Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending  Applicant
8288	Abrams	Albert		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
12450	Adams	Brian	E.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
8207	Alabi	Muslimah		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
18566	Alexander	Dwight	S.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
34145	Alexeeff	Valentin	Victor	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
29137	Anderson	Leighton	M	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
33200	Aparicio	Eileen	Therese	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
24213	Atiba	Jamal	J	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
18507	Austin	Ivo	F.	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
14838	Averett	Devrom	Randolph	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
8837	BAKER	ALDEN	L	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.

Page 1 of 14 07/19/2010

			142 A	Applicants Who Did Not Receive a Favorable Recom	mendation From Any Panelist	
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant
554	Bauer	Adam	Vincent	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
12588	Bedwell	Barry	J	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
2291	Beecham	Bernard	J.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
7023	Beggs	Robert	A.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
8975	Bernd	Julia	de Caradeuc	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
26704	Best	Edward	E	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
4936	Biggs	Julia	Hayward	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
30851	Bollesen	Craig	S.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
5392	Boone	Barbara	H.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
23489	Boyle	Colin	F	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.

Page 2 of 14 07/19/2010

Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending
					Applicant	Applicant
31484	Breit	Paul	G.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
27781	Brutsche	Peggy	Lee	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
1550	Burwen	Jason	Gabriel	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
2676	Byrnes	Dennis	V.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
26212	Chisholm	Dana	S.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
320	Coupe	Dennis	Franklin	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
6893	Da Vigo	Anthony	S	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
2712	Davenport	Nancy		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
11878	Davis	Tamara		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
4934	Dean	William	E.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
8838	Delvaux	Veronika	Renate Rita	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.

Page 3 of 14 07/19/2010

			142 A	Applicants Who Did Not Receive a Favorable Recom	mendation From Any Panelist	
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant
16755	DiPietro	Christopher	Dylan	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
165	Dithridge	Thomas	E.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
4528	Dodds	David	F	Eliminate based on applicant's self-withdrawal request.	Applicant withdrew from the applicant pool.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
30886	Dorr	Robert	E	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
17719	Durham	Gina	Marie	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
18262	Ezal	Kenan	Osman	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
23266	Fain	Rachel		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
20058	Flor	Paul	М.	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
2949	Foltyn	Martha	Т	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
7363	FUNG	robert	alan	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
13666	Gallegos	Roger		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.

Page 4 of 14 07/19/2010

					Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Applicant	Applicant
19545	Gansert	Hal	W	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
4313	Garrett	Shellie	A.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
18360	Georgi	Diane		Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of th California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
5023	Gerry	Alec	C.	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of th California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
11057	Goetsch	James	L.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
27179	González	Carlos B.		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
3810	Good	Gerald	Leroy	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
15539	Goodrich	Roger	L	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
32262	Grant	Surlene	G.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
22305	Green	Florence	L.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
6790	Green	Theodore	K	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.

Page 5 of 14 07/19/2010

			142 A	Applicants Who Did Not Receive a Favorable Recom	mendation From Any Panelist	
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant
16001	Groom	Raymond	Alfred	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
27428	Harris	Camille	Marie	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
15321	Heinritz	John	C.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
8467	Heinz	Jessica	F.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
525	Helldorfer	Sharon	L.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
5716	Henson	Douglas	R.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
17951	Hergesheimer	Elizabeth	A	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
29391	Hobson	Nekita	0.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
25735	Hodson	John	D.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
4902	Imonti	Janette	В	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.

Page 6 of 14 07/19/2010

	I		142 F	Applicants Who Did Not Receive a Favorable Recomb	·	
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending  Applicant
12606	Jensen	Darin	Mikail	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
32312	Jernigan	Jene	L.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
6604	Johnson	Elizabeth	Andrea	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
31684	Jones	Gerald	K.	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
7934	Kidd	David	Ray	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
34442	Kimura	Leon	S	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
5173	Kinzle	Douglas	С	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
10703	Klimmek	Dennis	M.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
4868	Kosmo	Frederick	W.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
6920	Kruse	Robert	G	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
11887	Kuniavsky	Michael		Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.

Page 7 of 14 07/19/2010

			142 A	Applicants Who Did Not Receive a Favorable Recom	menaation From Any Panelist	
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant
10528	Kunisaki	Dennis	M.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
11105	Lee	Carole	М	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
5093	Lemieux	Clifford	J.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
32083	MacPherson	Kenneth	Locke	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
8675	Mahalingam	Ravi		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
27204	Mallin	Anita	G	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
7441	Mann	Jeanette	W.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
11574	Matthews	Joan	R	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
11496	McCarthy	James	Francis	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
3882	McLaughlin	Donald	Hatch	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
26061	Mitro	Eileen	M.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.

Page 8 of 14 07/19/2010

<b>A</b> 10		<b></b>			Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Applicant	Applicant
13830	Neil	Amy	Patricia	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
10875	Newman	Michael	Louis	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
5481	Nichols	Marge	С	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
1183	Noll	Douglas	E.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
22445	Norris	Robert	Preston	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
22467	Noujaim	Mariam	E	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
4985	Oase	Christopher	L.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
21928	Ogden	Rita	Α.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
31682	Oh	David		Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
7443	Orme	Patrick	J.	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
6596	Osajima	Yasuo	Ronald	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.

Page 9 of 14 07/19/2010

			142 A	Applicants Who Did Not Receive a Favorable Recom	mendation From Any Panelist	
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant
3948	Parish	Clinton	Edward	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
15487	Parleto	Andrew	J	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
10121	Peterson	John	A.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
4793	Peterson	Steven	George	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
769	Pinard	Thomas	C.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
13265	Pirotto	Michael		Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
9734	Powers	John	M.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
10341	Pringle	Douglas	J	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
6172	Ramirez	Victoria		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
10123	Rancer	Michael	D.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.

Page 10 of 14 07/19/2010

					Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Applicant	Applicant
10815	Riddell	Adaljiza	Sosa	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
1085	Rife	Linda	L.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
8131	Ritchie	John	E	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
17579	Rogers	Linda	С	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
29499	Samuels	Jodi	М	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
5335	Schulz	Thomas	Joseph	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
5518	Schwall	Jeffrey	A.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
27673	Sears	David	М	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
25825	Sheppard	Glenda	Armand	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
5043	Spiegel	Charles		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
17148	Swehla	Ryan	S	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.

Page 11 of 14 07/19/2010

			142 A	Applicants Who Did Not Receive a Favorable Recom	mendation From Any Panelist	
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending  Applicant
16983	Switkes	Ellen	S.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
28400	Thomas	Charles	Н	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
4482	Thompson	John	P.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
876	Toran	Fritz	Reginald	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
25390	Torell	John	J.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
2429	Torres	Lawrence	Arthur	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
18639	Tran	Quang	Tue	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
17515	Unangst	Gregory	J	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
1629	Van Berkel	John		Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
3871	Van Meter	Peter	W.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
21271	Veenker	Vicki	S.	Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.

Page 12 of 14 07/19/2010

Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending
24077	Verrone	Patric	M.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant  Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
22113	Von Hendy	Phoenix	Marlene	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.
23227	Wachs	Martin		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
8146	Wade	Kevon		Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.
295	Walker	Glen	E.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
21670	West	Darryl	L.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
12734	Whipple	Karen	A	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
3354	Williams	Kourt	D.	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.
68	Wolford	Nancy	Elizabeth	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
19109	Woll	Martin	A	Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of republicans.
30568	Yamanaka	Nozomu	Yosh	Because candidate failed to meet the continuous registration requirement, this applicant is no longer eligible to participate.	Ineligible under 60819(a) – no continuous voter registration.	Ineligible per article XXI, section 2, subdivision (c)(3) of the California Constitution and California Code of Regulations title 2, section 60819.

Page 13 of 14 07/19/2010

	142 Applicants Who Did Not Receive a Favorable Recommendation From Any Panelist									
Applicant ID	Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Ms. Spano's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Mr. Ahmadi's Reason for Not Recommending Applicant	Ms. Camacho's Reason for Not Recommending  Applicant				
34529	Yannopoulos	Ion		Although impressive experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of other political affiliations.				
30314	Zesati	Rosalie		Although impressive work experience was described, this candidate is not sufficiently competitive to be considered among the most qualified to participate in the public interview phase.	Applicant is not comparably competitive.	Applicant is not as competitive as others in the pool of democrats.				

Page 14 of 14 07/19/2010