

From: **James Wright** [REDACTED]
Date: Fri, Mar 18, 2011 at 9:15 PM
Subject: PUBLIC COMMENT -- Identification of presentations at Input Meetings
To: votersfirstact@crc.ca.gov

Commissioners,

I understand that you cannot request that presenters at Input Meetings provide their names and/or addresses.

Many will give their names, but some may not. Without that name (and address) it will be impossible to provide them with feedback on their request after the maps have been completed. i.e.. whether their request has been accommodated or not.

It is essential that each input element collected be uniquely identified for later labeling and tracking. You may hand out numbers at the door to provide sequencing among the participants. These numbers can be used for identification of their input information. i.e..

- "Pico Rivera 23"
-
- "Los Angeles A 201" (session one in Los Angeles)
-
- "Los Angeles B 15" (session two in Los Angeles)
-
- "San Jose 105"
- etc.

If an identification schema is not used consistently from the start there will inevitably be confusion later.

Jim Wright
a voter from San Jose

