

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the matter of
Full Commission Business Meeting

University of the Pacific McGeorge School of Law
Classroom C
3200 Fifth Avenue
Sacramento, CA

Friday, June 10, 2011

9:00 A.M.

Reported by:
Michael Connolly

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC
52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, CA 94901 (415) 457-4417

APPEARANCES

Members Present

Chair Gabino Aguirre

Angelo Ancheta

Vince Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle DiGuilio

Jodie Filkins-Webber

Stanley Forbes

Connie Galambos-Malloy

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai

Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Peter Yao

Staff Present

Dan Claypool, Executive Director

Kirk Miller, Legal Counsel

Janeece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

Rob Wilcox, Communications Director

Representing Q2

Karin MacDonald

Lonn Leitch

I N D E X

	PAGE
1. Introduction	4
2. Presentation of draft map of Assembly districts	7
3. Commission vote on first draft map of Assembly districts	35
4. Presentation of draft map of Senate districts	36
5. Commission vote on first draft map of Senate districts	49
6. Presentation of first draft map of Board of Equalization districts	51
7. Commission vote on first draft map of Board of Equalization districts	56
8. Presentation of first draft map of US Congressional districts	58
9. Commission vote on first draft map of US Congressional districts	74
Public Comment	72
Adjournment	76
Certificate of Reporter	77

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JUNE 10, 2011 9:40 A.M.

CHAIR AGUIRRE: Good morning, everybody. How is everybody doing today? So we are here opening our Commission meeting, the Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting of June 10th. It is now about 9:40. We apologize for starting a little bit later but, you know, technology being what it is sometimes you have to defer to that. So anyway we are going to commence our meeting at this time. We will start with a roll call and then we are going to go to public comment and then we are going to be reviewing each of four sets of district maps, draft district maps that have been developed by the Commission through instructions to our line drawer consultant Q2. And at the end of each set then we will be taking a vote. So we expect to be here the rest of the morning and then after we do that - that is, review of the four sets and approval of those, after four votes - then we are going to move on to our press conference at the Capitol. So let's start with a roll call please.

MS. SARGIS: Commissioner DiGuilio.

COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Here.

MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Here.

MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Barabba.

COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Here.

1 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ward.
2 (No response.)
3 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Yao.
4 COMMISSIONER YAO: Here.
5 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Aguirre.
6 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Here.
7 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Filkins-Webber.
8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Here.
9 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Dai.
10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Here.
11 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ancheta.
12 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Here.
13 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Parvenu.
14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Here.
15 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ontai.
16 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Here.
17 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Raya.
18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Here.
19 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Blanco.
20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Here.
21 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Forbes.
22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Here.
23 MS. SARGIS: You have a quorum.
24 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you very much.
25 So we will move on to public comment. Is there

1 anybody in the public that would like to address the
2 Commission through our public comment process?

3 (No response.)

4 Seeing no one, then we will initiate our next item,
5 which is the review of district maps. Ms. MacDonald, are you
6 ready?

7 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, thank you, Chair. Good morning,
8 commissioners. So the way this will go now that we have
9 overcome some technical issues is that Mr. Leitch will be
10 helping me project the maps on the screen and I will be
11 reading off some of the changes - or all of the changes,
12 actually - that have been made since you last saw the maps.

13 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

14 MS. MACDONALD: But we are going to go through every
15 single Assembly district and, as discussed, after we see all
16 the Assembly districts I'm going to project a statewide map.
17 The statewide maps don't have a lot of detail on them because
18 it is very difficult to get the entire State of California,
19 obviously, onto one map.

20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Sure.

21 MS. MACDONALD: So you will be seeing all of the
22 individual districts and then just a -

23 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, so in a sense they are
24 representative of the individual maps that we will be
25 reviewing?

1 MS. MACDONALD: Yes.

2 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you.

3 MS. MACDONALD: So will start with this first
4 district. We are starting in the north and we are moving down
5 to the south.

6 CHAIR AGUIRRE: And we're talking about Assembly
7 Districts?

8 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, these are Assembly Districts.

9 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay.

10 MS. MACDONALD: So this first district - and I don't
11 know if you need me to read the short name, I hope not. This
12 particular district has Del Norte to Sonoma, basically it goes
13 Del Norte to Sonoma County, Sonoma is split. Lake County is
14 included. The southern boundary is in Sonoma County. And it
15 was moved north for population reasons. And I should say that
16 all of these districts are online.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: May I ask a question
18 of the Chair?

19 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Will we as
21 commissioners be receiving hard copies of these today or are
22 those to come at our next business meeting?

23 CHAIR AGUIRRE: I think, in my discussion with some
24 commissioners and our staff, that they will be available
25 digitally online. But certainly if you request hard copies

1 for your own purpose I think we will be able to deliver those.
2 We might not be able to do that today but certainly you will
3 have access to them at home.

4 MS. MACDONALD: I should add to that that Commission
5 staff, in particular Christina, was working around the clock.
6 And I think she was working well past four a.m. this morning
7 to get these maps onto the web. Because I think the last
8 batch from us came after three a.m. So, you know, printing of
9 course takes a really long time, especially with this amount
10 of maps. So that probably had a lot to do with the fact that
11 you were not provided with hard copies as well.

12 So let's move on to the next district. The next one
13 is the Marin district, which I will read because that is
14 simple. And this is all of Marin, southern Napa, southern
15 Sonoma. It does not include Solano County. The northern
16 boundary was moved north for population reasons.

17 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any questions or comments?

18 (No response.)

19 Thank you. Let's move on.

20 MS. MACDONALD: Maybe I should say something else.
21 These are the mappers' comments so these are not comments that
22 I put together. So basically the mappers just put comments
23 about the districts into the spreadsheet. So I have very
24 little additional information beyond what I'm reading to you
25 today.

1 CHAIR AGUIRRE: And your explanation generally is
2 related to those districts that you received instructions from
3 us at our last meeting. But the majority of the districts
4 have not changed because we didn't direct you to change those.

5 MS. MACDONALD: Correct.

6 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, thank you.

7 MS. MACDONALD: There were some minor - and this will
8 be reflected in the notes - there were some minor cleanups
9 done for population reasons, for example.

10 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

11 MS. MACDONALD: Maybe a couple of blocks or so were
12 moved in some of the districts that we didn't perhaps
13 specifically talk about. But this is all based on Commission
14 direction.

15 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, okay.

16 MS. MACDONALD: So the next district is the Napa
17 district. This is most of Napa County, the City of Santa
18 Rosa. It does not include Lake County, instead it includes
19 Vallejo and Benicia.

20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Questions or comments?

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Did you hear the conversation
22 yesterday about there is a community -

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: American Canyon.

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: - American Canyon, that is
25 still out of this?

1 MS. MACDONALD: We did not hear the conversation.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Okay.

3 MS. MACDONALD: Because we were working on the maps.

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: All right, thank you.

5 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, and I think we shared with the
6 public that, given their input yesterday, it would probably
7 not be reflected in these draft maps but certainly would be
8 considered for the second set.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I was just going to say
10 that I see American Canyon noted on this particular map at the
11 bottom of this district and we are also off about 7000.

12 MS. MACDONALD: Yes.

13 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay.

14 MS. MACDONALD: I mean, I think we all know that this
15 is the first draft and the reason for why we are all excited
16 that we have more than one draft is that we can make these
17 changes, that we now have the opportunity to actually show the
18 public some lines so we can get more specific feedback.

19 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

20 MS. MACDONALD: And I think this is certainly one
21 case of that.

22 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you.

23 MS. MACDONALD: So we will go to the next district,
24 which is Yuba. There is no change to Yuba. Yolo is the only
25 county that is split and it does not include Woodland.

1 The next one is MT Cap. The only split is in
2 Siskiyou and there was no change made.

3 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Is there a way of indicating on the
4 map for the benefit of the public with perhaps your mouse?
5 Where you can just show this is the area we're talking about?

6 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, we will see what Lonn can do.

7 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right.

8 MS. MACDONALD: But it's actually easier for Lonn to
9 see the district boundary on the screen than it is on the
10 large screen.

11 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

12 MS. MACDONALD: Perhaps it will be helpful if he just
13 used the hand and just showed the district boundary.

14 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, that's exactly what we're
15 looking at.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can you use the laser
17 pointer?

18 CHRISTIAN: The laser pointer won't show up on the
19 webcast.

20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you for your suggestions,
21 commissioners.

22 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, so we are now at WPLACERNSAC.
23 The northern boundary moved slightly to avoid splitting the
24 census place Newcastle.

25 So we will go to the next map, this is the El Dorado.

1 There is no change here. Citrus Heights and Sacramento are
2 the only city splits.

3 This next district -

4 COMMISSIONER DIGUILLIO: Is there any way by any
5 chance to increase the contrast on the computer screen? Is
6 that at all possible? Because we are having a hard time
7 seeing the shaded versus the white. That may not be a
8 possibility but I was just trying to see if that is an option.

9 CHAIR AGUIRRE: I might suggest to commissioners
10 that, for whatever reason, this screen is more difficult to
11 see than the ones that are in the back. So you can look -
12 like this is the one that I'm looking at. The contrast is
13 readily evident over here and you could just go from there.

14 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, so this district here,
15 ESTANESJ, the boundary moved north in San Joaquin County for
16 population purposes.

17 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes. Questions?

18 (No response.)

19 MS. MACDONALD: There is no change on the WESTSAC
20 district. Sacramento city is split here and it includes the
21 City of West Sacramento, Davis and UC Davis in Yolo County.

22 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Could you go up on that line?

23 MS. MACDONALD: This is not Lonn's laptop either, he
24 is working on my laptop. We may be frozen. While he tries to
25 unfreeze it I could just walk you through the fact that

1 WESTSAC didn't have a change. And then we are going to go on
2 to the East Contra Costa district.

3 (Pause.)

4 I think we have to reboot Adobe. Can we get one
5 minute, please?

6 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

7 (Pause as laptop program is rebooted.)

8 MS. MACDONALD: We are now at the East Contra Costa
9 district. The border here moved west to south Solano County
10 to avoid splitting Santa Rosa in the Marin/Napa swap. The
11 boundary moved further north in Contra Costa for population
12 purposes.

13 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, question?

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Does that pick up
15 Vallejo? If I'm looking at the boundary correctly.

16 MS. MACDONALD: It doesn't look like it. No, that's
17 in a different district.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Well, since it is right
19 next door, is Vallejo in Napa, the way the purple line goes
20 around American Canyon? Now that I can see it more clearly.

21 MS. MACDONALD: Is it in the Napa district?

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, it was in the Napa district,
23 it is in the Napa district.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: It looks like there is
25 a purple corridor -

1 MS. MACDONALD: I think so.

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- over the word
3 Canyon, if it comes down around American Canyon.

4 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, I think we have to go to the
5 visualization of that district.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: The Napa district included
7 Vallejo and Benicia.

8 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, there we go. Thank you,
9 Commissioner Dai.

10 Okay, Stockton, the boundary moved south to
11 Stanislaus County for compactness.

12 In this district, ESTANESJ, the boundary moved north
13 in San Joaquin County for population purposes.

14 Next is the Foothills district. The Placer County
15 boundary moved slightly to avoid splitting the census place of
16 Newcastle.

17 The next one is the Merced district. The boundary
18 moved slightly to avoid splitting the census place keys.

19 This one, Fresno section two, the boundary moved
20 slightly to avoid splitting the census places Sunnyside and
21 Centerville.

22 Next is Fresno. Basically it is related to the
23 Fresno section two. Again, the boundary moved slightly to
24 avoid splitting census places Sunnyside and Centerville.

25 Kings, there is no change, this is a Section 5

1 district.

2 The next one is Tulare. There is no change. Tulare
3 County is whole and the northern regions of Kern are in there
4 for population purposes.

5 Next is BAKERS. The boundary moved slightly to avoid
6 splitting the census place Mojave.

7 SLOSB, there is no change. Lompoc is the only city
8 split.

9 SBWVENT, so San Bernardino -

10 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Santa Barbara West and Ventura.

11 MS. MACDONALD: Yes and West Ventura. The boundary
12 moved slightly to avoid splitting El Rio.

13 East Ventura, the boundary moved slightly to avoid
14 splitting El Rio.

15 The next one is West Contra Costa. Oakland north to
16 the Carquinez Bridge, the Oakland boundary was adjusted to
17 keep a community of interest whole, slightly adjusted.

18 Pittsburgh-Antioch, the Highway 4 corridor, Bay
19 Point, Pittsburgh, Antioch, includes Brentwood, Byron, splits
20 Discovery Bay for population reasons, goes to the west 680
21 corridor. I'm not sure that there were any changes made, this
22 may just be a description.

23 East Alameda is La Marinda to San Ramon Valley. It
24 is intact per COI testimony. Alameda and Contra Costa County
25 are split, also per COI testimony.

1 This is Oakland. It consists of San Leandro, Alameda
2 and Oakland. The Oakland boundary was adjusted to keep West
3 Oakland whole, per COI testimony.

4 (Pause.)

5 We're going to shut this down again and reopen it.

6 (Pause as laptop program is rebooted.)

7 Okay, so we are having a technical problem because
8 Adobe freezes at the same map. So we may have to take a five
9 minute break and just reboot the computer.

10 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes. And for the benefit of the
11 public, it is not unusual to have these kinds of difficulties
12 when you have very graphics-intensive data.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: With slow second-hand computers.

14 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just wanted to advise the
16 Commission and the public that you can download your own
17 version of these maps, which have excellent contrast, on our
18 new tab on the website under Maps:First Draft.

19 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: In that regard, we did
21 have some technical difficulties on the website as well.
22 Obviously, the number of members of the public that are trying
23 to access the site. So it has been up and down. So we
24 appreciate your patience to the extent in which you might see
25 any errors. If you just wait a little while you will be able

1 to access them.

2 CHAIR AGUIRRE: So we are going to take a five minute
3 recess so we can accommodate this difficulty.

4 (Five minute recess at 10:05 a.m.)

5 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right, we're back once again. I
6 think we have successfully overcome some technology issues.
7 So we will continue. Ms. MacDonald?

8 MS. MACDONALD: For the moment we have overcome it.
9 Okay, so this is the Hayward district, which includes Castro
10 Valley, Ashland, Cherryland, Fairview, Hayward, Union City.
11 It splits Fremont for population reasons. The CRC direction
12 was to stay with this iteration for Draft Map 1. So this is
13 what you saw the other day.

14 The next one is the Milpitas-Berryessa area. So it
15 has Newark, Milpitas, some of Fremont, the Berryessa area of
16 San Jose per COI testimony. It splits Fremont and San Jose
17 for population reasons. And again the CRC direction was to
18 stay with this iteration of Fremont for Draft Map 1.

19 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any questions or comments?

20 (No response.)

21 Okay, let's move on.

22 MS. MACDONALD: The San Jose district, southern San
23 Jose, it splits San Jose for population reasons.

24 This is the Section 5 district, San Benito County is
25 intact, it splits Santa Clara County on the eastern side,

1 splits Santa Cruz on the southeastern side. It takes
2 Interlaken, Watsonville and Pajaro, splits Monterey east-west
3 for Section 5 reasons.

4 This is Santa Clara.

5 This is most of Santa Cruz County, it splits
6 southeastern Santa Cruz for Section 5 reasons, splits Santa
7 Clara County to keep Morgan Hill, San Martin and Gilroy
8 together per COI testimony, it splits Monterey County.

9 This is West San Francisco and Daly City. The San
10 Francisco line is altered per CRC direction, it splits San
11 Francisco County. So this was done after looking at the
12 neighborhood boundaries that we were advised to take a look
13 at, these were the neighborhood boundaries from the Planning
14 Department.

15 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay, thank you. Any questions or
16 comments?

17 (No response.)

18 Okay, thank you.

19 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, we are frozen and we are
20 rebooting. And then we are going to move onto East San
21 Francisco, which is basically the same district. It shows the
22 same district line that was altered per CRC direction, again
23 to reflect the split along San Francisco Planning Department
24 boundary lines. So this is basically just the other half of
25 the district and nothing else changed.

1 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, and what you see out to the
2 west, could you explain what that is for the benefit of the
3 public?

4 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, this is a very large version of
5 the Farallon Islands that includes the water tracts. And we
6 can probably figure out how to make that a little bit more -

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Life size.

8 MS. MACDONALD: Life size, yeah. Actually, it may be
9 life size right there.

10 CHAIR AGUIRRE: And in terms of contiguity and
11 connectedness, of course, we have no way of connecting them in
12 the real world so we just draw them into that district.

13 MS. MACDONALD: Correct. Because it's part of the
14 jurisdiction of California and basically all the geography has
15 to be assigned.

16 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

17 MS. MACDONALD: So there are some islands off the
18 coast, of course, of California and those have to be connected
19 to districts as well, whether or not they are actually
20 physically connected.

21 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, and most of them have very
22 little, if any, population.

23 MS. MACDONALD: Correct.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: You have to scroll a
25 little slower to get to the end.

1 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, I think this is a file size
2 problem, perhaps.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Next time we will have
4 to probably break them up.

5 (Long pause to reboot laptop computer with map
6 displays.)

7 MS. MACDONALD: Well, I have to say I am at a loss.
8 I don't know if we should go to the website and try to look at
9 the districts that way, individually.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, it is very fast.

11 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Why don't we take a five minute
12 break.

13 (Five minute recess.)

14 Okay, thank you very much. We are coming back to our
15 review of the Assembly District. Ms. MacDonald?

16 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, we are going to the East San
17 Francisco district, which you see on the screen right now.
18 And that one again is the other half of the district we
19 discussed earlier, the San Francisco boundary line in San
20 Francisco was altered per CRC direction and it splits San
21 Francisco County in accordance with the neighborhood boundary
22 lines from the San Francisco Planning Department.

23 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay, thank you.

24 MS. MACDONALD: The next district we are discussing
25 is North San Mateo. And I should just outline how we are

1 doing this now. We are now on the website and I am basically
2 reading off the district from my notes so we can keep track of
3 which district we are discussing because my notes are in a
4 different order than what we have on the website. And then
5 Mr. Leitch has graciously agreed to find the district on the
6 website and pull it up so that we can match it up for
7 everyone.

8 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay.

9 MS. MACDONALD: So this is North San Mateo, it
10 includes the Redwood City tail per CRC direction, which also
11 took Emerald Lake Hills. It splits San Mateo County for
12 population reasons.

13 The next district is South San Mateo. Southern San
14 Mateo splits San Mateo County again for population reasons.

15 The next district is Silicon Valley. It is north
16 Santa Clara County and Silicon Valley cities per COI
17 testimony.

18 We're now moving to Los Angeles and we are starting
19 with Antelope. As far as I can tell there were no changes
20 made up here. So I'm going to just go through - because I
21 don't have any notes in here. Unless I have the wrong
22 document, which I hope I don't. Okay, so let's go to South
23 LA.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Is there another reference for
25 that?

1 MS. MACDONALD: Just one second. Actually, this is
2 Southern California. Let's go to Southern California first.
3 Okay. Yes, this is the Mono-Inyo-San Bernardino district,
4 there was no change.

5 This is the San Bernardino-Rancho Cucamonga district.
6 Per Commission direction, a part of the city of Rancho
7 Cucamonga was added from the Pomona Valley district. A piece
8 of the city of San Bernardino was added to another district,
9 the Rialto-Fontana district. And the split of Rancho
10 Cucamonga was changed so the northwest section was included in
11 the San Gabriel Mountain Foothills district.

12 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: So is there only one split of
13 Rancho Cucamonga? That was the alternative visualization we
14 looked at the other day.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

16 MS. MACDONALD: I'm sorry, I can't answer that
17 question without having a GIS here.

18 The next one is the Rialto-Fontana. And this removed
19 the unincorporated area next to Fontana and moved it to the
20 Pomona Valley district. It added more of the city of San
21 Bernardino to the district.

22 The Pomona Valley district per Commission direction
23 added the unincorporated area next to Fontana and removed the
24 part of Rancho Cucamonga in the district in order to decrease
25 the city splits to the city of Rancho Cucamonga.

1 The Moreno Valley district, per Commission direction
2 we tried to remove six people from the city of Redlands in the
3 district but did not as those six people are an island within
4 the city of Mentone.

5 The Riverside district, per Commission direction we
6 removed a small section of Temescal Valley to avoid a small
7 split.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Any other splits there?
9 It didn't look like it.

10 MS. MACDONALD: These are the changes that were made
11 to the district as it was last Tuesday. So this was the -

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Except for Riverside.

13 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, this was the only change that
14 was made. So that basically cleaned up a split.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Except for the city of
16 Riverside.

17 MS. MACDONALD: This is again the Moreno Valley
18 district and there was no change to this one.

19 Murrieta and Temecula district, per Commission
20 direction we added all of Temescal Valley to the district to
21 avoid a small split.

22 Coachella, there was no change to this district.

23 The next district is Imperial-San Diego. Per
24 Commission direction we added part of the state park at the
25 border of San Diego and Imperial to prevent a finger from

1 another district into this particular district from the North
2 East San Diego district.

3 South San Diego, there was no change.

4 La Mesa-San Diego, there was no change.

5 Coronado-San Diego, there was no change made to this
6 district.

7 North East San Diego, per Commission direction we
8 removed part of the state park at the border of San Diego and
9 Imperial to prevent the finger from going into the Imperial-
10 San Diego district.

11 North Coast-San Diego, there was no change.

12 South Orange County, there was no change to this
13 district.

14 The Tustin-Orange district, we removed part of the
15 city of Orange to add to the Santa Ana district.

16 Coastal Orange County, there was no change made to
17 this district.

18 The Santa Ana district, part of the city of Fountain
19 Valley was removed and additional population from the city of
20 Orange was added. We notice that 9000 people from Fountain
21 Valley were included in the Santa Ana district so we added
22 this section to the West district to keep the city intact. We
23 removed tracts from Anaheim into the West district - into
24 Anaheim-Fullerton district.

25 And this is the Anaheim-Fullerton district. Per

1 Commission direction we added Buena Park, removed the Anaheim
2 Hills, which were added to another district that we can't
3 figure out right now, DBRYL.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Diamond Bar.

5 MS. MACDONALD: Thank you. Diamond Bar. We had a
6 request to abbreviate all of the file names and now we can
7 make a quiz out of it, basically.

8 We added a small part of Anaheim from the West
9 district to balance population.

10 And now we are at the Diamond Bar district. Thank
11 you, Commissioner Filkins-Webber. Per Commission direction we
12 removed La Habra and La Habra Heights and added the Anaheim
13 Hills.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Could you repeat that, please?

15 MS. MACDONALD: Per Commission direction we removed
16 La Habra and La Habra Heights and added the Anaheim Hills.

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: I don't remember, on this map is
18 Hacienda Heights in the district? I can't tell.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Scroll down.

20 MS. MACDONALD: It's not labeled so I can't tell you
21 right now.

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: All right, thank you.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: It looks like it. I
24 think it's that white area.

25 MS. MACDONALD: One second, please.

1 (Pause.)

2 Okay, we are ready to move into LA. So this is the
3 Antelope-Victor Valley district. There are no changes to this
4 district.

5 Santa Clarita, per Commission direction to avoid
6 unnecessary splits of the San Fernando Valley districts, parts
7 of Canoga Park, North Hills and Winnetka were moved to the
8 West San Fernando Valley district to better keep intact the
9 San Fernando Valley neighborhoods.

10 This is the San Gabriel Mountain Foothills. The
11 tails of Azusa, also know as the southernmost portions of
12 Azusa, were moved to the East SGV-Covina district to the south
13 per Commission direction to make the boundaries smooth by
14 removing the tails on Azusa. The boundary is now flush with
15 West Gladstone Street.

16 This is the East San Fernando Valleys district.
17 Small portions of Panorama City and Valley Glen were moved to
18 the eastern boundary of the West San Fernando Valleys district
19 so they wouldn't be split between the two. And the southern
20 boundary was extended further south to pick up more of North
21 Hollywood.

22 West San Fernando Valleys district, parts of Canoga
23 Park, North Hills, Winnetka were moved into the district on
24 its western and northeastern boundary. All portions of
25 Encino, Sherman Oaks, Tarzana and Valley Village were

1 completely removed from the district's southern border.

2 This is the Thousand Oaks-Santa Monica Mountain
3 district. Malibu and Topanga were removed from the district's
4 southern boundary and moved west into the West Side-Santa
5 Monica district. Bell Canyon, West Hills and Chatsworth were
6 moved from the northern boundary into the Santa Clarita
7 district.

8 This is the West Side-Santa Monica district. The
9 eastern boundary was moved further from La Brea Avenue and
10 Seward Street to Rossmore Avenue and Lucerne Boulevard. The
11 western boundary of the district was moved further west from
12 the Pacific Palisades to include Topanga and Malibu. This is
13 to make up for population.

14 Burbank-Glendale, the southwestern boundary was
15 shifted southwest removing all of North Hollywood and shifting
16 further west to pick up the most southwest portion of North
17 Hollywood and Studio City.

18 East LA district, there were no changes made to this
19 district.

20 West San Gabriel Valley, there were no changes made,
21 despite the fact that we were asked to move the tail of
22 Arcadia containing 16 people into the West San Gabriel Valley
23 district. However, the tail surrounds a piece of the East
24 SGV-Covina district that contains 3562 individuals. So if we
25 move the tail then they move along with us.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: So you did or did not
2 make changes?

3 MS. MACDONALD: Did not.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Did not move the tail.

5 MS. MACDONALD: There were no changes made because
6 once she investigated it -

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Can you just move the map up,
8 please, so we can see?

9 COMMISSIONER YAO: Where is the tail?

10 MS. MACDONALD: So it is the Arcadia tail, it might
11 be the one up there, that looks like a tail.

12 San Gabriel Valley is what SGV is. So we are at East
13 San Gabriel Valley-Covina now. A total of 1971 people in the
14 tails of Azusa were split from Azusa per Commission direction
15 and added to the East San Gabriel Valley-Covina district to
16 the south. Part of Avocado Heights included in the 06/07
17 visualization was removed and part of West Covina was removed
18 as well.

19 Okay, so this is the LA Southgate-Lakewood district.
20 This district shifted northwest as a result of the population
21 shuffle of districts along its eastern borders along the
22 Orange County border, picking up Walnut, Huntington Park and
23 Bell. The northeastern boundary was moved west, removing
24 Downey, which was moved to Pico Rivera-SE LA district to the
25 north. So this is where we are getting an explanation for the

28

1 district that we just talked about. This movement allowed for
2 Buena Park and La Habra exchanges and to fix the Pico Rivera,
3 South Gate and Downey city splits that existed in the previous
4 iteration. The southeastern boundary was moved north to allow
5 Hawaiian Gardens to be included in the Long Beach port
6 district. The northwestern boundary was moved south.

7 Los Angeles Downtown district, the northwestern
8 boundary was moved southeast from West Seward and Rosewood
9 Road to Rossmore Avenue and the northwestern edge of the
10 district was moved west to pick up Miracle Mile along Wilshire
11 Boulevard to Schumacher Drive. The southern boundary was
12 moved southwest to pick up the population that was removed
13 along the northwestern boundary.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I'm sorry, what did it
15 say about Miracle Mile? It moved to the west to pick up -

16 MS. MACDONALD: It says the western edge of the
17 district was moved to pick up Miracle Mile along Wilshire
18 Boulevard to Schumacher Drive.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: To Schumacher Drive,
20 thank you.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: This appears to keep Korea
22 Town, Thai Town, Filipino Town and Chinatown intact, according
23 to CAPAFR testimony, correct? It looks like it does.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: It was intact before. I think
25 all we did was try to include Miracle Mile.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Where did it move down on the
2 southern boundary?

3 MS. MACDONALD: Rossmore Avenue, from West Seward and
4 Rosewood Road to Rossmore Avenue.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Thank you.

6 MS. MACDONALD: This next district is the Vermont
7 Square-Florence Firestone and there were no changes from
8 06/07.

9 The next one is the Culver City-Crenshaw district.
10 The northeastern boundary was moved south to allow the
11 district to the north, to allow moving the district to the
12 north and then to achieve population balance on the
13 northwestern boundary - no, and then achieve population
14 boundary, I'm sorry. The northwestern boundary was extended
15 further west to include parts of West LA.

16 Palos Verdes East-Beach Cities, there were no changes
17 from 06/07.

18 Inglewood-Harbor City, there were no changes from
19 06/07.

20 Compton-Carson, there were no changes from 06/07.

21 Long Beach, the northeastern boundary was moved north
22 to include the community of Hawaiian Gardens, the northwestern
23 boundary with the South Gate-Lakewood district was moved south
24 into Long Beach to achieve population equality.

25 I think these are all the districts.

1 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Are there any requests from the
2 commissioners to view a particular district that you might
3 have questions about? Yes, Commissioner Yao?

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: Can we go back to Griffith Park.
5 I think we heard testimony about having split that area. I
6 wasn't fully aware of that.

7 MS. MACDONALD: I'm sorry, was that a question for
8 me?

9 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Commissioner Yao, can you repeat
10 that?

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: I wish I could.

12 CHAIR AGUIRRE: It's East LA.

13 MS. MACDONALD: I didn't hear the question at all.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: East LA district -

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Can you pull up the
16 East LA district.

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- did we split up Griffith Park?

18 MS. MACDONALD: The question was did we split -

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Griffith Park.

20 MS. MACDONALD: Griffith Park. No.

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: It's just that it's
23 separated, as I recall from the public comment, from other
24 Hollywood areas.

25 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It is in a different district.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Correct.

2 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right, any other questions?

3 (No response.)

4 So it is my understanding then that we have reviewed
5 all 80 Assembly districts and the public has had an
6 opportunity via our live stream webcasting to have gone along
7 and reviewed them with us. We apologize for some technical
8 difficulties that we had but think these draft maps represent
9 our first best effort at listening to the public and
10 incorporating their testimony in drawing maps that comply with
11 the stated criteria as put forth in Propositions 11 and 20 and
12 the Constitution. So are there any other comments besides
13 those?

14 (No response.)

15 Then we will move forward with a vote and I will turn
16 it over to Commissioner Filkins-Webber.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: As a motion it is
18 proposed and hereby resolved that the Assembly district maps
19 presented today, June 10, 2011, are accepted as the first
20 iteration of two drafts that will serve as the basis for
21 continued public comment to this Commission before the final
22 district maps are presented to the Secretary of State for
23 certification on August 15, 2011.

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Second.

25 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any discussion?

1 (No response.)
2 Is there any public comment on that motion?
3 (No response.)
4 Seeing none, let's have a roll call vote, please.
5 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Aguirre.
6 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.
7 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ancheta.
8 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes.
9 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Blanco.
10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.
11 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Dai.
12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.
13 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Raya.
14 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes.
15 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner DiGuilio.
16 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes.
17 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Forbes.
18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.
19 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.
20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes.
21 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Parvenu.
22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.
23 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Barabba.
24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.
25 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Filkins-Webber.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yes.

2 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ontai.

3 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yes.

4 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ward.

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.

6 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Yao.

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes.

8 MS. SARGIS: The motion passes.

9 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Congratulations, Commission.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: One down, three to go.

11 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right. So those 80 district maps
12 represent about half of what we are going to be reviewing this
13 morning. Is there a need for a bio break at this time? Let's
14 take five minutes. Don't leave the building because we want
15 to start in about five minutes and we are going to move onto
16 Senate districts. Thank you very much.

17 (Five minute recess at 10:55 a.m.)

18 Okay, commissioners, we are ready to begin our review
19 of the first draft of the Senate districts as proposed by our
20 Commission. So I will ask Ms. MacDonald to continue.

21 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, so we have moved on to Senate.
22 And we are going to start at the North Coast. And these
23 basically are nested districts for the most part. So the two
24 Assembly districts that were nested here are DELMENDO, so Del
25 Norte, Mendocino and Marin.

1 Moving on to Yuba, there was no change made to the
2 Yuba district.

3 North Bay-Contra Costa, this - I mean Napa-Contra
4 Costa, I'm sorry. Napa and East Contra Costa districts are
5 nested and this includes Galt, Lodi, Benicia and Vallejo.

6 This is the district for Sacramento city. There was
7 no change. There is a small split in Sacramento city, it
8 includes Davis, UC Davis, West Sacramento, and it includes Elk
9 Grove and South Sacramento and the API COI.

10 This is the East Sacramento Senate district. There
11 were no changes made. It includes west El Dorado and Placer
12 Counties and Citrus Heights is whole. So it was united, it
13 split in the 80s and due to the nesting we were able to make
14 it whole.

15 San Francisco-Daly City, there were no changes made.

16 San Mateo, no changes were made to this district.

17 Silicon Valley-San Jose, no changes were made to this
18 district.

19 Hayward-Milpitas, no changes were made to this
20 district.

21 Oakland-Richmond, no changes were made to this
22 district.

23 East Alameda County, West Contra Costa, no changes
24 were made to this district.

25 Foothills district, no changes were made. It

1 maintains the integrity of the foothills region.

2 Stanislaus-San Joaquin, there were no changes made.

3 Modesto is split, Turlock, Tracy, Manteca, Lathrop, and

4 Stockton are whole.

5 Merced district, no changes were made. The direction

6 was for a cleaner break in Modesto. Jamie did not change

7 those to keep the API VAP(ph) numbers boosted.

8 The Kings district, no changes were made, it's

9 Section 5. It maintains southeast Bakersfield, the community

10 of interest there and the farmworkers along the 99 corridor

11 between Shafter and Tipton, per COI testimony.

12 Tulare-Kern, no changes were made. It includes

13 Visalia, the city of Tulare and the remainder of Bakersfield.

14 Central Coast, there were no changes made. It is

15 Section 5, includes West Monterey and San Luis Obispo

16 Counties. It maintains the integrity of Monterey Bay. Lompoc

17 is split.

18 Santa Barbara-East Ventura, there were no changes.

19 Thousand Oaks is split, it maintains the integrity of Oxnard

20 and Piru and the COI along the 126 corridor.

21 Moving down to LA, Santa Clarita Valley, there were

22 changes to this district made because the underlying Assembly

23 districts changed. So this then also affected the nested

24 Senate district. The Senate district is the result of nesting

25 Santa Clarita and Thousand Oaks-Santa Monica Assembly

1 districts. The district was then blended or unnested to make
2 it more consistent with Commission direction and public
3 testimony. Malibu was added, parts of southeastern San
4 Fernando Valley were removed.

5 This is the San Gabriel Valley-Foothills district.
6 This district is the result of nesting the San Gabriel
7 Mountain-Foothill and the Glendale-Burbank Assembly districts.
8 The district was then unnested or blended - if you prefer a
9 particular terminology, I call it unnested and Nicole calls it
10 blended, so if you have something else we will gladly
11 incorporate that - in order to better comply with Commission
12 direction and public testimony. The unnesting included
13 removing Toluca Lake and part of North Hollywood in exchange
14 for a more northwestern part of North Hollywood on the
15 district's most western border. On the eastern border the
16 split of Rancho Cucamonga was changed from a north-south
17 orientation to an east-west orientation.

18 This is the Los Angeles San Fernando district. This
19 is 100 percent nesting of the East and West San Fernando
20 Valley districts. The only changes between this iteration and
21 the 06/07 iteration are the adjustments that were made to the
22 Assembly borders to align the boundaries as much as possible
23 with the San Fernando Valley neighborhoods.

24 Los Angeles Downtown, this is nesting the Downtown
25 and the West San Gabriel Valley districts. This was then

1 unnested, moving north to include Toluca Lake, part of Studio
2 City and part of the Hollywood Hills. The western boundary
3 was moved further west to pick up part of Melrose.

4 This is the Los Angeles-San Gabriel Senate district
5 and this district is the result of blending the West San
6 Gabriel Valley Assembly district with parts and portions of
7 three other Assembly districts to the south in order to create
8 a Senate district that better complies with Commission
9 directions and public testimony. It also allowed the
10 reunification of several cities that were split by the West
11 San Gabriel Valley Assembly district.

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: For the record, I like the
13 combination that we saw last Thursday better than what is
14 being displayed. But what is being displayed certainly is
15 adequate for the draft map.

16 MS. MACDONALD: Los Angeles-Covina, this district is
17 the result of nesting Covina with the Whittier district.
18 Changes between this iteration and the 06/07 iteration are due
19 to changes to the underlying Assembly lines that were adjusted
20 to move Buena Park and take in La Habra per Commission
21 direction. Additionally, changes are due to the unnesting,
22 which included moving El Monte and South El Monte wholly into
23 the district, fixing the split that existed under the Assembly
24 iteration. Montebello on the western boundary was removed as
25 was part of Santa Fe Springs on the southern border.

1 This is the Long Beach district. This district is
2 the result of nesting Long Beach and South Gate, those two
3 Assembly districts. Changes between this iteration and the
4 one you last saw are due to the changes to the underlying
5 Assembly district lines again and then also again to unnesting
6 as this district was - to make it better comply with
7 Commission direction and public testimony. To this effect
8 Downey, Norwalk and Santa Fe Springs were moved into the
9 district's eastern half and South Gate, Bell and Walnut were
10 removed from the northern boundary.

11 This is the Los Angeles-Compton district. This is a
12 perfectly nested district of the Inglewood- Hawthorne-Gardena
13 and the Compton-Carson Assembly districts. There were no
14 changes made as neither of these Assembly districts were
15 changed. So this is the same as the last Senate district
16 iteration you saw.

17 This is the Palos Verdes and Santa Monica district.
18 So this is the result of nesting the Palos Verdes Estates and
19 Beach Cities district with West Side Santa Monica Assembly
20 district. This district was then unnested to account for
21 population shuffles with the districts to the north, east and
22 west. To the west, Malibu was removed, the northeastern
23 boundary was moved to the West Hollywood boundary and to its
24 east Mar Vista, Del Rey, Playa Vista and parts of West Los
25 Angeles were added.

1 This particular district is Los Angeles and Culver
2 City. This district is the result of nesting the Vermont
3 Square-Florence-Firestone district with the Crenshaw-Culver
4 City district. This district was then unnested on the western
5 portion to account for population shuffles of the districts to
6 its north and east. The eastern boundary was extended further
7 east to pick up Walnut and was extended further north to
8 include all of Vermont Central. The western boundary was then
9 moved east, removing most of Mar Vista, Del Rey, Playa Vista
10 and parts of West LA.

11 This is the Mono-Inyo district and there was no
12 change made.

13 San Bernardino-Banning, there was no change made.

14 Pomona-San Bernardino, there was no change made.

15 Riverside-Moreno Valley, no change was made.

16 This is the Coachella-Temecula district, there was no
17 change made.

18 This is the Imperial-San Diego district. Another
19 finger was fixed from the Assembly plan at the Imperial and
20 San Diego border. So because it was fixed in the Assembly
21 plan it is now also fixed in the Senate plan. This was nested
22 with the Assembly district LMSAND instead of the border of the
23 South San Diego Assembly district.

24 This is the Coronado-San Diego Senate district. This
25 is nested with the Assembly district to the south instead of

1 the Assembly district to the east.

2 Northeast San Diego, no change was made.

3 San Diego-Orange County, no change was made. She
4 tried to blend the district with another district but that
5 would cut off population at the top of the Coastal district.

6 This is the Costa Mesa-Irvine district, no change was
7 made. Again she tried to blend the district with the San
8 Diego-Orange County district but that would cut off population
9 at the top of the Coastal district.

10 Artesia-Cerritos-Cypress district, there was no
11 change made to this district.

12 Diamond Bar-Yorba Linda, there was no change made to
13 this district.

14 It looks like those are it.

15 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Was that the 40 Senate districts, Ms.
16 MacDonald?

17 MS. MACDONALD: Yes.

18 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay, so there you have it,
19 commissioners. You see on the board, as I think the public
20 can also view online, the map of California indicating where
21 the Senate districts have fallen as a result of our
22 instructions for the line drawer. So are there any particular
23 Senate districts that commissioners might want a second view
24 of?

25 (No response.)

1 Okay, seeing none then we will move it to our Vice-
2 Chair.

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Mr. Chairman, may I ask one
4 question, please?

5 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, question.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: As we were going through the list
7 there was at least one, Artesia, that - am I just missing it
8 on our website? I had trouble finding that one. So I just
9 want to bring that up so that we can make sure if there are
10 any that are not on there, we clean that up.

11 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, okay.

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I know for the Senate -

13 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I believe for the Assembly
14 there is one, the Fresno Section 2, that was not on our
15 website. So just that the public is aware that we will
16 double-check that and make sure everything is up there.

17 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So bear with us.

19 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Did you capture that, Ms. MacDonald?

20 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, we will verify that with
21 Commission staff to make sure that all of the districts made
22 it up onto the website.

23 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Commissioner Blanco?

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes, I did have my hand up for
25 a second viewing of a Senate district in San Diego. Gosh, I

1 don't know the name. It's the one that stretches - it's the
2 coastal, what are we calling it?

3 MS. MACDONALD: We will pull something up and you can
4 tell us if that is it.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: It looks like a coastal one,
6 maybe it is the Coronado-San Diego. Yeah. So -

7 CHAIR AGUIRRE: The second one down.

8 MS. MACDONALD: The one that goes from Solano Beach,
9 Del Mar -

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes, Solano down to Imperial
11 Beach, picking up Chula Vista -

12 MS. MACDONALD: Okay.

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- and National City. My
14 comment, I guess, looking at this is a more general one. It
15 is similar to Commissioner Yao's comment. I think in our
16 second set of maps I want us to take a very hard look at
17 nesting. I think some of these - I mean, and we've talked
18 about this all along, that sometimes either nesting compounds
19 problems or that nesting - when I look at this district - and
20 I'm going to vote yes on these maps because they are all a
21 draft. But when we look at, for example, this Senate district
22 we still are trying, I think at some level even when we are
23 dealing with large populations like the Senate, to have some
24 version of a community of interest involved even in a Senate
25 map. I know that is difficult because of the populations

1 we're dealing with. But a district that includes, you know,
2 some of the wealthiest counties in San Diego like Del Mar with
3 some of the poorest counties in San Diego like Imperial Beach
4 and - what's the other one down there?

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: National City?

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No.

7 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Coronado.

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: You know what I'm talking
9 about, Commissioner Ontai.

10 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yes, yes, yes, I know.

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: It is slipping my mind right
12 now. You know, it's the one right above Imperial Beach. But
13 anyway -

14 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Coronado, it's called Coronado.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, no, no, no, no. Don't
16 worry about it. It's in San Diego and it's a largely Latino
17 county down right by Imperial Beach, which for some reason is
18 escaping. The one that is right on the border.

19 CHAIR AGUIRRE: San Ysidro.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: San Ysidro, thank you. It is a
21 problem -

22 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Sure.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- I think for representation
24 purposes. So I just want to urge us to think about - and for
25 the public to know, mainly - that when we go we are not wed to

1 the idea of nesting. It is the last in our criteria and we
2 have done it here and it's fine for this set. But there is
3 openness on the part of the Commission, I believe, to
4 revisiting the idea of nesting, especially in situations like
5 this where it really might present a district that really
6 stretches the concept of a community of interest.

7 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, and as far as being wedded to
8 the notion of nesting, certainly the language says where
9 practicable. So we will take Commissioner Ancheta then and
10 Commissioner Dai.

11 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yeah, I have a related point
12 and question. A question for Ms. MacDonald, if you know off
13 the top of your head, you may not know the answer. We did
14 request unnesting a development of some blended districts but
15 for many of the districts we just said nest. Do you know at
16 this point, did Q2 make an effort - because I know we gave
17 this general direction, but did we replicate any city, county,
18 neighborhood or community of interest splits by nesting? In
19 other words, was there an attempt to try to put cities back
20 together before nesting?

21 MS. MACDONALD: Well, I think there was certainly an
22 attempt and I think many of these districts actually reflected
23 that we -

24 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: No, I understand that.

25 MS. MACDONALD: -- did do that. I can't give you a

1 number of how many times nesting actually duplicated so to
2 speak the same problem by sticking to the same boundary line.
3 There were only so many changes that we could make and
4 especially since Commission direction is still coming in.
5 Hopefully we can get to all of that in the next round.

6 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes, and I think for second
7 draft purposes we do have to be very careful about this.
8 Because if we nest and retain splits of cities we have
9 inverted the criteria.

10 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

11 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Because we are to try to
12 maintain the integrity of cities, counties, neighborhoods and
13 communities of interest before we nest, that's a higher
14 criterion. And, again, we had to get all this done and we
15 acted quickly. But I think we have to be very careful about
16 that, among other things that we have to look into, including
17 minority voting strength and population. But to nest without
18 looking at splits, I think, is unconstitutional, frankly.
19 Because it inverts the criteria.

20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Commission Dai then Commissioner
21 Ontai.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Thank you, Chair. Yes, I mean I
23 think that from at least most of the districts I saw there was
24 an attempt to reunite communities that had been split in the
25 Senate and certainly saw that in the Tri-City Area, for

1 example. So I just wanted to ask a process question following
2 Commissioner Blanco's point here. You know, we did give
3 general direction to Q2 to try to blend some of these
4 districts because we were very concerned when we saw some of
5 the nesting and the fact that they did in fact compound some
6 of the problems. And I would like this Commission to take a
7 fresh look at the Senate districts with an eye toward the
8 larger COIs that we were unable to accommodate in the Assembly
9 and Congressional districts, you know, with the idea that
10 nesting is the very lowest of our criteria so that we can try
11 to share the pain as we've been talking about and in some
12 cases try to address communities that basically we were unable
13 to accommodate because of where they were in the map and
14 because of the units of analysis in the Assembly and Congress.

15 And what I would like to do - because I feel like we
16 have not had enough time to really examine this first attempt
17 in the Senate because we are short on time, we are very
18 committed to getting these maps out there for the public to
19 react to in this draft - but I would like to be able to have a
20 discussion and really review with Q2 particularly the Senate
21 districts so that we can kind of give some interim direction.
22 Because I think all of us can see that this is hardly the only
23 district that has a problem. There are clearly other
24 districts that look very questionable when nested. So I would
25 like to ask our incoming chairs, Commissioners Filkins-Webber

1 and Blanco, to think about agendizing a session where we can
2 actually zoom in on some of these and maybe do some interim
3 direction. Because we are almost certain a few of these
4 districts are going to change.

5 CHAIR AGUIRRE: And certainly that's why we're going
6 back to California. Because it is a partnership between the
7 Commission and the public related to some of these issues that
8 we may not have caught during our first draft. But for the
9 second certainly we are going to drill down on this and any
10 other issues and we are really asking the public to step forth
11 and be a partner to us in our second set of draft maps.

12 So, Commissioner Ontai?

13 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yes, I would agree with
14 Commissioner Blanco. This would be a real problem area, it is
15 problematic on the lower Imperial-San Ysidro area, a very
16 distinct low income community. So it is a good issue to come
17 back and revisit during the round two.

18 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, and I think the comments really,
19 as specific as they are, really speak to the diversity of the
20 Commission being from throughout California and certainly some
21 of us have more personal experience of particular geographic
22 regions. So we really appreciate the comments and the detail
23 of the comments for further work with the Commission.

24 Any other comments or questions?

25 (No response.)

1 Seeing none, then I will turn it over to Commissioner
2 Filkins-Webber.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: As such, it is hereby
4 resolved that the Senate district maps presented today, June
5 10, 2011, are accepted as the first iteration of two drafts
6 that will serve as the basis for continued public comment to
7 this Commission before the final district maps are presented
8 to the Secretary of State for certification on August 15,
9 2011.

10 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Do I have a second?

11 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Second.

12 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Is there any public comment on the
13 Senate districts and the motion as proposed?

14 (No response.)

15 Seeing none, then we will call for a roll call vote.

16 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Aguirre.

17 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

18 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ancheta.

19 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes.

20 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Blanco.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

22 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Dai.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

24 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Raya.

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes.

1 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner DiGuilio.
2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes.
3 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Forbes.
4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.
5 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.
6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes.
7 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Parvenu.
8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.
9 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Barabba.
10 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.
11 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Filkins-Webber.
12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yes.
13 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ontai.
14 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yes.
15 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ward.
16 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.
17 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Yao.
18 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes.
19 MS. SARGIS: The motion passes.
20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Congratulations, Commission. That's
21 two.
22 So we are going to move on to the Board of
23 Equalization districts. Is that okay? We want to do the
24 state districts first. There are four districts in California
25 for the Board of Equalization. So that should be fairly

1 quick.

2 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, these are districts you
3 actually have not seen. So these are the Board of
4 Equalization districts. And I was just pulling up the
5 statistics for those. They are big. And I don't have any
6 notes on them. So what we could do is just kind of take a
7 look at them and read them off. So this particular district
8 goes all the way from the Oregon border down to - it has Mono
9 and Inyo in it, it goes down to Kern and it includes San
10 Bernardino. And I am looking for the statistics of this one.
11 Just one second.

12 (Pause)

13 So this particular district actually has three
14 Section 5 counties, it has everything except for Monterey.

15 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, Commissioner DiGuilio?

16 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I don't know if you have
17 these details, Ms. MacDonald, but it looks like for the most
18 part generally you have been able to keep counties whole.
19 Maybe with the exception of this district, it looks like there
20 is maybe a little bit of split of San Bernardino. But to the
21 best of your knowledge does it look like you were able to,
22 based on our instructions, initially you were able to take
23 those districts and take them into whole counties?

24 MS. MACDONALD: Correct.

25 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Okay.

1 MS. MACDONALD: That's what we did. We basically
2 just looked at - you know, we looked at the nesting of Senate
3 districts, we looked at population, we had to look at
4 benchmarks of course. And so we could actually not nest
5 perfectly because we have one Senate district that had two
6 Section 5 counties in it. So putting the Monterey County seat
7 in with the Merced County would have raised the benchmark for
8 Monterey as well. So we had to basically keep that apart and
9 then make adjustments at the southern border. And this is why
10 this particular district has three of the Section 5 counties
11 in it and then the western one has just Monterey. So there
12 two different benchmarks.

13 And I would just like to read off what the numbers
14 are. So for Board of Equalization the benchmark is Latino
15 voting age population, 34.34 percent; Black voting age
16 population, 5.49 percent; API voting age population, 8.27
17 percent. This proposed district has Latino voting age
18 population at 35.38 percent, so it is a little up. Black
19 voting age population is also slightly up to 5.54 percent.
20 And API voting age population is also up to 9.18 percent.

21 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay.

22 MS. MACDONALD: So this one here, the benchmark here
23 - actually let me just describe the district. So basically
24 again it goes from the Oregon border all the way down to - it
25 includes San Luis Obispo County, Santa Barbara. And the

1 benchmark is Latino voting age population, 22.61 percent;
2 Black voting age population, 5.78 percent; API voting age
3 population, 20.86 percent. The proposed draft Board of
4 Equalization plan for this western district has the numbers go
5 down very slightly. For Latino voting age population it goes
6 from 22.61 to 22.31 percent; for Black voting age population
7 it goes from 5.78 to 5.56 percent; and for API voting age
8 population it goes from 20.86 to 19.95 percent. And, again,
9 once we have guidance from the voting rights attorneys we can
10 look at this further, obviously, and see what we can do about
11 this.

12 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay, comments or questions?

13 (No response.)

14 Let's move on.

15 MS. MACDONALD: As the Commission directed, we
16 created one Board of Equalization district in the LA area.
17 And then the remaining fourth Board of Equalization district
18 takes the remainder of California basically, so it's the
19 Southern California area.

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: Before you leave the Los Angeles
21 one, can I ask you to read out the name of the east-most
22 cities?

23 We will try to zoom in and do that. So the
24 northeastern part, there is La Canada-Flintridge in there,
25 Arcadia, San Gabriel, Montebello, Walnut, Whittier, Montclair,

53

1 Ontario, Chino Hills, Upland. Is that enough?

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: So the east-most split is around
3 Rialto-Colton?

4 MS. MACDONALD: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: And then going towards the south,
6 it looks like the split is the Orange County line then?

7 MS. MACDONALD: Yes, it appears that way.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: For the most part, except for some
9 of the Los Angeles cities like -

10 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Commissioner Ancheta?

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes, I'm finished. Thank you.

12 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right.

13 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Is LA County split only once?

14 MS. MACDONALD: I can't answer that without having
15 Maptitude here. But this is certainly something that could be
16 cleaned up fairly easily, probably in a hearing.

17 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right.

18 MS. MACDONALD: Because there is no Section 5
19 concerns at the southern end. So the northern end is a little
20 bit more difficult.

21 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay, any other questions?

22 (No response.)

23 Okay, let's move on.

24 MS. MACDONALD: Well, those were your Board of
25 Equalization districts.

1 CHAIR AGUIRRE: We've gone through the fourth?

2 MS. MACDONALD: Yes.

3 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right, so are there any other
4 comments or requests for review? Yes, Mr. Yao?

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: You said we have three Section 5
6 districts? Or just two?

7 MS. MACDONALD: No, there are two. One of the
8 districts had three Section 5 counties in it.

9 COMMISSIONER YAO: Counties, okay. Thank you.

10 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, Commissioner Dai?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Just a note. I'm sure that this
12 is a time issue but we had talked before about doing cleanup
13 so that the counties were kept whole as much as possible. So
14 I think that is probably - I can tell that is from the Senate
15 districts. So this might be an example, again, of where we
16 want to do some cleanup.

17 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

18 MS. MACDONALD: I know that they did work on cleanup
19 but, you know, yeah, time.

20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right. Certainly, you know, let
21 me recognize the work of Q2, who I think has followed our
22 instructions and directions to the maximum extent possible.
23 So we thank you for your work in this regard.

24 Unless there is any other comments from the
25 commissioners, I will turn it over to Ms. Filkins-Webber.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: As such, it is hereby
2 resolved that the State Board of Equalization district maps
3 presented today, June 10, 2011, are accepted as the first
4 iteration of two drafts that will serve as the basis for
5 continued public comment to this Commission before the final
6 district maps are presented to the Secretary of State for
7 certification on August 15, 2011.

8 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Second.

9 CHAIR AGUIRRE: We have a motion and a second by
10 Commissioner DiGuilio. Any other comments or discussion?

11 (No response.)

12 Is there anybody in the public that might want to
13 speak to this motion?

14 (No response.)

15 Seeing none, then we will have a roll call vote.

16 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Aguirre.

17 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

18 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ancheta.

19 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes.

20 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Blanco.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

22 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Dai.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

24 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Raya.

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes.

1 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner DiGuilio.
2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes.
3 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Forbes.
4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.
5 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.
6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes.
7 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Parvenu.
8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.
9 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Barabba.
10 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.
11 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Filkins-Webber.
12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yes.
13 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ontai.
14 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yes.
15 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ward.
16 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.
17 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Yao.
18 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes.
19 MS. SARGIS: The motion passes.
20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you, commissioners.

21 Congratulations.

22 So certainly we have gone through the three sets of
23 districts that represent political reality of California. But
24 actually the work of the Commission has national implications
25 as well. So in that regard then we are going to move on to

57

1 the Congressional districts.

2 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, we will start again at the
3 north coast. This North Coast district now includes West
4 Siskiyou, the census place Larkfield-Wikiup, just north of
5 Santa Rosa, it was removed for population reasons.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I'm sorry, can you
7 clarify what you said regarding Santa Rosa? You said a
8 northern portion of the city of Santa Rosa was -

9 MS. MACDONALD: This census place, while I'm not sure
10 whether that is actually the name or a typo, is Larkfield-
11 Wikiup, just north of Santa Rosa, was removed. So it did not
12 touch Santa Rosa itself.

13 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Okay, thank you.

14 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any other questions?

15 (No response.)

16 Yes, let's move on.

17 MS. MACDONALD: The next district is Modoc-Tehama.
18 West Siskiyou was moved out of this district.

19 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Questions?

20 (No response.)

21 Thank you. Let's move on.

22 MS. MACDONALD: The next district is Yuba. The split
23 in northeast Glenn grew to accommodate for the West Siskiyou
24 population loss in the district we just looked at, the Modoc
25 district. And Larkfield was added for population.

1 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Let's move on.

2 MS. MACDONALD: The next district is Yolo-Solano-
3 Napa. There are no changes that were made since you last saw
4 this district. It includes Woodland, American Canyon, Vallejo
5 and Pinole. El Sobrante is split.

6 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Questions?

7 (No response.)

8 Okay.

9 MS. MACDONALD: This is the Sacramento County
10 district. No changes were made. It includes Galt, Rancho
11 Cordova and Citrus Heights.

12 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you. That's fine.

13 MS. MACDONALD: Sacramento City, no changes were
14 made. This includes the city of West Sacramento, the majority
15 of the city of Sacramento and Elk Grove.

16 The San Joaquin district, no changes were made. It
17 includes Stockton and everything in the north county but not
18 Tracy or Manteca, the East Contra Costa communities of Byron,
19 Knightsen and Oakley.

20 The Stanislaus district includes all of Stanislaus
21 County, southwest San Joaquin, including Tracy, Manteca and
22 Escalon. There were no changes made.

23 This is the Merced district. No changes were made.
24 Again, all of Merced County is in this district and the
25 flatlands of Madera, per COI testimony. It also includes

1 South Fresno. That would be the city of South Fresno.

2 The Foothills district, there were no changes made
3 except for moving a few blocks for population balance. We did
4 a lot of that, actually, for Congressional districts.

5 Moving on to Fresno, there were no changes made to
6 this district. It includes North Fresno, north of the city of
7 Fresno, it goes into Tulare County, includes Visalia and the
8 city of Tulare, and this maintains the integrity of
9 metropolitan versus agricultural and foothill areas.

10 This is the Tulare district. No changes were made,
11 except for moving a few blocks, again for population balance,
12 between the Foothills and the Antelope Valley districts.

13 The next district is Kings. There were no changes
14 made. It maintains the integrity of the farmworker community
15 of interest in southeast Bakersfield.

16 This is the San Luis Obispo-Santa Barbara district.
17 It does not include Ojai Valley and instead it shaves off
18 population from the city of Ventura, this is a population
19 trade-off.

20 The East Ventura district includes Ojai Valley, the
21 city of Ventura is split, the 126 corridor community of
22 interest is maintained, Thousand Oaks is intact, and in Simi
23 Valley 2000 people are split. This moves into LA County to
24 include Malibu.

25 The Contra Costa district, the Alameda County areas

1 of the Highway 680 corridor and La Marinda (ph) to San Ramon
2 are included. The Highway 4 corridor ends at Pittsburgh.
3 Byron was moved to the San Joaquin district and Antioch is now
4 split for population reasons per CRC direction.

5 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Questions or comments?

6 (No response.)

7 Okay, let's move on.

8 MS. MACDONALD: This is the Oakland district that
9 goes along the Highway 880 corridor north to the Carquinez
10 Bridge. It splits Richmond in some eastern and non-contiguous
11 areas.

12 This is the Fremont-Newark-Union City district. This
13 includes San Leandro along the 580 corridor to the eastern end
14 of Alameda County and along Highway 880 to Fremont. It splits
15 Fremont for population reasons.

16 This is the San Jose district. There is some of
17 Fremont and Newark and some of San Jose, including Berryessa,
18 per COI testimony. This splits Fremont and San Jose for
19 population reasons.

20 South Santa Clara, this is southern Santa Clara
21 County, it splits Santa Clara County and San Jose for
22 population reasons.

23 This is the Monterey district, Section 5 of course.
24 Here 13 people were balanced to get to the desired deviation.
25 Monterey and San Benito Counties are included. It splits

1 Santa Cruz County for population reasons at Scotts Valley, to
2 keep Scotts Valley with Silicon Valley per CRC direction. It
3 keeps Monterey Bay intact per CRC direction.

4 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Questions?

5 (No response.)

6 That's fine.

7 MS. MACDONALD: San Francisco. The San Francisco
8 split line was moved per CRC direction again to look at the
9 Planning Department's neighborhood boundary lines. So
10 generally this split San Francisco County. Special attention
11 is being paid to keeping neighborhoods intact per CRC
12 direction. The only neighborhood that is split based on that
13 particular boundary file that we got is Parkside and it is
14 split for population reasons.

15 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Questions or comments?

16 (No response.)

17 Let's move on.

18 MS. MACDONALD: San Mateo district, again the San
19 Francisco line here is altered per CRC direction, this is the
20 other side of the district that I just explained. And it
21 splits San Francisco County and San Mateo County for
22 population reasons.

23 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Fine.

24 MS. MACDONALD: The next district is San Mateo-Santa
25 Cruz-Santa Clara. This is the Silicon Valley area that

1 includes Scotts Valley per CRC direction. It splits San
2 Mateo, Santa Cruz and Santa Clara Counties for population
3 reasons.

4 Moving down to Los Angeles, this is the Antelope
5 Valley district. The northern boundary with Lancaster was
6 moved further south to allow for the movement of about 2000
7 people into the Kern district to achieve the plus/minus one
8 person deviation. The southwestern border was moved into
9 Ventura County to pick up Santa Susana, Simi Valley and
10 Moorpark. This was done per Commission direction to spread
11 the pain as this allowed us to reunite Thousand Oaks by making
12 it whole and moving it to the southern Ventura County district
13 so that now Thousand Oaks is only split under the ADNSD plans
14 but it is reunited in the city plan. This did, however,
15 require splitting Santa Clarita. To make up for the
16 population exchanges, the southeastern boundary was moved
17 north, removing all portions of the San Fernando Valley from
18 the district. And, again, Simi Valley was split by about 2000
19 people in order to achieve the deviation.

20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay, thanks.

21 MS. MACDONALD: This is the San Gabriel Mountain
22 Foothills district. A block with 19 people on the most
23 northwestern border with the Santa Clarita district was moved
24 into the Santa Clarita district to achieve population equity.
25 The southern boundary with Glendora was moved further south to

1 pick up additional population, also for population deviation
2 reasons. Here is the map again. Sorry. Should I read that
3 off again or are we okay?

4 CHAIR AGUIRRE: It's fine.

5 MS. MACDONALD: This is the West San Fernando Valley-
6 Calabasas. Per Commission direction to attempt to reunite
7 Thousand Oaks in at least one set of districts, this district
8 was moved north into Porter Ranch, Granada Hills, into Santa
9 Clarita, splitting it by about 20,000 people to make room in
10 the Santa Clarita district for the populations of Simi Valley,
11 Santa Susana, Rosemore (ph), which in turn allowed Thousand
12 Oaks to be removed from the district and moved wholly back
13 into the Southern Ventura district. The LA County communities
14 of Westlake and Agoura Hills were also moved out of the
15 district and moved into the Southern Ventura County district.
16 On the southeastern boundary part of Sherman Oaks was removed
17 to achieve the deviation.

18 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay, thank you.

19 MS. MACDONALD: This is the East San Fernando Valley-
20 Tujunga district. In order to achieve the population
21 deviation there was some population removed from the
22 northwestern boundary. In the southern boundary Lake Balboa
23 was moved slightly north to Victory Boulevard from Friar
24 Street and census blocks south of Victory Boulevard in the Van
25 Nuys neighborhood were removed, moving the boundary north to

1 Victory Boulevard.

2 Next is West LA-Downtown. The western boundary was
3 moved east to Topanga, removing Malibu. The southern boundary
4 was moved north, removing Santa Monica and West LA up to
5 Brentwood. And then the southeastern boundary was extended
6 southeast to pick up the downtown neighborhoods of Angeleno
7 Heights, Chinatown, Korea Town, Filipino Town, Westlake,
8 Wilshire Center and parts of Country Club Park, Echo Park,
9 Elysian Park and Pico/Union.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Could I ask a question?

11 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, sir.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The town of Avalon in
13 Catalina is a part of that particular congressional district?

14 MS. MACDONALD: Pardon me?

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The town of Avalon on
16 Catalina Island is a part of that district? I am assuming
17 that it is. I see a linkage there to a land mass.

18 MS. MACDONALD: I would have to verify that. But I
19 believe you are correct.

20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any other questions or comments?

21 (No response.)

22 Thank you.

23 MS. MACDONALD: This is the Crenshaw-Leimert Park
24 district. This district shifted northwest as a result of a
25 population shuffle around Ventura County and the San Fernando

1 Valley. The eastern boundary with downtown was moved west,
2 removing the downtown neighborhoods of Angeleno Heights,
3 Chinatown, Korea Town, Filipino Town, Westlake, Wilshire
4 Center, parts of Country Club Park, Echo Park, Elysian Park
5 and Pico/Union. This southeastern boundary was extended
6 further south to include all of Vermont Square, which was
7 split in the last iteration you saw. The western boundary was
8 extended further west to pick up Culver City, Mar Vista,
9 Palms, Rancho Park, Century City, Westwood, the VA Hospital
10 and West LA.

11 This is the East LA-Boyle Heights district. Nicole
12 calls it her signature district, as her last name is Boyle.
13 Small changes were made to achieve the plus/minus, you know,
14 just the population deviation basically. The northwestern
15 boundary was moved east to the Griffith Park border. The
16 boundary running along Chinatown, Angeleno Heights, Filipino
17 Town and Silver Lake was moved northeast, moving these areas
18 into the West LA - into another district. The western
19 boundary to the west of the Artist District was moved further
20 west to pick up downtown and Little Tokyo. On the
21 southeastern boundary, Bell was moved to a district to the
22 south and Maywood and Huntington Park were added. On the
23 southeastern boundary, Montebello was moved east into the
24 Downey-Whittier district.

25 The next district is the San Gabriel Valley-Diamond

1 Bar district. And while it's loading I will tell you that
2 very minor changes were made. Some census blocks were moved
3 along the border with the City of Industry for population
4 equality reasons.

5 This is the East San Gabriel Valley-Covina district.
6 Again, very minor changes were made. Some census blocks were
7 moved along the border with the City of Industry and the
8 Glendora border to achieve the population deviation.

9 The next district is the Downey-Whittier district.
10 Per Commission direction regarding South Gate belonging more
11 with the districts to the west than to the east, South Gate
12 was removed and moved west into the Inglewood district. Then
13 Bell Gardens and Montebello were added on the district's
14 northwestern boundary.

15 This is the Inglewood-South Gate district. The
16 northern boundary was moved north to include the neighborhoods
17 of South Park, Vermont Central, Vermont Square and West
18 Vernon. The northeastern boundary was moved south, removing
19 Huntington Park and Maywood. And per Commission direction and
20 concern regarding South Gate being split, South Gate was moved
21 wholly into the district, fixing the split of South Gate that
22 existed in the last iteration you saw.

23 This the Palos Verdes Estates-Beach Cities district.
24 To this district Santa Monica was added, Mar Vista and Culver
25 City were removed.

1 The next district is the Hawthorne-Gardena-Compton
2 district. There were some very minor changes made for
3 population equality reasons. Some census blocks were removed
4 along the northern border to remove 11 people.

5 And this last district in the LA area is the Long
6 Beach Port district. Per Commission direction for Orange
7 County, Los Alamitos and Rossmoor were moved west into the
8 district and in exchange La Palma was added and about 2000
9 people were split from Lakewood and moved east into the Orange
10 County district in order to achieve population deviation -
11 desired population deviation, I should say.

12 This is the Inyo-Mono-San Bernardino district. No
13 changes were made to this district.

14 This San Bernardino district was not changed.

15 The Ontario district was changed slightly. Some
16 additional portions of Chino Hills were added to balance
17 population.

18 Riverside-Moreno Valley, no changes were made to this
19 district.

20 This is the Perris district. No changes were made to
21 this district.

22 The Coachella district had no changes made.

23 The Imperial-San Diego district had some changes
24 made. The district border was moved down closer to the border
25 between Imperial County and the city of San Diego.

1 Chula Vista-National City district, no changes were
2 made.

3 This district added the neighborhood of San Pasqual,
4 which is the finger that extends into the Northeast San Diego
5 district. This is the border of the city of San Diego and it
6 was included to balance the population and to reduce city
7 splits for the city of San Diego.

8 This is the Northeast San Diego district. Some
9 population was added from the Imperial Valley-San Diego
10 district in the area between Imperial County and the city of
11 San Diego.

12 This is the Coastal San Diego district and no changes
13 were made.

14 This is the South Orange County district and no
15 changes were made.

16 This is the Orange County Coast district and no
17 changes were made.

18 This is the West Garden Grove district and no changes
19 were made.

20 This is the La Habra-Yorba Linda district. The city
21 of Cypress was added wholly, parts of the city of La Palma
22 were removed, and a small portion of Lakewood was added. This
23 was done in order to reduce the population deviation to zero
24 percent and balance the population with LA. And I also have a
25 footnote here that there were a lot of small changes made at

1 the census block level generally to some of these districts t
2 reduce the population balance and basically get to a very
3 small deviation.

4 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Fine.

5 MS. MACDONALD: And this is it.

6 CHAIR AGUIRRE: All right. Yes, a question from Ms.
7 Galambos-Malloy.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: This is actually a
9 comment. I will be voting for these maps as a work in
10 progress. I did want to note that this week we actually have
11 received significant online and in-person testimony regarding
12 from the Northern California area at least two congressional
13 districts that involve Napa County and Alameda County
14 specifically. But due to the lateness of the hour at which we
15 were getting that feedback those represent areas that we will
16 be refining our work as we move forward into round two.

17 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes. And I think it was generally
18 communicated to those individuals and groups that it would not
19 be possible to include those suggestions within this first
20 draft but certainly they would be considered for a second
21 round.

22 Yes, Commissioner Yao?

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: We talk about deviation in this
24 set of maps more so than we did in the state district maps.
25 Where was the largest deviation that you had to live with in

1 this draft map, do you recall? Were you able to get down to
2 one person -

3 MS. MACDONALD: Pretty much.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: - in most of the -

5 MS. MACDONALD: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

7 MS. MACDONALD: Actually, I do think I have to say as
8 of last night, the last time I got an accounting, when they
9 were hunting for census blocks that had like two and three
10 people in it - which really takes a long time - I did hear
11 that there was something like 14 people that had to be moved
12 and spread among I don't know how many counties. So there may
13 be a deviation of 14 people here someplace.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I just saw it on the
15 screen. Fresno right there says negative 7.

16 MS. MACDONALD: Yes. So I think that was actually
17 the area about which I -

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Pretty good for a
19 draft.

20 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any other questions or comments?

21 (No response.)

22 All right. So we will turn it over to Ms. Filkins-
23 Webber.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: As such, it is hereby
25 resolved that the Congressional district maps presented today,

1 June 10, 2011, are accepted as the first iteration of two
2 drafts that will serve as the basis for continued public
3 comment to this Commission before the final district maps are
4 presented to the Secretary of State for certification on
5 August 15, 2011.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Second.

7 CHAIR AGUIRRE: So Commissioner Filkins-Webber moved
8 and Commissioner Dai seconded. Any other discussion?

9 (No response.)

10 Any public comment on the motion?

11 MR. SALAVERRY: Thank you, Commission. David
12 Salaverry, California Conservative Action Group. I told the
13 Commission last week that I was working on the citizen's peer
14 review of the process to date. I would like you to know that
15 this morning I emailed Chief Counsel Kirk Miller to
16 respectfully request the release of the report about the
17 charge of a verbal threat made by Commissioner Blanco against
18 Commissioner Ward. Apparently someone decided to leak this to
19 the Sacramento Bee this morning. That's interesting. I
20 wonder who could have done that and what their motive might
21 have been.

22 I'm going to be taking off my carpenter's apron for
23 the rest of the summer and picking up my pen. I will be
24 posting my peer review next week. Who knows -

25 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Excuse me for the interruption but

1 this is public input on the motion itself. And as the motion
2 is on the approval of the congressional districts, I'm afraid
3 that I have to tell you that you are out of order.

4 MR. SALAVERY: Can I get to that in a second?

5 CHAIR AGUIRRE: I would prefer speaking to the
6 motion.

7 MR. SALAVERY: Okay. I would hope that the
8 Commission would consider this motion very carefully as only
9 the very roughest of first drafts and that the Commission
10 would begin to consider the COI testimony to a much higher
11 degree than it has to date. Thank you.

12 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you very much. Is there any
13 other public comment? Yes, sir.

14 MR. BOWMAN: Commissioners, Chris Bowman. I was one
15 of the presenters for the CCAG back on the 24th. Just two
16 comments about the North Bay. And I don't know if you've been
17 reading the latest commentary and it is not generated by CCAG,
18 it's just general commentary from people from Napa and Marin
19 and Sonoma. They really want to divide that very, very long
20 district that runs from the Golden Gate to the Oregon border
21 into two congressional districts. And they want to make the
22 cutoff at roughly Mendocino. Please read the COI testimony
23 that is published on your website. It is very extensive.

24 Likewise, there is absolutely no sense of putting
25 Napa in with Yuba County. There is no community of interest

1 except they are both agricultural. I mean, you know, they
2 might as well put Napa County in with Imperial County because
3 they are both agricultural. It makes no geographic sense
4 whatsoever. So if you do approve this I hope it is with the
5 understanding that the second draft needs to correct those two
6 major errors.

7 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you for your comment. Anybody
8 else?

9 (No response.)

10 Seeing none, then let's move forward with a roll call
11 vote, please.

12 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Aguirre.

13 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

14 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ancheta.

15 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes.

16 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Blanco.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

18 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Dai.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

20 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Raya.

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yes.

22 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner DiGuilio.

23 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yes.

24 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Forbes.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

1 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes.

3 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Parvenu.

4 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes.

5 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Barabba.

6 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes.

7 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Filkins-Webber.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yes.

9 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ontai.

10 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yes.

11 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Ward.

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.

13 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Yao.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yes.

15 MS. SARGIS: The motion passes.

16 CHAIR AGUIRRE: I think we deserve a round of
17 applause. I think this is a win not only for the people of
18 California but certainly for the deliberative democracy
19 process. It is an intent to really connect citizens with the
20 broader citizen community of California. And by citizens I
21 mean ourselves as a Commission who were selected through a
22 process to be representative, I think, of the whole of
23 California. So I think that at this time - are there any
24 other comments by the Commission before we break.

25 (No response.)

1 All right, so I would invite all of us to - well, let
2 me ask you a question as a Commission. We are scheduled for a
3 one o'clock press conference at the Capitol. It is now 12:15.
4 Because of transportation and other considerations, perhaps a
5 brief lunch, I would entertain suggestions as to whether we
6 need to modify the time certain for the Commission or whether
7 we should just move on. So I will take some comments.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think the question is properly
9 directed to Mr. Wilcox.

10 MR. WILCOX: I think with the logistics of notifying
11 all of the press, if you could be there at one o'clock that
12 would be terrific, please. And then I will treat everyone to
13 lunch.

14 (Laughter.)

15 CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay, we will see everybody at the
16 State Capitol.

17 (Meeting adjourned at 12:19 p.m.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25