. BOYLE: So doing that swap required that I do  
19 some other swapping.

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The other option with  
21 Bellflower included, I don't recall what the Latino CVAP  
22 was there, but obviously it was lower than 70, right?

23 MS. BOYLE: It was 64.43 in the previous  
24 iteration and, in the current iteration we're looking at,  
25 it is 70 percent.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: The problem with the  
2 previous one, though, is that we had three districts in  
3 this heavily dense Latino area that were under 50  
4 percent.

5           MS. BOYLE: By "previous," I meant today's, not  
6 last week's, I apologize.

7           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ancheta  
8 was keeping track - were you keeping track of that, as  
9 well, Commissioner Blanco?

10          COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah.

11          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, because one of  
12 them was about 64 percent, which is the one that is now  
13 70, correct, that one.

14          COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We have all the  
15 economic considerations in these areas, being industrial  
16 cities, there's a string of casino, existing or planned  
17 casino areas there, so there is a variety of different  
18 types of COI testimony that ties the southeast cities  
19 together and we've been trying to determine what's the  
20 right balance.

21          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So are there any other  
22 aspects to this Visualization?

23          MS. BOYLE: In this Visualization, Bellflower is  
24 in and, in the previous one, Bellflower was out, and  
25 Lakewood was in instead. Here, Lakewood is with Long

1 Beach in this iteration.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is Wilmington also with the  
3 Port? I can't see that.

4 MS. BOYLE: Yes, Wilmington was with the Port.  
5 One of the problems with this iteration is it splits San  
6 Pedro. We also had to adjust and include Lomita and West  
7 Carson, or Lomita and part of Harbor Gateway with Long  
8 Beach-Carson District, which it was not in the previous  
9 iteration.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And that LA-Long Beach  
11 District has both Ports again?

12 MS. BOYLE: Yes, it still has both ports, except  
13 that it is splitting San Pedro here. This is the  
14 previous Visualization's boundary, and this is this  
15 Visualization's boundary. Okay, so this is an option for  
16 different districts in the South Bay. Now, the third  
17 option I want to show you will -- we changed the  
18 configurations up here.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, in other words, you  
20 didn't sleep?

21 MS. MAC DONALD: No.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If she comes up with  
23 three options, we know and understand.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, three options in  
25 L.A.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: This is entering  
2 the third option now?

3 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so let me back  
5 up, then, just those areas in the Downtown area and the  
6 south of Downtown area that you highlighted were the  
7 changes for Option 2 --

8 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Everything else  
10 remained the same in that option. Okay, now this is  
11 Option 3.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Just to confirm, you  
13 did not change LA Covina for Option 2?

14 MS. BOYLE: I do not believe so.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

16 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so in this iteration, I took  
17 Monrovia out and brought Glendora in to the Covina  
18 District. Let me get you the labels for this, please  
19 bear with me. Okay, so it's 51 percent Latino, which is  
20 lower than what it was in the previous iteration, and  
21 that's due to the fact that I removed Avocado Heights and  
22 instead picked up population from Glendora. So if you  
23 can see it, that's this Visualization's district in red  
24 with the green boundary. So, the difference is Monrovia  
25 was removed, Glendora was added, and Avocado Heights was

1 also removed.

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just remind us why we did  
3 Monrovia, Glendora, Avocado switch.

4 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So what it did was it gave me  
5 some wiggle room to the north, allowed me to reunite  
6 Pasadena whole, and allowed me to undo the Burbank split.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: And Monrovia is now in the  
9 Foothills District?

10 MS. BOYLE: Yes. Monrovia moved out, back into  
11 the Foothills, and Glendora came into the District. And  
12 that allowed me to shift my cluster here more to the  
13 northeast, opening up room for shifts. And so that  
14 rippled through to here.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And what was the one  
16 cost for that on the eastern boundary again, not cost,  
17 but what was the -- it was putting - yeah, going there,  
18 CVAP was, okay.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But it's still 50.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: But it's still 50, okay.

21 MS. BOYLE: And let's check here, I think there  
22 was a change here, it rippled down. Yeah. So we see  
23 here Burbank was fixed, this was the previous boundary of  
24 the Western San Fernando Valley District, so by taking  
25 this out of the Western San Fernando Valley District

1 where it previously was, I had to pick up population, so  
2 I went south with it. So this kind of - the down side of  
3 this Visualization is it's splitting through the  
4 Hollywood's COI three ways. In this Visualization, I  
5 also have Miracle Mile with Hancock Park, which is  
6 different. You can see the change here. So in this  
7 iteration it is with Hancock Park and Brea, and I forget  
8 what the other -- there is a community of interest, a  
9 Jewish community of interest here, and they have Temples  
10 that I had split in the previous iteration, they're now  
11 united.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Park La Brea, you're  
13 thinking of.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: These are all what you  
15 were calling sort of Option 3.

16 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Variations, okay.

18 MS. BOYLE: Right, so here you can see when I  
19 came down, I had to shift further south, so I removed  
20 this from the Compton District and moved it north.  
21 Another change here is --

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can you slow down a little  
23 bit so I can see?

24 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, sure. I apologize.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And move over to the right

1 there a little so I can see the whole district.

2 MS. BOYLE: Which district, sir?

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The one you were just  
4 referring to.

5 MS. BOYLE: This one, or this one?

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, you were just  
7 referring to the Miracle Mile. Have you gone to another  
8 one?

9 MS. BOYLE: I'm sorry, I'm three districts away  
10 now. [Laughter]

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'm on the late freight!  
12 I'm trying to see where streets are because this will be  
13 the last time I actually --

14 MS. BOYLE: Give me a second, I'll get you a  
15 Google Map.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I know that's Wilshire.

17 MS. BOYLE: Can you see our boundary overlaid in  
18 Google?

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes, I do. Okay.

20 MS. BOYLE: So, yes, it's Wilshire Blvd. here.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that's what you  
22 took out in this version?

23 MS. BOYLE: Yes, the boundary is now here on San  
24 Vicente Blvd. It's a little jagged.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

1 MS. BOYLE: Twelfth Street.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, let me go up.

3 MS. BOYLE: I'm not sure what this boundary is  
4 here and this is Country Club Drive.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. That's Rempala, by  
6 LA High School. Okay.

7 MS. BOYLE: So this is the boundary and in this  
8 option, we're looking at now and this was the boundary  
9 you saw in the previous.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: My question is,  
11 given my familiarity with this area and the fact that  
12 I've lived in Park La Brea, which is just right above  
13 that, what caused you to have to go up to the purple line  
14 in the other version? Because this may be more  
15 consistent with the neighborhood and, of course, there  
16 might be other options, this is just one tweak.

17 MS. BOYLE: I think it had to do with the  
18 swapping up here and just the total numbers.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I thought we were  
20 going to go back to Mono and Inyo, sorry.

21 MS. BOYLE: That is part of the reason there's  
22 differences here than what you saw in the beginning of  
23 June, because we've changed out our boundaries, and your  
24 outer boundaries are hard lines, so they're driving  
25 what's happening internally.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And the south  
2 boundary for this district, just --

3 MS. BOYLE: Oh, for Clover City, Inglewood, Hyde  
4 Park.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di  
6 Guilio.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just before she does  
8 that, I'm just wondering if we could have one set of  
9 lines, actually, I'm getting a little confused, too. Is  
10 that possible? Or do people feel it's easier to see the  
11 old lines?

12 MS. BOYLE: Okay, these are the current, this is  
13 the iteration, the option we're looking at now.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That we're discussing,  
15 okay, thank you.

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would just like to keep  
17 moving through the whole view before we start getting  
18 into streets.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead, you can  
20 pick up where you left off.

21 MS. BOYLE: Okay, well, can I put the lines back  
22 so I can tell you? And I'll turn them off. So then it  
23 rippled down here to the Inglewood District, so we had to  
24 swap. We picked up Alondra Park in this iteration, see  
25 that? And we removed something. I'm uncertain what now.

1           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think you shaved  
2 off a bit of the northwest side.

3           MS. BOYLE: Okay, I see. Little changes. The  
4 red area is this iteration's district. And then there  
5 are little swaps over here in the Compton District. So  
6 the red district is this visualization, purple underneath  
7 is the previous, so this was shifted south, so this was  
8 removed and, instead, this was picked up. Lomita was  
9 picked up, West Carson was picked up, the Harbor Gateway  
10 was picked up, Vermont Vista, Century Palms, Cove, was  
11 removed. And then, down to here, so now we're different  
12 in Long Beach. We have Lakewood and Hawaiian Gardens,  
13 whereas we previously were not in this iteration, and in  
14 this iteration, we have the Ports in different districts.

15           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I ask a  
16 question about the Ports, actually, because in reviewing  
17 my notes from the three options, am I correct in saying  
18 that the Compton Carson corridor in none of the  
19 iterations are actually connected with the Port?

20           MS. BOYLE: Correct. This option, in terms of  
21 the Ports, this is different, it has the ports in  
22 different districts. The previous two that you saw had  
23 them in the same and they have them with Long Beach.

24           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And can I just refresh  
25 your memory, I think we had this discussion, I've seen in

1 all three Visualizations that we've attached the airport,  
2 going east-west, and that was a decision we made, that  
3 was what we agreed, and the choice was either airport or  
4 ports, it wasn't both. Is that correct?

5 MS. BOYLE: I can't recall the fine details of  
6 it, but Westchester, I took to be a hard line, and I  
7 tried very -- I kept it in with Inglewood through all  
8 iterations. Removing that constraint would change the  
9 district.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So I think that was what  
11 we had realized, we had that discussion, I think, you had  
12 worked on that earlier, so had known that that was the  
13 choice, it was one or the other, it wasn't both.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: When we finish looking at  
15 all of them, I think we're going to have to talk about  
16 that Westchester area because we've received a lot of  
17 public testimony, but let's finish with what we're  
18 looking at.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other highlights  
20 for this option that you'd like to note some changes?

21 MS. BOYLE: That is all.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can I see the Whittier  
23 district?

24 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: You know, just the

1 remainder of these because we didn't finish them.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: Just a question before you  
3 leave this area. Long Beach, is that again just split  
4 twice?

5 MS. BOYLE: It's split three times if you're  
6 counting the Compton Triangle, it's 11,000 people, and I  
7 could reduce it to three. But allowing for the split of  
8 Long Beach is why these Visualizations look much  
9 different than what you saw in June. I didn't do any  
10 North Long Beach splits in most of those Visualizations  
11 because I took splitting Long Beach to be a hard line.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So if we could look at  
13 Whittier and Norwalk.

14 MS. BOYLE: So it did change in this iteration,  
15 it picked up Bellflower and removed Lakewood and Hawaiian  
16 Gardens. The red area is this iteration's district. It  
17 picked up more of Pico Rivera, you can see the split  
18 deepened, and it picked up Bellflower, and it removed  
19 Lakewood and Hawaiian Gardens, which are now with Long  
20 Beach. It has a 52 percent Latino CVAP.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just so we can get a  
22 better idea with all the hard work that you've done on  
23 these options, is it that you're basically seeking  
24 direction of the Commission on which option would be our  
25 choice at this point and there's not much interplay, or

1 is there, between these options?

2 MS. BOYLE: There is some interplay, but  
3 different things get broken and can be preserved in the  
4 different combinations. Like, here, you see that we were  
5 able to separate the Port and we were able to avoid  
6 splits of Pasadena and Burbank, however, the communities  
7 that were in the Foothill District are different  
8 combinations. There are some tradeoffs internally in  
9 Downtown LA, one iteration has Park in the Central Park  
10 area north of Downtown, and in another iteration it does  
11 not. So there are some small differences. Does that  
12 answer your question?

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It does. And for  
14 the direction that would be providing to you, it is to  
15 take a look at probably, in the greater scheme of things,  
16 which option likely represents our best understanding of  
17 the region for LA, and then if we could work on some of  
18 these nuances that might have been a better capture. So  
19 I'm just trying to -- because I can see we might be  
20 switching between options here and so I just want to be  
21 able to assist the Commission in driving or figuring out  
22 which one might be best.

23 MS. BOYLE: Sure. What might help is if you  
24 establish priorities, or the hard lines that are most  
25 important to you, or the COIs that you feel most need to

1 be preserved, and then I can methodically work down and  
2 say, "I could do A and B, but not A, B and C." "I can do  
3 A, but nothing combined with A, but I could do B, C and  
4 D."

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Does everybody  
6 understand that? So, for instance, as an example, out of  
7 the three options, only one of them had the ports  
8 separate, so if the ports being separated at an Assembly  
9 level, you know, that could dictate which option we would  
10 be going for, as an example.

11 MS. BOYLE: And Long Beach-OC line, splitting  
12 Long Beach and how many splits you're comfortable with,  
13 the splits of Pasadena and Burbank, the configurations in  
14 West LA, like splitting the Hollywood COI seems to be  
15 affected by some of these small changes. The Hollywood  
16 COI has about 200,000 people, so it seems to get in the  
17 way a lot.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, and maybe some  
19 of the numbers that we're seeing that vary among the  
20 districts, which might be more of a discussion. Okay, so  
21 that's the gist on making a decision with these three  
22 options. I wanted to take a break at 4:30, we obviously  
23 see that we'll need to move into tomorrow, and we may  
24 need to make travel and hotel arrangements, so we've got  
25 10 minutes, at least until the 4:30 mark. Commissioner

1 Barabba.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: This is related to a  
3 broader question, but I misspoke about Silver Lake  
4 because Silver Lake still goes to East LA and it has so  
5 much more in common with the areas adjacent to it, and I  
6 don't know what that does, but I would hope it could at  
7 least be addressed. If that is a big ripple problem, it  
8 would be at least worth looking at.

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: The area that Commissioner  
10 Barabba is talking about, Silver Lake, I think I sort of  
11 missed that.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So we go up to the  
13 north where it says -- just above East LA. You're  
14 talking about the district that had East LA and Silver  
15 Lake.

16 MS. MAC DONALD: You know what? I'm going to  
17 wait until Nicole comes back before I touch this map.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just wondering if  
19 maybe, before we start getting into some of the changes,  
20 maybe we could just take an early break?

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, we can take  
22 the break right now.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, since Nicole --  
24 then we can make it a 10-minute break?

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, we'll do 10

1 minutes, we'll do a recess at this point. Thank you.

2 (Recess at 4:24 p.m.)

3 (Reconvene at 4:34 p.m.)

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you very much,  
5 we are resuming the meeting of the Citizens Redistricting  
6 Commission. I certainly appreciate the public's patience  
7 with us trying to call travel companies and hotel rooms  
8 and get everything scheduled, it takes a little bit of  
9 time. So we are resuming our review of the Assembly  
10 Districts in Los Angeles and we have gone through three  
11 options, and Ms. Boyle and Ms. Mac Donald have asked for  
12 the Commission to identify those particular visions, I  
13 guess, that would not likely change. For instance, I  
14 gave the example of the Ports; in two versions, the Ports  
15 were together, and then, in the third version, the Ports  
16 were separate. If we have an opinion regarding that,  
17 then that could work up north and may play into certain  
18 versions that we're looking at and then we can look at  
19 some nuances between the different versions to the extent  
20 people feel that it's more consistent with community of  
21 interest input testimony that we received. So, based on  
22 the versions that we've seen, does anyone want to maybe  
23 start at the ports and take a look at those versions?  
24 Our discussion previously has been looking at the ports  
25 and whether they would be -- and we're at the Assembly

1 level here -- we've had discussions about not putting  
2 them together, we've had discussions with Compton-Carson  
3 going down to the ports. This version here separates  
4 them -- or, no, puts them together, I'm sorry. Option 1  
5 puts them together, Option 3 separates Long Beach Port  
6 from the LA Port. Is that correct?

7 MS. BOYLE: Yes, that's correct. Commissioner  
8 Barabba.

9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: My impression is that the  
10 comments were that these three district options could be  
11 mixed and matched, we weren't locked into the  
12 repercussions of each of them.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, that's why,  
14 if we find out which is a hard line, then we could go  
15 from there.

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: To me, the option 3, the  
17 business of keeping -- avoiding the splits of Pasadena  
18 and Burbank at the very low cost of adding one community  
19 to another, that sounded like an important aspect, that  
20 doesn't necessarily have to have the big ripple effect  
21 the way she had worked it out, but we avoid that whole  
22 problem in Burbank and in Pasadena, and it seemed to me  
23 that option was really quite good.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other thoughts?

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And that's Option

1 3, Commissioner Barabba?

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Option 3 does not  
3 split Pasadena and Burbank and we have the Ports  
4 separated again, in this option.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, as a starting point,  
6 should we say -- I'm just trying to think of a strategy  
7 here since we have three options -- should we start with  
8 this option and we see some of the benefits, are there  
9 people -- then, should we ask people those areas of  
10 concern and see if they can be addressed in this  
11 Visualization and, if not, we may have to go to another  
12 one? I'm just looking for a strategy on how to -- so  
13 we're not going between a lot of them.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct. The  
15 strategy, Ms. Boyle, do you want to give another  
16 highlight of this, which works for you? Because she had  
17 outlined it before, but go ahead.

18 MS. BOYLE: So maybe we can start getting  
19 somewhere if we start setting some hard lines, like  
20 districts we like, that can be a hard line, concepts that  
21 we like, like keeping the OC-Long Beach border, keeping  
22 the ports separate, keeping Westchester with Inglewood,  
23 these are all kind of pivot points of which I can map  
24 around. If the Commission can kind of determine -- set  
25 their priorities.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, so what I  
2 was saying is that I like Option 3 here. It puts the  
3 Long Beach Port with Long Beach, it keeps the Orange  
4 County line solid, it respects the Torrance area, it  
5 respects the airport with Inglewood. I think this might  
6 be a good solution to that. I see a couple of nuances  
7 that we might work on, but as far as three big things  
8 that seemed to be consistent with the community of  
9 interest testimony, that's why I kind of like Option 3.  
10 So I'll take further comments. Commissioner Ancheta,  
11 then Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

12           VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mine is actually more  
13 of a general concern that applies to all options, which  
14 is a couple of the districts I'm concerned about over-  
15 concentration of the Latino population.

16           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, me too.

17           VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: In this particular  
18 option, for example, LASGL is at 71.1 percent Latino  
19 CVAP. I think in other options it goes from 70.76 and  
20 then the first option is 64.43, that one, and then in the  
21 LAPRW District, somewhere, that's always up around 59  
22 percent Latino CVAP. But I'm more concerned about the  
23 LASGL, that's quite high.

24           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, so do you think we can  
25 pick this as a starting point and swap some cities in and

1 out that are consistent with COI that will reduce that  
2 over-concentration?

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I do have people  
4 lined up here, so I'll put you next in order.  
5 Commissioner Galambos Malloy and then there was  
6 Commissioner Parvenu.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'll pass.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I also favored  
9 Options 3 and Options 1. I think they both have some  
10 advantages. In Option 1, some of my concerns were around  
11 the Pasadena split, which I did hold some possibility  
12 that we might be able to remedy that if we focused in on  
13 the area more closely, and then we had a split in Pico  
14 Rivera, which I don't think we had much detail on. I'd  
15 like to know a little bit more about that split.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di  
17 Guilio.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: One more thing,  
19 let me just say just as one of the regional folks for the  
20 San Gabriel Option 3, I think it addresses, as  
21 Commissioner Barabba references, what we thought was the  
22 best solution in terms of Burbank and Glendale being  
23 together and being whole, and then Pasadena being whole  
24 in a separate district, and then having some of their  
25 sister cities connected with them. So those are the two

1 I felt more comfortable with, I do share some of the same  
2 concerns about over-concentration.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di  
4 Guilio.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think we all recognize  
6 that that's the problem, so we have to work on it. I  
7 would be curious before we really start talking ourselves  
8 about where to swap, I'd like to get Ms. Boyle's  
9 perspective on how much flexibility, if we kept the outer  
10 frame, how much flexibility we had in the inner to  
11 address those high numbers, the concentrations of  
12 Latinos, if that's even possible for us to do?

13 MS. BOYLE: We can swap them around. Previously,  
14 when we tried to kind of average out these numbers so we  
15 don't have one high, one low, we get these sort of east-  
16 west configurations that kind of lay through here because  
17 this is the heart of the community here, so you kind of  
18 splay outward from it, and that averages -- it kind of  
19 more evenly distributes the LCVAP through the districts.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So maybe with that, you  
21 could let us know. And I know we've given you some --

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So you lose compactness.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yes, some hard lines in  
24 terms of where we've said things about hard lines and  
25 those COIs that would break some maybe as we go through,

245

1 you could just point us what our options are and what the  
2 tradeoffs would be, because we see what the tradeoffs are  
3 here, or the issues are here, and what the issues would  
4 be if we did it in a different tradeoff.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, just a big picture on  
7 Option 1, I had a lot of problems with the LA Downey-  
8 Norwalk District because it now, in this Visualization,  
9 includes Artesia and Cerritos, and I don't think we heard  
10 anything about that in any of the testimony either before  
11 or after the first set of maps that Artesia and Cerritos  
12 would belong in that corridor, so I think that, if  
13 anything, they've been going back and forth between other  
14 districts, but never there in terms of anybody's  
15 testimony, so I think that's a problem.

16 MS. BOYLE: It's possible to do a swap with  
17 Alex's region to pick up La Habra and to give her  
18 Cerritos and Artesia.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: And there is definitely  
20 testimony linking Cerritos and Artesia to North Orange  
21 County.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So what would go into that  
23 District and into the Downey-Norwalk?

24 MS. BOYLE: Well, if we removed Artesia and  
25 Cerritos, I would probably try to pick back up Bellflower

1 and it would shift northward since we would be picking up  
2 La Habra and there would be extra population, so we would  
3 shift the line over to pick it up, maybe South Whittier,  
4 maybe more of Pico Rivera. If we bring La Habra in, then  
5 we can take out more of Pico Rivera. Does that make  
6 sense? We can do a lot of different things if we're  
7 willing to do more splits. These Visualizations are  
8 really trying to minimize the city splits as much as  
9 possible. If we want to really equalize population, we  
10 can do some splits like the Unity Map, how it splits East  
11 LA, that's an approach that I saw repeated throughout  
12 different Visualizations from the public.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just in looking that  
14 you might have another over-concentration problem that we  
15 looked at before, so as far as the queue, I have  
16 Commissioner Yao --

17 COMMISSIOENR BLANCO: Where is that? Oh, in --

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If you're taking out  
19 Cerritos and Artesia, she's going to pull the population  
20 back from La Habra, but then also the South Whittier and  
21 La Mirada.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right now, that's only 47,  
23 though, it's below 50 percent CVAP, that district with  
24 Cerritos and Artesia in it.

25 MS. BOYLE: Just a minute, I have competing

1 labels. Let's figure out which is which. So this is the  
2 first iteration you saw and this has it only at 47.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, that's a problem in  
4 that area.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: The suggestion of moving --

6 MS. BOYLE: That's Option 3.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, the Artesia is in  
8 Option 1.

9 MS. BOYLE: I think it's in all of these options  
10 today. That's where my boundary is currently with Orange  
11 County, but like I said, it's possible to do swaps across  
12 the county for some COI testimony like La Habra,  
13 Cerritos, and Artesia.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao.

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, while the thought of  
16 moving Cerritos and Artesia into Orange County is perhaps  
17 acceptable, I think we received comments after the first  
18 draft that that definitely is not a preferred option.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba  
20 and then --

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, one of the other  
22 alternatives relative to city boundaries, if it makes it  
23 easier for you, is to look at neighborhood boundaries  
24 because that would be another reflection of communities  
25 of interest.

1 MS. BOYLE: Pardon me, neighborhood boundaries  
2 within these individual cities?

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah. Or, if you found it  
4 was tough to stay within a city, if you could stay within  
5 a neighborhood, that would give us another sense of  
6 communities of interest.

7 MS. BOYLE: It would. Sometimes neighborhood  
8 layers are difficult to access, though. But it would be  
9 a good way to do it.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, just a general  
12 comment, as we move population around or consider moving  
13 communities around, I wouldn't want us to over-populate a  
14 district that we would need to use from another district,  
15 for example, the LADNN is now basically 50 percent. I  
16 would hope that, if we're going to increase the Latino  
17 population in that district, we would come from the LASGL  
18 because that's the one that is most impacted, and I  
19 wouldn't want to use up the capacity of LADNN to absorb a  
20 bigger Latino population by coming from even further to  
21 the east. I think we would want to come from the Central  
22 District. So, as a general rule, I would encourage us  
23 not to over-pack something from an area that doesn't need  
24 to be reduced.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that certainly

1 can be a general instruction. Commissioner Ward.

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: I just, when we're talking  
3 about going over the county line, I want to put a bid in  
4 to obviously keep that hard -- we've got three well  
5 thought out options for how to deal with LA without  
6 crossing, you know, an equal criteria of county line, so  
7 I think there would have to be some pretty good  
8 foundation to do that, given that we have so many options  
9 without doing that.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I actually thought the  
12 Artesia, Cerritos, La Palma, and Buena Park COI was  
13 actually a really compelling one to cross county lines,  
14 so I think we pretty much have been told there is a hard  
15 -- people prefer a hard county line for Long Beach, but  
16 as you go north along this border, we got permission  
17 there, we got permission in the four corners areas, so  
18 again, I think that's equal with the county line.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, I expressed my concern  
21 about the second which apparently is repeated, I hadn't  
22 caught that, but Artesia and Cerritos were in all the  
23 Visualizations. In the third one, I have a problem with  
24 the fact that the LASGL, which is a lot of the southeast  
25 cities, that long corridor, is at 71 percent, and then

1 right next to it in the LA West, you know, the Compton,  
2 the Willowbrook, Carson-Compton District, all of a sudden  
3 the Latino CVAP drops to 34 --

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thirty-eight.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- 38. So that seems to me  
6 like a natural to unpack and put more population in this  
7 district to the west.

8 MS. BOYLE: Into the Compton-Carson district?

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Correct.

10 MS. BOYLE: For instance, you would like to  
11 possibly see Linwood in there?

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We had heard testimony  
13 that, I remember specifically I asked some of the folks  
14 from the southeast cities, I said, "What about Linwood,  
15 and they said, "Oh, Linwood could really go either way,  
16 it's actually traditionally sort of more in the Compton-  
17 Carson area." So I think Linwood could be it.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Commissioner  
19 Di Guilio.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Oh, I think it was just  
21 a comment to the county line. I just think in these  
22 areas, we had some of those hard lines with San Diego and  
23 Riverside and we had to break those, had some hard lines  
24 in Ventura, in LA, and we've been breaking county lines  
25 all over, particularly densely populated, urban areas, we

1 just -- I think we have to just be as respectful of those  
2 lines as we can, but even things in terms of, as Ms.  
3 Boyle was saying, even the considerations for City  
4 splits, again, it's a continual balancing act.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
6 Galambos Malloy, and then I want to ask a few questions  
7 about our choices here and direction to provide.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I please see  
9 the numbers, the stats for the Harvard Park District,  
10 Vermont Knolls, that whole area?

11 MS. BOYLE: Its' 43 percent Latino CVAP, 48  
12 percent Black CVAP, 2 percent Asian CVAP. Pulling in  
13 Walnut Park would increase it. But, again, this  
14 Visualization here attempted to keep the southeast cities  
15 in one district. With permission, we can split up that  
16 COI and that would probably help lower the -- it would  
17 help lower the Latino CVAP in the LASGL District, and  
18 raise it in the surrounding.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do I get the general  
20 impression that this Commission likes Option 3 and then  
21 we can provide direction for these nuances, rather than  
22 flipping from options? I hear most everybody seems to  
23 like Option 3, and then we can work on these nuances and  
24 provide direction, and then move forward to SDs. We've  
25 got an hour and a half. Commissioner Blanco.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would be remiss if I  
2 didn't point out that, in all three options, we've split  
3 up the coastal corridor by going all the way out to the  
4 coast, from Westchester out to the coast. And we have  
5 significant testimony, particularly over the last couple  
6 of days. But actually it's been, all along, but we've  
7 got significant testimony saying that the beach  
8 communities should not be split and that they do not feel  
9 that the coastal areas of Westchester and El Segundo do  
10 not belong with the district going east, and I think we  
11 have to acknowledge that we have a lot of public comment,  
12 and we've had it all along about that area.

13           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Let me just jump in  
14 real quick on the queue because I'm not talking that much  
15 about it, but there was also equal testimony that  
16 Westchester, in one of our iterations, I believe, we had  
17 Santa Monica in there, and what we're also getting from  
18 Westchester is connections with the Playa Vista and Playa  
19 Del Rey, which is connected here, and that respects that  
20 COI. They've also made it very clear when we had Santa  
21 Monica in there that they are considered South Bay. I  
22 know that there was some testimony on not going to the  
23 east, but they are on the coast and I think that some of  
24 the testimony that I interpreted as they just didn't want  
25 to necessarily be with Santa Monica because Westchester

1 and El Segundo consider themselves South Bay areas, so...

2 Whose hands did I see? Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I had similar  
4 observations, I think this is an area where we do have  
5 competing COI, so essentially we have to make the call  
6 there. How I read the COI is that, from earlier  
7 iterations of our maps, to create a coastal district that  
8 includes Venice and Santa Monica was too long of a  
9 stretch, that was very clear from both ends. The second  
10 piece is that Westchester could go with the Inglewood  
11 District, Westchester could also go with the South Bay  
12 Districts, but at a minimum, Westchester needs to be  
13 linked with Playa Del Rey and the other -- there's two  
14 Playas -- Playa Vista and Playa Del Rey, so they are a  
15 unit. I think of Westchester, Playa Del Rey and Playa  
16 Vista as a unit, so we need to figure out what to do with  
17 that unit, but I did they there was conflicting COI, it's  
18 not all "send it down to the South Bay," and it's not all  
19 "send it to Inglewood."

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di  
21 Guilio.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm just curious to ask  
23 Ms. Boyle when she has a second, so here I'm sure you  
24 built a lot of these three Visualizations all with this  
25 as kind of one of those hard lines, so if we're -- I just

1 want to make sure we check in with our Mapper because, if  
2 we change this, I want to make sure it's not rippling  
3 around so much that the integrity of this, you know, we  
4 never gave her this option, so we're basically asking her  
5 to re-map a fourth time, I want to make sure it's not  
6 that drastic, so can you see if we do something in terms  
7 of moving this area around, possibly linking it up as a  
8 unit, as Commissioner Galambos Malloy said, Playa Del  
9 Rey, Playa Vista, Westchester, if it were to go south,  
10 what that creates in other areas.

11 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So, most of the Playa Del Reys  
12 and the Playa Vistas are united in this district with  
13 Westchester. We have Del Rey here, Playa Vista, Venice.  
14 It seems to be only Mar Vista, and I think there are  
15 about 30,000 to 40,000 people in here that aren't  
16 included with Westchester, but Playa Vista and Play Del  
17 Rey both are.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think the question was  
19 whether those groupings, then, break from Inglewood and  
20 go south along the coast, what happens then?

21 MS. BOYLE: So the desire is to actually separate  
22 Westchester from Inglewood, not to try to do both, not to  
23 try to satisfy both in this case?

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Correct. Right now, the  
25 option is we link them, and we can see how that builds

1 out; if we separate them, just on kind of what you know  
2 in this area, what are the ripple effects?

3 MS. BOYLE: Sure, let's zoom out. So, if we want  
4 to separate them, we have to find a home for them, so  
5 that would mean combining, like hybridizing, these are  
6 two complete districts, so we could hybridize them into  
7 one. We can't really turn -- it's kind of difficult to  
8 turn population through this configuration here, but what  
9 could be done is we could grab Mar Vista and combine it  
10 with this to the south, and then combine this with parts  
11 to the north, but we would get a little bit of a wrap,  
12 you would have this longer district stretching up to Mar  
13 Vista from Redondo Beach up the coast, and then, to make  
14 up for population we'd have to, from adding to here, we  
15 have to go south, probably pick up Gardena, and do a  
16 split through Torrance. I'm finding the split with  
17 Rosencrantz to preserve the historical Japanese CIO is  
18 easier to manage than trying to figure out how to split  
19 it to preserve it in Torrance. But that's an option, so  
20 we could go up the coast and then come back down here.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And just to make a  
22 quick note, if anybody is looking at it, we did have this  
23 district, it was the Palos Verdes, east beach cities in  
24 our first Draft Map that essentially went from underneath  
25 Marina Del Rey, so there was a little bit of split on the

1 Playa Vista and Del Rey, and it went all the way down and  
2 included Torrance. And so, in all of our iterations, I  
3 went back through and looked at this issue for the  
4 airport, all of our visualizations, iterations, prior to  
5 the Draft Map included the airport in the coastal  
6 district in South Bay through Palos Verdes. And so we  
7 got to this separation based on the post-Draft Map input  
8 testimony. Commissioner Parvenu, and then Commissioner  
9 Yao.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I've heard the testimony  
11 from my colleagues before and I have to respectfully  
12 disagree with what I've heard. The reason this Assembly  
13 District is appealing to me, as well as others, is that  
14 you do have a connection there with Playa Vista and Playa  
15 Del Rey with the Marina and Westchester, that is a COI,  
16 of course, and you have the Bellona Wetlands, which is  
17 mixed with Dockweiler State Beach, there are some  
18 endangered species along that coast, there is the blue  
19 butterfly or something, and there is the Savannah  
20 something or other [Laughter], you know, as a part of the  
21 Coastal Condition and Protection Commission or something,  
22 I don't know, I think there are some frogs or something  
23 down there, but anyway, the point being is that there is  
24 a very strong east-west connection, as was stated before,  
25 Westchester and Inglewood have a Chamber of Commerce and

1 other activities that connects those two communities  
2 together. El Segundo also, there is that east-west  
3 corridor along Imperial, El Segundo Blvd., that links  
4 what we call the Aviation Corridor, to places to the  
5 east, Hawthorne and Hawthorne Airport, their reasons,  
6 there are certain commonalities that exist in that area,  
7 and to say that Inglewood and Westchester are not linked  
8 or not sister cities, I think, is not accurate. But I  
9 did -- I hear what is being said, and I know what is  
10 being thought of here, but I see the ripple effect of  
11 this going south and creating a southern connection with  
12 the South Bay Cities, and the ripple effect will actually  
13 ripple through the central area of the city, the core of  
14 Los Angeles, and tear those districts that we currently  
15 see asunder. And the testimony that we have from the --  
16 again, I have to refer back to the Unit Map in this case  
17 -- has a configuration very similar to what we have here,  
18 and they've spent a lot of time and a lot of energy, and  
19 preparation to present this material to us, and for us  
20 not to take their work into consideration, I think, would  
21 be not a good option. So those are my thoughts, I know  
22 I'm outnumbered, but those are my thoughts about keeping  
23 that Assembly District close to what we see there now.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, just two comments. In

1 terms of separating El Segundo and Inglewood from  
2 Westchester, the impact is that you basically have to  
3 roll that population all along the coast and might end up  
4 having to put some of Long Beach into Orange County, and  
5 that was what we had discussed before. Secondly is that  
6 the freeway on 105, doesn't show up on this set of  
7 software, but it actually goes right along the Imperial  
8 Highway and ends in the City of El Segundo, and all this  
9 traffic then goes north on Sepulveda onto the freeway,  
10 that's where most of the airport traffic comes from, and  
11 going into the airport. So, El Segundo really see  
12 themselves as part of the airport community, even though  
13 they also recognize that they're part of the South Bay.  
14 So, including El Segundo as part of the so-called  
15 "Airport District," I don't think, is totally out of  
16 line.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I have Commissioner  
18 -- I do want to get us to some direction -- but  
19 Commissioner Blanco, Commissioner Dai, and then  
20 Commissioner Barabba.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So I went back, I was  
22 looking at a lot of the more recent emails about this  
23 area and, actually, I stand corrected, the barrage of  
24 emails that came in were actually directed at a  
25 Visualization that people had seen last time, where we

1 had that sliver that went through, and so it sort of  
2 jumped from Playa Vista, Venice, and then there was this  
3 little tiny corner, and then it went down and picked up,  
4 and that's actually what a lot of the testimony was that  
5 was saying, "What is this? How come you're disconnecting  
6 us?" And so I think that, now that we've actually got  
7 the communities that they were concerned about connected,  
8 that you've got Playa Vista, and Playa Del Rey, Venice,  
9 and El Segundo, which was mentioned a lot in those. The  
10 critique of the sliver mentioned El Segundo being out of  
11 that district, so I think this is much more  
12 representative of what people sent along together.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Thank you, Commissioner.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we'll get  
15 three more and then we'll give some direction.  
16 Commissioner Dai.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I was just going to  
18 comment, I think the only difference in the Unity lines  
19 is that they do put El Segundo as part of the South Bay,  
20 that was the testimony I had heard before, but  
21 Commissioner Yao is indicating they also consider  
22 themselves to be part of the Airport District, so it  
23 sounds like they could go either way. But in the Unity  
24 Map, they did split off Del Rey and I think we've been  
25 able to maintain them as a part of this district.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

2 Barabba.

3           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: My point has been covered.

4           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

5 Galambos Malloy.

6           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The only slight  
7 change that I might suggest was that we think about  
8 orienting Venice towards the north. I think, in many of  
9 the public comments we received, Santa Monica and Venice  
10 have been referenced in the same breath, no matter which  
11 direction folks are talking about orienting them, so much  
12 as we're thinking of Westchester, Playa Del Rey and Playa  
13 Vista as a unit, I would like to see Santa Monica and  
14 Venice as a unit, and in this case, I think it makes  
15 sense to orient them northward as coastal cities.

16          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so again,  
17 we're at Option 3 that appears to be consistent, can we  
18 provide some direction to Ms. Boyle, that might be one  
19 option, and then maybe she could point out, if that is an  
20 option to consider taking Venice out, where we would  
21 consider getting population. And, likely, it might --  
22 well, again, I think we're going to get into some  
23 concentration issues when we go further east, but... So,  
24 I guess looking at this area of this district, based on  
25 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, unless anybody has any

1 significant opposition to that, I'm familiar with Venice  
2 and Santa Monica, so if we had to consider maybe looking  
3 at taking Venice out of this one, where we would get  
4 additional population.

5 MS. BOYLE: One ripple effect, moving Venice with  
6 Santa Monica would add population to this district, which  
7 would have to be removed, and the least complicated way  
8 to do it is to rotate it through this district, which  
9 means I would be picking back up Miracle Mile and  
10 separating it from Hancock Park. And Venice, I included  
11 it here in this Visualization because I thought it was  
12 part of the Marina, Playa Del Rey, Playa Vista, Del Rey,  
13 Mar Vista COI. But it could be done, but like I said, I  
14 have to shift up somewhere. Another shift would be to go  
15 ahead and go and pick up all of Westwood in exchange in  
16 here, so I added population here and I push up here and  
17 then I take out here. Does that make sense?

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Given those two choices, I  
19 would do the Miracle Mile. I think Westwood belongs in  
20 the west district there.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I agree. I think  
22 that the COI testimony for Venice has not been as strong,  
23 or I think there's more flexibility about where Venice  
24 associates it with than I would see with Miracle Mile, so  
25 I would say let's leave good enough alone.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, leave  
2 good enough alone and put the Venice district in there?

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Because, again, my  
5 familiarity with the Miracle Mile, separating it more  
6 from Hancock Park, this line rather than the other option  
7 seems to be consistent with my familiarity of the area.  
8 Okay, now moving back -- again, we're looking at nuances  
9 to provide direction off of this Option 3. So based on  
10 your notes earlier, Commissioner Blanco, did you have  
11 some issues, or Commissioner Ancheta, on maybe working  
12 out on some of these numbers, to provide some general  
13 direction?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: My main concern, so in  
15 Option 3, which seems to be the most, you know,  
16 accomplishes a lot of things, I had the suggestion -- I  
17 don't like the adjoining districts where one has 71  
18 percent Latino CVAP and the one next door, Compton, has  
19 38, and we looked at the possibility, we were going to  
20 look at the possibility of putting Linwood -- so other  
21 than that, I mean, that is my major concern there.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Boyle has a good  
23 handle on the community of interest testimony that we  
24 have in this, at least I have confidence in it. Is it a  
25 good idea to provide general direction to at least look

1 at the Linwood to the Compton to the LAWBC, and to  
2 balance out some of the numbers there to avoid over-  
3 concentration, yet still being consistent with the  
4 community of interest testimony? Is that sufficient  
5 direction for you? Or do you need something more  
6 specific?

7 MS. BOYLE: It is. It's going to be a little  
8 difficult. If you look, moving Linwood in cuts off its  
9 connection with Paramount and North Long Beach, so we'll  
10 have a contiguity issue, which means kind of going around  
11 them to complete the district, so it would be a  
12 significant reconfiguration, which might perturb this  
13 boundary here that we've established as being kind of  
14 separating all these cities here going east, whereas  
15 these areas go west is what I keep hearing. And it may  
16 also perturb this line, as well. I will explore it, but  
17 it will also separate the southeast cities from  
18 themselves, where in here they are fairly whole. So with  
19 the Commission's permission to perturb those boundaries,  
20 I can look at it.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: What about Paramount as an  
22 option? Is that better?

23 MS. BOYLE: Into the Compton-Carson District?

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, we've also heard about  
25 Paramount.

1 MS. BOYLE: So, in this case, it's also attached  
2 to North Long Beach and picking up more of -- so if we  
3 kind of cut it off, then maybe this would have to go back  
4 into this district, which would pull this line back out  
5 of Long Beach, possibly putting the Long Beach port with  
6 Palos Verdes. So this is kind of sticky here.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think that, to keep the  
8 southeast cities all together in one Assembly is a feat,  
9 you know, because there are a lot of cities in there and  
10 it is possible that we will have them together in another  
11 either Congressional or Senate, so that doesn't trouble  
12 me as much, and I've seen other maps submitted by a lot  
13 of organizations that really sort of take that into  
14 account and don't keep them all together. I'm more  
15 concerned about your thoughts about how difficult it is  
16 going to be, but I'm not so concerned about in an  
17 Assembly District not having all of them together.

18 MS. BOYLE: Sure. What's giving me a little hope  
19 is, if something happens here with Artesia and Cerritos,  
20 and we move it, it would change what's possible here. So  
21 maybe that would be possible with a swap with Orange  
22 County. So I'll look very closely at that.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward.

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm a little unclear as to  
25 some of the VRA requirements. I was just thinking, with

1 Mr. Brown here, if it's not possible, if the Mappers are  
2 unable to make much of a difference in that LCVAP, what  
3 his opinion is of that district.

4 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: My view would be that it's a  
5 little over-concentrated, so efforts should be made to do  
6 what's being suggested.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So the general  
8 direction based on -- we've provided this earlier at the  
9 pre-Draft Map stage, which is to weaken the border  
10 between Orange County and Los Angeles at the Cerritos  
11 Artesia, based on the community of interest testimony,  
12 which would free you up to take a look at the over-  
13 concentration issue in LASGL, and work your magic.  
14 Commissioner Malloy and then Commissioner Forbes.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Just a follow-up  
16 question for Mr. Brown. This area, we had very clear and  
17 consistent testimony from the communities of the  
18 southeast cities that they wanted to be maintained whole  
19 and together, and the impact of doing that now, we see,  
20 is this concentration. So, even in the face of that COI,  
21 that the local community is describing themselves in  
22 these terms, not necessarily along race or ethnic lines,  
23 but because of various economic issues they have there,  
24 you would still suggest that this is over-concentrated?

25 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: I would lean that way, yes.

1 As with many things, the Commission is trying to balance  
2 a variety of constraints and make decisions. I think  
3 that would be a choice you could easily make.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes,  
5 sorry.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, my just brief comment  
7 is that I'm concerned that, if you move Cerritos and  
8 Artesia into Orange County, that's the part of that  
9 district that dilutes the Latino population, and if you  
10 take out that part of the population, the Latino  
11 percentage is going to go up, and so I think you need to  
12 find a way to move the Latino population out of the  
13 LASGL, perhaps into the Lakewood area, go straight south.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, my only  
15 concern about that is this is where we have this balance  
16 between community of interest testimony which is Cerritos  
17 and Artesia, that is close with the Orange County, and  
18 the realization, given that Mr. Brown is here, is that we  
19 have, you know, a concentration of Latinos and they live  
20 together in these districts, and it's not as if we are  
21 over-concentrating these districts intentionally, this is  
22 where they live. And then Commissioner Blanco had  
23 already commented earlier when we were looking at some of  
24 the Visualizations last week about Lakewood, and so what  
25 you're suggesting is that we're going to try and less

1 concentrate these districts by putting cities in there  
2 that are inconsistent with the community of interest  
3 testimony. So, I certainly recognize Commissioner  
4 Forbes' point, and I'm glad Mr. Brown is here, if you  
5 wanted to comment on that because this is what we see and  
6 what we have consistently been struggling with in this  
7 area, and yet these are the living arrangements that  
8 exist here, and there's no intentional conduct, we've  
9 really been working here and struggling with that.  
10 Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, just to  
12 remind the Commission, that not so long ago, we went  
13 through the exercise of intentionally looking at areas of  
14 over-concentration, really, in this core of LA and  
15 options for de-concentrating it, and I think what we saw  
16 from having gone through that exercise was the dramatic  
17 impacts of bringing those percentages down significantly  
18 and what that did to the rest of the LA region. And so I  
19 think that the answer may lie somewhere in the middle,  
20 but when I look at how concentrated we are in this  
21 central area, it does feel like, to me, that we are going  
22 to have potentially one district, maybe even two, that  
23 are a bit more concentrated than we would prefer, but  
24 where we're really hemmed in on all sides.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other general --

1 Commissioner Raya.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Just to say that, you know,  
3 if our choice is between over-concentration that we  
4 really don't have any control over vs. putting people in  
5 with communities that are not like-minded, I say we just  
6 live with what we have.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
8 Ancheta.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I want to raise a  
10 legal point, which is that if you look at the history of  
11 desegregation litigation, whether it's voting, or in  
12 other areas, the Supreme Court has made clear that, if  
13 you know that a place is segregated, and you try to  
14 maintain it, notwithstanding the fact that it's packed in  
15 like this, you could still have intentional segregation.  
16 So, we know a lot about these areas and, to the extent  
17 that, again, this is hard because it also aligns with  
18 testimony, too, but there are potential intentional  
19 discrimination claims that could arise by giving effect  
20 to what are both self-segregators, self-designated  
21 cities, but also segregated neighborhoods. So it's not  
22 an easy thing to resolve, but there are other sources of  
23 liability I think we have to be concerned about.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, well, could I ask a  
25 question in response to that. What we're trying to

1 accomplish here is to make sure that people are able to  
2 elect representatives who will represent them  
3 effectively. And we're not creating any of these  
4 circumstances, I mean, none of us would be of a mind to  
5 over- -- to deliberately put people into, you know, a  
6 very compact area. So, I mean, I guess I'm just having a  
7 hard time getting my mind around the idea that somehow we  
8 would be responsible for a discrimination claim when, in  
9 effect, I mean, if these were the districts, you know,  
10 people might in fact have an opportunity to elect. You  
11 know, I'm just not real clear on that.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And, again, but the  
13 alternative is we can draw other districts that wouldn't  
14 do that, right? And, again, there are multiple -- there  
15 is some testimony specifically looking at Section 2  
16 rationales and we're trying to cover all bases as best we  
17 can, but I would have a concern about just sort of  
18 saying, "Well, that's the way they are," frankly.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco  
20 and then Commissioner Yao.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So just -- it's related --  
22 I still think that we have a problem with Artesia and  
23 Cerritos that do not belong in the district with Downey-  
24 Norwalk, apart from everything else we've talked about.  
25 That is a problem. Those two cities, the only discussion

1 we ever heard about them was Long Beach vs. Orange County  
2 with, you know, differing, but never -- it takes me back  
3 to what we did when we were looking at Richmond with Napa  
4 and Yolo when they said that they didn't want to go --  
5 they only wanted to go to Contra Costa or Alameda, I  
6 mean, this is -- I think we have nothing to support  
7 putting these cities in here and, in fact, a lot of  
8 testimony to the contrary. And so we already have a  
9 configuration problem, I think, with these cities because  
10 of those two cities down at the bottom.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But how do you address the  
12 issue that that's going to increase the Latino  
13 concentration, which we are trying to avoid?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm not getting to that  
15 point. Right now, I'm talking communities of interest,  
16 that these are not communities that in any way have seen  
17 themselves linked to Norwalk or Downey, or the cities  
18 that go up from that area, up into Bell Gardens.  
19 Everything I've heard is that these are very distinct  
20 areas that don't feel connected to the core of LA.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: And right now, that particular  
22 district only has 51 percent LCVAP.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, but you're going to  
24 take out the part that makes it 51.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm just saying.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, I had  
2 Commissioner Yao was next, and then Commissioner Di  
3 Guilio.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: I was following the last  
5 discussion and I forgot what I was going to say, so let's  
6 bypass me.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's what happens  
8 when people interrupt and I wasn't paying attention.  
9 Commissioner Di Guilio.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think just to address  
11 Commissioner Forbes' concern is I think, as I understand  
12 Ms. Boyle, is that it may look -- they're going to try  
13 and break up the south, those communities, so it might  
14 actually be more of an east-west type of district, so I'm  
15 not even sure if this combination -- she's asking -- if  
16 those go into Orange County that would give her  
17 permission to be able to do some other configurations  
18 other places, so I don't think it would even -- I  
19 understand your point about concentration, but I think it  
20 might be addressed if we do different types of districts  
21 altogether.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao,  
23 you were called now.

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: The first Draft Map of this  
25 area, basically we lower the concentration by orienting

1 the district east-west, as compared to more than north-  
2 south, which is the community of interest testimony. So  
3 we found that, by the time we oriented the east-west, or  
4 have the east-west oriented district, we're really  
5 lumping communities that have very little in common; so I  
6 think that, to me, it is really our attempt to un-  
7 concentrate these districts in a very very dense area,  
8 and we heard so much negative comment associated with  
9 that configuration, then I think that, to a great extent,  
10 that got us back to paying more attention to the  
11 community of interest and draw it around that. So maybe  
12 we're heading back toward the first Draft Map  
13 configuration based on our discussion today.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, the general  
15 direction that we had provided to Ms. Boyle was based on  
16 Option 3 and to work out these issues and to pay  
17 attention to the possibility of some over-concentration,  
18 so is that sufficient? Or do you need additional  
19 direction?

20 MS. MAC DONALD: Would you please specifically  
21 specify the issues that are to be worked out?

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can we say we would like to  
24 move Artesia and Cerritos to --

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, but what we

1 want to do is make sure we make reference to the title on  
2 each of these districts, so if you would like to proceed,  
3 Commissioner Dai. So then you would reference LADNN,  
4 etc. etc.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes. So we would like to look  
6 at the possibility of moving Artesia and Cerritos from  
7 LADNN, into the La Palma District. I will note for the  
8 record that they also had indicated a community of  
9 interest with Buena Park and, in the corresponding Unity  
10 District, they are with both, so that's, as you shift  
11 things around in a circle, that might help there. Uh,  
12 that's one, and then we wanted to look at the possibility  
13 of moving Linwood out of LASGL into the Compton-Carson  
14 District, LAWBC, so you'll have to -- and we know that  
15 that cuts it off, so you'll have to reconfigure some  
16 things. And, again, as I noted before, Paramount was  
17 also listed as an alternative to go within the Compton-  
18 Carson District.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Commissioner  
20 Ward? Okay, any --

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would just say I know  
22 that the Linwood thing appears to be, we've been told,  
23 could be an incredibly complex. I think if that turned  
24 out to be really difficult, then I think maybe we would  
25 stay with that over-concentration in the southeast

1 cities, you know, I get that. But I'm still troubled and  
2 it is a community. And we got a lot of community of  
3 interest testimony early on, everybody would say in one  
4 breath, "Compton, Carson, Linwood."

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Mac Donald.

6 MS. MAC DONALD: How do you feel about a split of  
7 Downey?

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: What is the  
9 population of Downey?

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Just one second.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Let me interject here,  
12 we've received testimony from Downey, and Downey has been  
13 split in the past, and they resent just the notion of the  
14 idea of being split, believe me, the Mayor has emphasized  
15 that on multiple occasions at my speaking engagements.  
16 He has followed me out into the lobby.

17 MS. MAC DONALD: So the direction is not to split  
18 Downey?

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I would prefer not to go  
20 there.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think we're trying to  
22 minimize all splits, but I also --

23 MS. MAC DONALD: So Downey has 111,000 people.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I guess I know nobody  
25 wants to be split, but I guess I'm just reluctant to tie

1 our hands, to be honest. I think that part of what we've  
2 been doing is to look at options, I mean, we could say it  
3 would be very un-preferred to try to do everything you  
4 can, but if one -- if one thing like that solves two or  
5 three other things, I think we have to consider it. If  
6 it's just a one for one switch, then maybe we don't do  
7 it, but if one thing fixes a number of others, we'll see  
8 if the Mayor won't follow Mr. Parvenu around!

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, especially if we have  
10 a potential Section 2 issue and that's a higher priority  
11 than city splits, and if we have an issue there of, you  
12 know, effective representation because of over-  
13 concentration, then I don't think we can keep trying to  
14 elevate the cities over the Section 2.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree, I agree. And I  
16 have a question. I don't recall, do we keep Downey whole  
17 on the Senate -- no, the Congressional level? That may  
18 be a compromise.

19 MS. BOYLE: Yes, I believe Downey isn't split in  
20 any of my current Visualizations.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Two out of three ain't  
22 bad.

23 MS. BOYLE: Pico Rivera is, though.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We might be able to  
25 move on here and look at the SDs before we leave. So,

1 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, Commissioner Forbes, and  
2 then we'll confirm they have the direction, then we might  
3 be able to look at any other nuances we need to.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Sharing these  
5 concerns around over-concentration, I would like to see  
6 options at this point, I think we're on a tight timeline  
7 and it would be great to see if there is an option that  
8 would work with Linwood, what would be the impacts of it;  
9 if there was an option that would work with Downey, maybe  
10 a split in Downey, again, at this point I think we're  
11 choosing from essentially the lesser of evils to  
12 accomplish this higher ranked, or second ranked criteria,  
13 which is very key to this whole enterprise. So I would  
14 support directing Q2 to bring us some options.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And just finally, I think  
16 in order to have consistency, we've gone out of our way -  
17 not out of our way, but we've had a conversation today  
18 about not having an African American District over 50,  
19 and then, to have a district that is 70 could raise  
20 questions of inconsistency.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, in the name of, again,  
23 the Voting Rights Act, I would caution us about the  
24 communities of interest of Cerritos, Buena Park, La  
25 Palma, Artesia because that is an area where we can

1 basically dilute the 70 percent in that direction, and so  
2 I would be reluctant to take that out of the ability to  
3 be in a place to park some of the excess population.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Oh, yeah.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ancheta  
6 and then Commissioner Ward.

7 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, which  
8 Commissioner just asked for multiple options? Was it --  
9 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, so I don't know if that's  
10 going for her review or, this is the problem we're facing  
11 now which is we're not butting up against our own  
12 meetings, so we have to - and we can discuss it now, or  
13 we can discuss it when we're done for this session, but  
14 we have to figure out what we can lock in at this point  
15 because, unless we lock in, we've run out of time. At  
16 least if we're still on the second Draft schedule. If we  
17 want to revisit that, which is fine, we can talk about  
18 options, but that's something that we will have to do up  
19 to change the scheduling. And, again, I would support  
20 that if we're not going to be able to get it done  
21 tomorrow, and I think we're maybe not going to be able to  
22 get it done tomorrow.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I ask a  
24 question of Commissioner Blanco, then? So, if Q2 was to  
25 explore Linwood and explore this moving this Artesia,

1 Cerritos, La Palma, if those don't work out well, can you  
2 live with we revert back to this configuration?

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I have to really think  
4 about that. I'm very concerned about that over-  
5 concentration with a bordering district that is below 50  
6 when a lot of that, when we have testimony that says that  
7 Linwood and Compton are a community, and we've got  
8 Linwood in one district and then we've got below 50 in an  
9 adjoining district, I have legal concerns about that.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward.

11 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, and I just have  
12 concerns that mirror Commissioner Forbes' in regard to  
13 Artesia and Cerritos. I understand that there is some  
14 very limited COI that might suggest that there is a  
15 community with Buena Park, La Palma, and Cypress, but  
16 there is immensely more that tie Buena Park to other  
17 parts of North Orange County, and Cypress to parts of  
18 Southern Orange County and La Palma, as well. So, in  
19 balancing COI testimony, the overwhelming weight would be  
20 to put those cities that share relationships with other  
21 cities west in Orange County, certainly not with Cerritos  
22 and Artesia. But it might end up being a population  
23 issue, but on COI, alone, there is no way to join those  
24 cities.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao,

1 then Commissioner Parvenu.

2           COMMISSIONER YAO: VRA is the issue we're  
3 addressing and we all acknowledge that it's the highest  
4 priority, and it just seems like, just looking at that  
5 picture, splitting Downey or moving Downey into that  
6 highly concentrated district is really our only solution  
7 because if you work around the edges, you're not dealing  
8 with the population. So, somehow working Downey into  
9 that district will probably make the most impact. So,  
10 even though we have testimony saying that we don't divide  
11 up Downey, I don't think we have any choice but to do  
12 that if we're here indeed wanting to address the highest  
13 priority issue.

14           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Parvenu  
15 and then we're going to move along.

16           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I was going to say the  
17 same thing that Commissioner Yao just said in terms of  
18 Downey. Although I personally received direct testimony  
19 and we received testimony collectively from, I believe it  
20 was the Councilman and not the Mayor, about the north-  
21 south split on Downey, it does seem as though that's the  
22 logical solution to take at this point, and South Gate,  
23 Linwood, and Downey do have some commonalities, enough to  
24 justify that move, and my former position as with the MTA  
25 as a Transportation Planning Manager for the Southeast

1 Area Team lets me know that there are certain strong  
2 commonalities with that area, so Downey is not that much  
3 unlike the cities to the west. So, as a compromise,  
4 especially if we are keeping Downey whole in other areas,  
5 Congressional and Senate, on the Assembly level I don't  
6 think that would be a bad decision for us to make.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Ms. Mac  
8 Donald, the long winded answer to your question is, yes,  
9 you may take Downey. Now, take a look at the community  
10 of interest testimony regarding probably the proper  
11 splits in working out the issues with Downey and that  
12 configuration of those districts that we just talked  
13 about, LASGL, LADNN, as summarized by Commissioner Dai.  
14 Any other questions or direction that you need for these  
15 districts that we've been discussing for nearly an hour  
16 now?

17 MS. MAC DONALD: Can we get in touch with  
18 Commissioner Parvenu if we have questions about where to  
19 split Downey if we need to split Downey?

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: One consideration is  
21 Firestone Blvd.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay.

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Consider that first.

24 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, but could we, if it  
25 happens, can we --

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Absolutely. Please do.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: And then I noted this before  
3 for the record, that Hacienda Heights is the only city in  
4 kind of the Diamond Bar COI that has been left out and,  
5 in the Unity Maps, it's put in. I don't know if that  
6 helps or hurts, but that's something to consider.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And do you have  
8 general direction for --

9 MS. MAC DONALD: Would you please repeat the  
10 Hacienda Heights direction?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, the Hacienda Heights is  
12 the only city in the Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland  
13 Heights, Hacienda Heights COI that we've heard a lot  
14 about and it was able to be in that district in the Unity  
15 Assembly Map version, I'm not sure what's driving -- I'm  
16 assuming it's a swap, but that may or may not help with  
17 what you're doing. I know it's 50,000 people, so it's  
18 significant.

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Boyle, did you  
21 have an answer for Commissioner Dai as to what might be  
22 driving the Hacienda Heights inclusion into LAPRW?

23 MS. BOYLE: I have parts north of Hacienda  
24 Heights in this district, there is a backbone here, there  
25 is the La Puente Ridge, or something like this, up around

1 which I've organized the districts. We could extract out  
2 Hacienda Heights and pick up La Habra instead, and it  
3 will just be a projection in here. I presented all three  
4 swaps to Holly and Ana's options for exchanging  
5 population, there's about 60,000 here, and a little less  
6 than 60,000 here. But in any of those, we could swap.  
7 However, if we take out Hacienda Heights, pick up La  
8 Habra, that means taking out Artesia and Cerritos; if  
9 they come out, then I have to pick up somewhere else from  
10 OC. So we really have, you know, we can swap 60,000 here  
11 and 60,000, but we can't swap 120,000 for 60,000.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Mathematically, that  
13 makes sense.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Again, we want to give our  
15 Mappers some options here because I think, again, to be  
16 consistent with the COI testimony, they did include  
17 Hacienda Heights. There was also COI testimony which I  
18 think was in our original maps about Whittier and La  
19 Habra Heights, and La Habra, so if you want to be  
20 consistent with those two pieces of testimony, then that  
21 would indicate that kind of switch.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: I think we should point something  
23 out, which is that the option that was just presented may  
24 actually work into a Burbank and Pasadena split because  
25 we need to ripple to the north.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So if we could, as  
2 we've done previously with other communities of interest  
3 and cities, if we can consider putting them together at  
4 other levels, make a note of that, Commissioner Dai,  
5 because I think when we come back to the Congressional  
6 levels with Orange County and some of the concerns we had  
7 when we went through them this morning, maybe we can  
8 provide some direction that might put them together in  
9 another level. So, any other nuances to Option 3 that  
10 has been presented here, that you took notes about that  
11 you'd like to provide some direction, or highlight some  
12 concerns? Commissioner Yao.

13           COMMISSIONER YAO: If we go to the mountain  
14 region in San Bernardino, Los Angeles County line, I  
15 think there's been a few testimonies saying that, instead  
16 of using the county line as the divider for the district,  
17 move it over so that we include the entire National  
18 Forest, Angeles National Forest. In other words, extend  
19 from in your map, Antonio Heights, and include the  
20 National Forest, the Angeles National Forest, all in one  
21 district. I don't think there are any people up there,  
22 so it's a -

23           MS. BOYLE: I don't think so either. I'll talk  
24 to Alex about it. I've presented that to her before and  
25 I'm just not sure why we didn't do it, maybe we didn't

1 want to disconnect Wrightwood, that is 4,525 people, so  
2 it would be another exchange. But we should be able to  
3 manage that without too much trouble.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: Maybe get as much of the  
5 National Forest in as possible. The county line, without  
6 people really doesn't mean an awful lot, and since we  
7 crossed it already, thank you.

8 MS. BOYLE: Understood.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any other  
10 nuances for Option 3 to provide direction that people  
11 made note of? Because then we can move to Senate  
12 Districts. Okay, appears to be nothing further. Any  
13 other questions, Ms. Boyle, about Option 3?

14 MS. MAC DONALD: We're good. We're going to move  
15 over to Senate.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. While you're  
17 pulling that up, do we have different options for Senate,  
18 as well, or just --

19 MS. BOYLE: No, we just have just one option  
20 based on the Assembly District Option 1, but there's been  
21 quite a bit of a nesting, so at this point, the  
22 underlying Assembly Districts are kind of irrelevant.  
23 One thing to bring to your attention. All right, so this  
24 is our Senate Visualization. I built it off of the first  
25 Assembly District Option, but like I said, the districts

1 that are affected by the changes in the options, it was  
2 kind of washed out by blending them together in Senate  
3 Districts. So, should we start in the north again?

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, please.

5 MS. BOYLE: So there was adjustments to a Senate  
6 District in Monterey County that rippled down to the  
7 Ventura County boundary, so this is a different boundary,  
8 external boundary, than what we have for the Assembly, so  
9 that necessitated some changes to the other external  
10 boundaries. In the Assembly, all of this is part of LA  
11 Districts. At the Senate level, this 158,000 people in  
12 here are northward with the Kern District that curls  
13 around Bakersfield. So, what was left of that district  
14 after the population exchanges was nested and blended  
15 with a San Fernando Valley District. Let me bring up our  
16 data tables.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And just, there were some  
18 questions yesterday as you are talking, you're doing a  
19 great job of sort of walking through this, yesterday some  
20 Commissioners wanted to have a more precise conversation  
21 when we talk about blending, about what the basis of it  
22 is, you know, is it still trying to keep some like core  
23 of an Assembly, or what community of interest, just so  
24 that when we say "blend" we are a little bit more  
25 specific about what we're intending to do vs. nesting,

1 just if that's possible.

2 MS. BOYLE: Uh huh, so when I say "blending" or  
3 "hybridizing," what I mean is taking chunks and bits of  
4 other Assembly Districts and combining them with other  
5 Assembly Districts, which they weren't originally nested,  
6 mainly for the purposes of complying with COI and  
7 improving compliance with COI testimony, at least that's  
8 my approach in LA.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: There are some efforts  
10 where you are sort of semi-nesting, in other words, you  
11 sort of start with a nesting base, that's sort of the  
12 core, and then you have to work around that, so that  
13 sometimes you're pure blending vs. sort of semi-nesting,  
14 I'm making up terms here, but there are little variations  
15 in terms of how you actually combine some of the  
16 districts.

17 MS. BOYLE: Yes, correct. And to make the point,  
18 I'll go ahead and put the first option Assembly lines on  
19 this map and then you can kind of see what I mean.

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Giulio.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I guess I was just  
22 trying to ask Commissioner Aguirre about the East Ventura  
23 County being blended with down into Malibu and Calabasas.  
24 I thought we were doing East Ventura County with Santa  
25 Clarita, but does that leave -- this is where I think we

1 were unclear because what we had talked to Ms. Clark  
2 about looks like it didn't work. Did you have an odd  
3 number or something that was leftover?

4 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, we had some population  
5 exchanges. Is that what you're referring to?

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I think originally  
7 the Eastern Ventura County was going to be nested with  
8 Santa Clarita instead of the Santa Monica Mountain area.  
9 I mean, that was what our discussion was with Ms. Clark,  
10 I thought.

11 MS. BOYLE: Right, so in this case, that would  
12 have been possible if I could have nested with San  
13 Bernardino County. But in this case, I had what remained  
14 of the Lancaster District, less about 210,000. I gave  
15 158,000 to Jamie and I gave 30,000 to the San Bernardino  
16 District, so that necessitated bringing the lines down  
17 more. So, if I had attempted to -- just a moment, I'm  
18 confused by the lines.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Me too.

20 MS. BOYLE: So I had to pick up what left of the  
21 Lancaster District and nest it with Santa Clarita, and  
22 there wasn't enough leftover to draw into Simi Valley,  
23 right? This is 130,000, if it pick this up here, it  
24 caused more ripple effects through here, so it's  
25 possible, but this seemed like the best configuration. I

1 looked at it several different ways and this was the area  
2 that was hard to work with.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I could see some links  
4 between Eastern Ventura County and possibly the far west  
5 San Fernando Valley, right, with maybe Calabasas. But  
6 when you get down into Pacific Palisades, Bel Air, and  
7 Malibu, I think there is some real --

8 MS. BOYLE: I agree. Do you have suggestions on  
9 how to shuffle the population around?

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, that's the  
11 problem, right, because you have other - I'm assuming  
12 that one LAS -- I'm failing in my -

13 MS. BOYLE: Just a minute, let me get these  
14 labels up there.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: It's the San Fernando  
16 nesting one, you nested East and West San Fernando  
17 Valley?

18 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, when you do that,  
20 you're kind of -- and you can't put Eastern Ventura with  
21 Santa Clarita because that's where the population would  
22 push back around and grab the Malibu, Agora Hills,  
23 Topanga Canyon with the western part of -- I mean, that's  
24 what we heard, we heard a lot of testimony when  
25 originally it was Malibu up to Santa Clarita, and so

1 people, of course, didn't want that, but their suggestion  
2 was this area of Malibu, Agora Hills, Calabasas, with  
3 Western LA. That was the suggestion they would give to  
4 both. We heard a lot about that. And again, this is one  
5 of those things where I think Moore Park and Simi Valley  
6 and all those said "we want to be kept with Ventura  
7 County." I don't think it ever occurred to them that  
8 they would be put with -- well, with Pacific Palisades  
9 and Bel Air. I mean, again, it's Richmond with Napa, it  
10 just wasn't on their radar. But Ms. Boyle's question  
11 still remains, how do you deal with it?

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
13 Galambos Malloy.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Ms. Boyle, I  
15 wanted to see to what extent -- I'm just pausing -

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Little conferences  
17 going.

18 MS. MAC DONALD: Sorry, we're thinking through  
19 this because I think this can be fixed if you make  
20 another decision down south, which is [pause] -

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Mono and Inyo again?

22 MS. MAC DONALD: Almost. Basically, if we could  
23 have some flexibility in figuring out whether, you know,  
24 the Long Beach District basically should be nested with  
25 Orange County as opposed to, and then holding the OCSD

1 line basically on the SD, that might...

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: What I was looking  
3 at, actually, was the CAPIFR Map submission and the  
4 approach that they took, which we still have to do some  
5 tweaking up on the north side, but they basically created  
6 a coastal district that goes from Malibu, all the way  
7 down -- from my map, it appears they include Rolling  
8 Hills.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think the problem, if  
10 I'm not mistaken, is you isolating the Eastern Ventura  
11 County, you have to put it somewhere, so that population  
12 pushes to Santa Clarita and I think they're working on  
13 how far to go around the whole San Gabriel Mountains to  
14 push back. I'm assuming, if I am a good lip reader, no...

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: There's too much population  
16 there, basically.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, it just felt  
18 like there was more of a link, by the time you get  
19 farther down to the beach, that's the disconnect, so if  
20 you join these cities that tend to be more inland, I  
21 think there's arguably more of a community there.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba  
23 and then Commissioner Dai.

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I'm having a little  
25 trouble with this one because we did such a good job of

1 keeping the San Fernando Valley whole and now, all of a  
2 sudden, we've got it split up pretty good, it looks like  
3 into far ranging areas. And I thought the testimony we  
4 got from the people in that area made a pretty compelling  
5 case to keep the valley whole, and this one rips it up  
6 pretty good, I think.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could you do something  
8 where you do the Eastern Ventura County with the rest of  
9 that northern part of the valley, and then kind of split  
10 the lower part off and make the exchanges more  
11 internally? I know you would still impact - somehow you  
12 would have to impact that San Fernando Valley east one.  
13 But is there any way, Ms. Boyle, to do kind of partial  
14 splits of that area, as opposed to wrapping the entire  
15 population all the way around?

16 MS. BOYLE: I'm sorry, partial splits through  
17 Ventura County?

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, I'm looking at if  
19 you take out of the Eastern Ventura County and try and  
20 link it up with a part of the San Fernando Valley, that's  
21 been cut off, as well, too, Northridge, Grenada Hills,  
22 all of that, to link it up, and then you could take  
23 whatever population is left and do the -- I just think  
24 the stretch from Moore Park to Bel Air is a real crazy  
25 one, so if you can kind of split those up a little bit.

1 I know that Agora Hills, Calabasas, Topanga tends to go  
2 with West LA, Calabasas tends to go with the Valley,  
3 Agora Hills with -- you know, it's kind of those three  
4 communities really go together, but they could go to  
5 different places, so...

6 MS. BOYLE: Right. We are still constrained by  
7 the math and by geography here, there's no people here,  
8 and no people here, and I think when I was trying to get  
9 this, because I know that was the direction to have it  
10 with Santa Clarita, I ended up having to draw so high up  
11 here that I would end up splitting Santa Clarita almost,  
12 so we almost had a contiguity issue. This was the last  
13 iteration I did and I did it in the middle of the night,  
14 so I can't recall exactly why I had to make these  
15 decisions, nor do I have notes, but I recall there was an  
16 issue with having, once you add this population this way,  
17 to remove the over-population, you have to draw so high  
18 up here that then it becomes like a nonsensical district  
19 configuration.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: The problem is we're stranding  
21 the folks at the top, that's really the problem.

22 MS. BOYLE: Yeah. So this possibly could be  
23 solved, my thoughts on this were, and it would also fix  
24 the OC County boundary with Long Beach, is if I redo this  
25 split with Jamie and, instead of giving her the eastern

1 part of this district, I give her down into here, and  
2 then we nest what is left. But it is really tearing up  
3 this area.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And maybe there's very  
5 few choices, but I have to say I'm very concerned about  
6 the Eastern Ventura County wrapping so far down and into  
7 Western -

8 MS. BOYLE: I am too. And in the other  
9 configurations, this was what I considered the least of  
10 the evils for Eastern Ventura County. When I was  
11 exploring this, it went all the way down to like Hermosa  
12 Beach and El Segundo, at one point we were all the way in  
13 the Hollywood Hills, so it's not easy. I pulled this  
14 down here, so if I pull out San Fernando Valley, then it  
15 wraps more this way. If I went this way, then I went up  
16 here. But we will keep working on it.

17 MS. MAC DONALD: Can we have a two-second  
18 conference here?

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure, because I'll  
20 talk to the Commission. So we can put in -- well, we've  
21 been given an idea what communities do not go together  
22 for this particular SD, so we've got 15 minutes because  
23 then, afterwards, we want to talk about some scheduling  
24 issues and how what's happening today flows into next  
25 week, the ripple effect, because we're getting used to

1 it. So they're making notes as to what to work with  
2 Jamie on.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it just seems like we  
4 just need a counterclockwise rotation all the way through  
5 -- or clockwise, sorry.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: San Fernando with  
7 San Bernardino? Is that what I heard?

8 MS. MAC DONALD: We're just talking through the  
9 various options.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, anything that  
11 you want to take to us that would assist you in  
12 additional direction, that would free you up anywhere?  
13 You've got a handle on what we dislike and where we can  
14 probably make some corrections.

15 MS. BOYLE: At this time, no, we need to refine  
16 this and then perhaps we'll be more ready for additional  
17 direction.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, is there any  
19 other districts, continuing on, that we want to  
20 highlight? Or do you think it's all affected?

21 MS. BOYLE: I think that we can look at the  
22 cluster in LA.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Great.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, do we provide  
25 direction for that last area? Because I'm concerned

1 that, then, you know, that's going to impact what we do  
2 farther down south. What direction do we leave with?

3 MS. MAC DONALD: That's what I was just asking.  
4 Yeah, because we basically need to implement this, so if  
5 you could give us your preferences, then we're going to  
6 just move things around and we really need to get into  
7 the same room with the other Mappers and figure out what  
8 the boundary handoffs here are, and I would really like  
9 to talk about this whole like Long Beach area, Long Beach  
10 OC, and OCSD area, just to see if we can get some  
11 flexibility on how to do that because I think part of the  
12 problem that you had with the SDs down in San Diego was  
13 actually driven by that particular hard line.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di  
15 Guilio or Commissioner Dai, want to summarize the  
16 instruction that we were providing, or direction, to Q2?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think Ms. Boyle knows  
18 the issues, she recognizes the issues, the disparity. I  
19 think if there is a way to fix it, I trust her to give us  
20 some options. If she's looked through all the iterations  
21 and this is the least harm, you know, what can you do?

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I would like to  
23 reserve the right, I mean, after -- I think, again, once  
24 we get down into LA proper and see how those  
25 configurations that give some direction there, that may

1 also impact or provide some direction on what to do in  
2 the north side, so I would say it's definitely not over  
3 on that district because we have a number of concerns.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So let's take a  
5 look at LA and see if we can move into some direction on  
6 this before we conclude at --

7 MS. MAC DONALD: Sounds great.

8 MS. BOYLE: This district here to the north is  
9 the San Gabriel-Foothills Senate District, it's a  
10 combination of the Burbank, Glendale, and the Pasadena-  
11 Rancho Cucamonga District, and there are no splits.  
12 Okay, moving south, this is our combination of the  
13 Western San Gabriel Valley District and the Covina  
14 District, and then it was shifted south to remove  
15 Arcadia, I think maybe Duarte, Bradbury, and Monrovia  
16 were removed, and South El Monte and unincorporated areas  
17 were picked up in exchange, Montebello was reunited, the  
18 small portion that had been in the Assembly was removed,  
19 East Pasadena was made whole, in the Assembly it was  
20 split, and that's the extent. Combining those two areas  
21 created a district with a Latino CVAP of 45 percent, and  
22 an Asian CVAP of 31 percent. And that is the LACVN  
23 Senate District.

24 Moving further south to the LAPRW District,  
25 that's for LA, Pico Rivera, Whittier District, it has a

1 Latino CVAP of 53 percent and it includes the Artesia  
2 Cerritos configuration. The next district is the  
3 combination of the South Gate, so this was blended. You  
4 can see, I've put a lot of the Southeast cities in here  
5 and then blended it with the Compton-Carson District. So  
6 it's the LAWBC District and it was nested, the majority  
7 of its nesting partner is the LASGL District. Moving to  
8 the Long Beach District, this was the oddball that was  
9 nested with Orange County, and if we can implement a  
10 northern county, Los Angeles County fix, we will possibly  
11 build to hold this line, so we're going to be looking at  
12 doing that, that's the priority for the next Senate  
13 Visualization.

14 Okay, so I went up and around this district so I  
15 could blend this district north with its community of  
16 interest in Crenshaw and Leimert Park, and I went around  
17 it, which I know is not a preferred configuration. Not  
18 having to nest with an Orange County Senate District  
19 would allow me to undo this.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sounds like good  
21 direction to me. I see you shaking heads, so you have  
22 that option. That might work because we've got Rancho  
23 Palo Verdes all the way to Beverly Hills, it looks like,  
24 through Dockweiler Beach.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I ask to zoom

1 in on the South LA Districts here, please?

2 MS. BOYLE: Which district, in particular?

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The Compton-Carson  
4 and the Inglewood Districts.

5 MS. BOYLE: The colored finger seen underneath  
6 here is percent Black CVAP.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And the legend, is  
8 that still the same?

9 MS. BOYLE: Let me bring it up. Okay, it's not  
10 coming up.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I mean as far as the  
12 sequence, on the top we've had Latino CVAP, and then --

13 MS. BOYLE: Yes, it is the same. It should be  
14 total deviation, percent deviation, Latino CVAP, Black  
15 CVAP, Asian CVAP.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you want to pull  
17 back a little bit, Commissioner Galambos Malloy? Did you  
18 have a question? And then we'll go to Commissioner Di  
19 Guilio.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And in this area,  
21 you did kind of a hybrid nesting? A blending?

22 MS. BOYLE: Correct. In this case, I blended the  
23 Inglewood and the Crenshaw District, and then I unblended  
24 from the edges.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think there will be a

1 lot that sounds like it still needs to be worked out from  
2 the Orange County-LA and how it pops up all the way here,  
3 but I still feel like we need to provide the ability for  
4 them to consider something with the airport break, and  
5 it's two things, it's the airport break and possibly  
6 going south and breaking the API community that we've  
7 kept whole in the AD, which is the Gardena-Torrance,  
8 right? So it may require a split in Torrance, but I just  
9 want to keep our options open here because I don't want  
10 us to run into -- we've done it in one district, but  
11 maybe we should look at, at least the possibility, to  
12 keep giving you permission to look at that as an option.

13 MS. BOYLE: And I also want to point out, even  
14 though Westchester looks like a large geographical area,  
15 it doesn't actually have a lot of population, so removing  
16 it doesn't' actually give you that much wiggle room, but  
17 it does make the district look more compact, because then  
18 you don't have that behind the airport strip.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Correct.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And I'd actually  
21 just been playing in my mind with more of a kind of east-  
22 west configuration, so can we come back to this area? I  
23 feel like we're leaving a lot of loopbacks to where we  
24 need to answer some additional questions, but did you try  
25 a configuration that would have kind of two east-west

1 districts?

2 MS. BOYLE: I didn't, but it's very possible of  
3 just turning the population through the yellow districts,  
4 we could possibly accomplish something like that, yes.  
5 I'm just not as firm on where the breaks would be, based  
6 on community of interest testimony, I'm more familiar  
7 with the north-south breaks and the east-west breaks, but  
8 with guidance I could implement that.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And, Commissioner  
10 Galambos Malloy, if that's -- instead of a north-south  
11 split and east-west, would those districts then be turned  
12 on their side and linked with the beach communities,  
13 then? Would it break the peninsula?

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Not necessarily  
15 attached, but breaking the Peninsula. I was thinking  
16 more of kind of the central area in there.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba  
18 and then Commissioner Parvenu.

19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes, what led you to  
20 choose not to nest in this area?

21 MS. BOYLE: COI testimony. I didn't want to nest  
22 north-south, that would have split the community of  
23 interest here between Westmount, Florence Firestone, West  
24 Vernon. I felt that it created a better district, but  
25 that's up to the Commission's discretion.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

2 Parvenu. Oh, sorry.

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: At some point when you  
4 come back, it might be kind of interesting to see what  
5 the nested districts look like.

6 MS. BOYLE: September? [Laughter]

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Or October, either one.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: With the configuration as  
9 it exists, and I understand what Commissioner Galambos  
10 Malloy is saying, but there is more of an affiliation  
11 between that north-south community, actually, in terms of  
12 activities, institutions that exist along those north-  
13 south corridors, so I don't know if an east-west  
14 orientation actually works for me. I think the Compton  
15 -- that north-south alignment, what we see here is my  
16 preference, in fact. I just wanted to state that.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I have a bit of a concern,  
19 and if we could look at the Covina Senate District?

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can we look at the  
21 Covina District, please, that includes Covina.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, in our first Draft  
23 Maps, this area, we had it at 57 percent Latino CVAP and  
24 it's now 45. It's not that exact same area, but it was  
25 this region. So, I think we're going to need to discuss

1 what we've changed here from our previous map, given also  
2 that this is an area where I think we've got expert  
3 testimony about polarized voting, or our counsel has  
4 advised us that.

5 MS. MAC DONALD: I'm sorry, I think we may not be  
6 talking about the same district because we actually think  
7 that this is higher now than it was before.

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So which is the one -- what  
9 was our old LACVN?

10 MS. BOYLE: On the first Draft, or last week's  
11 Visualization?

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, first draft.

13 MS. BOYLE: Sure, give me a second, let me dig it  
14 out. Without opening the map layer, I believe that I  
15 nested differently, I believe I nested the San Gabriel -  
16 the Western San Gabriel Valley District north to be  
17 included in the Foothill District, so Covina was nested  
18 to the south, which would have created a district that  
19 was over 50 percent, but let me get the lines right now  
20 for you.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It's LACVN if you  
22 wanted to look on the website. And it was entirely -- it  
23 was somewhat of a different configuration. We went from  
24 Arcadia, completely south to South Gate, so that was the  
25 configuration that we did at the Senate level under

1 LADSG. Then we did LACVN, which went from like Irwindale  
2 area, which was kind of at the top of this yellow  
3 district, and went all the way down to La Mirada, so that  
4 was the configuration that we did before. This doesn't  
5 have the numbers on it. So the configuration is a bit  
6 different because we had two different districts that  
7 split this present Visualization.

8 MS. MAC DONALD: May I also add, in the last  
9 iterations, we had three districts that were at 50  
10 percent or above, and this one here actually improves the  
11 overall percentage.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We had four, we had Kings,  
13 Covina, we had four with Pomona and Ontario.

14 MS. BOYLE: We still have Pomona and Ontario,  
15 it's just not visible on my map, it's part of the San  
16 Bernardino cluster.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any other  
18 questions about the Senate Districts? Because we'll  
19 probably have to, I mean, at least in this area, we'll be  
20 coming back to this and tomorrow is where we'll have to  
21 end up picking up. But I did want to talk a little bit  
22 about where we're at, what the schedule is, what Ms. Mac  
23 Donald's thoughts are about next week, and we were going  
24 to stop at 6:30 today. Go ahead.

25 MS. MAC DONALD: May I please just bring the OCSD

1 -- "SD," not Senate District, but San Diego line one more  
2 time for Senate? And could we please get permission to  
3 have some flexibility on that one to be able to improve  
4 the Senate Districts?

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: On the Senate  
6 District between Orange County and San Diego?

7 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I do believe we gave  
9 that earlier.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, okay. I just want to make  
11 sure if you hadn't given it, because I wasn't here. I  
12 just want to make sure because I think that that's what's  
13 creating a lot of issues.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We did give  
15 flexibility at the Riverside County and San Diego border  
16 at Temecula, and then we looked at South Orange County  
17 with San Clemente and San Bernardino, and I had  
18 specifically said that they had seen that issue  
19 previously, and then if we don't split Dana Point, so...

20 MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
22 Ancheta.

23 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're going to the  
24 schedule right after this, right?

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes.

1           VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, because Mr. Brown  
2 indicated that the Kings County RPP analysis was not  
3 really conclusive, I think we should therefore not try to  
4 form a Section 2 District at a level at Kings County, so  
5 I think the instruction would be to -- I think would be  
6 to revert to the previous iteration, which met  
7 retrogression standards, but it was not attempting to try  
8 to get a Section 2 level. I think that would be the  
9 revised instruction. Is that fine with the Commission?

10           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is that consistent  
11 with everybody's understanding based on Mr. Brown's  
12 testimony -- or presentation -- today?

13           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And that will make it look  
14 a lot better.

15           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great. Any  
16 other questions that you have that you want us to address  
17 right now before we get into some scheduling?

18           MS. MAC DONALD: I think we're good. Thank you  
19 very much.

20           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great. So, to  
21 summarize where we're at, we do see some concerns with  
22 these Senate Districts. I think this is a good  
23 opportunity for the Commission to really take a look at  
24 all these Visualizations, they've been sent to you,  
25 they're posted online, and we can study them. We'll pick

1 back up in this area. We do need to take a look at the  
2 Senate Districts, confirm any additional direction, then  
3 we need to look at the Congressional in Los Angeles, then  
4 we need to go back and take a look at what we rushed a  
5 little bit this morning. So, study the Riverside Region,  
6 if you're interested, Region 2, the Region 1 areas that  
7 we looked at this morning, and Region 3 for Orange  
8 County, because we looked at those and we thought that  
9 the impact of Los Angeles could force some changes in  
10 those areas, so we'll end up likely revisiting those  
11 tomorrow.

12           Is that okay? Now, based on where we're at and  
13 we finish up, some thoughts have been that our estimate  
14 at this point is that we would conclude tomorrow at 3:00,  
15 preferably earlier, and then we have two days of Mapping  
16 -- well, actually one day of Mapping -- I mean, this is  
17 realistically what we'd be looking at, which is not going  
18 to work, so I'm asking for your input. So, then you've  
19 got to do this consolidation that takes a while, which  
20 would be on Monday. Under our prior schedule, had we  
21 finished this evening, then you would have gotten that  
22 together for us on Monday to commence presentations on  
23 Tuesday, so at this point, do you see that we would be  
24 pushing next week further out? And what the  
25 Commission's thoughts are on where we're at on this

1 schedule, we could address it tomorrow as a business  
2 issue when we are finished Mapping. Please chime in if  
3 anybody has -- I'm just kind of summarizing, but again,  
4 Commissioner Di Guilio.

5 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, well you know, I think  
6 we're going places, good places here, but if we have more  
7 time, we go better places, obviously, because we can just  
8 create. It's a tradeoff, basically, between time and a  
9 better map. So at this point, since we're going to be  
10 here tomorrow, there's going to be a little bit of  
11 Mapping tomorrow night probably going on, and then --  
12 tomorrow is Saturday, yeah -- then Sunday all day,  
13 basically. But my Nor Cal people have to be done on  
14 Sunday because they have to do Visualizations because  
15 they have to be sent off, and then they have to be on  
16 Tuesday.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's still the  
18 plan right now.

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, that's the plan right now.  
20 So we're just -- I mean, we're just talking through it  
21 and then SoCal would basically come up on Wednesday and  
22 show you the rest. I mean, I don't know how pretty our  
23 maps for the public are going to be formatted, you know,  
24 they may be formatted a little nicer by Thursday or  
25 Friday because that's really what takes a whole lot of

1 time, aside from doing all these merges and doing  
2 contiguity checks. So it's definitely pushing it.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
4 Ancheta.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, you know,  
6 Commissioner Di Guilio has given us a fair amount of  
7 thought, although she has one line that is just sort of  
8 not really to do with second Draft, another is to push  
9 all the deadlines back a couple days. We did build some  
10 padding in at the end, so if you push things back two  
11 days, I think it's feasible, but she has some  
12 alternatives.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, I think the  
14 tradeoff here is we can give Q2 a couple more days to try  
15 and make the maps look prettier, give them some time to  
16 implement what we've done if we want to have a second  
17 Draft Map, we can try and give them some more days, which  
18 would mean we have to give ourselves two-week notice, and  
19 our drop dead date is - is it the 30<sup>th</sup>, 31<sup>st</sup>?

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The 31<sup>st</sup>.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The 31<sup>st</sup>.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So we do technically  
23 have two, three days, I mean, you're really pushing it,  
24 then, right? So that we could give Q2 extra time to work  
25 on this. That's one aspect. So we can move our meetings

1 that are scheduled for Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday  
2 out a couple days. The other option, again, is if we  
3 feel like we walk away from today and tomorrow feeling  
4 like there are still things that we really - it depends  
5 on our level of comfort. I have all the confidence in  
6 the world that Ms. Boyle can do a lot, I mean, she drew  
7 LA three times in a matter of days, it's amazing, but I  
8 think it's a matter of whether or not we feel like we  
9 need to have an extra chance to review this and to maybe  
10 make some additional fine tuning at that point because,  
11 if we don't -- if we commit to the second Draft Map, then  
12 we won't have that extra chance to get a really good  
13 Final Map.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba  
15 and then Commissioner Dai.

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: My suggestion is to add  
17 the two days and so that we could give them a chance to  
18 come in with maps that have a high probability that we  
19 would be comfortable with them, rather than miss the  
20 opportunity of producing the maps, which we discussed at  
21 some length before. And so I would -- my feeling is we  
22 should add the two days to our delivery.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just wanted to throw out one  
25 other possibility. What takes Q2 a lot of time is

1 producing and formatting these maps so that we can have  
2 PDFs by District for the public. You know, this is  
3 something we can do, we can rely on the other folks who  
4 have the capability to put the maps out, we can just send  
5 out equivalency files and let people go to "Wedraw," I  
6 mean, they got it up in a matter of days, it would save  
7 Q2 a lot of time and have them focus on Mapping. I just  
8 wanted to throw that out as a possibility.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I know it would, I  
10 just don't feel -- you're just giving them one option and  
11 there's a lot of people that aren't using that site, and  
12 that are relying on our site.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's definitely a tradeoff. I  
14 mean, I think it could be a possibility where they do  
15 just a statewide overview and then, I mean, the *LA Times*  
16 site was up in a matter of days and the re-draw, I mean,  
17 it was very fast. So...

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
19 Galambos Malloy.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: It doesn't sit  
21 well with me, I think that we have such a short  
22 turnaround time for public comment that, even if it takes  
23 a couple days for the other site to go up, that  
24 essentially cuts into the average person's ability to  
25 access the information and respond to it. I could live

1 with the idea of not having the reports, however, I think  
2 we do have our obligation to provide the equivalency  
3 files and to provide the PDFs that an average person can  
4 go to our website and view.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: One other thought.  
6 By sending out equivalency files, we can't be assured  
7 that the person at the other end is actually going to do  
8 it, you know, whether it be LA Times or whatever website,  
9 you just think that they're going to, but we've got the  
10 responsibility here. Commissioner Ward, were you in the  
11 queue? Okay, so Ms. Mac Donald.

12 MS. MAC DONALD: We have an idea that we haven't  
13 tested, and everybody here who is a tech person, what  
14 about if we make kml files available and with  
15 instructions on how to go to Google, get a free Google  
16 account and pull up the maps that way? We were actually  
17 thinking about suggesting that to CRC staff anyway. No?

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Let me handle that one.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We have  
20 Commissioners who don't know how to use the Google  
21 Calendar yet, so I'm just thinking of the broader public.

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, seriously, and  
23 Commissioner Dai will happily testify at how inept I am,  
24 but that is putting another layer of responsibility on  
25 people who just, you know, are going to have to go

1 through a lot of hoops to get to it.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
3 Barabba.

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: You know, the distinction  
5 between what we're talking about doing vs. what used to  
6 be is significantly improved, no matter how complex we  
7 make it because at least we're making it available. In  
8 the past, they weren't even made available other than in  
9 the print-outs. So, for those people who are really  
10 interested in seeing what has happened, most of them  
11 would be capable of using these resources, and they then  
12 could convey what they see to others, so I wouldn't be  
13 too concerned over us not being perfect because we didn't  
14 have a lot of time to do everything we needed to do, and  
15 it's so much better than what's been done in the past.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
17 Galambos Malloy.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Would it be  
19 possible to task our staff with doing some quick phone  
20 calls with some of the other kind of interested parties  
21 who have actually been processing the equivalency files  
22 that we have made available, and just take a straw poll  
23 and figure out how they are planning on making the  
24 information available? And maybe we find out that that  
25 function is going to be happening and that we're able to

1 easily link to it, and I would feel a lot better, but  
2 without knowing for sure that the public is going to have  
3 that easy mechanism to access the files, I do think that  
4 is part of our responsibility as a Commission, even if it  
5 means pushing our timeline back a bit.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, I think really  
8 it's just so that we have the confidence that they're  
9 going to do that, I'm actually very confident they're  
10 going to do that. I mean, they did it for the first  
11 draft, I have every reason to believe they want to make  
12 this information available right away, but it would be  
13 great to just check in, you know, I would say *LA Times*,  
14 the *Advancement Project*, the Rose Institute, all of them  
15 had this information analyzed 50 ways until Sunday,  
16 within days.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so we're  
18 asking staff to contact the person, hopefully they can  
19 reach them tomorrow on a Saturday, and they can report  
20 back to us regarding the timeframe it would take for them  
21 to put it up on their websites when we provide  
22 equivalency files. Is that correct? Okay.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So then with this,  
24 did we make changes to next week's calendar? Can you  
25 walk through the days, or are they just as is?

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We haven't changed  
2 the calendar as of yet, so we can revisit this issue  
3 tomorrow, depending on our progress. If we're confident,  
4 as we were with Northern California, I really want us to  
5 come out with that same type of comfort level for  
6 Southern California as we did with Northern California,  
7 and I didn't get that impression today from the this  
8 morning, and that's why I knew we were going to be  
9 working more than likely tomorrow. So, if we feel  
10 comfortable, then it will be just a question of Ms.  
11 Mac Donald. But at this point, if there are any  
12 significant changes, but if there are tomorrow on some  
13 Congressional or Senate District lines, it may push back.  
14 So, do you want to revisit the Tuesday/Wednesday schedule  
15 tomorrow? Or do you want to make a commitment today that  
16 you will not be ready on Tuesday? The Commission can  
17 also consider, given that we have ongoing business, that  
18 we could consider -- maybe for tomorrow, ongoing  
19 business, whether we are going to put out a second Draft  
20 Map, depending on our progress tomorrow. Commissioner  
21 Di Giulio.

22           COMMISSIOERN DI GUILIO: I was just going to ask,  
23 too, if we stay with Tuesday, Northern California,  
24 Wednesday, Southern California, the other consideration,  
25 I think, in talking with Ms. Mac Donald and trying to

1 coordinate this work plan was that, if we want to look at  
2 it as the glass half full, we're going to be optimistic  
3 that everything, the directions we give and when we come  
4 back on those two days to review it, it will be what we  
5 feel comfortable with, one of the concerns was, the  
6 amount it takes to run all the reports and do all that,  
7 is that still an issue for you at all in terms of the  
8 timeframe that you have, the ability to do what you need  
9 to for the mapping? Or I guess I'm asking, is there  
10 anything, some horse trading, in terms of things that  
11 give you an opportunity to do as much work as you can  
12 with the maps, and it could relieve you of some of the  
13 other responsibilities?

14 MS. MAC DONALD: I think one of the issues here  
15 is really your comfort level because, basically, as I  
16 understand, and I was not here this morning, for example,  
17 the So Cal Senate Districts, right? So essentially, if  
18 we stick with this particular schedule, then we're going  
19 to be here on Wednesday, you're going to see those Senate  
20 Districts, and that's a substantial - that's going to be  
21 substantial redraw, right? So are you going to be -- you  
22 know, it's pretty substantial -- are you going to be --  
23 do you feel comfortable being able to just cross your  
24 fingers and hope that it's going to be perfect enough to  
25 vote on? Or would you like to build in an opportunity to

1 see things? And that would mean we need to push things  
2 back. I think with Nor Cal, we're a lot more comfortable  
3 at this point.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I have some thoughts  
5 on that, but Commissioner Blanco.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: When I think of all the, no  
7 matter how brilliant Q2 is, when I think of all the  
8 instructions we've given between yesterday and today, and  
9 the fact that we'll be looking at some of those for the  
10 revamps for the first time, I just am really concerned  
11 that we don't replicate what happened with the first  
12 Draft, where -- there are a lot of things that we would  
13 have gotten wrong because we kept getting public comment  
14 about neighborhoods and communities of interest, etc.  
15 etc., but a lot of the things that we got comments were  
16 as a result of haste. And that could have been with, I'd  
17 say, two or three more days, we would have had a  
18 substantially better first Draft. We said that the  
19 second Draft is not going to be that kind of Draft like  
20 the first one, and so I'm really worried that we're  
21 backing ourselves into a similar situation as with the  
22 first Draft, where we're going to rush through and then  
23 we don't have the same luxury. So, I'm concerned about  
24 this. I'm concerned that we did -- at least, I can think  
25 about seven or eight major instructions that we gave

1 today that will change things. So, I'm already leaning  
2 towards either putting back the release of the second  
3 Draft, or even the drastic idea of saying what's more  
4 important is a good map, and not having a second Draft,  
5 and giving some consideration to when we do the Final  
6 Draft and how we structure input around that final Draft,  
7 which now we have said that input, the way we've  
8 described it, was that it would be very minimal after the  
9 Final. I wonder if we don't rethink that about the  
10 Final, you know, we blend our two concepts here a little  
11 bit.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just in follow-up, I  
13 agree with Commissioner Blanco and I'm leaning in that  
14 direction, as well. And one thing to keep in mind is  
15 that, as we have drawn these different Visualizations,  
16 we've gotten input with each Visualization because the  
17 citizens are watching what we are doing and, if we don't  
18 come out with a Draft Map, we can be confident that these  
19 Visualizations and the instructions that we are giving,  
20 are being watched and being commented on, and can assure  
21 the public that, even though some of those comments  
22 aren't actually on the website, the Commissioners have  
23 access, daily access, to everything that is being  
24 submitted on a daily basis, and we're reading it. So we  
25 do see that citizens are commenting on that. So let's

1 take a look and revisit this issue tomorrow, we can  
2 consider where we're at. I think the way that it's going  
3 to run is we'll keep on schedule where we're at right  
4 now, unless something drastic happens tomorrow that  
5 results in major direction that will push you back, then  
6 we can revisit the schedule, and then I think next week  
7 what ends up happening is that, if we're not comfortable  
8 at the presentation stage on Tuesday and Wednesday, if we  
9 don't change anything tomorrow, I think that's when we  
10 might be addressing this situation where we won't be  
11 doing the Draft Map on the 14<sup>th</sup>. That's how I see it. I  
12 think it's a comfort level, I think it's confidence in  
13 what we're putting out, and I see that, if we don't push  
14 it back for technical reasons tomorrow, based on our  
15 direction, then we're going to be pushing it back based  
16 on our comfort in seeing the changes that we're giving  
17 them directions about because we don't want it to be like  
18 the Draft Maps. So I think we might be working into  
19 those options for Tuesday and Wednesday if the Commission  
20 is agreeable, that's kind of how we're planning the  
21 schedule. Ms. Mac Donald.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: I actually wanted to --

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Change everything I  
24 just said?

25 MS. MAC DONALD: I wanted to go back to something

1 earlier really quickly because we may actually have the  
2 interface available on this database website to actually  
3 show the maps. We haven't looked at our own website for  
4 a while, putting the other hat on, but we actually have a  
5 KML interface there, so let us explore that overnight and  
6 come back to you tomorrow with a suggestion.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Whatever makes that  
8 easier for you, that would also be conducive to the  
9 public's ability to see the maps, that would be great.  
10 Any -- Commissioner Ontai.

11 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: No.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It's 6:30!

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And, again, for those  
14 that are watching and those in the audience, it is  
15 certainly a good point for public comment on how they  
16 might see -- what's a good way for us to proceed.  
17 Obviously we're facing a clear problem that we don't want  
18 to rush and that we'll need at least a couple more days  
19 to stretch it out, but again, one consideration I'm  
20 looking at is this very significant change in not  
21 producing a Draft, but producing some Visualizations, I  
22 think it's a very good point for public comment to get  
23 some feedback on those options.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
25 Barabba.

1           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I was wondering, I know  
2 we've been saving a lot of money by meeting here, I'm  
3 wondering if it wouldn't be more convenient if we sought  
4 the possibility of meeting in Berkeley would be a lot  
5 easier for Q2 to get a little extra hours in?

6           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We have no notice  
7 for that right at the moment for the next two weeks.

8           MS. MAC DONALD: I have to say, I drive and they  
9 map in the car. And we have a photo backwards in the  
10 SUV, we had in Northridge, when I was driving through the  
11 night with like four screens glaring behind me.

12          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any final  
13 questions? Commissioner Parvenu.

14          COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Just a final statement,  
15 thank you, before we officially adjourn. This is a bit  
16 off subject, but I want to say, we've had multiple  
17 references to the Unity Maps, and for those viewing, I  
18 just want to say that, well, today as well as yesterday,  
19 that we pay attention to all maps, we're not just putting  
20 extra emphasis on the Unity Maps. For example, when I'm  
21 looking at mountains, I'm looking at the Sierra Club's  
22 maps, when I looked at the San Fernando Valley, I looked  
23 at VICA's maps. I look at the Armenian maps that were  
24 given to us, East San Fernando Valley, the California  
25 Conservation Action Group, along the coast we looked at

1 CAUSE's maps, we looked at the Inland Empire, African  
2 American Redistricting Group Map, it's evidenced by the  
3 Ebony Triangle that we tried to preserve, and California  
4 Institute, and in Santa Clarita, we looked at the  
5 Coalition of Suburban Communities, California League of  
6 Conservation Voters, People's Advocate, it goes on and on  
7 and on, and I won't belabor the point because it's late.  
8 I just want to drive the point home that we have looked  
9 at an abundant number of maps in making our  
10 determinations and involve that in our decision making  
11 process, so I want those viewing to know that their  
12 efforts have not been in vain. We have studied these  
13 maps and we've given them our fullest attention in making  
14 these decisions.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Seeing  
16 nothing further, we will adjourn for this evening. Thank  
17 you.

18 (Adjourned at 6:39 p.m.)

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25