

BEFORE THE  
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the matter of  
Full Commission Line-Drawing Meeting

VOLUME II

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law  
Classroom C  
3200 Fifth Avenue  
Sacramento, California

Thursday, July 14, 2011

9:00 A.M.

Reported by:  
Kent Odell

APPEARANCES

Commissioners Present

Angelo Ancheta, Chairperson

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai, Vice-Chairperson

Gabino Aguirre

Vincent Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle DiGuilio

Stanley Forbes

Connie Galambos Malloy

M. Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Jodie Filkins Webber

Peter Yao

Staff Present

Dan Claypool, Executive Director

Kirk Miller, Chief Legal Counsel

Janeece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Consultants Present

George Brown, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher

Karin MacDonald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Alex Woods, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Nicole Boyle, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Also Present

Public Comment

Sam Spagnolo

Rionne Jones

Alex Vargas

Sandra Jerabek

Manuel Salazar

Robert Huber

Rachael O'Brien

Jim Provenza

Diane Parro

Don Saylor

Steve Macias

Scott Rabb

Matt Williams

Julio Gonzalez

Leonard Lanzi

Linda Lambourne

Scott Stepanik

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Tim Watkins

Erica Teasley-Linnock

Four Waters

Alice Huffman

Nik Bonovich

Michele Martinez

Richard Seyman

Debra Howard

Hugh Bower

Introduction

Public Comment

Voting Rights Act Counsel - Question & Answer Session

Technical/Outreach Discussion topics

1. Line Drawing Schedule and Public Comments on Visualizations
2. Final Report Drafting Process

Legal Discussion Topics

1. Status of RFI for Litigation Counsel
2. Litigation Counsel - Consideration of Multiple Firms
3. Chief Counsel Report

Finance and Administration Discussion Topics

1. RPV Contract
2. Q2 Contract
3. August Schedule

Public Information Discussion topics

1. Update on Website and Media Activities

Staff Reports

Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Southern California Districts)

Order of Districts: Congressional, Assembly, Senate

I N D E X

	Page
Lunch	
Public Comment	173
Voting Rights Act Counsel -- Question & Answer Session	177
Continuing Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Southern California Districts)	188
Break	219
Continuation of Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Southern California Districts)	219
Break	291
Continuation of Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Southern California Districts)	292
Adjournment	385
Certificate of Reporter	386

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

(Reconvened at 1:37 after the lunch break)

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's resume, it's about 1:35 on Thursday, the 14<sup>th</sup>, the Citizens Redistricting Commission.

Actually, through a miscommunication one of our -- an individual who wanted to give a public comment was misinformed about the time, so we're going to give him a minute and a half to give some remarks.

MR. BOWER: Good afternoon, than you for the accommodation on the time. My name's Hugh Bower and I'm here, today, representing the Pasadena Mayor, Bill Bogard. I've submitted a letter to the Commission today, on the Mayor' behalf, and would like to take a brief moment to address the Commission directly.

Mayor Bogard is serving his fourth four-year term as Pasadena's first directly elected mayor, and given Mayor Bogard's experience it lends him a unique perspective on the operation of local government and the importance of the relationship between a city and elected representatives at other levels of government.

So, the Mayor would like to convey that the Commission's current tentative boundaries for the Assembly district that includes Pasadena, Altadena, South Pasadena recognizes the close ethnic, social, economic, educational

1 and cultural ties that bind these three communities. This  
2 approach appears optimal for the area, keeping in mind the  
3 goal of strengthening the democratic process.

4 As you consider options, please continue to  
5 recognize, as does the tentative configuration, the  
6 importance and the benefits of keeping these three  
7 communities together.

8 The Commission -- we recognize the Commission has  
9 difficult choices to make and we're convinced that the  
10 current proposal not only complies with redistricting  
11 guidelines, but protects the public interests in the  
12 region.

13 And thank you and I think I'd be considered a  
14 friend.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great, thank you. Thank  
16 you.

17 Okay, so before we get into continuing with our  
18 districts, a couple things. First of all, Ms. MACDONALD  
19 and I were conferring earlier just about trying to make  
20 sure we do stay on schedule. And what I've asked her to  
21 do is put together sort of an optimal order of districts  
22 and then what we're going to do is impose some time limits  
23 based on regions.

24 Now, again, we are going to try to accommodate  
25 what we know are going to be harder districts to go

1 through, so we're certainly not going to short change  
2 that.

3 As you can tell from our last discussion, although  
4 we did spend a fair amount of time on the  
5 Coachella/Imperial district, we're moving at a pretty good  
6 clip on most of the districts. So, hopefully, that will  
7 be the case for a large number of districts.

8 But we will have to, at some point, just given the  
9 limits of time try to stay within the structure. And Ms.  
10 MACDONALD and I will try to formalize that a little bit  
11 later this afternoon.

12 Commissioner DiGuilio?

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, I just had a quick  
14 question. I know Mr. Wilcox had asked me at lunch, I just  
15 had a question for Alex about -- that's coming up on the  
16 Statewide Database is Congressional So Cal option two and  
17 three. Is there actually a one or is there only going to  
18 be just two and three?

19 MS. WOODS: I did not get to option one. So,  
20 those --

21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, it's just going to be  
22 two and three?

23 MS. WOODS: Those options are based on the options  
24 that Nicole created for Los Angeles. So, option two is  
25 using the boundaries from Los Angeles option two and

1 option three is using the boundaries from Los Angeles  
2 option three.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, so just we  
4 shouldn't expect -- I think that was to clarify some  
5 questions. So, right now there's no Congressional So Cal  
6 option one, we're just working from the two and three,  
7 which are still a lot of options.

8 MS. WOODS: Correct. Thank you.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Uh-huh.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Filkins  
11 Webber and then Blanco?

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In that regard, Ms.  
13 Woods, I notice that in option two and option three there  
14 isn't any change to the Congressional as to region two, so  
15 you didn't anticipate that any other version, this would  
16 still be stable because I don't think there was any  
17 changes?

18 MS. WOODS: So, the only differences between  
19 region -- or option two and option three is in Orange  
20 County.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

22 MS. WOODS: The rest of the counties are the same.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And we'll address those as  
25 we get to them.

1 Commissioner Blanco?

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, I didn't have anything.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Okay, I'm not sure if  
4 Commissioner Forbes is -- but what I wanted to do, also,  
5 just because we did have a few questions left over from  
6 the session with Mr. Brown is to maybe spend about maybe  
7 ten minutes or so, if there's any remaining questions, and  
8 particularly -- well, regarding the memo.

9 As a reminder, we will be going into closed  
10 session with Mr. Brown and Dr. Barreto, but that should be  
11 limited to, you know, potential litigation issues. So, in  
12 order to maintain the spirit of Bagley-Keene we want to  
13 make sure that if it's a more general question, that it be  
14 addressed in open session.

15 But we do want to get back to the line drawing so  
16 if there are -- I think Commissioner Parvenu may have had  
17 a question from earlier?

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And Mr. Forbes, too,  
19 Commissioner Forbes, but I'll begin.

20 Getting back to the memorandum, on page 1 it  
21 stated in the second paragraph, "Section 2 likely requires  
22 that the Commission creates several Latino majority  
23 districts in Los Angeles County."

24 It doesn't say it's absolutely required to.  
25 What's missing here for me, or what's absent is a

1 discussion or framework within background of the extent of  
2 cooperation that existed between Latino organizations and  
3 African American organizations, which is evidenced by the  
4 MALDEF maps, for example, which respects certain districts  
5 to the west that were traditionally represented by African  
6 American candidates. And also the Unity map, on the  
7 Assembly level, which does the very same thing.

8           So, I don't think it's the intent of the Latino  
9 population to minimize the political electoral  
10 effectiveness of districts to the west.

11           Now, I'm not advocating for any particular  
12 Democrat, or Republican, or any particular ethnic group,  
13 but when it comes to Central Los Angeles diminishing the  
14 number of districts that represent the most impoverished,  
15 one of the most -- and I guess this could be said for a  
16 lot of other places in the State. But relatively  
17 speaking, in the second largest city in the nation, the  
18 first largest city in the State, I think we have to be  
19 careful how we divvy that area up in terms of reducing the  
20 overall political representation that's there.

21           And not giving the appearance in either intent or  
22 implied appearance that we are reducing or minimizing, or  
23 disenfranchising, or diminishing the capability of certain  
24 ethnic groups to elect candidates of their choice. Not to  
25 the expense of the Latinos to the east or the Asians to

1 the north, but certainly not to the disadvantage.

2 Now, I don't think that MALDEF, or LULAC, or  
3 NALEO, or any of the other groups have an intent, purpose  
4 to -- I think they recognize and acknowledge the  
5 traditional regions that African Americans have had some  
6 ability to select candidates of their choice.

7 So, I wanted to put that in that framework. And I  
8 also wanted to say ditto to all the points that my  
9 colleague, Commissioner Galambos Malloy, made. She really  
10 succinctly summarized some of my concerns so I don't want  
11 to add too much to what has been said.

12 But with some of the examples, turning now to  
13 the -- but anyway, I wanted to say that I don't think that  
14 the -- the analysis received here is -- the study that we  
15 received, the memo just gives us a blank check to run over  
16 areas that African Americans have traditionally and  
17 historically had an opportunity to elect candidates of  
18 their choice.

19 And with regard to the Voting Rights Act study or  
20 analysis, well, with Mr. Barreto's findings, he  
21 mentions -- he mentions the Richardson versus Oropeza  
22 race, and I agree with what Commissioner Galambos Malloy  
23 said, that was a special election and Mrs. Richardson had  
24 served on the Assembly level before, she served as a  
25 councilwoman for Long Beach, so she had a certain

1 advantage with name recognition.

2           When it comes to the Villaraigosa example that was  
3 used, Villaraigosa was running against James Hahn, and  
4 many African Americans didn't even know who Hahn was,  
5 actually. They knew of his father, Kenny Hahn, and they  
6 knew of his sister's work, Janice Hahn, in  
7 Watts/Willowbrook where she has been a really hard fighter  
8 for the disenfranchised and the lower class residents of  
9 those regions.

10           So, that vote as not so much a anti-Villaraigosa  
11 vote, but a pro-Hahn vote. And I think Parks was also in  
12 that race, so that also diluted some of the voting -- the  
13 electoral outcome.

14           And there are other examples where I find, and  
15 because of the briefness of time, I won't go over my other  
16 examples here. But there -- there are a lot of issues I  
17 had with this report. And while, certainly, there have  
18 been cases of polarized voting, I would like to see a  
19 report that addresses some of the examples of non-  
20 racially-polarized voting.

21           Certainly, I've been a resident of Los Angeles  
22 County for the past 23 years, since 1988, and I have  
23 attended campaign -- campaign rallies, and I've watched it  
24 on the news. And although, in some cases, I'm neither  
25 Republican, nor Democratic, but I certainly follow

1 electoral politics and I know that there have been  
2 examples where African Americans have voted overwhelmingly  
3 for Antonio Villaraigosa, Shera Baka [phon.], Delgado,  
4 Molena, Alex Padilla, Richard Alacon, on the council  
5 level, Bassera, Perez, Speaker of Assembly Perez,  
6 Bustamante, others, so many others, and vice-versa.

7           You know, African Americans have had a long  
8 tradition of having cross-voter appeal, or influence  
9 appeal, or coalition appeal with the tradition of Merv  
10 Dymally, for example, or the Tom Bradley traditions.

11           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Oh, Commissioner Parvenu, in  
12 order to give Mr. Brown enough time to answer your --

13           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, but it's got to be a  
14 response with this context in the background.

15           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sure.

16           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And so that I can get a  
17 full response. And I mentioned Julian Dixon, Diane  
18 Watson, Karen Bass. And I don't want to drop names who  
19 happen to be Democratic; I'm just saying these are  
20 individuals that have represented these districts  
21 historically.

22           So, I want to know, number one, to what extent has  
23 Mr. Barreto, and I can ask him this tomorrow, had  
24 affiliations with African American organizations?

25           I know he's worked extensively for Latino

1 organizations, so that's fine, that's good, he's an  
2 expert, he does what he's does well. And I know he's  
3 worked extensively for the Asian, various Asian  
4 organizations, and that's fine, I have no question about  
5 that.

6 But I am kind of leery about just taking this  
7 document and running with it as though this give us just  
8 carte blanche right to just create Section 2 where they're  
9 not required to exist to the detriment or to the  
10 disadvantage of neighboring African American areas of the  
11 Central City of Los Angeles.

12 MR. BROWN: That's a rather long question, but let  
13 me see if I can give you a few -- a few comments. The  
14 reason why we use the word "likely" is because as your  
15 counsel we're trying to give you our best legal judgment  
16 about what we think would be likely to happen if  
17 litigation were brought under certain circumstances.

18 So, the memo that we provided reflects that  
19 judgment.

20 We think that there is ample evidence provided in  
21 the memo for us, as your lawyers, to make some inferences  
22 and to make our judgments and so our memo reflects our  
23 legal advice.

24 Now, from time to time we have clients who choose  
25 not to follow our legal advice and so just skipping to the

1 end a bit, the Commission can choose not to follow the  
2 legal advice. If they were to do that, they should invite  
3 an alternative legal opinion that would explain why  
4 Latinos are not entitled to protection in Los Angeles  
5 County under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

6 And that alternative legal opinion should marshal  
7 evidence that explains why there's not racial-polarized  
8 voting between Latinos and non-Latinos in Los Angeles  
9 County that matters.

10 And it should also explain why, under the totality  
11 of the circumstances, Latinos aren't entitled to the  
12 protections of the Voting Rights Act Section 2.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'm not arguing that.

14 MR. BROWN: Okay. So, you have the advice of your  
15 lawyers, it's our best thinking and it's based on our  
16 judgment and informed by the evidence that we've pointed  
17 to with you and our overall judgment about the facts and  
18 circumstances.

19 So, we use the word "likely" because we're not  
20 trying to win a lawsuit at this point and we're not trying  
21 to build a record that would establish beyond some level  
22 of certainty that it's absolutely right. It is our  
23 judgment.

24 We are not -- there's nothing in the letter that  
25 discusses diminishing population of districts that have

1 important problems. That's the Commission's job to  
2 protect the people by creating appropriate districts in  
3 Los Angeles County.

4 We're not recommending that you reduce the overall  
5 political representation of any particular group. What  
6 we're doing is giving you advice on the legal framework.  
7 And we have to give you our own, you know, honest advice  
8 about what the law provides.

9 And as I've said many times, we're open to counter  
10 arguments, particularly in writing, about what alternative  
11 legal framework exists to do something differently.

12 With respect to MALDEF's intent, or anybody else's  
13 intent, Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act and the racial-  
14 polarized voting analysis really isn't about intent.

15 So, the fact that African Americans voting for  
16 many members of the Hahn family over many years is not the  
17 relevant inquiry, the purpose -- the reason for that is  
18 not the relevant inquiry. The relevant inquiry is whether  
19 there's political cohesiveness and whether there are  
20 differences between the way one group votes and the way  
21 another group votes that might operate to regularly defeat  
22 the choices of a particular group.

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can I just respond? Number  
24 one, I'm not --

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Very quickly, Commissioner.

1           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. I'm not -- certainly  
2 not advocating that Latinos not be protected under Section  
3 2 of the Voting Rights Act. I'm certainly in favor of  
4 protection to the fullest extent possible.

5           But there are borderline areas, particularly in  
6 this very sensitive area, problematic area of Los Angeles  
7 where there's a certain degree of cooperation that I think  
8 should be considered also in the equation.

9           And to the extent that we can present maps that  
10 benefit, appeal to both ethnic groups, Latinos as well as  
11 African Americans I think would be a win/win situation.

12           Certainly, Latinos deserve to have more districts.  
13 We all know that because of population increase.

14           But from the statistics I'd last seen, and I  
15 haven't checked Commissioner Barabba's -- I haven't had a  
16 chance to see his e-mail extensively. But from my  
17 understanding the population decreased from 9.8 percent  
18 African American to 8.7, which is a 1.1 percent decrease  
19 and that certainly doesn't justify the fact that two or  
20 three districts that are currently held by African  
21 Americans should be diminished to two or one.

22           And I think the community would -- and although  
23 I'm not arguing racial politics or racial gerrymandering,  
24 I think that the overall net effect of this, to this area  
25 of the city, is going to cause some major racial concerns

1 over the next decade.

2 And I would like for us, as a Commission, to take  
3 the full responsibility to avoid any extreme response,  
4 like that, to these maps from occurring.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I'm going to take just  
6 one -- because we have to get going, I'm going to take one  
7 quick question from Ms. DiGuilio and then we'll have to go  
8 on.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just wanted to clarify  
10 with Mr. Brown because, again, not being a lawyer. So,  
11 it's my understanding that we've had all these discussions  
12 about Section 2s. With all of this it's -- despite the  
13 fact that sometimes we'd love it for you to tell us what  
14 we have to do or don't have to do, part of your  
15 recommendation is just that, is to assess the levels of  
16 risk for us.

17 So, in all the other areas where we've had your  
18 assessment that a Section 2 is required to be drawn, we've  
19 done that, whether it's the Central Valley or more eastern  
20 parts. We, as a Commission, have looked at your  
21 recommendation and have said we take that advice and we've  
22 merged that with COI testimony and really used our  
23 expertise to manage the meaning of Section 2 requirement  
24 with the other needs of the area.

25 So, I'm assuming that when we go forward in the

1 L.A. area we'll do the same thing. If we accept that  
2 that's the -- that there's a level of risk associated,  
3 that there's a need to draw Section 2, then we'll have  
4 that discussion and probably, as I'm assuming, since we've  
5 been following this advice in the past, is to draw a  
6 section 2 but to also merge that so that we can,  
7 hopefully, balance all the needs of those areas.

8 It's not a matter of just because you do one thing  
9 you're going to necessarily disenfranchise something else,  
10 it's just a matter of trying to balance all of these needs  
11 together.

12 It seems like sometimes we get caught on this is  
13 that what you -- what your advice is that somehow you're  
14 telling us what to do, but it's my understanding you're  
15 not telling us to do, you're just giving us guidance for  
16 us to implement those directions.

17 MR. BROWN: That's correct.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay. I appreciate that  
19 because I think this will be something, as we move  
20 forward, that we try and balance all these competing  
21 issues.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Mr. Brown, do you have  
23 anything to close with at this point?

24 MR. BROWN: No, I'm happy to answer questions.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Well, I want to move

1 on at this point but, again, to the extent that any  
2 questions may be related to potential litigation, we can  
3 certainly raise them in the closed session tomorrow.

4           Okay, so what we're going to do is just keep going  
5 and we'll take a break at around 3:45, a photo opportunity  
6 with the Dean.

7           And again, please do express your thanks to the  
8 Dean, she's been very generous in offering these  
9 facilities to us.

10           And I don't know where we left off, exactly, but  
11 Alex go ahead.

12           MS. WOODS: So, the next district we will look at  
13 is NCSAN. And this district starts at Encinitas, in the  
14 south, and goes along the North San Diego coast. It  
15 includes Carlsbad, Oceanside, Camp Pendleton, and Vista.  
16 And in a previous visualization Vista was split and now it  
17 is intact.

18           VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: And I think this is also  
19 a good map. It covers all of Camp Pendleton, which makes  
20 up about 50 percent of that coastal line in that district  
21 and it picks up all of the small beach communities  
22 along -- along I-5, so I think it's a good map.

23           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I do have a  
24 question.

25           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sure, Commissioner Filkins

1 Webber.

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: As I recall, some of  
3 the direction that we discussed last week was to consider  
4 San Onofre with San Clemente. And so, Ms. Woods, did you  
5 take a look at that, is there any -- is there a problem  
6 with population to put San Onofre with San Clemente?

7 And again I'm looking at it, we haven't received  
8 much in the way of testimony, it's just my experience with  
9 the area and the acknowledgement of the nuclear facility  
10 that's there in San Onofre, and the concerns closely  
11 related with the population in San Clemente, which is the  
12 closest population to that nuclear power plant.

13 So, when we're talking about an Assembly level,  
14 where there might be some State concerns regarding that,  
15 obviously San Clemente may want to weigh in and more so  
16 than the distant population in Oceanside.

17 And Commissioner Raya, I think, had raised that  
18 also, so I --

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think we were also talking  
20 about that in the -- at perhaps the Congressional level.  
21 But the interesting thing to me is -- and correct me if  
22 I'm wrong, but to this point I don't think we've heard  
23 anything from San Clemente so --

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: We haven't on this  
25 issue; I just am chiming in because I'm thinking it might

1 be more of a concern --

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Right.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: -- because just my  
4 familiarity and having lived in Lake Forest, another place  
5 I've lived before that's in South OC.

6 I know that San Onofre is a little closer. So, if  
7 it's not a population issue, you know, it might be  
8 something you --

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I don't know how you would  
10 carve it -- you're suggesting carving it down somehow?

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, no, the line  
12 would just come down.

13 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The line at San  
15 Clemente would just come down if it's not a significant --

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: But then we -- I think that  
17 line, then, is going to cut into Pendleton, right?  
18 Doesn't Pendleton go all the way up to the county border,  
19 am I --

20 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: It does.

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So, I would not suggest that  
22 for the Assembly. I think, depending to see what comes  
23 through next, I don't know that we --

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: We are over-  
25 populated -- are we? Oh, 2,953.

1           COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, we're over, but that's  
2 not going to change anything there. I mean, you know, I'm  
3 not objecting to it if other people feel there's a reason  
4 to draw that.

5           VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Can you show me where the  
6 San Onofre nuclear plant is?

7           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: There's a State  
8 beach there, too.

9           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, my guess is the  
10 United States Marine Corps is well aware of it.

11          VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: They surf there a lot.

12          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I mean, again, it might  
13 make more sense at a federal level.

14          VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, it is in San Diego  
15 County.

16          MS. WOODS: So, it's right here along the 5. This  
17 is the San Onofre State Beach. This is the nuclear power  
18 plant and this is the continuation of the State Beach.  
19 And it's right -- this strip along the 5.

20          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just put the issue  
21 out there just in case there might be any public comment  
22 necessary on this issue.

23          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Aguirre, then  
24 DiGuilio?

25          COMMISSOINER AGUIRRE: Yes, I would echo, you

1 know, Commissioner Filkins Webber's concern given some  
2 familiarity that I have with nuclear weapons and nuclear  
3 energy proliferation not only in North America, but South  
4 America.

5 The issue, I think, is perhaps better addressed at  
6 the Congressional level given federal regulations and  
7 potential national security issues.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner DiGuilio?

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I was just going to  
10 say, you know, in my spare time not only do I pretend to  
11 be a lawyer but, you know, a nuclear physicist as well,  
12 too.

13 (Laughter)

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Mom, stay-at-home-mom,  
15 it's just my cover. Just kidding.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is on the record, you  
17 know.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I know. No, but I  
19 understand the issue Commissioner Filkins Webber is  
20 raising. But again I do think -- I think that we've taken  
21 care of it in the Senate and Congressional, I think we're  
22 probably safe with this district right now. I suggest  
23 that it's acceptable.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Ward?

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I'd echo much of that.

1 I think Commissioner Filkins Webber's idea is an important  
2 consideration just because for San Clemente, the beach and  
3 to include the nuclear plant that's there certainly is  
4 going to have much more impact on San Clemente since it's  
5 got 18 miles of Pendleton between it and the rest of San  
6 Diego. So, it seems like the environmental issues and  
7 things like that, even at the State level, that would go  
8 along with San Onofre would be best addressed in an Orange  
9 County district, but we do have a county line there.

10 In the absence of input from San Onofre saying  
11 anything to the contrary, it seems to make sense where it  
12 is.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we'll keep going. Are  
14 we going to Orange County or are we still --

15 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Still one more.

16 MS. WOODS: There's still one more San Diego  
17 district that's MURTM.

18 And this includes San Marcos, Escondido, Hidden  
19 Meadows, Valley Center, Bonsall, Fallbrook, Rainbow,  
20 Temecula and part of Murrieta.

21 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: And I think this is also  
22 a good maps. It keeps two high-growth cities of Escondido  
23 and San Marcos together along the I-15 corridor, and it  
24 picks up the necessary population into Temecula.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins Webber?

1           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I may have asked you  
2 this last week because I don't recall that there's been  
3 much change in this district, but what percent of the  
4 population number of Murrieta is in this district?

5           MS. WOODS: One moment. It's going to take a  
6 minute, so we might want to move on and I can come back to  
7 you.

8           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. What can you move on  
9 to, since you're Orange County, right?

10          MS. WOODS: So, the next district is STHOC and it  
11 includes San Clemente, Dana Point, Laguna Niguel, Aliso  
12 Viejo, Mission Viejo, Rancho Santa Marguerita.

13          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and just so -- Ms.  
14 MACDONALD has recommended that we not spend more than a  
15 half-hour on Orange County, so that's --

16          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Who -- can I ask whose --  
17 is this Commissioner Raya's area? I'm trying to remember  
18 who's -- maybe they can --

19          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward  
20 and Commissioner Forbes.

21          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm sorry. Thank you.  
22 I'm sorry, maybe Commissioner Ward could give a -- I don't  
23 know if he wants to give just a quick overview of this?  
24 You know, the reasonings for --

25          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Oh, and Commissioner Ward,

1 because you weren't here yesterday, we're asking the two-  
2 member team to just sort of give quick -- just summaries  
3 of the district, why the district is as it is, and just  
4 for the final report narratives.

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'll do my best. Much of this  
6 I'm seeing for ten -- for the first ten minutes. Orange  
7 County, as you guys know, has been the -- one of the most  
8 difficult areas and has been a struggle for everyone  
9 involved with it. So, thanks to Alex's hard work, she's  
10 got some options available for us today. But we're  
11 really, as a Commission, seeing these for about the first  
12 hour.

13 But this particular district looks like it was in  
14 response to a lot of community interest or I should say  
15 public input requesting to leave a hard line down in South  
16 County, and to keep Dana Point, San Clemente, and San Juan  
17 Capistrano within the county, as we had separated it from  
18 the first map.

19 This also appears to take into consideration the  
20 Unity map submission as this district mirrors much of  
21 that. I'm not sure what the -- what, if any, splits are  
22 in this particular STHOC district. But it does seem to do  
23 a good job of representing input that we've received for  
24 South County.

25 Is Laguna Niguel split on this, Alex?

1 MS. WOODS: It's whole.

2 BOARD MEMBER GARRISON: Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And what is that? Is that  
4 just Laguna Hills boundary?

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It's Laguna Woods.

6 COMMISSIONER WARD: It's Laguna Woods, I think,  
7 yeah.

8 MS. WOODS: Yeah, that is. Hold on.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Filkins  
10 Webber?

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Looking at all of  
12 these districts together, I notice that this is under-  
13 populated by 4,500, while the Coastal district is over-  
14 populated by 4,500.

15 Ms. Woods, have you tried to balance some of the  
16 population a little better or do you need additional  
17 direction? Because I did notice that the south boundary  
18 of the yellow district, where it says Lake Forest, I can't  
19 see the name of it, TUSTO, there -- the boundary that you  
20 have between that and the South OC might be modified a  
21 little bit.

22 But have you looked at that closer you just were  
23 working on this gross visualization?

24 MS. WOODS: I was looking to try and keep all of  
25 the cities I could intact. So, you know, if -- in terms

1 of balancing population, I've been just trying to keep all  
2 the cities I can intact, which is why the deviation seems  
3 to be high.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, in order to balance a  
5 deviation you have to split a city?

6 MS. WOODS: Correct.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, okay.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And so just to  
9 further add just a brief point on the community of  
10 interest, given that I'm familiar with this area, we did  
11 pay attention to Dana Point and kept Dana Point whole  
12 here, and all the primary south OC, or what people  
13 consider as being South Orange County, give or take Lake  
14 Forest. And I recognize that they like to think of  
15 themselves as South OC, but this is core South OC and we  
16 are respecting that community of interest.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, looks good, let's  
18 continue.

19 Did you get to address that previous question  
20 regarding the city numbers?

21 MS. WOODS: We're still looking into that.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, go ahead.

23 MS. WOODS: So, the next district is TUSTO and it  
24 includes part of the City of Irvine, Lake Forest, Tustin,  
25 North Tustin, most of the City of Orange, Villa Park, and

1 Anaheim Hills.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Ward?

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: So, if we walk this district  
4 so Orange, as far as splits go, Alex, it was Orange and  
5 Irvine were the only two for TUSTO? I think I heard that  
6 right.

7 MS. WOODS: I believe so.

8 COMMISSIONER WARD: Thank you. So, this is in  
9 response to -- or does address some of the public input,  
10 predominantly from Irvine requesting that it be  
11 considered, and is considered part of South County. We'd  
12 had it predominantly in a Coastal district.

13 Actually, Alex, how much of Irvine, where is it  
14 split and how much remains coastal? Oh, at the 5.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: For the record,  
16 given this particular split and for the community of  
17 interest that's at issue, this would be reasonable based  
18 on the city commonality with Lake Forest on the north side  
19 or kind of the east side of the 5. And it's a major  
20 thoroughfares that go up through Lake Forest, through the  
21 old El Toro Marine Base and the toll roads. There's a lot  
22 of community of interest on that portion of the 5. So,  
23 again, recognizing that Irvine may have to be split due to  
24 its population, not having heard anything contrary to  
25 this, this appears to be reasonable.

1 MS. WOODS: So, for Irvine there is 71,000 or 33  
2 percent in the TUSTO district and there's 140,453 in the  
3 CSTOC district, and that's 66 percent.

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: Other input that's addressed  
5 is Tustin and North Tustin being kept together and  
6 includes with its South County cities, which it's  
7 traditionally been a part of, and it's municipal ties with  
8 Villa Park and Orange.

9 This is where we get into, start going up into  
10 North County and there's public input that links those  
11 cities, ideally, with cities to the west. But, again, for  
12 simply population purposes this seems to be a legitimate  
13 fit and it doesn't go against a wave of input, anyway.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Okay, very good. I'm  
15 sorry, Commissioner Parvenu?

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Unless it be misunderstood,  
17 that green area just south of Tustin is non-contiguous;  
18 can you zoom in so that we can see that it is connected to  
19 Laguna and how extensively it is connected? Right there  
20 by a narrow strip, I just want the public who are viewing  
21 to see that it was not just a non-contiguous island.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And, again, we're dealing  
23 with municipal boundaries.

24 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Municipal boundaries.

25 MS. WOODS: The next district is CSTOC. It

1 includes a portion of Irvine, it includes Laguna Beach and  
2 Laguna Woods, Newport Beach, Huntington Beach, Sunset  
3 Beach and part of Costa Mesa.

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: So this district, just on  
5 face, seems to present a few concerns concerning public  
6 input. Fountain Valley's provided a lot of input  
7 regarding their ties to Huntington Beach, municipal ties,  
8 and I believe -- I believe it was school district ties. I  
9 could be wrong on that.

10 But, regardless, we've received a lot of input  
11 from them with that. And, obviously, Seal Beach has long  
12 been tied to the rest of the Coastal districts and in this  
13 case it looks like it's put into a district with Fountain  
14 Valley and Westminster.

15 Also, just the -- what's brown right now on the --  
16 is that Costa Mesa?

17 MS. WOODS: Yeah, that's the City of Costa Mesa.

18 COMMISSIONER WARD: And it's split at the south  
19 border, is that right?

20 MS. WOODS: Yeah, so this portion right here is  
21 the section of Costa Mesa that's in the CSTOC district and  
22 it's 12,000 people, 11 percent.

23 And the rest of Costa Mesa is in the WESTC  
24 district.

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: So, just for my opinion, the

1 compactness issue of this district is just a little  
2 concerning considering what cities it links at its  
3 northern and southern ends there.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Commissioner Yao, then  
5 Commissioner Filkins Webber, then DiGuilio.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: Do we have any testimony from  
7 Laguna Woods, Laguna Hills in terms of being tied to the  
8 beach cities?

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, we have Laguna  
10 Woods.

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay, thank you.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And I was going to  
13 comment on that.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Once it was my turn.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I guess you just did.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It's my turn. Yeah,  
18 Laguna Woods, there's a couple --

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: You're done, Commissioner  
20 Yao?

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I'm sorry.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Go ahead.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: A couple of things,  
25 Laguna Woods, I have recognized that we did receive input

1 with them, they do feel that they are tied to a South OC  
2 district, but we're respecting the integrity of keeping it  
3 whole here and we do have population concerns. So, I just  
4 want that recognized on that record that we -- we do  
5 acknowledge their public input, but we also are striving  
6 to keep them whole.

7           The question that I have when you pull back a  
8 little bit, Ms. Woods, is what we've done -- again, I'm  
9 not an expert on how this rotation works but -- and I'll  
10 certainly defer to Commissioner Forbes, who's arrived, and  
11 Commissioner Ward on if there's a possibility -- is it  
12 possible of putting Seal Beach in the Coastal district  
13 what -- where would the population rotation go between the  
14 coast and the West C district? How would that work and  
15 did you explore that in another option?

16           And I think that that might be consistent with  
17 what Commissioner Ward had said about Costa Mesa being  
18 with the Fountain Valley up that 74 -- or 73 corridor.

19           MS. WOODS: So, if you rotate Seal Beach into the  
20 Coastal district then you could -- then you would have to  
21 either -- either add --

22           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, Costa Mesa's  
23 split, already, so if it needed to be split, it might  
24 be --

25           MS. WOODS: There's only -- in the Coastal

1 district there's only 11 percent of Costa Mesa in the  
2 Coastal district, so that's not enough population. Wait,  
3 how much -- it's 12,000, so that doesn't balance the  
4 24,000 in Seal Beach.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I would defer to  
6 Commissioner Ward and Commissioner Forbes as to the public  
7 input testimony and whether this iteration is best to  
8 serve those two districts, because I do recognize the  
9 connections between Seal Beach and Los Alamitos, Rossmoor.  
10 But I think that their connection might be -- or at least  
11 Seal Beach connection might be closer to the beach than to  
12 the Fountain Valley district, whereas Costa Mesa is closer  
13 to the Fountain Valley, in other words, West C district.  
14 So, if that rotation might be better served for these two  
15 communities, I'll defer to Mr. Ward and Mr. Forbes.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioners, do you want  
17 to comment on that?

18 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm sorry, I'm trying to  
19 analyze this. I mean Commissioner Filkins Webber's right,  
20 when it comes to the public input we've had, certainly  
21 this district doesn't represent the considerations that  
22 many of the cities that border it or are in it  
23 represented.

24 I'm looking at the Unity map, now, that was with  
25 the same borders, was successful at putting Los Al,

1 Rossmoor, Seal Beach, Sunset Beach into a Coastal district  
2 that included Costa Mesa and on the south it looks like  
3 Dana Point -- or I'm sorry, Laguna Beach. And I'm just  
4 trying to see where they rotated to see if it's possible  
5 for us.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Could I ask, again, of either  
7 Commissioner Ward or Filkins Webber, are you only talking  
8 about taking Seal Beach out, is that what your comment  
9 was?

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yes. That was  
11 my recommendation because they might have a closer  
12 connection -- they do have a closer connection to the  
13 coast. So, I was just seeing where the population  
14 rotation would be if we pulled in Costa --

15 COMMISSIONER RAYA: All of Costa Mesa, yeah.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: However we do that  
17 population rotation between CSTOC and the West C, and if  
18 it was at all possible because we are confined at the  
19 Santa Ana district right now.

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think --

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, I think -- I was just  
22 going to -- I'll raise a point that even as it sits now to  
23 be closer -- moving Costa Mesa into CSTOC might be more of  
24 a priority being that it's split at 11 percent, and  
25 certainly has expressed, through input, its relationship

1 with all of the cities that are in STOCN. It would  
2 certainly decrease that little corridor connecting --

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: But that's a hundred thousand  
4 people or close to it that you're talking about.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And I apologize,  
6 because when I was talking about it, it was purple. And  
7 now that I realize it's in green, already, so --

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Already, yeah.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, I might have to  
10 just retract everything I said because the rotation won't  
11 work because I thought it was in the blue, so I  
12 misunderstood.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I guess my question  
14 was in looking at this district part of it is in the  
15 larger context, and the Santa Ana/Anaheim is one of those  
16 Section 2 districts that we've drawn and we've kind of  
17 agreed that maybe the South OC is a good district. So,  
18 you kind of have to work this area in, in trying to  
19 balance those needs.

20 And while I think we had this discussion about  
21 Seal Beach being more of a coastal community, I believe  
22 that they are in the Senate and Congressional. At least  
23 one of the Congressional options they are coastal.

24 And what I'm a little concerned is that if you do  
25 take Seal Beach away, you also isolate Rossmoor and Los

1 Al, Los Alamitos a little bit more, too. I think there's  
2 kind of a grouping in the north there and then maybe some  
3 Costa Mesa, Fountain Valley. And, you know, I think in  
4 general it's -- based on the other constraints in the area  
5 that it's a reasonable balance of those areas. Because I  
6 think that just that the options of what we can do are  
7 limited if you're not going north, and you feel okay with  
8 south, and you have limited -- you know, it's a  
9 constrained area.

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: I think that's great feedback,  
11 especially considering that the mappers have been able to  
12 respect some hard lines here.

13 But I am curious as to whether or not we could --  
14 is there any rotation possible to bring Costa Mesa into  
15 CSTOC and rotate some of Irvine north? Well, in a counter  
16 clockwise and continue north.

17 MS. WOODS: So, if you move Irvine into TUSTO,  
18 then you'll most likely be splitting part of Anaheim and  
19 you'd need to move this part of Anaheim into DBRYL, and  
20 then have, I guess, La Habra, Brea move down into ANAFL,  
21 and then move this portion of Anaheim into West C.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because the issue is in  
23 order to move that, make that change, you have to rotate  
24 around the Section 2 in the middle.

25 MS. WOODS: Correct.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, the population has to  
2 go up and around to replace Irvine.

3           MS. WOODS: So, you know, in this visualization  
4 the -- I've tried to respect the La Habra, Brea, Yorba  
5 Linda, Placentia together.

6           COMMISSIONER RAYA: Just to understand what you  
7 were just running through, so that would be -- I just want  
8 to be sure I'm clear on this. You're moving Costa Mesa  
9 into the Coastal, then Irvine up and everybody around?

10          MS. WOODS: Yes.

11          COMMISSIONER RAYA: And what happens over here,  
12 the Seal Beach comes down into the Coastal then or are we  
13 still left with Seal Beach in the same place?

14          MS. WOODS: I could look into Seal Beach and Costa  
15 Mesa, that's about 125,000 people.

16          COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, I'm not -- I just want  
17 to say I'm not suggesting any change, but just because  
18 Commissioner Ward seems concerned about it that, you know,  
19 I want to be sure we understand what the end of the circle  
20 would be like going all the way around before we give any  
21 direction to change this.

22          COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, what -- my main concern  
23 with that CSTOC district right now is just that -- I mean  
24 you've got south South County combined with it looks like  
25 Sunset Beach. And, you know, we're doing it through a

1 little sliver of Costa Mesa and on a lot of levels that  
2 just doesn't make sense. And if we're just strictly using  
3 the community input as the justification, it certainly  
4 contradicts a lot of that that's been received from, like  
5 I said, cities involved in this district and bordering.

6 Certainly, there are Section 2 issues that have to  
7 be addressed. So, my concern is, though, can we make it a  
8 better district by including more of Costa Mesa as a  
9 primary concern and Seal Beach as a secondary or tertiary?

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: My only suggestion would be --  
11 you know, that might be a solution. But if we're going to  
12 rely on what we're saying is public testimony that would  
13 object to going from Laguna Beach to Sunset Beach I think  
14 we, just for purposes of the report, should try to be  
15 specific in, you know, making that record.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Alex, for the benefit of  
17 those of us who are still trying to do the rotation in our  
18 head, would you slowly go through, again, what that would  
19 look like if we moved Costa Mesa into the blue, Irvine  
20 into the yellow and then what?

21 MS. WOODS: So, you would move Costa Mesa into  
22 CSTOC, the blue district, and then move the rest --

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: The rest of Irvine,  
24 maybe?

25 MS. WOODS: -- the rest of Irvine or a portion of

1 Irvine into the TUSTO district.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So far everyone's  
3 happier, keep going.

4 MS. WOODS: And then from TUSTO you would need to  
5 add population to DBRYL.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Probably Anaheim Hills.

7 MS. WOODS: So Anaheim Hills, which would mean  
8 bringing potentially Brea, La Habra, and maybe even  
9 potentially splitting Placentia and putting that into  
10 ANAFL.

11 And then from ANAFL moving -- this is part of  
12 Anaheim right here, potentially moving that part of  
13 Anaheim, or Cypress, or potentially both into the WESTC  
14 district.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, what do you think of  
16 that?

17 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, I'd like to see that  
18 explored. That definitely does align other public input  
19 we've received. Certainly not from Placentia, but  
20 certainly from Brea and Yorba Linda, and Fullerton.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Commissioner Forbes,  
22 then Filkins Webber?

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, I was just  
24 going to say I would also include in that shift, I'd  
25 include Los Alamitos, because I was looking at the

1 numbers. Between Seal Beach and Los Alamitos you have  
2 35,000 and Costa Mesa you've got 110, so that's 145,000.  
3 That comes fairly close to La Habra, Brea and Placentia  
4 combined, so it would be a fairly -- it would be a closer  
5 numerical split.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins Webber?

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: My concern is going  
8 back to the comments I made earlier, I made a mistake  
9 because I thought Costa Mesa was in Coast, the Coastal  
10 district as it was, and I thought the community of  
11 interest testimony was Costa Mesa with Fountain Valley,  
12 which is in the green district that it presently exists  
13 in, number one.

14 Number two, I recognized Irvine's concern that  
15 technically they do think of themselves as a South County  
16 and that they're not coastal, but they are a very large  
17 city in this district.

18 The other, third concern I have, which we saw  
19 this, I thought, in earlier iteration is the Anaheim Hills  
20 going to Yorba Linda and Chino Hills, up into the DBYR,  
21 whatever district that is, I feel that they have a closer  
22 relationship to the Villa Park area and there's a  
23 geographic reason for that because Anaheim Hills is in the  
24 hills. And then there's a valley, which is right at the  
25 91 Freeway, which there is a geographic divide there.

1           And then my concern is, is even though I recognize  
2 the COI between Brea and Fullerton, you're then putting,  
3 potentially, Placentia all the way with a district over  
4 into Cypress. And Placentia, on that side, doesn't have a  
5 community of interest with Cypress.

6           So, although maybe we can see a rotation because  
7 this is the first time that we've -- you know, I don't  
8 know, this is not the first time that we've seen this, but  
9 I think that this goes back to some of the iterations and  
10 I think this system -- this iteration that we're looking  
11 at, this visualization for all of these districts might be  
12 more conducive to all of these community interests that  
13 we're trying to balance. And I'm worried about moving it  
14 might disrupt more than what we have here.

15           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we need to make a  
16 commitment one way or the other.

17           Commissioner DiGuilio?

18           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I guess I'm inclined -- I  
19 mean my initial feeling is that I feel like the problem  
20 we're trying to address, the ripple effects through one,  
21 two, three, four, five districts, all the way up and  
22 around that population push is a little drastic for me at  
23 this level.

24           When I think some of these issues, again, are  
25 addressed in different district levels, it's not that I

1 don't think there's a real legitimate concern here. I  
2 know the split in Irvine is a little bit better in Senate,  
3 and I believe in Congressional, and I think there may be  
4 whole -- so, again, for such a large city, I think we're  
5 doing our best to try and accommodate that.

6 So, I guess I'm saying I don't necessarily feel  
7 comfortable doing that shift. I would feel more  
8 comfortable with this.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Ward?

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I'll put another pitch  
11 in for it, for trying to make that shift and let's see the  
12 impact. Because, again, although I appreciate  
13 Commissioner Filkins Webber's comments, I do think that  
14 there are ties that bind Anaheim Hills to both its north  
15 and south neighbors.

16 And on balance, the ripple effects that Alex  
17 walked us through are still, with small exception, in line  
18 with input we've received from these communities. Neither  
19 of them are -- you know, align themselves perfectly but,  
20 on balance, it seems that that rotation and shift of  
21 population is, on balance, addresses more of what we've  
22 received.

23 And Costa Mesa, like I said, as it is now  
24 represented it doesn't seem to -- it doesn't seem to be  
25 well represented in the district it's in.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Dai and  
2 then I want to do a straw vote.

3           COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I seem to recall from the  
4 testimony what Commissioner Filkins Webber said that,  
5 yeah, Anaheim Hills is probably better aligned with Villa  
6 Park, although I'm certain that Irvine would rather be  
7 whole than in that district as well.

8           I guess my question would be around -- I don't  
9 remember whether Costa Mesa wanted to be part of the coast  
10 or inland, so that would be helpful to get clarification  
11 because I've heard two different things here.

12           The question I would have might be whether Cypress  
13 and La Palma would be better situated in the WESTC  
14 district or not, because I know we've had them there in  
15 previous incarnations. So, those are just my thoughts.

16           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Who supports the  
17 current visualization as is? Ten, I've got ten. So, I  
18 think that would move us with maintaining this, since I  
19 assume that the rotation would not command similar  
20 numbers. Okay.

21           And, again, if anybody wants to do a formal  
22 motion, we can always do that for the record, of course.

23           Okay, let's go forward then.

24           MS. WOODS: So, we have looked at WESTC. The next  
25 district is the SNANA, which is Santa Ana, part of Garden

1 Grove, part of Orange, and part of Anaheim.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And, Mr. Brown, do  
3 you want to -- you've already indicated this should be a  
4 section -- this should be drawn to compile a Section 2.

5 MR. BROWN: That is right.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

7 MS. WOODS: The next district is --

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Wait, I'm sorry.

9 MS. WOODS: Oh.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco?

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, I think it's -- I  
12 think it's important for the record sometimes, even when  
13 something's a Section 2, especially in this district where  
14 we had such overwhelming public testimony about a  
15 community of interest to make that part of the record.

16 I went back and looked at both the written  
17 comments and then at the testimony from our hearing in  
18 Fullerton, which was July 18<sup>th</sup> [sic], after our first draft  
19 map came out.

20 And going -- in our first draft map, as you  
21 remember, these were not together in the Assembly, or the  
22 Senate or the Congressional. And we had, I think, over 50  
23 speakers and about ten or more written comments about the  
24 fact that this was a community of interest where people  
25 went -- were not only not separated by Disneyland in the

1 middle, but the fact that Disneyland, in fact, was the  
2 common employer for many people, because they worked at  
3 different parts of the resort industry.

4           We had comments about families that go back and  
5 forth, about medical issues that connect the two  
6 communities, health, educational improvement efforts  
7 between these two communities and particularly what was  
8 called sort of the Anaheim Flats and Santa Ana.

9           And since we put this together in our -- in this  
10 visualization, we have received no -- no comments opposing  
11 this. I looked at the Mayor of Orange's letter and what  
12 she says is that she would prefer to keep the City of  
13 Orange together but in light of the testimony that she  
14 heard at the Fullerton hearing, that she understands the  
15 pairing of these communities, and which she would suggest  
16 to be kept whole in the Senate. But that with the  
17 Congressional and with the Assembly districts she  
18 understands the commonality.

19           And, of course, we heard testimony yesterday and  
20 today urging us to keep this visualization because of the  
21 community of interest.

22           So, I think that's important as well as the fact  
23 that it's a compact district for Section 2 purposes.

24           COMMISSIONER RAYA: May I ask for a clarification  
25 of Commissioner Blanco's remarks? You were saying that

1 pairing these communities was okay and keeping Orange --  
2 was it Orange you were referring to as being in the Senate  
3 whole?

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, thank you.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: The letter from the Mayor of  
7 Orange says --

8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No, that's okay.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, she says I understand  
10 why you would have to prepare these and split Orange in  
11 the Assembly or Congressional, but I ask to be kept whole  
12 in the Senate district.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Let's move on to the  
14 next district.

15 MS. WOODS: This is ANAFL. It includes Cypress,  
16 La Palma, Stanton, Anaheim, Buena Park, and Fullerton.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Ward, anything  
18 you want to give us in terms of a basic --

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: Sure. So, Fullerton and Buena  
20 Park there's been, I believe, two public input. One was  
21 from, I believe, a Fullerton City Council member that  
22 talked about some municipal ties there, so this respects  
23 that. It minimizes splits and I believe it picks up the  
24 rest of East Anaheim, if I'm not mistaken, and respects  
25 the Orange County/Los Angeles border.

1           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I would also go so  
2 far as to say that the area primarily in the central  
3 portion, with Cypress, Buena Park, Stanton running east to  
4 west into Anaheim there are -- the communities of interest  
5 that correspond with those areas, although we haven't seen  
6 much in the way of significant public testimony, again my  
7 familiarity with the area and, no, I haven't lived in any  
8 of those cities in particular, but I do work at the Orange  
9 Crush. So, and I'm familiar with the major thoroughfares,  
10 Cotella [phon.], Ball, major transportation corridors.  
11 And when you travel on any of those streets they are,  
12 essentially, very similar neighborhoods from Cypress over  
13 to more into the Central Anaheim area. It gets different.

14           But the community of interest essentially in those  
15 areas, Stanton, Cypress is very similar.

16           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, that sounds good. The  
17 next district.

18           MS. WOODS: It's DBRYL, in includes La Habra,  
19 Brea, Placentia, Yorba Linda, Chino Hills, Rowland  
20 Heights, Diamond Bar, Walnut, part of the City of  
21 Industry, and West Covina.

22           COMMISSIONER WARD: So, we had identified this  
23 district or this part of Orange County as a kind of event.  
24 And originally we had -- on the first draft maps had to  
25 leave out La Habra and we're successful in being able to

1 reunite it with Orange County per lots of public input.

2 Yorba Linda, Chino Hills and Brea share -- share  
3 fire and Chino Hills State Park issues and are united by  
4 the Carbon Canyon. So, this respects that public input.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I would also say  
6 that in the earlier states, prior to our draft map, we  
7 received significant amount of testimony from Chino Hills,  
8 and Diamond Bar and Walnut residents. So that although  
9 we're crossing county lines in this particular region, it  
10 has been supported in this particular iteration, give or  
11 take a few cities in the Northern Orange County area, has  
12 been pretty solid and supported by a substantial amount of  
13 public input testimony prior to the draft map to combine  
14 Chino Hills, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, Brea.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I'll just say it's  
16 the Four Corners area.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any additional comments?

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Is La Puente split? No.  
19 Right?

20 MS. WOODS: No.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And, again, as a reminder  
22 for the public, the squiggly line are municipal  
23 boundaries, it's not us.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Question, confirmation that

1 Diamond Bar is not split?

2 MS. WOODS: It appears that it isn't. And  
3 confirmation it's whole.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Are we ready to move  
5 on? Are we done with Orange County?

6 MS. WOODS: Yeah, we're finished with regions one,  
7 two and three for Assembly.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good. We have to  
9 do a swap out right now?

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, we're swapping out.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do you need a few minutes?

12 MS. MAC DONALD: Or five.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let's just take --  
14 so a five-minute break.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Chair, I think Commissioner  
16 Forbes had a question, too, and he's here now.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'll talk about it.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're on break right now.

20 (Off the record at 2:40 p.m.)

21 (Reconvene at 2:46 p.m.)

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we're back from break.  
23 We're going to go for about an hour. Again, the dean will  
24 be here to join us in about an hour or so. So, I want to  
25 thank Ms. Woods, excellent work, thank you.

1 Ms. Boyle has now rotated in. Welcome. Okay,  
2 again, and Ms. MACDONALD has suggested a time limit for  
3 us, and Commissioner Ontai's going to be cracking the whip  
4 the best we can.

5 I think the plan for right now is to spend, again,  
6 about a total of two hours on the Los Angeles Assembly  
7 districts. And again, we'll take a break to meet with the  
8 Dean in about one hour.

9 So, and I think, also, Mr. Brown is available  
10 through about 4:30 or so, so we may want to -- I think  
11 we'll get into the core areas, as well, but certainly  
12 there's a number of VRA-related districts which we'll try  
13 to cover and have him chime in on those as well.

14 So, we want to start with Central L.A. Okay,  
15 yeah, let's start with the downtown area.

16 MS. BOYLE: All right, so I guess we'll start with  
17 the VRA cluster of districts, those are in yellow,  
18 districts that are touching that cluster.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can I have a clarification?  
20 I'm sorry, there's an option one and an option two. I  
21 know we went through this before, which one is this?

22 MS. BOYLE: We're currently viewing today's option  
23 one.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay, thank you.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I'm sorry, could you

1 just give us a quick overview of where the options differ  
2 so we know -- because I believe there's many that are the  
3 same, it's just a few places that they're different.

4 MS. BOYLE: Today there's only differences between  
5 three districts.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, and which -- can  
7 you -- which --

8 MS. BOYLE: It's in the cluster and I believe it's  
9 these three districts here.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, just wanted to set  
11 it up, thank you.

12 MS. BOYLE: I have four districts if you count the  
13 Long Beach, there's a ripple that went this way. I can --  
14 okay. So, today's option one and option two are based off  
15 of last week's option three, under the Commission  
16 direction to see what I could do in terms of decreasing  
17 the range in the Latino CVAP numbers for the Latino CVAP  
18 districts.

19 Last week's option three had a range of 21 percent  
20 between the lowest Latino CVAP and the highest. Today's  
21 option one that you're looking at has a ten percent range,  
22 with the lowest Latino CVAP number being 51 percent and  
23 the highest being 61 percent.

24 And option two has an eight percent range, high  
25 being 50 -- I mean low being 50, high being 58. And the

1 districts that were affected were these three, mostly.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Maybe we can work with the  
3 districts that aren't affected by those clusters and then  
4 we can focus on the two options. Would that make sense?

5 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, that's fine. Would we like to  
6 start west to east or east to west?

7 Okay, we'll start east to west. This is the  
8 Covina district. There's been very few changes to it  
9 since last week.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Now, Mr. Brown, this one --  
11 this district, I think there was a question about whether  
12 it had -- well, it's clearly majority Latino and I think  
13 it ended up being around 49 percent, at least in one  
14 iteration last time.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: We added South El Monte,  
16 I think.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah. It's sort of not --  
18 it wasn't in the core that we were originally looking at  
19 but it's turned into a majority Latino district, based on  
20 community of interest testimony as well.

21 MR. BROWN: Is there a specific question about it?

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I was going to ask Ms.  
23 Boyle what she added because it doesn't look like it's  
24 South El Monte.

25 MS. BOYLE: No, South El Monte is not in there, I

1 had trouble accommodating that request in terms of looking  
2 at balancing the numbers or decreasing the range between  
3 the high and the low.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think the question --  
5 well, the issue was whether I think we had not -- we  
6 hadn't asked Dr. Barreto to actually look at this  
7 particular area. But, again, I think for a number of the  
8 L.A. County districts we're not doing that, it's based on  
9 existing literature. There may not be an issue,  
10 necessarily, with this district.

11 I think Commissioner Filkins Webber had raised a  
12 question about whether it was required or not.

13 Hadn't you raised a question about the West Covina  
14 area, whether it was a -- or am I mixing up the level  
15 of -- was it Congressional?

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I believe this area  
17 is, at the Congressional level, identified as WESTCOV, or  
18 something to that nature.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And then when we  
21 were looking at the numbers I think they were closer than  
22 what we had anticipated previously, so it might be at the  
23 Congressional level.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and I apologize for  
25 bringing it up here.

1           Okay, Commissioner Blanco.

2           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, I have a question. This  
3 is a district that's pretty much remained the same from  
4 early iterations and I understand now we're trying to  
5 deconcentrate. Can you tell me a little bit about those  
6 lines, they may be as we've found out in many places city  
7 lines, or some kind of a political boundary, right where  
8 El Monte is in the southwest corner, that sort of sticks  
9 out above South El Monte? See right -- yeah, that area  
10 there, can you maybe describe what that -- is that -- or  
11 is that just the El Monte City boundary lines?

12           MS. BOYLE: No, it is not. El Monte is split and  
13 it's split rather creatively to establish this as a 50  
14 percent and greater Asian CVAP district without splitting  
15 South Pasadena. So, there's a very specific split here to  
16 get the deviation below half a percent, but to maintain  
17 this number above 50 percent without splitting South  
18 Pasadena.

19           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, is I correct that now  
20 we've got El Monte split, El Monte divided from South El  
21 Monte. And is South El Monte split?

22           MS. BOYLE: Just a moment. Yes, it is split.

23           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think this is kind of  
24 problematic. We have letters both to the effect of  
25 keeping these cities whole, as well as letters to the

1 effect of keeping them together. I don't know that we  
2 could do all of those things, but to separate and then to  
3 split each one of those cities and they -- I know a little  
4 bit about the City of El Monte, our Foundation has a ten-  
5 year project there, it's a city that's really trying to  
6 reinvent itself and pull itself together.

7 I don't know that it -- I think splitting it is  
8 problematic. I know it's large but --

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is the split for both cities;  
10 is it for the Section 2?

11 MS. BOYLE: Yes, the district to the west is a  
12 potential VRA district.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Commissioners  
14 Filkins Webber and then Yao.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That's what I was  
16 going to say. We have come up against quite a number of  
17 city splits from, you know, Kings County with Bakersfield.  
18 And if we look at it, even though I haven't done the check  
19 on my Statewide Database, that we might very well be able  
20 to keep it whole at other levels.

21 But we do have to recognize that most of our  
22 difficulty is in areas where we're right next to a Section  
23 2, so we've had to go in and, as Ms. Boyle had pointed  
24 out, do some creative drawing and that's what happens when  
25 we're next to a Section 2.

1 I did have one other question for -- Commissioner  
2 Blanco had mentioned this earlier, this is a 51 percent  
3 district in this West Covina and you had made a comment  
4 about I don't know that -- did we previously try to  
5 deconcentrate here or, again, this -- I didn't understand  
6 that we needed to deconcentrate when it was at 51.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, we had -- it was below,  
8 we had taken something from the district, adjoining  
9 district and --

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I see.

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, it was a different  
12 thing. So, I understand the Section 2 districts, it just  
13 seems like now we have them in three different districts;  
14 is that correct, El Monte and South El Monte, in three  
15 different Assembly districts?

16 MS. BOYLE: Correct. El Monte is in two different  
17 Assembly districts and South El Monte is in two, but the  
18 second district they do not share. So, correct, there's  
19 three districts involved here.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao?

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: That was the question I was  
22 concerned about is whether South El Monte, by itself, has  
23 been split into three districts. And it sounds like I got  
24 the answer it's only two.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And again, I think

1 there's some exchangeability among the Latino districts.  
2 There's only one Asian district and I think given how  
3 the -- given the demographics, you can't -- we're right at  
4 50 percent.

5 MS. BOYLE: South El Monte can go with the Covina  
6 district, but if you look at the lines, it would have  
7 created like a little bulb projection, so I avoided doing  
8 that. I don't think it was just having to do with the  
9 deconcentration of the districts to the southwest; it also  
10 would have involved kind of a funny little projection  
11 there on the southwest corner of the LACVN district.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Would it also have caused  
13 you to exceed your deviation number if you picked up that  
14 much?

15 MS. BOYLE: It would have caused me to create a  
16 split, a city split most likely on the northern portion of  
17 the district where the foothill communities are, to  
18 accommodate the population.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you.

20 MS. BOYLE: But I think I could have also removed  
21 a city, like Duarte, but it would have split it from the  
22 other cities that are in the district.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner DiGuilio?

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think this is just -- I

1 think this is a very good conversation because I think we  
2 need to talk this through, partly to check in with  
3 ourselves and make sure that this is what needs to be  
4 done. I was going to say the right thing but, you know, I  
5 think sometimes it's just, again, that balancing.

6 But also, I think for our descriptions, our  
7 narrative descriptions, as Commissioner Forbes mentioned.

8 But in areas, like in the Central Valley or  
9 things, where the splits in some of these cities are just  
10 not very pretty, but there's a reason for it. And I think  
11 we just need to make sure we're clear on our narrative  
12 description what's going on.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, other comments? I'm  
14 sorry, who's on top of this district, by the way?  
15 Commissioner Raya and Commissioner Galambos Malloy?

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes. Though I  
17 confess we've been thinking quite a bit about the  
18 Congressional districts in this area so we're --

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: We have another issue we're  
20 dealing with.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah. We're sort of doing  
22 the Assemblies first because it might be a little faster  
23 to get through those.

24 But, obviously, these are all the same areas; you  
25 can't escape the demographics, obviously.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: My final question would be,  
2 then, I understand, you know, especially when it comes to  
3 these other legal matters.

4           Are the splits in both South El Monte and El  
5 Monte, are there parts that are more Asian that then  
6 that's what you pick up for the Asian CVAP is that --

7           MS. BOYLE: Yes. In this case, yes, both for El  
8 Monte and South El Monte.

9           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

10          COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The only other  
11 thing I would add in terms of thinking of this district  
12 regionally is that what we have -- the approach we've been  
13 taking, based on the COI input, is that we wanted to have  
14 some semblance of districts that connected with the  
15 foothills. So, really, on the northern end that's the  
16 reflection you see.

17          You'll remember at many levels we had sort of  
18 elongated districts is where we started and we were given  
19 clear direction by the public that what we needed to do  
20 was really break up those districts because the  
21 communities functioned more on the north to south axis,  
22 not so much across on east to west when you get up close  
23 to the foothills.

24          So, that's some of the thinking behind the  
25 connection on the northern end of the communities.

1           And then as you get farther south we have strong  
2 COI connecting some of these neighborhoods and communities  
3 but, again, on the west side we're constrained by the  
4 district, the Alhambra district.

5           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai, is that  
6 sufficient, do you think, for a narrative or do you want a  
7 little bit more per district?

8           COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, it sounds like we've got  
9 a foothill community COI at the top, and then I'm assuming  
10 Covina, West Covina, it would be helpful to kind of name  
11 where the COIs are so we can indicate that, you know,  
12 we've put several adjacent COIs together I think is  
13 probably --

14          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

15          COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, on the north  
16 end you have the foothill communities of Duarte, Azusa,  
17 Glendora. As you get down farther south you have the  
18 Covina relationships. I think the area where this  
19 district, which we've already discussed, that has had a  
20 challenging time of respecting the COIs has been when you  
21 get down to El Monte and South El Monte, so there may be  
22 some room there to refine the southwest corner of the  
23 district as per the COI testimony that we've heard, but  
24 also balancing the other considerations and our attempts  
25 to deconcentrate the Latino population across multiple

1 districts.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I would indicate in this  
3 case, if we stick with this that we, you know, weren't  
4 able to combine South El Monte with El Monte, not only  
5 because of the adjacent Section 2, but also it would have  
6 caused another city split, as Ms. Boyle said.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Yao?

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: On the Google Map, the area  
9 immediately south of Monrovia, can we blow that up a  
10 little further? It looks a lot worse on the Google Map  
11 than it does on the map that's on the screen. The area  
12 called Mayflower Village, on the Google Map, immediately  
13 in that area. Can you blow it up even further?

14 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So, it looks like I have a  
15 noncontiguous area. This area here is connected to  
16 Monrovia. So, this area here needs to be -- this town  
17 needs to be taken off to move this area with the El Covina  
18 district. There's hardly any population in here.

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Unincorporated area.

20 MS. BOYLE: So, it's basically a remnant of the  
21 mapping process that wasn't cleaned up.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: And I just wanted to make clear  
23 that this is the kind of stuff that we take care of when  
24 we run all of these reports, because this can happen very  
25 easily, there's these little slices everywhere.

1           COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, it's just when I looked  
2 at it I didn't understand it and that's all. Thank you  
3 very much.

4           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

5           MS. BOYLE: Yeah, Mayflower Village is part of the  
6 El Covina district and it's disconnected by that single  
7 block of what I call the Monrovia tail.

8           So, in order to have Mayflower -- the point that I  
9 guess I need to make here is that in order for Mayflower  
10 Village to be with El Covina, we need to create a city  
11 split here of this tail to move it with El Covina,  
12 otherwise we need Monrovia to be in the district, as well,  
13 to avoid that split.

14          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And is that tail even  
15 populated?

16          MS. BOYLE: It's not very populated at all. I  
17 can't recall, but we can pick it up right now and look.

18          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That's okay, it just kind  
19 of looks like an evolutionary appendage.

20          COMMISSIONER YAO: It's just something I didn't  
21 understand.

22          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right. As the transcriber's  
23 going --

24          MS. BOYLE: Thank you for pointing that out. Like  
25 I said, it was a remnant that gets cleaned up in the final

1 procedures.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, just as a  
3 reminder, we're trying to make sure that the transcripts  
4 reflect names and appropriate comments, so we'll rely on  
5 my calling you out if there are comments or questions.

6 Okay, so let's try to, again, be a little more  
7 systematic, so we've covered --

8 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, actually, could we please  
9 go over the direction that was given, so that it's clear  
10 for all of us and Kyle?

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sure. Sure.

12 MS. KUBAS: I'm confused as to what the Commission  
13 would like to do with the El Montes.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Leave it as is.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I don't think we  
16 were suggesting any changes.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: We just wanted to understand  
18 why it was the way it was.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The other thing  
20 that I would add to the record, that I think would help  
21 the conversation is that the COI is actually broader than  
22 El Monte and South El Monte, it's also those places  
23 connected with Baldwin Park.

24 And so in this configuration we have El Monte or a  
25 portion of El Monte in with Baldwin Park. And then, if

1 I'm visualizing this correctly, then we have a portion of  
2 El Monte that is with South El Monte.

3 So, we've essentially taken a larger COI and now  
4 it's in two smaller pieces.

5 Is that correct, would you say, Ms. Boyle?

6 MS. BOYLE: If you combine -- the El Monte's are  
7 in three districts. We have very small portions of both  
8 of the El Montes in the Alhambra district, the LAWSG. And  
9 then the majority of the El Monte population is with the  
10 LACVN district to the east, whereas the majority of the  
11 South El Monte population is with the district to the  
12 south that also includes Avocado Heights.

13 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: What would it take  
14 to get the El Monte down to two districts, as opposed to  
15 three? We can add it; we can then remove the population  
16 that we added up here. I need to see what the ripple  
17 effect would be in terms of what we're trying to do with  
18 the southeast cities districts.

19 But I believe it is doable. It would create this  
20 little bulb that kind of projects out here, but I'm  
21 willing to take a look at it.

22 I also believe that it would take a split here at  
23 Pasadena. I set the line so that we wouldn't have a split  
24 in Burbank or Pasadena. And a lot of how those lines fall  
25 has to do with this district configuration here.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh-hum.

2 MS. BOYLE: If that makes sense?

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I would defer  
4 to Commissioner Blanco and Commissioner Raya, who know  
5 this area better than I do. But, you know, I do have  
6 concerns about that southwest end of this district.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Commissioner --  
8 raise your hands, again, I have an order here.

9 And just as a reminder, we're not exploring, we  
10 have to pin these down. So, if it's going to be clear  
11 direction to do that, we have to make sure that's a clear  
12 direction.

13 So, Commissioners Yao, Barabba and then Raya?

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Just a verification of the fact  
15 El Monte is split two ways, South El Monte is split two  
16 ways. It just happens to be El Monte is separated -- El  
17 Monte plus South El Monte, together, is split into three  
18 separate counties. So, there's no one city that's split  
19 more than twice.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba?

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And the reason it is, is  
23 because you wanted to get the Asian CVAP up; is that  
24 correct?

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And Commissioner Raya.

1 MS. BOYLE: And the deviation down.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah.

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, I'd be a little  
4 concerned about something that has the effect of splitting  
5 Pasadena in this district, or at this level, I should say.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Any others? Okay, so  
7 I think -- are we done -- we're done with at least LACVN  
8 at this point?

9 Okay, so maybe LAWSG, which we've talked a bit  
10 about already, but just to confirm, if Commissioner Raya  
11 or Commissioner Galambos Malloy want to say anything.

12 Commissioners?

13 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Sorry. Okay, well this -- I  
14 think this district is clearly responsive to the COI  
15 testimony, in particular from the Asian community. I'll  
16 wait until the cities are back up there.

17 MS. MAC DONALD: She does that to me all the time.

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I know, I have a hard time  
19 when those things keep -- I feel like I'm in a strobe  
20 light show or something.

21 And I think we have ended up here without --  
22 without any city splits and we, from earlier versions  
23 South Pasadena has been put over to where it's whole with  
24 Pasadena, which was a better fit.

25 There's really nothing, I don't think, that

1 remarkable to say about it. Well, I might just run  
2 through the cities because I think we've had consistent  
3 community of interest testimony that links Alhambra,  
4 Monterey Park, Rosemead, San Gabriel, Arcadia, San Moreno,  
5 Temple City.

6 So, as I look at it, this is a -- I mean it's one  
7 of the districts that we were exactly asked for on behalf  
8 of the API community and there you have it.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's move on to  
10 another district. And, again, we're still not going into  
11 the two options, yet, we're still dealing with other  
12 stable districts.

13 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: You know, the other  
16 thing I would add, I'm not sure the extent to which we're  
17 just saying these are the cities that have been submitted  
18 as a community of interest, but to whatever it's useful to  
19 say a bit more context as to why some of the feedback that  
20 we have gotten, in addition to this being an API COI, is  
21 that because it has a high proportion of recent Asian  
22 immigrants that these are communities that experience  
23 higher levels of issues around hate crimes, consumer  
24 fraud, trumped up immigration schemes. They have limited  
25 English proficiency.

1           So, there's a number of social and economic  
2 conditions in addition to the race and ethnicity that  
3 combines those cities together into a COI.

4           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, great. So, the next  
5 district?

6           MS. BOYLE: Our next district is LAPRW. It  
7 consists of a portion of South El Monte, Avocado Heights,  
8 most of Industry, West Puente, South San Jose Hills,  
9 Hacienda Heights, La Habra Heights, Rose Hills, Whittier,  
10 West Whittier, Pico Rivera, South Whittier, East La  
11 Morada, La Morada and Santa Fe Springs.

12          COMMISSIONER RAYA: Is Pico Riva split?

13          MS. BOYLE: In this visualization it's whole.

14          COMMISSIONER RAYA: It's whole.

15          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Brown, do you have  
16 any -- this is a little bit on the higher side in terms of  
17 Latino CVAP, any comments?

18          MR. BROWN: Not really. You know, given the  
19 overall exercise you've gone through I think, you know, if  
20 you end up with something that's around 60 percent that's  
21 probably going to be okay.

22          COMMISSIONER YAO: It's also a very compact  
23 district.

24          MR. BROWN: I would also say to the extent you've  
25 successfully included a district with no city splits, I

1 think you're in pretty good shape overall.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, I guess  
3 Commissioner Blanco and then Commissioner Filkins Webber.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just want to note that we  
5 did receive some, not a lot, but some testimony in writing  
6 about that Montebello was a city that was more  
7 traditionally -- it wasn't sort of traditionally part of  
8 that more southeast district, but is usually considered  
9 closer allied to Whittier, that configuration.

10 And so I think, you know, it is traditionally sort  
11 of more this -- you know, when you go up the 5 it's  
12 usually on that other side of 5 with the Whittier, Santa  
13 Fe Springs, you know, that grouping of cities rather than  
14 more with the cities in the southeast.

15 But I think that given the fact that a lot of  
16 these districts, you know, we're trying to really reduce  
17 the over-concentration and that we have a Section 2  
18 district on top that kind of creates some hard boundaries,  
19 that this probably is okay. I just want to note that we  
20 did get some testimony to that effect.

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, the --

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I thought -- oh, I'm  
23 sorry. I was in the queue.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm out of turn, go ahead.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're in the queue but,

1 obviously, we want to get to the narratives, of course.  
2 But Commissioner Filkins Webber, and then Yao, and then  
3 Raya, and Galambos Malloy.

4           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just wanted to add  
5 to the potential narrative that in that regard we have  
6 received a substantial amount of public input most  
7 recently regarding La Habra. And so we're recognizing  
8 that although that particular city may potentially, you  
9 know, decrease concentration as we're talking about here,  
10 this is a balance of communities of interest.

11           And in looking at, which we'll get to other  
12 options, this is respecting the Orange County line, so  
13 county line cohesiveness, I guess, and the City of La  
14 Habra, and recognizing that we -- we did receive their  
15 testimony.

16           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao?

17           COMMISSIONER YAO: I want to recognize the fact  
18 that the Hacienda Heights wants very much to associate  
19 themselves with Rowland Heights, given the fact that this  
20 is such a dense area and the Assembly district is not a  
21 very large district that we have to end up separating them  
22 into separate districts. I don't think we can do anything  
23 about it, I just want to acknowledge the fact that the  
24 community of interest is definitely there.

25           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Raya, do

1 you want to --

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: My comments were made.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I do want to see, though, the  
5 other option. We have another option, right, on this?

6 MS. BOYLE: Yes. It doesn't affect Rowland  
7 Heights or Hacienda Heights though, unfortunately.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, how do you want  
9 to handle this in terms of we're going -- so this is the  
10 one that just -- where we have an option one district?

11 MS. BOYLE: Right.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let's go to  
13 option -- are you going to be able to toggle back and  
14 forth between one and two?

15 MS. BOYLE: Sure. We can do that, I can bring up  
16 the option two right now.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, the other reason that I  
18 want to see the other option is for a couple of the  
19 reasons that have -- just comments that have been made  
20 with regard to Montebello and then the east side there of  
21 the district. Although I know that's what gives it a  
22 certain balance as far as the CVAP.

23 MS. BOYLE: So, if you see here, now, Pico  
24 Rivera's out of the district and I believe we have a split  
25 on Norwalk. Yes.

1           COMMISSIONER RAYA:  And can you put the numbers  
2 up, please?

3           MS. BOYLE:  Oh, yes, just a moment.

4           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA:  So, just in terms of  
5 discussion purposes you said three -- basically, three  
6 districts are involved in terms of differences between the  
7 options, right, and I think Commissioner Raya just wanted  
8 to take a look at this one, first.

9           And then maybe it makes sense to look at option  
10 one three districts and then look at the option two three  
11 districts.

12          MS. BOYLE:  Uh-hum.

13          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA:  So, we'll go back to option  
14 one and then we'll look at the second and third districts  
15 that we're talking about.

16          MS. BOYLE:  Okay, we're moving on.  So, our next  
17 district is the LADNN district.  It stretches from  
18 Commerce and Montebello in the northwest to Hawaiian  
19 Gardens and Artesia/Cerritos in the southeast.  And it  
20 includes a portion of Lakewood, so Lakewood is split.

21          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA:  So, why don't you go to the  
22 third district then?

23          MS. BOYLE:  Okay.  The third district of option  
24 one?

25          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA:  Yes.

1 MS. BOYLE: Okay. Our next district is the LAGSL  
2 district to the immediate west. This one stretches from  
3 Maywood in the north to Lakewood in the southeast.

4 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It's difficult for me to  
5 see this, can you bring that up a little bit larger?

6 MS. BOYLE: Yes. I apologize, I'm looking at a  
7 different display. Maywood in the north, through Long  
8 Beach, to include the majority of Lakewood. Lakewood is  
9 split here.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so just kind of a --  
11 trying to get the big picture via the three.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And show me Lakewood, too,  
13 by itself? Can you just show the city outlines?

14 MS. BOYLE: Yes, uh-hum.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So, that's split.

16 MS. BOYLE: Is that sufficient?

17 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So, that's Lakewood, okay,  
18 so it's just the eastern part of Lakewood's split?

19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR ROUILLARD: Correct, it was so I  
20 can include Hawaiian Gardens in the district.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Now, again, can you  
22 toggle back and forth fairly quickly, say, from this --  
23 let's get the three in a bid of a birds eye and then can  
24 you toggle between option one and option two?

25 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

2 MS. BOYLE: Option two coming up, now. I also  
3 have option three from last week available.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Maybe we'll limit it to two  
5 for right now.

6 MS. BOYLE: Okay. So in this one Long --

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We appreciate the option  
8 it's just that, yeah, it's hard to maintain -- get a sense  
9 of which one, when you're looking at too many.

10 MS. BOYLE: The options are to give you a sense of  
11 how moving the lines around affect the adjacent districts.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah.

13 MS. BOYLE: So, Lakewood is whole in this  
14 iteration. Norwalk is split, that was kind of the  
15 tradeoff in this map. And Hawaiian Gardens is not with  
16 Artesia and Cerritos.

17 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: What's the table for this?  
18 When I see the table, is that LADNN for the adjacent one  
19 to the right? So, what other figures for this one are we  
20 looking at?

21 MS. BOYLE: Sure, just a moment, please. So, this  
22 one here is the LAGSL and -- LASGL. Let me pull up the  
23 label for it.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: This is option two,  
25 correct?

1 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

3 MS. BOYLE: So, if you recall from last week, option  
4 three, I believe we had a 70 percent district here and to  
5 the west we had a 54 percent district.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And LAWBC to the west is the  
7 same under either configuration?

8 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Or option, rather.

10 MS. BOYLE: It's close. Yes, in today's  
11 visualizations there was no change to this district.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so I guess --

13 MS. BOYLE: So, back to option two? Option two  
14 has the lighter purple, option one is the darker purple.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, maybe Commissioners Raya  
16 and Galambos Malloy, you could start this off in terms of  
17 are there significant differences in terms of community of  
18 interests between these two options that might need to be  
19 highlighted or, again, city -- you know, connections  
20 between cities?

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So, our area is overlapping, I  
22 think, with Commissioner Yao and Commissioner Parvenu, as  
23 well. So, we can address -- am I right?

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And I'm overlapping some of  
25 it, too.

1           COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, okay. I think this  
2 configuration where Pico Rivera's with Montebello is --  
3 and I'm looking up how above Montebello what is pulled in  
4 there. That's not --

5           MS. BOYLE: I believe that's part of Montebello  
6 and what you're seeing is the underlying track color  
7 theme.

8           COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay.

9           MS. BOYLE: Let me take that off.

10          COMMISSIONER RAYA: This, I find a little more  
11 compatible -- oh, thanks. Wonderful.

12          Pico Rivera and Montebello I think are a more  
13 common bond than having Pico Rivera in that other district  
14 to the east. So, my preference would be for this version.  
15 It's pretty long going down to Artesia and Cerritos, so  
16 I'm not sure what -- was that pretty much the same in both  
17 versions?

18          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Chair, could I ask a  
19 process thing or a way to go about this? I'm wondering,  
20 while we're getting an input, can I ask for maybe  
21 something that would help me, someone who's not as  
22 familiar with these areas, is I find it helpful what  
23 Commissioner Raya's doing. There's obviously pluses and  
24 minuses to both, so it's helpful to point out for us like  
25 why one option, if those are the links that's positive

1 here and then maybe what the drawbacks are in that  
2 district, and the same thing for the other version. Maybe  
3 if we could just kind of highlight those specific keys  
4 areas that are why we have two different options, and  
5 maybe if we can look at them in total pluses and minuses  
6 for both, it might help us all make a little more informed  
7 decision.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And let me get  
9 Commissioner Filkins Webber and then Commissioner Parvenu  
10 in the queue.

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In follow up to  
12 Commissioner DiGuilio's point, what I'm looking at, I put  
13 up both options in front of myself on the Statewide  
14 Database. And so what I'd like to see is I recognize that  
15 these numbers look better and so I just want to confirm  
16 that the point of looking at these two options, if I'm not  
17 mistaken, was to deconcentrate, likely, the Whittier  
18 district, because if I'm not mistaken, it was about 60  
19 percent in option one.

20 In fact, I can check it out since I have the  
21 website here. Yeah, so it was about 61 percent on LCVAP  
22 in the Whittier.

23 So, it might be helpful -- I see what the purpose  
24 and if the purpose was to deconcentrate that, if we could  
25 identify the primary cities that make up the difference

1 and what community of interest they may be closer to in  
2 both of these options, that would help us, in follow up to  
3 Commissioner DiGuilio's point as well.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Commissioner  
5 Parvenu, you were next in line.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, I just wanted to  
7 comment to follow up on Commissioner Raya's point about  
8 Pico Rivera -- Pico Rivera and Montebello being in the  
9 same area. It seems that those two, and I know that  
10 following transportation corridors doesn't trump COI  
11 input, but they follow that 605 corridor. It goes Pico  
12 Rivera, Downey and then Norwalk, Bellflower and Cerritos.  
13 So, that is one rationalization that would assist with  
14 option two.

15 And, of course, to deconcentrate the population is  
16 another plus for that option, if my other Commissioners in  
17 that region would concur.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Blanco?

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Since we're articulating  
20 everything, the one -- in both iterations I think one  
21 thing we should note is that in the process of trying to  
22 deconcentrate we have put the southeast cities in -- we  
23 have Huntington Park, and Commerce, I think, and Vernon in  
24 one, Bell, Southgate, Cudahy in another, and then Bell  
25 Gardens and Downey in another.

1 I think, you know, Bell Gardens and Downey -- I  
2 just think, you know, we did get testimony but we knew --  
3 we know what happened when we tried to group them  
4 together, even when we tried to keep them in two versus  
5 three what we had was heavily concentrated populations in  
6 terms of the Latino CVAP.

7 So, I think -- I think this is a little bit of a  
8 dance in the sense that do we get to the point where we're  
9 actually -- in the process of doing something numerical  
10 actually not placing communities that are tied in the same  
11 districts.

12 And that's, you know, just one concern I have  
13 here. Particularly, the Huntington Park, Bell, Southgate,  
14 Cudahy is -- I can't tell if Cudahy's split or if  
15 Southgate's split in the adjoining district.

16 So, I'm just having a little bit of a problem with  
17 that. I want to look at option one and see if that does a  
18 better job of keeping those communities of interest  
19 together.

20 It looks pretty similar, actually. But that's  
21 just one concern I have here. And I would like to hear  
22 some discussion from my fellow Commissioners about how we  
23 feel about that tradeoff between numbers and then  
24 communities of interest.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I'm going to try to

1 limit discussion to just a couple minutes on this topic so  
2 we can try to settle on -- at settle on one of the  
3 options. So, we'll have Commissioner Galambos Malloy, and  
4 then DiGuilio, and then Filkins Webber.

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I appreciate  
6 Commissioner Blanco bringing us back to what I see as the  
7 root, honestly the driver of many of the considerations  
8 we're looking at with these options.

9 If you remember, in earlier visualizations we had  
10 made the effort to keep the southeast cities together and  
11 that resulted in us looking at Latino CVAP numbers in the  
12 70s and 80s in terms of percentages of over-concentration.

13 It's definitely something that I have struggled  
14 with, too, is that community is, you know, very organized,  
15 has very valid concerns around their local economy and  
16 their local community of interest around different  
17 social -- social ties.

18 But when we looked at the sum total of what it  
19 meant to keep those communities together and took a step  
20 back there was, I think, a real concern that we discussed  
21 as a Commission, around what appeared to be heavy over-  
22 concentration of the Latino population.

23 So, I think what we've asked Ms. Boyle to do in  
24 these iterations is to try and strike a balance where we  
25 don't want southeast cities left hanging.

1           So, if we look at trying to deconcentrate, we want  
2 to insure that, you know, a southeast city has a sister  
3 city, or maybe a cluster of cities within the district  
4 that they are placed but, essentially, that we had to look  
5 at some other alternative in order to deal with the over-  
6 concentration.

7           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner DiGuilio?

8           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, just very briefly.  
9 I understand kind of what Commissioner Blanco's asking us  
10 in this discussion. Similar to Commissioner Galambos  
11 Malloy, I think it's just hard when we, as a Commission,  
12 have balanced this section to these issues, these Voting  
13 Rights Act issues and the concentration of Latinos in this  
14 area that what ends up happening is that it does break up  
15 that community quite a lot. But it's kind of, again, this  
16 tradeoff, this balance between trying to not have  
17 districts that were overly concentrated, which I think  
18 this Commission felt wasn't acceptable is maybe a worse  
19 option.

20           Having a few districts that are very heavily  
21 concentrated is a worse option than what happens at this  
22 Assembly level for this area of Los Angeles.

23           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

24           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I concur and it's  
25 ditto. This is a fine example, a fine example of

1 communities of interest that likely may belong together  
2 but, yet, the concentration of their population and the  
3 Voting Rights Act is actually demanding that we separate  
4 them.

5           And I'm troubled by that tremendously, but that's  
6 the balance that we have to face.

7           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Blanco  
8 and then I'm going to bring it back to Commissioner Raya  
9 and Galambos Malloy.

10           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, in looking at this, I  
11 think I am leaning towards option two. And the reason why  
12 is I -- Montebello and Pico Rivera together.

13           And then when we're looking at the cities that  
14 we're talking about in the adjoining district you have  
15 Maywood is there, I don't know if it's whole. You have  
16 Maywood, Bell, Cudahy, Southgate and Lynwood, which were  
17 cities that we heard should be together.

18           And then that's a pretty -- you know, that's a  
19 large group of cities, so we've managed -- I don't -- I  
20 can't tell what's going on with Maywood in terms of a  
21 split. But we have managed to keep together a group and  
22 then we do have Vernon and Huntington Park.

23           So, the combination of having a grouping in that  
24 one district, the Southgate, with Bell, and Maywood, and  
25 Cudahy, and Lynwood; and then having Pico Rivera and

1 Whittier -- and actually, I like in this second one the  
2 fact that Norwalk is in the same district as Whittier,  
3 which is sort of a traditional grouping, you know, off the  
4 5 with Norwalk.

5 I'm inclined to go with the second option.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao, very  
7 briefly, and then Commissioner Raya, because I want  
8 Commissioner Raya or Galambos Malloy to sort of pose a  
9 preferred option and then we'll check the numbers.

10 COMMISSIONER YAO: One small city on the southwest  
11 end, Hawaiian Gardens, I think if you bring the map up a  
12 little bit you'll see it.

13 The difference between the two versions is that  
14 one is now coupled to Long Beach, whereas the other one is  
15 coupled to Cerritos, where I think they're much --

16 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- closer together. So, that's  
18 another consideration.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Galambos  
20 Malloy?

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think overall  
22 that the perspective and I'm eyeing my partner, because we  
23 didn't totally coordinate our talking points before this.  
24 But I think our overall perspective is that we also favor  
25 the version that joins Pico with Montebello, and really

1 think that overall, because of the configuration of those  
2 cities, and the southeast cities, and looking at the  
3 cluster down by Artesia/Cerritos is a better option for  
4 us.

5           The one refinement is the one that Commissioner  
6 Yao raises and I would like Ms. Boyle's perspective on  
7 whether there would be the possibility within this  
8 configuration to do any adjustments for Hawaiian Garden,  
9 what would be the impact of that?

10           MS. BOYLE: Sure. Hawaiian Gardens could  
11 potentially be picked up. It would require splitting  
12 Lakewood, though, to draw through it to pick up Hawaiian  
13 Gardens. And it potentially would require a split of one  
14 of the other cities to balance out the population.

15           I'm not sure that I could rotate it through this  
16 district. We've already got a split on Norwalk, so I like  
17 to use cities that are split already. But I don't think  
18 that, based on what we have here, I couldn't rotate it  
19 without creating another split somewhere.

20           So, we would be rotating through here. And I  
21 think based on the numbers, yeah, I would have to split  
22 another city on the north end, as well.

23           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I don't think we  
24 want to split those little cities up there, but I do share  
25 the concern about tiny, little Hawaiian Gardens being --

1 hanging out there on the edge.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

3 MS. BOYLE: I can look at it carefully --

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, if there's a  
5 way to do it without --

6 MS. BOYLE: -- and make sure that I'm certain that  
7 it can't be added without a city split.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, thank you.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do you want to make  
10 that -- well, first of all, I think there seems to be  
11 support for option two.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Two.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And, second, there's a  
14 direction to look into the Hawaiian Gardens, if there's no  
15 serious --

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: If possible.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- ramification or city  
18 splits.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: There seems to be a  
20 discrepancy on when we look at it on our visualization,  
21 Bell Gardens does not look split. And then when we're  
22 looking at the map, it looks like it is.

23 Can you tell us about Bell Gardens and Maywood in  
24 terms of splits?

25 MS. BOYLE: Sure. My only thought about that is,

1 is unless that the visualization is incorrect, which I  
2 don't believe it is, that it's possible that the Census  
3 has the incorrect boundaries for those cities, and I'm  
4 using a Census file here. So, perhaps Google maps has a  
5 different city boundary is my only though.

6 Just like with Torrance and Redondo Beach, there  
7 seems to be a discrepancy in the boundary that we have  
8 here, and our map layers.

9

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, again, the map  
11 layers, the Q2 are --

12 MS. BOYLE: But I can verify that to make sure and  
13 I'll clarify if it is an issue with my map, and not  
14 with --

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right. But the distinction  
16 is that these are supposed to be the official Census-based  
17 boundaries, the TIGER map data. And it can be  
18 inconsistent if you're using Google Maps or Google Earth,  
19 that that source, which is a layer that we don't upload  
20 for the public to use, that that -- those boundaries might  
21 not be consistent with the Census data.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: This could also be a projection  
23 issue on the Google Maps. I mean there's a lot of things  
24 that can go wrong with boundaries so I, you know, would go  
25 with this over what's on the Google Maps.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, it is split then, Bell  
2 Gardens and --

3           MS. BOYLE: According to the TIGER line-shaped  
4 boundary file that I have for the Census place it's not  
5 split.

6           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, okay.

7           MS. BOYLE: But the Census does not always have  
8 the most accurate and up-to-date boundaries for cities,  
9 depending on whether it was reported accurately by the  
10 jurisdiction, or whether or not it was recorded correctly  
11 by the Census Bureau there can be discrepancies.

12          MS. MAC DONALD: And, also, Census place  
13 boundaries, they can change after the Census has collected  
14 the geography.

15          MS. BOYLE: The Census conducts a yearly survey of  
16 boundaries, but they don't always get 100 percent  
17 response, they don't always get correct responses, and  
18 then sometimes there's recording problems with recording  
19 the information.

20          COMMISSIONER YAO: Mr. Barabba, are you  
21 responsible for that?

22                   (Laughter)

23          COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It was perfect in 1980.

24          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: You don't have to answer  
25 that question, by the way, if you don't want to.

1 Well, again, just for clarification, too, in terms  
2 of what the official data is supposed to be, it is -- Ms.  
3 MACDONALD, so, I'm sorry, so in terms of what -- you'll  
4 check into that. But the official data is what, is it the  
5 TIGER file or --

6 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah. I mean there's basically a  
7 file that goes with the PL94171 dataset.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right.

9 MS. MAC DONALD: And we were actually just  
10 conferring about when that was collected and my  
11 recollection is that it's actually the 2008 report. Yeah,  
12 2008 or 2009 collection.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, again, in terms  
14 of what is the official dataset, it is the -- that is the  
15 dataset, the 2008-09?

16 MS. MAC DONALD: Yes. Yes.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Okay, and again this  
18 is just making sure we're complying with what is the  
19 appropriate federal standard.

20 Okay, so let's just do a straw poll. I guess  
21 we're going with option two with, again, an attempt to  
22 modify the Hawaiian Gardens are if we can move it without  
23 too many other effects. Is that okay?

24 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can you, for the purpose of  
25 saying the jurisdictional outline, just show Hawaiian

1 Gardens on this? I believe this is option two here,  
2 quickly, if that can be done quickly? It's just right  
3 there, okay.

4 MS. BOYLE: It's a very small community, Los  
5 Angeles County community that's on the border of the  
6 Orange County, on the border with Orange County.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'm aware of where it is.  
8 I thought it was split. This appears that it is not split  
9 so this must be option -- what?

10 MS. BOYLE: This is option two. Option two.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Option two, okay.

12 MS. BOYLE: It's not split in either option, but  
13 what the difference is that in option one Hawaiian Gardens  
14 is with Artesia and Cerritos, with whom it shares a  
15 community of interest, and in option two it is with Long  
16 Beach.

17 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, got you.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Now, again, so for  
19 narrative purposes do we have enough on each of these  
20 three districts or do we need to add a little bit more?  
21 Commissioner Dai, any thoughts?

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: It would be great if we could  
23 just run through them really quickly.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can I just ask a question  
25 about Hawaiian Gardens before we give Ms. Boyle a

1 direction? I'm looking at this, I'm -- even though I  
2 think when we were trying to put -- move it into Lakewood,  
3 I'm actually looking at this, I think Hawaiian Gardens may  
4 have more in common with North Long Beach than it does  
5 with Southgate, so I think it's better to leave it here.

6 My husband's family is from Cypress, so it's right  
7 there and we're always in those areas. And I know it  
8 seems like, from what I know from the last 15 years,  
9 everyone goes down into Long Beach, into that area, right,  
10 as opposed -- so I think, just to clarify what we said to  
11 Ms. Boyle is maybe we should leave it where it is. Even  
12 though it's isolated from Cerritos and Artesia, it's not  
13 going to solve that by moving it into the Lakewood  
14 district, I think it's better where it is.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any other comments on that  
16 in terms of --

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: I have no knowledge of Hawaiian  
18 Gardens; I'm just simply looking at the differences in the  
19 size of the city, that's all.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I think it's just  
21 based on knowing the --

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Question, is that North Long  
23 Beach or is that more that east side that's considered a  
24 little --

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, Hawaiian Gardens is a

1 rough area.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Oh, I know that.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Hawaiian Gardens is a  
4 very rough area.

5 COMMISSIONER RAYA: That's why I question --

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, you know, I think  
7 that, again, I just think some of its connections with the  
8 northern part of Long Beach or northeastern, I guess --  
9 well, I mean I know the distinctions in some of the parts  
10 of Long Beach, obviously, not the -- down by the Bay Area  
11 part.

12 But I just think there's more commonalities with  
13 Long Beach than there is all the way up into Southgate and  
14 Lynwood.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do we have any strong  
16 feeling one way or the other; do we want to check on the  
17 COI testimony, if there's any?

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree. That's a heavily  
19 Samoan community and there's a lot of communication, back  
20 and forth between Long Beach. I totally agree with  
21 Commissioner DiGuilio, I think it's fine where it is.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Okay, so we'll just  
23 withdraw that addendum to the instruction and let's  
24 maintain option two as is.

25 So, again, could we get some very brief

1 descriptions, narrative descriptions on each of the  
2 districts, just for record keeping?

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think Andre and Peter, this  
4 is your area.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Forgive me, I wasn't sure  
6 which team it was.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And I thought that  
8 Commissioner Yao -- we're looking at LAWBC? Oh, which one  
9 are we looking at here?

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All three, each of the  
11 three, if you could.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, the three. Oh, those  
13 three. I don't have any comments beyond what was shared  
14 earlier, I don't see -- I think that the CVAPs on -- the  
15 Latino CVAPS look fine there. I think we've discussed  
16 this enough, I don't think I need to elaborate any more,  
17 any further.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, would you at  
19 least want to just comment on the linkages among the  
20 communities in terms of the --

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, the point is this is  
22 practice for the paragraph that you're going to be writing  
23 about each one. So, if you're not able to articulate  
24 anything now, it's going to be a problem for drafting the  
25 final report.

1           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: You mean those three over  
2 there?

3           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, that's right.

4           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That I've been  
5 highlighting -- oh, highlight? Okay.

6           COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, the one to the right  
7 we'll take responsibility for, that's ours.

8           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, we're happy  
9 to take a stab as well.

10          COMMISSIONER DAI: Even the middle.

11          COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. Well, based on the  
12 COI testimony we received and we've discussed this before,  
13 there are certainly commonalities with those southeast  
14 cities and I see corridors that link those cities  
15 together. I see that Lakewood is linked with Paramount,  
16 there's some -- there's not much of a stretch. I know  
17 there's a north and south transportation corridors between  
18 the two.

19                 I don't have much else to say about that. I don't  
20 know if Commissioner Yao has any further comments, we were  
21 looking at the areas further south, we haven't had a  
22 chance to really sit down and discuss these areas in  
23 detail.

24          COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I could add  
25 some on the north end to the extent that it's useful. If

1 you look at the district that comes farther to the east,  
2 we had significant testimony that linked Santa Fe Springs,  
3 La Morada, East La Morada, Whittier, La Habra Heights, so  
4 this iteration does a good job of linking those  
5 communities.

6 The exceptions, which I think had been mentioned  
7 in conversation, is that we were not able to keep Hacienda  
8 Heights with Rowland Heights together. We were not able  
9 to keep La Habra Heights with La Habra together because of  
10 population size.

11 And, again, what we were trying to do at the core,  
12 which is deconcentrate.

13 We also, in this iteration, are able to keep Pico  
14 Rivera and Montebello together -- Montebello together. As  
15 you move farther south with that district we also have the  
16 Artesia and Cerritos connection which I think is  
17 significant.

18 And in this iteration, based on the feedback we  
19 just had, we actually feel like it makes more sense for  
20 Hawaiian Gardens to be linked down towards Long Beach as  
21 opposed to the tradeoff of having to incur one,  
22 potentially two additional city splits overall for these  
23 districts.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Barabba?

25 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would think we might want

1 to consider saving these descriptions, because we're going  
2 to write them up anyway, and given the limited time we  
3 have with access to the line drawers, we could use that  
4 time more efficiently in actual line drawing.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, you're suggesting not  
6 actually going into these narratives?

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: We're going to write them  
8 up, anyway, and so it's going to be in the record, but  
9 it's something to consider relative to the limited time we  
10 have.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai, what do  
12 you think?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, it really shouldn't take  
14 that long. I mean that took all of a few seconds. So,  
15 the whole point is for us to be able to articulate --

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Actually, it took about  
17 three or four minutes and that's enough to do another  
18 district.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so maybe we can try to  
20 just set a time limit on the descriptions and keep it  
21 under a minute, basically. Okay. LADNN, is that --

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: That was the one that  
23 Commissioner Galambos Malloy also went through just now.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Was it? I'm sorry, was that  
25 the one?

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I'm sorry, I  
2 took a slightly more regional approach.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so did we cover LAPRW  
4 as well?

5 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Let me say this about  
7 LADNN, too, and we might want to add this. It looks like  
8 Downey -- Downey has been kept whole on the AD level.

9 MS. BOYLE: I'm sorry, Commissioner, what was the  
10 question?

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, I was just --

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: It was a comment.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It was to add to the  
14 narrative that Downey was kept whole per the request of  
15 COI testimony on the AD level.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Okay, so is there  
17 anything else to add on the adjacent district? No.

18 Okay. Okay, is the Dean here or -- I know the  
19 photographer is here, is she --

20 Okay. Well, let's just keep going then until she  
21 arrives.

22 MS. BOYLE: We're going to move south to the Long  
23 Beach district.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And can you confirm,  
25 is this option one?

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We're looking at option two,  
2 now. Would you like --

3           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, we're still looking  
4 at -- so, this district also is affected by the two  
5 options. Is it different in option two versus option one?

6           MS. BOYLE: Yes, the boundary with the northern  
7 district is slightly different, so Hawaiian Gardens is in  
8 and out and this is in and out, this little corner here.

9           And so should we continue with option two?  
10 Okay. So, in option one Hawaiian Gardens is with Artesia  
11 and Cerritos and in option two it is not. And then in  
12 option two, this gray area here is in this Long Beach  
13 district, whereas in option two it stops here at the  
14 purple and the red.

15           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And can you remind  
16 us what's in that triangle?

17           MS. BOYLE: Oh, this area here, this is North Long  
18 Beach. I think the triangle you're thinking of here is  
19 this Compton/Carson triangle of Long Beach, which is with  
20 Compton/Carson per COI testimony.

21           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That was my  
22 concern, I wanted to make sure which triangle went in  
23 which district, so I'd be clear.

24           MS. BOYLE: In this case it's just a piece of  
25 North Long Beach, for population reasons, was traded

1 between Hawaiian Gardens in the options.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, we assume we want to  
3 stick with option two here, are there any minor changes  
4 you want to make?

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think if you pick option two  
6 under the previous district, you have no choice but to  
7 pick option two for the Long Beach.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right.

9 COMMISSIONER YAO: Because in all the Coastal  
10 districts in the Los Angeles County we're basically  
11 reflecting what's done at the core districts in the Los  
12 Angeles County.

13 So, in this particular case I think with the  
14 exception of the very small part of Long Beach, the bulk  
15 of it is sort of kept together as a city and the city also  
16 includes the Long Beach Port. And I think the Los Angeles  
17 Port is in here, also, right?

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, with both ports. And we  
20 have received testimony that there are no people or very  
21 few people living at the port and when we're drawing  
22 districts for voters that that shouldn't be a major  
23 consideration.

24 Also, on that basis we didn't feel bad at all to  
25 lump the two ports together.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we've got  
2 Commissioners DiGuilio, then Galambos Malloy, then  
3 Barabba.

4           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just have -- it's a  
5 small, little question. I notice the only other district  
6 that looks to be different on my map, in terms of  
7 toggling, is there's a small change over there in the  
8 western boundary, kind of north of San Pedro.

9           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Right, San Pedro.

10          COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And I didn't know if  
11 there was a reason for that difference.

12          MS. BOYLE: Yes, there is a small difference here.  
13 I'm not sure; it might be hidden by the population  
14 deviations, which might be why I didn't need to make an  
15 adjustment over here.

16          COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay. But it didn't  
17 necessarily split things? It was just a small one, but as  
18 long as it doesn't affect some of those other communities,  
19 I just wanted to see if there was a reason.

20          MS. BOYLE: I just want to point out, in both of  
21 these iterations San Pedro is somewhat split. The  
22 majority is with the port, is with Long Beach, but you can  
23 see here, this is this black boundary, that's the boundary  
24 of what I would call the San Pedro COI.

25          COMMISSIONER PARVENU: What is in that slight area

1 of San Pedro right there that's -- that's right there to  
2 the west, downward. Yeah, what is that, is it a  
3 community, is it an industrial area?

4 MS. BOYLE: It's a Census tract, basically.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It's a Census tract.

6 MS. BOYLE: Yeah.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: A residential tract.

8 MS. BOYLE: This can be refined; all of these  
9 boundaries can be refined down to streets.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. So, that line there  
11 is the outline of the City of Los Angeles and San Pedro  
12 included? Where is the actual city line?

13 MS. BOYLE: Of Los Angeles?

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.

15 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment, please.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Which would include San  
17 Pedro, as oppose to Rancho Palos Verdes. It looks like it  
18 may be that darkened line.

19 MS. BOYLE: You see this dotted line here?

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah.

21 MS. BOYLE: That's the L.A. -- this is a -- looks  
22 like an unincorporated piece.

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So, by splitting that, it's  
24 small, but we split L.A. you know, it's a city split  
25 because San Pedro is a community of L.A. It would be

1 great if we had the entire San Pedro within the same --

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It looks like they're short  
3 of population, anyway, so it might be able to be added in.

4 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We have so much testimony  
6 about that and about wanting -- I mean, just that they've  
7 been repeatedly split.

8 MS. BOYLE: Would we be willing to split another  
9 city somewhere else in order to make it whole, if I can  
10 push things around through here?

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I prefer not to make any  
12 drastic modifications, if a minor, fine-tuned adjustment  
13 can be made, instead. I'm just not sure where that could  
14 be made.

15 MS. BOYLE: I could work on incorporating this  
16 piece, but I don't know that I can get all of the area up  
17 to this dotted line, which is the L.A. City boundary, into  
18 this without having to split a city or do something else  
19 up here.

20 I could potentially add, since we have a piece of  
21 Long Beach here, I could potentially take some more of  
22 North Long Beach out to go with the LAWBC, and that would  
23 allow me to push the lines out further.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let me maintain the  
25 queue here.

1 MS. BOYLE: And maybe by 20,000, I could pull West  
2 Carson out, pick up 20,000 underneath here in Long Beach,  
3 and then move it over here.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Is that the population  
5 number you're dealing with, I'm just curious.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry, let's maintain  
7 the queue.

8 MS. BOYLE: I want to say, I have a feel for about  
9 12,000, 7,000, 8,000.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's maintain the  
11 queue, please, and let -- so, we have Galambos Malloy,  
12 Barabba, Yay, then DiGuilio, then Parvenu.

13 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I share, also, the  
14 desire to be able to reunite San Pedro and looking at  
15 different options to be able to do that. Again, not  
16 drastically reconfiguring, but fine tuning.

17 The other thing that I wanted to point out, which  
18 applies to this, the Assemblies, but it also applies  
19 across our different layers of maps, is that we have had  
20 conflicting testimony in terms of what communities the  
21 Ports of L.A. and Long Beach should be attached to. And I  
22 think there was the one school of thought around keeping  
23 them separate, that they are actually governed by separate  
24 entities and that to keep them together is consolidating  
25 quite a bit of economic power within one district.

1           And there's also the school of thought that there  
2 is actually economies of scale by having them together and  
3 having a representative who has -- is able to build up the  
4 expertise and relationships around the issues surrounding  
5 the ports.

6           The area which I think was unanimous was linking  
7 the San Pedro community with the port and then we also had  
8 some feedback about potentially linking the port on the  
9 north/south access with some of the communities that are  
10 impacted by the heavy freight transit coming in and out of  
11 the Port.

12           I just wanted to acknowledge some of the different  
13 COI testimony we've had there. That said, I am  
14 comfortable with this configuration or some version of it  
15 that corrects down on the south end.

16           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Barabba  
17 and then Commissioner Yao.

18           COMMISSIONER YAO: I forgot to acknowledge the  
19 City of Wilmington. I think we heard a lot of testimony  
20 with them stating that they wanted to be associated with  
21 the Port, but there was no way that we could incorporate  
22 that larger population in a very refined area without  
23 either pushing Long Beach into Orange County, and that  
24 received a lot of testimony that was not a preferred  
25 option. So, that's how we basically came up with the

1 district that we have now.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Commissioner DiGuilio?

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: No, I think I was just  
4 going to raise something similar to Commissioner Galambos  
5 Malloy, is that we had had the discussion of trying to  
6 link the Ports north/south, but just looking at this I  
7 really don't see how you could do that without  
8 isolating -- you'd have to either reach down and probably  
9 get San Pedro, but then you have a population push and  
10 repopulate -- I mean it seems like it's just very  
11 difficult to do that without splitting that Long Beach  
12 district.

13 So, you know, I think for this issue -- I think it  
14 was Commissioner Barabba, too, that had looked in past,  
15 and in '91, and I think there was some times when they  
16 were together, so it's not unheard of.

17 So, even though I think that we did try the other  
18 option, this was kind of the tradeoff.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Parvenu?

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, my comments have been  
21 previously addressed by Commissioner Galambos Malloy and  
22 also Commissioner Yao.

23 By drastically changing this I, took was concerned  
24 about that north/south access, but that seems like that  
25 would drastically change that Long Beach/Signal Hill

1 district, push that up into further areas that we've  
2 already concluded upon.

3 And at this point, you know, and again, now making  
4 these drastic changes either northward or eastward into  
5 Orange County may not be the most appropriate  
6 consideration.

7 One concept is that on the Assembly district level  
8 two Assembly representatives will be addressing the  
9 concerns of environmental and traffic congestion along  
10 that north/south corridor.

11 And the Assembly person to the south, along the  
12 Ports, will have to work in concert with the Assembly  
13 person to the north to address those concerns  
14 concurrently, so I'm okay with this.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good. So, we're  
16 good with this district.

17 Can I ask our photographer, who's here, is the  
18 Dean -- are we going to do this regardless of whether the  
19 Dean was here?

20 Ms. Sargis, did you have any specific help? I  
21 want to know if we want to take the break right now,  
22 because if we're going to do the photograph, we should  
23 take the break.

24 (Off-record discussion)

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Because I do want to make

1 sure Mr. Brown is also able to help us with at least one  
2 of the other districts.

3           Should we just take a break then? All right,  
4 let's just keep going then. Let's look at LAWBC.  
5 And then we'll take a break after this district.

6           MS. BOYLE: So, this is LAWBC.

7           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Go ahead.

8           MS. BOYLE: Yeah, it keeps Compton, Carson,  
9 Willowbrook, Watts, the Century/Palms Cove area together  
10 in one district. It also includes West Carson and  
11 Wilmington.

12           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. I think Commissioner  
13 Yao and I were responsible for this one, as well.

14           And the one plus about this is that the community  
15 of Watts, which was split previously in the Assembly is  
16 together. Watts is kept with Willowbrook, and Compton,  
17 and Rosewood, and West Compton, and that entire  
18 north/south corridor just east of the 110 seems to be kept  
19 whole. You have El Monte, parts of Carson and is for the  
20 most part kept whole.

21           We go across the harbor there and pick up West  
22 Carson. There's certain Asian communities of interest  
23 that have testified before us about the Carson community,  
24 we addressed their concerns.

25           Part of the Alameda corridor, too, extends along

1 the eastern border of this area, which is Highway 47, part  
2 of Highway 47 which extends northward, and also the  
3 Central Avenue corridor is a heavy trucking, manufacturing  
4 corridor, industrial corridor seems to be consistent in  
5 this area. So, those concerns, transportation-wise and  
6 again, congestion-wise can be addressed.

7 It goes down to Wilmington, which has a  
8 significant Latino population, which adds to this district  
9 to raise the CVAP for the Latino CAVAP.

10 In terms of assets, the Compton Airport is  
11 included here. You've got some recreational facilities,  
12 such as the Victoria Lakes, and the golf course, and some  
13 other parks and other open space areas. Even though it's  
14 an urban environment or heavily manufacturing zone,  
15 there's still some open space, parks and recreational  
16 assets in this area.

17 It goes down to the ports, but not quite capturing  
18 the ports. But I think that we addressed community of  
19 interest testimony fairly well with this particular  
20 Assembly district.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I don't know if  
23 Commissioner Yao wants to add to that?

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: No.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, sounds very thorough.

1 So, the assumption is that it would not have been possible  
2 to draw a majority Latino district based on the numbers.

3 Correct, Ms. Boyle?

4 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so --

6 MS. BOYLE: Not if you want to draw the adjacent  
7 districts.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right.

9 MS. BOYLE: It's possible to trade this seat for  
10 another seat, but I believe you would have to draw through  
11 the Compton/Carson COI to do it at the Assembly level.

12 It's possible to trade this seat for another seat, but I  
13 believe you would have to draw through the Compton/Carson  
14 COI to do it at the Assembly level.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, Mr. Brown, in  
16 light of some -- the advice you gave this morning  
17 regarding what might be a substantial Latino population,  
18 does this raise any concerns given what you had brought up  
19 with us this morning?

20 MR. BROWN: Well, this is precisely the area that  
21 the Commission needs to pay some attention to because if  
22 you look at the stats there, you've got a situation where  
23 based on the evidence we've provided, you're going to have  
24 some concern about what's going to happen in those -- in  
25 elections in those areas.

1           If you have starkly polarized voting between the  
2 Latinos and non-Latinos in that area, then they would be  
3 routinely defeated.

4           So, that sets the state for this -- the challenge  
5 here.

6           So, I think before the Commission finalized what  
7 it wanted to do in that area, you'd want to think about  
8 the question you asked and that is, is it possible to draw  
9 yet another majority Latino district in that area? And we  
10 were told that it didn't seem feasible given the other  
11 ones that were drawn adjacent to it.

12           You'd want to consider whether there was some  
13 other reasonable configuration that would not leave  
14 Latinos at a significant disadvantage in that area. And  
15 I'd think you'd want to consider whether in total, given  
16 all of the districts you've drawn for -- that are majority  
17 Latino in that core area of L.A., whether you're satisfied  
18 that on balance you've satisfied your obligations under  
19 the Voting Rights Act, which is going to be a judgment  
20 call more so than a bright line answer.

21           So, I haven't given you a solution to this, but I  
22 think I've laid out what some of the considerations are.

23           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and before we go on, I  
24 note that the Dean has arrived and if her schedule is --  
25 she's giving me a very good signal, we can just keep

1 talking for a while. Oh, you're listening.

2 So, in terms of your availability, do you need to  
3 leave pretty soon?

4 Okay, so what we'll try to do is have some  
5 discussion on this district and then we'll take the break  
6 and do the photograph at that point.

7 So, I had Commissioner Forbes, then Filkins  
8 Webber, and then Parvenu.

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And my question is, is that  
10 since both Latino and African American are protected  
11 classes under the Voting Rights Act why are we focusing,  
12 in this particular district, on protecting the Latino vote  
13 rather than the African American vote?

14 MR. BROWN: Good question. The Voting Rights Act  
15 protects any race or language minority group that finds  
16 itself in a minority position or in a position where  
17 there's starkly racially-polarized voting in the context  
18 of -- where the totality of the circumstances would  
19 support protecting that majority minority district.

20 Remember that we've concluded, previously, that  
21 based on the evidence that's been submitted by organized  
22 groups, that we weren't able to conclude that African  
23 American population in L.A. County required a majority  
24 minority district under Section 2 of the Voting Rights  
25 Act.

1           What that means it that there is no other  
2 requirement under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act for  
3 providing influence or crossover districts. For example,  
4 for African Americans in L.A. County, and no other  
5 requirement under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act has  
6 been proposed, as I understand it, from those groups in  
7 L.A. County.

8           By contrast, the legal opinion we've given you  
9 today shows that the Latino population, based on the  
10 totality of the circumstances, and the voting patterns,  
11 and the information that's been submitted is entitled to  
12 protection under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

13           So, for purposes of your analysis, you have to  
14 evaluate how are you going to go about protecting the  
15 Latino group from having their vote diluted.

16           And without repeating myself too much, we've said  
17 you've got to draw some number of majority minority Latino  
18 districts and you need to be cautious in these areas that  
19 are adjacent to populations where there's stark racially-  
20 polarized voting.

21           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you.

22           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so I've got  
23 Commissioner Filkins Webber, then Barabba, then Parvenu.

24           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In light of the  
25 response by Mr. Brown and the considerable discussions

1 that we have had in looking at every iteration in this  
2 district, in this area, in this region, what we see here  
3 and what I believe the record will establish is that the  
4 only way -- the only other alternative, if we were to  
5 consider this district contrary to the community of  
6 interest testimony that we've received to increase the  
7 Latino voting age population here, is to run this district  
8 east and west.

9           When you run this district east and west, as we've  
10 seen through some of the numbers in the Congressional  
11 iterations in our first draft map, you highly over-  
12 concentrate the Latino population in Southgate.

13           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's correct.

14           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: There's also a  
15 potential that what occurs is that in our earlier  
16 iterations from, I believe, on the Congressional level in  
17 our first draft map, we linked Inglewood with Southgate  
18 and there were quite a number of public input testimony  
19 that we received through our hearings, as well as the  
20 website, that commented on all of these iterations that  
21 we've looked at.

22           So, I think what we see here is that we have  
23 provided a sufficient opportunity to the Latino voting age  
24 population, in the districts that we just went through to  
25 the north and to the east, at 57, 56, and I think the

1 other one was 56 percent, in quite a number of those  
2 districts such that they will have the opportunity to  
3 elect candidates of their choice.

4           In that regard and based on the significant  
5 community of interest testimony that we received, I  
6 believe this Compton/Carson district is the only  
7 alternative and that there would not be a necessity to  
8 create another majority Latino district in this area on  
9 balance with the amount of public testimony that we've  
10 received.

11           So, unless we receive some strikingly different  
12 information from our counsel today or tomorrow, I believe  
13 that this iteration and the surrounding communities, and  
14 the various hard work that diligent Commissioners have  
15 looked at throughout the course of this entire process may  
16 very well be the best iteration at the Assembly level of  
17 the community of input testimony that we've received. And  
18 if there's an opinion as to once we get to another level  
19 for Congressional, we may need to revisit this.

20           But literally we have done everything east to west  
21 and it certainly is not conducive to the COI and it's not  
22 conducive to the Voting Rights Act.

23           So, I wanted to put that on the record because I  
24 think it's very important, based on our entire discussion  
25 today, and that's my purpose of this dissertation and

1 thank you very much.

2 (Laughter)

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: But I thought it was  
5 important to do that because it's a summary of what we've  
6 struggled with for the last several weeks.

7 MR. BROWN: Well, you're doing exactly what I  
8 suggested needs to be done and that is you're articulating  
9 the judgment of the commission and that's what you all  
10 have to do.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm speaking for  
13 myself, so if any other Commission members have any, you  
14 know, serious disagreement with anything that I've said  
15 then I certainly encourage them to bring that up so we can  
16 discuss the issues.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right. So, I've got Parvenu  
18 and then Blanco.

19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: No, Barabba, I thought you  
20 had.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Oh, I'm sorry, I had you  
22 next, forgive me.

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: First of all, I would  
24 concur with Commissioner Filkins Webber. And the real  
25 dilemma here is that the majority of the Latino

1 population's down in Wilmington and it's really,  
2 physically, quite a distance from the other  
3 concentrations, and that just adds to the dilemma of  
4 trying to create another district. And I agree with  
5 everything else that she had to say.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and I've got Parvenu  
7 and then DiGuilio.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, I totally concur with  
9 Commissioner Filkins Webber.

10 I'm looking at a scenario here where there are  
11 three -- three very well designed and filled out, over 50  
12 percent, not over-concentrated, still over 50 percent,  
13 though, Latino CVAP areas that's a solid block, the  
14 community of interest COI we've been consistent with.

15 I'm looking at this district. LAWBC, and mind you  
16 that Willowbrook, and Compton, and Watts are no longer  
17 majority African American communities, they're over 60  
18 percent Latino, now.

19 And if we go down south and we look at Wilmington,  
20 that's a heavily Latino area. Latinos have a fighting  
21 chance to select a candidate of their choice and be  
22 effective, quite effective in that region.

23 The African Americans aren't asking to be 50  
24 percent or to -- they're simply asking for an opportunity  
25 to be competitive and may the best man or woman, best

1 person win. I don't care if it's Latino, African  
2 American, whatever their ethnic background is.

3 But to totally convert this to a 50 percent plus  
4 Latino area I think really does a -- let me choose the  
5 right word here, doesn't serve the African American voting  
6 public and the residents in that area well.

7 So, I think we have to draw the line, time is of  
8 the essence. This map coincides very closely with the  
9 Unity map, which is a map that three organizations sat  
10 down and spent a week negotiating and pounding out the  
11 details. And to their credit, they sat down in the same  
12 room, MALDEF, ARC, AND CAPAFR, and they came up with a set  
13 of maps that they agree upon, and they forwarded it to us  
14 for our consideration.

15 We took the due diligence of considering their  
16 effort and hard labor in presenting a unified voice, and a  
17 unified set of visualizations for us to incorporate and I  
18 think that we've done a good job being consistent with  
19 that.

20 So, to forcibly create a 50 percent plus Latino  
21 district I think goes against the grain of what MALDEF,  
22 CAPAFR and ARC have done.

23 And I think that our community testimony, our COI  
24 testimony gives us a strong justification for maintaining  
25 this district pretty much closely, if not exactly as it

1 is.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you,  
3 Commissioner. I think your sentiments are shared by the  
4 full Commission at this point.

5 So, briefly, Commissioners DiGuilio and Blanco.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, I have one question  
7 because I understand -- I think Commissioner Filkins  
8 Webber explained it very well, what happens when we try  
9 and create a 50 percent CVAP number, LCVAP.

10 But I'm just trying to get a legal -- this where,  
11 again, I'm trying to get the details. If you have a  
12 district in the LASGL next to it, that's at 57 percent and  
13 the LAWBC is at -- it's like a 39.41, and you're seeing  
14 that there's been examples of racially-polarized voting,  
15 do you have to level those LCVAPs out? I mean, I'm just  
16 trying to understand, you don't have to make 50 percent,  
17 but do you have to level it out?

18 MR. BROWN: No. And I don't think anything that  
19 the Commissioners have been saying is inconsistent with  
20 the legal advice I've given.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay.

22 MR. BROWN: These are hard judgments that you have  
23 to make and I've -- what I've said is you have to take  
24 into account the totality of what you're doing, the  
25 iterations that you've tried and the facts that we've put

1 in front of you, and the legal opinion.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I think we're all  
3 probably in agreement that 50 -- another drawing of 50  
4 percent LCVAP is not what we're going to do. I just  
5 wanted to make sure we didn't have to break some other  
6 COIs between those areas just to level out the LCVAP. So,  
7 if you're okay with that, then I'll just move on.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Blanco, Dai, and  
9 then Filkins Webber.

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, I think this is a good  
11 district. One thing I want to point out is that it's sort  
12 of a little bit of the -- what do they call that, the  
13 elephant in the room. Which is that if you were to -- if  
14 we were to be working with VAP numbers, instead of CVAP  
15 numbers, as we would have before the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit decision,  
16 this would -- these -- the statistics on here, whatever we  
17 call those -- what do we call those little boxes?

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Legends.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: The legends. The legend  
20 would look very different, you wouldn't have 39, 41.8, you  
21 would probably have way over 50 percent, in fact, I'd be  
22 curious to see it, Latino district here. There you go,  
23 56.85 percent Latino district, that's what you have here.

24 So, you know, it's not only just a fighting  
25 chance, it's people need to become citizens and register

1 and vote. I mean, no, I'm serious. You know, and that's  
2 part of the political dynamic of this region. We would be  
3 looking at a different thing if we were just looking at  
4 VAP, but we're looking at CVAP in terms of the law.

5 But the district, in terms of population, even the  
6 way we've drawn it, if we were looking at VAP, is a  
7 majority Latino district.

8 Now, I know that's not the law now, but it's  
9 important for people to see that statistics.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I'm going to ask  
11 Commissioners to keep their remarks brief.

12 And, again, this seems to be a district that we've  
13 built consensus around, so unless there's something to be  
14 added, I think Commissioner Parvenu's initial narration  
15 was quite thorough.

16 But Commissioner Dai and then Commissioner Filkins  
17 Webber.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean I don't mean to  
19 throw a wrench into it, but just given the strong  
20 testimony about Wilmington being with the Port, I mean if  
21 you look at the Unity maps I think that's the only  
22 difference, really, is it looks like they swapped North  
23 Long Beach out for Wilmington. And I was just -- I don't  
24 know if -- it looks like they may have been able to keep  
25 San Pedro whole in that incarnation, too.

1           So, I just wanted to throw that out for  
2 consideration for the Commission.

3           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And two final  
4 points, I'll make them real quick. In follow up to  
5 Commissioner DiGuilio's request and inquiry of Mr. Brown,  
6 and this is necessary for the record, if we were to  
7 consider balancing out those two districts, we looked at  
8 this with Lynwood, and when you did this the only  
9 population you could get in that upper, the northern East  
10 LASGL was at the -- a very high concentration. And when  
11 you did this, we saw it; it created a district that was  
12 well over 60, almost 71 percent, if I'm not mistaken.

13           So, I want the record to note that, that it was  
14 very difficult to find a balance between LASGL, LAWBC in  
15 order to level those two out, number one.

16           Number two, as to Commissioner Dai's point, again  
17 it goes back to the issue of this squeeze in population at  
18 the coast. When you bring down Wilmington with the Port,  
19 it puts a squeeze at the Orange County line.

20           This is a really good balance in reflecting  
21 multiple communities of interest. Thank you.

22           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let me do this --

23           COMMISSIONER DAI: So, just to clarify I'm talking  
24 about switching Wilmington with North Long Beach, so it  
25 wouldn't change the line at the OC County. No, I meant

1 the only difference, really, I think between what we did  
2 and the Unity map did, and Ms. Boyle had kindly put up the  
3 Unity map borders there, you can see that they swapped  
4 out -- so, they put Wilmington with the -- do you see?  
5 Which is more consistent with the COI, it also has Harper  
6 City and it looks like San Pedro's whole. And, instead,  
7 they put the North Long Beach area in the Compton, Carson  
8 district -- ah, they also -- they also had La Habra there,  
9 so there may be another slight difference there.

10 (Laughter)

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: But okay, I thought I would  
12 throw that out there.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let's just -- let's  
14 just run through this and if we have -- are you  
15 maintaining your proposal? If there's a proposed change  
16 to this, Commissioner Dai --

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm sure Ms. Boyle will point  
18 out that the population exchange is not equal.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so maybe that's  
20 withdrawn then at this point? Okay, so I think we're okay  
21 on this district as is.

22 Okay, let's take a break. Thank you, Dean, for  
23 your patients. And we'll let folks take, you know, a  
24 bathroom break for about five minutes and then we'll do  
25 the photograph. So, we'll resume in about ten minutes.

1 (Off the record at 4:16 p.m.

2 (Reconvene at 4:31 p.m.)

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we're back on the  
4 record. It's about 4:30. We're going to go for about an  
5 hour and a half or so. I do want to leave, again, a small  
6 moment for Public Comment at the end, but -- we had a very  
7 good discussion, I think. And as the Commissioners know  
8 there are some more challenging areas, and I think this is  
9 one that's going to -- this area will be of repeated  
10 concern as we look at the Senate and Congressional, so I  
11 think it was good to get the discussion going. I'm going  
12 to try to be more mindful of the clock here, and what I've  
13 asked Ms. Sargis to do -- and other than the two team  
14 members who are actually describing their narratives --  
15 although if you can get it under a minute, great - but I  
16 want to make sure that their narratives are complete --  
17 but I'm going to try to limit it actually to one minute  
18 per comment. Now, again, we can extend that, or you can  
19 come back in the queue, but just to maintain, the brevity  
20 of comments and make sure we're moving forward, and make  
21 sure that all the -- all Commissioners get a chance to  
22 comment as we go through each district. But, Denise will  
23 sort of give a wave. It's not going to be -- we're not  
24 going to cut you off like we do the members of the Public,  
25 but the whole point is -- but the whole point is we need

1 to keep going. So we're going to impose some time limits  
2 here. Okay?

3 So, uh, where do we want to go now? To the --

4 (Anonymous off-microphone comment)

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Should we go southwest?

6 Okay. And by the way, in terms of -- we've been sort of  
7 looking at the Option Two areas. Are we -- is there  
8 any -- is there a district that's in an Option Two area  
9 that we should discuss? In other words -- it's the one  
10 north of LAWBC -- one we need to look at because it's been  
11 sort of affected by the Option Two?

12 MS. BOYLE: I'm sorry I don't understand the  
13 question.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, again, you had some  
15 core districts that were Option One versus Option Two, and  
16 we've done some that had no effect because of those  
17 options, and I just want to make sure that if we're  
18 maintaining or keeping going with Option Two, there might  
19 be some other ones that might be affected by those three  
20 core districts.

21 MS. BOYLE: No, not in this option. We've already  
22 moved past the districts that change between the two  
23 options. So after --

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay --

25 MS. BOYLE: -- moving west and north from here the

1 options remain the same.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And so for member so  
3 of the public, you can work -- just work with Option Two  
4 as we're going through all these other districts.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So, Chair --

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes?

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- quick clarification for  
8 the one we were just in -- LAWBC -- we were referring to  
9 maintain -- we were -- we've decided to maintain Option  
10 Two, is that correct?

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I believe that's correct,  
12 yes.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's correct. Okay.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so -- your pleasure,  
15 MS. Boyle, whatever you want to go to next.

16 MS. BOYLE: Let's continue southwest to the Palos  
17 Verdes, San Pedro, Torrance, and Southern Gardena  
18 District. Let me bring up the label.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So maybe you can just  
20 highlight any city splits and then we'll allow the  
21 Commissioners to give us a brief summary.

22 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So, as noted with the LAWBC  
23 District, the LAPV district also splits San Pedro. Here  
24 is the portion of LA of San Pedro that's in the Palos  
25 Verdes Estates District, it also includes Harbor City,

1 which had more of an affinity with the San Pedro area, I  
2 believe, than with Palos Verdes Estates. Other than  
3 that -- other than Gardena, the cities are whole.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And again, this is  
5 whose district?

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Myself and Commissioner  
7 Yao. We're --

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So why don't you start off  
9 then.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. From north to south  
11 we received considerable testimony from Gardena to keep  
12 Gardena whole. I believe we've accomplished that.  
13 There's a section of Gardena that has a significant  
14 Japanese-American community. By moving north to  
15 Rosecrans, and east, I think we've accomplished that in  
16 that section of Gardena that's in the highlight in the  
17 green. We've captured some of Harbor City, I believe --  
18 Gate Harbor Gateway, I believe, the hospital is there as  
19 an asset. Moving west we pick up the cities of Manhattan  
20 Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, we keep Torrance more  
21 or less intact, we moved a little sliver from Torrance  
22 along the beach -- along the PCH. Torrance and this  
23 Assembly District are kept whole. We also keep the more  
24 affluent communities of Palos Verdes Estates, and the  
25 entire peninsula and Rolling Hills Estates and Rolling

1 Hills Lomita. We received considerable testimony when we  
2 were in Long Beach that those communities needed to  
3 keep -- be kept whole. Torrance also aligns itself along  
4 the north-south access, along -- so the major north-south  
5 arterials -- Crenshaw, Western and the PCH, of course --  
6 so we addressed that. There are certain coastal issues --  
7 these cities mentioned -- their coastal inter-relations --  
8 interrelationships. We don't pick up Long Beach -- uh, El  
9 Segundo, and we don't pick up Hawthorne in this particular  
10 iteration. We have received some testimony as recent as  
11 today related to that concern. There's green space here -  
12 - there's several parks -- regional parks. Rolling Hills  
13 Estates -- there's some commercial development north and  
14 south, we capture that. We capture the Bedroom community,  
15 we go east towards San Pedro and, as was mentioned before,  
16 San Pedro is slightly split on this areas far south  
17 easternmost boundary. So, unless there's other testimony  
18 that Commissioner Yao has read from the emails and the  
19 comments -- public comments -- that's my summary.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great. Commissioner Yao,  
21 anything to add?

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: Nothing really significant,  
23 other than the fact that the -- this usually has been  
24 referred to as the South Bay District and a number of  
25 other cities are interested in "joining" this South Bay

1 District. Just, population-wise we just can't include  
2 everybody yet, and I think where we separate the -- from  
3 Manhattan Beach, Lawndale, all the way over to Gardena  
4 probably is about as optimal a division as we can make, in  
5 terms of including which city into this South Bay  
6 District. So, I think it's -- considering the fact that  
7 we have very little latitude in moving population, we can  
8 either compress Long Beach in that direction or we break  
9 the LAX Community of Interest in the north, so, I think  
10 under the circumstances this is pretty close to ideal.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so in the queue I've  
12 got Commissioner Galambos Malloy, then Filkins Webber,  
13 and -- Commissioner DiGuilio, did you have your hand up?  
14 No. Okay, so Galambos Malloy then Filkins Webber. And  
15 the clock is running on these.

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh, two points.  
17 One is that, yes we have gotten feedback about the concept  
18 of a longer coastal district, but I wanted to remind the  
19 Commissioners and the Public that when we did have an  
20 iteration that took that route we did get very clear  
21 feedback that that was too long of a north-south district  
22 and did not really capture the spirit of the Communities  
23 of Interest, so I think this strikes a balance. My  
24 question is in regards to the direction that I understand  
25 Ms. Boyle to look at making San Pedro whole and connected

1 to the ports, and to look at adjusting the Long Beach  
2 split in order to make that happen. So as we come over to  
3 this west side, then, what would be the adjustment that  
4 you would need to make here? Would it involve bringing  
5 some of Lawndale in, or how much of a population swap are  
6 you looking at?

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, Ms. Boyle, can  
8 you address the question?

9 MS. BOYLE: So we're talking about making San  
10 Pedro whole in the Long Beach District? Or putting San  
11 Pedro -- separating the ports?

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think the  
13 thinking was Option One of the two that you presented.

14 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so shifting this district  
15 boundary west to the LA City boundary?

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

17 MS. BOYLE: So, population could be removed here,  
18 in this area. And, like I said, I could pick up part of  
19 Long Beach and we could just rotate through here. If we  
20 don't like that then we're looking at moving the  
21 population above and over the District through the  
22 Foothill Districts. Otherwise, then we're perturbing  
23 these Communities of Interest here.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Commissioner  
2 Filkins Webber?

3           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'd just like to  
4 recognize the Mayor Pro-Tem from Hawthorne, who is here  
5 today. So I'm kind of talking about districts that  
6 overlap, but Commissioner Yao had mentioned it -- in the  
7 material that he did provide to us today, recognizing that  
8 Hawthorne considers itself the Gateway to the South Bay  
9 Beach Cities, and again I think that the ultimate  
10 conclusion is a population distribution and a balance of  
11 Communities of Interest. They are with El Segundo in the  
12 district to the north here, rather than actually Hermosa  
13 Beach or Manhattan Beach as they desire at their level.  
14 We may need to look at this at the Congressional level to  
15 see if we can balance this out. We also heard from the  
16 California League of Conservation Voters here today -- Ms.  
17 O'Brien, I think we've heard from her before in trying to  
18 keep the Playa del Rey-Marina del Rey areas, and she does  
19 speak specifically of the airport to Inglewood District.  
20 So I just want to give us consideration that they're  
21 together, and they're at the coast.

22           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner  
23 DiGuilio?

24           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, I'm not sure if  
25 I'll be saying much, but since I'm being timed -- I think

1 this is -- I think based on the size of this district this  
2 does keep a lot of those COIs together. And again, I  
3 understand the concerns of Hawthorne, but what I'd heard  
4 also was it was a beach community and at least in the  
5 north it is linked with, you know, a beach community --  
6 some beach communities. But I just think Hawthorne's  
7 position is going to make it difficult for it to be linked  
8 with a lot of those South Bay Districts -- as Commissioner  
9 Raya mentioned, we've been getting a lot of testimony  
10 about the South Bay peninsula, and I think that might come  
11 into play in a district that's a larger size. I think  
12 we've already seen that in the Congressional options --  
13 that you can accommodate that with a larger population,  
14 but with the smaller one, this is very reasonable.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Parvenu?

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I just want to add that  
17 this is very compact and I think a lot of work went into  
18 this to make certain that it was compact, and these  
19 Communities of Interest have a strongest -- probably some  
20 of the strongest alliances that -- in the Greater Los  
21 Angeles Region. And it does -- again I will refer back to  
22 the unity map -- it does coincide with -- it's a part of a  
23 puzzle there that fits within that four Assembly District  
24 central southwestern LA puzzle. So it fits well, and it  
25 is compact, so I particularly can agree with this district

1 configuration.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great. Commissioner Raya?

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Just one -- I thought I heard  
4 Commissioner Parvenu say that Gardena is whole, but it is  
5 split at Rosecrans, I believe.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Rosecrans, okay -- thank  
7 you.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu, want  
9 to --

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I retract that statement,  
11 then.

12 (Laughter)

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And I stand corrected. I  
15 want to make certain that where it is split, that we don't  
16 split the -- we have not --

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No. It was Rosecrans, which  
18 is what we've agreed on for some time.

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, in your written  
21 draft for the report, again --

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: One last comment is that  
23 previous attempts to try to keep all the beach cities  
24 whole end up having to split up Torrance, because Torrance  
25 has a little finger on the beach, and ends up creating a

1 lot more issue in terms of the neighborhoods and so on, as  
2 compared to this configuration. So I think, again, this  
3 configuration is probably the best option, taking into all  
4 the Communities of Interest.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So, Commissioner  
6 Galambos Malloy, then Commissioner Dai.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Just to clarify on  
8 the Rosecrans split -- that was a split that was directed  
9 by the Community of Interest testimony regarding  
10 preserving the traditional Japanese community that spans  
11 between those two neighboring cities, so we split it in a  
12 way that respects that COI.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Dai.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I just wanted a  
15 clarification whether we were giving direction to Ms.  
16 Boyle to try to do the rotation just through Long Beach,  
17 to try to keep San Pedro whole?

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, that's a question I  
19 was going to bring up. Is there support for doing that  
20 rotation?

21 (Yays)

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let's clarify --  
23 can we -- or do we have enough clarity with Q2 regarding  
24 what that instruction would be? Do you want to repeat the  
25 instruction, Commissioner Galambos Malloy?

1           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, so the  
2 instruction, which loops back to our previous district,  
3 was that we would like Ms. Boyle to unite San Pedro with  
4 the port and that we would like for her to keep it whole.  
5 I think in a previous iteration -- the one we're looking  
6 at -- it's not whole and complete. So that would  
7 essentially send San Pedro east, and then she would  
8 conduct a rotation across -- you know, basically, if I'm  
9 seeing it correctly -- these three districts, but we'd  
10 like her to not split any additional cities. It seems  
11 like there's the possibility of adjusting the Long Beach  
12 split where that takes place. Is that true?

13           MS. BOYLE: Yes, it would be adding more of Long  
14 Beach to the Compton-Carson District, which technically  
15 already has some of Long Beach in it.

16           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh-huh. So that  
17 would be the direction.

18           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Filkins  
19 Webber, then Commissioner Forbes.

20           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just one notation on  
21 when you're looking at Long Beach I believe we received  
22 sufficient Community of Interest testimony as to those  
23 portions of North Long Beach that are connected with  
24 Compton-Carson, so if you could take a closer look at that  
25 Community of Interest testimony you might get a better

1 idea on where the population shift would be appropriate.

2 MS. BOYLE: Yes, thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so it's a clarifying  
4 instruction. Commissioner Forbes?

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Do you have any sense of how  
6 this might affect the CVAP, this type of rotation?

7 MS. BOYLE: Yes; it would lower the Latino CVAP in  
8 the Compton-Carson district. Wilmington is significantly  
9 contributing to that number.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do you have a sense of what  
11 the percentages would be?

12 MS. BOYLE: It would be in the 30's. Right now  
13 it's almost 40%, I believe.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: So, what's -- so it's going  
15 to increase -- something else is going to increase.  
16 What's going to increase? If that's going down, something  
17 else is going up.

18 MS. BOYLE: Well, you're removing the Latino  
19 population and you're picking up a population that's more  
20 diverse. So I suspect the Black, Other and White CVAP  
21 would raise.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry -- I may need some  
23 clarification -- is that the rotation that we're asking  
24 that would move --

25 MS. BOYLE: Oh, I'm sorry, no. That --

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're not moving  
2 Wilmington.

3 MS. BOYLE: -- I'm confusing the rotation.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, we're not  
5 moving Wilmington --

6 MS. BOYLE: I apologize --

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- just the City of  
8 San Pedro as it's connected to the port, Wilmington stays  
9 where it is. So, based on my understanding of the area,  
10 that wouldn't have a dramatic impact on the CVAP numbers  
11 of that -- a district north.

12 MS. BOYLE: Correct. It would be negligible just  
13 to move San Pedro. I apologize, I'm confusing the  
14 direction.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Oh, quite all  
16 right.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you, it's okay.  
18 So we're clear on the direction and I think there is an  
19 understanding of what the rotation would be. So,  
20 Commissioner Yao?

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: That may result in the Gardena  
22 being split further. Is that the likely scenario, by  
23 roughly eight or ten thousand people? Because that's the  
24 only place where you can pick up additional population if  
25 the --

1 MS. BOYLE: Actually, I was looking at picking it  
2 up from West Carson --

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: Oh, West Carson, okay --

4 MS. BOYLE: -- or possibly from the -- from -- you  
5 know it looks like I've got most of the Gateway in here,  
6 it would have to come from West Carson. But that is an  
7 option, picking it up -- more from Gardena.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: No, no I'm not suggesting that  
9 at all, but I'm just looking at it I thought in order to  
10 keep Carson and West Carson as a complete -- as a whole  
11 city the only city that's currently split is Gardena.

12 MS. BOYLE: Correct. So what I was planning on  
13 looking at first was moving West Carson out --

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

15 MS. BOYLE: -- West Carson -- yeah moving West  
16 Carson and -- wait, let's see -- yeah I'd be taking  
17 population out of here so I have to make it up so I'd have  
18 to take it out of West Carson, and I'm hoping to do it  
19 wholly --

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: All right.

21 MS. BOYLE: -- but we'll see what the effect would  
22 be over here.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay, thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. That sufficiently  
25 clear? Then we're going to get only one option after

1 this, right? I was reminding Ms. Boyle who loves to give  
2 us many options -- which were very helpful actually -- but  
3 only one from now on.

4 MS. BOYLE: Yes, sir.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's not clear to me. So  
6 what we're saying is that the smaller areas to the  
7 southwest of San Pedro will now become a part of that  
8 eastern district that's currently white?

9 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And then we'll substitute  
11 from the -- okay.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay very good.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: (off microphone) Okay so  
14 that clarifies? We've got people from Random Links who  
15 are listening to this, so they had a question about  
16 that -- Random Lengths -- it's a newspaper there, so --

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's -- all right,  
18 let's move on.

19 MS. BOYLE: Okay, moving north to the Inglewood-  
20 Hawthorne District. This district was built around the  
21 Inglewood/Hawthorne/Gardena/Westchester COI, and it also  
22 seeks to join El Segundo and Marina Del Rey with its  
23 Community of Interest with Westchester. It does split the  
24 beach cities -- the LA beach cities -- so Mar Vista is in  
25 a different district, and Venice is with Santa Monica.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, is this still,  
2 Commissioner Parvenu --

3           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I think Commissioner Yao,  
4 as well. This keeps that PCH Corridor intact, from Marina  
5 Del Rey on down to El Segundo. There is some sensitive  
6 environmental COI there with the Ballona Creek Wetlands  
7 around -- right around Marina Del Rey, just south of it --  
8 the peninsula and that area. Also there's Dockweiler  
9 Beach just west of Westchester, which is another sensitive  
10 environment, it's a State Park. The airport is there  
11 along the southern part of Westchester. Westchester is  
12 kept whole; Playa Vista is kept whole; Del Rey is kept  
13 whole; Inglewood as well as Lennox and Hawthorne, which  
14 have a north-south orientation is together; El Segundo  
15 and the East-West Aviation Corridor -- the Imperial  
16 Boulevard and El Segundo Boulevard Corridor extends east-  
17 west from El Segundo, where you have high tech and  
18 aviation aerospace-related industry linking it to  
19 Hawthorne, which is also heavily invested in aerospace, as  
20 testimony provided today -- is kept whole and kept  
21 together. It goes down as far as Alondra Park. It picks  
22 up some of the unincorporated areas, as well as the urban  
23 jurisdictions. There are assets here; the major asset  
24 here, of course, is the airport. There are also parks and  
25 recreational facilities there. We received testimony

1 primarily from -- if I recall, primarily from the Venice-  
2 Marina Del Rey-Playa Vista area regarding Ballona Creek  
3 and the coastal-related concerns. There's a California  
4 Coastal Commission that governs environmental and  
5 construction activities along that corridor, and unless  
6 Commissioner Yao has something else to say, that's about  
7 it with my summary based on what I've read in terms of  
8 public comments and COI testimony.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Any further comments  
10 from the other Commissioners? It looks like a very good  
11 district. Okay, let's move on to the next one.

12 MS. BOYLE: Continuing to move north-west -- bear  
13 with me while I locate the label for you. I'm not sure  
14 where it went.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so for those who are  
16 watching -- this is the LAMWS District.

17 MS. BOYLE: It includes Malibu, Agoura Hills,  
18 Topanga, Pacific Palisades, Santa Monica, part of Venice,  
19 Los Angeles VA Hospital, Westwood, Bel Air, Beverly Crest,  
20 Beverly Hills, Hollywood, Melrose, Hancock Park, Miracle  
21 Mile, and Carthay. Questions?

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, maybe, again is this  
23 Commissioner Parvenu and Yao still, or are we a different  
24 -- is it Filkins Webber?

25 (Anonymous off-microphone comment): Filkins Webber

1 has a question.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Whose is this?

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: This is not my  
4 district, I just wanted to refresh my recollection on  
5 Topanga, so I'll --

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, whose district is  
7 this? Is it Parvenu again?

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'll begin and --

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- this is consistent with  
11 the extension northwest in the county of that PCH  
12 Corridor. It, of course, ties to beach communities of  
13 Venice and Santa Monica, up towards Malibu. We heard  
14 testimony, even as recent as this morning, from a resident  
15 from Malibu who came this far to tell us that our  
16 orientation is West LA, we go south for everything that we  
17 -- you know, for most of our needs or services -- or goods  
18 and services. They -- the Santa Monica Mountain Range, as  
19 included in this area is consistent from east to west.  
20 There are few arterials that link up towards Agoura Hills  
21 and certain passes -- like the Topanga Pass and other  
22 passes -- that link the northern part of that particular  
23 district with the southern coastal region. Recreation is  
24 a major interest; tourism is a major interest here, as our  
25 surfer commentator made today. He has certainly an

1 orientation towards the sea, and sea-related activities.  
2 And as we go further towards the urban area, there is some  
3 east-west arterials -- major ones -- we have Sunset  
4 Boulevard that links that area to the -- just above Santa  
5 Monica, Pacific Palisades and that area of Brentwood and  
6 just east -- that's a major east-west arterial, as well as  
7 Olympic, as well as Wilshire Boulevard. There are some  
8 very significant assets in this area; there are colleges  
9 and airports. We heard testimony from -- we didn't hear  
10 much testimony from Beverly Hills or Westwood, if I recall  
11 -- I don't recall receiving much testimony from that area.  
12 But UCLA is a part of this area -- a major University --  
13 institution that we all know -- West Hollywood is  
14 included; portions of Hollywood; the Entertainment  
15 District is further east; and Hollywood itself -- the  
16 Capital of Entertainment is in this district. The  
17 northern boundary is the Mulholland Scenic Parkway that  
18 runs east to west, which makes a natural divide from this  
19 area to the south. We've received testimony in the past  
20 that those in the Valley do not necessarily want to be  
21 represented on all three levels -- Congressional, as well  
22 as Senate -- with those on the other side of the hill, so  
23 to speak. I think we hold that testimony honest, and this  
24 happens to be where the 405 is, where the great  
25 Car-mageddon is occurring --

1 (Laughter)

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- so this area will be  
3 impacted.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes, that's a short-term  
5 thing, that's not a ten-year thing --

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Right, but I think this is  
7 a good district. It looks compact.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So -- okay, so, Commissioner  
9 Filkins Webber, and then Commissioner Blanco.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Could the -- maybe,  
11 Commissioner Parvenu -- the only concern that I see here  
12 is West Los Angeles and its connection to Santa Monica and  
13 Westwood, Century City -- that -- right there -- that  
14 entire square is a Community of Interest -- from Century  
15 City straight over to West Holly -- or West Los Angeles to  
16 Santa Monica. So, again, and this might very well be a  
17 population push from below, but maybe it might be  
18 something that we should address, and I always look at the  
19 districts that are being impacted and not necessarily the  
20 district that we're talking about, but I concur with most  
21 of what he's -- Commissioner Parvenu said as to Topanga,  
22 and that's consistent with the testimony today. But I am  
23 a little concerned about where some population shift --  
24 and what the ramifications could be -- if we added the  
25 West LA-Century City with Santa Monica.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Parvenu,  
2 do you want to address that?

3           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'd just like to address  
4 that. Can we have our line drawers give us an idea what  
5 that population in that area would be if we extract -- I  
6 don't know if this is something workable we can do now  
7 quickly to get an estimate --

8           (Anonymous off-microphone comment): It's a lot of  
9 people.

10          COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It's a lot -- it's very --  
11 so that will cause a significant shift to the -- to what  
12 our --

13          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: 158,000, I don't know --

14          COMMISSIONER PARVENU: 158,000 -- that's a city.

15          MS. BOYLE: We could split Santa Monica instead.

16          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I don't see support for  
17 that, so --

18          MS. BOYLE: Or we could pick up Miracle Mile,  
19 Hancock -- we could draw into this COI, so add this into  
20 the pink district and add this to the Culver City-Windsor  
21 Park Hills District, I just don't think I can include the  
22 entire COI.

23          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I see a lot of no's on that  
24 one. Let's maintain the queue though. So, Commissioner  
25 Blanco, then Raya, then DiGuilio.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, one, I was going to  
2 say something similar. We have a lot of testimony and  
3 then I think a lot of just -- a lot of personal experience  
4 about that West LA area going out to Santa Monica. It's  
5 just such a natural corridor. It's, you know, either you  
6 take Santa Monica Boulevard or you, you know, you go --  
7 this is, I think, the first time we've seen this in an  
8 iteration where this is split from the west side beach of  
9 Santa Monica. I think that's -- I'd like to talk that  
10 through more. Also, I think Commissioner Parvenu said  
11 that Venice was in there, but I'm looking at the map, and  
12 is that right, or is Venice split, or is it in the  
13 adjoining district?

14           MS. BOYLE: I believe Venice is wholly with Santa  
15 Monica.

16           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay.

17           MS. BOYLE: I can double check the Neighborhood  
18 Council boundaries, though.

19           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay.

20           MS. BOYLE: Venice is floating off -- the  
21 boundaries go into the water on the (inaudible) that was  
22 created.

23           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That does create a city  
24 split, by the way.

25           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry I lost the

1 queue -- we've got, I think Raya, and then DiGuilio.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I just wanted to mention the  
3 lengthy comment that we received from the west side Jewish  
4 community that can into the drop box yesterday. And it  
5 was pretty specific about boundaries, and so when you're  
6 talking about pulling Miracle Mile out -- which I think we  
7 said no to anyway, but -- you know their description is  
8 Miracle Mile, Pico-Robertson, Beverlywood, Century City,  
9 Hancock Park, with a lot of specific street information,  
10 but this is a community we've mentioned in passing because  
11 I think we've had some previous testimony, but I thought  
12 this was a pretty -- they even submitted a little map, so  
13 I think that's something to keep in mind if we're looking  
14 at changes in that area.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, before I ask my  
16 question I just want to make sure -- so Commissioner Raya,  
17 since you looked at that -- so the way it is now we've  
18 been respectful to that, is that what you're saying? Or is  
19 there still some needs --

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Not entirely.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, would we have to go  
22 further south a little bit, is that what you're saying?

23 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No, it looks like we'd have to  
24 go a little west, to pick up --

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Oh, that area --

1 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- part of Century City.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, I guess what I'm  
3 saying -- so it goes back to my original question was, if  
4 we want to try -- this is where we have to do the  
5 balancing act of trying to pull in that Century City area,  
6 and then what it'll do, if we can't go to the Miracle Mile  
7 area, then we have to go into the Venice-Santa Monica, I  
8 am assuming. So, I think this is just where we have to  
9 look at the -- our opportunity costs here and just make a  
10 decision, right? If we want to do the Century City in,  
11 then I am assuming the tradeoff, as Ms. Boyle said, is to  
12 break Santa Monica -- split Santa Monica? If we can't do  
13 the Miracle Mile area as a place to exchange population.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Ms. Boyle, do you have  
15 any --?

16 MS. BOYLE: That's a question for me?

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes.

18 MS. BOYLE: So we're talking about moving Miracle  
19 Mile in to be with Century City --

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No -- as I understand it  
21 I think the idea was to get Century City into the pink  
22 district --

23 MS. BOYLE: Sure.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- and it -- but you  
25 couldn't do it through the Miracle Mile area, so my

1 assumption to make that exchange would be to split Santa  
2 Monica.

3 MS. BOYLE: Well, if we're just talking about  
4 exchanging between the two districts, yes.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, so then -- I guess  
6 for the Commission then, I'd like to see if people -- if  
7 that's the choice. I don't know the area well enough to  
8 really say, but I just was trying to kind of narrow down  
9 what our choices are here.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, well if we're looking at  
11 the map that we all have in hand now, we may not actually  
12 have to go as far west as Century City. I mean, we can  
13 make some accommodation, but we don't necessarily have to  
14 go out and try to pick up every bit of the -- of this COI,  
15 which seems to be concentrated more in the -- what I guess  
16 would be Miracle Mile area. Is that, Commissioner Filkins  
17 Webber --?

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: What map are you  
19 looking at in hand, because -- okay --

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: The handout we just got.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I know I -- there  
22 are several pages, I wasn't sure --

23 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, well it's the, like this  
24 -- the first map on the -- not letter, but the -- page two  
25 of that? Okay, so, maybe if the mappers have this -- are

1 you looking at it Nicole? Do you have it?

2 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay -- you could get an idea,  
4 then, kind of where you would need to pick up where the  
5 majority of those little pins are, would not necessarily  
6 mean going as far west as to pick up all of, you know ,  
7 Century City.

8 MS. BOYLE: So, it looks like the majority of the  
9 pins are falling in this area, is that how the  
10 Commissioners see it?

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: South of Santa  
12 Monica Boulevard and basically between Santa Monica  
13 Boulevard south to Venice, to the south -- excuse me, I'm  
14 sorry -- Santa Monica to the north, Venice Boulevard to  
15 the south, with Larchmont to the east and basically  
16 Beverly Drive -- I mean the square that they've  
17 highlighted if we were trying to condense the -- even  
18 though we recognize maybe some of these dots mean  
19 something, but this is trying to read this on the fly,  
20 but --

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, I'm just curious  
22 again what the population, generally, of that area might  
23 be, and then -- I'm assuming -- yeah I'm just kind of  
24 assuming it looks like a very --

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: It's pretty dense.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO:  -- yeah, so I guess,  
2 again I'm just kind of going back to if we try and do that  
3 where we could suggest an exchange?

4           COMMISSIONER YAO:  How about move West LA to the  
5 red region --

6           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO:  No, you have to --

7           COMMISSIONER YAO:  -- so that it will be with  
8 Santa Monica, for example.

9           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO:  No, you have to take  
10 out -- yeah -- you have to cut into the red now.

11          COMMISSIONER YAO:  Well, we're taking it out right  
12 now out of the white region.

13          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO:  No, we're adding --

14          MS. BOYLE:  So, this red area is 70,000 here.

15          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO:  So, you have to take  
16 out -- so -- how much is Santa Monica?

17          MS. BOYLE:  So we could swap Santa Monica maybe  
18 completely for a lot of these areas.

19          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER:  And then it goes  
20 with the Culver City district?

21          MS. BOYLE:  Correct.

22          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER:  (Off microphone)  
23 What do you think?

24          COMMISSIONER YAO:  A strong COI of Santa Monica  
25 with Malibu because of the school districts, if you

1 recall.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Santa Monica's a beach city.

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah.

4 MS. BOYLE: And that would again separate maybe  
5 West Los Angeles from Santa Monica again.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It still does.

7 MS. BOYLE: Or we could try to move them both in?  
8 I'm having a hard time gauging exactly how far down we'd  
9 have to draw, though, for the swap.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No, I think --

11 MS. BOYLE: -- the red areas represent 87,000, 90  
12 -- 100,000 people now?

13 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No, I think you're too far --  
14 I don't think you need to worry about that area that  
15 you're just highlighting now.

16 MS. BOYLE: Okay.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: That's -- I -- I mean, you  
18 could almost stop at, for lack of a better word, the  
19 Century City east border, wherever that is.

20 MS. BOYLE: So, include this red area, or --?

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: It's kind of general, but --

22 MS. BOYLE: -- or that's not -- is that an  
23 overshoot?

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, I -- Beverly  
25 Boulevard to the west. Which -- so if you take that

1 out -- but --

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Commissioner Filkins Webber,  
3 so it's better to not -- to keep Miracle Mile in and West  
4 Los Angeles out of this map, in your view?

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In my view, and this  
6 is consistent with what I had talked about -- the last  
7 several weeks whenever we've talked about Miracle Mile,  
8 and I'm appreciative that they've actually provided us  
9 some further guidance in this regard -- because I did live  
10 in Hancock Park -- so what we've split right here, the  
11 Hancock Park -- then where it says Miracle Mile, we have  
12 split that from the district that she's now highlighted.  
13 The Community of Interest flows to the north and to the  
14 west, not south. Miracle -- it does not go below the Ten  
15 Freeway, which is what I had said previously --

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: -- so it's not  
18 consistent with the Community of Interest to put it with a  
19 district that's south of the Ten Freeway. They just don't  
20 go south of the Ten Freeway there, it just doesn't happen,  
21 and I hear Commissioner Parvenu saying yes, yes, so I'd  
22 like to see if there are other options as to where the  
23 population would have to be diminished here if we finally  
24 recognized this Community of Interest -- a very strong  
25 Community of Interest in Hancock Park area, and Miracle

1 Mile, and not split them up.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: You know, if you look at this  
3 map, you could really even take -- almost take Pico --

4 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Right.

5 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- as the south boundary.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, I was going to  
7 suggest --

8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Because --

9 THE REPORTER: One at a time, please.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Uh, you could take Pico as the  
11 south boundary -- I mean basically we're making a judgment  
12 that we're trying to -- we're trying to keep as much of  
13 the community as we can together, but it's impossible to  
14 go out and pick up every one of these little flags. So,  
15 Pico, I think, would take in the majority, and then still  
16 on the west side Beverly or, you know, even a little  
17 farther over to what I assume would be Century City --  
18 what is that? -- Avenue of the Stars or something like  
19 that out there.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The other option would be  
22 to, instead of adding that to the LAMWS, is to take that  
23 area and have it be part of the district it's in now, and  
24 pull Miracle Mile down into it, and then take a piece of  
25 West LA and put it next to Santa Monica. Then -- because

1 otherwise these people are going to be from that area all  
2 the way out to Malibu and my guess is that would not be  
3 a -- it would be a lot easier.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins Webber,  
5 you wanted to --?

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just in response to  
7 that -- the Community of Interest would be closer going to  
8 the west than to even consider going south. And so, even  
9 though I recognize that it's Malibu, it's not -- it still  
10 would be consistent with their Community of Interest to  
11 stay north and not go south.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I'm getting -- I  
13 don't know if clear signals on one direction. But I think  
14 that there's definitely some, almost unity in wanting to  
15 do a swap here of some kind, so let's keep going through  
16 this as quickly as we can. So, Commissioner Dai, then  
17 Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: The proposal here, on the map  
19 on page two actually does suggest doing a swap with Santa  
20 Monica. It's actually quite explicit about what to swap.  
21 I think what we need to decide is if we're okay with Santa  
22 Monica going in the district below.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: The Westside District?  
24 Yeah. Well, I'll speak to that; I mean I think even  
25 though Commissioner Forbes doesn't refer to it as sort of

1 a beach city, it's definitely a beach city west of  
2 Lincoln. But if you look at it east of Lincoln, it's much  
3 more like West LA, in terms of the communities. But --  
4 and that's just in dividing -- but I think the tenors  
5 are -- sort of evolve as you go from east to west in that  
6 sense.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I'm going to agree  
8 with you, I think that -- maybe perhaps we could look at  
9 dividing Santa Monica sort of north-south, rather than  
10 east-west, and I think -- so you -- so the western half --  
11 the beach half -- it's still on the beach. And I --

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I don't support the  
13 division, but I'm trying to characterize the city --

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh --

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, I'm speaking more in  
16 support of --

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Swapping it --

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- swapping it out, so I --

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: The whole city?

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah --

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: That's actually what it says.

22 It says, "loses Santa Monica, gains Pico-Robertson,  
23 Chaviat Hills"--

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I know what this says,  
25 but --

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah --

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, and I'm not  
3 necessarily doing it because of that, I think it's better  
4 to maintain the city whole, for obvious -- you know , the  
5 usual reasons to keep cities whole. And again, to the  
6 extent that if you're saying there is a division, I think  
7 it's more of the east -- more of the population is sort of  
8 West LA versus beach, but that's -- spent quite a bit of  
9 time there in the '80s and '90s. Commissioner Raya.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, if nobody else is in the  
11 queue, I'd like to just go ahead and propose the  
12 suggestion that I made a few minutes ago, and see if, you  
13 know, we can just have that considered and go forward. So  
14 it would be to try to take in whatever is necessary to go  
15 down to Pico on the south, Beverly on the west, and I  
16 think that's a reasonable accommodation -- you know, we're  
17 talking about a community that, by its own description  
18 lives all over Los Angeles, so, you know, it's pretty hard  
19 to take into account much more than the -- what seems to  
20 be the core of it in that LA area. And then see what we  
21 would need to swap out in order to do that. Uh, and I'm  
22 not sure where that's going to be, but --

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Galambos  
24 Malloy, then DiGuilio.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'd like to request

1 for our regional leads to provide some feedback on it. It  
2 seems like the idea that makes most sense on its face is  
3 the swap if the population is fairly equal, of Santa  
4 Monica. But again, this is an area where we did have  
5 regional leads, so, granted this is newer information, but  
6 what would be your perspective on how to balance this  
7 request, and the other many COI communities that were  
8 balanced in this district initially?

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Did you -- Commissioner  
10 Parvenu or Commissioner Yao, you want to reply to that?

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: Just looking at population  
12 numbers, Malibu has a totally population of 12,000 people,  
13 and what we're removing from them is the connection to  
14 Santa Monica, and I think the most driving Community of  
15 Interest is mainly the school system, as compared to the  
16 beach community, okay. And if we then put the Santa  
17 Monica and Venice into the white district -- I forgot the  
18 name of it -- the LAIHG, is that what that is? -- yeah  
19 then we'll basically be able to accommodate the shift of  
20 the district -- what is this group that we're trying to --  
21 the Jewish community -- in terms of everything that's  
22 along the north side of the LAIHG district. And if  
23 necessary, I would split Santa Monica along the coastal,  
24 if the population doesn't work out exactly.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Parvenu,

1 and then DiGuilio.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree with that. I think  
3 the Ten Freeway could possibly serve as a divider. The  
4 Ten Freeway is a unifier among the western cluster of  
5 cities in West LA. There's a lot of east-west transaction  
6 on that freeway, and a lot of off ramp and on ramp  
7 activity, and I hear Commissioner Filkins Webber agree  
8 that is a major unifier. Their major shopping centers --  
9 the Pavilion and other types of attractions along that --  
10 but if Santa Monica had to be split to incorporate the  
11 primarily Jewish COI to the southeast, then that would be  
12 one possibility. South Santa Monica has a lot in common  
13 with Venice and the communities to the southeast. The  
14 north of the Ten Freeway at Santa Monica along the PCH is  
15 more tourist and beach-goers, and -- that whole area has a  
16 lot of tourism and beach activity -- but if we could avoid  
17 splitting Santa Monica, that would be great, but if we had  
18 to, the Ten Freeway might be an area of demarcation for  
19 having a split if we wanted to incorporate that area to  
20 the southeast.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Commissioner  
22 DiGuilio, then Forbes, then Filkins Webber, and again we  
23 have to make these very concrete now, in terms of  
24 direction.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think that -- let me

1 just take a step back here, because I think we felt pretty  
2 good about this district, with the exception that when we  
3 got this COI testimony from the Jewish community, there  
4 could be some slight adjustments. And as Commissioner  
5 Raya said, if you look at this map, it's just -- if you go  
6 in close on our maps -- it's just -- if you go down to  
7 Pico -- in fact I'd venture to guess if you look at this  
8 right now, probably two-thirds of the Jewish community is  
9 in the district we have drawn right now. And Commissioner  
10 Raya's suggestion is if we wanted to incorporate a little  
11 more we could go down to Pico, which is just -- one, two,  
12 maybe three blocks south, so let's -- I'm just trying to  
13 back up for a second that maybe what we could do is have  
14 the Commissioners in this areas look at the population to  
15 go down just to Pico, if it's a certain percentage of  
16 people -- number of people -- we may have to shave off  
17 Santa Monica, it sounds like maybe we have an option, but  
18 there's a tradeoff if we want to shave 10,000 people --  
19 include 10,000 people in -- for the Jew -- to more fully  
20 incorporate the Jewish community, we can maybe justify a  
21 break in Santa Monica. Or, if we feel like we have a  
22 significant amount of the Jewish community in the  
23 district, we don't need to break Santa Monica. I think  
24 this is -- we're kind of going way out when we don't need  
25 to. I think we've done a very good job here balancing the

1 Jewish community COI and without doing a lot of city  
2 splits. So I would just maybe throw that out there as  
3 something we could have those areas -- those pairs drill  
4 down on.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Commissioners  
6 Filkins Webber, Forbes, Parvenu, and Yao. And then we'll  
7 move something forward.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: We actually haven't  
9 had a chance to work on this district enough, and I'm glad  
10 that this community has spoken out, and just -- let me  
11 just say -- I would appreciate that the groups -- the  
12 couple come -- work on this. One significant factor is if  
13 you go to Pico, you cut out the Museum of Tolerance.  
14 Museum of Tolerance -- and a gain this is a detail that  
15 needs to be looked at -- the Simon Wiesenthal Plaza, the  
16 Simon Wiesenthal Center, and -- it's on West Pico, the  
17 southeast corner. So if you cut it at Pico, you're going  
18 to cut out the Museum of Tolerance from the core of this  
19 Community of Interest, which is very significant, and that  
20 would not be right. So I'd like them to look at the  
21 details of this, you may not -- you may just be able to go  
22 with just a tad bit south of Pico. And, so I guess that's  
23 my point, thanks.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Forbes.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I -- this is a testimony we

1 have in front of us today. I just wondered what the  
2 testimony from Santa Monica had been in the past. I mean,  
3 just, you know -- because, I mean -- I don't want to, you  
4 know, have the -- respond to the most recent testimony we  
5 get.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes, a point well-taken. So  
7 Commissioner Parvenu, then Yao, then Galambos Malloy.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I concur. And I just want  
9 to say that I'd like to defer to the original  
10 visualization we had for the following reasons: the Jewish  
11 community is pretty much kept intact with Park, La Brea,  
12 Hancock Park and areas to the north. By incorporating  
13 that southern area down to Pico, you split up significant  
14 Communities of Interest, namely the Victoria Park, Mid  
15 City area, which has a lot in common with what's below.  
16 Their orientation is primarily south of the Ten Freeway  
17 and east and west. You also take up a significant part of  
18 the Crenshaw district, and connect that with Malibu and  
19 that far out west area. They are not ocean-oriented  
20 communities. I mean, they may go there on occasions, but  
21 that's not their daily routine. So, I'd be leery to just  
22 shift gears drastically. We receive one piece of  
23 testimony -- the Jewish community is kept intact, and  
24 Sherman Oaks, and Studio City -- and bring that map down,  
25 please, a little so we can see communities to the north --

1 bring the -- just slide this down please. We have  
2 Melrose, we have the east-west communities, we have Bally  
3 Boulevard, we have a very strong -- Hollywood, South  
4 Hollywood -- we have a strong, both Orthodox and Non-  
5 Orthodox Jewish COI there, especially around Third Street,  
6 Sixth Street, those east-west corridors is where the  
7 synagogues are. Okay, time up -- okay I'll just bring  
8 that to a close. But I think we aptly represent the  
9 Jewish Community. And secondly, I'd like to say, as my  
10 colleague has reminded me quickly, that this map here  
11 needs to have an adjustment, because we do end up  
12 splitting Venice, and if we can avoid splitting up Venice,  
13 that would be -- it's a part of the LA City, so that will  
14 avoid another city split.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, is it Commissioner  
16 Galambos Malloy who was next?

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I would  
18 definitely side on putting my hat in with the  
19 visualization that we started this conversation with. I  
20 think particularly as we look at the west side of this  
21 district we need to be careful that we are still following  
22 our initial intent, which was really that geographically  
23 there is a certain level of isolation in Malibu and they  
24 have very strong connections with Santa Monica.  
25 Throughout that corridor, with the school districts, as

1 have been mentioned, and the beach communities there, I  
2 think that we are having a -- we just need to remind  
3 ourselves as Commissioners that we have at this point  
4 reviewed nearly 20,000 comments, if you look at the  
5 written comments, we've had another 3,000, roughly in  
6 person COI testimonies that have been given to us, and I  
7 think that we want -- as compelling and important as this  
8 COI is, the fact that we have already addressed it in some  
9 form, we don't want the last five pieces of testimony that  
10 we have had to have more than their time -- more than  
11 their weight -- more than their fair share.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let me call a straw  
13 vote. In terms of the original visualization, how many  
14 folks do we have in support of that?

15 (Anonymous off-microphone): In support of what?

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: The original, as-is -- the  
17 way -- as-is, basically. One, two, three, four, five,  
18 six, seven, eight -- the question is the original  
19 visualization versus some modification to accommodate --

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could we actually just  
21 maybe add the attempt to keep the Museum of Tolerance,  
22 it's very close -- it's right on the border -- maybe if we  
23 could just add that -- it's on the west side of Pico. I  
24 think if we did that, I think that would be -- yeah. If  
25 you go over it's right at the boundary.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so raise your hands  
2 again, just in terms of -- again -- one, two -- okay  
3 that's a -- yeah, we're over nine at this point.

4           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can you move it to the west  
5 so we can get to the -- move it to the west? Zoom in a  
6 little more --

7           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do we need to do this today?  
8 Because if we're just doing the Museum of Tolerance we can  
9 do this on -- next week.

10          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, it's at the  
11 corner -- it's just simply at the corner, I think we can  
12 accommodate this even in Line Drawing. Live Line Drawing.

13          MS. MAC DONALD: We need the -- we need  
14 clarification for Kyle, please. Of exactly what the  
15 direction is.

16          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: The direction is to  
17 maintain -- we're basically maintaining the existing  
18 visualization -- so no change to the visualization. And  
19 again, the question is do we need to worry about the  
20 museum of Tolerance now, or can we just worry about this  
21 next week? Okay, we'll deal with it next week.

22          MS. MAC DONALD: And we need an intersection  
23 please, would that be -- do you have one? Or should we  
24 just Google it and figure out where the --

25          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: You can figure that out, I

1 guess --

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Just Google it, you'll find it  
3 -- it's right --

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Pico and Roxbury.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And can we put Venice back  
6 together? It's a tiny city.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so the instruction  
8 would be maintain the visualization, try to include the  
9 Museum of Tolerance in the -- whatever the label is -- the  
10 visualization, and then to try to unite Venice --

11 MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- which is a neighborhood,  
13 not a city. Okay? Let's go north.

14 MS. BOYLE: This is the LAVSF District, it's  
15 composed mostly of the western San Fernando Valley,  
16 including Calabasas and Bell Canyon. I looked at  
17 including Calabasas with a district to the south with in  
18 the Malibu district, but for population reasons it made a  
19 cleaner break for these districts. Encino is split.

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'll defer to --

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is this Commissioners  
22 Parvenu and Barabba?

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And I'll defer to  
24 Commissioner Barabba, because I've spoken before.

25 MS. BOYLE: Chatsworth is also split.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Commissioner Barabba, do  
2 you want to just give a quick description?

3           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I need what we did to the  
4 LASFW -- because I thought we had a higher Latino CVAP  
5 there before.

6           MS. BOYLE: We did. We had it including Reseda --  
7 we had like the arm going into western San Fernando  
8 Valley, and I was asked, I think two line drawing meetings  
9 ago to remove it --

10          COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah. But --

11          MS. BOYLE: -- so I put Reseda in Lake -- oh it  
12 looks like I split Reseda from Lake Balboa. I put Reseda  
13 west per community --

14          COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Oh, I'm -- excuse me I'm on  
15 the wrong district.

16          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, there's a Section Two  
17 district that's also --

18          MS. BOYLE: Yes, it's up here.

19          COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay, thank you.

20          COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I have a quick question --

21          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, Commissioner Barabba,  
22 do you want to just --

23          COMMISSIONER BARABBA: No, I think that's fine.

24          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, is there anything you  
25 wanted to narratively, just a sentence or two, about --

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: The narrative is?

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: It's the valley, so --

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Well, we're attempted, as  
4 requested, to keep the San Fernando Valley whole, and  
5 we've been able to do that through -- roughly through  
6 three districts.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And I'll add -- chime in  
8 if -- if I can --

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, just quickly I'll  
11 just chime in. We managed to honor the testimony of many  
12 a community. They presented maps to us with that east-  
13 west corridor about mid valley. We also honored the  
14 request to keep Reseda whole, as well as Northridge whole.  
15 We honored the request by the west valley and VICAS report  
16 that west valley is somewhat different from east valet,  
17 because west valley is oriented towards the high tech,  
18 aerospace, general dynamic sort of community. Warner  
19 Center community, West Valley, West Hills, that area of  
20 Woodland Hills, Tarzana and Encino is a bit different from  
21 the east valley, which is oriented towards entertainment,  
22 and some other industries -- towards Hollywood and Studio  
23 City. So, this area appears to be compact. We keep  
24 Chatsworth whole, up north we have major east-west  
25 corridors, Ventura Boulevard, we have an orange rail

1 transit system that services the east-west cities, and we  
2 bring in Bell Canyon to the west, and we keep Calabasas  
3 and Hidden Hills as part of the area, with their east-west  
4 orientation along both the freeway -- the 101 Freeway --  
5 as well as Venture Boulevard.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Chatsworth's not  
7 whole.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Chatsworth's not whole.

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And my question deals with  
10 Chatsworth. If we have -- because of our deviation we  
11 could add 4,000 people to this district and stay within  
12 the deviation. My question is how much of either Encino  
13 and Chatsworth is outside of this district?

14 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment please.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Where's the deviation?

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: I believe Chatsworth's not  
17 whole to provide contiguity there, between Simi Valley and  
18 the Santa Clarita area.

19 MS. BOYLE: Yes, that was part of it. I think  
20 that it could be made whole if you were okay with it just  
21 being contiguous against unincorp -- or through the  
22 unincorporated County area.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: If we could make Chatsworth  
24 whole, I see that's a plus. I mean if it's physically  
25 connected, anyway.

1 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, MS. Boyle, the  
3 district is still contiguous though, right? It's simply  
4 that there aren't populated areas that are connected. Is  
5 that right?

6 MS. BOYLE: I'm sorry, what?

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry. If you were to  
8 make Chatsworth whole the adjacent district would still be  
9 contiguous --

10 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- even though, again, it  
12 wouldn't be populated areas next to each other, you'd have  
13 the unincorp -- the unpopulated area being a connector.

14 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is that correct? Okay.

16 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think that would satisfy  
18 the contiguity requirement.

19 MS. BOYLE: Oh, there are 22,000 people in that  
20 red area of Chatsworth.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: All right, good.

22 MS. BOYLE: My mistake, I thought it was less  
23 populated than that.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you for checking.

25 MS. BOYLE: Uh-huh. You're welcome.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Other comments then?  
2 So that's much higher than our deviation would allow,  
3 obviously. Any other comments? Okay, so we'll go --  
4 Commissioner Dai.

5           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I was just wondering --  
6 I'm imagining it's pretty densely populated in Encino, but  
7 is there a way we can split only one?

8           MS. BOYLE: I could look at doing some swaps, but  
9 yes, this is very populated as well. I don't think I  
10 could hide it in the deviation. I can look at doing some  
11 more swapping through here to see if I could do it without  
12 splitting one of these neighborhoods, but that's -- I  
13 already went through that exercise, but --

14           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Commissioner Ancheta?

15           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Blanco.

16           MS. BOYLE: I can try looking at it again.

17           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just have a question. I'm  
18 curious whether, when we were trying to have this look  
19 nicer by getting rid of that arm that went in, whether we,  
20 for the sake of that, created these two city splits or  
21 whether it's unrelated.

22           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So the question is, in  
23 trying to get that arm out in -- from a previous  
24 iteration, did the neighborhood splits occur?

25           MS. BOYLE: In -- well, in this iteration, yes.

1 But there was also neighborhood splits in the previous  
2 iteration of the district, as well.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

4 MS. BOYLE: So, I can't speak to whether which one  
5 had more splits.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

7 MS. BOYLE: But these groupings seem more  
8 consistent with the recent testimony we've received.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I think we're going  
10 forward with this one. Want to go to LASFW?

11 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

12 MS. MAC DONALD: Could we please clarify whether  
13 there was any direction on the last district.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: No.

15 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, thank you.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Keep as-is.

17 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Nicole, how many more  
18 districts do we have?

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Keep as-is but fix splits if at  
20 all possible.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Commissioner Ontai just  
24 raised the question about how many remaining districts we  
25 have for the Assembly.

1 MS. BOYLE: I believe we have four.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Four, okay. Let's just keep  
3 going.

4 MS. BOYLE: I'm losing count, though. Okay, this  
5 is the Second Western San Fernando Valley District. It  
6 splits Encino, and I -- and it does split North Hills a  
7 little bit. This is one that might be able to be hid in  
8 the deviation. Okay --

9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And in this case we move to  
10 the mountain crest, is that correct?

11 MS. BOYLE: Pardon me?

12 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Are we in the mountain  
13 crest there in the --

14 MS. BOYLE: Yes, the southern boundary is the  
15 Mulholland Ranch or Mulholland Drive. Can we move on to  
16 the next district?

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Go ahead.

18 MS. BOYLE: Our next district is the Eastern San  
19 Fernando Valley District. It splits only North Hills --  
20 oh no, I believe we're whole. We can do a check -- we  
21 have a little tiny corner here of a neighboring community,  
22 or neighboring neighborhood. And we are missing part of  
23 North Hollywood, which goes south. And Granada Hills is  
24 split, as well. This particular split was supported by  
25 COI testimony, though. Questions?

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.  
2 Again, this is considered a Section Two District, but I  
3 think in terms of just documentation you might want to  
4 indicate there are --

5           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: We moved up further north  
6 than we originally had planned, to pick up that area in --  
7 up in that area there. And we picked up -- was it  
8 Newhall?

9           MS. BOYLE: Um, I think Newhall is over here,  
10 unless I'm mistaken. This is I believe the boundary of  
11 the National Forest.

12           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay. And we've -- were  
13 able -- in doing this we were able to keep other areas  
14 whole, as I recall -- Burbank and Glendale, is that  
15 correct?

16           MS. BOYLE: Correct. This particular area, I --  
17 wasn't added for population reasons, it was good to give  
18 contiguity with the wilderness areas to the north of the  
19 populations, which I believe was previous Commission  
20 direction.

21           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Next district.

22           MS. BOYLE: Okay.

23           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: So we now have three  
24 Assembly Districts in -- fundamentally in the San Fernando  
25 Valley.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

2           MS. BOYLE: Yes, almost wholly. So moving east,  
3 this is the -- this is our Glendale-Burbank District.

4           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So I could say a  
5 few words about this district. Commissioner Raya and I,  
6 this is our area. We had significant COI testimony  
7 linking the La Crescenta, La Canada, Glendale and Burbank  
8 COIs. There were some members of the public who also  
9 would have extended that farther west. However, of  
10 course, on the west side we're looking at over 50% Latino  
11 CVAP numbers and so on the whole this district balances  
12 these two different perceptions of what the correct  
13 groupings are at the Assembly level. I did want to note  
14 that we have -- on the south end of this district we have  
15 Silver Lake, and that we have had competing COI regarding  
16 where Silver Lake belongs. And there is one camp that  
17 essentially sees Silver Lake as being paired with  
18 Hollywood, Atwater, Burbank and Glendale, which is  
19 reflected in this type of visualization. There's another  
20 camp that sees Silver Lake as paired with Atwater, Eagle  
21 Rock, Downtown, Midtown and Echo Park. So again an area  
22 where we have competing, COI but where we feel like we  
23 have a strong visualization. We have Los Feliz, and, you  
24 know, we're accommodating balancing what we're hearing on  
25 the north side and the south side. I did want to note we

1 had some testimony that we should not joint the cities  
2 that are in this district with Altadena and Pasadena due  
3 to some issues regarding PCC -- Pasadena Community College  
4 and Pasadena Unified School District -- kind of voting  
5 issues in their Trustee District in regards to minority  
6 populations. But, you know, we have a strong robust COI to  
7 link these communities even without that.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and further comments  
9 on the district? Commissioner Dai.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's just a question -- do I  
11 see Thai Town and Little Armenia in there?

12 MS. BOYLE: Yes, you do.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner  
14 DiGuilio.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And this was just to  
16 clarify one of Commissioner Galambos Malloy's earlier  
17 points. We did hear -- we had quite a bit about -- I  
18 believe when you said in the western district, you were  
19 talking about like Sunland, and Kagel Canyon and  
20 Shadows -- I mean there was a lot of -- we had a lot of  
21 traffic about those being linked with La Crescenta, and  
22 Montrose, and that's where we want to make sure we put it  
23 on the record that we did look at that and try to attempt  
24 to do that, but it wasn't possible because of the Section  
25 Two and LASFE.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Barabba.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, the Silver Lake  
3 area -- you have Silver Lake going all the way to East LA,  
4 and are we going to talk about that district at some  
5 point?

6 MS. BOYLE: Yes, sir.

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay, when we get to that  
8 I'll talk about it then.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Are there any other  
10 comments on the current district? Commissioner DiGuilio.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can I just ask  
12 Commissioner Barabba though -- but would you prefer to  
13 have Silver Lake in with this district we're talking  
14 about?

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I think it's -- I think I  
16 got a way of thinking about it, but I need some -- yeah --  
17 answers, yes.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think, again,  
20 it's an area where we had competing testimony. This  
21 district solidly represents one of those two camps about  
22 where Silver Lake belongs. I wanted to ask a question  
23 which may go to our mappers or to other Commissioner who  
24 are familiar here -- I'm trying to remind myself where the  
25 Cal-Tech and JPL campuses are.

1 COMMISSIONER RAYA: They're in La Canada.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: They're in La  
3 Canada, both? Okay, excellent.

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Oh, the -- I'm sorry, you  
5 said -- Cal-Tech, the school is in Pasadena, but the JPL  
6 is in La Canada.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Gotcha, okay. So  
8 we had some feedback from the public, particularly as we  
9 look at the Congressional level, that because of the tight  
10 link between those two campuses and their Federal funding  
11 tied together, we may want to look at being able to pair  
12 those, but at the Assembly, not as relevant.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Any additional  
14 comments on the district? Okay.

15 MS. BOYLE: Okay, let's go ahead and move south to  
16 the LAELA district. This is one of our potential Voting  
17 Rights Act districts that touches the cluster. Questions?

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.

19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I guess the question I  
20 would have is that the -- if you included the westernmost  
21 part of Silver Lake, including the reservoir, and took  
22 that part of Filipinotown and put it into the lower  
23 district, then you went over to Boyle Heights, and put it  
24 closer to East LA, would -- could that be balanced out and  
25 you would actually have East LA closer to -- I think I got

1 the mix right there.

2 MS. BOYLE: Would you mind repeating that one more  
3 time, please?

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: So, if you would put Silver  
5 Lake into the Los Feliz district there, or whatever you  
6 got-- called Glendale --

7 MS. BOYLE: Okay --

8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: -- and then you put -- so  
9 that would reduce the size of it, that means you've got to  
10 add to it, and I would think you could take come out of  
11 Boyle Heights into East LA, then you could -- you've got  
12 to add some - I had it figured out, but I guess I lost it  
13 here.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: You know, my only  
15 concern would be, if I'm understanding the proposal  
16 correctly, would be that we would be -- really that west  
17 portion and the north portion of that district that we're  
18 looking at are the less-heavily concentrated areas of the  
19 district, and so if we move some of that population out,  
20 and move population in from the --

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Concentration --

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- that our  
23 number -- we're already looking at 58% -- is going to  
24 increase --

25 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, okay.

1           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY:  -- potentially  
2 significantly.  And one final point about Thai Town -- you  
3 know there's Thai Town and Little Armenia there.  We had  
4 got feedback in previous iterations that -- where we had  
5 Thai Town oriented with cities to the west.  They said  
6 please orient us to the east.  So here we have Thais Town  
7 and Little Armenia, they're oriented with East Hollywood,  
8 which is a significant improvement.

9           COMMISSIONER RAYA:  And Silver Lake does clearly,  
10 now, identify as being an East LA city -- area.

11           COMMISSIONER BLANCO:  Commissioner Ancheta?

12           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA:  Commissioner Blanco.

13           COMMISSIONER BLANCO:  Well, I live there -- here,  
14 in this area.  And I can say that -- I'm in Atwater  
15 Village, and really Silver Lake is so connected now to  
16 this area in Atwater and, you know, the -- you know,  
17 Glendale, Atwater, Silver Lake, Silver Lake connected to  
18 parts of downtown.  It's really -- I think Silver Lake has  
19 changed in its, both composition, and sort of cultural  
20 makeup over the last ten years.  And I literally can walk  
21 from Atwater to Silver Lake within this district.  So I  
22 don't think -- there might be difference, but it's not  
23 misplaced, I guess is my point, where it is.

24           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA:  Okay, Commissioner Parvenu.

25           COMMISSIONER PARVENU:  I'll just add my chime in

1 here based on my familiarity with working with these  
2 communities from a planning perspective with the City. I  
3 appreciate Commissioner Barabba's concern. I've noticed,  
4 though, an increasing connectivity between Silver Lake and  
5 Echo Park, and that corridor along Sunset that connects  
6 that community to downtown as a center of employment. And  
7 there has been, as Commissioner Blanco says, an increased  
8 connectivity with the Mount Washington and communities to  
9 the east, and to East LA, and Lincoln Heights and that  
10 whole area with Chinatown. I know there's a stronger  
11 orientation with the younger, youthful -- more youthful  
12 employed population -- actually it's gentrification, with  
13 the young up and coming types connected to the downtown  
14 community.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I'm not clear if  
16 there's anything beyond the *status quo* at this point.  
17 Should we just go with what we've got?

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Reluctantly.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry, Commissioner  
20 Barabba?

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Reluctantly.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Reluctantly, okay. So the  
23 instruction is to maintain it.

24 MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Are we done with -- did we

1 miss any of the central LA districts?

2 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, we still have a couple more  
3 districts. We have the LADNT. We did the LADNN earlier,  
4 but we did not cover the LADNT. It stretched from  
5 Koreatown southeast to Huntington Park.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Which Commissioners are on  
7 this one?

8

9 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment please.

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm trying to pull it up  
11 here because it's hard to see it --

12 MS. BOYLE: Sure, let me --

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- on the screen. So, a  
14 couple of things here; we had a lot of testimony in the  
15 north part of this district. We had a lot of testimony  
16 before and after our first draft map about immigrant  
17 communities in this area -- heavily Central American and  
18 so not just Latino in general, but a very specific  
19 testimony about immigrant communities, Asian and Latino,  
20 in this part of the district, and also that there was a  
21 connection between that and downtown. We had, you know,  
22 one hearing in Culver City, people said we really -- Pico  
23 Union needs to be connected to downtown, it's really part  
24 of one network, and connected to these immigrant  
25 communities to the north. The -- I do think in a sense we

1 have a little bit of two districts here, but I do want to  
2 say that the Huntington Park -- Vernon is, really -- as  
3 you can see there are 112 people, so what we're really  
4 talking about is -- you have Vernon in there in between,  
5 but you're really talking about the relationship between  
6 Huntington Park and these other parts of the District.  
7 And it's -- the whole district is, not -- again, not just  
8 Latino, but it's heavily immigrant and new immigrant  
9 throughout with a lot of issues around education and  
10 language -- we have a lot of testimony about linguistic  
11 needs in both these areas. And then Koreatown, we had a  
12 lot of testimony about Koreatown. Both -- interestingly  
13 enough, both the folks that have businesses there, that  
14 are mainly Asian business -- but then Koreatown itself,  
15 the population is mixed, it's both Latino and Asian, and  
16 again, heavily immigrant. If there were one district that  
17 I would sort of define like that more than others in this  
18 area, it might be that along with one of the southeast  
19 Assembly Districts. Commissioner Filkins Webber?

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: What I would  
21 additionally add to this is to comment on potential  
22 criticism regarding shape, but what we are recognizing is  
23 the Community of Interest to the north, with the  
24 Filipinotown, Echo Park and Elysian Park. Then looking  
25 also at the Community of Interest to the south in the

1 LAVSQ, where you have University Park, Exposition Park,  
2 and these were areas that, literally the Communities of  
3 Interest exist in the manner in which we have drawn.  
4 You've got Koreatown with a downtown Pico-Union flow, then  
5 you have the north with the Filipinotown, and then you  
6 have the south at University Park. So this was an effort  
7 into recognize each of these Communities of Interest, and  
8 also to pay attention to -- so as not to over-concentrate,  
9 which was always an interest in this entire region of Los  
10 Angeles. So I think that this is a balance of all of  
11 those Communities of Interest throughout this entire  
12 central area around downtown Los Angeles.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any additional  
14 comments? Okay, and again, it is a Section Two district,  
15 as well.

16 MS. BOYLE: Our next district is the LAVSQ  
17 district. In the north, Adams, Normandy and University  
18 Park, it stretches south to include Florence Graham,  
19 Walnut Park. And this is an unincorporated area.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I believe -- Commissioner  
21 Blanco.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, I'll just start. This  
23 is, again, not only does it -- is it compact, it really  
24 has in it areas that we heard tremendous testimony about  
25 from the very beginning of our process about areas that

1 should be together. Adams, Normandy, University Park,  
2 West Vernon, Vermont Square, you know, Florence-Graham.  
3 This was -- the only one I'd say that maybe is more of a  
4 southeast city that's in there is the Walnut Park, and I  
5 suspect that's a population issue. That is properly more  
6 of a southeast city. But this -- we had a lot of  
7 testimony about this being an historical areas with a lot  
8 of ties, a lot of neighborhoods and churches that were  
9 frequented in this area, and that it had a history in LA.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins Webber,  
11 anything to add? No --

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I just agree --

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu --

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- everything with what  
15 Commissioner Blanco just said. It's historically African-  
16 American to the east -- east of the Central Avenue was the  
17 historic core of the African-American community. They  
18 have a new wave of immigrant population there, older  
19 housing stock, in terms of the zoning from a land-use  
20 perspective it seems to be a very cohesive and compact  
21 community, and I think it's a good fit. The asset there  
22 with the museums in University Park is a plus. A lot of  
23 transportation north and south -- a heavily transit-  
24 dependent corridor. You have some rail lines along the  
25 Ten, a bus lines, and we have the Exposition line -- the

1 new Expo line coming in from downtown linking that area to  
2 areas further to the west, which is why I think it works  
3 well.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any additional  
5 comments on this district? Okay, we'll maintain it as it  
6 is currently designed.

7 MS. BOYLE: Okay, now we're moving northeast to  
8 our San Gabriel-Foothill Mountain District, or what's left  
9 of the concept. It still works. So this one stretches on  
10 the west from South Pasadena, Pasadena, Altadena city  
11 boundaries; going east, to the split of Rancho Cucamonga,  
12 and north to the boundary of the National Forest.

13 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay --

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Raya, please --

15 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Let me take a deep breath on  
16 this one. Parts of this make people really happy, and  
17 other parts, maybe not so much. There is a big question  
18 about the length of this district. Again, you know,  
19 our -- I think we're -- it's the same thing, we're bumping  
20 up against Section Two areas, and it's very hard to  
21 capture the necessary population, especially trying to do  
22 the north-south orientation from the foothills. So, let's  
23 assume that for the moment this is the district. I think  
24 you could -- you can easily say that on both ends there  
25 are strong relationships, and commonalities between the

1 two being at the foothills. And I can say that on the  
2 west side the, you know, Altadena/Pasadena/South Pass  
3 people are happy to be together. We had a lot of  
4 testimony about the strong -- the school district  
5 relationship between Pasadena/Altadena, people from the  
6 surrounding communities that view Pasadena as their hub  
7 for entertainment, healthcare, shopping -- the commerce is  
8 very related in those areas. And going east you pick up  
9 the same relationship with Sierra Madre, and to some  
10 extent Monrovia, and on the far side, I don't know if --  
11 maybe Commissioner Yao is more qualified to speak to the  
12 relationship -- La Verne, Claremont, Upland, and the  
13 northern part of Rancho there.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: La Verne, Claremont, San Dimas,  
15 which I don't believe is in the district at this point, or  
16 is it?

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: It is.

18 COMMISSIONER YAO: It is, okay. These are very --  
19 all cities that are very similar in size, and have a lot  
20 of commerce together, in terms of addressing the local  
21 transportation issues and the local mental health issues.  
22 One of the reason is, being on the extreme part of the Los  
23 Angeles County, those types of issues are typically -- we  
24 don't get enough attention I guess is probably the way to  
25 describe it. As a result, cities work together to try to

1 address that, as compared to depending on the County. So,  
2 from that corner perspective, it indeed is a very tight  
3 neighborhood. Now, the City of Upland, obviously would  
4 much prefer to be with San Bernardino County. Its County  
5 has a significant structure, both in terms of  
6 transportation and other Social Services issues, but due  
7 to population I don't think -- there's no way to -- it's  
8 very difficult, I would say, to incorporate them. We have  
9 been able to try to put the biggest part of Rancho  
10 Cucamonga in with the San Bernardino County, but the San  
11 Antonio Heights and Upland is another issue. In the same  
12 breath, Upland is very similar to Claremont --

13 MS. SARGIS: Time. Sorry.

14 (Laughter)

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Commissioner Dai.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I think that the  
18 narrative should just reflect that basically this is a  
19 district that was created around several Section Twos to  
20 the south. We have several Section Twos to the east, as  
21 well, so you know, I think -- I don't have a problem with  
22 this district, they're all Foothill communities. We  
23 received a lot of testimony -- we had actually created one  
24 Foothill district before, so we've managed to break up  
25 that a little bit, but we still have a bunch of Foothill

1 communities together.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner DiGuilio.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And if I heard  
4 Commissioner Raya, she kind of talked about the greater  
5 Pasadena areas as a very strong cluster of COI, and it  
6 sounds like the eastern part with Upland and Claremont is  
7 a pretty strong COI too, and while it looks like they may  
8 not be necessarily linked because it goes over, but it  
9 sounds like they're not so disparate that, you know, there  
10 is some commonalities. There's kind of two strong COIs  
11 and they're linked based on that being a Foothill  
12 community.

13 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And you have to keep in mind  
14 too, that the Two-Ten Freeway, that goes from one end to  
15 the other -- you know, in LA we tend to -- you know, I  
16 think we look at distance and sew our relationships a  
17 little differently. That's really nothing, but not -- I'm  
18 not trying to be silly about it, but that transportation  
19 issue does carry over from one side to the other, so there  
20 are some other links that are meaningful about that  
21 connection.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay --

23 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Chair, can I ask a  
24 question?

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes.

1           VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Nicole, how many more  
2 districts do we have, because at this point, we've got  
3 half an hour left and maybe we can get some advice on your  
4 timing on --

5           MS. BOYLE: Sure, we have two districts after this  
6 one.

7           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can I suggest we also  
8 return to the west side -- we left -- we skipped a  
9 district to the west side, I don't know its numbers --  
10 before we just completely leave.

11          COMMISSIONER YAO: Let's stay on this district --

12          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's wrap up this one  
13 first, and then -- we'll come back -- if we've missed on  
14 we'll go back to it, certainly, but let's finish this one  
15 up.

16          COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So, that makes three.

17          COMMISSIONER YAO: I'd like to focus our attention  
18 to the upper right-hand corner, where Wrightwood is.  
19 Wrightwood, the next bigger town adjacent to it in the Los  
20 Angeles County is Big Pine, and -- if we can blow up that  
21 area -- and it's really a single road that's tying them  
22 together, and I don't know whether we want to leave - kind  
23 of leave Wrightwood hanging or not. There's a population  
24 deviation of 3,500 population under, and Wrightwood is  
25 around 4,000. Now I don't know whether Wrightwood would

1 prefer to stay in San Bernardino County, as compared to  
2 lumping -- being lumped in to the Los Angeles County, so  
3 if there's any -- I'll go back and check the Public  
4 Comment, and see if there is anything -- any input on that  
5 basis, but I kind of feel like we either -- we're leaving  
6 those communities disconnected.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, it's -- and there's a  
8 recreational -- there's a ski resort right there, Mountain  
9 High.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And most of it is  
11 traversed, at least in my experience, up the 15 Corridor  
12 in the San Bernardino County, so I would leave it as-is,  
13 would be my understanding.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

15 MS. BOYLE: Okay, moving back west. We have the  
16 Santa Clarita Valley District, the LASCV. Label coming  
17 up -- this included Simi Valley, Santa Susana, Santa  
18 Clarita, Castaic, Val Verde, and in this iteration I  
19 wasn't able to go all the way up to Agua Dulce.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so, who has this one?  
21 Commissioner Raya? Or Barabba?

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Commissioner Barabba.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Somebody worked on this,  
24 so -- is this a Barabba/Parvenu?

25 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: This is the one that went

1 all the way to Malibu, as I recall.

2 MS. BOYLE: I think you're thinking of Senate --

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Oh that was Senate -- I  
4 don't remember working on this one.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think this was  
6 basically the leftover -- Simi Valley and Santa Susana  
7 were leftover from the East Ventura County, and the  
8 natural pairing was for it to go -- if it didn't go with  
9 the West San Fernando Valley, it was -- we've heard from  
10 Simi Valley over and over to be paired with Santa Clarita,  
11 so --

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'll just say a few words  
13 here. Even this -- based on this today when we've heard  
14 testimony, exactly as Commissioner DiGuilio said about  
15 Simi Valley and Santa Clarita -- I recall that we did  
16 receive tremendous -- we had received conflicting  
17 testimony, actually from this areas when we were in  
18 Lancaster/Palmdale, regarding Santa Clarita. Population-  
19 wise, I think this is, in terms of compactness and  
20 population-wise, dealing with equal population, and  
21 compactness, those criteria, I think this is -- this  
22 works. The east-west 110 -- 118 Freeway links the valley  
23 to the west, and of course the Five is a major  
24 thoroughfare north-south linking that area to the State to  
25 the north.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Ward.

2           COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, remember that the Simi  
3 Valley Mayor spoke this morning and I remember him saying  
4 that he actually had some maps he wanted to provide to us  
5 that show a fix for keeping it in Ventura County. In  
6 light of the districts we already had, I just didn't  
7 remember seeing them. Do you know if he ever handed  
8 anything or left anything with us?

9           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm not sure --

10          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: He had left a narrative,  
11 and if you read the narrative it just asks for it to be  
12 in, but unfortunately you have to send somebody out, and  
13 he didn't choose who to send out, so that's -- he solved  
14 it by saying we'd like to be with East Ventura County, but  
15 that didn't give us an out.

16          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any further comments  
17 on the district. Okay, I think we're good.

18          MS. BOYLE: Last district.

19          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Next-to-last, actually,  
20 there's one more.

21          MS. BOYLE: Okay. This is the LAVV District. It's  
22 changed, pursuant to some changes in San Bernardino  
23 County. So no it includes this northern portion of -- or  
24 the southeast portion of Kern County. And it includes a  
25 little piece of Victor Valley.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any narrative description to  
2 highlight here?

3           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I don't know if the  
4 Commissioners that worked in this area - but I'll just  
5 say, as far as the Community of Interest testimony, and  
6 concurred this morning, actually by the Public Comment,  
7 Lancaster and Palmdale are together. We heard a  
8 significant amount of that testimony when we were in the  
9 Lancaster area, and the intrusion into Phelan still gives  
10 primary respect to the Victor Valley area of the high  
11 desert, so I understand for population purposes. And I  
12 think we had some representative from Boron that liked the  
13 connection with Lancaster, as well. And transportation  
14 corridors.

15           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and additional --  
16 that's a good description. Any further comments on it?  
17 Okay, this is a good district.

18           MS. BOYLE: Okay, moving back southwest, which  
19 district was it, Commissioner Parvenu?

20           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: We skipped over LAWSC -  
21 it's a very important district.

22           MS. BOYLE: Are you sure?

23           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That happens to be where I  
24 live, yeah. We sort of skirted around it earlier --

25           MS. BOYLE: That's true, we did. We covered it on

1 all sides.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: We didn't actually give  
3 it -- yeah.

4 MS. BOYLE: So, this is the LAWSC District label  
5 here.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: This includes Culver City,  
7 of Course.

8 (Laughter)

9 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: My house right there is the  
10 epicenter of the universe, okay? But I think this is a  
11 compact district. It includes Culver City with Baldwin  
12 Hills and there are some issues there with the oil  
13 refinery, PEX -- Petroleum Exploration Production. They  
14 have long-standing ties with the State Park up there,  
15 Baldwin Hills, and Kenneth Hahn Park, there's a certain  
16 Community of Interest with West LA being kept with Century  
17 City and Cheviot Hills, and I just want to point out, too  
18 that if you zoom in, you'll see where Pico Boulevard,  
19 which runs east-west, is the heart of the Jewish  
20 community, from La Cienega to the east, all the way over  
21 past Robertson, you'll see the Kosher deli's and so forth.  
22 And that's where the Museum of Tolerance is located, as  
23 well as there's a significant Jewish Community in Cheviot  
24 Hills, in Beverlywood, in fact, the Jewish community  
25 spread out the area -- San Vicente, Brentwood, they're all

1 over. So, I think that we honor their request, if you  
2 zoom in to Pico, you'll see that there is a major  
3 commercial corridor where the Jewish community is very  
4 active in that area, on the northwest side of it, so I  
5 don't think we really abruptly separate that COI testimony  
6 that we received today by keeping that Pico -- western  
7 Pico corridor intact. This keeps -- and then also -- it  
8 might be too much -- too time-consuming at this late --  
9 but if you go Robertson -- if I had Commissioner Ontai's  
10 pointer, I could go Robertson along Pico all the way west,  
11 you'll see Berwyn and that whole area extending all the  
12 way is a significant Jewish commercial zone, and the  
13 Museum of Tolerance is right there past -- it's on Roxbury  
14 just past -- if you zoom in, it's right there, so it's  
15 along that particular heavily concentrated Jewish  
16 community. So I think we addressed their concerns, to  
17 that extent. Going south, we have -- I mentioned Kenneth  
18 Hahn State Park -- we have Windsor Hills, Crenshaw  
19 District -- some of the Crenshaw District, Baldwin Hills  
20 -- that's a very strong community that's had strong ties  
21 for a number of years and have worked well together. And  
22 in terms of an Assembly district, this also includes  
23 Culver City, as I mentioned, and some of the more western  
24 beach-oriented areas. We need to revisit Venice Beach,  
25 down to the west of this area. If we do to -- just to

1 make certain that Venice -- the boundaries of Venice --  
2 again, just as a reminder, if you can see the -- yeah  
3 that's Venice Boul -- that's all a part of Venice. That  
4 purple line needs to come down to include that entire area  
5 right there. In fact, that's the -- right down there is  
6 the Abbott-Kenny area. That's all technically a part of  
7 Venice, so if we make that adjustment I think we're good  
8 with this district.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Unless Commissioner Yao has  
11 something to add.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Nope, he's not at his mic,  
13 and declines to speak. Okay. Any other comments on the  
14 district? Okay I think we're good.

15 MS. BOYLE: Okay, that concludes the presentation  
16 of the LA Assembly Districts.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great, thank you. So, maybe  
18 -- it might be useful just, not to go into any new  
19 districts, but we will be presented -- the Senate District  
20 is not online yet, right? Is that correct?

21 MS. MAC DONALD: The Senate is not finished.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Alright, so --

23 MS. MAC DONALD: We're doing that tonight.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that's getting  
25 worked on. There are three options online for the

1 Congressionals. Would it be useful to highlight what --  
2 for Commissioners to sort of pay attention to the  
3 differences as we look at the visualizations doing our  
4 homework, it might be helpful if you could sort of tell us  
5 what we should be looking for in terms of differences.

6 MS. BOYLE: Sure, if I recall correctly, I have  
7 three Congressional options. I was given direction to  
8 create, I believe it was a fifth Congressional Seat --  
9 look at the creation of a fifth Congressional Seat, with a  
10 Latino CVAP number greater than 50%. So I did an  
11 iteration where I drew that district on Compton/Carson  
12 COI, so I was able to draw a 50% district, I believe  
13 that's Option One. And Option Two is a slight variation  
14 of that. And Option Three I drew the 50% Latino CVAP  
15 District independent on the Compton/Carson COI.

16 MS. MAC DONALD: And then as Ms. Woods explained  
17 earlier, she has two options, so there's an Option Two and  
18 an Option Three for SoCal, and they fit with Nicole's  
19 options, but Option One has not been developed.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so, will there be an  
21 Option One, or is it -- at this point, or --

22 MS. BOYLE: It will depend on which Congressional  
23 option you go with for LA. The reason why they're  
24 dependent is we have three different borders for the  
25 Congressional District LA, so with OC -- so she had to

1 build her Congressional options off of my options.

2 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, MS. Woods actually  
3 developed the other option, so we can try to get that  
4 uploaded.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So I guess to be  
6 clear that -- I'm trying to see -- either we can eliminate  
7 some -- one, or figure out what they're contingent upon.  
8 So, again, in terms of just our analysis this evening --  
9 not now, but as Commissioners go -- go back and take a  
10 look at these more closely, how should we -- what do you  
11 think might be the best way to sort of structure our  
12 review of the districts, so we're best prepared tomorrow?

13 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, I think you need to decide  
14 what concept you like, you know? So, perhaps just take a  
15 look at the districts overall, see what you think of the  
16 shape and the way that they're running -- I mean these  
17 are -- these really are different options of accomplishing  
18 something that you want to accomplish, and something  
19 always gives. Yeah, focus on South LA, and really the  
20 options with respect to Ms. Woods' districts really just  
21 come in where the handoff essentially changes, you know  
22 from South LA going into Alex's region.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Now for the  
24 surrounding regions, again San Diego, Orange County, San  
25 Bernardino, Riverside, how -- are those going to be

1 impacted by the different options, or are those fairly  
2 stable?

3 MS. MAC DONALD: No, they're not, they're  
4 independent.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

6 MS. MAC DONALD: So -- no my suggestion would be  
7 if you want to, in the last 20 minutes get a crack on, you  
8 know, some Congressional districts, we could start with  
9 Alex's districts down in South -- in Southern California,  
10 the districts that are not affected, because, again, Alex  
11 is not available on Saturday, so we need to get through  
12 all of her areas tomorrow. So, that's why I'd like to  
13 start on Southern California, please.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Yeah, and we're  
15 scheduled to go to six-thirty, so we can just start -- I  
16 wanted to get a -- just a good sense of the overview so we  
17 could figure out how to look at the -- we do have some  
18 time, so we could --

19 MS. MAC DONALD: So if that's okay --

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sure.

21 MS. MAC DONALD: -- it's 20 minutes; we can  
22 probably get some work done.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Do you need any  
24 time --

25 MS. MAC DONALD: Five minutes at the most.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's take a five  
2 minute break then. Okay, thank you.

3           (Break 6:12)

4           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, now we're live. So we  
5 have a few minutes, maybe about 15 minutes at most, but we  
6 want to get started on the Congressional, so Ms. Woods, go  
7 ahead.

8           MS. WOODS: So, we're going to switch it up a  
9 little and start in Riverside County, and this first  
10 district is RVMVN. And this district includes the Hrupa  
11 Valley, the City of Riverside, the City of Moreno Valley,  
12 the City of Perris, March Air Reserve Base, Meade Valley,  
13 Good Hope and it splits the census place of Lake Matthews  
14 for population.

15          CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

16          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And the basis for  
17 this, contrary to the iteration that we saw last week --  
18 as you recall, the iteration we saw last week moved up  
19 into Redlands and Highland, which had included Norco, and  
20 I don't' recall if it has Eastvale, but that Community of  
21 Interest flowing north across the San Bernardino County  
22 border wasn't consistent necessarily with the testimony  
23 that we received. So what we are respecting here is the  
24 Communities of Interest that we see with Moreno Valley,  
25 Perris, Meade Valley, Good Hope, which were -- Meade

1 Valley and Good Hope were not in this district previously,  
2 as well. So we worked with the population -- Ms. Woods,  
3 you did an excellent job here. And it recognizes, again  
4 Hrupa Valley is whole and I think Riverside is whole, as  
5 well.

6 MS. WOODS: Yes, it is.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any comments? Okay,  
8 we're good. Next?

9 MS. WOODS: The next district is falsely named  
10 PRS.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Misleadingly named PRS.

12 MS. WOODS: Yes. It includes Eastvale, Norco,  
13 Corona, El Sobrante, El Cerrito, Temescal Valley, Warm  
14 Springs, Lakeland Village, French Valley, Murrieta,  
15 Winchester, Romoland, Lakeview, Nuevo, Meadowbrook,  
16 Menifee, and it splits the City of Temecula, and it goes  
17 east to Aguanga.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: This -- just two  
19 points of clarification here; I just want to make sure  
20 we're consistent with the boundaries of Eastvale, as a new  
21 city, and there's no splits in Eastvale as you understand  
22 the boundaries, and no splits in Norco, correct?

23 MS. WOODS: Correct.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, there -- we  
25 did receive one public comment -- I believe it might have

1 been for a fellow who might have run for Congressional  
2 District, so they were a little concerned with the manner  
3 in which you go down the 15 Corridor and then come back up  
4 into San Jacinto, but the joinder with the 215 and the 15  
5 are easy, you know, transportation corridors, but they --  
6 we're also respecting the 15 Corridor, all the way down to  
7 Murrieta, which is consistent with some Norco testimony we  
8 received prior to the draft maps at the input hearings.  
9 And also other than the San Jacinto and Hemet -- that  
10 other corridor in the San Jacinto Valley -- is for the  
11 most part whole, and we could not, for population purposes  
12 get Temecula in, but -- again, that is just a population  
13 issue.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any comments on the  
15 district? By the way, are these the same under Option --  
16 SoCal Option One or Two, does it matter?

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It doesn't matter.

18 MS. WOODS: For the Congressional Districts, the  
19 only difference is in Region Three, Orange County.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so for those who are  
21 using the tool, Option Two or Option One will work?

22 MS. WOODS: Yes, correct. Moving east, the  
23 next --

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry -- it's Option Two  
25 or Option Three, sorry. That's what's actually the name

1 of the file.

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: Is PRS a result of nesting two  
3 districts? Is that what accounts for it's --

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're at Congressional right  
5 now.

6 COMMISSIONER WARD: Oh -- sorry. Thank you.

7 MS. WOODS: Perris used to be in this district,  
8 which is why it was named that previously, but I just have  
9 not changed the name. I apologize for the confusion. The  
10 next district is the COACH district, and this includes  
11 Riverside east of these districts, all the way to the  
12 Arizona border including the Palo Verde Valley, all of the  
13 Coachella Valley, Banning and Beaumont, San Jacinto and  
14 Hemet, Idyllwild, Mountain Center, Anza, and Lake  
15 Riverside.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And again, this is,  
17 I believe, the same Congressional District that we had at  
18 the draft map, which we received quite a bit of testimony  
19 in support of. The Coachella Valley is whole, I don't  
20 need to reiterate that from this morning's discussion, and  
21 again, the only concern was San Jacinto and Hemet, but I  
22 believe they're together in this district.

23 MS. WOODS: They are.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The only other

1 observation I would say is regarding the feedback we'd got  
2 around the Salt and Sea being together at the Federal  
3 level, because of it being a clean-up site, and that's not  
4 something we accomplished with this visualization,  
5 although a strong majority of it is together in the  
6 southern end.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any further comments?

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just further  
9 Community of Interest at Banning/Beaumont running into San  
10 Jacinto, and that is being respected, as well. And the  
11 County line respected.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, everything's good.

13 MS. WOODS: Moving north into San Bernardino; this  
14 first district that we'll look at is the northernmost  
15 district, which includes, Mono and Inyo Counties, and it  
16 also includes a large portion of San Bernardino County.  
17 It includes the mountain communities, it includes the  
18 Victor Valley area --

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, in this case, we were  
20 gifted Mono and Inyo Counties back, so we have kept the  
21 desert area together and we also have a lot of mountainous  
22 areas, as well. So we have the Big Bear mountain  
23 community again and also the forested parts of Inyo, as  
24 well as Death Valley. And some other high desert  
25 communities in Victor Valley.

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: A geographically large  
2 district.

3           COMMISSIONER DAI: All connected by a very nice  
4 freeway.

5           COMMISSIONER YAO: What's the biggest city in this  
6 whole district?

7           MS. WOODS: I think it's Victorville.

8           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And although this is  
9 a very large district, we have to be respectful of those  
10 counties that have less population and try and look at how  
11 they can be best served in their geographic similarities,  
12 such as what Commissioner Dai just pointed out with the  
13 high desert in Inyo, where Death Valley is at, and then  
14 again major transportation Corridors at 35 into Mono  
15 County.

16           COMMISSIONER YAO: We have a finger into San  
17 Bernardino. San Bernardino is not dominating the whole  
18 district, is it?

19           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, it's Highland.

20           COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

21           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good.

22           MS. WOODS: The next district is the ONTPM  
23 district. And this includes the Pomona Valley; Pomona,  
24 Montclair, Chino, Ontario, and it also includes  
25 Bloomington, and part of Fontana and part of Rialto.

1           COMMISSIONER DAI: So this is a very familiar  
2 configuration, includes the Pomona Valley COI, and it's  
3 also a Section Two District. It looks very similar to our  
4 Assembly districts in this area. So we have part of the  
5 Ebony Triangle in there, a lot of the communities in  
6 there -- Bloomington, Grand Terrace, Colton are included  
7 in this district with Fontana.

8           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any comments? None, okay,  
9 very good.

10          MS. WOODS: The next district is the SB district,  
11 and this district includes the southern portion of Upland,  
12 all of Rancho Cucamonga, the City of San Bernardino, part  
13 of Fontana, part of Rialto, Muscoy, Colton, Grand Terrace,  
14 Loma Linda, and Redlands. And it also includes a small  
15 portion of the census place of Mentone.

16          COMMISSIONER DAI: So this is the San Bernardino  
17 Valley. San Bernardino is kept whole in this iteration,  
18 it is result of the Section Two -- you actually have kind  
19 of two different communities of interest there, with  
20 Rancho Cucamonga and Upland being more foothill kind of  
21 cities, but they're together to the extent that we can put  
22 them together. And we have San Bernardino, Loma Linda,  
23 and Redlands together in this district, along with Colton  
24 and Grand Terrace are also together.

25          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: A couple of other

1 things. I'm sorry I was looking at another -- the little  
2 portion of Highland -- that little green area -- is that  
3 all Highland?

4 MS. WOODS: Yes, I believe so.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so we don't  
6 want to split that, we're being respective of those  
7 boundaries.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: We were given permission by  
9 some members of the public to split Highland at the 30,  
10 but I suspect the little population in Mentone is probably  
11 not sufficient.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: ah -- you were -- we  
13 were right on track, Commissioner Dai, that is exactly  
14 where I was going. And you might have said this earlier,  
15 but I do want to just reiterate -- Loma Linda is whole,  
16 Redlands is whole, and based on the Community of Interest  
17 testimony that we received from Rancho Cucamonga, which we  
18 heard today -- Rancho Cucamonga, in this iteration, is  
19 whole. Is that correct?

20 MS. WOODS: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Which is great, and  
22 it's with San Bernardino, so we have moved them outside of  
23 Los Angeles, which is where their concern was before. So  
24 now they are with San Bernardino.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And I think we'll

1 also note that it is to the south affected by a Section  
2 Two district.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Correct.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: Two things -- San Antonio  
6 Heights is almost like a sister city to Upland. Is San  
7 Antonio Heights whole? Or are the split at this point?

8 MS. WOODS: San Antonio Heights is included with  
9 the northern part of Upland, in a Los Angeles County  
10 Congressional District.

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: So, San Antonio Heights is  
12 whole --

13 MS. WOODS: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- and Upland is split.

15 MS. WOODS: Correct.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: At the foothills, yeah.  
17 Remember, it's Congressional so it's for population.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we're fine on the  
19 SB District then?

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is there another City besides  
21 Upland that's split? I wasn't --

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: No.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: So, that's the city that's  
24 taking care of the population?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah. And also part of the --

1 part of Mentone, which is not a city, but a census place.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's try to get one  
4 or two more districts in.

5 MS. WOODS: Moving south to Imperial County; this  
6 district is a border district, it includes all of Imperial  
7 County, and follows the California-Mexico border all the  
8 way to the coast. It includes Campo Potrero, the City of  
9 San Diego, south of Chula Vista, Imperial Beach, it  
10 includes West Chula Vista, it includes all of National  
11 City, it includes Barrio Logan, and the Historic Barrio  
12 District, Box Canyon, Oak Park, Encanto, Valencia Park,  
13 Sherman Heights.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Ontai --

15 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: The only problem I -- I  
16 think it's okay, but the problem that I see is that it  
17 splits the API communities between National City and Chula  
18 Vista. I'm wondering if there's somewhere we can adjust  
19 that.

20 MS. WOODS: So, in the neighboring district is  
21 East Chula Vista. Would you recommend a split of National  
22 City?

23 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Well, I see -- if there's  
24 somewhere to combine National City and Chula Vista  
25 together, that would be a solution. I'm not sure where

1 the lines would be, though.

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think you would pull the  
3 district down and you wouldn't get the Logan Heights,  
4 Shelltown, Barrio Logan part of it, is what I think.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any other Commissioner? Did  
6 you work on this district -- this is your region, right?  
7 Mr. Ontai?

8 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And Commissioner Raya, you  
10 also worked on this?

11 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, I'm just trying to  
12 remember the highway indicators -- I'd have to go back to  
13 our notes to look at the -- we did receive, you know, some  
14 indications about acceptable highway dividers, but I'd  
15 have to look again to confirm exactly what they are. But  
16 I think the 805 -- yeah I think we talked about this, that  
17 the 805 was a reasonable dividing line.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Maybe we can -- maybe  
19 we should stop here and then maybe Commissioner Ontai can  
20 take a look at this more closely. And then if you have  
21 something for tomorrow morning --

22 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, yeah, let me take a  
23 look at it.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Alright, why don't we  
25 just stop here and we'll pick up again tomorrow morning

1 with -- we'll do this after -- we do have a closed session  
2 scheduled after Public Comment tomorrow. I'll allow maybe  
3 about five minutes of Public Comment before we adjourn,  
4 so --

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Commissioner Ancheta?

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes?

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm sorry, I just had a  
8 request -- not of the mappers, but of our Commission  
9 before tomorrow. Can I make it now or after Public  
10 Comment?

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Uh, you could do it now, as  
12 our commentator is walking towards the mic.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I guess I just wanted to  
14 suggest that -- I know we started this at the end of the  
15 day, and I think it's probably a good practice, but --  
16 that we continue to have time limits for Commissioners in  
17 our discussions. I'm not sure what that should be, and  
18 I'll leave that to the chair. In fact I would suggest  
19 that Ms. Sargis get out her white board for us. You know,  
20 what's good for the Public is good for the Commissioners,  
21 and I don't know if it's like a two minute thing, she  
22 could give us a 30 second warning, I think we're going  
23 back into areas that we've been to a lot, as you've  
24 mentioned, and we could probably use two -- you know we  
25 could revisit some of those discussions, but we should

1 make sure we can keep the process moving along, and for  
2 one who has recently been called Rambling Rose, I think  
3 that's just as good for me, as well, because I can tend to  
4 go on and on and on and on --

5 (Laughter)

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So --

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Your time is up.

8 (Laughter)

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: My time is up --  
10 exactly -- thank you. So I would just like to see if  
11 Commissioners could be prepared for that tomorrow.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: You've exceeded that -- your  
13 minute --

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I'll work on it too.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, anyway, point very  
16 well taken. We'll -- I'll discuss that at the beginning  
17 of the meeting, but I think that's a very good suggestion.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so --

20 MS. TEASLEY-LINNOCK: I just wanted to bring you  
21 greeting from Eugene Lee and Stewart Quo (phonetic), of  
22 APALC, and they submitted a letter online to you today  
23 around two o'clock in the afternoon, and it had to do with  
24 the new visualizations for LAWSG Congressional District.  
25 And they wanted to express their support for the June 10

1 draft map iteration due to the strong COI, the API COI of  
2 Limited English Proficient folks -- people who need  
3 protection against hate crimes and immigration fraud, and  
4 they've worked extensively in that community to represent  
5 the folks there, and they are specifically pointing your  
6 attention to the combination of West and East San Gabriel  
7 Valley, but at the -- under the new visualizations there  
8 is a dilution of the COI's voice due to the addition of  
9 dissimilar communities of Claremont, La Verne, San Antonio  
10 Heights, and Upland. And one of the options also adds San  
11 Dimas, and so they're very concerned about that. That you  
12 have received significantly strong testimony, both from  
13 the community and from CAPFER, and APALC, as well, and  
14 APALC just wants to make sure that you are aware of that  
15 as you continue your thoughts tonight as you think about  
16 Congress for tomorrow. See you tomorrow.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great, thank you. I think  
18 that's all we have for comments tonight. So, just as a  
19 reminder -- again we're covering Southern California  
20 tomorrow. We'll start at nine, and continue until six-  
21 thirty. Uh, Ms. MacDonald, let's confirm -- we do have  
22 to -- for Saturday we'll have to end at four o'clock.  
23 That's firm time because the Court Reporter can only make  
24 it until four, but --

25 MS. MAC DONALD: I thought it was three-thirty?

1           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, the Court Reporter --  
2 we can keep going until four. But in other words,  
3 business meeting has to shut down at four because -- or  
4 the meeting has to shut at four because the Court  
5 Reporter -- but in terms of start time, we can start as  
6 early as nine, we can start a little bit later, if you  
7 think that will work in terms of -- again, we know your  
8 mappers are working under a tight clock and they need to  
9 get their sleep --

10           MS. MAC DONALD: So, we had a -- we had agreed on  
11 ten to four, and then I was told that the Court reporter  
12 could only -- could start at nine-thirty and we have to  
13 end at three-thirty, so --

14           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, Ms. Sargis, can you  
15 clarify the hours?

16           MS. SARGIS: The Court Reporter is available nine  
17 to four on Saturday.

18           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, nine to four. So we  
19 could do ten to four, we can do nine-thirty to four --  
20 well, I want to -- the meeting will end at four,  
21 certainly, but --

22           MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, so we have to be gone here  
23 tomorrow at six, you recall that?

24           CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's fine, we'll -- there  
25 will be some remaining business --

1 MS. MAC DONALD: And I just also wanted to point  
2 out that Ms. Kubas is not going to be available in person.  
3 She will be taking notes online. So she cannot be here at  
4 all, and basically everybody has certain restrictions, so  
5 I think, you know --

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so just for Public  
7 Notice purposes, would you want to start at nine-thirty or  
8 ten?

9 MS. MAC DONALD: Nine -- well ten would be my  
10 preference.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioners, any  
12 strong preferences? I'm sorry; tomorrow it's still nine  
13 to six-thirty, but for Saturday, nine-thirty or ten to  
14 four? Any preferences?

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think we -- I would  
16 like to start early just to make sure we maximize. I'm  
17 sorry I know that's hard for Q2, and I should recognize  
18 that -- I mean I do think we all are -- we as a Commission  
19 would be willing to go 24 hours a day to do this, really,  
20 honestly, and I know there's limitations for Q2 in order -  
21 - because you know, we talk about a lot of this stuff, we  
22 have to remember that Q2 is paying very close -- trying to  
23 pay close attention to what we do. So, it's intense, but  
24 I think if we can balance maximizing our time and  
25 realistic with Q2, let's start early.

