

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the Matter of
Full Commission Business Meeting

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law
Classroom C
3200 Fifth Avenue
Sacramento, California

VOLUME I

Wednesday, July 8, 2011

9:00 A.M.

Reported by:
Kent Odell

APPEARANCES

Commissioners Present

Jodie Filkins Webber, Chairperson

Angelo Ancheta, Vice Chairperson

Gabino T. Aguirre

Vincent Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle Di Guilio

Stanley Forbes

Connie Galambos Malloy

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai

M. Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Peter Yao

Staff Present

Kirk Miller, Legal Counsel

Janeece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

Also Present

Nicole Boyle, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Holly Chow, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Jamie Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Ana Henderson, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Karin Mac Donald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

APPEARANCES (Cont.)

Public Comment

Jim Whiteaker, Sutter County Supervisor

Tom Bosenko, Sheriff, Shasta County

Jacqueline DuPont-Walker

Lillia Rivera, Council of Asian Pacific Islanders
Together for Advocacy and Leadership

Maye Lee, San Diego, on behalf of CAPAFR Coalition

Alice Huffman, NAACP, Los Angeles President

Aref Aziz

I N D E X

	PAGE
1. Introduction	
Jodie Filkins Webber, Chairperson	5
Roll Call	5
2. Public Comment	6
3. Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Assembly Southern California Districts)	25
4. Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Senate Districts - Riverside)	128
Lunch	
5. Closed Session	177
Certificate of Reporter	178

1

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JULY 8, 2011

9:07 A.M.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Good morning. Today
4 is July 8th, 2011, and this is the meeting of the Citizens
5 Redistricting Commission. At this time, I would ask Ms.
6 Sargis to proceed with the roll call.

7 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Aguirre - Here;
8 Commissioner Ancheta - Here; Commissioner Barabba - Here;
9 Commissioner Blanco - Here; Commissioner Dai - Here;
10 Commissioner Di Guilio - Here; Commissioner Filkins
11 Webber - Here; Commissioner Forbes - Here; Commissioner
12 Galambos Malloy - Here; Commissioner Ontai - Here;
13 Commissioner Parvenu - Here; Commissioner Raya - Here;
14 Commissioner Ward - Here; Commissioner Yao - Here.

15 A quorum is present.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. At this
17 time, today we are going to continue our line drawing
18 sessions with our technical experts, Q2. We had left off
19 with looking at the Assembly Districts in Southern
20 California and we will pick up there. Later today, what
21 we anticipate, is proceeding with the non-LA areas,
22 primarily Regions 1, 2 and 3, more than likely, into the
23 Assembly level, the Senate level, and Congressional
24 level. And we would like to move as quickly as possible.

25 At 1:00, we are expected our VRA counsel, George

5

1 Brown, of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, and he will be here
2 with a brief presentation, then we will proceed at
3 approximately 1:15 into closed session, which will go for
4 approximately an hour, and no later than 2:30, so we will
5 be in a closed session during that time from
6 approximately 1:15 t 2:30. And then we will come back
7 and we will likely resume Assembly District level for Los
8 Angeles and, again, moving through Senate Districts and
9 Congressional Districts.

10 At this time, as we customarily do, I will open
11 the mic for public comment. And at this time, we have a
12 limit of two minutes per speaker, Ms. Sargis will be
13 keeping track.

14 MR. WHITEAKER: All right, well, thank you very
15 much. Good morning. I am Sutter County Supervisor, Jim
16 Whiteaker. It's a pleasure to be here this morning and
17 to speak on this issue. As Sutter County Supervisor,
18 CSAC has put us together with a collective unit called
19 RCRC. This is the Regional Council of Rural Counties.
20 They did this because we have similar needs and similar
21 issues that we work on together as a collective unit.
22 Now, I know that drawing these lines at the Assembly and
23 Senate, Senators vote on issues that affect the whole
24 state, but wouldn't it be nice if elected bodies could
25 introduce bills or work on legislation that would

1 directly affect their region. Now, let me give you an
2 example. Currently, we have the Williamson Act that
3 affects a lot of farmers in Sutter County, and our
4 neighboring counties, as well. It has no benefit to
5 counties in the south region that neighbor us such as
6 Sacramento County. So, that's just to give you an
7 example.

8 My plea today for coming here is to keep Sutter
9 County whole as one voice. Splitting our county does not
10 benefit for us. You've already split Butte County and
11 there is no benefit for us if split in Sutter County. I
12 believe that the Redistricting Maps that you had last
13 week met all of our needs and met the requests of similar
14 counties, as well. So, hopefully you will keep Sutter
15 County whole, together, and not split our county in the
16 Assembly Districts. I appreciate that input and
17 response. Is there any questions? Thank you very much.

18 MR. BESENKO: Good morning. I am Tom Bosenko,
19 Sheriff of Shasta County. And for the State Assembly
20 area, cutting Shasta County away from the other interests
21 of agricultural community in the north valley goes
22 against the stated purposes of the Commission. It's a
23 completely arbitrary drawing of the lines that really has
24 no basis that I can understand. Shasta County should be
25 kept in the same districts with Tehama and other counties

7

1 up and down the I-5 Corridor. Shasta County as a region
2 has strong ties with Tehama and Butte Counties, and it
3 really makes little sense to separate these counties for
4 the purposes of drawing geographically consistent for
5 communities of interest. So, it makes more sense for the
6 Assembly District to group Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Colusa,
7 Yuba, and pick up the population of Southern Butte
8 County, which includes the communities of Gridley, Biggs,
9 Richvale, much like you had it last week in the drawing
10 of your lines. These areas have established communities
11 with shared interests of agriculture and timber, and for
12 law enforcement, the five counties of Tehama, Glenn,
13 Butte, Colusa, and Shasta, we sit on a region
14 Methamphetamine Task Force, I'm also the coordinator for
15 the Cal EMA, Emergency Management Agency, for the
16 district, and that would also have our shared interest
17 there that we could communicate with our Legislators.
18 And this is essentially what you had last week, only
19 eliminating Yolo County.

20 For the State Senate, the Over Bridge
21 Visualization from last week which you had was nearly
22 perfect. It covered the communities of interest and
23 regional similarities, and it kept the north state away
24 from the coast, and out of Sacramento. Really, no
25 portion of Sacramento County should be lumped in with the

1 North state, what I consider the far North State,
2 actually. We have nothing in common with Rancho Cordova,
3 North Highlands, Antelope, Citrus Heights, they represent
4 these urban areas, and I will bring it to a close.
5 Basically, if we can keep it along the I-5 Corridor with
6 I-5, 99 and 70, that would be great, and it's much easier
7 to bring these commonalities of economics and our
8 transportation together. Thank you. Are there any
9 questions? Thank you.

10 MS. DUPONT-WALKER: Good morning, I'm Jackie
11 DuPont-Walker and the importance of today is demonstrated
12 by the fact that I had a 6:15 a.m. flight to get here.
13 Historically, California has had four areas that allowed
14 African Americans to be represented at the Federal level,
15 and three of those are in Southern California, and it is
16 about those that I want to express some interest. We
17 participated in all of the Southern California community
18 meetings and I think the overwhelming testimony
19 demonstrated the importance of communities of interest.
20 We are very concerned, therefore, about the
21 Visualizations that we see, and we have sent a letter
22 from *The ARC* and I gave copies, although I believe you
23 received electronic transmissions. In WALADC [ph.]
24 Congressional District, there is nothing that Malibu and
25 Beverly Hills have in common with the Crenshaw area. We

9

1 ask you to take a look at that. One example would be the
2 great economic disparities in the communities, and the
3 Visualizations with the Beverly Hills area, about 2.5
4 million vs. 400,000 in the Crenshaw Districts. If we
5 look to the IGSW, G District, Torrance has historically
6 been aligned with the coastal Congressional Districts,
7 and we ask you to look at that again and see how that
8 alignment fails to honor the testimony that you received.
9 And in the Compton Congressional Districts, you can see
10 the importance of the Alameda Corridor being connected to
11 the Port and how that has worked.

12 What is important to us is that we have come
13 together as the historic communities who have been
14 traditionally under-represented. We have talked, we have
15 worked hard, and we have spent long hours. And we
16 presented the Congressional Map from ARC that represented
17 essentially some of those conversations, even though
18 there was no Unity Map. We plead with you to take a look
19 at that. We are here for the long haul, but we believe
20 essentially that the advice you are getting from the
21 Voting Rights Act Attorney is not sound advice, and
22 that's what our letter essentially demonstrates, it
23 mirrors the May 26th letter and we ask for your strong
24 consideration, we're here for the long haul.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

1 MS. DUPONT-WALKER: Any questions?

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes. Ms. DuPont-Walker,
3 good morning again.

4 MS. DUPONT-WALKER: Good morning, Commissioner.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: What are your thoughts on
6 racially polarized voting in that particular area of Los
7 Angeles? Do you have any --

8 MS. DUPONT-WALKER: Well, I think our letter
9 actually handles that and we would want our expert, Mr.
10 Creighton [ph.], to speak to you not only about the
11 precedents set by legal decisions, as well as the Voting
12 Rights Act. But we believe that our community, as well
13 as the Latino community, have been able to elect persons
14 of their choice and we see no reason to make a change
15 since that has been demonstrated at the Congressional
16 level and at the State levels.

17 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Thank you.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions?
19 Thank you very much, Ms. Walker.

20 MS. DUPONT-WALKER: We will be here.

21 MS. RIVERA: Good morning. My name is Lillia
22 Rivera. I will be reading comments on behalf of CAPITAL,
23 the Council of Asian Pacific Islanders Together for
24 Advocacy and Leadership, in response to the Commission's
25 July 7th Assembly and Senate Visualization of Sacramento

1 County Districts. Number one, "The Assembly Map appears
2 to split a community of interest residing in South
3 Sacramento, south of Sutterville Road, Elk Grove, Florin,
4 and Vineyard. This places most of the South Sacramento
5 and Elk Grove in the SACEG District which goes south to
6 San Joaquin County, while Vineyard is included in the
7 ESAC District to the east. And the Fruitridge bucket of
8 South Sacramento is drawn in the WSAC District to the
9 north. The Commission has received a lot of testimony
10 about the connection that South Sacramento, south of
11 Sutterville Road, has to Elk Grove and adjacent areas
12 such as Vineyard and Florin, and that this area should
13 remain united. We ask that the community of interest be
14 united in a single district by looking at the Unity Map
15 that was submitted on June 28, 2011. Please reconsider
16 the SACEG District's inclusion of Galt and Lodi, which
17 has less in common with Elk Grove. This will free up
18 population so that Elk Grove, Florin, Vineyard, and South
19 Sacramento community district can be fully united."

20 Number two, "The Senate Map also appears to split this
21 community of interest by placing Vineyard in the Foothill
22 District to the east and the rest is placed in the SAC
23 District. It appears that there were some discussions of
24 moving all or part of Rancho Cordova from the Yuba
25 District into the SAC District. We suggest that, instead

1 of doing this, the Commission consider moving Vineyard
2 from the Foothill District into the SAC District." Thank
3 you very much.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

5 MS. LEE: Good morning, again. My name is Maye
6 Lee and I am speaking for San Diego, they will be
7 submitting a letter to you and they are part of the
8 CAPAFR Coalition. It appears that the Assembly Map
9 splits the Asian American and Pacific Islander
10 communities of interest and National City, Paradise
11 Hills, Chula Vista, and Bonita and Bay Terrace. We
12 respectfully ask that the Commission draw the maps
13 similar to the Unit Maps that were submitted June 28th,
14 2011.

15 And we secondly would like the Commission to --
16 it shows Linda Vista submerged in the coastal district
17 and it shows Poway split from the community of interest.
18 We understand that, during a recent business meeting, the
19 Commission asked Q2 to see if it would be possible to
20 include Linda Vista and Poway in the RCHMM District,
21 which is what the Unity Map does, and we are encouraged
22 by this direction to Q2, and we look forward to seeing
23 that be retained.

24 And the third point, we just wanted to make the
25 comment about Congressional Districts, that the Asian

1 Pacific Islander communities are very relevant and they
2 have common interests in San Diego County on several
3 Federal issues, including but not limited to the equity
4 issues of our Filipino community, and our Chinese Family
5 Reunification that face a lot of long lines waiting to
6 come into this country. So this testimony is being
7 submitted to you and we really want that the API in San
8 Diego County needs that common Federal representation for
9 purposes of their fair and effective representation.
10 And, also, I will be submitting in text from Thai Town in
11 LA about keeping Thai Town together. Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you, Ms. Lee.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I have a question. You
14 mentioned Thai Town in LA. Is the consideration
15 primarily that Thai Town is connected to those
16 communities to the west, and you prefer them to be
17 connected to the communities to the east?

18 MS. LEE: Yes, East Hollywood as opposed to
19 Malibu and Beverly Hills. Thank you, sir.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any further public
21 comment?

22 MS. HUFFMAN: Good morning, Commissioners. Alice
23 Huffman, LA President of the NAACP. I'm back again. I
24 have a few comments. I want to say at the outset that
25 part of the testimony that you heard from Ms. DuPont-

1 Walker, we totally agree, we are in unity on the
2 Congressional maps. I, too, brought you a copy of those
3 maps for today. What I'm a little bit more concerned
4 about, I guess I don't really get this process because we
5 have submitted maps, we have testified, and every time we
6 think you've heard us, we go away and then the panic shot
7 goes through our community because everything seems to
8 vola -- and so changing, and so we don't really know when
9 we're having an impact, when you're really listening to
10 us. And that's kind of what I want to share from the
11 African American community today, is that we were
12 hopefully that this Commission would be able to deal with
13 the diversity of California and to take all of our needs
14 in account and not do gerrymandering and political lines
15 so that one group is pitted against the other. I thought
16 that was going to be the beauty of this Commission and
17 that is why I supported this Commission and that is why
18 we support this open process. I have some of the same
19 specific concerns that Ms. DuPont-Walker raised today,
20 but I have to tell you that, for the NAACP to have
21 submitted maps, and then to have resubmitted maps, and
22 then to have come with testimony, and we never hear
23 anything about the NAACP Maps. I don't play ethnic
24 politics the way a lot of people do, I play inclusive and
25 together politics, but we hear MALDEF, we hear the Asian

1 community, we hear their stuff being taken in
2 consideration, and we don't know where ours is, we have
3 invested a lot of money, a lot of resources, we wanted to
4 be your African American, on the ground, people who come
5 to you with the right kind of vision for a great
6 California, and we came to you with maps that were very
7 much like the maps that have been drawn by the courts.
8 We thought we were safe. And we took the maps from the
9 courts and brought you some sensible maps on how you
10 could divide up this state and not disadvantage our
11 community. Everything that we see, we came over once, we
12 talked about Section 2, we brought you testimony on
13 Section 2, we came over, we told you why you could not
14 use Section 2 for the African American community -- my
15 time is up -- I do have the maps, my time is up, but I
16 just want to let you know that, as we watch this, we are
17 really starting to get heartburn.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I have a question, Madam
20 Chair. First, and actually a question, first of all I
21 want to thank you for being engaged, and for your office
22 being engaged, thoroughly engaged in this process from
23 day one, from the very beginning, and I do respect your
24 leadership -

25 MS. HUFFMAN: Thank you.

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- your statewide
2 leadership, I know there is a lot on your plate and for
3 you to take time to engage yourself in this redistricting
4 process to the extent that you are is truly commendable.
5 The question, then, is you mentioned Section 2 and you
6 mentioned -- can you elaborate a little bit as to how
7 Section 2, or creating potential Section 2 districts in
8 the Greater Los Angeles area, the impact that has on the
9 African American community:

10 MS. HUFFMAN: Well, we testified before around
11 Section 2, it's because you had made two Assembly
12 Districts that we thought represented the African
13 American community, those districts were compacted, and
14 they caused us to have less representation than we
15 currently have. Section 2 prohibits you from doing that,
16 you cannot take any voting practice that we have had and
17 deny us the same strength by some lines that you want to
18 draw to compact us. And so, you can't pack, you can't
19 fracture us, you have to leave us with some influence so
20 that we have the potential to get some African American
21 representation. Now, before historically, I'm a little
22 older than y'all, historically before lines were drawn a
23 certain way, we could never get an African American, or
24 Latino, elected in this state. And so the state has come
25 a long ways in the way that they have learned through the

1 course and through, I must say, back room maneuvering of
2 politicians that gave all of us an opportunity to at
3 least have a voice, and you cannot - there is no
4 polarized voting for African Americans in the Los Angeles
5 area. Every one of them down there never had more than
6 23-27 percent of African Americans in our district, that
7 is the testimony I brought you the last time I stood
8 here, to show you that there is no polarized voting,
9 therefore, Section 2 requires you to meet all the
10 criteria under Section 2, and you can't just cherry-pick
11 which ones you one, to use it to draw our lines.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Any
13 other questions? Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you for
15 coming over to join us again today. My question is in
16 regards to, I think throughout the process we have seen
17 various iterations of maps and maps from different groups
18 who clearly have worked together in different capacities,
19 but there are times those maps have been submitted
20 separately, and so, at this point, this stage in our
21 deliberations, it would be useful to hear from you; the
22 Unity Maps that were submitted, that were a joint effort
23 between ARC, between MALDEF, and between CAPAFR, would
24 you say that those represent the most comprehensive and
25 current information on behalf of the state's organized

1 African American community? And then the second piece to
2 that is, am I to assume that the ARC Maps that were
3 submitted for Congress - clearly, we don't have any Unity
4 Maps at the Congressional level, but is that the closest
5 thing we have?

6 MS. HUFFMAN: Let me take the ARC Map which I
7 brought another copy of, Jackie didn't have it with her,
8 and I have another one to submit. That is, I'm going to
9 talk about Unity and I don't really mean to irritate you,
10 but I have to tell you where the NAACP is coming from.
11 We decided that we wanted to be the pure African American
12 voice, so that we were not negotiating in coalitions with
13 people who don't draw the lines and making compromises
14 when we need, given all the demographic shifts and
15 everything going on in California, we wanted a pure voice
16 so that it would be clearly understood that, from the
17 NAACP's perspective, here is how you maintain the
18 political power that we have had in this state and
19 hopefully you will do it so that we don't have to resort
20 to the courts or anybody else for justice, that you could
21 see it. And so, we had tried to be a clear voice for
22 African Americans. We join the ARC Map and we submitted
23 it from the NAACP, the maps for the Senate and for the
24 Assembly, I will not participate in any coalition that
25 decides before you that they will diminish our current -

1 all I'm trying to do for the NAACP is maintain our
2 current political base, not try to steal anything, grow
3 anything, gerrymander anything, I am simply trying to do
4 the job like the courts did it on your behalf so that you
5 have a sensible plan, and we are representing the African
6 American community.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco
8 and then Commissioner Forbes.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I had the same question
10 about how the NAACP Maps differed from the Unity Maps, so
11 it's been answered.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: So it is your testimony,
14 and this is my memory, but I want to make sure I've got
15 this straight, is that an African American percentage of
16 something in the vicinity of close to 30 or 28 --

17 MS. HUFFMAN: Twenty-three.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- right, something like
19 that.

20 MS. HUFFMAN: I gave you the history.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- right, right -- creates
22 a district that, in your view, provides the African
23 American community with an opportunity to elect the
24 person of their choice --

25 MS. HUFFMAN: Uh huh.

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: -- and that your experience
2 has been that there has *not* been racially polarized
3 voting in the African American districts in Los Angeles?

4 MS. HUFFMAN: Well, I gave you Tom Bradley,
5 Harley [ph.], a few Blacks [ph.], I gave you - Myrv
6 Dymally, Lieutenant Governor, we have always -- almost
7 always had the lowest percentage of ethnic people in the
8 State of California, the Asians used to be lower and the
9 Indians, but the Blacks were low low, I gave you Wilson
10 Riles, Jr., I gave you Willie Brown from San Francisco, I
11 don't know if he's ever had anything close to anything
12 Black where he gets elected from, and I went down the
13 list of people in this state where we have demonstrated
14 over and over that, given just some influence, they have
15 the ability to get other diverse groups to support them.
16 My personal opinion is, if they can't do that, they're
17 probably not the best candidate. My personal opinion is,
18 in this state, the way we are, you cannot make us all
19 whole by packing us together. We're going to have to
20 live together, we're going to have to work together, and
21 we're going to have to elect people together, and those
22 people are going to have to represent all of us once
23 they're elected.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Any

1 additional questions?

2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, Commissioners. I'm
3 here today to address you because of the troubling turn
4 the discussion took yesterday evening with regard to the
5 Tri-Cities. Yesterday, the Commission gives the
6 direction to revert back to the first Draft Maps, even
7 though your first Draft Maps literally strips the Tri-
8 Cities of crucial political representation at the Federal
9 level. We have known all along that the Commission would
10 have to make difficult choices and, because of that,
11 members of our community came out in full force to alert
12 you all of the fact that the first Draft Maps do not
13 respect our area's history, our people, or our economy,
14 specifically, splitting the fourth largest city in the
15 Bay Area, Fremont, in two out of three proposed maps, and
16 lumping pieces of the city with unlike communities in
17 Santa Clara and East San Jose. This proposal makes the
18 least sense since it splits Fremont in both the Assembly
19 and Congress, even though it's one of the most
20 concentrated areas for a community that has had almost no
21 representation in the past and present, the South Asian
22 community in the United States, and it's the strongest
23 community in the area.

24 The decision to revert back to the first Draft of
25 the Maps discounts the hundreds of voices from the Tri-

22

1 Cities and all throughout the Bay Area who have taken the
2 time to come before you and testify on the matter. We
3 want to work with you to create the maps that reflect our
4 community of interest testimony, while respecting
5 surrounding communities of interest. To that end, we
6 have proposed a map that shows how, using your first
7 draft map as a starting point and the framework you
8 provided yesterday, how it is completely possible to
9 basically give our community and the surrounding
10 communities that we work with exactly what they want.
11 And we're really open to compromise with specifics like
12 whether to include San Ramon, or Milpitas in the
13 district, that's completely up to you, you know, we have
14 no qualms with that. But reverting back to the first
15 Draft Map is deeply troubling and discounts all the
16 voices of the people who came before you here, even
17 multiple times. Our community has struggled greatly with
18 the Redistricting issue, knowing that you guys would have
19 a tough time, and that we would have a tough time, and we
20 came here with the best of intents, and once again,
21 please do look at this map because it meets all the
22 requirements that you guys were talking about yesterday
23 evening. Thank you for your time.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Any
25 further public comment? Okay, thank you.

1 We are going to commence our line drawing session
2 and a few Commissioners have expressed some concern, and
3 I would just like to reiterate -- Mr. Ward, while you are
4 up there, could you shut that door, please? I don't know
5 if it's locked, but it's causing a significant glare.
6 Thank you. If at any time when we're going through these
7 maps, again, as we have discussed, there is the
8 likelihood that there would be relatively few -- more
9 than likely no major changes that would be significant,
10 and so, when the second Draft Maps are passed, we would
11 be looking at fine tuning after that point. And so, at
12 this stage, and for the line drawing that we do today, if
13 there are any significant concerns regarding any
14 district, I encourage all of you, or any one of you to
15 raise those concerns so that we may discuss them today.

16 At this time, we are not certain of our schedule
17 because we do have a lot of work to do, and I beg your
18 patience throughout this entire process. We still do
19 have tomorrow agendized, I have not asked Ms. Sargis to
20 cancel it because we do need to be conscientious about
21 some of the difficult decisions we may need to make
22 today. We do have a voting process in place, so if any
23 Commissioner does feel strongly about a given
24 Visualization that should form the basis of the second
25 Draft Map, then I encourage you to speak up and move

1 forward with your advocacy to the extent in which, you
2 know, you feel it is necessary. If at any time you would
3 like to see Visualization, or you have additional
4 discussion, please feel free to take the time to do so.
5 We do need to take the time to do this, and I thought we
6 had done a very fine job yesterday, I thought I'd opened
7 up the discussion and let the discussion linger as long
8 as I could as we move along. And, again, I don't want us
9 to rush through this process. We're committed to be here
10 tomorrow, Ms. Mac Donald had also mentioned there was a
11 possibility that, if we don't finish today, we'll move
12 into tomorrow, so I want us all to know that we do still
13 have that agenda and we're going to move through this
14 process as meticulously as you need.

15 Any questions on that? Okay, Ms. Henderson, last
16 night, we left off with the Assembly Districts for Region
17 2, which is Riverside and San Bernardino County, and we
18 will pick up from there.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, it is my understanding that
20 you left off with a discussion of the COACH District, so
21 if you'd like to start there? This Visualization is the
22 same as what we presented last Sunday, there haven't been
23 changes to this particular Visualization. It includes
24 the entirety of Imperial County, as well as the eastern
25 portion of the Coachella Valley and Riverside.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions about
2 this district?

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: And just to confirm, Desert
4 Hot Springs is the only city split?

5 MS. HENDERSON: It's a Census place.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The only concern I
7 had when I looked at this was the little finger going
8 into Cathedral City, but it seems that we do have some
9 public comment that supports that configuration.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If you want to pull
11 back and just show the entire portion, the district?

12 Any other questions, comments, concerns? I would
13 like to take a moment just to again acknowledge that this
14 particular Assembly District Visualization, and at least
15 the Visualization that we have in our Draft Map, the
16 Draft Map does not include Imperial County at the
17 Assembly level. We have received quite a number of
18 public comments that have gone both ways. At this point,
19 aside from the politics that exist on both sides, if we -
20 I didn't even take the time to count, but I would say
21 it's relatively equal; we have quite a number of citizens
22 from the Coachella Valley that say, "Do not put us with
23 Imperial County;" equally so, we have quite a number of
24 citizens in Coachella Valley who say, "Please put us with
25 Imperial County." And, again, the community of interest

26

1 testimony that appears to be linking these two areas is
2 the agricultural aspect on the southern end of the Salton
3 Sea, and then the other agricultural area that is at the
4 southern end of the Coachella Valley. And so, from my
5 perspective, and I've really been taking several steps
6 back, given that I am a Riverside County resident, to
7 look at this broadly, and look at our categories and
8 priorities in looking at communities of interest. And
9 determining, and trying to struggle if this is
10 considered, at least the two links that I've discerned
11 from these communities are agricultural, as well as the
12 Salton Sea because the Salton Sea does go into the two
13 counties, but then the question becomes whether or not --
14 I think this might have been raised by one of our counsel
15 -- is whether or not this constitutes a local community
16 of interest if we are looking at two distinct
17 agricultural areas that are separated by approximately
18 70-100 miles. So, that's what my concern was, as well as
19 what we have seen to be the potential ripple effects by
20 creating this district, and we've seen that in San Diego,
21 and we see that rolling through into San Bernardino
22 County. I have also wanted to say that we have
23 considered those interests at the Congressional level,
24 and I don't think that we had discussed changing the
25 Congressional, which was inclusive of Riverside County.

1 So I wanted to open that up because I think we
2 need to recognize that community of interest testimony on
3 both sides here. So, we'll go Commissioner Galambos
4 Malloy, Commissioner Di Guilio, Commissioner Blanco, and
5 Commissioner Aguirre, and Raya. Let me write all of that
6 down.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I appreciate us
8 taking pause on this district because I think it is a
9 district that we have had extensive and conflicting
10 community of interest testimony from the early days when
11 we initially started our input hearings. There are just
12 two points that I would like to make, one is that, as
13 we've moved throughout the state, I think we've often
14 times found ourselves in a position where we have had
15 people from outside a community saying what they want to
16 happen with a given community and, in this case, what I
17 personally, as one Commissioner, really tried to listen
18 hard for was what do the residents of Imperial want, what
19 really resonates with them in terms of their political
20 representation, their years of experience living in this
21 area? And even though we had conflicting testimony about
22 this district overall, I think when you isolate where did
23 the folks from Imperial feel that they should be paired
24 with, I think it was fairly clear that this is the
25 configuration that most respects the interests of that

1 community of interest. For that reason, I feel like, even
2 though it's a difficult decision to make, I think this is
3 the one that resonates most for me, personally.

4 I think the second issue is that, because of the
5 location of Imperial County relative to the state and the
6 actual lack of population density that you have in the
7 county, that when you're talking about a local community
8 of interest, you know, what a local community of interest
9 constitutes in LA County vs. what it constitutes in Inyo
10 County and Imperial County, I think, is different, and
11 that we have to be open to the reality of what the built
12 environment looks like, how the population is
13 distributed. So, those were two key factors that I took
14 into mind and I would support this Visualization in
15 moving forward.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
17 Guilio.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And not to be too
19 redundant, I think the one issue is similar in terms of
20 wherever Imperial County goes, it's going to be a long
21 addition to San Diego or Riverside, it's just the issue
22 of local is similar to something in Northern California
23 where you have these very big areas, it just happens to
24 be a very large land area between very urban area
25 populations, so it's going to have to be attached, so I'm

1 not concerned about local, wherever it goes. But I think
2 we struggled with this area with Coachella Valley for a
3 long time, but I feel like, you're right, we did hear
4 things on both sides, but I think what they were saying,
5 actually the very same thing was that they didn't want to
6 probably be linked with each other. I think there were
7 significant differences between the two parts of
8 Coachella Valley in terms of when it was based on the COI
9 testimony. They may have said, "I want to keep the whole
10 Coachella together, but when you drilled down and said
11 what was the COI," they actually were saying that we
12 don't really want - there's a difference between the
13 Coachella Valley based on COI, and so I think this is
14 similar where we've heard in other places, that it's a
15 tale of two -- I want to say "valleys?" -- instead of a
16 *Tale of Two Cities*. I think this very much respects what
17 each part of the COI says, so I feel like, by splitting
18 it, you're not choosing between two different COIs,
19 you're actually giving them both what they wanted. So,
20 for that reason, I feel like this is probably the best
21 way.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Aguirre
23 -- oh, did I mix them up? Commissioner Blanco, then.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, the one thing -- I want
25 to add one thing because I guess I differ with the fact

1 that it was 50-50 testimony. When we drew our first
2 Draft Map and we put Imperial Valley with East San Diego,
3 our testimony against that configuration was not 50-50,
4 we had all of these San Diegans say that they had
5 absolutely nothing in common with the Imperial Valley,
6 that they were a rural, not farm worker community. Then,
7 when we had that configuration in the Imperial Valley,
8 people said, "We have nothing to do, an agricultural
9 desert community, with the east sort of mountainous areas
10 of Julian and Alpine." And we had members of the East
11 Coachella saying, "Why can't we be united with Imperial,
12 which has a community of interest of Latinos, of farm
13 workers?" So, I don't think it was 50-50, I think there
14 was tremendous displeasure with Imperial Valley being
15 with San Diego, which was the only other possible
16 alternative. And that was much more dissimilar in terms
17 of community of interest than linking East Coachella with
18 Imperial Valley which, we've heard testimony, is a
19 migrant area where people go back and forth, and I won't
20 repeat things other people have said. So I just want to
21 clarify that I don't think it was 50-50.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
23 Aguirre.

24 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes, and I, too, agree
25 with the previous speakers that we actually have to look

1 at -- go beyond the COI, which I agree came to us from
2 both sides, but we need to look at the history of
3 political disenfranchisement for the communities,
4 especially the farm worker communities, and work towards
5 a policy of more fair and effective representation. So,
6 the contribution of farm workers is largely unrecognized,
7 but in this particular area, being Imperial and Coachella
8 Valleys, you know, we were informed that they generate
9 approximately \$5 billion worth of product that not only
10 feed the LA environ, but the state and the world, in
11 fact. So, the COI actually is one of survival for farm
12 workers. In the world of farm workers and agricultural
13 laborers, 90-100 miles, really, is largely insignificant.
14 When we look at current commuter patterns where
15 individual are driving from Lancaster to Palmdale 90
16 miles, sometimes even more into the greater LA Metro Area
17 and Orange County, that distance is not a barrier,
18 especially if your family is depending on what you're
19 going to bring home and put on the table. So, I would
20 argue that this map not only shows the connection between
21 Imperial Valley and the Coachella Valley, but in terms of
22 farm worker survival, that orbit of survival is not only
23 an east-west, but it also goes up into the Palos Verdes
24 area and even across the state line into the Yuma area,
25 both of those areas also having significant agricultural

1 areas that provide a way for these families to survive.
2 So I would argue also that the distinction between east
3 and west Coachella is primarily one of class, that there
4 is a class that is generally powerless, disenfranchised,
5 has, suffers from, and is impacted by lots of negative
6 social realities to include inferior education, low
7 health care, lack of medical services, environmental
8 racism, all of those areas, whereas the more [quote
9 unquote] "fortunate area" of West Coachella Valley is
10 primarily oriented more towards tourism, they're more
11 high-end, so this, I feel, is the distinction between the
12 COI that we have received for separation in that regard.
13 So, I like this Visualization, I think that this is the
14 right thing to do, especially for the farm workers in
15 this particular area.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Raya.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think this area is a good
18 example of one where the Commissioners can take in the
19 COI testimony, consider all the information that we've
20 received, but also one where we're looking at our own
21 knowledge and experience. This is an opportunity for us
22 to exercise judgment in weighing the testimony and in
23 understanding the source of a lot of that testimony,
24 that's why it's people sitting here on the Commission,
25 and not just a computer. And there are all those many

1 issues that we're aware of that tie the people in this
2 whole area together. A couple that have been just partly
3 mentioned, one is healthcare. There is a very large
4 network of community health clinics that operate
5 throughout the San Diego County into Imperial, Coachella
6 Valley, you know, health care initiatives that are meant
7 to serve a very closely related community that we're
8 drawing into this district, who do not have access,
9 cannot just hop in the car and drive to San Diego --
10 maybe some people can, but that's not readily available
11 to low income people living in these areas. So, there
12 are a lot of ties that we're aware of and I think this is
13 our opportunity to exercise some of our judgment in
14 balancing all the interests that have been presented to
15 us.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
17 Ancheta.

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you. I don't
19 have much to add regarding what has been previously
20 stated around communities of interest. I agree that
21 there's sufficient public testimony to draw the
22 configuration like this. I did want to raise a Voting
23 Rights Act issue. As you know, our VRA counsel has drawn
24 a conclusion that this is not necessarily a required
25 Section 2 District. There has been quite a bit of public

1 testimony and submissions asserting that this is a
2 Section 2 District. In the law, there is a distinction
3 made between questions of law and questions of fact; very
4 often, in making these determination, they come down to
5 questions of fact, in other words, there's agreement on
6 what the law is, but given particular circumstances, for
7 example, the shape of a map, or the demographics of a
8 map, you could have a close call. I think this is one of
9 those close calls. I think at this point there is a good
10 argument consistent with some case law that was handed in
11 recently, we've all heard the LULAC, League of United
12 Latin American Citizens vs. Perry, a District in that
13 case which involved a Texas District, which had two
14 Latino communities that were far apart, over 300 miles,
15 and had little in common, would not be required under
16 Section 2. Here, there is a significant distance,
17 however, there is a lot of commonality, as we talked
18 about in terms of COI testimony, between these
19 communities. And notwithstanding the distance, you know,
20 they're not closely connected. But, I think given the
21 facts here vs. the facts in the LULAC case, that there is
22 a strong argument to say this is a Section 2 District.
23 And I think if we're erring on the side of caution that
24 could also support this configuration.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

35

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you. Well, let me
2 just start, I wasn't going to comment on that Section 2
3 component, but my view on this is unrelated to Section 2.
4 I would support this district regardless of its Section 2
5 eligibility or not. And there are really two reasons, I
6 think. I do think that the testimony was 50-50, there
7 was a lot of testimony about coming down the 8 for Junior
8 College, for hospitals, and so forth and so on. But I
9 think the two things that drive this for me, and I think
10 Commissioner Galambos Malloy made the point, is that what
11 did the people who live there want. We've heard a lot of
12 testimony that, "I want them to do what I want them to do
13 because it benefits me," and I think we heard that when
14 we were in Palm Springs. You did not hear the Eastern
15 Coachella Valley folks saying, "Oh, please let us be with
16 Palm Springs." Rather, the testimony we got from East
17 Coachella Valley was ties to Imperial. And likewise,
18 from Imperial, we heard them tied to the Eastern
19 Coachella Valley. So, I think that's what they want.
20 But also something that I think, and Commissioner Aguirre
21 referenced this, is that I think the farm worker
22 population is a very mobile population and, in fact, the
23 people who live and work in the farms in Imperial also
24 are the same people who work the farms in Eastern
25 Coachella, and therefore, it seems to me only appropriate

1 that they be represented by the same person .

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any further comment?
3 Commissioner Ward.

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I wanted to go on the
5 record and just say I disagree with the subjective
6 definition of "local COI" that's being discussed. I'm
7 not compelled by the COI arguments for this district, but
8 I do believe that this district represents fairly the
9 county lines, and geographically it seems that Riverside
10 is a fair enough representation with its sister county
11 there, and so therefore this district makes sense to me.
12 The question I had, though, was on BBCOH District. It
13 seems to me to appear to have a bit of a compactness
14 issue and I'm wondering if we can make that district
15 better by bringing Cathedral City or the West-North
16 corner of that District in.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, well, before
18 we move to that other district, Commissioner Dai, were
19 you going to comment on the other district? Or do you
20 want to comment on COACH:

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: I wanted to comment on the
22 other district.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we'll get
24 there. Commissioner Raya, did you want to comment on the
25 other one or COACH, still?

1 COMMISSIONER RAYA: COACH.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, go ahead.

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I wanted to ask Commissioner
4 Ward, I'm not sure I understood clearly his concern about
5 a subjective definition. Did I hear this clearly, a
6 subjective definition of a local community of interest?
7 Or subjective COI, if you could please clarify exactly
8 what it is that you're referring to that you feel is
9 subjective?

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: Sure, I can explain my
11 opinion. It's that we're talking -- we're using COIs
12 such as farm workers, which is freely admitted to be
13 mobile, and covering two counties, and I would argue that
14 that, by that definition, does not fit a commonly
15 understood definition of local. And as we've applied
16 local communities of interest to other areas of the
17 state, we have not afforded the same level of latitude to
18 what local means throughout the state. So, on those
19 arguments, on those definition of COI, I just disagree
20 that using the criteria of local community of interest to
21 support this district, it doesn't make sense to me.
22 However, like I said, I do think this represents county
23 lines very well and preserving those.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, and let me
25 just chime in real quick because I just want to respond

1 to everybody. I want to make sure that we have the facts
2 accurate, as well.

3 There were residents of Imperial County who
4 testified in the San Diego hearing prior to our Draft
5 Maps, that identified their connections to San Diego, and
6 primarily because of the transportation corridor on the
7 8, so I just want to make sure that that's clear, that we
8 do have residents of Imperial County that do link
9 themselves with San Diego, and we recognize that.
10 Commissioner Blanco's contention that the arguments are
11 not 50-50, I've read every single public comment, so even
12 though the public input testimony, live, may not have
13 appeared 50-50, the public comments on the Web, which
14 I've gone through thoroughly, are pretty much even. And
15 when you do take away the politics from it, such as what
16 Commissioner Aguirre had mentioned, and you take away
17 that interest of the COI, it's actually a \$1.5 billion
18 agricultural industry in Imperial County, and a \$500
19 million agricultural industry in Coachella, so it's not
20 \$5 billion, but I just want to make sure we have the
21 numbers correctly, and that's from the Agricultural
22 Reports because I studied those, as well. I have
23 struggled with this considerably to pull back my own
24 potential contention of bias, being a Riverside County
25 resident. So, I really wanted to dig beyond the

1 community of interest testimony, and also looked at the
2 VRA.

3 Any further discussion on COACH?

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well yeah, you know, I'm
5 having some difficulty with -- you know, I have no
6 problem with all of us having differences of opinion, I'm
7 just not sure what it means when I hear something like
8 the previous question I asked about were you applying
9 some kind of subjective standard that has no basis in
10 fact? Or when we say, you know, I didn't do a count, a
11 chart, so I can't say 50-50, or about 50-50, or 52-40
12 whatever, just that to me is not as important as the fact
13 that we had substantial testimony, yes, on both sides. I
14 don't know what taking the politics out means, I don't
15 know what that refers to. I think we need to really be
16 very careful in our assessment of testimony, we need to
17 be careful not to apply labels that are not entirely un-
18 weighted words. And "politics" is one that I think can
19 be a very weighted term, I don't know what that means,
20 maybe you could explain it.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I'll explain
22 it. Unlike other testimony we've received, in this
23 particular area, you have distinct attacks using partisan
24 words, such as the word "Republican" and "Democrat," and
25 it's on both sides. And I think that the public record

40

1 on our website speaks to that, so that's what I meant.
2 It certainly wasn't to imply anything of those
3 individuals who came before us, personally, because I
4 didn't see it there. So, having gone through the
5 testimony, it's quite clear. But let's just move on. Is
6 there a consensus of this Commission that this is the
7 Assembly District that would be proposed in our second
8 Draft Map? Any further comment? Any other motion in
9 opposition? Okay. So let's move on and look at the
10 impact of the BBCOH that Commissioner Ward had raised
11 earlier, and Commissioner Dai, did you have some comments
12 in this regard?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I wanted to address
14 Commissioner Ward's question. We had a lot of community
15 of interest testimony between the Coachella Valley and
16 the 29 Palms area because of the common desert
17 similarities, so this was an attempt to address the many
18 of the people who spoke about the desert as being the
19 common link and, as you can see, there is also a very
20 clear transportation corridor there.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you move a
22 little bit to the west, we want to see this corner here.
23 Commissioner Raya, did you have a comment on this
24 district?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: And while I'm commenting, it

1 also keeps the Beaumont, Banning Area together. We did
2 have a conversation yesterday about what we didn't like
3 about this district, was that it ended up splitting the
4 San Jacinto Valley. Unfortunately, we couldn't figure
5 out a way to get around that.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you put up the
7 San Jacinto and Hemet -- I don't know why those little
8 names are missing there, I think maybe if you blow it up
9 a little. But, again, refresh my recollection, they're
10 together in this Congressional District that we had
11 looked at last week?

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, I believe
13 they're together in the other two maps, so we can't
14 always respect every community in every map.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
16 Guilio and then Commissioner Ward.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just was going to make
18 a comment. One of the things, even though I understand
19 Commissioner Ward's way of just visually looking at it,
20 but I think if we go back to the COI, we have a basis for
21 this in terms of the testimony that's been given and the
22 similarities, and one thing about this that I think is
23 good, as well, I see it as a balanced district, I think
24 there are some areas in the Coachella Valley, there are
25 some in the deserts, there is some in the Beaumont area,

42

1 and I feel like it's a good district in terms of trying
2 to balance all those COIs and trying to keep some of
3 those communities together in their integrity, they might
4 not be able to be with their entire region, but I think
5 it's a balance within that district that no one is
6 dominating.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just on that,
8 Commissioner Dai, do you want to spell out some of the
9 COI? I can identify it, and then we can go to
10 Commissioner Ward.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Why don't you spell the COI, I
12 was going to make one comment about Cathedral City.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I don't want
14 to cut off Commissioner Ward, sorry, you were in the
15 queue, I'm sorry.

16 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'd like to hear your
17 assessment.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: This is the, I
19 guess, there really isn't a real designation of east and
20 west Coachella, actually it's north and south. But we've
21 heard it described by other areas as east and west, but
22 if we consider this, consider the west a portion of
23 Coachella Valley, you have primarily -- you have tourism,
24 you have the golf tournaments, you have casinos, you've
25 got Cabazon that links it there, you do have some

1 military up in 29 Palms, but the primary connection, the
2 way that people get to 29 Palms, is by the 62 off of the
3 10, so you've got transportation corridors, you have
4 Morongo Valley, which Indians and -- so there is a lot in
5 this district, and there is some geographic that makes it
6 look like this, I mean, this is the flat area of the
7 desert, in between two mountain ranges, so, even though
8 Commissioner Ward, you have some compactness question,
9 this actually flows with the understanding of the area
10 and the transportation corridors in the community.
11 Banning and Beaumont is not so much in the way of tourism
12 and, of course, San Jacinto has agriculture, and so we
13 are having to look at where the population lies because,
14 if you go any further south, Idlewild is actually up at a
15 certain elevation, and then you've got a mountain there,
16 and then you've got the mountain where you see Lake
17 Arrowhead and Running Springs, again, that's another
18 mountain area. So we're kind of locked in here again
19 when it comes to population. That's why I think the
20 decisions had to be made about the Banning-Beaumont and
21 San Jacinto. Commissioner Ward.

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: I appreciate that recap. I
23 guess, when we talk about this, it was a district that is
24 responsive to community of interest and when we hear
25 things like "tourism, golf tournaments, military," things

1 of that, my question would be when we're violating county
2 lines, and I'm not aware of the exact split report on
3 this, it's not available right now, but I would ask what
4 binds those communities to make this shape necessary, or
5 to make this district patent. Geographically, this could
6 make sense, but where the eastern-most border is near
7 Desert Hot Springs, is it not possible -- can we not move
8 that if we want to keep this intact, and put 29 Palms
9 with La Quinta? Can we not move that eastern line over
10 and make a more compact connection between them?

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai and
12 then Commissioner Di Giulio.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, so we did have testimony
14 that included Desert Hot Springs with Eastern Coachella,
15 so that was again a response to the COI. I did want to
16 make a comment at Cathedral City because that is one area
17 where it kind of sticks out a little bit because of the
18 shape of the City. And that was to avoid splitting it, I
19 think. We did have testimony in the first round of
20 hearings from the Mayor of Cathedral City, who talked
21 about Washington Street being an acceptable split line if
22 it had to be split, but I suspect they didn't want to
23 split it. So I imagine there is an opportunity for them
24 to weigh in on this in our next round of written input,
25 in case that's something they prefer.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Other than adding
2 Palm Springs and Palm Desert in the west side of
3 Coachella Valley, there's not much difference with this
4 district from our Draft Maps. And from all of the post-
5 first Draft Map input hearing and on the website public
6 comments, we only received one from a resident of the
7 Banning-Beaumont area, and I mean, as far as objecting to
8 what we had previously down as the State Assembly
9 District of Morongo Band. And I think we are keeping the
10 Banning-Beaumont area together, which was in accordance
11 technically with their resolution, it just works out that
12 way for population purposes. So, again, these districts
13 initially at the Draft Maps stage received quite a few
14 kudos and not much in the way of -- even adding Palm
15 Springs and Palm Desert, we'll have to see if we get any
16 further criticism -- but for the most part, it's been
17 okay. Commissioner Di Guilio.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And I would just add, to
19 address Commissioner Ward's concern, is I think that
20 breaking -- there's a reason that cities, counties, and
21 community of interest are all in the same level, it's
22 because one doesn't supersede the other, it's our job to
23 balance them, and even though there's a break in a county
24 line here, it's been justified by the COI testimony and
25 particularly the response, as Commissioner Filkins Webber

1 was saying, after these Maps, the integrity of what we've
2 done here. So, I just would caution not to be as
3 concerned about the county breaks, as long as it is met
4 with the criteria at the same level being community of
5 interest. Again, I think we've seen county breaks up in
6 the northern part of the state quite frequently, and
7 that's partly because of the population, but also trying
8 to match up with COI. So, I think it's just a balancing
9 act, and that's why we're here to do this.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: One last one,
11 Commissioner Ward, and we'll move on.

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, I appreciate it. I
13 appreciate the comments, Commissioner Di Giulio. I think
14 this district is just representative of some of my
15 concerns about some of the decisions because, like I do
16 understand that county lines, you know, need to be
17 broken, and we've chosen to do that in some cases for
18 community of interest reasons. But when we draw a
19 district that does violate objective lines, I mean, the
20 lines are something, you know, that everyone understands
21 is an objective landmark, and when we decide to draw a
22 district that is shaped like this in response to COI
23 testimony, I just think it's really important that we
24 have, again, it's local community of interest, that we
25 have something very well defined and something that binds

1 those communities, and so when I see this district, I
2 want to know what that is, and I'm just commenting that,
3 then, my feeling would be that there is no reason we
4 shouldn't, in response to what I understand the COI
5 testimony to be as presented in this meeting, there is no
6 reason not to move the western line there at Desert Hot
7 Springs, or try to somehow incorporate some more to the
8 west than to bind those communities, to make it a more
9 compact district. That is one of the criteria that we're
10 supposed to follow.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, I'm sorry, if
12 we were looking at aesthetics, let me just stop you there
13 a little bit, you're not going to get the population at
14 the south because you're going to have to cut into San
15 Diego, and then you're cutting two counties for one AD
16 and that doesn't seem right, number one; number two,
17 geographically, there are foothills right there, that's
18 why the cities are cut off just like they are, because
19 geographically you have a difference, and that's why you
20 have the 62 going around to get to 29 Palms, because you
21 can't get through there, just geographically. So,
22 sometimes we've seen some districts that looked strange
23 because you have mountain ranges, so I'm just saying...

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: It's not an aesthetic
25 comment, though, I just want to make that clear. My

1 comment is not an aesthetic comment, it's a comment on
2 the criteria and the way we're applying it, and I think
3 it's a fair comment and I'm just asking for clarification
4 on if we're going to break objective lines, that we have
5 a clear definition of what communities of interest is and
6 what binds them, that's all I'm asking for, it's not an
7 aesthetic appearance issue.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any further
9 comment on this or any Commissioner feel that there's a
10 necessity for additional COI explanation for this
11 district? Okay, any objections to this district moving
12 forward for the second Draft Map? Commissioner Ward,
13 anything further? Okay. Moving on, we'll take a look at
14 Central Riverside, like Moreno Valley, I guess, is the
15 next. I don't know what that one is called.

16 MS. HENDERSON: The MGOBN.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, there were no
18 changes to this one? Or did we add the Grand Terrace at
19 the top?

20 MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry, so the MTRMV, we did
21 add the Grand Terrace.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you remember why
23 we did that?

24 MS. HENDERSON: That was because the district
25 immediately above, we were asked to add the

1 unincorporated area surrounding Rialto to the north of
2 this district, and that increased the population. So we
3 needed to remove the population.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is Grand Terrace
5 split?

6 MS. HENDERSON: No, it's not.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, so there were
8 actually nearly 12,000 people in that?

9 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, there were a surprising
10 number of people. We also --

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: And that was in response to
12 the Inland Empire, African American Redistricting
13 Collaborative.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes.

15 MS. HENDERSON: So that's why Grand Terrace moved
16 into this district.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any -- do you
18 want to bring it up a little bit? Let's make sure we've
19 got -- we've got Perris in there. I'm sorry, MTRMV.

20 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, just a moment.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, sorry.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: So I just want to note for the
23 record, I think we did have a fair amount of testimony
24 linking Colton and Grand Terrace, though there might be
25 people who want us to go back to the original, but...

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, we should
2 recognize also that Goodhope and Romoland are not with
3 Perris here. I think we make them whole at the
4 Congressional level.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, and that's just a
6 population thing, I think.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions,
8 comments, concerns?

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is the split in Riverside --
10 did that change at all? Still a river?

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: At the top is the
12 river and at the bottom portion, if that's Jackson, then
13 it probably is, we don't have to -- it looks the same.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Just as a clarifier
15 point -- I'm sorry, go ahead.

16 MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry, the border is a little
17 bit different.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I can talk about the
19 details off line. Commissioner Di Giulio.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So this is the area in
21 the south part where the other part of the San Jacinto
22 Valley was - we weren't able to accommodate that, there.
23 That's the situation, right? That's where we were trying
24 to --

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: No. San Jacinto is over to

1 the east.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm sorry, well, the --
3 was this all valley -- that southern part is a valley
4 down there, too, is that right?

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah. The Hemet,
6 San Jacinto-Hemet, is a valley right there. And so we
7 have broken it up at this Assembly level. But that's a
8 consequence of Imperial and population flow over.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: There are a lot of people in
10 the Valley between San Jacinto and Hemet, 122,000 people.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay. And the reason we
12 had gone down there as opposed to getting the something
13 valley there, because that was more of a COI down there?

14 MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry, Commissioners, can you
15 clarify which district we're talking about?

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, we're looking at the
17 Metro Moreno Valley one.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: MRTVRV.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, so we had gone
21 down -- the southern part goes down and I'm just
22 wondering why we had not included something like -- it's
23 something Valley.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Meade Valley.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Meade Valley, thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, just one
2 other point real quick, we do have a Court Reporter, and
3 I forgot to mention this earlier, we do need to be really
4 conscientious about not talking over one another, so if
5 you'd like to speak, and I'm not watching you, you can
6 just ask for my attention so we can queue people up
7 appropriately to have a clear record, especially about
8 this type of meeting. Commissioner Dai.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, just to respond to
10 Commissioner Di Guilio, this was based on COI testimony
11 about the March Air Reserve Base being tied with Perris
12 and Moreno Valley and Riverside.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, I just wanted a
14 clarification on that, thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Commissioner
16 Raya, did you have your hand up? Any other questions,
17 concerns about MTRMV? Commissioner Ward.

18 COMMISSIONER WARD: I appreciated Commissioner Di
19 Guilio' comment, I had the same question. I spent a lot
20 of time, and done some tike at March Air Reserve Base,
21 and I do know that it ties with some of its local
22 neighbors there that aren't put in on this, and we've
23 reached down to Perris, so I guess I am concerned or
24 would like to know what specifically the COI testimony is
25 that justifies reaching down to Perris.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, given that
2 it's not an operational base anymore and essentially
3 there is a Joint Powers Agreement between Moreno Valley,
4 Perris, and Riverside, over March Air Force Base, and we
5 did receive pre-Draft Map input at the hearings, and for
6 Moreno Valley, and Perris and Riverside, about their
7 interest in March Air Force Base, and that did not, as I
8 recall, include Meade Valley. In fact, is Meade Valley a
9 city? Or is it just a census place?

10 MS. HENDERSON: It's a Census place.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, I just didn't
12 want to call it yet, but it was. So, that. And, again,
13 this district has not received any criticism from anyone
14 either at a public hearing in San Bernardino, post-Draft
15 Map, nor on the Web, and Commissioner Dai can confirm
16 that because she went through the San Bernardino public
17 comments. And the only change is Grand Terrace. And
18 Commissioner Galambos Malloy might be able to maybe talk
19 a little bit about Grand Terrace and how it might be
20 closely tied to the upper portion of Riverside, but we
21 can then move on because there is really not much further
22 discussion.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, in fact, we got a lot of
24 praise, both over this district and the one to the west.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, I lived in

1 Colton for a time and went to college in Riverside in
2 Loma Linda, so I know the area really well and I can see
3 there is a strong connection between Colton and Grand
4 Terrace, but, really, when you look at these communities
5 here, you can see that they essentially fall at a
6 crossroads. They're at the kind of in between and right
7 on some very major freeway intersections, and so my
8 experience in that area is that these communities are
9 connected in multiple different directions, and so I do
10 see that there is some flexibility there and that there
11 actually are really strong connections from Colton going
12 west on the 10, and also going up farther north on the
13 215.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, anything
15 further? The only city split we have here is just
16 Riverside, just for confirmation. Is that correct?

17 MS. HENDERSON: That's correct.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And we can move on
19 to RIVJU. And are there any city splits other than
20 Riverside?

21 MS. HENDERSON: No. Let us confirm that.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It doesn't look like
23 it. Questions, concerns, while they're looking at it?

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can we just see at some
25 point the top of it, too?

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Corona was whole
2 there?

3 MS. HENDERSON: It is whole, yes, we wanted to
4 make sure.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And at the border,
6 that's the Jarupa Valley where it says "Sunny Slope" and
7 "Glenn Avon." And that's not split either. Anything
8 further on this one? Lots of public comment in support
9 of this district. Let's take a look at MGOBN and see
10 what's happening in South Riverside County, and then we
11 can go up and look at San Bernardino. Is Murrieta split?

12 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, and how much
14 of the population is in the San Diego, or whichever way
15 you want to do it? And all of Temecula is in San Diego
16 County, correct?

17 MS. HENDERSON: We'll get that number for you.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions while
19 they're pulling that up about this lower portion of
20 Riverside County? It's down a transportation corridor
21 over the 15 freeway. There was some recent comment that
22 we did receive on the Web about this being a difficult
23 area to get around, but you see where the major freeways
24 are.

25 MS. HENDERSON: I have an answer for you. The

1 population of Murrieta and the San Diego-based district
2 is 2,323.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Two thousand. Can
4 you go up and let me see the statistic, some.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's a deviation.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm not a
7 mathematical wizard, but if you put the 2,000 people back
8 into Murrieta, what is the -

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: You should be okay.

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: You should be okay.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I would think we
12 would be okay.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, it would be under .5,
14 I think.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, we should be okay.
16 Let's make Murrieta whole.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, what does it do to
18 the one south of it, though?

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Temecula? That's --

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Zero, yeah, we have room to do
21 it.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, then the
23 direction would be to save Murrieta, at least those 2,000
24 people, see if we can make them whole into MGOBN.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Point five one.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other comments?

2 Oh, Commissioner Blanco, sorry.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just wanted to make a
4 comment generally. To say, "Well, people can't get from
5 here to there on a freeway," that's not what political
6 legislative districts are about, nothing about the way
7 you draw a district keeps people from being able to drive
8 from one place to another. The whole point of this
9 process and when we look at the wording in the fourth
10 criteria is about fair and effective representation, not
11 about freeway ease. And so, when we look at a region,
12 we're looking at are we grouping people together who need
13 a voice because they share a voice, and they need a voice
14 that represents their interests better than if the
15 district were politically drawn in a different manner.
16 And I just want to emphasize that because we tend to get,
17 especially in this area, this freeway, that freeway, you
18 can't get here, this is not what an Assembly District and
19 a Senate District is meant to do, to drive from one place
20 or another, it's have you grouped the citizens that have
21 commonalities so that their commonalities can be
22 expressed politically in their representation.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Transportation is
24 mentioned as a community of interest.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm not talking about - I'm

1 saying in terms of getting there; transportation is in
2 terms of are they tied together because of this or this,
3 but getting to a place or another is not what makes an
4 effective and fair representational district.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
6 Barabba, then Commissioner Galambos Malloy, then
7 Commissioner Forbes.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: No, I absolutely
9 agree with what Commissioner Blanco is saying. I think
10 that, in many of the districts we've drawn, however,
11 those two things are not contradicting each other. I
12 remember, actually early on in our process I think, as we
13 had initially started our input hearings, we were very
14 remiss to think about the transportation corridor so
15 heavily and I think we were at a hearing in Southern
16 California and there was testimony around the 405, and
17 they told us you absolutely have to understand how
18 Southern California functions and that the freeways and
19 the communities around them, they often do have really
20 strong ties because of their local economy, because of
21 their land use patterns, and the type of housing that
22 they have in different areas. So, I think there are
23 moments where we need to be careful that we don't use the
24 transportation corridor as shorthand for, you know, that
25 is our way of talking about a district's similarities,

1 but that may be one of many considerations.

2 And when I spoke earlier of Colton that really
3 was my thinking. It's not that the freeway connects
4 those areas, but, as I think of having spent time in each
5 of the communities along that corridor, that there are
6 really cultural institutions, various types of
7 communities of interest, various industries that are
8 located in that vicinity that tie that area together, and
9 they just happen to be situated around a transportation
10 corridor. It doesn't always work out that neatly, but I
11 think in some cases, it does.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We do need to move
13 on from this topic.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Two sentences. I would
15 disagree about the transportation issue because I think,
16 particularly in the more rural areas, effective
17 representation means access. We heard a lot of comment
18 about the fact that the coast is not accessible to the
19 Central Valley because there is no roads, and that
20 affected the level of their representation.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We have to move on.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I have a question about
23 this district, though, very quickly. The French Valley
24 is -- and I'm trying to remember my geography, it seems
25 like it's an isolated -- it's not with its sisters or

1 brothers? Am I correct on that in terms of - was there
2 an opportunity to look at trying to maybe put it into
3 that MGOBN district and kind of rotate around? I just
4 feel like it looks like, as I understand, that's desert
5 and mountains over there kind of have been stuck with
6 that.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It is problematic
8 and I was going to suggest that we'll look at that issue
9 if we're going south, but maybe we would want to finish
10 up San Bernardino. It is very troubling, it's in a
11 valley, and it's not connected with any of the
12 communities that it is with right now.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So I'd just like to say
14 that maybe it's an area we can revisit, it just seems
15 that geographically and with this --

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, there might be an
18 opportunity to do a rotation since I don't believe Hemet
19 is whole, either, so we can do a rotation in those three
20 districts and get French Valley in, and Hemet might be
21 more whole?

22 MS. HENDERSON: We were just looking at that.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: What is the population
24 of French Valley? Could you --

25 MS. HENDERSON: Twenty-three thousand.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Twenty-three thousand.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, thank you.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I think if we can put
4 French Valley back in and it would be less of a split of
5 Hemet.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, you were
7 working on that.

8 MS. HENDERSON: We were just eyeballing it,
9 talking amongst ourselves.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Wonderful, okay,
11 let's take a look at San Bernardino and then we'll move
12 down to San Diego, and we'll pick up the pace here
13 because we are going very slow. And it's my fault. I
14 take full responsibility.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Thank you.

16 MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry, which districts would
17 you like to look at?

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: San Bernardino.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so why don't we start with
20 Pomona Valley, the POMVLE.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I don't believe
22 there were any changes to this district, is that correct?

23 MS. HENDERSON: That's correct.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any additional
25 questions on this district? Okay, and then moving

1 forward. And, again, on this one the only change, what
2 we discussed earlier, is adding the unincorporated part
3 of Rialto and swapping out for Grand Terrace. Any other
4 questions, concerns? Then, Commissioner Parvenu, that
5 border didn't change over there, so I think we're still
6 good with that.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: We're good with this,
8 thank you.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. And the Loma
10 Linda is whole in the other district, correct?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, Loma Linda is with
12 Redlands and Highland, sister cities, and Mentone is
13 often mentioned in that grouping along with a large part
14 of San Bernardino.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any questions?
16 And is Rancho Cucamonga whole in that district, also?
17 It's not? Is it split?

18 MS. HENDERSON: No, it's split less than it was
19 before.

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: If and when we are ready to go
21 to the district that includes most of the Rancho
22 Cucamonga, are we ready to go --

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, we're in that
24 district now, technically, which is SBCUC, which goes
25 from Rancho Cucamonga over San Bernardino to the

1 Highland, Redlands area.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, I know because of the
3 two Section 2 Districts, we kind of put Rancho Cucamonga
4 in a real peculiar position. It's a fairly large city,
5 so it's subject to split more so than smaller cities.
6 But what we have done is we have put part of Rancho
7 Cucamonga, not only splitting the city, but putting it
8 into the Los Angeles County. Okay?

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: In the Palm Valley one?

10 COMMISSIONER YAO: What are labeled Rancho
11 Cucamonga is the upper portion of it, I think, we split
12 right around the Foothill Boulevard, and put a section of
13 that into Los Angeles County along with Claremont,
14 Laverne, and so on.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Ah.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: And the rest of it, we kind of
17 isolated it from all their neighbors.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you tell us what
19 the population is that is left out of SBCUC, of Rancho
20 Cucamonga?

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it looks like we have
22 some room on the deviation there since it's at zero
23 percent right now.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, we might be
25 able to work with that.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Too big?

2 MS. HENDERSON: I think it's too big, we'll
3 double-check. It's 20,255 people in that portion that is
4 in the Los Angeles-based district.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: Right, I know it's too big to
6 be addressed just by the deviation factor, alone, but
7 here is a city that all the worst things that can happen
8 to a community, we've basically made happen with Rancho
9 Cucamonga. So if there's anything that we can do in
10 terms of keeping it whole, or keeping it away from Los
11 Angeles County, that certainly would make that community
12 feel a lot more --

13 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, what I can tell you is that
14 it is split slightly less than it was before because, by
15 taking out that unincorporated area near Rialto, out of
16 the SBCUC District, we needed to make up that population,
17 so we went straight there to see if we were able to make
18 Rancho Cucamonga whole.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao,
20 would you think about --

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, I'll be happy to work
22 off line to see what we can pick up that 20,000 people,
23 but I --

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The other
25 consideration that you might have, I've worked in Rancho

1 Cucamonga, I love the area and some of the wonderful
2 shopping areas that they've created up in there; is there
3 a possibility, if we cannot swamp out the population with
4 another area and make it whole, that there would be a
5 different area of Rancho Cucamonga -- in other words, the
6 area that is closer to the freeway in Ontario, that has a
7 closer community of interest with the Upland area down
8 below, I mean, they are up above, as well, but maybe
9 there might be some swaps even with the city, so...

10 COMMISSIONER YAO: Right. I don't think the
11 street is the issue, I think it just is the overall
12 global perspective of how we basically take the whole
13 brunt of the redistricting problems and put it on Rancho
14 Cucamonga.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Rancho Cucamonga.
16 Commissioner Dai and then Commissioner Ward, and then
17 we'll move on.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Just an idea. I can't tell
19 from here how many people are in Wrightwood, but --

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Four thousand five
21 hundred.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, that's still not enough
23 with the deviation, but that was an idea.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward.

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I appreciated

1 Commissioner Yao's comments on this one, this is one I'd
2 looked at, too, and was concerned about, again, same
3 issues, I realize it's Section 2 in the middle, but the
4 compactness of the district, if there is not a better way
5 to draw it. Is there a way, on the LA side, would it be
6 better to try to leave Rancho whole and --

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: But that's 140,000 people that
8 we have to move into LA, and if we were going to move
9 them in that direction, I suspect that is almost an
10 impossible situation.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Henderson.

12 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, we're also hemmed in by
13 some of the VRA districts on the LA side here, so what
14 this is connecting to here, and the reason that we split
15 it this way before in past discussion with the
16 Commission, was because the northerly part of Rancho
17 might have more in common with the Foothills, and so the
18 LA-based district that this part of Rancho is connected
19 to is a part of one of those Foothill Districts, so
20 that's why. I think, actually, Commissioner Yao gave us
21 the dividing line, but we're happy to look at the
22 dividing line more closely with you off line.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, and we can do
24 that off line. Any other questions or concerns on SBCUC?
25 Okay, seeing none, we can move over maybe to take a look

1 at that MIS.

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
3 Filkins Webber, can I ask, would you like us to excuse
4 ourselves for bio breaks?

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, thank you.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Don't lose your quorum.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, my quorum
9 still needs to be here when we're talking about
10 districts. Ms. Henderson, this district goes how far up
11 north?

12 MS. HENDERSON: It goes to the county line
13 dividing at the end of San Bernardino. So, Mono and Inyo
14 were not included, they went up with the Northern
15 California districts.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think we looked at
17 this before. Has Hesperia split? Or is it just Adelanto
18 that split away from the Victor Valley that's in the
19 middle there? Oh, you got some of Hesperia, too.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Chair, did you get the public
21 comment that I forwarded you about Adelanto and Rosemount
22 and Mojave?

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Among the 500 other
24 emails I received as Chair?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, exactly. I'm sure you

1 pay more attention to my emails than other Commissioners'
2 emails.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Please refresh my
4 recollection.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: And I didn't quite understand,
6 I was hoping you would get this more, there was public
7 comment about swapping out Adelanto with Rosemount and
8 Mojave, if you could show me where that --

9 MS. HENDERSON: Can I just comment on what we're
10 showing you before we do that? So the kind of purple
11 magenta area is Oak Hills, and Holly is showing us that
12 right now. And it also goes around the bottom here, and
13 then the green area is Hesperia, so they kind of stick
14 together.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so is Hesperia
16 split?

17 MS. HENDERSON: A portion of it is split, yes.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And so you put the
19 green area that is closer to Oak Hills -- or, I'm sorry,
20 please explain.

21 MS. HENDERSON: The majority of Hesperia is in
22 this MISBK district. And then the small portion that
23 kind of sticks into Oak Hills is divided.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh, I see. It's a finger
25 there, see.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

2 Parvenu.

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I dislike digressing, but
4 since we have this Visualization here, and I didn't see
5 this until we saw it close up, just the next one over
6 with Crestline, I see a bit of a population just north of
7 that purple line. Do we capture that? Also, I look to
8 the west of Crestline and it looks like we've taken Cedar
9 Pines, as well, which is good, we didn't want that
10 dangling. But with that Visualization, what is that
11 yellow piece just north? Is that dangling like over the
12 line? Why is that dangling?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Are there no people there?

14 MS. HENDERSON: You have good eyes. Let us
15 investigate.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: But we'll pick them
17 up if that's part of Crestline.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, it's a minor
19 modification.

20 MS. HENDERSON: If it is split, we'll pick it up,
21 but thank you for noticing that.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so let's pull
23 back and take a look at ---

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: Before you get off of this
25 Visualization, is there any reason for the purple line,

1 the finger pointing to the right, is there -- no, no,
2 keep it where you were -- to have that area be included?
3 Is that a county line? Is that -- in other words --

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: If there are no people, can we
5 make it --

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: Can we just eliminate the
7 finger? There are very few people there.

8 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, sounds good.
10 We'll make it pretty. Pulling back and taking a look at
11 the Adelanto and Mojave issue that was raised by
12 Commissioner Dai.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, and I'm sorry I don't
14 know where Mojave ---

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Mojave, it's up
16 further, that's why I'm trying to figure out where there
17 would be this swap.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: They had proposed a swap for
19 Adelanto and --

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you pull back?
21 It's -- right up there, that's why I'm saying -- oh, I
22 see. That's where it's at.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: They said, "Thank you for your
24 time and consideration, we are almost perfect. Please
25 consider swapping out Adelanto nested with the Antelope

1 Valley in your first draft and Rosemount and Mojave,
2 nested with the Victor Valley in your first draft." And
3 they apparently both want to be swapped with each other.
4 They said that Rosemount and Mojave are closer to the
5 Antelope Valley, so this may..." - yeah, it says, "Adelanto
6 should be nested with the Victorville area, whereas
7 Rosemount and Mojave are closer to the Antelope Valley
8 and travel to our valley daily to work and shop.
9 Consider making this swap." And it says, "Adelanto has
10 forwarded to you their proposal, as well as Rosemount,
11 asking for the same."

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That makes sense.
13 What is the population of Adelanto, real quick? And then
14 we can just give general direction and what do we have up
15 in the --

16 MS. HENDERSON: Adelanto is 31,765.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And Mojave?

18 MS. HENDERSON: Mojave is 4,238, and Rosemount is
19 18,150.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is everyone, well, I
21 know it's not equal, but can we give some general
22 direction to consider?

23 MS. HENDERSON: We'd also need to talk to Nicole
24 about this, the Antelope Valley District, just to see
25 where that might go. So, you can have an idea this

1 district would then go up like this, and to Kern County
2 and back down, and then kind of be closer in on the side
3 over on the San Bernardino County.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: But since you're
5 swapping just two districts right next to each other,
6 there's no push, correct?

7 MS. HENDERSON: The populations are not equal, so
8 we've have to explore the deviation issues and also if
9 there is any surrounding population by Mojave and
10 Rosemount that we might want to take in if the Commission
11 directs us to do so.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, is the
13 Commission in agreement to direct Q2 to take a look at
14 the swap based on that? And it is consistent with the
15 community of interest testimony of Victor Valley,
16 Adelanto being closer to those areas than Mojave.
17 Commissioner Raya.

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Was there any concern about
19 the impact that might have on Edwards?

20 MS. HENDERSON: One question is if you would want
21 us to take Edwards, as well, depending on -- I'm not sure
22 what the population in the areas where we don't have
23 population numbers are going to be.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: It might make sense to take up
25 that whole corner.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward.

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: There was testimony asking
3 for Edwards and China Lake to be in the same district,
4 isn't that correct?

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: But China Lake is
6 way up at Ridgecrest, but as I recall, was there
7 testimony in Lancaster that put Edwards potentially with
8 Lancaster?

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, there was.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So that might be
11 consistent. Let's take a look at a general direction of
12 exploring the population that is up in that corner, just
13 to get Adelanto whole with Victor Valley. Does that
14 sound good as a general direction? Okay. Ms. Henderson,
15 do you need to repeat any of that back, or did you get
16 that?

17 MS. HENDERSON: No, we have that. If I can ask,
18 just to try to have some information, it looks like the
19 40 is a possible dividing line for the kind of corner
20 there. Would that be acceptable to the Commission?

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you remember the
22 Boron testimony?

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: There was, I think, one
24 comment from Boron.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: They wanted to be

1 with Lancaster.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: We can probably do a search of
3 the database.

4 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, we can look into it, as
5 well.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Please look into it. There
7 was a lot of testimony, again, this is from the Antelope
8 Board of Trade Proposal where they showed us the Chevron,
9 about the High Desert, and linking that with Antelope
10 Valley. Of course, the Victor Valley is also part of
11 that desert community, so we're just swapping a desert
12 community for a different desert community.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct. Okay, so
14 that will be the general direction, we can do that.
15 Let's move forward. And then we'll look at Boron and see
16 if it's closer to Lancaster, we'll take a look at that
17 testimony.

18 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Then that's it for
20 the northern part of the Inland Empire. Now we can move
21 into San Diego. And now on me, ISAND, we were concerned
22 about French Valley.

23 MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We were concerned
25 about the French Valley and the ISAND area. What were

1 you considering that, where we might be able to put
2 French Valley possibly back with Riverside County instead
3 of in this very long district?

4 MS. HENDERSON: Sure. What we were eyeballing is
5 that, if we put the French Valley in with the MGOBN, then
6 we could pull some population out of --

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Hemet.

8 MS. HENDERSON: -- actually, you're going to need
9 to increase the split on Hemet, but more of Hemet will be
10 whole in the neighboring district.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Exactly.

12 MS. HENDERSON: And then we may need to shift
13 some of that population down into the ISAND, so maybe
14 Valley Vista, Valley Vista is probably the most likely
15 candidate -- we'll need to look at it to see what we do
16 with our deviations and our population. This is where
17 eyeballing is a little bit dangerous because now I'm
18 thinking that, if we don't get enough population from
19 Valley Vista, we might need to take part of Hemet into
20 ISAND to make up that population.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: But that's just as
22 bad because the -- if that's where you're looking to get
23 the population to make French Valley, part of Riverside,
24 taking Valley Vista and Hemet is worse, but --

25 MS. HENDERSON: The only other option would be

1 probably in the Coachella Valley, maybe part of La
2 Quinta.

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: My question would be, how --
4 and not knowing the French Valley area, but how important
5 is it to move French Valley and have this seemingly huge
6 impact on so many other communities?

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We're walking it
8 through and seeing where the larger impact is, it may not
9 be that significant, but I guess we can just take a look
10 at it and see what - I think Commissioner Raya is right,
11 that the greater impact on some of the other cities and
12 separating them from their community of interest is
13 probably far more damaging than leaving French Valley
14 where it might be.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm don't know this area,
16 either, but before we sort of say that, can somebody
17 describe to me what French Valley's community of interest
18 is, the population, etc.? When we're talking about
19 splitting something, what are we splitting? Is it a
20 geographic area, like a valley valley, or is it a
21 population?

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, I was
23 talking about something else as far as community of
24 interest.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think the issue is,

1 when I initially identified it, it just looked
2 geographically like it was an area that was probably
3 linked in terms of, I would imagine, a lot of community
4 of interest because where it is now, it is with
5 mountainous and desert area, but I think -- so the
6 initial idea was to try and get it back in its community,
7 but I think, as Commissioner Filkins Webber said, the
8 impacts in terms of Hemet maybe being split three ways,
9 or going into the Coachella Valley, I think that this is
10 not necessarily ideal to have it separated, but again, it
11 is choosing the lesser of the harm to all the area.

12 MS. HENDERSON: Another possible option would be
13 to swap out French Valley and part of Temecula.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: So I have a question for
15 Commissioner -- for the Chair here, in terms of Idlewild
16 and Pinecone, I know that is a mountainous area, and we
17 have some other mountainous areas in the district below.
18 I know it doesn't have much population and I don't know
19 if that makes sense or not, but I just thought I'd throw
20 that out. We did get testimony from French Valley, kind
21 of linking it to Murrieta and Menifee.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Why don't we just
23 give general direction to take a look at what options
24 there might be. Commissioner Dai and I can work with you
25 off line. Idlewild is closely connected to the Palm

1 Springs Valley area and you can tell also, again, about
2 access to that area, it comes from the Riverside County
3 side. Can we pull back and take a look at ISAND? Does
4 anyone else have any other questions or requests for
5 general direction to Q2 on this district?

6 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah, let's move down that
7 vertical corridor, the boundary, let me see where it's
8 going. So, from the top, we're going down, let's move
9 our way down slowly, okay, and then at that point, stop
10 right there, that's the City of San Diego boundary line,
11 right?

12 MS. HENDERSON: That's correct, that's San
13 Pasqual Neighborhood.

14 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Okay, so Escondido, San
15 Marcos, Bonsall, Fallbrook is all to the north, okay.
16 Now, 78 is the western boundary line? No, no, I'm sorry,
17 right there, what is that, 76?

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's 78 that goes --

19 MS. HENDERSON: That's 76.

20 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Seventy-six, not there, over
21 here.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's 78.

23 MS. HENDERSON: The road that goes through it, or
24 that the -

25 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Here is my red line.

1 MS. HENDERSON: Seventy-six.

2 COMMISSOINER ONTAI: Seventy-six, okay, that
3 makes sense. All right, okay, let's go down now. So we
4 have Poway, Rancho Bernardo, Rancho Penasquitos, Mira
5 Mesa, and that goes all the way down to Highway 8. Is
6 this 8?

7 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, that is the 8.

8 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: All right, so we're taking
9 all of the API community which is what they've strongly
10 voiced, and why do we have this section here, meandering
11 in there? Am I reading that right? No, it's a cut-off
12 line right there, I'm sorry.

13 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Chair, I'm a
14 little confused, are we changing districts?

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's what I was
16 going to put a hold to Commissioner Ontai here for a
17 moment, we were looking --

18 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I'm just trying to figure
19 out where this line is, I want to make sure --

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, but right now
21 we're looking at ISAND, we're just taking them one at a
22 time.

23 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Okay, let's go down, let's
24 go down.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Then we can -

1 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Let's go down. Let's see,
2 we've got Santee --

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, do you have
4 any specific question, Commissioner Ontai?

5 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: No, I just want to make sure
6 I'm clear on the lines, all right, so we've got all of
7 them. Is that Chula Vista? This is the outer eastern
8 edge of Chula Vista, I believe, is that Otay Ranch, Otay
9 Lakes?

10 MS. HENDERSON: That's the outside border of
11 Chula Vista.

12 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah, so all of Chula Vista
13 is in here -- I mean, outside of that?

14 MS. HENDERSON: Chula Vista is split within, yes,
15 so Chula Vista is split within two districts.

16 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Okay, that looks good.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any questions
18 concerned on ISAND?

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: Just one more thought. If we
20 go back to the northernmost portion of the ISAND, we used
21 Highway 76 as the dividing line, correct, up on top?

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Where, in Riverside
23 County?

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: Between the yellow and the
25 pink. Now, there may be communities that are north of

1 that major road, does it make sense in an urban
2 community, a freeway, a highway, probably a highway is a
3 good separator, but in a very sparse area, maybe it would
4 be important to tie in some of the community just in a
5 yellow region, just north of it, to the highway, as
6 compared to separating them using the highway. I'm just
7 questioning as to whether we left anybody out in the
8 northern area that have no access to any of the other
9 communities.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm not exactly sure
11 where your question is. This is Highway 79 that goes to
12 the 15 Freeway through Temecula.

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: I'm looking at the separator.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: This is the Idlewild issue.
15 He's referring to the Idlewild issue.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: What is that road that follows
17 the purple line on top?

18 MS. HENDERSON: It's Highway 74.

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: That's 74, okay, I'm mistaken,
20 74. Are there communities north of Highway 74 that are
21 not tied to any other community? I know there are no big
22 cities, but are there communities in the yellow region
23 that are not above Idlewild and so on? I'm just worrying
24 about that big empty section up there that I'm not tied
25 to anybody.

1 MS. HENDERSON: I believe that there's a large
2 mountain range there.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, Idlewild is at
4 like the top of a mountain.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: At the top, there are no
6 people above Idlewild?

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, you mean in the
8 yellow area?

9 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, in the yellow area.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: No, that's desert.
11 When you drive through, there are windmills there.

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: I guess my concern is that
13 using 74 as a divider and separating the people on top
14 that have no access to anyplace else at the bottom of the
15 yellow section. Anyway, it's just a thought, just
16 looking at this very vast --

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Maybe you can look
18 at a map and then maybe you can communicate that.

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: All right.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Moving
21 on. Any other questions about ISAND or any other
22 directions, or requests to look at anything? Okay, we
23 can start back at the bottom, I guess, and then move our
24 way up to, I guess, SSAND?

25 MS. HENDERSON: So for SSAND we were asked to add

1 the missing blocks of Barrio Logan back in, which we did.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you pull off the
3 streets there so we can have a clear view? Any questions
4 about this district?

5 MS. HENDERSON: In addition, for population
6 reasons to the north, this removes Imperial Beach.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
8 Guilio.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I was just going to ask
10 that because I think originally it didn't go all the way
11 down to the border, so now the line has been moved
12 slightly?

13 MS. HENDERSON: That's correct. So Imperial
14 Beach is not included in this iteration.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Which I think, Commissioner
16 Ontai, correct me if I'm wrong, is okay since Imperial
17 Beach, before the ferry, was the entry to Coronado.

18 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah, that's correct.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: And City Heights is whole?

20 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: So we're right outside
21 Downtown San Diego, right? Then we go up --

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ontai,
23 if you want to look at some of the details and streets, I
24 would suggest we could probably do it off line, unless
25 there is something significantly egregious.

1 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: All right, let me just take
2 a look at it. So that looks like you've gotten all of
3 City Heights, but I'll check that.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Is Linda Vista in this
5 district? Or where is Linda Vista?

6 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: It's up here. We'll take a
7 look at the next district later. So we've got City
8 Heights -- this is the African American community right
9 here, so that is being split between the two districts.
10 Go further down.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Henderson.

12 MS. HENDERSON: Commissioner Ontai, I just wanted
13 to point out that, for this region, we were directed to
14 take a look at the Unity Map lines, and so many of the
15 lines are very similar.

16 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Similar, all right.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions
18 or concerns about SSAND? And, Commissioner Ontai, I
19 would certainly encourage you to look at details possibly
20 off line if you have any questions.

21 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yes, I'll do that. It looks
22 good.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: And just to note, this is our
24 Section 2 in San Diego.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di

1 Guilio, did you have one final comment? Commissioner
2 Ward? Okay, moving on, going north - or to the east. Is
3 that the one?

4 MS. HENDERSON: We're adding the label right now.
5 So this district includes the other part of Chula Vista,
6 Commissioner Ontai.

7 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: All right, is this the
8 correct lines?

9 MS. HENDERSON: It goes up above the 8.

10 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Okay, all right. So what is
11 this up here? What is this street here?

12 MS. HENDERSON: So just for the -- we're having
13 trouble with our label, but the name of this district is
14 LMSAND.

15 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Okay, that's Lemon Grove,
16 San Diego.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: La Mesa.

18 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: La Mesa? All right, La
19 Mesa, San Diego. So you're cutting here. See, the
20 concern that I have is that this section here, it all be
21 part of either this district here, or that district
22 there. This is the central core of San Diego right here.
23 Everything to the west of Highway 5, I would consider
24 coastal, but this is really the core of San Diego. This
25 8 Freeway forms a significant concentration of what's

1 happening in Downtown San Diego, so this, I think, needs
2 some fixing. I think it's problematic.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Henderson?

4 MS. HENDERSON: We're happy to work with you on
5 that off line, I just wanted to point out that this is a
6 very heavily densely populated area.

7 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I realize that.

8 MS. HENDERSON: So, because of some of the
9 constraints with a Section 2 District in SSAND and in the
10 population issues in surrounding districts, that we don't
11 have a great deal of latitude, but we're happy to work
12 through it with you.

13 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: All right, let's work on
14 that.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
16 Guilio.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I mean, I think in terms
18 of working on it, I don't feel very comfortable if a lot
19 of changes are happening off line, and there's a couple
20 reasons, one is the SSAND is the Section 2, it is
21 staying, and in the far east one, I'm not sure what the
22 name of that is, the mountainous one, it's kind of set,
23 if we've agreed on that. So what happens is, you have
24 the bottom of Chula Vista and, for population, you move
25 up, what's going to happen is, I see what Commissioner

1 Ontai is concerned about, but I think that, in the AD, it
2 may just be the integrity of the core of Downtown San
3 Diego, we have to do the best that we can based on the
4 constraints that we have, and part of that is the SSAND
5 goes up into that and we really can't touch that for
6 Section 2 issues, and then the population that's left
7 over -- I shouldn't say left over -- I mean, there are
8 obviously similarities, so I think what we should then
9 focus on is what's left of the core, the integrity of
10 that still being kept together as much as possible. And,
11 again, I'm just not sure how comfortable I feel about
12 things being done to change this off line.

13 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: You've got Linda Vista,
14 right? That's being placed in a coastal area and that's
15 very strong testimony to keep Linda Vista within that.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: And this also splits the LGBT
17 community.

18 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: It splits the LGBT
19 community.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do we have general
21 direction that you can provide to Q2?

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I would think that, and
23 tell me if you agree with this, Commissioner Ontai, that
24 maybe this LMSAND District should extend a little more to
25 the west and pick up those areas?

1 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Exactly. I'm thinking about
2 that. This uptown area which is heavily LGBT community
3 and that goes all the way to 5 along here, and then
4 you've got a large API community right there along Linda
5 Vista, which is all in this area that's being placed in a
6 coastal area, and I think that's problematic.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I see where you're going
8 with that, but I'd just like to see that what you have to
9 do is repopulate La Mesa, or, excuse me, take out of La
10 Mesa, and then repopulate CNSAN. So I just want to - if
11 this is where we're going, I would like to just talk it
12 through as to how you want to fix those problems.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, let me hear
14 from Ms. Henderson.

15 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, so a few things. So, first
16 of all, I apologize that our label is not showing up,
17 we're going to try to keep on getting it up there, but
18 this LMSAND District is already .7 percent over-populated
19 and the ISAND next door, we can take some population in,
20 but it's going to be - where to do that becomes the
21 question because you have very sparsely populated areas
22 and then we're talking about splitting cities like Spring
23 Valley or others. The other thing I want to point out is
24 that, again, these lines are very close to the Unity Maps
25 that we probably looked at for further guidance here, for

1 Commission direction.

2 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Well, we could take out La
3 Mesa and explore that. This ends right here. So, I
4 mean, that's a possibility. La Mesa really could fit
5 very easily in the El Cajon area.

6 MS. HENDERSON: It's also 57,000 people.

7 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Because then you'd have
9 to push 57,000 people out of the El Cajon district, and
10 the population exchange is going to have to eventually
11 come back to CNSAN on the coast because that's what
12 you're taking away, so eventually, if you want to put La
13 Mesa maybe into the northern one, the brown one, and then
14 take something out of the brown and put it into CNSAN, I
15 mean, that would be your rotation in terms of your
16 population exchange. I think if you put La Mesa in with
17 El Cajon, your population shift has to add one extra
18 district to come around. So I'm not saying it's not
19 possible, I just think we need to - that's an option if
20 you can put La Mesa into the brown, and then move your
21 population shift back into CNSAN from the north.

22 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Right.

23 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We've got to maintain
24 the queue, so Commissioner Raya, did you want to comment?

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, there were a couple of

1 areas, the areas that I would say we've had public
2 comment on where the LGBT community, Linda Vista,
3 Claremont, three areas that I think we need to be sure to
4 address, and I'm not -- I don't know why, for some reason
5 the map is harder to see today, but trying to place
6 Claremont and then trying to place Linda Vista exactly,
7 and the LGBT community, so that we know where the
8 rotations have to be without maybe worrying about huge
9 chunks of population like getting rid of La Mesa
10 entirely, that's a lot of people. So, I think, you know,
11 I appreciate you don't necessary want to take a lot of
12 detailed time on that, but I would say just based on
13 testimony that those are areas that we need to at least
14 acknowledge; if we can do something, fine, if we can't,
15 you know, we go through the exercise at least of looking
16 at it. I don't know whether you want to do that now.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can we see where they
18 are? It would be helpful for me to see where those
19 communities are very quickly.

20 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Linda Vista is right there,
21 right in that section, right there. Now -- Ana, what is
22 this?

23 MS. HENDERSON: Commissioners, excuse me, so the
24 map layer that we've gotten for Linda Vista actually has
25 it right here.

1 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Oh, okay.

2 MS. HENDERSON: And so that is not -- that's in
3 the district to the north.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, so Linda Vista is taken
5 care of. The question is, so the LGBT Community, I
6 think, could be moved in either direction. It is split
7 almost exactly in half.

8 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: This is the LGBT community
9 right there.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Just that lake there, it might
11 be easier to move it to the coastal district.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Uh huh.

13 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm sorry, it is kind of in
14 the coastal district now because you're looking at North
15 Park, University Heights, and Hillcrest is in that CNSAN
16 right now.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, but it's missing the
18 College West, Tallmadge, Kensington, and Normal Heights
19 part.

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Henderson, did you
21 want to add something in terms of feasibility? How are
22 these directions likely to affect the big picture?

23 MS. HENDERSON: The concern, again, is that this
24 is a very densely populated area and because the
25 deviations have to be very small, we're constrained in

1 how much we can move around in here, it's not like other
2 areas of the state that aren't as populated where you can
3 make really big changes and not have any people. When
4 you get down to very populated, densely populated areas,
5 you're talking about a matter of blocks and it can have
6 several thousand people in it, and that goes right over
7 the deviation. Again, the LMSAND District is at plus .7
8 percent deviation right now, so we're almost at that one
9 percent. I think that we are able to address some of
10 these issues in the Congressional plan, I will double-
11 check when we look at the Congressional plan, but I think
12 some of the COI testimony with the bigger district and
13 the Congressional, we are able to address it more
14 sufficiently.

15 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: All right, so shall I still
16 get with you and give you some input on how we can
17 possibly address these numbers? Or are you saying this
18 is it, this is the max.

19 MS. HENDERSON: You can give the input, I'm just
20 not sure how much we'll be able to do, so we may be able
21 to make some small adjustments to help effectuate what
22 you're talking about, but some of the changes that I'm
23 hearing discussed just sound too large in scope for us to
24 be able to do it in this configuration, without a major
25 redraw of the surrounding districts.

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And I'm in the queue,
2 so just a question. So, just in terms of compactness,
3 and this may have already been confirmed earlier, but is
4 it the Asian community of interest coming from the --
5 it's the sort of reddish district from above -- is that
6 necessary to maintain the community of interest, the way
7 it comes down into the --

8 MS. HENDERSON: Into Linda Vista?

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah.

10 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, I believe that the
11 testimony, as well as the direction we received at our
12 last session, was to try to move Linda Vista out of the
13 coastal district. So that's what we did right here and
14 that's where Claremont, if you look to the north of Linda
15 Vista, you have the Claremont, Mesa West, Mesa East,
16 North Claremont, all of those are together in that
17 district.

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So we can -- let me
19 just finish the point -- as long as we can confirm that
20 that would be a community of interest, either that it's a
21 community of interest, or we would be splitting the
22 neighborhood, just to be clear, because there's a
23 compactness question if we don't rely on some higher
24 criteria.

25 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, these are relying on the

1 neighborhood map layers, that's the way that Linda Vista
2 is shaped, is following the green lines there that are
3 kind of skinny, a little bit difficult to see, are the
4 map layer for the neighborhood, so we are provided.

5 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I see it now, so that's
6 good.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco
8 and then Commissioner Dai, then -- okay, Commissioner
9 Dai.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, so Claremont is not
11 split. Is that correct?

12 MS. HENDERSON: The Claremont neighborhoods are
13 not split, they are together there.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: So that addresses that point.
15 Linda Vista is not in a coastal district. I do not
16 believe that any of the testimony linked Linda Vista to
17 the other API communities far north. So all they asked
18 for is not to be in a coastal district, so I think there
19 may be an opportunity, and this is the direction I'd like
20 to give, to kind of swap Linda Vista in to the LMSAND
21 District, the yellow district, and maybe move the other
22 half of the LGBT community into the coastal district, so
23 have them swap places there, just between those two.

24 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so we just ran the
25 population numbers of the portion of the LMSAND district,

1 the College West, Tallmadge, Rolando, between the 8 and
2 the 94 and it's about 85,000 people.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, that's more than they
4 designated, they just designated a finger that goes into
5 Tallmadge and College West, I don't think Rolando is part
6 of that. You have the shape file so I would just ask
7 that you check the shape files rather than trying to
8 eyeball it, so...

9 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: It's right about here.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
11 Guilio, then we do need to move on.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just want a
13 clarification on Commissioner Dai, it was to move Linda
14 Vista into the LMSAND, is that correct? Does that mean
15 you have to get a connector, as well, with it?

16 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: How would you do that?
17 You're going to have to connect --

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: You're going to connect
19 Linda Vista over with the other district.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: LMSAND.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: I was mentioning that you
22 would go over the top there, over the -- yeah, exactly.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: You'd also get that
24 community in between, as well.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: So all of that would be
2 yellow, is that what you're saying?

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, and then the finger
4 that goes into College West and Tallmadge -- again, I
5 would ask that Q2 check the actual shape files -- would
6 go into the coastal district.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And then what would
8 replace the population of Linda Vista?

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: That's what we'd have to look
10 at next in the next district.

11 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah, and this is the heart
12 of the LGBT community, San Diego Hillcrest.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: There we go, so it's actually
14 not that much, it's just that part that's missing. So I
15 think there's an opportunity to make that community
16 whole.

17 MS. HENDERSON: So we just put up the shape file
18 for you, Commissioner Dai.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, thank you.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So then maybe we would
21 push La Mesa back into Linda Vista?

22 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, the portion that is in that
23 finger is about 34,000 people, a little bit over 34,000
24 people.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So you'd have to have

1 like a 34,000 -- what is Linda Vista and the area between
2 it?

3 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: This area right here.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, and Linda Vista.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: I mean, Linda Vista can stay
6 if that other area happens to equal, I'm just proposing a
7 population switch that would make it easier, then we only
8 have to swap between two districts.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: But Linda Vista wouldn't
10 be contiguous with --

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Linda Vista is contiguous - is
12 in the red district right now.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: But it wouldn't be --

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm saying I don't have an
15 opinion on whether Linda Vista has to be in LMSAND or
16 not.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I understand, I see what
18 you're trying -- I think that's a link there in the
19 bottom, I'm just curious how we would repopulate the
20 LMSAND, the yellow one, then.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: With that white area in
22 between.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So just the white area,
24 not the Linda Vista.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Where it says San

1 Diego.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Where it says San Diego.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, is that
4 general direction we can move on, or is there any other
5 significant community of interest you feel that's --

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: We kept Claremont whole, we
7 addressed Linda Vista just not being in coastal, and
8 again, I don't believe there was any testimony linking it
9 to the API community northern, so I'm just proposing we
10 do a population switch so we can keep the LGBT community
11 whole, too.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Ms. Henderson,
13 did you get the general direction from Commissioner Dai?

14 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, I believe so.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Commissioner
16 Blanco.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And if it doesn't work, we
18 need to have sort of a back-up that this is okay if we
19 can't do the population shift. Is that correct, that all
20 the other parts of the map work.

21 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: It works.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, I'll ask that
24 question, Commissioner Di Guilio might be able to --

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just real fast while

1 we're here so we'll know what our back-up plan is if they
2 did the population for that white area, I feel like we're
3 here, is it around 34,000?

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Good.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So just to confirm,
8 if that can't be done and follow-up to Commissioner
9 Blanco's, then the Commission is satisfied with the areas
10 that we have here? But it sounds like it probably can be
11 done.

12 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions
14 on this area, or any of the neighborhoods, or communities
15 of interest for these three districts, kind of how they
16 work together? Okay, then we can pull back out and take
17 a look at the red district, LMSAND, and more. Okay, any
18 questions, concerns, other than what we discussed on the
19 Loma Vista area?

20 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: So you're going up -- what
21 is the street here, Ana, or this boundary line?

22 MS. HENDERSON: Just a moment.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
24 Galambos Malloy - we're working a little slow here and
25 she had suggested that we consider ordering lunch in. I

100

1 will have a break, maybe 15 minutes just for us to
2 stretch our legs and get some sun and refresh, but just
3 so everybody knows that that's what we're probably going
4 to do.

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're sending
6 around a list so people can write their orders down.
7 I'll send out an email right now with more details.

8 MS. HENDERSON: So this district is following
9 roughly the map layer of the neighborhood line. It looks
10 like the closest street, because sometimes they don't
11 match up completely, it looks like Kali Way.

12 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Okay, all right, that makes
13 sense. Now go further up.

14 MS. HENDERSON: And then I see a Claremont Drive
15 right here.

16 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Okay, wait, wait, hold on,
17 what's this? Is that Gennessy?

18 MS. HENDERSON: Balboa.

19 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Balboa, okay, that's fine.
20 Let's go up further north. And then you are making a
21 westward turn and what is this street here?

22 MS. HENDERSON: It looks like Claremont Mesa
23 Blvd., and on the other side it's Jetland Drive, maybe,
24 but that might be the neighboring street.

25 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah, no, that's fine. Keep

1 going. I mean, I'm asking because it's a very dense
2 community.

3 THE REPORTER: One at a time, please.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ontai,
5 we can work with streets at another, or off line. Or we
6 can take a look at them and --

7 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Let's just take it now.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: But we have quite a
9 number of districts to get through and we have to finish
10 up. Commissioner Di Guilio.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I guess I'm just
12 concerned, I understand it's important, but I feel like
13 the structure that we set up is to be able to look at the
14 details of lines, and right now I'm just concerned we may
15 have problems getting through all of Southern California
16 if we really look at neighborhood levels.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, so can you
18 pull back and let's take a look at this district.

19 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Pull it back, let's take a
20 look.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And does anybody
22 have any comments about the LMSAND? We already went
23 through detail on Linda Vista. If there isn't anything
24 further, then we'll take a look at the coast and then
25 move further north. Commissioner Ward.

1 COMMISSIONER WARD: I was just going to ask, on
2 LMSAND, the westernmost boundary that we just kind of
3 walked, I was just wondering if we could articulate why
4 the neighborhood is split there, as opposed to making it
5 more compact and pulling down up north, or in the
6 southwest corner, something like that.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, are there
8 neighborhood splits?

9 MS. HENDERSON: At the northern portion --

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: West.

11 MS. HENDERSON: -- so this is RCHM, I'm sorry,
12 we're having trouble with our label -- it was switched,
13 so I apologize for that -- so, I'm sorry, can you repeat
14 your question, Commissioner Ward? I apologize.

15 COMMISSIONER WARD: Oh, no problem. I was just
16 hoping we could articulate for the record just why we
17 didn't go all the way to the coastline with this district
18 and pull in either north or in the southwest corner, just
19 to make it more compact.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
21 Guilio, did you want to respond or --

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, we had this
23 discussion the last time about originally it went from
24 Coronado all the way up to Poway, and we gave clear
25 instructions to try to make it more compact because there

1 is a significant difference between the testimony we've
2 heard on the coastal areas and the inland areas for
3 separation, that was one aspect in terms of the COI. And
4 the other one was simply the length of the district
5 before, when it went all the way to the coast.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And I would add to
7 that that we also talked about the division where we're
8 separating it at the Del Mar area. We've also preserved
9 the 5 - 805 at the University, so we've discussed all of
10 that, that's why it's flowing down, as well as the
11 community of interest testimony that we have on the
12 coast. Commissioner Parvenu, did you have anything else
13 to add on that?

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Not on that particular
15 subject. I was trying to get your attention earlier when
16 we were on the subject with Commissioner Ontai, I do
17 share his concern about having an analysis on the street
18 level, especially since we're this far along in the
19 process and probably won't see too many more iterations.
20 I will have those same concerns in the Los Angeles area,
21 I know that San Diego, as well as Los Angeles, have well
22 defined communities, so the street level definition is
23 very important. So I would hope that we could take some
24 time, especially in areas that are a bit unclear or
25 obscure to keenly define exactly where those streets are

1 and where we're splitting streets. Sometimes crossing
2 the street makes a big difference.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, why don't we
4 do this, let's move through these Assembly Districts, I
5 think it's a very important discussion that we get to, we
6 do have continuing business on these days, and then we
7 can take about 15 minutes to have that discussion and
8 work and input from Q2 as to how some of these detail
9 could be looked at for concern because it will be
10 difficult for us to do in live session like this.
11 Commissioner Di Giulio, do you have one final comment?

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, maybe Q2 could
13 also give us a better idea of what to expect for that
14 last line drawing session where we will have -- the
15 intention is to go down and drill down to that level of
16 detail, because I think those are significant concerns,
17 but it may be more easily addressed at the live line
18 drawing.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure. When we take
20 a break, I'll have an opportunity to speak with Ms.
21 Henderson. It's certainly an excellent question,
22 Commissioner Parvenu and I agree. So let's --

23 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Can we go to the rest, the
24 top of this section, all the way up.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Did anybody else

1 have any questions about the coastal district other than
2 Commissioner Ward? I'm sorry, I missed the label, the
3 Del Mar one, real quick? Any other issues on the
4 Coronado District from anyone? Okay --

5 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: No, that's fine, but you've
6 got all the API community all in the section here --

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We've discussed
8 that, Commissioner Ontai, then we can go up to the
9 Encinitas and Carlsbad area. Any questions, concerns on
10 NCSAN?

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think this does a great
12 job of balancing a lot of different communities of
13 interest that we heard, like really -- this was a small,
14 but very complicated region because of the border and
15 then the ocean, and density, so I think we've captured
16 the coastal communities, we split it up between north and
17 south, we've got the API communities, I'm very pleased
18 with this.

19 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah, I'm very pleased with
20 it.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I have one question,
22 though. Is Vista split?

23 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, I believe it is.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And what is the
25 split? It's a small portion in the other district?

1 MS. HENDERSON: Do you want the population in the
2 other district?

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, the pink.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Was this for population?

5 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: We did get testimony also
7 along the 78 corridor, so I guess the other half of Vista
8 is in the 78 corridor.

9 MS. HENDERSON: I think 25,345 people.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can you pull back?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I believe we had
12 testimony Vista was wanted by a number of different
13 constituencies, San Marcos and Escondido wanted Vista,
14 and also Oceanside, Vista, and Encinitas were also COI
15 for the coastal.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, let me ask
17 this one question, maybe Commissioner Ontai can chime in,
18 or Commissioner Blanco, and Commissioner Dai, you are
19 familiar with this testimony. Does it make any sense to
20 have maybe the area that is south of the 78 closer to San
21 Marcos in the MURTM District, rather than cutting off
22 Vista at that point? In other words, is there another
23 way that we could cut it where the communities might be
24 closer? Because San Marcos and Vista are right together
25 if you drive from one to the other, you can't really tell

1 in that area on the 78. So, is there a possibility we
2 can give some general direction to maybe split it a
3 different way and then --

4 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah, it's possible, let's
5 take a look at that.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: I would look at the testimony
7 because we did get conflicting testimony about Vista, so
8 there probably is a responsible split, as Tamina would
9 say.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, and the testimony links
11 -- there is substantial testimony linking Vista with that
12 whole Oceanside corridor, perhaps more so than San
13 Marcos, which I think fits a little more clearly in the
14 other district.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so the general
16 direction is to take a look at the testimony regarding
17 Vista and see if there might be, based on that testimony,
18 a workable split of Vista that would be less intrusive.
19 Any other comments on NCSAN or MURTM, which goes from
20 Temecula down to Escondido?

21 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Looks good, it's really
22 nice.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Moving on to Orange
24 County.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: One final comment. There was

1 a comment about school districts between Oceanside and
2 Vista, so that might be a helpful thing to look at.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, they even share a
4 school district, so I think that's right.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, then we can
6 start from the south at SOUTHOC. And is Dana Point in
7 there whole? Is Laguna Woods in here or not?

8 MS. HENDERSON: No, it's not.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns?
10 We did get some testimony on Laguna Woods being with the
11 SOUTHOC area. Is it technically in the coast district
12 right now?

13 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns?
15 Mr. Ward?

16 COMMISSIONER WARD: Just looking at the Lake
17 Forest split, yes, Laguna Woods is 16,000 people and we
18 did receive quite a bit of testimony about Laguna Woods
19 being linked with Laguna Hills and Mission Viejo, Laguna
20 Niguel, and some explanations for the links of them. So,
21 if possible, it would be nice to be able to move that
22 16,000 in.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The only way you're
24 going to get population is to split Lake Forest, and then
25 where it is right now, they are both whole.

1 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, exactly.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai,
3 did you have questions?

4 MS. HENDERSON: Chair? So there's a portion of
5 Lake Forest that is split right now, a very small
6 portion.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: I was actually going to ask, I
8 mean, how many -- I mean, Lake Forest is bigger and, to
9 the degree that it would make more sense to put Laguna
10 Woods in and split Lake Forest, do you have a suggestion,
11 Commissioner Ward?

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: Can we see where the split of
13 Lake Forest is? Commissioner Webber is also very
14 familiar with this area because I believe she lived
15 there.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, I just said I
17 wasn't going to get to the street level. That doesn't
18 concern me when it's next to the 5 Freeway because it's
19 mostly businesses. There are a few apartment complexes,
20 but -- so why don't we take a look at this. The general
21 direction would be to consider adding Laguna Woods to
22 South OC, and then I can work with Commissioner Ward on
23 where the split would be in Lake Forest. Lake Forest
24 does consider itself South OC and it's not right now, so
25 if we put a few of them in South OC, I don't think that

1 that would be all that problematic. So that can be a
2 general direction for South OC. Any other questions,
3 concerns? Commissioner Dai.

4 COMMISSIOENR DAI: I would also note that it's
5 underpopulated, and so you might be able to work with the
6 deviation a little bit.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great. Any
8 questions, Ms. Henderson, on the general direction on
9 Laguna Woods and Lake Forest?

10 MS. HENDERSON: No.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, moving on to
12 the coast, OC. And why don't you walk us through this
13 one real quick? Irvine is split?

14 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, Irvine is split and I
15 believe that is the 5, the line. Yeah, a small portion
16 of Costa Mesa is split between the 405 and the 55 and the
17 72, and then above the 405 right there.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions?

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, I would just remind
20 everybody that we talked about this split in the same way
21 that Commissioner Filkins Webber just mentioned the other
22 one, that Irvine is the businesses along the 5, that they
23 were not residential areas, so I think that's important
24 to keep in mind.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Huntington Beach is

1 whole, Seal Beach -- oh, Seal Beach is another one, okay.
2 Any other questions on this coastal district? Then,
3 moving on to WESTC. Any questions, concerns?

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: Commissioner Filkins Webber,
5 I'm sorry, I'm seeing these, unlike all of you, for the
6 first time --

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I don't want to go
8 too fast, but slow me down if we are going fast.

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: Just the coastal district
10 there, obviously Costa Mesa is dividing that Coastal
11 district, or at least kind of wedged in there, which
12 raises a bit of a compactness issue for me. What is the
13 -- is that Newport that's connecting Huntington and
14 Newport?

15 MS. HENDERSON: Newport Beach and Huntington
16 Beach.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The Pacific Coast
18 Highway.

19 MS. HENDERSON: We were looking at this,
20 actually, with the Costa Mesa and we thought the Costa
21 Mesa split up by the highway might be more advisable
22 because the more southerly portions of Costa Mesa, having
23 more similarity with the -- I'm sorry, I'm losing -- it's
24 been a couple of very long nights, I'm sorry. So, flip
25 what I said, we were trying to think of where best to

1 split Costa Mesa, so if there is a different place you'd
2 like to see a split of it.

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, there's a lot of COI
4 testimony, you know, that was sent in by City Council
5 members of Fountain Valley and Huntington, establishing
6 their desire to be placed with Costa Mesa, Fountain
7 Valley, Huntington Beach, as a tri-city situation. My
8 concern is, you know, Irvine, the distant areas of Irvine
9 being connected all the way up with what looks to be Seal
10 Beach, that's when you have Costa Mesa sitting there, so,
11 yeah, I think we need to try to work --

12 MS. HENDERSON: Seal Beach is not part of this
13 district.

14 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm sorry, Sunset Beach.

15 MS. HENDERSON: Sunset, yes.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The only comment
17 that I would have is, if I'm not mistaken, the little
18 area that looks like the ocean off of PCH is the Newport
19 Coast area and the wetlands, is that correct,
20 Commissioner Ward? Okay. So I'm wondering if some of
21 the population of Costa Mesa, just as Ms. Henderson was
22 just pointing out, is closer to the wetland area. They
23 have a lot of environmental concerns in that little
24 circle there, wondering if that would just be better to
25 move up because I don't know to include them in this

1 district so it looks a little more, I guess, compact,
2 instead of pushing or having that little finger just
3 above Costa Mesa, right in there, I was wondering if
4 there was a way of just moving it up, then you get the
5 environmental concern of the Costa Mesa people that live
6 closer to the Newport Coast area and the coast. Just,
7 again, that's just based on my familiarity with the area
8 and the Newport Coast area and the wetlands there.
9 Commissioner Di Giulio.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm curious what that
11 finger is.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The one above?

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, the population, I
14 should say, so you would know how far you could go up.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: See where the finger
16 is, north of the 5 Freeway that seems to be included in
17 this district.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is that part of Costa Mesa?

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I believe so, that's
20 where she said there might have been a split. So they
21 didn't know where to split Costa Mesa.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: We're saying maybe split
23 the bottom instead of the top.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, split the

1 bottom instead of the top. Okay, does that sound like
2 good general direction? Commissioner Dai.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: I had a question for
4 Commissioner Ward about Seal Beach and whether Seal Beach
5 would see itself more as a coastal community, since we're
6 splitting Costa Mesa already, does that make sense to
7 include Seal Beach in the coastal - since it's got
8 "Beach" in its name?

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You mean swapping
10 out the population, put Seal Beach in the coastal
11 district, and then take the rest of the population of
12 Costa Mesa and put it with WESTC, then you've got your
13 Fountain Valley, Costa Mesa community of interest.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Or the other --

15 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, they're both in the
16 same district now.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I'm sorry.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, I was thinking of Irvine,
19 actually. Well, I mean, Irvine is already split, so I
20 was wondering if we put Seal Beach in, would be able to
21 move that split line down to the 405. It's just a
22 question, I'm not sure where that split is in Irvine and
23 whether that's, again, a responsible split.

24 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, if we do that, we'll also
25 need to take more population into the WESTC District to

1 make up the 24,000.

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: WESTC is, I mean, although
3 not ideal, it's consistent with some of the COI
4 testimony. We received a lot about this particular area
5 and some of the diverging, but this certainly is -- there
6 are links that are established, at least according to
7 public testimony, between those two cities. Again,
8 although not ideal, it certainly can function for those
9 communities, but Costa Mesa, yeah, we definitely have to
10 find a way to -- if we take Laguna Woods out, too, we
11 have an additional 16,000 we can trim from Costa Mesa,
12 correct, to add into this district?

13 MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry, when you say "trim
14 from Costa Mesa," do you mean like --

15 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm sorry, we had talked
16 about possibly moving -- rotating Laguna Woods down south
17 which should give us about 16,200 additional, besides
18 what is in that finger, to trim Costa Mesa northward.

19 MS. HENDERSON: So do you mean to make Costa Mesa
20 more whole?

21 COMMISSIONER WARD: No, I mean to make Costa Mesa
22 more part of the coastal district.

23 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so, oh, I see, sorry.

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: No, it's okay.

25 MS. HENDERSON: So I wanted to make sure I was

1 understanding.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Or would you prefer to put
3 Seal Beach in? That was my question.

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: I think it would be more
5 important to fix this district and bring Costa Mesa in.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Commissioner
7 Di Guilio, and then Commissioner Raya.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I had a question about
9 Seal Beach, being a little bit familiar with that area,
10 that there is a military something there and is it in
11 Seal Beach? Because I understand it's a very large
12 space, so the part of Seal Beach that is coastal is just
13 that, just the coastal part. I'm not sure how linked
14 that military base is to those communities around it, or
15 what the interplay is of that -- I think it's Naval, I'm
16 going to guess Naval.

17 COMMISSIONER WARD: It's a Weapons Station, but
18 it's tied to Los Alamitos and Seal Beach, predominantly,
19 I mean, there are employment issues and things, but those
20 things aren't things we really need to consider for this,
21 but it is -- Los Alamitos and Seal Beach would be the two
22 biggest in these ventures for the Naval Station.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So in the sense of
24 keeping those maybe linked as much as keeping Seal Beach
25 with Huntington Beach and Sunset Beach?

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Again, we're at an
2 Assembly level, I think we had some consideration of
3 putting them in a coastal Congressional, a coastal
4 district, so, again, because we were so tight on
5 population in this area. Commissioner Raya, did you have
6 anything further?

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Oh, I just wanted to say the
8 split of Costa Mesa makes sense at the southern end, even
9 looking at it just from socioeconomic reasons, the link
10 between Newport Beach and that south end, you know, I
11 think is a good reason to make that split down there and
12 I would leave Seal Beach and Los Alamitos together. I
13 think there is a good link there.

14 MS. HENDERSON: Chair? I just wanted to go back
15 to Commissioner Ward's point. We had discussed
16 previously taking Laguna Woods out of this coastal OC
17 District and making up the population through Lake
18 Forest, so if we are going to take 16,000 people out of
19 Costa Mesa instead, we're still going to need to make
20 population up in WESTC. The swap between the population
21 with Lake Forest and Laguna Woods may be easier to
22 effectuate, but we'll look at both of them.

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sounds good. Any
25 further comments on the coastal district, or WESTC?

1 COMMISSIONER WARD: I would just comment that,
2 having read all the public comment as of this morning,
3 anyway, for this Orange County area, since it's the team
4 I was assigned to, there was a lot of public comment
5 rejecting the idea of Irvine being with a coastal city,
6 and I think it's just important to note that we've read
7 that, understand it, but our number one criteria is
8 population equality and so we have to make some tough
9 decisions.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Great. I recognize
11 that, as well, but it's a city of 212,000 people. Let's
12 take a look at SANA. And, Ms. Henderson, you went and
13 picked up the Orange Crush area, it looks like, to make
14 it link --

15 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, this is the same as what we
16 showed on Sunday, I believe.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions,
18 concerns?

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: Which one are we on?

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The SANA, S-A-N-A.

21 COMMISSIONER WARD: Do we have a definitive,
22 then, that this is a Section 2 District?

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: A definitive? No.
24 Mr. Brown will be here at 1:00, so if anybody would like
25 to have any questions on that issue, we can have it

1 discussed with him then. Commissioner Blanco.

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, I just think it looks
3 very compact. In fact, it's more compact than the one we
4 were just in, and travels less distance.

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: Can we get a split count or
6 can we walk through that district real quick?

7 MS. HENDERSON: Sure. So it includes a portion
8 of Anaheim, the Orange Crush area of Orange, a portion of
9 Garden Grove, and Santa Ana, except for the portion that
10 is in the Little Saigon, little portion of Santa Ana.

11 COMMISSIONER WARD: Okay, so I have reservations
12 about this district, but I'll reserve them until after we
13 get a consult with our Voting Rights expert on this
14 district. I had requested last week if we had some
15 alternate Visualizations that resembled our first
16 districts, putting Anaheim into a north Orange County
17 District. Is this the only option we have available
18 today?

19 MS. HENDERSON: Commissioner Ward, we did not
20 receive any specific direction about how to do that, so
21 this is all we have at this time. We do have the first
22 Draft Maps.

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions,
25 concerns about SANA? We'll go ahead into TUSTO, and

1 let's pull back and see. We've got Lake Forest up to
2 Anaheim Hills. Any particular cities that are split?

3 MS. HENDERSON: The Anaheim Hills are split per
4 COI testimony. We did, for population reasons, use the
5 57 as the dividing line on that before it went east of
6 the 57. The City of Orange is split, that Orange Crush
7 portion that is within the SANA district, and then that
8 tiny piece of Lake Forest is in another district, and
9 Irvine, of course is split in this district.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns?
11 Commissioner Dai.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just had a question, Ms.
13 Henderson. If we didn't include the Orange Crush portion
14 of Orange, would that reduce the LCVAP below 50?

15 MS. HENDERSON: I'm not 100 percent sure, but we
16 were directed to do that and I think there is COI
17 testimony about the portion of Orange up to the 57 was
18 acceptable to and made sense in that area. But if you
19 want us to remove it, I think we have one that was -- the
20 LCVAP was lower.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right.

22 MS. HENDERSON: But I don't know that it went
23 below 50, I don't recall.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I just want to note for
25 the record that there was some that said to link the

1 Central Anaheim with Santa Ana just with Garden Grove,
2 and then there was a bunch that included the Orange Crush
3 Area, as well. But it's just a thought that, if it's not
4 necessary, it may not actually --

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I actually would
6 like to comment on that point, now that you raised the
7 issue. What's at the Orange Crush is an area called "The
8 Block," which is like a mall, open area mall, and just
9 for disclosure purposes, my office is right there, the 5,
10 the 22, and the 57-55 interchange at the Orange Crush.
11 But you're right as far as where some of that linkage was
12 with the Garden Grove area, and now I'm wondering if
13 Orange might want to be closer to some economic center.
14 The Mayor of Orange had also made that comment, she'd
15 asked me if I liked the new block because it had changed.
16 So, there isn't much in the way of, I think, the
17 population as far as what we were looking at for this
18 Section 2, I don't know that it would really change. Ms.
19 Henderson, let me ask you real quick and then I'll go to
20 Commissioner Barabba. When we added the Orange Crush,
21 did it change the LCVAP?

22 MS. HENDERSON: Well, by adding the Orange Crush,
23 we had to take out some other areas and so I think that
24 the LCVAP increased a little bit.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. And the areas

1 that you took out were on the Garden Grove side, correct,
2 probably?

3 MS. HENDERSON: I believe so.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any other
5 questions, concerns, or general direction? Then we've
6 got Commissioner Ward. That's all right, it's your area.

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right. Well, I just wanted
8 to talk about that COI testimony because I think it's
9 important that it be represented properly that the city,
10 the Mayor of Orange's testimony, what she testified to in
11 follow-up emails and in press reports was clearly not to
12 divide the city. There is a lot of COI testimony of
13 citizens writing in, expressing how they've been sliced
14 into multiple districts for the last 20 years, and the
15 effect it's had on that city. And the testimony that the
16 Mayor gave was, if this is a VRA issue, here is where is
17 the best place to split Orange, but it wasn't an
18 invitation or desire to be split. I just think that's
19 important to note for the record and if we take out that
20 Orange Crush, how can we maintain this district in
21 compliance with VRA standards and keep Orange whole? Is
22 there a possibility to do that, to put the Crush back in?

23 MS. HENDERSON: I believe that we presented that
24 option last Friday, and Holly is looking through to see
25 if we have it in these files, but you should already have

1 a Visualization like that if you want to take a look, it
2 should be online.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just a brief pause
4 here. Do you have the lunch? We want to get the lunch
5 order in, so who has the list? Ms. Sargis also sent out
6 emails, so if you wanted to communicate back with her via
7 email during this time, that would be preferable so we
8 can get the order in. And we'll take a 15-minute break
9 here just to stretch our legs, but not right now, I mean,
10 Commissioner Ward, I'd like you to finish up this
11 discussion so we can finish the ADs right here in Orange
12 County and before we take a break.

13 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, I can reserve comment
14 on this until after we meet with our VRA lawyers. I just
15 wanted to make sure that the COI testimony was fully
16 covered.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any further comment
18 on TUSTO? Seeing nothing further, I actually think that
19 district looks pretty good in comparison to some of the
20 variations we've had Orange County going through. Can we
21 -- I'm sorry, Commissioner Ward.

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: Sorry, Chair, just while a
23 lot of this is new, I'd like to know if we could get a
24 split count or walk through the district.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I already asked her

1 that and it's Anaheim Hills and Orange, and then will be
2 a little tiny bit of Lake Forest.

3 MS. HENDERSON: And Irvine.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And Irvine, of
5 course. Anything else, Commissioner Ward, on this one?
6 Okay, moving on to Fullerton. To head off Mr. Ward's
7 question, do we have any city splits?

8 MS. HENDERSON: The City of Anaheim. I think
9 that's all, but let us double-check on Garden Grove.
10 There's a tiny little toe of Garden Grove, it's a very
11 small boot.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns
13 about this district? Nope. Seeing none, let's move over
14 to the Placentia, Yerba Linda area. All those cities
15 look whole, as well, correct?

16 MS. HENDERSON: We believe so, yeah.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Wow. Questions,
18 concerns?

19 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So deviation is at one
20 point --

21 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, the deviation is over on
22 this one.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Do you have the information on
24 the population in Orange County and in LA County?

25 MS. HENDERSON: Well, I think you can kind of

1 eyeball a little bit in Placentia, you have 50,000, Yorba
2 Linda, 64,000, Brea, 39,000, La Habra, 60,000, so about
3 210,000 in Orange County. And then you have Roland
4 Heights, Diamond Bar, Walnut, 30,000, 55,000, about
5 145,000 in LA. Okay, and then Chino Hills, 74,000, and
6 San Bernardino.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I have one
8 observation. Given the population, and I know we're
9 going to have some push when it comes to LA County, but
10 La Habra Heights with La Habra, that might help out,
11 that's 5,325.

12 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, we need to work out this
13 border with Nicole some, too.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions,
15 then? Commissioner Dai.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Just a comment that the
17 community of interest had also included Hacienda Heights,
18 which is probably too big to put in there, but I just
19 want to note it for the record.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, anything
21 further? Commissioner Yao.

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: We have a district that in
23 three separate counties and a very wide deviation, is
24 there - should we pay a little more attention to see if
25 we can narrow something? It just seems that, when you

1 take into consideration all these factors, it does look
2 problematic, that's all, I don't have anything specific
3 in mind.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Ms. Henderson.

5 MS. HENDERSON: We actually believe that this may
6 be a problem with this layer, so we think that we have it
7 fixed, we're just double-checking right now. We're
8 pretty sure. If you want, is this the last AD that we
9 need to look at?

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: For Orange County,
11 it appears so, yes. So this would be a convenient time
12 for a break?

13 MS. HENDERSON: A very quick break, please.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: A quick break, okay.
15 Ten minutes, quick. Thank you. We'll go ahead and
16 recess for 10 minutes.

17 (Recess at 11:48 a.m.)

18 (Reconvene at 12 noon)

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you, we are
20 continuing following our brief recess. We do have a lot
21 to get through and we do have a quorum, even though some
22 of our Commissioners are missing right at the moment, but
23 turning it back over to Ms. Henderson.

24 MS. HENDERSON: Before we move on to the Senate
25 Districts, we just wanted to show you the updated

1 information for this last AD that we were discussion, the
2 DBRYL. This is the correct information for it, so it is
3 not over the deviation.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Terrific.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you, appreciate that.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Let's move to the
7 Senate Districts for Riverside.

8 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, so this is -- let's fix our
9 labels -- okay, so do you want to start with this green
10 ISAND?

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I guess if that's
12 all one district.

13 MS. HENDERSON: It is all one district, it
14 includes the Coachella Valley is whole, Imperial County,
15 and then the Eastern San Diego County.

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Could you tell me what the
17 CVAP is on that?

18 MS. HENDERSON: Twenty-eight percent.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Where is that?

20 MS. HENDERSON: It's on the bottom here, the
21 numbers in this map are for some reason giving us a very
22 difficult time, and so the last number here that says
23 .2895 is actually 28 percent.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai,
25 did you --

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I'm sorry, I just
2 stepped out for a second. Could you just --

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We are at the Senate
4 District level. Right now we're looking at three
5 counties, apparently, in one Senate District.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: I mean, isn't this a problem
7 with putting East County of San Diego with Imperial,
8 given all the testimony we had?

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It wasn't when we
10 were looking at the prior Assembly District level.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh, so are we not nesting? Is
12 that what's happening here?

13 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's what I'm trying to
14 figure out.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Why don't you tell
16 us how we got to this point?

17 MS. HENDERSON: So for much of San Diego, the
18 discussion we were having the other day, it seemed like
19 there was issues with nesting in San Diego, and so this
20 was just trying to follow the direction that was given
21 during the hearing. There are certain areas to try to
22 keep together and we were trying to maintain some of the
23 hard lines.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm sorry, can I just
25 ask to have the SBAN -- the labels moved, like up off

1 the blue, and SS moved down, if you could just move them
2 over a little bit? Thank you.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, this is --

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can you just move them
5 up? Thank you.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Then we can come
7 back to them as we talk about them individually. So
8 we're talking about ISAND. Commissioner Blanco.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, I mean, going back to
10 this sort of ongoing conversation we've had, that the
11 purpose of districts is to give voice to people who want
12 representatives that can voice their interests; I think
13 this is a hodgepodge of a lot of different interests. We
14 had, we took painstaking time to look at putting
15 agricultural communities together and East Coachella with
16 Imperial Valley, and now we have a district that I don't
17 know how that voice would get heard when you have this
18 rural East San Diego in there with everything west of the
19 West Coachella and Imperial Valley. I don't have a
20 suggestion yet, so this is why I hate to speak without
21 having a suggestion, I just look at it and I think it's
22 problematic from the point of view of fair and effective
23 representation for several of those communities.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai,
25 you worked on this area. What are your thoughts?

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, I thought we
2 were going to nest the Assembly that had Eastern
3 Coachella and Imperial with the Assembly that had Western
4 Coachella and the other rest of the desert, putting the
5 desert together, essentially. I would have never
6 suggested putting San Diego East County with Imperial,
7 given all that negative testimony we had about that. I
8 didn't think we had abandoned the idea of nesting, I
9 thought we were just pointing out that there was an odd
10 number of districts in San Diego.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: True, and what I see
12 here is that we do have nesting of the MUR, Riverside,
13 Moreno Valley, and the Riverside Jarupa District, we see
14 some nesting there. So, I also had envisioned something
15 different, keeping Coachella whole within the Riverside
16 County, and nesting the Imperial Coachella District with
17 the western portion of the Coachella Valley, and so then
18 we would not be having three counties in one Senate
19 District, and that might also address Commissioner
20 Blanco's concern.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And this is very similar to
22 our first draft and we got tremendous pushback on this
23 Senate District that looked like this.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can we maybe look at the
25 Assemblies again and see where we run into an issue

1 because I think we might be able to nest, you know, most
2 of Riverside and Imperial and San Bernardino, and then
3 probably most of San Diego, too. There were just a
4 couple of areas that we would have to look at blending.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Henderson, we
6 have an odd number in San Diego. Is that where part of
7 this problem is?

8 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, that's the source of part of
9 the problem, is that we have an odd number of districts
10 and San Diego, and the question becomes where to put the
11 population. This is one way to do it.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Then we need to
13 provide general direction on correcting the ISAND
14 District. Commissioner Barabba.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: If I understand it
16 correctly, if we chose not to do this, we would probably
17 have to combine two Assembly Districts between San Diego
18 and Orange County if we went to combining the two
19 Riverside.

20 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, this is maintaining the hard
21 line division between Orange County and San Diego.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: But the other line
23 between Riverside, Temecula and San Diego was not so hard
24 previously, and so if there was a possibility of looking
25 at the nesting of the ISAND AD with the West Coachella,

1 these are the Assembly, again, right? Okay, so let me
2 speak appropriately, nesting the COACH with the BBCOH --

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Keeping the desert together.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Keeping the desert
5 together, and then the next nesting would either be with
6 the MURTM, I think, if I'm looking at the labels
7 correctly, the Temecula Valley, I guess I would say,
8 because that district, then, would not have a home in
9 Riverside County, so then the consideration would be
10 whether, if we do nesting, if it would go with ISAND or
11 the RAINBOW, I guess, Valley, let's call it, the one
12 that's just below that going to Escondido.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right, what we had talked
14 about was blending those districts so that we would keep
15 more of Riverside together and more of San Diego
16 together.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
18 Guilio.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can I suggest if we look
20 at it in terms of the Senate as it is now for a second,
21 would that be possible? Because I think we might be able
22 to do what you're saying with the nesting of ADs if we
23 take out the eastern part of San Diego and you backfill
24 by going up and doing the ISAND with 29 Palms, that area
25 maybe over into Banning, so you kind of take the top of

1 the blue and match that with the green, and then you can
2 take the bottom part of eastern San Diego and maybe - so
3 split the Eastern San Diego and maybe put part of it in
4 with the Poway, the pink one, right? The Poway-
5 Escondido, a little bit there. And then link what's left
6 over in Eastern San Diego in the top portion of that with
7 the 15 Corridor up into the leftover blue, which is
8 Temecula, Murrieta. So, I'm kind of looking at splitting
9 the -- what is the blue one called?

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's the Murrieta
11 Temecula.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The Murrieta Temecula,
13 so kind of take the northeastern part of that and match
14 that with the Coachella Valley, which mirrors up with our
15 AD and down into Imperial, maybe over into I'm not sure
16 how much it goes into Banning and Beaumont, and then you
17 take the rest of that Murrieta and blend that part of
18 Murrieta with maybe the top portion of the CSAND, and
19 kind of blend it that way. It seems like you can take
20 the Eastern San Diego and move it, blend it into that
21 pink one of San Diego, and what's left, match it with
22 Murrieta.

23 MS. HENDERSON: So the issues that we run into
24 with San Diego is that the population is all over on the
25 coast, and so the population switches between the Eastern

1 portion of the county and the western portions of the
2 county are difficult to blend, they're not - it's not a
3 kind of even swap.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Let me just rephrase
5 that. What I'd like to suggest is that you just take the
6 eastern San Diego portion of that out of ISAND and I'm
7 assuming that's a small population, so in order to blend
8 it back in with the San Diego Districts, then you could
9 pull from the population, you could rotate in ESAND into
10 Murrieta and then maybe take some of the SBBAND and blend
11 it back into ISAND. My point is, is there a way to take
12 out the eastern San Diego and then blend the rest
13 together, push that population?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And I would just encourage
15 us to take some time here and really, as we're doing, and
16 also really think about the Senate Districts as
17 communities of in - you know, that this is an area where
18 we've had a lot of things that cross counties and
19 political jurisdictions, deserts this, that, coastal, and
20 maybe just step back and think about this area and its
21 Senate Districts as bringing together the most like
22 communities of interest as possible.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think we have to
24 do that if we're talking about a blending concept, most
25 definitely have to identify it by communities of

1 interest. Commissioner Yao.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think what's driving this
3 discussion is the Imperial County. If we take a look at
4 the Senate Districts, 900,000 and some people, and the
5 entire Imperial County is roughly 164,000 people, so
6 there are obviously a lot of other communities of
7 interest, but if we just simply let the Imperial
8 population drive the entire Senate Districts, that may
9 not be the best way to look at the Senate Districts,
10 because, yes, they're caught in a bad place, they
11 basically have two boundaries that can't be changed. And
12 the only way they can move is to the west or to the
13 north. And we're just going to have to accept the fact
14 that they're going to have to go someplace and we have to
15 look at the rest of the communities within that Senate
16 District and make the best out of the situation. By just
17 looking at the Imperial County as the driving force, I
18 think that's where we're stuck.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: I don't think it's a problem,
20 if we just nested those two Assemblies, we would have
21 kept the desert together, and they're at the desert. So,
22 I mean, I think the issue is that we didn't intend to
23 blend the COACH District, I mean, that could have been a
24 pure nesting; I think the issue happened with the NESAND
25 District, it was the East San Diego County District that

1 we had an issue with, that's what we had talked about
2 blending. We had not talked about blending the Coachella
3 Valley Districts, we were putting them back together,
4 making them whole, and that keeps the desert together.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so is there a
6 possibility of doing a general direction of doing nesting
7 at the Assembly level for Riverside County?

8 MS. HENDERSON: For all of Riverside County, we
9 won't be able to -

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I mean the
11 Assembly Districts that constitute primarily Riverside
12 County, which is the Imperial, East Coachella like we
13 talked about -

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: East and West Coachella, so
15 those two districts can be nested, the two Riverside,
16 putting Riverside whole, that's consistent with what
17 we've been trying to do, so that one is --

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Which is that 29
19 Palms to Murrieta, and then the Riverside -- no, wait.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, no, no, what she's drawing
21 right now, those two are nested.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Putting Riverside together,
24 and what we had talked about was blending the Murrieta
25 Temecula District, the East San Diego District, right?

1 And trying to basically put the respective county parts
2 back into their respective counties. That's what we had
3 talked about before.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Because then you
5 make Temecula whole at the Senate level.

6 MS. HENDERSON: It is whole here and it's in
7 Riverside County. I don't think it will stay in
8 Riverside County if we do this blending approach, just so
9 you know.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I understand. And
11 then the general direction would be to do a blending
12 based on the community of interest testimony in San Diego
13 County.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, and I think the issue
15 with San Diego, again, is that it was an odd number, and
16 so there might be some nesting we can do for a couple in
17 San Diego and I would love our San Diego team to weigh in
18 on that, and then we'd have to blend a few of the others.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Raya.

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: This is an opportunity to be
21 really artistic because I honestly could not find a way
22 to nest, and really, because it came down to what was
23 effective and fair rep -- apart from the odd number and
24 all of that, but what was going to make for effective and
25 fair representation. And it's just so stretched out

1 north to south and east to -- okay, you can take care of
2 the desert over there, but then north to south you just
3 have so many different COIs going on that I honestly
4 could not come up with a way to nest, and I don't mean to
5 suggest I was drawing randomly, but I was trying to do
6 that, kind of take this corridor, go here and take this
7 corridor, and go here, you know, just trying to blend
8 related communities.

9 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I tried looking at it, as
10 well, and it's really a tough one, exactly what
11 Commissioner Raya just said, and I've been studying this,
12 and it's not the best plan, but it works. The problem is
13 San Diego has three million people, that's three Senate
14 Districts plus they have a remainder of 300,000 people,
15 they need a place to be planted.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, so again, if that's the
18 conclusion that you can't nest in San Diego, I think
19 that's fine, but I think you can nest mostly in
20 Riverside, and we shouldn't abandon it just because of
21 San Diego. We can nest four districts in Riverside and
22 then just blend the ---

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is that the general
24 direction?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Because we need to
2 move on and I'm trouble by this district, as well. Ms.
3 Henderson, do you have any thoughts? You look a little
4 perplexed.

5 MS. HENDERSON: Well, you know, we spent a lot of
6 time looking at this and when you think about nesting in
7 the abstract, it seems like, you know, simple, you can
8 rest, of course you can. But when you're trying to
9 actually deal with partial nesting and then non-nesting,
10 it becomes much more complicated. So, you know, we'll do
11 our best on it. There are some districts that are nested
12 here, they were nested before.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I think Commissioner
14 Raya is assigned to this area, maybe she can help with
15 the community of interest, to help with maybe the
16 blending on the Senate level.

17 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I am, as well.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And Commissioner
19 Ontai.

20 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, it's not just in the
21 interest of community of interest, it's just kind of the
22 mechanics of the area, too, it presents some challenges,
23 but we'll do what we can for you.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is this Commission willing to,

1 for example allow the district to go into San Bernardino
2 County in order to allow some of the blending of the
3 cities on the west side of this green district? Because
4 you've got to allow some kind of flexibility. If you
5 hold that line between Riverside County and San
6 Bernardino County as a hard line, then that makes the
7 blending of the cities on the west side extremely
8 difficult.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: If we nest the two Assemblies,
10 COACH and whatever the long initials were for Western
11 Coachella, it included the 29 Palms area, so it's already
12 in San Bernardino, so I don't think anyone specified a
13 hard line.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we need to
15 look at the rest of San Diego at the Senate level, or are
16 we -- we're just doing the blending -- the direction is
17 to fix this Region 1 and Region 2, put it that way.

18 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I don't think we have a
19 solution yet.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We don't. We were
21 giving direction, and we're going to have to take a
22 little bit more time on the blending concept for San
23 Diego County and nesting and Riverside, and that's the
24 general direction, unless anyone has any other suggestion
25 for Region 1 and at least the Riverside portion of Region

1 2.

2 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Let me ask Ana. Ana, do you
3 think there is a solution, given the population in San
4 Diego County?

5 MS. HENDERSON: This is one solution. Another
6 solution may be to go into OC. Another solution may be
7 to go through a different side of Riverside.

8 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Because you've got 300,000
9 people that you've got to place somewhere above San
10 Diego.

11 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, so, I mean, the issue is
12 the ripple effects and what happens to the surrounding
13 districts, so if we go into Orange County, there's ripple
14 effects, depending on where we go. So, one of the ideas
15 with this district also, you know, despite -- we knew
16 about the testimony about Eastern San Diego County, but
17 there also was this idea that these are sometimes -- I've
18 heard the Commissioners kind of characterize them as kind
19 of like to be on your own, don't mind not being linked up
20 with big cities, and things like that, and there seem to
21 be some similarity between that and areas in the Lake
22 Anza and areas there, as well. So, it was kind of the
23 character of the kind of more sparsely populated rural
24 areas might be something in common, especially since
25 we're dealing with such big districts at the Senate

142

1 level, you can't always address every single small or
2 local community of interest.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward,
4 and then we'll move on to San Bernardino.

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: I appreciated that from Ana,
6 because that's kind of how I was seeing it, it is as far
7 as rural gets for Southern California, it seems like that
8 fits it, and that's kind of what we've been doing in
9 Northern California, is trying to make sure we put those
10 areas together to give them a voice. What about this
11 configuration -- I understand there is a concern about
12 fair representation -- what about the configuration of
13 this district would work against that for these
14 communities? Help me understand what the concern is
15 about it.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, we've had that
17 discussion. Commissioner Blanco addressed that, so I'd
18 like to just move on from the discussion and we have
19 given general direction and we'll see what our technical
20 experts can do. Commissioner Dai, one last thing.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I just want to clarify,
22 you know, there's a choice here, I mean, you're
23 absolutely right that there's going to be population that
24 has to go somewhere, so the question is would the
25 preference be to join it through the Coast, or join it

1 through the Murrieta, the I-15 Corridor. And, as we
2 already did in the Assembly, we already put Anza with
3 Borrego Springs and East County, so, I mean, we've
4 already set a precedent to join there, as well, so that's
5 basically do we join through the Riverside County line
6 there, or do we go through the OC Coastal Area, and if
7 there's a preference, I think we should -- or, if we are
8 open to both, I think we should give that direction, to
9 be clear.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'd like to take a
11 look at what the OC District is and we'll see if that
12 blending and moving into OC would be acceptable. There
13 seems to be stronger testimony for that border than there
14 is for the Riverside San Diego border at Temecula, so --

15 MS. HENDERSON: Since we're talking about a major
16 redraw here, I'd like as much flexibility as possible
17 just if you guys can give it, so...

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, let's take a
19 look at Orange County, then, while we're there.

20 MS. HENDERSON: So, I want to tell you, first of
21 all, is that in this iteration, you'll see that one of
22 our - the Coastal OC district is over-populated, that's
23 because we need to transfer population that was excess in
24 this region. And it should be picked up through LA, so I
25 didn't want to give you a start when you see that, it's

1 over-populated.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And you're talking
3 about the CSTIV --

4 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: -- is over-populated
6 and the thought is to get population from where?

7 MS. HENDERSON: Some of that population will move
8 through Long Beach.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, if we give you
10 the flexibility of more of the blending at the OC border
11 with San Clemente, and hopefully not take a point if
12 you're listening, no, if we gave you the flexibility that
13 would push the bubbles, then we would maintain the Orange
14 County Los Angeles border, possibly. Is that correct?
15 And then flow the population through Orange County?

16 MS. HENDERSON: Perhaps. Yeah, it's a rather
17 large bubble that we were dealing with, it's about half a
18 district, and there's about half a district whole, about
19 half a district up in the Antelope Valley area, Victor
20 Valley area, from what I understand from Nicole, and
21 you'll be seeing more about that today. And so this is
22 where we left off on our drawing, so I just wanted to
23 raise that, that we're aware that that district is over-
24 populated and it's going to be picked up through Los
25 Angeles.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Half a million
2 people to Central Orange County with Long Beach area?
3 That's where we're looking at a solution.

4 MS. HENDERSON: That's where it stands right now,
5 I have not been able to talk to Nicole about it this
6 morning to see if it's changed since then. But like I
7 said, before we got started, I didn't want anybody to
8 say, "My gosh, what's going on there?" We're aware of it
9 and it's something that's being worked out between the
10 two plans.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions,
12 Commissioner Dai.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just want to respond to your
14 comment about Dana Point. There was testimony that
15 linked Dana Point with San Clemente and San Juan
16 Capistrano.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So they would be in
18 the south coast.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: But, again, if you kept them
20 as a cluster together, if we again want to give our
21 Mappers as much flexibility as possible, if they went up
22 the coast and grabbed those three communities, I don't
23 think it would be the end of the world.

24 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It looks like there

1 are going to be changes in this area. Is there anything
2 further that you need of us in the way of direction? I
3 feel like we don't have a solution yet for this problem
4 and that's going to be dependent on when we get to the
5 Los Angeles Area and the Senate Districts there?

6 MS. HENDERSON: By the "problem," do you mean the
7 over-population in this district? Or the larger
8 blending?

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The half million
10 people that we're missing for SCTIV.

11 MS. HENDERSON: No, we have too many people.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's what I mean.

13 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, it should be addressed in
14 Nicole's presentation.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. We'll have to
16 come back and revisit this area this afternoon.

17 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, so if you want to look at
18 the WSTAN just so you can see what else is going on in
19 here?

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure, please.

21 MS. HENDERSON: First of all, the POMBSB is the
22 same as what you saw as nested, and the RIVMV is the same
23 as what you've seen before, it's nested. So this WSTAN
24 includes Walnut, Diamond Bar, Roland Heights, La Habra,
25 Brea, Fullerton, Anaheim in full, and I believe Yorba

1 Linda and Placentia, and Chino Hills.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns?

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, just again,
4 I believe Commissioner Dai had mentioned earlier that
5 Hacienda Heights had been part of this COI and that we've
6 really struggled because of the population size and
7 location, to be able to join them with some of their
8 peers.

9 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, my understanding from
10 Nicole, originally we had about half a district here, but
11 there is no nesting partner on the other side because of
12 the Section 2 Districts, at least that's my understanding
13 from Nicole. So that's why we reconfigured this
14 district, to have more of the OC population in it.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions,
16 concerns? Okay, we can move on to San Bernardino County
17 there, POMSB.

18 MS. HENDERSON: So POMSB is the nested Assembly
19 Districts that we saw earlier this morning.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any questions,
21 concerns. Beautiful. I wish nesting worked like that
22 everywhere. Let's take a look at SB. Do they need the
23 population on the Los Angeles side or the Kern side for
24 the Mojave and Edwards and all that? Because those were
25 in the Assembly, so --

1 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. And where did
3 you gather population?

4 MS. HENDERSON: We worked it through the OC
5 border because, where we ended up with our kind of
6 population bubble, or hole, depending on which way you
7 think about it, was in the Diamond Bar area and we were
8 constricted by the Section 2 Pomona Valley Area. So, to
9 be able to work that population around into the north, we
10 would have had to go through Riverside County out through
11 kind of a very narrow spout, underneath Pomona Valley in
12 the Riverside Districts. And then work it around to the
13 north that way.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And this puts
15 Adelanto back in there. This is actually a good example
16 of what we talked about at the Assembly level. Any other
17 questions or concerns on this Senate? Seeing none --
18 Commissioner Yao.

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: Again, on Rancho Cucamonga,
20 are the streets the same as the Assembly District?

21 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, I believe so.

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we'd like to
24 take a look at the Congressional. And if we can move
25 through those, then we can be on time for the 1:00 and we

1 will have accomplished what we needed to. And let's just
2 start the same way we did before, with Riverside, and
3 then we'll go back around. So COACH, there was no change
4 to COACH? Is that correct?

5 MS. HENDERSON: That's correct. We were directed
6 not to make a change.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great. Then
8 PRS, any change there? I don't think that there was any
9 recommended change previously. I saw some of these
10 Visualizations this morning, so I just want to see, but I
11 was under the impression that we didn't give any
12 direction for change, except for maybe in the RIVMV at
13 the top because of some push down, but please walk us
14 through where there are some changes because I don't see
15 -- I see Perris in there.

16 MS. HENDERSON: Sure. Okay, so just to start us
17 out, what we were drawing off here, and this is the
18 Option 1 configuration that has the --

19 CHAIRPERSON DAI: That's Option 2, right?

20 MS. HENDERSON: We had different Option numbers
21 and I apologize, you just reminded me, we had this as
22 Option 2 before. So, this is our prior Option 2, which
23 drew the San Bernardino, Rialto, Fontana to be over 50
24 percent LCVAP. To do that, you'll recall, we had to go
25 into Riverside and pick up the populations and Glen Avon,

150

1 Mira Loma, Rubidoux, Sunny Slope, and then a portion of
2 the City of Riverside. So, we lost population in the
3 RVMVN district and needed to pick that up in other areas
4 to equalize the population.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, and I thought
6 the direction was to look at Temecula to the south
7 because the population of the Glen Avon, Rubidoux, Mira
8 Loma area, when I had done that mentally, you know, just
9 quickly in my head, and I'm not a math wizard, the
10 direction was to then look at pulling Temecula into the
11 district and I don't --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think the problem is what to
13 do with San Bernardino Valley.

14 MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry, you're referring to
15 Mira Loma? I'm sorry, I'm trying to listen to two people
16 at once, so I'm having a little trouble. So the
17 neighboring district to the San Bernardino District is
18 this Riverside Moreno Valley District, and that's where
19 we had to increase population.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: As I recall, I'm
21 looking at the old PRS, where Temecula was not in there,
22 as I recall we did not provide any direction to cross the
23 Riverside San Bernardino county line to take population
24 up in Highland. The direction was to go south. If you
25 needed to add additional population to the Riverside

1 Moreno Valley District, RVMV, if you needed population,
2 it was to go south. And then, when that PRS needed
3 population, was to grab it from Temecula. So what I'm
4 concerned with is that there has been somewhat of a
5 drastic change that disrupts the COI testimony of Perris,
6 Moreno Valley, Mead Valley, Good Hope, that we had put
7 together -- or, actually, I take that back -- I'm
8 concerned just with the Highland, San Bernardino,
9 Riverside boundary that got crossed up on the north side,
10 that seems to be drastically different.

11 MS. HENDERSON: Chair, I may be able to explain
12 that. So, in the process of drawing out the Santa
13 Barbara district, to make that the one that has greater
14 than 50 percent LCVAP, we had to take population away
15 from the Ontario Pomona District. We took N. Fontana
16 and, I think, more of Rialto to do that. As a result,
17 that district's population had to be adjusted, as well.
18 And I believe that we got some population from -- just a
19 second, I want to make sure I tell you the right thing.
20 Okay, just wanted to confirm that with Nicole, so we also
21 have the population of Claremont that came out of the Los
22 Angeles County Districts. That all led to the Ontario
23 Pomona area being over-populated and so we needed to pull
24 population out of there, as well. The INMSB District
25 became underpopulated, so needed to have population added

1 to it, and I believe that's - sorry, the other way, it
2 was overpopulated also, so we took Highland, Mentone
3 there to make up the population.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I have quite a few
5 comments. I'll turn it over to any other Commissioner
6 that wishes to before I -- then my concern is, I have
7 quite a number of concerns. We split Eastvale, Eastvale
8 was not in the ONTPM District before, there is no
9 community of interest, even if I know we're looking at it
10 on a larger scale at the Congressional level, from Norco,
11 which is now split off from Corona and Eastvale. And
12 when we talk about what Commissioner Blanco had said
13 previously about looking for drawing districts that would
14 have adequate representation, that certainly would be of
15 concern to that area and, again, for disclosure purposes,
16 I live in Norco, so I don't want there to be any
17 contention about bias, but there really isn't any
18 connection with that small city and, I think, when I
19 looked at it this morning, it gave me the same, I guess,
20 shivers that Commissioner Blanco had about Richmond and
21 Yuba, and that's why I'm concerned with this somewhat
22 drastic change at this Congressional level. Does anybody
23 have any other potential recommendations or directions?
24 Commissioner Dai, you worked on this area quite a bit, as
25 well.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, I think you can
2 move the line up from Eastvale, I mean, even if we have
3 to pick up, you know, go north in that other district.
4 So, I think that one can be corrected, but I see the
5 problem here, which is the question is do Highland,
6 Redlands, Mentone, where do they go? So they have to be
7 picked up by some district. So the only way to do that,
8 you can rotate the population around the Section 2
9 District, so I would suggest that's what we look at
10 doing.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is there any
12 possibility, and I don't see the city population numbers,
13 so I don't know if this would work out, but to swap
14 between the Riverside Moreno Valley District and the San
15 Bernardino District, such that Highland and Mentone are
16 more paired with their neighbors on the east, so like
17 looking at Yucaipa and Oak Glen? I know the problem with
18 this area is you've got Ritchey Canyon -- you've got
19 these canyons that go in between, so there are areas
20 where the population is really light. But, again, trying
21 to think about potentially more of an east-west
22 connection for the Highland and Redlands piece of the
23 puzzle, and an east-west connection down below, as
24 opposed to this elongated Highland to Norco, but just a
25 very different geography, very different type of

1 community.

2 MS. HENDERSON: So are you asking about putting
3 Highland and Redlands in the INMSB District?

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah.

5 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, then we would be wrapping
6 around in a counterclockwise-like -- yeah, one potential
7 way to do it is to go around counterclockwise through the
8 Ontario Pomona and then that would likely mean joining
9 some of the RVMVN or the LHBYL and with what is currently
10 in Ontario-Pomona.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can you give city
12 names?

13 MS. HENDERSON: Sure, so that would, I mean, from
14 what I'm looking at right now, and with Ontario and
15 Pomona, we currently have Rancho Cucamonga and Claremont,
16 I believe, we would be going south and picking up
17 Eastvale and Norco or the unincorporated areas to the
18 west of there, and to make up the population that we will
19 be losing from the Highlands, Mentone.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: How would you feel
21 about that, Commissioner Filkins Webber? We only have so
22 many options, so I agree, I have a lot of concerns about
23 this configuration, but it seems like we only have a few
24 options.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It is just

1 disenfranchising such a small city and I don't want to
2 overly speak to that.

3 MS. HENDERSON: We could try going --

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Because my thought was to go
5 the other direction, counterclockwise.

6 MS. HENDERSON: We could try going the other
7 direction. There's just not as many -- there's not as
8 many population centers.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If we're adding Loma
11 Linda, Highland, Mentone, with the INMSB, based on
12 Commissioner Galambos Malloy's suggestion that those
13 communities there with Yucaipa are more similar than
14 Moreno Valley, or Norco for that matter, what is --
15 because we went into this area because the INMSB was
16 overpopulated before, so where else, I mean, other than
17 going in that direction which defeats the purpose of what
18 we're talking about, I'm trying to see where it got
19 overpopulated before.

20 MS. HENDERSON: Again, just eyeballing it, we
21 could potentially go down into Banning Beaumont.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, that might
23 make more sense, they're closer with Yucaipa.

24 MS. HENDERSON: And then wrapping around -- I
25 would really need to -- I don't like doing kind of off-

1 the-cuff eyeballing, but --

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so let's not
3 spend a lot of time on this, but let's provide some
4 general direction of considering preservation of the
5 county line and keeping Redlands, Loma Linda, Highland of
6 course, probably together with the Yucaipa, Oak Glen, or
7 maybe even considering the Banning Beaumont, however you
8 do that. Commissioner Blanco.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay, just so that I'm
10 clear, this is based on because we are taking this
11 district, the -- what are we calling it -- the SBRIA and
12 we're making that the Section 2 district, when there was
13 an earlier version, there was the possibility that the
14 Ontario Pomona was a Section 2 District. I'm just
15 wondering if that one creates less problems, like all
16 this.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Did you create
18 Visualizations for, let's say, Option 1?

19 MS. HENDERSON: Fully drawn out Visualizations?
20 I don't believe so. Yeah, we were focusing on those two
21 districts. We also have - the last time we were here,
22 the Commission also asked us to attempt to draw two
23 Section 2 Districts, we have that Visualization for you,
24 also, but we did not draw out the districts around it,
25 this is just a visualization of the two districts.

157

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That was at the
2 Congressional level?

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's the surrounding --
4 that's the next one over. I'm just curious whether,
5 before we go through all this, the existing Section 2
6 district would have solved some of these issues.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, the only other idea I
8 had was, again, the San Jacinto Valley, there might be a
9 possible rotation between those four districts, so
10 putting them into the PRS District and moving the
11 population around there. So that's one idea, to rotate
12 the population for those districts instead. And then, I
13 think Commissioner Blanco has a good point, which is
14 maybe reverting to the old Visualization 1, have fewer
15 side effects. We were under the impression this one
16 would have fewer side effects, but didn't consider the
17 overpopulation for the Desert district up there.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: True, because we
19 always had this issue about what was happening with Mono
20 and Inyo, and even though there wasn't much population we
21 see --

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And just doing
23 some rough math here, the concept of that four-way
24 rotation is sound, but the population numbers, I feel
25 like we don't have actually equal population that we can

1 play with in all of those districts. I mean, just
2 Highland alone, if I'm reading correctly, is --

3 MS. HENDERSON: Fifty-three thousand one hundred
4 sixty-four.

5 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And then San
6 Jacinto is 44?

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, San Jacinto and Hemet
8 together are 122,000.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is Hemet already
10 over -- oh, we could rotate them together potentially.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: That was my thought. And that
12 might be enough.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Henderson, I saw
14 you go like this, I don't know if that was to wait or if
15 you had something to say.

16 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, I wanted to point out that,
17 in this plan, we do have Mono and Inyo County at the
18 Congressional level.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: In IM?

20 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could we -- we're
22 reaching such a crucial time period in our endeavor here,
23 I'm wondering if it would be possible to provide both
24 general direction around this four corner swap, so to
25 speak, and then simultaneously do some exploration on

1 that other option so we can come back -- I don't know how
2 far you got, you said you only did the central district,
3 but I'm just wondering if we could look at both because,
4 if we give them direction to do the swap and the swap
5 doesn't work, then we're back to square one, and then we
6 have to just be okay with this version, which I feel like
7 we're not.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai and
9 then I can probably work with Alex off line to see if we
10 can get this worked out. And we can take a look at the
11 Option 1, the prior Option 1, and see if that has less
12 effect on the area. Any other questions? Let's take a
13 look at the PRS, so that same idea. We'll just have to
14 see what affects that area, too. Ms. Henderson, any
15 other questions on that Riverside Districts?

16 MS. HENDERSON: Can you tell me again the idea
17 with Hemet and San Jacinto? I just want to make sure I
18 have it.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I was just suggesting
21 moving it into the blue district, which is where I think
22 they prefer to be. To be clear, they prefer not to be
23 with Coachella. So, that's 122,000 people, so that might
24 allow it to be free to suck the population down from the
25 top and then move the Beaumont Banning area instead to

1 the Desert District.

2 MS. HENDERSON: Okay. We'll have to look at
3 that.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, and I think it's too
5 complicated to do in your head.

6 MS. HENDERSON: It opens a lot of questions
7 because, then, you know, the Riverside District is
8 underpopulated, and where do we take the population? So
9 we just need to look at it and make sure that we have it
10 straight.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, when you do
12 that, right, you're saying that when you move Highland in
13 that, and then you move the Hemet San Jacinto over, then
14 you're saying you need population from PRS, would be
15 added back into RIV --

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, you would take Perris,
17 you would go down the route --

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Right, or Corona.
19 Because, actually, I'm looking at the old one,
20 Commissioner Dai and Perris wasn't in the RVMV. We did
21 it at the Assembly level and I think that was consistent
22 with the COI testimony. Then you could put Norco and
23 Corona back in.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Back in, right.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Which Norco stands

1 for North Corona, sorry, anyway, let's move on. Ms.
2 Henderson, do you need any further direction of the
3 Commission on our concerns?

4 MS. HENDERSON: No, but if we have questions, we
5 can contact you?

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Certainly.
7 Commissioner Dai and I are assigned to Region 2. Where do
8 you want to go next? We took care of San Bernardino, was
9 there anything else we needed to look at on the Section 2
10 areas at the top?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: We want to look at the
12 Visualization that showed the two Section 2s.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, yes, thank you
14 for the reminder.

15 MS. HENDERSON: I may need to pull up a PDF of
16 that because we don't have the equivalency file here, so
17 if we can do something in the mean time, then we'll --

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Was there anything
19 else we need to see in San Bernardino?

20 MS. HENDERSON: No.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, then we can
22 move to -- we didn't do San Diego yet, correct?

23 MS. HENDERSON: No, we didn't.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And if we can have
25 some color variation there so we can tell the difference

1 between the districts?

2 MS. HENDERSON: So I think I misspoke before when
3 I said there was no change to the Imperial County
4 District because I think we did add in those couple
5 blocks of Barrio Logan in San Diego. Yeah, we added
6 those blocks.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so if we can
8 just pull back a little bit, and I want to make sure I
9 refer to them by the proper names. Let's take a look at
10 the one along the border with Chula Vista. And is that
11 -- can you tell me what the label is?

12 MS. HENDERSON: The dark blue one?

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, I guess
14 that's dark.

15 MS. HENDERSON: It's IMSAN.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, IMSAN, let's
17 pull back and take a look at it and if anyone has any
18 questions. Did this change at all? I don't believe it
19 did.

20 MS. HENDERSON: Just that the blocks in Barrio
21 Logan, so --

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I'm sorry, I was
23 referring to the Chula Vista, the blue one. So that
24 didn't change, correct?

25 MS. HENDERSON: The blocks in Barrio Logan in San

1 Diego were reunited --

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: You don't have to
3 say it four times! I apologize! Okay, thank you. Any
4 questions now that it looks like we cleared that up? Or
5 does anybody want to zoom in and see if that is
6 consistent with the direction we gave on the Barrio
7 Logan, Commissioner Blanco, or -- no? Okay. Looking at
8 the green, then, is that CHNCS? Were changes made here?

9 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, we tried to address the
10 community of interest, the EQCA is this magenta border
11 here, and so we altered this district to include that in
12 its entirety.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions.

14 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Looks good.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, moving on.

16 COMMISSIONER WARD: I have a question.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, sorry,
18 Commissioner Ward.

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: We expanded that to include
20 what COI? I'm sorry.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: The LGBT COI.

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: Oh, sorry.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, then we
24 can --

25 MS. HENDERSON: Do you want to look at the -- oh,

164

1 sorry --

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The Orange? Sure.
3 Questions, concerns, changes?

4 MS. HENDERSON: So to adjust for the population
5 that we took in, we just changed the split in El Cajon.

6 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: So El Cajon is split?

7 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Oh, I see, I see, yeah, that
9 looks good.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: And if we could just go back
11 again? So I had mentioned a CD split in the Sycamore
12 Canyon Village, which was part of the, I think, Rancho
13 Santa Fe area, I don't know, were you able to find that?

14 MS. HENDERSON: No, we didn't make any changes to
15 Rancho Santa Fe.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, I can give this to you,
17 but it shows it going straight through the middle and,
18 again, we know this is Congressional and it may or may
19 not be possible to fix it, but it looks like we can zig
20 on one side and zag on the other and keep it whole.

21 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, we'll take a look at that.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I thought I heard
23 that on the radio, didn't I? Did we take a look at - we
24 took a look at MMRHB, is that correct? Or did we not
25 yet? Oh, that's the one with Poway. Questions,

1 concerns?

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: This one still goes from
3 Coronado all the way up past Poway?

4 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, we couldn't figure out how
5 to remove Poway because it's 48,000 people, or 47,811
6 people.

7 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: That actually looks good.

8 COMMISSIOENR DI GIULIO: Well, I think it's a
9 concern, it might not be something we can do, but I don't
10 know if I'd say it looks fine, but maybe that's just what
11 we have to do.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions
13 or concerns about this one? Commissioner Raya.

14 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I want to see the coastal,
15 the northern coastal one.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: To see how it might
17 impact it, okay. Did we split at the top there in Orange
18 County, if anything?

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: It's in there, not split, oh,
20 you brought everything in.

21 MS. HENDERSON: Laguna Niguel is split.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: How much?

23 MS. HENDERSON: The population in this district?

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, does it look
25 like it's about 50-50? Or are we talking about a few

1 thousand people?

2 MS. HENDERSON: There's about 33,000 in the
3 portion that is in this district, so it looks like it is
4 about 50-50.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And where is the
6 bottom of that district?

7 MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry?

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The bottom. I guess
9 pull back and we can see.

10 MS. HENDERSON: It's the Del Mar and a few
11 neighborhoods south of Del Mar.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns,
13 everybody?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just know that little
15 thing at the top, on top of Poway, that little finger,
16 just remind me what that is.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: San Diego City
18 boundary.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That's the city boundary?
20 Okay.

21 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: That's a wild animal park.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, that's right.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm a little
24 concerned about Laguna Niguel, to say the least. I mean,
25 San Clemente and San Juan Capistrano have been linked

1 with San Diego in the past and they've dealt with those
2 issues in the past. Laguna Niguel has never been brought
3 into a San Diego district as far as I'm aware, and you're
4 talking about a 60,000 population area that is just so
5 distinctly different than any of this district.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Can you pull back out
7 again so we can see where that is on top?

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Ms. Henderson,
9 what's your assessment? Ms. Henderson, I just wanted to
10 get your assessment with the split in Laguna Niguel. I
11 have similar concerns about it going south, but I think,
12 more than that, just about whether we could possibly make
13 it whole. What would be our options for making it whole
14 and would that put it in the Northern District or the
15 Southern District?

16 MS. HENDERSON: Well, if we wanted to put it in
17 the OC District, we would have to take population out
18 somewhere in San Diego, of course. That would probably
19 mean splitting some cities in San Diego. If we wanted to
20 move it in its entirety in San Diego, probably also
21 splitting some cities. Unfortunately, I don't think
22 there's any neat 32,000 pockets of people. I would need
23 to investigate it more thoroughly. Just a moment. It
24 does not appear that any of these cities in Northern San
25 Diego are split currently, so it's not like we could just

1 extend a split farther in order to make Laguna Nigel
2 whole. There may be some unincorporated areas that we
3 could look at.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so the general
5 direction?

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, terrific.

8 MS. HENDERSON: So is the direction to try to
9 make Laguna Nigel whole, but it's not as important where
10 it goes?

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I still feel
12 that we have all this issue in Orange County when we're
13 overpopulated by 500,000 people in one district.

14 MS. HENDERSON: That was the Senate.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, it was. Okay,
16 then I'm getting confused. Have we gone to the CDs that
17 the Orange County --

18 MS. HENDERSON: We have not yet.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, please, let's
20 go there and we'll see. Yes, that appears to be the
21 general --

22 MS. HENDERSON: Okay, there also will be ripple
23 effects in OC if we change that population.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so South OC.
25 Do we have any city splits other than Laguna Nigel?

1 Irvine looks whole?

2 MS. HENDERSON: No, it's split.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Anything else?

4 MS. HENDERSON: Anaheim Hills.

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm sorry, Anaheim Hills is
6 split, or Anaheim Hills is split from Anaheim?

7 MS. HENDERSON: Anaheim Hills is split from
8 Anaheim. And I believe that the Orange Crush Area is in
9 the SAANA District.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Which is what we
11 talked about before. Any questions, concerns about the
12 South OC? Commissioner Ward? I see you frowning, but I
13 didn't know if you wanted to see something --

14 COMMISSIONER WARD: It was squinting. Just
15 looking, examining.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, let's take a
17 look at that OC COACH. This is the one that includes
18 Seal Beach.

19 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any city
21 splits other than Irvine?

22 MS. HENDERSON: No, we don't believe so.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Any questions
24 or concerns regarding the coastal district? Commissioner
25 Ward.

1 COMMISSIONER WARD: Could we back out?

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, did you
3 have a question? Oh, okay. Commissioner Raya, did you
4 have -- next, Santa --

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I have a question.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, Commissioner
7 Parvenu.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: With OCCST, where is that
9 line over at Long Beach? What is that line there? Can
10 you zoom in on that area? Okay.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It appears the next
12 district we're going to is what crosses the county line,
13 so we'll take a look at that district to the north, Las
14 Alamitos or - is that LBPORT, which appears to be Long
15 Beach without its Port? Is that what I'm looking at?

16 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, questions,
18 concerns?

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can we zoom out just to see
20 it in context?

21 COMMISSIONER WARD: Where is Rossmoor? Is that
22 in the LBPR??

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We have to pull
24 back.

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: There it is.

1 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, it is.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, comments,
3 concerns?

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: My concern would be that, you
5 know, obviously the bulk of the input we've had from most
6 of the cities in North OC are, of course, ignored with
7 these districts. Again, we have criteria we have to
8 follow, but there's very little connection in --

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Am I correct that
10 the Long Beach Port is not with the City of Long Beach in
11 this Congressional District?

12 MS. HENDERSON: That's correct, it's not in this
13 Congressional District.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: There's not so much
15 in the way of population, so is there some reason why it
16 would have been separated from Long Beach?

17 MS. HENDERSON: Just a moment.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. And, I'm
19 sorry, Commissioner Blanco, did you want --

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, no, no, I'm just
21 looking because I'm a little concerned that we're hungry
22 and tired and getting into a really difficult area, and I
23 almost --

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Agreed.

25 COMMISSIOENR BLANCO: -- think it would be good

1 to slow down, take a break, and go back to this. That's
2 my sense.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's true. I
4 think there is a lot of direction that we need to
5 provide, and I feel the same. Let's answer -- I'm sorry,
6 what were we looking at real quick?

7 MS. HENDERSON: I just asked Holly to highlight
8 the City of Long Beach.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so at the
10 direction of the Commission, I also need to find out
11 estimated time of arrival for Mr. Brown.

12 MR. MILLER: He'll be here momentarily.
13 Obviously, you can take your break.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Henderson, you
15 have to leave pretty soon, is there anything that
16 requires your being here that we should cover?

17 MS. HENDERSON: Yeah, if we could just look - I
18 think we've looked at nearly all of the Congressionals,
19 if we could look quickly at the SAANA and the LHBYL, I
20 think that's all we're missing at this point.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure, we can look at
22 them. I guess I get the general sense of this Commission
23 is that we want to absorb this and we likely need to
24 provide direction on quite a number of these districts.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And my concern is
2 that I understand that you might need to leave. I think
3 when we see some of this overlap with Los Angeles, we can
4 -- we're still -- we can look at all of it this
5 afternoon, I mean, even though Ms. Henderson is leaving,
6 Ms. Mac Donald is here, we're going to have to go to all
7 of these districts again.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'm personally
9 reluctant to give much substantive feedback the closer we
10 get to the Los Angeles Orange County line, without having
11 seen Los Angeles and provided direction on Los Angeles.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I agree. Okay, so
13 the SANANNA District, is there -- this looks very similar
14 to what we had seen previously?

15 MS. HENDERSON: It's the same.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It's the same
17 district, okay.

18 MS. HENDERSON: And in addition, I'll just point
19 out that we connected the greater Little Saigon COI and
20 the neighboring districts, so that green line -- I'm
21 apologize, actually, what I needed to tell you was that
22 we explored the option of putting the greater Little
23 Saigon area in the district with Garden Grove and
24 Westminster, that created a very large population problem
25 that was a very large obstacle, so we wanted to report

1 back to the Commission and that's very difficult to do
2 with this configuration of lines. It ended up being
3 about 138,000 people. So the gray area here is the
4 greater Little Saigon borders that we received testimony
5 about, so that's why that part is not in this
6 visualization, is because of the degree of disruption
7 from that for the Congressional level.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, let's take a
9 look at the last -- and, again, we're not moving on, we
10 do have a lot of discussion on these districts to do.

11 We're just getting an overview because we have not seen
12 these yet. All of these cities are whole except for --

13 MS. HENDERSON: Except for Chino.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, Chino got in
15 there. How did Chino get in there, I guess, is my
16 question.

17 MS. HENDERSON: Again, this was dealing with the
18 overpopulation to the north. We were in this district of
19 Pomona Ontario district with the addition of Claremont --
20 and Claremont and Upland, there was overpopulation, and
21 so we were trying to address it here.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So then pull back
23 out real quick and see. So you pulled Chino out due to
24 an overpopulation because we added Upland and Claremont
25 into that district. Correct?

1 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, that was part of the way we
2 were dealing with the overpopulation, that and the
3 overpopulation to the north, also.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions about
5 this district? We just have to take a look at all of
6 them, I'm afraid.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah. I am
8 pleased that Hacienda Heights, that we were able to
9 connect them with the rest of -- there's been a very
10 strong COI, so the visualizations, it looks good on the
11 west side, particularly the Chino, I understand the
12 population considerations, it wouldn't have been my first
13 choice.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that's all of
15 the Congressionals for those regions?

16 MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we will go
18 ahead and take -- is there anything else -- there will
19 have to be direction based on what we look at this
20 afternoon, so we don't have any other than what we gave
21 you previously. I get the impression from the rest of
22 the Commission that there will likely be some direction
23 on these, and Kyle is here to take that down, so we'll
24 take a look at those with the overlap. So we'll go ahead
25 and take, right now, I guess, just a 10-minute break and

1 we'll figure out food, Mr. Brown, and the switch with Ms.
2 Mac Donald, so we'll be in recess for 10 minutes.

3 MS. HENDERSON: Okay.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

5 (Recess at 1:07 p.m.)

6 (Reconvene at 1:23 p.m.)

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: At this time,
8 pursuant to the agenda that we have posted, we are going
9 to go into closed session until 2:30 and that, again, is
10 pursuant to Government Code Section 11126 for
11 consideration of potential litigation. So, we will be in
12 closed session until 2:30. Thank you.

13 (Closed session 1:24 p.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25