

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Full Commission Business Meeting

VOLUME I

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law

Classroom C

3200 Fifth Avenue

Sacramento, California 95817

Friday, July 15, 2011

9:00 A.M.

Reported by:
Jacqueline Toliver
CSR No. 4808

2 Commissioners Present
3 Angelo Ancheta, Chairperson
4 Lilbert "Gil" Ontai, Vice Chairperson
5 Cynthia Dai
6 Jodie Filkins Webber
7 Gabino T. Aguirre
8 Vincent Barabba
9 Maria Blanco
10 Michelle DiGuilio
11 Stanley Forbes
12 Connie Galambos Malloy
13 Andre Parvenu
14 Jeanne Raya
15 Peter Yao
16 Michael Ward
17 Staff Present
18 Dan Claypool, Executive Director
19 Kirk Miller, Legal Counsel
20 Rob Wilcox, Communications Director
21 George Brown, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher
22 Dr. Matt Barreto
23 Janeece Sargis, Administrative Assistant
24 Consultants Present
25 Nicole Boyle, Q2 Data & Research, LLC.

1 APPEARANCES (Continued)

2 Alex Woods, Q2 Data & Research, LLC.

3 Karin MacDonald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC.

4 Kyle Kubas, Q2 Data & Research, LLC.

5 Public Comment

6 Ellen Swensen, Coachella Valley

7 Barbara Burr, Davis

8 Paul Mitchell, South Bay Committee for Fair
Redistricting

9 George Shirakawa, Supervisor, San Jose

10 Alberto Carrillo, Sr.

11 Manny Diaz, San Jose

12 Maya Esparza, San Jose

13 Chris Collier

14 Rich Sarian, Simi Valley

15 Ken Simons, Moorpark

16 Lisa Jajko, Simi Valley

17 Christopher Munch, Thousand Oaks

18 David Salaverry

19 Tim Snipes, The People's Advocate

20 Andres Quintero, South Bay Committee for Fair
Redistricting

21 Chris Chaffee, Redistricting Partner, San Jose

22 Josue Garcia

23 Jamie Munoz Gonzalez

24 Rose Espinoza, Council Member, La Habra

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES (Continued)

Jennifer Cervantes, Assistant City Manager, La Habra
Alice Huffman, NAACP
Dolores Marquez, San Jose
Erica Teasley
Jennifer Cervantes, LaHabra
Rose Espinoza, City of LaHabra

I N D E X

	PAGE
1	
2	
3 1. Introduction	
4 Angelo Ancheta, Chairperson	6
5 Roll Call	7
6 2. Public Comment	8
7 3. Closed Session - Consideration of Potential	
8 Litigation Pursuant to Government Code section	
1126(e) (1)	40
9 4. Report on Closed Session	40
10 5. Public Comment	41
11 6. Direction to Q2 Data and Research for line	
12 drawing	51
13 Lunch Break	90
14 Certificate of Reporter	91
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

July 15, 2011 9:00 A.M.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Good morning. This is the Friday, July 15th, meeting of the Citizen's Redistricting Commission. My name is Angelo Ancheta. I'm chairing the meeting this week. To my left is Commissioner Gil Ontai, who is Vice Chair.

Let's begin by calling roll.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Aguirre?

COMMISSIONER AQUIRRE: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Ancheta?

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Barabba?

COMMISSIONER BARBBA: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Blanco?

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Dai?

COMMISSIONER DAI: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: DiGuilio?

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Filkins Webber?

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Forbes?

COMMISSIONER FORBES: Here.

1 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Galambos
2 Malloy?
3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Here.
4 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Ontai?
5 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Here.
6 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Parvenu?
7 Raya?
8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Here.
9 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARJIS: Ward?
10 Yao?
11 COMMISSIONER YAO: Here.
12 A quorum is present.
13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.
14 We're going to be focusing on line drawing
15 today, but we will be first conducting a public comment
16 session until about 9:30 or so. If we have an excess of
17 speakers, I'll try to carry that over to a period before
18 lunch for a few minutes.
19 We will be going into closed session at around
20 9:30 to discuss potential litigation pursuant to
21 Government Code section 11126(e)(1) and meet with
22 counsel at that time. And then for the remainder of the
23 day we'll be focusing on our Southern California
24 districts. And we did leave off last time with the
25 congressionals, and we'll be going through that.

1 Why don't we begin then with public comment.
2 And we're going to limit it to about a minute and a half
3 per speaker.

4 MS. SWENSEN: Hi. My name is Ellen Swensen, and
5 I'm from the Coachella Valley. And I'm not going to
6 mention any names today, but I really feel it's
7 important that I bring you up to speed on something that
8 happened when I came two days ago to testify. As you
9 might recall, I testified that -- part of my testimony
10 from the Coachella Valley was that Indio did not have a
11 lot of agriculture. I think you had asked me that
12 question.

13 I went back to my seat, and one of your staff
14 members who sat in front of me immediately googled Indio
15 agriculture, found a link, an unofficial link about that
16 saying it's in rich in agricultural, which is really not
17 true, and then quickly e-mailed the link to the
18 commissioners. And I witnessed this. I don't normally
19 look at other people's laptops, but I couldn't help but
20 notice this happened, and immediately after I testified.

21 So to me it was an attempt to discredit me as a
22 citizen, to squelch my testimony, and to attempt to bias
23 the map drawing the way that person wanted it. It was
24 very obvious.

25 My question to you: Is it legal for staff to be

1 meddling in people's public testimony? If it's not
2 illegal, it's certainly unethical, and I believe my
3 rights as a citizen have been violated. And I wonder
4 how many other good citizens have been treated this way,
5 to have an immediate rebuttal to my testimony sent to
6 the commissioners.

7 So because of that I had to come all the way
8 back to defend my credibility today. And on the
9 handout you'll see on the bottom half of the handout
10 that I am defending what I said, which is the Coachella
11 Valley is very low in agriculture. Agriculture is
12 4 percent of employment, while hotel/recreation is four
13 times that, at least.

14 Coachella Valley is Desert Hot Springs to the
15 City of Coachella, and if you look at the website I'm
16 referencing there, which is a nonpartisan website, it
17 shows that agricultural employment in our valley has
18 declined by 31 percent from 2000 to 2010.

19 Thank you very much.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you,
21 Ms. Swensen. We'll have counsel check into this issue.

22 MS. SWENSEN: Thank you very much.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So let me give the order
24 so folks can line up. We've got Burr, Mitchell,
25 Shirakawa, and Carrillo.

1 MS. BURR: Good morning. I want to say, first
2 of all, that -- I'm Barbara Burr from Davis, and I
3 noticed in yesterday's Sacramento Bee that there was
4 some sort of attempt to link Davis to Curtis Park and
5 Land Park because we have similar demographics, but I
6 want to tell you the underlying themes and interests of
7 those communities are nowhere similar.

8 I'm disturbed that you're again trying to break
9 the Yolo County our major employer, UCD, from the county
10 seat, and to separate Davis from Woodland; and I feel
11 that you would dilute our services and the strong
12 community that the Yolo County efforts have made for
13 cooperation by then again dividing us into nine separate
14 districts for our Assembly representation.

15 And it is, I think, imperative that Yolo County
16 act as a whole. That's where we have developed our
17 interests. You had that right once, and I don't know
18 why you've gone back on the arrangement where Yolo
19 County was kept as a total district.

20 Thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

22 MR. MITCHELL: Hi. My name is Paul Mitchell.
23 I'm with Redistricting Partners, and I'm here
24 representing the South Bay Committee for Fair
25 Redistricting.

1 Each of you are now going to be getting some
2 maps, and I want to want to walk you through these maps
3 real quickly. What we're attempting to do is deal with
4 Asian and Latino needs in San Jose, specifically East
5 San Jose. And as you'll see in the maps that you're
6 reading which are being sent, there are two versions.

7 The first version is your existing lines and the
8 second version is an amendment to those existing lines.
9 Now, what's really interesting about these changes is
10 that we were able to in the Milpitas district maintain
11 its 39 percent Asian CVAP and 39 percent Asian voters
12 carrying over from your existing plan, and at the same
13 time in the San Jo district increase the number of
14 Latinos from 21 percent to 32 CVAP and increase the
15 Latino voters from 17 percent to 27 percent. So we're
16 able to make a more Latino San Jo, at the same time
17 make -- or maintain the same Asian percentages in the
18 district above it.

19 The additional thing we're able to do is to
20 maintain the LGBT community within the downtown San Jose
21 district in order to avoid having the current lines,
22 which takes the LGBT community out of downtown San Jose
23 and takes it all the way up into Alameda County.

24 The additional handout that you have that we'll
25 address has to do with racially polarized voting. The

1 first --

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: You do have a letter here.
3 You can certainly submit this one by e-mail.

4 MR. MITCHELL: This will all be submitted by
5 e-mail.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you.

7 MR. SHIRAKAWA: Good morning, Commissioners.
8 George Shirakawa, San Jose. I think I angered the
9 president right at the time, so Mr. Ancheta, I'm not
10 going to take more than a minute and a half this time.
11 But I want to remind the Commissioners the icebreaker I
12 started with the last time. Any visualization works for
13 me personally, being Latino and Asian, being
14 American and a grandpa and a veteran and all those
15 things.

16 So I'm here today this morning to tell you that
17 I'm compelled to be here. I drove all the way from San
18 Jose on vacation to agree with what Paul just
19 distributed to you. It's important that you consider
20 all the testimony you heard last time you were in San
21 Jose, as well as the map that's presented in front of
22 you. I would certainly -- we're afraid right now, since
23 there is no draft in between, just a visualization. We
24 urge you to adopt what was presented to you just a
25 couple minutes ago. And we're not fearful of you

1 personally, Commissioners. We're just fearful of what
2 was described to you last time you were in San Jose.

3 We urge you to adopt what was presented by Paul
4 a minute ago. And I'll thank you for your time and your
5 service. Good morning.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you, supervisor.

7 MR. SHIRAKAWA: Mr. Chair, I didn't take the
8 full two, so I just wanted to let you know.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you, Mr. Shirakawa.

10 MR. CARRILLO: Good morning, Commissioners. My
11 name is Alberto Carrillo.

12 I'm here to let you know that -- and I'm going
13 to read from your information here. The Commission
14 needs to hear what the public thinks of the First Draft
15 Map. "Do you think the Commission understood your
16 testimony about your community of interest?"

17 When you came to San Jose, we thought we had
18 laid down a very good message to you that we did not
19 want the East San Jose divided. I believe that that
20 information did not dwell well in your mind and in your
21 heart because I believe you're still proposing to divide
22 us.

23 And I think you're going to hear from a couple
24 of other individuals, but I've got to tell you there is
25 more than one forum to resolve this if we can't resolve

1 it with you. We honestly feel appalled -- we are
2 appalled that you are still proposing to divide us.

3 Okay. We've done this throughout the years.
4 Some of us fought way back in 1980 to have
5 redistricting or district elections in San Jose, because
6 we didn't have individuals representing our community in
7 city hall; and now you're taking -- trying to take away
8 our representative here in Sacramento. And I need to
9 tell you, we are not going to stand alone to do this.
10 We have a lot of supporters to do that.

11 Thank you very much.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you. I should
13 disclose I know Mr. Carrillo from his work with the
14 Office of Human Relations.

15 MR. CARRILLO: Yes. Twenty-five years, by the
16 way.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry. Forgive me.
18 We have Diaz and Esparza and Collier, then Sarian.

19 MR. DIAZ: Good morning, Commissioners. My name
20 is Manny Diaz. I live in San Jose. I've been involved
21 in East San Jose for over 25 years. My wife is an
22 educator working with outreach youths in East San Jose;
23 also have a child going to East San Jose schools. I'm
24 in support of Paul Mitchell's proposal to amend San Jose
25 map.

1 Commissioners, we have -- in East San Jose, it's
2 really a very diverse family when you think about it.
3 Over 90 percent of East San Jose is minority, primarily
4 Latino, and it's only been in the last ten years that we
5 really have one voice in East San Jose represented,
6 especially here in Sacramento. And we also have the
7 highest unemployment rate, higher than anywhere in
8 Silicon Valley and East San Jose. We have the
9 highest -- also a problem dealing with crime rates in
10 East San Jose. We also have, unfortunately, the lowest
11 achieving schools in East San Jose. Your proposal is
12 going to break up many of these school districts,
13 especially the ones in East San Jose where we're trying
14 to work together.

15 We have a coalition right now of many neighbors
16 in East San Jose working together, trying to work with
17 local government, trying to work with state government.
18 We also are trying to bring in the light rail system
19 expansion in East San Jose, because we also have the
20 highest ridership. Unfortunately, we don't have the
21 infrastructure for a light rail system. We're trying to
22 bring BART from the Bay Area into East San Jose. We're
23 trying to -- now there's even talk about, you know,
24 high-speed rail. I'm not sure where that's going to go.

25 So we have a lot of needs in East San Jose. We

1 have been fighting for a long time. We need one voice.
2 We don't need our voice diluted.

3 Thank you very much.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

5 MS. ESPARZA: Good morning. My name is Maya
6 Esparza. I'm from San Jose. I, too, felt compelled to
7 be here today on two issues. There's a letter that I
8 actually sent out at the last hearing and have amended
9 it, and have one here for today. Most of that letter
10 concerns the division of East San Jose in the Assembly
11 districts.

12 San Jose is Northern California's largest city.
13 It has the largest Latino population in Northern
14 California, mostly centered in downtown and East San
15 Jose, and that's the population that's being divided by
16 these maps.

17 The other point that I did want to mention today
18 is the Congressional map. Again, that divides San Jose
19 and downtown San Jose. It divides the civic seats in
20 San Jose, the City of San Jose, the County of Santa
21 Clara. It divides the airport; it divides San Jose
22 State University. It divides, also, the train station,
23 and it divides San Jose's Golden Triangle, which is an
24 economic engine of Silicon Valley, which, as you know,
25 drives the state economy.

1 And I also wanted to mention at the last hearing
2 in San Jose on June 25th all of the speakers when
3 speaking on the Congressional map did not want downtown
4 to be divided. And those included the Silicon Valley
5 Leadership Group, the San Jose Silicon Valley Chamber of
6 Commerce, and it included TechNet.

7 So I think you have a letter that also proposes
8 some boundaries for East San Jose. Again, I urge you to
9 support Paul Mitchell's Assembly map. Thank you.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

11 MR. COLLIER: Good morning. My name is Chris.
12 I saw you guys last Saturday. I'm a master student at
13 Cal Lutheran. I know this is a very well-educated
14 commission by looking at your bios. I brought a bunch
15 of research, and I'm writing a paper on civic engagement
16 and how it's affecting the line drawing. So I have a
17 couple points I want to go over related specifically, of
18 course, to Ventura County.

19 On July 8th, the notes were taken that Ventura
20 with Malibu and Bel Air is bad. A lot of testimony was
21 received about that. And you talked about rotating the
22 maps to accommodate eastern Ventura County, Santa
23 Clarita, referring to the maps of Santa Clarita, eastern
24 Ventura County in separate seats, which I've put in
25 there with my little Microsoft paint drawings on top to

1 show where it should go.

2 Then on July 9th when I was here, I listened to
3 discussion where you were talking about what to do with
4 eastern Ventura County. It says in the direction on the
5 notes that -- the direction was given to link East
6 Ventura County with Santa Clarita, not Malibu or Santa
7 Monica. And the notes from the line drawer said that
8 "Malibu was fixable no matter what." That's a quote
9 from that.

10 Many commissioners also said that eastern
11 Ventura County/Santa Clarita should done. So direction
12 was given to take Malibu and link to Santa Monica, and
13 also to rework the San Fernando Valley seat to try and
14 make that East Ventura County/Santa Clarita connection
15 work.

16 Yesterday I was reviewing all these things for
17 the paper -- still a week from yesterday -- and I
18 noticed that when I was looking at the handouts --
19 they're not out there anymore, but there was an Excel
20 sheet that talked about city splits, and I've attached
21 that and put some little circles in there and paint that
22 show Santa Monica and Malibu and Santa Clarita is in the
23 same district. Well, you guys have already said that
24 Santa Monica and Santa Clarita is bad. Now, there's no
25 visualization for it yet, but I wanted to just come up

1 here and talk to make sure your heard that.

2 So thank you very much.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

4 So it's Sarian, then Simons, then Jajko, and
5 then Munch.

6 MR. SARIAN: Hi, Commissioners. I'm Rich Sarian
7 from Simi Valley. I have been following this like no
8 other. I find it passionate to let you all know, as
9 everyone, that I have reached out to you to help be on
10 board with me and my city. All the proposals -- not all
11 proposals. The testimony that I have read, that I've
12 heard, have said eastern Ventura County with Santa
13 Clarita. Somehow we're still with Malibu and Santa
14 Monica and Pacific Palisades. Tom Hanks lives in
15 Malibu, as does Cher, and a whole bunch of other people;
16 so these are not communities of like interest at all.
17 Millionaires. Entertainers. Santa Clarita and Simi
18 Valley don't have those. Ronald Reagan is the only one.
19 Well, he doesn't live there, but his museum is there,
20 his library.

21 But really, it's just -- please keep Santa
22 Clarita with eastern Ventura County. It's just that
23 easy. Not Malibu. Not Santa Monica. Those are beach
24 cities with people with lots and lots and lots of money.

25 So, thank you very much.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

2 MR. SIMONS: Good morning. My name is --

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I'm sorry. Can you also
4 ask the speakers to also specify what district they're
5 dealing with?

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct. So if speakers
7 have specific comments about a set of maps, please
8 specify whether it's Congressional, Assembly, Senate, or
9 Board of Equalization. Thank you.

10 MR. SIMONS: Good Morning. My name is Ken
11 Simons from Moorpark, East Ventura County. And I'm not
12 the Tom Hanks in Moorpark, but my concerns are similar
13 to the previous gentleman.

14 I'm part of the Moorpark Chamber, the Economic
15 Development Task Force, our Shop Moorpark campaign. And
16 we have issues of unemployment; we have issues of
17 underemployment, our housing costs are different than
18 they are in Santa Monica, Pacific Palisades, and the San
19 Fernando Valley. We have different types of jobs. We
20 have manufacturing jobs. We have farming jobs. Not a
21 lot of farming going on in Pacific Palisades and those
22 areas. We have low-density development. Those areas in
23 the city of Los Angeles have high-density development.

24 So we're Ventura County. For the safe Senate
25 I'm talking about, I think that we would prefer to be

1 associated and joined in with Santa Clarita that has
2 similar development, socioeconomic aspects of it
3 regarding employment, regarding housing development,
4 regarding underemployment, and the same similar issues.

5 Regarding the Assembly District, right now we'd
6 like to be with Thousand Oaks and Camarillo. You've got
7 us hooked in with the City of Ventura and the City of
8 Santa Barbara. Again, coastal versus inland. It's just
9 a different area because of the land layout. We have
10 less mountains; we have more manufacturing, and we have
11 those issues that deal with unemployment, which is a
12 real concern right now and for the next ten years.

13 Thank you very much.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

15 So speakers Jajko, Munch, and Salaverry, then
16 Snipes. Forgive me if I've mispronounced your name.

17 MS. JAJKO: Hi. My name is Lisa Jajko, and I'm
18 here to talk about the Senate. I've lived in Simi
19 Valley for 20 years. I've been president of the Moms
20 Club. I've been on the PTA, as well as on the Board of
21 Simi Valley Girls Softball.

22 In your current plans, you have East Ventura
23 County linked to Malibu and Pacific Palisades and San
24 Fernando Valley. Again, I will reiterate what the
25 gentleman before me said, and that is those are not the

1 type of people that I live and work with in our
2 community. We have a little more earthy values, I
3 think, sometimes; so we don't want you to draw your
4 lines that way. We would rather see you align us with
5 Santa Clarita.

6 Important issues like education, which are near
7 and dear to my heart, we're going to vote the same way
8 as Santa Clarita would. So when it comes to education,
9 jobs, housing, all of those important issues, we are
10 going to be more like Santa Clarita. We're going to
11 fall in line with them.

12 Anyway, please keep our similar communities
13 connected and our voices heard.

14 Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

16 MR. MUNCH: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen
17 of the Commission. My name is Christopher Munch. I've
18 been recently accepted to the graduate program at
19 Thousand Oaks College -- California Lutheran University
20 in Thousand Oaks, California. I'm here advocating for
21 my community and its social, economic, and cultural
22 similarities that would be lost if East Ventura County
23 was redistricted into a portion of Santa Monica, Malibu,
24 and/or the San Fernando Valley.

25 I would like to reiterate some information that

1 you recently heard by Bill Barrato, who's the
2 president/CEO of the Ventura County Economic
3 Development. He specifically quoted that it would be
4 hard for -- it would be a hard time for him to separate
5 either city from the rest of the county based on
6 economic considerations.

7 And I'd like to reiterate empirical data that
8 was provided by the Census Bureau that was generated by
9 the Ventura County Transportation Commission indicating
10 that 40 percent of residents within the communities that
11 I just mentioned commute within Ventura County to work,
12 while only 20 percent reportedly commute to Los Angeles.

13 So combining Santa Monica, Malibu, and/or San
14 Fernando Valley with the East Ventura County would
15 result in a decline in our economic and social and
16 cultural similarities that are presented by our
17 community; and I believe that if our community is tied
18 to these other communities within the Los Angeles County
19 area, our Senate seat would be lost to a voice that
20 would not represent accurately our needs and our wants.

21 Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

23 So we have Salaverry, Snipes and -- I think it's
24 "Chaf-ee." Then Quintero, Garcia, and Munoz Gonzalez.

25 MR. SALAVERRY: Good morning, Commission.

1 I'm going to follow along with a memo I started
2 to present to you last Wednesday. The Commission was
3 designed and approved by voters to ensure partisan
4 balance. All of you are here, in part, representing the
5 political parties you are members of. As I'm sure the
6 Commission is aware, the chairman of the California
7 Republican Party has sent several letters to you
8 recently. On March 18th, an important Republican
9 leader, Mr. Charles Munger, testified before the
10 Commission.

11 There are over ten million California
12 Republicans, and millions more Independents and
13 Democrats who will vote with them if this Commission
14 carves up the state to satisfy only ethnic, racial,
15 sexual identity, and other interests. If this
16 Commission disenfranchises this huge voting bloc, either
17 by design or coincidence, there is no way the outcome
18 could be considered fair. In that case, you need to ask
19 if Republicans like Mr. Munger will weigh in against
20 your maps.

21 I ask you to try to pull back from the minutiae,
22 from the clamoring voices from all sides, and make sure
23 that the maps remain fair in the big picture. Give
24 yourselves the time to consider your vote carefully,
25 vote your conscience, considering those in whose names

1 you are seated and whose future you are will so
2 importantly impact.

3 I'd like to say one other thing. We will be
4 here tomorrow to present maps. And, you know, Mr. Paul
5 Mitchell, who has become a friend of mine, has excellent
6 maps; however, I'd just like to point out that we're
7 dealing with COI testimony here; we're not dealing with
8 voters rights issue, and so, you know, the population
9 numbers for the various groups are irrelevant.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you, Mr. Salaverry.

11 MS. DI GIULIO: Chair, are we allowed to ask
12 questions?

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'd like to limit it at
14 this point at this given time.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: How many additional
16 speakers are there back there?

17 MS. SARGIS: Six.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's just keep going, but
19 I'll have to do a cutoff at some point. If you're able
20 to come back after closed session, which is around 11:15
21 or so, we'll just continue at that point.

22 MR. SNIPES: Good morning, Commissioners. My
23 name is Tim Snipes with The People's Advocate. As you
24 know, The People's Advocate submitted maps of our own.
25 And I've been here several times to testify before you.

1 I'm here this morning to speak less to the merits of the
2 decisions that you're making and more to the integrity
3 of this very process.

4 Now, since our time is limited, I'm going to
5 speak to only one district in particular -- the Senate
6 seat in East Ventura. Over the past few weeks, public
7 testimony has overwhelmingly favored a plan to link East
8 Ventura to Santa Clarita. Community organizations
9 across all geographic, political, and racial lines
10 concurred. This Commission came to an easy consensus,
11 and three times now has directed staff to link East
12 Ventura to Santa Clarita. With absolutely no coherent
13 justification, staff has ignored this direction and
14 continues to draw the district in a manner that reverses
15 30 years of history.

16 Voters who call my office are uniformly now
17 asking me how they can trust this process, and I am
18 beginning to have a hard time knowing what to tell them.
19 The clock is winding down, and now is the time for this
20 commission to assert itself and insist that staff follow
21 its direction.

22 Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

24 MR. QUINTERO: Andres Quintero with the South
25 Bay Committee for Fair Redistricting. I'm here to

1 present to you the information that Paul Mitchell was
2 going to present: The fact that we have data that shows
3 that we are a community that's primarily Latinos.
4 There's racially polarized voting going on, so we went
5 out, we spoke our mind, and we let you know what we
6 wanted out of the community. And I think we were well
7 represented out of San Jose. It seems like that
8 information was disregarded, and now at this point our
9 community is starting to get angry.

10 You know, we try to be diplomatic, letting you
11 know what we want and what we deserve. We're not
12 requesting anything; we're demanding our rights here to
13 have somebody represent us who's from our community, not
14 from somewhere else. So I think at this point this
15 commission is probably throwing away an opportunity
16 right here.

17 You're going to wind up being mired in three
18 years of lawsuits, just like Arizona, if you continue
19 down this road of tearing up communities of interest
20 apart. I'd request that you look long and hard and
21 really listen to the needs of the folks that are coming
22 out here and speaking to you for doing this. It's
23 because it matters to us. It's not just because we want
24 to kill an our of our time just driving up here, or five
25 or six hours of other people's time.

1 I'd ask you to take a look at the information
2 that we're submitting through Redistricting Partners.
3 We've invested our time and efforts, and I hope that you
4 look at it long and hard and see that we are a community
5 of interest, that we're not just blowing smoke here.
6 Please go ahead and look at our data.

7 Thank you.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

9 MR. CHAFFEE: My name is Chris Chaffee with
10 Redistricting Partners, and I just wanted to point out
11 that you guys got a memo yesterday on racial polarized
12 voting from your attorneys, and I just wanted to focus
13 in on the two-pager that we submitted.

14 The first one shows Latino registration in the
15 San Jose area, and it has the lines that you put out on
16 July 13th. The second page -- and your attorney's vote
17 used Prop 187 to show racial polarized voting. The vote
18 on that is specifically down in L.A, and so we used the
19 same overlay to show a racial polarized voting
20 cohesiveness of voters down in San Jose.

21 As you can see, the lines really split it into
22 three different districts. The maps we submitted to
23 you, the nine-pager, it basically reforms the San Jose
24 district around the Orange cohesive polyglot, I guess,
25 in the middle, and it basically forms the Milpitas one

1 above and the Silicon Valley one to the west. So we
2 just wanted to make sure that you understood what these
3 two maps show.

4 Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

6 MR. GARCIA: Good morning, Commissioners. Josue
7 Garcia. I'm also from San Jose. And, actually, from
8 east side San Jose. I've been in that community for
9 more than 25 years. And thank you for giving me the
10 opportunity.

11 Last time that you came to San Jose, that
12 community, including myself, expressed our concerns. We
13 proposed maps at that time, and we also gave you some
14 recommendations. So I'm here to -- I'm actually
15 surprised. I thought we expressed our concerns and
16 recommendations very well. It doesn't look like we did,
17 and that's why we are here again.

18 This is serious. We don't want our community to
19 be divided. There are plenty of issues already that
20 divides communities. We don't want this to be another
21 one. We have managed to work together and we want to
22 stay that way. We want to have fair representation with
23 elected officials. I urge you to listen to our
24 recommendations. A few of us took the time to come all
25 the way here, like Mr. Quinteros expressed earlier. And

1 also I want to echo Mr. Diaz's comments and
2 Mr. Carrillo, and Mr. Mitchell. He provided some maps.
3 Please listen to our request and recommendations. We
4 are a community and we want to stay that way.

5 Thank you so much.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

7 MR. GONZALEZ: Good morning, Commissioners.

8 Thank you very much for the opportunity to address you.
9 I'm a resident of 20 years of downtown Jose, and I've
10 been involved since the age of 14 advocating for
11 resources and representation for the Latino community.

12 As a community of downtown San Jose, we share
13 many of the concerns, as those of East San Jose
14 residents, and so I'm here to ask you on behalf of the
15 communities that live in downtown San Jose to please,
16 please let us have the opportunity to have a
17 representative from our community that will represent
18 our issues in the state legislature. I'm here to
19 support the Assembly map that has been provided by
20 Mr. Paul Mitchell, and also support my -- you know, the
21 arguments of my other fellows that came up from San
22 Jose, the two-hour drive we did this morning.

23 We know that you guys have a lot of work. I'm
24 just saying to keep the entire downtown San Jose with
25 the entire East San Jose community together in one

1 district will allow communities of interest to have a
2 strong voice and elect somebody that looks like them and
3 knows the issues.

4 Thank you very much for the time.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

6 There are a few more speakers. I'm going to
7 just extend public comment so can we can just
8 accommodate, then we'll go right into closed session.

9 MS. MARQUEZ: Good morning. My name is Dolores
10 Marquez. I'm board president for the Alum Rock School
11 District at K-12, and I'm here to support the Paul
12 Mitchell map that he turned in to you.

13 In 1991, redistricting -- in redistricting, the
14 Supreme Court masters recognized the importance of
15 Latinos in San Jose and created districts to protect
16 this cohesive and compact community of interest. I know
17 this because I was a plaintiff at that time. We deeply
18 appreciate the Commission's work reaching out to our
19 communities through local hearings and listening to the
20 public. It is important to us the strength of both
21 Asian and Latino communities are preserved.

22 The last visualization dropped the Latino CVAP
23 of the San Jose district to 21 percent, with 17 percent
24 Latino voters, by putting parts of East San Jose into
25 the Milpitas district. This isn't necessary for

1 preserving the Asian influence seat and dramatically
2 weakens the political voice of Latino voters in South
3 Bay.

4 We are here to offer local input and draft a map
5 that can achieve the Commission's goal protecting
6 minority rights, voting rights. Our coalition has
7 developed the following amended plan that was turned in
8 by Mr. Mitchell that makes small changes to three
9 districts increasing the Latino voter strength and an
10 important urban Latino seat, while retaining the Asian
11 voter strength in the seat to its north.

12 Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

14 So it's Cervantes, Huffman, Marquez, and Vargas,
15 and then we'll have to end at that point. We'll offer
16 additional opportunity before lunchtime.

17 MS. HUFFMAN: Good morning, Commissioners.
18 Alice Huffman, NAACP president.

19 I'm trying to look at what you did yesterday and
20 give you some critique on the alternatives you have.
21 Truthfully, I'm not quite ready, but I can tell you in
22 the Airport district there is still some concern because
23 it's on the Alternative 3, which seems it would have
24 been better overall for us. It is split between two
25 districts, and that's not very good -- all the terminals

1 in one and the Airport entry and the others in the
2 other.

3 Also, it appears -- I'm going to say "it
4 appears" until we get a chance to do the analysis --
5 that the African American vote has been diluted by the
6 input of some conservative voters from the Hawthorne --
7 Torrance -- excuse me -- area, in that when you get
8 right down to it, the African American voting power is
9 very, very weak. I would like to take a critical look
10 at this.

11 I just want to put you on notice that we do have
12 some concerns with alternative three. Alternative 1
13 didn't look good. "2" didn't look good. "3" looked
14 better. And when we analyze it, we still have some
15 tweaking that we'd like to recommend, but I need to
16 write it up properly and present it to you properly.
17 Between San Francisco and here last night, I just
18 couldn't get my act together, but I will put it back in
19 tomorrow. I don't think it will be due before tomorrow,
20 will it?

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's correct. Thank
22 you, Ms. Huffman.

23 MS. HUFFMAN: Thank you.

24 MR. VARGAS: My name is Alex Vargas. I'm here
25 to talk about the South Bay, which is the south L.A.

1 area. We have another package there. And the South Bay
2 constitutes the Hawthorne, Gardino, Lawndale, El
3 Segundo, Hermosa Beach, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach,
4 Torrance and Inglewood.

5 I've been in constant contact with a lot of the
6 community leaders, community associations, and they've
7 been asking -- they've been sending you this flurry of
8 e-mails. Most notably, we have our police chief which
9 stepped in and made a statement. And the mayor,
10 council, school board members, community leaders. So
11 everybody is ready to talk about this. Everybody is
12 taking a look. A lot of the community associations,
13 frankly, have been taking a look at the process and the
14 latest maps. A lot of people have been asking about
15 injunction lawsuits.

16 They've heard about the Orange County situation
17 with Santa Ana. They're aware of the San Jose
18 situation. A lot of them aren't ready to take a look
19 about working with them, but I tell them, "Let's give
20 this Commission a chance to do their job, and based on
21 what comes out of this we'll be really to see where we
22 go with this."

23 The City of Hawthorne is ready to have a special
24 meeting this coming Tuesday or Wednesday to discuss
25 this, but we definitely want to let you guys do your

1 job. We definitely share a community of interest with
2 all these cities for the reasons which I mentioned
3 previously, which is the Aerospace presence, the
4 traditional inclusion of the City of Hawthorne, and all
5 the local South Bay community council coalitions and
6 cooperatives. Hawthorne is part of a big policing task
7 force, which Hawthorne is the leader of this task force,
8 and --

9 MS. SARGIS: Time.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

11 MS. TEASLEY: Hi. Erica Teasley with the
12 collaborative. I just wanted to -- as you're going into
13 closed session with Mr. Brown and Professor Barreto,
14 just you some points that perhaps you can look at with
15 your experts; and as you come out, hopefully you will
16 hear some of the information that you've gleaned from
17 your deliberations. We're also making sure, or hoping,
18 that you will make all this information available to the
19 public.

20 Some of the points I think that you should
21 investigate are, you know, what was the methodology?
22 What were some of the other elections that were
23 examined? Were there elections where there were Latino
24 successes? And we know those west of Los Angeles and
25 around the state, we know that there have been great

1 successes in terms of Latino candidates.

2 And then, also, are there elections that perhaps
3 were found where minority candidates were preferred by
4 the -- by groups? Did African Americans and Latinos
5 support the same candidates? Did the API community
6 support those same candidates that were Latino
7 candidates?

8 One of the things -- are special elections
9 really probative? Are they legally significant in this
10 instance, or are special elections "special," as they're
11 named?

12 Also, there were some cases mentioned pre the
13 Garza case, which is an L.A. Board of Supervisors case.
14 You know, there was some information provided before
15 that date, and that really changed the landscape. It
16 changed the supervisorial district elections. It was
17 before -- at large, before the Garza case, so that's
18 something to look at. And, you know, African American
19 studies. We're members of the Asian Pacific Islander
20 community study.

21 And I passed out the ArcMap again, and the
22 Congressional map. I wanted you to have that in front
23 of you, and we'll talk about it later.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

25 One more speaker. Sorry.

1 MS. SARGIS: Two more.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I'll let the two
3 speakers come in, but we're going to extend our closed
4 session to about 11:30 then.

5 MS. CERVANTES: All right. Good morning,
6 members of the Commission. Thank you for the
7 opportunity to be here.

8 I am Jennifer Cervantes from the City of La
9 Habra, which is located in Orange County. And,
10 specifically, we're referring to the Senate district.
11 We would like to be placed with other North Orange
12 County cities in the Diamond Bar/Yorba Linda, or the
13 Anaheim/Fullerton district. Presently, the maps have us
14 in a district that is composed of all L.A. County
15 cities, and we would be the only Orange County city.
16 And with the Congressional district, our preference is
17 for So Cal 2, keeping us again with other Orange County
18 cities.

19 I was listening yesterday online, and there
20 seemed to be some misinformation. The comment was made
21 that someone would hate to see La Habra and La Habra
22 Heights split up, and La Habra Heights is actually in
23 L.A. County and La Habra is Orange County. And we
24 really don't have a community of interest with them, so
25 it wouldn't break our hearts to see that broken up so

1 that we could be put in with other Orange County cities.

2 So thank you very much.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

4 And this will be our final speaker.

5 MS. ESPINOSA: Good morning, Commissioners.

6 Rosa Espinosa, council member of the City of La Habra in
7 Orange County.

8 The current Senate proposal, La Habra would be
9 the only city in Orange County in this L.A. district,
10 which politically cuts us out of Orange County. North
11 Orange County is our community of interest. For
12 example, the Cal-Tron district is in District 12, which
13 is in Orange County. The La Mirada/Whittier Cal-Tron
14 district is in another district. And the other examples
15 are the Orange County Transportation Agency, Cal State
16 University Fullerton, Orange County Library District,
17 the municipal water district, the sanitation district.
18 Those are all our services in Orange County and
19 representatives.

20 Our voice would be stronger with Orange County
21 cities. And that would affect the policies and laws and
22 issues affecting our community and county, so we would
23 appreciate it if you would keep us and the Senate
24 district in Orange County.

25 I do have letters here that were collected in

1 the Chamber of Commerce from local businesses, as well,
2 who are concerned with the district outline that we
3 have; so I'd like to respectfully submit those as well.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

5 So we're going to go into closed session, and
6 this is to discuss potential litigation. And it's
7 pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(1). So at
8 this point I am going to ask members of the public, as
9 well as those who came into closed session, to please
10 leave the room. And we anticipate that we'll be
11 completing the session at about 11:30.

12 Anyone who has any sort of electronic device,
13 laptop, cell phone, any other kind of -- brief cases, if
14 you could please take those devices and other bags and
15 other things that you're carrying with you, please take
16 them out of the room.

17 COMMISSIONER GAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
18 Ancheta, can I ask you a question? At any point in the
19 day will we have enough time that Commissioners will be
20 able ask follow-up questions of speakers who have public
21 comment?

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, we can -- I can
23 allow that if we have some time before lunch. And,
24 also, there's a slot prior to adjourning.

25 MS. GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay. I'm not sure to

1 what extent speakers that were here this morning will
2 still be here, but some of the types of questions we
3 might ask would actually relate to our line drawing this
4 afternoon; so I just wanted to put that out there.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And then, again, we
6 will have open business following the closed session.
7 We can do that then.

8 (Closed session 9:42 a.m. to 11:55 a.m.)

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you. We are
10 back on the record. We just concluded closed session
11 and have set up our equipment for display for the
12 line-drawing session.

13 So Mr. Miller, can we please receive our report
14 on the closed session?

15 MR. MILLER: Yes. The Commission met in closed
16 session this morning with its Voting Rights Act counsel
17 and consultant to discuss matters related to threatened
18 and potential litigation in connection with preparing
19 the districts.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you,
21 Mr. Miller.

22 Actually I think we -- I had to cut short public
23 comment. If there's only maybe one or two speakers,
24 we'll take maybe a few minutes of public comment, if
25 there's any. Maybe one person, just to let you in.

1 Just come on down. And a minute and a half or
2 so.

3 MR. VARGAS: All right. Thank you again for
4 your time. I'm about to go home. I've got to get back
5 to my constituents. I thought it was going to be two
6 minutes but it's a minute and a half, so that's fine.

7 But just to kind to get to the real point, to be
8 explicit as possible, Hawthorne feels that -- the
9 residents of Hawthorne are speaking with all these
10 e-mails that we're receiving. And if you have some,
11 you'll probably get a lot more e-mails in your in-boxes,
12 because the residents are speaking, and we very strongly
13 believe that we are part of the South Bay, the South Bay
14 which is a conglomerate of cities. But, unfortunately,
15 that does not include areas like South L.A, South
16 Central -- formerly South Central Los Angeles, Westmont
17 and Watts, Willowbrook, Compton, Athens, and Florence
18 area. And, unfortunately, the residents are seeing that
19 some of that is still appearing on some of the maps.

20 We know there's still a little bit of work to do
21 and we know that you -- we know that this is a tough
22 job, and we don't -- I don't envy you at all after
23 hearing the last two days of effort.

24 But these are the residents who are speaking.
25 These are not special interest groups. Okay. These are

1 not people who do not live in the city. These are
2 Hawthorne residents. And I guess on the last note,
3 please accept the feedback from the Hawthorne residents
4 and please help us out with this. Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you. Is there
6 anyone else? We've got a little bit of a public comment
7 session, so we'll allow just a couple of minutes and
8 then -- I see somebody running, dashing across the room.
9 And we'll have a few minutes at the end of the meeting
10 before we adjourn.

11 MS. TEASLEY: Thank you. We were outside with
12 the timekeeper, so we figured we were okay. So I just
13 wanted to make a couple quick points, still looking at
14 the Congressional districts for South L.A. That, you
15 know, you've heard a lot of strong testimony about the
16 airport being part of the district that has Inglewood.
17 And for the variety of reasons that you're already aware
18 of, including, you know, the runway expansion issues,
19 noise and traffic issues. And so if the LAX is not
20 included in that district, then those people's voices
21 are really not heard on the Airport issue.

22 I also wanted to talk about making sure that
23 you look at North Long Beach and West Long Beach and the
24 Port issues with the Compton/Carson District in that
25 alignment. And there was also some discussion about

1 Port traffic that goes through those areas, and that we
2 need to make sure that those areas are all connected
3 because they have that interest and that issue as well.

4 And then looking -- I grew up in Baldwin Hills,
5 and so looking at the district that includes Baldwin
6 Hills and the Crenshaw area and View Park and Windsor
7 Hills and Culver City. Those are all areas that, you
8 know, people shop in those areas and dine in those
9 areas. Growing up in Baldwin Hills, we always went to
10 Culver City to the Fox Hills Mall, which is now called
11 Westfield. It has a new name, but it's still the Fox
12 Hills Mall, and it's part of that community of interest.
13 And we want to make sure that those areas are tied
14 together, also, because of economics and, again, where
15 they worship and all that.

16 And I submitted, again, a copy of the ArcMaps,
17 the Congressional maps in South L.A. and also included
18 the CVAP information for those districts and for the
19 districts right nearby.

20 Thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Thank you.

22 MS. GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner, could I ask
23 a question?

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Very quick question,
25 Commissioner.

1 MS. GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay. So the first
2 question is: If you connect the port to Long Beach and
3 you connect the airport to Inglewood, you've essentially
4 blocked off two areas on the southwest side of Los
5 Angeles for population to move back and forth. I think
6 we've agreed as a commission we have concern about what
7 was in the Arc submissions regarding this little strip
8 of land by Dockweiler Beach, so what would be your
9 solution?

10 I think that there's been a proposal on the
11 table, the potential of actually splitting the Airport
12 and having two Congressional districts have influence
13 and connection to the Airport; but we've, as a
14 commission, noted that it would be not functional to
15 actually block off -- we wouldn't have enough population
16 to work with in that area if we blocked off both of
17 those populations, so I'd be interested in your
18 perspective on that.

19 And then the second was a clarification as to
20 whether the ArcMaps were solely an Arc submission or
21 whether the Arc Congressional maps were an effort that
22 MALDEF was also involved with or in collaboration on?

23 MS. TEASLEY: So take the first question about
24 the airport issue and Westchester. You know, I think
25 that we have a different opinion about what coastal

1 districts can look like and whether or not that sliver,
2 you know, looks okay or not. And I think in terms of
3 the population flow you could have that sliver of land
4 still connected, still contiguous; so I think that would
5 be a position there.

6 In looking at the submission in the maps, what
7 do we think about that? I think in terms of MALDEF's
8 involvement we have worked with -- and, you know, we all
9 submitted the Senate Southern California unity maps on
10 behalf of CAPAFR and Arc and MALDEF and we also
11 submitted the statewide Assembly plan, which was the
12 Unity Plan. We talked about Congress at length, and we
13 sort of, you know, had input with regard to each other's
14 maps. We talked to members of the Latino community when
15 we were coming up with the ArcMap, and a lot of people
16 that we talked with were fine with our maps and said
17 that it looked acceptable in terms of how the districts
18 were drawn.

19 So MALDEF has not endorsed our map, and they
20 have their -- you've received their Congressional plan,
21 but we have had input from the Latino community and
22 there are members of the Latino community who are
23 supportive of our maps.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you.

25 Before we get into the line drawing, I did want

1 to highlight some scheduling changes. Commissioner
2 DiGuilio, do you want to go through those?

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think It's going to
4 take just a brief moment for both the Commissioners and
5 the public. I think I sent an e-mail out last night,
6 again just highlighting -- as we've discussed, every
7 time we make a change in adding a day, such as Saturday,
8 then that pushes out our calendar for each subsequent
9 set of meetings; so this is an update.

10 What we're planning on now is to instead of
11 meeting on the 20th, 21st, and 22nd, which is a
12 Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, we are moving next week to
13 Thursday, Friday and Saturday. And that will involve,
14 hopefully, a little bit of a review on the 21st as an
15 option for business. And I think Commissioner Ontai
16 will be the Chair at that point and Commissioner
17 Galambos Malloy will be the Vice Chair. So they will
18 continue what those days will entail, but basically the
19 live line drawing will happen on the 22nd and 23rd.

20 And the same way -- it goes to the last week of
21 July when Commissioner Galambos Malloy is the chair, and
22 I believe -- is it Commissioner Barabba, you're vice
23 chair? Yes, vice chair. Which we were moving then from
24 the 26th, 27th and 28th to now the 27th, 28th and 29th.
25 And, again, we'll be working with chairs and vice

1 chairs, as well as Ms. MacDonald, on those; and what
2 we'll be able to review, what we'll be able to discuss,
3 in anticipation the vote will take place on the 29th.

4 And let me just briefly say in the initial
5 discussion what Q2 is hoping to be able to do is once
6 they do all day of line drawing tomorrow, and probably
7 into Monday, they will start trying to upload some of
8 those maps by Saturday -- excuse me -- by Tuesday, the
9 19th, so that they will be at least viewable similar to
10 what we have right now -- an interactive map -- by the
11 close of business by Tuesday 19th so the Commission, as
12 well as the public, can start reviewing those maps and
13 start being prepared to have discussions when we move
14 into live line-drawing session.

15 On the 22nd and 23rd, we will have been able to
16 -- Commissioners will be able to have a good idea of
17 what we've come away with in these directions, as well
18 as the public will know what we're working with when we
19 move into live line-drawing sessions.

20 And just as a note, too, I think on the 21st
21 we'll be planning on an in-depth discussion to the
22 numbering system. I think we may be touching on that
23 this week. I know Commissioner Ancheta may know. If
24 not, we'll be going into it in more detail on the 21st.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct, Commissioner.

1 Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: Just a point of
3 clarification. So the 21st will be business, plus the
4 deferral discussion, and no map drawings?

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Correct. The map
6 drawing will only take place on the 22nd and 23rd, so
7 again I think Commissioner Ontai and Commissioner
8 Galambos Malloy will be working on the agenda for the
9 21st, but right now any -- I assume any business, plus
10 at least some of the deferral issues, as well as a
11 numbering of all districts outside of Senate.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And presumably the chair
13 and co-chair will work out a more specific schedule so
14 if you're traveling. I don't know. I don't think we
15 discussed starting at 9:00 or not.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: It's just simply to say
17 yeah, these are the dates; we're shifting dates, trying
18 to give you as much notice as possible. I think the
19 details will come later.

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is it possible to consider
21 doing some form of map drawing, even if Q2 is not
22 present?

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, because I think
24 what we are doing is we are doing the live line drawing,
25 so we will not -- this is an important point. I mean, I

1 guess we could have some discussions about what we want
2 to do, but we will not be doing large-scale changes.

3 The reason we're having the live line drawing is
4 so when we give the direction, we can see it move and
5 take place, and the result in real time. So if they're
6 not here, we won't be able to see those changes in real
7 time.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: The reason for my concern is
9 we started out this week with two days of map drawing
10 and we expanded it to four and we're now building a
11 schedule for next week that -- and grow in to Sunday?
12 Is that what your plan is, if we're not able to get it
13 done by the --

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: If we go into Sunday,
15 then we will push the next map, the next week, into the
16 vote on the 30th. So every day you add --

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: Again, I'm not proposing to
18 move the day back. I'm proposing to actually try to
19 take care of whatever issue there may be once we see the
20 visualization map. I guess the real map, or whatever is
21 going to be posted on Tuesday, by doing a little bit of
22 map drawing with or without the computer being present.
23 And so if that's not possible, then it's not possible.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That's why we're
25 spending this extra time in these four days, is to

1 really make these changes, because we won't be making
2 large-scale changes/rotations next week, even if we
3 start earlier. When we go into line drawing, it's the
4 block level, neighborhood street level kind of changes.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And the one thing we do
6 not change is the amount of time Q2 gets to work on the
7 maps. That stays constant. So if we have to go over a
8 day, we move everything over to accommodate the work
9 that has to be done by Q2.

10 Any other comments regarding scheduling?

11 Okay. So we're going to pick up where we left
12 off. And just as a note for those watching and, also
13 for the Commissioners, Ms. Woods is unable to join us
14 tomorrow. So while we're going to keep her sitting with
15 the Congressionals, as we're moving forward, we have to
16 basically make sure that the Senate maps that Ms. Woods
17 has worked on need to be discussed today, we will switch
18 over to those. Obviously, there is a lot of interaction
19 with these counties with Ms. Boyle's maps as well, but
20 because Ms. Boyle will be available tomorrow, we'll try
21 to work around Ms. Woods' schedule today to make sure
22 that all those areas are covered.

23 And to the extent that Ms. Boyle's districts
24 impact on the surrounding areas, they have been
25 coordinating, so the options -- presume we pick one

1 option. Let's say the options have been worked out
2 between the map. So if we go with Option 2, for
3 example, for L.A., and it has an impact on Orange County
4 or San Diego, Riverside, all those have been sort of
5 figured out in terms of the ripples. So we want -- but
6 we do want to make sure that Ms. Woods is able to cover
7 all her ground today.

8 Okay. And we're going to again impose time
9 limits. What I'd like to do -- and Ms. Sargis has got a
10 strong signal. I think a bright orange sheet of paper,
11 as well as other verbal signals, perhaps. We'd like to
12 limit the narratives. Now, again, we've asked you to
13 give basic narratives so that the total time for the
14 narratives should only be -- less than two minutes, if
15 you can.

16 A lot of these districts, you can cover them in
17 maybe 30 seconds because it's fairly straightforward.
18 And that will include both team members. So try to keep
19 it under two minutes total. And then individual
20 Commissioners, if you're commenting, we'll try to keep
21 it to one minute per Commissioner.

22 Okay. And we'll try to go for about 35, 45
23 minutes, and then we'll break for lunch.

24 MS. WOODS: So we're on Congressional Option 3.
25 In the districts -- the last district we looked at was

1 IMSAN. And this district includes Imperial County and
2 the border area of San Diego. It includes Potrero,
3 Campo Boulevard, and then goes into the City of San
4 Diego south of Chula Vista. It includes West Chula
5 Vista, all of National City, and also includes Barrio
6 Logan, Sherman Heights, Shelltown, Valencia Park, up to
7 Terralta West, Fox Canyon, Oak Park.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Now, some issues
9 came up yesterday. Commissioners Ontai and Raya, were
10 you able to sort out some of the details on this
11 district and the adjacent district?

12 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I'll start. Because this
13 is the Congressional map, it's really difficult to try
14 to respond to the API's community's interest to keep
15 National City/Chula Vista together, so I find it
16 difficult to try to work that out; so I think this map
17 is about the best we can do.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Raya?

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: We did have testimony about
20 the 805 as a reasonable dividing line within that
21 community, dividing kind of different types of areas
22 east and west so we're not -- we're not, you know,
23 completely breaking up a community. We're just making a
24 reasonable division that was reflected in the testimony.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I forget. Did we

1 cover enough in terms of narrative yesterday?

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think we did.

3 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: A narrative would be
4 basically the same.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Great. Any other
6 comments?

7 Okay. Next district.

8 MS WOODS: The next district is C-H-N-C-S. It
9 includes Chula Vista east of the 805. It includes
10 Bonita, Paradise Hills, Bay Terraces, Ultra Vista,
11 Spring Valley, La Mesa. It splits El Cajon. It
12 includes areas of the City of San Diego, neighborhoods
13 such as Linda Vista, Serra Mesa, Del Cerros, San Carlos,
14 College West, College East. It keeps Kensington and
15 Talmadge together, and then goes west into University
16 Heights, Balboa Park and town -- Mission Hills Old Town.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner?

18 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: And, again, I think it does
19 a reasonably good attempt to put together the COI
20 testimony that we received from the API community, from
21 the LGBT community. It puts together most of the City
22 Heights area where the African American community is, so
23 I'm -- I think this is about as best we can get.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: This district reflected
25 testimony concerning not only the various ethnic COIs

1 but also the economic interests of the various
2 communities and their health -- related to health
3 issues, education, needs for educational services,
4 culturally competent social services, and access to
5 services with, you know, language issues related to
6 access to different services.

7 And we also accommodated -- yeah. We did
8 accommodate the LGBT as well. So I think we've managed
9 to pull in a lot of diverse COIs who share some common
10 ties among them.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And, again,
12 regarding compactness, in order to preserve these
13 communities of interest in neighborhoods, we're again
14 sort of -- kind of a loop over on the top of the
15 district, but that's to maintain the various
16 communities.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes. It is to maintain the
18 community of interest, and it's also constrained
19 somewhat by the other district, the border district.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any additional comments?

21 (None.)

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Very good. Next?

23 MS. WOODS: The next district is MMRHB. And it
24 includes Coronado, Mission Beach, Pacific Beach,
25 Claremont, La Jolla, and then goes east into Mira Mesa,

1 Scripps Ranch, Rancho Bernardo, San Pasqual, Poway,
2 Carmel Valley, and North City.

3 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: And, again, here it brings
4 together the API COI testimony that we received, and it
5 follows the City of San Diego's boundary lines. It also
6 ties in, I think, the city's central recreational beach
7 waterfront area, so I think this is a reasonably good
8 map.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Raya,
10 anything to add?

11 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm sorry. I'm just trying
12 to -- okay. No. This one also addresses the
13 Claremont -- I think it puts all the Claremonts
14 together. And that was -- I think we had this
15 discussion already in another district, but the
16 relationship of Claremont kind of going east/west to
17 some of the other communities, since they had some
18 concern about not being with the COI downtown.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Any other comments?
20 No?

21 MS. WOODS: I also want to point out that
22 University City is also included with La Jolla and La
23 Jolla Village in this district.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can we see the top of the
25 district?

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And that was Commissioner
2 Dai speaking.

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: That reflects city
4 boundaries, if you're wondering -- if you recall the
5 part up at the very top.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: No. I was actually noticing
7 that, as requested by the API community, that Rancho
8 Santa Fe and Fairbanks Ranch were in separate districts,
9 so that's consistent with the community of interest.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: The transcriber is --
11 please make sure you're speaking into the microphone so
12 she can pick it up. Yeah. She's doing the live
13 transcription. And this is a new transcriber, so we
14 want to make sure she's dealing with all our nuances.
15 And please don't talk over each other, and make sure
16 that you speak in the mics.

17 Okay. Anything else on this district? Let's
18 move on.

19 MS. WOODS: The next district is NESAN. It
20 includes the eastern portion of San Diego County. It
21 includes Alpine Descanso, Pine Valley, Mt. Laguna,
22 Santee, Eucalyptus Hills. It includes the other portion
23 of El Cajon, San Diego Country Estates, Ramona, San
24 Marcos and Escondido, Bonsall Valley Center, Rainbow,
25 and then moves north into Riverside County and splits

1 the City of Temecula.

2 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: And on this district it
3 does a reasonably good job in keeping all the east
4 county cities together and follows the I-15 corridor, so
5 I think this satisfies a lot of the COI testimony we
6 received.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Raya?

8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: These were communities who
9 all -- well, the testimony I think we had expresses
10 those communities that consider themselves rural, to
11 some extent agricultural and independent, not at all
12 related to urban areas. I think we had to go up into
13 Temecula for population reasons.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Any questions?

15 Okay. Let's move on.

16 MS. WOODS: The next district is CSTSN. And
17 this includes Del Mar, Torrey Pines, Fairbanks Ranch,
18 Rancho Santa Fe, Encinitas, Carlsbad, Vista, Oceanside,
19 Camp Pendleton, San Clemente, Dana Point, San Juan
20 Capistrano, Ladera Ranch, Las Flores, and Cote de Caza.
21 And this also includes San Onofre.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So let's go to the
23 narratives first, and then Commissioner Filkins Webber
24 can chime in as well after that. So Commissioner Ontai
25 and Commissioner Raya.

1 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah. I think this also
2 captures similar to the Assembly maps the small beach
3 community cities and communities, and goes all the way
4 up to Camp Pendleton, which is a major military base; so
5 it covers an area that a lot of these communities have a
6 lot in common in terms of the coastal areas.

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah. The difficulty -- you
8 know, I know Commissioner Filkins Webber's concern is
9 going north into Orange County, and this was a real
10 difficulty with population. That's the only thing I can
11 say is that, you know, finding the population.

12 And I know that you know, there were some
13 previous iterations where we only went as far as San
14 Clemente, and we had the question of keeping some of
15 those southern beach cities -- Capistrano, Dana Point,
16 San Clemente -- together. There was some of that
17 discussion as well.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Before we take your
19 questions just a clarification. Ms. Woods, in terms of
20 the visualization, does it matter whether someone is
21 looking at Congress So Cal or Op 2 or Op 3, or are those
22 basically the same?

23 MS. WOODS: At this point, it doesn't matter.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, obviously as we get
25 into Orange County and there's some overlap with L.A.

1 County, we might have to indicate which one we're
2 looking at.

3 MS. WOODS: Correct.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So the public knows,
5 again, there are two options on the website. Either one
6 at this point will be fine in terms of these particular
7 districts.

8 So Commissioners Filkins Webber and then Blanco.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I have a couple of
10 questions. This district appears to encroach much more
11 into Orange County than it did in the visualization we
12 saw on July 8th. Ms. Woods, do you have an explanation
13 for that? Because as far as I know, there is no
14 difference down in the southern portion of San Diego
15 County. So what happened?

16 MS. WOODS: So for the visualization you saw on
17 July 8th, Laguna Niguel was split, and in order to try
18 to keep that city intact, I went east and into Ladera
19 Ranch and Las Flores and split Cote de Caza instead,
20 which is a not a city.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, based on the
22 community of interest testimony, or community of
23 interest testimony summary that was provided by
24 Mr. Ontai, Cote de Caza is no more coastal, neither is
25 Ladera Ranch. There's a significant geographic

1 difference, as well as socioeconomic difference between
2 those areas. They've never been joined with San Diego
3 County before. So although I understand that we may
4 have a population issue here, there may need to be some
5 consideration of looking at Laguna Niguel, rather than
6 trying to capture what has always been traditionally
7 Southern Orange County areas that have absolutely no
8 connection to the coast.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So Commissioner's
10 Blanco, then DiGuilio, and then also Commissioner Raya
11 can perhaps respond to this concern.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So what I was going to
13 mention to Ms. Filkins Webber, this looks different in
14 terms of it going into Southern O.C. in the way that we
15 haven't looked, and I had a question. But for the
16 narrative about San Diego, I did want to point out that
17 the County of San Diego has grown by 10 percent between
18 2000 and 2010. I think Commissioner Ontai had mentioned
19 this before, as well as the fact Orange County grew by
20 5.8 percent and San Diego by 10, so there are serious
21 population issues we're dealing with in this area.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So I was just
23 wondering, based on those comments then, can we fix this
24 by -- is that acceptable to switch out Laguna Niguel for
25 Cote de Caza, go back to what we originally had looked

1 at before? It will have to -- I, mean this is not --
2 this is where "How do we find the solution and the
3 tradeoffs?" because of course you're going to have to go
4 back and split Laguna Niguel, but is splitting Laguna
5 Niguel the better of the non-ideal options?

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We've got other people in
7 the queue, so Commissioners Ward, then Yao, then we'll
8 swing back to Commissioners Ontai and Raya for
9 responses.

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: My question, first of all,
11 is for Alex. So there's no -- there's no further
12 population being taken from Orange County in this
13 configuration than the ones on July 8th with the split
14 of Laguna Niguel?

15 MS. WOODS: Correct.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Yao?

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think we have an existing
18 practice of trying to split the big bear cities before
19 we split the smaller ones, so have we violated the
20 ground rule in this particular split?

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioners Ontai and
22 Raya, any comments?

23 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, I mean, I can't argue
24 with, you know, the characterization of those areas.
25 And, you know, if the Commission does not object to

1 going back to the split of Laguna Niguel, we can do
2 that.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Ontai?

4 MR. ONTAI: Yeah, I agree. I think the problem
5 is San Diego County has grown 10 percent. We have
6 300,000 people that have to go outside of San Diego
7 County boundary line, so either we take it up there or
8 we can take it up in Riverside, but somewhere along the
9 line it has to be made up.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Ward?

11 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah. I'm just trying to
12 get information on what numbers we're splitting in this
13 current configuration from those inland cities.

14 MS. WOODS: So Ladera Ranch and Las Flores are
15 both intact. Ladera Ranch is 22,980 people. Las Flores
16 is 5,971 people.

17 MR. WARD: So there's no Cote de Caza split
18 then?

19 MS. WOODS: I'm just working out what that split
20 is.

21 MR. WARD: Oh, okay. Just so I can understand
22 where you're working at, it's a one-for-one split, so it
23 either Laguna Niguel or splits Cote de Caza?

24 MS. WOODS: Correct. And Cote de Caza is not a
25 city.

1 MR. WARD: Correct.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DeGuilio?

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think Commissioner
4 Ward has outlined that the split is going to have to be
5 somewhere. It's where do we want the split? And it
6 sounds like for both COI issues, as well as Commissioner
7 Yao's point about splitting small cities versus large
8 cities. I'd like to propose that we split Laguna
9 Niguel, and I'd like to see if any commissioner has a
10 responsible way to do that.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, first of all, is there
12 sufficient support for the Laguna Niguel split?

13 COMMISSIONERS: Yes.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: There seems to be a
15 consensus on that. Ms. Filkins Webber?

16 MS. FILKINS WEBBER: Yes. I just want to add to
17 that Cote de Caza is actually a neighborhood,
18 essentially is what it is; it's a series of homes, so
19 splitting that is entirely different than splitting a
20 city, so I concur with that. I just wanted to add that
21 just in case the Commission isn't familiar with what is
22 Cote de Caza, it's actually a gated community in many
23 areas -- golf courses, very influential and highly
24 respected residents in that area.

25 Laguna Niguel is more than likely closer to the

1 one -- the PCH, the communities that would be closer to
2 the beach areas, so this would be in line with the
3 coastal district and the areas up around Dana Point.
4 It's mountainous when you go through there, and in
5 Laguna Niguel. So we can probably look at it in detail
6 later, but I would say the split would be -- it can go
7 north-south, putting the closer areas closer to the
8 coast, and then leave the rest of it inland with the
9 blue district, or the purple. Whatever it might be.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do the commissioners
11 generally concur with that instruction?

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Ward?

14 COMMISSIONER WARD: Getting some of this further
15 data and examining it at first glance, that made sense
16 to me. But in understanding that we're keeping Ladera
17 Ranch and Las Flores and again trying to minimize city
18 splits -- Alex deciding the go up and affect the
19 neighborhood of Cote de Caza, again, not ideal, but it
20 seems to be in line with the criteria we've been
21 applying consistently throughout the state.

22 So as I understand the explanation of this
23 district, this seems to make more sense than splitting
24 the City of Laguna Niguel, especially again considering
25 the COI testimony from that general area. Not

1 necessarily from Laguna Niguel but from that area,
2 understanding its municipal needs.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Raya.

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I just think that we need to
5 be careful how we characterize what our mappers are
6 doing. They're suggesting visualizations. They are not
7 making decisions.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct. That is always
9 the case.

10 Okay. So we have -- let's just do a straw vote.
11 Commissioner Ward, you're suggesting they maintain the
12 current visualization, and then Commissioner Filkins
13 Webber and a number of the commissioners are in favor of
14 an alternative with a Laguna Niguel split, and then
15 Commissioner Filkins Webber has suggested a particular
16 way of doing that.

17 How many support the visualization as is?
18 Commissioner Ward?

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm sorry? Pardon me.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do you support the
21 district as it is currently?

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: I do.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And do we then
24 have -- the remaining commissioners would support the
25 district with the Laguna Niguel split and would follow

1 Commissioner Filkins Webber's suggestion?

2 I don't have -- hands up just to get a sense of
3 this. Commissioner Dai, did you want to --

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah. Could I just get one
5 more clarification, because I actually thought
6 Commissioner Filkins Webber was supporting splitting
7 Cote de Caza instead of Laguna Niguel. Could you please
8 just clarify one more time?

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Make sure the
10 commissioners who are agreeing with that know what they
11 are agreeing to.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Certainly. I was
13 not recommending splitting Cote de Caza. Although I do
14 recognize it is not a city, as I stated earlier, it is a
15 distinct neighborhood. If I'm not mistaken, there isn't
16 a gas station; there isn't a store; there isn't anything
17 there. It's just a series of homes and golf courses in
18 that area. Certainly anybody from Cote de Caza can
19 correct me. I've been there a couple of times and knew
20 some homeowners in the area.

21 So although I recognize our priority to consider
22 keeping cities whole versus maybe census places and not
23 designated cities, I was just trying to maintain the
24 integrity of that neighborhood as I understand it and
25 allow for Laguna Niguel to be split. Given that it's a

1 larger city, we'll have to do that at a Congressional
2 level, because that was my recommendation.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Ward. And then I
4 think we're going to move forward with this, but go
5 ahead.

6 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'd just like to make the
7 counterpoint that Ladera Ranch and Los Flores are also
8 distinct communities that align closely to Cote de Caza,
9 so we're separating those, regardless, from its peer of
10 Cote de Caza and -- either way. And I also think that,
11 again, in the totality of understanding what the COI has
12 been for that coastal district, you know, clearly
13 there's impact in splitting Laguna Niguel. We haven't
14 received COI from Cote de Caza or Los Flores, or any of
15 that; so if we do decide to change this visualization, I
16 think we just need to spell it out a very clear
17 rationale for it.

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'd like to clarify. Isn't
19 this a swap? We're swapping out Ladera, Las Flores, and
20 Cote de Caza for part of Laguna Niguel?

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes.

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: We are not separating Ladera
23 and Las Flores or anybody up there?

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right. Can you confirm
25 that, Ms. Woods?

1 MS. WOODS: Yes. So if we were to split with
2 Laguna Niguel, Ladera Ranch, and Las Flores would be
3 intact with Cote de Caza in this blue district.

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I missed the number. Did
5 you work out what that would be?

6 MS. WOODS: I couldn't work that out, but I
7 think it's around -- looks like about 40,000, a little
8 over that.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I would suggest we move
10 forward and at the micro level we can address that, but
11 most of the Commission seems to be in agreement to do
12 the swap.

13 And Kylie, that's recorded?

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Did I hear 40,000?

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Did you say 40,000 or
16 4,000?

17 MS. WOODS: Forty. So it looks like it's -- I'd
18 say it's probably around 33,000, without knowing the
19 population that I've taken from Cote de Caza, because
20 Ladera Ranch is 22,980; Las Flores is 5,971. I think
21 that's probably about three or four thousand in Cote de
22 Caza.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: And Laguna Niguel is how many
24 people?

25 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: 63,000.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: One speaker at a time.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: So we're probably going to be
3 splitting Laguna Niguel by roughly half then, right?
4 And that was the configuration we had the last time we
5 talked about this Congressional district?

6 MS. WOODS: Yes.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai?

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes. So I wouldn't support
9 that. You know, we may not have had testimony from the
10 Cote de Caza and Los Flores, but we absolutely had
11 testimony from the Lagunas wanting to be together in the
12 district, so I wouldn't support that.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Let me do a --
14 those who would support the exchange that we just have
15 discussed, please raise your hand. The swap, I mean.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: The current configuration?

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: No. Let's go back again.
18 We have two options. One is current configuration as on
19 the screen. The second is we've got a proposed swap
20 which would split Laguna Niguel but maintain the Cote de
21 Caza, Las Flores and Ladera Ranch areas together. So --
22 I'm sorry?

23 (Inaudible comment.)

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah. So either one.
25 Those who support the current configuration as appears

1 on the screen.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can I ask for a
3 clarification first? I'm sorry.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I really -- I see this
6 as a three-way switch, but I only hear two things
7 happening, if I'm not mistaken. If we split Laguna
8 Niguel, part of that will go into the -- I'm going to
9 use colors because I cannot see it. Part of Laguna
10 Niguel will go to into yellow, correct? That allows you
11 to drop the blue line down into yellow to incorporate?
12 Is that right? So then you have to also move blue. So
13 what is the consequence of blue? I just -- I see this
14 as a three-way but I only hear two.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's correct.
16 Ms. Woods?

17 MS. WOODS: So this will depend on the Option 2
18 or -- 1, 2 or 3. And in this visualization it would
19 mean probably that, you know, the population would be
20 rotated around further. So into orange -- more of
21 orange would be in this SNORN district. And then from
22 there, more population would have to be exchanged
23 between SNORN and OCCSD.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can I go back to the
25 bottom south part of that and zoom in so I can see the

1 names of the cities very quickly? Instead of going all
2 the way around, can you swap in the three-way -- I'm
3 assuming the other option is to cut Aliso Viejo and put
4 that into purple, so that means -- I just want to say,
5 instead of going all the way up, can you not do the
6 three-way exchange? So I'm just saying if we do the
7 exchange, it's going to be more than just cutting Laguna
8 Niguel.

9 It could be -- you cut Laguna Niguel to go into
10 the yellow and cut Aliso Viejo to go into purple and
11 then the Cote de Caza. Well, actually, Aliso Viejo is
12 about 47? Yeah, you still have to split it. So does
13 Aliso Viejo belong with the purple district or the blue?

14 MS. WOODS: The blue.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay. Then I feel like
16 if that's the case then two wrongs to get one right
17 doesn't -- I have to stay with what this is then.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So let's -- and
19 thank you for the clarification.

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Could we get a clarification
21 on the split at Cote de Caza?

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Woods?

23 MS. WOODS: I was having trouble pulling that
24 number up before. I'll try again.

25 So it's 2,892 people.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry. Could you
2 repeat that?

3 MS. WOODS: 2,892.

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: Chair?

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Ward.

6 COMMISSIONER WARD: In light of the concern
7 about the uniqueness of Cote de Caza, is there an option
8 then to make that neighborhood whole and go into Mission
9 Viejo and par out the 2,000?

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
11 Webber?

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yes. I'm assuming
13 that the area of the 5 -- east of the 5 down at the
14 little blue niche that's a coming down where it says
15 "Ladera Ranch," I'm assuming that is Mission Viejo, the
16 city. Between the purple -- it says purple, blue,
17 yellow. It's that little niche right there?

18 MS. WOODS: Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So Commissioner
20 Ward, if you would agree that if there would be a swap
21 of 2,000 people, which -- in light of everything
22 Commissioner DiGuilio brought out, that would be the
23 best place to pick up the other 2,000 people to do the
24 swap?

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: That seems like a reasonable

1 approach.

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Even though we
3 recognize it would be another split of a city, it's
4 entirely different than separating 2,000 people from a
5 neighborhood. Just my personal opinion.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The bottom part of that
8 district according to this map is Saddleback College.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, it's a very
10 small area. It's up on a hill right on the east side of
11 5. I don't know that they have a lot of property.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: We're still going to have to
14 do at least a three-way rotation. So that's going to
15 cause a split in the purple district again, so I still
16 would vote for sticking with this.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So let's --

18 MS. FILKINS WEBBER: We weren't agreeing to --
19 well, I'm sorry. Based on Commissioner Ward's
20 suggestion, I think if we keep with this iteration it
21 was just the one slight modification to keep Cote de
22 Caza whole, Ladera Ranch and Las Flores would stay in.
23 So we're only swapping 2,000 --

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: The two --

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Right, the yellow

1 and the blue at this point. And it's likely that the
2 configuration Commissioner DiGuilio pointed out would
3 affect a significant number of other cities throughout
4 Orange County, so this might be the lesser of the two
5 evils.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So the larger exchange is
7 now on the table, I believe. And we have either the
8 current district as configured or the small swap that
9 Commissioner Filkins Webber just highlighted and
10 Commissioner Ward has suggested.

11 Commissioner DiGuilio.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I would support this
13 small rotation because I think the 2,000 out of the
14 total population of Cote de Caza is a more -- it's a
15 more difficult split on that community than the 2,000
16 out of Mission Viejo. So I would support this with that
17 small swap of the 2,000 population.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Do we have support
19 for the small change?

20 Okay. We've got sufficient votes for that
21 exchange. That's been captured?

22 All right. Thank you. We've got a couple more
23 before we go to lunch.

24 MS. WOODS: So now we're moving into the rest of
25 Orange County. So look at Option 3.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, again, for those
2 Commissioners and also those folks online, there are a
3 couple of options one can look at for the Congressional
4 districts. This would be Congress So Cal Op 3. Again,
5 these are -- there are a number of options that are
6 being pursued both for L.A. County and neighboring
7 counties; and, again, we're trying to narrow those down
8 to one set, but because of the implications for each
9 one, they have to be sort of mapped out in various sets
10 of options.

11 MS. WOODS: So this district is STHOC. And this
12 includes --

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: Chair Ancheta?

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Yao?

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: It appears that the biggest
16 decision we have to make in Orange County is the Santa
17 Ana/Anaheim decision. And that would impact --
18 whichever way it goes, it would impact the rest of
19 Orange County. Does it make sense to address that
20 problem ahead of these individual districts?

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, let me direct that
22 to, maybe, Ms. MacDonald. And, also, just in terms of
23 how the other -- we've got multiple options, and
24 obviously L.A. County will have some effects, too. But
25 certainly the big one for Orange County in and of

1 itself, but there may be some others going on.

2 Would it make sense, Ms. MacDonald, to try to
3 focus on that just now to settle at least one set of
4 options regarding Orange County? Again, with the
5 understanding that there's more things happening to the
6 north.

7 Okay. Let's go ahead and do that then.

8 MS. WOODS: So this district is SNORN. It
9 includes most of the City of Santa Ana. It includes
10 part of the City of Orange, part of the City of Anaheim,
11 part of the City of Garden Grove, Stanton, Cypress, Los
12 Alamitos, and Rossmoor.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. This is
14 Commissioners Ward and -- who's the second commissioner
15 on this one?

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Commissioner Forbes.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Forbes.
18 Okay. So perhaps you can highlight what the district
19 is. Or what's the basis for the district?

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, yeah, I have
21 significant concerns about this district. It seems to
22 somewhat address some community input from Santa Ana,
23 the community wanting to be linked with Anaheim. But
24 obviously the split at Anaheim is more central, and it
25 connects as far west as Cypress and Los Alamitos to

1 Santa.

2 We've heard significant input from places like
3 Rossmoor wanting to be -- showing connection to Seal
4 Beach and its coastal cities, and I see that's rotated
5 out. So one of the questions I had with this district
6 is rotating Los Alamitos and Rossmoor, and possibly
7 Cypress, even as far down as Stanton down into the
8 coastal district and then replacing it with Westminster,
9 increasing the Garden Grove split and possibly coming
10 down as far as Westminster. It certainly would make for
11 a more compact district and better align community input
12 from many of those cities.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So there's a
14 suggestion to revise the current configuration. Well,
15 just the current configuration. If we could get the
16 reasoning for the current configuration, that would be
17 useful.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, actually,
19 let me comment on that first. Because as of last week
20 when we went through these areas at the Congressional
21 level, it was apparent that we could not make any
22 decision whatsoever, given the impact of the decisions
23 that needed to be made in Los Angeles.

24 I went back last night after I saw these
25 visualizations on the website, and I went to review the

1 notes that are on the web regarding the direction that
2 we provided to Q2 regarding this. The only direction
3 was a recognition that this is not a Section 2 between
4 Santa Ana and Anaheim.

5 Other than that, the Dana Point whole and Laguna
6 are the only comments we ever provided. We did not give
7 any other direction to Q2 regarding these districts in
8 particular. And, in fact, we didn't even really have
9 any flexibility. So I'm afraid the work that has been
10 done by Ms. Woods appears in some regard to be
11 consistent with what we have seen at the other levels,
12 but this is the first time the configuration has ever
13 been brought forth to this Commission, so I think it's
14 very difficult for any commissioner, either ones
15 assigned to districts or not, to try and to justify
16 districts we've never seen before. And so -- and I
17 think that's what Commissioner Ward is trying to say.

18 So we've seen it at other levels, but this is
19 not a configuration that has been presented to us at a
20 Congressional level. So I'm just putting that on the
21 record right because we have to struggle with these
22 decisions. It's not as if every other commissioner has
23 had a lot of work to do on their areas with not much
24 change. This is another change that we have to likely
25 talk through and recognize Communities of Interests and

1 the cities that should be together, which we have not
2 had a chance to do at this level before.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So I did have
4 Commissioner Aguirre and Blanco, but maybe, Ms. Woods,
5 you could just briefly describe the reasoning behind the
6 district.

7 MS. WOODS: So a lot of this has been driven by
8 the configuration of the districts in Los Angeles
9 County. Some of the direction that, you know, I read
10 about was about keeping Irvine whole with Tustin and
11 North Tustin, which is something that I looked at trying
12 to do in this district.

13 Also, there was feedback about Little Saigon --
14 which is this area right here -- and not putting that
15 with Santa Ana and Anaheim. So that's how that is in
16 this coastal district. And then moving north, I'm
17 trying to keep the COI of Brea/Fullerton/Placentia with
18 Chino Hills.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you. I think
20 that's helpful just to give us sort of an overview for
21 the justification for a couple of the districts.

22 So in terms of the queue, we've got
23 Commissioners Aguirre, Blanco, Galambos Malloy, then
24 DiGuilio.

25 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Just to say that I have a

1 problem with this option. It seems to me that Option 2
2 seemed to follow the COI, from my reading, a little bit
3 better, given that Santa Ana is with the Anaheim Flats,
4 plus it keeps Orange County whole. And I think it might
5 even go west and even capture Stanton. Understanding
6 that, you know, the push from L.A. is partly responsible
7 for this, but I prefer the other option much more.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, I have a lot of
10 problems with Option 3. One, we got a letter late last
11 night from the mayor of Orange saying that, as she
12 repeated earlier -- she's now said this twice -- that
13 they do not prefer to be grouped with the City of
14 Orange. We had over -- I'm sorry?

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: You said the mayor
16 of Orange didn't want to be grouped with the City of
17 Orange.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry. Let's try to
19 keep the (queue intact and not speak over each other.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I do want just a
21 clarification from Commissioner Blanco. She said the
22 mayor didn't want to be grouped with Orange.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes. And, you know,
24 people could just say it nicely.

25 What I'm saying is that we got a letter again

1 last night from the mayor of Orange saying that she did
2 not want the City of Orange to be paired with Santa Ana.
3 This is the second time she's mentioned this. I am very
4 concerned that even though the Anaheim/Santa Ana
5 together is not a Section 2, the Santa Ana/Anaheim
6 Assembly District is a Section 2, and nowhere else have
7 we taken a Section 2 district that was a Section 2
8 district in an Assembly map and then divide it when it
9 came to Congress, whether that Congress was a Section 2
10 or not.

11 We have overwhelming testimony that was repeated
12 again by people who flew up here yesterday about this
13 area.

14 MS. SARGIS: Time.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So Commissioner Galambos
16 Malloy, then Commissioner DiGuilio.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I have similar
18 concerns with this visualization as we're looking at it
19 now. I think that -- you know, my perspective is
20 regardless of whether it's a Section 2 at the
21 Congressional level or not, that the same logic around
22 communities of interest that we saw playing out as we
23 discussed this at the Assembly level, I don't find a
24 strong argument for why those should be overturned at
25 the Congressional level. In fact, when I look at what

1 anomalies Santa Ana and the Anaheim Flats are within the
2 Orange County socioeconomic and cultural community, when
3 I think about splitting them apart and putting them in
4 two separate Congressional districts, when you're
5 dealing with districts of this massive size, we're
6 effectively making decisions that will disenfranchise
7 those communities if they are split; so I feel very
8 firmly that we need to reconfigure and look at the other
9 option.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So Commissioner
11 DiGuilio, then Filkins Webber, then Ward. And then I
12 think we'll take a break. We'll do a lunch break, and
13 obviously we'll come back to the district.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: First I'll just briefly
15 say, I apologize to Commissioner Blanco. She said
16 Orange with Orange. And I wanted to follow her
17 discussion, so I interrupted her during her thing; but
18 she meant Orange with Santa. So I appreciate the
19 clarification.

20 I guess what I'm trying to figure out here is
21 part of the impact of -- I mean, again, I think we need
22 to go back to the COI that we've had all along, and I
23 think that's consistent with what we've been hearing
24 lately. But, again, when this point was raised
25 yesterday, I don't want to all of a sudden respond to

1 something. Just because you're the last person in,
2 doesn't mean your voice is different than anyone
3 else's. So I think it's not what's being said in last
4 day, the last two days, or will continue to be heard. I
5 think it's important the public knows that we're trying
6 to balance everything.

7 But I just had a question about the
8 visualizations, because Option 2, or where it looks like
9 we're going into, it has the L.A./Orange in the south --
10 I guess I'm just a little confused as to where we're
11 going with -- we're just working with this and trying to
12 change it, or if we're agreeing that we don't like it
13 and we're going back to Section 2 -- or Option 2?

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. MacDonald or
15 Ms. Woods, can you just address that question?

16 MS. WOODS: So we're looking at what we would do
17 with this option if these are the borders that Nicole
18 ends up -- if you end up selecting this option from
19 Nicole's districts in Los Angeles.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, again, I feel like
21 -- which comes first, the chicken or the egg? If we
22 make choices here, then that set pre-determines L.A. Or
23 if we do L.A. first, then, you know, there's -- I guess
24 I need to -- I just need to check from us as a
25 commission as to how we're approaching this.

1 We have three options in both areas, and I feel
2 like to be fair to both counties or both areas we need
3 to find a process to address this a little bit. I would
4 feel better if we had a little better process to address
5 this.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Let me put myself
7 in the (queue after Commissioner Filkins Webber and then
8 Ward.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just a couple of
10 points of clarification. The mayor of Orange did not
11 say that she did not want to be with Santa Ana?

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm looking at
14 last night's letter. Or I don't know if it was last
15 night. I'm looking at a letter that dated Saturday,
16 June 18th, that was sent out last night. So she's
17 clarified her position now.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's use the microphones.

19 MS. BLANCO: Yeah. It says, (reading:) I was
20 shocked when viewing the new Congressional maps -- this
21 from last night. Orange has mistakenly been partnered
22 with the City of Santa Ana. Although we are
23 geographically next to each other, we are not like
24 communities in any sense. Orange is not a densely
25 populated urban environment. We are a historic

1 community with a small-town feel. Our homes range from
2 one-hundred-year-old Craftsmans to equestrian estates.
3 We align with communities like Tustin and Anaheim Hills
4 in housing, transportation issues, and public safety
5 concerns, to name just a few.

6 As I have testified multiple times, if you must
7 use Orange to bring together like communities, only
8 areas west of the 57 freeway are appropriate. Please
9 use the CD visualizations released 11/07/08. These new
10 CD maps are terrible for our city.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner
12 Filkins Webber.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That just
14 contradicts the earlier e-mail that she sent earlier in
15 the day. But be that as it may, the Commission should
16 be aware of that, because her first sentence was she
17 wanted to keep Orange whole, although we recognize that
18 Orange is an odd city, and now she's advocating a split
19 of her own city.

20 But be that as it may, the only other comment
21 to Commissioner Blanco is that in looking at Option 3 --
22 excuse me -- Option 2, what ends up occurring, because
23 you don't have to necessarily consider a Section 2 for
24 Anaheim and Santa Ana, is that you disrupt quite a
25 number of other communities of interest, such as putting

1 Garden Grove and Stanton into a coastal district down in
2 Laguna Niguel.

3 We have quite a number of other problems as far
4 as Santa Ana being with Villa Park and even going into
5 North Tustin in this option. And, again, Anaheim Hills,
6 likely being at the La Habra, or what now is called the
7 La Habra Diamond Bar area. So the option and the
8 suggestion for considering the fact that we don't have
9 to put them together is so that we could balance
10 communities of interest as we have recognized throughout
11 this entire process. And we have not in other
12 iterations. I can go find examples where we've done a
13 Section 2. We've also expanded and recognized if they
14 didn't have to be a Section 2 at another level that we
15 could work around and recognize the community of
16 interest in the surrounding areas to balance it out.

17 So I think that there are quite a number of
18 problems, actually, with both options. And, again, it
19 looks like it's all just going to be dependent on Los
20 Angeles.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you.

22 So Commissioner Ward, and then I'll say
23 something, and then we'll go to break.

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: Thank you, Chair.

25 Yeah, I appreciate Commissioner Filkins Webber's

1 comments. She's right. Orange County is, you know, the
2 sixth biggest county in the nation, and it's been an
3 afterthought to L.A. and San Diego in this process; and
4 I take umbrage to the community of input linking Santa
5 Ana and Anaheim as the center of the universe for Orange
6 County.

7 It creates a number of problems. That Section 2
8 at the Assembly level forced us to make some really
9 tough decisions that went directly against a lot of
10 community input for a lot of these cities. So where we
11 have the freedom to address the COI at large, which this
12 option definitely does with a complete reading of Orange
13 County input over Section 2, we need to examine that
14 closely. And in this particular case I find it
15 interesting to hear the mayor --

16 MS. SARGIS: Time.

17 COMMISSIONER WARD: -- of Orange has been
18 consistent in her testimony -- I understand, but I'm the
19 team leader and we're just seeing --

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Take 15 seconds and go
21 ahead.

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'll wait until after lunch.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I'll hold off, too.
24 Let's do this. I'll consult with Ms. MacDonald
25 regarding -- it might be an optimal process -- obviously

1 we've got a lot of intersecting and moving pieces going
2 on at the same time. It may be useful to try to lock in
3 the ones that aren't moveable so much and get those out
4 of way and then try to develop a strategy for how we
5 might approach the pieces that are moving.

6 So, again, there may be intersections,
7 obviously, between L.A. and Orange that need to be
8 talked about to go through an overview so we can lock in
9 at least more concrete strategy.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay. There are a couple of
11 pretty critical decisions in Los Angeles that drove
12 these various options, because we're talking about the
13 border hand-offs from Orange to Los Angeles, basically.
14 And I'm wondering whether it would make sense to
15 actually go through the LABRA cluster very quickly so
16 Nicole can just describe basically what the differences
17 in those options are and whether you might perhaps make
18 a decision on what you want to see, and then we can
19 figure out whether that configuration can actually be
20 tied in with whatever you decide in this area. But
21 we're going to need a decision on how this particular
22 district should be drawn.

23 I mean, I was just conferring with Ms. Woods,
24 and we're just trying to figure out whether if you make
25 one decision in L.A. whether she could still, you know,

1 change this particular visualization. So we're going to
2 really need at least one decision so that we can figure
3 out whether the next one is going to work.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Let's take a lunch
5 break and cool down a bit. I think we need to take a
6 lunch break. It's already about 1:00 o'clock at this
7 point.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could I ask Ms.
9 MacDonald a technical question along those lines? Would
10 that be appropriate before we go so we have something to
11 consider. Or not? Should we just go to lunch?

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: If it affects what we
13 might be thinking about over lunch, go ahead.

14 (Laughter)

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah. I guess I'm
16 asking -- this is just from looking at things briefly.
17 It seems like there are more choices in Orange in the
18 visualizations that have been created for L.A. because
19 the VRAs are set and there's only a few things that we
20 can do around them that -- is it better to start with
21 L.A. because there's fewer options in terms of choices
22 and then move to Orange and try and really work through
23 those Orange County options, or is it better to go the
24 other way around? I'm just trying to --

25 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, at this point, you know,

1 I would just say I think we need move to L.A., try to
2 figure out so that just Ms. Boyle can explain to you a
3 couple of the districts that actually caused these
4 border issues. And so I think at this point it's going
5 to be an interactive process. So perhaps going from
6 L.A. back to Orange.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's take a break right
8 now then. We'll reconvene at 1:45. So it's not a full
9 hour; a 45-minute lunch break.

10 (Lunch break at 1:02 p.m.)

11 --oOo--

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Jacqueline Toliver, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were reported by me stenographically and later transcribed into typewritten form under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the proceedings taken at that time.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this 21st day of July 2011.

Jacqueline Toliver, CSR No. 4808

