

BEFORE THE  
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the Matter of  
Full Commission Business Meeting

University of the Pacific  
McGeorge School of Law  
Classroom C  
3200 Fifth Avenue  
Sacramento, California 95817

Volume II

Thursday, June 2, 2011

3:14 P.M.

Reported by:  
Kent Odell

## APPEARANCES

### Members Present

Connie Galambos Malloy, Chairperson  
Lilbert R. "Gil" Ontai, Vice Chairman  
Gabino T. Aguirre  
Angelo Ancheta  
Vincent Barabba  
Maria Blanco  
Cynthia Dai  
Michelle Di Guilio  
Jodie Filkins Webber  
Stanley Forbes  
Antoine Parvenu  
Jeanne Raya  
Michael Ward  
Peter Yao

### Staff Present

Dan Claypool, Executive Director  
Kirk Miller, Legal Counsel  
Rob Wilcox, Communications Director  
Marion Johnston  
Janece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

### Consultants Present (\*Via teleconference)

\*George Brown, Esq., Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher  
Nicole Boyle, Q2  
Deborah Davis, DGS Budget Officer  
Ana Henderson, Q2  
Alex Woods, Q2

### Public Comment

Elizabeth Rinskoff-Parker, Dean, University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law

I N D E X

PAGE

**Public Information Discussion Topics**

1.	Public education plan	
	* Update on video	
2.	Media relations training	
3.	Media plan	
4.	Website/socialmedia	
5.	Communications strategy	6

**Legal Discussion Topics**

	[Coordination of Work between Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher and Q2]	35
	[Consideration of Additional Governance Matters, Publications by Commissioners and Consultants]	36
1.	Legal training regarding VRA, Public Records Act (PRA), and other relevant legal topics	
2.	Commission legal obligations and governance matters	
3.	Department of Justice Pre-Clearance	
4.	Review of Commission requests of VRA Counsel	
5.	PRA requests and status	
6.	Litigation	
7.	Racially Polarized Voting Analysis legal requirements	52
8.	Other legal matters	

	[Tracking Previously Adopted CRC Motions]	54
--	---	----

**Finance and Administration Discussion Topics**

	[Executive Director's Report]	59
1.	Status of Budget	
	* DOF response to \$1 MM augmentation	
	* Budget Change Proposal	
	* Budget vs. actual/variance report	
	* Commission per diem analysis (aggregated by month)	
2.	Staffing and personnel	61
3.	Information Technology	
4.	Facilities	63
5.	Management of Personnel and equipment contract	

	Public Comment	117, 125, 133
--	----------------	---------------

	<b>[VRA Attorney, George Brown]</b>	205
	<b>[Congressional District Discussion]</b>	378
	[Summary of Meeting]	403
	Adjournment	421
	Certificate of Reporter	422

1 June 2, 2011

3:14P.M.

2 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Greetings,  
3 Commissioners and members of the public. We are  
4 reconvening this session of the California Citizens  
5 Redistricting Commission. At this moment, we have  
6 decided that we are going to work a little later into the  
7 night in order to be able to keep making progress in  
8 providing line drawing direction to our technical  
9 consultants, Q2, so we took a longer break to allow  
10 Commissioners to change their travel plans. We are back,  
11 and we are joined on the phone by our VRA -- one of our  
12 VRA attorney team members, Mr. George Brown. And we are  
13 also joined by our gracious host here at the University  
14 where we have been meeting this week, and so we would  
15 like to invite her to say a couple of words on behalf of  
16 the institution.

17 DEAN RINSKOFF-PARKER: Well, thank you very much.  
18 I'm Elizabeth Rinskoff-Parker, I'm the Dean here at the  
19 University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law. And I  
20 can't tell you what a thrilling moment this is for me. I  
21 talked some months ago about the possibility that we  
22 might be able to host you, but nothing prepared me for  
23 seeing you here today. This is really extraordinary, how  
24 can I say this? This is our Constitution in action, our  
25 Government in action, in the very very best way. Now, I

200

1 have a feeling it would not be appropriate for me to ask  
2 each one of you who you are, where you're from, why you  
3 decided to volunteer for this process, because I know you  
4 have real work to do rather than just to inform and  
5 fascinate me. And so, rather than do that, let me just  
6 say thank you. Thank you on behalf of the law school for  
7 letting us host you, thank you on behalf of every citizen  
8 in California for the extraordinary work you're doing. I  
9 have a feeling I'm not unique in saying that I had, when  
10 I first learned of this process, long, I think, before  
11 you were selected, I thought this is just wonderful, what  
12 a good thing for a state to do. And now I'm looking at  
13 the people who are causing it to happen, and I must say,  
14 it's an overwhelming moment for me.

15 I asked Ms. Sargis when I came in, stupidly, I  
16 think, may I tell the campus about this because I think  
17 some of us, although we're not actively in session right  
18 now, would like to have the same excitement that I'm  
19 having in just watching you; and she reminded me that, of  
20 course, you're streaming this, this is indeed public, and  
21 so we can be public not just with the TV and microphones  
22 and so forth, but actually having some live people, and I  
23 think I may do that, I hope you won't mind. But let me  
24 end where I began and say that this is a really distinct  
25 honor for this law school, and I think I could speak on

1 behalf of every young lawyer, and every citizen in the  
2 opportunity we have to give a little support to the very  
3 very important work that you do. And so, carry on. And  
4 thank you. And thank you for letting me be here for a  
5 minute. [Applause]

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: It's nice to be  
7 inspired when we just realized how long the nights and  
8 how daunting the task is ahead.

9 DEAN RINSKOFF-PARKER: Where are you having  
10 dinner?

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Maybe you could  
12 work with Janeece to identify some options, that would be  
13 excellent. Thank you for your time! Put them all to  
14 work!

15 So, what I would like to do is to actually pick  
16 up where we left off before the break. As I mentioned to  
17 Mr. Brown, we were getting stuck in this one particular  
18 area that is heavily populated, has some significant VRA  
19 considerations, and I wonder if I could refer to the  
20 Commissioners who have been tasked with taking notes for  
21 this particular area, if they could help kind of  
22 summarize, rephrase back to us from the notes on  
23 questions, what we would like to ask Mr. Brown to weigh  
24 in on and then allow Mr. Brown, who has had the  
25 opportunity to analyze the map, to be able to weigh in.

1 I think Commissioner Raya?

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay. We're looking at  
3 Downey, Norwalk, Southgate Lakewood, and the Whittier  
4 Boyle Heights Districts, and have concerns that we're  
5 creating -- that there is a high concentration in those  
6 districts, working from there, the effect that this has  
7 -- that they have on each other. The Mappers attempted  
8 to unpack the concentrations based on our previous  
9 direction and I believe they suggested advice of counsel.  
10 And there's some concern about whether all the districts,  
11 in particular, the Downey Norwalk, is compact. So, we're  
12 kind of looking at how could they be divided or  
13 rearranged, I guess, would be a better description, and  
14 still be in compliance.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think another  
16 thing that came up, if I may add to that a bit, was the  
17 concept of how we look at unpacking some of these  
18 districts, and what that really means in a context where  
19 you have a series of districts all abutting each other  
20 that do seem to be fairly densely populated with various  
21 minority groups, and kind of what is the conceptual  
22 thinking or standard that we should apply as we progress  
23 through this suggested unpacking exercise. Would there  
24 be other questions? Commissioner Blanco?

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Hello, Mr. Brown. So there

203

1 was the question about the packing is about whether  
2 packing is as much a concern when every - all the  
3 districts around a district are sort of packed, or  
4 whether the concept of packing has a greater application  
5 when you're really dealing with an adjacent district that  
6 could become a majority district, but everybody is packed  
7 in a district, whether we have to give the same --  
8 whether the same concept applies here. And along those  
9 lines, I was wondering if you had suggestions -- I can't  
10 remember now what day it was, whether this was yesterday  
11 or the day before, but you had recommended to us perhaps  
12 looking at some of these areas in L.A. and not even  
13 thinking in terms of Section 2, but thinking in terms of  
14 neighborhoods, you know, and proceeding along city lines  
15 and neighborhood lines because it really didn't matter  
16 since the population is so concentrated, whether we  
17 should even be thinking of this in terms of Section 2, or  
18 whether we should just think in terms of neighborhoods in  
19 these areas of L.A. and that might lead to integrity and  
20 compactness of some of these districts without having to  
21 even call them Section 2 districts.

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So with that, Mr.  
23 Brown, I'll turn it over to you to provide some thoughts  
24 and then we can see what remaining questions exist  
25 amongst the Commissioners.



1           MR. BROWN: Sure. I have had a chance to review  
2 the maps that were created in the last iteration for L.A.  
3 County for Assembly Districts and Congressional District,  
4 and my understanding of how the districts were drawn is  
5 that there was an attempt to draw the district following  
6 city line, keeping cities whole, and neighborhoods whole,  
7 and being sensitive to not over-concentrating a single  
8 minority in any particular district. And assuming that  
9 the Mappers were successful in doing that, and that is  
10 something I would like to work through with them later,  
11 then my view is that they've done a very good job in  
12 creating a set of districts where, with a little more  
13 analysis, I believe we'll be able to conclude that the  
14 likelihood of successful litigation under any of the  
15 three categories of clients that I've talked to you  
16 about, is quite low.

17           And in particular, with respect to the areas that  
18 we're looking at now, I would not regard them or refer to  
19 them as Section 2 areas to the extent that the line  
20 drawers -- the Mappers -- have been successful in  
21 creating districts that follow the other criteria in the  
22 California Constitution.

23           What my thinking is, in L.A. County, is that  
24 there are three areas that require further study,  
25 including trying to do RPV analysis and develop RPV data,

1 so there is the West San Gabriel Valley Area, the San  
2 Fernando Valley East Area, and then we want to study  
3 whether there is Racially Polarized Voting among the  
4 African American population and other groups in the south  
5 and southwest Los Angeles Area. I think with those open  
6 issues, as I mentioned, we're getting to the point where  
7 we can start to get reasonably comfortable that there is  
8 not going to be a high risk of successful Section 2  
9 litigation or other challenges in L.A. Again, subject to  
10 verifying with the Mappers that they followed city and  
11 neighborhood boundaries and to the extent that followed  
12 communities of interest, that we have good support for  
13 those communities and the boundaries.

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Additional  
15 questions from the Commission? Commissioner Yao.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: Mr. Brown, by unpacking the  
17 districts and since the Los Angeles County is very  
18 compact, we basically have lumped cities to the east side  
19 of the East Los Angeles into these districts that are  
20 questionable in terms of having common communities of  
21 interest; in other words, we basically have sacrificed  
22 the community of interest in the interest of un-  
23 compacting the districts in question. The question I  
24 have for you is, it may be necessary for us to do it in  
25 terms of coming up with balancing the numbers, but is

1 that something that is acceptable, or something that is  
2 defensible when we are questioned with regard to the  
3 communities of interest criteria?

4 MR. BROWN: I'm having some trouble hearing. Is  
5 the question about whether the current iteration  
6 boundaries can be further adjusted to accommodate other  
7 communities of interest?

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: Negative. In order to unpack  
9 it sufficiently, we basically have extended the district  
10 boundary much much further away from the original  
11 district, including some of the cities that are adjacent  
12 to Orange County. In doing so, we're lumping cities  
13 together that have absolutely no common interest. In  
14 fact, we violated a number of their expressed intent of  
15 wanting to combine with other like cities, so if that's  
16 the case, I want to basically get your opinion as to  
17 whether the unpacking can be the justification for  
18 ignoring the communities of interest by these other  
19 cities. Let me give you an example.

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I just confirm  
21 that Mr. Brown heard the question?

22 MR. BROWN: Yeah, I believe I understand it, so  
23 let me try to give a comment here. As with so many of  
24 the issues, some of this will come down to the  
25 Commissioners exercising their judgment. I believe that,

1 to the extent the Mappers have been successful in drawing  
2 these areas by following the criteria in the other  
3 redistricting criteria in the California Constitution,  
4 then there is a lower risk of successful Section 2  
5 litigation. To the extent there is still a concern that  
6 there is some over-concentrations in these areas, I don't  
7 think that there is -- at this point, I don't see a  
8 significant litigation risk under Section 2, but if the  
9 Commission wanted to deliberate over whether they could  
10 reduce some of the concentrations consistent with the  
11 other criteria in the statute -- in the California  
12 Constitution -- I think the Commission would be free to  
13 do that. I don't think at this point I would be  
14 comfortable saying that the Commission must do that in  
15 order to comply with Section 2.

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioners Raya,  
17 then Parvenu.

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think -- I don't want to  
19 speak directly for Commissioner Yao, but I think part of  
20 the concern, we're both from the same geographic area, I  
21 think what we're looking at is the cities farther east --  
22 Peter, correct me if I'm wrong -- but that there are  
23 other cities not necessarily related to a Section 2  
24 issue, but other cities whose COI testimony is maybe  
25 disregarded in order to accommodate what we're having to

1 do here.

2 MR. BROWN: Erred to accommodate what has already  
3 been done? Or what is being proposed --

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes, well, what's proposed,  
5 the page that we're looking at now, in order to  
6 accomplish what we need to accomplish with respect to  
7 Section 2, we're essentially having to -- I don't want to  
8 really say "ignore," but we're having to disregard to  
9 some extent the COI testimony from other communities that  
10 are not Section 2 issues, but just nonetheless had a fair  
11 amount of strong testimony about where they want to be.

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Did you hear the  
13 question?

14 MR. BROWN: Yes, I believe so. I would say that  
15 the districts that are drawn currently are not required  
16 to be drawn that way under Section 2, so the question  
17 would be, what other alternatives are there that the  
18 Commission wants to consider. If the Commission wants to  
19 consider other alternatives, I think they can do that,  
20 and I don't think you are able to assess the litigation  
21 risk until we see what the alternatives are. And so, if  
22 I'm hearing you correctly, you're saying that the  
23 proposal that we're looking at, which is labeled "Region  
24 4, Assembly Detail 5," there is a concern that this  
25 proposal maybe is in conflict with some of the other

1 existing COI testimony and some other communities.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Correct.

3 MR. BROWN: And there's a concern about that.

4 And my view is that there is nothing that requires the  
5 districts to be drawn this way, so if there is further  
6 analysis that's going to be done, that would be an  
7 appropriate thing for the Commission to deliberate over  
8 if they want to.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioners  
10 Parvenu, Yao, then Di Guilio.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'll reserve my comment  
12 until we shift to another geographic region, until we  
13 thoroughly exhaust this.

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yao.

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: Mr. Brown, if I can refer you  
16 to that same set of maps on page 20, take, for example,  
17 the city of Cerritos there right next to Orange County on  
18 the purple block.

19 MR. BROWN: Yes, I see it.

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay, to say that Cerritos has  
21 a common interest with, for example, the City of Bell,  
22 which is identified as a city in the same district, close  
23 to the -- excuse me -- the duck bill -- is totally  
24 contrary to what we have received as the community of  
25 interest for Cerritos. So, the only reason we would draw

1 this district in this manner is strictly to attempt to  
2 unpack the percentages. So, if I hear you right, we  
3 shouldn't be doing that. Is that what you're advising  
4 us?

5 MR. BROWN: No, that's not exactly what I'm  
6 saying. First of all, I'm assuming that this area that  
7 you're referring to that includes Cerritos, that is  
8 labeled Downey Norwalk, complies with the California  
9 Constitutional criteria in that it includes whole cities  
10 and neighborhoods. And if that's correct, then the  
11 Commission is free to do that and the Commission is free  
12 to do something else. The fact that one of the factors  
13 involved in drawing it was to reduce an over-  
14 concentration of a single minority group, I think that's  
15 okay in drawing that. If the Commission doesn't want to  
16 do that, the Commission is free to come up with some  
17 other alternative for how to do that. But as drawn, I  
18 don't -- two points, one is that it's not required to be  
19 drawn in this particular way under Section 2 of the  
20 Voting Rights Act; at the same time, assuming that all  
21 the other criteria are met, there is no reason that it  
22 can't be drawn in this particular manner.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
24 Guilio.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, to follow-up exactly

1 on that point, so it doesn't require us to draw them in  
2 this particular way, meaning that if it's at the expense  
3 of some of our other criteria like following COI  
4 testimony, but what does it require us to do? I  
5 understand that it may not have to follow just like this,  
6 but I'm assuming that there's some baseline that your  
7 recommendation is that we do have to draw some type of  
8 Section 2? If that correct? And if so, this is the  
9 question earlier, what level of packing is allowed? At  
10 what point do you balance the prevention of packing with  
11 trying to respect COI testimony so that we can do both?  
12 I guess I'm asking you, is there a baseline number of  
13 Section 2 that you think we have to have here? Because  
14 if it has to be drawn - it doesn't have to be drawn this  
15 way, are you saying that we do have to draw it another  
16 way, or we're free to do whatever we want?

17 MR. BROWN: My view is that, to the extent -- I'm  
18 sorry if I repeat myself several times, but my view has  
19 been that, to the extent you can draw preliminarily  
20 districts in Los Angeles County using the non-Voting  
21 Rights Act criteria, and then assess the number of  
22 districts you end up with, that have a sufficient number  
23 of majority/minority districts in them so that you have  
24 minimized your litigation risks, that's a good position  
25 to be in because then you can defend all of the districts



1 on the traditional redistricting criteria in the  
2 California Constitution, other than race. I think that  
3 there is probably a range of majority-minority Latino  
4 districts that you could draw and have a lower litigation  
5 risk, and I think you're within that range now. That  
6 doesn't mean -- as I keep saying, that doesn't mean this  
7 is the only way to draw it, and so if the Commissioners  
8 believe that Cerritos, based on the public testimony  
9 shouldn't be grouped with the cities that it's grouped  
10 with, then the Commissioners are free to have the Mappers  
11 come up with some other alternatives. But I think that,  
12 then, what we need to do is assess, after that other  
13 alternative is determined, how do we feel about the  
14 number of districts at that point in time, as well as how  
15 do we feel about situations where there is a potential  
16 concern about an over-concentration. I hesitate to call  
17 it "packing" where you're following the other traditional  
18 criteria in the California Constitution.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Are there  
20 additional questions at this point? Or does the  
21 Commission feel comfortable that we may be able to  
22 provide some guidance on this district to Q2? I'm seeing  
23 nods in the audience that we may be able to provide some  
24 direction on this specific cluster of districts. So with  
25 that, I'll open the floor. Commissioner Dai.

1           COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I think, for example, if  
2 we look at the Downey Norwalk district, you know, if we  
3 were to add La Palma, that would respect the COI  
4 testimony we had about Artesia, Cerritos, and La Palma.  
5 I think I recall some testimony in Long Beach that said,  
6 you know, kind of north of Long Beach you can kind of put  
7 these districts together, it probably would not have been  
8 in this particular configuration, and there might be some  
9 concerns about compactness here. So I guess my question  
10 to the Mappers would be, and my So Cal Commissioners can  
11 help me out here, if we were to keep Artesia, Cerritos,  
12 and La Palma home, and then what, include Lakewood,  
13 Bellflower, Norwalk? I mean, if we went up in a  
14 different way, I'm just wondering what the LCVAP would be  
15 for a district that went a little more east-west, even  
16 though it's not as much of a concentration, I'm just  
17 wondering if we're going to be at over 50 percent anyway.

18           MS. BOYLE: I believe I can do it, it's going to  
19 be the low 50s. When I was investigating this area,  
20 that's what the configuration looked like that actually  
21 went the other way, and then you get more of a "U," so  
22 that Walnut Park, Bell Gardens would go up with Pico  
23 Rivera. And then this boundary right here would go more  
24 this way.

25           COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I guess my question to the

1 Commissioners, if you know this area better, is that a  
2 little better grouping from a neighborhood standpoint? I  
3 think it still achieves the same goal, I mean, at the end  
4 we would still end up with two districts that have a  
5 majority Latino CVAP.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
7 Blanco.

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And if we were going to do  
9 that, in looking at other -- in looking at sort of the  
10 traditional criteria of communities of interest, I think  
11 it was Commissioner Yao who urged us to look at some of  
12 these cities, or maybe it was Commissioner Parvenu, and  
13 maybe you can speak to this, Commissioner Parvenu, that  
14 there are cities in this whole Southgate Bell Commerce  
15 area that have some -- that form a unit, and so maybe we  
16 could even get tighter on the COI nature of some of these  
17 configurations.

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
19 Parvenu.

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes, there is. There is a  
21 concerted effort among some of these cities, I know, in  
22 Southeast Los Angeles County, they have transportation  
23 management organizations, for example, they have other  
24 associations, that are well established to address  
25 congestion issues and so forth, but their Chambers of

1 Commerce, there is interaction among the chambers, there  
2 is a great deal of interaction among some of these cities  
3 on the west. But I concur with Commissioner Yao about  
4 Cerritos and Artesia presenting that unique ethnic and  
5 geographic difference in that area, the Asian population.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think we received  
7 some initial direction from Commissioner Dai. It seems  
8 like our locals are in general agreement with this  
9 concept. Are there any additional nuances, feedback?  
10 Okay, excellent. Let's move on -- why don't we stay in  
11 this general cluster and kind of focus on seeing if we  
12 can resolve some of these districts.

13 MS. HENDERSON: I just want to clarify, for the  
14 Whittier Pico Rivera, do we need to revisit that?

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
16 Guilio.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I have a question, if we  
18 do the suggestion of Cerritos and Artesia, what's that,  
19 50,000, 65,000, 66,000, I'm assuming we have to put  
20 population back in that area? We have to look at the  
21 surrounding areas, including Whittier, Pico Rivera, and  
22 others to put something back in. Or, of course,  
23 Southgate Lake, but obviously we need to consider  
24 something.

25 MS. HENDERSON: So the direction is to move

1 Cerritos and Artesia to an Orange County District, or to  
2 try and bring La Palma into the L.A. County District?

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: My thought was to bring La  
4 Palma in, but it sounds like Commissioner Di Giulio is  
5 suggesting something different.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I guess I thought I  
7 heard Commissioner Yao say that that would be an ability  
8 to break a county line there and bring it into Orange  
9 County, and my understanding is that Cerritos, Artesia,  
10 La Palma and Cypress, that's a very close area there, but  
11 I'm going to defer to Commissioner Yao to give more  
12 detail.

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, at this stage of the  
14 game, the Downey Norwalk district is squeezed between  
15 Whittier, Pico Rivera district, and the Southgate  
16 Lakewood district. There really isn't any enumerable  
17 room to do anything significantly different, and bringing  
18 La Palma across the county line into the L.A. County  
19 issue probably would be the wrong thing to do, so at this  
20 point in time, this is probably the best that we can do  
21 in terms of -

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm confused, I  
23 just want to clarify, I think I had heard a couple of  
24 different things, one, that we were moving in the  
25 direction of establishing a priority for keeping Artesia,

1 Cerritos, La Palma, and potentially Cypress in a cluster,  
2 in a unit, and then we're secondarily trying to identify  
3 if we had a preference of which side of the County line  
4 you'd like to cluster them with? But then, at the end, I  
5 heard you say maybe that it was not as priority of a  
6 cluster to begin with. Let me allow Commissioner Filkins  
7 Webber to weigh in.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: As I understood it,  
9 and based on the COI testimony that we received, it was  
10 not a circumstance where Orange County wished to be with  
11 Los Angeles, it was that those Los Angeles districts did  
12 not mind being separated from Los Angeles and being put  
13 with Orange County, and not vice versa, so I would not  
14 recommend that La Palma be put into a Los Angeles County  
15 district, it's more that Artesia and Cerritos would be  
16 more than willing to go into an Orange County district.  
17 That's how I saw it, based on the COI testimony and based  
18 on my familiarity with that area.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you for that  
20 clarification. Any additional -- Commissioner Forbes.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, I think one thing,  
22 we've heard about how this area has excess population, so  
23 if these were to go into Orange County, that might be the  
24 safety valve that allows the other lines to be moved  
25 around and make the numbers work out.

1           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you. With  
2 that, let's move to the next district. Mr. Brown, I also  
3 wanted to clarify, when we had made this appointment, you  
4 initially mentioned your availability was from 3:00 to  
5 6:00 p.m. Are you still available for that full block?

6           MR. BROWN: Uh, better if I could leave at 5:00.

7           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: You'll need to  
8 leave at 5:00?

9           MR. BROWN: Yes.

10          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, that's good  
11 to know. We've made a decision as a commission that we  
12 are going to push forward later into the night and wanted  
13 to know at what point we would need to say good-bye.

14          MR. BROWN: Okay.

15          MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so just a quick comment  
16 about the population being able to go into Orange County  
17 and Commissioner Forbes' comment, just so we understand,  
18 that also means the population will whip back around and  
19 have to go up north at some point, so it's that good old  
20 balloon, it's a little bit squirrely, it's like one of  
21 those little ones that you make into a dog and you  
22 squeeze it, so -- while we have Mr. Brown on the phone,  
23 I'd like to do a few more districts in L.A. and then,  
24 depending on where we are with time, may want to slip  
25 down to the south just to take advantage of him on the

1 phone, if that's okay with you, Commissioner Galambos  
2 Malloy?

3 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh.

4 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so we'll move over to  
5 Compton, Carson, and let me find the page for you, 18 --  
6 actually, 19 is better, it will show you the complete  
7 district. And we're going to be changing the map theme  
8 to show Black CVAP. On your handout, it appears as the  
9 blue district and we're going to add some shading to this  
10 one just to clarify which one we're talking about. This  
11 district includes Willow Brook, Compton, Carson, it  
12 includes several neighborhoods within L.A., yeah, I can  
13 do the neighborhoods, Gramercy Place, Vermont Vista,  
14 Green Meadows, Figures Park Square, Century Palms, Cove,  
15 Watts, Willow Brook, California, as well, West Rancho  
16 Dominguez, Compton, which I think I already mentioned.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Are all of the  
18 cities that you're mentioning whole?

19 MS. HENDERSON: Just a moment. Yes, only the  
20 City of Los Angeles is split in this district.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: What are the color codes  
22 again, I'm sorry?

23 MS. HENDERSON: So this is now showing black,  
24 Citizen Voting Age Population, the darkest red color is  
25 70 percent to 100 percent, anything above the kind of



1 medium orange is 50 percent or more. We heard COI  
2 testimony about this area, the various neighborhoods in  
3 L.A.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And what is the purple?

5 MS. HENDERSON: The purple is just showing you  
6 what the district is.

7 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Dai.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: I seem to recall in that COI  
9 testimony that Linwood was also included? I know that  
10 was probably affected by what you were trying to do with  
11 the other district there, but do you have any comment on  
12 that, Ms. Boyle?

13 MS. BOYLE: It was included based on COI  
14 testimony to keep it above the 50 percent CVAP mark in  
15 this case, I chose not to do that. If the Commission is  
16 comfortable with going below, Linwood could probably be  
17 included in this configuration, it would probably be  
18 removed from the north, the boundary would come down in  
19 the northeast corner.

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
21 Parvenu.

22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes, as I recall, there  
23 was some discussion in Northridge on Saturday about  
24 having a set of alternative ultimate visualizations for  
25 this area of Los Angeles based on the COI testimony we

1 received from the African American Redistricting  
2 Coalition -- Collaborative -- as well as the NAACP, Ms.  
3 Alice Huffman, as recent as yesterday, and Mr. Sam  
4 Walton, and even as recent as today, Ms. Wilma Pinder,  
5 making a strong case for the fact that these areas are  
6 not required to be -- for Section 2 to be applied. And  
7 I'm looking here at some of these percentages here, 51  
8 percent, 52 percent in the neighboring, but I know we'll  
9 focus on this one, the one at hand. Based on the fact  
10 that this area has a long history of coalition building  
11 and also there's been experience with influence districts  
12 in this area, and the key point is that there appears to  
13 be no evidence of Racially Polarized Voting, so based on  
14 that rigorous testimony, COI testimony, and what I  
15 anticipate will be additional testimony when we go to our  
16 public sessions beginning in Culver City next week, that  
17 I would like to know to what extent can some of these  
18 boundaries be modified to have lower percentages in this  
19 area. And, Mr. Brown, I know he has recent information  
20 now, whereas before, on Saturday, he may not have had  
21 that information at hand. Has there been any discussion  
22 about tweaking these boundaries?

23 MS. BOYLE: There has. It can be done. And I  
24 would be happy to do it, I might need to do some  
25 splitting, maybe in the Englewood Westmont area, to try

1 to maintain the communities around the edges, but I would  
2 be more than happy to do that. I just -- on my timeline,  
3 I didn't have time to come back around on the Assembly  
4 iteration.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: This is where I would  
6 request Mr. Brown's further guidance in terms of coming  
7 up with percentages that are reasonable, even if they are  
8 below 50 percent.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mr. Brown.

10 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry, I didn't quite hear the  
11 question. Could you repeat it for me?

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mr. Parvenu, could  
13 you --

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Mr. Brown, hi, this is  
15 Andre Parvenu, Commissioner Parvenu. There was some  
16 discussion just now about the districts in South Los  
17 Angeles, we are looking at the one that is labeled  
18 "Compton Carson," but this applies to the neighboring or  
19 adjacent ones to the west and to the north. It appears  
20 that the CVAC is higher than 50 percent, and I understand  
21 the intention is to make this a Section 2 district, and  
22 that applies to the one north called Culver City,  
23 Crenshaw, as well. But, because Section 2 is not  
24 required to be applied in this area, we were wondering to  
25 what extent can we lower that percentage in those areas

1 by tweaking the maps as they currently exist, to have a  
2 lower CVAP. I think this question came up on Saturday,  
3 as well.

4 MR. BROWN: A couple of different answers, one is  
5 I don't believe there has been an intent to express that  
6 this is a Section 2 required area, to the extent we  
7 conclude that Section 2 does not require a majority-  
8 minority district for the African American population in  
9 Los Angeles, the Commission is free to formulate it  
10 consistent with the other criteria. To the extent that  
11 this district is already consistent with the other  
12 criteria, the Commission is free to leave it as is, and  
13 to the extent that, in turn, that there is over-  
14 concentration, even at 50 percent, the Commission is free  
15 to consider that and decide whether to modify that or  
16 reduce it to some extent. I think it's going to be a few  
17 weeks before we are able to get the RPV announced in time  
18 to make a conclusion about whether Section 2 would  
19 require a majority-minority district. But assuming for a  
20 moment that it would not, the Commission could leave this  
21 district as is, or modify it, so long as the Commission  
22 complies with the other criteria in the California  
23 Constitution.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
25 Guilio.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yes, I would be happy to  
2 see what else can be done here, what Nicole can do. I  
3 guess my only things that I'd like to see, is if there  
4 are other visualizations to accompany this, is that some  
5 of the other things that the Commission has suggested  
6 that stay intact, like honoring the coastal peninsula  
7 district and the hard boundary of Orange County, that  
8 some of those -- in any other visualization that those  
9 still remain a basis for those visualizations, so that  
10 you don't break up those other things we've agreed on as  
11 a Commission at the expense of doing a different  
12 visualization. So having those kind of, the east-west  
13 boundaries, I'd say I would be interested in other  
14 visualizations, but to keep the integrity of those other  
15 boundaries.

16           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Yao.

17           COMMISSIONER YAO: Mr. Brown, I wanted to ask a  
18 question again on the applications of unpacking. In  
19 applying the rule of unpacking, do you we have start off  
20 with a Section 2 district? Or if we don't have a Section  
21 2 district, how does the application of unpacking apply  
22 to us?

23           MR. BROWN: My sense is that we are not saying  
24 that this district or any of these districts must be  
25 unpacked to comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights

1 Act; we've not made that conclusion. I think that it is  
2 okay for the Commission to listen to concerns that a  
3 district has an over-concentration, and if the Commission  
4 chooses to do so, to take that into account when  
5 complying with the other criteria. But I'm not saying --  
6 I think what I hear you asking is, what number must it  
7 reach, or what number must I avoid? And my answer at  
8 this point is I don't believe that there is a risk of a  
9 packing claim based on what I'm looking at -- I should  
10 insert the word "successful," that there can be  
11 litigation asserted, of course; at this point, I don't  
12 see it as a substantial risk. That doesn't mean the  
13 Commission shouldn't have some sensitivity to these  
14 issues.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I have a question  
16 from Ms. Henderson, I believe.

17 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, so I just wanted to follow-  
18 up on Commissioner Di Giulio's point about the kind of  
19 east and west borders. That puts us in a difficult  
20 situation with looking at these districts because it's  
21 going to force us into this north-south orientation and  
22 into the downtown area. And so, you kind of anticipated  
23 our question because I was going to ask, you know, can we  
24 look at these areas to the west and to the east? Another  
25 area that has been kind of cordoned off as a COI is the

1 Long Beach area, and that is another area that we might  
2 look to, just based on where this population is, if we  
3 want to look at districts with lower concentrations,  
4 we're going to need to go somewhere if we can't go to the  
5 east and we can't go to the west, and we can't really go  
6 to the south, we're limited, and then we're going to be  
7 bumping up into the - or we might have to put the two  
8 ports in one district, which we also heard a lot of COI  
9 testimony against doing.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I would throw it back to  
11 the other Commissioners. That was my -- maybe people  
12 have other suggestions, but from what I've heard and what  
13 I understood in our previous discussions was that there  
14 were some rather hard lines being -- the peninsula  
15 district and the Orange County line, and I'm not sure  
16 what the Commissioners would like to do with Long Beach  
17 or how far a peninsula district should be on the west  
18 side, but I'll go ahead and let other people make their  
19 comments now.

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Dai.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I would stick to my  
22 previous suggestion, which is to add Linwood into the  
23 Compton Carson District and I would defer to Commissioner  
24 Parvenu if you have a suggestion of what we would take  
25 out in order to get Linwood in. I think that Ms. Boyle

1 did a good job of following our direction and getting  
2 Inglewood, Lenox, and Hawthorne together, which was  
3 another COI, kept all the beach cities together, I mean,  
4 and kept Long Beach whole, I don't have an issue with the  
5 other districts, that was the only comment I had. So, I  
6 actually think that area is reasonably good. I think,  
7 you know, we have some compactness issues with the other  
8 districts above and can make some adjustments there.  
9 Commissioner Parvenu, do you have a suggestion on what we  
10 would want to lose in order to get Linwood in?

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, I think that you can  
12 move over -- have Linwood moved in because there is  
13 testimony to the fact that Linwood and Long Beach, there  
14 is a corridor there, a transportation corridor, and there  
15 are some areas to -- I can't read this very well, but  
16 that blue area -- I believe it says West -- there is a  
17 trade-off there, for example.

18 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, Westmont Gramercy Place,  
19 Manchester Square.

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: There may be some trade-  
21 offs there.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: What is the population  
23 on those three? Because we're looking for about 70,000.

24 MS. HENDERSON: Westmont is 31,853. The other  
25 two areas that I mentioned are neighborhoods, so we'll



1 have to look that up. But just to kind of skip ahead,  
2 the neighboring district is also, I think, 52 percent  
3 Black CVAP, so if we're removing highly concentrated  
4 African American tracts from this district and placing  
5 them in the neighboring district, we're also going to be  
6 increasing the CVAP there.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Increased, right, so that  
8 doesn't really work.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GULIIO: Culver City goes up and  
10 Compton and Carson will go down, so instead of two 51,  
11 52, it would be 60, maybe the high 50's, high 40's.

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
13 Filkins Webber.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm looking at this  
15 a little differently because, obviously, we've talked  
16 about perception in looking at this one district with the  
17 Wilmington Inglewood. I'm really troubled by the  
18 compactness and so I was wondering, and I think it would  
19 still be consistent with the COI testimony that we  
20 received, but what if the L.A. Harbor -- if we moved up  
21 from the L.A. Harbor and looked at chunks including the  
22 West Carson and Carson, and maybe parts of Compton, and  
23 then moving Linwood from east and going west to  
24 Inglewood? So then you're creating -- because we're  
25 going to have to do something with adding population to

1 the Southgate Lakewood one when you end up taking out  
2 Artesia and Cerritos, and so you're swapping and putting  
3 Linwood with the Compton Carson. But I know that there  
4 is a close relationship between Compton and Carson, but  
5 that doesn't necessarily take away from what we've  
6 actually heard, which is trying to recognize that all of  
7 these areas are really intertwined. And because the  
8 communities all have a good relationship, I don't know  
9 that we're actually splitting anything when we're  
10 actually creating districts that actually are closely --  
11 almost like sisters, and we could probably look at  
12 putting them both in a nested Senate District because  
13 this one all the way -- I don't know if you put a freeway  
14 through this little corridor here, but I know that there  
15 might have to be some consideration of the city split,  
16 but if it's at Compton Carson, or if it was Carson and  
17 Wilmington, it may not be a problem when you're putting  
18 the rest of Carson with Compton, because it still -- and  
19 I certainly defer to Commissioner Parvenu in this regard,  
20 but because all of those areas right there, Wilmington,  
21 Carson, Compton, are so closely together, I'm thinking we  
22 could create districts that geographically look compact  
23 and still maintain the integrity of the neighborhoods.  
24 So, I'm looking Linwood to Inglewood east-west is one  
25 district, and then looking Carson to the Port as another

1 one, then looking at the Southgate one, when you pull  
2 Linwood out, and you're pulling Cerritos and Artesia back  
3 into Orange County, then we're looking at the Florence  
4 Graham and then all that purple area, Bell Gardens, that  
5 would go with Southgate, Downey, Paramount, and Bell  
6 Flower. That all looks compact.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That does look --

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
9 Parvenu.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, I'm just saying, yeah,  
11 that does make a more compact region looking at this area  
12 from east to west. I'd have to see what that looks like  
13 and what the numbers are, and I know that's more involved  
14 than what we can do, probably, in this short time. But  
15 certainly there is east-west arterials that link those  
16 communities together, as well as north-south, so I don't  
17 see that being a problem at all.

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Raya.

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Madam Chair, could I just ask  
20 that we confirm that the Mappers got all that down  
21 because I think that's a good direction.

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And could I  
23 actually confirm that our note-takers have that down? If  
24 I could confirm who my note-takers would be for this  
25 region? And if we need to repeat what we've just said,

1 we can pause and do that.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Slowly summarize what  
3 cities go in where, and what cities go out the other -

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Should I have some  
5 combination of Commissioner Filkins Webber and  
6 Commissioner Parvenu restate the suggested directions?

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It looks like,  
8 okay, starting to the east, Artesia, Cerritos, we  
9 understand will go into Orange County. So when we look  
10 at depleting that population and then looking at the  
11 Southgate Lakewood District, based on Commissioner Dai's  
12 recommendation to consider taking out Linwood, when you  
13 do that, I'm assuming we can work out the numbers where I  
14 think Southgate and Downey may very well merge into the  
15 Bell Gardens Cudahy portion of this district, so that  
16 when you move Linwood into Compton Carson, moving  
17 westward, the consideration is to drop Carson into  
18 Wilmington and move forward for population and  
19 compactness looking at Compton over to Inglewood Lennox,  
20 so going east to west.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So you've got  
23 Linwood Compton is what it might be, Linwood Compton  
24 District over to Inglewood, and then you've got a Carson  
25 Wilmington district to the L.A. Port.

1           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any refinements to  
2 that, Commissioner Parvenu?

3           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No. That captures what I  
4 was looking at here.

5           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, I'll take my  
6 final two comments on this district. Commissioner Ward  
7 and then Commissioner Aguirre.

8           COMMISSIONER WARD: I was just curious as to  
9 where Paramount, Bellflower, Lakewood, and Hawaiian  
10 Gardens end up.

11           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: They probably end up  
12 with the Downey Southgate Paramount - or, I'm sorry, that  
13 clump right there, so you might be merging Downey  
14 Norwalk, and Southgate Lakewood together into a Southgate  
15 Downey district. Who knows? I'm just kind of throwing  
16 it together because I'm thinking that the numbers are  
17 going to merge those two districts together when you take  
18 out Artesia and Cerritos.

19           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Excellent.  
20 Commissioner Aguirre.

21           COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes. I would ask our  
22 Mappers to refer to the CAPAFR design of their Section 60  
23 and 58 as being pretty close to what has been suggested  
24 by the two Commissioners.

25           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And I did not know

1 that.

2 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: It would be CAPAFR in the  
3 L.A. South Bay Assembly Districts around Long Beach - 58  
4 and 60.

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, do our  
6 Mappers at this point feel they have enough direction on  
7 this district?

8 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, let's  
10 continue moving on in order to make good use of Mr.  
11 Brown's limited availability.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Wait, I'm sorry, can I  
13 just - I'm sorry, I just want to make a note because  
14 there is a problem with that because Assembly District 60  
15 does the Carson Gardenia Rolling Hills down to Rancho  
16 Palos Verde, so that breaks the peninsula district that  
17 we said, so just to put the caveat again to try and work  
18 within what you're hearing, but to keep the peninsula and  
19 the hard county line, which this CAPAFR doesn't take that  
20 into -- the District 60 doesn't take that into  
21 consideration.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And that is  
23 consistent with my prior instructions because I didn't go  
24 into the Palos Verde because I think that that district  
25 looks good based on our prior instructions.

1 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Right. Let's move  
2 along.

3 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so we're going to move up  
4 to the Culver City Crenshaw and that has -

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Page?

6 MS. HENDERSON: Sorry, it's on the same page,  
7 well, part of it is on that page. Just a second.

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm sorry, could  
9 you reference the name of the district?

10 MS. HENDERSON: Crenshaw. Yes, you can see it  
11 more on page 18, it's in green. And this district, as  
12 currently drawn, is 28 percent Latino CVAP, 52 percent  
13 Black CVAP, and 5 percent Asian CVAP. And it includes  
14 several neighborhoods in L.A., including parts of Regent  
15 Square, parts of Cloverdale, parts of Wilmington Square,  
16 and West Adams, Adams Normandy Exposition Park, West  
17 Vernon, Crenshaw District Exposition, Baldwin Hills,  
18 Leimert Park, West Vernon, Hyde Park, View Park Windsor  
19 Hills, Vermont Knolls, Florence Firestone, and as well as  
20 Culver City. And Culver City is intact.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mr. Brown, could I  
22 ask as a starting point if you have any guidance for us  
23 on this district?

24 MR. BROWN: It would be similar to what we just  
25 discussed. In other words, to the extent that the

1 district has been drawn using the non-Voting Rights Act  
2 criteria in the California Constitution, the district is  
3 fine as is. And to the extent the Commissioners are not  
4 satisfied, they're free to do other things consistent  
5 with the California Constitutional criteria and change  
6 it.

7 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
8 Filkins Webber.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In that regard, we  
10 haven't received a lot of testimony on this, so it would  
11 go back consistent with Mr. Brown's recommendation, I  
12 believe, in respecting neighborhoods, so I was wondering  
13 whether you have actually captured all of Exposition Park  
14 and, then, did you capture all of University Park in  
15 those two neighborhoods -- as I understand, those are  
16 neighborhoods and, again, I'll defer to Commissioner  
17 Parvenu, but they are always referred to and there may be  
18 designated neighborhoods -- so I am just wondering  
19 whether or not those neighborhoods are respected because,  
20 again, we haven't received a lot of testimony, and we  
21 might get it when we move into Culver City, so that might  
22 change a little bit. Then, I just wanted to know what  
23 the street was that is the border at the top of the  
24 Culver City Crenshaw, just so we can make sure and  
25 Commissioner Parvenu, again, I would defer to him to make



1 sure of what street that is, or whether that's the 10  
2 freeway, so that we can be assured. If it's a freeway,  
3 then we're probably not breaking up any neighborhoods if  
4 it's the 10 freeway.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's right. It looks to  
6 be.

7 MS. HENDERSON: The street to the northern  
8 boundary is West Washington.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, it is? That's  
10 the northern boundary is Washington?

11 MS. HENDERSON: We're double-checking. Yes, West  
12 Washington Blvd.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It more or less parallels  
14 the 10 freeway, it's a few blocks --

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, I understand,  
16 but then, when you go those few extra blocks to the 10  
17 freeway, you might -- if the cut-off is Washington right  
18 there, there may be some issue if you don't take it up to  
19 the 10 freeway, only because I can't see the details --

20 MS. HENDERSON: It's north of the 10 freeway.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, yeah, that's  
22 right, okay. You're right. Okay, that's fine.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any additional  
24 direction to Q2 on this particular district?

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: To follow-up on the

1 question, did you actually use the official neighborhood  
2 boundaries for these? You did?

3 MS. BOYLE: I'm using the Neighborhood Council  
4 boundaries provided to me by Ms. MacDonald.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, good.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Excellent. Any  
7 further direction? Commissioner Barabba?

8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: If that little appendage  
9 off to the west side, that's a part of Culver City? Is  
10 that -- are there people there? In other words, those  
11 industrial areas --

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, that's a commercial  
13 area. It's stretching out to the west side. That's an  
14 old train line.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Are there at least  
16 123 people? Actually, under, not --

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Ward.

18 COMMISSIONER WARD: I just had a comment about the  
19 mapping. I'm just wondering in the little text boxes  
20 that we had, is it possible at all to add like a split  
21 count metric to those in any given districts so that when  
22 we kind of look at them at a glance, we would know that?  
23 I mean, it might be impossible, I don't know, I'm just  
24 asking.

25 MS. HENDERSON: You mean in the little boxes for

1 each district?

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah.

3 MS. BOYLE: As in the number of city splits by  
4 each district? I think it would be possible to do that.

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: Oh, awesome.

6 MS. BOYLE: It could be, it would take some  
7 manual work on the part of the Mappers, but it could be  
8 done. I'll have to discuss it with the Mappers.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Let me also ask a  
10 clarifying question to the Commission. I think there are  
11 a number of things that we could consider post first  
12 drafts, but I wanted to clarify, is this a priority to  
13 have that information available on every moving draft  
14 visualization that comes forward, kind of between now and  
15 June 10<sup>th</sup>? Or is that something we would like to strive  
16 for post-June 10<sup>th</sup>? Commissioner Raya.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I would say we don't have to  
18 have it now, but I also caution, some of us are already  
19 really having a difficult time reading the maps and all  
20 the details on them, so I'm a little scared by the  
21 thought of more little stuff in a little box.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: We forgot to ask the  
23 Dean while she was here if they have a bigger screen.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We'll work on it.  
25 Commissioner Yao and then Forbes.

1           COMMISSIONER YAO: I think any means that they  
2 can provide the information to us, including a table with  
3 that city split, would be adequate. I don't necessarily  
4 think that we need it on the map, itself. But I think  
5 keeping track of city split really is one of our  
6 priorities.

7           MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, we do keep track of the  
8 city splits. Adding more information, what Nicole said  
9 really quickly, was that it is a lot of manual work,  
10 which equals for us a lot of extra time to do it for  
11 every single one of the districts, but we can develop a  
12 way to get that information to you, and it might not  
13 actually be in a little text box.

14           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
15 Barabba.

16           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I thought that the manner  
17 in which CAPAFR displayed the districts in their handout  
18 where they had the map, and then they had the information  
19 off to the side, that was a lot easier to deal with, I  
20 would think. You might want to check and see if that is  
21 doable.

22           MS. HENDERSON: Again, my question would be is  
23 this for, you know, the draft, or after, when? Because  
24 the drawing itself is very time-consuming, so -- but we  
25 are already working on the best user-friendly way to

1 provide more information when we're doing the official  
2 drafts.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Just get us maps by the  
4 10<sup>th</sup>!

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Excellent. So,  
6 with that, can we move on?

7 MS. HENDERSON: Can I have just a minute?

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: While we're  
9 pausing, Mr. Brown, are there any other issues that we  
10 have raised for you of a more general nature, or that  
11 apply to Southern California specifically that you would  
12 like to share?

13 MR. BROWN: I think outside of L.A. County, I  
14 just have really one question, and that is a choice  
15 between having an Assembly District that is entirely  
16 within the City of San Diego vs. having the border  
17 district, and the question really is what happens to the  
18 Latino population in the City of San Diego in the border  
19 districts? Are they included in their entirety? Or did  
20 some of them get left out of that area?

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mr. Brown? As soon  
22 as I allowed you the floor, now Q2 seems like they're  
23 ready to --

24 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, actually, Mr. Brown, the  
25 reason I'm jumping in is that we would actually like to

1 take advantage of the time that you're with us on the  
2 phone to show the areas in San Diego. So that's what  
3 we're going to shift to. Let me find you the right page  
4 for that.

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, continue, Mr.  
6 Brown, as they're pulling up the visuals.

7 MR. BROWN: Okay. So that was really the  
8 principal question. I think there is some remaining  
9 issues that we've already discussed, for example,  
10 Coachella Valley and I think the Commission already  
11 indicated a preference to keep Coachella Valley full,  
12 we've already flagged the question about whether there's  
13 a compact concentration of Latinos if you consider part  
14 of Coachella Valley with part of Imperial County, and I  
15 don't think we'll have an answer to that for a while  
16 because we're doing some legal thinking about what the  
17 compactness requirement means.

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I do have one  
20 question for Mr. Brown.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
22 Filkins Webber.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Mr. Brown, given  
24 that you're going to look into the legal issues of the  
25 compactness, primarily as it relates to Imperial because

1 of the limited population, but yet the expansive nature  
2 of the geography, do you have a recommendation as to  
3 whether you would be recommending that we draw districts  
4 based on your legal analysis for the draft maps for next  
5 week? Or do you think we're safe in considering, for  
6 instance, there's a visualization that's coming up right  
7 now where we've talked about last week, the entire  
8 Imperial County with the border district, do you think  
9 it's - what your recommendation is, understanding the  
10 issues, but is it okay for us to consider including this  
11 in the draft maps if you can't get us a compactness legal  
12 decision by next week?

13 MR. BROWN: My sense is that there are going to  
14 be a handful of issues that won't be resolved before the  
15 draft maps come out and that would be fine because I  
16 think it's going to be just a few issues, and you may  
17 want to make clear as part of the draft maps that some  
18 areas are still under - I mean, the entire thing is still  
19 under consideration, but you might want to flag some of  
20 the issues, in particular.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Yao.

22 MR. BROWN: So, for example, with the Coachella  
23 Valley, keeping it whole, I think it would be fine at  
24 this point if the Commissioners desired to keep that in  
25 the draft maps and, you know, keep a note to yourselves

1 and to the public that there's going to be further  
2 analysis of that area.

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: Mr. Brown, this is Peter Yao  
4 speaking. On those districts where we lack analysis and  
5 information to make a clear decision, I would welcome  
6 your recommendation as compared to our recommendation in  
7 terms of which way to go in the draft map. Are you  
8 willing to take the best shot and then we'll run with it  
9 that way? Because if you bounce it back to us, then it  
10 really would be more a flip of a coin. But coming from  
11 you, I think it would be more of an expert advice.

12 MR. BROWN: Yes, I'm happy to do that and,  
13 really, it would be sort of our preliminary judgment  
14 about where the further evidence might take us, and so if  
15 you can anticipate it a bit and make those decisions  
16 consistent with the draft, it may save some time later.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioners  
18 Blanco and Barabba.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So I just want to make sure  
20 I understand the compactness concern. Is it the length  
21 at the bottom that it's just very long and it goes all  
22 along the border, and across the counties? Is it that?  
23 Or is it the large size over in Imperial Valley? I'm  
24 just - I'm trying to understand which part - what part of  
25 this district is the one that concerns us in terms of



1 compactness. Is it that big - the fact that Imperial is  
2 so big? Is it the length of the bottom part of the  
3 district? And the reason I bring it up, I think I  
4 brought this up yesterday, is I know in terms of length,  
5 we drew a coastal district yesterday that was 400 and  
6 some miles, and this is, I think, from about -- less than  
7 that. So I'm just trying to figure out what is our  
8 compactness concern here, you know, it would help me.

9 MR. BROWN: Yes, so with respect to Section 2 of  
10 the Voting Rights Act, the compactness, you consider two  
11 different areas, one is the border districts that we've  
12 talked about, you can speak to the dates of it, just  
13 looking at the population pattern between San Diego and  
14 moving east along the 8 and then taking all of Imperial  
15 County, it didn't seem that that would meet the  
16 definition of compactness, just on its face.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Uh huh.

18 MR. BROWN: But, you know, we'll look at that and  
19 see if we would have a different view. So, it seems that  
20 if the Commission is going to form that district, and  
21 they have sufficient community of interest testimony and  
22 evidence on that, then the Commission could make that  
23 choice based on that community of interest data. So that  
24 was one area. The other area is taking a portion of  
25 Coachella Valley going all the way east through Riverside

1 for the state border, and then down around both sides of  
2 the Salton Sea. And I've seen maps that are shaded based  
3 on concentrations of the Latino population where it looks  
4 compact and I've seen other maps that either plot the  
5 Census Block or the cities, and there it looks very  
6 sparse. And so, at this point, I don't have a firm view  
7 one way or the other one whether that area meets the  
8 compactness part.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I was actually referring to  
10 the compact not in Section 2, just talk about  
11 redistricting criteria, whether we have concerns about  
12 compactness with that.

13 MR. BROWN: Well, the other compactness  
14 requirement in the California Constitution is the last  
15 requirement, the sort of second to the last, and subject  
16 to consistency with the other higher criteria. I don't  
17 have it in front of me, but that's what I recollect.

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
19 Barabba.

20 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes. Mr. Brown, we  
21 received a correspondence today which I'm sure we can get  
22 to you, but a gentleman from San Diego questioned  
23 whether, in fact -

24 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. Someone  
25 was moving some papers. Could you start over?

1           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes, there was a gentleman  
2 who sent us a note from San Diego and he questioned  
3 whether we were allowed to create that district that went  
4 the length of the southern border of the state, and we'll  
5 make sure that you get a copy of his letter, but he  
6 identified some Judicial Decisions along those lines, as  
7 well.

8           MR. BROWN: Well, I think what the Commission  
9 would want to consider if it's basing it on community of  
10 interest, is whether you have evidence of local  
11 contiguous communities of interest. You can add more  
12 than one of those together to form a district, so to the  
13 extent you have -- my view would be, subject to  
14 [Inaudible] considerations, that to the extent you have a  
15 series of local communities of interest that meet the  
16 criteria that, then, you choose to include in one  
17 district, that seems consistent with your discretion  
18 under the California Constitution.

19           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
20 Guilio and then Ancheta.

21           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: It just seems like with  
22 this district, I don't think the issue of compactness is  
23 so much an issue because, again, in the northern part we  
24 had very huge districts, it's a reflection of population.  
25 So, then, based on the fact that, Imperial is just lack

1 of population, it's going to be a large district tacked  
2 on to someone, so then you look at the COI testimony that  
3 had an argument, at least in the southern part for a  
4 district that runs along the border because your other  
5 only option is to kind of just take the Western Imperial  
6 County line and just move it in a straight line, but then  
7 I think you break all kinds of other COI testimony about,  
8 you know, I can't really see the names, I'm assuming  
9 that's like Julian and all those Mountain areas just  
10 north of that district, or just kind of moving into the  
11 eastern part of San Diego, which there is really no  
12 justification of COI testimony to do that. So, based on,  
13 again, looking at geographic boundaries of those  
14 mountains, your option is really just to run along the  
15 south. I mean, and there's COI testimony to support not  
16 just the geographic boundary considerations, but the fact  
17 that there's links to the economy, another COI.

18 MR. BROWN: Is that a question for me?

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm sorry, I'm just kind  
20 of thinking out loud, so I guess I just felt like - it  
21 seemed like there had been some consideration as to  
22 whether this was compact or not, but I don't think that  
23 that's -- am I correct in assuming that that's not really  
24 an issue here because of the size of the pop --

25 MR. BROWN: Well, it was my view that it wasn't a

1 Section 2 district, that's all I was saying, based on the  
2 Voting Rights Act compactness requirement.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

4 MR. BROWN: There may very well be others.

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
6 Ancheta.

7 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: So, I wanted to raise the  
8 issue that actually the letter that Commissioner Barabba  
9 referenced talks about this, and I think Mr. Brown also  
10 brought it up when he was prefacing his remarks regarding  
11 going down to San Diego, which is, are we missing a  
12 Section 2 District that would be within San Diego by  
13 creating this border district? So I want to get to that  
14 question.

15 MR. BROWN: Is the question, are we ignoring a  
16 Section 2 district in San Diego to create the border  
17 district?

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

19 MR. BROWN: Yes, that's the question I was trying  
20 to tee up earlier. And so, the question to the Mappers  
21 is, what happened to that San Diego community? Are they  
22 split up a little bit in order to create the border  
23 districts? Or are they included in the total?

24 MS. HENDERSON: So, they're split in order to  
25 form this border district. I'm going to let Alex -

1 MS. WOODS: So, included in the border district  
2 is the part of the City of San Diego, south of Chula  
3 Vista and Imperial Beach, and then part of Chula Vista.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Based on that, I'm  
5 sorry, Ms. Henderson, finish.

6 MS. HENDERSON: I was just going to say that we  
7 have a PDF of a prior visualization that we can show you  
8 of the San Diego area district if the Commission would  
9 like to see it.

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mr. Brown, based on  
11 what you heard about that fragmentation, would you have a  
12 follow-up guidance to give us?

13 MR. BROWN: Yes, my leanings would be that, if  
14 there is a geographically compact area in the City of San  
15 Diego, that has more than 50 percent feedback for a  
16 single minority group, then we should pursue selecting  
17 RPV data in that area and probably consider drawing that  
18 district to avoid litigation risk. I don't know what  
19 that would do for the proposed border district.

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
21 Filkins Webber.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In looking at the  
23 district as it is presently formed, we're over-populated  
24 by 8,151 in the Imperial, running across the border --  
25 wait, don't move that away -- okay, so what I was

1 thinking is that there may very well be a possibility to  
2 have both, and it may very well be that maybe the highest  
3 concentration of your population may be right here in  
4 that orange section for the Imperial, but if we're only  
5 talking about maybe even keeping it -- in fact, I don't  
6 think the border district is because it's not compact,  
7 it's not a Section 2, so if you pulled back on that 8,000  
8 in the district that we're looking right here in front of  
9 us right now, although, Mr. Brown, I know you don't have  
10 access to it, but if you considered going further north  
11 where the higher concentration is of Orange, there you've  
12 got -- you still may very well have, or might even be  
13 able to increase the numbers for compactness sake, going  
14 a little bit further north, I don't know what those  
15 cities are above the district that you have on the screen  
16 here. But I see that there's a likelihood, based on the  
17 coloration we have here, that you might be able to  
18 maintain that Section 2 district right here in the  
19 southwest portion of San Diego, and still be able to have  
20 pretty significant numbers and consistent district with  
21 Imperial County on the border, consistent with the COI  
22 testimony. So, is that possible? Have you looked at it  
23 to balance the two?

24 MS. HENDERSON: We just want to clarify, and can  
25 I just say for the public, this was already posted in the

1 last batches of maps from this weekend, in case anyone  
2 wants to see what it is, it's entitled "San Diego Option  
3 3." And then I just think we want clarification on your  
4 question, Commissioner Filkins Webber, please.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: My question is, in  
6 looking at the screen that you have up right now, you  
7 have a district that's cut off from the Orange population  
8 at the top, if we're looking at compactness, you can  
9 probably go a little bit further north and be able to  
10 maintain the integrity of a potential Section 2 that we  
11 see here, while you still have an 8,000 over-population  
12 in the Imperial County border district area. So, I'm  
13 just trying to figure out a balance between those two  
14 districts where we might be able to get a Section 2, and  
15 you might be able to have a consistent district with the  
16 COI testimony with a border district with Imperial, and  
17 have you balance those two out. Or is it possible to  
18 consider doing that?

19 MS. HENDERSON: We can -- so just to read it  
20 back, so you're wondering if we can have this district  
21 and also a border district?

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, because  
23 you're over-populated in your present -- on page --  
24 goodness, who knows what -- page 21, you're over-  
25 populated by 8,151. I know that's not much, but what I'm



1 trying to say is maintain the integrity of a potential  
2 Section 2 in the southwest corner of San Diego.

3 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, the thing is that this area  
4 right here, the southwest corner of San Diego, has a very  
5 high population. We can look at it more and see what  
6 will happen, but a lot of the population for that border  
7 district was coming from this area of San Diego.

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
9 Forbes.

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Let me give some variance  
11 on what Commissioner Filkins Webber said --

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
13 Forbes, could I just take pause to confirm, what page are  
14 we on in regards to our handouts?

15 MS. HENDERSON: For the handout, the border  
16 district, we can look at page 7 will show you the entire  
17 border district. And then, yeah, and page 8 will show  
18 you a zoom-in on the San Diego area of the border  
19 district.

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: There's some  
21 confusion as to whether this area is over-populated or  
22 under-populated. Could you clarify?

23 MS. HENDERSON: The border district is -- we'll  
24 double-check -- it's under-populated by 1,077.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, that's where

1 I guess I was confused because page 21 says the same, it  
2 calls the district the same, I-M-P-S-D, and is that just  
3 a different version?

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Senate.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: But it says "S-D,"  
6 so I figured that would be Senate District, it doesn't  
7 say "C-D."

8 MS. WOODS: Sorry --

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In the box.

10 MS. WOODS: -- my naming conventions were - I  
11 apologize for my naming conventions, they were done very  
12 quickly, so I used a lot of the same names, especially  
13 when I was trying to nest, and unfortunately I have been  
14 using the abbreviation of "S-D," which is also an  
15 abbreviation we have been using for Districts.

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: If we look at the  
17 labels that have the date, it says 6/1/11, Region AD,  
18 Imperial, San Diego County," so I would suggest we focus  
19 on those labels as the best marker for accuracy.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Disregard  
21 everything I previously said.

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I had interrupted  
23 Commissioner Forbes, so let me have him finish his  
24 question.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Let me pose this as an

1 alternative to looking at -- to create the Section 2  
2 district here in the southwestern corner of San Diego,  
3 and going north or not, as you see fit, but then also to  
4 see what it would take to create another Assembly  
5 District that only keeps Imperial County whole and the  
6 rest of it is filled out of San Diego County, as well.  
7 That means you don't go north, you just see what happens  
8 in San Diego County if you use Imperial County as the  
9 base for that second district.

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Dai.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: So I think the challenge with  
12 that is, if you keep Imperial County whole and you try to  
13 keep them with San Diego, you'll end up having, you know,  
14 in order to have similar population, you'll end up taking  
15 all that eastern part of San Diego because it's fairly  
16 sparsely populated. And we had COI testimony that there  
17 were certain communities that really did not want to be  
18 --

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I don't think that's  
20 necessarily true. I think you can move north in San  
21 Diego proper and pick up a lot of population and not too  
22 much area and still leave the eastern part of the county  
23 open.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: So you would actually take  
25 Imperial County --

1           COMMISSIONER FORBES: I mean, I would take the  
2 map that we show here as the -- we'll call it the border  
3 district?

4           COMMISSIONER DAI: Uh huh.

5           COMMISSIONER FORBES: And the southwestern corner  
6 of San Diego is cut out, and then I would move north in  
7 the urban populated areas there next to it, it would be  
8 to the east of that district.

9           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Which -- I'm just  
10 clarifying what Commissioner Forbes is saying -- which  
11 one are you saying to move into, the N-E-S-S-D? Or the  
12 orange one on page 7. So you're looking at the salmon  
13 color moving into salmon, into purple, or into the  
14 grayish green above.

15           COMMISSIONER FORBES: It would probably be the  
16 salmon because the purple would become the Section 2  
17 district.

18           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, I just wanted to  
19 clarify.

20           COMMISSIONER FORBES: You take part of that  
21 salmon district.

22           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, Commissioners,  
23 I do just want to do a time check because we have a hard  
24 stop on Mr. Brown's time at 5:00? Is that correct, Mr.  
25 Brown?

1 MR. BROWN: Yes.

2 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, so if we  
3 could get to the point where we're providing some  
4 direction to Q2, we might be able to look at one  
5 additional district before we have to let him go. So,  
6 any additional comments at this point should be providing  
7 direction. Commissioner Dai.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think a more -- like I said,  
9 I'm still concerned, moving into that salmon area, you're  
10 going to encroach right in that area where there was COI  
11 testimony that they didn't want to be with Imperial. So,  
12 I would like to look at one that does move north, that  
13 keeps Coachella Valley whole with Imperial.

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
15 Filkins Webber.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: My concern with  
17 that is whether your recommendation is to create a  
18 district -- an Assembly District, mind you, that crosses  
19 two county lines.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, one county.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so now what  
22 you're suggesting is that we create a district that is  
23 contrary to what we had discussed in the Insight maps and  
24 that's contrary to the COI testimony on the border  
25 district --

1           COMMISSIONER DAI: No, we had multiple -- we had  
2 conflicting testimony in this area, we had a lot of  
3 testimony about keeping Coachella whole and keeping the  
4 whole Imperial Valley whole, and that would be moving  
5 north. We also had testimony that was about creating a  
6 border district and matching Imperial and San Diego. I  
7 think both are reasonable options. We looked at both in  
8 our Insight maps.

9           MS. HENDERSON: Commissioner, so if I can just  
10 comment, we had a visualization that I think you've seen  
11 previously, that is on the screen now, and putting  
12 Imperial County, Cole, with Coachella Valley requires  
13 splitting Coachella Valley.

14           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And my comment at  
15 the time when that occurred is it does split it, and what  
16 -- it's not compact, and what testimony did we have that  
17 confirms a community of interest between Brawley and El  
18 Centro and Desert Hot Springs? And that, in looking at  
19 this district, and that's why we pulled away from this  
20 visualization, even though we saw it, but we didn't agree  
21 that it was consistent with the testimony, although we  
22 recognized that at least there's a portion in the  
23 northern part of Salton Sea that said that they had some  
24 connection with Imperial --

25           COMMISSIONER DAI: The southern part.

1           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER:  -- with the  
2 southern.  But the consistent testimony we received from  
3 those that live in Imperial from, obviously, the Brawley  
4 El Centro area, went down the transportation corridor,  
5 the 8, into San Diego.  But we don't have any COI  
6 testimony from Desert Hot Springs, Palm Springs, that say  
7 that they have any community of interest with Brawley and  
8 El Centro, especially when you're talking about an  
9 Assembly District.

10           COMMISSIONER DAI:  Yeah, and I would be concerned  
11 about keeping the Coachella Valley whole, so thank you  
12 for reminding me.

13           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY:  So with that, do we  
14 have alternative direction?

15           COMMISSIONER FORBES:  Well, again, it's what I --

16           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY:  Commissioner  
17 Filkins Webber.

18           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER:  How much population  
19 -- this is going to look like a very large district, but  
20 how much population is there to the east?  In looking at  
21 page 7, I believe that the square on the eastern part of  
22 San Diego County is Brago Springs, if I'm not mistaken,  
23 but there's nothing on page 7, but I know that there's  
24 not much population there and we might -- if we looked  
25 at, well, actually, I don't know.  Well, what I'm trying

1 to do is maintain the potential for a Section 2 District  
2 down in National City in Chula Vista, and pulling back on  
3 the border district there. And when you pull back on the  
4 border district, because we're not looking at -- I mean,  
5 we're looking at Imperial County, we're not looking at a  
6 Section 2 area because you don't have geographically  
7 compact, so if you pull away from the southwest corner,  
8 that population right there could go into the creation of  
9 the Section 2 and the National City Chula Vista. So, if  
10 that happens, then where are you going to pick up the  
11 additional population such as what Commissioner Forbes  
12 had talked about before? And so, if we want to maintain  
13 the salmon area, which I can't see what all those cities  
14 are there, but I'm assuming that's Rancho Bernardo area,  
15 what if we went a little further north into the eastern  
16 part of San Diego where there is sparser population  
17 there, but how much further would we go up, and we might  
18 very well be getting to a larger Assembly District, but  
19 I'd rather see us split these two counties one time.

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I had Commissioner  
21 Forbes and then Blanco.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Let me, I mean, if you look  
23 at the current border district and the purple district,  
24 that represents two districts. What we're doing here is  
25 we're taking part of the border district and part of the



1 purple district to create a Section 2 district. There  
2 should be approximately another district, another  
3 district left, between whatever is left of the purple  
4 district and Imperial; in both cases, it's two districts,  
5 so it should work out.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
7 Blanco.

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, I was just looking at  
9 the State Assembly District map that MALDEF gave us, and  
10 that's what -- they've done something almost identical to  
11 what Commissioner Filkins Webber and Commissioner Forbes  
12 are looking at.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I didn't know that.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, they have the Section  
15 2 district, and then they have a Assembly District that  
16 picks up that chunk of the border that's only in San  
17 Diego, and they go up and they pick up like all the way  
18 to Santee and Poway, and they stop a little bit short of  
19 Escondido, and they still preserve all what's been --  
20 what we got from CAPAFR as sort of a East Chula Vista,  
21 East National City, and that's how they -- they have  
22 found enough population in sort of pushing that gray  
23 area up and that eastern area to have a wholly San Diego  
24 Assembly District, so that's just one -- they don't have  
25 their thing numbered, but they do have a visualization

1 that is very similar to what was just described.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: What's the number?

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Seventy-four.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: In the interest of  
5 time and hoping to get one more district out of Mr. Brown  
6 before he signs off, is it possible that we feel  
7 comfortable enough with this concept that we could direct  
8 Q2 to implement it between now and when we see them next?

9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, excellent.  
11 Ms. Henderson, what district would you like to look at  
12 for our last VRA consult?

13 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, we'd like to look at the  
14 Pomona Valley and let me find you a page number.

15 MR. BROWN: Which one? Could you repeat?

16 MS. HENDERSON: Pomona Valley.

17 MR. BROWN: Pomona Valley?

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Pomona Valley. Do  
19 we have a page?

20 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, I'm looking for it right  
21 now. So, on page 10, you can see it. So it's Pomona  
22 Valley and the Rialto Fontana, if we can do them both at  
23 once.

24 COMMISSIOENR DI GIULIO: Sorry, could I just make  
25 a very quick comment on the last one, just to preface

1 what Commissioner Blanco said? I think there's something  
2 to be said about what MALDEF did with the district that  
3 was kind of the proposal, but in order to do that, you  
4 split the Coachella Valley and the Imperial one, so I  
5 would just suggest maybe to try to look at something that  
6 does include so much population like Poway, take some of  
7 that out to be able to keep Coachella Valley whole, so a  
8 hybrid of that, if you wouldn't mind, just to begin to  
9 reiterate the Coachella Valley.

10 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you. I trust  
12 our note-takers will add that into the record.

13 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so here, the Pomona Valley  
14 includes Pomona, Montclair, Chino, Ontario, it has a  
15 Latino CVAP of 49.16, Black CVAP of 10.17, and Asian CVAP  
16 of 6.87 for splits.

17 MS. WOODS: It also includes part of Rancho  
18 Cucamonga and it splits Rancho Cucamonga. And that's the  
19 only split.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Sorry, what page?

21 MS. HENDERSON: Page 10, it's -- they're small on  
22 this, they're on the left-hand side of the page. Pomona  
23 Valley is that shade of gray and Rialto Fontana is the  
24 lighter shade of gray.

25 MR. BROWN: What's the label on the map? I don't

1 have page numbers?

2 MS. HENDERSON: Oh the - Region II AD, San  
3 Bernardino and Pomona Valley Area.

4 MR. BROWN: Okay. I have it.

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Do you have any  
6 opening thoughts about this visual, Mr. Brown?

7 MR. BROWN: Yes. I think the Latino CVAP is  
8 close enough to 50 percent that -- and the district  
9 appears to be a geographically compact area, so that we  
10 should do additional RPV [Inaudible] and preliminarily  
11 consider the possibility that this could end up being a  
12 required Section 2 district. So, it would be useful to  
13 know what the splits are in that district --

14 MS. WOODS: So it splits the City --

15 MR. BROWN: -- does it split the county? Does it  
16 split the city --

17 MS. WOODS: It does split the county because  
18 Pomona is part of L.A. County, but we received COI  
19 testimony about Pomona being with Ontario and Chino. And  
20 it splits the city of Rancho Cucamonga, but the other  
21 cities are intact. I also should mention that we had  
22 previously had part of the unincorporated area next to  
23 Fontana as part of this area, and when that area was  
24 included, the CVAP was over 50 percent, but per  
25 Commission direction at our last meeting, that area was

264

1 moved into the Rialto Fontana district.

2 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mr. Brown?

3 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry, was there a question?

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: No, no, no, I  
5 wanted to -- I know we're getting close on your time and  
6 I wanted to offer you the opportunity if you have any  
7 kind of over-arching framing regarding this district  
8 before I open it up to the floor for Commissioners.

9 MR. BROWN: Yes, I think it's an area that we  
10 have to consider further for potential Section 2  
11 requirements, so I think that Q2 should do further  
12 analysis of the Latino CVAP to see if a better estimate  
13 of that can be accessed, if it's over 50 percent, and we  
14 should do RPV analysis of this area and treat it  
15 preliminarily as a potential required majority-minority  
16 district.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
18 Filkins Webber.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Mr. Brown, would  
20 that be for the district that is identified as Pomona, as  
21 well as the adjacent district of Rialto Fontana?

22 MR. BROWN: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Because the Rialto  
24 Fontana -- so, actually, we can highlight them because  
25 Commissioner Ancheta and I are kind of keeping track of

1 whenever you throw that out there about an RPV, so we  
2 want to make sure that we have those two areas, it's  
3 actually those two separate districts that may require  
4 Section 2 and further RPV.

5 MR. BROWN: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Ward.

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, Mr. Brown, I'm having  
8 trouble, I guess, conceptualizing what kind of robust  
9 testimony, or can you help me make tangible the idea of  
10 what would qualify as robust community of interest  
11 testimony to support splitting -- like in any said  
12 district -- both county and city lines?

13 MR. BROWN: I think that you would not consider  
14 or need to consider the community of interest testimony  
15 as much if you conclude that this is a required majority-  
16 minority district under Section 2 because, if it is  
17 required, then it takes priority over the other criteria  
18 of the statute. If you get to the point where you  
19 conclude that it's not required, then you have to be  
20 concerned about a county split. And when you're trying  
21 to minimize the divisions of geographic boundaries and  
22 you're going to consider community of interest testimony  
23 as weighed with that, it just seems to me that you want  
24 to have more than casual assertions about the community,  
25 you want to have some actual evidence that you all

1 conclude is reliable about what the community is and  
2 where it is. And I'm happy, as we get into some narrow  
3 focused areas, I'm happy to look more specifically at  
4 what the evidence is, where it matters, and express my  
5 views on that.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: At this moment,  
7 does the Commission have any further questions or  
8 direction regarding this district? No. Let's move  
9 along.

10 MS. HENDERSON: If we can, just before Mr. Brown  
11 has to leave us, if we can shift over to page 14 on your  
12 handouts, it's Anaheim Fullerton and the Santa Ana  
13 Districts --

14 MR. BROWN: Okay, so I'm going to sign off at  
15 this point.

16 MS. HENDERSON: Mr. Brown, if you could just stay  
17 for a couple more minutes, please?

18 MR. BROWN: Sure.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Thank you, George, you're the  
20 best. So, the handout that we're looking at is the  
21 Region 3 AD North Orange County, and we would just like  
22 to get Mr. Brown's input on this, and this was an area  
23 that was together this past weekend and then, upon  
24 Commission direction, they have been drawn separately,  
25 and the Santa Ana District now has a Latino CVAP of 45

1 percent, Black CVAP of 2.27, and Asian of 16.45, and the  
2 Anaheim Fullerton has a Latino CVAP of 28.46, Black CVAP  
3 of 3.27, and Asian CVAP of 17.43. In the interest of Mr.  
4 Brown's time, I'll go through the cities and splits like  
5 that afterwards in case you want to get his input on this  
6 area.

7 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry, what's the question?

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're opening the  
9 floor to you just to provide your thoughts on this area  
10 before you have to get off the phone.

11 MR. BROWN: Is it the Santa Ana District with the  
12 45 percent Latino CVAP?

13 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, that one and the neighboring  
14 Anaheim Fullerton.

15 MR. BROWN: I'm not finding it right away, but  
16 I've seen it before.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: It's labeled Region  
18 3AD North Orange County.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Ana, Ms. Henderson, could  
20 you remind us what we instructed you to do? Because I  
21 think this was a much higher CVAP earlier, right? The  
22 Santa Ana, so what did we do?

23 MS. WOODS: The Commission instructed to keep  
24 Anaheim and Santa Ana in separate districts.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think what we had done



1 is we had them both together, trying to have a high  
2 number, and then what we said is, because there's two  
3 separate populations, to have an Anaheim-based district  
4 and a Santa Ana-based district to see what it would look  
5 like, so I'm assuming this is what happened when we gave  
6 you those instructions.

7 MS. WOODS: Yes.

8 MR. BROWN: I think I found it. I found it now.  
9 What we had discussed originally was that the Latino  
10 concentration in Anaheim seemed to be not contiguous and  
11 part of the Latino population in Santa Ana, and then the  
12 Commission directed alternatives to be drawn. So, based  
13 on these drawings, I don't -- it's pretty apparent that  
14 the area drawn in Anaheim is not a potential Section 2  
15 area. And the area drawn around Santa Ana, I suppose, at  
16 first brush, it doesn't appear to be a Section 2 area, it  
17 might be worth some further analysis by Q2 of the Latino  
18 CVAP to see what other estimates of it might reveal, just  
19 because we wouldn't want to miss it if it is over 50  
20 percent. So my preliminary view based on this data is  
21 that these don't appear to be required Section 2 areas  
22 because, based on what is shown, it meets the first  
23 Gingles precondition.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Dai.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Mr. Brown, this raises a

1 question that we were trying to answer in your absence,  
2 which is, is there some rule of thumb in terms of what  
3 the percentage range we should consider, you know, close  
4 enough to 50 percent, a CVAP, given that we're using  
5 unreliable data? In this case, we're looking at a CVAP  
6 that is 45 percent. We'd assumed that if it was within a  
7 couple percentage points, we should look at it, but is  
8 plus or minus five percent something we should be looking  
9 at, too?

10 MR. BROWN: Yeah, I'm thinking 45 to 49 percent,  
11 maybe we should look at it. Because my understanding is  
12 that, as long as we only have a few areas we're looking  
13 at, it's not burdensome to look at it further.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any final questions  
16 for Mr. Brown before we let him go? Commissioner  
17 Barabba?

18 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes, we made this -- we  
19 provided this direction to Q2 because there was concern  
20 about contiguity between these two cities, and in doing  
21 so, we've actually reduced the CVAP. Will we be in a  
22 position to defend that decision given that we already  
23 know what the alternative is?

24 MR. BROWN: Well, if I understood the question,  
25 the original alternative, we -- the reaction was that it

1 didn't appear to meet the first Gingles precondition.  
2 And so, if the Commission wanted to draw a district that  
3 was similar to the original alternative, they would have  
4 to do that based on the other California Constitutional  
5 criteria, and since that other alternative seemed to cut  
6 through the City of Orange, that may present a challenge.

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Thank you.

8 MR. BROWN: Okay. All right, I will sign off now  
9 and I'll check in with Kirk tomorrow.

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you, Mr.  
11 Brown, much appreciated.

12 MR. BROWN: Okay, bye.

13 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
14 Forbes.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: What was the last thing he  
16 said?

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: He's going to check in with  
18 Kirk tomorrow.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: About Orange.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: What was your  
21 question, Commissioner?

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, what was the last  
23 comment he made about Orange? I just didn't catch that  
24 in response to Commissioner Barabba's question.

25 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: He said that, to combine

1 Anaheim and Santa Ana, you have to cut through the City  
2 of Orange.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And that would be  
4 inconsistent with the California Constitution. So  
5 therefore, the original alternative did not meet the  
6 first Gingles criteria, so you'd have to go to the  
7 California Constitution and, in so doing, you didn't have  
8 a contiguous area because you had the Orange in there  
9 between the two cities.

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Forbes  
11 and then Ancheta.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: A question on the map.  
13 Does this - the boundary between west and Santa Ana, is  
14 that the city boundary? Or is that split? Something is  
15 split there.

16 MS. WOODS: It's not because part of the -- the  
17 west district for Westminster, it includes part of the  
18 City of Santa Ana, which is consistent with the COI  
19 testimony for the Little Saigon.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Then my next question is,  
21 just as a suggestion, there are significant Asian  
22 population in Santa Ana and significant Hispanic and  
23 Latino population west, as to whether you could take it  
24 with that border and increase the Asian population in  
25 west and increase the Hispanic population in Santa Ana,

1 and therefore at least we get to the 50 percent number,  
2 assuming that is something desirable. But the idea is  
3 that you would both strengthen the API community, as well  
4 as the Latino community by doing that.

5 MS. WOODS: I could look into it. I would also  
6 have to look at the borders for the Little Saigon  
7 Community if that is something that you wanted to  
8 continue to keep intact.

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
12 Ancheta.

13 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Could you just zoom in a  
14 bit on the Santa Ana district? Yeah, the direction might  
15 be to try to up the Latino CVAP, but I'm not sure where,  
16 though.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I think that's what  
18 Mr. Brown was saying could be a problem, which shows that  
19 you may not likely have a Section 2 district here, unlike  
20 what we first saw in the initial numbers.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Question? Can I see what the  
22 VAP is, the Latino VAP? I mean, I guess my point here,  
23 depending on what the VAP is, you know, I wouldn't strain  
24 too hard to try to increase it if Mr. Brown has already  
25 advised that we should look into this.

1 MS. WOODS: The Latino VAP for this district is  
2 63.92 percent.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I think this is right on  
4 the borderline of potentially being a Section 2, so we  
5 would want to direct you to look into more reliable CVAP.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: At this point, are  
7 there any additional directions that we would like to  
8 provide Q2 on this specific district? Commissioner Ward.

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: Santa Ana only, or Fullerton  
10 and Anaheim, as well?

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think we're  
12 looking at both of them, so either one, yes.

13 COMMISSIONER WARD: The Anaheim Fullerton, I know  
14 last time we looked at this, we talked about the strong  
15 city COI that combined Fullerton Brea, Yorba Linda, and  
16 Placentia, due to City agreements and fire and police  
17 contracts and things like that. I'm wondering what  
18 testimony, I guess, trumped that, or why do we have it  
19 the way it is now.

20 MS. WOODS: That was for the purpose of balancing  
21 population, so if we're looking at adding Cerritos and  
22 Artesia to Orange County, that's going to shuffle around  
23 this area. So --

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I believe what we  
25 had discussed before is, if that occurred, because as I

1 recall from the Insight, we were going to put Artesia,  
2 Cerritos, La Palma together, which then my next  
3 suggestion was Buena Park with Anaheim, so it pushes that  
4 way, and then that is still respected potentially,  
5 Fullerton, Placentia, and Yorba Linda, and that Anaheim  
6 Hills area as one other district. But that's kind of  
7 what I thought my recommendation was for some of the  
8 Insight suggestions. So that's kind of how I envisioned  
9 those districts together, of course, now we have to do  
10 something with Anaheim.

11 MS. WOODS: Yeah, there was a lot of shuffling  
12 with Nicole and I trying to get a border between our two  
13 areas, so, you know, we did look at both of those and, if  
14 Cerritos Artesia, that's your direction, then we'll  
15 definitely have to work with those areas.

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Do our note-takers  
17 feel like they have gotten the right level of detail on  
18 this area?

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: All I can say is I'm  
20 glad I had yesterday and not today. Jeanne's finger is  
21 just going like mad up there.

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I have no idea what is at the  
23 end of my fingers.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
25 Filkins Webber, do you think you need --

1                   COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just a summary that  
2 Q2 will need to do a further analysis for CVAP for Santa  
3 Ana District to make sure whether or not we have a  
4 Section 2; then, we're going to move Artesia Cerritos and  
5 La Palma over, which then we would have to reconsider  
6 Anaheim, Buena Park District previously, and to take a  
7 look at respecting the COI of Fullerton Placentia, Yorba  
8 Linda, and Anaheim Hills. Does that summarize it for  
9 this area?

10                   CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
11 Blanco.

12                   COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And I think Commissioner  
13 Forbes had a suggestion to explore what happens if you  
14 shave a little bit of the Santa Ana, which has excess  
15 population anyway, and you give some of that to the  
16 Westminster District, and maybe if you're not dividing  
17 Little Saigon, you're actually maybe picking up some  
18 Asian population that goes over to the neighboring  
19 district, so I think that was the other instruction.

20                   COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And just a little  
21 more specificity on that, if I'm not mistaken, it would  
22 be in that little circle area of Santa Ana where they  
23 called it, I guess, the southwest area of Santa Ana,  
24 which had a larger COI, yes, I believe that is the area.

25                   CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, excellent.



1 Any other clarifications that the Line Drawers need?

2 MS. WOODS: So, if Cerritos and Artesia are going  
3 to be included in Orange County, what district would you  
4 put it with? Or what areas?

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: La Palma and  
6 Cypress.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Well, just a note, that  
8 west that you're just talking about, that it has a 32.37  
9 API right now; if you add the Cerritos and Artesia, I  
10 would imagine that's going to increase the API in that  
11 west category, which is what you were trying to do  
12 between Santa Ana and West, as well, too.

13 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, as we  
14 transition onto the next district, I did just want to do  
15 a little housekeeping here with the Commission, to let  
16 you know that our staff has sort of rolled with the  
17 punches and arranged for dinner, which should be arriving  
18 in probably between 6:00 and 6:30, they've ordered pizza  
19 for Commissioners and then all our consultants and staff,  
20 it will be around \$10.00 a person, FYI. So, at the time  
21 that it gets here, what I'd like to do is break for about  
22 a half an hour in case folks want to go get drinks, be  
23 able to have a very quick dinner, and then we can  
24 reconvene. In the mean time, I wanted to check to see,  
25 Mappers, can we map straight through? Do you want a

1 brief break now, like a five-minute bio break? And I'm  
2 getting a nod from at least one of our technical  
3 consultants, so let's just say we will go for a bio  
4 break. I'd like to start back up in five minutes, so  
5 folks can just make it snappy, knowing we have another  
6 break to come. With that, we will go to a brief recess.  
7 Thank you.

8 (Recess at 5:11 p.m.)

9 (Reconvene at 5:20 p.m.)

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Good evening.  
11 We're reconvening this session of the California Citizens  
12 Redistricting Commission. We are resuming our line  
13 drawing directions with our Technical Consultant, Q2. We  
14 do anticipate going until later in the evening to  
15 continue our task. We are providing direction now on  
16 Southern California Assembly Districts. So, what I will  
17 do is pass the floor and the mic back to Ms. Henderson so  
18 we can pick up where we left off.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Thank you. So, I'd like to move  
20 to the Westminster District. I know we had some  
21 discussion about this, but I just want to double-check if  
22 there's anything else we need to address for this  
23 particular iteration. I think this is where we've had a  
24 conversation about moving Cerritos, and I think it's  
25 already been covered, but I just want to make sure.

1 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can we get a page  
2 number?

3 MS. HENDERSON: Oh, certainly, it's the same page  
4 where we were, page 14. And it's the kind of olive green  
5 district, it's called "West" is what the label has on it,  
6 and this district is 18.2 percent Latino CVAP, 2.49  
7 percent Black CVAP, and 32.37 Asian CVAP.

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can you give us  
9 just an overview of the splits, what's included, what's  
10 not?

11 MS. HENDERSON: Sure thing.

12 MS. WOODS: So it includes part of Santa Ana,  
13 Fountain Valley, Midway City, Garden Grove, Westminster,  
14 Cypress, and Buena Park, and --

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So again, this would be  
16 the district that we would be adding to?

17 MS. WOODS: And the splits are -

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And since we're already  
19 over in population --

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I just have the  
21 finish of the overview of the district and then we'll  
22 move into commentary.

23 MS. WOODS: The splits are in Garden Grove, Santa  
24 Ana, and Fountain Valley. But it's a small portion of  
25 Fountain Valley, so that could be worked out.

1 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Di Guilio, Filkins  
2 Webber, than Yao.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, so maybe you  
4 answered the question, that maybe you could take Fountain  
5 Valley out. I'm just curious, what was the percentage  
6 split of Santa Ana? What percentage is in the west side?

7 MS. WOODS: Sorry, that's where my staple is.

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're pausing as we  
9 wait for --

10 MS. WOODS: It's 1.21 percent.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Is in the west, so,  
12 again, another consideration might be taking the rest of  
13 that and putting it into Santa Ana proper? Would that be  
14 a population number to adjust for Cerritos?

15 MS. WOODS: I did that to respect the boundaries  
16 of Little Saigon.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Oh, okay.

18 MS. WOODS: We had discussed at the last meeting,  
19 I believe -- I have been using the -- for this, I used  
20 the streets that Commissioner Parvenu --

21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, thank you for that  
22 clarification. I had forgotten. Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
24 Filkins Webber, then Yao, then Ward.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: If we're looking

1 at, again, this is the ripple effect of the Artesia,  
2 Cerritos, La Palma, Cypress area, moving down to Fountain  
3 Valley, if we take out Buena Park as we considered, if we  
4 needed to respect the Anaheim, Buena Park, and then the  
5 Fullerton Placentia, Yorba Linda, when we come down the  
6 coast, because I'm looking at page 15, because all of  
7 this kind of ripples together a little bit, I was  
8 wondering if, because we keep Fountain Valley in there,  
9 or if you needed additional population, Los Alamitos and  
10 Rossmoor would probably fit better with the Cypress La  
11 Palma area if you needed to increase the population  
12 there, if you are taking Buena Park out to put it with  
13 the Anaheim District. So, then what you're looking at is  
14 if you needed additional population down the coast  
15 district, you have Dana Point that's not in a coastal  
16 region and, again, I don't see that - there are too many  
17 numbers and I know you can't put them all on the page and  
18 whether or not we would have equal population in these  
19 areas. But, again, this is a ripple effect down, and I  
20 was wondering if that might be a possibility if we're  
21 taking Buena Park out of this Westminster District and  
22 adding Artesia, Cerritos, and then flowing down the  
23 coast.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Would that be all the way  
25 down to the coast?

1           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I'm looking  
2 at the fact that Dana Point on page 15 is not with the  
3 coast, coastal district. It looks like it was put in to  
4 South Orange County District, but that was if we had to  
5 take out Los Alamitos and Rossmoor, and those areas in  
6 the upper part, to add that to the Westminster District  
7 if we take Buena Park out, because I think Buena Park has  
8 80,000, or maybe 50,000 people.

9           MS. WOODS: Buena Park has 80,530 people and  
10 Cerritos has 49,041, and Artesia has 16,522 people.

11           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Yao.

12           COMMISSIONER YAO: Buena Park -- if we take a  
13 look at the district labeled "West," and the district  
14 labeled "ANAFULL," we probably can do best by combining  
15 Buena Park with Fullerton because Orange County basically  
16 see themselves as the entertainment district, and then  
17 the rest of Anaheim may make up enough population to  
18 allow that northern district to be formed with the  
19 Anaheim portion of the population going toward the west  
20 district. I don't know exactly how the numbers work out,  
21 but I suspect that it will probably make a more sensible  
22 district in terms of combining the COI, as compared to  
23 the existing district.

24           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Ms. Henderson, do  
25 you have a question?

1 MS. HENDERSON: I actually have a question about  
2 the Little Saigon COI. As Alex indicated, what we're  
3 using right now for the parameters of that are the  
4 streets that Commissioner Parvenu read out in one of the  
5 meetings. There was also testimony from the public that  
6 had a different configuration that I believe was larger  
7 than those streets. And so we'd like to know if the  
8 Commission has a preference about which definition to  
9 use.

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
11 Filkins Webber and then Forbes.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Is the difference  
13 in those boundaries -- the COI testimony, did that  
14 include Huntington Beach? Is that where you see the  
15 conflict?

16 MS. WOODS: It does include a small portion of  
17 Huntington Beach.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so based on  
19 the COI testimony, in the Streets you heard in the COI  
20 testimony, that's where the infringement comes in is the  
21 Huntington Beach, and so whether we would be splitting a  
22 little portion of Huntington Beach to add it to Little  
23 Saigon.

24 MS. WOODS: And also, it moves a lot farther into  
25 Santa Ana, the - I believe the boundary is --

1           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That little circle  
2 area.

3           MS. WOODS: Fairview?

4           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: But right now, the  
5 Fairview is -- is that at the border of the west district  
6 that you have it outlined right now?

7           MS. WOODS: No. That district, I used the  
8 boundaries that Commissioner Parvenu stated at the  
9 Northridge meeting, which were Magnolia, Euclid,  
10 McFadden, and Trask.

11           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Because, then, the  
12 problem is infringing a bit more into Santa Ana could be  
13 problematic based on the necessity to have a further  
14 analysis on the CVAP, and then when you spread out, then  
15 you're only going east, and that might not be consistent  
16 with the integrity of the area on the east side of the 55  
17 when you go into Tustin and North Tustin, because we  
18 probably haven't heard any COI testimony that puts Tustin  
19 with Santa Ana.

20           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
21 Forbes.

22           COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would be inclined to  
23 expand into Santa Ana because I think, I mean, that's  
24 their perception of what their community of interest is.  
25 And it will also address the issue that I brought up



1 earlier of seeing if there is a place to reach in in  
2 Santa Ana, if you increase the API population in the west  
3 district, I recognize it may have an impact on the Latino  
4 population, but I think at this point I'd like to see  
5 what that looks like.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
7 Blanco.

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, I don't have a comment.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So at this point,  
10 do we have further direction to provide to Q2 on this  
11 district? I would like to move it a bit quicker of a  
12 clip. At the rate that we've been going, we can't even  
13 expect to finish the Assembly Districts tonight, so I  
14 really would like to reverse that trend and see how we  
15 can push through.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: Do I have any support in terms  
17 of moving Buena Park to Fullerton?

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, my prior  
19 recommendation was, if you're talking about the  
20 entertainment, as you had talked about, it would be Buena  
21 Park with Anaheim, and then respecting -- and I don't  
22 know where you're going to pick up the additional  
23 population, frankly, but I'll leave that to the experts,  
24 because the other COI was Fullerton, Placentia, and Yorba  
25 Linda together, so if we're moving that -- oh, and

1 include Brea?

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, they have City  
3 contracts, again, Fullerton provides fire.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Dai.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I would just comment  
6 that Brea was also mentioned often in the Diamond Bar  
7 District, as well.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: Maybe we can work that during  
9 the Senate District, and not during the Assembly  
10 district.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, Commissioner  
12 Yao, could I have you just summarize --

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: I will withdraw my request.

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay. Is there an  
15 alternative direction for Q2?

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I know we don't  
17 want to get in the minutia, but here is another  
18 possibility. Because we want to respect Fullerton, Brea,  
19 Placentia, Yorba Linda, and I completely understand just  
20 my own personal experience, I've lived in Fullerton and  
21 Placentia and Yorba Linda, so I understand that based on  
22 the COI testimony and Commissioner Ward's experience in  
23 that regard, and because we want to respect cities, we  
24 did make a recommendation before splitting off Anaheim  
25 Hills, but given that it is an oddly shaped city, if we

1 needed to pick up that additional population to have  
2 Buena Park with Anaheim, and not splitting Anaheim, we  
3 could go ahead and include all of Anaheim Hills for the  
4 additional population for that area of the district.  
5 Then, when you come -- you have your Artesia, Cerritos,  
6 La Palma, Cypress District, if you need more people  
7 because you took out Buena Park, I think you can add the  
8 Los Alamitos and Rossmoor people. When you pull those  
9 people out of what you've described as coastal LOC, then  
10 there has got to be a balance in how many more you pick  
11 up along the coast, down into Dana Point. So that's kind  
12 of how I see the ripple effect and then the only other  
13 issue is pulling in that additional population into Santa  
14 Ana based on Commissioner Forbes' recommendation, and  
15 then we might have to just consider probably looking at  
16 Villa Park, the orange part of Villa Park at the top of  
17 Orange is where you're going to make up that additional  
18 population between that little circle in Santa Ana that  
19 is respective of the Little Saigon COI testimony we  
20 received. You will probably pick up the additional  
21 population on the north part, which is the 55 and 91  
22 interchange, close to where you have it saying Villa Park  
23 without crossing on the east side of the 55. That was  
24 kind of detailed, but that's kind of the ripple effect I  
25 see flowing through all of these, and just based on

1 everything everybody said, based on my knowledge of the  
2 area, too, so ...

3 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
4 Blanco.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes, I would just express  
6 -- when we do that, when we do that visualization and we  
7 look at that Villa Park, it might be good to look at the  
8 density, I think, if we now go to a further reduction of  
9 the Latino CVAP in Santa Ana, that will be the second  
10 time we've reduced it and I begin to worry, knowing that  
11 we were going at a recommendation from Counsel that 45  
12 percent is where we begin to -- where we can look at  
13 better mechanisms like, you know, surname dictionaries,  
14 etc., if we now go below the 45 by this configuration and  
15 we drop out of that margin that legal counsel has given  
16 us, then I have a concern about this.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I have one -- you  
18 are absolutely right, Commissioner Blanco, absolutely  
19 right because, when you go up to that northern part into  
20 Villa Park, and you go anywhere on the east side, that  
21 would also, the same concern would be if you moved into  
22 Tustin or North Tustin, so the alternative would be to go  
23 south into Costa Mesa, and so if we do have a Section 2  
24 concern, that might be a better area of looking at this  
25 other CVAP that we've requested them to look into would

1 be also looking at that additional analysis for the  
2 northern part of Costa Mesa, that connects with Santa  
3 Ana, and that may very well be more consistent than what  
4 you're going to find moving north into Villa Park, or  
5 east into Tustin.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
7 Filkins Webber, are you the one charged with notes for  
8 this area?

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, and I've got  
10 it committed to memory, so if anybody else has any right  
11 now, and then I'll make my notes as we move along, but if  
12 anybody has any other suggestions, that's kind of the  
13 flow of that area.

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Does the Commission  
15 feel comfortable with this with the recent change of  
16 looking farther south than to Costa Mesa because of the  
17 population concerns, the minority population concerns?  
18 Okay, excellent. Additional questions from Q2?

19 MS. HENDERSON: So, for the Little Saigon  
20 definition --

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: The Little Saigon  
22 definition was the one that we got COI --

23 MS. HENDERSON: The community?

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, at least this point.

25 MS. HENDERSON: And just looking at Costa Mesa,

1 there does not appear to be much Latino population there,  
2 so you're looking for Asian population? Sorry, okay, for  
3 Latino population. But we'll do it and I look forward to  
4 your notes, Commissioner Filkins Webber.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: We all are.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, with that,  
7 let's move to our next district.

8 MS. HENDERSON: So let me just see, I feel like  
9 we've kind of covered a bunch of districts at once here,  
10 so to just kind of clean the board, have we talked about  
11 -- are the directions we have here covering the Coastal  
12 OC here? Okay. All right, and then we have the Tustin  
13 District that I think we touched on some, but you may  
14 need some further discussion.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: This is page 15?  
16 Or is there one that shows the northern border better?  
17 Okay, sounds like 14 - a combination of 14 and 15.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I have a question.  
19 What is the pink area on both 14 and 15? I know that is  
20 hills, but I mean, there is population there, there is  
21 Cooks Corner and all of that, the 241 - Toll Road goes  
22 through that area. Where does that go?

23 MS. WOODS: That pink area is actually included,  
24 because of the way that I created these visualizations,  
25 the sort of dark areas with outlines are on top of the

1 pink, so it's included in the same area, so I apologize,  
2 I should have sort of hidden those areas.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Is that technically  
4 incorporated?

5 MS. WOODS: I can't tell from -- hold on, let me  
6 - it's unincorporated. So this area includes the City of  
7 Irvine, the City of Tustin, North Tustin, Villa Park,  
8 Lake Forest, and it splits Orange.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: How much -- I'm  
10 sorry, I was not looking up -- I wondered how much of  
11 Orange was split.

12 MS. WOODS: It includes 39 percent.

13 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
14 Filkins Webber.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: If you can blow up  
16 with the color map the Latino CVAP that you have on the  
17 screen on that little part of Orange that is east of the  
18 55, because if we consider -- well, we can't with Costa  
19 -- because you're 5,000 off in population for this area,  
20 which means we may have to consider splitting something  
21 else to get more people.

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Yao.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: If you look at the bottom of  
24 page 15, you can see that the SOC is 10,000 over, so I  
25 suspect that's probably where you're going to pick up

1 that 5,000 population.

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The coastal  
3 district is off.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Ward.

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: What is the dividing line for  
6 Orange on this map? The northern --

7 MS. WOODS: So there's not one street that  
8 divides, but if there is a way to divide Orange that you  
9 would prefer, that would be helpful.

10 Again, this is the shape of the City of Orange.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any direction from  
12 the Commission?

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just my familiarity  
14 with the area, the area of Orange east of the 55 and near  
15 Villa Park is closer -- I mean, literally, you drive from  
16 one neighborhood to the next and you wouldn't know you're  
17 in Villa Park or Orange in that area to the east, but I  
18 see that little orange part there, which I'm assuming is  
19 in that other district, in the Santa Ana district already  
20 right now? Is that right?

21 MS. WOODS: No, it's not.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh. Well, that  
23 would be helpful. That might be helpful with what we're  
24 looking at, the close numbers we have already. So if we  
25 consider splitting Orange, I would probably recommend



1 that that little orange part go into the Santa Ana  
2 district that we were talking about earlier.

3 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
4 Guilio.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm just kind of looking  
6 at a bigger, larger scale of the three districts that are  
7 listed as Tustin, Coastal OC, and then the one further  
8 south, SOC? And the Tustin one is in need of about  
9 6,000, the Coastal OC is in need of about nine, and then  
10 you have over 10,000, so it looks like in that district  
11 area, you could shuffle some population. I would just be  
12 curious to know from those people who live in that area  
13 if you have some suggestions on how to shuffle population  
14 down there because I think the problem with being over-  
15 populated in that SOC District, that's Dana Point, San  
16 Clemente, if we respect that line between San Diego and  
17 Orange, the northern part of Camp Pendleton, that means  
18 we can't go south and you've got the other issue of, I  
19 think, geographic boundaries up there. So, I'm just  
20 trying to wonder how you can move some of that population  
21 up into the Coastal and the Orange because that's kind of  
22 a bottom baseline. I don't know if that's --

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is there some broad  
24 direction we can provide Q2 regarding how to reorganize  
25 the population across these three adjacent Assembly

1 Districts? And then have them actually do that work  
2 later?

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: I suspect the inland cities  
4 like Rancho Santa Margarita, Mission Viejo, that probably  
5 can go into the Lake Forest Orange area.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: If we were to  
7 suggest a dividing line, I mean, it seems like the 5 --

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: The 5 would be a reasonable --

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Or somewhere right  
10 around the 5, depending on where the natural - it seems  
11 like there may be some natural city splits, which we can  
12 follow around the 5.

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: Right, when you get into Dana  
14 Point, Laguna Beach, those are the beach cities, San  
15 Clemente, those are the beach areas.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: We did receive, as  
17 I recall, a woman in Santa Ana that provided color maps  
18 and she was from San Clemente. I don't have my notes in  
19 front of me and I left those maps in my suitcase by  
20 accident. I'd like for us to maybe look at what she had  
21 drawn because the point was that I thought, when we  
22 looked at it from the Insight, when we did the Insight  
23 Maps, we of course respected the San Diego County line,  
24 we went north, and when we added up all this population,  
25 I thought we had come to the conclusion that we weren't

1 as far over as we are, but I thought that we did not  
2 include Dana Point, and that Dana Point would be part of  
3 the Coastal, and the Coastal is already under by 8,000.  
4 And if we're taking out Los Alamitos and Rossmoor, and  
5 maybe considering adding Dana Point, then you'd probably  
6 have this South Orange County District from Rancho Santa  
7 Margarita, Laguna Woods, and San Clemente whole, and more  
8 cities whole.

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: What is the population of  
10 Dana Point? I don't think that's --

11 MS. WOODS: It's 33,000.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So what is Los  
13 Alamitos?

14 MS. WOODS: It's 11,449.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And Rossmoor? Is  
16 that a neighborhood or a city? I don't remember.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: How would the  
18 Commission feel about some broad direction in terms of  
19 trying to shift population and to look at this  
20 potentially I-5 Corridor, but prioritizing if we were  
21 able to keep the cities intact, but sort of shifting the  
22 population on the western side of the border, towards  
23 this Coastal OC district and the population on the east  
24 side sharing some of it with this orange district to the  
25 north? Commissioner Ward.

1           COMMISSIONER WARD: On the page 15 visualization,  
2 I mean, if we - we're down in the corner and have to  
3 split another city, about 8,000 or so, the northern part  
4 of Dana Point could move into the SOC district, maybe  
5 10,000, that should allow the rest of Dana Point to join  
6 the Coastal OC configuration.

7           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, I think  
8 we have some general direction. Any additional  
9 refinements? Okay, excellent, let's move on.

10          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, can  
11 somebody just summarize, we're just saying that they're  
12 going to shift the population of Southern Orange County  
13 in comparison to the Coastal OC.

14          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think we have  
15 extra population in the SOC district that we are going to  
16 suggest they move a portion towards the coastal, using  
17 this roughly the I-5 Corridor as a demarcation between  
18 coastal and inland, although there will be exceptions.  
19 So, pushing that on the western side, more towards the  
20 coastal OC, and then what we see on the eastern side,  
21 pushing some of that extra population up into Tustin.  
22 Okay, let's move on.

23          MS. HENDERSON: I'd like to just get some input  
24 from Bonnie, who has been watching us remotely. She is a  
25 San Clemente resident, says Camp Pendleton divides us

1 from San Diego and to use I-5 as a divider. And she --  
2 there was a COI handout and she mentioned parks and the  
3 Capistrano USF, so I don't know if -- just to throw it  
4 into the mix.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: If they are agreeable to be  
6 split, that probably is a good way to split San Clemente.

7 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: That seems  
8 consistent with our direction.

9 MS. HENDERSON: All right, we'd like to move up  
10 to the Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, Chino Hills, Yorba  
11 Linda, Brea, La Habra, and that you can find on page 14  
12 kind of in the top right-hand part.

13 MS. WOODS: And so this includes Walnut, Diamond  
14 Bar, Rowland Heights, La Habra Heights, La Habra, Brea,  
15 and Yorba Linda, the Anaheim Hills, and Chino Hills, and  
16 so you've just given direction to put Fullerton Placentia  
17 with La Habra, Brea, Yorba Linda, and potentially put the  
18 Anaheim Hills with Anaheim. So, if there are any other  
19 comments on this area?

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Ward?

21 COMMISSIONER WARD: Did we take the -- I might  
22 have missed it, did we take the Fullerton, Brea, Yorba  
23 Linda, Placentia, Anaheim Hills option off the table?

24 MS. WOODS: This was a previous visualization, so  
25 the current Commission direction is to include Fullerton,

1 Placentia, La Habra, Brea, Yorba Linda together, with the  
2 possibility of maybe putting the Anaheim Hills to Anaheim  
3 for population reasons.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any further  
5 direction for Q2?

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: The City of Industry, since it  
7 really doesn't make any difference in terms of the  
8 population, if we can keep them whole, that would be a  
9 good thing to do. They are in the process of trying to  
10 put together a stadium and a lot of commercial ventures.

11 MS. HENDERSON: So I was just going to highlight  
12 the City of Industry, it's another uniquely shaped city,  
13 and the reason that it's divided this way is because of  
14 the COI testimony received about Walnut and Diamond Bar,  
15 and there was also COI testimony about actually taking  
16 that portion of the City of Industry to maintain the  
17 Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights COI.

18 COMMISSIONER YAO: The residents really don't  
19 speak for the businesses and the City of Industry is all  
20 business, so that's the only reason I mentioned that from  
21 a city perspective, they probably would like to stay  
22 whole, so that they can get further representation.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think where we do  
24 have COI testimony, that is, more of what we have to rely  
25 on at this point, but it may be an area in which we do

1 get feedback from the businesses in that area once we  
2 have something to respond to.

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: I don't believe we have  
4 anybody speaking for City of Industry, we have other  
5 cities -- residents from other cities -- have spoken of  
6 City of Industry, so I think there is a difference there.

7 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We have no local  
8 COI?

9 COMMISSIONER YAO: We have no local COI from the  
10 City of Industry.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
12 Guilio.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just -- not to  
14 [Inaudible] too much, but I do think this is a very  
15 important point because there have been places throughout  
16 the state where we have had people speak on behalf of  
17 other communities because they're close to them, so there  
18 is a distinction to be made that we could get additional  
19 information from some of those areas that haven't been  
20 spoken for, for themselves because our COI testimony does  
21 have limits to it, so it's important that the public  
22 realize that.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Raya.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think this is also a case  
25 where we could rely on the experience and knowledge of a

1 Commissioner familiar with the area and I think  
2 Commissioner Yao, because of his experience with the  
3 regional governments in that area probably could pretty  
4 well substantiate any information.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: So, if it doesn't make any  
6 difference in terms of the population, I don't know why  
7 we shouldn't try to follow the city line and draw the  
8 district that way.

9 MS. HENDERSON: The reason here is that, then, we  
10 would have to move Walnut into a different district  
11 because it would make this a non-contiguous district.

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay, well, if that's the  
13 case, then that would be a good reason to divide it up.

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Direction from the  
15 Commission? Commissioner Dai.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think, since we got so much  
17 testimony about this particular COI, I would prefer to  
18 leave that one as is and see if anyone from the City of  
19 Industry complains.

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Are we in  
21 agreement?

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Excellent. Let's  
24 move on.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, I have



1 one question because, if we consider taking out Yorba  
2 Linda and Anaheim Hills, then where does the additional  
3 population come from? That's the - everybody is silent.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Was that a  
5 rhetorical question?

6 COMMISSIONER WARD: Is Chino an option? I don't  
7 know what the population is there, I don't have the  
8 numbers.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Don't we have some  
10 COI testimony about Chino?

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, I think from the  
12 CAPAFRS, they want to keep Chino Hills.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is that part of the Pomona  
14 Valley District, though?

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: No. It's the Diamond Bar,  
16 Rowland Heights, La Habra.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, I mean Chino is part of -

18 COMMISSIONER YAO: Chino Hills, they want to keep  
19 that together.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Chino is part of the Pomona  
21 Valley Section 2 District?

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, so it's off  
23 limits. Other suggestions?

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is it okay to just leave the  
25 Diamond Bar, PPHCH [ph.] alone? Because we're just so --

1 it makes a perfect district the way it is right now. And  
2 deal with Orange County issues --

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, it looks like  
4 a perfect district, but this is what you have to think of  
5 geographically, is that -- and there are ways to get  
6 through, which the name escapes me right at the moment,  
7 but there are mountains right there where you see all the  
8 blue that separates Chino Hills from Yorba Linda. I'm  
9 just - we never really heard from that area of Yorba  
10 Linda or Anaheim to put it with Chino Hills or the  
11 Diamond Bar District. Now, granted, there are  
12 transportation corridors that can go through there and  
13 there's major thoroughfare, the 71, which comes right  
14 into the 91, so you do pull a lot of people from the  
15 south and go right onto the 91 coming into Orange County,  
16 but I'm just a little concerned that we just didn't have  
17 much in the way of testimony, other than Fullerton,  
18 Placentia, and Yorba Linda, and Brea, that were together,  
19 and the other respect for the northern part with Walnut  
20 and Chino Hills.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I just have a quick  
22 question for Commissioner Filkins Webber just because I'm  
23 not that familiar, but it seems like there's a little  
24 mountain or a mountain range there. I know we've heard  
25 in other testimony sometimes there are people like, let's

1 say, the Santa Monica Mountains, or Griffith Park, that  
2 they see those geographic areas as the center, or the San  
3 Gabriel Mountains, so do the communities of this area see  
4 those mountains as like their unifying source? Or is  
5 that not - is it just a mountain that separates them?

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, it's a mountain  
7 that separates them because there's very few ways of  
8 getting through there, in fact, if I'm not mistaken,  
9 there's the 60 freeway, which we've heard a lot of  
10 information about, which is at the top, the Chino Valley  
11 people come over off the 71 and go over to the 60 because  
12 there isn't any way around there, I mean, there are a few  
13 streets --

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I didn't know if they  
15 used it for recreational purposes, or if there is like  
16 things that people in that community would utilize the  
17 mountain in the same way.

18 COMMISSIONER WARD: There's Chino Hills State  
19 Park runs through there from Brea to Chino Hills, and  
20 there's one, the Carbon Canyon is like the only, yeah,  
21 main thoroughfare. I mean, actually, the county line on  
22 this is pretty good. It is a good distinguisher.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah. The Diamond Bar refers  
24 to the county line adjacent to them as the four squares,  
25 and that basically is where four counties come together,

1 and the 60 freeway is very congested because of the fact  
2 that you basically have that traffic going around the  
3 hills to get from one part of Orange County and Los  
4 Angeles County into the Chino Hills and San Bernardino  
5 County. So I don't think getting around is really an  
6 issue, even with the hills there.

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, I go back to Chino and  
8 Chino Hills if that's, like I said, an option to shade in  
9 that way.

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think we've heard  
11 from the COI and from the Commissioners that that's  
12 actually not an option. Commissioner Dai.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I just want to point  
14 out, I think we're really - and I'm sure the Mappers have  
15 figured this out already, that we're completely boxed in  
16 here because of Section 2 districts on the top right. We  
17 have the Pomona Valley District, which is a potential  
18 Section 2, we have the Rose Mead Monterey Park one up to  
19 the north, as well. We have a Foothills district, you  
20 know, so we can only come down, unless we start reaching  
21 over to pick up part of the Whittier, Pico Rivera  
22 District, I don't see how we're going to accommodate all  
23 these things.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So was that a  
25 direction to Q2?

1           COMMISSIONER DAI: Unless another Commissioner  
2 can come up with a better idea. I think we're hemmed in.  
3 I mean, I think we are stuck coming down from Walnut,  
4 Diamond Bar, and Chino Hills. I don't see another way to  
5 spill over unless we go into the Whittier Pico Rivera  
6 District, and you know, my Southern California  
7 Commissioners, do we have any thoughts on whether that  
8 makes sense to combine? And that's another -- it's a  
9 very high percentage, so I think you could move over a  
10 little bit.

11           COMMISSIONER YAO: Between two Section 2.

12           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I think we're kind of  
13 stuck here.

14           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So it sounds like  
15 Commissioner Dai's suggestion is the best one we have on  
16 the table and we have spent quite a bit of time on this  
17 area, so I would suggest that we ask Q2 if they have any  
18 further clarifications and continuing forward. Ms.  
19 Henderson, then Ms. Blanco.

20           MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, I was going to add some COI  
21 testimony in the San Gabriel hearing there was testimony  
22 about Rowland Heights, Diamond Bar, Chino Hills, Brea,  
23 Yorba Linda, and down to Fullerton, as a COI.

24           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
25 Blanco.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I was just going to point  
2 out that I think we need to be more precise about the use  
3 of the phrase "Section 2" according to our Counsel's  
4 instruction. And these aren't necessarily Section 2  
5 Districts in the traditional use of the phrase. I do  
6 think you run into COI issues when you start -- when you  
7 put parts of Whittier, put Pico Rivera in with Rowland  
8 Heights and La Habra Heights, but I don't think it's a  
9 Section 2 issue that you have 60 percent CVAP and it  
10 would be an issue of is there a community of interest,  
11 but between that part of that district that is to the  
12 side, that could go with Roland Heights, La Habra, but  
13 it's not necessarily a Section 2 issue.

14           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, so it  
15 sounds like we're going with -- under duress,  
16 Commissioner Dai's suggestion and, with that, I'd like to  
17 take stock -- are there anymore questions from Q2 on this  
18 particular district?

19           MS. WOODS: Did someone take notes on  
20 Commissioner Dai's suggested --

21           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, because that's  
22 what I was going to ask.

23           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

24           COMMISSIONER DAI: So just to clarify my comment  
25 was the Section 2 district was the Rose Mead-Monterey

1 Park District, which is up there on the north. We have  
2 strong COI testimony about Pomona Valley. We have strong  
3 COI testimony about Rowland Heights, Walnut, Diamond Bar,  
4 and Chino Hills, with additional some of them looped in  
5 Brea and Fullerton, as well. My question to the Southern  
6 California Commissioners is exactly the question  
7 Commissioner Blanco asked, which is does it make sense  
8 for the Diamond Bar area to migrate west at all because,  
9 if it doesn't, then I think we're stuck going south in  
10 this configuration, which means that we probably can't do  
11 the Fullerton, Placentia, Yorba Linda combination. I  
12 mean, we can do some shifting around, I think, to  
13 accommodate Artesia and Cerritos, and we've given a lot  
14 of suggestions on that, but I think we're going to be  
15 stuck on the top here in the north county with combining  
16 it with the Diamond Bar area because at least we have  
17 some COI testimony there that links those areas together.

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
19 Filkins Webber, do you have the basic parameters of the  
20 direction?

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No. Well, because  
22 it sounds like all your suggestion is that we just have  
23 to keep what we have on this visualization. And if  
24 that's the case, then the direction is no change, but  
25 then we're still going to run into a problem with the

1 changes that we had previously recommended once we pulled  
2 Artesia and Cerritos in there, and pulled everything to  
3 the west. So, maybe because you're going to have to add  
4 additional - when you add Buena Park into Anaheim, and  
5 I'll defer to Commissioner Ward, as well, when the  
6 Fullerton Placentia area -- and we've heard it a little  
7 bit, and I think we've gotten some public comment on the  
8 Fullerton, Placentia, Yorba Linda area -- I think Brea  
9 might be a little iffy because the testimony we received  
10 with the Chino Hills people and Walnut people did include  
11 Brea, but there is this strong community with Fullerton,  
12 Placentia, Yorba Linda, and I didn't hear that that COI  
13 testimony that talked about Chino Hills included Yorba  
14 Linda, so that's where -- if there was just maybe some  
15 way that we could work on the population push because we  
16 are crunched in here, because otherwise you've got  
17 Anaheim that is going to be a little island based on our  
18 prior suggestions, so we've got to move something here,  
19 even though we can be respectful of this district a  
20 little bit, we've left Anaheim, you know, under-  
21 populated.

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
23 Blanco.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: One thing, and I don't know  
25 if this works because I know that there were questions, I



1 mean, we could go into a modified version of our original  
2 iteration, which was that Santa Ana went up a little bit,  
3 and that way, you know, you could push the Fullerton,  
4 Placentia, you know, sort of try and capture those cities  
5 at the top that we've been talking about maybe more  
6 properly together, rather than trying to see this whole  
7 section in green here as one COI, and then, if we went up  
8 from Santa Ana, we also may solve the issue of the CVAP  
9 down there, I don't know. It's a though.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Then are we giving  
11 them direction to consider splitting Anaheim?

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's the issue, I don't  
13 know that we have. I mean, this is where we get into  
14 these situations. I mean, we're already splitting Santa  
15 Ana to the west, so --

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're not going to  
17 like -- no matter what we do, we're not going to like it,  
18 but it is a draft and we need to do something, so I guess  
19 I'm essentially asking what is the lesser of evils on the  
20 Commission? Commissioner Ward and then Yao.

21 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, I think that's the  
22 question I asked Mr. Brown earlier, was kind of in  
23 regards to this because, again, we're splitting the  
24 county line here which also has a geographic boundary,  
25 that is very distinguishing for this area we're talking

1 about, and I mean, we're identifying a COI as the reason  
2 why we're boxed in, but there's -- I guess that's what  
3 I'm unclear is how do we figure out what COI is, I guess,  
4 the most important, the California League of Cities  
5 Orange County sent some maps out and they're a  
6 nonpartisan group of City Managers, and they offer two  
7 pages of rationale that explains the city connections,  
8 contractual connections, between Fullerton, Placentia,  
9 Yorba Linda, and Brea. So, I mean, that's important when  
10 they share fire services, education, things like that --  
11 I think that has to be considered, especially when you  
12 add the county and geographic boundaries into that  
13 district.

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So what would be  
15 your proposal, Commissioner Ward, as the local -- you  
16 know, we tend to defer to the locals, so ...

17 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, the problem is that,  
18 like I said, I mean, I realize what we're saying is that  
19 we're boxed in on all sides, but I would -- like I said,  
20 then, we have to evaluate the lesser of the evils and,  
21 like I said, Chino, Chino Hills boundary, or the Whittier  
22 La Habra, I mean, I went to school in Whittier and La  
23 Habra, lived in La Habra, and, I mean, I'd like to see  
24 what the COI testimony is there that we're using for  
25 that.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, it seems to me that  
2 we've crossed a county line for a COI with La Palma, you  
3 know, that area; then, we've split Santa Ana based on  
4 COI. It seems to me, you know, we could either pull back  
5 on everything, or we can continue to go in some ways in  
6 this area with a lot of COI and worry less about what are  
7 official jurisdictions and go -- so, if in fact it's true  
8 that Fullerton, Placentia, Yorba Linda, you know, and I  
9 must confess, I didn't look closely at the League of  
10 Women Voters --

11           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: California Cities.

12           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Was it the League of  
13 Cities?

14           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh.

15           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But then do we worry about  
16 splitting Anaheim since we've already crossed a county  
17 line, and split a couple of other cities in order to --  
18 if this area begins to look like we're really dealing  
19 with a lot of different COIs, I think a sort of high  
20 level Meta-view of this is how do we -- do we put aside  
21 some of the official things and give preference here to  
22 some COI? Because, if not, it looks like in some areas  
23 we're respecting COI, and in others we're insisting on  
24 geographical considerations, all within one very  
25 integrated area.

1           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I agree, this  
2 concept of consistency and I think, as we've gotten legal  
3 counsel, it seems like we do have some flexibility in how  
4 we move through this exercise so long as we are applying  
5 whatever Meta-criteria we're using consistently across  
6 the entire area and, in fact, you know, across the whole  
7 state, so I am interested if we have already seen so many  
8 breaks, what are the COIs that we continue to respect and  
9 identify? Given the options on the table, I am curious  
10 about the idea of moving into the Whittier district. I  
11 am hard pressed to find a better option. I do think the  
12 COI testimony regarding the Pomona Valley is pretty  
13 solid, so that's the only direction I'm really seeing.  
14 Commissioner Yao.

15           COMMISSIONER YAO: I think it all started when we  
16 looked at Cerritos and Artesia and tried to improve that  
17 situation and we basically have gone into Orange County,  
18 and now the Orange County is even pushing San Bernardino  
19 County and the south is pushing against the oceans. I  
20 think we probably just have to acknowledge the fact that  
21 there's only so much we can do, and I think the Mappers  
22 have done really a very good job with this first cut and  
23 I think we probably should just stay with it for this  
24 first round.

25           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right.

1           COMMISSIONER YAO: I think anything else that we  
2 do at this point in time, it's not obvious that we can do  
3 anything else.

4           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, yeah.

5           COMMISSIONER RAYA: The other thing to keep in  
6 mind is that we will hear from Whittier, coming up. So I  
7 would agree, best to just sit tight for the moment.

8           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, it seems like  
9 we are in agreement as a commission that we will move  
10 forward with this, as it's been provided. Are there any  
11 questions Q2 would have in that regard?

12          MS. HENDERSON: Just a second.

13          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: May we maybe warn  
14 you that, after we clarify if there are any outstanding  
15 questions for this sub-region, that I would suggest that  
16 we actually take a break for dinner.

17          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just have one  
18 summary just for my notes, that we're going to just leave  
19 the Diamond Bar area for now the way that it is. But  
20 what were your thoughts about the Anaheim Hills based on  
21 the Buena Park to Anaheim? Because that technically is  
22 included right now. What I'm picturing is that we  
23 haven't solved the problem with Anaheim just yet by  
24 adding - but maybe we have if you add Buena Park, and  
25 Buena Park, Fullerton, Placentia, and Anaheim is one --

1           COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think our suggestion was,  
2 as Commissioner Yao said, we started over here and now  
3 we've just sort of moved everything around and jumbled it  
4 up, and maybe just not do any of that, don't even start  
5 with the Cerritos Artesia thing, and then none of the  
6 rest of it goes off the rails either.

7           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh, because  
8 we've created a ripple that's turned into a tsunami.

9           COMMISSIONER DAI: I have a question, though. We  
10 did use the assumption about taking Artesia and Cerritos  
11 out, otherwise this is going to mess up L.A., too. So, I  
12 mean, I think the Artesia Cerritos one is probably  
13 doable, I just think the Diamond Bar one is not because I  
14 think the Artesia Cerritos one, we can move things around  
15 within Orange County because we know that there's an  
16 older population issue anyway. But I don't think we can  
17 do both.

18           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah. So, are we  
19 comfortable with saying we leave the Artesia -- attempt  
20 to leave Artesia and Cerritos, but we leave this current  
21 district that we're looking at alone? Okay.

22           COMMISSIONER YAO: It is for the better part of  
23 the entire page 14, really, is what I have concluded.

24           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Ward.

25           COMMISSIONER WARD: Just for the consideration,

1 and I guess for the record, that the only comment I have  
2 on that, again, being in OC, is just that with the strong  
3 COI testimony that would keep Fullerton, Brea, Yorba  
4 Linda, Placentia, and La Habra together, that strong COI  
5 testimony is also bolstered by the objective criteria of  
6 the Commission with the geographic and the county lines,  
7 by keeping this district, we're ignoring all of that, or  
8 we're giving COI testimony precedence over all of that in  
9 this district, so just for the record.

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
11 Guilio.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just to address  
13 Commissioner Ward's concern, I'm just curious in the  
14 proposed Senate, were these two -- were these nested for  
15 a Senate or Congressional to honor -- again, we're not  
16 going to be able to give everybody everything, but could  
17 we give Fullerton, Brea, Yorba Linda something in the  
18 Senate or Congressional to be together? Do you recall?

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'll reserve comment until it  
20 pops up, I guess. I don't know off-hand, I haven't  
21 looked. But we can consider something.

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: I don't think the original COI  
23 request that you have to be satisfied with the Assembly  
24 numbers.

25 MS. HENDERSON: What was the question?

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just curious, you  
2 know, I think Commissioner Ward had some legitimate  
3 concern here that there's been some testimony that says  
4 Fullerton, Brea, Yorba Linda, and if we can't give it to  
5 them in the Assembly --

6           MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, we did have that in the  
7 Senate.

8           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, in the Senate they  
9 are together in the Senate, okay.

10          MS. HENDERSON: In this iteration.

11          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, so, again, it's  
12 kind of like spreading the pain, maybe there's still some  
13 consideration to have it in the Assembly, maybe we can  
14 run it to see if it works, but at least we know that  
15 they're in the Senate.

16          MS. HENDERSON: One way that it might work is if  
17 we can look at that central Anaheim, Santa Ana, where we  
18 had the COI testimony. That may free up the northern  
19 part of Orange County in order to have those COIs that  
20 Commissioner Ward has been talking about respected, as  
21 well. Would the Commission allow us to take a look at  
22 that?

23          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I would like you to take  
24 a look at that because I think that's an option. If  
25 there's an option available for the Assembly, we should



1 consider it, but at least knowing that it's there for  
2 Senate makes me feel a little bit better.

3 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay,  
5 Commissioners, I think we are drawing this discussion to  
6 a close -- at long last, good work. I think we all smell  
7 the pizza here in the room, so our productivity is likely  
8 to decline. Let's say, gosh, would the Commission be  
9 amenable to a short dinner break and come back at 6:35?  
10 At 6:35, we will reconvene with line drawing instructions  
11 to Q2.

12 (Recess at 6:18 p.m.)

13 (Reconvene at 6:40 p.m.)

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Good evening and  
15 welcome back to this session of the California Citizens  
16 Redistricting Commission. We are here with a quorum in  
17 Sacramento. We took a very brief dinner break and are  
18 back providing line drawing direction to our Technical  
19 Consultants, Q2, in the Southern California portion of  
20 the state.

21 I want to just give Commissioners a little bit of  
22 a preview on where we are at. With the pace we've been  
23 going, we're not making the headway that we had hoped,  
24 and I anticipate that the maps you're going to see as we  
25 move into Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, there

317

1 are going to be many aspects of the maps which you feel  
2 like you want to dissect, and rightly so, I think there  
3 are some real challenges in this area around population  
4 and how much flexibility we have to move various  
5 directions. So, in order to increase the pace of our  
6 line drawing, my suggestion is that vs. dissecting each  
7 district city by city, part of city by part of city, that  
8 we're able to, again, spend a very limited amount of time  
9 -- I'm going to go back to trying about five minutes and  
10 just seeing if we can land on some general agreement  
11 around which directions we would feel comfortable telling  
12 Q2 to move to pick up or lose population and, you know,  
13 if there are any absolute boundaries which we don't feel  
14 comfortable with them touching that we can note that so  
15 that we can keep moving and have something worth looking  
16 at when we come back again on Tuesday. So, that is my  
17 suggestion on how we move forward and, with that, I would  
18 pass it over to Ms. Henderson.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Thank you, so we're going to  
20 start with the -- that's probably the wrong page -- we're  
21 going to be starting with the Far Eastern part of this  
22 large district which is Mono County, Indio County, San  
23 Bernardino, and part of Kern.

24 MS. WOODS: So, from Commission direction, Mono  
25 and Inyo County, it's on page 11. Mono and Inyo County

1 were to be included with San Bernardino County and this  
2 section of Kern County was an area of population that did  
3 not - that is part of Jamie's region, that did not fit in  
4 with any Assembly Districts within her region. And Mono  
5 and Inyo Counties do not have high populations, so in  
6 order to achieve the ideal population, we move down into  
7 splitting this Apple Valley or going into Victorville and  
8 -- sorry, I'm trying to zoom in -- Apple Valley area. It  
9 also includes Big Bear City and Lake Arrowhead. Hesperia  
10 is split and I think that's the major city split area and  
11 the rest of that city is in the Antelope Valley  
12 configuration.

13           COMMISSISONER DI GUILIO: I'm sorry, could you  
14 just make the bottom part a little bigger so I can read  
15 the words? And then could you go to the northern part  
16 just a little bit, as well, too?

17           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
18 Forbes.

19           COMMISSIONER FORBES: My question is, do you know  
20 they are short population in the Kern County district to  
21 the west? I'm looking for a place to put 9,000 people.  
22 If you don't have it quick, don't worry about it.

23           MS. HENLEY: Are you just asking about the  
24 population in this corner?

25           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, the white to white

1 space, what's the over short population on that, whatever  
2 district that happens to be? And, again, don't spend a  
3 lot of time on it.

4 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, I'm not sure if we have  
5 that in this plan.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I'm just looking for a  
7 place to put 9,000 people.

8 MS. HENDERSON: Sure.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I'm not exactly sure  
10 if it's the best district or not, but I just want to just  
11 touch base with you, Ridgecrest is in Kern, correct?

12 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah. Ridgecrest is up in the  
13 northern part. It's right on - right over here.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, just wanted to  
15 touch base with that.

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Are there questions  
17 or direction regarding this visualization? It's a good  
18 district. All right, let's move on. It's been a while  
19 since you've heard that today.

20 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, next we'll be moving to  
21 page 10, the "SB CUCA" for San Bernardino, Rancho  
22 Cucamonga. On the screen, it's a light blue color.

23 MS. WOODS: So this area includes Crestline, part  
24 of Rancho Cucamonga, part of the City of San Bernardino,  
25 Highland, Redlands, and Loma Linda.

1           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The only question I  
2 have is why you included - we did not see Rancho  
3 Cucamonga, we saw where it was split before, but I  
4 assumed it was going to go along the Foothill District  
5 with Upland and Claremont, but maybe because Claremont  
6 was the other one, now I don't know where Upland really  
7 is in the picture, but why did you put Rancho Cucamonga  
8 because you have a really significant geographical divide  
9 from Rancho Cucamonga all the way to Highland? And, in  
10 fact, the only way you could get there when you're  
11 looking at this map right here is getting out on the 15,  
12 going all the way up through Cajon Pass and then cutting  
13 over. I mean, you could cut over on the 210, but what  
14 were your thoughts there? Just gaining population?

15           MS. WOODS: Yes. As you'll see if I pull up  
16 Riverside, this area to the east has been placed with  
17 part of Riverside because Riverside didn't have enough  
18 population to make four Assembly Districts, so part of  
19 the population had to be joined with another area, which  
20 is this area, Mentone and Yucaipa, and then over to  
21 Marengo Valley, Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree. And so, in  
22 order to achieve ideal population, yeah, I went over the  
23 mountains to get Rancho Cucamonga. I know that's -- if  
24 you have another solution, I would be happy to hear it.

25           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Again, within the

1 frame that there will be lots of micro-level detail that  
2 we're not so pleased with, what kind of macro directions  
3 can you give to Q2?

4 MS. HENDERSON: And we're just looking at the  
5 city splits, too.

6 MS. WOODS: Uh huh. So, it includes 67 percent  
7 of Rancho Cucamonga and 79 percent of the City of San  
8 Bernardino.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Feedback on this  
10 visualization?

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes. Is there any  
12 opportunity to - you mentioned that there are two  
13 significant parts of two cities to make one whole and,  
14 so, can you move one entirely into another district,  
15 rather than have two basically half splits to two third  
16 splits?

17 MS. WOODS: That's possible, but we would have to  
18 move into another area and reconfigure.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioners.

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: I assume the other half of the  
21 other portion of Rancho Cucamonga is at the Foothills  
22 District that we finished discussing earlier?

23 MS. WOODS: No, it's not.

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: It's not?

25 MS. HENDERSON: It's in the Pomona Valley

1 District.

2 MS. WOODS: It's actually split three times, so  
3 it's partially in the Foothill District, partially in the  
4 Pomona Valley District, and partially in this district.

5 MS. HENDERSON: The reason I asked Alex to pull  
6 out and let you see kind of more of the districts  
7 together is you'll see kind of what is driving this here  
8 and this purple area district going into even San  
9 Bernardino and across into Riverside, and then you have  
10 the potential Section 2 districts to the south of the San  
11 Bernardino Cucamonga districts, and it makes the decision  
12 -- we get to points in this redistricting when you start  
13 to end up with very limited choices and that's why, you  
14 know, if there are suggestions we would be happy to hear  
15 them.

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, I'll  
17 take the next three comments and then try to wrap. Of  
18 course, we defer to the locals.

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is there any way that we  
20 cannot split the city three ways? In other words,  
21 putting a single city into three districts?

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can we give them  
23 direction to look into?

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh, please do.  
25 And as we give you direction, if there are alternatives

1 that we're considering, or directing you to, of course,  
2 we want you to weigh in if these are things that you've  
3 already tried and run up against roadblocks.

4 MS. WOODS: If the Commission wants to identify  
5 another place to get population other than Rancho  
6 Cucamonga that would be helpful.

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, I'd like you  
8 to -- I can't see all the cities in the purple, if you  
9 could lighten that up a little bit, I'd like to see what  
10 the border is right there at San Bernardino at the top  
11 northwest corner. Is that Calimesa? Or do you have --

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mentone. Is  
13 Mentone included in the purple?

14 MS. WOODS: Mentone is included in the purple.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That's where I  
16 would figure you would pick up additional population if  
17 you consider keeping Rancho Cucamonga whole, or at least  
18 in a Foothill district, and I can't read the numbers to  
19 see what population difference we're talking about if we  
20 remove Rancho Cucamonga and put in Mentone.

21 MS. WOODS: Mentone is about 8,000 people.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And how much was  
23 left in that other portion of Rancho Cucamonga?

24 MS. WOODS: I think it was about 67 percent.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, I'm sorry, what



1 does that mean in peoples?

2 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, so it  
3 sounded like our direction was to focus on this, the  
4 northwest corner of the purple district, whose name  
5 escapes me, but looking at Mentone, if we have to have  
6 more population than that, would you suggest Yucaipa,  
7 Commissioner Filkins Webber? Yucaipa is about 51,000.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The problem is,  
9 just as Alex had suggested, the further east that we go  
10 there, the less contiguous we get with the remaining  
11 portion, which is 29 Palms, and then I don't know what  
12 that population is to the east in the purple.

13 MS. WOODS: It's about - this area ends up being  
14 about 50,000 or 60,000, the Morongo Valley, Yucca Valley,  
15 Joshua Tree, 29 Palms.

16 MS. HENDERSON: So I'm asking us to pull back a  
17 little bit more to give you guys even more context of  
18 what's going on. So, we'll see that the county of  
19 Riverside is divided with the Coachella Valley to the  
20 east, and then the purple district here, which we're  
21 calling Morongo Banning, and then the SB CUCA that we're  
22 looking at now.

23 MS. WOODS: So, basically, it's driven from the  
24 need to join part of the population of the County of  
25 Riverside somewhere, and so there is this border here

1 which is the Coachella Valley, which the Commission had  
2 directed to keep whole, and so the remaining portion,  
3 there was a district that, if I zoom in, includes the  
4 Harappa Valley, and then another one based around the  
5 City of Moreno Valley, and another district down here in  
6 the Murrieta Temecula area, and there is a additional  
7 population. So, if there is a way that this can be  
8 reshuffled...

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Could I see the border  
10 between the Murrieta and the Morongo Banning one,  
11 basically the bottom of the purple? Because it seems  
12 like you've got 6,000 needed in the Morongo and you've  
13 got 9,000 --- I'm sorry, about 7,000 over and next to  
14 9,000 under, so I'm just curious to get people's opinion  
15 from that area if we want to shift population between  
16 those two districts, if it can happen and, if so, where  
17 you would suggest as overall arching directions? So you  
18 would have to take from the purple and put it to the  
19 yellow.

20 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Which cities will  
21 we take out of the purple?

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is that Murrieta in the bottom  
23 there?

24 MS. WOODS: It's Menifee, which has a population  
25 of 77,000.

1           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And how much  
2 population do we need to move? Remind me. Seven?

3           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, this is  
4 kind of what I was looking at again, if that population  
5 in Rancho Cucamonga is significant at 100,000, we could  
6 consider removing them and then the replacement could  
7 very well be to respect the county line, and keep 29  
8 Palms in that entire purple area, including Yucaipa and  
9 Mentone --

10          COMMISSIONER DAI: And go across.

11          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And go across. And  
12 that geographically is still okay because you see where  
13 Big Bear is, I mean, the population match is almost --  
14 well, it's not that high, but anyway, it's contiguous  
15 geographically probably, I'm not sure, I'll leave that to  
16 other experts. But it respects the county line and you  
17 might be able to trade that population, it respects  
18 Rancho Cucamonga with less splits than what we saw  
19 before, keeps it in the Foothill District, and like I  
20 said, it respects the county and geographically it's okay  
21 that Big Bear and Running Springs because that's all in  
22 the mountainous region that goes with that other  
23 district, so if you go further east as a general  
24 direction, take a look at the population numbers, when  
25 you take that population out of the purple district, then

327

1 it would be okay to go further south to pick up  
2 population because that area, French Valley, is closer  
3 with Menifee and that's contiguous -- consistent, I'm  
4 sorry -- with the COI testimony we received from the San  
5 Jacinto Valley, all that yellow at the top yellow area  
6 that you see right there, that's where I would think you  
7 would get the additional population running down all the  
8 way probably to the eastern portion of the 15 Freeway,  
9 taking it down even -- however further down you need to  
10 go, just for general direction purposes to the county  
11 line. Just, that would be my recommendation, just  
12 general instruction.

13 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, are you the  
14 one also taking notes?

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah!

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I know! Let's  
17 pause and allow you to capture all that. Are there any  
18 other questions or refinements on the part of other  
19 Commissioners? Okay, I think we just -- would Q2 have  
20 any additional questions based on that guidance?

21 MS. WOODS: So would this blue district which now  
22 includes Rancho Cucamonga, we would remove Rancho  
23 Cucamonga and go east, would we include the Big Bear  
24 area? Or would we just go along Mentone, Yucaipa,  
25 Oakland, over to Morongo Valley, Yucca Valley?

1           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I know it looks  
2 funny and I would defer to other people as if this looks  
3 like an acceptable district. The reason why I thought it  
4 would be is because, technically, that's the bottom of  
5 the Foothills, and the rest of it is the mountains right  
6 there. I mean, there is a potential geographic divide  
7 there, but it is consistent with the county line, as  
8 well, or at least the county boundary between Riverside  
9 and San Bernardino.

10           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I would  
11 agree, being familiar with this area, if we were to look  
12 at the topography, this actually is a fairly logical way  
13 to cut it. We do have, even within the purple district  
14 when you look at the kind of Banning, Beaumont, going  
15 down towards Hemet, I mean, there is a series of canyons  
16 and valleys and mountains, but they are more easily  
17 traversed than when you go up into the yellow district  
18 that is immediately to the north.

19           MS. WOODS: So, if we do do that, then there is  
20 going to be additional population in Riverside County, so  
21 I would ask the Commission what sort of -- where would we  
22 go to join Riverside County?

23           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, what  
24 area are you talking about we have increased population?

25           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, which part of

1 Riverside?

2 MS. WOODS: If we respect this county line, the  
3 rest of this area is missing about roughly 60 --

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I had said to go  
5 south just down the San Jacinto Valley that you're  
6 talking -- down to French Valley?

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, keep going in that  
8 direction.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And carrying that  
10 down and stay on the east side of the 15. Because I  
11 can't tell if that includes Hemet and -- oh, it does.

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, I think at  
13 this point I would like to move us along. I think we  
14 have some broad direction unless there is any immediate  
15 clarification, let's go to the next district.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Commissioner Galambos  
17 Malloy, could we as kind of a commission maybe to agree  
18 to some of this, again, there are some commissioners that  
19 may have some levels of detail, but I know we're doing  
20 notes, but can we agree again that some of the Mappers  
21 can contact commissioners to -- is everyone okay with  
22 that? So I think that might give them an opportunity to  
23 work out some of these things offline if we're all okay  
24 with that.

25 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, if I haven't

1 made that clear, let me repeat, that's the expectation is  
2 that Commissioners are expected to be on call for any  
3 individual clarifications about our notes, our agreed  
4 upon direction that we've given Q2, but to clarify using  
5 their local knowledge.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And in that regard,  
7 I would like to give Alex some credit, I did talk about  
8 29 Palms, but I just see here that it probably is not  
9 going to work out, so just for - because Alex, "You told  
10 me to do this and now you're changing it!" But that's  
11 true, it's probably not going to work.

12 MS. HENDERSON: So just so I can make sure that  
13 I'm clear, and I apologize, so is Rancho Cucamonga, then,  
14 staying in this district or not? So then we'll also need  
15 to figure out what to do with about 100,000 people over  
16 on that side of the district, as well.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The only general  
18 direction I would give, possibly, is based on some of the  
19 comments that we made earlier, take a look at going west  
20 on that Foothill district, which is still consistent with  
21 keeping that Foothill into probably Upland and Claremont,  
22 because I think we were pulling back when we were talking  
23 about the La Crescenta and La Canada area and we gave you  
24 general directions on the Foothill, so I'm thinking  
25 Rancho Cucamonga, that portion of it, because we still

1 want to maintain the Section 2 district in the Pomona  
2 Valley, which Rancho Cucamonga is a part of right now, so  
3 the general direction in that idea with those 100,000  
4 would be to go back west across the Foothill. That would  
5 be my recommendation.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, let's move  
7 on.

8 MS. WOODS: Do the Commissioners want to look at  
9 the zooms of the Riverside District or the Moreno Valley  
10 District?

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yes.

12 MS. WOODS: So the district entitled "RIV JUR" is  
13 part of the City of Riverside, Eastvale, the Jurupa  
14 Valley, Norco, Corona, and El Cerrito. And then, the  
15 Moreno Valley District is part of the City of Riverside,  
16 High grove, Moreno Valley, March Air Reserve Base, and  
17 Paris.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And in this one,  
19 you split the City of Riverside, correct?

20 MS. WOODS: Correct.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And the reason that  
22 we considered looking at it this way is because we want  
23 -- I guess, from my idea, I liked this configuration,  
24 it's consistent with some of the maps that we have seen  
25 and, as we have left Auburn -- and I said Norco didn't



1 have a home -- but you see here that we've taken out that  
2 15 corridor consideration of that district that we really  
3 didn't like. But the hard part in this area is that both  
4 Moreno Valley and Riverside have significant population  
5 that can't be joined, and I think we saw that in the  
6 Insight, and so in this case, Riverside has obviously a  
7 longer standing history vs. Moreno Valley, and in Moreno  
8 Valley, we received consistent testimony that they didn't  
9 want to be split, so respecting that COI testimony, this  
10 looks pretty good and consistent with my understanding of  
11 being in the area overall.

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, so let's  
13 move along.

14 MS. WOODS: And then, this is a zoom of the Muir-  
15 Tem District, it includes Reed Valley, Temescal Valley,  
16 Lake Elsinore, Lakeland Village, Wildomar, Murrieta,  
17 French Valley, all the way down to Temecula and the  
18 Riverside-San Diego border.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Looks good to me.  
20 Commissioner Filkins Webber, do you have any --

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Sorry, what's in  
22 the box? Are you under or over in population?

23 MS. WOODS: Under 9,000.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Do we have any compactness  
25 concerns about this, just looking at it?

1           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, the purple is  
2 going to move down into French Valley and likely some  
3 areas of Temecula, but then my concern is that we're  
4 pushing back north, back into Corona.

5           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Or do we pick up?  
6 Well, to the east we're boxed in by the Coachella Valley.  
7 Is that correct?

8           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I was just going to ask  
9 that. We want to know what the finger is.

10          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Oh, the finger on  
11 the northwest side?

12          COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah.

13          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is that part of  
14 Temescal Valley? What is that?

15          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That is actually El  
16 Cerrito -- it's actually Lake Matthews area, which is  
17 like a foothill area off of Corona -- oh, you mean that  
18 finger right there?

19          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, that finger  
20 right there.

21          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, that's the  
22 mountains, actually.

23          MS. WOODS: So trying to do that finger up here?  
24 Okay.

25          COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Question. Do you have a

1 layer that includes populations for reservations and  
2 tribal lands? And the reason -- we haven't had COI  
3 testimony on this, but I know that in Southern Riverside  
4 and Northern San Diego, there's a collection of various  
5 reservations, which you could justify going into San  
6 Diego County to pick up some population if you treat that  
7 as a community, but that's presuming a lot of things, but  
8 there is a set of reservations that are closely located  
9 together, but they straddle the two counties.

10 MS. WOODS: I'm adding that layer right now. So  
11 it's the yellow dot areas.

12 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Could you go further  
13 south? Those checkerboards show the -- that's Palm  
14 Springs with that funny checkerboard pattern. Yeah, so I  
15 guess it's the ones that sort of go into San Diego and I  
16 don't what the populations are.

17 MS. HENDERSON: There's the Morongo area up in  
18 this purple district. The population on that is 913.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm sorry, can you  
20 repeat that one more time?

21 MS. HENDERSON: Right under where it says San  
22 Bernardino in that purple district, oh, now you can see  
23 it better, that Morongo area, what it says is that the  
24 population is 913. I think that this area over here  
25 actually has more substantial population.

1           COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: And how about the ones in  
2 Northern San Diego?

3           MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, so this one over here  
4 that's on the Coachella Valley, Agua Caliente, is 24,781.  
5 And do you want to see the Northern San Diego County?  
6 Did you have a question about that?

7           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I clarify what  
8 information we're seeing right now? Is this dedicated  
9 Native American land and the number of Native American  
10 Residents that live in that area? Or is it total  
11 population and there may be other people living in that  
12 area who are not Native Americans?

13          MS. HENDERSON: It is all population, the kind of  
14 brown lines are showing tribal land.

15          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

16          COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I don't have a particular  
17 suggestion, I just know that there are various tribal  
18 lands there that, if you were picking up 9,000 people,  
19 and I don't know if we were given the shifts, maybe this  
20 isn't an issue, but if you wanted to cross the county  
21 lines, I could see a justification, but, again, I'm  
22 presuming certain common interests which I don't know if  
23 they actually are, in fact, common interests. But it's a  
24 way of sort of justifying straddling the counties.

25          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner

1 Barabba.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, to define common  
3 interest, you'd have to know whether they're federally  
4 recognized or not federally recognized because there are  
5 big differences among the two.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, where we had  
7 started before this layer was we were up in the yellow  
8 district, and we were looking for ways to add in some  
9 additional population. Is that correct?

10 MS. HENDERSON: Well, we had two things going on.  
11 Because of the change in this purple district that we're  
12 going to be looking at, we were going to have to address  
13 other population implications within Riverside County,  
14 decide where to bring that district down into, and then  
15 that's also going to affect this Muir-Tem district, is  
16 going to affect its population, as well.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So what would you  
18 like from us right now?

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'll confess that I'm a  
20 little lost and don't know, we started up north and now  
21 I'm not sure what pushed what and what we're trying to  
22 accomplish.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, can you  
24 reground us in where we started out, I think, with the  
25 purple district, the spillover effects down into the

1 Murrieta Temecula area, and what question you would like  
2 the Commission to address?

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could you maybe just  
4 frame it for simplicity, may I suggest, that it's framed  
5 simply where you need us to look in terms of losing or  
6 gaining populations? So, you know, if we need pluses in  
7 purple and minuses in yellows, and we can look at it that  
8 way.

9 MS. WOODS: So if we're losing Rancho Cucamonga,  
10 then this rest of San Bernardino is going east for  
11 population, which means that the purple area needs more  
12 population and then the rest of Riverside County needs  
13 additional population. Yeah, so it could be about,  
14 depending on how much of the San Bernardino County that  
15 district takes, it's roughly 50,000 to 100,000 people.  
16 We'll be needing 100,000.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So it seems like we  
18 are bumping up against the Coachella Valley here, given  
19 that San Bernardino and Riverside are the areas where we  
20 need to add population. I have one question in that  
21 regard, regarding the COI testimony that we've received  
22 about the Coachella Valley, and again, knowing that these  
23 areas towards the Southwest of Coachella Valley don't  
24 actually have a lot of population in them, it's my  
25 understanding, so I don't know if it will get us very

1 far, but within the Coachella Valley, is there any  
2 flexibility if we were to look at the kind of Murrieta  
3 Temecula area and going farther east, and maybe  
4 maintaining -- well, my concept here is maybe maintaining  
5 the Coachella -- Metro Coachella Valley, I don't know if  
6 they consider themselves a Metro, but, really, the more  
7 urbanized areas of Coachella Valley integrated. And I am  
8 not so sure of the traffic patterns, you know, where do  
9 people in the southwest portion of Coachella Valley --  
10 where do they identify with? Do they hop over to  
11 Temecula? Do they always go up to the desert cities? I  
12 don't know Commissioner Filkins Webber, do you have any  
13 thoughts?

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, those are  
15 areas I think you're referring to as Warner Springs, and  
16 some of those areas, that's like Route 79 which goes into  
17 Temecula, and then that's the back way which goes  
18 actually over to Borrego Springs, and over to Ocotillo  
19 Wells and Imperial County. And so there is a big  
20 mountain range that separate that from the Coachella  
21 Valley and on the south side of Borrego Springs, so the  
22 primary routes are like the 79 into Temecula, and further  
23 south into San Diego, actually, right there, because  
24 there is big geographic divides. But essentially, you  
25 can travel on the 79 through Temecula and go over to

1 Borrego Springs. There is another back way that you  
2 could go into Palm Springs, but I think it's just a  
3 split, basically whichever way you're closer to, you go  
4 into Temecula or, if you're on the other side of the  
5 mountain, then you go into Palm Springs.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So maybe we could  
7 dip into that west side of that -- what is that, the 79?  
8 I'm looking at maybe crossing the county.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, if you look  
10 at "COCH" [ph.] on page 13, it's awfully close. I mean,  
11 we're at 622 people there, with only a .13 population  
12 deviation, that's why my recommendations weren't  
13 necessarily to push into that district because it was so  
14 so close.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
16 Guilio.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Could I - if you could  
18 zoom out again so I could see a little perspective? I'm  
19 just going to throw this out and maybe it's not  
20 population-based, but if we did the Coachella Valley,  
21 there was some testimony about wrapping up and linking  
22 Coachella Valley with 29 Palms? I thought we heard that,  
23 so that would cut off the top park of the purple and  
24 then, if you take the other part, and you start combining  
25 the purple and the bottom yellow along the 15 corridor,



1 which I think there is a lot of community of interest  
2 there, as well as maybe what Commissioner Filkins Webber  
3 just said about Western Riverside County. I don't know  
4 if there's any basis for that to work with that  
5 population, does that --

6 MS. WOODS: There is too much population in that  
7 area in San Bernardino County, because most of the  
8 population in the Coachella Valley in this district is  
9 concentrated in the Coachella Valley and that area north  
10 of it is about 60,000 people.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And what is the  
12 population of Coachella Valley?

13 MS. WOODS: It's 622 people -- well --

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Is there any way if you  
15 shave off --

16 MS. WOODS: The population in this area, it's so  
17 small -

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just wanted to throw  
19 it out there.

20 MS. WOODS: Yeah, no, it's --

21 COMMISSIOENR DI GUILIO: I didn't know how  
22 populated that San Bernardino part of that was.

23 MS. WOODS: Yeah, it's something I looked into,  
24 but it just doesn't work with the numbers if you want to  
25 keep the Coachella Valley whole.

1           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So I'd like the  
2 next two comments to be suggestions on how to move  
3 forward with the problems of how do we, based on wanting  
4 to take Rancho Cucamonga out, what direction do we give  
5 Q2? What direction do we want them to go in order to  
6 pick up population in, a) the San Bernardino, and b) the  
7 Riverside, the Riverside, kind of Temecula area district.

8           MS. HENDERSON: Can I address Commissioner  
9 Ancheta's point about Native Americans? I got a little  
10 email from Bonnie, which has now disappeared, but she  
11 says the only testimony she could find about Native  
12 Americans was from the Mayor Pro Temp of -- I will tell  
13 you what it is when it pops up again -- but it was  
14 talking about keeping the Coachella Valley with the  
15 Imperial Valley.

16           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
17 Aguirre.

18           COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, I'm following up,  
19 Madam Chair, on your suggestion to push east into the  
20 Coachella Valley itself. We have testimony, of course,  
21 that there are individuals that want to keep Coachella  
22 whole, but we also received testimony from individuals  
23 not only within Coachella, the City, and Indio itself,  
24 but from the Southern Imperial Valley that they,  
25 themselves, as to the county of interest, given that even

1 -- there are two realities for the Coachella Valley --  
2 the eastern side which is the Palm Springs, very upscale  
3 kind of area that's got lots of golf courses, and then  
4 you have on the west side, you have the town of Coachella  
5 and the town of Indio that are primarily agricultural  
6 related, highly Hispanic, and so if there - I'm not sure  
7 whether there is an interest in delving into the east of  
8 Coachella Valley to pick up some population and maybe  
9 working the other population into a relationship with  
10 Imperial County.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: A second  
12 suggestion? Commissioner Forbes.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: This is not so much a  
14 suggestion as it is an observation, it seems to me that  
15 the attempt to combine Rancho Cucamonga is really  
16 twisting this whole area. And I think that the effort to  
17 twist in order to save Rancho Cucamonga is not worth the  
18 candle at this point.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Granted, I would  
20 agree with that.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay. So let's  
22 retrace our steps backwards, meaning that we put Rancho  
23 Cucamonga back where it was when we started this  
24 conversation.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: We gave it a good shot,

1    though.

2                   CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY:   Yes, we did.   And  
3   so, then, the understanding if we are to look at the  
4   Rancho Cucamonga, look at that district, we're going to  
5   leave it as is, or we have some direction on shifts?   And  
6   then I really want one person to summarize and then we're  
7   going to move on because I think we spent about a half an  
8   hour on this one district.   Okay, if I don't hear any  
9   direction, we're going to move on.   Let's move on.

10                  MS. WOODS:   So I'll start with this "N Coast SD."

11                  COMMISSIONER BLANCO:   What page?

12                  MS. HENDERSON:   Just to clarify, so we touched on  
13   several districts up there in the Riverside County Area  
14   and I just wanted to confirm that - are we revisiting  
15   those or, by saying that we're going to leave Rancho  
16   Cucamonga the way it is currently that we're okay with  
17   all those districts?

18                  CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY:   Yes, I believe so.

19                  MS. HENDERSON:   So all the Riverside districts  
20   that we looked at just now?   Okay, thank you.

21                  CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY:   Yeah, San  
22   Bernardino, Riverside.

23                  MS. WOODS:   So this is on page 8 and it starts at  
24   the border with Orange County.   It includes the cities of  
25   Oceanside, Vista, Carlsbad, and Encinitas, and I don't

1 believe it splits any of those cities.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Now, is this an Assembly  
3 District?

4 MS. WOODS: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Because the -

6 MS. WOODS: Sorry, I used the abbreviations, "SC"  
7 for San Diego.

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I like it. All  
9 right, let's move on. It was page 8, but we're moving  
10 on.

11 MS. WOODS: The next district is Rancho BMM, so  
12 let me pull up the neighborhood layer. So, this is  
13 something that we talked about in the wrap-up, it  
14 includes --

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm sorry, can I  
16 ask for you to actually shade in a different color that  
17 district?

18 MS. WOODS: Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And then, on our  
20 sheet on page 8, it's -- what color is it?

21 MS. WOODS: It's yellow. So this includes Rancho  
22 Bernardo, Mira Mesa, Scripps Ranch, Tierra Santa Miramar,  
23 Carmel Valley, San Pasqual. It does not include Poway.  
24 And it includes a small portion of Fairbanks Ranch, so  
25 that is split.

1           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can you walk me  
2 through -- remind me what San Pasqual is about, kind of  
3 the finger off to the northeast?

4           MS. WOODS: It's part of the City of San Diego  
5 and it's sort of the northern part of the City of San  
6 Diego --

7           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Of which Poway is  
8 not -- it's a separate city.

9           MS. WOODS: Poway is a separate city.

10          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: What I'm concerned  
11 about, actually, is more the district of those areas  
12 around it because Rancho Bernardo and San Pasqual go up  
13 there, you have the loop around it, I guess I'm just  
14 curious to hear what we base that on. But this whole  
15 district is this right here, right? That - what is it  
16 called? "NESD?" North East San Diego?

17          MS. WOODS: NESD. So that's a visualization from  
18 the wrap-up if we sort of put together a northeast  
19 district, and I came on the other side of San Marcos and  
20 Escondido, which were included in that visualization.

21          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But my point is that San  
22 Marcos, Escondido, and correct me if I'm wrong, but it  
23 wraps all the way down the bottom part of that, and that  
24 includes Poway and if you keep going, I'm assuming some  
25 of those bottom ones, the bottom parts of that district,

346

1 is that like Julian and -- up there in the very bottom  
2 part of that district? Alpine --

3 MS. WOODS: It includes Alpine, Descanso, Pine  
4 Valley, Mount Laguna, Ramona, San Diego County and  
5 States.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I guess I just have a  
7 little problem with the way that one lays out. I think  
8 those communities down there are very different than the  
9 ones up in the very top. I'm just wondering, on the  
10 general concept, if we could incorporate those southern  
11 ones into maybe some part of the south district.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And that's, I think if we  
13 go back to our earlier discussion, we were going to push  
14 all the -- we were going to push my notes, say, a little  
15 bit around Poway, probably stopping at Poway, but we  
16 would push all the way north to about Poway.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, and if that  
18 happens, there's going to be a district that includes San  
19 Marcos, Escondido, that's going to be very short -- NESD  
20 will be very short, so we'll have to grab population  
21 somewhere else. Am I correct in that?

22 MS. WOODS: If you exclude the lower areas,  
23 you'll have to pick up population elsewhere and, you  
24 know, this blue shaded district is something that we had  
25 a lot of community of interest testimony about.

1           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I'd be  
2 interested in hearing a little more from Commissioner  
3 Ontai on this area because I recognize that the shape of  
4 -- the way that these two districts come together, the  
5 shape seems odd, and yet if we're following the city  
6 boundary of San Diego to try and keep those communities  
7 together and, as I'm looking at what would be the NESD  
8 district on our map, you know, many of these communities  
9 are essentially bedroom communities, or commuter  
10 communities, I believe, of San Diego. And so, just  
11 trying to think like if we actually did chop that  
12 district off in the middle, where would we be grabbing  
13 population from and what would the other people in that  
14 district have in common? So, I don't know, Commissioner  
15 Ontai, as the local --

16           VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, well, I'm looking at  
17 -- Poway is actually a bedroom community, that's correct.  
18 And drawing the lines up further to include Poway, and  
19 it's hard for me to read, but I think the -- so the yellow  
20 areas that are shown to the right, Lakeside, Santee, El  
21 Cajon, those are generally communities that have a lot in  
22 common, so I would keep those together. As you go into  
23 -- you can also go out to Alpine and Descanso, I think  
24 they're fairly similar in population. Poway, on the  
25 other hand, is really part of the City of San Diego, I



1 would -- in terms of density and lifestyle, it's very  
2 similar to the gray area. I don't know what that does to  
3 the numbers, but ...

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
5 Guilio, then Dai.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just had a question. The  
7 legend that's at the northwest part of that NESD, what is  
8 underneath that? What's the purple underneath that  
9 community? Because I think Commissioner Ontai is right,  
10 I think that northern part that is actually Escondido, La  
11 Mesa, all have something in common. I'm just wondering,  
12 what's the three -

13 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: What area is this you're  
14 looking at?

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: San Marcos.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: San Marcos, again, and  
17 south of that, what is the yellow - Yellow, Rancho  
18 Bernard?

19 MS. BLANCO: Rancho Santa Fe.

20 MS. WOODS: Rancho Santa Fe, Fairbanks Ranch.

21 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Rancho Santa Fe and  
22 Fairbanks Ranch are fairly affluent communities.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Very affluent, yeah.

24 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Very upscale communities.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Do they identify more

1 with the coast or --

2 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, I would put them with  
3 the coast side, yeah.

4 MS. WOODS: We got COI testimony about that area  
5 being linked to Del Mar and Solano Beach.

6 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, that's about right.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: And not being with the  
8 communities right below it.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I guess the ultimate  
10 question, again, is if we do what Commissioner Blanco had  
11 mentioned, we're bringing that southern boundary up to  
12 include the lower portions of the purple, you're still  
13 losing population, so you have to pick it up. That means  
14 you're either going to go into the coastal, or you have  
15 to take from the grayish blue color, correct? And then  
16 we have some conflicts with COI.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Where are you looking at?

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, if you follow the  
19 logic of the very bottom light color yellow, which is in  
20 that - the suggestion we'd done earlier was to say to  
21 move that line up, correct? And that would take in all  
22 the Alpine and those communities. They're not very big,  
23 but you're going to lose population there in the purple,  
24 the larger, right, in the larger part of the purple. So  
25 now you're looking to pick up population and where would

350

1 you do that? Because you were saying the line was going  
2 to go all the way up to Poway, which would eliminate --

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, we had talked about  
4 it going north, I think we just had kind of - I don't  
5 think we thought about how far.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I don't want to make  
7 this conversation go out too long, I just think we need  
8 to give them some direction that if the purple needs to  
9 pick up population, we have to decide where, and I'm  
10 hearing there was COI testimony about the grayish blue  
11 color not breaking that up, like taking that finger away,  
12 and then there's testimony that says, "Don't go into the  
13 coastal area communities." So what do you have left?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can you show the cities  
15 below Alpine and Descanso?

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And Commissioner Dai is  
17 next in the stack. Feel free to chime in, Commissioner.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I was just going to say  
19 that, actually, the community of interest testimony  
20 included Poway, and we, for whatever reason had excluded  
21 it, but all of the COI testimony included Poway in that  
22 grayish blue area.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, that's true.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: And that would make it look a  
25 lot more compact, as well.

1           VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, and that's about  
2 right.

3           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think we had differing -  
4 that was one area where we had so many differences of  
5 opinion about how to do those north county that I do  
6 think if we wanted to put Poway in with Escondido and San  
7 Marcos, we would be okay.

8           COMMISSIONER DAI: It's an option.

9           VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: I would agree with that.

10          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But, to address  
11 Commissioner Dai, if you put Poway with the grayish blue,  
12 you're way over-populated and now you're getting even  
13 less populated for purposes --

14          VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: That's true. You could go  
15 either way, though.

16          COMMISSIONER DAI: I was just trying to give our  
17 Mappers another option here.

18          VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: But the yellow area on the  
19 bottom, I think, if we can move it up to pick up some  
20 numbers in the Alpine, Descanso, Pine Valley, if we  
21 needed some population there, I think that would be --  
22 that would be okay.

23          MS. HENDERSON: Commissioners, so I just wanted  
24 to point out that this is currently what we see on the  
25 bottom part here is the border district, what we're asked

1 to put together, and that will probably be different.

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right. But that's what  
3 we're saying is that we asked to push up and I - are we  
4 under-populated in the purple? Because, if we are, I  
5 can't see the numbers. Or are we over in the purple?

6 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, yeah, exactly.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay, I agree with  
8 Commissioner Ontai that I think there is a lot of  
9 similarity with Alpine, Descanso, they could actually go  
10 -- that's all eight and that's all the mountains, it's  
11 all those orchards and --

12 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Right.

13 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Agreed. So who is  
14 taking notes for this area?

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I am.

16 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Is that helpful?

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So it's going to go all the  
18 way down to stopping at Alpine, okay.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, unless  
20 you have further clarifications, let's move on.  
21 Remember, you can always call us.

22 MS. WOODS: Yeah, thanks.

23 MS. WOODS: So I'm going to show this district on  
24 the coast, so this starts at Rancho Santa Fe, Fairbanks  
25 Ranch, goes west to Solano Beach and Del Mar, and goes

1 down through Torrey Pines, La Jolla, and into and all the  
2 way down to Coronado and includes part of the central  
3 City of San Diego.

4 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: And I would agree with  
5 that. It's not going into Imperial Beach, right? Just --

6 MS. WOODS: No, Imperial Beach is --

7 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: -- because Imperial Beach  
8 really should be part of what is shown now as the gray  
9 area.

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah.

11 MS. WOODS: Okay.

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, let's  
13 move along.

14 COMMISSIOENR BLANCO: So we're keeping that --  
15 what are we calling that?

16 MS. WOODS: That's -- I put "Sierra NOSD  
17 Coronado, San Diego."

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: It stays the same, okay.

19 MS. WOODS: So this area that's currently  
20 surrounding what is now our border district includes  
21 National City, Bonita, parts of East Chula Vista, Bay  
22 Terraces, Paradise Hills, and then also includes the  
23 Shell Town, Logan Heights.

24 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Can you show where Highway  
25 8 is?

1 MS. WOODS: It's right here.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: So I have a question here.

3 Isn't this the area where Mr. Brown suggested that we are  
4 probably going to be required to draw a Section 2?

5 MS. WOODS: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: So all the ones on the bottom  
8 are going to change, are they not?

9 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, that's correct.

10 MS. WOODS: And the area I have surrounding that  
11 is Santee, El Cajon, Bostonia, Winter Gardens, Lakeside,  
12 Harbison Canyon, Crest, and it goes into part of the City  
13 of San Diego.

14 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: I would agree with that.

15 Yeah, I would agree with that.

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, we're in  
17 agreement.

18 MS. WOODS: Okay. So that's it for San Diego.

19 Does someone have wonderful notes?

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I don't know about  
21 "wonderful."

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think  
23 Commissioner Blanco has been the lead on San Diego in the  
24 notes.

25 MS. WOODS: Thank you.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I was curious before we  
2 transition, it didn't occur to me really earlier, but  
3 before we transition out of the Assembly, I remember we  
4 did East San Fernando Valley, but I don't recall we ever  
5 did the West San Fernando, we never talked about --

6           MS. HENDERSON: Yes, we need to go back to pick  
7 up some Los Angeles areas. So Nicole is going to --

8           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- the missing parts in  
9 the western part.

10          MS. HENDERSON: Yeah.

11          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes. Can I get a  
12 rough estimate of how far we are into the Assembly  
13 Districts?

14          MS. HENDERSON: Okay, we have about three or four  
15 left.

16          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

17          VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Actually, Ana, could you go  
18 back to that last section? That last district we were  
19 looking at?

20          MS. HENDERSON: Sure.

21          VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: And zero in on the left-  
22 hand side or the western side, that little finger there,  
23 could you blow that up? A little bit more if you can do  
24 it. The highway that is running along the west side, that  
25 is 805, right?



1 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

2 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yep, I would agree with  
3 that.

4 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, we're going to start on  
5 page 18. So, we'll start with the west side, Santa  
6 Monica, just to kind of give you a preview, we're also  
7 going to do the Hancock Park and the East L.A. So let's  
8 look at the west side of Santa Monica first.

9 MS. WOODS: So this is what I'm calling the West  
10 Side Los Angeles District. It consists of Santa Monica  
11 right now, right here, Marina Del Rey, Venice, Marina  
12 Peninsula, Mar Vista, parts of Palms, parts of Rancho  
13 Park, part of Century City, Westwood, Beverly Hills, West  
14 Hollywood, Bel Air, Beverly Crest, it looks like part of  
15 the Hollywood Hills and Hollywood, or part of Hollywood.

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Questions? Before  
17 I take questions, did you split any cities? And, if so,  
18 could you just run through those for us?

19 MS. WOODS: I believe only Los Angeles is split  
20 intentionally here.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.  
22 Commissioners Forbes and then Ancheta.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES A small point. I think for  
24 making the map more attractive that the VA Hospital would  
25 be included with this. I don't imagine it's a huge

1 amount of population there, or am I wrong?

2 MS. WOODS: There's actually 11,000 people in one  
3 Census tract there. I was puzzling over that yesterday.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
5 Ancheta.

6 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yeah, could you actually  
7 zoom in, just use as the center the VA Hospital area, and  
8 just zoom -- the issue I'm having is that a lot of West  
9 L.A., Brentwood, this is all the VA Hospital near UCLA,  
10 that's very similar, and more similar to the adjacent  
11 areas in, say, West Hollywood would be to the west side.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, if you can  
13 find Santa Monica Blvd., or what's -- off of 10 -- it's  
14 further north.

15 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: And are you following the  
16 neighborhood boundaries?

17 MS. WOODS: I'm attempting to follow the  
18 Neighborhood Council boundaries.

19 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: So what is that  
20 neighborhood that is in yellow?

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's the hospital.

22 MS. HENDERSON: That's the hospital right there  
23 on top. Brentwood.

24 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: And, again, we don't have  
25 much testimony, I'm relying on personal knowledge here.

1 I think Commissioner Forbes also had some personal  
2 knowledge from a few years ago.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I went to high school  
4 there.

5 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I mean, part of this is  
6 also how you're looking at what looks to be the Topanga  
7 Malibu Santa Monica Mountains District, I think you're  
8 trying to draw there to the northwest, and it just seems  
9 like those parts that we were just highlighting fit more  
10 closely to a west side district than the adjacent  
11 district.

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner Di  
13 Giulio.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm going to take a  
15 couple steps back because we started this whole  
16 conversation in this area where we were trying to give  
17 our Mappers some line drawing directions to do Pasadena,  
18 Glendale, Burbank, which left that hole swath up there  
19 unaccounted for, and -- yeah, there we go -- and so if  
20 we're trying to do what Commissioner Ancheta said, where  
21 maybe you do include that western Burbank plus Hollywood  
22 Hills and so you bump that down, and then you could move  
23 over and grab the Brentwood area, yeah, over there into  
24 -- does that kind of work a little bit, Nicole, with what  
25 we were talking about earlier, or not?

1 MS. WOODS: It does --

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Then what does it leave  
3 us?

4 MS. WOODS: -- except that I'm going to need  
5 additional population for what is now the green area. If  
6 I take out that Brentwood area and put it into the  
7 purple, that will leave me under-populated in the green,  
8 and I'm abutting here the San Fernando District, and  
9 Santa Clarita Valley, and then up into Ventura County,  
10 and I'd have to discuss population exchanges with Jamie  
11 there.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I was hoping we'd  
13 talk about that area, the Malibu area and the Santa  
14 Clarita. I mean, I think there are some shifts that  
15 might go on, as well, too. I know we've done a lot in  
16 trying to keep -- we've had a lot of testimony with Santa  
17 Clarita, and I think just initially looking at this, that  
18 Santa Clarita Valley has been kept together in at least  
19 the Assembly and the Senate, and I'm not sure if  
20 Congressional, but I'm thinking at some point the idea of  
21 sharing the pain has to be brought into effect with Santa  
22 Clarita, as well, too. That's just - in terms of how  
23 we're going to shift some of those populations. Based on  
24 some of those, do we need to answer any more questions  
25 for you in terms of - there may be some - I think there

1 may be some justification also looking at Santa Monica  
2 being tied into Malibu and Calabasas and Topanga and over  
3 our hills, possibly. I mean, I think we had a lot of  
4 testimony about that.

5 MS. WOODS: Santa Monica, I think, has about  
6 80,000 people, so that would cause a shifting up here of  
7 the population.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, I'm sorry, I  
9 didn't know if you needed population in green, or if you  
10 were trying to take away from it, but --

11 MS. WOODS: We could trade, but I don't think it  
12 would be an even exchange. I would have to split Santa  
13 Monica if we were just trading population here.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I wouldn't move Santa  
15 Monica on the west side, I think it's in the right place.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, that's fine.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I agree. I think  
18 Santa Monica needs to remain in the west side.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But now, if we did the  
20 Glendale Pasadena Burbank and that took -- what's the  
21 little --

22 MS. WOODS: Sherman Oaks.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- thank you, Sherman  
24 Oaks --

25 MS. WOODS: Toluca Lake.

1           COMMISSIOENR DI GUILIO:  -- and brought that  
2 down, this is the way we started the conversation, what  
3 does that do, then, for you in terms of what we need to  
4 consider?

5           COMMISSIONER FORBES:  Brought down where?

6           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO:  Brought it down into  
7 Hollywood.

8           COMMISSIONER FORBES:  No, remember, that was one  
9 of the things, and the San Fernando Valley was just  
10 stressed if they were represented by people on -

11          MS. WOODS:  Remembers, that's some sort of hard  
12 line there for -

13          COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO:  Okay, I'm sorry, so you  
14 wanted to go up -- I'm sorry, I didn't realize.  So if  
15 you take that out of the Glendale, Pasadena, then you  
16 would move it up into West San Fernando.  Is that  
17 correct?

18          MS. WOODS:  Yeah, so we could take this area and  
19 move it into the green, and then we'd have to move back  
20 up into here.

21          COMMISSIONER ANCHETA:  I don't think that works.

22          MS. WOODS:  If we're just trading population  
23 between these two.

24          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY:  I hear some concern  
25 on the part of the Commissioners.

1           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I think you have a clean  
2 break there with the Mulholland break there to the south  
3 of that yellow, and you have a clean break with the 405,  
4 I would the way that looks right there.

5           MS. WOODS: If I'm going to attempt to, I'd  
6 remove this from the Glendale Burbank, though, I am going  
7 to have to put this somewhere. And I don't see it going  
8 up here because of the demographics of these districts.

9           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, so if we're saying  
10 you can't go up north and the 405 is the boundary, and  
11 Mulholland Drive is the boundary, then that means you  
12 can't do the Glendale Burbank Pasadena, then, and that's  
13 where we started this whole day off with, so....

14          MS. WOODS: The only way that I could see doing  
15 it is through a narrow bridge or taking a piece of  
16 Hollywood here and connecting it to the Hancock Park  
17 District, which is the downtown area, Korea Town. I  
18 worked really hard to keep this area intact as much as  
19 possible.

20          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I don't think  
21 that's an option, actually. I wonder, I mean, without  
22 going and revisiting in so much detail the Glendale  
23 Burbank Pasadena decision, but I'm wondering if there's a  
24 way that we can keep the majority of the cities together.  
25 We're going to have to make - I don't see a way that

1 we're going to be able to not make a split there on the  
2 west side of that district.

3 MS. WOODS: Which district? The Burbank,  
4 Glendale?

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, the Burbank,  
6 Glendale, Pasadena District.

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Did we talk about  
8 Burbank earlier today, now it's been so long ago, about  
9 taking the west side of Burbank?

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Because there was  
12 business interests or something of that nature?

13 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Because the movie  
14 industry -- entertainment industry, there's a lot of kind  
15 of small studios, post-production facilities, you know,  
16 props, shops, etc., that start in Burbank and then kind  
17 of trickle westward from there.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Warner Bros., Universal.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm sorry, can I just go  
20 -- is the part I'm talking about -- zoom in a little  
21 closer so I can read it -- okay, so on our maps, so there  
22 was a lot of testimony that said Sherman Oaks wants to be  
23 with Burbank and Glendale? Because that's where they're  
24 with.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, it's in the



1 valley.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, it's not in the  
3 Valley.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Sherman Oaks?

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, it is.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: You went to that  
7 restaurant the other day -

8 MS. WOODS: There was a little bit of testimony  
9 about this corner going with Burbank.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm sorry, on our map,  
11 though, correct me if I'm wrong, on our maps Sherman Oaks  
12 is with Burbank and Glendale. Right? It's on 17.

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Why isn't it with the  
14 Valley?

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Why isn't it with the  
16 Valley? Right?

17 MS. HENDERSON: Are you asking why is it with  
18 Burbank?

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, because right now,  
20 just so we're clear, Sherman Oaks is with Burbank and  
21 Glendale, and originally when we had the conversation  
22 about doing Burbank, Glendale, Pasadena, we shaved off  
23 Sherman Oaks.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, that's right.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So I'm saying, now,

1 doesn't that belong with West San Fernando Valley?

2 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yes.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, so, I want to be  
4 clear about that.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That frees up possibly  
6 Pasadena to the east.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But if we bump it into  
8 West San Fernando Valley, I'm assuming there's  
9 implications to that. But if our direction is that  
10 Sherman Oaks needs to be with West San Fernando Valley,  
11 then we need to consider -- and that would allow for the  
12 Burbank, Pasadena, and Glendale, but now we have to  
13 consider the implications of it going into West San  
14 Fernando Valley.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But I would agree that  
16 anybody who is from L.A. knows Sherman Oaks belongs in  
17 the San Fernando.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: You're right.

19 MS. HENDERSON: So if we're taking the Sherman  
20 Oaks area here and pushing it up into the West San  
21 Fernando Valley, you'll see that this current has a  
22 deviation under by 45 people. That in turn is going to  
23 need to push up somewhere; it may be up into the Santa  
24 Clarita Valley district that we have drawn right now.  
25 The San Fernando Valley East is a potential Section 2

1 district, so you're trying to explain why we wouldn't  
2 just go into that district because we might affect the  
3 CVAP for Latinos in that district.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
5 Barabba.

6 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I think the price of  
7 bringing Pasadena into Burbank Glendale is a very high  
8 price to pay for the rest of the valley. And I  
9 understand the preference that they have, but that's  
10 going -- that messes everything else up.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: It's true, and you don't  
12 have to do that, but the point is, if you want Sherman  
13 Oaks in the San Fernando Valley --

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Which it should be.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: -- which it should be,  
16 that's fine, so then there's two issues, one is the  
17 population in that Sherman Oaks area has to be made up in  
18 the Burbank Glendale area, however you need to do, but in  
19 addition, you also have to lose population in the West  
20 San Fernando Valley because you just added a lot, so  
21 there's -- it isn't so much whether we want to keep  
22 Glendale, Burbank, Pasadena, but what I hear from  
23 everyone is that Sherman Oaks has to go with the San  
24 Fernando Valley, so now you have to deal with the  
25 repercussions of that.

1           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The district that they  
2 have drawn, the Glendale, Burbank, and I guess that's  
3 Sherman Oaks, I think everybody would say that was in the  
4 San Fernando Valley.

5           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm sorry, can you  
6 repeat the last part?

7           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Well, if you look at that  
8 purple area they've got that includes Sherman Oaks, I  
9 think most everyone would agree that's part of the San  
10 Fernando Valley because that's Mulholland Drive up there,  
11 I would imagine, on the south part of it.

12          COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, you're saying that  
13 because it's also below the East San Fernando Valley  
14 that, in a way, this is all a valley district.

15          COMMISSIONER DAI: It's a third San Fernando  
16 Valley district. I actually think, yeah, there was  
17 reasonable testimony tying those areas, so you know, we  
18 can unite Glendale, Burbank, and Pasadena in the Senate  
19 District.

20          COMMISSIONER PARVENU: They are united in the  
21 Congressional, too.

22          COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would suggest the  
23 district they have drawn is probably appropriate.

24          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any additional  
25 questions, Q2?

1 MS. HENDERSON So what is your final word on this  
2 one?

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: It sounded like there were no  
4 changes, right?

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We think that the  
6 district you've drawn is appropriate.

7 MS. HENDERSON: Okay. And so, just to make sure  
8 that I'm clear, so the discussion that we had earlier  
9 today about Pasadena --

10 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, overruled.

11 MS. HENDERSON: Overruled, okay.

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're coming to  
13 many of the same conclusions that you have, but we've  
14 gone through our own process to get there. Commissioner  
15 Parvenu.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I think the only  
17 afterthought I have about this is that I think we've seen  
18 testimony from VICA about keeping that corridor at  
19 Ventura Blvd.-101 Freeway together, but it looks like  
20 it's unavoidable, that there has to be a split somewhere  
21 and I just think the 405 probably is the appropriate  
22 breaking place.

23 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, we'll make a note of that  
24 and if we can do something with that, we'll take a look  
25 at it. If Ventura County changes, there may be some

1 changes that are available here.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Were you going to look  
3 at that very last one, the Thousand Oaks, Santa Monica  
4 Mountain? I just was curious how far west that went on  
5 the coast that includes Oxnard, Port Hueneme.

6 MS. HENDERSON: Port Hueneme.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Or just the mountains.

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And what is the  
9 best map to look at?

10 MS. HENDERSON: Sorry, I apologize, that's the  
11 next one up.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So that's that leftover  
13 where we had a conversation with Jamie that the coastal  
14 western boundary is that Port Hueneme and part of Oxnard?

15 MS. HENDERSON: This green district that you're  
16 referring to? No, that is --

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, that's not, so it  
18 stops at the mountains. It stops before you get to Port  
19 Hueneme.

20 MS. HENDERSON: It stops at Casa Conejo.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm saying on the coast.

22 MS. HENDERSON: On the coast?

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because Casa Conejo is  
24 in the mountains.

25 MS. HENDERSON: It's the first Census tract.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So I guess the point is  
2 this, before you have the population of Port Hueneme.

3 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, so it's basically  
5 Malibu is the first coastal community, right?

6 MS. HENDERSON: Yes. Do we want to go through  
7 this district in more detail?

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: No. I don't see  
9 any concerns. I think we should keep moving.

10 MS. HENDERSON: Okay. We'll do San Fernando  
11 Valley East, which is on a different page, just a moment.  
12 It is on page 17. And on the page, it's green and it's  
13 up in kind of your right-hand corner. And this is a  
14 potential Section 2 district, I think it's one of the  
15 ones that Mr. Brown referred to as perhaps needing some  
16 further inquiry, if I'm not mistaken. The Latino CVAP is  
17 52.41 percent, the Black CVAP is 5.02 percent, and the  
18 Asian CVAP is 8.26 percent. And this includes the City  
19 of San Fernando, as well as several neighborhoods in the  
20 San Fernando Valley, the L.A. portion, including Sylmar,  
21 Mission Hills, Pacoima, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills,  
22 Sunland, Tonga, La Tuna Canyon, Sun Valley, Arleta, part  
23 of North Hollywood, and part of Granada Hills.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
25 Barabba.

1           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I recall that pretty much  
2 captures, I think, what most of the COI discussion was  
3 and I think it's pretty solid. And as I remember how it  
4 was and how I think it is now, I think it captured it  
5 quite well.

6           MS. WOODS: From the iteration you saw last  
7 Thursday, I added the Sunland, Tujunga, La Tuna Canyon,  
8 and Shadow Hills, per your direction, and pulled in the  
9 western boundary further east.

10          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right. Do we  
11 have more Assembly Districts?

12          MS. HENDERSON: Give me just a second.

13          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah.

14          MS. HENDERSON: Oh, San Fernando Valley West,  
15 which is right next to it. This includes L.A.  
16 neighborhoods North Hills, Panorama City, Van Nuys,  
17 Valley Glenn, Resita, Winnetka, Canoga Park, and Balboa  
18 Park -- Lake Balboa, excuse us.

19          COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Which highway is that up  
20 there?

21          MS. HENDERSON: This one?

22          COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Is that the 505?

23          MS. HENDERSON: That's the 405.

24          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
25 Parvenu.



1           COMMISSIONER PARVENU:  What street is that above  
2 the green that's going east and west?  It sort of cuts  
3 off and then it dips down and picks up again?

4           COMMISSIONER BARABBA:  That's Roscoe, wasn't it?

5           COMMISSIONER PARVENU:  Is that Roscoe, you said?

6           MS. HENDERSON:  I think it was.  We'll double-  
7 check.  So Toppan, and then Oxnard, and then up a block  
8 --

9           COMMISSIONER PARVENU:  Oxnard.

10          MS. HENDERSON:  To Victory, and it looks like  
11 there's a park, Balboa Lake.

12          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY:  It looks like we  
13 are pretty pleased with this map.

14          COMMISSIONER PARVENU:  Yes.

15          MS. HENDERSON:  Okay.  Moving on, we have on page  
16 18, we'll take a closer look at the Hancock Park  
17 District.  I know we touched on this a little bit, but I  
18 just wanted to make sure that we get as much comment as  
19 possible.  This is -

20          MS. WOODS:  It's based around the downtown COI in  
21 Los Angeles, and that includes several different smaller  
22 communities, and then it extends out to the west side to  
23 include up to Cheviot Hills, which is a Los Angeles  
24 County neighborhood.  It includes on the north Thai Town,  
25 Little Armenia, East Hollywood, Filipino Town, Wilshire,

1 Korea Town, Harvard Heights, Victoria Park, Country Club  
2 Park, Melrose, Park La Brea, Miracle Mile, Mid City,  
3 parts of South Carthay, Crestview, Beverly Wood,  
4 Cadillac-Corning, Rainier Village, and it borders Culver  
5 City -- or, I'm sorry, there's a Census tract between  
6 Culver City and the district.

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So as we had  
8 discussed during the time we had talked about the Insight  
9 maps, did you respect those, I guess, neighborhood  
10 boundaries designated by the city?

11 MS. WOODS: I did my best. Some of them are  
12 split around the edges, and I'll take a closer look at  
13 aligning them more closely. But for population reasons,  
14 there probably will be some splits around the edges. And  
15 I have several different boundaries, actually. I'm  
16 noticing that there are several different boundaries. In  
17 addition to the Neighborhood Council boundaries, everyone  
18 has a slightly different accounting of where they are, so  
19 I'm using the ones that were provided to me by Ms.  
20 McDonald, but I'm questioning exactly how firm some of  
21 those boundaries are.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And this is the  
23 district where the southern part was Washington Blvd.,  
24 correct?

25 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

1           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Could we get -- perhaps  
2 Commissioner Parvenu could provide his description of  
3 those neighborhoods?

4           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I lived in  
5 Park La Brea myself.

6           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: She said she was having  
7 trouble with the neighborhood descriptions.

8           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: To confirm that she has  
9 the latest version --

10          MS. HENDERSON: So, the green lines that are on  
11 the map right now are showing the neighborhood boundaries  
12 that we've been using for this.

13          COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Can you zoom in a  
14 little bit more?

15          CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: If we have very  
16 specific detail, this may be something we can handle  
17 offline?

18          MS. HENDERSON: Yeah. All right, I think that I  
19 want to touch on the East L.A. District because I don't  
20 think we addressed it directly yet. And this is another  
21 area of high Latino concentration, there is a Latino CVAP  
22 of 53 percent, Black CVAP of 3 percent, and Asian CVAP of  
23 14 percent. That's the same page, page 18. It's the  
24 green district kind of at the top of the map. So this  
25 includes also several L.A. neighborhoods, Eagle Rock,

1 Closell Park, Atwater Village, Silver Lake, Galician  
2 Valley, Mount Washington, Highland Park, Echo Park,  
3 Galician Park, Lincoln Heights, El Sereno, Los Feliz, and  
4 East Los Angeles.

5 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It's diverse.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So this includes the Griffith  
7 Park [Inaudible], right?

8 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Do you have any  
10 concerns or direction? No, it looks good.

11 MS. HENDERSON: Okay. Okay, I think that's it  
12 for - wait, wait, yeah, because we discussed the downtown  
13 and Boyle Heights together, but I don't know, that's when  
14 Mr. Brown was able to join us, so I don't know if we  
15 received specific direction about these districts about  
16 the downtown district.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think this is one of the  
18 districts you have to use to shuffle the population a  
19 little bit, so maybe folks who have some thoughts about  
20 -- I mean, besides keeping the downtown area together, I  
21 think you should have some freedom there to move things  
22 around that we asked you to shuffle those different  
23 populations to make more compact.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Anymore specifics?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: L.A. Commissioners, do you

1 have any more deeper thoughts?

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: What do you need to  
3 do with it, I guess, based on the numbers that you have  
4 there?

5 MS. HENDERSON: We just wanted to see if there  
6 was further input about some of these areas because I  
7 think we discussed them in kind of the aggregate with  
8 some of these other districts in the area of Latino  
9 concentration in this part of L.A., and we're trying to  
10 see if there was any specific concerns or direction about  
11 this district or the neighboring ones.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: This is where we were going to  
13 move to the Southgate Downey combination instead and move  
14 Linwood, remember? So do we want to give them some  
15 parameters there as they kind of shuffle those around?

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, Commissioner  
17 Dai, this is also an area that we had had the issue with  
18 Artesia, Cerritos, La Palma, and now we're starting to  
19 look at, and what I've given more thought to at our  
20 break, our dinner break, Commissioner Ward and  
21 Commissioner Dai had mentioned this about La Habra  
22 Heights, and Whittier. And if we wanted to potentially  
23 fix up this problem a little bit, we could just make this  
24 - it might actually work out where, if La Habra and La  
25 Habra Heights or Whittier went with Diamond Bar, then

1 that blue district kind of goes a little further with the  
2 Downey and the Southgate, and it probably will work out  
3 with our earlier instructions. So the downtown district  
4 is probably okay, it'll work its way over from the  
5 Diamond Bar area into that Southgate direction that we  
6 gave earlier, so I think it's actually going to be able  
7 to flow. And we might be able to work out that Orange  
8 County District just kind of in a big circle. I don't  
9 know if you as the experts see those types of waves?

10 MS. HENDERSON: We'll see.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: It will be a counter clockwise  
12 shift.

13 MS. HENDERSON: All right, thank you.  
14 Congressional.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'd like to  
16 congratulate ourselves that we have made it through the  
17 Assembly Districts this afternoon. This was actually  
18 quite a feat. We have a commitment from our very patient  
19 and gracious Court Reporter that he is willing to stay  
20 until 9:00, so I think we have about 50 minutes to work  
21 with.

22 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, all right, we're going to  
23 shift back down and pick back up with Alex. And give me  
24 just a minute. Okay, let me grab these maps so I can  
25 give you the right page numbers. Okay, we're going to

1 start in San Bernardino County and I'm looking for the  
2 right piece of paper to give you the page number, but in  
3 the mean time, I'm going to let Alex describe the  
4 district to you.

5 MS. WOODS: So, again, the Commission direction  
6 was to move Mono County and Inyo County with San  
7 Bernardino, so we'll start up here, Mono, Enyo, and then  
8 San Bernardino. Unlike the Assembly configuration, all  
9 of this, Apple Valley, Victorville area is included in  
10 this district, as well as Crestline, Lake Arrowhead,  
11 Running Springs, Big Bear City, Mentone, Yucaipa,  
12 Oakland, and it does go east to include Morongo Valley,  
13 Yucca Valley, Joshua Tree, 29 Palms.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: I like it.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, it seems  
16 like we are in agreement, we like it.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: So much nicer when the units  
18 of analysis work.

19 MS. WOODS: Next, we're going to go to the City  
20 of San Bernardino, which is page 23. This includes part  
21 of the City of Fontana, Rialto, the City of San  
22 Bernardino, Bloomington, Colton, Loma Linda, and  
23 Highland. Hold on while I look for my sliver part.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: It looks like that preserves  
25 most of the Ebony Triangle, too.

1 MS. WOODS: So the splits are, it includes 85  
2 percent of Fontana and 27 percent of Redlands, so  
3 Redlands is split into the larger county of San  
4 Bernardino District and the other part of it is in the  
5 City of San Bernardino District.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Is the  
7 unincorporated part of Fontana included in this district?

8 MS. WOODS: No.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: But arguably, it probably has  
10 a lot in common with the district it's in, so...

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In comparison to  
12 Fontana, itself? It really doesn't with Chino Hills, but  
13 it --

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, but with Chino and Pomona.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I have you run  
16 through what the numbers are that we're looking at in our  
17 little white boxes?

18 MS. WOODS: Yes, so there's a deviation of 25  
19 people, the Latino CVAP is 44 percent, the Black VAP is  
20 14.81 percent, the Black CVAP, and then the Asian CVAP is  
21 5.06 percent.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I was just  
23 wondering if, and I don't know the population difference,  
24 and I don't know what effect it would have, but if we  
25 wanted to preserve that whole community, and I think it's



1 only been easier to take it out because it's  
2 unincorporated, but I think it's a close knit community  
3 there, and we did have some testimony, and obviously  
4 we're looking at a grander scale for Congressional  
5 Districts, but what if we considered including that  
6 incorporated [sic] area of Fontana with that district,  
7 SB, and then considered taking out the rest of Redlands  
8 and putting that with the other one? I mean, if there's  
9 got to be a balance someplace, otherwise you get zero  
10 percent, you couldn't ask for more than that.

11 MS. WOODS: So, if we had all of Fontana in there  
12 and left out Redlands, that would go to the larger San  
13 Bernardino District, which would mean that some  
14 population from the larger San Bernardino District would  
15 have to go somewhere, so I think that would mean that we  
16 would maybe have -- you could take that from going north  
17 over the mountains to the Hesperia, Apple Valley,  
18 Victorville area, or to Lake Arrowhead, Big Bear, or  
19 wherever you think would be best.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: What are we trying to do?

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're trying to  
22 replay it -- basically retain the unincorporated area of  
23 Fontana, that little southwestern portion of the Ebony  
24 Triangle.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: That's also, as I recall, a

1 high Latino CVAP area, and it might boost that number.

2 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, we're really  
3 on the border there almost at 45 percent.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, so that might be worth  
5 exploring, but we need to provide a suggestion for our  
6 Mappers on how to shift the population. So, Jodie is  
7 saying put Fontana, the unincorporated part of Fontana,  
8 back in, push Redlands out, but then the big district is  
9 over-populated, is that right? Or becomes under-  
10 populated?

11 MS. WOODS: It becomes over-populated, but I could  
12 look into maybe joining some of those areas as  
13 Commissioner Filkins Webber had suggested for Assembly,  
14 maybe the Yucaipa Area below with Calimesa.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I believe we  
16 actually have COI testimony linking Calimesa and Yucaipa  
17 if I remember correctly. So, yes, I'd say let's go that  
18 direction. If there are no further comments, let's move  
19 to the next map.

20 MS. WOODS: So this is the District labeled  
21 "ONT." It includes Ontario, Chino, part of Chino Hills,  
22 Pomona, and it keeps Rancho Cucamonga intact.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I just had a very quick  
24 logistical question on our paper, on page 23, that  
25 section is a mixture of orange and pink, is there a

1 reason for that?

2 MS. WOODS: That has to do with my map styling  
3 and the orange areas are cities or Census places that  
4 have --

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, so that's all  
6 considered one, and the boundary is - but you can't quite  
7 see the eastern boundary, but on our map the eastern  
8 boundary of that is the salmon color, the long salmon  
9 color, correct?

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: We're looking at ONT, right?

11 MS. WOODS: Yeah, we're looking at the one in --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Blue.

13 MS. WOODS: -- the green. I'm sorry about the  
14 coloring on these maps, it does make it hard to tell.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: On our maps on page 23,  
16 I'm just looking at the eastern boundary of that RVMV,  
17 that's what we're looking at, correct?

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, we're looking at ONT, O-N-  
19 T.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, I'm sorry, I thought  
21 she was highlighting the purple down there, I'm sorry, I  
22 apologize about that.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: I had a question about ONT,  
24 which is could we swap Chino Hills and Upland? Would  
25 that be a better swap?

1 MS. WOODS: Sure, I can definitely look into  
2 that. Would you include San Antonio Heights, as well?

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, the population  
4 looks pretty close. And that way, Chino Hills, I don't  
5 know how much that split -- how much of Chino Hills is  
6 actually in there, but maybe you can avoid splitting  
7 Chino Hills, then.

8 MS. WOODS: So it's 58 percent of Chino Hills is  
9 included in that district. So we would probably have to  
10 split Upland, as well.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Clarification from  
12 the Commission?

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just remind everyone,  
14 this is the Congressional, so we're down to one person,  
15 so there's going to be a lot of splits.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: My guess is that, I mean, one  
17 argument for keeping it this way is that it brings Chino  
18 and Chino Hills together, but not quite all of it, so  
19 that's something we didn't do in the previous one. An  
20 argument for swapping them is then we have Upland and  
21 Rancho Cucamonga in this district, I don't know how  
22 people feel about that exchange.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, if we're  
24 taking out the unincorporated Fontana -- refresh my  
25 recollection, was that about 7,000 people in that

1 unincorporated Fontana?

2 MS. WOODS: I can't quite recollect.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So how much do you  
4 think is leftover in -

5 MS. WOODS: But that's also -- this configuration  
6 also splits the City of Fontana, it's not just the  
7 unincorporated area.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And then how much  
9 is left of the population of Chino Hills if you've only  
10 taken a portion of it?

11 MS. WOODS: So the population of Chino Hills that  
12 is included in this configuration is about 43,000.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I see. Oh, so  
14 the number that is in there is the total population of  
15 the city?

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Remember, I think  
18 it would be helpful if we don't get into the same fine  
19 grain of detail that we have for the Assembly maps  
20 because we're talking about really no deviation,  
21 essentially, and so -

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Lots of splits.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So if we could know  
24 and be comfortable with the fact that there will be lots  
25 of splits and provide Q2 with which cities do we want you

385

1 to explore dipping into for population, or which cities  
2 do we want you to explore swapping, but knowing that most  
3 of them are going to be split.

4 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, and also, if I may, we  
5 really need to try and get through all the Congressionals  
6 tonight. We need to do the L.A. ones, as well.

7 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'll take two more  
8 comments. Commissioner Ward.

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: I was just going to say, I  
10 think the Chino Hills split works from the standpoint of  
11 the portion, the dividing line separates southeast Chino  
12 Hills and puts it with Yorba Linda, and they share Chino  
13 Hills State Park, which is a big fire problem in the  
14 county -- it works.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, all right.  
16 Any further direction? Okay, let's move on.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, I'm sorry.

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
19 Blanco.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: In that "SB," what is that?

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: San Bernardino.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Are we supposed to do the  
23 same thing here when we get to 40 - you know, that CVAP  
24 number that we had talked to our counsel about?

25 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I believe so.

1 So, is that Commissioner Filkins Webber that is tracking  
2 -- I'm trying to remember, what is our tracking system?  
3 Can we make a note of it in our notes?

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's one to flag.

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, make a flag.

6 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yeah, it's in my  
7 [Inaudible] list.

8 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Good.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Ancheta is on top  
10 of it.

11 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I have everything now.

12 MS. WOODS: So this next district is RVMV, which  
13 is Eastvale Jarupa Valley, the City of Riverside, the  
14 City of Marino Valley, and March Air Reserve Base. The  
15 deviation is about 6,000.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because this is so  
17 nuanced based on the areas around this, Alex, where can  
18 we consider taking from, then we can maybe give you some  
19 ideas of the communities that need to be taken. I'm  
20 assuming just south and east? Is that really our  
21 options?

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That is what I  
23 would agree with as far as Corona.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And we just have to  
25 slice off that 6,500 people and we just have to choose

1 where it's going to come from.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: There's some unincorporated  
3 area there, too. I don't know how many people are there.

4 MS. WOODS: This also includes Norco.

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, again, general  
6 direction we'd want to go with this district to pick up  
7 some population?

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Southeast.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Southeast.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, east?  
11 We said we're going into Beaumont --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Southwest, right?

13 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Southwest.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Southwest into  
15 Corona.

16 MS. WOODS: So this next district, if everyone is  
17 ready to move on, is Coachella Valley District, it starts  
18 at the eastern border of Riverside County and moves west,  
19 it includes the entirety of the Coachella Valley, it  
20 includes Beaumont Banning, Cherry Valley, San Jacinto,  
21 Hemet, Valley Vista, Anza, and Lake Riverside. The  
22 deviation is 418 people over-populated. The Latino CVAP  
23 is 27 percent, the Black CVAP is 3.9 percent, and the  
24 Asian CVAP is 2.57 percent.

25 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: It looks like we



1 are in good shape. Let's move on.

2 MS. WOODS: This next area starts at Corona in  
3 the northwest, includes Corona, El Cerrito, El Sobrante,  
4 Temescal Valley, Warm Springs Lakeland Village, Wildomar,  
5 Murrieta, French Valley, Menifee, Lakeview, Romoland,  
6 Green Acres, Paris, and Mead Valley. It also includes  
7 Aguanda.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Do you have a page  
9 number?

10 MS. WOODS: It's page 24. And this splits the  
11 City of Temecula.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And puts it where?

13 MS. WOODS: It puts it with San Diego County.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So Commissioner Blanco  
15 has a page 25 where it's very clear, but I don't seem to  
16 have a page 25.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I don't have a page  
18 25.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, Commissioner  
20 Blanco's page 25 is a great representation of the area,  
21 so if you would have 25.

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So can you talk a  
23 little bit -- I noticed that we have had a few of these  
24 districts where we're looking at pretty significant  
25 deviations, if you could talk about some of the issues

1 you're running into.

2 MS. WOODS: Well, for the purposes of preparing  
3 for this meeting, I was just trying to get them zero  
4 percent and so this is 0.8 percent. It's about 5,000  
5 people overpopulated, which because this city of Temecula  
6 is already split up, I could look into adding more to the  
7 part of Temecula that is included with the San Diego  
8 District.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, also, just as a side  
10 note, the one just north of it is the one that's under-  
11 populated by 6,500, and that's over-populated by 55, so  
12 you've got almost a swap right there and then you could  
13 maybe make up the difference in Temecula.

14 MS. WOODS: Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, are we  
16 generally in agreement with this district? Yes.

17 MS. WOODS: So we're moving to Orange County, the  
18 first district is LHYL, which is on page 27, thank you,  
19 it includes La Habra, Brea, Fullerton, Yorba Linda,  
20 Anaheim, Villa Park, and part of Orange, and the split  
21 I'll get to you in a second.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So in the Congressional,  
23 we have Fullerton, Brea, Yorba Linda altogether?

24 MS. WOODS: Yes.

25 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, it looks

1 like we're generally -

2 MS. WOODS: And so it's 58 percent of the City of  
3 Anaheim, and that's the only split.

4 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, let's  
5 move on.

6 COMMISSIONER WARD: Can I just ask you, is 91 the  
7 split on the east side for that? No, it's not, okay.  
8 Thank you.

9 MS. WOODS: The next district is WestGG, which  
10 includes Fountain Valley, part of Garden Grove, part of  
11 Santa Ana, Westminster, Cypress, Buena Park, and part of  
12 Anaheim, and part of Huntington Beach. So it's 41  
13 percent of Anaheim, 2 percent of Huntington Beach, and 16  
14 percent of the City of Santa Ana. So the portion of  
15 Santa Ana is that circle that we've discussed before, and  
16 the Huntington Beach was part of the larger boundaries of  
17 the Little Saigon COI that was the testimony given at the  
18 Santa Ana hearing for the street boundaries.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any questions  
20 regarding this district?

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just wondering if  
22 maybe a slight little change, but I don't know - Los  
23 Alamitos is in the Socal -- SA Coast, and then Cypress is  
24 WESTGG. I'm just wondering, if you bring that purple  
25 down to incorporate Los Alamitos, which I think is kind

1 of a sister city to Cypress, and then push up into  
2 Fountain Valley on the bottom of that purple, kind of do  
3 a little, I'm just wondering if there might be a little  
4 more similarities there, unless you have to do a big  
5 split, just something to consider.

6 MS. WOODS: My other question is, I know we've  
7 had a lot of discussion about Cerritos and Artesia, is  
8 that something that you would want to look at for this  
9 Congressional District, as well?

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: They're together in the  
11 Assembly.

12 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: My perspective  
13 would be, again, not everyone will get their ideal  
14 configuration at each layer. I think if our district  
15 here is working, which it appears to be, let's let well  
16 enough alone unless we hear about it. All right, so  
17 let's move on to the next map.

18 MS. WOODS: So the next one is the SACOAST which  
19 is Santa Ana and the Coastal District that includes Seal  
20 Beach, Sunset Beach, Huntington Beach, Costa Mesa, and  
21 Newport Beach, and the City of Santa Ana. Again,  
22 Huntington Beach is split and it's 97 percent in this  
23 district because the other portion is in the WESTDG and  
24 it's 83 percent of the City of Santa Ana.

25 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm seeing nods

1 from the Commissioners. Are there any concerns?

2 Commissioner Ward.

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: What is the - in the district  
4 south, the Coastal District South, SOC, is that Newport  
5 Beach that is divided there?

6 MS. WOODS: I believe all the City of Newport  
7 Beach is included in this district, so it's not split  
8 between those two districts.

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: SOC is over by 5,000 and we  
10 need 4,000 for SACOAST, is that right?

11 MS. WOODS: Correct.

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: So should we direct to go in  
13 that direction?

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I would agree.

15 MS. WOODS: Is there a dividing line in Newport  
16 Beach, or a specific area?

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I would just move  
18 up until you get to the population because the closer  
19 near PCA, you know, just running it evenly up through the  
20 City, I'd probably have to just look at what streets are,  
21 but I'll leave that detail up to you and just give you  
22 that I would make the recommendation that we just move  
23 until you get the population. I'm sorry, it's the  
24 Newport - between the SOUTHOC district that has 5,000  
25 over vs. the SACOAST, balancing -- I mean, moving it

1 down, sorry.

2 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think we're in  
3 agreement on that direction, so let's move forward.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But just along those  
5 things, moving Newport Beach -

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Wait, we're off the  
7 record now. Shell Districts? Any other questions?

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just looking, maybe  
9 we're going to go next to SOC, the one below that, too,  
10 if we're saying bring down SACOAST because there is that  
11 little blue dot, see there's that little blue leg that  
12 goes into the coast, so we could cut that off maybe by  
13 picking up population, right? The SOC, but I anticipate  
14 we would have some problems with - can we get enough to  
15 get Laguna Niguel, San Juan Capistrano, San Clemente, Dana  
16 Point? Because that's the one that's left, because  
17 that's included with the San Diego, that Southern Orange  
18 County is included with San Diego. That's on page 28.  
19 So, I'm just saying, in trying to capture SACOAST to go  
20 down south, I'd suggest, if we can, to go all the way  
21 through that blue section and get the top of the green,  
22 which is Laguna Niguel, San Juan Capistrano, San Clemente,  
23 and Dana Point.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I agree. If  
25 we can take it all the way to the county line, that would

1 be ideal.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: You may have to maneuver  
3 some numbers around in that SOC and the SACOAST to make  
4 it work, but I would suggest that.

5 MS. WOODS: So that is a significant population  
6 going down to the border between Orange County and San  
7 Diego, it's ballpark almost 200,000 people, so I mean, we  
8 could shift if you want to have -- if you absolutely do  
9 not want to split the county line, we could look at  
10 shifting population up to L.A. if you would prefer.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could you shift that as  
12 a coastal district? Because I do think in that SACOAST  
13 on page 27, Santa Ana is not the coastal district in  
14 that. And Santa Ana has 324,000, so I'm wondering if a  
15 good part of that could be shifted into -

16 MS. WOODS: So would you include Santa Ana with  
17 the neighboring WESTDG district and then move part of  
18 that district into L.A.? Or where would you put Santa  
19 Ana?

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I know, there's  
21 the problem.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: The problem is we have  
23 200,000 extra people in the South County.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So what we're saying is  
25 that, for the Congressional, those people in the South

1 County of Orange are going to have to go with San Diego.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I mean, I think you do have  
3 three choices, you could do Santa Ana and move that  
4 inland and tie that with the green, and then have the  
5 salmon pick up the green, and come down and have a  
6 coastal district that continues on down to San Clemente,  
7 and then have an inland district that swings up out of  
8 San Diego County, but I think those are your two choices.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can I just ask maybe Q2  
10 for the sake of time to run some of those - maybe, have  
11 you run that option to see if we could do a coastal, and  
12 then do Santa Ana inland and kind of rearrange it as  
13 necessary?

14 MS. WOODS: I can look into it. Would you want  
15 me to look at putting Santa Ana with the Irvine Tustin  
16 area first? Or would you think the WESTDG area?

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: WESTDG area.

18 MS. WOODS: Okay. I'll look into that and see  
19 what it does, and then maybe work offline with some  
20 Commissioners about those different iterations.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: That sounds good.  
22 Let's move to the next map. Can I get a check on roughly  
23 how many more cities we've got?

24 MS. WOODS: I think I have about four and San  
25 Diego.



1           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, so we're  
2 going to start cruising through these.

3           MS. WOODS: The next district is the COASTALSD  
4 district, which includes those portions of -- it's page  
5 22 -- which includes those portions of this Orange County  
6 that we have previously been discussing, Laguna Niguel,  
7 San Joan Capistrano, San Clemente, Dana Point, but we're  
8 going to look into pushing those north, but it also  
9 includes Camp Pendleton, Oceanside, Vista, Carlsbad,  
10 Encinitas, Rancho Santa Fe, Fairbanks Ranch, and Del Mar.

11           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: What page is that?

12           MS. WOODS: Twenty-two.

13           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any red flags in  
14 this area? I would say let's keep moving.

15           MS. WOODS: So if we move that population out of  
16 there from Orange County, where would you look to add -  
17 would you look to the east to San Marcos Escondido? Or  
18 south along the coast?

19           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I would suggest  
20 south, potentially -- well, south and southeast. What is  
21 south of Fairbanks Ranch? That's San Diego, I'm  
22 assuming?

23           MS. WOODS: Yes.

24           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think that what  
25 we've created in that city is a coastal district and, so,

1 if we went too far east through San Marcos, we would be -  
2 I think that's a very different type of community when we  
3 get farther east.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I would suggest maybe  
5 continuing south only because that district, the yellow  
6 one up there, is a coastal and very far inland, so maybe  
7 you continue the coastal theme and keep the other one as  
8 more of an inland theme?

9 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, I would agree.

10 MS. WOODS: Okay. And so would you move this --  
11 you know, this is a similar configuration to what we were  
12 looking at for the assembly. Would you move to pick up  
13 the population that has moved from the coastal into the  
14 green district, where would you add for population in  
15 this yellow district?

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: What about Poway?

17 MS. WOODS: Poway.

18 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: You can add Poway, add  
19 Poway.

20 MS. WOODS: Okay.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Madam Chair?

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Oh, yes,  
23 Commissioner Blanco.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just a process question  
25 that we were sort of commenting about over here, is that

1 maybe when we reconvene on Tuesday, we start with the  
2 ones we did last today, and start with those just to make  
3 sure that we haven't short-shifted because of the late  
4 hour, we just flip it?

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Sure, yeah. That's  
6 a great idea. Let me clarify what you said, you mean  
7 starting with the geographic areas that we did last, not  
8 necessarily that we start with Congressional and then end  
9 up with Assembly? We can refine that later, let's keep  
10 moving.

11 MS. WOODS: This blue district is labeled CHNC.  
12 I need to pull up the label for it. So, this includes  
13 the eastern portion of Chula Vista, each of the 805  
14 Freeway, Bonita, Paradise Hills, Bay Terraces, La Presa,  
15 Spring Valley, El Cajon, part of, and then part of the  
16 City of San Diego. It includes about 52 percent of the  
17 City of Chula Vista and 23 percent of the City of San  
18 Diego.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can you just zoom in? It's  
20 kind of hard to see what cities are in there.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And so at this  
22 point, because of the other decisions we've made, would  
23 we need to be picking up population as we move east?

24 MS. WOODS: Yes.

25 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh, so I'm

1 interested in adding - I don't know how much population  
2 we've got there, but that southeast purple area.

3 MS. WOODS: So, Rancho, San Diego, Crest,  
4 Harbison Canyon, Alpine? The Santee?

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm having a lot of  
6 trouble reading what's on here.

7 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: So am I.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: There's also one area  
9 that looks like it's a geographic tract that might be  
10 significant.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think I'm talking  
12 about Jamul.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: What about -- could I  
14 look just north of the 8 on the top part of that green --  
15 I mean, purple, a little bit higher? Higher north. I  
16 mean, you've got to make it -- I need to go north of the  
17 -- okay, see -- go east a little bit -- there's one  
18 community, Descanso, that's captured down below if you're  
19 looking for populations, flip Descanso into purple.  
20 You're trying to pick up population, right? Because  
21 right now that's the only community on our map that is on  
22 the southern part, everything else -- it goes Alpine and  
23 it skips to Descanso, and then it goes back down into  
24 Pine Valley on the other side. To me, that seems like  
25 just --it's a small amount of people, but for the

1 integrity of that community, you shouldn't have one  
2 district, another district, another district, that's  
3 along the 5, those three districts.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And we just put them with  
5 Alpine in the Assembly.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, with  
7 that, can we keep moving?

8 MS. WOODS: So this is the border district, which  
9 includes Imperial Beach, the west part of Chula Vista,  
10 all of National City, and then into the Logan Heights,  
11 Barrio District, in the City of San Diego and some of the  
12 other neighborhoods in San Diego, and then goes along the  
13 border. We just -- I just received direction to move  
14 Descanso into the purple area, along the border, and that  
15 includes all of Imperial County, and the Latino CVAP for  
16 that area is 50.11 percent, the Black CVAP is 10.76  
17 percent, the Asian CVAP is 8.91 percent, and it has a  
18 deviation of about 8,151.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can you show the boundaries  
20 again as it goes into San Diego? I just can't see them  
21 real well from the paper map.

22 MS. WOODS: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So it's that green?

24 MS. WOODS: It's a green area.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay.

1 MS. WOODS: So it includes Sherman Heights,  
2 again, you know, there is the issue with the bridge and  
3 Barrio Logan, it includes City Heights, Oak Park,  
4 Encanto, Valencia Park, Mountain View, South Crest, Shell  
5 Town, up to Tralta West, Colina Del Sol, Fox Canyon,  
6 Cherokee Point.

7 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Could you blow up that  
8 yellow section just where it says Logan Heights? I would  
9 include that section just below that line above Logan  
10 Heights.

11 MS. WOODS: So this section right here?

12 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah.

13 MS. WOODS: I'll try to select by blocks, but  
14 I've been trying to keep the 5 freeway, and then the  
15 bridge out of this district.

16 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, that's fine.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: At this point, we  
18 are so close on our upper time limit, so I will only be  
19 entertaining directions.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I would just suggest for  
21 shaving 8,000 people that it's more in the metropolitan  
22 area of San Diego vs. the lesser populated area of  
23 Imperial. How about that?

24 MS. WOODS: Thank you, Commissioner Di Guilio.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And at the top maybe of

1 that piece, you know, of the finger is where maybe you  
2 might want to shed.

3 MS. WOODS: Okay. And then the last district is,  
4 again, sort of a northeast county of San Diego district.  
5 We received direction to move Poway into this yellow  
6 district. It includes Jamul, Crest, Lakeside, Santee,  
7 Ramona, Julian, Escondido, San Marcos, Valley Center,  
8 Bonsall, Rainbow Fallbrook, and then goes up into  
9 Temecula and that's where we split Temecula -- or I split  
10 Temecula.

11 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Yeah, I would agree with  
12 that.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Looks great.

14 MS. WOODS: Thank you. Thank you so much for  
15 your patience.

16 MS. HENDERSON: We need to do a quick computer  
17 swap which will take us about 10 seconds.

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Now we're going to do L.A. in  
20 10 minutes?

21 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, we're back up in L.A. and  
22 we have a few districts to look at.

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well,  
24 Commissioners, it's been a long day. While we're pausing  
25 for the computer swap, I'll go ahead and read our

1 traditional meeting summary.

2           This morning, the Public Information Advisory  
3 Committee presented plans for the public release of draft  
4 maps. The Commission agreed to hold a news conference in  
5 Sacramento on June 10<sup>th</sup>. Commissioners also tasked the PI  
6 Committee with a series of website updates to be  
7 implemented prior to the draft map release.

8           The Legal Advisory Committee updated the  
9 Commission on progress to retain an expert to perform  
10 Racially Polarized Voting studies and requested staff to  
11 develop a policy for the Commission's consideration  
12 around staff, consultant, and Commissioners speaking and  
13 publication post-August 15<sup>th</sup>. Staff provided  
14 Commissioners with a draft compilation of all previously  
15 adopted CRC motions that will continue to be updated.

16           The Finance and Administration Advisory Committee  
17 received a current financial report on the Commission's  
18 activities and directed staff to implement an enhanced  
19 security protocol for the second and third round of input  
20 hearings. The Commission voted to accept the results of  
21 a recent inquiry by staff, the results of which found  
22 that there was no basis for any allegations investigated  
23 within the report. Commissioners directed Commissioner  
24 Dai to lead revisions for CRC's Code of Conduct Manual  
25 and present to the Commission during the upcoming



1 business meeting. The Commission consulted with their  
2 Voting Rights Legal Counsel via telephone on Section 2  
3 and Section 5 considerations. The Commission also  
4 provided extensive direction to Technical Line Drawers  
5 for Congressional, Assembly, and State Senate Districts  
6 in Southern California, and this summary was courtesy of  
7 our Communications Director Rob Wilcox.

8 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, we're going to start with  
9 Long Beach.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Could we have a page number,  
11 please?

12 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, you can see it on page 29.  
13 And there's more copy on page 32. It's a blue district  
14 on the bottom right-hand. Okay, so this includes the  
15 Port of Long Beach, Hawaiian Gardens, Signal Hill,  
16 Lakewood, Bellflower, Paramount.

17 MS. WOODS: No, there are no splits.

18 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Let's keep going.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, moving to our north, we'll  
20 look at the Whittier. So Whittier includes Whittier, La  
21 Mirada, West Whittier Los Nietos, Downey, Cerritos,  
22 Artesia, La Palma, Linwood, and Southgate. Southgate is  
23 split.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And you need  
25 another 14,000 people there, don't you?

1 MS. HENDERSON: Yes. Yes, this is the population  
2 problem we were talking about earlier.

3 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioners.  
4 Commissioner Dai.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: I was just noticing that La  
6 Palma was pulled in?

7 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Do we have a great objection  
9 to that given the previous discussion? Not at this  
10 point?

11 MS. HENDERSON: That was based on prior  
12 direction, also.

13 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Do we have any  
14 specific direction for Q2?

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Do we have some within the  
16 park?

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, was it to  
18 take La Palma back out -- at this point, because we  
19 respected it at the Assembly level and, in the Senate  
20 level, we probably do, as well. Because I can see it's  
21 getting tight. All the other districts look so close, so  
22 that would be my recommendation, and then we could see  
23 what happens in Orange County.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: If we take that out,  
25 we're already down 14,000, and if we take it out, we're

1 down almost 30,000.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I was going to say add  
3 some of Buena Park, part of Buena Park, I think, would  
4 fit. Would it not?

5 MS. HENDERSON: So we can split Buena Park.

6 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
7 Ancheta.

8 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Because this is a 54  
9 percent Latino CVAP, this would be attentive to that  
10 percentage as you pick up the 14,000.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Let's move on.

12 MS. HENDERSON: Okay. To stay on the same page  
13 that we have here, let's go to Carson, Hawthorne-Carson  
14 -- I'm sorry, Hawthorne-Compton district. And this is  
15 neighboring, this includes Inglewood, Lenox, Westmont,  
16 West Athens, Willow Brook, is that Del Air? No, sorry,  
17 Hawthorne, Gardena, West Rancho Dominguez, Compton, East  
18 Rancho Dominguez, Carson, Wilmington, down into the L.A.  
19 Port. The demographics of this district are 36.12  
20 percent LCVAP and 44.73 Black CVAP, 8.67 Asian CVAP.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, looks  
22 good.

23 MS. HENDERSON: All right, moving to your left,  
24 we have the Palos Verdes East Beach Cities District, this  
25 includes Marina Del Rey, Marina Peninsula, Westchester,

407

1 El Segundo, Hermosa Beach, Elandra Park, Torrance, West  
2 Carson, Palos Verdes Estates, Lomita, Rolling Hills.

3 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, I think a  
4 couple things are going on here. We may have to  
5 enunciate, slow down just a little bit so the Court  
6 Reporter is able to get everything down, and then I think  
7 we have a question from Commissioner Raya.

8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Let's see, am I reading this  
9 correctly? You're a little bit under, right? In this  
10 one?

11 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: What is that little tiny  
13 patch of Hawthorne? The little yellow tiny -- I'm  
14 assuming that's part of Hawthorne?

15 MS. WOODS: That is part of Hawthorne and it's  
16 contiguous with Hawthorne, so I left it in.

17 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Any direction for  
18 Q2? All right, let's move along.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so staying on this same  
20 page, let's move up to West L.A.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, could I suggest  
22 for the second time, you don't probably need to read the  
23 cities. How about that?

24 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Unless there's a

1 particular question?

2 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh.

3 MS. HENDERSON: I'll just note the demographics.  
4 Thirty-seven LCVAP, 52 BCVAP, and 3 ACVAP.

5 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, let's  
6 move along.

7 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, the Santa Monica Mountain  
8 District, it's not on this page, it extends over to  
9 Malibu.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Does that include  
11 Griffith Park? Does it go that far over? Can't tell?

12 MS. HENDERSON: No. That is in the East L.A.  
13 District.

14 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, let's  
15 move on.

16 MS. HENDERSON: Okay. Now, switching over to  
17 page 33, we're going to look at the San Gabriel Valley  
18 and Valley Diamond Bar District, it's the yellow  
19 district. Okay, so the stats for this one are 26 percent  
20 LCVAP, 2.35 BCVAP, and 43.49 Asian CVAP.

21 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, let's  
22 move along.

23 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, we'll look at the East L.A.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just one comment. So, in  
25 this one, Sherman Oaks doesn't stay with the Valley? No?

1 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: We aren't talking about  
2 that -- sorry!

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay.

4 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so East L.A. County is  
5 immediately to the north of the Diamond Bar District that  
6 we were just looking at. The stats for that one are  
7 50.77 Latino CVAP, 3.94 Black CVAP, and 14.85 Asian CVAP.

8 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Good.

9 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, let's  
10 move along.

11 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, and then moving to the  
12 west, we have East L.A., not to be confused with East  
13 L.A. County --

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, okay.

15 MS. HENDERSON: And you can actually see that the  
16 best probably on page 31. It's more zoomed in on page  
17 33. Yeah, this district includes Griffith Park. The  
18 stats for this are 58.29 Latino CVAP, 3.38 Black CVAP,  
19 and 12.67 Asian CVAP.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Could I just ask, I had  
21 a question kind of going back a little bit to the San  
22 Gabriel Valley, the yellow that curves --

23 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I guess for people that  
25 are there, does that make sense? You kind of start way

1 up near the mountains and you go back down and around  
2 everyone? Is that --

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, I'm guessing that this  
4 is satisfying testimony about reaching across through all  
5 the potential Asian areas.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, to do the Walnut,  
7 Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights thing?

8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: All of that, yeah, from the  
9 east side, and then the rest of it is coming up, you  
10 know, the yellow part where I live, same thing.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just in keeping with  
13 counsel's advice, though, I think we should talk about  
14 that in terms of communities of interest and not just a  
15 desire to pull together different Asian communities --

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Exactly. And there's a very  
17 strong economic community, a relationship that goes all  
18 the way across the San Gabriel Valley and across into  
19 that Hacienda Heights area, strong educational  
20 connections, very strong social connections.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I can see that for  
22 the southern part, I'm just curious -- that's a corridor,  
23 transportation?

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: The 60 Freeway goes all  
25 through that Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, Diamond

1 Bar, and then it connects also to the other part, the 10  
2 and 60.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: One last question  
4 because I know we need to move on. I just didn't know  
5 how it -- it was more connected to the bottom part where  
6 I heard like taking the bottom part of the purple, which  
7 is the City of Industry, West Covina, and then  
8 incorporating that with Diamond Bar, Walnut, and then  
9 having more of a San Gabriel-based? I'm just trying to  
10 see if I can even out those two districts in terms of the  
11 way it looks? Or would that just not be --

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think you are talking about  
13 very different social and economic connections.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, thank you. I just  
15 wanted clarification.

16 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
17 Filkins Webber.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, I would  
19 concur in that, as well, because I don't recall there  
20 being any testimony connecting Diamond Bar to the San  
21 Gabriel Valley. We really need to find this robust COI  
22 testimony, I think, to support a district like this.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So you're disagreeing  
24 with Commissioner Raya?

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, no. She went



1 down to Rowland Heights, or, sorry, she went down to  
2 Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, I'm going a bit  
3 further east on Diamond Bar, and that's all, because I  
4 don't recall the testimony from Rowland Heights or  
5 Hacienda. But Diamond Bar might be a little bit of a  
6 push, that's all.

7 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, do we have any  
8 changes that we would want to direct with this district?  
9 Or do we feel comfortable with it for a draft? Okay, it  
10 seems like we feel comfortable as a draft. Let's move  
11 on.

12 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so the East L.A. District  
13 to the west of the San Gabriel-Diamond Bar District --

14 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Page.

15 MS. HENDERSON: It's also on page 33. This is  
16 the one that includes Griffith Park, that was asked about  
17 earlier. It has a Latino CVAP of 58.29 percent, a Black  
18 CVAP of 3.38, and an Asian CVAP of 12.67.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right?

20 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Good.

21 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, shifting to the south, to  
22 the Downtown District, the stats for this one are 51.44  
23 percent Latino CVAP, 10.45 Black CVAP, 17.88 Asian CVAP.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, I think  
25 we can move on.

1 MS. HENDERSON: Okay. If you want to take a look  
2 at page 30, we'll look at the San Gabriel Mountain  
3 Foothill District. It's the large green one.

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The three cities together,  
5 that's good.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Most of them, right? Most of  
7 Pasadena, it looks like.

8 MS. HENDERSON: Yes. Pasadena is split in this  
9 iteration.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Just because I can't see  
11 the detail on the far southeast part of that, that's all  
12 -- are those all the communities above like the 210, is  
13 that what we said?

14 MS. HENDERSON: Uh huh, yes.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, this looks like  
16 there's a lot of purple encroachment on there to, you  
17 know, it's not quite -- the yellow finger that goes up,  
18 and then a little purple above there, I'm just wondering  
19 if there's any options to --

20 MS. HENDERSON: Arcadia, that's similar to the  
21 Assembly District configurations.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just wondering if we  
23 could clean it up a little bit because it doesn't even  
24 look like it's contiguous.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: That cuts a city, then.

1 MS. HENDERSON: Do you want it split, like  
2 immediately right across there?

3 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could we have a  
4 little clarity on what "clean-up" would mean?

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I guess I just feel like  
6 there's a break -- it's like you go to Alta Dena, Sierra  
7 Madre, then you go into another district, then you cross  
8 over into Monrovia, and then you cross into another one,  
9 and then back into the original. You're entering and  
10 exiting that district a number of times. Maybe that's  
11 just what it has to be, but, to me, that just seems like  
12 there are some issues there for contiguity.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think we need to be  
14 consistent about whether we want to keep cities whole or  
15 not, though.

16 MS. HENDERSON: So, in this case, actually,  
17 that's the entire city of Arcadia. That's the Arcadia  
18 borders.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: It's not about whether  
20 keeping cities whole or not, I'm just wondering if  
21 there's another option, other than entering -- if you're  
22 doing the San Gabriel Mountain Foothill, you see what I'm  
23 saying? You're entering and exiting in order to keep the  
24 cities whole, and if that's what all the cities want,  
25 then maybe that's what we -

1           COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The dark green area above  
2 the light green area is the same district?

3           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yes, it is --

4           MS. HENDERSON Yes, it is.

5           COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: -- but the yellow that  
6 separates those, the green, then there's a purple that  
7 separates the green.

8           MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, that's the City of Arcadia  
9 and then the City of Azusa is the one that's in the  
10 bluish, purplish.

11           COMMISSIONER RAYA: You could make an argument  
12 that that area of Arcadia north of the 210 is a much  
13 higher income level than south of the 210 Freeway. I  
14 mean, parts of Arcadia way south are quite a bit  
15 different, so you know, it's not entirely out of the  
16 realm of logic to take some of Arcadia into that Foothill  
17 District.

18           MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, we've had direction before  
19 about splitting these communities at the 210. Is that  
20 what you have in mind?

21           CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: But, Commissioner  
22 Filkins Webber, why don't you give us some direction?

23           COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That is what I  
24 recall. When we talked about it, we've talked about this  
25 in the Assembly, so I would just ask that you follow the

1 directions because we've already talked about taking off  
2 this top portion of Arcadia and making it consistent so  
3 it's contiguous and it sounds as if that's consistent  
4 with the Commission members that are familiar with that  
5 area.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm just going to channel  
7 Commissioner Yao, who complains every time we split a  
8 small city, so I'm just putting that down for the record  
9 since he's not here.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: But I think we'd  
11 recognize it on the Congressional level, we might have to  
12 do that a little bit more, and I think he agreed with  
13 this part, as I recall, but ...

14 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, I'm just looking at this  
15 district and this iteration goes all the way to Upland is  
16 what we're showing on the screen.

17 Okay, moving west to the East San Fernando  
18 Valley. And it is flagged that, in this iteration, the  
19 Latino CVAP is 49.6 percent, the Black CVAP is 5.71  
20 percent, and the Asian CVAP is 9.76 percent. So if we're  
21 to take Mr. Brown's direction from earlier, this might be  
22 an area where we should look at the CVAP a little bit  
23 more closely.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner  
25 Ancheta, are you still the note-taker for this area?

1 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Actually, I never was  
2 officially, but I continue to take notes.

3 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you.

4 MS. HENDERSON: Moving on to the adjacent  
5 district to the west, the West San Fernando  
6 Valley/Eastern Ventura County District, you can see this  
7 on page 30, as well as on page 31. I think the portion  
8 that you can't see, that you see on the screen, it's just  
9 that it goes a little bit farther to the west than what  
10 you see on the page along the coast along Route 1.

11 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, I'm  
12 seeing nods from the Commissioners.

13 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, and then we'll move north  
14 to our Antelope Valley-Santa Clarita District. Big  
15 yellow one. And you can see this very well on page 30.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: So on this one, you chose to  
17 keep the Santa Clarita Valley Area whole?

18 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

19 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm seeing nods  
20 from the Commissioners. Let's move on.

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Are you sure it's not just  
22 nodding off? [Laughter]

23 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I've seen some  
24 smiles, too, at some of these districts.

25 MS. HENDERSON: I think we've got them all.

1                   CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Good work,  
2 Commissioners. Okay, so I'm going to do a very brief  
3 reminder of what to expect over the coming week, so  
4 clearly we're about to adjourn this meeting. At this  
5 point, our Consultants, Q2 and Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher,  
6 will be spending quite a bit of time implementing the  
7 direction that we have given them for these maps and  
8 consulting on VRA issues. When we come back into  
9 session, Tuesday morning at 9:00, we will have a long  
10 day. We plan to go until the close of business, we're  
11 hoping to end at 10:00 p.m. We will be joined by Mr.  
12 Kolkey of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, and that will be our  
13 final significant push as a Commission to provide line  
14 drawing direction on the 8<sup>th</sup>, then Q2 goes back again as  
15 implementing our maps. That Wednesday, the 8<sup>th</sup>, we will  
16 not be in session, we would like to invite Commissioners,  
17 however, who are able to, to stay in the Sacramento area.  
18 We imagine after a late night, the night before, you may  
19 want to sleep in. Also, we would have some coaching on  
20 communications and media work with our Communications  
21 Director, Rob Wilcox, and we've also had a significant  
22 amount of work tasked to various Commissioners during  
23 this Business Meeting that will need to be queued up for  
24 that meeting on the 9<sup>th</sup>, and so, for example, creating our  
25 framework, our agendas for the next two rounds of input

1 hearings, I think a lot of work to be done on our Policy  
2 Manual and Code of Conduct, etc. etc., so the idea is  
3 that we should be on call, expect phone calls from our  
4 Consultants, Q2, as they attempt to clarify the line  
5 drawing direction we have provided. And then, on the  
6 morning of the 9<sup>th</sup>, we will reconvene as a Commission, and  
7 at some point in the day, we will be getting -- again, a  
8 detailed agenda will be forthcoming, but we'll be getting  
9 a preview from Q2 regarding the information that we will  
10 be set to receive later that evening. We will also be  
11 doing our Business Meeting, and then, moving into the  
12 10<sup>th</sup>, we actually have our release, our Communications  
13 Director is working with the Public Information Committee  
14 on scheduling a Press Conference in the area, and at that  
15 point, we will go forth and be publicizing our release  
16 and encouraging the public to weigh-in and comment on the  
17 work that we've done to inform the next round of maps.  
18 So, with that, I will conclude my tenure as your Chair  
19 and hand the reign over to Commissioner Ontai. [Applause]

20 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: And we all need to go home  
21 and get a good night rest.

22 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Job well done.

23 VICE CHAIRMAN ONTAI: Thank you, Q2.

24 CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We are now  
25 adjourned. We will reconvene on June 7<sup>th</sup>.



