

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the Matter of
Full Commission Business Meeting

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law
Classroom C
3200 Fifth Avenue
Sacramento, California

Saturday, July 16, 2011
9:00 A.M.

Reported by:
Peter Petty

APPEARANCES

Members Present

Angelo Ancheta, Chair

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai, Vice Chair

Vincent Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle Di Guilio

Stanley Forbes

Connie Galambos Malloy

M. Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Jodi Filkins Webber

Peter Yao

Member Absent

Gabino T. Aguirre

Staff Present:

Janeece Sargis

Marian Johnston

Rob Wilcox

Consultants Present

Tamina Alon, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Nicole Boyle, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Jamie Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Karin Mac Donald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Also Present

Public Comment

Jim Wright
Tim Snipes
Eric Eisenhammer
Don Gibson
Erica Teasley Linnick
Marqueece Harris-Dawson
Yogi Chugh
Anthony Franks
Michael Burtolic
Denise Rushing
Kelly Cox
Tyrone Netters
Loretta McCarthy
Christopher Bowman
Joe Dibbs
David Salaverry

I N D E X

	PAGE
1. Introduction	
Angelo Ancheta, Chairperson	5
Roll Call	5
2. Public Comment	6
Jim Wright, San Jose Voter	6
Tim Snipes, Peoples Advocate	7
Eric Eisenhammer, Agora, Conejo Valley	7
Don Gibson, on behalf of Marlene Bell, Walnut Ranch owner, Yolo County, and part of a Napa Vineyard	8
Erica Teasley Linnick, The Collaborative	10
Marqueece Harris-Dawson, The Collaborative	11, 182
Yogi Chugh, Tri-Cities, Fremont, Newark, and Union City	13
Anthony Franks	14
Michael Burtolic, Yolo County	15
Denise Rushing, Bd. of Supervisors, Lake County	16
Kelly Cox, County Administrator, Lake County	17
Tyrone Netters	18
Loretta McCarthy, Lake County resident	19
Christopher Bowman, California Conservation Action Group	20
Joe Dibbs	21
David Salaverry, RCCAG	183
3. Continuation of Unfinished Business and Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Focus on Remaining Southern California Districts)	27
4. Continuation of Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Focus on Remaining Senate and Board of Equalization Districts)	117
Public Comment	182
Adjourn	238
Certificate of Reporter	239

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JULY 16, 2011 9:12 A.M.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Good morning. This is the Saturday meeting of the Citizens Redistricting Commission. My name is Angelo Ancheta, I'm Chairing the meeting today. This will be my last day as Chair, I hope, for this set of meetings. Commissioner Gil Ontai, to my left, is the Vice Chair, and will be taking the Chair position next week. We do have a quorum, although a couple of Commissioners are still not here yet, but we'll get started. So, Ms. Sargis, can you call the roll?

MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Aguirre - [Absent]; Commissioner Ancheta - Here; Commissioner Barabba - Here; Commissioner Blanco - [Inaudible]; Commissioner Dai - [Inaudible]; Commissioner Di Guilio - Here; Commissioner Filkins Webber - Here; Commissioner Forbes - Here; Commissioner Galambos Malloy - Here; Commissioner Ontai - Here; Commissioner Parvenu - Here; Commissioner Raya - [Inaudible]; Commissioner Ward - Here; Commissioner Yao - Here.

We have a quorum.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good. I can see that these Commissioners are coming from the same hotel, so they should be here very shortly. What we're going to

1 do at this point is just open it up for public comment.
2 I'm going to impose some significant limits on the number
3 of speakers so we can get started. If there are other
4 opportunities, either right before lunch, and certainly
5 at the end of the day, if we have time before adjourning,
6 we'll allow additional public comment. But we'll try to
7 limit it basically to have 25 minutes this morning, and
8 then we'll get into our line drawing sessions. So, let's
9 begin. Let me read the first two speakers. In order, we
10 have Wright, Snipes, Eisenhammer, and then Gibson and
11 Teasley Linnick. One and a half minutes each.

12 MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Commissioners. I'm
13 Jim Wright, a voter from San Jose. Now you've done it.
14 My new Assembly District pairs me with the coast from
15 Santa Cruz to Carmel. My new Senate District pairs me
16 with Saratoga, Los Gatos, and Mount Hamilton. My new
17 Congressional District pairs me with Campbell, Los Gatos,
18 Morgan Hill, San Martin, Mount Hamilton, and Henry Coe
19 State Park. My new BOA District pairs me with most of
20 California's coast on the Pacific from the Oregon Border
21 to Simi Valley. Really, my fellow voters in these
22 districts and I will do our best to elect the most
23 qualified candidates who have a stated platform in tune
24 with our needs, aspirations, and wishes, with no
25 consideration for the candidate's ethnicity, party,

1 gender, or any of several other special factors. Thank
2 you, you've done a good job, I appreciate it.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you, Mr. Wright.
4 Always good to see you.

5 MR. SNIPES: Good morning, Commissioners. Tim
6 Snipes from People's Advocate. And I wasn't going to
7 come down today because I've talked to you enough, but
8 yesterday after my testimony I received so many phone
9 calls from concerned people all over the state that I
10 thought I would come down here and just implore with you
11 one more time how important it is that, in particular, we
12 look at and fix the Eastern Ventura Senate District. You
13 have heard overwhelming testimony from members of the
14 public, from community organizations, from elected
15 officials, from every party line, from every racial line,
16 from every geographic line, imploring you to move Malibu
17 out of that district and move that district up to Santa
18 Clarita, and in fact this Commission agreed with that
19 testimony and directed your vendor to draw that line and
20 it hasn't been done, so I just implore you one final time
21 to please listen to the voices of the people and the
22 affected parties, and fix that district. Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right, thank you.

24 MR. EISENHAMMER: Good morning, Commissioners.
25 I was here earlier in the week from Agora in the Conejo

1 Valley. I heard someone say Sherman Oaks should be with
2 East Ventura and me and everyone else I know in Moore
3 Park and Thousand Oaks, where I went to school and I
4 worked, and my home town, disagree with that 100 percent.
5 We have nothing in common with Sherman Oaks or that part
6 of the San Fernando Valley. I'm specifically addressing
7 the East Ventura County Senate District above all else,
8 more than Assembly or Congress. I think East Ventura
9 County should be linked to Santa Clarita, as a whole, on
10 up to Palmdale, and not to Malibu or the Southern San
11 Fernando Valley. We don't have anything in common with
12 them, we're a more suburban and semi-rural area; Malibu
13 is a coastal community, and the San Fernando Valley is
14 much more urban and dense. We just don't belong with
15 them, we belong with Santa Clarita Valley, Agua Dulce, on
16 up to Palmdale and I would implore you to link us with
17 them, rather than the Southern San Fernando Valley and
18 Malibu.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you. And,
20 again, after the next speaker, we have Teasley Linnick,
21 Harris-Dawson, Chugh, Franks, and Burtolic.

22 MR. GIBSON: Hi, my name is Don Gibson and I'm
23 speaking on behalf of my friend, Marlene Bell, who is an
24 owner of a walnut ranch in Yolo County and is part of a
25 Vineyard in Napa County. She is very disappointed with

1 your decision to split Yolo County into three counties
2 again after overwhelming testimony to the contrary.
3 However, there is potential compromise that does not
4 require dismantling the district ECC that most
5 Commissioners actually like. While there are certainly
6 ties between Vallejo and Napa, I can see that the
7 Commission's point that Lake is a long ways away, and
8 while Lake has expressed a preference to go with Napa, it
9 also has strong ties to Mendocino's wine industry. So,
10 try the following: move Lake County to the North Coast of
11 Mendocino, DMNDO, take DMNDO out of Santa Rosa, which is
12 a much more urban community, and give it to Sebastopol,
13 instead. For the Marin District, add the western half of
14 Santa Rosa plus Rohnert Park to reunite the communities
15 along the 101. Give Napa and the entire Valley of the
16 Moon, Eastern Santa Rosa County -- sorry, Sonoma County
17 -- to the Eastern half of Santa Rosa. This has several
18 advantages, 1) wine industry; while you would separate
19 Napa from the premium grapes in Lake County, you would
20 unite it with the premium grapes of Sonoma, 2) North
21 Coast, you would take the urban city of Santa Rosa out of
22 the rural North Coast, 3) Highway 101, you would unite
23 communities along Highway 101, the southern part of
24 Sonoma, 4) Santa Rosa, while it would be split, it would
25 keep the communities that are much more accessible in

1 Napa and Marin Counties, 5) Vallejo and Benicia, while
2 they are not connected -

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right, thank you, and
4 we do have the written testimony.

5 MR. GIBSON: And I would ask you to recognize,
6 I have known Marlene for many years, she was a teacher in
7 Davis.

8 MS. TEASLEY LINNICK: Good morning. Erica
9 Teasley Linnick with the Collaborative, and I've been
10 before you. I wanted to address a couple of questions
11 that were asked yesterday, one questions was regarding
12 MALDEF's Congressional Map, and I think if you look at
13 that map, you'll see that the Compton-Carson District has
14 the LCVAP at 32.1 percent, and I know you all are
15 considering making that a 50 percent district, so I just
16 wanted to make sure that you were aware of that. If
17 keeping hard lines at the County boundaries is something
18 that is really making you struggle in South LA, then I
19 think you should re-think keeping them hard lines and
20 looking at relaxing them. We still feel like you haven't
21 really been hearing what we've been saying, and we are
22 continuing to say that we will not accept a reduction in
23 the number of seats in which African Americans are able
24 to elect candidates of choice, that our legal positions
25 stay strong on that, and I want to make sure that you

10

1 also understand the Collaborative represents thousands of
2 African Americans throughout the State, and we have
3 organizations such as the Black Chamber, we have legal
4 organizations such as the NAACP Legal Defense Fund, who
5 are assisting in our efforts, and we want to make sure
6 that you understand that all these groups have been
7 working together on behalf of the community and that we
8 want to continue to make sure that you understand what
9 the position is in South LA.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right, thank you.

11 MR. HARRIS-DAWSON: Good morning. Here again
12 for the second time this week, so thank you all for
13 allowing us to speak. A couple things just to reiterate
14 from The Collaborative, we are very concerned that the
15 Commission is now considering a more drastic reduction in
16 African American representation in the State of
17 California, than even the MALDEF Map puts forward. So
18 the MALDEF Map has more favorable Congressional, Senate
19 and Assembly - well, Congressional designations than the
20 options that are being considered here today. Again, the
21 third district, there is the insistence that it be 50
22 percent, and I see Commissioner Blanco is not here today,
23 so I wish she was here to hear this, the insistence that
24 that District be 50 percent. In fact, in the MALDEF Map,
25 the Latino CVAP is at 36 percent, much lower. And so we

1 want to make it clear in no uncertain terms that any
2 reduction in African American representation in the State
3 of California won't be accepted and will be met with any
4 remedy that is available to us, including a lawsuit.

5 Lastly, something that is personal to me, I
6 want to talk about the Airport. I live in the flight
7 path; I live in that part called Westmont there.
8 Airplane parts drop in my neighbors' backyard, that
9 doesn't happen in Rolling Hills and in Torrance where the
10 houses cost millions of dollars. Trust me, nobody is
11 paying \$3 million for a house that is going to have jet
12 fuel spilling on it and going to have to have noise
13 mitigation. This is not something you have to speculate,
14 the FAA has a sound and traffic mitigation program that
15 applies to certain neighborhoods and not to others, and
16 they apply to neighborhoods in Inglewood District and not
17 in the ones in the Bay District.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you, Mr.
19 Harris-Dawson. We have Chugh, Franks, Burtolic, Rushing,
20 and then Cox.

21 MR. CHUGH: Dear Commissioners, thank you so
22 much for giving me the opportunity. I've had the chance
23 to speak before you many many times, starting all the way
24 from Oakland, San Jose, Sacramento. I represent the Tri-
25 Cities, which is Fremont, Newark, and Union City. We've

12

1 come before you a number of times, there has been
2 tremendous community of interest testimony that has been
3 extended. We have been saddened, and we're frustrated.
4 You have not heard us. We feel that we have been
5 disenfranchised. We provided options because this
6 Commission asked us to provide you experimentation, what
7 could occur. The maps that we submitted, the Tri-City
8 Maps, spoke to that issue. Q2 gave you tremendous
9 options, keeping the testimony of the Tri-Cities. The
10 Holy Grail principle was mentioned about one of the
11 Commissioners as the opportunity that must be referenced
12 in context to what we have presented as options. The
13 Tri-Valley does not want Hayward. The Tri-Valley wants
14 San Jose. The Tri-Cities do not want San Jose; they want
15 to be with Hayward. So, as a part of that, the question
16 simply becomes how can we count upon you to ensure that
17 the interest and the points are listened, in some context
18 you listen to some communities of interest, and in some
19 you truly bored them. Commissioner Malloy, at one of the
20 meetings you talked about the importance of keeping the
21 Tri-Cities, labor, the Salvation Community in the sub
22 context of the larger Tri-City Community. Today it is my
23 goal to ask a Commissioner, or two, or three, that the
24 next opportunity do you bring up the question again about
25 how not to disenfranchise the Tri-Cities. It is an

1 opportunity that I believe addresses many solutions for
2 you. On one hand, you asked us to provide you options
3 that would address problems, you did. And as a part of
4 that, I ask you to hold the Tri-Cities community together
5 and, in that context, the one last comment I would like
6 to make at the end --

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry, we have to end
8 up. Thank you.

9 MR. FRANKS: Good morning. Thank you for
10 hearing us. I am from Newmar [phon.], I'm a resident and
11 asking this Commission to look into the proposal by
12 Commissioner Barboro [sic] to draw in the Valley, in with
13 Santa Clara County Valley, or San Jose. The reason being
14 is that the current draft for the Congressional District
15 will just continue to disallow the Tri-Valley into the
16 Hayward and the Tri-Cities. This has been a constant
17 problem for years in our area. A connection to San Jose
18 or Santa Clara County Valley would allow for a
19 geographical distance that would make a representative
20 pay special attention to the Valley area. I would even
21 propose drawing more valley areas into the district to
22 make the importance even greater in regards to
23 population. On top of this, our growing research and
24 development-based economy would be better served as a
25 connection to Silicon Valley. I have emailed members of

1 my community to get them to weigh in on this matter, and
2 the results have been positive. I anticipate this
3 Commission getting more testimony to support claims from
4 the valley. Please look into the changes again. To our
5 Congressional District, we do not want to be disallowed
6 by Hayward and the Tri-Cities. Please revisit the
7 proposal made by the Commissioner Barabba, even for a few
8 minutes. Thank you very much for listening to me.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right, thank you.

10 MR. BURTOVIC: I'm Michael Burtovic from Yolo
11 County. Recently, the Commission re-revised the Assembly
12 District Map of Yolo County to again disenfranchise its
13 citizens by splitting it into three separate disparate
14 districts where all its major cities, as well as
15 significant portions of its rural population areas, are
16 no longer going to be represented by the same Assembly
17 member. This mistake was, in my opinion, three-fold
18 origin, the late hour the changes were proposed, the
19 absence of key Commissioners from the discussion and
20 decision, and the lack of input asking for the change on
21 the part of the areas that were then to be included,
22 specifically Vallejo or Pittsburgh, where no one from
23 Vallejo or Pittsburgh bothers to show up at these
24 meetings and advocate for such a change, it's very hard
25 to understand why those areas would be moved to

1 representation that would be shared by Winters and Davis,
2 why Woodland would be placed into an area where it's
3 represented by an Assembly Member, largely elected from
4 citizens in Lake and Napa Counties to the east. When
5 people are tired and key members are absent, and there's
6 no grassroots advocacy for a change, then mistakes
7 happen.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you, sir.

9 MR. BURTOLIC: I thank you for a chance to
10 readdress [inaudible].

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Uh, disclosure, Michael
12 and I have been friends for many years.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right, thank you. So
14 we have Ms. Rushing, then Cox, Netters, then Huffman.

15 MS. RUSHING: Yes, I'm Denise Rushing
16 representing the Board of Supervisors and also I'm an
17 individual citizen. This is the third time I've been
18 here and I've made two points, that I won't elaborate on
19 -- keep us intact and we have an affinity with Napa, as
20 well as the counties to the West. Lake County is
21 isolated, we have unique challenge including the fact
22 that we're headwaters to three watersheds; we are one
23 community with no affinity to the east at all, as we are
24 separated by many miles of wilderness and our respective
25 population centers are very far apart. I was horrified

1 to learn that you might consider splitting Lake County to
2 keep part of us with Napa. Make no mistakes; splitting
3 Lake County would sacrifice our county for the needs of
4 others, which is not unusual, given the water situation,
5 often. But if the numbers dictate that this must happen,
6 we ask that you at least seek Demographic balance within
7 our County if you do split us, and keep the major
8 industries aligned with the south and/or the west. I
9 have a map here as to how this might be done, but I
10 would, again, we would prefer to be staying intact and
11 grouped with Napa, those were the two points we've made.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you very
13 much.

14 MR. COX: Thank you, good morning. My name is
15 Kelly Cox. I'm the County Administrator for Lake County.
16 I've been a resident of Lake County for 32 years and I
17 know our region very well. I'm here this morning to
18 support the recommendations of Lake County Supervisor
19 Denise Rushing, she just made to you, in favor of keeping
20 Lake County within the same Congressional District as
21 Napa. Lake County has strong ties to the Napa Valley in
22 terms of agriculture, shared workforce, and many other
23 common interests. We simply don't have those
24 relationships or ties with counties to the east or
25 northeast of Lake County. I've reviewed numerous maps

17

1 produced by State agencies for a variety of purposes that
2 break California down into various sets of regions.
3 Almost all those maps show Lake County in the same region
4 as Napa County. None that I could find show Lake County
5 grouped with other counties to our east. There are
6 obvious reasons why that is the case, including the
7 natural geographic separation of Lake County from the
8 counties to the east. As a small county, our only hope
9 of having any voice at all in Washington, D.C. is by
10 having a Congressional Representative who understands our
11 community's demographics, our economy, our lifestyle, and
12 our strengths and weaknesses. By sharing a Congressional
13 Representative with Napa, we are able to have that type
14 of representation. While our preference is for our
15 entire county to be kept in the same district as Napa, if
16 that simply is impossible, please approve the alternate
17 plan that Supervisor Rushing just discussed, which keeps
18 a significant portion of Lake County, including our
19 County seat, in the Napa District. This is a very
20 critical time for our county and for California in light
21 of the economic challenges we face and the opportunities
22 we have before us. Please keep Lake County in the same
23 Congressional District as Napa. Thank you very much for
24 your consideration and your hard work, I know it's not an
25 easy job.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you very
2 much. So, we have Netters, Huffman, McCarthy, and then
3 Bowman.

4 MR. NETTERS: Good morning, Commissioners.
5 Hang in there. We all get to go to Maui pretty soon
6 here. So I've been a part of watching you very closely
7 and I appreciate the precedence that you all have
8 established in terms of responding to the COIs. I
9 notice, for example, that you were able to give
10 consideration to the COI to move the assignment of
11 Wiesenthal Center just a few blocks. My comments today
12 pertain to the Airport. We think that is just as
13 important that the Airport is put back into that
14 particular -- the Inglewood District, we think is really
15 -- our analysis is from the COI in that area, they keep
16 writing us, they keep sending us emails saying "please go
17 ahead and put the Airport back in there." I think it's
18 almost a common sense analysis there, we're not talking
19 about that many people, but we are talking about
20 something that has economic impacts on that area and
21 certainly environmental issues. So we would appreciate
22 if today we could get that item off the plate. Thank you
23 so much.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great. Thank you. Is
25 Ms. Huffman here? Ms. McCarthy?

1 MS. MCCARTHY: Good morning. I'm a resident of
2 Lake County. This is the third meeting of your
3 Commission that I have attended. Today, I feel compelled
4 to speak for one reason. I want my vote and that of my
5 fellow residents of Lake County to at least have a chance
6 to choose a representative in Congress. For that reason,
7 I am asking for two things, 1) that Lake County be kept
8 whole in the Redistricting and, second, that it be joined
9 with Napa County. Lake County is geographically
10 isolated. We are surrounded by mountains with two roads
11 connecting us to nearby counties. The number of
12 constituents in an isolated area represented by a
13 Congressperson does make a difference; the number does
14 make a difference. For that reason, we need to be kept
15 whole and we need to be kept connected with Napa County.
16 We are strategically, especially as regards the wine
17 industry, aligned with the counties to our south, Napa,
18 and, yes, even to the west, Mendocino, not to the east.
19 Personally, I travel east only to fly out of the
20 Sacramento Airport or, as I've done twice this week, to
21 attend your meeting. Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you. Mr. Bowman?

23 MR. BOWMAN: Commissioners, good seeing you
24 again. I speak on behalf of the California Conservative
25 Action Group. You have before you our latest and last

20

1 final Congressional proposal, not the one that we tried
2 to send on Wednesday, but were unsuccessful in doing. We
3 tried to look at the big picture at creating 24
4 Congressional Districts north of the Tehachapi's that
5 address a number of the issues that are not currently
6 being addressed by the Visualizations or by the first
7 draft that came out. For instance, in Santa Cruz County,
8 we have traded Paso Robles and Morgan Hill for the City
9 of Santa Cruz and for SoCal and Capitola to create a
10 higher LVAP District in Monterey. This has an effect,
11 ripple effects throughout the Bay Area, of preventing us
12 from having to go over the bridge, and also means that
13 we're able to unite some communities like Richmond, which
14 are not united under the current proposal. We've also
15 tried to keep a separation between the blue collar areas
16 of the East Bay and the more affluent areas east of the
17 East Bay Hills separate. In the North Bay, we've created
18 two Congressional Districts that recognize that there are
19 North Bay Counties that don't need to belong to either
20 Yuba or Del Norte, and three of the four North Bay
21 Counties are in those districts. So I hope that you'll
22 look at the proposal very carefully. Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you very
24 much. Are there any speakers who weren't on the first
25 assignment sheet that are -- why don't you come on up, so

21

1 you get one and a half minutes.

2 MR. DIBBS: Good morning. My name is Joe Dibbs
3 and I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak.
4 And you're hearing from quite a few of the Black
5 organizations and groups and what have you regarding this
6 political process, and the reason is that Blacks across
7 the state are paying attention because they know that,
8 despite the fact that more African Americans are elected
9 from districts in Los Angeles County, they represent all
10 Black California.

11 Now, I understand what's going on in Southern
12 California and I've heard some of the arguments and what
13 have you, and the two, three, four plans that the
14 Collaborative have been talking about is understandable.
15 If you really look at it and the Latino population in
16 Southern California represents all of California, we
17 don't have the representation in Northern California;
18 it's all in Southern California, so all of the
19 Legislators in Southern California represent the African
20 Americans in Northern California, as well.

21 It's not to say that no one else, any other
22 color, cannot represent me, and that's not what I'm
23 saying, there are very many cultural differences and
24 other kinds of things. So the reason that we need to
25 keep the LA representation because we'll be

1 disenfranchised indirectly. So I just wanted you to keep
2 that in mind. Some of the things are cultural, some are
3 political, but we do need to keep Los Angeles intact.
4 Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you very much, sir.
6 And, Mr. Salaverry, I have you signed up for later in the
7 day, time permitting. Did you want to -- okay, that's
8 fine. Thank you. Okay, so let's keep going.

9 One business matter and I wanted to get this on
10 the record officially, yesterday during the public
11 comment period, the first speaker, in essence, and
12 through both oral and written testimony, asserted a
13 complaint against a staff member, specifically our
14 Executive Director, Mr. Claypool, asserting in the
15 complaint that he had attempted to discredit her previous
16 testimony from July 13th, I believe. And this was based
17 on sending, improperly, information to the Commissioners.
18 Because of the seriousness of the allegations, we did
19 perform an investigation very quickly regarding this, and
20 speaking to counsel, and based on my knowledge of the
21 facts, we believe that this assertion is unfounded, and
22 we have no question regarding any actions taken by Mr.
23 Claypool, or lack of action in this case, regarding Mr.
24 Claypool. This may have been due to error, or
25 misunderstanding on the part of the member of the public,

23

1 but, again, we find no impropriety or wrongdoing in this
2 matter. The individual has been contacted via email in
3 terms of any follow-up, she can of course, submit a
4 Public Records Act request if she wishes to. Certainly,
5 her testimony from both the 13th, and because her written
6 testimony, as well, I think, as her oral testimony, does
7 contain information relevant to districts, that certainly
8 has no -- to the extent there may have been errors or
9 misunderstandings regarding the complaint against staff,
10 we're certainly not coloring the information provided via
11 comment. So, that remains on the record, as well. Okay?
12 Are there any questions just regarding this issue? Yeah,
13 Commissioner Ward.

14 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes, sir. I'm just curious,
15 you said an investigation was done into this issue? So
16 is it going to be released to the Commission?

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, no, I'm doing it
18 right now. What I'm saying is, based on what counsel,
19 assistant staff counsel, Ms. Johnston, inquiries I've
20 made to Mr. Claypool, that's the investigation. If
21 that's insufficient, if the Commission wishes to go
22 further with the more formal investigation, I think,
23 however, that level of investigation is sufficient to
24 resolve the matter. Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Just to be blunt,

24

1 the allegation was that our Executive Director, Mr.
2 Claypool, sent an email to the Commission that in some
3 way was related to the testimony that the speaker had
4 made. I, as one Commissioner who reviews every thousands
5 of emails that I have, can assert that I never received
6 any such email, and if there is any Commissioner here who
7 thinks they did receive such an email, please say so now
8 because, from the Commissioners I have heard address this
9 matter, I've heard nobody say they received something of
10 this sort. So, to imply that really much further
11 investigation needs to be needed, I think, is really
12 unfounded. I think we should just put this to bed
13 because our staff has never attempted to intervene in
14 influencing the Commission's direction of line drawing.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Commissioner
16 Di Giulio.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I think it's worth
18 noting, too, that our Executive Director offered to the
19 individual to check any email records of his own, so
20 there was no problem with that. The individual chose not
21 to do that, and I think because of the level, it was not
22 an accurate accusation. And I think, just to echo
23 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, I mean, I think we've been
24 -- we're getting it right and left, as a Commission, and
25 I don't think that's what we signed up for, but it's to

25

1 be expected, I guess, but I take offense at going after
2 our staff, too, when nothing -- they have acted on
3 nothing but the utmost integrity and hard work, and have
4 always kept a clear line between themselves and us as a
5 Commission, all they've done is support us, and an
6 inference, that accusation by the individual, also said
7 that we as the Commission are somehow not doing our job,
8 and I take offense to that, as well, too, that we've said
9 right from the start, we are the Commission, we make the
10 decisions, and that's the way it is.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, I want to just echo
13 what's been said before me and I've checked my email log,
14 too, and for the record, I have not received any such
15 correspondence.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Any further
17 comments on this? Ms. Boyle and Ms. Mac Donald, are we
18 almost ready? Do you need -- two minutes, okay. Why
19 don't we just take a five-minute break. Some of the
20 Commissioners are also getting settled in, as well. So
21 we'll take a five-minute break to let Q2 set up and let
22 our somewhat tardy Commissioners get settled in.

23 (Recess at 9:33 a.m.)

24 (Reconvene at 9:42 a.m.)

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right, we are back

1 from break. Commissioners, if you could all take your
2 seats? Okay, I think we're still missing a couple
3 people. I think, actually, Commissioner Aguirre won't be
4 here today. Commissioner Dai will be here shortly, so I
5 think we have got just about everybody here. Ms. Mac
6 Donald, I think we're just going to launch into where we
7 left off last time, which is in the Southern California
8 Congressional District.

9 MS. MAC DONALD: Correct, that's what we want
10 to do.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we're going to
12 be brisk, I hope, and not shortchanging ourselves, but
13 again, we're going to stick to some tough time limits,
14 I'm going to limit the number of times you can speak per
15 district, okay, so maybe just twice. I'll bend that rule
16 if needed to keep the discussion on point, but we're
17 going to try to limit it, both the minutes and the number
18 of times you can speak. Again, many of these districts,
19 I think we're going to move fairly quickly, others will
20 be tougher, we'll make some adjustments as we have to.
21 Okay, so Ms. Boyle, why don't you go ahead?

22 MS. BOYLE: Yesterday we left off in West LA.
23 This is the option that was selected yesterday. Would
24 you like to see the reiteration that you asked for of the
25 West LA Districts?

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so right now we're
2 looking at the original Visualization, or yesterday's
3 Visualization. Ms. Boyle was directed to try to develop
4 a second Visualization based on some suggestions by
5 Commissioner Di Giulio and recommended to investigate by
6 the full Commission. Let's take a look at that
7 Visualization. And if you can highlight it, please? And
8 by the way, Ms. Mac Donald, this available as a
9 downloadable file, is it available on the interactive
10 tool?

11 MS. BOYLE: It is an interface.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good. I'm
13 sorry, Commissioner Di Giulio.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: You know, this was a
15 conversation we had yesterday about trying to do
16 something with the South Bay, but the issue with this
17 that I see is that, in the Inglewood District, it's --
18 what is it, 70 percent -- where did it go? What does it
19 say there --

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Fifty-two.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- 52. And it was my
22 understanding that the African American community did not
23 want to have a Section 2 District drawn, so I'm not sure
24 if that's going against those wishes or not. This was
25 just something we had explored because we were trying to

1 -- instead of doing the long districts, it was more of
2 the east-west, so I would be curious to hear what other
3 Commissioners have to say about this.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I'm going to turn
5 my head. See the hands, I think the order would be
6 Galambos Malloy, then Parvenu.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Three points,
8 one, this iteration blocks our ability to do a coastal
9 district and we've heard that, at the Senate and
10 Congressional level, a coastal district makes sense. So
11 this completely blocks that. The second is, we have
12 unnecessarily locked in the connection between Dockweiler
13 Beach and the Airport. We're talking, yes, they're
14 neighbors, but very different interests. On the west
15 side, you have beaches, you have camping, you have a
16 historic State Park, and you have hang gliding. On the
17 east side, we're talking, as we heard this morning, jet
18 fuel, airplane parts, a whole host of other issues. And
19 I think that we have not heard from the public any
20 concern about decoupling them, and I think for us to hold
21 that dear is really shortsighted based on the COI
22 testimony we have. The third point, and most
23 importantly, I am very concerned about how we're
24 consolidating the Black CVAP in this district, which goes
25 expressly against the wishes of the African American

1 community. And to take a step back and not just think
2 about LA, this is one of the few places in the country
3 where African Americans have a fighting chance to have
4 Congressional representation and we've seen an option
5 that allows us to do that, and we have a responsibility
6 to make it happen.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
8 Parvenu.

9 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Just picking right up
10 where Commissioner Galambos Malloy left off, I'm truly
11 disturbed by this iteration. Again, it breaks up the
12 coast. There is a coastal community of interest, I know,
13 I'm a bike rider, I start up by Gladstone on Sunset and
14 PCH, and ride all the way down to Redondo Beach, and
15 there is a moving community there. There are certain
16 natural habitats, environmental habitats, there is the -
17 of course, you have the Santa Monica Mountain Range and
18 you have the wetlands, you have the Dockweiler State
19 Beach, which is protected. There is some endangered
20 species there, you have issues along El Segundo, there is
21 a commonality along that coastal community that I think
22 this totally breaks up, it takes a tremendous asset also,
23 the Harbor, the Port, and puts it in a very affluent
24 community, a beach community, and those beach communities
25 do not get the impact of traffic congestion, and noise,

30

1 and sound from the traffic that goes north-south along
2 that alignment. This one also minimizes --

3 MS. SARGIS: Time.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
5 Webber.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I do concur with
7 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, at least in the latter
8 comments, but I would like to just clarify the record
9 that there is a difference on the beach communities here,
10 and we already received testimony when we combined Malibu
11 all the way to Rancho Palos Verdes. The South Bay
12 coastal district is different and the communities of
13 interest are different than the west side Santa Monica,
14 and I think what the trouble here is, is that Inglewood
15 desires to have the Airport, and we were dissatisfied
16 last week with the stretch at Dockweiler, which really
17 created this elongated district. And I think, for
18 coastal purposes, this does reflect two potential
19 Congressional candidates that would address environmental
20 issues along the coast. I'm really trying to find a
21 balance here to put the Airport in there and I don't
22 think Dockweiler, to combine the two, is a satisfactory
23 solution. So this is a balance that we're trying to
24 strike here and I certainly recognize Commissioner
25 Galambos Malloy's latter concerns, and I do concur with

31

1 those, as well. But I just wanted to point that out,
2 that there is a difference between South Bay and Malibu.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Di
4 Guilio, in terms of, because you sort of provided the
5 initial suggestions, not this one, in particular, but
6 just tried to do a rotation there. Do you have any
7 specific comments?

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, and again, as I
9 said yesterday, this was just a suggestion, there was
10 "the Barabba one," "the Yao one," "the Di Guilio one,"
11 I'm like, "How come my name gets attached to this one?"
12 But, okay. You know, again, I think this is just a
13 matter of COI tradeoff. I've always - I think there are
14 some tradeoffs. With the coastal, I think in terms of
15 the Federal issues, I've always said it's very important
16 that the Santa Monica Mountains, the Santa Monica Bay, it
17 is a long coastal with some differences. I think the
18 hardest part I have with our original one was just that
19 it was so far into kind of - it kind of went so far
20 inland and the northern part, but I think the tradeoff in
21 terms of this option, I'm just not sure that it's worth
22 the tradeoff to go to this option, I think some of the
23 issues there are significant and I just don't know how we
24 can overcome these issues. So I think the original one
25 would probably be in balance overall better for where

1 we're going.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, based on a lot of
3 nodding heads, I'm sensing we will -- we appreciate the
4 work, of course, but let's go back to the original
5 Visualization.

6 Okay, so any -- so that suggestion is off the
7 table, and this one is the original Visualization.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: For the record, this is the
9 option 1 of the Southern California.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right. So, again we have
11 -- those of you who are looking at the files, this is the
12 Congressional LA Opt. 1, which intermixes with SoCal Opt.
13 1, so the other Opt. 1, the second one I just mentioned,
14 is the outside LA County.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And can I just say
16 very quickly thank you to Ms. Boyle for doing that. I
17 think sometimes we have these concepts and when we
18 actually physically see it, I know it took her extra
19 time, but I do want to say thank you to her for at least
20 giving us that option.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Certainly. And Ms. Boyle
22 has been very diligent about giving us options as we
23 request them. Commissioner Parvenu.

24 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I just want to say a
25 word. I do appreciate Commissioner Filkins Webber's

1 concern about the difference between Malibu and the South
2 Bay Cities, there are certain environmental commonalities
3 there as were mentioned before. Also, the water
4 treatment, the water basin up there from Topanga comes
5 down through Malibu, there is a treatment facility there
6 that is a Hyperion facility, just around the El Segundo
7 area there, and Playa Rey, the City of LA has a major --
8 a Hyperion plant there, there are certain -- so those are
9 two infrastructural-like entities along that coastal. Of
10 course, the California Coastal Commission is involved
11 with that entire stretch to the extent that they find
12 commonalities in terms of construction activity and
13 granting permits, we have to get coastal permits when we
14 do construction in that area, so I'm very familiar with
15 that as a planner. Again, there's a recreational strip
16 or stretch that stretches all the way, you can literally
17 ride your bike all the way from Malibu down to Redondo
18 Beach, which I've done before. There's a community in
19 motion, not just a residential community, but there is a
20 recreational community that aligns that entire coastline.

21 MS. SARGIS: Time.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you.

23 Commissioner Parvenu, you don't have to share Busiclean
24 [phon.] notes. I go there occasionally.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And I'll request that

1 originally it was Dan Claypool, as well.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
3 Galambos Malloy and then Yao.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: This is
5 certainly an area we've all been grappling with quite a
6 bit and I just wanted to clarify that the critique that
7 we got from the public when we were earlier considering
8 coastal districts was actually when we had considered an
9 Assembly level coastal district, that was very narrow,
10 very elongated, and the feedback we got was that it
11 didn't make sense at the Assembly level, but at the
12 Congressional level or Senate level, there would be more
13 of an argument for it. The other thing I wanted to
14 clarify was there have been some discussions about to
15 what extent do we think about splitting the Airport as a
16 kind of way of splitting the baby. The reality is that's
17 not how the Airport or the roads there are designed. If
18 we were to split it at PCH, at Lincoln, what you do is
19 you split off a runway that the Airport effectively is on
20 the west side. So what we're looking at is the Airport,
21 the bulk of the Airport is between Pershing and between
22 PCH. So, if we are able to come to agreement that, given
23 the impacts at the Federal level on Inglewood, the
24 Airport needs to go with Inglewood, we need to take that
25 boundary out to Pershing, or else we have not really

35

1 lived up to what we said we were going to.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao
3 and then Parvenu, and then I'd like to move forward on
4 either some modifications or going forward with the
5 districts, as is.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: I wanted to continue to
7 address the issue of the LAX Airport. I think if we
8 split the Airport just to make the picture look a little
9 better, it really doesn't solve any problem in terms of
10 the connectivity of the Del Rey area to the rest of the
11 beach community. Perceptually, from the community of
12 Inglewood, they feel like they have lost control of any
13 kind of influence or regulation on the Airport, itself.
14 And in the interest of just making the picture looking a
15 little prettier, I think we may have ignored the COI,
16 numerous COI, in expression about the interest of tying
17 the entire Airport to Inglewood. So I would suggest that
18 we move the Airport back into the Inglewood. Having
19 dealt with the Airport close to my city, the Airport is
20 about 10 miles away, it is an issue for people that are
21 living underneath the flight path.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
23 Parvenu.

24 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, I completely agree
25 with Commissioner Yao, so I go back to my recommendation

1 yesterday of moving that line that goes up and down
2 Sepulveda and PCH, and moving that over to Pershing
3 Square because just the administration of the Airport,
4 the FAA, the Congressional person in Washington, D.C.
5 will have to deal with the headquarters, the Central
6 Office, so wherever that office is located is
7 significant. Also along that east-west corridor, I also
8 speak with personal experience. A friend of mine lives
9 in Colton Square by the Forum, and when I'm there
10 visiting, I have to acclimate my ears until I can drown
11 out those air sounds. And when we're in the backyard, I
12 don't know what kind of fuel particulate matters are
13 falling on the barbecue grill in the backyard, and that
14 bothers me. But those are all conditions that the east-
15 west aligned communities must deal with, as we heard in
16 the earlier testimony this morning, so I would certainly
17 -- I want to move that line over. Also, to the south,
18 the Harbor, I do believe, belongs with that north-south
19 corridor for the same reasons, and I think it is a win-
20 win situation; the headquarters of the Port Authority is
21 in San Pedro.

22 MS. SARGIS: Time.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we have
24 Commissioner Blanco and then I want to -- there seems to
25 be a couple of commonalities with the recommendations

1 here and I think we can turn to those, but before,
2 Commissioner Blanco, why don't you go ahead?

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, I just wanted to
4 speak about that, too. I think it's good to have a good
5 record on this. You know, first of all, I do believe we
6 should include the entire Airport. And I know there were
7 some concerns that people had about what it looked like,
8 a thin strip that connected this coastal corridor, but
9 the way I see it is, usually when people are concerned
10 about that kind of mapping, the issue that they're
11 addressing is that a line like that sort of skips over a
12 city to go pick up an adjacent city and they sort of
13 bypass a city with a strip, and that's what usually
14 people are concerned about. Here, I think that's not at
15 all what we're doing. Here, we're using that, we're
16 including an Airport in a community of interest. So
17 we're not bypassing a city to pick up a city down below,
18 we're actually keeping two cities that are connected and
19 putting in a physical structure which is an Airport, not
20 a city, in with its community of interest. So, I think
21 we need to think about this differently. I know people
22 worry about what looks like something that is a false
23 connection, but I think, really, that's very different
24 here, and we have not bypassed a city with this strip.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we have -- let's

1 start with Commissioner Parvenu's proposal and, again,
2 there seems to be a fair amount of agreement that the
3 Airport should be included in the IGWSG District. Could
4 you sort of complete what your second element was on the
5 southern part of the district?

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Sure thing, thank you.
7 And on the southern part, and I'll be brief, is that,
8 again, the headquarters for this, the Port Authority of
9 LA is in San Pedro and, whereas San Pedro is split from
10 the actual LA Port, what this does is it gives dual
11 representation on the Congressional level because the
12 Congressional person along that coastal area will have a
13 stake in what's happening at the Harbor, as well as the
14 Congressional level on that north-south alignment, if
15 that is brought down, will have a stake on the actual
16 physical installation of that Harbor. So, essentially
17 you'll have two Representatives in that region fighting
18 for the best interest of the Harbor, as you will have in
19 Long Beach, a Long Beach Representative fighting for the
20 interests of that area. This is where over 70 percent of
21 our goods come from throughout the nation. So the more
22 Congressional representation we get in that region, the
23 better. All of our Wal-Mart's, Target's, Costco, all of
24 that stuff made from China, wherever it comes through
25 those Ports, through Costco, Chinese overseas

1 corporation, through that, and there's tremendous volume
2 that goes north and south, so I'm in favor of that Port,
3 the LA Port, being a part of that COMP district.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, comments on that
5 particular -- I think we've got agreement regarding the
6 Airport, but on the second suggestion? Commissioner Di
7 Guilio.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: This is something I
9 had raised earlier, is there is a balance here between --
10 we've talked about trying to link the COMP District with
11 the Ports, but I think there is also an issue in terms of
12 San Pedro being broken off from that Port. You know,
13 personally I think San Pedro goes with that Port more,
14 but I don't know if that Port goes with that District
15 more. You know what I'm saying? Because I think San
16 Pedro does belong with that. That is their backyard.
17 This is the Airport being the backyard for Inglewood
18 argument; this is the backyard for San Pedro. What I'm
19 struggling with is whether, because it's easier to get
20 the Port with San Pedro than putting San Pedro with the
21 Port because of the population issues, but I'm just not
22 sure again if we want to have a Long Beach -- an LA Port
23 go all the way up into the other county.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so I have Di
25 Guilio, Forbes, and then Barabba.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I just finished.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Now I'm really losing it.
3 Galambos Malloy -- forgive me, Connie - Commissioner
4 Galambos Malloy.

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So I actually
6 concur with much of what Parvenu and Di Giulio have
7 stated. I think San Pedro really, I think of it as
8 connected to the Port. The community thinks of it as
9 connected to the Port. But I also live within a mile of
10 the Port of West Oakland and I live right by the 880
11 Corridor, which is sort of our Oakland equivalent of the
12 same environmental issues, and I think that this is one
13 of those areas where it's easy just looking at the map to
14 say, "Well, it's a tradeoff, you know, the communities by
15 the Airport got the Airport, maybe it's flexible around
16 the Port," but it's not flexible when you live there and
17 you breathe that air every day, when you live by those
18 freeways, and thinking Congressionally, Congressionally
19 is where it matters that these communities have some
20 connection and some sway over their health impacts and
21 their economic and transportation impacts. So, I would
22 be interested, if we did think about linking the Port
23 north-south, and we looked at linking also San Pedro,
24 what would that mean as we think about it regionally?
25 What would be some of the choices we'd have to make?

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner
2 Forbes, then Barabba.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I just want to
4 concur with Commissioner Parvenu that I think the Port
5 should go with the Compton District and I say that
6 because, early on, we heard much testimony when we were
7 in Long Beach about the environmental impacts that come
8 out of that Port. I think, in many ways, it does connect
9 to San Pedro, but I think the people who are going to be
10 most affected by that Port are in the Compton District,
11 and so I would move the Port north.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
13 Barabba and then Commissioner Filkins Webber.

14 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I believe we're going to
15 have a little population problem because, as it is
16 currently configured, there's a big chunk of population
17 in the San Pedro area brought all the way over to Long
18 Beach. And if you drop it down from the Compton area,
19 you're going to have to somehow start moving people
20 around again, so I think we have to find out what those
21 numbers are because it could cause some --

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Maybe I'm
23 misunderstanding, but I don't mean to move the San Pedro
24 area over, I mean straight down -- grab just the Port.

25 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: If you look at the line

1 real close, you'll see that there's population --

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: On the east side?

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: On the east side, yes.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
5 Webber, then Parvenu, then Di Guilio, then Galambos
6 Malloy, and I'm going to give Commissioners Parvenu and
7 Galambos Malloy 30 seconds each because you've spoken
8 already.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I understand this
10 issue, I'm familiar with the area, Compton-Carson, and
11 the Ports, but it would be unconscionable to separate San
12 Pedro from the Los Angeles Port. We have not heard any
13 testimony that supports a contention that San Pedro
14 should be split from that Port; what we've heard is quite
15 the contrary, when we did separate them, we received
16 quite a bit of testimony, an input from the public that
17 San Pedro should be connected with the Los Angeles Port.
18 So, if there is some way of working it out for population
19 purposes, that's what we should do if this is what the
20 Commission desires, to put the Port with the Compton-
21 Carson District, but it certainly is not representative
22 of the totality of the community of interest testimony
23 that we've received regarding the Port, and I would not
24 support separating San Pedro.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: All right, and I'll go

1 fast, I'll do 30 seconds because I already spoke. Again,
2 I just feel like I can't separate San Pedro from the
3 Port, so I'd like to look at a way if you can put San
4 Pedro in with that corridor, it's a huge population
5 shift, so maybe it can't be done, but, again, I
6 understand there's population -- pollution that goes
7 north-south, but it's not anything more than what is
8 happening when it's in your backyard and that's just -
9 this is their life, this is the Port.

10 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Is that highlighted area
11 all of San Pedro?

12 MS. BOYLE: It's just the portion that is
13 currently in the LBPRT District.

14 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay, can you just make
15 the drawing of San Pedro?

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And what's the
17 population in San Pedro that is out? On the west side.

18 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment, please. Do we want
19 to include Harbor Gateway as part of that movement?
20 There's another little community up here. Okay. So,
21 total, this red area is 81,132, and the portion that is
22 currently in is 74,000 people.

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: In the east, right?

24 MS. BOYLE: Pardon me?

25 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Or west, excuse me.

1 MS. BOYLE: Yes, so currently in the WLABT
2 District, there are 74,000 residents of San Pedro, and
3 the other 8,000 are in the district to the east, LBPRT
4 District.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Parvenu and
6 Galambos Malloy each get their 30 seconds, Blanco gets
7 one minute.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I just want to remind us
9 that the reason this area was so effective before was
10 because the actual headquarters, the Port Authority
11 Administration Building, is in San Pedro. I am looking
12 at a map here and, whereas the facility itself, also,
13 there was a stake in that facility itself because --
14 since we have dual representation. I also want to remind
15 everyone looking at the Neighborhood Council, that San
16 Pedro itself is not one homogenous village, they have
17 three separate neighborhood councils, one is Northwest
18 San Pedro, one is Central San Pedro, and the residential
19 people are - the residents would like to be aligned - I
20 did hear testimony that the residents would like to be
21 aligned to the Peninsula communities in power.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
23 Galambos Malloy.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, I do think
25 that this is one area similar to the considerations over

1 on the Airport side where we need to think about
2 flexibility, so if we're linking the Airport, it's not
3 just with Inglewood, we're linking it with many other
4 South Bay cities that are impacted. And down on the
5 south side, if there is a way of isolating and looking at
6 different neighborhood Councils, again, this is a
7 regional impact port with Congressional importance and we
8 may need to look at different, you know, splitting them
9 up in some way if there is a responsible way to do that,
10 so both impacted communities get a fair shake.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
12 Blanco.

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, first of all, I
14 don't understand the comment about not putting the Port
15 in with Compton-Carson because of separating the Port
16 from San Pedro because that is separated already in this
17 Visualization. So, maybe some clarification on that
18 because it is already is separated, at least on 74,000
19 people are really not in the same district. When we
20 first looked at this area, I was concerned about this
21 part because we have both -- we had said it was okay to
22 have both Ports together, it's been done before -- but I
23 have always been concerned since this Visualization came
24 up that both the Ports now were with the Long Beach
25 District down there because the most testimony we've ever

1 had was about the Ports being connected to the people
2 going north-south, and the traffic that goes down to the
3 Ports that goes through those communities.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I'm going to break
5 these suggestions into two parts. So, first we have the
6 Airport move, I think that everyone is in agreement that
7 the Airport should be moved into the IGWSG District.
8 Somebody throw out a specific -- well, we have
9 Commissioner Parvenu's -- who would support Commissioner
10 Parvenu's suggestion?

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can you please
12 restate --

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: If you can restate it,
14 Commissioner Parvenu?

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: To simply and concisely
16 leave the line as it is, simply add the Port south of
17 Wilmington, to the north-south alignment, that way the
18 Port has a Congressional Representative. The
19 Headquarters of the Port Authorities, with that Rolling
20 Hills, that one to the left, and Long Beach, has control
21 over its own Port and not both.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so the suggestion
23 is to extend the COMP southern boundary to include the
24 Port. Is that correct?

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's correct, there's

1 no population there.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
3 Barabba.

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: There's 8,000 people
5 there.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so there is a
7 population. And how would you want to address that,
8 Commissioner Parvenu, given that there is a population,
9 what would you want to do in exchange?

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Before we fix
11 exchanges, why don't we see where we stand on both
12 proposals?

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I should have said that.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, knowing
15 how he would deal with the population exchange, it might
16 impact how I think about the proposal, so if he could
17 flesh this out a little more that would help me.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, let me get just a
19 show of hands regarding just wanting to go forward with
20 this one, because if there is insufficient, then we don't
21 want to go forward, so just in terms of exploring this
22 option with some examination of the population shifts
23 that might be necessary, do we have - seven - who would
24 oppose it? Five, okay. Commissioner Parvenu, give us 20
25 seconds if you can of what you think you might want to

48

1 do here, those will have to go forward without it.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, if you could zoom
3 in, I could see what's going on here quickly. What's
4 going on with West Carson? Let's look at that north-
5 south alignment with West Carson in terms of we have to
6 lose 8,000 people from this area. Okay, we don't want to
7 lose it there. Just look north of West Carson.

8 MS. BOYLE: West Carson is actually split here.
9 This was inadvertent, so fixing that split might give you
10 the population exchange you're looking for.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's what I'm - but I
12 don't see the number -

13 MS. BOYLE: The remainder could be.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, north of West
15 Carson, that yellow strip, north of West Carson. What is
16 the population of that general area? Can 8,000 be
17 reduced in that area?

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, it sounds like it's
19 already a split, so -

20 MS. BOYLE: Yes, you could do that.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes, West Carson.

22 MS. BOYLE: Correct and part of the Harbor
23 Gateway? This is part of LA, so this little corner here,
24 this is West Carson. So that's 4,000, so we would
25 probably take a little more there and then remove some

1 Census Blocks up here to make it an even exchange.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that's certainly
3 possible.

4 MS. BOYLE: We could also split San Pedro more
5 and remove more of this to the Inglewood District and add
6 more of San Pedro with Wilmington.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let me just get
8 a show of hands because I don't have a majority here.
9 Given what we've just looked at, raise your hands again
10 if you would support this particular change. Nine,
11 including myself.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I make a
13 clarification under what circumstances I would support,
14 is that I think we should look at Torrance as the place
15 where we equalize population in this other district. We
16 have had public testimony that Torrance has -- I mean,
17 essentially can go either way in terms of its connection
18 with other South Bay cities, so --

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Does that change
20 anybody's opinion regarding that potential amendment?
21 I'm sorry, where would the exchange happen if you were
22 raising Torrance?

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Walk through
24 your piece of it and then I'll do mine.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. You're referring

1 to Torrance. Torrance has, what, 145,000.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I was
3 thinking if we needed to lose population off the
4 Inglewood District, that we look first to Torrance
5 because it really has a community of interest also with
6 the coastal south bay cities.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And it's a
9 larger city, it would have much less impact than it would
10 have on Lomita or on Redondo Beach.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: It's also
13 already split, so it would only involve us shifting the
14 location of the split.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, that would mean
16 taking population from -- shifting that line from the
17 west side of Torrance, you're thinking?

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I mean, I
19 would really defer to the locals on this one and it may
20 even be something that we look at between now and next
21 week when we do street level detail, but conceptually I
22 think Torrance is the place we would shave off the
23 Inglewood District.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's go to
25 Commissioner Filkins Webber and then Commissioner Yao.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: One of the last
2 things that Ms. Boyle said was a consideration of moving
3 part of the population of San Pedro into this district,
4 and everybody shook their head no. And Commissioner
5 Parvenu has some neighborhood maps here that we might be
6 able to do a reasonable split to put the San Pedro
7 population that is concerned about the Port with the
8 Port. I just find this unconscionable that anyone would
9 consider splitting Torrance and not taking Ms. Boyle up
10 on her last suggestion that she can pull in some of the
11 population from San Pedro. We understand the concerns of
12 Compton-Carson, the Lomita Corridor, and all of that, but
13 to separate San Pedro and completely disregard the
14 community of interest testimony that we received and the
15 historical connection between San Pedro and the Port is
16 -- that's another option that Ms. Boyle recognized that I
17 think should be fleshed out, as well.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Ms. Boyle, do you
19 have a comment?

20 MS. BOYLE: I just want to clarify that, if we
21 move this district down, we're adding 8,000 people to
22 this district, and we would have to take out of this
23 district. Doing stuff over here, then you're involving
24 these districts which we can definitely reconfigure
25 these, but in terms of a population exchange for drawing

1 down, we would draw it out of here.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I see.

3 MS. BOYLE: We would have to pull it out of
4 this district. So I just wanted to clarify to make sure
5 that you were thinking about the rotation.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's fine.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: Let me share with you my
9 recollection of the COI. San Pedro definitely would want
10 to be part of the Port. The rest of the community north
11 does include the 110 community and the 710 community, are
12 really concerned about the traffic coming out of the
13 Port, going through their city. So it's really two
14 separate issues. By having the Carson go directly into
15 the Port, I'm not sure I ever heard any COI suggesting
16 that they want to control the Port. They want to control
17 the traffic that are leaving the Port. So I'm not sure
18 that we basically got the two COI mixed up in trying to
19 solve a problem that doesn't exist in it.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Guilio,
21 you have 30 seconds.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, I just - to
23 separate San Pedro from the Port, the rationalization for
24 that is that the impacts go up north and south, it's
25 hypocritical, we said, okay, listen, the real impacts for

1 Inglewood is the Airport because it goes right over them,
2 the air pollution, everything else is around those other
3 communities, but we said the brunt of it is for
4 Inglewood. Now, the brunt of the Port, the traffic in
5 and out, is for San Pedro. I just - it's amazing that
6 we're saying it is okay to take San Pedro away from its
7 Port. I just don't understand that.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Galambos
9 Malloy, 15 seconds.

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're talking
11 about the corridors that go in and out of these regional
12 hubs, the east-west corridor transportation corridor, the
13 105 for the Airport and the air flight patterns over it
14 are what we're talking about, and that is very consistent
15 with the idea of linking Compton-Carson north-south on
16 the transportation access back and forth.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
18 Parvenu, 15 seconds.

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Since the shift now is
20 not from that Torrance District and we're talking about
21 losing population by adding more of San Pedro to the
22 Port, then perhaps, I don't know what this does to Orange
23 County, but you have Los Alamitos over there across the
24 county line, I don't know if you want to just consider
25 that, so that might be an option, too, to offset the

1 population.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Boyle, help us out
3 here in terms of what the actual exchanges would be. All
4 right, so we're talking about what's been at least
5 supported by nine members of the Commission in concept,
6 is extending the Compton District down to the south,
7 which adds more to the Compton District, where would we
8 subtract that population?

9 MS. BOYLE: You could take it out of North Long
10 Beach.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Now, is there any
12 other exchanges occurring under this suggestion? We have
13 Commissioner Galambos Malloy has a different suggestion,
14 that's a different one.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We'll take that up
17 separately.

18 MS. BOYLE: So about like that, remove the red
19 area, and we're almost there. I'd have to go to the
20 Census Block to get the deviations correct for
21 Congressional. But there you have it.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do we still have
23 nine Commissioners just on this? Commissioner Barabba?

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I no longer support the
25 idea of moving it north. The more I listen to

1 Commissioner Yao's -- as much as that Congressional
2 District might like to have something to say about the
3 flow of traffic, the flow of traffic is there. The ships
4 are not going to stop coming in, but they could talk
5 about it, and what the whole area of Los Angeles can talk
6 about is how to control the traffic, but that ain't going
7 to control the traffic of the Port. The ships are coming
8 in whether they like it or not, so how they come in might
9 be more interesting to San Pedro, so I can't support
10 going north. I would like to see San Pedro, along with
11 Commissioner Yao's point, be a part of the Port District.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let me get a
13 show of hands again. So, at this point --

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner, I
15 do have a suggestion that might allow us to do both
16 things, so I just wanted to put it on the table to take
17 the pulse of the Commission.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me do this first,
19 though.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Based on the current
22 proposed change, how many people would support this?
23 Okay, so --

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm not sure what the
25 trade is.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: At this point, well,
2 there would be moving the Compton District down to the
3 Port, to include the Port, and then some removal of
4 population from the LBPRT District. I'm seeing a lack of
5 support on that or -- so Commissioner Yao, Parvenu, I
6 don't see enough hands going up here.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm sorry,
8 you're asking would we support something like this?

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This, yeah. Well, again,
10 you pull in the Port into the Compton District, you take
11 population from the LBPRT District, again, there may be
12 some other one we're looking at, I just -- I'm proceeding
13 incrementally here because we've got a lot of different
14 suggestions going on. Opposition would be five, okay.
15 If we don't have nine, those adverse to this original
16 district, that's my position regarding how we're moving
17 forward here, so that's not going to work. Commissioner
18 Galambos Malloy, you had a different proposal?

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I'm trying
20 to look at a possibility that would allow us -- I
21 certainly understand trying to find a way to negotiate
22 both the San Pedro concerns and the Compton-Carson
23 concerns. So I wanted to bring us farther north on this
24 district if we can look at the north end. Now, we have
25 always -- the challenge with this Visualization has been

1 that, in the central part, we have a very high LCVAP in
2 that middle district, but one of the things that thinking
3 about moving South Gate north might allow us to do would
4 be to actually unite both Compton-Carson down with the
5 Port, but also to connect San Pedro back in. It would
6 affect our LCVAP in the central area, bringing it higher,
7 it would affect our LCVAP in the Compton District,
8 bringing it a bit lower, but from the conversation that
9 we had about the Compton-Carson District, you know, it
10 seemed like we had some flexibility on the Commission,
11 that we knew there was a strong Latino population there,
12 but it may not need to be at a 50 percent district by the
13 time you take all of the regional considerations into
14 account. So, again, trying to think of a compromise that
15 would allow us to accomplish both things.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, conceptually, do we
17 have nine Commissioners that want to go forward with
18 exploring this?

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I want to be sure I
20 understand. Raising the CVAP that is now at 74?

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, what it
22 would require is for us to take a look again at that
23 district north, and potentially refining that district
24 and a district or two around it. So, the idea is we
25 would probably still have a really high LCVAP number

1 there, but we may be able to reorganize some of the
2 districts north to accommodate the Port concerns for
3 multiple communities.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and are there a
5 sufficient number of Commissioners that would support --
6 I'm looking at time here, so even just exploring any
7 idea, I want to make sure that the Commission will not
8 explore any new ideas unless there is a sufficient number
9 of Commissioners, moving forward. Commissioner Raya.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I guess now I'm looking at
11 the possibility of whether that continuing to bump north,
12 as you're suggesting, might adjust the problem we're
13 having in San Gabriel Valley.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Again, are there a
15 sufficient number - again, wave, or -- that want to look
16 into this further?

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I don't
18 have it all worked out, but I think given that we're at
19 an impasse here, it may be worth considering.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I want to ask a
21 question. Again, we're looking at shifting -- would
22 there be sufficient support if none of these actually
23 command a majority to change, to still go with the
24 original Visualization? Or is there a need to have some
25 changes going on? In other words, I'm asking because, if

1 we have to keep moving through some different options,
2 that's fine, but if we still have the fallback option of
3 the core LA Opt 1 to work with, because we're trying to
4 watch the clock here, as well, and what would be viable
5 options to explore? Because we can spend a lot of time
6 running through a lot of options, it does take time for
7 Ms. Boyle to actually figure these out, and if we're not
8 going to have -- what I don't want to do is run through
9 several options and then go back to those which we have
10 done in the past. Okay, Commissioner Dai, then
11 Commissioner Barabba.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I'm interested in
13 exploring the possibility of putting San Pedro with the
14 Port, and doing a rotation between multiple districts
15 here that might also help the coastal district kind of
16 get rid of the arm that goes far into downtown, as well,
17 because that's 81,000 people that we would be potentially
18 removing from the coastal district -- that would actually
19 force you to add it, I guess, up there. Because I think
20 there can be adjustments made into northern Long Beach,
21 as Ms. Boyle had suggested, that's actually a longer,
22 it's a bigger portion of Long Beach than is necessary in
23 that district, but that would require a rotation among
24 four districts. But I don't support what Commissioner
25 Galambos Malloy said because the Compton District is at

60

1 50 percent; if we remove it at the top, we're going to
2 lose one of our districts and it's going to increase the
3 downtown one too high.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
5 Barabba.

6 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I don't think we know
7 what's going to happen until we look at it. If the
8 notion of reducing the size of the Compton District
9 north-south and including San Pedro as part of that, I
10 think, gives you the hybrid of both people interested in
11 the Port and people interested in the transportation, but
12 it's going to require some additional work-up in those
13 other four districts. But I would probably work the look
14 given the potential benefit.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
16 Barabba, you would support what Commissioner Dai is
17 suggesting, then? Or - Galambos Malloy, okay.
18 Commissioner Di Guilio, 30 seconds.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, I think there are
20 two things that you can do, you can either take the Port
21 into San Pedro, or San Pedro into the Port. I think one
22 option is to move the line east so you get the Port with
23 San Pedro, the other option is, and that's, what, 7,000
24 people? Eight thousand -

25 MS. BOYLE: Eight thousand.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That's 8,000 people
2 switched to put San Pedro with the Port, or you have to
3 put the line west and that's --

4 MS. BOYLE: Approximately 70,000.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- 70,000 shift, and
6 that's where you could try and do what Commissioner
7 Barabba just said, and try and incorporate. But that's a
8 70,000 person shift, rotation around there. I think
9 those are the two options we can explore.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao.

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: You know, I think we're back
12 to the first draft release of the Congressional map. If
13 we can just simply flash that up there, the first draft
14 release of the Long Beach Port, that is almost identical
15 to that scenario that we had.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Ms. Boyle, can you
17 pull that up? MS. BOYLE: Yes.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Does anybody want to
19 comment on anything else while Ms. Boyle is pulling that
20 up? Let me get a show of hands, we've got a couple
21 things here, we've got Commissioner Galambos Malloy's
22 suggestion, we've got Commissioner Dai's suggestion,
23 which is a multi-district rotation, is there still
24 support for exploring Commissioner Galambos Malloy's --
25 obviously, Commissioner Yao is wanting to go back to the

1 original, but I want to get a sense of where we're going
2 with these different.

3 MS. BOYLE: This is the first draft map lines.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, why don't you go
5 ahead? So, Commissioner Yao, does this comport with what
6 you're suggesting?

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: In other words, I think what
8 we want to see the rotation is on can probably be seen
9 right here on this draft, is my thinking at this point
10 without looking at all the details because that basically
11 is the configuration that includes the Los Angeles Port
12 with Carson and I think the downtown district, or the
13 downtown is slightly different, but I suspect that the
14 CVAP would probably be very similar.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Dai.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I think there are so
17 many problems with the first draft down here, I really
18 don't think we can go back there. So, again, I think
19 Commissioner Di Guilio put it well, which is we've got to
20 move the line to the left or to the right. I was kind of
21 interested in exploring something that might reduce the
22 arm into downtown for the coastal district, which would
23 involve actually moving the line to the right. That's
24 only 8,000 people, I don't know if it helps us that much
25 with downtown, it is probably a few blocks, but that

1 would actually be easier to do.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
3 Forbes.

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I just wanted to concur.
5 I think I would be more inclined to pick up the 8,000
6 than the 80,000, I think if I had six months, I might
7 look at the 80,000, or 70,000 shift. But I don't. And
8 so I think the smaller shift is the one that I would
9 explore.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Ms. Mac Donald.

11 MS. MAC DONALD: May I just please ask for a
12 favor? Ms. Kubas is actually taking notes over the web
13 today because she could not be here today, so when we
14 start talking about the northern rotations and basically
15 the districts that the ripple has to move through, if you
16 would please just say the name of the district, that
17 would be great. Thank you.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: So that was District W - is
19 it WLADT?

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is the coastal district.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: Correct, that's it.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, which is a nice
24 coastal district, except it goes a little too far into
25 downtown. I know that that's a very densely populated

1 area, so it probably only helps a little bit, but that's
2 at least the right direction.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Galambos
4 Malloy, 15 seconds.

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I would really
6 take exception to us leaning back on what's easy right
7 now. This is our last shot to make fundamental changes
8 to these maps and separating either the Long Beach
9 Compton-Carson strip, or San Pedro, from the Port at the
10 Congressional level has fundamental flaws that is going
11 to impact their ability for fair and effective
12 representation, and that should be our concern, not what
13 is easy.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I'm going to
15 recommend we take a 10-minute break for those who are
16 trying to figure out what these are because I don't have
17 concrete enough proposals here. I would like to make
18 sure we have some firmness on what is being suggested,
19 and then we can move forward on one or another. Okay, so
20 Commissioner Yao, and then we'll take a break after this.

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: Can I ask that the map be
22 expanded so that the vertical portion of it fills up the
23 whole screen? Because all of a sudden the labels are so
24 small that I can't read them. In other words, what we
25 have as far as the projections concerned for the last few

65

1 days is great, and all of a sudden now, we basically
2 accommodate the screen for the horizontal screen, and the
3 vertical is compressed by another 25 percent.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that's a
5 technical thing, we can try to change the screen
6 resolution or the ratio, the aspect ratio. Okay, so
7 let's take a 10-minute break.

8 (Recess at 10:32 a.m.)

9 (Reconvene at 10:46 a.m.)

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right, thank you.
11 We're back from break. Commissioners, we're going to
12 keep going here, but let me ask the Commissioners,
13 particularly those who have flights out, we can go past
14 4:00. How many of you have flights or can change their
15 flights if we have to go past 4:00 for a couple hours?
16 In other words, can you stay until 6:00?

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: The last flight is 6:30,
18 unless we go to a different airport, which I can look at.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I do not want to
20 go into Monday, so we'll continue and hopefully we'll
21 maintain a quorum. If you can change your -- okay. Ms.
22 Mac Donald, could you give us, again, just in terms of a
23 time check and how we're working through the various
24 districts? At this point, we're going to try to wrap up
25 because we have to shift Mappers this afternoon.

1 MS. MAC DONALD: Correct.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So just so the
3 Commissioners have a better sense of what our time
4 constraints are going to be.

5 MS. MAC DONALD: Correct. So at this point, I
6 think we're probably going to need perhaps three hours or
7 so for Northern California and so I just checked with the
8 Nor Cal Mappers and they're going to be here at 2:00 at
9 this point. And so, basically, I don't know if you want
10 to take a lunch break, or if you're working through
11 lunch, but essentially we have to get through Congress
12 and then we still have Senate to do, and should we do a
13 count of how many more districts we have in Congress?

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right. I believe the
15 sticking points of the current ones, and then also there
16 are submissions around San Gabriel Valley, which we'll
17 have to address, as well. I'm sorry, is there - I'm
18 sorry, Commissioners, are we - it's fine if you're
19 working on travel arrangements, that's fine, but if
20 you're not on the record, try to whisper. Okay? So, Ms.
21 Mac Donald?

22 MS. MAC DONALD: I would say we should probably
23 try to wrap up Congress by Noon at the latest.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, that's a goal.

25 MS. MAC DONALD: And then, if we can do Senate

1 in two hours, that would put us on schedule and we'll
2 just, you know, eat through lunch. I mean, sorry,
3 something like that.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Eat and work through
5 lunch. Commissioner Raya.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I was looking at the
7 Southwest flights. Should we book a later flight? Is
8 that what you're suggesting?

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I would suggest that, or
10 if you need to stay over, do that. I think we will need
11 to go until 6:00 in order to finish. And if we finish
12 earlier, you can perhaps go on standby, but I don't think
13 we're going to finish by 4:00, but I think 6:00, we can
14 do it. Okay, do we need another few minutes? Do you
15 want to get your travel agents right now?

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We can do them
18 during lunch.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: During lunchtime, okay.
20 So let's -- we'll see how our progress works, what has
21 been allocated is a half hour lunch break; if we are
22 behind, then we will work and eat at the same time. If
23 we have room for a break, we'll take a half hour break.
24 And lunch is being brought in. Okay, I hope some more
25 concrete proposals are coming forward at this point. Ms.

1 Boyle, is there something you wanted to highlight at this
2 point?

3 MS. BOYLE: No.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Commissioner Dai.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: I would like Ms. Boyle to
6 highlight a few things. You know, my priorities put San
7 Pedro with the Port, I'm happy to consider putting it
8 with Compton as long as we don't lose one of our 50
9 percent districts there, and I believe Ms. Boyle has
10 figured out a possible way to do it and I would love for
11 her to talk that through.

12 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So, as you recall, this is
13 approximately 7,000 people on the western side of this
14 green boundary, on the western boundary of the LBPRT
15 District, there are 70,000 people who are currently in
16 the WLADT District. We can move those 73,000 north into
17 Compton, that will leave us over-populated by 70,000, and
18 this under-populated by 70,000 we could pick up in
19 Torrance. And then we would make it up by removing
20 population from here, we could rotate through here, and
21 if we have to, draw north and rotate through these
22 districts here. And I believe it would be possible. The
23 district will be longer and narrower, and there may
24 involve some city splits up here, but it might also help
25 de-concentrate this northern district.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Ms. Boyle, can I
2 ask, is your estimation that we still be at a majority-
3 minority Latino district?

4 MS. BOYLE: I think it is very possible to do
5 that, yes.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And how would
7 you anticipate this impacting the Black CVAP numbers?

8 MS. BOYLE: It may slightly decrease it since
9 we'll be moving North Long Beach out and possibly adding
10 parts more north.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And we're talking about
12 the Compton COMP District?

13 MS. BOYLE: The COPMT District, yes, we could
14 remove Long Beach, there are 90,000 people here in Long
15 Beach.

16 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Could you do a rough-out
17 on that? Or do you need another day to do this, or what?

18 MS. BOYLE: Would you like to see it now?
19 Okay, let's try.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So just for members of
21 the public watching, this is one of the challenges of
22 live line drawing, it takes some time to mark up certain
23 areas and move them around. We are going to do live line
24 drawing next week and this is why that kind of line
25 drawing can really only be done at the very block or

1 street level because of the amount of time it takes, and
2 this is obviously a lot of data in the computer and it
3 takes quite a bit of processing time to move these things
4 around.

5 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so now our WLAT District is
6 balanced, now we need to remove additional population
7 from here and add it to this district. We need to remove
8 population from the COMP District which is 70,000 over,
9 and we'll be adding it to the IGWSG District to the west.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Dai,
11 this is your proposal. You can lead on this, but others
12 who might want to chime in...

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think Ms. Boyle is doing a
14 fine job.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: You know, at
16 this point, I would also be appreciative if Commissioner
17 Parvenu and Commissioner Blanco would weigh in because
18 whatever moves we make, I think we have to be conscious
19 of the impacts it's having to both our Latino and Black
20 CVAP in the area, so there may be preferences as to which
21 parts of the District we try and decrease population in.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct. So, Ms. Boyle
23 will sort of, again, you can provide guidance, or Ms.
24 Boyle will start something if you feel that is not the
25 best way to go, please go ahead and speak.

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Point well taken. Thank
2 you.

3 MS. BOYLE: So, removing parts of the LA Harbor
4 Neighborhood that extends down to San Pedro, we're still
5 33,000 under. So, to complete the exchange, we need to
6 figure out if we're willing to add parts west of Compton
7 to the Inglewood District.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: The answer would be yes,
9 but where?

10 MS. BOYLE: That would be Century Palms Cove.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any specific
12 recommendations for Ms. Boyle?

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can we look at the area?
14 So we have to remove from the COMP.

15 MS. BOYLE: Correct. And it looks like -

16 COMMISSIONER PARAVENU: It looks like that's a
17 good direction you're taking right here.

18 MS. BOYLE: So I'm right at the border of
19 Watts, which is part of the Compton-Carson COI.

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Right.

21 MS. BOYLE: And I still need to add 16,000
22 people to this district, so our options are to move --
23 Rancho San Dominquez would be an option without a split.
24 We could split Carson. We could try another rotation
25 through here.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: What's up in the upper
2 corner where it says Willow Brook?

3 MS. BOYLE: It's part of this COI. We could
4 draw north here in Florence Firestone, and then split
5 South Gate, but I think that would affect our Latino
6 CVAP, so would we prefer to go with Willow Brook?

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Go ahead.

9 MS. BOYLE: Do we have a preference on where we
10 remove?

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I would try that along
12 Vermont Vista -- oh, you mean you will have to take it
13 out.

14 MS. BOYLE: I have to remove more from Compton,
15 29,000 more.

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I'm
17 thinking more on the North Long Beach side because I just
18 keep looking at our CVAP numbers on this one and I wonder
19 to what extent we could look at a rotation that maybe
20 shaved off because there is some flexibility on the North
21 Long Beach side, shaving off some population there, and
22 then doing kind of a counterclockwise rotation.

23 MS. BOYLE: I need to get 16,000 people into
24 this district here, so can I add them from Florence
25 Firestone? That would split this LA neighborhood.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So put the Florence
2 Firestone into that.

3 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, because they are 16,000 under.
4 So then I could pick up more from Long Beach or from
5 Bellflower.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's what I was
7 thinking, Florence Firestone, it can go to that ING area
8 to add population there, that's along that Florence
9 Avenue corridor.

10 MS. BOYLE: There we are.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai, is this
13 consistent with your proposal?

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: So the Compton CVAP has now
15 fallen to 48, so suggestions there?

16 MS. BOYLE: Removing Long Beach and then
17 drawing more north -- or part of Long Beach.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And I'm also
20 looking at the Black CVAP, both our Latino and Black
21 CVAPs are a little bit lower than I think would be ideal
22 for this district, so do you have suggestions on how to
23 address both of those?

24 MS. BOYLE: Is that a question for me?

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I'm sorry,

1 Ms. Boyle.

2 MS. BOYLE: Sure. I'm drawing more north and
3 removing more of Long Beach, I think it can be done.
4 We're going to get long and narrow, though.

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I don't
6 think that's necessarily so odd, given the considerations
7 we're looking at with the Port.

8 MS. BOYLE: But in terms of raising the Black
9 CVAP, and maintaining this, I don't know that I can get
10 it to the level it was in the previous Visualization
11 where it doesn't go to the Port. So that about balances
12 that district. The Latino CVAP is 48.81 percent and the
13 Black CVAP is now 27 percent. So, to raise it, we would
14 go more north, remove more of Long Beach, and then draw
15 this back down into here, and pick up more of Lakewood.
16 Does that make sense? Would you like to see it all the
17 way, or is this...?

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, given the amount of
19 time it will take, why don't you repeat what you were
20 going to do?

21 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So I'm going to take more of
22 Long Beach out and go ahead and draw up north here to try
23 and get this up to 48.1 percent, so I'm going to remove
24 approximately 15,000 people and move Walnut Park south.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is that clear,

1 Commissioners?

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, let's see
3 what happens.

4 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so we have a Latino CVAP at
5 50 percent again, and now we need to complete the
6 rotation.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm starting to
8 have a couple of concerns about this and I think, you
9 know, we're trying to strike a lot of delicate balances
10 here, I felt comfortable as we were looking at a Black
11 CVAP of maybe around 28, 27 percent, and I am certainly
12 respectful of and want to prioritize looking at the
13 Latino CVAP, as well. But one of the things I want to
14 make sure is, if we're looking at a configuration like
15 this, that we still included the Black North Long Beach
16 community which has been from the beginning a very vocal
17 part of this COI, and not being familiar with the street
18 level boundaries -- I don't know if Commissioner Parvenu
19 or Commissioner Blanco would like to weigh in on that?

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I, too, am feeling
21 uncomfortable with this by eliminating that significant
22 segment of North Long Beach, so I think the further that
23 we go in this direction, it skews the statistics
24 considerably.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And, Commissioners, the

1 African American CVAP is 25.7 percent right now.

2 Commissioner Dai again, please.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: I mean, I guess you could
4 add that part of North Long Beach back again and then go
5 further into the southeast cities.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Ms. Boyle.

7 MS. BOYLE: How would the Commission feel about
8 trying something where Paramount is with this Downtown
9 district, it would be WTR, and then that would allow us
10 to draw up and pick up 15,000 more people in North Long
11 Beach.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: [Inaudible]
13 commonalities between Paramount and Downey.

14 MS. BOYLE: It may decrease our Latino CVAP.
15 Yes, I believe it would bring us below 50 percent.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Can we go down to 49.19
17 percent?

18 MS. BOYLE: We're not complete yet, though. It
19 will go down further once I balance the district.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right now, the Latino
21 CVAP is at 64 percent.

22 MS. BOYLE: Yes, it's inching down. So that
23 would leave us with a Latino CVAP of 48 percent.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and Latino VAP is
25 at approximately 63 percent.

1 MS. BOYLE: And Black CVAP is at 27 percent.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I mean, I think
3 this is an example of a district where we're all having
4 to give a little. I feel like the Black CVAP is a bit
5 lower than I would like, I am sure there are
6 Commissioners that feel the Latino CVAP is a little bit
7 lower than we'd like, but we've been able to accomplish
8 some really important goals regarding communities that
9 are impacted by the Port, both San Pedro and Compton-
10 Carson, Long Beach, and respect the COIs in this area.
11 So it's not my first choice, but I think it's actually a
12 fairly good compromise, giving everything we have on the
13 table.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, other
15 Commissioners?

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I would agree with that.
17 I mean, it's not complete, but looking strictly at the
18 communities there that are most impacted, that's the
19 Alameda Corridor community, north-south, that's the 710,
20 that's the 110, that's the 605, I think that these
21 communities are most impacted by that heavy freight
22 activity and because this is Congressional, I still see
23 1,500 and some odd -- I can't read it from here -- people
24 that need to be adjusted for. So, I'm curious to see
25 what happens there.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We can leave that to Ms.
2 Boyle, but just in terms of this configuration, are there
3 additional comments from the Commissioners. And,
4 Commissioner Dai, this is your still ongoing proposal.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: I agree this is a
6 compromise, but, again, I was only willing to do this if
7 we could maintain this as a 50 percent district, so
8 unless we can see if Ms. Boyle has any other suggestions
9 on how to go, how to boost that to 50 percent?

10 MS. BOYLE: Splitting Carson, taking pieces of
11 Carson out from the sides.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And how about
13 the Black CVAP?

14 MS. BOYLE: If you remove from this part, and
15 I'm not sure I can actually do this rotation, moving and
16 picking up population through here, we're kind of at some
17 hard lines now, right at Watts and Willow Brook and South
18 Gate, but we could remove from in here, it might raise
19 it, I have to look at the underlying thematic.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
21 Webber.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I wish Mr. Brown
23 was here. I'm extremely concerned about the manner in
24 which this line drawing has occurred, especially if this
25 was a district that this Commission supported to be at 50

1 percent. I recognize the community of interest testimony
2 that we received from Carson-Compton down to the Port,
3 but this continues to get narrower and narrower in order
4 to raise the Black VAP, which I find to be -- I'm
5 extremely concerned about the manner in which this is
6 being done and I want my fellow Commissioners to be
7 conscientious regarding their recommendations here.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Dai,
9 do you still support moving in this direction at this
10 point?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: I wouldn't support it unless
12 we can maintain a 50 percent district here.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
14 Barabba.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Given what we know about
16 the VAP numbers, it's hard for me to overcome the
17 benefits of this district because we're off by a percent
18 when we know, in fact, those numbers are certainly open
19 to some question.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I'm sorry, Ms.
21 Boyle, let's assume that the underlying goal in order to
22 maintain this is to maintain the 50 percent VAP and,
23 again, I think even if we are plus or minus a few points,
24 the CVAP is not necessarily at 50 percent, but the VAP
25 still has to be at a pretty good number in the 60s and

1 right now it's at 62, so I think that would still be a
2 question, even under a different sort of standard,
3 looking at the VAP, rather than the CVAP. Run through
4 again what you would do if you had to bring it back up
5 over 50 percent Latino CVAP.

6 MS. BOYLE: I would look at splitting maybe
7 some of the -- there are several neighborhood councils in
8 San Pedro, like Harbor City, I might take that out,
9 that's like 15,000 people and that would help raise the
10 Latino CVAP number. That's another option.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can we quickly see that?

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Before we do that,
13 Commissioner Raya.

14 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I have a question about
15 where West Carson and Lomita are now.

16 MS. BOYLE: They're in the beach cities, Palos
17 Verdes District.

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, so I would ask of
19 maybe Commissioner Parvenu, are they communities that are
20 kind of stranded in that district? They're very small.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: They're very small, yes.
22 And West Carson does have close ties, of course, to
23 Carson, so we may want to experiment in that area to see
24 what the numbers reveal.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner

1 Parvenu, when you look at those communities, would it
2 make sense at all to unite West Carson over with the
3 Inglewood District and maybe take some population out of
4 Torrance as a way of kind of reconnecting them to one of
5 their communities? I don't know if you have a strong
6 preference, but that's one point; and then, the second
7 point is, I would like to see the option that Ms. Boyle
8 suggested regarding looking at the different neighborhood
9 councils in San Pedro in an attempt to boost the LCVAP.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That is, Commissioner
11 Galambos Malloy, one option. West Torrance is more
12 oriented towards the beach communities as opposed to
13 Central and Eastern Torrance. So that's another area of
14 possible consideration.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do we have support
16 to at least keep going at this point? Do the - okay,
17 let's keep going, then. Try to figure that piece, that
18 change.

19 MS. BOYLE: Do we want to move north?

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just wanted to say that
21 I concur with Commissioner Barabba, given what we know
22 about the unreliability of CVAP numbers. I mean, you
23 know, I think this is -- I'm not worried about what looks
24 to be two percentage points, I'm more concerned that we
25 do this in a responsible manner, as Commissioner Filkins

1 Webber has discussed, which is to respect communities of
2 interest that have testified from the very beginning
3 about this area, you know, about cities that should be
4 kept together and, so, I think right now in this district
5 my concern, I think that number is fine with me.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Dai,
7 do you still want to look at this exchange?

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I think that it was a
9 good point raised about Lomita which I think is also seen
10 as more of a Port city, if I recall the testimony
11 correctly. And I do think that Torrance has probably a
12 beach city's part, so...

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Ms. Boyle, why
14 don't you go ahead.

15 MS. BOYLE: What is the direction? Would you
16 like to do the moves now, or do you want to move to the
17 next district and explore this option later?

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: How much time will it
19 take to, again, if the goal is to raise the CVAP, the
20 Latino CVAP for the Compton District above 50 percent,
21 how much time will it take to do the exchange, what
22 Commissioner Galambos Malloy was suggesting?

23 MS. BOYLE: I'm not sure. I can't run that
24 calculation in my head, sorry.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Are we talking about like

1 a few minutes, or 10 minutes?

2 MS. BOYLE: Maybe 15, 20 to do a whole
3 rotation. Or we can leave the neighboring districts
4 unbalanced and get one here that we like, but I wouldn't
5 be able to predict for you some of the ripples through
6 here, but we can try.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Giulio.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, should we just
9 maybe -- how are we feeling in concept about this? I
10 have a feeling that Ms. Boyle could probably work these
11 details out if she wasn't sitting here with 14 people
12 staring at her. I'm wondering if there's a chance, if we
13 feel good about it, to let that happen at some point, I
14 don't want to take a break because she's the only one
15 that can keep us going if we move forward, but at some
16 point. Do we have confidence to move forward with the
17 parameters of keeping the Compton CVAP, LCVAP numbers?

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao, then
19 Commissioner Raya.

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: Again, at the very beginning
21 part of this particular week, we agreed not to leave with
22 just a Visualization of the map and then, when we come
23 back and look at it the next week, we're going to be
24 seeing it and not being able to do anything about it, so
25 we either have to schedule more time for next week to

1 look at the map again, or else we just have to slug
2 through it during this session to go beyond just the
3 Visualization phase of the mapping.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I was proposing that
5 maybe like at lunch time, if we take a short break while
6 we get our food or something, I meant that if she had 15
7 minutes of quiet time here, she might be able to do it.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And time to eat, as well.
9 Okay, Commissioner Raya.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, I also am satisfied with
11 the CVAP in both cases not being quite as high as it was
12 before. I'm more concerned about how much we're working
13 to adjust San Pedro down here. In the mean time, we're
14 abandoning West Carson and Lomita, and I'm just not of
15 the mind that there is a hard line where the pollution
16 stops, and it only goes here, and it doesn't go there, or
17 whatever. And you know, we're working so hard to say
18 that all of San Pedro should be connected in this way and
19 I think we're sacrificing some other people and I'm not
20 comfortable with that. I would rather see us find a
21 workable split there in San Pedro and make the
22 adjustments there without cutting out these little dices.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao,
24 30 seconds.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Again, the COI testimony was

1 exclusively tying San Pedro to the Port, okay? And not
2 tying the Port to any of the cities above it. And all
3 the cities above it are more concerned about the traffic;
4 in other words, as long as the 110 and the 710 is within
5 their jurisdiction, and they have a lot to say about the
6 traffic, itself, so tying the Port with all the cities
7 above, as I mentioned before, I don't believe we have any
8 COI supporting that.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu,
10 just 10 seconds.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, I agree, we have
12 such a tremendous ripple effect from this, our
13 Neighborhood Council map for the City of Los Angeles
14 shows that San Pedro has three distinct Neighborhood
15 Councils, Northwest San Pedro, which was more bedroom,
16 suburban, we have Central San Pedro, which is more
17 commercial, and Coastal.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so the point being
19 that you can divide it up along the Neighborhood Council
20 lines. Okay, so, again, Commissioner Dai, you sort of
21 control the floor on the proposal, so how do you want to
22 proceed?

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Let's see, I think if we can
24 get either the VAP numbers a little bit higher, 49
25 percent is pretty close, I think, I was curious,

1 Commissioner Raya, in terms of Lomita and West Carson,
2 what district would you suggest that they would be best
3 situated?

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Weren't they in this COMP
5 District before we started -- were they? Before we
6 started all of this? I'm looking at the Option 1 and it
7 looked to me like they were in there before. Oh, they
8 were in Inglewood?

9 MS. BOYLE: I'm checking, just a moment. No,
10 no, they were not in there before.

11 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Oh, they were in Inglewood,
12 okay.

13 MS. BOYLE: Correct, they were with Inglewood.

14 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, but they were in
15 Inglewood, not -- my point originally was that they were
16 not in the coastal.

17 MS. BOYLE: We could shift them.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We had talked
19 about the idea of some of Torrance coming out into the
20 Coastal District and then that would allow us linking
21 West Carson with the Inglewood District and, you know,
22 potentially Lomita. I'm not familiar with Lomita,
23 myself, so Commissioners Parvenu and Blanco could give us
24 guidance on that.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I don't know that area.

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The last I went through
2 that area, that's a mixture of Caucasian and Latino, it's
3 very close to Harbor City, north-south, that gateway
4 area.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do we have a
6 sufficient number of Commissioners supporting Ms. Boyle
7 working on this during the break, the lunch break, to try
8 to map something out? Okay, we've got a sufficient
9 number for that.

10 MS. BOYLE: Can I -- specifically, what would
11 you like mapped out? These districts are now balanced.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, is there any
13 additional instruction for --

14 MS. BOYLE: To clean this up?

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: To clean up the West Carson
16 and Lomita.

17 MS. BOYLE: Any other cleanups we'd like to
18 try?

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think we had
20 talked already, we had agreed about the Airport, so since
21 you're down in that area, maybe it would be nice to see a
22 comprehensive Visualization.

23 MS. BOYLE: Okay.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, that was always a
25 standing instruction.

1 MS. BOYLE: Very good.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, others?

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Thank you, Ms. Boyle.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao,
5 then Commissioner Barabba.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: Let's see, we're not making
7 an attempt to lower the Downtown District from 70 some
8 percent down to lower? As soon as we finish this, then
9 we basically have that as a hard line and the only
10 ability to lower that is going over to the district to
11 the right of Downtown. And I think we have an objective
12 to lower that from 73 percent to something lower. So we
13 just basically tie our hands by roughly 50 percent of
14 doing what we're doing.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I don't believe so. Ms.
16 Boyle, could you comment on that? Because there are
17 other districts around the 50-51 percent range adjacent.

18 MS. BOYLE: I think that this is actually
19 helping because we've pulled population out of the
20 Downtown District. I think it could still be done, the
21 original direction was to try to do it between these
22 three districts and the Long Beach District.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
25 Barabba.

1 MS. BOYLE: I can speak to that once I've done
2 the...

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Just as a general
4 principle, realizing how much more we have to do, when we
5 sit here and argue about a percent, or two percent of a
6 number that is unreliable to start with, we're not doing
7 the citizens of this state very much favor because we're
8 going to run out of time by other districts.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so again, Ms.
10 Boyle, do you have sufficient instructions from the
11 Commission to finish what would be a variation on this?

12 MS. BOYLE: If I understand correctly, the
13 Commission would like me to reunite Westchester with the
14 Inglewood Airport and attempt to move West Carson to the
15 IGWSG District and look at a balance after break, I can
16 do that.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, anything else that
18 needs to be --

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The adjustment
20 would come off of Torrance, to drop population off the
21 Inglewood District.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, is that correct?
23 Okay, and just a point. I would agree that, even though
24 it's not at 50 percent, and we would have to, of course,
25 explain this in our narrative, but I think, again, given

1 the historical markers for what is necessary to document
2 a potential Section 2 District, and I might look at the
3 CVAP map numbers, but I think we would still be in
4 compliance with that, given this range. But certainly,
5 counsel can weigh in on that, as well. Very quickly,
6 Commissioner Parvenu.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: My observation is, by
8 moving West Carson to the ING, that will create what
9 appears to be a finger just north of Lomita, I believe
10 that is part of this.

11 MS. BOYLE: It would fix the finger. We kind
12 of have a finger now.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So at this point,
14 let's move on to another district or area that we can --
15 in other words, that is not directly affected by what
16 would be the configuration you're trying to work on over
17 lunchtime.

18 MS. BOYLE: Okay. Moving north, we've
19 discussed the IGWSGF District, the WLAT, I believe we
20 discussed the ELABH yesterday, or would we like to
21 discuss that now?

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's move on.

23 MS. BOYLE: Okay. Moving northeast to the SGVP
24 District. This district stretches from a split of
25 Pasadena with Altadena, South Pasadena, Alhambra,

1 Monterey Park, and east to San Antonio Heights, with a
2 split of Upland.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Raya, again,
4 this is probably another tougher area, so let's be
5 prepared and we're going to again be brief on time
6 limits. Go ahead.

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: To start with, we're not
8 satisfied to leave people flying through the forest to
9 get from one side to the other. We recognize, you know,
10 the Covina District there, we don't want to drop that, so
11 what we've been talking about, and this is still kind of
12 in broad terms and we're hoping maybe those moves from
13 the south might help us a little bit, but talking about
14 whether there's a way to really go across the Foothills
15 much the way -- the same way we did in the Assembly,
16 right, in the Assembly where we had a true Foothill area
17 going over there with Glendora, Azusa, Duarte, going
18 across the top there where you would connect that part
19 west, to the west, with the Pasadena area and then
20 possibly somehow bring in that far east part into Covina,
21 and you might need to make an adjustment down into South
22 El Monte to keep the CVAP up in Covina. So that's just
23 kind of a framework for what we've been looking at.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, and some
25 of the considerations are we have in the west side of

1 this district very strong COI, linking again these very
2 high immigrant population, recent immigrant, Asian
3 Pacific Islander communities with these kind of more
4 Foothill suburban communities over on the east. So we
5 were thinking that we could still respect the Latino CVAP
6 majority-minority district here, if we were able to look
7 at spreading the wealth from here, kind of up this
8 direction, and even maybe linking some of these
9 communities farther down south. So we by no means feel
10 like this is the best district, but it's a starting
11 point.

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: There's one other point,
13 too, that I would like to raise, and that is that the COI
14 testimony was all about Pasadena Glendale Burbank
15 Congressional primarily because of the Airport and I
16 don't know if that's doable, and Pasadena is split in
17 this Visualization.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And the other
19 competing COI we had was that, actually, Pasadena is a
20 hub for many other smaller cities, particularly Foothill
21 communities, and so we feel like there is a rationale for
22 potentially splitting off Burbank and Glendale and having
23 Pasadena with many of the smaller cities.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
25 Barabba.

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay, as I recall, the
2 commentary about keeping those three cities, the Burbank,
3 Glendale, Pasadena, came from elected officials, but the
4 citizens who lived in those cities didn't seem as bound
5 as much as the elected officials did.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao,
7 then Commissioner Di Guilio.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: A couple communities of
9 interest have been arch rivals for many many decades. If
10 we look at the City of Alhambra and the cities north of
11 it, South Pasadena and Pasadena, what was the issue of
12 what's called the 710 Gap Closure Freeway, they've been
13 basically fighting with each other for three to four to
14 five decades, and putting them in one district is
15 probably a little problematic in terms of any one person
16 representing over those interests, especially when the
17 interest is getting money from the Federal government to
18 complete the freeway. So, it's one of these issues that
19 exist in that district.

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, unfortunately --
21 well, I don't mean unfortunately -- but a lot of the
22 testimony we received about this area is also the high
23 Asian population in the area, it's not anywhere near
24 being a majority or anything, but, you know, I think it's
25 still -- that's a COI, perhaps that might, I mean,

1 considering how long that 710 thing has been going on,
2 there's a definite balancing there, I think.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so is there a
4 proposal to modify this district at all? And again, it's
5 either we have something there, or we change it, we have
6 to have a concrete proposal.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think we have
8 a concept, and it would really depend on feedback from
9 Ms. Boyle, as to whether we looked at creating more of a
10 Foothills District on the north end, what flexibility do
11 we have to look at pulling population and potentially
12 some of that Latino CVAP northeastward to be able to kind
13 of replenish the Covina District and make sure that we
14 maintain that majority-minority district, at the same
15 time respecting the COIs of the Foothills, respecting the
16 COIs of the API community on the west side, so let us
17 know what you think.

18 MS. BOYLE: If I'm understanding the
19 Commissioner correctly, you'd like to move, for instance,
20 these Foothills communities? Glendora, Duarte, I think
21 this is Bradbury, north?

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, over so that they
23 would be connected with the Pasadena part rather than the
24 jumping over in the beige area.

25 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so we're going to maintain

1 Claremont, Upland, and Laverne in the district?

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, that's what we need
3 to --

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's what we
5 would like to know if we can do, at the same time as
6 maintaining the other considerations.

7 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so we would then add this
8 city, Glendora, Azusa, Duarte, and if necessary split on
9 the southern portion if we have to. So that's going to
10 add a good chunk of people, about 100,000. So we would
11 need to remove them from this district.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

13 MS. BOYLE: So then we would draw up here and
14 put Monterey Park, I'm not sure what this community is
15 here, south, with Montebello and East LA?

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's not
17 exactly what we had in mind.

18 MS. BOYLE: We have to maintain this.

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, the problem, you
20 know, we know we're constrained by the Covina District
21 and, so, trying to -- and also respecting the COI in the
22 beige district, Alhambra, San Marino, yeah, well
23 actually, San Marino, Alhambra, San Gabriel, Monterey
24 Park, Rosemead, Temple City, all of that belongs
25 together.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Giulio,
2 why don't you insert yourself now.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just curious, just
4 to build off what Commissioner Raya said, can you -- and
5 I know we're dealing with the numbers in the Covina --
6 but can you take that COI that Commissioner Raya just
7 said and put that back into the Covina District?

8 MS. BOYLE: No.

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No, it's different --

10 MS. BOYLE: Not if you want a Latino CVAP
11 District.

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- it's two different COIs.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I don't know
14 that -- so I guess the question for Ms. Boyle, then,
15 simply is what would it take to do the first part of the
16 proposal, which is to grab the top part? And where would
17 you have to go to maintain the CVAP?

18 MS. BOYLE: Well, if you'll recall our first
19 Draft Maps, we had a district that combined this with
20 this area over here, and that allowed us to do more of a
21 Foothill Congressional seat. So if you'd like to bring
22 back the Diamond Bar District? But based on the
23 Districts we have now in Orange County, there's a
24 significant population in here and it would affect what
25 we have going on over here. Now, we could wrap back

1 around and give this back to San Bernardino. That would
2 work, getting rid of this and we would have the up and
3 over.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's try to keep
5 the meeting going. So, Commissioner Galambos Malloy,
6 then Barabba, then Raya, and then Dai.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, part of our
8 concern and some of the feedback we've been getting from
9 the community is that, when you look at the west end and
10 the east end of this district, after you do the jump,
11 that there is such disparate communities in here when you
12 look at Alhambra, not even just considering the arch
13 enemies over the 710 on the Westside, but by the time you
14 jump over to Laverne and Claremont, and if we blocked
15 ourselves in on that Orange County side, and saying we
16 can't do a bridge anymore down into Hacienda Heights,
17 which we know is a potential community of interest along
18 that corridor, but even though I know there's a reaction
19 to the idea of going farther south with what's called
20 Alhambra COI, really, it's the lesser of evils, you know,
21 neither one is going to be perfect. Which is better?

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
23 Barabba.

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Why wouldn't we take the
25 - see whatever Altadena-Pasadena is called, move its

1 boundary line to the east, excuse me, take the portion
2 that is in the east, the Laverne, Upland, Claremont, and
3 Rancho, that population is roughly 100,000 roughly?

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: It's more than
5 that.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: A hundred and thirty.

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, there are some splits
8 there, so we probably need to know the real number.

9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Let's say it's 100,000
10 and then just take the 100,000 that's on the west side of
11 the Covina District, and then just bring in the Claremont
12 in with the Covina District. In other words, reduce the
13 Covina District by that amount on the Westside, and then
14 include that area in the Covina District.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, before we go on,
16 I'm sensing -- what I don't want to do is do a lot of
17 talking while doing Mapping. In other words, there are a
18 lot of things coming forward and, if I need to take a
19 break again and perhaps Commissioners Raya and Galambos
20 Malloy who I think are on this district, can confer. But
21 I don't want to keep doing a lot of juggling here and
22 then just come back to the original again. So we'll
23 continue the discussion. So, Raya, Dai, Yao, and then
24 Galambos Malloy.

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think if we could see the

1 specific numbers, the Latino density, that would help us,
2 and then we could take a few minutes.

3 MS. BOYLE: The area in red highlighting is
4 100,659 people and that particular area has a Latino CVAP
5 of 20 percent.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And if you were to split
7 along the 210, I'm sorry, where you just were, yeah, take
8 that line -- see where the freeway kind of curves down
9 there at San Dimas where it says "San Dimas," if you
10 could go straight across, east from that little turn, no,
11 go up to the 210, now go straight across, that's
12 mountain, isn't it? Or what is that? It's 30. I think
13 that's mountain, but whatever it is, anyway, if you could
14 go straight across from where it says "San Dimas," and
15 just cut that part off, if you shaved off the bottom,
16 what would be the difference there?

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai and
18 Commissioner Yao, do you want to say anything while we're
19 waiting for this?

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah. Didn't we just do
21 that in this first draft, and then we got a lot of
22 pushback for cutting towns in half? Are you suggesting
23 whole towns, or are you suggesting the break at the
24 freeway?

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, sort of a break at

1 that line, that road which I think that's -- well,
2 whatever the name, I'm sorry, but just -- we're trying to
3 balance what we think is a big jump over here and there's
4 no way to make it perfect, so we're just trying to find
5 something that is a little more reflective of a Foothill
6 area.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Commissioner Yao,
8 then Di Giulio and, again, I do want to be mindful of
9 time. If we need to take a break so this can actually
10 be, sort of, much more concrete in order to save overall
11 time, we'll do that. Commissioner Di Giulio -- I'm
12 sorry, Yao, then Di Giulio, I'm sorry, excuse me.

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: In defense of the very first
14 Draft Map that we released, the community along the
15 Foothills is significantly different than the community
16 below that. The housing density is very very low
17 compared to the much higher housing density, just below
18 that, even though it looks like a district that stretches
19 a long long way, but that's basically what you have along
20 Foothills. And we heard a lot of complaint about the
21 length of the distance between Claremont and Pasadena,
22 and I offer up testimony that Claremont and Pasadena
23 really has a lot in common as compared to the
24 indifference when we go to restaurants, when we go to
25 places to eat, we just basically commute along the 210

1 Freeway and that's the connection, it's much faster to go
2 west on 210 than it is to go south.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that was in
4 support of the --

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: So, in hopping over a
6 community, we found the same scenario when we look at the
7 San Benito County where we tie Rancho Cucamonga with
8 Redland --

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Anyway, let me ask a
10 question, it's not inconsistent with what Commissioner
11 Raya and Galambos Malloy --

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: Right, even though it looks
13 ugly, I don't really find anything objectionable to the
14 existing configuration, other than the fact that it looks
15 ugly.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we'll go to Di
17 Guilio then Blanco, then we'll take a break.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I would support what
19 Commissioner Raya and Commissioner Galambos Malloy are
20 trying to do, I think they know the area, and I think if
21 we could keep the cities whole along that and not just
22 split at the 210, I think that would probably alleviate
23 the thing about slicing them. And I do remember the
24 criticism we had was we tried to do this Foothill
25 District in all of our districts, and what they came back

1 and said is "all we meant was the Congressional," because
2 that's really where it's tying in, at least with the
3 mountains, and I think based on there are going to be
4 differences between the north and south, as Commissioner
5 Yao had said, so as long as the numbers are okay in
6 Covina, I think that the switch would probably be
7 minimizing the harm that was going on before. So I would
8 support where we're going with this right now.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Blanco
10 and then we'll take a break.

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, I wanted to
12 emphasize some similar points and remind us, in terms of
13 the criticism that we got originally about the Foothills,
14 along with what everybody else said, was that it was all
15 the way across, it was not just one section; in fact, we
16 got some very positive feedback, I don't want us to
17 forget that, about some Foothill communities being
18 treated as Foothill communities. But the criticism was
19 two-fold, 1) that it was just all the way along, and 2)
20 that it split cities by trying to do a long Foothill.
21 But we got a lot of positive comments about some of the
22 smaller segments of the Foothills, saying, "This is
23 great, this really represents the way we live and work
24 and travel." So, if we could do something that combined
25 that sort of testimony where it's not all the way across,

1 but does respect, I would be comfortable that it reflects
2 the COI.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's take a five-
4 minute break and then Commissioners Raya and Galambos
5 Malloy can work with Ms. Boyle on some specifics.

6 (Recess at 11:40 a.m.)

7 (Reconvene at 11:54 a.m.)

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we're back from
9 break. Commissioner Raya and Commissioner Galambos
10 Malloy have been working with Ms. Boyle on some
11 adjustments to the district.

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, so Commissioner Raya
13 and Vanna White will present the new district. Okay, and
14 first of all, thank you very much to Nicole for walking
15 us through this and helping us get to what we think is a
16 satisfactory solution. The SGVP, you can see now that
17 we've had to make some adjustments at the southern end of
18 the SGVP, and so we've moved some population into the
19 district below it and a little bit into the Covina
20 District in order to equalize population and to maintain
21 the Covina CVAP. So, what we've done is we've preserved
22 on the west side of the SGVP, we've preserved that COI,
23 we've kept the cities that feel a strong relationship to
24 Pasadena with Pasadena and, then moving across to the
25 east, across the Foothills, we have a much cleaner line,

1 we have split Laverne and Claremont, we've kept Glendora
2 whole, I believe, right?

3 MS. BOYLE: Correct. Glendora is whole.

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, Glendora is whole, we
5 have split Laverne and Claremont, but we've done it on a
6 line that Commissioner Yao supports as being reflective
7 of the community, and there you are.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And is that Foothill
9 Blvd.?

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think that's Mountain, 30
11 is -- I believe that is Mountain.

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, it's closer to
13 Foothill than -- Mountain is a north-south street, but
14 Foothill is approximately where that 30 is.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, comments?

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Nice job.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good. And Ms.
18 Boyle will - we've still got, obviously, the population,
19 so there's still some cleaning up to do, but I think the
20 basic concept is very good.

21 MS. BOYLE: Cleanup is minimal for this since
22 it's in the corner. I'll pick it up from Pasadena.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great. Very good. Okay,
24 what do we have left?

25 MS. BOYLE: Moving west, we have our SGMFH

1 District composed of Burbank, Tujunga, Glendale, La
2 Cañada Flintridge, and I believe it is La Crescenta
3 Montrose, and part of Pasadena, the eastern part,
4 southwestern portion of Pasadena. Then we have Los
5 Feliz, Hollywood Hills, Hollywood all the way to West
6 Hollywood, and South into Echo Park.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is also Commissioner
8 Raya?

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, that's our
10 area.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So Commissioner Galambos
12 Malloy?

13 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I can't
14 remember, but I feel like we've talked about this
15 district a number of times. Again, there was a lot of
16 feedback regarding the Congressional level connect to the
17 Airport. We regretted having to split Pasadena. I think
18 the upside of the split, though, was the portion of
19 Pasadena which is not with Pasadena proper is with
20 Burbank and Glendale, so we essentially have two
21 Congressional Districts with ties to the Burbank Airport.
22 And much of the, I think, reasoning that we talked
23 through at the Assembly level for this area still applies
24 to the district we've created at the Congressional level.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Guilio,

1 did you want to comment?

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, I think this looks
3 -- from my understanding, it kind of seems like the Los
4 Feliz area is kind of really strong COI and then the
5 other one up north is kind of linking two of them, but I
6 don't think that is such a dissimilar link. And I also,
7 just before we move on, I wanted to make sure, I think we
8 had talked about, even though we finished the Coastal
9 District, there was maybe an exchange with the finger,
10 that maybe we can go back to? And I don't think it will
11 affect that top one, but it's that coastal part, but
12 before we move on, Chair.

13 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think at the
14 last level, we've had some concern around Silver Lake was
15 at the border of our Assembly District, if I remember
16 correctly, and in this iteration we've been able to re-
17 join Silver Lake with Echo Park as per Commissioner
18 Barabba's concerns; again, Thai Town wanted to be
19 connected to the east, they are connected to the east, we
20 still have some Hollywood and West Hollywood population
21 there for population reasons, but again, this is a very
22 strong COI here, and then we have another strong COI
23 here, and then this area is another strong COI wanting to
24 be connected to La Cañada Flintridge and to Glendale. So
25 I think this is a very strong district.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco.

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I ninety-nine percent
3 agree, I just want -- I'm not sure Echo Park belongs in
4 this district. That really is much more like the
5 Downtown, East LA District in terms of history and
6 population. And I don't know that there is anything we
7 can do about it, but it really is not - it's more
8 traditionally a sort of inner-LA Latino neighborhood in
9 some ways. I know it's changed, and it really has become
10 one of those - it's not really gentrified, it's hipified
11 [sic] or something. [Laughter] They're still living in
12 pretty run-down houses, but now they're hip. But it is
13 just a mix, I wanted to point out that it really isn't
14 quite in this district, but if we need to do it, just an
15 acknowledgement of that.

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes, and I agree that
17 that's very much the case, and if we were talking one of
18 the smaller districts, I would definitely say it doesn't
19 belong, but on the Congressional level, I think it's okay.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And if we
21 consider making substantive changes to adjacent
22 districts, then that might give us flexibility, but
23 again, in this iteration, given it's at the Congressional
24 level, we were trying to pair up Echo Park and Silver
25 Lake, and so we have to really think of them as a unit,

1 whichever direction we consider moving them.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let's move on to
3 the next District, then.

4 MS. BOYLE: Continuing to move west, we're at
5 our Eastern San Fernando Valley District - or, I'm sorry,
6 Commissioner Di Guilio wanted to return to the WLET
7 District.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just really briefly.
9 I think when we originally tried to kind of do some
10 shifts, we looked at taking that finger out, but because
11 that was too large of a shift, but it did occur to us
12 maybe to take that finger out and to switch it with -
13 yeah, okay -- I'm just trying to find a link with Santa
14 Monica a little bit more because Santa Monica is the one
15 that's out, but if that's not going to go anywhere, then
16 that's where it'll be.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
18 Webber.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: On that point,
20 the area that we're calling "the finger" is actually Park
21 La Brea area of Los Angeles, Hancock Park, and it does
22 have right now in this configuration, it runs from
23 Larchmont, essentially. And the communities of interest
24 all on that finger are all very closely connected and
25 they generally run west to, like, Beverly Hills and to

1 the west side. So, although I might think that maybe
2 some cleanup could happen like south of Melrose, but it
3 certainly should not - it doesn't really have a community
4 of interest with the next district below to the south,
5 especially anywhere south of the 10. So, the
6 configuration, even though it looks odd right now, it is
7 a closer connection for where they like to consider
8 themselves on the west side of Los Angeles.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that's an
10 argument to maintain the district as is.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And that's fine.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Does anybody have any
13 support for changes?

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree with what was
15 just said, there is a lot of east-west along those major
16 east-west corridors, Third Street, Sixth Street, Wilshire
17 Blvd., there is a lot of east-west cross town traffic
18 there, so that does make sense.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Raya.

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Just one comment for next
21 week. If you looked at the emails concerning that
22 historic Wilshire -- I forget what it was, Wilshire
23 Grand, or Wilshire -- anyway, to look at the -- they give
24 some very specific outlines of street level for next
25 week.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so I sense support
2 for the status quo on the district, okay? So, Ms. Boyle
3 can go back up to the San Fernando Valley.

4 MS. BOYLE: This is SFVET, our Eastern San
5 Fernando Valley District.

6 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would say that we've
7 really met the various comments we've heard from the
8 people in that Valley and particularly as it related to
9 our visit in the City of San Fernando and I think we've
10 demonstrated the need to go across the 405 where
11 appropriate and I think this holds up as a very solid
12 district.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: As a [inaudible] person,
14 I just want to chime in and say I certainly agree. We
15 honor community of interest testimony there, it's a 50
16 percent plus majority-minority district, it's relatively
17 compact, and having worked in Van Nuys, and having
18 traveled through that area, Percaya and Loleta, those
19 cities are together, I think it's a very good district.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any additional
21 comments? Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Chair, I have a
23 question regarding the core of LA. If we have any
24 refinements to that area, are we going to look at those?
25 Or what is the process? I have one, in particular,

1 street level refinement, I'm happy to say it now, or just
2 save it for next week.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: You can mention it now,
4 but I think we probably want to look at it next week, but
5 go ahead and just bring it up.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Oh, okay. There
7 was some concern regarding the USC campus. I think that
8 Q2 did a great job of trying to keep it with its adjacent
9 district, but that my understanding is that the campus
10 has actually expanded or there are some portions of the
11 campus that are east of the 110 freeway to Grande between
12 Jefferson and Exposition, so wanted to make sure we had
13 that as a solid unit.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and Q2 can flag
15 that. I think Kyle online will note that as something we
16 can look up at the street level next week. Okay, Ms.
17 Boyle.

18 MS. BOYLE: Continuing to move west, we're at
19 the SFVWC District, or the Western San Fernando Valley
20 District. It stretches in the north from Granada Hills
21 to West Hills. It picks up Bell Canyon, Hidden Hills,
22 Sherman Oaks, and Studio City.

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Again, I think we've met
24 as closely as possible the various comments we received
25 from the people in the Valley, though some people would

1 move some things around, I think on balance this is a
2 fairly good district relative to the comments we
3 received. More importantly, I think the combination of
4 the two districts really do a good job of keeping the San
5 Fernando Valley whole. And I'll ask my partner, Mr.
6 Parvenu, to add to this.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So that, we did honor
8 community testimony, we considered the Armenian Maps that
9 were presented to us. We honored the testimony from the
10 Latino community indicating there was a difference
11 between East Valley vs. West Valley. We also kept Reseda
12 and Northridge whole, and we honored the maps that were
13 presented to us by VICA, as well as Redistricting
14 Partners, so we took the best of all scenarios and came
15 up with what I think is a very compact and reasonable
16 Visualization here.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would just add that
19 Reseda isn't quite at the center of the West Valley, but
20 it's very close to it.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yet another "Center of
22 the Universe." Okay, very good. Next.

23 MS. BOYLE: The final district to the north.
24 It's the AVSCV District, Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita,
25 and Victor Valley, I believe - no, it stops at the LA

1 border. It includes Palmdale, Lancaster is not in this
2 district, it's north with the Kern District, we have
3 Palmdale, Lake Los Angeles and Village, Acton, Elizabeth,
4 all the way down to Santa Clarita, Castaic, and Simi
5 Valley.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, narrative on the
7 district?

8 MS. BOYLE: Simi Valley is split, also.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Whose district is this?
10 Oh, Commissioner Aguirre is not here. Okay, who else
11 worked with him?

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Barabba.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.

14 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I don't remember being
15 responsible for this area.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: You're responsible for San
17 Fernando Valley and -

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would say this is
19 outside of the San Fernando Valley last time I remember.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, I said San Fernando
21 Valley North.

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Oh.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, well again, just a
24 very basic description of the district, I think, will
25 suffice.

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The only issue here is
2 the need to move Lancaster into the Kern County District,
3 other than that, I think given the requirement we had of
4 moving a portion of Ventura, which couldn't be captured
5 within the whole county, I think we've listened fairly
6 carefully and tied it to Santa Clarita, and other than
7 that, it's a very sound district.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu.

9 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And I agree, it does
10 disturb me in light of considerable testimony we received
11 when we were in Lancaster that those are like sister
12 cities, Palmdale and Lancaster, that does bother me, but
13 population-wise, I don't know how we can fix that.
14 There's not an easy fix, actually. And especially
15 looking at Kern County to the north, that has not a great
16 deal of population, it just pushes us northward at some
17 location. So, on a Congressional level, it bothers me,
18 but I don't know how we can deal with this otherwise if
19 we want to achieve equal population, which is our first
20 criteria.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
22 Filkins Webber.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I think we may
24 have corrected this some. We received some public
25 comment from the City of Simi Valley yesterday, we

1 received this handout. And it was looking at some area
2 of Santa Clarita that appeared to be a part of what they
3 consider part of their city area, and it might be like an
4 unincorporated part of Los Angeles, it's right at that
5 area -- good job, Nicole -- so I'm thinking it's
6 included, I don't think it's on that side, I think you've
7 got it captured in here, it might be at the border of
8 Granada Hills, right at the freeways. I think it's
9 corrected and I'm sure that they might be watching, if
10 they send an email that we've split Santa Clarita as
11 they've indicated, in this, we should recognize that we
12 tried to link Eastern Ventura County with Santa Clarita,
13 while also trying to balance the COI of the Lancaster
14 Palmdale. I'm a little concerned about the split with
15 Simi Valley, but again, we're dealing with population
16 issues at the Congressional level, which is very
17 difficult. So we tried to balance all of that, so
18 hopefully I think we got Santa Clarita whole.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any other comments
20 on the district? Okay, are we done with the
21 Congressionals?

22 MS. BOYLE: Yes, all of our Congressional
23 Districts, really.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we've got about
25 20 minutes, we'll take a break at 12:30 and Ms. Boyle

1 will have to have a shortened lunch and try to work on
2 that other Visualization. So can we get to the Senate
3 Districts, then, before taking a lunch break -- or before
4 we get to lunch?

5 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, while we're loading them
6 which will take a couple of seconds here, so I just
7 wanted to give just a two-second overview here of what
8 we're going to be looking at because that's what we did
9 yesterday, as well, with this whole rotation that we did
10 of Senate Districts through Southern California, as you
11 recall.

12 So, in Los Angeles, we have a very similar
13 problem, that we have an odd number of Assembly
14 Districts, right? So, again, there are some decisions
15 that have to be made. And the decisions here, it creates
16 basically the same issues that we were grappling with
17 yesterday and then last week when we were looking at
18 Senate. So, the options basically for Los Angeles are
19 whether you want to nest with Orange, or whether you want
20 to nest with Victor Valley. And in this particular
21 configuration, we basically nested into Victor Valley and
22 I would like you to remember that we looked at the
23 Southern California Districts yesterday and, of course,
24 that really helped us to accomplish a whole lot of things
25 that we would have otherwise not been able to do. And

1 here is what this does for LA, so it basically allows for
2 the Victor Valley, the Antelope Valley, Santa Clarita
3 Valley, and San Fernando Valleys to be kept whole, and it
4 keeps Eastern Ventura whole, however, the tradeoff is
5 that, in this configuration, East Ventura has to be put
6 with the San Fernando Valley rather than Santa Clarita.
7 So that's the big one here.

8 And we were able in the configuration that
9 you're seeing here, and I think it's been on the website
10 now, we were able to remove Malibu and Pacific Palisades
11 and Santa Monica from that district, as well, because of
12 some of the changes that we made. So you'll see the
13 impacts as they ripple through LA in this iteration as
14 we're going through district-by-district, but that's
15 basically the big picture here, so now I suggest we just
16 go district-by-district and look at it like that. Will
17 that work for you?

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Can we see the other
19 overview of the Orange County connection?

20 MS. BOYLE: I'm sorry, Commissioner Barabba, I
21 don't understand the question.

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: You said there were two
23 ways of going, did you have a Visualization of the other
24 way?

25 MS. MAC DONALD: Not today, no. But we tried

1 it others ways, I mean, we've pretty much tried -- at
2 this point, we've tried pretty much every way.

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
5 Webber.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just a comment on
7 the overview because I certainly appreciate what has been
8 done and the decision in between, as you said, the Victor
9 Valley High Desert area is more of a community of
10 interest, as we heard in Lancaster. So this seems to be
11 a better fit than trying to consider putting Los Angeles
12 and nesting it into Orange County. So I think that this
13 respects both interests of these communities. And when
14 we looked at the San Bernardino District, we recognized
15 where we were cutting into on the Victor Valley, and so I
16 think this is a good balance overall and it should be
17 noted on the record, rather than the Los Angeles to
18 Orange County if that's where the decisions had to be
19 made.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Di
21 Guilio, and then Commissioner Parvenu.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And I'll just speak
23 very quickly. I agree with what Commissioner Filkins
24 Webber said about the Lancaster Victor Valley, I think
25 that's a logical link there and I also think, even for

1 Eastern Ventura County, I know their preference would be
2 to go probably with Santa Clarita, but because this
3 includes the Eastern Ventura, if you were just talking
4 Simi Valley, I think there are more links, but by the
5 time you get down to Thousand Oaks and now the
6 incorporated Westlake Village and Agora Hills, there is a
7 very legitimate link to go that way and I think their
8 main concern with the Senate was not to be linked with
9 Malibu all the way down into Santa Monica, and we've
10 taken care of that. And I just want to make it very
11 clear, we have heard this a couple times yesterday and
12 today, there were some individuals that said, "We as a
13 Commission have given directions and it had not been
14 implemented," and this is the first time we have seen
15 these Senates and this is exactly what we have asked them
16 to do, so make no mistakes that the directions we've
17 given have been implemented by Q2 and there was nothing
18 else in between that, so, again, I think we have to step
19 up to the plate as Commissioners and say, "We've been
20 giving directions, they've been implemented, and for the
21 public to say otherwise is just very unfair to this whole
22 entire process." So I agree with this district as well,
23 too.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
25 Parvenu.

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And I thirdly agree and
2 I certainly want to echo what my two co-Commissioners
3 have said before me, this addresses that Malibu issue
4 that we've heard testimony as recent as today. This
5 district also -- and I agree, linking it to the desert to
6 the east makes more practical sense. There is an
7 arterial that goes east-west linking the Apple Valley to
8 the Antelope Valley, and there are certain common
9 interests in that region, the High Desert. And also,
10 this honors Commissioner Ward's position that we don't
11 use Orange County as an afterthought. So, I prefer this
12 iteration or Visualization than one that will encroach
13 beyond the LA-Orange County line, so that we deal with
14 Orange County uniquely and separately with Senatorial
15 Districts to the extent possible.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And I have one question.
17 How many majority Latino Districts do we have?

18 MS. BOYLE: We have the LAPRW at 50 percent, we
19 have the LAELA at 51.61 percent, and we have the LABLS at
20 51.52 percent, and we have the LACVN at 45 percent.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good. Start
22 us off somewhere.

23 MS. BOYLE: Let's go back up to the northwest.
24 We'll start at the top with the LAVV District. As
25 already noted, in the east, it stretches from Victor

1 Valley, west, and southwest to Santa Clarita where it
2 splits Santa Clarita. The majority of Santa Clarita is
3 in the district.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and who has got
5 this district? Is it Commissioner Barabba? Okay, I'll
6 get Commissioner Filkins Webber to do just the basic
7 narrative. It just has to be a sentence or two, it
8 doesn't have to be too detailed, just tell us why this
9 district is as it is. Or, Ms. Boyle, you can highlight
10 what's going on here.

11 Again, I'd like the Commissioners to just sort of
12 describe what the district is in a sentence or two.

13 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Well, if you're going to
14 have 900,000 people, this is a reasonable way to approach
15 the problem.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well said for all 40 of
17 them!

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And if there has to be a
19 split in Santa Clarita, what proportion of Santa Clarita
20 is split? Do you have a rough idea?

21 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment, please.

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I think we've taken very
23 good care of Santa Clarita and, if we have to have a
24 split because of this particular district, I think that's
25 reasonable.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Did you want to add to
2 the basic narrative?

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, I just want to say
4 the major east-west arterial here, the 18, which extends
5 west from Victorville, and the 138 that goes through Pear
6 Blossom and Little Rock, and some of those other cities,
7 serve as a unifier among these communities. They look
8 vast and far strewn apart, but there is significant east-
9 west -- there is a significant east-west transportation
10 corridor which justifies extending it so far in those two
11 directions.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
13 Barabba, anything else regarding Santa Clarita that you
14 want to discuss? Okay, Commissioner Filkins Webber.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I was concerned
16 about this split in Santa Clarita, which we just saw was
17 about 34,000 people.

18 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: What options are
20 there if we ask to consider making them whole? Where
21 would the population shift have to occur?

22 MS. BOYLE: Sure. I would recommend removing
23 it from Victor Valley and shifting it down through -- I
24 would like to give you back some of Upland.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Because we've

1 split Upland already.

2 MS. BOYLE: Ms. Mac Donald is concerned that
3 making any changes over here will mess up Alex's
4 districts, so --

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm assigned to
6 Alex's districts, so...

7 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So if we're putting that in
8 there, we have to take it out somewhere else.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And what about
10 sending more of it west with East Ventura?

11 MS. BOYLE: Is the Commissioner suggesting
12 removing Santa Clarita to East Ventura?

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
14 Webber, then Commissioner Yao.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: If you take it
16 out of the green at the Victor Valley side, you'd have to
17 pick it up in the district right below, which is Alex's,
18 where it says like Liddell Creek, correct? In that
19 district because that runs from Thelen [phon.] all the
20 way through San Bernardino.

21 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. If you
23 said you can pick it up in -- Upland split is in this --

24 MS. BOYLE: Upland is not split here.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It's not split?

1 MS. BOYLE: Not at this level, at the
2 Congressional level -- I mean, at the Senate level, it is
3 not split; at the Congressional is where it is split, if
4 I am not mistaken.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, I just want to be
7 cautious about moving east along the San Bernardino
8 County. We have split Rancho Cucamonga many many times
9 and at this -- in the Senate Map, at least, we're able to
10 keep them whole, we're able to keep them with the San
11 Bernardino County, so it really should be considered a
12 southern line.

13 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And what is the total
14 population of Santa Clarita?

15 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Guilio.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Very quickly, I think
18 this goes back to Commissioner Barabba's point, is that
19 we have respected Santa Clarita Valley area in a lot of
20 places and I think that, in here, being linked with --
21 going east is -- it's a balancing act. In this case, it
22 may have to be split a little bit, but I think the
23 consequences of going east are, as Commissioner Yao said,
24 they're significant.

25 MS. BOYLE: So less the population, it's

1 176,320 total population, of which 34,000 approximately
2 is already in the district. So it would be a movement of
3 about 140,000 -

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: So it would still have
5 an influence on that Senate District.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Go ahead, keep talking,
7 and make sure that - Ms. Boyle, what was the population
8 shift that might occur?

9 MS. BOYLE: It looks like it would be around
10 about 140,000 if I'm doing my math right.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, 140,000 -

12 MS. BOYLE: Or 130.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm seeing a lot of
14 nodding heads saying no, no. Commissioner Raya, then
15 Filkins Webber.

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I could not support that
17 change and it seems to me that that particular part of
18 Santa Clarita that is separated is not significantly
19 different from the area that it's in, and I also add that
20 I agree with, you know, we have tried to accommodate
21 their interests elsewhere.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And they are with
23 East Ventura, so Commissioner Raya is correct, it's not
24 dissimilar to the district that they're being put in
25 right now.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Dai.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: And I would also add that
3 the majority of Santa Clarita is with the rest of the
4 Santa Clarita COI, so it's almost complete.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
6 Parvenu.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I know we aren't going
8 to zoom in and deal with micro-level detail here, but I
9 am curious to know if that split is along the 5 and what
10 impact the split has on Stevenson Ranch in Santa Clarita,
11 and is Stevenson Ranch at least a community of Santa
12 Clarita kept intact?

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me get Ms. Boyle's
14 attention. So, the question is, at the Santa Clarita
15 split, is that along the Interstate 5?

16 MS. BOYLE: It's east of the 5 by Census Tract.
17 It can be refined down to the block level. For
18 Visualizations, I usually work at the tract level.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and we can work
20 with that next week. Commissioner Di Giulio.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I just want to put
22 it on the record, too, is part of the reason we can't
23 shift population around either is that we have the East
24 San Fernando Valley District that is set, so this is kind
25 of an assumption we're operating on because we know, but

1 for the public, in terms of shifting population, that is
2 why we can't go there.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So the district is
4 fine as is. We'll look at some of the smaller details
5 next week in terms of some fixes. Okay, we'll keep going
6 until lunch arrives, so let's just go to another
7 district.

8 MS. BOYLE: Southeast, or Southwest, we're back
9 to the EVENT District, E-V-E-N-T, which I believe was
10 previously discussed. So we'll move east to the LASFE
11 District. It is built around the San Fernando Valley
12 Assembly Seat. And this population was added in the
13 southwest corner to complete it as a Senate District.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any description --
15 Commissioner Barabba, do you want to add anything? It's
16 the core of the San Fernando Valley District.

17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I think, given the
18 nature of the Valley and the area we're dealing with,
19 again, 900,000 people, still representing that basic
20 structure, I think it's pretty well done.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Next, let's move
22 on, that's fine.

23 MS. BOYLE: Continuing east. This is the LASGF
24 District. It stretches from Silver Lake -- I'm sorry,
25 from Griffith Park to include Burbank, Tujunga, Shadow

1 Hills, La Crescenta Montrose, La Cañada Flintridge,
2 Altadena, Pasadena, South Pasadena, East Pasadena, San
3 Pasqual, Sierra Madre, Arcadia and Monrovia, jumps over
4 Glendora and picks up San Dimas, Laverne, Claremont,
5 Upland, and San Antonio Heights.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Raya.

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I was just looking that San
8 Marino is in the district, correct? Is it?

9 MS. BOYLE: Yes, I think I might have called
10 that as Pasadena -- San Marino is in the district, East
11 Pasadena is not.

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, thank you.

13 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I see the
14 farther west side, down in the Silver Lake Area again?

15 MS. BOYLE: Certainly.

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, my comment
17 on this one is I think, if we zoom out and look at the
18 whole area, I mean, the concept that we're moving in here
19 is a Foothill District, but I think as we get down on
20 this far southwest side, that argument is not holding a
21 lot of water, so I don't know what it would take to shave
22 off that southwest portion, really looking at that -- I
23 mean, we have to think about moving that Los Feliz area
24 somewhat as a unit, or maybe two portions, it's a lot of
25 population.

1 COMMISSIONER RAYA: You could possibly, I don't
2 know what the numbers are, if you moved it into the
3 LAELA. I don't know how anybody feels about looking at
4 Eagle Rock moving up --

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Oh, Silver Lake
6 is in. You know, we were looking at the larger scale and
7 it looked like Silver Lake was actually in the Burbank
8 District, so Silver Lake and Echo Park are together. I
9 could live with this.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.

11 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Along with East LA, but
12 I don't think you have any choice on this one. I'm not
13 sure if that is what you would call a coherent district,
14 but I don't know what the solution is given the
15 population.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Again, we are dealing
17 with Senate Districts, which have to take in 931,000 or -
18 - okay, Commissioner Filkins Webber.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I don't know if
20 it was mentioned, this is the Griffith Park area, so that
21 does have similar environmental concerns and equestrian
22 and recreation, so...

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great. Okay,
24 Commissioner Parvenu.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And we honor Thai Town,

1 I think their idea was to go east, so I just want to make
2 note of that publicly, that we received considerable
3 testimony, and we addressed that here.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and Thai Town is
5 not split, it's right at the border.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It's moved towards the
7 east, as opposed to west.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

9 MS. BOYLE: This is the Thai Town border
10 provided by CAPIFR. This pink box.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. The District is
12 fine. Keep going, the food is not here yet.

13 MS. BOYLE: To the LACVN, which is a
14 combination of the LA -- the Covina Assembly seat and the
15 Western San Gabriel Assembly Seat, and then it was kind
16 of blended to make it more consistent with COI, per
17 Commission suggestion.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Raya,
19 anything to add?

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No, the only thing that
21 we're still limiting, you know, to some extent, is just
22 the jump again over the -- you know, I don't know if
23 there's any way to smooth that out the way we did with
24 the Congressional, make it a little less of, again,
25 flying through the forest and come down at the other

1 side.

2 MS. BOYLE: It's possible.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, could we give
4 direction that says that - maybe to follow some of those
5 earlier suggestions? Or what would your specific
6 suggestions be?

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, what specifically
8 would you do? Would parts of Azusa or Glendora go in
9 this district?

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No, we did not split
11 Glendora in the Congressional, we kept it whole. So I
12 would say, if there's just some minor adjustment we can
13 make to make it more reflective of the Foothills and I
14 don't know what you would have to pull in elsewhere to -

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: But you are proposing a
16 split of a city? Is that --

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, you would have to
18 split Glendora.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so just in terms of
20 criteria, if we're serving a local community of interest,
21 we could split a city. Are we asserting a local
22 community of interest that connects, say, Duarte and part
23 of Glendora and San Dimas?

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, I'm looking at it in
25 the context of the Foothills idea because not all of the

1 city is adjacent to the Foothills. I don't know if it's
2 doable, I'm just --

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: What about doing a swap with
4 Arcadia?

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I'm raising the
6 issue simply as a ranking of criteria, because if it's a
7 local community of interest which is on the same level of
8 the City, we can justify, to maintain the community of
9 interest, a split.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And Arcadia's community of
11 interest is where it is, more so than in the district
12 below it.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Commissioner
14 Dai, you had a comment?

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I thought we had --
16 wasn't Arcadia part of the AD with Rosemead and Monterey
17 Park? So it seems like that would actually make the
18 communities of interest stronger if you swapped those
19 two. Commissioner Yao, do you have a comment on that?

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think we had Arcadia
21 definitely associated with the district below. It looks
22 like the Asian CVAP is -- I can't read the number - and
23 18.47, yeah, if it's a simple swap, and it looks like
24 it's pretty close in terms of having to split one of the
25 cities slightly, that probably would be a good exchange.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's almost a perfect swap
2 given that it's under-populated, so...

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Ms. Boyle, you
4 caught that, or do you need to hear that again?

5 MS. BOYLE: Yes, Commissioner, the direction is
6 to swap Arcadia for Glendora? And it is an even swap
7 because of the current deviation.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and you could
9 maintain the city's integrity in terms of the deviation.

10 MS. BOYLE: So, for Kyle, the direction is to
11 remove Glendora from the LACVN District and to add
12 Arcadia, instead.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. That's the
14 instruction. Let's keep going.

15 MS. BOYLE: Moving south, a label coming up,
16 this is our LRAPRW District, and it includes Montebello,
17 Pico Rivera, Rose Hills, Whittier, La Habra, South
18 Whittier, La Mirada, Norwalk, Cerritos, Artesia, Hawaiian
19 Gardens, part of Lakewood, and Bellflower.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I heard some sounds there
21 that may not have been picked up by the public.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Think solutions. Five
23 problems; think solutions.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, which is a good
25 point. If there are some changes that you want to -- and

1 maybe we should just do this over the lunch break, if you
2 want to think about it. So let me pose the question, if
3 there is some problematic areas here, how many districts
4 are we talking about that might be involved in some
5 concerns, so we can get a sense of --

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could someone maybe
7 summarize for us the problems first, and then we could
8 all be thinking about them at lunch?

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sure. That's fine.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Commissioner Blanco,
11 or someone who is from this area, if you want to
12 summarize the problems, so the rest of us can be thinking
13 about it at lunch?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, the problem is that
15 we've gotten a lot of testimony from La Habra about being
16 in Orange County and I was just chatting with
17 Commissioner Filkins Webber about where they were in the
18 Congress and the Assembly. I think we tried to correct
19 that, we got a lot of testimony and we put them back in
20 Orange County, so I'm trying to confirm that. Does
21 anybody recall that?

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And in the
23 Congressional one, it looks like they're in Orange County
24 for Congressional Option 1.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And what about Assembly?

1 Can --

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: With the
3 Assembly, they're with the Chino Hills District that went
4 to Diamond Bar. It doesn't look like they have been with
5 Orange County yet.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: In Congress --

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, one person at a
8 time, please.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: In Congress, I believe
10 they are.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: It looks like Assembly
12 and Congressional, La Habra is with Orange County. That
13 is Assembly SOCAL.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
15 Webber.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The Assembly is
17 the one that runs from La Habra to the west, it goes
18 right to Chino Hills, so you have Diamond Bar, and you
19 have
20 -- and there is Yorba Linda in there. I mean, there are
21 parts of Orange County that they have not been solidly in
22 an Orange County District. I have to look at the
23 Congressional, but at least on the Assembly, it's the one
24 they have multi-county lines on the Assembly level.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, are there other

1 issues? Commissioner Dai.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, I was just going to
3 say, this was an area where we've had conflicting
4 testimony because there was also a community of interest
5 asserted between Whittier, La Habra Heights, and La
6 Habra, so this might -- you know, I think we should think
7 about it, but it is one of the alternative configurations
8 that have been proposed by the public.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, are there related
10 problem areas that we might want to think about over
11 lunch that is now just arriving? Do people just simply
12 want to identify for when we come back from the break?
13 Commissioner Filkins Webber?

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: You said identify
15 the -- oh, how to solve it?

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: No, you can solve it over
17 lunch, but if there are some additional things that the
18 Commissioners should think about over lunch, you might
19 want to simply just flag them right now. Commissioner
20 Yao, then Commissioner Dai.

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: Just want to verify the fact
22 that La Palma is the only county -- district that swapped
23 places in the Senatorial District between Los Angeles and
24 Orange County. Is that the only city involved? Are
25 there other cities that are --

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: La Habra.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Boyle.

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: La Habra is also --

4 MS. BOYLE: Pardon me, La Habra is not in an LA
5 County District in this iteration. La Habra appears to
6 be the only Orange County city within the LA District --

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay, that's the only
8 intrusion between the two counties in the Senatorial
9 District?

10 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Which is pretty good
14 for over 900,000 people.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, and I just did want to
16 note, again, that Hacienda Heights has been part of the
17 Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights COI and that it's currently
18 in this District, as well, so that's another thing to
19 think about.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
21 Webber.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just had, just
23 to refresh my recollection as we look at this over the
24 break, out of all the iterations that are on those
25 Statewide Database, their active map, does anyone recall

1 which option Congressional Orange County that we -- was
2 it 1? Okay, so we'll look at it for Option 1, okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Giulio.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Just one more time,
5 I'm just curious, again, before we break for lunch, I
6 thought I heard a couple sighs, other than La Habra, is
7 there any other glaring issues, again considering --

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Hacienda Heights.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: What? That it shouldn't
11 be there? Oh, okay, not from my part. I was just
12 looking at La Habra because of some of the public comment
13 and if we want to go through the narrative for this
14 Senate District, I think in other ways it's really --
15 it's not quite a nest, but it's got traditional areas
16 together, Whittier, Montebello, Pico Rivera, South
17 Whittier, La Mirada, which we have a lot of testimony
18 about being with Whittier, a lot of testimony. Downey,
19 which, you know, in some iterations is with southeast
20 cities, but often times is viewed as being part of this
21 Norwalk, Downey, and I would say the same about
22 Bellflower, that Bellflower and Downey are sometimes
23 thought of as being grouped with Norwalk more than with
24 the southeast, it kind of depends. So I think this
25 really combines a lot of traditional neighborhoods and

1 cities in LA. Maybe other folks would want to say more
2 about this Senate District, but I think it's cohesive and
3 represents some traditional communities that are usually
4 tied together.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
6 Filkins Webber, I'll give you 30 seconds, then we're
7 going to break.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The Congressional
9 Option 1, La Habra is with Buena Park, Fullerton,
10 Placentia, Yorba Linda, and Hacienda Heights and Rowland
11 Heights are together on the Congressional level, so when
12 you're looking at those larger districts, they're all
13 together in Orange County, and Hacienda Heights is with
14 Rowland Heights.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Ward
16 and then we do want to take the break.

17 COMMISSIONER WARD: I was just curious, if
18 Buena Park is, you said, whole in this one, correct?

19 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

20 COMMISSIONER WARD: And Alex would have the
21 details on the Orange County side? Because I had a note
22 that showed on the Senate for them that Buena Park was
23 split.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay --

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could I ask for one

1 thing before we go?

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Di
3 Guilio.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just wanted to know
5 maybe west a little bit, and I wanted to check with
6 people --

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry, Commissioner,
8 please let's have one speaker at a time.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just wanted to check
10 on the west side, we've had the issue going back to the
11 airport, and I know, I just want to see how people feel
12 about, we've kind of made, as Commissioner Yao said, some
13 consistency with linking the airport, but I'm wondering
14 if this time, since the Inglewood area is split, if maybe
15 this is the chance for those other communities like the
16 Del Reys have asked to be with Winchester a lot and --

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Westchester.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Westchester, and in
19 this case, because in the Assembly and the Congressional
20 they're broken away from that area, that if we should
21 reunite them here in the Senate.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let's come back to
23 that after lunch. Ms. Boyle, how much time do you need
24 to work on that Congressional Visualization?

25 MS. BOYLE: Fifteen minutes.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and do you want to
2 have a time to eat, as well?

3 MS. BOYLE: I'll do my work first.

4 MS. MAC DONALD: Our food is not coming until
5 2:00, so we're fine.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let's go for 25
7 minutes for the lunch break, so we'll try to reconvene at
8 about five after 1:00.

9 (Recess at 12:43 p.m.)

10 (Reconvene at 1:10 p.m.)

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're back from break.
12 This is the Citizens Redistricting Commission. We're
13 going to keep going with our Senate Districts and, then,
14 when we're completed with the Senate, we'll return to
15 Congressional. Ms. Boyle has developed a Visualization
16 based on some earlier instructions. So let's go to the
17 next Senate District.

18 COMMISSIONER WARD: Chair?

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry.

20 COMMISSIONER WARD: I had a question about this
21 Senate District. Considering the outcry from La Habra, a
22 request to be made part of Orange County, I was wondering
23 if we might consider a Buena Park addition to that Senate
24 District and try to put La Habra back in. It looks like
25 there's eighty and a half thousand in Buena Park and

1 60,000 in La Habra. We would be switching county line
2 break, you know, just down south, so we're not increasing
3 splits at all. And it seems to align itself with the COI
4 testimony a little bit better, but Buena Park certainly
5 has some input that links it to Cerritos and Artesia, so
6 it might be a better fit with what we've received.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Comments from other
8 Commissioners on that? Commissioner Yao.

9 COMMISSIONER YAO: So you would split Buena
10 Park?

11 COMMISSIONER WARD: That is my suggestion.

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So there would be a swap
14 and then Buena Park would have to be split because the
15 population is about 20,000 higher than La Habra. Other
16 comments?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Does that work for Ms.
18 Boyle?

19 MS. BOYLE: I believe it would work. We might
20 have a slightly lower Latino CVAP, but if I can also
21 bring in La Palma and then split Buena Park, I think it
22 would be fine and I think La Palma has affinity for
23 Cerritos, but we could just do a Buena Park split, as
24 well.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we might want to

1 - we're sort of looking at the plus or minus what percent
2 on the CVAPs? Again, we'll have to document this as part
3 of our narrative that we're indicating that would be a
4 Section 2, but a slightly lower percentage than 50?

5 MS. BOYLE: It may stay the same.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Oh, if it doesn't change,
7 then that's fine, as well.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I would agree with
9 that switch, then.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we are good on that
11 district.

12 MS. BOYLE: Our next district is the LALBS.
13 It's also a potential Section 2 Latino CVAP of 52
14 percent. It stretches from Central, Florence Firestone,
15 Vernon, and Commerce in the north, south to include the
16 majority of Long Beach and the Long Beach Port.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, description of the
18 district?

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, as in some of the
20 Assembly Districts and some of our Congressional
21 Districts, this Senate District does a good job of
22 keeping together some communities that we heard a lot of
23 testimony, as a community of interest what are known as
24 the southeast cities, Huntington Park, Maywood, Bell,
25 Cudahy, Southgate, Lynwood. Obviously, because we have

1 900,000 people, it also in a sense has -- but if you
2 notice, the 710 picks up the bottom part of the Senate
3 District and goes down to the Port, so we did have a lot
4 of testimony from very early on in our whole process
5 about districts that would follow that transportation
6 corridor and, in particular, where possible to connect
7 that corridor to the port because of the traffic that
8 goes down to the Port. So, I think this district does a
9 good job of combining those considerations.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any additional
11 comment on the district? Okay, I'm good with that one.

12 MS. BOYLE: Continuing our westward movement,
13 we're now at the LAWBC District. It includes --

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm sorry, Ms. Boyle, before
15 you do that, in terms of the split in Long Beach, could
16 you just talk us through? Because there are a couple of
17 neighborhoods that we didn't want to split.

18 MS. BOYLE: Sure. It includes -- this is the
19 boundary with the split of Long Beach, so we have Eastern
20 Long Beach here with Orange County. Is that sufficient?

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think you have some
22 highlighted neighborhoods, maybe? Did we look at --
23 there are a couple of smaller COIs that were talked about
24 in Long Beach.

25 MS. BOYLE: I believe some of the neighborhoods

1 that you're speaking of are mostly here, we have Signal
2 Hill, we have a Cambodian community here, we have Ridley
3 Park, I believe, is here in the north, and they all felt
4 that they were more to the west and they were okay with
5 being split from East Long Beach, if I recall correctly.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good. We can
7 move to the next district.

8 MS. BOYLE: That is the LAWBC District.

9 COMMISSIONER YAO: I'm sorry, Chair. Let me
10 ask the question on Long Beach, is Long Beach only split
11 in two Senatorial Districts? Or is it split into three
12 at this point?

13 MS. BOYLE: I believe it is only two, but I
14 would like to confirm that for the Commission.

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: Oh, it is still split into
16 three.

17 MS. BOYLE: We also have this part here with
18 the Compton-Carson, there is a lot of COI testimony
19 supporting including this 11,000 people in Compton, so it
20 is, in fact, split between three districts, one, two,
21 three.

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: Does anybody else have
23 issues with splitting it into three Senatorial Districts?

24 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It's based on COI
25 testimony.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's based on COI testimony.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I think those are
3 tolerable splits, and I don't think anyone would object
4 to that. East of Long Beach is kept with like
5 communities.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I think we have
7 support for maintaining those lines. I believe the Long
8 Beach division is fine. So LAWBC.

9 MS. BOYLE: It starts in the north with the
10 split of Winchester, that was to prevent the Dockweiler
11 Beach strip. We have Inglewood is also split, Lennox,
12 Hawthorne, Gardena, Century Palms Cove, Watts,
13 Willowbrook, Compton, Alondra Park, Lawndale, Bel Air,
14 part of Torrance, the most northeastern part, the Harbor
15 Gateway neighborhood of LA, West Carson, Carson, Harbor
16 City, Wilmington, and all of San Pedro up to the Lomita
17 border.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So this district combines
19 a lot of communities of interest that we heard again from
20 very early on, Compton-Carson, West Carson, again, a lot
21 of testimony from very early on about these districts
22 going north-south, going down to the Port, and so we've
23 done that again in this district, and I believe that this
24 district contains the corridor, the Alameda Corridor?

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So that, which was
2 something we heard a lot of testimony about in terms of
3 going down to the Port in this district. I think that,
4 also, the numbers in terms of the CVAP, in terms of Black
5 CVAP and Latino CVAP are something that we've seen a lot
6 in some of the Unity Maps, in terms of this being a
7 balanced Senatorial District. I think Inglewood is
8 split, but it's been kept whole in every other iteration,
9 in the Assembly and in the Congress, and I think it's a
10 question of numbers, but the tradeoff is that we've got a
11 lot of other communities that were sort of split up on
12 the Assemblies altogether in this one Senate District and
13 the numbers look good.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao.

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: I can't tell and I do not
16 recall the data at this point in terms of splitting
17 Torrance, what it does to the Japanese American
18 population between Torrance and Gardena, but it looks
19 like the deviation is fairly small at this point. We
20 probably should be able to take care of that in the next
21 round.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so the position is
23 that maybe that community of interest, we don't need to
24 look at it right now because the deviation leaves enough
25 room to move it in, a move together?

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, that would be -- we
2 did have -- I didn't catch that, but we would try and
3 keep Gardena with its community of interest.

4 MS. BOYLE: Right now we have Gardena with the
5 northeastern portion of Torrance, it's the community of
6 interest with all of Torrance.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think what we've talked
8 about before when we looked at the Assembly, in
9 particular, was the Japanese American community, that
10 it's not all of Gardena, it's -- south of Rose -- and
11 it's not all of Torrance, so I think if we went back and
12 looked at the boundaries that we use for that community
13 and the Assembly, I believe, and could replicate that
14 within the deviation, that would be respectful of that.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
16 Parvenu?

17 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes, I agree. I'm
18 trying to pull this up on my screen now, but I think we
19 do honor that if I recall, looking at the street layouts,
20 I know that north-south, northeast on top of Torrance,
21 that dark green, that northeast is, I think, Redondo
22 Beach Blvd. and further north, I think we do honor the
23 Japanese community. I want to say, overall, that this
24 Senatorial District looks relatively compact on the
25 southern part of the LA Metropolitan area, it combines

1 various communities of interest that have forwarded their
2 testimony to us. Hawthorne is there, but it's located in
3 an area where there are certain similarities on the
4 north-south access and to physically move it would make
5 that area non-compact. I think that, in terms of
6 potential representation, this area has multiple ethnic
7 groups, Caucasian, Asians, African Americans, and
8 Latinos, and I think it's very mixed if you look at the
9 30-30 Caucasian -- close to 30 and 11 -- I think that
10 it's somewhat reasonable. And it respects that north-
11 south corridor. I think the State Representative could
12 make a very strong case to get STIP dollars, State
13 Transportation Improvement dollars, as well as SHOT
14 dollars and State Highway Improvement Projects and make a
15 very strong case for bringing funding for infrastructural
16 development in that region, and it includes the Port. So
17 I'm very pleased with this.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Dai.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Parvenu, I was
20 wondering whether Lomita fits better in this district or
21 better in the coastal district.

22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Good question. Lomita
23 is more of a suburban bedroom community that has close
24 ties to Rolling Hills, is mixed Caucasian, some Latino
25 and some Asian, and it identifies with the north-south

1 access, Hawthorne Blvd., and those areas and the shopping
2 centers, I know there are some strip shopping centers
3 there, so I think it fits better where it is.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: We already addressed the
6 issue of the Congressional District on the LAX Airport, I
7 don't know whether anyone else is interested in making
8 the LAX whole and tying it in with Inglewood. There are
9 no people there, so it's really not a population issue.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Galambos
11 Malloy.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: A couple things.
13 Overall, I'm really impressed with what we're seeing
14 here. I am appreciative of the fact that both at the
15 Federal and at the State level, we've been able to allow
16 the communities impacted by the Port to have some say in
17 the Senate and Congressional. I also would want to point
18 to what we've done in this configuration with Torrance
19 because, in this configuration, we've allowed Torrance to
20 also be with many of the coastal and South Beach cities
21 that we've gotten feedback on and, as we continue with
22 our Congressional cleanup, this may be a model that we
23 want to look at as an alternative. I think that, for
24 consistency sake, there's certainly an argument to be
25 made that the same flight patterns we talked about at the

1 Assembly and the Congressional levels still apply when
2 you look at the Airport at the Senatorial level, but I'm
3 also acknowledging that we have heard that the Airport is
4 a regional issue, so I think that there is some
5 flexibility there.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, is there any
7 support for moving the Airport into the LAWBC District?
8 Is there support? Or is it indifference? Do we have
9 opposition to that? Commissioner Di Giulio?

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I just, you know,
11 you're giving letters and, I'm sorry, I just cannot see
12 those numbers, they blur.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So LAWBC. And then the
14 recommendation is to move part of the Airport into this
15 District.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I still would like to
17 see -- it's not just the Airport, but it's also the City
18 of Westchester, too, that I think I would like to have it
19 linked with those Del Rey communities, we talked about it
20 extensively, and I think in the sense it would be able to
21 give multiple voices to this, to balance each other out,
22 as well, in terms of representation, for the Airport, you
23 know, there would have to be different representatives in
24 those communities that would have to work together, as
25 well, too, in regards to issues of the Airport.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so two proposals,
2 one is that we move the Airport into the LAWBC --

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'd like to put it in
4 the western.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm sorry, so you'd like
6 to leave the Airport in the Coastal District? Okay,
7 that's the other -- so leave it as is.

8 MS. BOYLE: It's currently split -- Westchester
9 is currently split.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I mean, there is the
11 issue of the Airport and there is also people in
12 Westchester, right?

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So we've got two
14 different proposals, Commissioner Di Giulio's is to keep
15 Westchester whole and put it in the LATVB District.
16 Okay, and Commissioner Galambos Malloy, do you have
17 something else on the table?

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: A third
19 possibility would be to take it and link it with the
20 Playa Vista Playa Del Rey, but put it in that LAVSQ
21 District, which also connects it with some smaller
22 portion of Inglewood, but definitely some of the
23 communities there.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Ms. Boyle --

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm sorry, very

1 quickly, you break off a northern part of its connection.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Ms. Boyle, the
3 first one doesn't have an impact to the population
4 because it's just the Airport. The second option --

5
6 MS. BOYLE: There will be some slight
7 adjustments, there is some population in this move, it's
8 not significant though.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. The second option,
10 then, would be to put the remainder of Westchester into
11 the LAPVP District. How much of a shift is that? And
12 what would happen to re-populate LAWBC?

13 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So in moving Westchester
14 wholly into LAPVP, the majority of the population of
15 Westchester is actually in the green district currently,
16 and the area that is currently in the brown is the area
17 that does not have a significant population. So,
18 shifting it here would be significant, but then we could
19 remove population and if we're removing it from LAWBC,
20 and we can pick it up through Torrance. So it's really
21 quite - any of those suggestions will work.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and then
23 Commissioner Galambos Malloy's proposal, I don't think I
24 could summarize very well off the top of my head. Would
25 you repeat it?

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: It would be to
2 essentially connect Westchester to Playa Vista, Playa Del
3 Rey, to the LAVSQ District, again, leaving Pershing on
4 the west side, and so we maintain the coastal corridor,
5 but essentially linking up north. And also, one of the
6 things I was thinking was that it makes sense to have it
7 connected with Inglewood, still, that same rationale
8 plays out. I think we would have an even stronger legal
9 standing if we followed a similar thinking around
10 something as significant as an Airport, or as a Port.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that seems to be
12 a three-district clockwise rotation. Is that correct?
13 Ms. Boyle, how doable is that?

14 MS. BOYLE: I believe it's very doable and
15 feasible within the current configurations.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
17 Blanco.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: As we're doing this, I
19 wanted to point out, I'm looking at the Unity Map for the
20 Senate where we left -- and now our district looks almost
21 identical to it, by the way -- and what they've done on
22 the side with the coast is they've taken out Torrance and
23 Gardena and then start with Lawndale in the district. I
24 mean, you can look at it, Nicole, and then they keep
25 Inglewood whole, so they kind of do -- they just go

1 deeper into that green district and then they keep
2 Westchester with the beaches, they keep El Segundo a bit
3 with the beaches, and then they go up. And the Del Reys
4 are all together. And it looks very much like what we're
5 talking about now, and it's done, and it's very similar.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can we ask for
7 those lines if they're easily accessible for you to put
8 them up? Because on our PDFs, I think it's difficult to
9 see the Unity Map details.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and that would be
11 sort of a fourth variation.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: So this is - it puts Gardena
13 whole into the beach district. It does look like it's
14 still cutting up the Del Reys, though. So I'm sure
15 that's something we could clean up.

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just a thought.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: In terms of, again, just
18 compatibility with what is going on in the surrounding
19 districts, Ms. Boyle, is the Unity Map a viable
20 alternative? This would be the fourth one, but is that
21 viable given the surrounding districts?

22 MS. BOYLE: They're picking up significant
23 population in San Fernando Valley for this Malibu
24 District, which may have affected what we're able to do
25 here.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, that's off the
2 table. Commissioner Ward.

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I was just wondering,
4 does that white line signify anything that goes from
5 Santa Fe Springs into - across Inglewood into Westchester
6 there? I don't know what that signifies.

7 MS. BOYLE: It's a transportation corridor.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I think it's the
9 105 Freeway.

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: Thank you.

11 MS. BOYLE: The white lines in some cases are
12 State Highway.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: It's probably the
14 Imperial Highway if it's not Federalized. Okay, so we've
15 got a bunch of options on the table.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, I'm still -- I
17 am interested -- we got significant public comment about
18 Westchester being with the Del Reys and being with the
19 coast, and we obviously have already split Torrance, so
20 it seemed like that could be an exchange there.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so let's go
22 backwards to the most recent proposal, so Commissioner
23 Galambos Malloy's was the most recent one, again, it's a
24 three district clockwise rotation, do we have a fair
25 amount of support for that? Commissioner Dai.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I just want to clarify
2 because I think Commissioner Galambos Malloy was
3 proposing that Del Reys and Westchester go inland and I'm
4 proposing it go in the opposite direction because,
5 otherwise, it's going to cut off our District.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I understand that, but
7 that's not what's on the table. Commissioner Galambos
8 Malloy's is on the table right now. Again, if it's not
9 sufficient support, we'll move on.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: But can you -- I need to
11 visualize.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Galambos
13 Malloy, can you describe it again, it's sort of moving
14 what is in the LAPDE [phon.] Westchester, sort of up into
15 LAVSQ and then there has to be an exchange from LAVSQ
16 down into LAWBC to -- but you might want to elaborate on
17 that a bit.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, my
19 question on this idea, though, is what our west side
20 connector would look like and whether it's possible to do
21 this through Dockweiler Beach, or once we get up north of
22 there, as we're looking to the west of where it says Del
23 Rey there, is that going to cut off our coastal district?
24 And, if so, then I'll take it off the table and my
25 preference would be with Commissioner Yao's suggestion.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Yao
2 has some of the Airport. Okay, so Commissioner Dai,
3 repeat your suggestion.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: My suggestion was to
5 acknowledge the conflicting testimony in this area and
6 give Westchester and the Del Reys a chance to be together
7 in the coast. So that's the opposite of what
8 Commissioner Yao was suggesting.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so Playa and Marina
10 Del Rey go into the coastal LAPBV -- what's the rotation,
11 in other words?

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: You could pick up
13 Torrance.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: What part of Torrance?

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that's also sort
16 of a clockwise rotation, is that correct?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: We could probably link
18 Torrance better back up with Gardena, the Japanese
19 American community.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Boyle, does that --

21

22 MS. BOYLE: If I understand correctly, we want
23 to move Westchester from the LAWBC District, and as much
24 of the Playa and Mar Vista Del Rey from LAVSQ, so this
25 district is under-populated, so it would probably go back

159

1 up and would either split Inglewood more, or it would
2 pick up over here in the southwest corner.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: And then it would push out
4 in Torrance.

5 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, so I don't think that we
6 could actually -- if we add Westchester in the Playa Del
7 Rey's -- to LAPVP -- we can't also add Torrance and
8 Gardena, it would have to go the other way.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: You'd have to switch
10 it the other way, yeah, switch it with Torrance.

11 MS. BOYLE: Torrance into LAWBC?

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yes.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba?
14 We've got a lot of no's on that one. Well, I didn't
15 count, but I got a good number of nos. But go ahead,
16 Commissioner Filkins Webber.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I concur with
18 Commissioner Dai for a number of reasons, because it's
19 not solely about inconsistency, we've respected
20 communities of interest at other levels, and we've done
21 so here at the Assembly level, and we've done so here at
22 the Congressional level, we just did that this morning.
23 So I would recommend that we keep Westchester whole, put
24 it with Playa Del Rey and Playa Vista, which that might
25 have been split at another level, and maintain the

1 coastal area because I still am not in favor of the
2 Dockweiler little skinny district for 900,000 people.

3 MS. BOYLE: I just want to bring the
4 Commission's attention to the fact that, if we remove Mar
5 Vista and Del Rey, and we add Palms Cove and more of
6 Inglewood, we're going to have a very high Black CVAP,
7 which we were asked not to do. I just want to bring your
8 attention to that.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you.
10 Commissioner Yao.

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: A comment to Commissioner
12 Dai's proposal. What you are proposing in terms of
13 moving the Airport in with Inglewood does not impact the
14 population of Westchester, it splits Westchester in terms
15 of having the Airport being split away from them. But I
16 don't think it has anything to do with the residential
17 portion of the Westchester.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Di
19 Guilio, and then I want to do a straw vote.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think it's not about
21 so much as the Airport as one aspect, but the other was
22 just trying to reunite the COIs, and we've heard a lot of
23 COIs, about the Del Reys, Westchester, all the way down,
24 and we haven't been able to do that in their entirety at
25 all at this point, so it's not -- again, we're not trying

1 to choose one over the other, it's just trying to
2 balance. And I think the issue, I'd like to see if maybe
3 Ms. Boyle has any options for us to be able to keep those
4 together, but not to raise the Black CVAP.

5 MS. BOYLE: We could pick up Hancock Park and
6 Mount Rose in exchange, right here, the Jewish COI.

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It goes where?
8 Where would you put it?

9 MS. BOYLE: With Crenshaw-Inglewood, in
10 exchange for giving Del Rey Mar Vista and Westchester to
11 the Beach Cities District.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That's
13 problematic.

14 MS. BOYLE: We maybe could all -- we have to
15 pick up -- I mean, this is Beverly Hills.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, let me just see --
17 do folks understand Commissioner Dai's proposal? Okay,
18 one more time, then we'll get a show of hands.

19 Commissioner Dai, could you just sort of repeat the
20 basics of your proposal?

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, and again, Commissioner
22 Di Giulio stated it correctly, this is not about the
23 Airport, it's about respecting competing community of
24 interest that we've received, particularly lately, a lot
25 of testimony about -- tons -- we keep splitting

1 Westchester, and it would be an opportunity to keep it
2 whole and with the Del Reys in the coastal district. I
3 mean, I'm happy to entertain suggestions on how best to
4 adjust the Crenshaw District, but the suggestion on the
5 Compton-Carson District is to adjust it in Torrance.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that's the
7 proposal. We'll take a little bit of comment here. So
8 30 seconds, Parvenu, Filkins Webber, and then Galambos
9 Malloy.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: You can let her go first
11 because I want to comment afterwards. I want to hear
12 what is --

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm not
14 convinced, and I wasn't even last week, that given the
15 area where people live, I don't think that trying to
16 prevent a 50 percent Black CVAP in a District constitutes
17 a community of interest, so in that regard, in order to
18 maintain the communities of interest that Commissioner
19 Dai has pointed out, just simply because the community
20 doesn't wish to be in a 50 percent district should not be
21 sufficient, you know, have that type of weight to disrupt
22 communities of interest at all levels of the maps that
23 we've been looking at.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Blanco, then
25 Galambos Malloy.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, I have to say that
2 I'm concerned with these -- not just the Reys, but this
3 whole area of El Segundo, Hawthorne, this South Bay Beach
4 coastal, we've pretty much not listened to a lot of
5 Hawthorne, Torrance, the Coastal cities, and I think
6 that, at least in one iteration, it's not only being
7 together, but I think we have people that have been
8 telling us --

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sorry, we have to keep
10 going here, so Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I have some
12 concerns around the proposed changes, if I'm tracking
13 correctly, where they came from, Commissioner Dai,
14 particularly as we look at the impacts, I mean, we're
15 talking about moving Hancock Park around into Crenshaw
16 area, which I think is not the direction we should be
17 moving. I also have concerns about what the connect --
18 community of interest by the time we put Torrance in with
19 Compton, so I think something closer to what we're
20 starting the discussion is, it makes more sense in the
21 big picture. I certainly note the concerns.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Raya.

23 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I was going to pick up
24 where Commissioner Blanco was cut off.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Go ahead.

1 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Because I've been sitting
2 here looking at this, trying to figure out how can we do
3 something for Hawthorne. And I know the whole argument
4 about, well, they're the last ones who came to speak, but
5 I'm not thinking about that, I'm thinking of really that
6 they are part of that South Bay COI, you know, I think
7 they made a compelling case for being part of the South
8 Bay, and we have really done a lot to try and satisfy
9 everyone else. Time already?

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, sorry. Folks, it's
11 about 20 to two and we're going to have to do a swap
12 around 2:00, so we have to finish up with Ms. Boyle. So,
13 Commissioner Parvenu.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'm going to talk so I
15 can get my time in. Okay, with regard to the Airport,
16 the Airport is about to go through a major expansion
17 project. The funding for that is going to come from the
18 Federal level, more so than the State level, we know what
19 the State's budget is, but so I'd like to keep --
20 conceding that we've made those considerations on
21 Congressional level, I can concede and agree with this
22 split as it exists. I'm concerned about the ripple
23 effect in that middle area, including Baldwin Hills and
24 Crenshaw and that area. Right now, that's very compact,
25 and I don't want to do anything to disturb that, causing

1 greater ripple effects at this late stage of the game.

2 I'm okay with that area --

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you.

4 Commissioner Dai, is this on your own proposal?

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, like I said, I'm open to
8 suggestions from other Commissioners on how to adjust the
9 mid-city district there. I believe Ms. Boyle also
10 suggested picking up Palms and Cove and going South
11 instead, so I think there are many different ways to skin
12 this cat and try to achieve the goal here, and we have
13 several cities that are already split, including
14 Inglewood and Lawndale.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do we at least have
16 sufficient specificity regarding what Commissioner Dai
17 has suggested to at least take a vote, or take a showing
18 of hands? Okay, those who would support and, again,
19 there may be some variations --

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can you clarify
21 the proposal for us again?

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: One more time, the goal is
24 to honor the significant body of public testimony that
25 we've received regarding Westchester being with the Del

1 Reys, as part of the coast, and making an adjustment
2 probably -- there are several ways we can make the
3 adjustment in the two adjoining districts -- like I said,
4 there's also, as Commissioner Raya said, and the idea of
5 also putting Hawthorne into that coastal district.
6 Lawndale is already split, Torrance is already split, and
7 there's an opportunity to move south rather than north.
8 I mean, I think there are a couple of different ways we
9 could make those adjustments in a reasonable way.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, can I get a showing
11 of hands in support of this? Six. Okay, that's not
12 sufficient to move forward with this, then. Okay, we
13 have Commissioner Yao's suggestion of putting the Airport
14 into the LADBC District, which has minimal population, or
15 no population indications, Ms. Boyle?

16 MS. BOYLE: Correct. The move into LAWBC is
17 the least significant of the population shifts of the
18 proposals I've heard.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And where is the
20 population the most --

21 MS. BOYLE: The majority of the population is
22 here. This is the boundary of Westchester, this purple
23 dotted line.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so that --

25 MS. BOYLE: It's approximately 40,000 people.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, I'm sorry, where is
2 the Airport?

3 MS. BOYLE: Sure, it's here.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So if you move the
5 Airport into LAWBC, what does that look like?

6 MS. BOYLE: It would over-populate this
7 district by about five or six thousand. I think there is
8 population up here.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and what would be
10 the exchange?

11 MS. BOYLE: Then we would need to remove
12 population from this district and add to this district.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

14 MS. BOYLE: So we could -- this district is now
15 over-populated, we could pick up through Torrance and
16 repopulate this district with just a two district shift.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao, is that
18 --

19 MS. BOYLE: We could rotate it around and do
20 three districts.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is that consistent with
22 what you'd propose?

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, I think in terms of
24 the movement of the population, we can even wait until
25 next week to address that, it's not a -- it's a street

1 movement. So for today's vote, I think we probably
2 should just look at it as no population impact.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do you want to take it
4 off the table, then? What do you want?

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: Well, I still would like to
6 see it coupled with the Inglewood, but if there is no
7 interest, then so be it. We took care of the bulk of the
8 problem with the Congressional District, so...

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I don't see any
10 other proposals. We'll go forward as is.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just going to put
12 on the record that I just think it's unfair that we've
13 balanced a lot of COIs in other places and the Del Reys
14 and Westchester, it's like, well, oh well, it's like what
15 we hear from the public, it's all about me, me, me, all
16 the time, and I just feel like, you know, we've done our
17 best to try and balance everywhere else, and there's an
18 opportunity to do it, and I'm just disappointed that the
19 Commission isn't willing to consider this balancing.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we're on the
21 record, thank you. Let's go to the next district.

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: May I ask one question
23 before we leave there? And I don't have to get an answer
24 immediately, but at some point I'd like to know the
25 population of Mar Vista and Del Rey.

1 MS. BOYLE: This area up here? Just a moment,
2 please.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Mac Donald, how many
4 districts do we have left for the Senate?

5 MS. BOYLE: I think it might be three or four.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I'd rather move on
7 to the district rather than doing this inquiry, frankly.
8 We can move on. Thank you.

9 MS. BOYLE: Okay -- approximately 60,000
10 people. Continuing to move north, would we like to
11 continue to discuss the LAPVD District?

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is there any additional
13 discussion on this district? We've covered some of it
14 already. Okay.

15 MS. BOYLE: Actually, I'm mistaken, I think
16 we've covered all the districts, except possibly the
17 LAELA District.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. All right, just
19 let's do that one, then.

20 MS. BOYLE: I think we did it already.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do you want to just pull
22 back and make sure we did cover everything?

23 MS. BOYLE: Yes, we did. Commissioner Barabba
24 pointed out that Silver Lake is with East Los Angeles.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, the Universe is

1 functioning as it should, I guess. Okay, if you're sure
2 we've covered all the Senates, then we need to go back to
3 the Congressional Visualization.

4 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, I believe so. Okay, this is
5 a cleanup flash reiteration of the Congressional
6 Districts we were working with this morning. I cleaned
7 up and added West Torrance in this part of the Harbor
8 Gateway to the IGWSG District. I reunited the Airport, I
9 pulled the additional population out through here, I
10 adjusted the boundary on the Port so that it does split
11 San Pedro, but it attempts to do it along the
12 Neighborhood Council Boundaries. Questions?

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, questions.
14 Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: No, overall I'm
16 very impressed with the way you carried our concept into
17 action here; the only refinement that I might suggest,
18 and inquire of Commissioners Parvenu and Blanco would be,
19 would it make more sense for Lomita actually to orient
20 northward and maybe adjust where the split in Torrance
21 is, again, because we have conflicting testimony that
22 Torrance can orient towards beach cities, or towards
23 South Bay. Because when I look at Lomita and some of the
24 other connections farther up north, it doesn't seem like
25 the best pairing.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Additional comments?

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, I don't necessarily
3 -- I just feel uncomfortable with this whole area, that's
4 all I can say just in terms of Torrance and who it goes
5 with and how, you know, Lomita is not going to make the
6 difference is my point.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Lomita, I see Lomita
9 having 20,000, that would mean shaving off 20,000 from
10 Torrance. If that is indeed the case, if the Commission
11 agrees, then the westernmost portion of Torrance -- or,
12 rather, the easternmost portion of Torrance -- would need
13 to go somewhere else.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Parvenu, hadn't
15 you previously stated that Lomita was better oriented
16 toward Rolling Hills?

17 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes, I was entertaining
18 Commissioner Galambos Malloy's suggestion and responding
19 to that, if we chose to take that up for consideration.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, again, I'm
21 deferring to the LA folks here, I don't know Lomita very
22 well. I do know what we've seen of Torrance and that
23 Torrance is an area that has both a south bay component
24 and a beach component. I don't know whether Lomita is
25 best placed north or south.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do we have any
2 comments one way or the other here? Can I get some
3 signals from the Commissioners? Does this work? Doesn't
4 work? Let me get a show of hands. Well, let's be clear,
5 so this is a modification of the previous Visualization.
6 Can you show us the previous Visualization for comparison
7 purposes?

8 MS. BOYLE: This is without the adjustment to
9 the Compton District.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Are these overlaid? I'm
11 sorry.

12 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, just a moment. This is
13 Original Option 1 where we had the split here. We still
14 have the split here. Lomita was partially in.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, now let me just --
16 we've got two options, and one of two options should move
17 forward unless there is something else people want to do.
18 Okay, let's do that, then. The new Visualization, the
19 one that Ms. Boyle worked on over lunchtime, a show of
20 hands that support that Visualization? This is the new
21 one. Okay, 11. That's sufficient to move it forward.
22 So we will - and I think that probably should be posted
23 at some point, it's a new - again, it was just
24 constructed a few hours ago, so we will have that
25 uploaded as soon as we can. Okay?

1 MS. BOYLE: A question, Commissioner? I'd like
2 to just clarify what the direction was for these
3 districts under this present iteration that we have.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so were any of
5 those affected by the changes you made over lunchtime?

6 MS. BOYLE: No.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so you want to some
8 direction regarding any changes in concentration of the
9 Downtown area or --

10 MS. BOYLE: Is that still the direction?

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Or any revisions. Okay,
12 comments regarding this issue? Now, again, there's an
13 issue of maintaining communities of interest within the
14 Downtown District vs. trying to even out or de-
15 concentrate it by moving some population to the adjacent
16 districts. I think COMP is not one we're going to move
17 to, but DWWTR is one possibility and, then, I think it
18 can move up or northward to - I'm not sure what the
19 District's name is.

20 MS. BOYLE: The LACVN District, this yellow one
21 in the northeast corner?

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So you would keep moving
23 out to that.

24 MS. BOYLE: I was going to attempt to do it
25 within these two districts.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

2 MS. BOYLE: But now that we have a split in
3 Rosemead, I can turn population through here, too.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and you wouldn't go
5 to the one to the north -- what is the one north of that?

6 MS. BOYLE: This is the ELABH District.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, with the East LA-
8 Boyle Heights District.

9 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, and this is a really clean
10 line here in terms of community of interest, at least
11 that's how I understand it. I have a split here in East
12 LA, but this district is just right where it needs to be
13 in terms of the Latino CVAP. So I would probably try not
14 to touch this district unless directed to by the
15 Commission.

16 MS. MAC DONALD: Can everyone please be very
17 clear for Kyle for what we're doing right now?

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So we can leave it
19 as is, that's one way to go. I think an alternative
20 would be to try to shift some population from the
21 Downtown District into the DW -- or do some sort of swap,
22 but --

23 MS. BOYLE: I'm looking at picking up East LA
24 and Commerce and then adding these communities to this
25 district and seeing what that might do, potentially in

1 terms of lowering the Latino CVAP in the downtown, and
2 raising it in the adjacent DWTR.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Yao.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: I'll make a -- I would move
5 to unpack the Downtown District providing we could do so
6 with just changing the Downtown District and the DWTR if
7 it is acceptable to split whatever cities that are
8 involved.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and could you just,
10 rather than have that as a motion, could you just simply
11 propose that? Okay, thank you, so it's not a motion.
12 Any comments on that or support for that? Sorry,
13 Commissioner Blanco.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think I've spoken to
15 this before, that the concern usually with over-
16 concentration, as I've pointed out before, is when you're
17 over-concentrating and then you're diluting an adjacent
18 district and preventing an opportunity to elect. I don't
19 think we have that here. So, I mean, if that's the only
20 reason we're doing this, we do have communities of
21 interest in this district, so if there is something else
22 we're trying to accomplish, that's fine, but if we have
23 communities of interest that are there, and it's going to
24 cause all this other stuff and the only concern is that,
25 I wouldn't support it for those reasons. If there's

1 something else we're trying to do, then you know, of
2 course I'll consider it.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
4 Galambos Malloy, then Commissioner Yao.

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm hesitant to
6 unpack it and I'll tell you why, it's not because the
7 Latino CVAP number, but it's because of the actual type
8 of community of interest testimony we got from this area.
9 It was along two lines, one was economics, and the type
10 of industrial cities that these are kind of trying to
11 remake themselves, and the second was around, if I
12 remember correctly, Casinos that are in the area. So,
13 both of those things together make me think, if we're
14 going to have an opportunity to link the southeast cities
15 at the Congressional level, maybe the place to do it, and
16 in that case, given the totality, I would feel
17 comfortable moving forward with this.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao.

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: Again, the only reason I'm
20 making this proposal is we did ask our counsel, the VRA
21 counsel, as to what we need to do with it, and the reply
22 is that we need to do something about it, so this was
23 yesterday after we identified the Congressional District
24 as being very very high and that was the Counsel's advice
25 to us.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Now, that was based on
2 initial communication between Mr. Miller and Mr. Brown,
3 and I don't think it's been replied to yet in terms of
4 Mr. Brown getting more information regarding the
5 reasoning behind it, which is similar to what
6 Commissioner Blanco has suggested. So it may be that we
7 want to wait for that to come back. And would the
8 Commission be happy with just sort of a conditional
9 response, if Mr. Brown says we should do that, we can do
10 something. And, if not, in other words, he says it's
11 fine, we can go forward as is? Is that okay?

12 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: As Mr. Brown has often
13 pointed out, he gives us advice, but we still have to
14 make the decision --

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right.

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And I think Commissioner
17 Blanco's point is well taken.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I'm merely
19 suggesting that, if there is some strong guidance to de-
20 concentrate, that we should at least give some direction,
21 but it's a contingent response based on Mr. Brown's -
22 again, if Mr. Brown says fine, we'll just leave it as is?
23 Okay, now, is there any particular direction we want to
24 give if we are going to do that, or should we leave that
25 to Ms. Boyle to simply make that exchange between the two

1 districts to adjust the population? Again, this is if
2 Mr. - this is the problem, if we get a response from Mr.
3 Brown before 6:00, we can just make a decision, if he
4 doesn't respond, it's left hanging is the problem, so I
5 would feel more comfortable if we simply left a
6 contingent out there so Ms. Boyle could do something if
7 we have to do something. Commissioner Forbes.

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would move it to the
9 southeast. I would not go west. I would go southeast.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Ms. Boyle.

11 MS. BOYLE: One suggestion, and I'm not sure
12 why I didn't do it in this original Visualization, maybe
13 because East LA is far from Hawaiian Gardens, but we can
14 move East LA into the DWTR District, it's not considered
15 a southeast city, and we could add more of Bellflower and
16 I'm pretty sure it would bring these two numbers closer
17 together.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: What does it do to -- all
20 of East LA?

21 MS. BOYLE: Currently, East LA is split,
22 there's a small split, we have this portion up here, this
23 red area, in the ELBH, so we have the remaining portion
24 of it in the Downtown District, it's right here in this
25 corner, and we could put it with Montebello, and then

1 remove more of Bellflower to go with Downtown.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins
3 Webber.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Are you
5 suggesting putting East Los Angeles with La Mirada and
6 Cerritos?

7 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I don't believe
9 there is any connection or community of interest between
10 the two areas.

11 MS. BOYLE: That's why I didn't do it
12 originally.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

14 MS. BOYLE: I just wanted to present it.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah. And, again, we
16 have to maintain consistency.

17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It's about the same as
18 Silver Lake and East LA.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're always trying to,
20 within this region, trying to maintain both community of
21 interest testimony as a basis, as well as Section 2, so
22 we want to make sure those are always aligned.

23 Commissioner Dai.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, if anything,
25 that's a lot more consistent with Mr. Brown's advice,

1 which is to rely on communities of interest, so what we
2 have here is a very very strong community of interest
3 testimony that has happened to result in a higher LCVAP,
4 but, again, it's surrounded by other majority-minority
5 districts and we're not going to get another district out
6 of it, so I'm very much in favor of leaving it the way it
7 is. I think it will be much harder to explain if we try
8 to contort ourselves here to reduce the concentration,
9 it's going to be harder to explain the community of
10 interest.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and again, Ms.
12 Boyle, if it happens that Counsel strongly counsels
13 otherwise, we can do that at next week's meeting, do you
14 think?

15 MS. BOYLE: The direction is to leave as is?

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes, but, in other words,
17 if we had to make a change, it could probably happen next
18 week in terms of an exchange.

19 MS. BOYLE: Thank you for clarifying. I was
20 unclear on if you wanted to see that.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we'll leave it
22 as it is for now. I'm just asking that, again, given
23 what we're trying to do next week, that that kind of
24 exchange is not too hard to do? Okay, so if we have to
25 do it, we can look at it next week. So let's leave it as

1 is for right now.

2 MS. BOYLE: That completes our presentation.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and it's 2:01. And
4 shall be take a break, then, perhaps? Thank you, Ms.
5 Boyle.

6 (Recess at 2:02 p.m.)

7 (Reconvene at 2:17 p.m.)

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we're back from
9 break. We've had to do a swap of Mappers and Ms. Boyle
10 is still here, actually, because there was a question
11 about one of the remaining districts. But since we're
12 waiting for our other Mappers to come in, I decided to
13 just have a little public comment period since we have
14 some time, not to waste certainly, we want to make sure
15 that the public gets a chance to speak, as well. So
16 we'll have a few minutes of public comment. Again, a
17 minute and a half each.

18 MR. HARRIS DAWSON [presumed]: Hi. Just some
19 notes on this morning. There was significant -- during
20 the course of the public comment time period, there was a
21 significant amount of live human beings as we call them
22 in art to distinguish between email addresses that can
23 come from anywhere, that came to your hearings and talked
24 about keeping North Long Beach and the Signal Hill area
25 together with Compton and Carson, and I just want to

182

1 remind the Commission of that because it didn't come up
2 in the discussion, as well. And then, we have an
3 increasing amount of concern about this sort of trend
4 that the last person who is here talking trumps all of
5 the testimony that came before it, and so we just want to
6 note that and put it on the public record; particularly
7 disturbing is the whole Hawthorne piece, we're not even
8 clear from our interactions with the Hawthorne folks if
9 they were reacting to the maps that we now have. We
10 actually believe that they were reacting to maps -
11 Visualizations that you all put out over the July 4th
12 weekend. So, we wanted to put both of those things on
13 the public record and, then, just a reminder that
14 everybody's comments should count and it should be
15 weighed against the things that are your priorities.
16 Thank you.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Great. Thank you. Next
18 speaker.

19 MR. SALAVERRY: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
20 I'll try to do this quickly. RCCAG Congressional Maps
21 were designed to solve several hot spot problems that the
22 Commission has in Northern California. I will not
23 reiterate our testimony, narrative, or maps. With
24 respect to the East Bay, we vigorously oppose the
25 attempts by the Tri-City COI to create district over the

1 hills from Fremont to San Ramon. This COI is centered in
2 Fremont around the sitting City Council member. This
3 Commission should be aware that the total East Asian
4 population in Fremont is in the neighborhood of 18
5 percent, but that East Asians have moved to San Ramon
6 where they are 11 percent, according to our Mapper. As
7 we have often stated, Contra Costa and Alameda suburbs
8 are welcoming communities that value diversity, however,
9 if the driving force behind the East Asian Tri-Cities COI
10 Congressional Maps is the ambition of one Council member
11 who wants to unite Fremont with San Ramon for a future
12 campaign for higher office, this isn't supportable. It
13 favors a huge COI against a tiny one. The Commission
14 needs to consider our COI testimony of over 300 letters,
15 over 40 public comments, and the efforts of our team to
16 create maps fair to all, not to just one narrow interest.
17 We mapped all of California north of the Tehachapi's to
18 make as many communities whole as possible. Tri-Cities
19 mapped only their narrow area of concern. Please
20 recognize the difference. Likewise, the testimony to
21 link Livermore to San Jose as a high-tech COI is
22 spurious. We have seen little community input for this
23 novel idea, which challenges common sense. And finally,
24 we also strongly oppose the attempt to make urban blue
25 collar Richmond whole at the expense of our suburban

1 communities. Richmond should be whole; we have solved
2 this problem elegantly.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right, thank you, Mr.
4 Salaverry. Okay, does anyone want to make a motion or
5 introduce the proposal? Again, we move forward when we
6 have a sufficient number of Commissioners in support,
7 either consensus or at least nine, if there is some issue
8 regarding what we've just completed, we can move forward
9 on something. Commissioner Dai.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it sounds like there
11 was some confusion about my proposal to link Westchester
12 and the Del Reys with the coast in terms of the
13 exchanges, and so I just wanted to explore and just make
14 sure everyone on the Commission understands what the
15 proposal is, and see if there is support to try to at
16 least recognize this competing community of interest that
17 we have received a lot of testimony about, as this is the
18 last district that we would have an opportunity to try to
19 respect that community of interest.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And show of hands,
21 how many Commissioners would support moving forward with
22 this exploration?

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: At the Senate level,
24 correct?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Uh huh.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And again, just a
2 reminder, this is on the Senate level. Raise your hands
3 higher, please. Ten, okay. Go ahead. And we have very
4 tight time limits because we need to move on to Northern
5 California.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I understand. I think
7 this is very simple, but apparently it wasn't explained
8 very well, so let me try again. So, again, one more
9 time, the idea was to unite the Del Reys with
10 Westchester, making it whole, and moving it to the coast.
11 And my suggestion was actually to go south with the Mid-
12 City District here. Somebody else had suggested going
13 north to Hancock Park; that was not my suggestion. My
14 thought was that Inglewood is already split, and so
15 simply moving south to make that whole, possibly
16 exploring the Century Palms Cove area to re-add
17 population back there. There might be a variant of a
18 proposal here in terms of Hawthorne and I think that may
19 be too much population, so I'm going to leave that alone
20 for now. And then, to do the fix in the bottom district
21 through Torrance, so to do a clockwise rotation in
22 population.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Ms. Boyle, was that
24 clear?

25 MS. BOYLE: I would like it repeated one more

1 time, please.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Certainly.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Sure, it looks like you
4 would add Mar Vista and Del Rey plus the rest of
5 Westchester to -- here we go -- rest at Del Rey plus the
6 rest of Westchester into LAPVB, and then potentially look
7 at reunited Inglewood or possibly looking at this corner
8 here, Century Palms Cove, using that to add to this
9 district here, the label, I don't know what it is
10 anymore, but the Compton-Carson District, and then making
11 further adjustments and I would propose in Torrance since
12 it's already split. Commissioner Raya had previously had
13 a suggestion to also add Hawthorne to this District, but
14 that may be too much population. But I think this might
15 be a relatively small rotation that would allow us to at
16 least respect that one community of interest.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Now, again, is that
18 clear? Because there are a couple of other "we could do
19 this, or do that," is that clear, as well?

20 MS. BOYLE: I would like to repeat back so that
21 I am clear.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

23 MS. BOYLE: So we are proposing moving this
24 green portion of Westchester into the LAPVB District,
25 we're also talking about moving Del Rey and Mar Vista

1 into the LAPVB District. So this district would be
2 under-populated, and so would this one, so we'll deal
3 with this one first. So the proposal, then, is to
4 repopulate this district by picking up Palms Cove or more
5 of Inglewood?

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

7 MS. BOYLE: Okay, and then we would need to
8 repopulate this district. And then the proposal here is
9 to add Torrance, more of Torrance, to the LAWBC District
10 to level off the population exchange. Is that correct?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, and of course, you
12 know, partly we don't know, we still would like if
13 possible to respect the historic Japanese community
14 between Torrance and Gardena, which I think is in the
15 upper corner there, but that was my proposal. I'm
16 certainly open to other adjustments and suggestions from
17 other Commissioners.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Chair?

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
20 Parvenu.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Ms. Boyle, is it
22 possible, or is it labor intensive for you to show us an
23 example of that in live time so that we can see what the
24 stats are?

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioners --

1 MS. BOYLE: I don't think so.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- 2:25, we have to get
3 back to Northern California, so that kind of
4 Visualization, I think, would be very problematic at this
5 point, however, Ms. Boyle, could you at least verbally
6 describe what you think would likely happen, and then
7 perhaps impacts on any of the CVAPs?

8 MS. BOYLE: Sure, well, just preliminarily, I
9 can say that this one will definitely go up, the LABSQ
10 will go up, and the LAWBC will go down, yes, the African
11 American CVAP here is 43.5 percent, and 30 percent, so if
12 you're removing Westchester and the Del Reys, that will
13 increase this number, and then picking up Palm Cove will
14 further increase it. So both moved in the direction of
15 raising the number.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So if you were
18 to estimate what ranges we would end up at -- or would it
19 be possible if we transition into Northern California
20 now, for you to run a rough cut and just let us know what
21 the stats are?

22 MS. BOYLE: Would the Commission like me to do
23 what I did last night and create a Visualization and get
24 it posted, and then send it to you?

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is the answer no?

1 MS. BOYLE: We can do it now or --

2 MS. MAC DONALD: I think the problem is just
3 when are you going to decide, it's basically just a time
4 problem, yeah, all the Visualizations in the world --

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So let me ask a question,
6 in terms of surrounding districts, in other words, if
7 there were two Visualizations that came to us next week,
8 and we simply had to decide on one, could we do that? In
9 other words, if there is no impact on any surrounding
10 districts?

11 MS. MAC DONALD: You know, honestly, we're
12 going to merge all of the files together, so basically
13 that we have one entire map, so depending upon what you
14 are asking us to do here, we're going to have to do this
15 twice, to have two entire ones --

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Understood.

17 MS. MAC DONALD: -- so, unless we make this
18 rotation when we have one map, and then look at it. I
19 mean, but I wouldn't want to come back with like two
20 options and two entire statewide maps.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, in other words,
22 let's look at what you just mentioned. In other words,
23 if they were merged and we could just start with the
24 original Visualization, the one that goes into the merged
25 map, is this the type of swap that could be done, again,

190

1 in that sort of same sort of context as street level
2 modifications?

3 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, I think we could
4 probably do that if we figure out the Visualization
5 beforehand, and basically no step-by-step what exactly
6 needs to be done. So, essentially we would have like one
7 merged map, and then a Visualization to go with it, and
8 then, if you want to go with it, we'll just implement it
9 here.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner, very
11 quickly, basically 15 seconds each. Commissioner Blanco,
12 Yao, then Galambos Malloy.

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: My only comment is,
14 before we go down that whole road, I want to point out
15 that I'm not sure we're solving anything by putting
16 Torrance more wholly in the LAWBC District. Those seem
17 like two cities, those two areas that really don't belong
18 together.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'll just say I agree.

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: All right, my 15 seconds,
22 that's in total contradiction with the previous direction
23 we gave in terms of trying to make the Japanese American
24 population whole, you're talking about getting more of
25 Torrance to couple with Gardena.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Galambos Malloy and
2 then Filkins Webber.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Concerns about
4 Torrance in the Long Beach Compton-Carson District, I
5 would prioritize if we're going to move that district
6 above, go for Palms Cove first, and maybe look at Century
7 City and Westwood, we would probably have a pretty
8 minimal impact on the Black CVAP if we did those.

9 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Chair? I'm also concerned
10 that the Japanese community might be affected if we move
11 that Torrance line.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: We're pushing it out, you're
14 looking at it backwards.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's maintain the queue,
16 please. Commissioner Filkins Webber.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: We do have
18 testimony that links Torrance and Gardena, so I think
19 there is likely other concerns that are not being spelled
20 out when that was pointed out, but the community of
21 interest testimony supports that.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner Di
23 Guilio.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: There's two things,
25 one is Torrance has been with Hawthorne and Gardena in

1 the other Visualization, so we obviously felt like there
2 is some link there, plus, again, to address Commissioner
3 Ontai's concern is, if you move that line west, you
4 actually are respecting the Japanese American community
5 because, right now, it looks like they are split.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do we have
7 sufficient support for the plan to, again, we certainly
8 will have the original Visualization in the merged map,
9 but then to have a secondary insert, is there sufficient
10 - given what Ms. Mac Donald - unless there is a change,
11 Ms. Mac Donald, what we just discussed would work, do you
12 think, in terms of, again, we can rely on this current
13 Visualization within the merged map, and then a secondary
14 one which would again just be the changes that we've
15 talked about, can be easily inserted into the merged map?

16 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, it will take a little
17 time, but basically what she would do is do the
18 Visualization and send it to you, and you know, we can
19 take a look at it, and then, if that is something you
20 would want to implement, then we would have to do the
21 implementation while we're sitting here, so that would
22 happen live, basically.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and again, there is
24 actually time prepare the Visualization, obviously, but
25 in terms of -- MS. MAC DONALD: Well, obviously.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: But in terms of the live
2 line drawing, it can just be sort of popped in?

3 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, you'd still have to go,
4 you know, spin your wheels and all that, but once we
5 figure it out, you know, which areas and which tracts,
6 and whatnot to move, then that's easier than to start
7 searching around for it.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And do we have sufficient
9 clarity on the direction for this alternative? And any
10 questions for Ms. Boyle regarding what the direction
11 would be? And again, our note taker is watching online.

12 MS. BOYLE: So if I understand correctly, I'm
13 doing nothing --

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: No.

15 MS. BOYLE: -- except preparing a second
16 Visualization in case you would like to implement it.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct. As long as you
18 are clear, and you've heard this a couple times, but
19 you're clear on what that alternative should look like.

20 MS. BOYLE: So just to be clear, I'm going to
21 come back to you with these original lines, less the
22 direction you have given me for other districts, and I
23 will prepare in the mean time, a second Visualization and
24 we'll show it to you, I'll send you the files, I'll show
25 it to you and if you want to implement it on the original

1 lines, we'll do that next week, but I'll prepare the
2 Visualization and the files and send them to you so you
3 can look at it beforehand and be prepared to discuss it.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and is there
5 sufficient clarity regarding what that Visualization
6 should --

7 MS. BOYLE: Yes, that Visualization should be
8 Westchester and the Mars and Del Vistas out of the LVSQ
9 District, and then options for picking up population, I
10 was given two different options, either to draw up here
11 into Westwood, Century City to make up for the population
12 removal of Mar Vista-Del Rey, or to come down here in
13 Century Palms Cove, or a combination of the two, and then
14 to -- that would under-populate this district, so then we
15 would pick up more of Torrance. Is that correct?

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is that correct? Okay,
17 and only one alternative Visualization rather than --
18 you're very good at providing multiple -- but for
19 purposes of discussion next week, it's the original and
20 then one alternative.

21 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so the clarification is, once
22 I remove population from here and here, where would the
23 Commission like to pick it up? Up here?

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: If I was to
25 choose, I would prioritize first on the Palm side, see

1 where that got you, then look up on the northwest side.

2 MS. BOYLE: Start here and then move here?

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do the other

5 Commissioners agree with that?

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I do.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Other Commissioners?

8 Yes? Okay, I've got sufficient nods of heads, okay.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I would just
10 point out that going further north on that district,
11 especially given how compact it is, you're going to
12 disrupt the community of interest in the LAPVB District
13 as far as the entire West LA, Hancock Park, Beverly
14 Hills, and put it with basically Florence Firestone. And
15 I don't know that that would be consistent, so going
16 north may be problematic and I would just caution against
17 moving too far in there.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we've got agreement
19 on that, as well.

20 MS. BOYLE: So if I understand correctly, then,
21 I will not be adding population to this district on the
22 north end, I will draw it south only?

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That would be my
24 preference, but that, again, is just a personal note, I
25 don't know what the rest of the Commission feels on that,

1 but that's just recognizing the communities of interest
2 that are remaining whole north of that line at this
3 point.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I think we've
5 gotten consistent suggestions here, so -- or,
6 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, is that consistent with
7 yours? I don't think it is.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think it is.
9 I mean, I think we would prioritize the Palms Cove side
10 and what I'm hearing Commissioner Filkins Webber say is
11 the farther north you consider going on that side, you're
12 really starting to disrupt communities of interest, so
13 maybe we might go slightly north, but I would also be
14 remiss to go so far, so, you know, I think Ms. Boyle has
15 a strong idea of what our considerations are and we'll
16 take those into account.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so don't go very
18 far.

19 MS. BOYLE: So is that permission to move the
20 northern boundary slightly?

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That sounds fine.

22 MS. BOYLE: Okay.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any additional
24 clarification regarding the directions?

25 MS. BOYLE: I think I understand.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, that you, Ms.
2 Boyle, we do appreciate you being able to come back for a
3 few minutes to help us.

4 MS. BOYLE: No problem.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

6 MS. MAC DONALD: Could I clarify which Mapper
7 you want to start with? We were going to start with
8 Jamie if that's okay?

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sure, and I don't quite
10 remember where we left off because we were on the
11 Senates, but I'm not sure where.

12 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, on the Senate. I just
13 need to make sure I know who to set up here.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's just pick up where
15 we left off, wherever that happened to be.

16 Good afternoon to our Mappers, good to see you
17 again.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Chair, are we
19 still live?

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Because I'm sure
22 that Kyle is watching, but I just wanted to give a
23 further note that, even though we recognized Nicole is
24 not here -- Ms. Boyle is not here -- just on that last
25 instruction, I was looking in a little more detail, but

1 just for the rest of the Commissioner members, the top
2 line of that Culver City District where we were talking
3 about not going into the West LA, you really just go a
4 matter of about 10 blocks and you're at Rodeo Drive and
5 Beverly, which I think everybody would recognize would be
6 too far north to go at Rodeo Drive and put it with Culver
7 City or the Florence, so just Kyle could note that going
8 too further north on that Culver City could be a little
9 problematic, and she could watch the boundaries of
10 Beverly Hills and Miracle Mile.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And as a resident of
13 that area, I agree with that.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you. So,
15 again, that's our note taker who is unable to join us
16 live here, but she is watching online and taking notes.

17 MS. CLARK: Hi, good afternoon. I believe that
18 last time we left off, we left off with the Yuba
19 District, but let's look at Sacramento County.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Forbes is
21 here. Thank goodness.

22 MS. CLARK: And, again, these are Senate
23 Districts. If we look at --

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm sorry to interrupt
25 here, but there is a lot of background chatter and it's

1 very distracting.

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That was Maria.

3 [Laughter] It was both of us.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioners, and
5 again, this is not a violation of Bagley-Keene, but if
6 you need to confer on something, you can do that, but
7 just be mindful of the fact that the meeting is still
8 going on.

9 MS. CLARK: So if we start with this District
10 SAC, Elk Grove Vineyard and Florin are included in this
11 district, and the entire City of Sacramento and West
12 Sacramento. The Census place, North Highlands, is split.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's a good district.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So this is the core.

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: When you say
16 "Sacramento," that's what you mean. No, I have no
17 changes to that.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay --

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Was that the one where we
20 added the Airport?

21 MS. CLARK: That is for the Congressional
22 District, and I can make that change by next time, but we
23 already did --

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: What I was referring to,
25 there was a comment that we had done the Congressional

1 and left the Sacramento Airport out of Sacramento, which
2 we had, and so I communicated with Jamie to put the
3 Airport back in. It required a shifting of 600 people,
4 so you'll see that, but that's what I was referring to
5 there.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, good, this is a
7 good Senate District. Let's move to the next one.

8 MS. CLARK: Thank you. I would like to look at
9 the Foothill District. It's Amador County, parts of East
10 Sacramento County, East Stanislaus County, and then all
11 the way down through Enyo, also as far south as East
12 Tulare County, and East Fresno County with North Fresno,
13 City of.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Forbes is
15 here.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, I think that's a
17 good Foothill District and Mountains. I mean, we did
18 have to grab population in the north, a suburb, but the
19 Foothill folks predominate in that district, and so their
20 community of interest will be protected and that was the
21 one we were trying to protect.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good.
23 Commissioner Di Guilio.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: A question, was this
25 the one where we had Rancho Cordova in, but now it looks

1 like it might be out?

2 MS. CLARK: Could you repeat that, please?

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Is this the one where
4 I thought maybe we had had Rancho Cordova in, but now
5 it's out, which would be a good thing?

6 MS. CLARK: There was direction to put -- part
7 of Rancho Cordova is in. Previously, just the lines in
8 this area had been different, and then I had gotten
9 direction last time to move the lines to split Rancho
10 Cordova.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I understand, I
12 just point that out only because I know that's not
13 something we would ideally do, to put Rancho Cordova all
14 the way down the eastern side of the valley, but I think
15 it is a population of a lot of people.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And, again, I just
17 reference the green district, which I know we're not
18 talking about that, but we have received some queries as
19 to how come the Senate is going so far south in
20 Sacramento. The problem is there's just not enough
21 people north of Sacramento, and so you have to come down
22 into Sacramento suburbs to populate your Senate
23 Districts.

24 MS. CLARK: And if I remember correctly, I
25 believe that the decision to split Rancho Cordova was to

1 keep the Vineyard, Elk Grove, South Fresno, API COI
2 intact?

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That is correct.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do we have any
5 pulls to modify? We're good with this district? Okay,
6 we're good.

7 MS. CLARK: Okay, I would like to move on to
8 this district, SNJOA, it's the intact County of San
9 Joaquin, the Lodi through Galt 99 Corridor is included,
10 and also Northern Modesto.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I think enough
12 said. No, I do think there is something because I should
13 just mention, right below it is a Section 5, so that's
14 where the split is in Modesto, and that is linked with
15 San Joaquin County, but I think in this case, a lot of
16 times as in the Central Valley, or kind of fit in after
17 we do some things to make sure we're compliant with our
18 VRA issues, but in this case, this was a situation where
19 San Joaquin County was able to be kept whole.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And are these the splits
22 -- I know I sent you some materials.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, no, I believe
24 that was in -- oh, I'm sorry.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Modesto is split here, as

203

1 well, right?

2 MS. CLARK: Yes, Modesto is split here, as
3 well. I haven't had a chance to map there.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, all right. But you
5 got the stuff about Modesto?

6 MS. CLARK: Yes, and I can, I guess, research
7 to find out where the street boundaries for those
8 neighborhoods are.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, it's just that I
10 sent four neighborhoods that are sort of the key sort of
11 low income Latino neighborhoods in Modesto, but it had
12 neighborhood names, not streets. So...

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, and that's maybe
14 something we could address next week in terms of the
15 level of detail. Okay, very good.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Just to clarify why,
17 Commissioner Blanco, as you recall, based on Mr. Brown's
18 advice, that in the AD, the split in Modesto had been a
19 little bit -- we were trying to pick up as much BVAP and
20 AVAP as possible, but he recommended that we didn't need
21 to do that, some of those cuts, quite as extreme. So the
22 suggestion was for Commissioner Blanco to work with Q2
23 about how to make a more responsible cut through Modesto.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, and just, by the
25 way, assuming we do get through the Senate and Board of

1 Equalization, and we have some time leftover, there are a
2 couple of cleanups I think we wanted to cover. That was
3 one of them. Okay.

4 MS. CLARK: But, to answer the question, the
5 split in the City of Modesto is different in this
6 Visualization than in Assembly Districts. It's a little
7 bit cleaner.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, I think we're
9 okay with that district. Yes, go ahead.

10 MS. CLARK: Okay, moving on to the Merced
11 District, this is a Section 5 District and also addresses
12 the Eastern Monterey Section 5 District. It's West
13 Stanislaus County, Merced County intact, Western Madera
14 County, or the Foothills of Madera County, Eastern or
15 Western Fresno County, it's west of the 99, San Benito
16 County intact and in Monterey County, the 101 Corridor
17 from Salinas to King City. This district hasn't changed
18 since the last time you saw it.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any further comment or
20 narrative? Okay, this should be fine. Thank you.

21 MS. CLARK: Next is the Kings Senate District,
22 this is also a Section 5 District, it's the southern City
23 of Fresno, which is a COI, and then this small area of
24 Northwestern Tulare County, also an area of Southwestern
25 Tulare County, Kings County intact, Northern Kern County,

205

1 Northwestern Kern County, then moving a lot I-5 to grab
2 the farming communities in Southeast Bakersfield and just
3 outside of Bakersfield.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any comments?
5 And, again, this is not changed from the last iteration.

6 MS. CLARK: That's correct.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, very good. You
8 can move on.

9 MS. CLARK: Next is TULKE, I have a different
10 plan where the more current line is loaded.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do you need a few
12 minutes for this?

13 MS. CLARK: No. This includes -- this is
14 complete and includes areas in San Bernardino and,
15 basically, including these areas made the tradeoff for
16 Senate or the handoff between LA and the rest of Southern
17 California much easier, it made the entire plan look
18 better. So, again, this is the Cities of Tulare and
19 Visalia, North Bakersfield including the rest of Kern
20 County, and then, area-wise, much of San Bernardino
21 County, we can zoom in to see where the split is.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Could you -- because I
23 think the version that you mentioned was not the correct
24 version is the one that, just on the interactive tool,
25 you might just because some folks watching online might

206

1 actually see the districts --

2 MS. CLARK: Yes.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And so they might not
4 be working with the correct file, and I want to just sort
5 of give us a little more detail so the folks are aware of
6 the boundaries.

7 MS. CLARK: Sure. So in San Bernardino, it's
8 different from the online Visualization in that, in San
9 Bernardino, it's a majority of, again, the County of San
10 Bernardino, the southern boundary all the way down to
11 Riverside County, just north of Desert Hot Springs, all
12 the way east. This Visualization does not include Big
13 Bear Lake or Apple Valley.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just would like
15 to make one note, it actually does include the lake.

16 MS. CLARK: Oh, sorry, but the City -- Big
17 Bear.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So that was one
19 cleanup between Ms. Woods and yourself that we'll take
20 care of later.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Very good. Do you want
22 to finish your description? Or are you --

23 MS. CLARK: That's it.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And, again, that's
25 because the online version, there was a discrepancy.

1 Okay, narrative or comments on the district?

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah. I think we all
3 recognize that the addition of San Bernardino County
4 makes it a very big and, obviously, down to the Riverside
5 County, it's a hard connection, the COIs, probably. But
6 I think what happens is trying to repopulate that
7 southern part of the valley has to go somewhere, and if
8 you go into Lancaster and Palmdale, of course, that has
9 ripple effects, and so this is probably the least harm,
10 the option that causes the least harm than the other
11 places in the state. So, I think that's where we're at
12 with this one.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It would be
15 interesting to note the Military installation is actually
16 from the bottom of that all the way to the China Lake and
17 Edwards, actually, now that I'm looking at it.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. We can note that
19 for the narrative. Okay, any additional comments? Okay,
20 very good. Next district.

21 MS. CLARK: This is the last Senate District
22 for me, and then Tamina will show her Senate Districts.
23 This is SBWVE, the entirety of Santa Barbara County and
24 most of Ventura County, including the Ojai Valley,
25 Ventura, Oxnard, the 126 Corridor, and the City of

1 Camarillo, Moore Park, Simi Valley, and Thousand Oaks are
2 not included in this Visualization.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do you need to
4 pull back a little bit? We're okay. Any comments?

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think this just
6 keeps the split, the integrity of the East vs. West
7 Ventura. I'll put my Commissioner Aguirre hat on, it
8 keeps the integrity of the East vs. West Ventura County,
9 and this is where we saw the Eastern Ventura County, even
10 though their first preference probably would have been to
11 go with Santa Clarita, the second option of going with
12 the West San Fernando Valley is still a very good link
13 there and it does address their concern of being linked
14 with Malibu and West LA and the Santa Monica Area, so I
15 think this is a good Visualization for West Ventura
16 County all the way up through Santa Barbara.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, any additional
18 comments? Okay, very good. Thank you. Okay, Ms. Alon
19 will be switching in.

20 MS. ALON: Okay, good afternoon, Commissioners.
21 We'll start in San Francisco where we have the entire San
22 Francisco County and the City of Daly City and the City
23 of Brisbane and just a little bit of South San Francisco.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

25 MS. ALON: This is District SF.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai, then
2 Galambos Malloy.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, it keeps San Francisco
4 whole and adds the API communities that are similar in
5 Daly City and Colma. Did you say South San Francisco was
6 whole in this one?

7 MS. ALON: No, it is split over here.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And we have been
9 able to respect the Golden Gate Bridge *and* the Bay
10 Bridge.

11 MS. ALON: Okay, this is the SANMAT District,
12 S-A-N-M-A-T, and I'm zooming out a little bit just so you
13 can see that I forgot to tell you that the Farallon
14 Islands are included with San Francisco, as well.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Great, and Treasure Island.

16 MS. ALON: And Treasure Island, yes, which is
17 inside this bubble right up here.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

19 MS. ALON: Okay, SANMAT District has the
20 Peninsula, most of San Mateo County -- actually, all of
21 San Mateo County, with the exception of Colma and
22 Brisbane, this northern area up here takes the entire
23 coast together, and through the freeway.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Again, as she said, almost
25 all of San Mateo County is kept whole here, and it looks

1 like we have a little bit of Santa Clara County in there.

2 But any city splits?

3 MS. ALON: Just South San Francisco in the
4 northern part.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: And I'm presuming that split
8 cannot be fixed through the deviation?

9 MS. ALON: Unfortunately, no. This is the West
10 Monterey District, WMONT, it has not changed since you've
11 seen it last. It takes San Luis Obispo and the western
12 part of Monterey County west of the 101. It comes up
13 into Santa Cruz County, takes the entirety of Santa Cruz
14 County, and then into Santa Clara County where it takes
15 Gilroy, San Martin, Morgan Hill, and a little bit of San
16 Jose. And that is for LVAP reasons.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And could you zoom in a
18 bit on the northern part of the district in the Santa
19 Clara Area? Could you get a little bit closer on the San
20 Jose part? The various neighborhoods, for example, Alum
21 Rock, Berryessa, or, well, Alum Rock and the east side,
22 where are those located? Are they in a different
23 district? Okay, so they're further up, I'm sorry.

24 MS. ALON: The Alum Rock area is up here along
25 with Downtown. Down on this side would be where

1 Evergreen Area stretches down and Little Saigon.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: What part of San Jose,
4 then, is in?

5 MS. ALON: Just the very southern portions down
6 here and this area, which is non-contiguous.

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Is there much population
8 there?

9 MS. ALON: Not very much.

10 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay, thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Again, and this
12 is a Section 5 District, which for population purposes
13 picks up the southern part of Santa Clara County and
14 covers Santa Cruz County. And Commissioner Barabba, do
15 you want to say anything about Santa Cruz County?

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I think this, given the
17 restrictions, handles it quite well. I was just
18 wondering, since we heard some concerns about San Jose
19 being tied to this district, I think they mentioned it
20 yesterday, whether we needed that population.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, the concerns that
22 were raised yesterday are further north, so we'll take a
23 look at those.

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, okay.

25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And I think there's

1 been some concern that we may have to look at the
2 Assembly Districts again, but we'll get to that in turn.

3 MS. ALON: This red box here is the Evergreen
4 District -- the Evergreen Neighborhood, I'm sorry. And
5 so, that's where this line came from is that the West
6 Monterey District had to come up to get some population,
7 as well as to help boost its LVAP, but the line is where
8 it is in order to preserve this community.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So the SJOSE,
10 which is much of Santa Clara County.

11 MS. ALON: Yes, SJOSE has the Evergreen and
12 Little Saigon areas of San Jose, and it has the balance
13 of Santa Clara County, Santa Clara, Cupertino, Saratoga,
14 Campbell, Los Gatos, they are not split. San Jose is the
15 only city split in this district, and then it comes up to
16 the Alameda County line.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And, again, we
18 do have to split San Jose, which is a City of about a
19 million people, it does have to be divided between
20 districts. It covers some of the larger cities of Santa
21 Clara County and, then, again, for population purposes,
22 and I think we might want to look at the boundary between
23 SJOSE and the purple district, whatever that is. There's
24 been some concern raised about making sure particular
25 communities of interest involving the Alum Rock, East San

1 Jose area, and making sure those are maintained with the
2 Downtown area.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I wanted
4 to acknowledge that we have some additional COI testimony
5 that clarified particularly at the Assembly level that
6 there were some adjustments, I would call them
7 refinements, that needed to be made to our boundaries to
8 respect those COIs, which I know was this Commission's
9 intention, so I have some of that information available
10 in writing, I can share that with Q2, and I would assume
11 if there were any refinements at the Assembly level, you
12 know, if we need to make the same ones at the Senate
13 level, that they would parallel each other.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And were there any
15 objections from the Commission regarding those? That was
16 my negligence, just in terms of I should have looked much
17 closer at the detail the other day, but I was Chairing,
18 so I didn't look as close as I should have. I apologize
19 for that. Commissioner Barabba.

20 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Can you show where
21 Downtown City Hall and San Jose State University is?

22 MS. ALON: Sure. Downtown is this blue block
23 right here, and I will put on San Jose State. And San
24 Jose State is this small cluster of Census Blocks right
25 below it. So this was drawn to keep the Alum Rock East

1 San Jose Area together with Downtown and San Jose State.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any further comments?

3 MS. ALON: I've also added Little Saigon in
4 here so that you can see just kind of how tight these
5 neighborhoods are in between each other, so this is
6 Evergreen and Little Saigon. And this is Downtown San
7 Jose State, the eastern area in Alum Rock, and this is
8 Berryessa.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

10 MS. ALON: So that is what created this line
11 was to try to keep the Eastern San Jose, Alum Rock area,
12 not split it first off, and then to keep it with Downtown
13 while not splitting Little Saigon.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: We know you're very good at
15 acrobatics.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And, again, if
17 we have time later in the afternoon, we may actually look
18 at the ADs, but there has been some suggestions, again,
19 not major changes, that I think the Commission is willing
20 to move forward with. You can go on.

21 MS. ALON: Okay, this is the FREOAK District.
22 It takes Alum Rock and Downtown, the Milpitas Berryessa
23 area and Fremont to keep that Fremont, Milpitas,
24 Berryessa COI together. It also keeps intact the Eden
25 area -- sorry, the Tri-Cities Area, Fremont, Newark,

1 Union City, as well as the majority of the Eden area with
2 the exception of San Leandro.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think this
4 Senate District is in some ways what we have been trying
5 to do at the Congressional level, but we really have
6 several overlapping COIs here that are connected
7 together, you have the southern and Fremont down with the
8 Santa Clara side of a COI, Fremont also connected then
9 with the rest of the Tri-Cities and with Hayward, and
10 then you have Hayward connected with the other cities
11 known as Eden, with the exception of San Leandro. And,
12 Ms. Alon, can you clarify, is there a split in San
13 Leandro in this iteration?

14 MS. ALON: There is a split in San Leandro,
15 this corner of San Leandro was taken off, it's about
16 7,000 people.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And we've gone
18 to many lengths to try and work out that split. As a
19 worst case scenario, we have looked at the street level
20 to guide where the most responsible split can happen.
21 But if we are able to identify flexibility elsewhere in
22 this iteration, of course, it would be our priority to
23 try and keep a city of that size whole.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, just the Oakland
25 District, is that a little bit too far over deviation to

1 -- yeah, okay.

2 MS. ALON: Point nine nine percent. I pulled
3 as much as I could in order to reduce that.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I was wondering why,
5 that's why. Okay, Commissioner Blanco.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just a question about, I
7 know that we had some comments about San Jose in some of
8 our iterations being too much with northern counties
9 instead of with southern Santa Clara Counties. We did
10 get that feedback, so I'm curious, in these
11 visualizations, how much of San Jose is in this sort of
12 Bay Area vs. Santa Clara, you know, when you look at the
13 city split?

14 MS. ALON: The only area which is included with
15 Alameda County would be this area over here, Milpitas is
16 66,000 over here, so, really, we're looking at -- this is
17 about 2,500 people in this area, and then I forget the
18 population of Berryessa, but really you're looking at
19 Berryessa, the Eastern San Jose, and Downtown area over
20 here.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: My understanding is
22 that this area is not heavily populated, this is densely
23 populated, so it wouldn't be easy to just, in other
24 words, you couldn't put this in the Fremont-Oakland one,
25 the population simply wouldn't work? Or how is that?

217

1 MS. ALON: You would be splitting Berryessa.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ah, okay.

3 MS. ALON: And the EQCA COI.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I mean, we did just get a
5 lot of testimony that it sort of faces Santa Clara and
6 not Hayward and Alameda County to have those cities, like
7 East San Jose and the neighborhoods of East San Jose, and
8 the downtown of San Jose actually linked with Hayward and
9 Castro Valley, instead of with Santa Clara. I am
10 slightly uncomfortable with that.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And these are tricky
12 populations because you've got, again, a large Vietnamese
13 population here that's mixing here, there's a Latino
14 population here, it starts getting more Asian again up
15 here, the Latino population is spread in a lot of the
16 areas here.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, you have
18 to remember as we're working out this direction, we have
19 a hard boundary that we're bumping up against the farther
20 south and southwest you go. Again, we've gone through a
21 fairly similar exercise at the Senate level as we have at
22 the Congressional level. I think none of the iterations
23 are perfect, but some of the things we have been able to
24 do well are linked with the COIs I already listed, and we
25 have been able to very well respect the hills as a

1 significant geographic boundary from Santa Clara all the
2 way up north, which I think is significant. Once we get
3 up to the district above, we'll have to look at some of
4 those considerations.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, I think this is
6 not ideal, but again it's a Senate District, there's a
7 lot of diverse populations here. Period.

8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: In essence, we don't
9 have a choice because we're locked in with Monterey and
10 Merced.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Exactly.

12 MS. ALON: If you were to take these areas of
13 San Jose and push them down with Santa Clara County, that
14 would, because of the lines here for Monterey, push all
15 of the population northward over the bridge.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Again, I think
17 we're willing to go forward with these districts, again,
18 not ideal, but none of our districts are absolutely
19 perfect.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And I should
21 clarify for the Commission and for members of the public,
22 our team has explored very thoroughly at all levels
23 looking at crossing the bridge. And I know that was
24 something that we had a lot of public testimony against,
25 but many of the challenges that we're facing in the Bay

1 Area are related to these hard boundaries around Section
2 5 and to the Golden Gate Bridge, you know, we've got a
3 lot of water, we've got a lot of bridges, and some very
4 large communities of interest. So there are some real
5 geographic and legal constraints here.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Is there any
7 additional discussion on the FREOAC [sic]? Commissioner
8 Dai?

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm sorry, what was the
10 question?

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We've sort of touched
12 on FREOAC [sic], do we need to add anything else to the
13 discussion here?

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I think Commissioner
15 Blanco has a good point, the only possible -- I mean, the
16 other thing that we're trying to do is protect the
17 Milpitas Berryessa COI, you know, as we pointed out,
18 there's the Little Saigon with Evergreen COI, there's
19 Downtown with the East San Jose, and by the time you've
20 had all those constraints, and we've tried to keep them
21 all whole, it's also difficult to try to put them in a
22 particular district on top of that, so I think that's the
23 challenge that we're facing. So the only thing that I
24 could possibly think of is if you want to do a population
25 exchange between those two districts, the FREOAK and the

220

1 SANJOSE district. But I think you would end up going too
2 far south.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just don't want us to
4 get, as somebody said earlier, sort of tired at the end
5 of the day and not realize that this is, you know, that
6 San Jose is really a Santa Clara, you know, city. And
7 this really, not only is San Jose split, but its Downtown
8 is in a district that is primarily an East Bay Alameda
9 Contra Costa District.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: One suggestion, which I've
11 seen before in some of the suggestions was to swap Santa
12 Clara with that part of San Jose, but I think you would
13 end up splitting Santa Clara. Is that right, or is the
14 population --

15 MS. ALON: I'm sorry, you want to swap Santa
16 Clara with which part of San Jose?

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: With Downtown.

18 MS. ALON: With Downtown?

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

20 MS. ALON: Hmmm, I don't exactly know what that
21 would do.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: That would be the only
23 suggestion I have is to do some kind of exchange.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is approximately half the
25 population in this district in San Jose in gross numbers?

1 MS. ALON: Could we just clarify something? So
2 you wanted to take the City of Santa Clara, the 116,000,
3 and move it into the blue, and then take out the downtown
4 area into the pink?

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think the concern was that
6 the San Jose should be facing south, rather than facing
7 north.

8 MS. ALON: Right, so if you pulled this line
9 down and then you pulled this line up, that's pretty much
10 what would happen, you would separate the downtown from
11 the East San Jose.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: What is the population
13 between Downtown and East San Jose?

14 MS. ALON: I can find that out.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Keep those two COIs
16 together and pull them down.

17 MS. ALON: This red area is 142,553.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And Santa Clara is
19 116?

20 MS. ALON: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: What's their
22 deviation? Not that much.

23 MS. ALON: Yeah, too big.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So I guess another
25 option is, there are a couple things, you could take the

1 Downtown and break it from East San Jose and put it --
2 going to Commissioner Blanco, you could take that
3 Downtown San Jose and orient it south, but you may have
4 to break the link with East San Jose and split Santa
5 Clara because you probably don't need all of that, so, I
6 mean, there are some options. The tradeoff is what's
7 more worth it, to have the Downtown and east together
8 going north, or to take them together, down, and break
9 more beyond Santa Clara, or even just to break Downtown
10 and put it south.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I would have
12 some concerns in a district of this size that breaking
13 off East San Jose, given the nature of the rest of the
14 District, will -- it's a severe tradeoff in terms of that
15 piece of the district.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would concur. I think
17 another variation, and I'm not advocating it, it's just
18 that the numbers would work, is to split out Santa Clara
19 and Cupertino are much -- that would just about balance
20 out that part of the red district, so the blue would wrap
21 around.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, yeah, Santa Clara
23 and Cupertino and going north.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think that's hard for
25 Santa Clara and Cupertino, frankly.

1 MS. MAC DONALD: That's 180,000.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, Santa Clara is
3 kind of right at the confluence there, but Cupertino
4 really is a Santa Clara County city.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: What's the one that is
6 southeast of Santa Clara, it starts with a B, I can't see
7 it.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Burbank, that's a
9 Census place.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: So could you do -- is it
11 Burbank plus Santa Clara, that would be a pretty close
12 exchange, you could get most of it.

13 MS. ALON: About 120,000 to 140,000.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could you shave off a
15 little of the red area while still keeping that intact?

16 MS. ALON: I can try. Which area -- where
17 would you like me to shave it off from?

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I don't know, I was
19 thinking maybe a little north of downtown? That doesn't
20 look to be a built area. Just suggestions, I just didn't
21 know, that's all.

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: Southwest of 680?

23 MS. MAC DONALD: Would you like to go through
24 this swap and just see if it works? Or where would you
25 like us to go?

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Maybe Tamina could
2 just show us how it would have to balance.

3 MS. ALON: Sorry, it's counting every single
4 person in Santa Clara door-to-door. [Laughter]

5 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Even the Census Bureau
6 was faster than that.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: So we've talked about the
8 district in the north already, what else can we talk
9 about? I think the district to the east is a pretty nice
10 district. Commissioner Galambos Malloy, do you want to
11 talk about it while we're waiting for it to count every
12 person in Santa Clara?

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Can you scroll at this
14 point, or is it frozen doing its thing? Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I can pull it up
16 on my laptop, hold on just one moment.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'll just talk until
18 someone else talks, but I mean, one reason why this swap
19 might make sense, again, is there are certain Silicon
20 Valley interests that are consistent, at least in the
21 southern part of Alameda County, so Santa Clara tends to
22 be a higher income population, more consistent with,
23 again, Fremont, Newark, Union City, but it's, again, part
24 of Santa Clara County has to go up into this district,
25 right, so we can't avoid that. So, in terms of sort of

1 similarities, I think certainly Santa Clara might have
2 more in common with Southern Alameda County than, say,
3 again, Alum Rock and Downtown in terms of the
4 demographics and the income levels.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Agreed. And, like I said, I
6 think Cupertino would be a harder stretch, but I think
7 Santa Clara is right in the cusp there, so...

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And the Burbank area is
9 more industrial, a lot of light industries, not too much
10 housing, a few mini malls there.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I could
12 say a few words about the district to the northeast and
13 Commissioners have the Visualizations posted online,
14 looking again at the Senate Nor Cal Visualization at the
15 - let me see what this district is called. Well, anyway,
16 it's the district called - oh, really, I thought it was
17 called Ramon. Yes, on my - yes, okay, to the east. So,
18 on the southern end, it starts, if I'm reading this
19 correctly, at the county line, we have Sinole, again,
20 this district we've really been able to respect the
21 integrity of the East Bay Hills, we've had significant
22 COI testimony regarding - so we have Sinole, we have the
23 Tri-Valley area of Dublin, Pleasanton, and Livermore, we
24 actually include all of East County Alameda, so we have
25 the 580 Corridor running right out to the County line,

1 continuing up on the 680, you have San Ramon, Danville,
2 the whole strip of cities, you have the Lamorinda area,
3 you have Concord, and then you have the Four Corridor
4 which we've had much conversation about, you have a very
5 large strip of the four together in this district, so Bay
6 Point, Pittsburgh, Antioch, etc.

7 MS. ALON: I'm sorry, we've had a crash, and so
8 I can start that again, or I can do it when you're done
9 with this.

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: When we're done,
11 that's fine. I would like to note on this district,
12 though, that as we look at the Contra Costa side, and
13 looking up towards the district directly north where we
14 see Pleasant Hill and Martinez, that we have had some
15 feedback from the public that, you know, this iteration,
16 pleasant Hill, Martinez area are not connected well to
17 their home county of Contra Costa County, so I think, as
18 we look at the districts immediately adjacent, we may
19 want to consider any changes there.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, we got a letter,
21 was it from the Mayor of Martinez, was concerned that
22 they were in the district to the north, not in this
23 district, and one - again, our choices are limited, but
24 one thing we could do because we've crossed the Bay here,
25 is on the eastern side, the Bethel Island, Oakley side,

1 you could conceivably pick those up and add those to the
2 blue district, which does have the advantage of being
3 part of the Delta, and put Martinez back in Contra Costa,
4 and they pointed out it is the County seat. And I do
5 think it's useful to have the County seat in their
6 county.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I would feel
8 comfortable with that.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: What's the population
10 exchange with that?

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh, I'm guessing it's
12 70,000 on one side and if you have to take Oakley and
13 probably perhaps as far down as Brentwood, that whole
14 corner.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The only thing I'd say
16 to that, and I'm willing to think that through, the only
17 thing is that area on the eastern side of Contra Costa is
18 always broken off and, in the other two districts, it was
19 always broken off, I think one time with San Joaquin and
20 one time it went north of Solano and this is the one
21 chance we had to keep that four corridor together, at
22 least until you get to Martinez. So I'm wondering if
23 there's a way to look at this, to put Martinez in, but
24 another option, I don't know if there is, but it's just
25 something to consider, I feel like that area has taken a

1 big hit, maybe it's time to [inaudible].

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Ms. Alon, I did
3 a very rough overview of the Ramon District, is there
4 anything else we should be aware of, or city splits you'd
5 like to flag?

6 MS. ALON: Let's see, there are actually no
7 city splits here in the Ramon District.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
9 Filkins Webber.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I wanted to
11 concur with Commissioner Di Guilio, she got her hand up
12 faster than I did, because I had just ran the Assembly,
13 Nor Cal, and again, Oakley and Discovery Bay and
14 Brentwood are split off and going north in the
15 Congressional, they're split off and going east towards
16 Stockton, and this was one occasion in which we could -
17 those are all communities that are in Contra Costa, and
18 this might have been one opportunity in which we can keep
19 them whole because Martinez is in this Contra Costa at
20 the Assembly level, even though I think we had some
21 issues at the Congressional. So, just as far as the
22 balance, at no other map level have these communities
23 actually been with Contra Costa.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, Commissioner
25 Galambos Malloy.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, given that
2 Martinez and Pleasant Hill are really at the intersection
3 of several districts, maybe we could have Ms. Alon walk
4 us through the other district so we can get a regional
5 perspective.

6 MS. ALON: Sure, here we can do Richmond,
7 RCHMD, where we have starting with San Leandro, going up
8 the 880 corridor through Oakland, out Alameda, Piedmont,
9 and Emeryville. San Leandro is the only city split here
10 going north, and Richmond also unsplit, San Pablo, Terra
11 Hills, Pinole, Hercules, and ending in Rodeo. There is
12 also a little bit of the Hill area over here, which is
13 largely unpopulated.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I think
15 the challenge here, as someone who knows the area pretty
16 well, is that on the northern end of the district and the
17 southern end of the district, you really have very
18 different communities, and I think we're looking at
19 similar challenges with the Southern Alameda County into
20 San Jose District. These are districts that have massive
21 population and, given that we have been attempting to
22 respect the Hills, the only way to go are north and
23 south. We've been respecting the bridges on the west and
24 the hills on the east, so these are the districts you get
25 when you make those decisions.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, other comments?

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, my only other comment
3 is, you know, we're not very happy about the split in San
4 Leandro, though we tried to minimize it, you know, we
5 even had Ms. Alon look at what would it look like if we
6 kept the Eden area whole; it kind of moved the problem
7 around the East Bay, and I don't know that that improved
8 anything. So, this may be, in fact, the lesser of a
9 couple of different evils. I agree with the comment
10 about allowing the four corridor to stay within Contra
11 Costa County in at least one incarnation of the map. If
12 you want to look at, again, Martinez is in Contra Costa
13 for the Assembly if you want to look at doing a rotation
14 around the top between the districts 1, 2, 3 -- it would
15 be four districts, a rotation, maybe moving --

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Orinda?

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it's a possibility.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm not good at these
19 rotations like other people here are, but what -- if
20 Orinda and Lafayette went to the west, and then you had
21 to take off the top of that Richmond District, and then
22 you went over, I don't know, it really does seem strange
23 to me to go all the way into Pleasant Hill and Martinez
24 from --

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think the challenge is,

1 even the whole Lamorinda area is probably not enough
2 population. And then, once you go past Lamorinda, you're
3 into another community of interest.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I ask if you
5 were to bring the northern border of the Richmond
6 District down and connect Rodeo north, would you be able
7 to make San Leandro whole? I have ongoing concerns that
8 a district of this massive size, that we have a split,
9 even though I know it's a responsible one.

10 MS. ALON: I actually looked at that, given
11 that it's almost roughly the same size as the split, but
12 it just creates -- if you remember how tight things are
13 down here, you'd have to be able to figure out where to
14 get that population from. And so, coming down and
15 getting it from here would be difficult without splitting
16 any other COIs, or I guess you could split one of these
17 cities in order to do it. And then, same thing, is you'd
18 have to be -- you'd have to probably split Pleasant Hill
19 in order to get the population back. It's going to go in
20 a big circle.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think --

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let Commissioner Filkins
23 Webber comment.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just, I don't
25 really have any recommendation, I just want to point out

1 that we have a very high deviation at 9,178 people, I
2 mean, that's at the .99 percent, so if in any of these
3 potential solutions we can balance these districts,
4 because I think we're short about 2,000 people in the
5 district to the south -- or, to the north, excuse me --
6 and then to the south was another almost 2,000 short.
7 So, it's just something we have to recognize given the
8 population deviation has been an issue and we've made
9 motions on it, and this is potentially the maximum of
10 what we're looking at, what could impact on a district of
11 this size.

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And Commissioner Dai.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: So one idea was, actually,
14 there was that other part of San Jose that you said was
15 not very populated, that was Bayside?

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: So let's see, that one is
18 also under-populated. No, I was looking at the south of
19 Newark.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That one you
21 said has about 2,500 population, largely -- yeah, that
22 one.

23 MS. ALON: What would you like to do with it?

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: I was trying to see if that
25 would help or not in terms of rippling it down and then

1 maybe evening out the population deviation.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And then maybe
3 adding something to that district elsewhere.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Because if we were able to
5 -- because, based on what Commissioner Filkins Webber
6 said, we could actually lose Rodeo to the north and still
7 be within our population deviation anyway, but that would
8 give us more freedom to ripple that down, potentially.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is this an
10 alternative you've already looked at?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think it's the wrong
12 direction, if I understand it, because we probably would,
13 since most of San Leandro is in the Oakland District, we
14 would probably want to pull that line down, so I think
15 it's in the wrong direction.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Have you explored this,
17 Ms. Alon, before?

18 MS. ALON: Yes, I've explored this. This is
19 quite honestly the best I can do for them with the other
20 configurations and direction I've been given.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I left the room
22 briefly, so we're sort of looking at the FREOAK and then
23 the RICHMOND and RAMON? Or we're sort of looking at
24 potential rotations to fix things in the region?

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah and, I

1 mean, I think we could give some general direction to Ms.
2 Alon to investigate further fixing the San Leandro split.
3 I know that she's already done the lion's share of work
4 here, so there may not be a lot more that we can do, but
5 just to give her that flexibility, keeping in mind the
6 different COIs that we have acknowledged here that we are
7 trying to preserve, so I think that limits the number of
8 places she could pull population from. And then, I would
9 like if she can to move north to the Solano District
10 because, if we are going to consider any changes with
11 Martinez, we need to have all three of these districts in
12 mind.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Chair, and the one
14 thing I do see, I'm troubled by having Martinez taken
15 away from the County, I recognize the importance of a
16 County seat. I'm not sure what we can do about it, but I
17 do recognize that, I think we had this discussion that,
18 even if it ends up this way, the Martinez, Benicia,
19 Vallejo, you know, there is a link, it's kind of like
20 that -- we've run into this in other places, right?
21 There's like a COI in the south and a COI in the north.
22 I mean, there's some connections, it's not as if they're
23 just isolated in one area, but yeah. It's always -- it's
24 hard -- sometimes we say "they're very different, it's a
25 problem," sometimes we say "they're very different, but

1 it'll give good balance." So, and I know when it's one,
2 it's the other.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, do we have - is
4 that consistent, or do you have clarity on the direction
5 here? It's not too much in terms of what Commissioner
6 Galambos Malloy has suggested, but I'm not sure if that's
7 Commissioner Di Giulio going against that.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Rambling Rose was just
9 rambling.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So you would not
11 agree with the possibility of looking at Martinez, is
12 that --

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, no, I think so,
14 I'd like to see if we could put Martinez back in. I just
15 thought, if we can't, I was looking at it in another way,
16 saying, well, at least there's kind of a grouping of
17 maybe communities down in the south that are together.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I'm just, I mean, I've
19 looked at this and I can't find a place to replace
20 Martinez. I could put it into Contra Costa, but I can't
21 get the population out of Contra Costa, and I can't
22 figure out where we would replace the population in the
23 Napa-Solano Senate District, or the wine district, I
24 mean, that's my problem.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: We've actually, you know,

1 we've looked at this a lot, we've been rotating like
2 crazy, and I know Ms. Alon has looked at it 10 times
3 longer than we have, and I think this was the best
4 compromise we could come up with. And while it may not
5 be optimal, Martinez is in Contra Costa in another
6 incarnation, so you know, we tried, we really did. You
7 know, this wine district also has Vallejo in with Clear
8 Lake, I mean, you know, we had this discussion last time,
9 that's really not optimal as well, but at least it has
10 the I-80 Corridor with some more urban areas there.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: As I said, the only place
12 you could take it is from Eastern Contra Costa, and I
13 completely understand why that's not considered to be the
14 preferred option.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And the City of
16 San Leandro was kept whole at the Assembly and at the
17 Congressional level.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, we're basically
19 largely looking as is at this point? Okay, so how many
20 districts have we covered given that instruction? Okay,
21 so we still have the sort of remaining question of the
22 Santa Clara City, San Jose swap. Ramon is stable?

23 MS. ALON: Yes.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And then, I'm sorry, the
25 Oakland one is the -- I don't see the label, but San

1 Leandro, Oakland, North -- that's stable?

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's stable,
3 that's the one we're acknowledging the San Leandro split.
4 If Ms. Alon comes up with a brilliant solution, we'd be
5 happy to entertain it.

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so we're back
7 down, so let's talk about the Santa Clara, San Jose,
8 Southern Alameda County question. Do we have a proposal
9 to perhaps swap out the City of Santa Clara for several
10 areas of San Jose?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

12 MS. ALON: If the Commission would like, since
13 this is the end of my area, I will work on this off line
14 and let Jamie get started on Congressionals, and then
15 give you a report back on how this swap worked.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, did we finish all
17 the Senates? Okay. And I hope I have a job when I go
18 back to work at Santa Clara University. I'll find out.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just want to register
20 that obviously I'll go along with it, but I am troubled
21 by that district with Martinez and Pleasanton and up to
22 Clear Lake, but...

23 [Adjourned at 3:38 p.m.]

24

25