

BEFORE THE  
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the matter of  
Full Commission Line-Drawing Meeting

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law  
Classroom C  
3200 Fifth Avenue  
Sacramento, California

Thursday, July 7, 2011

10:07 A.M.

Reported by:  
Kent Odell

APPEARANCES

Commissioners Present

Jodie Filkins Webber, Chairperson

Angelo Ancheta, Vice-Chairperson

Gabino Aguirre

Vincent Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle DiGuilio

Stanley Forbes

Connie Galambos Malloy

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai

M. Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Peter Yao

Staff Present

Janeece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

Consultants Present

Karin MacDonald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Jamie Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Tamina Alon, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Kyle Kubas, Q2 Data and Research, LLC

Also Present

Public Comment

Mary Garza

Maye Lee

Aref Aziz

I N D E X

	Page
Introduction	5
Public Comment	
Mary Garza	6
May Lee	8
Aref Aziz	10
Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (all Northern California districts) (Time permitting: BOE and Non-LA County areas of Southern California) (Imperial County; potential Section 2 areas in Orange, San Diego And San Bernardino Counties)	12
Lunch	126
Continuation of Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (See above)	126
Break	197
Continuation of Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (See above)	
Adjournment	
Certificate of Reporter	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Good morning. This is the July 7, 2011 meeting of the Citizen's Redistricting Commission. Ms. Sargis, will you take roll please?

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Aguirre?

COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Ancheta?

VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Barabba?

COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Blanco?

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Dai?

COMMISSIONER DAI: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: DiGuilio?

COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Filkins Webber?

CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Forbes?

COMMISSIONER FORBES: Here

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Galambos Malloy?

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Ontai?

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Here.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Parvenu?

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Here.

2 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Raya?

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Here.

4 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Ward?

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: Here.

6 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Yao?

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: Here.

8 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: A quorum is  
9 present.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Today our  
11 meeting has been scheduled for line drawing, principally  
12 in regard to Northern California and we will also give --  
13 time permitting, which I am certain it  
14 will -- we'll get to the Board of Equalization districts  
15 and some other non-Los Angeles County areas of Southern  
16 California, again, if we can get to that today. At this  
17 time, as we customarily do, I would like to open the mic  
18 for any public comment.

19 And, again, you'll have two minutes, to address the  
20 Commission.

21 MS. GARZA: Good morning. My name is Mary Garza  
22 and I'm representing Asian Resources Incorporated,  
23 Sacramento, California. Commissioners, we understand that  
24 the Commission is considering moving Elk Grove into  
25 Assembly and Senate District with Galt and Lodi. Elk

1 Grove has changed considerably in the last ten years, and  
2 it was incorporated in 2000. So, Elk Grove is a  
3 relatively new city. In 2000 it had just under 60,000  
4 people. The 2010 census now shows that Elk Grove has  
5 153,000 in population. According to Wikipedia, the Census  
6 determined that it was the fastest-growing city in the  
7 U.S. between July 2004 and July 2005. It is the second  
8 largest city in Sacramento County. It is no longer a  
9 rural community; it is a suburban city. Unlike Elk Grove,  
10 Galt and Lodi have remained smaller, rural communities.  
11 There is much in common between South Sac and Elk Grove.  
12 In the last ten years, many of the Asian-American and  
13 Pacific Islander communities have moved to -- from South  
14 Sacramento to Elk Grove. We have submitted testimony and  
15 data showing commodities between South Sacramento, from  
16 Fruitridge Road, Elk Grove, Florin and Vineyard,  
17 relatively high rates in foreign-born and low rates in  
18 English-language proficiency. These areas are either in  
19 the City of Sacramento, or part of the suburbs of  
20 Sacramento. They should stay together in a district  
21 focused on the City of Sacramento.

22 We recommend the lines that have been proposed in  
23 the Unity Assembly Plan, or the CAPAFR Senate Plan that  
24 were both submitted June 28, 2011. Thank you again for  
25 considering our community input.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

2           MS. LEE: Thank you again, Commissioners. Uh, my  
3 name is Maye Lee, and I'm one of the partners with CAPAFR,  
4 which is again, the Coalition of Asian-Pacific Americans  
5 for Redistricting. And, uh, if I may, some of our partner  
6 agencies could not be here, but I would like to at least  
7 read into statements -- they will be submitting things  
8 online to you directly.

9           This is from the Santa Clara District, and they're  
10 submitting this regarding the Assembly and Senate  
11 visualizations of Santa Clara County. And it says that  
12 the Assembly shows that Mountain View and Sunnyvale split  
13 from Cupertino and Santa Clara. As indicated in testimony  
14 previously submitted to the Commission, we believe that  
15 the residents of Mountain View, Sunnyvale, Cupertino, and  
16 Santa Clara constitute a community interest that should be  
17 kept together, for purposes of their fair and effective  
18 representation. And then the visualization that shows  
19 that Milpitas and Berryessa are joined with Newark and  
20 Fremont, we believe is appropriate given the commonalities  
21 of these areas. However, Fremont continues to be split,  
22 and should instead be unified in the Milpitas, Berryessa  
23 and Newark District. So, lastly, they believe that more  
24 Berryessa should be included in the Milpitas-Berryessa  
25 District. It's also difficult to tell from the

1 visualizations, but Little Saigon and Evergreen appear to  
2 have been kept whole with each other, and we're encouraged  
3 that the Commission will continue to keep Little Saigon  
4 and Evergreen together, and to keep Little Saigon-  
5 Evergreen in the downtown, and East San Jose Center  
6 District. Thank you.

7 Is it possible for me to read another one?

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Uh, we had two  
9 minutes, so --

10 MS. LEE: Oh --

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You're at your two  
12 minutes.

13 MS. LEE: Oh, so -- okay, then the other one --

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You can leave it with  
15 us though.

16 MS. LEE: Okay, they will be submitted to you by  
17 the people then --

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Chair, could I ask  
19 a question of the speaker?

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I believe that in  
22 the statement that you were reading you made reference to  
23 a concern that more of Berryessa be included. I know it  
24 was our intention that we were keeping Berryessa whole, so  
25 if there is evidence that we have not followed the

1 commonly understood boundaries of Berryessa, can you  
2 please clarify that for us?

3 MS. LEE: Uh, well, this is what appears on the  
4 visualization, but that, uh -- we -- it wasn't so much  
5 Berryessa, it was that Fremont was split.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay --

7 MS. LEE: Yeah.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- that's -- thank  
9 you. Duly noted --

10 MS. LEE: Thank you.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- appreciate it.

12 MS. LEE: Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead Mr. Aziz.

14 MR. AZIZ: Okay. Uh, my name is Aref Aziz. Uh,  
15 once again, thank you for having me. Today, rather than  
16 asking for any changes to the Districts affecting the Tri-  
17 Cities in Alameda County, surprisingly enough I am asking  
18 you to adopt as a second draft map, and make absolutely no  
19 changes to the visualization of a Congressional District  
20 that runs from Hayward to the Berryessa area of San Jose,  
21 which keeps the Tri-City area together and anchored in  
22 Alameda County Congressional District. On behalf of our  
23 Coalition and all the active and concerned citizens  
24 involved from the Tri-Cities area, and our neighbors, I  
25 just want to personally extend our thanks to this

1 Commission, the staff, the Q2 for being absolutely and  
2 extraordinarily responsive to our public testimony and  
3 taking it into account and having that reflect the  
4 visualizations in the second round. Even more  
5 extraordinary is the fact that you were able to create  
6 district visualizations in the area that addressed the  
7 needs, wants and concerns of all the different citizens  
8 groups that organized to provide testimony to this  
9 Commission. Our area, the Tri-Cities, is in the Alameda  
10 County anchored Congressional District, as we asked  
11 unified. The inland parts of Alameda County are in a  
12 separate district from the more westward areas, which is  
13 what they were asking, and thank you for responding to  
14 that. And, uh, most extraordinarily, this Commission was  
15 able to respond to the very strong, and I am sure Maye  
16 here would agree - to the very strong Asian-Pacific  
17 American groups testimony in the first and second rounds  
18 asking for a district in our area that unifies both the --  
19 I mean the entirety of the Asian community running from  
20 Hayward to the Berryessa area of San Jose, and you have  
21 done that, creating a 44 percent Asian district. And the  
22 valley district you created, in essence, everyone was very  
23 happy with that because now it's being called an  
24 innovation district, running from the famous Livermore  
25 Labs down to the Silicone Valley, which was another point

1 that was very stressed. I mean, for these reasons, again,  
2 this Commission should absolutely adopt the visualizations  
3 for our area, with no changes.

4 (Anonymous off-microphone comment)

5 MR. AZIZ: Oh -- just thank you, and you've turned  
6 your critics into supporters in my area, and I think that  
7 says a lot.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Any  
9 further public comment. I see none. Ms. MacDonald? At  
10 this point, uh we are looking towards evaluating the  
11 Assembly Districts for Northern California.

12 MS. MACDONALD: Correct, that sounds good. So,  
13 Jaime's going to start with the very north, and then we're  
14 going to work our way down.

15 MS. CLARK: Good morning. Okay, so if we look at  
16 this District DMNDO, uh, it's Del Norte, Humboldt,  
17 Trinity, Mendocino, and then Northern Sonoma County, it  
18 comes around Santa Rosa here, and grabs Rohnert Park. I  
19 believe that Glen Ellen is also in this district -- yes.  
20 And then moving on, here in Marin the entire County of  
21 Marin and then coming up to grab Santa Rosa and  
22 Sebastopol. The City of Santa Rosa cannot be included in  
23 the North Coast District because -- unless we want to  
24 create an east to west district. Uh, there are no city  
25 splits. Uh, next is this district called Napa. It takes

1 Petaluma and some population from Southern Sonoma County.  
2 Napa and Lake are together and whole, and for population  
3 comes here into Solano County, Vallejo and Benicia are  
4 included. Fairfield is split. American Canyon is with  
5 Napa. Basically that move in -- yeah, in some ways is  
6 sort of driving the -- what? Yes the architecture of this  
7 district.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
9 DiGuilio?

10 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I just had a question. So  
11 Sonoma has a split -- as three different -- into three  
12 different Assemblies? Okay.

13 MS. CLARK: Yeah.

14 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So, Santa Rosa being the  
15 biggest part can go with Marin, and then what's -- you  
16 said Petaluma on the bottom goes with Napa?

17 MS. CLARK: Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And then the rest is  
19 Sonoma. Okay.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Galambos  
21 Malloy?

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can you talk more  
23 about the Fairfield split? What Districts -- what is the  
24 population split on each side of the District if -- and  
25 what are options you explored to avoid this split?

1 MS. CLARK: UH, I'm not exactly sure what the  
2 split is, I think that there is less population in the  
3 Napa District. To avoid that split either we would have  
4 to take population from -- maybe from further over here or  
5 perhaps from Yolo County. The other option would be to  
6 have Colusa included in this visualization, and then to  
7 have the - have Petaluma included with Colusa, and  
8 potentially Glenn Counties, as well.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai?

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, how big is Fairfield in  
11 terms of total population?

12 MS. CLARK: Uh, Fairfield I believe is 100,000 or  
13 115,000.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco?

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And so, the Vallejo and  
17 Benicia being in with Napa, uh -- is that their County  
18 currently? I mean they are in Napa County, those cities?

19 MS. CLARK: Do you mean in the current Assembly  
20 District?

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just -- just -- what County  
22 is the City of Vallejo in?

23 MS. CLARK: In Solano County.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: It is in Solano, that's what  
25 I thought. And so is Benicia, correct?

1 MS. CLARK: Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So we've uh -- okay, that's  
3 what I thought. I -- just, uh -- we had a lot of positive  
4 comments early on about the fact that we had been, uh --  
5 that we had understood the Corridor -- the 80 Corridor,  
6 you know, and it -- I'm just pointing out that those are -  
7 - we've kind of taken two cities that really have very  
8 little to do with --

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think that -- I think  
10 that --

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- Napa, and put them over  
12 in Napa, in Napa Assembly District. They're much more  
13 identified with Solano.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think the 80 Corridor does  
15 probably does show up in the Congressional Districts and  
16 that's where it was before.

17 MS. CLARK: The other options for this would be to  
18 include -- would be to include Yolo County with Lake and  
19 Napa, and then try and move this population east.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Can you find out how much  
21 population is in the Napa part of Fairfield?

22 MS. CLARK: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Because I think we need to  
24 know how much we need to move.

25 MS. CLARK: Is it -- so is the issue Fairfield or

1 Vallejo in Benicia?

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, just Fairfield. I mean,  
3 I think Fairfield -- I think the other one gets way too  
4 big.

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think -- the  
6 primary issue for me is Fairfield. I think the size of  
7 the city falls along the lines of, you know, a Richmond a  
8 San Leandro. It's a fairly small city that I would like  
9 to preserve intact. I share some of the same concerns  
10 when you look at Benicia and Vallejo, but they are  
11 secondary to me because, again the cities are kept intact.  
12 We have a cluster of those two significant cities that are  
13 together, so I -- for this visualization I would focus on  
14 can we resolve the Fairfield issue primary.

15 MS. CLARK: Of 105,000 people, 46,000 are in Napa,  
16 so --

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: You can't pick that up out  
18 of Yolo County in any rational way?

19 MS. CLARK: Because the population in Yolo County  
20 is really just focused in Davis, Woodland and West  
21 Sacramento, we would either have to split Davis or  
22 Woodland off from the rest of Yolo County. Uh, other  
23 options would be to include Glenn, Colusa, and part of  
24 Tehama County. And other options could be to try and pick  
25 up Martinez from this District, and then move -- and then

1 balance between this Solano District and this North Contra  
2 Costa County District.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So of the options  
4 you presented, I am interested in the last option --

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Agreed.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- I think as the  
7 most viable in terms of the communities of interest. I'd  
8 be interested to hear from other Commissioners.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: I agree. I think Benicia and  
10 Martinez definitely have a good link, and since Benicia's  
11 already in that district, I don't -- I think that would  
12 be, uh, probably better than splitting Fairfield, but --  
13 and uh, let's see we have Antioch -- it looks like Antioch  
14 split off from -- if that's correct -- Antioch split off  
15 from -- yeah Brentwood split in that also.

16 MS. CLARK: So -- right -- so assumably the next  
17 move for this district would be to try and incorporate as  
18 much of Brentwood as possible, and then split Antioch?

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I -- and I just want to say  
20 that I do agree with the possibility of Martinez going in  
21 with Benicia, that -- with the bridge there and everything  
22 that kind of --

23 MS. CLARK: And then, just to be clear, Fairfield  
24 would go in this Solano County-based district?

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, that's -- I'm thinking

1 that if Fairfield went into the Solano-based district,  
2 that you try to pull the population out of the Bethel  
3 Island, Knightsen, Discovery Bay, you know, down in that  
4 area, and put that back.

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: The rest of Brentwood, and  
6 others --

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I mean that goes into the  
8 Pit-Ant and then Pit-Ant would come across into the Napa.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I feel like we need  
10 to know more about the -- so you said that if we -- in  
11 this configuration then we'd have to split Antioch. So  
12 we're essentially trading one small city swap for another  
13 small city swap of the same size.

14

15 MS. CLARK: But, the Antioch split, uh, would be a  
16 little bit small than the Fairfield split, because we  
17 would first split -- or I'm sorry -- first put Brentwood  
18 back together.

19 However, there also is this -- I don't know if  
20 everybody saw the shape of Fairfield, but basically it --  
21 all of this would -- all of this area would also be  
22 incorporated into the Solano County District,  
23 so --

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is that -- is  
25 Fairfield contiguous?

1 MS. CLARK: Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

3 MS. CLARK: Barely.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Does anyone know about the  
5 western part of Fairfield?

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Your base -- there's a  
7 mountain -- there's a hill there. And you go down 80, you  
8 just go over the hill and Fairfield is on both sides.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So, my question, then, would  
11 be how - even within the city - how common are their  
12 interests within Fairfield? IS that a very different  
13 area? I don't know the area so somebody else is going to  
14 have to answer.

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I'm -- the most -- the  
16 finger there, the far left side is my recollection is that  
17 is largely undeveloped, it's much more open. The  
18 population is just to the west of the current proposal  
19 line.

20 MS. CLARK: Right, I think that in general this  
21 area that's more of the bock (phonetic) all together is  
22 more densely populated.

23 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So could we see the population  
24 of that area west of the boundary but short of those two  
25 little connector lines there? Yeah, just a different

1 split.

2 MS. CLARK: That's 31,000. 31,500.

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Does that make sense,  
4 Commissioner Forbes?

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

6 MS. CLARK: Do direction is to include, uh, this  
7 western area of Fairfield, but maybe not going into the  
8 tail part -- the very far west?

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

10 MS. CLARK: And then -- okay. That --

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And then I guess you could  
12 try to pick it up in Brentwood --

13 MS. CLARK: Try picking it up in Brentwood, and  
14 also, uh --

15 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: You'd have to get  
16 Martinez, is that correct?

17 MS. CLARK: Right.

18 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So what's the population  
19 of Martinez?

20 MS. CLARK: Martinez is 35,000.

21 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: It's a three-way switch,  
22 right? This is a --

23 MS. CLARK: Right.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah.

25 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So, you'd put Martinez

1 into this -- the Napa one, and then -- and how many did  
2 you way was in -- 30-what was in the, uh Fairfield?

3 MS. CLARK: 31,000. So we could --

4 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Could you keep Martinez  
5 whole? Though you wouldn't have to split them if you have  
6 a 4,000 person difference.

7 MS. CLARK: Yes, the shape might not be -- might  
8 not be so beautiful, because this is the shape of  
9 Martinez, if we want to try to incorporate Vine Hill and  
10 this smaller census place, and tracks just to sort of  
11 round it out right here, then the population will  
12 increase.

13 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And then on the other end  
14 it's a three-way switch. I like the idea of incorporating  
15 more Fairfield, but I see, you'd have to have some clean-  
16 up with Martinez, and then what would happen with 35,000  
17 people -- how much is it out of Brentwood that you're  
18 trying to make whole?

19 MS. CLARK: One moment -- one moment please. Um,  
20 this highlighted area is approximately 60,000.

21 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And what's the total  
22 population of Brentwood? So I know what -- what you're  
23 trying to --

24 MS. CLARK: 51,000?

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: 51,481.

1 MS. CLARK: Oh -- I'm sorry. There are multiple  
2 things highlighted. This is about 30,000.

3 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So, there's 20,000 that  
4 you'd be trying to incorporate, so you could pull in all  
5 of Brentwood --

6 MS. CLARK: And then split Antioch.

7 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- and then split Antioch.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The only concern that  
9 I have is looking at -- going back to the area near  
10 Martinez -- is this resulting district of PTANT is just  
11 going to have this narrow stretch near Pleasant Hill  
12 that's going to put the two areas together.

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And I think I'm also  
14 concerned -- Martinez is the County Seat for Contra Costa  
15 County, and so, uh -- that's what you know when you live  
16 in the Bay. And so it's -- I don't know, we've had  
17 comments before like that. I don't know how much -- but  
18 it does concern me that we'd be taking it out of a Contra  
19 Costa County and putting it with another County when it's  
20 the County Seat, the city.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Uh, Commissioner  
22 Barabba.

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It sounds like the  
24 Fairfield split is less painful to the rest of the society  
25 than anything else.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, one thing I'd like to  
3 ask -- is all of Travis Air Force Base in the same  
4 district? That's just south of Fairfield. It's the  
5 biggest Air Force Base on the west coast. I wouldn't want  
6 it to be in two districts.

7 MS. CLARK: Did you say it's south of Fairfield?

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, it's south of  
9 Fairfield, but it's over -- it's more to the east. You  
10 see where the number 12 is there at the very top of the  
11 map? I think it's in that -- you see the dark -- the  
12 shaded area south of Fairfield? I think that's probably  
13 it --

14 MS. CLARK: I think this is Suisun City --

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh Suisun city?

16 MS. CLARK: Yeah.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay, well, I know that the  
18 Air Force Base is just south of Fairfield --

19 MS. CLARK: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- and I'm not -- I don't  
21 know the exact boundary, but it should be in the same  
22 district.

23 MS. CLARK: Okay. Um, we will look into it and if  
24 it's not in the same district, then we will incorporate  
25 it. Oh, here I -- okay, I see.

1           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY:  Would the  
2 Commission feel comfortable if we requested for  
3 Commissioner Forbes to work with Q2 on -- just knowing we  
4 have to split Fairfield, looking at what's the most  
5 responsible split we can make?

6           COMMISSIONER FORBES:  Sure.

7           COMMISSIONER DAI:  Can we take one more look at  
8 Napa -- sorry -- at Marin and Sonoma and just look at  
9 which cities are in which districts?

10          MS. CLARK:  The way to, uh, the way to  
11 resolve this area would be to split the city of Santa  
12 Rosa.

13          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:  And when you say resolve,  
14 what would -- if -- what would it resolve?  How would it  
15 look if you split Santa Rosa?

16          MS. CLARK:  Uh, this area is approximately 70,000  
17 people, so if the Commission wanted more of a clean line  
18 right here, then the -- then 70,000 people from Santa Rosa  
19 could go into the coastal -- the North Coast District, and  
20 then this Rohnert Park-Sonoma-Kenwood area could either go  
21 into Marin or we could switch some  
22 population here.  I believe that these areas are  
23 approximately, uh, the same population.

24          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:  I guess for me, I feel  
25 like we have this -- you go from Santa Rosa to Rohnert

1 Park to Petaluma, you're in three different Assembly  
2 Districts. And I don't -- what would that take, maybe 20  
3 minutes, 30 minutes maybe? I feel like -- I understand  
4 how this happened was trying to prevent city splits, but  
5 I'm curious to see if we do split Santa Rosa if it makes  
6 it a little bit less painful for all the other people that  
7 are in different districts.

8           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I share some of the  
9 same concerns knowing that corridor, having travelled it  
10 many times and knowing, particularly on the north end, the  
11 connections between Rohnert Park and Santa Rosa. I really  
12 feel like, in many ways, the same place. At the same time  
13 I think there's a strong argument to be made about the  
14 configuration of the Marin District because essentially we  
15 're keeping Santa Rosa together, and we're intentionally  
16 linking, uh, the urbanized areas of Marin down on the  
17 southern end, to Santa Rosa, which is the city of  
18 significant -- that's of significant size in this area.  
19 So, -- and with the split we're considering -- and you're  
20 saying it would be about 70,000 on one side -- I mean we'd  
21 roughly be splitting the city 50/50 or 60/40, which then  
22 doesn't allow the city to have a significant share within  
23 its district if it becomes -- in either district if it's  
24 split. So I feel like we're choosing the lesser of evils,  
25 but I am, you know, fairly comfortable with this

1 visualization, unless others dissent.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Uh, Commissioner  
3 Ancheta and then Commissioner Forbes.

4 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah there's also a  
5 compactness issue given our Constitutional definition.  
6 And I can't quite tell from the map what the distances  
7 are, but, I mean, there might be an argument that you're  
8 by-passing Rohnert Park to go to a more distant city,  
9 which is our definition of compactness. But, I can't  
10 quite tell --

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Commissioner Ancheta, on the  
12 bottom right is a scale. ON the bottom right of the map  
13 you can --

14 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, it's just whether  
15 -- if you go from Bloomfield to Sebastopol? Is that  
16 closer than going from Bloomfield to Rohnert Park?

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Uh-huh.

18 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: Those reason -- by  
19 definition you've bypassed a closer one, Rohnert Park, to  
20 go to a more distant one, Sebastopol.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Could you highlight the  
23 freeways a little bit more, just so that -- because  
24 there's that 101 Corridor.

25 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I do think there's an

1 issue -- I think again this goes back to the Santa Rosa --  
2 is -- Santa Rosa's the seat of Sonoma, as well, is it not?  
3 And so, right now it's not even with the majority of its  
4 own county at all. Uh, and I understand Commissioner  
5 Galambos Malloy's point about if you split it then you  
6 could dilute some of its balance. But at the same time  
7 it's also divested from any part of the rest of its county  
8 for the most part, so I wonder if it wouldn't be better to  
9 have part of it in with its county, rather than none at  
10 all.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Let me, uh, see if this  
13 might work. What happens if you put Petaluma in with  
14 Marin? Then we put -- I'll call it the Glen Ellen-Sonoma  
15 part back into Napa, to make up for losing Petaluma, and  
16 then splitting off as much of Santa Rosa as you need to  
17 make up for having added Petaluma. I think that would  
18 make everything more compact, if nothing else. And it  
19 would address the issue of Santa Rosa being with most of  
20 its county.

21 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So reduce the split in  
22 Santa Rosa by putting Petaluma in with Marin?

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Exactly, move Petaluma into  
24 Marin, the Rohnert Park-Glen Ellen into Napa to make up  
25 for it, and split off as much of Santa Rosa as you need to

1 into the Sonoma District to make up for losing Rohnert  
2 Park-Glen Ellen.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So you would put Rohnert  
4 Park in with Napa.

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Correct.

6 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Maybe Ms. Clark could --  
7 if we were to follow that logic what would -- can you  
8 anticipate what would happen if we put Petaluma in with  
9 Marin, and how much of that southern part of the Sonoma  
10 District would have to go into Napa?

11 MS. CLARK: I believe that, uh, these two areas  
12 are somewhat similar in population, so I believe that this  
13 area could be included with Marin and then swap out this  
14 area for Napa. And Santa Rosa could potentially remain  
15 whole.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, no, it would have to  
17 backfill into Sonoma.

18 MS. CLARK: Oh, backfill -- moving that back into  
19 Sonoma?

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: However much you had to.

21 MS. CLARK: I -- okay -- so was the question about  
22 if this went into Marin and this went into Napa?

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Correct.

24 MS. CLARK: And --

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Then how much of Santa Rosa

1 would you have to split off into Sonoma to make it all  
2 balance out?

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Why would you have to split  
4 any?

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Because it's a three-way  
6 split --

7 MS. CLARK: Oh, I see, I see. Approximately  
8 60,000.

9 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So you'd have 60,000 of  
10 Santa Rosa, and then 100,000 would be with Marin, and  
11 60,000 would be with Sonoma?

12 MS. CLARK: Approximately, yes.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: And that - that would actually  
14 keep -- we did have some testimony about Petaluma, Cotati  
15 and Sebastopol, I think, being  
16 together. They would be together in Marin, as I  
17 understand that.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It would connect the  
19 wine -- some of the wine grape parts of Sonoma --

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- and it also would get rid  
22 of the finger that comes south of Santa Rosa. I mean,  
23 that would make them more compact districts, I think.

24 MS. CLARK: This is the city boundary of Santa  
25 Rosa.

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right, I understand that.

2 MS. CLARK: Uh, Cotati is included in Sonoma right  
3 now. It's right here, adjacent to Rohnert Park, it's  
4 approximately seven or eight thousand people. If the  
5 direction was to include that with Petaluma, then the  
6 population, again, would switch a little bit. But I could  
7 try and keep Santa Rosa in two districts.

8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Some of this conversation  
9 started with the concern about Santa Rosa not being in its  
10 own county. So, I am trying to understand how 60,000  
11 people makes a huge difference.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I'm  
13 struggling with that too, because then if you think about  
14 the share that's left over from the Marin District,  
15 I mean they were a minority in the District before, but  
16 now they're down to 100,000.

17 And even if you include Bloomfield-Sebastopol, I  
18 mean we're not looking at a  
19 lot of, uh, Sonoma-based population that's actually in the  
20 Marin District. I feel like they would have more  
21 effective political representation if there was -- there  
22 were more Sonomans in the District.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think with Santa Rosa, if  
24 you -- because of its total population, if you pulled  
25 Santa Rosa out of Marin, you'd have to find 170,000

1 people, and that -- now you're back over in American  
2 Canyon and Vallejo again.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
4 DiGuilio.

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I'm wondering if, in order  
6 to get more of Santa Rosa in its home district of Sonoma,  
7 if -- and it seems like another option is you could get  
8 Petaluma, Rohnert -- or not Rohnert Park -- you could get  
9 Petaluma and all -- or actually, yeah -- Petaluma, Rohnert  
10 Park and that bottom part of the Sonoma pink District and  
11 put it into Marin. By doing that you could have probably  
12 the majority of Santa Rosa into the Sonoma -- your  
13 tradeoff is either -- for population you either have to  
14 take the City of Santa Rosa and put it in Marin, or you  
15 have to take the southern part of the Sonoma County and  
16 all those communities and put it in with Marin. So, it's  
17 a matter of who we want to have with Marin. If we want to  
18 have all the smaller communities in with Marin, and then  
19 you could have a big bulk of Santa Rosa with Sonoma, or  
20 you take all of Santa Rosa and put it with Marin and let  
21 the other -- well, you still have some of the smaller  
22 communities going in with three different counties, right?

23 The smaller ones all have -- bear more of a brunt  
24 because Petaluma's with Napa, Rohnert with Sonoma, and  
25 then the other ones arte with Marin, so, that's the

1 tradeoff I suppose.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes,  
3 and then we should probably move on.

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Let me try this. What  
5 happens if everything to the south of Santa Rosa --  
6 Rohnert Park, Glen Ellen, Penngrove, Petaluma -- all of  
7 that is put in with Marin? And Santa Rosa comes out. And  
8 so Santa Rosa is at least in the Sonoma District.

9 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Well, it might not get all  
10 of it, but it could get the bulk of it --

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It might not get all of it,  
12 but you could get the bulk of Santa Rosa in the Sonoma  
13 District.

14 MS. CLARK: Can you repeat that?

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay. Everything to the  
16 south of Santa Rosa -- okay -- thank you, is it on?

17 Thank you Commissioner Ontai. This whole area  
18 here, and this down here, is joined into Marin, and then  
19 this is -- this comes out. And I -- what will happen --  
20 oh, I see the problem is that this population is not  
21 replaced. That didn't work, sorry. Forget it.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I mean, I think that that's  
23 a good -- that's not a bad -- I mean to me that has more  
24 integrity in some way than having that Petaluma,  
25 Penngrove, Rohnert Park, Santa Rosa -- which is really one

1 corridor -- currently in three different -- it just, when  
2 you know that area, it just -- it doesn't make sense to  
3 have them in three different assembly districts. It's  
4 really one, uh, --

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Corridor --

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- it's a corridor that  
7 people consider a large, sort of -- Rohnert Park, a lot of  
8 people think of as Santa Rosa Metro, you know, and then  
9 even when you go below it's kind of like that.

10 So, I'm kind of curious about even if we don't  
11 have enough, whether doing that -- what you just described  
12 Commissioner Forbes -- that whole southern area of Rohnert  
13 Park, Glen Ellen, Sonoma, Petaluma, you know, putting it  
14 together with Marin and then putting the majority of Santa  
15 Rosa with Sonoma.

16 I mean, it would create a city split, but in some  
17 ways, for the other little cities, they would be in an  
18 area that is much more true to how they view themselves.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And then you could  
20 probably pull in some more population from Fairfield into  
21 the Napa.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's true.

23 MS. CLARK: And then more population from Antioch?  
24 And then more population from the Martinez area, as well?

25 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I think with the -- just

1 to go back very quickly with the Antioch -- instead of, if  
2 I remember correctly, the -- instead of splitting Antioch,  
3 could you pull in more from Byron, Discovery? I don't --  
4 instead of splitting Antioch you could go down -- there's  
5 no that much at Discovery Bay, and you could kind of pull  
6 that line back up again, instead of splitting Antioch, go  
7 down and get Byron, and Discovery Bay.

8 MS. CLARK: Right. I don't believe that there is  
9 enough population here. I think that what would happen is  
10 that all of this would be in the blue district, and then  
11 part of Solano County up here, perhaps even part of  
12 Fairfield.

13 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So you'd have to split it  
14 twice?

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: I wanted to point out that one  
16 of the suggestions that we got from the -- I think it was  
17 the League of Conservation Voters -- actually one of their  
18 suggestions looks very similar to what we currently have,  
19 which is matching all of Santa Rosa with Marin and the  
20 corridor there. They gave an option two, which is what  
21 we're describing now, which is leaving Santa Rosa in the  
22 Sonoma area and taking the, you know, basically the rest  
23 of the area south of Santa Rosa and Sonoma. So, if anyone  
24 has theirs handy this is --

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Of course we don't know what

1 they do in the surrounding areas --

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We don't know what it does  
3 to --

4 MS. CLARK: The other option is for Santa Rosa to  
5 go with Napa. And for, I mean -- the first draft map, or  
6 something more similar to the first draft.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That was that  
8 finger.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm more  
10 comfortable with Santa Rosa going with Marin or Sonoma  
11 than I am with it going with Napa. I think what -- I was  
12 also looking at the same testimony at Commissioner Dai,  
13 from the League of Conservation Voters, with their two  
14 options, and in reviewing some of the email comments  
15 and the written comments that we've gotten, a number of  
16 folks have actually acknowledged the need for either Santa  
17 Rosa to be split or the rest of Sonoma County to go with  
18 Marin. So I think they've come to the same conclusions  
19 that we have.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: The difference is in their  
21 option one they take -- they leave Petaluma and Penngrove  
22 in with the rest of Sonoma.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: It appears they  
24 leave that corridor -- that 101 Corridor intact.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's right, that's right.

1           COMMISSIONER DAI:  And so if we -- that's  
2 approximately 60,000 people that we would have to add into  
3 the Napa District.

4           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  So, did they split  
5 Santa Rosa?

6           COMMISSIONER BLANCO:  No.

7           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:  I'm curious to have Ms.  
8 Clark look at that map and tell her -- tell us what the  
9 implications if we were to do something like that might  
10 be, because I think, again, we're looking at the ripple  
11 effects.  Because if this is an option I'd like to know  
12 what the consequences in terms of our choices are.  
13 Potential choices.

14           COMMISSIONER DAI:  Because actually I like the  
15 argument that, yes Santa Rosa is not, you know, in with  
16 the rest of the County, but it is a large urban area  
17 that's really different from the rest of the County, in  
18 the same way we had split off Santa Barbara from Santa  
19 Barbara County before.  So I think putting it with Marin  
20 actually does make sense.  What I'm having a problem with  
21 is the 101 Corridor.

22           MS. CLARK:  Uh, without looking at this Napa --  
23 the Napa District right now, then with this visualization  
24 that I can describe for everybody, uh, does have Marin and  
25 then this coming up to take Santa Rosa, and then all of

1 this area is with the North Coast. That would kick Del  
2 Norte, Trinity, and part of Humboldt out of this Coastal  
3 District.

4 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So, can you switch --  
5 could you -- is there an option if we were to keep Santa  
6 Rosa with Marin to have Petaluma -- that -- the Corridor  
7 there -- Petaluma and, what's between Petaluma and Rohnert  
8 Park?

9 MS. CLARK: Petaluma, Penngrove, Cotati, Rohnert  
10 Park.

11 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, all those in one  
12 district, and then to switch off population with -- I  
13 guess it would be Sonoma -- the eastern part of the Sonoma  
14 District -- into Napa. What would -- is there any way to  
15 keep the integrity of that Corridor, other than Santa  
16 Rosa?

17 (Anonymous off-microphone comment)

18 MS. CLARK: No. What's -- right, splitting.  
19 Santa Rosa would be -- and then picking up population  
20 somewhere else for this Napa Lake District?

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, if we went, uh, east?

22 COMMISSION CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If you just  
23 went right up here and picked all that up and then just  
24 cut right across Santa Rosa, I bet you'd come pretty close  
25 to having the same. And then that district would be

1 really compact.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And where are you making up the  
3 Napa population?

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Where's the Napa line?

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: The lavender there.

6 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: This -- the lavender -- the  
7 line?

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, you've taken all that  
9 out. You've taken Petaluma out and Penngrove, which are  
10 60,000 people, you've got to make them up someplace.

11 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Then we talk about the --

12 MS. CLARK: There -- so -- what that would look  
13 like if all of this was taken out of the Napa Lake  
14 district, I believe that that, again is approximately  
15 60,000 people. Then Fairfield would -- the majority of  
16 Fairfield would turn purple -- would come into this Napa  
17 Lake District, and then the issue would be here, with this  
18 PTANT District. Because that would also need to make up  
19 approximately 60,000 people, which would -- I mean,  
20 definitely all of this, probably coming up, and meeting  
21 some of that population from Fairfield or moving east and  
22 splitting Stockton. Or moving North and picking up Lodi.  
23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other  
24 suggestions? We have spent a considerable amount of time  
25 on this. And I know it's a struggle --

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Or leaving it as it is.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I guess I go back to my  
4 original thing, which was to trade out Petaluma and  
5 Penngrove into Marin, and the Kenwood-Sonoma area into  
6 Napa, as a population trade. It simply -- that functions  
7 to get rid of the finger and get most of the 101 in the  
8 same district.

9 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And to split Santa Rosa,  
10 then, too.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And to split Santa Rosa.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would say that also,  
13 Kenwood is pretty properly within Napa, in some ways, you  
14 know, in terms of some of the -- Glen Ellen in terms of  
15 all the wine industries, so that might -- that would -- I  
16 like that idea, that we pick up that majority of that  
17 corridor, keep it together, don't have it in three  
18 different parts, and actually unite some of the wine, you  
19 know, area that has really been cross-county. So --

20 MS. CLARK: Can I repeat the direction?

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, please.

22 MS. CLARK: If that is direction?

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It sounds as if it  
24 is. Finally it sounds like it is, yes.

25 MS. CLARK: Okay, to move this Penngrove-Petaluma

1 area into the Marin district, to move Sonoma, Rohnert  
2 Park, Cotati, Glen Ellen in to Napa?

3 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Not Cotati, though, right?  
4 We're trying to keep the Corridor intact, so Cotati --

5 MS. CLARK: To move Petaluma, Penngrove, and  
6 Cotati into Marin?

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes.

8 MS. CLARK: To move Rohnert Park, Sonoma, Glen  
9 Ellen, and part of Santa Rosa into Napa. And to keep  
10 Kenwood with the majority of Santa Rosa and move that into  
11 the North Coast District.

12 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Would Rohnert Park -- I  
13 thought I'd heard Rohnert Park go into Napa.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And I thought I heard  
15 Kenwood with Napa.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes,  
17 can you switch your --

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, Kenwood would go into  
19 Napa and we pick up --

20 MS. MACDONALD: That's not going to get a beauty  
21 award.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, it -- so Napa is going  
23 to -- the Napa District is going to look something like  
24 this down here. The Marin District is going to look  
25 something like this. And then the Sonoma District is

1 going to be up here, something like that.

2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So, actually it'll look  
3 better because it'll be --

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It'll be tighter. I mean,  
5 you will have gotten rid of this area as a finger, and  
6 this area as a finger. They will -- you will have picked  
7 up the wine -- some of the wine country will have joined  
8 to Napa. Santa Rosa will be largely in the Sonoma  
9 District.

10 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And the integrity of the  
11 Corridor will be within --

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Jaime, do you need  
13 anything else? Because we do need to move forward.

14 MS. CLARK: So, Kenwood is going with Napa?

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

16 MS. CLARK: So the cut is going to have to be  
17 something like taking this part of Santa Rosa?

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah.

19 MS. CLARK: Okay, I don't need any more direction.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

21 MS. CLARK: Thank you. Okay. Did we -- okay, so  
22 moving on to this ECC -- I think used to stand for East  
23 Contra Costa --

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Now it's North Contra Costa  
25 --

1 MS. CLARK: Right, kind of.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- far north.

3 MS. CLARK: Some. A little bit of Contra  
4 Costa.

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It's the Contra Costa across  
6 the river.

7 MS. CLARK: So, we saw this population coming out  
8 of Contra Costa County -- this Oakley, Knights and  
9 Discovery Bay, Byron area, this Delta area of Sacramento  
10 County, Solano County -- again the Fairfield split,  
11 approximately 60 or 70,000 on this side -- all of Yolo  
12 County with the exception of West Sacramento, which is  
13 with Sacramento. And for population I had to move into  
14 either Sutter or Colusa County, it would have been  
15 splitting either county. I chose to move into Sutter  
16 County because it's larger than Colusa.

17 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Could I ask a question in  
18 terms of Marysville and Yuba City --

19 MS. CLARK: They're together.

20 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: They are together.

21 MS. CLARK: Yes.

22 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Because that we heard a  
23 lot -- I know what this -- this district is -- you start  
24 way up in Sutter and you go all the way down into the  
25 deltas of Contra Costa, uh, it's not my favorite but I

1 think the one thing is it's a lot of smaller -- with the  
2 exception of Davis -- it's a lot of smaller areas, you  
3 know, even part of Fairfield. So I feel like there's not  
4 maybe, one dominating community. It's a very large, very  
5 different -- you go from deltas into agriculture into, you  
6 know, Central Valley -- well it's different, but at least  
7 there's not somebody that's dominating that district.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: A couple of comments. I  
10 think keeping the delta together is a very good thing. In  
11 fact, Vacaville is almost 100,000 people, significantly  
12 larger than Davis. That doesn't show up on our map, but  
13 that is true, where the 80 and the 505 come together right  
14 there. What is the population in the, I'll call it the  
15 Sutter Finger? Because my inclination would be to trade  
16 Colusa for Sutter.

17 MS. CLARK: It's approximately 12,000.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay --

19 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And how far up into Colusa  
20 would you have to go to get that same population?

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would rather keep Sutter  
22 County complete with Yuba County, and --

23 MS. CLARK: And then to split Colusa 50/50?

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, more or less.

25 MS. CLARK: Okay.

1           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I mean, I'd do the  
2 best you can to keep Colusa whole, but that, you know, you  
3 may be shaving as we fiddle with Fairfield. You might be  
4 able to end up keeping Colusa whole, and then you'd have  
5 Sutter and Yuba whole as well and you'd shorten up -- well  
6 you wouldn't shorten the districts, because now you pick  
7 up Colusa -- but you -- at least all of the Five.

8           MS. CLARK: With the Fairfield switch I think that  
9 we're just rotating -- was the direction for Fairfield to  
10 -- no. Keep Fairfield split and work with Commissioner  
11 Forbes. Okay, I understand.

12           COMMISSIONER FORBES: And so we're looking at a  
13 need to pick up about 9,000 people, and whether we can do  
14 that around the edges I don't know, but if we did we could  
15 keep Sutter whole and keep Colusa whole.

16           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao.

17           COMMISSIONER YAO: We were considering population  
18 size in terms of splitting, and here we are -- Sutter is  
19 five times bigger than Colusa, and we're splitting Colusa  
20 in lieu of Sutter, so there's a little bit of  
21 inconsistency there.

22           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I have a feeling the big  
23 urban part -- are we splitting much of the urban part of  
24 Sutter? I think the urban part is left with Yuba. I  
25 think what we're splitting in Sutter is a very

1 small --

2 MS. CLARK: Yuba City is 65,000 of 95,000.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: You're essentially splitting

4 --

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, what I'm suggesting, it's  
6 -- to be consistent with our thought about splitting  
7 bigger cities, bigger counties, as compared to splitting  
8 smaller cities and smaller counties, that given the choice  
9 that Sutter probably should be considered first, prior to  
10 Colusa.

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'd -- I'd --

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco.

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'd like to just take a step  
14 back and look at this, I mean we talked about oh we went  
15 to Sutter to get population and now we're talking about  
16 splitting counties. But for the people that are familiar  
17 with this area -- I'm not -- I think I'd like to go --  
18 talk a little bit about criteria number four, about  
19 communities of interest and what makes sense for being  
20 together. From that perspective in this region, which  
21 I, like I said I am not familiar, rather than just saying  
22 Oh I'm going to grab population or I'm going to not split  
23 this. I'd really like to have some conversation about  
24 what belongs with how in this north part of this Assembly  
25 District.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

2           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, I think,  
3 Commissioner Forbes, if you could speak to this. I mean,  
4 I am assuming you suggested Colusa because of the  
5 agricultural areas there are more similar to the northern  
6 part of Yolo County.

7           COMMISSIONER FORBES: The southern -- the Sutter  
8 Finger and the Colusa County are essentially the same, and  
9 they're the same as Yolo County. I mean, they're -- so --

10          COMMISSIONER DAI: So, it's a choice.

11          COMMISSIONER FORBES: So it's a choice and because  
12 I think there's some advantage in keeping Colusa with Yolo  
13 whole, if we could do that, and keeping Sutter whole, if  
14 we can do that, and keeping the Five as the main corridor.  
15 I mean, the Sutter Finger doesn't have any north/south  
16 connections to the Yolo-Solano district. Whereas Colusa  
17 does because of the Five.

18          COMMISSIONER DAI: Because of the 5.

19 Okay.

20          COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right. In terms of what  
21 they are, though, they're all a lot of rice, a lot of  
22 almonds, and all open ag land with a bunch of small towns,  
23 I mean towns of the 300, 500 variety.

24          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead.

25          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And I think that's true,

1 as we recall from being up in that area, it's the 99  
2 Corridor that's on -- well it's not 99 anymore, it's --  
3 what is it, the 90 -- what is it up there? Is it 99?

4 It switched though, somewhere, but -- that  
5 corridor is a little bit different than the Corridor of  
6 the Five, only because the transportation links in that  
7 way. I think if you keep going to Colusa a little bit  
8 more you have more of the integrity of the west side of  
9 the valley, versus the east side of the valley.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, do you have any  
11 general direction so we can move on? Commissioner Yao,  
12 did you have --?

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: Commissioner Forbes, does it  
14 make sense to include all of Colusa County and just part  
15 of the Sutter County? That will basically accomplish what  
16 you want to do in splitting off less of the Sutter  
17 County.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I mean -- yeah I mean  
19 my objective would be to put all of Colusa and then trade  
20 out that Sutter Finger.

21 MS. CLARK: I --

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I mean it's going to be hard  
23 because we're about 9,000 people short.

24 MS. CLARK: Yeah. We're --

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Because you said the Sutter

1 Finger was about 12,000?

2 MS. CLARK: Yeah.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And Colusa is  
4 21,000?

5 MS. CLARK: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: So there's a 9,000  
7 person --

8 MS. CLARK: 9,000 people --

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- but I would take, uh -- I  
10 think what I would do is I would to the extent you could I  
11 would shorten, if nothing else, the Sutter Finger, and  
12 pick up a rather -- uh, that wouldn't do it.

13 MS. CLARK: Right, we would have to take out -- include  
14 all of Sutter with this Yuba District and then move into  
15 Colusa.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think that's a better, I  
17 think, a better district. Not perfect, but better.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything further for  
19 general directions? And Jaime, do you want to read that  
20 back?

21 MS. CLARK: To -- to keep Sutter County whole with  
22 the Yuba District, and instead to split Colusa.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything further, or  
24 anything -- Commissioner Dai.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Just for clarity -- the reason

1 we're doing that, even though Colusa is a smaller county  
2 was based on better transportation corridors, so that --

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right, there -- it's better  
4 transportation, keeping the west side of the valley  
5 together, which is somewhat different from the east side  
6 of the valley, and --

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Different crops?

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah.

9 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And I would argue also,  
10 that Colusa being hemmed in with the mountains on the west  
11 with Lake -- you know, again that corridor there where  
12 Sutter has -- it's a valley community but it does have  
13 links with the foothills still, a little bit more. We  
14 heard the Sutter, Yuba, and up into even parts of Nevada,  
15 so, I think some of those links, as well, between the  
16 valley and those mountains are greater than Colusa and  
17 over into Lake.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: One final comment.  
19 Commissioner Parvenu? Okay. Jaime do you need anything  
20 else on this?

21 MS. CLARK: No.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, let's move on.

23 MS. CLARK: Okay. So this is the Yuba District.  
24 All of Yuba -- again this area of Sutter with  
25 Marysville -- part of Butte, which had to be split for

1 population, Colusa, Glenn, and Tehama intact.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Were there any city  
3 splits along the Butte line?

4 MS. CLARK: No.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Any questions?  
6 Does anybody want to zoom in? Okay, we can move on.

7 MS. CLARK: Okay. This is the Mountain Cap  
8 District. All of Siskiyou, Modoc, Shasta -- Shasta --  
9 Lassen, Eastern Butte, all of Sierra County, all of Nevada  
10 County, and then the Tahoe Basin. The trade for have --  
11 if the Tahoe Basin was to be included with the foothills,  
12 is that, uh, we would have to take -- yeah, almost all of  
13 Placer County, and this -- the Lake Tahoe area is 60,000  
14 people, so we would take much of Placer County. Placer  
15 County would be split into three districts, and they could  
16 not be with El Dorado County.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
18 DiGuilio.

19 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I'm just --

20 MS. CLARK: And I could get Lake Tahoe in with the  
21 foothills for Senate and Congressional.

22 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Okay, that was my  
23 question, because I do think there was -- we heard a lot  
24 about reuniting Lake Tahoe with its home counties, and if  
25 it can be done in the Senate and the Congressional, then

1 that was my next question, so -- okay.

2 MS. CLARK: So, again, the tradeoff here would be  
3 for Placer County to be in three districts. Right now  
4 it's in two, and they would not be able to be with El  
5 Dorado.

6 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And I guess I'm still --  
7 maybe this is a conversation for all of us -- but I still,  
8 I think, find it problematic to put Lake Tahoe with a  
9 district that runs all the way up to Modoc, as opposed to  
10 being in a district with its -- right next to its home  
11 counties.

12 I know that the implication for Placer would be  
13 that it might not be able to be with Lake Tahoe, but El  
14 Dorado could, and Lake Tahoe wouldn't be sent northward.  
15 So I understand the tradeoff of Placer having to, maybe,  
16 come out of the Foothill District, but maybe for the  
17 integrity of Lake Tahoe and the other County of El Dorado,  
18 it might be better to drop them back down into the  
19 Foothill District instead of the Mountain Cap.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I've looked at this  
21 when we first got these things this morning -- at five-  
22 thirty I looked at them -- that the lake Tahoe Finger is a  
23 problem in the sense that the -- an awful lot of the  
24 economy of Placerville and El Dorado are tourism going up  
25 to 50 and up 88 into Lake Tahoe. And to separate it is

1 just hard -- I was unable to come up with any constructive  
2 solutions --

3 MS. CLARK: Yeah.

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- but it is a problem, and  
5 I can't figure out where we pick up the 60,000 population.

6 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: But you -- but Ms. Clark  
7 is saying you could do it if you took out --

8 MS. CLARK: It would be --

9 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- you put Placer into --  
10 you swapped out most of Placer County.

11 MS. CLARK: So -- geographically most of Placer  
12 County. So this area, which is the Tahoe Basin would go  
13 into this Foothill District -- this is the blue district  
14 down here -- and then Central Placer would, right, would  
15 come up into this green area -- oh I misspoke earlier --  
16 currently Placer County is in three because of this west,  
17 uh, west Placer County -- uh --

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: So the Mountain --

19 MS. CLARK: -- the city -- so the City of  
20 Auburn would be with the Mountain Cap District, and  
21 actually potentially, part of El Dorado, also would go  
22 north.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think if you could stay  
24 north of the 50 and make the trade, it would be worth  
25 doing.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I was going to ask  
3 Commissioner Forbes -- since Place is already split in  
4 three, would it not be better to put Tahoe with the rest  
5 of El Dorado and the other, kind of foothills areas,  
6 rather than the current configuration?

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, that's what I'm saying  
8 -- you put Lake Tahoe with El Dorado and you  
9 come -- you move the line between the green and the blue  
10 south, to just north of the 50, so the 50 Corridor was  
11 connected to Lake Tahoe. I don't know if that any --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think Jaime is saying we  
13 can't keep --

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We don't have enough  
15 population --

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: -- yeah, it would have to sweep  
17 down and take part of the 50 Corridor to go north, but do  
18 you think that's still a better trade?

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It still would be better.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think it's better.

21 MS. CLARK: What if it moved into Amador County,  
22 as well? Because -- I believe that Tahoe would be south  
23 and then all of Placer would go into the Mountain Cap,  
24 and --

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, the problem if you go

1 into -- go that far south --

2 MS. CLARK: Then it's not with it counties again?

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- it's cut off again. I  
4 mean, it doesn't connect to Alpine.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, in that case it probably  
6 wouldn't make sense.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's why the --

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: But if it's with El Dorado, I  
9 actually think that's better.

10 MS. CLARK: It would be with a very small portion  
11 of this blue district, I believe, if any.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Because it would be a  
13 finger to the north --

14 MS. CLARK: Right.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- and because you  
16 have to pick up all of the population from the rest of  
17 Placer and all of El Dorado to make up the population  
18 that's in Tahoe. That's the problem.

19 Commissioner DiGuilio.

20 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I'm wondering on another  
21 tactic, not to totally disengage, but is there a way to  
22 put El Dorado and Placer in the Mountain Cap District, and  
23 drop -- and to take out population is to add some of the  
24 western part of that Mountain Cap District back into the  
25 Sacramento area?

1           Or a good portion of -- I don't know, maybe that's  
2 -- because El Dorado and Placer are already split three  
3 times each, right?

4           MS. CLARK: Yes. Could you repeat that direction,  
5 or --

6           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So --

7           MS. CLARK: -- or suggestion?

8           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- could you add El Dorado  
9 -- we've been trying to pull Lake Tahoe Basin into the  
10 Foothill District. But instead, is there a way to put  
11 Placer and El Dorado -- remainder of those section --  
12 middle part of those counties in with the Mountain Cap?

13          MS. CLARK: By pulling population from here?

14          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah -- the northern part  
15 of that somewhere, I guess. Pull it back into, I don't  
16 know --

17          MS. CLARK: If we were getting 60,000  
18 approximately from here, then we would need to get --  
19 share it in -- link in Penryn --

20          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You'd have to pull  
21 it from --

22          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: --and then either the  
23 Mountain Capp -- no you'd have to pull it down --

24          MS. CLARK: Right.

25          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- into Sacramento from

1 the Mountain Cap?

2 MS. CLARK: Yes. Because we would be losing  
3 population from the Mountain Cap, and so, then we would  
4 have to pull in and pick up Sheridan, Lincoln, Penryn,  
5 Loomis and split Lincoln or split Roseville.

6 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I guess I was thinking you  
7 could pull the Sacramento District -- pull that one --  
8 well -- I guess I was looking at the three-way change up  
9 there for the northern part -- right the, uh -- see where  
10 it says Nevada there? Yeah, drop that into Sacramento  
11 more --

12 MS. CLARK: Right --

13 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- and then to lose it  
14 that way -- move it around. Well, anyway, that was just  
15 my suggestion, I don't know if that's possible, but I  
16 thought we've been trying to pull Lake Tahoe into El  
17 Dorado and Placer, I didn't know if that remaining  
18 population would be better absorbed into the Mountain  
19 Cap.

20 MS. CLARK: If that was the case, then this Lake  
21 Tahoe area would again go into this Foothills area.  
22 Mountain Cap, right here, would move down into Sheridan,  
23 Lincoln, Penryn, split Loomis or Roseville, then this  
24 north end Sac District would have to move east to pick up  
25 this population and we put some of these foothills areas

1 in with the Lake Morris Suburban areas.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Let me just suggest something  
4 that might be a little blasphemous here. What if we did  
5 split Lake Tahoe? There's a North Lake and a South Lake,  
6 and then that would keep the North Lake with Place and the  
7 South Lake with El Dorado, which is --

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah. I was going to make  
9 that similar suggestion; I was going to work it down --  
10 what happens --

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So was I.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: If we come down to the  
13 County line, and you basically, you know, have this one  
14 come up, and somewhere in here you're going to have a  
15 split.

16 MS. CLARK: Maybe also at the County line?

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, uh-huh. And then  
18 Mountain Cap can pick up the Placer -- pick up this part  
19 of it, that makes up for losing this.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, because the South Lake  
21 Tahoe community is quite different from the North Lake  
22 Tahoe community and I don't think it's terrible that they  
23 would have two representatives.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And they're called South  
25 Lake Tahoe.

1 (Laughter)

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Would you like  
3 to -- does the Commission agree on that general direction,  
4 and then we can move forward?

5 MS. CLARK: The direction is to split Lake Tahoe.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Then how would we make up  
9 the population in the Mountain Cap?

10 MS. CLARK: By moving south into Placer County.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That sounded like a  
12 quiz question.

13 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Oh, sorry.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But I mean, I think there's  
15 some integrity -- I mean, we've run into this problem  
16 throughout the process where we understandably try to keep  
17 a natural resource area together that crosses counties and  
18 then drives us in surrounding areas to things that don't  
19 necessarily make sense, and I think here we have another  
20 one of those.

21 And we could, you know -- they would have  
22 representation in -- with two Assembly Districts, and it  
23 would maintain some integrity for the counties that abut  
24 the Lake, so I just want to make sure we explain as we go  
25 along that it's not just like -- that there is -- it

1 actually makes sense for some of the surrounding  
2 areas --

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: And the corridors are different  
4 --

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- this might be a better  
6 configuration.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: -- if you're going to North  
8 Lake, you're going to take 80, if you're going to South  
9 Lake you're going to take 50.

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Uh-huh.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And the intent would  
12 be to keep them whole at the Senate and Congressional --

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I mean  
14 actually --

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- which we're doing  
16 that in quite a number of areas --

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It's the Congressional one  
18 that's really the most important because of the  
19 relationship between California and Nevada, and the  
20 Lake -- it's the Federal level is more important than the  
21 State level.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything else for  
23 explanation? I think that sounds good. Okay.

24 MS. CLARK: Okay.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Clark, so you

1 need anything else?

2 MS. CLARK: No, thank you. If we move to this  
3 Sacramento area -- here that NSAC District has this West  
4 Placer County area, and then Citrus Heights is removed  
5 from this visualization, Fair Oaks, Orangevale, Folsom, El  
6 Dorado Hills and Cameron Park from El Dorado County, so  
7 this Folsom Lake -- Folsom Dam area is intact.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?  
9 Commissioner Dai.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Didn't we get a lot of  
11 testimony about swapping El Dorado Hills for Citrus  
12 Heights?

13 MS. CLARK: Yes, and that was so that Citrus  
14 Heights could be with Rancho Cordova.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, good.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Moving on.

17 MS. CLARK: Okay. This WSAC District is West  
18 Sacramento with Northern Sacramento, Antelope, Elverta,  
19 Rio Linda. We heard testimony in Sacramento about these  
20 neighborhoods in Sacramento being included with this  
21 district and in this visualization they are included. The  
22 census place of North Highlands is split.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Just a couple -- want to be  
24 sure -- is the Med Center in the -- in that district.

25 MS. CLARK: Uh-huh.

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And Sac State? I mean I  
2 want to be sure we don't have a situation where --

3 MS. CLARK: Right. Let me double check. Sorry,  
4 one second.

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's the American River.

6 MS. CLARK: The -- okay -- and the Med Center is  
7 where the 50 and the 99 intersect? Is that correct?

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Uh, 65th Street and 50, I'm  
9 not sure what the --

10 MS. CLARK: Okay, let's just --

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I tell you what --

12 MS. CLARK: -- ball it together.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- why don't I just -- I  
14 will work with Jaime and see what the actual streets are  
15 here so I just can be sure that, you know, we haven't made  
16 any unfortunate mistakes.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, then you can  
18 hook up with her on that.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

21 MS. CLARK: Do you want me to look right now?

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: No, you can just move  
23 on. Mr. Forbes will get you that information to make sure  
24 --

25 MS. CLARK: Okay, thank you.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  -- that we're not  
2 splitting some areas there.

3           MS. CLARK:  Thank you.

4           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  It looked like it was  
5 in the middle of that once.

6           MS. CLARK:  Right.  I think -- I think so.  I need  
7 to double check that detail.

8           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  Okay.  
9 So this ESAC District -- Citrus Heights, Rancho Cordova,  
10 Arden-Arcade, Vineyard -- what has changed in this  
11 district is this corridor of the 99 from Galt to Lodi is  
12 no longer included in this visualization.

13           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  Any questions?  It  
14 doesn't look like it.

15           MS. CLARK:  Okay.  This SACEG District -- Lodi to  
16 Galt, with Elk Grove and southern parts of the City of  
17 Sacramento -- Vineyard is not included anymore.  And that  
18 is -- that's that.

19           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  Commissioner  
20 DiGuilio.

21           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:  Yeah, I mean at least Lodi  
22 is not where it was before.  Uh, so there's no way to pull  
23 Lodi back down in, because you'd have -- I'm just looking  
24 at --

25           MS. CLARK:  Tracy and Lodi would both be

1 split.

2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah. Well, I'm just  
3 looking -- you have three different -- you have San  
4 Joaquin County in three different ADs?

5 MS. CLARK: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So there's no way to pick  
7 up some of South Sacramento -- that South Sacramento --  
8 the south-east part of Sacramento in exchange for  
9 population?

10 MS. CLARK: Do you mean this area?

11 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah. Because then you'd  
12 have to bring it all the way around, wouldn't you?

13 MS. CLARK: Pick that up into this district?

14 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah. Then you would --  
15 you -- still doesn't solve the problem of - you have to  
16 put them somewhere.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, we took -- we made a  
18 trade with Vineyard, I think the testimony before had put  
19 -- had been to keep Vineyard, Florin and Elk Grove  
20 together.

21 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah. Even if you put in  
22 Vineyard, you still don't have -- you still don't -- you  
23 have to do another -- you have to do a three-way swap.  
24 It's not a one-for-one.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And as I recall some

1 of the testimony, when the citizens in that area  
2 recognized what was happening, as much as they wanted Lodi  
3 to be with Stockton, they did go north.

4 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: This is definitely -- this  
5 is the second -- this would be the second for --

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: This was, I thought  
7 was like, the second option.

8 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, so I just wanted to  
9 check to see if there's --

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If there was any  
11 other option? I know you probably looked at this quite a  
12 bit.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: This may be a small thing,  
14 but it looks -- as I look at the lines -- Highway 99 -- it  
15 looks like it goes slightly on the other side of the line.  
16 See there --

17 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Where, Acampo? Down by  
18 Acampo?

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, see -- just see -- see  
20 how the line -- the freeway is on the other side? If you  
21 could put the line so the freeway is in the same district.  
22 I'm not sure why it crosses over there.

23 MS. CLARK: Yeah, these are just the way that the  
24 census blocks are drawn. And this is a zero population  
25 area, but I can move all the blocks over.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Parvenu.

2           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can we go back to the  
3 southern area -- well the far north area of SACEG, where  
4 it's forming part of South Sacramento. That's Fruitridge  
5 Park up there. That -- Fruitridge Park is in the northern  
6 brown area, is it? Because that's -- I heard testimony  
7 from the African-American, as well as the Asian  
8 community that -- they complained during our Sacramento  
9 sessions that they did not want to be included with  
10 Stockton, but because of the numbers, I don't see how --  
11 as well as Elk Grove, I don't see how we can get around  
12 that with the numbers --

13           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And they're actually not  
14 with Stockton, they're only with Lodi.

15           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, Lodi, yeah Lodi --

16           MS. CLARK: And Galt.

17           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And Galt's a part of  
18 Sacramento County.

19           MS. CLARK: Right, so that testimony was driven by  
20 this exchange. Previously, this Lodi to Galt area was  
21 with this Eastern Sacramento District, and then that  
22 APICOI (phonetic) was intact.

23           COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

24           MS. CLARK: And then switching Lodi to Galt in  
25 with Elk Grove, just the way that the population --

1           COMMISSIONER DAI:     That's what forced Vineyard  
2 out.

3           MS. CLARK:   Yes, and also keeping this  
4 neighborhood with the rest of the City of Sacramento.

5           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:   Commissioner  
6 DiGuilio.

7           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:   Can I just ask one last  
8 question?  The only -- my only concern with this in terms  
9 of Lodi, is there's a lot of area around it -- the city  
10 proper -- which is tied into the agriculture with  
11 Vineyard, so I just kind of would like to reserve the  
12 right, that maybe if we get some feedback, that there may  
13 be a need to adjust that southern boundary to incorporate  
14 more of the area that's around Lodi, maybe to the east, as  
15 opposed to the west.  I think there's more links with  
16 Lodi -- do we have a census tract that could go that  
17 way?

18          MS. CLARK:   Right.  This is only included because  
19 it's part of the City of Lodi, I believe it's a two person  
20 non-contiguous area.

21          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO:   Okay, we can look at that  
22 in the last round, but I think that might be an  
23 area --

24          COMMISSIONER FORBES:   Commissioner -- would  
25 you think that -- would you like them to expand the

1 light green area if you're just going to pick up ag  
2 land?

3 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, I'm not sure how  
4 much population is in those areas --

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I mean, that's like I can  
6 have the same comment about the Five there, where it goes  
7 in to the Stockton District.

8 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Because then really  
9 Lodi -- the areas you've included in that district run  
10 along the 99 -- Acampo, Lodi, and Galt. And so instead of  
11 picking up extra space to the west, which goes into the  
12 Five, I think it would be more logical to move your  
13 boundaries to the east.

14 But again, I think that's a clean-up that we can  
15 deal with. I don't want to create a lot of extra work,  
16 but I think there's some --

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Since we're not  
18 talking about any significant population change, can you  
19 just communicate with --

20 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, I will.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- her and take a  
22 look at it in more detail?

23 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Okay.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I ask, on the  
25 other layers of districts, is the COI -- the Elk Grove

1 Vineyard, Florin, are those areas together in the other  
2 districts, do you remember?

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Uh-huh, as I recall.

4 MS. CLARK: Uh, I think either in Congressional or  
5 Senate.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So they're together  
7 in one --

8 MS. CLARK: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- map?

10 MS. CLARK: I believe so.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I know we've been  
12 stuck on this one for a while -- I explored just in terms  
13 of numbers, if it was only a numbers game you could swap  
14 out Galt with Vineyard, but that's not possible because  
15 really, it destroys the integrity of the 99 Corridor,  
16 which I think is a significant consideration.

17 So, let's take a look at it in the other layers of  
18 maps to ensure that they have other opportunities to join  
19 this COI together.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Because it was  
21 somewhat of the public testimony that we heard today, and  
22 this does seem to be a balance between Galt and Lodi, and  
23 that testimony that we received, versus the other  
24 communities of interest we heard this morning with Elk  
25 Grove.

1           So, maybe a balance and we can look at it in the  
2 other layers later on today. Any other questions about  
3 this district, or we can just move on -- Commissioner Yao.

4           COMMISSIONER YAO: Just confirming the fact that  
5 Sacramento is split four ways, is that correct?

6           MS. CLARK: County? Yes.

7           COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay, thank you.

8           COMMISSIONER FORBES: And you'd expect the County  
9 to be split because it's 1,400,000, so --

10          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we can move on.

11          MS. CLARK: Okay. If we take a look please at the  
12 Stockton-based district -- Tracy, Lathrop, Stockton  
13 together. Manteca is not included in this visualization.  
14 The switch with Lathrop, which we heard a lot of COI  
15 testimony about, was for these communities -- Mirada and  
16 Garden Acres --

17          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
18 DiGuilio.

19          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I understand -- I  
20 understand, maybe we'll just wait to hear what people have  
21 to say -- some of those areas -- Linden, Mirada, all of  
22 those are kind of extensions of the City of Stockton, so  
23 you're switching off like smaller communities of Stockton  
24 for an entire City of Lathrop, so -- yeah I don't know  
25 where we balance that with the, you know, our knowledge of

1 an area versus we did have some people make some comments  
2 that wanted to have Lathrop in there, so I guess I'm a  
3 little torn as to whether -- because you're basically  
4 taking the major part of the City and there's a lot of  
5 that eastern part that's tied to it.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, maybe it would be  
7 helpful for me, if we're going to -- given that you have  
8 that observation -- to be reminded about the community of  
9 interest testimony that the Lathrop people had. In other  
10 words, it's not enough to say I want in; it's why, in  
11 order to be able to balance this.

12 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Well, and I think a lot of  
13 the testimony wasn't so much -- they just want to -- a  
14 number of the communities that you heard -- from Lodi,  
15 from Lathrop, from Tracy -- they wanted to be with  
16 Stockton because Stockton's, you know, kind of the -- it's  
17 the County Seat, it's the hub of that area. Uh, maybe --  
18 I don't know if Ms. MacDonald has the testimony linked  
19 with Lathrop -- I guess I feel at this point it's a little  
20 bit of the tradeoff of one large city being included  
21 instead of -- where I see Manteca having -- or, excuse me,  
22 Lathrop having some more links with the Stanislaus --  
23 eastern Stanislaus County, in terms of larger cities --  
24 the ones in Linden and Mirada and all those have fewer  
25 similarities with eastern Stanislaus County, and so I feel

1 like the connections are probably both there with  
2 Stockton, but I feel like the Mirada-Linden area to the  
3 east of Stockton is probably more linked with Stockton  
4 that with Lathrop. That's juts from being in that area.

5 MS. CLARK: Okay. Yeah, and I -- I just made --  
6 we were looking up the COI testimony in the database, and  
7 I just made this move also based on the oral testimony in  
8 Stockton about keeping this Tracy-Lathrop-Stockton  
9 Corridor intact. There was a lot of testimony about the  
10 Four and the 205.

11 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And I think, you know,  
12 this visual -- I'm glad Ms. Clark had done this, I can see  
13 what the price is to pay for having Lathrop in there.  
14 It's not that it's not a -- it's not that it's not  
15 linked -- that's a -- was that double negative? There are  
16 very many links between Lathrop and Stockton, but I feel  
17 at the expense of the other communities that are more  
18 linked with Stockton, it may not be a good trade, because  
19 you're really isolating a lot of other places at their  
20 expense.

21 So -- but I think if you do add in that Linden  
22 part, to keep from being isolated, the very top part of  
23 that Stanislaus County, you may have to pull that green  
24 line over a little bit. I don't know if that's possible,  
25 Jaime, what kind of population is in there.

1 MS. CLARK: Right here?

2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah.

3 MS. CLARK: This area is more densely populated  
4 than to the west of the 99 Corridor and the population of  
5 this is already over the ideal value by 1500 people, so we  
6 could move 1,000 people.

7 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I just feel like if we try  
8 and address the issue of the Lathrop-Eastern Stockton, I  
9 just didn't want to have a thin finger of brown going up  
10 to the northern part.

11 MS. CLARK: We -- it wouldn't pull very much area.  
12 While it's this way, we may have to potentially split one  
13 of these census places.

14 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I guess --

15 MS. CLARK: And we could not include Mirada or  
16 Garden Acres wholly.

17 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Could you include Mirada  
18 and Garden Acres into Stockton -- into the Stockton  
19 district --?

20 MS. CLARK: If we take -- if we --

21 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- and take Lathrop out?

22 MS. CLARK: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I guess I feel like even  
24 though we'd heard some individuals from Lathrop mention  
25 that they wanted to be with Stockton, I guess I don't know

1 if their COI would override the similarities between the  
2 eastern part of Stockton. I'd be more inclined to add --  
3 to make the exchange between Lathrop and the eastern parts  
4 of the City of Stockton.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I mean, I don't know the  
6 area, but I'm looking at this and it sort of reminds me of  
7 some other situations where you have these metro areas,  
8 you know, with the way cities really work now. And it  
9 does seem a little bit like we're - we don't -- it's not a  
10 Stockton City split, proper, but in some ways, hearing  
11 what you're saying about that the -- those cities are all  
12 now pretty much connected to Stockton, we've done a split  
13 there and I still am not remembering the COI testimony  
14 about why Lathrop would be -- I mean it's good to say I  
15 want to be here, but why wouldn't it -- what are the  
16 dissimilarities that it has -- is that a double  
17 negative? -- with the, you know, with the eastern county  
18 as opposed to being in the Stockton Assembly District. I  
19 really am not sure that I know why it's more properly in  
20 Stockton than in that STNSJ District. Maybe -- I'd be  
21 curious to know if any of the Commissioners remember why  
22 they felt so strongly they should not be in that further  
23 East County.

24 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Well, as I recall, some of  
25 the individuals were having some links between healthcare

1 issues and things that are linked with Stockton. And it's  
2 true; I think a lot of the County services are provided in  
3 Stockton -- the nature of the bud of the County Seat. But  
4 I just think the price that you pay for linking Lathrop --  
5 a major -- a more metropolitan area comes at the expense  
6 of the smaller areas around Stockton, which really are an  
7 extension of the City itself. They're not so much  
8 independent places.

9 MS. MACDONALD: Would you like to hear --

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
11 Forbes -- oh I'm sorry --

12 MS. MACDONALD: Oh, I'm very sorry. Uh, I was  
13 just wondering if you would like us to read off some of  
14 the COI testimony.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, please.

16 MS. MACDONALD: Okay, so we have, uh, -- "Stockton  
17 -- Tracy and Stockton are similar. Try to put Lathrop  
18 with Tracy and Stockton." So that's one. So we have a  
19 total of one, two, three, four, five, six, seven that  
20 mention Lathrop in the public input database, so this is  
21 the oral testimony. Then we have, "The Stockton AD should  
22 include Lathrop." -- again. Then we have -- do you want  
23 the reason for that?

24

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

1 MS. MACDONALD: "Stockton AD should include  
2 Lathrop; doesn't want to be on the fringes of Stanislaus  
3 County, which will dilute the Lathrup voice. There's lot  
4 in common with Stockton and Tracy but not in Manteca." --  
5 so this went a little further.

6 "Having a district that's not spread out is important.  
7 San Joaquin AD should have Stockton, Tracy and Lathrop  
8 together. The Corridor moves people and it's a product --  
9 end product to the Port of Stockton." -- and now it just  
10 scrolled up on me for some reason -- just one second.  
11 Sorry I have a fidgety mouse.

12 Okay, then we have, "Keep Lathrop as part of Stockton.  
13 Everything passes through Lathrop." -- one more time. And  
14 there was a comment about the Congressional District,  
15 which should have San Joaquin County with Stockton, Tracy,  
16 Manteca and Lathrop.

17 In AD it says, "Bring Lodi into the Stockton district,  
18 which works with law enforcement, hospitals, economic  
19 development agencies." And this one says, "Take Lathrop.  
20 Want Manteca out." And then the last one was, "Thank you  
21 for putting Tracy with Stockton. Lathrop should be in  
22 Western AD, too. Tracy should be with Stockton in the CD,  
23 not with Modesto. And Antioch should not be with  
24 Stockton."

25 So, that was in the oral public comments. And so

1 you have the --

2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And I guess that's --

3 MS. MACDONALD: Kyle is looking up the --

4 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, and I guess I feel  
5 like, again, there's a lot of people's desires, what they  
6 want to do, but I'm not sure if there's a -- there wasn't  
7 a compelling argument to out-weight maybe some of the  
8 other communities.

9 MS. KUBAS: And then in the written we have the  
10 reason for Lathrop being with Stockton is the housing  
11 growth and highways as the social interest, and then  
12 agriculture as an economic interest, and --

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, if we didn't put it in,  
14 wouldn't that affect Tracy, as well? How would you get  
15 around it and then still pick up Tracy?

16 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Well, Tracy's still  
17 connected from the western parts. I mean, you'd have to  
18 go through the -- it's still connected to Stockton  
19 geographically, but you'd have to go through the  
20 transportation lead, you'd have to go through the file.  
21 Through 80 -- Five, I mean.

22 MS. CLARK: That was how it looked in the previous  
23 visualization, was that basically this district came and  
24 picked up Lathrop, which is right here, and these areas,  
25 Mirada, Green Acres were included with Stockton.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward.

2           COMMISSIONER WARD: I was just going to ask if in  
3 that last visualization that you just pointed out, does  
4 that minimize any splits, from what we have now to justify  
5 that --

6           MS. CLARK: They are the same --

7           COMMISSIONER WARD: -- going back to that switch.

8           MS. CLARK: -- they're -- in Stockton there are no  
9 city splits, in either visualization.

10          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I don't know, I guess to  
11 move the process along I feel like I'd be willing to do  
12 the trade out of Lathrop for the smaller communities east  
13 of Stockton, unless anyone else has --

14          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai, and  
15 then Commissioner Raya.

16          COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I think, you know, we  
17 tried the other one before. Let's let this one go and see  
18 if we get more community input after this.

19          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Raya.

20          COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, I just wanted to add my  
21 voice to the fact that I did not find the testimony at the  
22 Stockton hearing, regarding Lathrop -- because I was  
23 looking through my notes, too, and it was all very  
24 generalized, and I think it was sort of, we've never been  
25 there but we'd really like to go.

1           And not enough -- I mean, I didn't feel there was  
2 very much to hang --

3           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And I guess -- I know  
4 Commissioner's Dai thought is just to leave this be, but I  
5 guess I'll just -- if that's the way the direction and the  
6 Commission wants to go, but I'll just register my thought  
7 that by splitting those parts of -- the east part of  
8 Stockton, you are in -- for intents and purposes splitting  
9 the City of Stockton.

10           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Couple of things, uh,  
11 I also have in my notes it was a City Councilmember from  
12 Lathrop #14 from the Stockton Hearing, and I do have a  
13 note that he had a handout that might have more details.  
14 Commissioner Blanco.

15           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I am leaning towards -- I  
16 mean I really do see this -- those cities around Stockton  
17 as being part of the City. It -- and it's -- I mean I  
18 don't even want to say it's six or one half dozen, because  
19 I don't feel it is. It think you're sort of dividing up a  
20 metro area, whereas this Lathrop could very well be with  
21 Manteca, you know, as another major city, and be together  
22 with Manteca. So, I'm inclined to go back to our original  
23 idea.

24           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: One other question I  
25 might have is -- the population isn't equal, so if we put

1 Linden, I think or the smaller areas that you were talking  
2 about Commissioner DiGuilio --

3 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Well, particularly from  
4 Mirada, which is the 99, there's Waterloo, then down even  
5 Garden Acres is -- that southern part right there, that  
6 Garden Acres is -- it's a lower socioeconomic part of  
7 Stockton. I think you're isolating them again from their  
8 city, so that would be kind of that area. If you -- I see  
9 Commissioner Filkins Webber's point, is that the  
10 population exchange of Lathrop will probably go further  
11 east out into Linden, or unless -- well I guess you could  
12 -- the northern part of Manteca down by French Camp.  
13 Because French Camp is still a part of the City of  
14 Stockton, so I'm not sure --

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I wasn't sure if it  
16 would be an equal population split --

17 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah -- maybe Ms. Clark  
18 could tell us what they -- the result.

19 MS. CLARK: I think these areas -- because  
20 although they're not, like, they are unincorporated tracts  
21 here that still have population in them, so I -- I would  
22 be for Lathrop. Lathrop for Mirada, Garden Acres, and  
23 this area, perhaps some of this area. If you wanted to  
24 move it further east and pick up Linden then that would  
25 affect the shape of this other district.

1           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I wouldn't necessarily say  
2 you'd have to go that far. That gets into a smaller  
3 community. But those that are closer, the Mirada, Garden  
4 Acres, all that is a part of this -- the metropolitan part  
5 of Stockton. So I would say that -- go as far as you need  
6 to, to switch out population for Lathrop.

7           MS. CLARK: Waterloo also?

8           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Again, I think if you had  
9 to do it for population it's just a couple miles out, so  
10 yeah.

11           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Couple of things  
12 we're going to see. We did -- I'm having Commissioner  
13 Ancheta look it up -- we did have a series of agreements  
14 on some rules if we needed to vote on any districts, so if  
15 there is any Commissioner that feels more inclined that  
16 this is a better visualization that might work for the  
17 area, versus the general direction that Commissioner  
18 DiGuilio is offering, this is where we need to probably  
19 make some choices as a Commission, especially where we're  
20 balancing some COI. So I just wanted to remind the  
21 Commission that we do have those procedures in place in  
22 the event that there might be some, you know,  
23 Commissioners feeling more inclined to go with one  
24 recommendation versus some other visualization. So I just  
25 want to -- I don't want the Commission to feel that, you

1 know, one recommendation from one Commissioner, because we  
2 do have these procedures in place. So if the Commission  
3 is inclined to follow the suggestions or recommendations  
4 of Commissioner DiGuilio, as we have been doing throughout  
5 this entire process, not certainly -- but this -- I see  
6 the discussion going back and forth and I just want to  
7 make sure that we do understand that we have some  
8 procedures in place there if anybody feels inclined to use  
9 them. Commissioner Ward, did you have anything further?

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I just wanted to -- I  
11 looked up that letter that you had pointed out, it's  
12 actually from a City Councilmember of Lathrop, and having  
13 read it, there doesn't seem to be any specific reasons  
14 other than the San Joaquin County Sheriffs contract led to  
15 the establishment of the Lathrop Police Department.

16 Other than that, it just expresses a general  
17 desire, so I think that supports Commissioner DiGuilio's  
18 point.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
20 DiGuilio, did you have anything --

21 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: The only other -- last  
22 thing that I would say is just to make sure that there is  
23 a Stockton Airport, and it would be nice to have that --  
24 it's right around the French -- east of the French Camp  
25 area, so I'm not sure if it's incorporated in the

1 Stockton, but there is an airport there, so -- it's  
2 probably in an open space somewhere. So just as a note to  
3 maybe make sure that that's not split, or at least,  
4 probably put with Stockton district, and not this  
5 Stanislaus.

6 MS. CLARK: Okay.

7 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Thank you.

8 MS. CLARK: Thank you.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You can go ahead and  
10 move on Ms. Clark.

11 MS. CLARK: Next is this Eastern Stanislaus --  
12 Eastern San Joaquin County District. It goes for the  
13 entire length of San Joaquin County, picks up Manteca, and  
14 then Eastern Stanislaus is the majority of the City of  
15 Modesto, Salida, Denair, and Turlock.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?  
17 Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: What is the  
19 split -- what is the split that you referred to and --  
20 wait, can you go down -- zoom in a little farther -- I saw  
21 Ceres, but I lost it.

22 MS. CLARK: Ceres is with West Stanislaus County  
23 and Merced.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Gotcha. And you  
25 said that Modesto was split.

1 MS. CLARK: Yeah. So that's the boundary of the  
2 City of Modesto.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Because I know  
4 we're looking at population numbers, but I -- in this  
5 district, I think we have not been able to maintain the  
6 integrity of the 99 Corridor, which has been, I think a  
7 fairly consistent principle we've been applying, due to  
8 our familiarity with the area and how those towns relate to  
9 each other.

10 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I think this one was we  
11 were a little bit at the mercy of Merced. This was the  
12 Section Five, so this was a little bit predetermined, and  
13 we were trying too -- I believe we have the testimony from  
14 Ceres, that's on -- part of it is on the eastern side of  
15 99 -- preferring to be with Western Stanislaus County. So  
16 that's partly why that section of the 99 -- uh, I'm  
17 curious, MS. Clark, I know we had to split Modesto -- what  
18 is the split?

19 Do you know what percentage does with each  
20 district?

21 MS. CLARK: Uh, 80,000 goes with Merced.

22 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Okay, and that  
23 leaves how many on the other side? I can't see  
24 from --

25 MS. CLARK: Like 120,000.

1           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So, the majority of it is  
2 on the Stanislaus-based western -- eastern side.

3           MS. CLARK: Yea, and as you can see, there are  
4 some non-contiguous and -- non-contiguous areas, and also  
5 some holes in it that were included in that number.

6           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And we got positive  
7 feedback for our separating out Turlock and moving it to  
8 the east.

9           MS. CLARK: Right. So in this visualization, with  
10 this Merced District, the West Stanislaus County COI is  
11 intact. West Modesto, Ceres, Patterson, Grayson, Crows  
12 Landing are all together. And again, Turlock is not split  
13 and is with East Stanislaus County.

14           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's good; I  
15 think the split makes sense.

16           MS. CLARK: Okay, uh --

17           COMMISSIONER YAO: Could -- I'm sorry --

18           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao.

19           COMMISSIONER YAO: We have two adjacent districts,  
20 the Stanislaus and the -- what was the -- the SJ and the  
21 other district both with close to 5,000  
22 dollar -- 5,000 individual deviation. So, 10,000 people  
23 in total. Is there any way to pick up 10,000 people from  
24 outside of these two districts?

25           (Anonymous off-microphone comment)

1           COMMISSIONER YAO: The Merced is 4,600 low and so  
2 is the SJ district. Is there any way that we can --

3           MS. CLARK: The place that we could pick them up  
4 in this Merced District would be to pick up 10,000 more  
5 people from Modesto, if it didn't dilute the VAP numbers  
6 too greatly --

7           COMMISSIONER YAO: Oh, I see, so it's  
8 the --

9           MS. CLARK: -- and then the reason that this one  
10 would be -- it might be tricky to pick up 5,000 people her  
11 without splitting a city.

12           COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, I'm looking at the  
13 TN -- STKTN District. That also is significantly lower --  
14 2,500 people, so --

15           MS. CLARK: The --

16           COMMISSIONER YAO: So there's no --

17           MS. CLARK: -- the deviation moving forward is  
18 plus or minus 1%.

19           COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, I understand. The --

20           MS. CLARK: I was just --

21           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, Commission Yao,  
22 they're going to work on population, so if there's  
23 anything -- and we understand that there might be some  
24 space to --

25           COMMISSIONER YAO: Between now and next week,

1 you're going to address the population? I didn't think  
2 so.

3 MS. CLARK: For this round of draft maps, what is  
4 the deviation?

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, I don't think that  
6 falls within our deviation though.

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: That fits within our standard.  
8 I'm not -- I'm not saying that. I'm just noticing as an  
9 observation that the last few district that we looked at,  
10 they're all significantly lower in terms of population  
11 figures, that's all.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Make a note of it; we  
13 can take a look at it. Any other questions on this  
14 district? Commissioner Ontai.

15 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Could you -- did you say a  
16 split Manteca? Could you pull that up?

17 MS. CLARK: No, Manteca is not split.

18 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: It's not split, okay. Thank  
19 you.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You can move on.

21 MS. CLARK: Okay. Moving on is this Merced  
22 Section Five district, the entire County of Merced and  
23 West Stanislaus County. Are there any questions? Or  
24 comments?

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: No.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Hasn't changed,  
2 right?

3 MS. CLARK: Uh, I believe I moved a few tracts  
4 between districts.

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And I believe Mr. Brown  
6 has looked at this, so he's --

7 MS. CLARK: Yes, he's --

8 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- been able to give some  
9 --

10 MS. CLARK: -- they've seen all of my Section Five  
11 and the potential Section Two.

12 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And has given his  
13 blessing.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: He has, I was trying  
15 to look for it, I meant to send it at 1:00 A.M. last night  
16 when I was sending out the visualizations, but I didn't  
17 get it. So if I can find it at lunch I will send it on to  
18 the Commission. Go ahead.

19 MS. CLARK: Okay. Next, if we look at this  
20 Foothill District, they're -- the only major change is  
21 that Mono is included with this district.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm happy you were able to do  
23 that, since we did finally hear from Mono and they did  
24 prefer that.

25 MS. CLARK: There wasn't really anything to do

1 with this West Madera area. There was a suggestion to  
2 move it into -- joint it to Eastern Stanislaus County, and  
3 that would involve splitting Tuolumne and Mariposa  
4 Counties, and also would make this Foothill District  
5 longer. And then also would mean adding this Eastern San  
6 Joaquin County population into the Foothill District.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think it's fine as it is. We  
8 got a lot of testimony; it was conflicting, we acknowledge  
9 that -- both to split Madera, and to keep it whole, so  
10 this version it's kept whole.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions  
12 on this district? Looks good.

13 MS. CLARK: Thank you. Next is this West Fresno  
14 District. The only change, I believe, is that this area  
15 just south of Sunnyside, which -- I'm sorry that's not  
16 centered for you guys -- just south of Sunnyside is  
17 incorporated with this district, and the exchange there  
18 was for these census places -- Minkler and Centerville.  
19 We heard COI testimony that this should be included when  
20 we were in Fresno. Other than that nothing has changed.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And we are -- we --  
22 Commissioner Ancheta's sent out George's notes, and I  
23 believe he has reviewed -- Mr. Brown has reviewed this  
24 section, as well. And he said, "This looks good to me."

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is that a term of art?

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think it's a legal  
2 term.

3           MS. CLARK: Okay, moving on to this Fresno  
4 District. East Fresno is right -- it's the rest of the  
5 County of Fresno -- so East Fresno, including northern  
6 parts of the City of Fresno, and then moves into Tulare  
7 County. I believe this was to be able to include Inyo  
8 with -- Inyo County with Tulare, to join it and not have  
9 to split Inyo County. It required moving south and  
10 picking up some population from Tulare County.

11           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions? I see  
12 none. You can move on.

13           MS. CLARK: Okay. This Assembly District for  
14 Kings County hasn't changed since you last saw it.

15           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: According to Mr.  
16 Brown, this is okay.

17           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Can I ask a quick question,  
18 or just make an observation? This is on the previous --  
19 on the Tulare County one -- there is no connection at all  
20 with Inyo, without going through another district? I  
21 mean, there is --

22           MS. CLARK: The direction that I received last  
23 time was to put Mono County with the Foothills District,  
24 and --

25           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right, I understand that was

1 the direction, I was just pointing out there is no  
2 connection.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's pretty close, though. I  
4 mean, 395 runs through it. No, it's right there on the  
5 corner there, with the bottom -- with the Kern, right  
6 there-

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, I know, I know.  
8 So you just have to slightly go out -- I mean it's  
9 contiguous because it's all together, but the access point  
10 would be from the south.

11

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, and the -- well, the one  
13 comment, I think we got from Inyo, was that they were both  
14 mountains and desert, so -- I think they're too small to  
15 split.

16 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead. Any other  
18 questions on this district? No, okay.

19 MS. CLARK: Okay. This is the Kings County  
20 Assembly District and it hasn't changed since you last saw  
21 it.

22 Okay, next is also this Kern County-based Assembly  
23 District. The rest of the City of Bakersfield and it  
24 picks up these areas for population. In Fresno we heard  
25 people say that they really liked it.

1 (Laughter)

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: And it hasn't changed,  
3 right?

4 MS. CLARK: It hasn't -- I believe, actually,  
5 between Tulare and Kern, around here a few blocks have  
6 changed for population, to be able to include Inyo with  
7 Tulare County.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And where's  
9 Ridgecrest?

10 MS. CLARK: Ridgecrest is --

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Right there, right?

12 MS. CLARK: -- right up here, yeah. The  
13 supporters of this district.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. How much sleep  
15 did you have last night? Commissioner Ward?

16 COMMISSIONER WARD: I was just -- is there any  
17 compactness issues with this district?

18 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: The Ridgecrest  
19 testimonies keep Ridgecrest with Kern, right?

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, absolutely.

21 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: If we rely on that,  
22 that would trump -- there's a compactness problem, but if  
23 that's the reason we're going up that way into Ridgecrest,  
24 and it's based on -- what is that based on?

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I think the

1 tremendous amount of testimony that we received from the  
2 residents of Ridgecrest that have identified with Kern  
3 County and Bakersfield. And, as I recall, there was no  
4 conflicting testimony, there was only one minor suggestion  
5 from the Trade Association out of Lancaster-Palmdale that  
6 had suggested that, and in turn, it set a fire to all of  
7 the residents in Ridgecrest to come to our hearings and to  
8 write to us. So there is a tremendous amount of Community  
9 of Interest testimony, and a number of details provided  
10 with their connection to Kern County and Bakersfield.

11 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, there -- and there are  
12 two things that I would highlight; one is that it's very  
13 much a resource question for the eastern end of the  
14 County.

15 And also that there just aren't people all over  
16 that area, so that -- I think it's also population -- it  
17 does, you know -- yeah, it's not going to win the beauty  
18 award, but it makes sense from the point of view of the  
19 people living there.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I want -- I just want to  
21 point out it was -- in terms of Ridgecrest, it wasn't just  
22 the city, it was the base. And so that it's not just that  
23 one corner, it goes down and picks up a whole military  
24 area around the City of Ridgecrest.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: China Lake.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah. So, it's a little  
2 broader than just saying Ridgecrest. This really was a  
3 lot of testimony about China Lake and Ridgecrest, the  
4 military area, and their connections to the whole  
5 Bakersfield part of Kern County.

6           VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: So --

7           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ancheta,  
8 did you have --?

9           VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: I have a pointer. But  
10 maybe you're too far away. This is my own finger.

11           (Laughter)

12           VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: And so, again, the  
13 compactness question is -- and again we can justify get on  
14 higher criteria, but -- you have to -- I think you have to  
15 argue that this area here and this area here are linked,  
16 so I don't know that you can bypass this population to  
17 link the two. And I'm not entirely clear that's firm. I  
18 understand there's a clear Community of Interest in  
19 Ridgecrest, but the -- it's the linkage that has to do --

20           COMMISSIONER RAYA: The linkage is that they being  
21 in Kern County because a lot of their funding for, you  
22 know, education, healthcare, and so on, is with Kern  
23 County. It's a big factor. You know, I didn't think  
24 about this when we had testimony in Fresno, but one of the  
25 people who testified is a client of my business. I don't

1 choose not one of my accounts, so I don't deal with that  
2 account, but I also happen to be familiar with the area  
3 because I have a number of clients in Ridgecrest, and I  
4 know from working with them the very strong connection for  
5 education and healthcare funding that comes into the area.  
6 That's why I am saying that -- that is a very real  
7 connection in Kern County.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: What is the  
9 population in that area that Commissioner Ancheta -- right  
10 in there, I mean is it -- is it possible to --

11 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, these small  
12 cities here --

13 MS. CLARK: To sort of move the line this  
14 way?

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, could you do  
16 it, Commissioner Ancheta, in a non-populated area and make  
17 it look better?

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: You know, my  
19 concern about that is that it appears that's a highway of  
20 some sort that's connecting those communities, and so I  
21 think the argument could be made if someone is trying to  
22 go to from Ridgecrest to the County Seat, how do they get  
23 there?

24 And if -- so there's the -- so for -- so I would  
25 think they would go straight across, west, through Onyx,

1 Weldon, whatever, and then cut across, so if we don't  
2 include those communities, then it seems like they're not  
3 connected in a functional sense.

4 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So what is the population  
5 of those -- that area, if we were to include those  
6 communities along the transportation link?

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Five or Six --

8 MS. CLARK: This entire area is probably more like  
9 7,000.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you see an option  
11 there to join that?

12 MS. CLARK: Then to balance the district we would  
13 have to remove some population.

14 (Laughter)

15 MS. CLARK: Okay, direct comments to Commissioner  
16 Peter Yao.

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: I apologize.

18 MS. CLARK: And I don't know where -- what the  
19 most responsible place would be to remove population from.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Where do you --

21 MS. CLARK: It would either be --

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- can you show us  
23 some options?

24 MS. CLARK: -- the line would either be crossing  
25 this line between San Luis Obispo and Kern County, or

1 removing Areas along with Ridgecrest --

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, what's down in  
3 here in the --

4 MS. CLARK: -- or removing part -- down here?

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah.

6 MS. CLARK: This is the Ventura County line that  
7 was also a hard line for direction. Removing something in  
8 here -- this is like the Tehachapi area -- there is a  
9 mountain range right here, and we also heard -- based on  
10 my understanding of COI testimony that we heard the first  
11 round of public input hearings, is that this is a major  
12 transportation corridor, and that this is a major  
13 transportation corridor.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think this has been a great  
15 discussion. I think we should leave it the way it is.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah. Anything  
17 further? Commissioner Forbes.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I just would ask that you be  
19 sure, just like in Fairfield, that if there is a -- the  
20 base is all included in the district, wherever that line  
21 may happen to be. It may already be, but be sure that it  
22 is, with Ridgecrest.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Dan you scroll  
24 slightly south? The only slight adjustment I might  
25 suggest if we're going to go with this version, is if you

1 could adjust that taupe boundary so that you include the  
2 whole connector of whatever that highway is that comes  
3 down from Ridgecrest and that connects over.

4 MS. CLARK: The -- this, the 14?

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, so just those  
6 -- there's like two little -- if you go farther down where  
7 the taupe meets the purple -- yeah those two little blocks  
8 right there.

9 MS. CLARK: Oh, these?

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh-huh. Just to  
11 connect the two areas fully in the same district.

12 MS. CLARK: So the direction is to add  
13 unincorporated areas west of California City along the 14?

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah. I mean, I  
15 don't think --

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: For the  
17 transportation.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- I doubt that you  
19 would be talking about much population, and if you are,  
20 I'm open to other considerations, but I think it would be  
21 a simple thing to do.

22 MS. CLARK: Okay, the 14 runs through California  
23 City just on the very edge of town --

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So you would be  
25 having a city split if you did that? So it's populated

1 area in those tracts?

2 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: It's got the city -- a  
3 small, small city sliver of the city would be in tract  
4 that you would be getting. So you would be splitting  
5 California City, but it would be, probably -- I would  
6 imagine nominal, in terms of people.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The City is doing the  
8 same thing that you suggested, Commissioner Barabba.

9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: You know we really  
10 shouldn't have to worry about a road going through another  
11 Assembly District for people to drive through it. The  
12 roads are not restricted to which Assembly District you  
13 live on.

14 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And, actually that's  
15 happened. If you recall -- if we take out Lathrop then  
16 you have to go through Lathrop to get to Tracy --

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's true. Uh-  
18 huh.

19 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- the other part, so it's  
20 the same situation.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ancheta,  
22 and then we can move on.

23 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so there's a 6%  
24 deviation. A minus 6% deviation?

25 MS. CLARK: This -- this is not -- this district

1 is not built out.

2 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

3 MS. CLARK: So, this -- that --

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: That's Alex's area.

5 MS. CLARK: Right, that -- so --

6 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: It just caught my  
7 eye --

8 MS. CLARK: Right, thank you. That -- I'm  
9 sorry -- this district is not built out. It used to  
10 include Inyo and Mono Counties, and -- that, right --

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you want to move  
12 on, or are you --?

13 MS. CLARK: So just -- but just to clarify the  
14 direction is to move these tracts into --

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think we changed  
16 our mind.

17 MS. CLARK: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Leave it as is.

19 MS. CLARK: Uh, I think that we have three or four  
20 total more Assembly Districts to show.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. I thought we'd  
22 do them before we get to lunch, and then --

23 MS. CLARK: Okay. Uh, so here, again, this is not  
24 -- this is not built out. If we're just looking at this  
25 East Ventura District, this hasn't changed since last time

1 you saw it.

2 Either -- it's Oxnard, Port Hueneme, El Rio,  
3 Camarillo, Thousand Oaks, West Lake Village.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions? I see  
5 none.

6 MS. CLARK: Okay. And then, here's the Santa  
7 Barbara and Ventura Assembly District. This 126 Corridor  
8 is intact, as is the City of Ventura, Ojai, and Oak View  
9 are included. Moving along the coast of Santa Barbara,  
10 Lompoc is whole, and with Mission Hills and Vandenberg --  
11 Vandenberg Air Force base. This was the switch for Santa  
12 Ynez and Buellton.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think you've done  
15 a great job. This was a complex area. This is a very  
16 clean-looking district to me.

17 MS. CLARK: Thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: One thing we had  
19 talked about before is just shapes. Does it matter,  
20 whether we include any of the unincorporated or  
21 unpopulated areas of the green -- I don't know if there's  
22 a difference between what we're considering coast, versus  
23 -- I know that those are -- what? -- some foothills or  
24 mountains in there? -- just to fill it out a little bit?

25 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, yeah -- Los Padres

1 National Forest Area.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And is it okay to  
3 fill up this district a little bit so it looks --

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, same thing we did in  
5 Southern Cal -- along the border, same thing.

6 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, it might be -- I  
7 think what Commissioner Filkins Webber is saying is that  
8 those mountains -- part of the mountains are with  
9 Ventura -- I don't know if we just want to put all of them  
10 with Santa -- you know, include all those, because the  
11 mountains are behind the City of Ventura and the City of  
12 Santa Barbara. Does it- does that affect anything?

13 MS. CLARK: I don't think that that would too  
14 greatly affect anything. They -- they were just included  
15 with -- in here, because previously the direction had been  
16 to include these tracts wit San Luis Obispo.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think it was,  
18 maybe, in a different visualization, but the same idea.

19 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And just to check, I'm  
20 assuming the islands are matched up with Ventura and Santa  
21 Barbara, as well, too.

22 MS. CLARK: Yes, all of Ventura and Santa  
23 Barbara's islands are included in this Santa Barbara  
24 District.

25 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Okay, thank you.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything further?

2           MS. CLARK: And then, San Luis Obispo, and  
3 Monterey, there's this hard line, and also between Kern  
4 and San Luis Obispo Counties. And then, just Santa Maria,  
5 Orkut, Vandenberg Air Force Base, Lompoc are included with  
6 San Luis Obispo.

7           COMMISSIONER DAI: Just with apologies to Park  
8 Field.

9           (Laughter)

10          MS. CLARK: Is the direction to incorporate Los  
11 Padres National Forest -- of the Santa Barbara portion of  
12 Los Padres Nation Forest with Ventura County, or keep it  
13 with San Luis Obispo County?

14          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I think the Los -- maybe  
15 Commissioner Aguirre could say -- but the Los Padres  
16 National Forest in that area down there is matched up with  
17 Santa Barbara, which would be the Santa Barbara-Ventura  
18 District, more than San Luis Obispo.

19

20          COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes, yes --

21          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Okay.

22          COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: -- it would be.

23          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Then as is.

24          MS. CLARK: Okay.

25          COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: No, not "as is" -- it

1 would have to take in the lower part of the San Luis  
2 Obispo area into Santa Barbara. With the Santa Barbara-  
3 Ventura -- because you've basically -- you've cut off half  
4 of the Los Padres National Forest. Part of it's with  
5 Ventura-Santa Barbara, the other half is with San Luis  
6 Obispo, and it really should be, probably, with Ventura  
7 and Santa Barbara.

8           COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, and when you -- when  
9 you talk about watershed, you know, there are two -- well,  
10 it all drains south, so it makes sense to include that Los  
11 Padres watershed in with Santa Barbara in that particular  
12 area.

13           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Raya.

14           COMMISSIONER RAYA: Where's the line?

15           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, that's what I  
16 was going to ask for.

17           MS. CLARK: I'm trying to picture this. Somebody  
18 with a pointer, or a laser, or --

19           COMMISSIONER RAYA: Is it to add a  
20 line --

21           MS. CLARK: -- I'm sorry, the line for what?

22           COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, to take in this portion  
23 of the mountains. I'm not --

24           MS. CLARK: See that dark --

25           COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- understanding where --

1 MS. CLARK: -- it's this -- it's --

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- it's been going; I guess is  
3 what I'm asking.

4 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: I'd match it more with the edge of  
5 the boundary between Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo  
6 District -- the part that's over there by Lompoc. See all  
7 that -- you have the yellow hump, and I'd put that part of  
8 the forest with as much of Santa Barbara as you could.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Where her hand is  
10 going?

11 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Yeah, I mean, you don't  
12 have to necessarily go up that high, necessarily. You  
13 could make it more of a straight line from there to the  
14 Four Corners --

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

16 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- or something like that,  
17 you know. I mean something that would get more of the  
18 mountains into that district.

19 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, it's a -- it's a very  
20 rugged wilderness area -- very little population.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Do you have a comment on the  
22 watershed? I mean is there natural break there?

23 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: I would agree with  
24 Commissioner DiGuilio that -- to take it from the Four  
25 Corners area, and then try to go west slightly south.

1           COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Because I think the one  
2 little issue is that one little -- I think Commissioner  
3 Raya and others took that road when we went from San Luis  
4 Obispo over, so the Los Padres National Forest starts to  
5 go down in elevation when you get over into San Luis  
6 Obispo -- and I think Ms. Clark is from this area, she  
7 might be familiar where that cutoff is, where the  
8 mountains drop back down into more foothill areas, so that  
9 might be the -- a good dividing line that we could look at  
10 with topography.

11           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So just take a look  
12 at that as general direction to add that to the Santa  
13 Barbara-Ventura District.

14           MS. CLARK: Okay, and is there any other direction  
15 about this San Luis Obispo-Northern Santa Barbara  
16 District?

17           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions? No,  
18 it does not appear so.

19           MS. CLARK: Okay, I'm going to hand it over to  
20 Tamina, who has her -- actually all of the Bay area  
21 Assembly Districts to go through.

22           COMMISSIONER DAI: Chair, when are we going to  
23 break for lunch?

24           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, I was going to  
25 say if this would be a convenient time to break for lunch

1 we could do so now and come back at one o'clock?

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: We're not going to eat -- or  
3 should we just finish with the ADs --?

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Or how much did you  
5 have to go through, on the ADs over there?

6 MS. ALON: I actually only had direction to look  
7 at changes in about four areas, and so if you want I can  
8 go quickly through the ones that are not changed, and say  
9 "not changed -- not changed," and then discuss the things  
10 that --

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Perfect.

12 MS. ALON: Okay.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead.

14 MS. ALON: Okay, Monterey -- no change. West  
15 Monterey -- no change. The San Jose area, Silicon Valley,  
16 Milpitas -- no change with the exception that I was asked  
17 to look at the LGBT lines from LQCA and see if I -- how  
18 much I could keep together, while still preserving the  
19 different neighborhoods, Evergreen, and Little Saigon and  
20 Berryessa, and east -- the East San Jose area, and so  
21 there are a few census blocks that are moved around within  
22 this area, but that's it.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions or does  
24 anybody want to see that in a little more detail? Okay.

25 MS. ALON: San Mateo, we have no change either. I

1 was asked to try to keep -- because we have the Redwood  
2 City split away from East Palo Alto in this map to do  
3 it -- to keep them together in the other map, so that's  
4 what -- they're together in the other maps -- in Senate  
5 and Congressional. The Northern San Mateo District -- no  
6 change. East San Francisco I was asked to look at the  
7 possibility of moving Visitation Valley away from the  
8 yellow district into the gray over here, but not at the  
9 expense of the LGBT community. And so, I tried to do  
10 that, but unfortunately if I were to move this out into  
11 the gray area, then I would have to kind of cut over here  
12 into this area to get back the population, and that would  
13 separate much more of the LGBT community, according to the  
14 testimony that we had. So I left the line as is, per that  
15 direction.

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I just want to  
17 explore that a little bit further. I think according to  
18 the feedback that we've gotten from the Commissioners that  
19 are quite familiar with San Francisco that there's an  
20 argument that can be made that the LGBT community is  
21 actually throughout the entire city.

22 I know we've gotten testimony about specific  
23 areas, but in a place that is as high a cost of living as  
24 San Francisco, splitting two of the most modest income  
25 places in the city off from each other is not my ideal.

1 So I would like to hear more from, perhaps Commissioners  
2 Dai and Ancheta about this move.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think the tradeoff would be  
4 possibly moving, in the north, you know, moving the  
5 Presidio and possibly the Marina back into the green  
6 district. That would be the only other way to do it, I  
7 think, is to shift it this way.

8 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, I agree, that's  
9 probably the way to do it.

10 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And just out of curiosity  
11 too, I know we've had a number of different options for  
12 this area, a couple at least -- is this pretty well match  
13 some of those, or it's a nice hybrid, or how did you feel  
14 this played out in terms of which COIs were kept together?  
15 Or those -- maybe I should say -- maybe those COIs that  
16 weren't able to. And maybe you can't -- maybe it needs  
17 more fine detail, so --

18 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: Well, you have -- well,  
19 there's a couple things going on here. Commissioner  
20 Galambos Malloy does raise the issue of -- sort of, low  
21 income to low-moderate income communities in Bay View,  
22 Visitacion, of El -- Excelsior -- again it gets -- income  
23 picks up as you head west along that southern part of the  
24 City. Again, we do have the LGBT public testimony, we've  
25 had Asian-American groups testifying against trying to

1 maintain -- well, it's, again, it's sort of two separate  
2 communities, because they don't qualify as a single  
3 community of interest, because there's lots of stuff in  
4 between Chinatown and Visitacion Valley, for example. So  
5 I don't think you can argue that's a single community, but  
6 the argument is they're linked. So I think we're trying  
7 to adjust the middle -- the line, so you an -- you still  
8 have to divide the City in half just for population  
9 purposes, but there are -- as Commissioner Dai  
10 suggested -- there are ways you can try to rotate them a  
11 bit.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Quick question --

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Parvenu.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'm not as familiar with  
15 that area to the northwest that's being suggested to move  
16 into the green area, but would that not make the green  
17 area a bit more affluent by moving the Marina and  
18 Presidio --

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes --

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- into the western  
21 area?

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So --

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: It would.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- would that not take away

1 from the other light blue area or gray area, having a  
2 considerable amount of resources on that side of the line?  
3 So, is that a tradeoff?

4           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think you can  
5 make the argument, I mean - my perspective on this areas  
6 is that the types of development concerns and issues that  
7 are facing, sort of, the more downtown areas, and ever  
8 going down into Bay View area, which has just had massive  
9 growth and development and re-development over recent  
10 years, are very different than the types of issues that  
11 are going on in the west side, and even on the north side.  
12 Like the Presidio is mostly converter -- it's now a park -  
13 - I mean, we were actually over at Fort Mason, but if you  
14 were to continue on over that area it's mostly park land.  
15 There's some converted housing -- Marina is a very wealthy  
16 area. It also includes some parks, so I think much of San  
17 Francisco is mixed -- it has wealthier areas mixed in with  
18 more modest income areas, but I think there is a long  
19 history of connection between Bay View and Visitacion  
20 Valley and Excelsior, so if there were options to explore  
21 on keeping them together I would like to do so.

22           MS. ALON: And just to remind you how we got to  
23 this line, we started with the CAPAFR line, which was the  
24 direction to use in the very beginning, and then as we,  
25 kind of, tried to figure out population -- shifting it

1 over to a different deviation -- I overlaid -- I looked at  
2 a lot of different map submission and they all -- pretty  
3 much -- very many submissions had this line over here, but  
4 then they kind of went different ways. And this line is  
5 actually the CCAG line, which worked best with the  
6 population deviation.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: And the CAPAFR line did have  
8 the Presidio and the Marina in the West District?

9 MS. ALON: It looked just like this -- this part,  
10 except I believe it went down somewhere, but didn't give  
11 me enough population. The deviation was 8,000 people.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, is there general  
13 direction or consensus on what to do with the Marina and  
14 the Presidio? Put them in? Put Excelsior to the east?

15 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: How many people in  
16 Excelsior? And Visitacion -- in Vis. Valley?

17 MS. ALON: Uh, Vis. Valley has 24,000. I can look  
18 at Excelsior right now.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Because I think the other thing  
20 -- well --

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you have any other  
22 concerns, Commissioner Ancheta, as we go --

23 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: South and the -- I know  
24 Crocker Amazon, I think has a mixed population --

25 VICE-CHARIPERSON ANCHETA: You know, there's a lot

1 of different -- there's a lot of interesting things going  
2 -- I mean, one is that I think that Excelsior and Vis.  
3 Valley is probably much more dense than Presidio and --  
4 well certainly not Presidio, but Marina, Pacific Heights,  
5 Presidio Heights. I don't think it -- it's not easy to  
6 switch them out. You know -- Crocker Amazon looks like  
7 Northern Dailey City --

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, so we want to keep those  
9 together.

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm looking at the  
11 -- I just pulled up the Unity Maps that were submitted,  
12 and they include, actually, Presidio and Marina on the  
13 west side. They don't -- they have less of a straight  
14 line coming down through the City than we have -- they  
15 kind of weave back and forth so by the time you get down  
16 to the south side, they're actually including Outer  
17 Mission into the Eastern District, they're including  
18 Crocker Amazon into the Eastern District, but I see some  
19 flexibility there as you get, you know, farther west of  
20 Excelsior and Visitacion Valley.

21 MS. ALON: And Excelsior is about 36,000  
22 people.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, and then the Presidio and  
24 the Marina, approximately? So I think that middle  
25 line is pretty good -- the part -- you know, so I think

1 you're really shifting at the bottom and the top.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah.

3 MS. ALON: Presidio and Marina are 26,000 people  
4 together.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: So you could move Vis.  
6 Valley --

7 MS. ALON: You could move Vis Valley  
8 for --

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: -- for Presidio and Marina.

10 MS. ALON: -- Presidio and Marina.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, please.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is that an agreeable  
13 direction of the Commission? Oh Commissioner Barabba.

14 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: So we're breaking up what  
15 looks like a seashore, which would -- I would think have  
16 common interest -- the coastline is what we'd be breaking  
17 in half, right?

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, The coastline  
19 is -- it's all coastline.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's all -- yeah.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: There's only one  
22 side of San Francisco that's not coastline of some sort,  
23 and that's on the southern side. When you're talking the  
24 west side or the north side up by the Presidio, or the  
25 eastern side, it's all surrounded by water.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's all coast, yeah.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: But this -- this is  
3 primarily within -- would that be considered within the  
4 Bay? The Presidio and the Marina?

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Presidio is the crossing over  
6 point because that's where the Golden Gate Bridge is.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That 101 symbol you  
8 see --

9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: That's the bridge, right?

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh-huh. That's the  
11 Golden Gate Bridge.

12 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: So, that's considered  
13 within the Bay?

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes. Well, the  
15 Golden Gate Bridge is essentially the gateway out to the  
16 Pacific Ocean.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: So half of it would be -- do we  
18 want to try that swap -- Vis. Valley for Presidio and  
19 Marina?

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is there a general  
21 consensus on that idea, or any significant opposition, I  
22 guess is what someone should be vocal about if that were  
23 the case. Okay, that sounds -- any further questions on  
24 that? Do you need any other direction? It appears we're  
25 going to provide that direction to you for Vis. Valley and

1 Presidio swap.

2 MS. ALON: Okay.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, just in terms of  
4 income -- you know, Pacific Heights is still -- it looks  
5 like still in the blue district.

6 And then Presidio and the Marina, which are --  
7 and Presidio is mixed, but Marina is more affluent, that  
8 would be in the green district.

9 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Is that something --

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Parvenu.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Is that something we can do  
12 quickly now to see what the table -- what the numbers  
13 looked like, or you'd have to take that later and project  
14 that later?

15 MS. ALON: Switch -- the switch, you mean?

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah. Is that --?

17 MS. ALON: I can -- I can do it --

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- something that can be  
19 quickly done, so we can see the deviation --

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, if you want to  
21 run through your other districts, and then you can show it  
22 to us --

23 MS. ALON: After lunch?

24 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's fine, that's fine.

25 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: The only thing I might

1 add is, again there's some -- there's testimony linking  
2 Excelsior and Visitacion Valley, and again,  
3 it's -- I mean, some of that is along ethnic lines, if  
4 you're talking about Chinese-American communities, but  
5 that's a higher population in Vis. Valley -- Excelsior's  
6 very mixed, ethnically, but again, it is a more of a  
7 working class neighborhood.

8           So there -- there are some ties between Vis.  
9 Valley and Excelsior -- and we have some testimony linking  
10 those two. So if you're going to do the swap, you might  
11 want to continue into swap into Pacific Heights, as  
12 well.

13           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could we request  
14 that that be something you work on and show us something  
15 later in the day, Tamina, to include Excelsior and  
16 Visitacion Valley with Bay View, and look at what it takes  
17 between Presidio, Marina and Pacific Heights?

18           MS. ALON: Sure, sure.

19           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco.

20           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, Commissioner Ancheta,  
21 you don't -- I know you know this area well -- isn't the  
22 Excelsior also very connected to the Outer Mission and to  
23 the Mission? I mean --

24           VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, they are.

25           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I mean people say Outer

1 Mission and Excelsior in the same breath, basically, in  
2 San Francisco --

3 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah, and it's -- I'm  
4 not sure, I think that line right there is Mission Street,  
5 and -- yeah it tends to be a little lower income on the  
6 eastern side of Mission than on the western side. It's  
7 not a hard line, but if you look at housing prices, for  
8 example, that's what you see typically.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Uh-huh.

10 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And again,  
11 ethnically -- they're very similar ethnically.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, and it's not that --  
13 you know, I just think Excelsior has a lot of the Outer  
14 Mission and it also has parts of the characteristics of  
15 Bernal Heights in some way, you know, it's just that  
16 all --

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any additional  
18 comments, or will that still be the general direction?  
19 That's the general direction.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I feel like  
21 Excelsior could go either way, I'm happy to defer to other  
22 Commissioners on that. I do think Visitacion Valley, at  
23 least, should be included with Bay View.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would have -- I have  
25 reservations about Excelsior being separated from the

1 Outer Mission. I just think -- I don't know, maybe things  
2 have changed, but I've always thought of those as very  
3 connected neighborhoods in San Francisco. I don't live  
4 there, so I don't know, I just have worked there a long  
5 time.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. MacDonald, is  
7 there any -- maybe some testimony in the -- or you had  
8 brought out some earlier -- go ahead Commissioner Ancheta,  
9 while she's --

10 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: No, and again, these  
11 are -- they blur, there's not a hard line across Mission  
12 Street. If you go on Mission Street, look at the stores,  
13 look at the housing that straddles, you know -- it's on --  
14 between Mission and Alemany -- it's very similar. Again,  
15 once you start heading further west, the houses look  
16 bigger and they're worth more, and it's different. But  
17 it's slow -- it's a slow transition.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, still in Outer  
19 Mission.

20 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Or --

22 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, and again -- you  
23 know, Outer Mission -- I think -- a lot of people call --  
24 what people call the Outer Mission often includes  
25 Excelsior --

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's what I'm saying.

2 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- so that's another  
3 issue, in terms of how the neighborhood - this is -- these  
4 are looking at official neighborhood designations within  
5 the city. So what they're calling Outer Mission is  
6 smaller than what we more commonly use as the Outer  
7 Mission, which would include, basically other than the  
8 Mission.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: So the direction really is to  
11 try to take Vis. Valley out.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

13 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And again, I think  
14 Excelsior goes there too, but there -- you could go either  
15 way, it's not --

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I -- I mean, at  
17 this point we've been trying to see options, so would it  
18 be a good use of time to say show us what it looks like to  
19 do the swap that includes Excelsior and Visitacion Valley.  
20 It doesn't mean we're wedded to it, necessarily. Or do  
21 you feel, Commissioner Blanco, that it's a definite no.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: It's not a definite no --  
23 and I'm not from the City, I just sort of know the areas  
24 for various reasons, and I -- like I say I've always  
25 thought of Outer Mission with Excelsior, but I'm not going

1 to hold up things because of that.

2 MS. MACDONALD: We looked in the public data --  
3 the input database and there is no testimony that would  
4 help us on this issue.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. I didn't  
6 recall any, so good thing I didn't forget. It wasn't  
7 there.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So I'll defer to  
9 Commissioners Dai and Ancheta on Excelsior.

10 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, based on my growing  
11 up in the Excelsior, I would --

12 (Laughter)

13 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- I would 0-- and the  
14 Outer Mission -- I would recommend putting Excelsior and  
15 Visitacion Valley together.

16 MS. MACDONALD: So there is -- there was one  
17 comment that talks about keeping Visitacion Valley,  
18 Excelsior and Bay View together.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

20 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: It's sparse --  
21 testimony is very sparse from San Francisco.

22 MS. ALON: Okay. Can I also ask if there is  
23 interest in maybe making this all yellow and trading it  
24 with Russian Hill, if you're taking all of this area,  
25 or --?

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm sorry, can  
2 you --?

3 MS. ALON: -- Presidio and Inner Rich --

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: -- explain that  
5 again?

6 MS. ALON: So Presidio and Inner Richmond are  
7 currently split here, and so I was wondering if there was  
8 interest in making Presidio Heights and Inner  
9 Richmond -- if the population shakes out -- putting those  
10 two into this western green district, and then if -- oh  
11 sorry, other way around. Putting these two into the  
12 gray district, if you're going to come and take this  
13 area.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I wouldn't put Russian Hill  
15 in the green district.

16 MS. CLARK: I think the question is that --  
17 because, in general, this southern area -- or Excelsior,  
18 Vis. Valley is so much more densely populated than the  
19 Presidio, the Marina, Pacific Heights, then is the  
20 direction, if you're moving the Excelsior and Visitacion  
21 Valley and joining them with Bay View, then --

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Could you then make  
23 Presidio Height and Inner Richmond whole?

24 MS. CLARK: I think the question is where do you  
25 go next, after the Presidio, the Marina, and Pacific

1 Heights, then do you include the rest of Presidio Heights  
2 or the Inner Richmond, or do you go and follow the Bay and  
3 grab Russian Hill and Nob Hill?

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Ancheta, I think  
5 you would take Presidio -- the other part of Presidio  
6 Heights and Inner Richmond.

7 Would you agree?

8 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Keep those  
10 neighborhoods whole.

11 MS. ALON: So, the direction is to -- if I need  
12 extra population after switching out the Presidio and  
13 Marina, and possibly Pacific Heights with Excelsior and  
14 Visitacion Valley, to come down and take Presidio Heights  
15 and parts of Inner Richmond?

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct.

17 MS. ALON: Okay.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything further?  
19 Any other questions? Tamina?

20 MS. ALON: No, that's it.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

22 MS. ALON: Okay. Across the Bay to the other  
23 side, we have, again this PTANT district -- no change  
24 except for what you discussed with Jaime today. WCC -- no  
25 change. Oakland, I moved around a few census blocks in

1 order to make San Leandro whole in the Assembly. And in  
2 doing that I changed the line slightly in Oakland, but it  
3 allowed me to pick up more of EQCA's area, which is right  
4 around here, to keep more of that together.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Good.

6 MS. ALON: Okay. East Alameda District -- no  
7 change. Hayward, the only change is this movement out  
8 of -- taking this remnant out of -- of San Leandro, which  
9 is now with Oakland, and then a few census blocks moved in  
10 the Richmond -- oh, sorry along the Fremont line in order  
11 to accommodate that.

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: Chair?

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, yeah.  
14 Commissioner Ward.

15 COMMISSIONER WARD: I just want to be sure we  
16 discuss Eastern Alameda boundaries, or walk the writ a  
17 little bit just to further define any compactness  
18 questions about it.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, is your  
20 question just whether this is compact? I'm really  
21 confused about compactness.

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: So, yeah I was hoping we could  
23 just walk through that district and -- what we did earlier  
24 in the day is explain basically why --

25 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: Well, I think that

1 area -- it goes way -- you're looking about the eastern  
2 and southern part -- that big part is, as I understand,  
3 it's the Foothill Mountain is -- that's where the --

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's mostly unpopulated.

5 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: -- that there's  
6 unpopulated areas, so it's up to the County line.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it goes to the County  
8 line and it's all the -- when you go over the Altamont  
9 Pass it's all the windmills and it -- there's nothing  
10 there, so it has to -- that part would have to go  
11 somewhere. So I can see why you're saying it looks like a  
12 very long district, but the reality is that bottom part of  
13 it, or the eastern-southern part if just unincorporated  
14 area -- or uninhabited area, probably for the most part.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right, if you look at what  
16 the true part of the district is it's all actually a  
17 pretty concentrated from Moraga down to Pleasanton.

18 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: And Tamina, can you show  
19 where that, like, the Pleasanton, Livermore area up and  
20 through La Miranda is really the urban part, right?  
21 That's the dis -- what the real district looks like in  
22 terms of population. It's that part down on the eastern  
23 and southern part that's not inhabited, that has to go --  
24 the mountain regions have to go somewhere.

25 MS. ALON: If you look at these areas here, which

1 are slightly lighter colored, these are all areas where  
2 nobody lives. And so if I were to move this area out of  
3 this district it would be maybe moved -- it would probably  
4 move into another county, but there really is no  
5 population here. And this is all based on the COI  
6 testimony to keep this area together. This is Dublin,  
7 Pleasanton, and Livermore right over here, those three  
8 cities. And then this is the San Ramon Corridor all the  
9 way up through La Miranda. And that's really it, there's  
10 nothing else --

11 COMMISSIONER DIGUILIO: So you have to put that  
12 part -- that big part on the bottom with somewhere, so you  
13 either cross a county line and put it with someone else or  
14 you keep it with this district and keep it in the County.

15 MS. ALON: Yes.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions about  
17 that Commissioner Ward? Are you clear?

18 COMMISSIONER WARD: Totally perfect, thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Commissioner  
20 Yao.

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: The point where the four  
22 districts come together, any population at that location?  
23 This is in the north -- yeah, right there. Can you blow  
24 that up a little bit?

25 MS. ALON: No this is -- these are hill regions,

1 there is no real population up here. This just is  
2 following the County line.

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay, thank you.

4 MS. ALON: County and City lines.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead Tamina.

6 MS. ALON: And we are back in Milpitas, San Jose,  
7 where we started.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Are there any other  
9 questions about --? Okay, and that's all of the Assembly  
10 districts for Northern California, correct?

11 MS. ALON: Yes.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, and so when we  
13 come back from lunch we can take a look at the Senate and  
14 Congressional.

15 MS. ALON: Unless you wanted to do the -- try the  
16 San Francisco swaps now.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think we want --  
18 they want to do lunch, so -- they're already out at the  
19 door. Okay we are adjourned for this afternoon session.  
20 We'll resume at 1:15. That gives 45 minutes. Thank you,  
21 we're adjourned.

22 (Break for lunch 12:37 p.m.)

23 (Reconvene at 1:39 p.m.)

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Thank you very  
25 much. We are resuming our meeting of the Citizens

1 Redistricting Commission.

2           And prior to our recess for lunch we were taking a  
3 look at the San Francisco Assembly district. And, Tamina,  
4 did you -- you worked on that a little bit and that's the  
5 visualization we have, now.

6           VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, Tamina -- Tamina  
7 worked with Commissioner and Dai with me on some of the  
8 suggestions we discussed. So, I'll let her do the  
9 highlights but, in essence, we looked at the Excelsior,  
10 Visitation Valley, linkage to Bay View and then to do that  
11 adjustment looking at Presidio, Marina, Pacific Heights,  
12 and part of the western addition into the Western  
13 district.

14           COMMISSIONER DAI: And the outline in turquoise  
15 there is also the -- the LGBT community of interest that  
16 was described by Equality of California and they had asked  
17 for some additional neighborhoods moving the line a little  
18 further west. So, that was the thing that I had been  
19 looking for prior to the break and Tamina had that easily  
20 overlaid there, so we were able to pick up some population  
21 there, too.

22           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Looks great.

23           MS. CLARK: Okay, so we're ready to switch to  
24 Assembly?

25           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes.

1 MS. CLARK: Or, I'm sorry, to Senate?

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes.

3 MS. CLARK: Okay, maybe we can start back in  
4 the -- the North Coast. I have two sets of Senate  
5 districts built out. Really, the only differences are  
6 around Sacramento County.

7 But this North Coast district is all of Del Norte,  
8 Humboldt, Trinity, Mendocino, Sonoma and Marin. Sonoma is  
9 split; Petaluma is taken out or is not included, rather,  
10 in this North Coast and, instead, goes with this district  
11 just to the east. That is for population reasons.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is that going to look different  
13 given the direction on the Ads?

14 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Could you repeat that,  
15 Commissioner Dai, I'm sorry?

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I was wondering is that  
17 going to look different given the population exchange we'd  
18 talked about with the Ads, because we were nesting up  
19 here, right?

20 MS. CLARK: We can no longer nest because of the  
21 new Section 5 district for Monterey. So, there's no  
22 nesting for Northern California in Senate.

23 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. It might useful,  
24 though, to the extent there's close to nesting you might  
25 want to simply describe the Assembly districts that are --

1 MS. CLARK: Right, to combine. So, if we were to  
2 combine population in this area then -- right, then  
3 Rohnert Park would go east, instead of Petaluma.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, it seems -- I mean  
5 you have done, in some ways, even though it wasn't  
6 traditionally nesting, it has nested those two that were  
7 on the coast before, with the exception of some of the  
8 exchanges between Rohnert Park and Petaluma.

9 MS. CLARK: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But I feel like if you  
11 were to reach in and get Rohnert Park -- I mean I guess  
12 you could. But I think before we put Petaluma with Marin,  
13 wasn't that suggestion, that's what we were doing?

14 MS. CLARK: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, I don't know at this  
16 point if it goes better with Napa than this --

17 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Can I make a  
18 suggestion, if it's not too much trouble, can you overlay  
19 just the outlines of the Assembly districts on this? Just  
20 the outline, so we can get a sense of what they look like  
21 relative to the Senates. Again, if it's not --

22 MS. CLARK: Yeah, and I believe I can. I might  
23 have to go back to the Assembly, export that as a layer  
24 and then import it, but I believe I already have that  
25 somewhere.

1           COMMISSIONER DAI:  And I guess the other thought,  
2  as we're looking at this, is there another logical city  
3  that we should exchange for Petaluma, which has 58,000  
4  people, approximately.

5           COMMISSIONER BLANCO:  I think the only thing you  
6  could do is continue the split that you had earlier in  
7  Santa Rosa and carry that split over into the Senate  
8  districts, and try as much as possible to have the same  
9  split for purposes of efficiency for, say, voter  
10 registrars, et cetera.

11          MS. CLARK:  So, the red outlines are the Assembly  
12 districts.  I can take the colors off of those Assembly  
13 district lines, if it will make it easier to see.

14          VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA:  And these don't --  
15 these do not reflect, of course, the changes we just gave  
16 you earlier today?

17          MS. CLARK:  No.

18          VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA:  Right.

19          COMMISSIONER DAI:  So, any thoughts on  
20 Commissioner Blanco's suggestion which is to carry the  
21 split in Santa Rosa over in the Senate, which would, again  
22 for the registrars' purposes, if there's going to be one  
23 split it's -- there might be some consistency there.

24          COMMISSIONER BLANCO:  In other words, if we wanted  
25 to even more closely track what we did in the Assembly in

1 the Senate, you know, between those two adjoining Senate  
2 seats.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, you're saying to  
4 continue with the split that we're suggesting for Assembly  
5 and do the same with Senate?

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right, because somebody said  
7 how could you get Petaluma back.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah. And I think  
9 there's -- I think there's things both ways. You could  
10 have the integrity of that same split for both districts  
11 or you could look at it as trying to correct the split  
12 that we did in the AD and trying to, you know, keep the --  
13 instead of spreading the pain two times, you could try and  
14 reunify, but it comes at a cost. So, I think there's --  
15 you know, there's pluses and minuses both ways.

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I agree. When I  
17 think about the size when we're talking about a Senate  
18 district, any split in the populations that you've split,  
19 you know, they'll have relatively less influence in the  
20 district if you're talking about a Senate district as  
21 opposed to an Assembly district.

22 So, I'm thinking through the alternative of what  
23 would it look like to keep it together?

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I partly raised these  
25 questions because it goes back to sort of a conversation

1 we had yesterday, which is when we don't nest what is it  
2 that we are doing, because we've never -- you know, we've  
3 used a variety of terms blending, or almost nesting or,  
4 you know, just -- you know, and un-nesting. And so I'm  
5 just -- I'm trying to give some shape to what happens when  
6 we don't do -- the reasons for why we don't do an  
7 automatic nest sometimes, so that it's on the record and  
8 we've articulated it.

9 MS. CLARK: Well, in these -- in this Senate plan  
10 we can't nest because the northern boundary of the  
11 Monterey -- and the southern boundary of the Monterey  
12 County Senate district is different than the Assembly  
13 district so we can't -- we can't nest.

14 And, also, I think that just looking at these, all  
15 of the Senate districts sort of on -- on their own, or as  
16 their own set will probably, ultimately make for just  
17 better Senate districts.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, given what we've said  
19 before about the reasons that we've not nested, you know,  
20 trying to reunite cities that have been split, I think  
21 that what we have here is consistent with that, and so we  
22 put Santa Rosa back together. It, unfortunately, leaves  
23 Petaluma odd man out, but that's the price.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think if we are --  
25 again, nesting being our lowest criteria, I think as long

1 as these maps reflect all the other criteria that we've  
2 had up until this point, which I think it does  
3 particularly since, again, we've -- for the Senate we had  
4 to cross -- we had to join Merced and Eastern Monterey, so  
5 you left half of a -- kind of half of a district in  
6 Monterey without a home and that's what switched  
7 everything.

8           So if, therefore, the nesting concept really isn't  
9 possible, then you have to go back -- it's more difficult  
10 and then you go back to all the other criteria and I think  
11 these meet the criteria.

12           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, Commissioner Dai,  
13 did you have anything further?

14           COMMISSIONER DAI: No, I was going to say let's  
15 move on then.

16           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you very much.

17           MS. CLARK: So, is there direction?

18           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can you go back to the  
19 Senate ones?

20           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any direction?  
21 Commissioner DiGuilio?

22           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, as I understand it,  
23 the one issue might have been with Petaluma. Did you want  
24 to have Petaluma with the Marin/Sonoma district and, if  
25 so, we'd have to swap out --

1 MS. CLARK: Rohnert Park.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- Rohnert Park. No?  
3 Okay, then I think this is probably where we would go for  
4 now.

5 MS. CLARK: Okay. If we move on to this district  
6 to the east, it's Lake, Yolo, with the exception of West  
7 Sacramento. Again, this Delta area of Sacramento County,  
8 all of Solano County, all of Napa County, Petaluma and,  
9 for population -- for population, actually, in the Bay  
10 Area moving in here from Benicia to Martinez.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes?

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I'm not going to speak  
13 to crossing the Benicia Bridge, but there are several  
14 things about this district that are good. I mean it --

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Please do elaborate,  
16 we like that.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I'm going to, I'm going to.  
18 The fact the Delta's all in one piece, that's a good thing  
19 as we fight over water.

20 The fact that -- actually, there's a fair amount  
21 of environmental work going between Berryessa and up into  
22 Lake County along the Blue Ridge, that's the mountain  
23 chain there. So, I'm glad to see that put together. And,  
24 of course, the fact that Yolo County's together. And Lake  
25 and Napa that we've heard a lot about how, you know, they

1 identify together. So, I think this is a -- given we have  
2 900,000 people, I think this is a good option.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can I look at the Benicia  
4 Bridge jump?

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's the hardest part for  
6 me.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, it looks like it takes  
8 Crockett, too?

9 MS. CLARK: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Crockett, Port Costa?

11 MS. CLARK: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, that -- you know,  
13 going into Crockett and Port Costa, and even I think it  
14 looks like it picks up Martinez, is that right?

15 MS. CLARK: Yes.

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That -- that's -- that, I  
17 think, you know, that a lot of -- you know, it's sort of  
18 the mouth of the Delta in some ways and that bridge is --  
19 it's not an unreasonable thing to have the southern part,  
20 you know, where Benicia is connected to Crockett.

21 I'm more concerned about it going all the way down  
22 into Pleasant Hill, that's --

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: We did have testimony about  
24 that as a commuter corridor, though, Benicia, Martinez,  
25 Pleasant Hill and even into Concord.

1           But I think Concord's better in the Contra Costa  
2 district. So, we did have several written testimony about  
3 that.

4           COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think the tricky  
5 thing is, though, it looks like we've split the commuter  
6 corridor, right, because we have Martinez and Pleasant  
7 Hill over on the west side, then we have the Mt. View,  
8 Pacheco, which are just significantly smaller towns. I  
9 can't read the population for Mt. View, could you --

10          MS. CLARK: 2,300.

11          COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

12          COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, the smaller communities  
13 were not mentioned. The testimony that I saw was all  
14 Benicia, Martinez, Pleasant Hill.

15          Are there any splits here?

16          MS. CLARK: No.

17          COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: If we have the COI  
18 to support this type of split, if one needs to happen, I'm  
19 comfortable with it.

20          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just wondering if --  
21 there are a couple of those smaller communities, is it  
22 Villa Hill or I can't quite see it?

23          MS. CLARK: Vine Hill [phon.].

24          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, a couple of those  
25 smaller ones seem -- and I don't know the area very well,

1 but they seem to be linked to this larger cities and they  
2 don't seem to be highly populated. Is it better to link  
3 them with Pleasant Hill and Martinez?

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: See, I think -- I think so.  
5 Pleasant Hill, I mean if you look at this Senate seat  
6 starting up where it begins in Lake and coming down to  
7 Pleasant Hill, I know we're -- all these things are big,  
8 the Senate districts are big.

9 But Pleasant Hill, now, is -- for those of you  
10 from the Bay Area, you know, this, has become fairly  
11 urban. It's right off -- you know, you just -- you know,  
12 it's when you go out to the Sun Valley Mall, you know,  
13 it's over there by Pleasant Hill and it's near Concord and  
14 that whole area, it feels very much -- it feels a lot more  
15 urban to me.

16 And those other cities that are off the Delta  
17 like, that Commissioner DiGuilio was mentioning, almost  
18 seem to make -- well, no, the ones that were in that --  
19 right across the bridge, but they are actually not in this  
20 Senate configuration, they're actually -- I think they've  
21 been put with Contra Costa.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And they look like  
23 they're very small communities.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, I'm wondering if you

1 include them, I think you'd still have to split Pleasant  
2 Hill, though.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I don't think so because I  
4 looked -- the Wine district, which we're talking about  
5 here, is minus almost 9,000 people. You might be able to  
6 add those communities and do nothing, as least as far as  
7 the Wine district's concerned.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That's what I'm saying is  
9 just add them because they're more linked, not to replace  
10 Pleasant Hill.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, and you don't have to  
12 split --

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, that's a good point  
14 because Vine Hill's pretty small.

15 MS. CLARK: So, is the direction to add Vine Hill,  
16 Pacheco and -- or I guess not direction, we're talking  
17 about adding Mt. View, Vine Hill, and Pacheco?

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, so the 680 is all in  
21 one -- is all in the same district.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, there you go.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That way we've protected  
24 that corridor.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That would make more sense.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I know it still  
2 doesn't necessarily address Commissioner Blanco's concern  
3 that Pleasant Hill is still linked with way up there but,  
4 again, I think the size of these communities and proximity  
5 to counties that are more -- that are much less populated,  
6 but I think the integrity of this area could be enhanced  
7 if we added those smaller communities.

8           COMMISSIONER DAI: And it would help deviation.

9           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah.

10          MS. CLARK: Can I ask a question; where to pick up  
11 population for this district?

12          COMMISSIONER DAI: You shouldn't have to, it's  
13 within the deviation.

14          COMMISSIONER FORBES: Exactly, it will be within  
15 deviation.

16          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You're going to --  
17 not when you take them out.

18          MS. CLARK: It will go over -- it will go under  
19 one percent.

20          COMMISSIONER DAI: Can you zoom out?

21          COMMISSIONER FORBES: They were minus 500 and  
22 we're going to --

23          MS. CLARK: Maybe --

24          COMMISSIONER FORBES: It will be a hair over one  
25 percent.

1 MS. CLARK: Can you move their population up here?

2 No?

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Are there other  
4 smaller cities, I mean very small cities that we could  
5 work with as opposed to having to split anybody?

6 MS. CLARK: There -- right here is that Port Costa  
7 area, maybe if that was added to this Richmond/Oakland  
8 district?

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, we could do that.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That would work.

11 MS. ALON: Okay, so if you take those three and  
12 then you get to over negative one percent in this Contra  
13 Costa district, what are you picking up?

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, we're adding -- we're  
15 adding those communities to the Wine district because  
16 that -- that should reduce the negative deviation.

17 MS. ALON: Right but -- well, this is already a  
18 negative deviation so we're talking about going to over  
19 negative one percent.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: For the Ramon district.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, we need to add --

22 MS. ALON: You could add two easily, but all three  
23 of them.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, maybe we should  
25 just do two.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Just add two then.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Just add two.

3 MS. CLARK: Would the direction be to add Mt. View  
4 and Vine Hill?

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything further on  
7 this district? Okay.

8 MS. CLARK: Okay. If we move on and look at this  
9 Yuba district, this is one of the districts that I have  
10 two iterations for, but I would like to move through the  
11 whole plan set and then go through the other sets.

12 So, Tehama, Glen, Colusa, Butte, Yuba and Sutter  
13 are whole. For population, moving into Placer County here  
14 to get Sheridan and Roseville, and also moving into  
15 Sacramento County to get these northern Sacramento --  
16 northern Sacramento communities, Citrus Heights, Rio  
17 Linda, Elverta.

18 In this visualization Rancho Cordova is split,  
19 which is driven to keep the API community whole.

20 But if we just focus maybe on this Yuba district,  
21 I don't know if it's preferable to just look at all of  
22 them and then switch to the alternative version.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, let's look at the  
24 whole thing in its entirety, like we did before, and then  
25 we can go back.

1 MS. CLARK: Okay. Looking at this Mountain Cap  
2 district, all of Siskiyou, Modoc, Shasta, Lassen, Plumas,  
3 Sierra, Nevada, Placer, El Dorado County, Alpine County.  
4 West Placer is split, but other than that all of the  
5 counties are whole.

6 Also, this visualization, Folsom and Fair Oaks are  
7 included with this and that maintains the integrity of the  
8 Folsom Dam area.

9 If we keep moving on, and this is Sacramento.  
10 West Sacramento, the City of Sacramento, with no splits,  
11 Arden, Arcade, Parkway, Florin, Elk Grove and Vineyard.

12 And this Foothills district now includes Mono and  
13 Inyo. It's this area of Sacramento County, Rancho  
14 Cordova, the Galt to Lodi corridor is included in this  
15 visualization, as is eastern -- northeastern San Joaquin  
16 County, and eastern Stanislaus County, Amador, Calaveras,  
17 Tuolumne, Mariposa, eastern Madera, eastern Fresno County,  
18 and then, also for population, eastern -- northeastern  
19 Tulare County.

20 Those are the districts that are different than  
21 the other visualization. Would you like to see the other  
22 one now?

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

24 MS. CLARK: So if, again, we begin with Yuba  
25 County, still Tehama, Glen, Butte, Yuba, Sutter, Colusa

1 intact. It's the same population in Placer County,  
2 Sheridan and Roseville.

3 And in this visualization the Citrus  
4 Heights/Rancho Cordova COI is intact. The API community  
5 is not intact here in this Sacramento, City of Sacramento-  
6 based district.

7 For the Foothill -- and the Mountain Cap is also  
8 the same, the Folsom Dam is intact.

9 For the Foothill district Vineyard and this area  
10 called Mather are included in this visualization.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It's Mather.

12 MS. CLARK: Mather. Galt through Lodi go with San  
13 Joaquin. And then San Joaquin County is whole. Eastern  
14 Stanislaus, including Turlock, are in this Foothills  
15 district. The same area in Tulare County is split from  
16 the rest of the county.

17 And in the San Joaquin County Galt -- again, Galt  
18 through Lodi are included and this is the City of Modesto  
19 that's not included in the Merced County-based district.  
20 Those are the -- those are the districts that are  
21 different in this visualization from the previous  
22 visualization.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?  
24 Commissioner Forbes?

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, I'll just make a

1 comment; I think the first one was better. I think the  
2 finger that wraps down into Sacramento is inconsistent  
3 with what the folks up the valley would prefer. So, I  
4 think the first one I think is better, more consistent  
5 with Sacramento and the North State.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner  
7 DiGuilio?

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: This is going to be one  
9 of those balancing because I think there's benefits to  
10 both and there's problems to both, so we have to decide  
11 which probably does the least harm.

12 I think with the first district you had Rancho  
13 Cordova and Lodi, all the way -- that finger that goes  
14 down to grab Rancho Cordova right now, in the second one,  
15 before was put with Lodi into that big, long Foothill  
16 district.

17 MS. CLARK: Right, Rancho Cordova was split with  
18 that one and part of it went into the Foothills.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, so Rancho Cordova  
20 in the first one, Commissioner Forbes, was split and half  
21 went with the Sutter/Yuba and the other half went with the  
22 Foothill district.

23 In this one Rancho Cordova's not split and it's  
24 with the Sutter/Yuba one.

25 Of course, you know, in terms of San Joaquin

1 County I think having that Lodi/Galt, all that, it's --  
2 it's probably a very good district for San Joaquin County.

3 I think the problem with a couple of those is that  
4 Stanislaus County in this one is split three ways. I  
5 think it was split three ways before, though, as well. It  
6 had a -- it was split on the north/south.

7 So, I think maybe we should look at the areas that  
8 are having the biggest impact in both, in both maps and  
9 see where that goes. I know in both the maps Tulare  
10 was -- yeah, Tulare was split and maybe that's just what  
11 will have to happen to poor Tulare. That's not exactly  
12 optimal for such a small county, but since that's the case  
13 in both districts.

14 I think the real issues are in that Sacramento  
15 area, the Rancho Cordova area and Citrus Heights being  
16 able to be together in the Foothills in this one, but  
17 being split in the other one.

18 And then the other impact is going to be on that  
19 Valley -- Valley View, where in the second iteration  
20 they're split off, that COI is split in the South  
21 Sacramento, but the tradeoff is that Lodi and Galt can go  
22 with San Joaquin and Rancho Cordova can go with Sutter.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think the thing that I  
24 have the biggest concern with on the Yuba district is that  
25 by this plan you've added, I'm going to guess, 150,000 or

1 maybe as much as 200,000 urbanites to what is essentially  
2 a rural district.

3 I was troubled that, in fact, even Roseville was  
4 in it, but then it went -- but then it picked up a whole  
5 bunch of other folks and you're going to have the issue  
6 that the whole Northern Central Valley is governed by East  
7 Sacramento, and I don't think that that's the way to do  
8 it.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I share that concern, that's  
10 a lot of what we heard both in the Congressional -- about  
11 these big Congressional and Senate districts that combine  
12 these urban and rural areas is -- you know, it goes to  
13 what criteria for fair and effective representation is.  
14 You know, what happens to that representation when you  
15 have this -- this kind of concentration in one part of the  
16 district?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can you go back to the  
18 first visualization? So, my issue with this one, even  
19 though it gets rid of that, you still have a big part of  
20 all -- see, the same part of all that is still there. All  
21 you've done is taken part of Rancho Cordova out of there  
22 and you split it from its COI with Citrus Heights. So,  
23 it's that -- that district is still being heavily  
24 influenced by northwest -- northeastern Sacramento.

25 But now you have from half of Rancho Cordova and

1 parts of Lodi going all the way down to the San Bernardino  
2 County line with Inyo. And, see, Stanislaus is still  
3 split. Stanislaus County is still split three times in  
4 this district.

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Now, this is the one that  
6 keeps the API together.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: It does, yeah.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: This is the one that does  
9 keep the API together. But that -- yeah.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: But that's an exchange which we  
11 had split in the Assembly.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Is this an approximation of  
13 a nesting?

14 MS. CLARK: I'll add -- I'll add the layer again.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: While she's looking  
16 that up, I think Commissioner DiGuilio's point is very --  
17 is good. I mean we've heard so much about San Joaquin and  
18 if this is an opportunity for us to have Lodi, Galt,  
19 Stockton, Tracy whole, which I think was in the version  
20 before this one, if I'm not mistaken, if I didn't miss  
21 anything, that to me seems to be more consistent with what  
22 we've heard from day one. And that might be some  
23 consideration because we do split them up at every other  
24 level, correct, at the Assembly they're split and at  
25 Congressional.

1           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, I mean Galt, and Lodi,  
2 and Elk Grove are together.

3           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: But they're -- other  
4 than Elk Grove, as I recall, it was Galt and Lodi that  
5 wanted to be with Stockton.

6           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right, but that's --

7           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And we've had  
8 difficulty working that out but now here's an opportunity  
9 at the Senate level to blend and put them together with  
10 Stockton, at least in the first version.

11           And we're not necessarily solving the problem that  
12 you've raised, Commissioner Forbes, because Roseville is  
13 still in the Yuba district.

14           MS. CLARK: And those -- the metropolitan areas of  
15 Sacramento County --

16           COMMISSIONER DAI: They're needed for population.

17           MS. CLARK: Right, they're needed for population.  
18 And I, to the best of my ability, followed the direction  
19 of no greater than like two to three hundred thousand from  
20 Sacramento County moving out into the less-populated  
21 districts, or to the -- right, to the districts composed  
22 of less-populated counties.

23           COMMISSIONER FORBES: I don't disagree with you,  
24 Commissioner Filkins Webber, I think that -- I mean  
25 Stockton will never be with Lodi in an Assembly district,

1 there's just too many people. But this is an opportunity  
2 to put that together. And I don't particularly like the  
3 finger, but this will be called the Sacramento finger to  
4 replace the Stockton finger, for different reasons.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, is the consensus  
6 would be --

7 MS. CLARK: The --

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I'm sorry.

9 MS. CLARK: It doesn't have to necessarily be that  
10 exact shape, I can trade out population either -- I can  
11 trade out population in northern Sacramento for that, but  
12 I just had it go to Rancho Cordova because the Citrus  
13 Heights people said they wanted to be with Rancho Cordova.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Uh-hum.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, can you go back to  
16 the second visualization that does put Lodi -- because I  
17 want to see what the tradeoff -- I think if you do that,  
18 you do lose the API community in South Sacramento, that's  
19 in both the AD and SD.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, the tradeoff seems to be  
21 between the API community and Galt and Lodi.

22 MS. CLARK: So, another option for that could be  
23 to add --

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Vineyard.

25 MS. CLARK: Yes, add Vineyard and then sort of

1 move into this area for population for the Foothills  
2 district.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Commissioner Forbes, do  
4 you see any of those areas in the tan that would go into  
5 Foothill?

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's all urban.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, that's what I  
8 thought.

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It would be simply an effort  
10 to keep the API community together.

11 MS. CLARK: You're right; it would be maybe a  
12 trade for Vineyard and Rosemont.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Is the API community  
14 located in a particular spot in Vineyard?

15 MS. CLARK: One moment.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I mean we don't have to  
17 get the whole --

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: My sense about these smaller  
19 COIs, in these large districts, is that the focus should  
20 be on them being together. I mean when you -- they're not  
21 going to be -- I mean in this situation, let's just say,  
22 they're not large enough to affect the entire Senate in  
23 terms of whether you're minimizing or maximizing their  
24 representation. I think the concern is more --

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Together.

1           COMMISSIONER BLANCO:  -- togetherness in these  
2 large configurations like this.  So, it's almost -- you  
3 know, I think that's the point, it's not that they're  
4 going to have a large impact.

5           The Assembly level, a whole different question,  
6 and even Congressional, but at this level I'm not sure  
7 that there's anything more important than keeping them  
8 together in terms of effective representation.

9           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  So, we're not  
10 splitting -- as we understand it right now, we're not  
11 splitting that community of interest?

12          COMMISSIONER DAI:  Yes, we are.

13          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  Where at?

14          COMMISSIONER DAI:  Vineyard.

15          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  Well, but Vineyard is  
16 whole.  You mean you're splitting it from where, what  
17 other --

18          COMMISSIONER DAI:  From Florin and Elk Grove.

19          COMMISSIONER FORBES:  From Florin and Elk Grove.

20          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER:  Okay.  Jaime, did you  
21 have anything in response to their question about -- okay.

22          MS. CLARK:  So, right now the -- the tracts that  
23 are shown, highlighted in purple, have ten percent or more  
24 API VAP.  I can change it to just API population at all,  
25 but I'm -- I think that it will be very similar to this.

1           COMMISSIONER DAI: So, that's pretty much all of  
2 Vineyard.

3           COMMISSIONER FORBES: It's also Rancho Cordova.

4           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

5           VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: What if you raise the  
6 percentage threshold?

7           MS. CLARK: What percentage would you like to see?

8           VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Twenty.

9           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh, Rancho Cordova's still  
10 there. That's interesting.

11          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Is that community  
12 together for the CD or not, do you remember?

13          MS. CLARK: I believe so.

14          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: The Congressional layer?

15          MS. CLARK: I also have two sets of Congressional  
16 districts. But I believe that -- I believe it is.

17          VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, we can leave --  
18 we can separate Rancho Cordova and Mather because,  
19 strictly speaking, it's non-contiguous, right, so local  
20 community of interest has to be contiguous. Again, you  
21 might have to split, that's the problem. If you're  
22 actually trying to pull Vineyard in based on this set of  
23 figures or shading, you have to split. But it does  
24 tighten it up a bit.

25          COMMISSIONER FORBES: You know, I'm going to make

1 an observation that I know we had testimony about Rancho  
2 Cordova and Citrus Heights but the American River is a big  
3 barrier going north/south in East Sacramento, there's only  
4 like one bridge, and that's a real issue.

5 And so I really wonder whether Rancho Cordova  
6 belongs on the north side of the American River and,  
7 rather, should be connected to the Vineyard/Elk Grove area  
8 for the sake of having a fairly significant API community.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other  
10 suggestions, recommendations or consideration to advise Q2  
11 on which visualization --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Would you trace the American  
13 River for us? So, that's actually the boundary between  
14 Rancho Cordova and Carmichael. No? Or it splits -- it  
15 splits through, right?

16 MS. CLARK: Yes, that is the boundary between  
17 Rancho Cordova and Carmichael.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And there's only one bridge  
19 at Watt Avenue. That's a big issue, they've talked about  
20 putting a second bridge across for decades.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: What was the community of  
22 interest between Citrus Heights and Rancho Cordova? I  
23 remember the Citrus Heights wanting to be with northern  
24 Sacramento, I don't remember that piece of testimony.

25 MS. CLARK: Yeah, in Sacramento, at our last

1 public input hearing people from Citrus Heights asked that  
2 Citrus Heights remained whole and with Rancho Cordova.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And the same thing, do we  
5 remember why? They requested to be together but what was  
6 the -- just that they wanted to be.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I'm not remembering the  
8 rational.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. MacDonald, is  
10 there any way that we can look up any rationale for Citrus  
11 Heights and Rancho Cordova to aid us in making a decision  
12 on which visualization we might want to go with?

13 MS. MAC DONALD: I'll take a look.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Any other  
15 comments, questions, concerns on these visualizations  
16 while she's working on it?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I would like to go  
18 to another area of this option but if we're not -- if we  
19 still have things to work on, on this part, then I'll  
20 wait.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, does it affect  
22 this area, you think? If so, we can move there while Ms.  
23 MacDonald looks that up.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, I don't think it will  
25 affect that area.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

2           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I just wanted to look at  
3 where the split was in Stanislaus County and how that  
4 plays out.

5           I understand that that Foothill district just  
6 needs to get some population. So, you have part of  
7 Modesto -- well, part of the western part is just going to  
8 be linked with Merced, I understand that.

9           So, you have Modesto -- is it whole?

10          MS. CLARK: No, it's not whole there, some of  
11 these noncontiguous areas, but it is similar to the split  
12 in Assembly.

13          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, I see the -- I think  
14 the integrity of having Modesto in a valley district and  
15 particularly linked with those southern other communities  
16 of Ripon, Escalon, Manteca is a good match for the  
17 remainder of Modesto.

18          My only concern with this is that Turlock is being  
19 placed in a long Foothill district, as well. I know why,  
20 it's just leftover population.

21          And I do know we heard some things with Tuolumne  
22 and from Calaveras, both, actually, that they come down  
23 into -- and I know they come down in to do their bigger  
24 shopping and down into Modesto area, so there are some  
25 natural East Valley/Foothill connections that way, I just

1 don't know if it was particularly they come down to  
2 Turlock, you know, they're coming to Modesto.

3           So, I know this is a balancing act of what we're  
4 trying to do but -- and I'm sure that Ms. Clark has worked  
5 on a lot of options.

6           Of course, from San Joaquin County's point of view  
7 you can tell it's an optimal district. I'm just trying to  
8 see what ways are -- what options there are to minimize  
9 the displacement of other places, of other people.

10           MS. CLARK: Right, and that was getting Turlock  
11 out of the foothills was one of the things that was  
12 driving the other set of visualizations that we saw so  
13 that, again, would be sort of removing some of this  
14 population from Galt to Lodi, replacing it.

15           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, I see, so you're --  
16 you pushed -- the exchange was that way.

17           MS. CLARK: Yes.

18           COMMISSIONER DAI: Do you think that Galt and Lodi  
19 are better represented in the Foothills district than  
20 Turlock?

21           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Are they better? No. I  
22 mean are either one well represented in a Foothill,  
23 probably not.

24           MS. CLARK: If we really quickly revisit the  
25 Citrus Heights/Rancho Cordova.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

2 MS. CLARK: In the public input at the hearing  
3 Citrus Heights wants to be with Rancho Cordova, rather  
4 than with Folsom and Elk Grove. Put Folsom with South  
5 County COI and a Folsom Dam area, they're a strong COI and  
6 different from Citrus Heights is all that the --

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And I recall there was some  
8 discussion about the -- they let water out of the dam and  
9 it caused a problem for them, or something like that, but  
10 it didn't sound --

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: There was some -- I'm looking  
12 at my notes, now. Most of my notes were about swapping,  
13 fixing the split in Citrus Heights and replacing --  
14 swapping it with El Dorado Hills and keeping it with  
15 Sacramento is actually what I have. I don't have putting  
16 it with Rancho Cordova, but maybe somebody else has some  
17 notes on that.

18 MS. MAC DONALD: Would you like to hear their  
19 written comment?

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Sure.

21 MS. KUBAS: There's two, neither mention Rancho  
22 Cordova, but one of them says "keep Citrus Heights, the  
23 area west of it, Antelope to North Highlands, maybe over  
24 to Natomas as part of Sacramento County focused  
25 districts."

1           And the other comment says "have Citrus Heights  
2 remain in the same voting precinct of Sacramento County,  
3 not Placer County."

4           COMMISSIONER FORBES: So, they really didn't deal  
5 with Rancho Cordova per se.

6           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I don't -- I don't see  
7 anything in my notes with Rancho Cordova.

8           MS. MAC DONALD: I have one more which was a  
9 hearing comment, and that was that Shingle Springs should  
10 be with Rancho Cordova and that Rocklin and Roseville are  
11 similar to Citrus Heights and Orangevale.

12          MS. CLARK: For context, Shingle Springs is in El  
13 Dorado County, it's right next to -- just east of Cameron  
14 Park in El Dorado Hills.

15          COMMISSIONER FORBES: So, there really isn't a  
16 connection between Rancho Cordova and Citrus Heights in  
17 terms of the testimony.

18          COMMISSIONER DAI: It doesn't sound like it. So,  
19 if we can -- and that sounds like we can --

20          MS. CLARK: Right, there are just a couple of the  
21 spoken testimony.

22          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, any  
23 recommendations based on the testimony we have at this  
24 point, whether it be Vineyard and Rancho Cordova, it looks  
25 like?

1           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Can we go back to the other  
2 one, the first one? So, that keeps Vineyard, Elk Grove  
3 and Rancho Cordova all together, so the API is together  
4 then in that one.

5           MS. CLARK: Rancho Cordova is not included in  
6 this.

7           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh, okay. Okay, and that's  
8 for population.

9           MS. CLARK: And because -- oh. In public hearings  
10 Rancho Cordova hasn't been included in that COI, or no one  
11 has said out loud that Rancho Cordova is included.

12           COMMISSIONER FORBES: And where's Lodi on that  
13 map?

14           MS. CLARK: Lodi is with the Foothills.

15           COMMISSIONER FORBES: I see, there they are, okay.

16           COMMISSIONER DAI: So, Galt and Lodi are together,  
17 but they're in with the Foothills.

18           MS. CLARK: Yes.

19           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can you zoom out for a  
20 second, is this where Turlock is in the valley floor?

21           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, Turlock is with the rest  
22 of San Joaquin.

23           MS. CLARK: Oh, yes.

24           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, you can keep the  
25 integrity of the -- if you take Rancho Cordova out, you

1 can keep the integrity of the API community. The switch  
2 them becomes whether you want to put Turlock with the  
3 Foothills or the Lodi/Galt area with the Foothills.

4 So, you can have this part of your cake and eat  
5 it, and then you have to go down and make a decision  
6 between Turlock or Lodi/Galt in with the foothills. Is  
7 that -- am I making that --

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: It seems like if it -- if we  
9 can do that switch, that putting Galt and Lodi with San  
10 Joaquin and putting Turlock in the Foothills would be --  
11 would do less harm.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Would do less harm?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Again, it's a switch between  
14 Galt/Lodi and Turlock, I think.

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I mean I'm inclined to agree  
16 with you that -- I mean, Turlock in the Foothills doesn't  
17 mean much to me, but Galt and Lodi in the Foothills means  
18 less. I mean just --

19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: What's the population of  
20 Turlock?

21 MS. CLARK: 68,000 is the population of Turlock.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Because we did get testimony  
23 about -- as Commissioner DiGuilio pointed out, we did get  
24 testimony going between Stanislaus and --

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Calaveras. Stanislaus,

1 Tuolumne, and Calaveras.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, those three counties.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I don't think there's any  
4 east/west highway down to Turlock, but that's besides the  
5 point.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, they can -- they'll just  
7 have to through another district for that. I mean, if --

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Because right now  
9 Stanislaus is split in three parts as it is, it would just  
10 be a matter of dropping that pink boundary down to get  
11 Turlock. Yeah, right there. So, you'd be putting it with  
12 still some of the part that's in the Foothills.

13 I guess I feel like this is the one chance for  
14 Lodi to be with its home county and --

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I agree.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: That would be more compact  
17 looking, too.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah.

19 MS. CLARK: Would you like to see that  
20 visualization again?

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And so can you merge  
22 that --

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: The top part of this  
24 visualization with the bottom part of the other  
25 visualization.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: We like Sacramento area  
2 for visualization one and we like the Turlock/Lodi  
3 visualization for the two, is that --

4           MS. CLARK: Can I just repeat back some of what  
5 I've been hearing?

6           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

7           MS. CLARK: Is that in the Sacramento area, for  
8 the Yuba district remove Rancho Cordova from the Yuba  
9 district and pick up population in northern Sacramento  
10 County and maybe northern City of Sacramento.

11          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Is that -- so, you're  
12 saying so you can keep Rancho Cordova whole?

13          MS. CLARK: Yes.

14          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because right now it's  
15 split so you're trying to pick up population?

16          MS. CLARK: Yes.

17          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

18          MS. CLARK: Which would mean either splitting the  
19 City of Sacramento, splitting the Census place of North  
20 Highlands, or splitting the Census place of Arden Arcade.

21          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Or to keep the split in  
22 Rancho Cordova.

23          MS. CLARK: Or to keep the split in the City of  
24 Rancho Cordova.

25          COMMISSIONER DAI: But the split right now is

1 along the American River, is that right?

2 MS. CLARK: No. This is the American River and  
3 everything south and east of the American River is Rancho  
4 Cordova, so all of this.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Forbes, do you see  
6 any area on the north part to make the swap for Rancho  
7 Cordova?

8 MS. CLARK: Maybe this area of North Highlands. I  
9 know I'm not Commissioner Forbes.

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I'd come there --

11 (Laughter)

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I mean you could come down  
13 toward the airport, which is where the 70 is, but you're  
14 not going to get many people there, that's still -- that's  
15 Natomas, this area right here.

16 What's the population of Rancho Cordova, 65,000  
17 people?

18 MS. CLARK: Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's tough.

20 MS. CLARK: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's hard.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: 65,000 people are in the  
23 green district right now?

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, it's 65,000 are right  
25 there.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, I thought she was  
2 going to try and keep -- are you going to try and put  
3 Rancho Cordova into Yuba in its entirety or keep --

4 MS. CLARK: I'm going to try and take Rancho  
5 Cordova out of Yuba.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Which is just that --

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, yeah, so what's the  
8 small --

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Which is just that part  
10 right there?

11 MS. CLARK: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: How much is that?

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay, how much is there?

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And then, Commissioner  
15 Forbes, you know, Rancho Cordova will be in that long  
16 Foothill district, too.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah. At least on Highway  
18 50, which is a slight connector.

19 MS. CLARK: 40,000.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: 40,000. That's still pretty  
21 tough.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, we either have to  
23 keep that split or --

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, you'd have to --

25 MS. CLARK: I would imagine adding some of North

1 Highlands, ideally making North Highlands whole and  
2 then --

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's that little strip  
4 right up in there.

5 MS. CLARK: Okay. And then somewhere just in the  
6 northern part of the City of Sacramento.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Does that sound like  
8 a good general direction?

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Be sure to get all this --

10 MS. CLARK: And then to balance out -- and then to  
11 balance out this district add as much of Rancho Cordova as  
12 possible?

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yes.

14 MS. CLARK: There's nowhere else to make up the  
15 population.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I know.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, you're saying you'd  
19 still have to split Rancho Cordova, but on a different  
20 location?

21 MS. CLARK: Yes, unless -- unless the switch was  
22 bigger, unless all of Rancho Cordova went into -- went  
23 into this Sacramento district and then --

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And the one thing we're  
25 getting out of this is we're getting -- we're getting the

1 API community combined.

2 MS. CLARK: Yes.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is that what we're getting  
4 out of this for that move?

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, it's already combined  
6 right now with the split in Rancho Cordova, so what we're  
7 trying to do is try to reduce the split in Rancho Cordova.  
8 But if it's a logical split line right now I would say not  
9 go to the effort.

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, that's my thing, I'm  
11 not sure --

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If it has to be --

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- I'm not sure the benefit  
14 is worth it, unless I'm missing something.

15 MS. CLARK: So --

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, the split right now in  
17 Rancho Cordova, is that along 50?

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

19 MS. CLARK: More west, it's very hard to see this.  
20 Basically, this section where sort of the bottom of the  
21 wrist to the fingers goes is north of the 50.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh, I see, yeah.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean I would say,  
24 Commissioner Forbes, unless there's a better split that  
25 that might be a very decent split around the highway.

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I agree.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Maybe this could be an  
3 area to flag for fine tuning at another point, if we need  
4 to.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can make a note.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, so we like this northern  
7 part, let's leave it as is?

8 MS. CLARK: So, there's no direction for Yuba or  
9 Sac districts right now?

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, but now I thought in  
11 the other -- Commissioner DiGuilio, Galt is now in the  
12 Foothill.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah. Well, this is -- I  
14 think we were doing this, the first part is the  
15 Sacramento/Yuba one, is that correct, so we can keep this  
16 as the integrity because that doesn't affect the  
17 Lodi/Galt.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, now we can look in  
20 Foothill?

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I would leave this one  
22 just as --

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Is that correct?

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, do that.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, now, if that's okay  
2 we can talk about the Foothill and the swap for Lodi/Galt  
3 with Turlock.

4           MS. CLARK: Okay, just one second, please. So,  
5 would you like to look at the Foothills or --

6           COMMISSIONER FORBES: Uh-hum.

7           MS. CLARK: Okay.

8           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I think the  
9 idea was to take a look at San Joaquin, is that right?  
10 Because we are trying to combine, possibly, some of these  
11 options at this point, if possible, or tell us where the  
12 issue is at because --

13          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: The southern part of that  
14 Foothill district doesn't change in either visualization,  
15 is that correct?

16          MS. CLARK: That's correct.

17          COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, now we're just  
18 working on it, yeah.

19          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So, we like SNJOA at  
20 this point, in this version and we like SAC in the last  
21 version that you showed. So, the idea is how to combine  
22 those two visualizations together for the last discussion  
23 we had at SAC, and then maintaining this SNJOA. Is that  
24 my understanding of the Commission's desire in looking at  
25 these two districts?

1 Commissioner DiGuilio, is that what you were in  
2 favor of?

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That's what I understand  
4 it to be at this point, as long as it doesn't -- as long  
5 as that's -- the integrity of both those maps can be kept,  
6 those parts.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But I'll say right now I  
8 think that the -- it's more important -- if you had to  
9 choose, as you're fiddling with this, that the Galt/Lodi  
10 with Stockton should have precedence over the other.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Should have  
12 precedence over, I'm sorry, over --

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: The iteration that keeps the  
14 API together.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: But I think we can have  
16 our cake and eat it both with keeping that --

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: If we can, that's great.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: We had that -- what we  
19 decided was to have the split in Rancho Cordova and then  
20 you can keep the API community in the Sacramento area.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Jaime, do you see  
22 how -- what our general direction is? Do you -- do you  
23 see where you have any difficulty that you would need  
24 direction on in order to maintain the first version of  
25 SAC, that had Vineyard in it, and then this version of San

1 Joaquin? Do you foresee any issues you'd like us to  
2 address in trying to combine both of those visualizations?

3 MS. CLARK: I think that the -- between Yuba and  
4 Sacramento is fine, because I can just move this line --  
5 this line up, move this line down, and then pick up  
6 population here.

7 So, I think that the split will be about the same  
8 for Rancho Cordova. Vineyard is approximately 25,000  
9 people. So, if the split in Rancho Cordova, which was  
10 similar, if you remember in the last visualization, then  
11 there was about 40,000 people that went into Yuba. So,  
12 Rancho Cordova is 65,000 people. Yes, it's fine, it will  
13 work.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Does that? Okay.  
15 Any further -- yeah, sometimes you have to walk it  
16 through, talk it through, I understand.

17 MS. CLARK: Yeah.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Any other questions about  
19 these districts, otherwise we probably need to move on.  
20 Okay.

21 MS. CLARK: Okay, is there any direction for the  
22 San Joaquin County district?

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is there any affect  
24 based on the last direction we gave you?

25 MS. CLARK: No.

1           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. So, does  
2 anybody else have any questions, concerns? I think we  
3 liked this because of the Galt, Lodi and Stockton  
4 together. Okay, we're good.

5           MS. CLARK: And then this Foothill district?

6           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions,  
7 concerns?

8           Okay, we've shortened that from the last one,  
9 correct?

10          MS. CLARK: Well, how Inyo is added.

11          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Now Inyo's added,  
12 okay.

13          MS. CLARK: Yeah, it moved south.

14          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It moved south, okay.  
15 Okay, any other questions? No? Okay, seeing none, we'll  
16 go ahead and move on.

17          MS. CLARK: This is the Merced County Section 5  
18 district; it has not changed since you've last seen it.  
19 Oh, actually, I think the change is that now West Modesto  
20 is included in western Stanislaus County and that COI.

21          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And when you -- I'm  
22 sorry, you said there was a change which was?

23          MS. CLARK: West Modesto was added, which is a  
24 small Census place just outside of the City of Modesto.

25          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. And once you

1 made those changes, that's what was sent to Mr. Brown?

2 MS. CLARK: Yes.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so just so the  
4 Commission knows, Mr. Brown has stated that this is okay  
5 for Section 5 and it's non-retrogressive.

6 MS. CLARK: What about for the Yuba districts, was  
7 there any word from Gibson Dunn about --

8 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, non-  
9 retrogressive.

10 MS. CLARK: Okay.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: At the Senate level,  
12 yes.

13 MS. CLARK: Next is this Kings district. I do  
14 have another visualization that splits the City of Tulare.

15 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, so a question.  
16 Is this drawn at a -- is it above 50 percent Latino CVAP?

17 MS. CLARK: Yes.

18 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

19 MS. CLARK: So, would you like to -- the last time  
20 I had direction from the Commission to redraw this, but to  
21 make this -- basically, this area that sort of joins  
22 Visalia and the City of Tulare with this area. Would you  
23 like to see the visualization for -- the alternative for  
24 Kings County?

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure. Now, did Mr.

1 Brown see both of these?

2 MS. CLARK: Yes.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. For the  
4 Commission, Mr. Brown stated that this was okay for a  
5 Section 5. I'm assuming that he might be referring to  
6 both of them, at least as far as the numbers are  
7 concerned, it's non-retrogressive.

8 And, again, this now appears to be a potential  
9 Section 2. So, from Mr. Brown's perspective and maybe we  
10 can talk this out a little bit, looking a little closer at  
11 the areas that are in the top right-hand area of this  
12 district it's very similar in both versions, is we have  
13 the Highway 99 corridor and some of the COI that we have  
14 accepted on that corridor, and if whether or not -- he's  
15 asking if there are -- the rest of the district, from that  
16 right upper corner, is connected with the rest of Kings  
17 and maybe we can take a look at some of those cities in  
18 there.

19 I don't -- I think that Ms. Henderson had provided  
20 more detailed information from him, so if anybody has any  
21 questions.

22 The numbers are still the same, it still is a  
23 Section 2, and it's still non-retrogressive. It's just  
24 taking a look at the community of interest testimony that  
25 combines that upper right corner with the rest of Kings

1 County, so maybe we can take a look at some of that.

2 MS. CLARK: Do you mean this area?

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, I believe so; I  
4 think that's what he means by that right top portion of  
5 the district.

6 MS. CLARK: I think maybe that's referring to the  
7 Kings Congressional district.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: No, he has it under  
9 the SD because he says the Kings Congressional is okay for  
10 Section 5, non-retrogressive, which is also a potential  
11 Section 2, but he had had some questions about the  
12 communities up in that area, which is Fresno.

13 MS. CLARK: Okay. In -- the only thing that has  
14 changed in this visualization is that this area used to  
15 come up north like this, and so there was more of a like a  
16 scoop this way, and the City of Tulare was not split.

17 I believe that that could be in reference to  
18 Congressional because, if the Commission remembers, I  
19 redrew the Kings Congressional district so that there was  
20 a little area that came up to Dinuba.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we'll check  
22 with him.

23 MS. CLARK: Okay.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I just thought maybe  
25 you might have known what he was referring to.

1 MS. CLARK: But I did also redraw this district  
2 per Commission direction, so that --

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: It was less of a scoop, but we  
4 have a city split, now?

5 MS. CLARK: Yes. Yeah.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, that was the tradeoff  
7 is that.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I guess the question for  
9 the Commission is we're getting a little more compact  
10 district here, but we're splitting a city, which is a  
11 higher ranked criterion.

12 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, neither one  
13 matters so much if this is a Section 2 district.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But they both are over.

15 MS. CLARK: Both of them are over 50 percent  
16 LCVAP.

17 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, we can make -- we  
18 have discretion, I think, under section -- there's a  
19 couple of issues. One, is this ultimately compact for  
20 Gingles purposes, which is one, and then -- which actually  
21 supersedes the -- what we decided in terms of split.

22 But we have a choice. So, as long as we feel  
23 they're -- either option is geographically compact for  
24 Gingles purposes, we can start looking at our traditional  
25 criteria and work within those guidelines.

1           But I still have a -- that's why I wanted Mr.  
2 Brown's opinion on the compactness under Gingles to kind  
3 of confirm that.

4           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, we're having -- I don't  
5 like having sidebar conversations, so I'll share it.  
6 We're just trying to figure out -- I was pointing out that  
7 the -- if it's Section 2, and that's the number two  
8 criteria, but the discussion was whether the city split  
9 would affect the Section 2 at all, like putting it back  
10 together? And I don't know the answer to that question or  
11 whether it keeps the CVAP the same to put the city back  
12 together.

13           MS. CLARK: I think that in this visualization,  
14 which has the City of Tulare split, then the LCVAP is .4  
15 percent higher than if the City of Tulare was not split.

16           COMMISSIONER DAI: But they're both over 50  
17 percent.

18           MS. CLARK: They're both over 50 percent.

19           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And they're under right now  
20 in terms of the population, right, it's under?

21           MS. CLARK: This is -- this is .67 percent over  
22 the ideal value.

23           COMMISSIONER DAI: And what is it on the other  
24 one?

25           MS. CLARK: Let's look at the other one.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, basically, yeah, they  
2 both -- the CVAPs aren't going to change in either  
3 visualization, it's a matter of whether there's issues of  
4 compactness, maybe, in the Gingles criteria and the city  
5 split, those are the issues we're dealing with; is that  
6 correct?

7           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right. I do think we should  
8 address -- I saw Mr. Brown's e-mail, as well, and I do  
9 think he is asking us to -- and we had a long conversation  
10 about this, about those cities that are around on the 99  
11 at the top. I mean we've done it in several parts in  
12 the -- in the valley this is a recurring --

13           COMMISSIONER DAI: Theme.

14           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- theme for us that we --  
15 that the cities, the small towns, really, that border 99  
16 tend to be very closely related and they -- they -- the  
17 way those cities are -- those -- that area's built out,  
18 people don't live out in the -- away from the 99, that's  
19 where they cluster.

20           COMMISSIONER DAI: They cluster around 99.

21           COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And so what looks like a  
22 strange configuration really has to do with where people  
23 live, that they don't tend to settle off too far to the  
24 east or west of the 99.

25           COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I prefer this one, the

1 deviation is tighter, it's still a Section 2 and we don't  
2 split Tulare.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And it was the prettiness  
4 factor that led us to -- to look at the other one.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ancheta,  
6 do you have any opinion on the Gingles compactness  
7 compared to the two, are they equally --

8 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- equal arguments, I  
10 guess, for both districts?

11 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is always the  
12 somewhat subjective nature of compactness. You know, I've  
13 seen worse, I've seen better. Frankly, I mean --

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I mean this is --  
15 the other one, if you -- yeah, if you were to look at it  
16 for what you call prettiness, or compactness, it seems to  
17 make more sense the other way, but I don't know if it's  
18 worth the price of splitting a small community that  
19 will -- I mean right now it's being lumped all the way up  
20 into Tulare, Kern and wrapping around as it is. I think  
21 the split; it would probably be -- disenfranchise it even  
22 more.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Anything  
24 further?

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And I think we have to

1 remember this shape down here is driven by the Section 5  
2 surrounding counties.

3           So, to some extent what -- some of it we have no  
4 control over, so the things that we have tried to do  
5 within our control is group the small towns along the 99  
6 together.

7           In this one we -- what was within our control is  
8 to split or not split a city. The rest is pretty much  
9 predetermined for us.

10           So, just -- I'm just walking us through it because  
11 I know that this is one of the things that we said we  
12 would do more on each visualization.

13           So, I think it's important to remember what we're  
14 starting with and then what we tried to do to at least get  
15 into the first two -- the first four criteria, the Voting  
16 Rights Act and then the communities of interest.

17           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And it is -- I mean  
18 Tulare as a city is linked as a valley -- the integrity of  
19 it is a valley community, even though the physical  
20 district goes up and around the east, the valley as the  
21 travel between Bakersfield and Tulare will be up and down  
22 the 99, it will be a straight shot, right.

23           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything further to  
24 add on this? So, this is the version that you would like  
25 Q2 to work with? It appears so, we can move on.

1 MS. CLARK: Okay. And then the -- I think there's  
2 only one more district that -- Senate district that I  
3 would like to present, it's the Santa Barbara and Ventura  
4 district. This has not changed since last time you saw  
5 it. It's the County of Santa Barbara, the Santa Barbara  
6 and Ventura Islands, and then much of the County of  
7 Ventura, the City of Ventura, Oxnard, Port Hueneme,  
8 Camarillo, and the 126 corridor. There are no splits.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Great, I see  
10 applause.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think the Central Coast  
12 should be very pleased with how it's been linked.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: As should Simi Valley,  
14 Moorpark, and Thousand Oaks.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think they should as  
16 well, too, the whole area.

17 And Commissioner Aguirre?

18 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: I'm pleased.

19 (Laughter)

20 MS. CLARK: As long as Commissioner Aguirre's  
21 happy.

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Is Tamina going to cover  
23 the Monterey one or is that --

24 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah.

25 MS. CLARK: Yes. Are you ready?

1 MS. ALON: Okay, moving north we'll go to  
2 Monterey. This is the Monterey Senate district which was  
3 driven by the shape of this one. So, to meet the  
4 benchmark it came down to San Luis Obispo, and then it  
5 comes up north. And it takes Santa Cruz County, in its  
6 entirety, and then does Gilroy, San Martin, and Morgan  
7 Hill and a little bit of San Jose, just for population and  
8 LVAP.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?  
10 Commissioner DiGuilio, did you want to see something?

11 MS. ALON: Okay. San Jose, and I think you've  
12 seen these, most of them before. So, San Jose takes the  
13 Santa Clara, Cupertino area and this eastern part of San  
14 Jose. This area has the little Saigon and Evergreen COIs  
15 together, whereas north you have the Berryessa and East  
16 Foothills and downtown together.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward?

18 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I was just wondering if  
19 we could spell out exactly what COI we're expecting with  
20 this vision, just to explain it some, that corridor or  
21 barbell shape?

22 MS. ALON: Sure. Well, this barbell shape is --  
23 this area is mostly uninhabited, really, so -- actually,  
24 it is pretty much uninhabited, I think there's like 400  
25 people in this whole area. So, if you want to put them

1 with this one you can, I guess. It's just they -- yeah,  
2 that space, much like the one north of it, needed to be  
3 put somewhere.

4 But the lines over here are just so that we keep  
5 the Berryessa, the Eastern San Jose and downtown areas  
6 together, and then right underneath it we have Evergreen  
7 and Little Saigon over here.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And just for Commissioner  
9 Ward's clarification and for those that are in that area,  
10 does it matter where that open space goes? Is there a  
11 logical place or is it just kind of a default for the open  
12 space? With the San Jose or with the Fremont area,  
13 FREQOAK?

14 MS. ALON: It's here because it's in this county,  
15 by the way.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, okay.

17 MS. ALON: This is Santa Clara County, that's the  
18 only reason I kept it with this one.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That seems to make sense,  
20 then.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think initially  
22 you had it with the district above and we directed you to  
23 keep it with its home county so --

24 MS. ALON: Yeah.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It looks good, go

1 ahead, Tamina.

2 MS. ALON: Okay. Okay, so this is San Mateo  
3 County. It takes most of San Mateo County and then right  
4 here to the Sunnyvale/Cupertino border, so it doesn't  
5 split the cities. It comes up north to the line you've  
6 seen before here, in Daly City and a small split in South  
7 San Francisco, just what is needed in order to not go over  
8 the bridge.

9 And San Francisco you've seen before, San  
10 Francisco being whole.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?  
12 Looks good.

13 MS. ALON: Okay.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Wish they would all  
15 be that easy with only a little, tiny split in the city.

16 MS. ALON: Okay, so here's -- it says FREQOAK  
17 because it used to have Fremont and Oakland in it, but it  
18 doesn't anymore.

19 This is the Hayward, Castro Valley, majority of  
20 the Eden area, plus the Fremont/Newark/Union City COI,  
21 plus the Milpitas and Berryessa area COIs all together.

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And in this we did  
23 have to split San Leandro slightly; is that correct?

24 MS. ALON: Yes, this is where we have our San  
25 Leandro split. Over here I think it's two Census tracts,

1 and it's about -- it's 7,554 people. And so what I did  
2 was I came up -- I really minimized it to the extent that  
3 I could, I came right up to the deviation up here in the  
4 Richmond district, just what's called the Richmond  
5 district, in order to try to make that as small as  
6 possible.

7 But if I were to move -- move it all together into  
8 one district, then the Richmond district would be 1.8  
9 percent over -- so it would be over the deviation and then  
10 the -- this lower district here would be over negative one  
11 percent. So, I minimized it to the extent that I could,  
12 but could not include it.

13 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, this is a  
14 really challenging area. I think we have gotten to a  
15 point where we have San Leandro whole in the Congressional  
16 and in the Assembly district. In the Senate district if  
17 feels like a double whammy because they are not with the  
18 Eden area and they are split.

19 I've worked with Tamina to look at the street  
20 level detail and where the split happened. I think if we  
21 had to make a split in San Leandro, the location of it  
22 makes sense, but it's -- it's not ideal, it really is not.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And you're saying double  
24 whammy because some folks would say that they belong in  
25 the district below?

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Which we have received  
3 testimony about.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And can you remind  
5 me, Tamina, whether -- because I know it's not in the  
6 Assembly, but in the Congressional is San Leandro with the  
7 Eden area?

8 MS. ALON: Is it not in order to keep Richmond  
9 whole and due to the Fremont Coalition's testimony that  
10 they wanted to keep -- they wanted to trade out San  
11 Leandro for these areas.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's challenging  
13 because I think we have had -- we have a significant COI  
14 with the Eden area and we are -- through our current  
15 visualizations we are not preserving the Eden COI in any  
16 of our districts.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you tell me what  
18 you mean by Eden COI, because I don't see anything up  
19 there that says Eden.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah. So, as I  
21 understand it the Eden COI is the San Leandro, Ashland,  
22 San Lorenzo, Fairview, and Castro Valley areas.

23 Is that correct, Tamina?

24 MS. ALON: And some include Hayward.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's true. And

1 some of those are incorporated areas and some are  
2 unincorporated areas.

3 MS. ALON: And Cherryland.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, and  
5 Cherryland.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, remind me what  
7 happened in the AD in this area?

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: San Leandro was  
9 kept whole; it was kept in the Oakland district.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, it went north, okay.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh-hum.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, in order to get San  
13 Leandro into the FREOAK you would have to -- I'm assuming  
14 you either have to go down into San Jose or you're going  
15 to have to go into Alameda and pick up. You either have  
16 to go over the hill into Alameda and pick someone up or --  
17 well, it's probably -- it looks like you'd have to come up  
18 and around, so you'd have to wrap up and around, right?

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is Fremont whole in  
20 this district?

21 MS. ALON: Yes, it is. Oh, hold on, let me check.  
22 Yes, it is.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's whole and it's with the  
24 Tri-Cities.

25 MS. ALON: It is whole, it is with the Tri-Cities,

1 and it is with the Fremont/Milberry [phon.]/Berryessa COI.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is there -- but  
3 there are parts of San Jose in this district, in FREQOAK,  
4 that are not part of the Milpitas or the Berryessa -- just  
5 parts of San Jose proper; is that correct?

6 MS. ALON: Just this.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And there's not  
8 much population there?

9 MS. ALON: No.

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, what I was  
11 trying to figure out is if we shaved off some of San Jose  
12 would that allow us room to bring San Leandro into the  
13 district?

14 MS. ALON: I tried that. San Leandro is 84,000  
15 so -- and this is -- I think I can maybe get six or seven  
16 thousand out of here.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other areas you  
18 want to look at to --

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: See, is part of -- part  
20 of San Leandro's a part of the Castro Valley, that's what  
21 they all -- that's part of it, right?

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, yeah.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Because you're -- yeah.

24 MS. ALON: And I pushed right up to the one  
25 percent deviation here.

1           COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, it seems like the  
2 choice is either to break off -- you could put San Leandro  
3 in, but you'd either have to break off something like  
4 Castro Valley or part of Fremont, right, those are your  
5 access points to other places.

6           Because I think if you break off San Jose you'd  
7 have to wrap -- you know, that's pushing too much  
8 population down into Monterey and you can't go up the  
9 Peninsula, you'd have to wrap back and around.

10          COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: What would our  
11 options look like if you were to look at either -- I mean  
12 if I'm looking at the numbers, how far up would it take us  
13 on the southern end, on the Santa Clara portion of the  
14 FREOAK district? Like what would we have to take out in  
15 order to put San Leandro in?

16          MS. ALON: You'd probably have to take out --  
17 you'd have to split the San Jose neighborhoods here and  
18 then that population would have to come from splitting  
19 Sunnyvale, because this is a hard line with Monterey. And  
20 then that population would keep moving up and you'd go  
21 over the bridge.

22          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Push up and then you  
23 go over the bridge.

24          COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I mean I just have  
25 a real concern because we have -- we have a COI that we

1 are not respecting in any of the district levels. I think  
2 we've made tradeoffs in various places and I think  
3 compounded by the fact that some of the -- a good portion  
4 of the Eden area is actually unincorporated areas, which  
5 have very unique challenges I'm trying to explore whether  
6 we have any options at our disposal.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The only thing I  
8 would say in that regard is that if -- that's why I asked  
9 what the Eden area was because it appears that that might  
10 be the only city that is left out of it, but otherwise the  
11 rest of what, I guess, is being called as Eden Valley is  
12 together. Yeah, Castro Valley, San Lorenzo, Fairview, and  
13 I think you had even added Hayward in there as far as the  
14 larger population goes.

15 Because the potential ripple effects that we're  
16 seeing, now, because then even if you take them out, then  
17 we're going to have the population issue in the Richmond  
18 district, which we struggled with at the north end several  
19 times.

20 Any other suggestions?

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, I just have a question  
22 about going back down to San Jose, just trying to see how  
23 much of San Jose is in this -- how much is in this Senate  
24 district as opposed to the --

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: San Jose one.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- San Jose one?

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Because the San Jose one is  
3 under-populated.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, but for 80 -- how  
5 many thousand, 80,000? I mean that's --

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, and there's what, Tamina  
7 said there's 7,000 of San Jose in the Milpitas district  
8 right now?

9 MS. ALON: I can tell you. The problem with this  
10 line here is that --

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: That's the Berryessa district,  
12 right?

13 MS. ALON: Yeah, this is like right shaving the  
14 end of Berryessa on downtown.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And if you can't go up  
16 the Peninsula and across the bridge, and you can't go down  
17 to Monterey, that seems like your choice is to take that  
18 part of San Jose and link it back up with the San Ramon,  
19 and then you could push your population and then you'd  
20 have to take -- you know, you're going to have to go this  
21 way and so then you'd get into the San Jose being linked  
22 with Alameda County, and then you'd still have to take  
23 something out, anyway.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah. I think with  
25 all those considerations in mind, I think we have done the

1 best we can do. Again, it's this balance of trying to --  
2 everybody are neighbors here, very close neighbors and,  
3 again, we have San Leandro whole in both the Assembly and  
4 the Congressional. We've managed to maintain nearly all  
5 of the Eden areas. And the part that we're splitting off  
6 is the largest city of the cluster.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay. The other thing,  
8 I'm assuming maybe, Commissioner Galambos Malloy, if the  
9 point is really trying to get in San Leandro, could you  
10 get in the bulk of San Leandro and maybe keep a coastal --  
11 you'd have to do like a swap of splitting --

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Coastal for inland?

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Coastal Hayward and  
14 put -- you know, you have to split Hayward and put that  
15 with the Oakland, and then you could split a big part of  
16 San Leandro and --

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's not really a coast.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay. Well, I'm just  
19 saying that that --

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, no, no. I mean it's a  
21 good idea but it's really -- I don't think it's people  
22 think of it like that it's really those cities are just --  
23 it's more like --

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And I think west of

1 the 800 the population density is not -- conceptually it  
2 could work, but in terms of where the numbers are at I  
3 think that would be very difficult to do. So, again, I  
4 think it's a lesser of evils situation.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: You'd end up with a really  
6 thin strip.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I was trying to  
8 isolate the exchange right there instead of wrapping all  
9 the way around. So, okay.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think just one  
11 further comment, just also recognizing Alameda and the  
12 community of interest testimony we had with Dublin,  
13 Pleasanton and Livermore to the east, the Berryessa and  
14 Alum Rock areas to the south. You've got community of  
15 interests all around that are actually being preserved in  
16 this district with just, again, this balance, and we see  
17 where some of the sacrifices might have to be made.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: One question,  
19 Tamina, is the areas that are just -- that are on the  
20 eastern side of the FREOAK district, that are largely  
21 unpopulated, would including -- or would changing where  
22 some of those areas go allow you enough space to make San  
23 Leandro whole?

24 MS. ALON: No, unfortunately not, there's really  
25 no one who lives up there.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward?

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: That actually was similar to  
4 my question. On the most northern boundary of FREOAK  
5 district, what is the dividing line there?

6 MS. ALON: This is the county line.

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: It's the county line?

8 MS. ALON: Yeah.

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: Thank you.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything further on  
11 this district.

12 Oh, Commissioner Ancheta wanted to go back to  
13 another district before we move forward.

14 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Just really quick, what  
15 part of South San Francisco is in the San Francisco  
16 district? I think I asked this last time but it's just  
17 the deviation's a little bit bigger and we might be able  
18 to keep it together.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it's a good thought  
20 because we have split them, I think, in everything.

21 MS. ALON: What part of -- oh, South San  
22 Francisco?

23 VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: South San Francisco.

24 MS. ALON: Oh, I used to know that number off the  
25 top of my head. Hold on a minute.

1           VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Because I think we  
2 couldn't do it last time because the deviation would have  
3 been too great.

4           COMMISSIONER DAI: This was a COI issue I think,  
5 was it not?

6           CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You mean to split,  
7 Commissioner Dai?

8           COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

9           MS. ALON: That is 12,000 people.

10          VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, which -- just  
11 refresh my memory, which parts of South City were sort of  
12 part of the -- this is the API COI, is that right?

13          COMMISSIONER DAI: I think so. Tamina, because I  
14 remember I asked this question, too, whether there was a  
15 more logical place to split South San Francisco and you  
16 said it was based on the API COI.

17          MS. ALON: Yes, this is -- this is the line that  
18 the API submissions drew.

19          VICE-CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Leave it.

20          CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we can move  
21 forward.

22          MS. ALON: Okay. In this district you've spoken  
23 to Jaime about, it starts the same thing, Livermore,  
24 Pleasanton, Dublin and Sunol coming up the 680 corridor to  
25 La Marinda, and then up through Concord, Bay Point,

1 Pittsburgh, Antioch.

2 We do have all of eastern Contra Costa County  
3 together.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Whole. Great.

5 MS. ALON: In this visualization. And then the  
6 tradeoff for that is, as you know, this Martinez/Pleasant  
7 Hill area.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it looks good.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions  
10 on this district? Seeing none, okay.

11 MS. ALON: And then this is just the northern end  
12 of the part of that we were talking about with San  
13 Leandro. We go all the way up the 80 corridor here to  
14 Rodeo. So, Richmond is whole, as I was directed, as are  
15 the other communities around here, San Leandro is the only  
16 split.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions? Okay,  
18 looks good.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I have to ask  
20 because the size of Rodeo is such that my mind goes  
21 towards potentially swapping it out for that piece of San  
22 Leandro.

23 But I'm sure you have already looked at that.

24 MS. ALON: Yeah, it fixes this side but then it  
25 doesn't fix the other side of the FREOAK district.

1 So, good thinking, though, and yes, I did try it.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

3 MS. ALON: I believe that's the end of --

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can I see Santa Clara?

5 MS. ALON: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: The Senate district down  
7 there in Silicon Valley? I just know that we've gotten  
8 some comments about whether Silicon Valley, as a valley,  
9 should be kept as a high-tech district and I wanted to  
10 look at how that --

11 MS. ALON: We -- the COI that was mentioned to me  
12 was the Golden Triangle, which kind of does this bit, and  
13 that is preserved in Congress, but it was not preserved in  
14 the Senate.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay. All right, but we  
16 have that triangle in Congress?

17 MS. ALON: Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions  
20 about the Senate districts? Anything further, Tamina or  
21 Jaime, that you might need at the Senate level that we  
22 haven't addressed?

23 MS. ALON: Not from me.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, and so we would  
25 be ready to move to Congress. Why don't we go ahead and

1 take a ten-minute break and we'll come back to the  
2 Congressional districts in Northern California.

3 (Off the record at 3:09 p.m.)

4 --oOo--

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25