

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the Matter of
Full Commission Business Meeting

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law
Classroom C
3200 Fifth Avenue
Sacramento, California

VOLUME II

Wednesday, July 8, 2011

2:54 P.M.

Reported by:
Kent Odell

APPEARANCES

Commissioners Present

Jodie Filkins Webber, Chairperson

Angelo Ancheta, Vice Chairperson

Gabino T. Aguirre

Vincent Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle Di Giulio

Stanley Forbes

Connie Galambos Malloy

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai

M. Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Peter Yao

Staff Present

Kirk Miller, Legal Counsel

Janece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

APPEARANCES (Cont.)

Also Present

Nicole Boyle, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

George Brown, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher

Holly Chow, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Jamie Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Ana Henderson, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Karin Mac Donald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

Public Comment

Jim Whiteaker, Sutter County Supervisor

Tom Bosenko, Sheriff, Shasta County

Jacqueline DuPont-Walker

Lillia Rivera, Council of Asian Pacific Islanders
Together for Advocacy and Leadership

Maye Lee, San Diego, on behalf of CAPAFR Coalition

Alice Huffman, NAACP, Los Angeles President

Aref Aziz

I N D E X

	PAGE
6. Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher (Open Session Review of Non-LA Potential Section 2 Areas)	
George Brown, Esq., Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher	181
7. Review of Commission Schedule	
Jodie Filkins Webber, Chairperson	186, 306
8. Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (All remaining Southern California Districts)	
Adjourn	322
Certificate of Reporter	323

1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

JULY 8, 2011

2:54 P.M.

(Reconvene 2:54 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We are resuming our meeting of the Citizens Redistricting Commission. We were in closed session earlier, and I would ask Mr. Miller to give a brief summary regarding the closed session.

MR. MILLER: In closed session, the Commission discussed with counsel various threats that parties could bring in litigation and anticipated responses to them.

CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: At this time, we've asked our Voting Rights Attorney to stick with us and I will turn it over to Mr. Brown.

VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: Thank you. I just wanted to offer a few updates to our opinions and a few comments before you get started on the line drawing exercise. First, several reminders. The Commission has been advised to follow the criteria and priorities in the California Constitution. The first priority is following the U.S. Constitution, including the equal protection clause; the second criteria are to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act. The Commission must prioritize the Voting Rights Act when drawing districts over the lower ranked criteria. The Commission is also

1 constrained by the limits on the Voting Rights Act as
2 interpreted by the U.S. Supreme Court. It is not free to
3 come up with its own interpretations.

4 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, as your Voting Rights
5 Act counsel, has not drawn any districts and has not
6 instructed the Mappers to draw any particular district or
7 set of districts in a particular manner. All Mapping
8 instructions come from the Commission.

9 Now, the drafting process necessarily involves
10 Mappers interpreting Commission direction and trying to
11 be responsive. The Commission has been advised to
12 seriously consider the input of organized groups and
13 other members of the public, and I know they've been
14 doing that. This would include submissions by AARC,
15 MALDEF, CAPAFR, and the Unity Proposal, as well as other
16 submissions.

17 Now, let me summarize where we are in thinking
18 about Section 2 potentially required areas. First
19 starting outside of LA County, we've advised you that the
20 Commission should consider in Fresno County Assembly
21 District FSEC2 as a potentially required majority-
22 minority Latino District. In the San Bernardino County
23 Area, we've advised that Assembly District POMVL, the
24 July 5 iteration; Assembly District RLTF0, the July 30
25 iteration; Senate District POMSB, June 30 iteration;

1 Congressional District SBRIA, July 5th Option 1. All are
2 areas that should be treated as potentially requiring a
3 majority-minority District for Latinos. I know that
4 there are other iterations, but our view will remain the
5 same so long as those are greater than 50 percent
6 districts.

7 There were two options I saw recently in San
8 Bernardino for Congressional Districts, and in Option 2,
9 the ONTPM, July 6th version, would be an acceptable
10 alternative to the SBRIA, July 5th Option 1.

11 In San Diego County, we've advised that a
12 potential majority-minority Latino District would be
13 appropriate for Assembly District SSAND, the July 6th
14 iteration; and in Orange County, we've advised that a
15 potential majority-minority Latino District would be
16 appropriate for Assembly District SNANA, the July 2nd
17 iteration.

18 With respect to LA County, we've been evaluating
19 evidence of Racially Polarized Voting, as well as the
20 public input and the Totality of the Circumstances. Our
21 view is that there is evidence of Racially Polarized
22 Voting between Latinos and Whites in certain areas and
23 between Latinos and African Americans in certain areas.
24 Based on our overall review of the facts and
25 circumstances, several majority-minority Latino Districts

1 should be drawn to comply with Section 2 of the Voting
2 Rights Act. We urge the Commission to continue to focus
3 on the use of Redistricting criteria other than race and
4 ethnicity as the primary focus, with sensitivity to
5 avoiding over-concentration of single minority groups.
6 In particular, it's our view that the Commission should
7 go through the maps and consider approaching it by asking
8 some of the following questions -- they are non-
9 exclusive, of course: First, what's in the district?
10 What cities, neighborhoods, and other geographic areas
11 are in the district? What local communities of interest
12 recognized by the Commission are included in the
13 district? What other communities are reflected by that
14 district?

15 We've discussed the idea that the concept of
16 community and shared interest is somewhat broader than
17 and distinct from the term of art used in the California
18 Constitution. I think the Commission should describe
19 briefly for each of the districts what the shared
20 interests of the voters in that district are, and this
21 information can include info that comes from public
22 testimony, from written testimony, from known facts, and
23 from U.S. Census Data. The Census Data, for example, can
24 show similarities in household income, education, number
25 of children and homes, and other relevant socioeconomic

1 indicators.

2 I think the Commission should also consider what
3 splits of geographic units occurred by drawing the
4 district, and explain briefly the reason for the splits.
5 I think using that approach would be a useful exercise in
6 advancing the Commission's analysis.

7 I also wanted to point out that, based on our
8 review, we believe there is not a sufficient basis to
9 conclude that a majority African American District would
10 be required by Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act in Los
11 Angeles County.

12 And that's it. I'll be here for questions.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?

14 Okay, seeing none, sorry.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So there was an issue
16 in the Central Valley regarding the Kings County
17 Districts, which might -- there is Section 5 coverage,
18 but there might be a Section 2 option, and I wonder if
19 you had any comments on those.

20 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: Generally, we've been unable
21 to establish the existence of Racially Polarized Voting
22 in the Kings area, based on the information that we've
23 looked at so far. If that changes, we'll let you know.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions
25 of Mr. Brown? Okay, Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Mr. Brown, are you
2 planning on providing any context to where we are at with
3 the Racially Polarized Voting Analysis?

4 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: I can report that, yeah,
5 we've continued to have meetings with Dr. Barretto and
6 we've received data and have had discussions with him,
7 and we've reviewed other sources of information, as well.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Any
9 other questions? Okay, before we resume our line
10 drawing, I've asked to check in with Ms. Mac Donald, as
11 well as the Commission, as far as where we are at in our
12 status. We do presently still have a hearing scheduled
13 for tomorrow that has not been cancelled. So, given the
14 lateness of the hour and quite a bit of travel
15 arrangements that might have to be made by a number of
16 Commissioners, as well as many of us probably canceling
17 our hotel rooms for tonight in anticipation that we would
18 be finished, I wanted to touch base with Ms. Mac Donald
19 on her schedule, as well as open it up to the Commission
20 for just a brief discussion on where we're at, where
21 we're going, where we have been, looking at the larger
22 picture, and what we need to accomplish and how it is
23 going to affect moving into next week.

24 So, at this point, as I understand from the
25 Commission's feelings earlier, due to some of the new

1 Visualizations that we saw at the Congressional level for
2 Regions 1, 2 and 3, we did not provide any direction to
3 Q2, even though there was some hesitation, we also wanted
4 to see where we were going to get with Los Angeles. So,
5 as I understand, Ms. Mac Donald can go until 6:30 this
6 evening, but if we do consider tomorrow, it would be like
7 an 11:00 to 3:00, so it would be taking up a large
8 portion of our day. And what we need to get through this
9 evening are the Assembly Districts for Los Angeles,
10 Senate Districts, Congressional Districts, and then go
11 back and take a look at what impacts some of our
12 decisions and directions would have in looking back at
13 the Congressional Districts for Regions 1, 2 and 3. So
14 that's what we would technically have to accomplish
15 between now and the close of business at 6:30.

16 So, Ms. Mac Donald, is that consistent with my
17 understanding of where we are at this point?

18 MS. MAC DONALD: Yes, I think that is consistent.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any questions
20 or concerns about where we're at? Otherwise, we'll just
21 move into Los Angeles. Commissioner Forbes, Commissioner
22 Di Guilio, and then Commissioner Parvenu.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I just wanted to make a
24 comment regarding Area 9, Region 9. I did not feel
25 yesterday that we had given very thorough conversations,

1 and we didn't really even see a functioning map for
2 Sonoma, Solano, Yolo and Napa, and so I feel very
3 uncomfortable at this point regarding voting next week on
4 a map that dealt with those districts, but for my
5 responsibility. And so I just wanted to say that because
6 I think I would like us to spend some time if we have
7 anything tomorrow to get an idea, at least, how things
8 are happening.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
10 Guilio.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: This was what I was
12 going to bring up and Commissioner Forbes, I guess, is
13 going to segue, maybe Ms. Mac Donald would like to
14 mention this, but one of the things we've realized is
15 that, whether it's in Northern California or Southern
16 California, the Mappers will take the directions we've
17 given and they will not be able to come back tomorrow or
18 the next day to show us, they physically need days to
19 work on these changes, so when we come back on the 12th
20 and the 13th for review, that is the first time we will
21 see Northern California on the 12th and Southern on the
22 13th. I need to put this on the table because we, as a
23 Commission, need to realize what we're dealing with here.
24 If we get back, whether we go all day today, tomorrow, to
25 do what we need to do, it simply will be directions, we

1 will see the results of those on Tuesday and Wednesday.
2 If at that point we do not feel like those maps are where
3 we want them to be, then that means we would not be
4 prepared for a vote and it would mean we would continue
5 to do line drawing and there would be no second Draft
6 Map. It would be that, on the 12th and 13th, when we were
7 doing the review, we would be using it as a line drawing
8 session, maybe even one additional day after that based
9 on what we've seen. That means it will be a continual
10 ongoing public comments, we would forgo the second Draft
11 Map in order to do the best job that we feel is possible
12 on these maps, it would allow Q2, then, to have another
13 four days or so to redraw what they give them as
14 direction, one last time. And then we would come back
15 and we would still have the opportunity to do the live
16 line drawing to clean up the lines. So what we trade off
17 by inserting one more time to review and give direction
18 is that there will be no second Draft Maps.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
20 Parvenu.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Earlier this morning, we
22 received an email from yourself and I believe it was,
23 well, from Q2, with the Visualizations for the LA Section
24 2 stats for Assembly Districts and that was very useful
25 information, it gave me a preview of what to expect in

1 our line drawing exercise later today. But I was curious
2 to know if the other Visualizations are also available
3 for some of those other districts in LAWSC, LAIHG, LAFLO,
4 LAWBC, and several others. Are those actually available
5 for us to preview prior to actually going into the line
6 drawing session for the first time seeing it?

7 MS. MAC DONALD: You mean next week?

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, today for the Assembly
9 District.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Today, yeah, we sent all of
11 them.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Everything is here.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, I don't have all of
14 them, so maybe I'm missing an email, perhaps?

15 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, I don't know, I don't send
16 directly to the Commission, but all of the Visualizations
17 should be up on the website.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: For Assembly Districts?

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It should be on the
21 website, whatever we're looking at today. Commissioner
22 Ward.

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Oh, I just wanted to clarify
24 with Commissioner Di Guilio, is it likely that we --
25 under what circumstances would we not have a vote for a

1 second Draft Map? The only reason I ask, maybe to
2 address the concern, is I know we've been doing a lot of
3 interviews and things building up to it, and my
4 understanding from those is that there's a lot of people
5 that are waiting for a second Draft to provide comment,
6 in other words, Visualizations change a lot, so they are
7 waiting for a comment point. So my concern would be, if
8 we don't have some kind of actual release that we might
9 not be soliciting comment that we need to get it right.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, I think there are
11 two things, I think one is our intention is to proceed
12 with our original schedule to the best of our ability. I
13 think the only reason that we would get to the point
14 where we came back and saw Visualizations, if there just
15 wasn't a level of comfort with those Visualizations, that
16 wouldn't allow us to vote on those maps. And I think
17 this would be something the Commission would not take
18 lightly at all; the only reason we would forego a second
19 draft map is because we felt the benefit of having the
20 extra time to spend giving direction to Line Drawers
21 outweighed a commitment for a press release, or a second
22 Draft Map. So it's not that -- I don't think that we
23 would feel -- my feeling is that I don't feel beholden to
24 releasing a second Draft Map unless it is as good as I
25 felt comfortable with, it's not a tradeoff for me just to

1 release something just because, I would want to make sure
2 it is something I felt like I could release.

3 But to address your concern about public
4 comments, I think that would be a very legitimate concern
5 the public would have, and I think the way we would
6 probably have to do it is that the Visualizations that we
7 would get next week would be what we were working off of,
8 and they could comment on those, in addition to the
9 directions that we provide at the time. So, we are not
10 closing public comments, we are simply asking the public
11 to bear with us and to try and work with us on the
12 visualizations that will be on the website, and they will
13 hear our conversation. It will just have to be more of a
14 moving target, then. Ms. Mac Donald?

15 MS. MAC DONALD: I'd like to add to this
16 conversation if I may, that this process is basically
17 very similar to the process we had before the first Draft
18 release, which is basically you are giving direction at
19 this point. So, we're going to have a number of options
20 for LA, for example. But, really, my Mappers only have a
21 day to implement and that's for, you know, all the
22 districts. So, BOE, we had to chuck, so now we have AD,
23 SD, CD. So if there is any major redrawing that you want
24 to do, I mean, we're kind of running up against the clock
25 with a major redraw, anyway. If it's internal rotations

1 within a Mapper's region, depending on what it is, that
2 may be able to be accomplished, right? But if it's
3 anything that goes across borders significantly, if it
4 takes longer than a day, then we're not going to be able
5 to be here on Tuesday, not even with Nor Cal, probably,
6 depending on what happens. We also, what we need, is we
7 have these three days because it takes about 18 hours
8 just to merge all of the different plans together, just
9 so we can actually start looking at the entire State of
10 California; so, I mean, we're running up against
11 technology and a lot of time, so I would just like to
12 throw this out as a caveat and understand that a lot of
13 what we're talking about really depends on what happens
14 with LA here today and whether we have some options that
15 are, you know, acceptable.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
17 Galambos Malloy, and then we'll move into LA, and then
18 we'll see as we go, we'll take a travel break at 4:30.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Like Commissioner
20 Di Guilio, I really do not take lightly the possibility
21 of not releasing a second Draft, however, when I remember
22 back to when we took the vote on the first Draft Maps,
23 the talking points that most of us had were that, "I have
24 significant concerns, but I know that we have opportunity
25 to address substantive changes for the next round." And

1 we are reaching the point where we know that's not true
2 anymore, and so I can't imagine that, if there are some
3 of us that would have significant concerns, that we would
4 be so generous with our vote because we know that this is
5 really one of the last opportunities to impact the Map
6 significantly, and so I think we do have to be open to
7 that possibility, depending on how the nature of our
8 conversation goes today. On a more immediate and
9 pragmatic note, I do have some concern around the idea
10 of, if we work on Saturday, do we have to end early? Do
11 we have to start so late? I just feel like, is it the
12 best use of the Commission's time to start at 11:00 and
13 end at 3:00, and meanwhile, we could all be going home at
14 noon? So I just wanted to explore whether we had the
15 flexibility to burn the midnight oil, or the early
16 morning oil a little bit here?

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We do. It was a
18 combination for others involved. Go ahead, Ms. Mac
19 Donald.

20 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, and this, I think there
21 has to be, I'm sad to tell you, but we have not slept, I
22 mean, we just cannot do this. It's not feasible anymore.
23 Seriously, we're all at our absolute end. So, when we
24 get out of here, if we stay another half hour, we're not
25 home until 9:00, and then to be here tomorrow morning at

1 9:00, we don't get any drawing done, we have requests
2 left and right, it's just not feasible, we just can't do
3 it. Physically, it's just not possible anymore.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So let's move into
5 LA and let's see what our progress is and then we will
6 take a break at 4:30 to make arrangements for hotels and
7 flight arrangements for those of us in Southern
8 California. So let's move forward to our Assembly
9 Districts in Los Angeles. Ms. Mac Donald.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: So can we start in North County,
11 LA? Is that all right?

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Los Angeles sounds
13 good.

14 MS. MAC DONALD: I'm pulling up the labels.

15 MS. BOYLE: So the first district in Northern LA
16 County, the LAVV, has changed only a little bit from
17 previous iterations. Some people were removed from the
18 Victor Valley portion to be transferred to a San
19 Bernardino District, and that caused me to have to pull
20 the border down, all the way down to Santa Clarita, it is
21 flush with the border, but it's not split. And Agua
22 Dulce could not be included in Santa Clarita for that
23 reason, so it's part of the Lancaster, Antelope Valley,
24 Victor Valley District.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And, again, it could not be

1 included because?

2 MS. BOYLE: We did a population exchange with San
3 Bernardino and Mono and Inyo was pulling from the
4 Southern California pull, and that caused an under-
5 population in San Bernardino County, so in assistance to
6 help them shuffle it, more of Victor Valley was removed
7 from the district and, to compensate, we moved south to
8 pick up parts north of Santa Clarita.

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Are there any city splits on
11 the Victor Valley side?

12 MS. BOYLE: Yes, I believe so. Would you like to
13 know specifically?

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I'm assuming - it looks
15 like Adelanto is --

16 MS. BOYLE: I didn't draw this boundary, but we
17 can look at it right now. Victor Valley is not split,
18 Oak Hills is split, Adelanto is not split. Oak Hills
19 appears to be the only split.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay. It looks like it's not
21 contiguous. Is that true?

22 MS. BOYLE: There is a little issue here, but
23 it's within the population deviations for Assembly. What
24 do you mean "not contiguous?" The purple areas here?

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: No. When you drew the Oak

1 Hills.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: In Oak Hills, it showed up
3 in two spots.

4 MS. BOYLE: Oh, correct. Yes, it has two non-
5 contiguous areas, correct. I thought you were referring
6 to the district. My apologies.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm imagining that, since it
8 has a natural split there, I'm wondering --

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We talked about this
10 at the Assembly level when we were in Riverside, earlier
11 this morning. And she said it was at the split of the
12 Oak Hill boundary.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: It is at the boundary, then.
14 Okay, good.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah -- as I recall.

16 MS. BOYLE: There are other options. Instead of
17 splitting Oak Hills, we could do a small change and split
18 Victorville instead -- I'm sorry, not Victorville, which
19 is here.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It seems probably at
21 a natural border with Oak because of the way that the
22 city is separated. Okay, any other questions about this
23 Assembly District for North LA County?

24 CHAIRPERSON DAI: Just in keeping with our
25 practice here, this keeps most of the Lancaster Palmdale

1 area together and matches it with at least part of the
2 other desert communities and Victor Valley.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct. I mean,
4 again, this comes down to a population issue with
5 Adelanto, recognizing it is part of the Victor Valley,
6 but we don't have very many places to go for population
7 in this area. Go ahead.

8 MS. MAC DONALD: So we'll move on to LASCV.

9 MS. BOYLE: So this is LASCV, it incorporates the
10 line direction for changes to the Assembly boundary with
11 Ventura County. It has Simi Valley not split, Santa
12 Suzanna, most of Chatsworth, but Chatsworth is split,
13 Porter Ranch, part of Grenada Hills, Santa Clarita,
14 Valley Verde, Castaic.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any questions?
16 Commissioner Di Guilio.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Maybe we'll get it to
18 the SDs, I know probably Chatsworth and Porter Ranch and
19 Grenada Hills would prefer to be with the San Fernando
20 Valley, but I see it as simply a matter of it's a
21 population situation. Do you happen to know if it's
22 together in San Fernando for the SDs?

23 MS. BOYLE: I believe I have them together,
24 Chatsworth, Porter Ranch, Grenada Hills with West Hills,
25 Canoga Park, and Winnetka in the SD. But could we look

1 at that when we get there?

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, that's fine.

3 MS. BOYLE: Because I did some blending, so it's
4 not purely nested.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, I saw that as a
6 bit of a -- just to recognize the COI testimony we've
7 gotten, that we understand that, we've heard the
8 testimony, but in this situation this is just where we
9 are.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: And actually, the Porter Ranch
11 Chatsworth area was often also matched with Simi Valley,
12 Moore Park, so it's just a different community of
13 interest testimony, conflicting.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions?
15 Okay, thank you.

16 MS. MAC DONALD: We'll move to LASFE.

17 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so this is our Eastern San
18 Fernando Valley District, it's changed very little from
19 the previous iteration that you saw on July 3rd. It
20 includes Sylmar, the City of San Fernando, Mission Hills,
21 Pacoima, Lake View Terrace, Arleta, Sun Valley, North
22 Hollywood, and Grenada Hills is split again.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions. I'm
24 sorry, on this particular district there was relatively
25 no changes from our last direction?

1 MS. BOYLE: Very small changes.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And those small
3 changes, just to refresh our recollection?

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, please.

5 MS. BOYLE: It would have been for population
6 adjustments possibly down through here, and I think
7 Grenada Hills may have been traded in and out, I can't
8 recall.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Where is Tujunga?

10 MS. BOYLE: Tujunga is in this district. I
11 believe it's here. I believe if I zoom in enough, it
12 should show up. This is Tujunga. I hope you'll take my
13 word for it.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just, we did get a lot of
15 testimony for Tujunga wanting to be with La Crescenta and
16 La Canada, but I know we couldn't do it for population
17 purposes.

18 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, it's about 30,000 people
19 combined in here.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah. When we had a
21 Foothills District, I think that was more of a
22 configuration, but when we moved away from that, we
23 weren't able to keep that.

24 MS. BOYLE: Right. Adding Tujunga and making
25 adjustments would pull up this boundary with Silver Lake

1 more, splitting like right along the Griffith Park, so
2 that's a potential option.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, I just wanted to have us
4 remember that, you know, we did get that testimony, that
5 we looked at it, but it was really hard because what they
6 were asking for, in terms of those three cities together,
7 was a large population.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions,
9 concerns? Okay, great. Moving on.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: We are moving on to LASFW.

11 MS. BOYLE: So this is our Western San Fernando
12 Valley District, it's changed quite a bit from the
13 district you saw on July 2nd. If you recall, it was like
14 an arm reaching in to Western San Fernando Valley and
15 previously it included Reseda and Lake Balboa and did not
16 include Park South, Sherman Oaks, Studio City, or Valley
17 Village, we had that "C" that was wrapping around, so the
18 Commission asked me to go ahead and break those in half
19 evenly within the north-south boundary, so that's what
20 I've done. Burbank is split here. It includes North
21 Hills, Panorama City, Van Nuys, Lake Balboa, Valley Glen,
22 Encino is split, Sherman Oaks, Valley Village, Studio
23 City, Toluca Lake, Universal City, and part of Burbank.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could I ask for
25 more information on the Burbank City split? I know that

1 we had gotten some COI testimony on if there was a split,
2 some suggestions on where it would be. Do you know if
3 our split follows that?

4 MS. BOYLE: No, it doesn't, but I could look at
5 it. I just split it, I didn't use any reference from COI
6 testimony, unfortunately.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That split looks like you
8 may have captured the Burbank Airport. Can you tell us,
9 we certainly don't want to split the Airport from the
10 city.

11 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment, please. Let me see if
12 I can locate it.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I recall the same
14 testimony that there was a part of Burbank that's
15 affiliated with the film industry, that's a natural --

16 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The western part of
17 Burbank has that link, correct. I think they even gave
18 us permission on a location to split it.

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I still don't see -- that
20 corner bothers me, that little colored in corner right
21 there, past -- west of Vertigo Park. Yeah, that area. I
22 would like to know what is in that area that's being cut
23 off from Burbank, proper, because the Disney Studio,
24 those NBC Studios, I think there's a north-south street,
25 if it's not Tujunga, Warner Bros. -

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: That's close to 5, isn't
2 it?

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I just need to know where
4 the airport is, I don't know how you're going to depict
5 that. Perhaps if you show the streets off, I'll be able
6 to discern. Yeah, it's close to 5.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We can see that
8 there is some split of that entertainment area that
9 Commissioner Dai was just looking at, so Commissioner
10 Parvenu, your request is just making sure that the
11 Airport is in the Glendale, proper area to the --

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, Burbank, actually.
13 And I think Commissioner Barabba answered that by saying
14 it's close to the 5, so if that is the case, it would be
15 inside that district.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any general --

17 MS. BOYLE: We believe this is the airport here.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: My thought would be to look at
20 the COI testimony about what the natural split is for
21 Burbank, West Burbank, and maybe look at the possibility
22 of making -- is it Encino that you ended up splitting?

23 MS. BOYLE: Yes. There is a possibility of
24 reuniting Burbank and there is also a Pasadena split, so
25 there is a slightly different option for configuring the

1 districts that I can show you later, once we've done this
2 one.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So make a note of
4 this, Commissioner Dai, and we can come back to take a
5 look at some of this entertainment area that did get
6 split, the film industry.

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And is that little piece up
8 there, is that the rest of the airport? That little
9 triangle?

10 MS. BOYLE: I believe so, but it's not in
11 Burbank, proper. The city boundary is here.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Is it quick for you to do
13 the street overlay, to bring that up? I prefer the
14 streets, it's all a blank right here, to me. Okay, now
15 if you can zoom in a little, I can get my bearings
16 straight. Okay, San Fernando Blvd., okay.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think that one yellow
18 triangle on the top might be part of the airport. I
19 think it's a very easy switch, but we can just make a
20 note of it, that's all. You don't need a runway!

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So we'll look at
22 making the airport whole and we'll revisit those other
23 options that Ms. Boyle might have for us. Any other
24 questions or concerns on this district?

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I do what to know what is

1 in that southwest corner that got split off, I'd like to
2 know what is in that corner, if there is a split, I would
3 at least like to have the knowledge of what that is. Is
4 it part of the entertainment district? Is it warehouses?
5 Is it residential, or manufacturing?

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
7 Parvenu is asking about the piece down by the - I think
8 it's the Warner Bros. Studios, the part that went over
9 was Studio City.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Exactly. That piece.
11 Okay, it looks like it's residential.

12 MS. BOYLE: This is the Warner Bros. Studio.
13 Would you like to see it in ---

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, no, I just wanted to
15 see what that olive colored area was that was split up,
16 so I see what it is now. That is Burbank, proper. I
17 just wanted to know what zone uses were in that area.
18 Now I see it is residential, that's fine.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Boyle, you had
20 said that you had another Visualization you'll show us
21 later that keeps Burbank whole?

22 MS. BOYLE: Yes, I would like to show you that.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, now or another
24 time?

25 MS. BOYLE: After we've run through this one.

1 They're all kind of interrelated to each other, so there
2 are tradeoffs between all the districts, depending. So I
3 think it's helpful to show you one and then go to the
4 next.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Perfect. Okay, any
6 additional questions or concerns on this Burbank
7 District? Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Could I just get one
9 quick clarification? What Ms. Boyle just said was that,
10 so all of these, we're looking at all of these in
11 conjunction as one option? And what is it that is
12 changing the options?

13 MS. BOYLE: Can I show you when we get to the
14 next option? It's just a handful of districts in one,
15 and then the second one involves changes through the
16 Foothill Districts.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, so up here, this
18 will probably stay a lot the same on both options?

19 MS. BOYLE: Yes, these districts up here stayed
20 the same in both the options, the changes occur through
21 here in Option 3, the third one I want to show you.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, thank you. I just
23 wanted to get my bearings.

24 MS. BOYLE: Uh huh.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead, we can

1 move on. Maybe we didn't look at that Burbank one
2 entirely on the north side yet.

3 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, we'll circle back around to
4 that one if that is okay.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Absolutely, we'll
6 follow you.

7 MS. BOYLE: And so this is the Western San
8 Fernando Valley. It includes most of Chatsworth,
9 Northridge, a tiny part of North Hills, Reseda, Winnetka,
10 Canoga Park, West Hills, Woodland Hills, Tarzana, Encino,
11 Hidden Hills, Calabasas, and Bell Canyon.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao and
13 then Commissioner Barabba.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Reseda was split previously.
15 Did we keep them whole this time?

16 MS. BOYLE: Yes, they are whole.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
18 Barabba, anything? Anyone else? What I like about this
19 district is we finally got to be able to put Bell Canyon
20 with the Valley, as they had said before and we struggled
21 with that for some time. We've got the hub of the Valley
22 and Reseda whole, and right there in the center, or
23 almost in the center, Calabasas concerns. This looks
24 pretty good. Commissioner Parvenu, did you have a
25 question?

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No, I just echo that, this
2 looks good. Where exactly is the LA County Ventura line?
3 I think that boundary west of -

4 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The other side of Oak
5 Park.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It's on the other side of
7 Oak Park, okay, that looks good, I agree.

8 MS. BOYLE: The mouse is tracing it.

9 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree, okay.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Great, anything
11 else? No? We can move on. Good fix of the valley
12 there, Ms. Boyle.

13 MS. BOYLE: Thank you, Commissioner. So this is
14 now our west side Malibu District. It includes most of
15 this community of interest without Calabasas, Malibu,
16 Topanga, Pacific Palisades, Santa Monica, Mandeville
17 Canyon, Brentwood, Los Angeles, including the VA Hospital
18 to the southern boundary, I think, with the VA Hospital,
19 part of Westwood, Bel Air, Beverly Crest, Beverly Hills,
20 West Hollywood, Carthey, Hollywood, part of Hollywood
21 Hills, Little Armenia, Melrose, most of Hancock Park,
22 Miracle Mile is in the district to the south, including
23 parts of the COI have been separated from Hancock Park,
24 but I have another option.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is all of UCLA in that

1 district? Is it down below? I just want to be sure that
2 UCLA is not split here.

3 MS. BOYLE: Here is UCLA, it appears not to be
4 split, but it is right at its boundary.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other questions
6 or concerns on this district?

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I guess I'm
8 thinking ahead a little bit to some of the other
9 districts when we start to get farther south, but I
10 notice in this Visualization it appears that Venice and
11 Santa Monica are split. Is that true? Or are they
12 together and both oriented north?

13 MS. BOYLE: No, I'm sorry, Venice is oriented
14 south and, in this iteration, the Playa Del Rey and the
15 Los Angeles beach communities have been split. They're
16 split here. Mar Vista is with the LAWSC, Venice, Marina
17 Del Rey, Del Rey, Playa Vista, Playa Del Rey is with the
18 Inglewood District.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, Chair, this
20 might be a question for you. Are you planning to have us
21 keep moving south from here? Because I think some of my
22 ideas or suggestions might start to get into that Venice
23 and farther south.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Let me check with
25 Ms. Boyle on what she had worked on for other options.

1 Your idea is that you wanted to run through each of
2 these, then you're going to go to another version of the
3 Assembly level, and point out the highlights, and then --
4 do you have one more version, or two? She's worked hard,
5 ladies and gentlemen, so we'll probably look at all of
6 them - that's why I'm saying make some notes on the
7 districts that you like here, where you might have some
8 suggestions, because when we go between these options,
9 let's just move through them because we're not going to
10 give her any directions yet until we see what these other
11 options are. So, let's go. Because I'm making notes
12 along the way, as well, but go back to maybe the Miracle
13 Mile later, but we'll see because you said it's different
14 in another version, so...

15 MS. BOYLE: I think I have a different version in
16 the Assembly.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great.

18 MS. BOYLE: It could be Congressional, but I
19 think it's Assembly. So this is our LAWSC District. It
20 contains Hyde Park, View Park, Windsor Hills, Ladera
21 Heights, Culver City, it's split, the LA Beach cities
22 community by including Mar Vista not with Venice, West
23 Los Angeles, Century City, Rancho Park, Cheviot Hills,
24 Beverly Wood, Renier Village, Regent Square, Leimert
25 Park, Crenshaw District, Cloverdale, Corkran, Wellington

1 Square, Victoria Park, Country Club Park, Mid-City, South
2 Carthay, and Miracle Mile.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Questions, concerns?

4 Oh, we're just going to keep going, okay.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just see them all, we'll
6 come back.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead.

8 MS. BOYLE: This is our Inglewood District.

9 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, this is one includes Del
10 Rey, Playa Vista, Marina Del Rey, Venice, the Marina
11 Peninsula, Playa Del Rey, Westchester, El Segundo, Del
12 Air, Lennox, Inglewood, Westmont, Hawthorne, and West
13 Athens. Alondra Park is also in here.

14 MS. BOYLE: And then the northern portion of
15 Gardena split above Rosencrans with the southern portion
16 being to the south of Torrance.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm sorry, you said
18 Rosencrans, right, that it was split at Rosencrans?

19 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And that is per
21 the API COI in that area.

22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Keeping the Japanese
23 community together, excellent.

24 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we'll move to LAPVB. And
25 this district includes Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach,

1 Redondo Beach, Alondra Park, Gardena, Torrance, West
2 Carson, Lomita, Palos Verdes Estates, Rolling Hills
3 Estates, Rolling Hills, Rancho Palos Verdes, Harbor City.
4 And that's it.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And San Pedro is not
6 in that district, correct?

7 MS. BOYLE: No, it is not in this district, it is
8 in the one to the east.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Moving on.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we will move on to the
11 purple district, and that's LALBS. And in this
12 Visualization, we have San Pedro, parts of Harbor City,
13 the Port, the Long Beach Port, as well, the "Ports," I
14 should say, Long Beach, Signal Hill -

15 MS. BOYLE: Most of Long Beach, but Long Beach is
16 split. It's split twice in this iteration, the previous
17 iteration, you saw Assemblies with a four-way split of
18 Long Beach, it can be reduced to two, I have included the
19 [quote unquote] "Compton Triangle" of Long Beach with
20 Compton, but that could easily be removed to reduce the
21 split to only two. I included it for COI, but it can be
22 shuffled around.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Great.

24 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we'll move on to LAWBC.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And can I confirm

1 what are your assumptions in putting this together was a
2 hard line at the Orange County border?

3 MS. BOYLE: Yes, that's correct. We implemented
4 an Orange County Long Beach fix and pulled 80,000 back
5 into my district, which we shuffled out, and removing
6 Inyo and Mono from the pool actually assisted with that,
7 and then we removed 30,000 through Victor Valley and
8 10,000 through the Rancho Cucamonga split, and I think
9 there was one more swapped to equal the population, but
10 we were able to pull the border -- I mean, the border of
11 this district to the OC border.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that's a
13 mouthful of ripples. Who would have thought that Mono
14 and Inyo could --

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: End up in the harbor!

16 MS. BOYLES: The lines are like surfboards and
17 the people are water.

18 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, so back to the district.
19 LAWBC, and this district contains Vermont Vista, Green
20 Meadows, Watts, Century Palms Cove, Willow Brook, West
21 Rancho Dominguez, Compton, East Rancho Dominguez, we have
22 Cal State Dominguez Hill in here, and there is Carson,
23 West Carson, Wilmington, and that's it.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Madam Chair?

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

2 Parvenu.

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Quickly. As a resident of
4 this area, and one who has been involved with community-
5 based organizations in this area, I'm very pleased with
6 these Visualizations. I just want to thank Q2 for doing
7 this work to bring this about, to reflect very closely to
8 what the Unity Map also reflects. You've done a great
9 job.

10 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, I'm
11 restraining because I think there are refinements that
12 could be made, but as a potential base, you really come a
13 long way.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

15 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we'll move on to LABSQ.
16 And let's start in the upper corner with West Adams,
17 Adams Normandy, University Park, Exposition Park,
18 Central, Vermont Central, South Park, West Vernon,
19 Vermont Square, Harvard Park, Vermont Knolls, Florence
20 Graham, Gramercy Place, and Florence Firestone.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, moving on.

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could I ask you to
23 just wave your hand over where USC is located? It's by
24 University Park, is that correct?

25 MS. MAC DONALD: Just one second, yes.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you.

2 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, now, yeah.

3 MS. BOYLE: So this includes part of Hancock Park
4 that is within the Korea Town, this is the LADNT
5 District. It stretches from Korean Town in the northwest
6 to Commerce and Bell in the southeast. This is the
7 boundary of Korea Town as provided by CALAFR with a small
8 portion that is in here for population reasons, it
9 includes Wilshire Center, Harvard Heights, Pico Union,
10 West Lake, the previous iteration had West Lake with the
11 East LA District, we were able to reunite it, Downtown,
12 Little Tokyo, the Artists District, Boyle Heights,
13 Vernon, Commerce, Maywood, and Bell.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: This is a long
15 district, however, within the district you have several
16 very strong COI configurations and we have a grouping of
17 the southeast cities, I think in previous iterations, we
18 had them more split, so I like that we have a cohort of
19 them. I also like, when you look at the north end, how
20 we've managed to put some of the API communities like the
21 Filipino Town and Chinatown are in the northern district,
22 but they're together and then you have the Korea Town and
23 we've managed to maintain some of these. We weren't able
24 to put all of them together, but they have their
25 integrity and they are in smaller groupings, which I

1 think is a significant improvement.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
3 Barabba.

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And also, we moved the
5 Echo Park, Galecian Park, and Silver Lake areas, which
6 were having a hard time identifying with the East LA, I
7 would think.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And to address
9 Commissioner Galambos Malloy's question, USC is -- okay,
10 you have your hand over it, yeah, it's right in that
11 area.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, if we can move
13 on?

14 MS. MAC DONALD: We'll move on to Northeastern
15 LA. This is the LAELA District, L-A-E-L-A. And it has
16 Eagle Rock, Glassell Park, Highland Park, El Sereno,
17 Lincoln Heights, Galecian Valley, Silver Lake, Echo Park,
18 Galecian Park, Filipino Town, China Town, East Los
19 Angeles, all of East LA.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Could we ask as a
21 rule just if there are any city splits, that we'll assume
22 that you'll mention them?

23 MS. MAC DONALD: Yes.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we can move
25 on.

1 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, we'll move north. This is
2 LAGBP. And it contains La Crescenta Montrose, La Canada,
3 Flintridge, Burbank, Burbank is split, Glendale, Los
4 Feliz, Atwater Village, Hollywood Hills, Thai Town, and
5 East Hollywood.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can I have you zoom in to
7 that line going -- okay, never mind. Okay, you changed
8 it from the last iteration. Okay, that's good.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, go ahead.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: We're going to move east.
11 LASGF. And this district contains Altadena, Pasadena,
12 Pasadena is split, South Pasadena in its entirety, East
13 Pasadena, San Moreno, no, wait a second, it's San
14 Pasqual, I'm sorry, Sierra Madre --

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Before we speed
16 through, could I ask more about the Pasadena split?

17 MS. BOYLE: Sure. What would you like to know,
18 what the boundaries are?

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, just how
20 many folks did you split and what order did you use?

21 MS. BOYLE: Sure. I was going to ask for
22 direction on specifically doing the split, at this point
23 it's based on population and it was including the
24 northwest portion with the district because including
25 southerly would have created an uglier split and would

1 have kind of created a hook down to South Pasadena, and
2 there wasn't - I don't think I could have taken all of
3 Altadena out and the point was for Altadena to be with
4 Pasadena, so I opted for taking out this portion of
5 Pasadena, but I'm open to guidance on the split, and the
6 split is 10,057 people that are in with Glendale and not
7 in with Pasadena.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, thank you.

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: And do you know if the
10 boundary there is -- it looks like, oh, whoops, along the
11 Arroyo, yes, okay. Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If you could take
13 some notes there, and if this is the option that you'd
14 like, then we can look at these details when we come
15 back. Sorry, Commissioner Di Giulio.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Sorry, and that's why, I
17 just want to touch base, these are all -- these areas are
18 now into the areas that will change in your other
19 Visualizations. Is that correct?

20 MS. BOYLE: There is a Visualization where this
21 -- an option, what I am calling "3," where Pasadena is
22 whole and Burbank is whole, but I had to shift around the
23 communities that are included in the Foothill District.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That's fine, I will wait
25 to see that, just again was trying to see if this was an

1 area, still, that was more staying or static.

2 MS. BOYLE: So what isn't changing about the
3 Foothill District is its most eastern boundary. We still
4 have Upland, San Antonio, and Rancho Cucamonga in the
5 district. That does not change.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Rancho Cucamonga is in or out?

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It's that little
8 portion that we talked about earlier.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh, the little -

10 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, it's got the northwest corner
11 for population reasons.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

13 MS. BOYLE: Oh, I'm sorry, and Glendora, San
14 Dimas, Laverne, Claremont, San Antonio Heights, Upland,
15 and the northwest portion of Rancho Cucamonga.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: Outside the Rancho Cucamonga,
17 any of these eastern cities split, San Dimas? Glendora?

18 MS. BOYLE: No. Only Pasadena.

19 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just want to say that
21 that's also, I mean, I don't know what's going to come
22 later, but that really captures so much of what we've
23 heard, including putting cities back together, keeping
24 some Foothills, the cities, it's a good option.

25 MS. BOYLE: This is our LACVN District.

1 MS. MAC DONALD: This one has Duarte, Monrovia,
2 Bradbury, Azusa, Monrovia Island, Irwindale, Vincent,
3 Covina, Charter Oak, Citrus, Baldwin Park, West
4 Puentevella, Baldwin Park, West Puente Valley, West
5 Covina, Valinda, and Avocado Heights.

6 MS. BOYLE: West Covina is split in this
7 iteration and in most of our iterations, West Covina
8 seems to get split and the southern portion is included
9 with the Diamond Bar District.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. We can move
11 on.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: You know, I'm
13 looking right now at the Unity Map that was provided and
14 they have a very similar configuration and it's difficult
15 for me to tell from the way it was printed whether they
16 also split West Covina. Do you happen to know?

17 MS. BOYLE: I don't, but I have the Unity lines
18 right here and we could take a look real quick. West
19 Covina is not split, it would appear. We can verify
20 that. It is not split.

21 COMMISSIOENR GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, well, if we
22 go with this option we can certainly explore refinements.

23 MS. MAC DONALD: Let's go to LAWSG. And the
24 Visualization has East San Gabriel, Arcadia, San Marino,
25 Mayflower Village, North El Monte, Temple City, El Monte,

1 parts of El Monte, parts of South El Monte, Rosemead,
2 South San Gabriel, part of Montebello, Monterey Park, and
3 Alhambra.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And this is the one
5 that essentially stayed the same, correct?

6 MS. BOYLE: Essentially it stayed the same.
7 Previously you saw a split of South Pasadena; that was
8 corrected. Aside from that, it's pretty stable. So, to
9 make up for the split in South Pasadena, we picked up
10 more of El Monte.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we can move
12 on.

13 MS. MAC DONALD: We'll go to the LAPRW District.
14 And this Visualization has parts of South El Monte, most
15 of Montebello, has most of Pico Rivera, has Rose Hills,
16 Hacienda Heights, Industry, La Habra Heights, Whittier,
17 West Whittier, South Whittier, East La Mirada, and La
18 Mirada.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

20 MS. MAC DONALD: LADNN has Bell Gardens, Pico
21 Rivera, part of Pico Rivera, Santa Fe Springs, Norwalk,
22 Cerritos, Artesia, Lakewood, and Downey, oh, and Hawaiian
23 Gardens, Downey and then Bell Gardens.

24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'll reserve my comments
25 for the next option.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, well, we're
2 almost going now for 45 minutes on this version, which
3 I'm assuming when we get to the other options, we'll be
4 focusing just on the differences, so... Just make a note
5 of it, Commissioner Blanco.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yes.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Next.

8 MS. MAC DONALD: LASGL. Huntington Park, Walnut
9 Park, Cudahy, South Gate, Linwood, Paramount, Bellflower,
10 North Long Beach.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just one question.
12 When you say North Long Beach, do you have the northern
13 part of Long Beach split between the Compton, Carson like
14 you discussed before, as well as this district?

15 MS. BOYLE: Correct. Right here.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that was
17 pursuant to COI, like you had mentioned earlier?

18 MS. BOYLE: Yes. It could be reunited to reduce
19 the split, it's 11,000 people.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Is that it?
21 We're back. Okay, so why don't we then bring up your
22 option 2 and then I'm assuming you'll just highlight
23 where some of the differences are between all of the
24 districts that we just went through. Correct?

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'm sorry, before you

1 leave this version, I'm sorry, what is that diagonal
2 street there in North Long Beach? What is the actual
3 street? The diagonal, yes.

4 MS. BOYLE: This one?

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The one that goes - that
6 one, right there. I still don't --

7 MS. MAC DONALD: East Harbor.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: East Harbor, okay, thank
9 you.

10 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so in this option, I attempted
11 to keep -- I have the OC, Long Beach OC county border,
12 that's consistent through all of these Visualizations
13 you'll see today, but I started here with trying to
14 reunite as many of the southeast cities in one district
15 as possible, so I rearranged the lines, so let's kind of
16 start north to west. So this district is the same with a
17 small kind of in and out here, but then the changes start
18 with the LDNN and the LABSQ, so in order to move the
19 southeast cities out of the LADNT, which were included in
20 the previous iteration, we had the southeast cities split
21 between two, we had Maywood and Huntington Park up here,
22 or Maywood and Bell. I moved them south and, in order to
23 pick up population, I moved this boundary south into Park
24 and Central to make up for the exchange. So this is the
25 Option 2. Do we see this now? So that's Option 2. Here

1 you can see the southeast cities are altogether, so we
2 picked up Maywood and Bell and pulled -- went down into
3 Long Beach more.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So I'm seeing some
5 overlapping boundaries, the blues and the purples?

6 MS. BOYLE: Yes, the blue is the new option and
7 the purple is the previous.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: May I ask a question?
10 Nicole, are your legend numbers updated with option 2, or
11 are they still the same?

12 MS. BOYLE: No, let me fix that.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay, thank you.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can we take it from the top
15 -- I'm sorry -- from that one, the Downtown one that was
16 shorter?

17 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So the difference in this one
18 is - in this boundary here and this boundary here, the
19 purple is the previous iteration. So I removed Maywood
20 and Bell so they could be reunited with the other
21 southeast cities, so removing that population required me
22 to pick up, and I picked up here.

23 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And we do need the legends
24 for these.

25 MS. BOYLE: Oh, yeah. I apologize for the

1 formatting. The first one is the percent deviation, the
2 second one is the Latino CVAP, the third one is the Black
3 CVAP, and the fourth one is the Asian CVAP.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: They're all in CVAPs?

5 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, you're
7 bringing up the one for the Exposition Park?

8 MS. BOYLE: Yes, thank you for pointing that out.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think in this
10 option, we start to see, particularly in the southeast
11 cities where we have very high Latino concentration, the
12 configurations that preserve aspects of the southeast
13 cities together are very reflective of COI that was very
14 consistent testimony from the community about keeping the
15 southeast cities together, and if we do that we do end up
16 with very high concentrations of Latinos, but it is
17 reflective of their desires.

18 MS. BOYLE: So doing that swap required that I do
19 some other swapping.

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The other option with
21 Bellflower included, I don't recall what the Latino CVAP
22 was there, but obviously it was lower than 70, right?

23 MS. BOYLE: It was 64.43 in the previous
24 iteration and, in the current iteration we're looking at,
25 it is 70 percent.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: The problem with the
2 previous one, though, is that we had three districts in
3 this heavily dense Latino area that were under 50
4 percent.

5 MS. BOYLE: By "previous," I meant today's, not
6 last week's, I apologize.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ancheta
8 was keeping track - were you keeping track of that, as
9 well, Commissioner Blanco?

10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, because one of
12 them was about 64 percent, which is the one that is now
13 70, correct, that one.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We have all the
15 economic considerations in these areas, being industrial
16 cities, there's a string of casino, existing or planned
17 casino areas there, so there is a variety of different
18 types of COI testimony that ties the southeast cities
19 together and we've been trying to determine what's the
20 right balance.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So are there any other
22 aspects to this Visualization?

23 MS. BOYLE: In this Visualization, Bellflower is
24 in and, in the previous one, Bellflower was out, and
25 Lakewood was in instead. Here, Lakewood is with Long

1 Beach in this iteration.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is Wilmington also with the
3 Port? I can't see that.

4 MS. BOYLE: Yes, Wilmington was with the Port.
5 One of the problems with this iteration is it splits San
6 Pedro. We also had to adjust and include Lomita and West
7 Carson, or Lomita and part of Harbor Gateway with Long
8 Beach-Carson District, which it was not in the previous
9 iteration.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And that LA-Long Beach
11 District has both Ports again?

12 MS. BOYLE: Yes, it still has both ports, except
13 that it is splitting San Pedro here. This is the
14 previous Visualization's boundary, and this is this
15 Visualization's boundary. Okay, so this is an option for
16 different districts in the South Bay. Now, the third
17 option I want to show you will -- we changed the
18 configurations up here.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, in other words, you
20 didn't sleep?

21 MS. MAC DONALD: No.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If she comes up with
23 three options, we know and understand.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, three options in
25 L.A.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: This is entering
2 the third option now?

3 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so let me back
5 up, then, just those areas in the Downtown area and the
6 south of Downtown area that you highlighted were the
7 changes for Option 2 --

8 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Everything else
10 remained the same in that option. Okay, now this is
11 Option 3.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Just to confirm, you
13 did not change LA Covina for Option 2?

14 MS. BOYLE: I do not believe so.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

16 MS. BOYLE: Okay, so in this iteration, I took
17 Monrovia out and brought Glendora in to the Covina
18 District. Let me get you the labels for this, please
19 bear with me. Okay, so it's 51 percent Latino, which is
20 lower than what it was in the previous iteration, and
21 that's due to the fact that I removed Avocado Heights and
22 instead picked up population from Glendora. So if you
23 can see it, that's this Visualization's district in red
24 with the green boundary. So, the difference is Monrovia
25 was removed, Glendora was added, and Avocado Heights was

1 also removed.

2 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Just remind us why we did
3 Monrovia, Glendora, Avocado switch.

4 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So what it did was it gave me
5 some wiggle room to the north, allowed me to reunite
6 Pasadena whole, and allowed me to undo the Burbank split.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: And Monrovia is now in the
9 Foothills District?

10 MS. BOYLE: Yes. Monrovia moved out, back into
11 the Foothills, and Glendora came into the District. And
12 that allowed me to shift my cluster here more to the
13 northeast, opening up room for shifts. And so that
14 rippled through to here.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And what was the one
16 cost for that on the eastern boundary again, not cost,
17 but what was the -- it was putting - yeah, going there,
18 CVAP was, okay.

19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: But it's still 50.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: But it's still 50, okay.

21 MS. BOYLE: And let's check here, I think there
22 was a change here, it rippled down. Yeah. So we see
23 here Burbank was fixed, this was the previous boundary of
24 the Western San Fernando Valley District, so by taking
25 this out of the Western San Fernando Valley District

1 where it previously was, I had to pick up population, so
2 I went south with it. So this kind of - the down side of
3 this Visualization is it's splitting through the
4 Hollywood's COI three ways. In this Visualization, I
5 also have Miracle Mile with Hancock Park, which is
6 different. You can see the change here. So in this
7 iteration it is with Hancock Park and Brea, and I forget
8 what the other -- there is a community of interest, a
9 Jewish community of interest here, and they have Temples
10 that I had split in the previous iteration, they're now
11 united.

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Park La Brea, you're
13 thinking of.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: These are all what you
15 were calling sort of Option 3.

16 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Variations, okay.

18 MS. BOYLE: Right, so here you can see when I
19 came down, I had to shift further south, so I removed
20 this from the Compton District and moved it north.
21 Another change here is --

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can you slow down a little
23 bit so I can see?

24 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, sure. I apologize.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And move over to the right

1 there a little so I can see the whole district.

2 MS. BOYLE: Which district, sir?

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: The one you were just
4 referring to.

5 MS. BOYLE: This one, or this one?

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, you were just
7 referring to the Miracle Mile. Have you gone to another
8 one?

9 MS. BOYLE: I'm sorry, I'm three districts away
10 now. [Laughter]

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'm on the late freight!
12 I'm trying to see where streets are because this will be
13 the last time I actually --

14 MS. BOYLE: Give me a second, I'll get you a
15 Google Map.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I know that's Wilshire.

17 MS. BOYLE: Can you see our boundary overlaid in
18 Google?

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yes, I do. Okay.

20 MS. BOYLE: So, yes, it's Wilshire Blvd. here.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that's what you
22 took out in this version?

23 MS. BOYLE: Yes, the boundary is now here on San
24 Vicente Blvd. It's a little jagged.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

1 MS. BOYLE: Twelfth Street.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, let me go up.

3 MS. BOYLE: I'm not sure what this boundary is
4 here and this is Country Club Drive.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. That's Rempala, by
6 LA High School. Okay.

7 MS. BOYLE: So this is the boundary and in this
8 option, we're looking at now and this was the boundary
9 you saw in the previous.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: My question is,
11 given my familiarity with this area and the fact that
12 I've lived in Park La Brea, which is just right above
13 that, what caused you to have to go up to the purple line
14 in the other version? Because this may be more
15 consistent with the neighborhood and, of course, there
16 might be other options, this is just one tweak.

17 MS. BOYLE: I think it had to do with the
18 swapping up here and just the total numbers.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I thought we were
20 going to go back to Mono and Inyo, sorry.

21 MS. BOYLE: That is part of the reason there's
22 differences here than what you saw in the beginning of
23 June, because we've changed out our boundaries, and your
24 outer boundaries are hard lines, so they're driving
25 what's happening internally.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And the south
2 boundary for this district, just --

3 MS. BOYLE: Oh, for Clover City, Inglewood, Hyde
4 Park.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
6 Guilio.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just before she does
8 that, I'm just wondering if we could have one set of
9 lines, actually, I'm getting a little confused, too. Is
10 that possible? Or do people feel it's easier to see the
11 old lines?

12 MS. BOYLE: Okay, these are the current, this is
13 the iteration, the option we're looking at now.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That we're discussing,
15 okay, thank you.

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would just like to keep
17 moving through the whole view before we start getting
18 into streets.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Go ahead, you can
20 pick up where you left off.

21 MS. BOYLE: Okay, well, can I put the lines back
22 so I can tell you? And I'll turn them off. So then it
23 rippled down here to the Inglewood District, so we had to
24 swap. We picked up Alondra Park in this iteration, see
25 that? And we removed something. I'm uncertain what now.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think you shaved
2 off a bit of the northwest side.

3 MS. BOYLE: Okay, I see. Little changes. The
4 red area is this iteration's district. And then there
5 are little swaps over here in the Compton District. So
6 the red district is this visualization, purple underneath
7 is the previous, so this was shifted south, so this was
8 removed and, instead, this was picked up. Lomita was
9 picked up, West Carson was picked up, the Harbor Gateway
10 was picked up, Vermont Vista, Century Palms, Cove, was
11 removed. And then, down to here, so now we're different
12 in Long Beach. We have Lakewood and Hawaiian Gardens,
13 whereas we previously were not in this iteration, and in
14 this iteration, we have the Ports in different districts.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I ask a
16 question about the Ports, actually, because in reviewing
17 my notes from the three options, am I correct in saying
18 that the Compton Carson corridor in none of the
19 iterations are actually connected with the Port?

20 MS. BOYLE: Correct. This option, in terms of
21 the Ports, this is different, it has the ports in
22 different districts. The previous two that you saw had
23 them in the same and they have them with Long Beach.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And can I just refresh
25 your memory, I think we had this discussion, I've seen in

1 all three Visualizations that we've attached the airport,
2 going east-west, and that was a decision we made, that
3 was what we agreed, and the choice was either airport or
4 ports, it wasn't both. Is that correct?

5 MS. BOYLE: I can't recall the fine details of
6 it, but Westchester, I took to be a hard line, and I
7 tried very -- I kept it in with Inglewood through all
8 iterations. Removing that constraint would change the
9 district.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So I think that was what
11 we had realized, we had that discussion, I think, you had
12 worked on that earlier, so had known that that was the
13 choice, it was one or the other, it wasn't both.

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: When we finish looking at
15 all of them, I think we're going to have to talk about
16 that Westchester area because we've received a lot of
17 public testimony, but let's finish with what we're
18 looking at.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other highlights
20 for this option that you'd like to note some changes?

21 MS. BOYLE: That is all.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Can I see the Whittier
23 district?

24 MS. BOYLE: Yes.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: You know, just the

1 remainder of these because we didn't finish them.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: Just a question before you
3 leave this area. Long Beach, is that again just split
4 twice?

5 MS. BOYLE: It's split three times if you're
6 counting the Compton Triangle, it's 11,000 people, and I
7 could reduce it to three. But allowing for the split of
8 Long Beach is why these Visualizations look much
9 different than what you saw in June. I didn't do any
10 North Long Beach splits in most of those Visualizations
11 because I took splitting Long Beach to be a hard line.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So if we could look at
13 Whittier and Norwalk.

14 MS. BOYLE: So it did change in this iteration,
15 it picked up Bellflower and removed Lakewood and Hawaiian
16 Gardens. The red area is this iteration's district. It
17 picked up more of Pico Rivera, you can see the split
18 deepened, and it picked up Bellflower, and it removed
19 Lakewood and Hawaiian Gardens, which are now with Long
20 Beach. It has a 52 percent Latino CVAP.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just so we can get a
22 better idea with all the hard work that you've done on
23 these options, is it that you're basically seeking
24 direction of the Commission on which option would be our
25 choice at this point and there's not much interplay, or

1 is there, between these options?

2 MS. BOYLE: There is some interplay, but
3 different things get broken and can be preserved in the
4 different combinations. Like, here, you see that we were
5 able to separate the Port and we were able to avoid
6 splits of Pasadena and Burbank, however, the communities
7 that were in the Foothill District are different
8 combinations. There are some tradeoffs internally in
9 Downtown LA, one iteration has Park in the Central Park
10 area north of Downtown, and in another iteration it does
11 not. So there are some small differences. Does that
12 answer your question?

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It does. And for
14 the direction that would be providing to you, it is to
15 take a look at probably, in the greater scheme of things,
16 which option likely represents our best understanding of
17 the region for LA, and then if we could work on some of
18 these nuances that might have been a better capture. So
19 I'm just trying to -- because I can see we might be
20 switching between options here and so I just want to be
21 able to assist the Commission in driving or figuring out
22 which one might be best.

23 MS. BOYLE: Sure. What might help is if you
24 establish priorities, or the hard lines that are most
25 important to you, or the COIs that you feel most need to

1 be preserved, and then I can methodically work down and
2 say, "I could do A and B, but not A, B and C." "I can do
3 A, but nothing combined with A, but I could do B, C and
4 D."

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Does everybody
6 understand that? So, for instance, as an example, out of
7 the three options, only one of them had the ports
8 separate, so if the ports being separated at an Assembly
9 level, you know, that could dictate which option we would
10 be going for, as an example.

11 MS. BOYLE: And Long Beach-OC line, splitting
12 Long Beach and how many splits you're comfortable with,
13 the splits of Pasadena and Burbank, the configurations in
14 West LA, like splitting the Hollywood COI seems to be
15 affected by some of these small changes. The Hollywood
16 COI has about 200,000 people, so it seems to get in the
17 way a lot.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, and maybe some
19 of the numbers that we're seeing that vary among the
20 districts, which might be more of a discussion. Okay, so
21 that's the gist on making a decision with these three
22 options. I wanted to take a break at 4:30, we obviously
23 see that we'll need to move into tomorrow, and we may
24 need to make travel and hotel arrangements, so we've got
25 10 minutes, at least until the 4:30 mark. Commissioner

1 Barabba.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: This is related to a
3 broader question, but I misspoke about Silver Lake
4 because Silver Lake still goes to East LA and it has so
5 much more in common with the areas adjacent to it, and I
6 don't know what that does, but I would hope it could at
7 least be addressed. If that is a big ripple problem, it
8 would be at least worth looking at.

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: The area that Commissioner
10 Barabba is talking about, Silver Lake, I think I sort of
11 missed that.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So we go up to the
13 north where it says -- just above East LA. You're
14 talking about the district that had East LA and Silver
15 Lake.

16 MS. MAC DONALD: You know what? I'm going to
17 wait until Nicole comes back before I touch this map.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just wondering if
19 maybe, before we start getting into some of the changes,
20 maybe we could just take an early break?

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, we can take
22 the break right now.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, since Nicole --
24 then we can make it a 10-minute break?

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, we'll do 10

1 minutes, we'll do a recess at this point. Thank you.

2 (Recess at 4:24 p.m.)

3 (Reconvene at 4:34 p.m.)

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you very much,
5 we are resuming the meeting of the Citizens Redistricting
6 Commission. I certainly appreciate the public's patience
7 with us trying to call travel companies and hotel rooms
8 and get everything scheduled, it takes a little bit of
9 time. So we are resuming our review of the Assembly
10 Districts in Los Angeles and we have gone through three
11 options, and Ms. Boyle and Ms. Mac Donald have asked for
12 the Commission to identify those particular visions, I
13 guess, that would not likely change. For instance, I
14 gave the example of the Ports; in two versions, the Ports
15 were together, and then, in the third version, the Ports
16 were separate. If we have an opinion regarding that,
17 then that could work up north and may play into certain
18 versions that we're looking at and then we can look at
19 some nuances between the different versions to the extent
20 people feel that it's more consistent with community of
21 interest input testimony that we received. So, based on
22 the versions that we've seen, does anyone want to maybe
23 start at the ports and take a look at those versions?
24 Our discussion previously has been looking at the ports
25 and whether they would be -- and we're at the Assembly

240

1 level here -- we've had discussions about not putting
2 them together, we've had discussions with Compton-Carson
3 going down to the ports. This version here separates
4 them -- or, no, puts them together, I'm sorry. Option 1
5 puts them together, Option 3 separates Long Beach Port
6 from the LA Port. Is that correct?

7 MS. BOYLE: Yes, that's correct. Commissioner
8 Barabba.

9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: My impression is that the
10 comments were that these three district options could be
11 mixed and matched, we weren't locked into the
12 repercussions of each of them.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, that's why,
14 if we find out which is a hard line, then we could go
15 from there.

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: To me, the option 3, the
17 business of keeping -- avoiding the splits of Pasadena
18 and Burbank at the very low cost of adding one community
19 to another, that sounded like an important aspect, that
20 doesn't necessarily have to have the big ripple effect
21 the way she had worked it out, but we avoid that whole
22 problem in Burbank and in Pasadena, and it seemed to me
23 that option was really quite good.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other thoughts?

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And that's Option

1 3, Commissioner Barabba?

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Option 3 does not
3 split Pasadena and Burbank and we have the Ports
4 separated again, in this option.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So, as a starting point,
6 should we say -- I'm just trying to think of a strategy
7 here since we have three options -- should we start with
8 this option and we see some of the benefits, are there
9 people -- then, should we ask people those areas of
10 concern and see if they can be addressed in this
11 Visualization and, if not, we may have to go to another
12 one? I'm just looking for a strategy on how to -- so
13 we're not going between a lot of them.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct. The
15 strategy, Ms. Boyle, do you want to give another
16 highlight of this, which works for you? Because she had
17 outlined it before, but go ahead.

18 MS. BOYLE: So maybe we can start getting
19 somewhere if we start setting some hard lines, like
20 districts we like, that can be a hard line, concepts that
21 we like, like keeping the OC-Long Beach border, keeping
22 the ports separate, keeping Westchester with Inglewood,
23 these are all kind of pivot points of which I can map
24 around. If the Commission can kind of determine -- set
25 their priorities.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, so what I
2 was saying is that I like Option 3 here. It puts the
3 Long Beach Port with Long Beach, it keeps the Orange
4 County line solid, it respects the Torrance area, it
5 respects the airport with Inglewood. I think this might
6 be a good solution to that. I see a couple of nuances
7 that we might work on, but as far as three big things
8 that seemed to be consistent with the community of
9 interest testimony, that's why I kind of like Option 3.
10 So I'll take further comments. Commissioner Ancheta,
11 then Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mine is actually more
13 of a general concern that applies to all options, which
14 is a couple of the districts I'm concerned about over-
15 concentration of the Latino population.

16 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, me too.

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: In this particular
18 option, for example, LASGL is at 71.1 percent Latino
19 CVAP. I think in other options it goes from 70.76 and
20 then the first option is 64.43, that one, and then in the
21 LAPRW District, somewhere, that's always up around 59
22 percent Latino CVAP. But I'm more concerned about the
23 LASGL, that's quite high.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, so do you think we can
25 pick this as a starting point and swap some cities in and

1 out that are consistent with COI that will reduce that
2 over-concentration?

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I do have people
4 lined up here, so I'll put you next in order.
5 Commissioner Galambos Malloy and then there was
6 Commissioner Parvenu.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'll pass.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I also favored
9 Options 3 and Options 1. I think they both have some
10 advantages. In Option 1, some of my concerns were around
11 the Pasadena split, which I did hold some possibility
12 that we might be able to remedy that if we focused in on
13 the area more closely, and then we had a split in Pico
14 Rivera, which I don't think we had much detail on. I'd
15 like to know a little bit more about that split.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
17 Guilio.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: One more thing,
19 let me just say just as one of the regional folks for the
20 San Gabriel Option 3, I think it addresses, as
21 Commissioner Barabba references, what we thought was the
22 best solution in terms of Burbank and Glendale being
23 together and being whole, and then Pasadena being whole
24 in a separate district, and then having some of their
25 sister cities connected with them. So those are the two

1 I felt more comfortable with, I do share some of the same
2 concerns about over-concentration.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
4 Guilio.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think we all recognize
6 that that's the problem, so we have to work on it. I
7 would be curious before we really start talking ourselves
8 about where to swap, I'd like to get Ms. Boyle's
9 perspective on how much flexibility, if we kept the outer
10 frame, how much flexibility we had in the inner to
11 address those high numbers, the concentrations of
12 Latinos, if that's even possible for us to do?

13 MS. BOYLE: We can swap them around. Previously,
14 when we tried to kind of average out these numbers so we
15 don't have one high, one low, we get these sort of east-
16 west configurations that kind of lay through here because
17 this is the heart of the community here, so you kind of
18 splay outward from it, and that averages -- it kind of
19 more evenly distributes the LCVAP through the districts.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So maybe with that, you
21 could let us know. And I know we've given you some --

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So you lose compactness.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yes, some hard lines in
24 terms of where we've said things about hard lines and
25 those COIs that would break some maybe as we go through,

1 you could just point us what our options are and what the
2 tradeoffs would be, because we see what the tradeoffs are
3 here, or the issues are here, and what the issues would
4 be if we did it in a different tradeoff.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, just a big picture on
7 Option 1, I had a lot of problems with the LA Downey-
8 Norwalk District because it now, in this Visualization,
9 includes Artesia and Cerritos, and I don't think we heard
10 anything about that in any of the testimony either before
11 or after the first set of maps that Artesia and Cerritos
12 would belong in that corridor, so I think that, if
13 anything, they've been going back and forth between other
14 districts, but never there in terms of anybody's
15 testimony, so I think that's a problem.

16 MS. BOYLE: It's possible to do a swap with
17 Alex's region to pick up La Habra and to give her
18 Cerritos and Artesia.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: And there is definitely
20 testimony linking Cerritos and Artesia to North Orange
21 County.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So what would go into that
23 District and into the Downey-Norwalk?

24 MS. BOYLE: Well, if we removed Artesia and
25 Cerritos, I would probably try to pick back up Bellflower

1 and it would shift northward since we would be picking up
2 La Habra and there would be extra population, so we would
3 shift the line over to pick it up, maybe South Whittier,
4 maybe more of Pico Rivera. If we bring La Habra in, then
5 we can take out more of Pico Rivera. Does that make
6 sense? We can do a lot of different things if we're
7 willing to do more splits. These Visualizations are
8 really trying to minimize the city splits as much as
9 possible. If we want to really equalize population, we
10 can do some splits like the Unity Map, how it splits East
11 LA, that's an approach that I saw repeated throughout
12 different Visualizations from the public.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just in looking that
14 you might have another over-concentration problem that we
15 looked at before, so as far as the queue, I have
16 Commissioner Yao --

17 COMMISSIOENR BLANCO: Where is that? Oh, in --

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: If you're taking out
19 Cerritos and Artesia, she's going to pull the population
20 back from La Habra, but then also the South Whittier and
21 La Mirada.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Right now, that's only 47,
23 though, it's below 50 percent CVAP, that district with
24 Cerritos and Artesia in it.

25 MS. BOYLE: Just a minute, I have competing

1 labels. Let's figure out which is which. So this is the
2 first iteration you saw and this has it only at 47.

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, that's a problem in
4 that area.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: The suggestion of moving --

6 MS. BOYLE: That's Option 3.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, the Artesia is in
8 Option 1.

9 MS. BOYLE: I think it's in all of these options
10 today. That's where my boundary is currently with Orange
11 County, but like I said, it's possible to do swaps across
12 the county for some COI testimony like La Habra,
13 Cerritos, and Artesia.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao.

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, while the thought of
16 moving Cerritos and Artesia into Orange County is perhaps
17 acceptable, I think we received comments after the first
18 draft that that definitely is not a preferred option.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba
20 and then --

21 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, one of the other
22 alternatives relative to city boundaries, if it makes it
23 easier for you, is to look at neighborhood boundaries
24 because that would be another reflection of communities
25 of interest.

1 MS. BOYLE: Pardon me, neighborhood boundaries
2 within these individual cities?

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah. Or, if you found it
4 was tough to stay within a city, if you could stay within
5 a neighborhood, that would give us another sense of
6 communities of interest.

7 MS. BOYLE: It would. Sometimes neighborhood
8 layers are difficult to access, though. But it would be
9 a good way to do it.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, just a general
12 comment, as we move population around or consider moving
13 communities around, I wouldn't want us to over-populate a
14 district that we would need to use from another district,
15 for example, the LADNN is now basically 50 percent. I
16 would hope that, if we're going to increase the Latino
17 population in that district, we would come from the LASGL
18 because that's the one that is most impacted, and I
19 wouldn't want to use up the capacity of LADNN to absorb a
20 bigger Latino population by coming from even further to
21 the east. I think we would want to come from the Central
22 District. So, as a general rule, I would encourage us
23 not to over-pack something from an area that doesn't need
24 to be reduced.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And that certainly

1 can be a general instruction. Commissioner Ward.

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: I just, when we're talking
3 about going over the county line, I want to put a bid in
4 to obviously keep that hard -- we've got three well
5 thought out options for how to deal with LA without
6 crossing, you know, an equal criteria of county line, so
7 I think there would have to be some pretty good
8 foundation to do that, given that we have so many options
9 without doing that.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I actually thought the
12 Artesia, Cerritos, La Palma, and Buena Park COI was
13 actually a really compelling one to cross county lines,
14 so I think we pretty much have been told there is a hard
15 -- people prefer a hard county line for Long Beach, but
16 as you go north along this border, we got permission
17 there, we got permission in the four corners areas, so
18 again, I think that's equal with the county line.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco.

20 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, I expressed my concern
21 about the second which apparently is repeated, I hadn't
22 caught that, but Artesia and Cerritos were in all the
23 Visualizations. In the third one, I have a problem with
24 the fact that the LASGL, which is a lot of the southeast
25 cities, that long corridor, is at 71 percent, and then

1 right next to it in the LA West, you know, the Compton,
2 the Willowbrook, Carson-Compton District, all of a sudden
3 the Latino CVAP drops to 34 --

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thirty-eight.

5 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: -- 38. So that seems to me
6 like a natural to unpack and put more population in this
7 district to the west.

8 MS. BOYLE: Into the Compton-Carson district?

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Correct.

10 MS. BOYLE: For instance, you would like to
11 possibly see Linwood in there?

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We had heard testimony
13 that, I remember specifically I asked some of the folks
14 from the southeast cities, I said, "What about Linwood,
15 and they said, "Oh, Linwood could really go either way,
16 it's actually traditionally sort of more in the Compton-
17 Carson area." So I think Linwood could be it.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Commissioner
19 Di Guilio.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Oh, I think it was just
21 a comment to the county line. I just think in these
22 areas, we had some of those hard lines with San Diego and
23 Riverside and we had to break those, had some hard lines
24 in Ventura, in LA, and we've been breaking county lines
25 all over, particularly densely populated, urban areas, we

1 just -- I think we have to just be as respectful of those
2 lines as we can, but even things in terms of, as Ms.
3 Boyle was saying, even the considerations for City
4 splits, again, it's a continual balancing act.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
6 Galambos Malloy, and then I want to ask a few questions
7 about our choices here and direction to provide.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I please see
9 the numbers, the stats for the Harvard Park District,
10 Vermont Knolls, that whole area?

11 MS. BOYLE: Its' 43 percent Latino CVAP, 48
12 percent Black CVAP, 2 percent Asian CVAP. Pulling in
13 Walnut Park would increase it. But, again, this
14 Visualization here attempted to keep the southeast cities
15 in one district. With permission, we can split up that
16 COI and that would probably help lower the -- it would
17 help lower the Latino CVAP in the LASGL District, and
18 raise it in the surrounding.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do I get the general
20 impression that this Commission likes Option 3 and then
21 we can provide direction for these nuances, rather than
22 flipping from options? I hear most everybody seems to
23 like Option 3, and then we can work on these nuances and
24 provide direction, and then move forward to SDs. We've
25 got an hour and a half. Commissioner Blanco.

1 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would be remiss if I
2 didn't point out that, in all three options, we've split
3 up the coastal corridor by going all the way out to the
4 coast, from Westchester out to the coast. And we have
5 significant testimony, particularly over the last couple
6 of days. But actually it's been, all along, but we've
7 got significant testimony saying that the beach
8 communities should not be split and that they do not feel
9 that the coastal areas of Westchester and El Segundo do
10 not belong with the district going east, and I think we
11 have to acknowledge that we have a lot of public comment,
12 and we've had it all along about that area.

13 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Let me just jump in
14 real quick on the queue because I'm not talking that much
15 about it, but there was also equal testimony that
16 Westchester, in one of our iterations, I believe, we had
17 Santa Monica in there, and what we're also getting from
18 Westchester is connections with the Playa Vista and Playa
19 Del Rey, which is connected here, and that respects that
20 COI. They've also made it very clear when we had Santa
21 Monica in there that they are considered South Bay. I
22 know that there was some testimony on not going to the
23 east, but they are on the coast and I think that some of
24 the testimony that I interpreted as they just didn't want
25 to necessarily be with Santa Monica because Westchester

1 and El Segundo consider themselves South Bay areas, so...

2 Whose hands did I see? Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I had similar
4 observations, I think this is an area where we do have
5 competing COI, so essentially we have to make the call
6 there. How I read the COI is that, from earlier
7 iterations of our maps, to create a coastal district that
8 includes Venice and Santa Monica was too long of a
9 stretch, that was very clear from both ends. The second
10 piece is that Westchester could go with the Inglewood
11 District, Westchester could also go with the South Bay
12 Districts, but at a minimum, Westchester needs to be
13 linked with Playa Del Rey and the other -- there's two
14 Playas -- Playa Vista and Playa Del Rey, so they are a
15 unit. I think of Westchester, Playa Del Rey and Playa
16 Vista as a unit, so we need to figure out what to do with
17 that unit, but I did they there was conflicting COI, it's
18 not all "send it down to the South Bay," and it's not all
19 "send it to Inglewood."

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
21 Guilio.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm just curious to ask
23 Ms. Boyle when she has a second, so here I'm sure you
24 built a lot of these three Visualizations all with this
25 as kind of one of those hard lines, so if we're -- I just

1 want to make sure we check in with our Mapper because, if
2 we change this, I want to make sure it's not rippling
3 around so much that the integrity of this, you know, we
4 never gave her this option, so we're basically asking her
5 to re-map a fourth time, I want to make sure it's not
6 that drastic, so can you see if we do something in terms
7 of moving this area around, possibly linking it up as a
8 unit, as Commissioner Galambos Malloy said, Playa Del
9 Rey, Playa Vista, Westchester, if it were to go south,
10 what that creates in other areas.

11 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So, most of the Playa Del Reys
12 and the Playa Vistas are united in this district with
13 Westchester. We have Del Rey here, Playa Vista, Venice.
14 It seems to be only Mar Vista, and I think there are
15 about 30,000 to 40,000 people in here that aren't
16 included with Westchester, but Playa Vista and Play Del
17 Rey both are.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think the question was
19 whether those groupings, then, break from Inglewood and
20 go south along the coast, what happens then?

21 MS. BOYLE: So the desire is to actually separate
22 Westchester from Inglewood, not to try to do both, not to
23 try to satisfy both in this case?

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Correct. Right now, the
25 option is we link them, and we can see how that builds

1 out; if we separate them, just on kind of what you know
2 in this area, what are the ripple effects?

3 MS. BOYLE: Sure, let's zoom out. So, if we want
4 to separate them, we have to find a home for them, so
5 that would mean combining, like hybridizing, these are
6 two complete districts, so we could hybridize them into
7 one. We can't really turn -- it's kind of difficult to
8 turn population through this configuration here, but what
9 could be done is we could grab Mar Vista and combine it
10 with this to the south, and then combine this with parts
11 to the north, but we would get a little bit of a wrap,
12 you would have this longer district stretching up to Mar
13 Vista from Redondo Beach up the coast, and then, to make
14 up for population we'd have to, from adding to here, we
15 have to go south, probably pick up Gardena, and do a
16 split through Torrance. I'm finding the split with
17 Rosencrantz to preserve the historical Japanese CIO is
18 easier to manage than trying to figure out how to split
19 it to preserve it in Torrance. But that's an option, so
20 we could go up the coast and then come back down here.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And just to make a
22 quick note, if anybody is looking at it, we did have this
23 district, it was the Palos Verdes, east beach cities in
24 our first Draft Map that essentially went from underneath
25 Marina Del Rey, so there was a little bit of split on the

1 Playa Vista and Del Rey, and it went all the way down and
2 included Torrance. And so, in all of our iterations, I
3 went back through and looked at this issue for the
4 airport, all of our visualizations, iterations, prior to
5 the Draft Map included the airport in the coastal
6 district in South Bay through Palos Verdes. And so we
7 got to this separation based on the post-Draft Map input
8 testimony. Commissioner Parvenu, and then Commissioner
9 Yao.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I've heard the testimony
11 from my colleagues before and I have to respectfully
12 disagree with what I've heard. The reason this Assembly
13 District is appealing to me, as well as others, is that
14 you do have a connection there with Playa Vista and Playa
15 Del Rey with the Marina and Westchester, that is a COI,
16 of course, and you have the Bellona Wetlands, which is
17 mixed with Dockweiler State Beach, there are some
18 endangered species along that coast, there is the blue
19 butterfly or something, and there is the Savannah
20 something or other [Laughter], you know, as a part of the
21 Coastal Condition and Protection Commission or something,
22 I don't know, I think there are some frogs or something
23 down there, but anyway, the point being is that there is
24 a very strong east-west connection, as was stated before,
25 Westchester and Inglewood have a Chamber of Commerce and

1 other activities that connects those two communities
2 together. El Segundo also, there is that east-west
3 corridor along Imperial, El Segundo Blvd., that links
4 what we call the Aviation Corridor, to places to the
5 east, Hawthorne and Hawthorne Airport, their reasons,
6 there are certain commonalities that exist in that area,
7 and to say that Inglewood and Westchester are not linked
8 or not sister cities, I think, is not accurate. But I
9 did -- I hear what is being said, and I know what is
10 being thought of here, but I see the ripple effect of
11 this going south and creating a southern connection with
12 the South Bay Cities, and the ripple effect will actually
13 ripple through the central area of the city, the core of
14 Los Angeles, and tear those districts that we currently
15 see asunder. And the testimony that we have from the --
16 again, I have to refer back to the Unit Map in this case
17 -- has a configuration very similar to what we have here,
18 and they've spent a lot of time and a lot of energy, and
19 preparation to present this material to us, and for us
20 not to take their work into consideration, I think, would
21 be not a good option. So those are my thoughts, I know
22 I'm outnumbered, but those are my thoughts about keeping
23 that Assembly District close to what we see there now.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, just two comments. In

1 terms of separating El Segundo and Inglewood from
2 Westchester, the impact is that you basically have to
3 roll that population all along the coast and might end up
4 having to put some of Long Beach into Orange County, and
5 that was what we had discussed before. Secondly is that
6 the freeway on 105, doesn't show up on this set of
7 software, but it actually goes right along the Imperial
8 Highway and ends in the City of El Segundo, and all this
9 traffic then goes north on Sepulveda onto the freeway,
10 that's where most of the airport traffic comes from, and
11 going into the airport. So, El Segundo really see
12 themselves as part of the airport community, even though
13 they also recognize that they're part of the South Bay.
14 So, including El Segundo as part of the so-called
15 "Airport District," I don't think, is totally out of
16 line.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I have Commissioner
18 -- I do want to get us to some direction -- but
19 Commissioner Blanco, Commissioner Dai, and then
20 Commissioner Barabba.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So I went back, I was
22 looking at a lot of the more recent emails about this
23 area and, actually, I stand corrected, the barrage of
24 emails that came in were actually directed at a
25 Visualization that people had seen last time, where we

1 had that sliver that went through, and so it sort of
2 jumped from Playa Vista, Venice, and then there was this
3 little tiny corner, and then it went down and picked up,
4 and that's actually what a lot of the testimony was that
5 was saying, "What is this? How come you're disconnecting
6 us?" And so I think that, now that we've actually got
7 the communities that they were concerned about connected,
8 that you've got Playa Vista, and Playa Del Rey, Venice,
9 and El Segundo, which was mentioned a lot in those. The
10 critique of the sliver mentioned El Segundo being out of
11 that district, so I think this is much more
12 representative of what people sent along together.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Thank you, Commissioner.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, we'll get
15 three more and then we'll give some direction.
16 Commissioner Dai.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I was just going to
18 comment, I think the only difference in the Unity lines
19 is that they do put El Segundo as part of the South Bay,
20 that was the testimony I had heard before, but
21 Commissioner Yao is indicating they also consider
22 themselves to be part of the Airport District, so it
23 sounds like they could go either way. But in the Unity
24 Map, they did split off Del Rey and I think we've been
25 able to maintain them as a part of this district.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

2 Barabba.

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: My point has been covered.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

5 Galambos Malloy.

6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The only slight
7 change that I might suggest was that we think about
8 orienting Venice towards the north. I think, in many of
9 the public comments we received, Santa Monica and Venice
10 have been referenced in the same breath, no matter which
11 direction folks are talking about orienting them, so much
12 as we're thinking of Westchester, Playa Del Rey and Playa
13 Vista as a unit, I would like to see Santa Monica and
14 Venice as a unit, and in this case, I think it makes
15 sense to orient them northward as coastal cities.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so again,
17 we're at Option 3 that appears to be consistent, can we
18 provide some direction to Ms. Boyle, that might be one
19 option, and then maybe she could point out, if that is an
20 option to consider taking Venice out, where we would
21 consider getting population. And, likely, it might --
22 well, again, I think we're going to get into some
23 concentration issues when we go further east, but... So,
24 I guess looking at this area of this district, based on
25 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, unless anybody has any

1 significant opposition to that, I'm familiar with Venice
2 and Santa Monica, so if we had to consider maybe looking
3 at taking Venice out of this one, where we would get
4 additional population.

5 MS. BOYLE: One ripple effect, moving Venice with
6 Santa Monica would add population to this district, which
7 would have to be removed, and the least complicated way
8 to do it is to rotate it through this district, which
9 means I would be picking back up Miracle Mile and
10 separating it from Hancock Park. And Venice, I included
11 it here in this Visualization because I thought it was
12 part of the Marina, Playa Del Rey, Playa Vista, Del Rey,
13 Mar Vista COI. But it could be done, but like I said, I
14 have to shift up somewhere. Another shift would be to go
15 ahead and go and pick up all of Westwood in exchange in
16 here, so I added population here and I push up here and
17 then I take out here. Does that make sense?

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Given those two choices, I
19 would do the Miracle Mile. I think Westwood belongs in
20 the west district there.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I agree. I think
22 that the COI testimony for Venice has not been as strong,
23 or I think there's more flexibility about where Venice
24 associates it with than I would see with Miracle Mile, so
25 I would say let's leave good enough alone.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, leave
2 good enough alone and put the Venice district in there?

3 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Because, again, my
5 familiarity with the Miracle Mile, separating it more
6 from Hancock Park, this line rather than the other option
7 seems to be consistent with my familiarity of the area.
8 Okay, now moving back -- again, we're looking at nuances
9 to provide direction off of this Option 3. So based on
10 your notes earlier, Commissioner Blanco, did you have
11 some issues, or Commissioner Ancheta, on maybe working
12 out on some of these numbers, to provide some general
13 direction?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: My main concern, so in
15 Option 3, which seems to be the most, you know,
16 accomplishes a lot of things, I had the suggestion -- I
17 don't like the adjoining districts where one has 71
18 percent Latino CVAP and the one next door, Compton, has
19 38, and we looked at the possibility, we were going to
20 look at the possibility of putting Linwood -- so other
21 than that, I mean, that is my major concern there.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Boyle has a good
23 handle on the community of interest testimony that we
24 have in this, at least I have confidence in it. Is it a
25 good idea to provide general direction to at least look

1 at the Linwood to the Compton to the LAWBC, and to
2 balance out some of the numbers there to avoid over-
3 concentration, yet still being consistent with the
4 community of interest testimony? Is that sufficient
5 direction for you? Or do you need something more
6 specific?

7 MS. BOYLE: It is. It's going to be a little
8 difficult. If you look, moving Linwood in cuts off its
9 connection with Paramount and North Long Beach, so we'll
10 have a contiguity issue, which means kind of going around
11 them to complete the district, so it would be a
12 significant reconfiguration, which might perturb this
13 boundary here that we've established as being kind of
14 separating all these cities here going east, whereas
15 these areas go west is what I keep hearing. And it may
16 also perturb this line, as well. I will explore it, but
17 it will also separate the southeast cities from
18 themselves, where in here they are fairly whole. So with
19 the Commission's permission to perturb those boundaries,
20 I can look at it.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: What about Paramount as an
22 option? Is that better?

23 MS. BOYLE: Into the Compton-Carson District?

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, we've also heard about
25 Paramount.

1 MS. BOYLE: So, in this case, it's also attached
2 to North Long Beach and picking up more of -- so if we
3 kind of cut it off, then maybe this would have to go back
4 into this district, which would pull this line back out
5 of Long Beach, possibly putting the Long Beach port with
6 Palos Verdes. So this is kind of sticky here.

7 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think that, to keep the
8 southeast cities all together in one Assembly is a feat,
9 you know, because there are a lot of cities in there and
10 it is possible that we will have them together in another
11 either Congressional or Senate, so that doesn't trouble
12 me as much, and I've seen other maps submitted by a lot
13 of organizations that really sort of take that into
14 account and don't keep them all together. I'm more
15 concerned about your thoughts about how difficult it is
16 going to be, but I'm not so concerned about in an
17 Assembly District not having all of them together.

18 MS. BOYLE: Sure. What's giving me a little hope
19 is, if something happens here with Artesia and Cerritos,
20 and we move it, it would change what's possible here. So
21 maybe that would be possible with a swap with Orange
22 County. So I'll look very closely at that.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward.

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm a little unclear as to
25 some of the VRA requirements. I was just thinking, with

1 Mr. Brown here, if it's not possible, if the Mappers are
2 unable to make much of a difference in that LCVAP, what
3 his opinion is of that district.

4 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: My view would be that it's a
5 little over-concentrated, so efforts should be made to do
6 what's being suggested.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So the general
8 direction based on -- we've provided this earlier at the
9 pre-Draft Map stage, which is to weaken the border
10 between Orange County and Los Angeles at the Cerritos
11 Artesia, based on the community of interest testimony,
12 which would free you up to take a look at the over-
13 concentration issue in LASGL, and work your magic.
14 Commissioner Malloy and then Commissioner Forbes.

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Just a follow-up
16 question for Mr. Brown. This area, we had very clear and
17 consistent testimony from the communities of the
18 southeast cities that they wanted to be maintained whole
19 and together, and the impact of doing that now, we see,
20 is this concentration. So, even in the face of that COI,
21 that the local community is describing themselves in
22 these terms, not necessarily along race or ethnic lines,
23 but because of various economic issues they have there,
24 you would still suggest that this is over-concentrated?

25 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: I would lean that way, yes.

1 As with many things, the Commission is trying to balance
2 a variety of constraints and make decisions. I think
3 that would be a choice you could easily make.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes,
5 sorry.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, my just brief comment
7 is that I'm concerned that, if you move Cerritos and
8 Artesia into Orange County, that's the part of that
9 district that dilutes the Latino population, and if you
10 take out that part of the population, the Latino
11 percentage is going to go up, and so I think you need to
12 find a way to move the Latino population out of the
13 LASGL, perhaps into the Lakewood area, go straight south.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, my only
15 concern about that is this is where we have this balance
16 between community of interest testimony which is Cerritos
17 and Artesia, that is close with the Orange County, and
18 the realization, given that Mr. Brown is here, is that we
19 have, you know, a concentration of Latinos and they live
20 together in these districts, and it's not as if we are
21 over-concentrating these districts intentionally, this is
22 where they live. And then Commissioner Blanco had
23 already commented earlier when we were looking at some of
24 the Visualizations last week about Lakewood, and so what
25 you're suggesting is that we're going to try and less

1 concentrate these districts by putting cities in there
2 that are inconsistent with the community of interest
3 testimony. So, I certainly recognize Commissioner
4 Forbes' point, and I'm glad Mr. Brown is here, if you
5 wanted to comment on that because this is what we see and
6 what we have consistently been struggling with in this
7 area, and yet these are the living arrangements that
8 exist here, and there's no intentional conduct, we've
9 really been working here and struggling with that.
10 Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, just to
12 remind the Commission, that not so long ago, we went
13 through the exercise of intentionally looking at areas of
14 over-concentration, really, in this core of LA and
15 options for de-concentrating it, and I think what we saw
16 from having gone through that exercise was the dramatic
17 impacts of bringing those percentages down significantly
18 and what that did to the rest of the LA region. And so I
19 think that the answer may lie somewhere in the middle,
20 but when I look at how concentrated we are in this
21 central area, it does feel like, to me, that we are going
22 to have potentially one district, maybe even two, that
23 are a bit more concentrated than we would prefer, but
24 where we're really hemmed in on all sides.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Any other general --

1 Commissioner Raya.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Just to say that, you know,
3 if our choice is between over-concentration that we
4 really don't have any control over vs. putting people in
5 with communities that are not like-minded, I say we just
6 live with what we have.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
8 Ancheta.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I want to raise a
10 legal point, which is that if you look at the history of
11 desegregation litigation, whether it's voting, or in
12 other areas, the Supreme Court has made clear that, if
13 you know that a place is segregated, and you try to
14 maintain it, notwithstanding the fact that it's packed in
15 like this, you could still have intentional segregation.
16 So, we know a lot about these areas and, to the extent
17 that, again, this is hard because it also aligns with
18 testimony, too, but there are potential intentional
19 discrimination claims that could arise by giving effect
20 to what are both self-segregators, self-designated
21 cities, but also segregated neighborhoods. So it's not
22 an easy thing to resolve, but there are other sources of
23 liability I think we have to be concerned about.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, well, could I ask a
25 question in response to that. What we're trying to

1 accomplish here is to make sure that people are able to
2 elect representatives who will represent them
3 effectively. And we're not creating any of these
4 circumstances, I mean, none of us would be of a mind to
5 over- -- to deliberately put people into, you know, a
6 very compact area. So, I mean, I guess I'm just having a
7 hard time getting my mind around the idea that somehow we
8 would be responsible for a discrimination claim when, in
9 effect, I mean, if these were the districts, you know,
10 people might in fact have an opportunity to elect. You
11 know, I'm just not real clear on that.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And, again, but the
13 alternative is we can draw other districts that wouldn't
14 do that, right? And, again, there are multiple -- there
15 is some testimony specifically looking at Section 2
16 rationales and we're trying to cover all bases as best we
17 can, but I would have a concern about just sort of
18 saying, "Well, that's the way they are," frankly.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco
20 and then Commissioner Yao.

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So just -- it's related --
22 I still think that we have a problem with Artesia and
23 Cerritos that do not belong in the district with Downey-
24 Norwalk, apart from everything else we've talked about.
25 That is a problem. Those two cities, the only discussion

1 we ever heard about them was Long Beach vs. Orange County
2 with, you know, differing, but never -- it takes me back
3 to what we did when we were looking at Richmond with Napa
4 and Yolo when they said that they didn't want to go --
5 they only wanted to go to Contra Costa or Alameda, I
6 mean, this is -- I think we have nothing to support
7 putting these cities in here and, in fact, a lot of
8 testimony to the contrary. And so we already have a
9 configuration problem, I think, with these cities because
10 of those two cities down at the bottom.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But how do you address the
12 issue that that's going to increase the Latino
13 concentration, which we are trying to avoid?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm not getting to that
15 point. Right now, I'm talking communities of interest,
16 that these are not communities that in any way have seen
17 themselves linked to Norwalk or Downey, or the cities
18 that go up from that area, up into Bell Gardens.
19 Everything I've heard is that these are very distinct
20 areas that don't feel connected to the core of LA.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: And right now, that particular
22 district only has 51 percent LCVAP.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, but you're going to
24 take out the part that makes it 51.

25 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm just saying.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, I had
2 Commissioner Yao was next, and then Commissioner Di
3 Guilio.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: I was following the last
5 discussion and I forgot what I was going to say, so let's
6 bypass me.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's what happens
8 when people interrupt and I wasn't paying attention.
9 Commissioner Di Guilio.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think just to address
11 Commissioner Forbes' concern is I think, as I understand
12 Ms. Boyle, is that it may look -- they're going to try
13 and break up the south, those communities, so it might
14 actually be more of an east-west type of district, so I'm
15 not even sure if this combination -- she's asking -- if
16 those go into Orange County that would give her
17 permission to be able to do some other configurations
18 other places, so I don't think it would even -- I
19 understand your point about concentration, but I think it
20 might be addressed if we do different types of districts
21 altogether.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao,
23 you were called now.

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: The first Draft Map of this
25 area, basically we lower the concentration by orienting

1 the district east-west, as compared to more than north-
2 south, which is the community of interest testimony. So
3 we found that, by the time we oriented the east-west, or
4 have the east-west oriented district, we're really
5 lumping communities that have very little in common; so I
6 think that, to me, it is really our attempt to un-
7 concentrate these districts in a very very dense area,
8 and we heard so much negative comment associated with
9 that configuration, then I think that, to a great extent,
10 that got us back to paying more attention to the
11 community of interest and draw it around that. So maybe
12 we're heading back toward the first Draft Map
13 configuration based on our discussion today.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, the general
15 direction that we had provided to Ms. Boyle was based on
16 Option 3 and to work out these issues and to pay
17 attention to the possibility of some over-concentration,
18 so is that sufficient? Or do you need additional
19 direction?

20 MS. MAC DONALD: Would you please specifically
21 specify the issues that are to be worked out?

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can we say we would like to
24 move Artesia and Cerritos to --

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, but what we

1 want to do is make sure we make reference to the title on
2 each of these districts, so if you would like to proceed,
3 Commissioner Dai. So then you would reference LADNN,
4 etc. etc.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes. So we would like to look
6 at the possibility of moving Artesia and Cerritos from
7 LADNN, into the La Palma District. I will note for the
8 record that they also had indicated a community of
9 interest with Buena Park and, in the corresponding Unity
10 District, they are with both, so that's, as you shift
11 things around in a circle, that might help there. Uh,
12 that's one, and then we wanted to look at the possibility
13 of moving Linwood out of LASGL into the Compton-Carson
14 District, LAWBC, so you'll have to -- and we know that
15 that cuts it off, so you'll have to reconfigure some
16 things. And, again, as I noted before, Paramount was
17 also listed as an alternative to go within the Compton-
18 Carson District.

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Commissioner
20 Ward? Okay, any --

21 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would just say I know
22 that the Linwood thing appears to be, we've been told,
23 could be an incredibly complex. I think if that turned
24 out to be really difficult, then I think maybe we would
25 stay with that over-concentration in the southeast

1 cities, you know, I get that. But I'm still troubled and
2 it is a community. And we got a lot of community of
3 interest testimony early on, everybody would say in one
4 breath, "Compton, Carson, Linwood."

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Mac Donald.

6 MS. MAC DONALD: How do you feel about a split of
7 Downey?

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: What is the
9 population of Downey?

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Just one second.

11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Let me interject here,
12 we've received testimony from Downey, and Downey has been
13 split in the past, and they resent just the notion of the
14 idea of being split, believe me, the Mayor has emphasized
15 that on multiple occasions at my speaking engagements.
16 He has followed me out into the lobby.

17 MS. MAC DONALD: So the direction is not to split
18 Downey?

19 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I would prefer not to go
20 there.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think we're trying to
22 minimize all splits, but I also --

23 MS. MAC DONALD: So Downey has 111,000 people.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I guess I know nobody
25 wants to be split, but I guess I'm just reluctant to tie

1 our hands, to be honest. I think that part of what we've
2 been doing is to look at options, I mean, we could say it
3 would be very un-preferred to try to do everything you
4 can, but if one -- if one thing like that solves two or
5 three other things, I think we have to consider it. If
6 it's just a one for one switch, then maybe we don't do
7 it, but if one thing fixes a number of others, we'll see
8 if the Mayor won't follow Mr. Parvenu around!

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, especially if we have
10 a potential Section 2 issue and that's a higher priority
11 than city splits, and if we have an issue there of, you
12 know, effective representation because of over-
13 concentration, then I don't think we can keep trying to
14 elevate the cities over the Section 2.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree, I agree. And I
16 have a question. I don't recall, do we keep Downey whole
17 on the Senate -- no, the Congressional level? That may
18 be a compromise.

19 MS. BOYLE: Yes, I believe Downey isn't split in
20 any of my current Visualizations.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Two out of three ain't
22 bad.

23 MS. BOYLE: Pico Rivera is, though.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We might be able to
25 move on here and look at the SDs before we leave. So,

1 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, Commissioner Forbes, and
2 then we'll confirm they have the direction, then we might
3 be able to look at any other nuances we need to.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Sharing these
5 concerns around over-concentration, I would like to see
6 options at this point, I think we're on a tight timeline
7 and it would be great to see if there is an option that
8 would work with Linwood, what would be the impacts of it;
9 if there was an option that would work with Downey, maybe
10 a split in Downey, again, at this point I think we're
11 choosing from essentially the lesser of evils to
12 accomplish this higher ranked, or second ranked criteria,
13 which is very key to this whole enterprise. So I would
14 support directing Q2 to bring us some options.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And just finally, I think
16 in order to have consistency, we've gone out of our way -
17 not out of our way, but we've had a conversation today
18 about not having an African American District over 50,
19 and then, to have a district that is 70 could raise
20 questions of inconsistency.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Forbes.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes, in the name of, again,
23 the Voting Rights Act, I would caution us about the
24 communities of interest of Cerritos, Buena Park, La
25 Palma, Artesia because that is an area where we can

1 basically dilute the 70 percent in that direction, and so
2 I would be reluctant to take that out of the ability to
3 be in a place to park some of the excess population.

4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Oh, yeah.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ancheta
6 and then Commissioner Ward.

7 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, which
8 Commissioner just asked for multiple options? Was it --
9 Commissioner Galambos Malloy, so I don't know if that's
10 going for her review or, this is the problem we're facing
11 now which is we're not butting up against our own
12 meetings, so we have to - and we can discuss it now, or
13 we can discuss it when we're done for this session, but
14 we have to figure out what we can lock in at this point
15 because, unless we lock in, we've run out of time. At
16 least if we're still on the second Draft schedule. If we
17 want to revisit that, which is fine, we can talk about
18 options, but that's something that we will have to do up
19 to change the scheduling. And, again, I would support
20 that if we're not going to be able to get it done
21 tomorrow, and I think we're maybe not going to be able to
22 get it done tomorrow.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I ask a
24 question of Commissioner Blanco, then? So, if Q2 was to
25 explore Linwood and explore this moving this Artesia,

1 Cerritos, La Palma, if those don't work out well, can you
2 live with we revert back to this configuration?

3 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I have to really think
4 about that. I'm very concerned about that over-
5 concentration with a bordering district that is below 50
6 when a lot of that, when we have testimony that says that
7 Linwood and Compton are a community, and we've got
8 Linwood in one district and then we've got below 50 in an
9 adjoining district, I have legal concerns about that.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward.

11 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, and I just have
12 concerns that mirror Commissioner Forbes' in regard to
13 Artesia and Cerritos. I understand that there is some
14 very limited COI that might suggest that there is a
15 community with Buena Park, La Palma, and Cypress, but
16 there is immensely more that tie Buena Park to other
17 parts of North Orange County, and Cypress to parts of
18 Southern Orange County and La Palma, as well. So, in
19 balancing COI testimony, the overwhelming weight would be
20 to put those cities that share relationships with other
21 cities west in Orange County, certainly not with Cerritos
22 and Artesia. But it might end up being a population
23 issue, but on COI, alone, there is no way to join those
24 cities.

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Yao,

1 then Commissioner Parvenu.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: VRA is the issue we're
3 addressing and we all acknowledge that it's the highest
4 priority, and it just seems like, just looking at that
5 picture, splitting Downey or moving Downey into that
6 highly concentrated district is really our only solution
7 because if you work around the edges, you're not dealing
8 with the population. So, somehow working Downey into
9 that district will probably make the most impact. So,
10 even though we have testimony saying that we don't divide
11 up Downey, I don't think we have any choice but to do
12 that if we're here indeed wanting to address the highest
13 priority issue.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Parvenu
15 and then we're going to move along.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I was going to say the
17 same thing that Commissioner Yao just said in terms of
18 Downey. Although I personally received direct testimony
19 and we received testimony collectively from, I believe it
20 was the Councilman and not the Mayor, about the north-
21 south split on Downey, it does seem as though that's the
22 logical solution to take at this point, and South Gate,
23 Linwood, and Downey do have some commonalities, enough to
24 justify that move, and my former position as with the MTA
25 as a Transportation Planning Manager for the Southeast

1 Area Team lets me know that there are certain strong
2 commonalities with that area, so Downey is not that much
3 unlike the cities to the west. So, as a compromise,
4 especially if we are keeping Downey whole in other areas,
5 Congressional and Senate, on the Assembly level I don't
6 think that would be a bad decision for us to make.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, Ms. Mac
8 Donald, the long winded answer to your question is, yes,
9 you may take Downey. Now, take a look at the community
10 of interest testimony regarding probably the proper
11 splits in working out the issues with Downey and that
12 configuration of those districts that we just talked
13 about, LASGL, LADNN, as summarized by Commissioner Dai.
14 Any other questions or direction that you need for these
15 districts that we've been discussing for nearly an hour
16 now?

17 MS. MAC DONALD: Can we get in touch with
18 Commissioner Parvenu if we have questions about where to
19 split Downey if we need to split Downey?

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: One consideration is
21 Firestone Blvd.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay.

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Consider that first.

24 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, but could we, if it
25 happens, can we --

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Absolutely. Please do.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: And then I noted this before
3 for the record, that Hacienda Heights is the only city in
4 kind of the Diamond Bar COI that has been left out and,
5 in the Unity Maps, it's put in. I don't know if that
6 helps or hurts, but that's something to consider.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And do you have
8 general direction for --

9 MS. MAC DONALD: Would you please repeat the
10 Hacienda Heights direction?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, the Hacienda Heights is
12 the only city in the Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland
13 Heights, Hacienda Heights COI that we've heard a lot
14 about and it was able to be in that district in the Unity
15 Assembly Map version, I'm not sure what's driving -- I'm
16 assuming it's a swap, but that may or may not help with
17 what you're doing. I know it's 50,000 people, so it's
18 significant.

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Boyle, did you
21 have an answer for Commissioner Dai as to what might be
22 driving the Hacienda Heights inclusion into LAPRW?

23 MS. BOYLE: I have parts north of Hacienda
24 Heights in this district, there is a backbone here, there
25 is the La Puente Ridge, or something like this, up around

1 which I've organized the districts. We could extract out
2 Hacienda Heights and pick up La Habra instead, and it
3 will just be a projection in here. I presented all three
4 swaps to Holly and Ana's options for exchanging
5 population, there's about 60,000 here, and a little less
6 than 60,000 here. But in any of those, we could swap.
7 However, if we take out Hacienda Heights, pick up La
8 Habra, that means taking out Artesia and Cerritos; if
9 they come out, then I have to pick up somewhere else from
10 OC. So we really have, you know, we can swap 60,000 here
11 and 60,000, but we can't swap 120,000 for 60,000.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Mathematically, that
13 makes sense.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Again, we want to give our
15 Mappers some options here because I think, again, to be
16 consistent with the COI testimony, they did include
17 Hacienda Heights. There was also COI testimony which I
18 think was in our original maps about Whittier and La
19 Habra Heights, and La Habra, so if you want to be
20 consistent with those two pieces of testimony, then that
21 would indicate that kind of switch.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: I think we should point something
23 out, which is that the option that was just presented may
24 actually work into a Burbank and Pasadena split because
25 we need to ripple to the north.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: So if we could, as
2 we've done previously with other communities of interest
3 and cities, if we can consider putting them together at
4 other levels, make a note of that, Commissioner Dai,
5 because I think when we come back to the Congressional
6 levels with Orange County and some of the concerns we had
7 when we went through them this morning, maybe we can
8 provide some direction that might put them together in
9 another level. So, any other nuances to Option 3 that
10 has been presented here, that you took notes about that
11 you'd like to provide some direction, or highlight some
12 concerns? Commissioner Yao.

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: If we go to the mountain
14 region in San Bernardino, Los Angeles County line, I
15 think there's been a few testimonies saying that, instead
16 of using the county line as the divider for the district,
17 move it over so that we include the entire National
18 Forest, Angeles National Forest. In other words, extend
19 from in your map, Antonio Heights, and include the
20 National Forest, the Angeles National Forest, all in one
21 district. I don't think there are any people up there,
22 so it's a -

23 MS. BOYLE: I don't think so either. I'll talk
24 to Alex about it. I've presented that to her before and
25 I'm just not sure why we didn't do it, maybe we didn't

1 want to disconnect Wrightwood, that is 4,525 people, so
2 it would be another exchange. But we should be able to
3 manage that without too much trouble.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: Maybe get as much of the
5 National Forest in as possible. The county line, without
6 people really doesn't mean an awful lot, and since we
7 crossed it already, thank you.

8 MS. BOYLE: Understood.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any other
10 nuances for Option 3 to provide direction that people
11 made note of? Because then we can move to Senate
12 Districts. Okay, appears to be nothing further. Any
13 other questions, Ms. Boyle, about Option 3?

14 MS. MAC DONALD: We're good. We're going to move
15 over to Senate.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. While you're
17 pulling that up, do we have different options for Senate,
18 as well, or just --

19 MS. BOYLE: No, we just have just one option
20 based on the Assembly District Option 1, but there's been
21 quite a bit of a nesting, so at this point, the
22 underlying Assembly Districts are kind of irrelevant.
23 One thing to bring to your attention. All right, so this
24 is our Senate Visualization. I built it off of the first
25 Assembly District Option, but like I said, the districts

1 that are affected by the changes in the options, it was
2 kind of washed out by blending them together in Senate
3 Districts. So, should we start in the north again?

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, please.

5 MS. BOYLE: So there was adjustments to a Senate
6 District in Monterey County that rippled down to the
7 Ventura County boundary, so this is a different boundary,
8 external boundary, than what we have for the Assembly, so
9 that necessitated some changes to the other external
10 boundaries. In the Assembly, all of this is part of LA
11 Districts. At the Senate level, this 158,000 people in
12 here are northward with the Kern District that curls
13 around Bakersfield. So, what was left of that district
14 after the population exchanges was nested and blended
15 with a San Fernando Valley District. Let me bring up our
16 data tables.

17 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: And just, there were some
18 questions yesterday as you are talking, you're doing a
19 great job of sort of walking through this, yesterday some
20 Commissioners wanted to have a more precise conversation
21 when we talk about blending, about what the basis of it
22 is, you know, is it still trying to keep some like core
23 of an Assembly, or what community of interest, just so
24 that when we say "blend" we are a little bit more
25 specific about what we're intending to do vs. nesting,

1 just if that's possible.

2 MS. BOYLE: Uh huh, so when I say "blending" or
3 "hybridizing," what I mean is taking chunks and bits of
4 other Assembly Districts and combining them with other
5 Assembly Districts, which they weren't originally nested,
6 mainly for the purposes of complying with COI and
7 improving compliance with COI testimony, at least that's
8 my approach in LA.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: There are some efforts
10 where you are sort of semi-nesting, in other words, you
11 sort of start with a nesting base, that's sort of the
12 core, and then you have to work around that, so that
13 sometimes you're pure blending vs. sort of semi-nesting,
14 I'm making up terms here, but there are little variations
15 in terms of how you actually combine some of the
16 districts.

17 MS. BOYLE: Yes, correct. And to make the point,
18 I'll go ahead and put the first option Assembly lines on
19 this map and then you can kind of see what I mean.

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Giulio.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I guess I was just
22 trying to ask Commissioner Aguirre about the East Ventura
23 County being blended with down into Malibu and Calabasas.
24 I thought we were doing East Ventura County with Santa
25 Clarita, but does that leave -- this is where I think we

1 were unclear because what we had talked to Ms. Clark
2 about looks like it didn't work. Did you have an odd
3 number or something that was leftover?

4 MS. BOYLE: Yeah, we had some population
5 exchanges. Is that what you're referring to?

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I think originally
7 the Eastern Ventura County was going to be nested with
8 Santa Clarita instead of the Santa Monica Mountain area.
9 I mean, that was what our discussion was with Ms. Clark,
10 I thought.

11 MS. BOYLE: Right, so in this case, that would
12 have been possible if I could have nested with San
13 Bernardino County. But in this case, I had what remained
14 of the Lancaster District, less about 210,000. I gave
15 158,000 to Jamie and I gave 30,000 to the San Bernardino
16 District, so that necessitated bringing the lines down
17 more. So, if I had attempted to -- just a moment, I'm
18 confused by the lines.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Me too.

20 MS. BOYLE: So I had to pick up what left of the
21 Lancaster District and nest it with Santa Clarita, and
22 there wasn't enough leftover to draw into Simi Valley,
23 right? This is 130,000, if it pick this up here, it
24 caused more ripple effects through here, so it's
25 possible, but this seemed like the best configuration. I

1 looked at it several different ways and this was the area
2 that was hard to work with.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I could see some links
4 between Eastern Ventura County and possibly the far west
5 San Fernando Valley, right, with maybe Calabasas. But
6 when you get down into Pacific Palisades, Bel Air, and
7 Malibu, I think there is some real --

8 MS. BOYLE: I agree. Do you have suggestions on
9 how to shuffle the population around?

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, that's the
11 problem, right, because you have other - I'm assuming
12 that one LAS -- I'm failing in my -

13 MS. BOYLE: Just a minute, let me get these
14 labels up there.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: It's the San Fernando
16 nesting one, you nested East and West San Fernando
17 Valley?

18 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, when you do that,
20 you're kind of -- and you can't put Eastern Ventura with
21 Santa Clarita because that's where the population would
22 push back around and grab the Malibu, Agora Hills,
23 Topanga Canyon with the western part of -- I mean, that's
24 what we heard, we heard a lot of testimony when
25 originally it was Malibu up to Santa Clarita, and so

1 people, of course, didn't want that, but their suggestion
2 was this area of Malibu, Agora Hills, Calabasas, with
3 Western LA. That was the suggestion they would give to
4 both. We heard a lot about that. And again, this is one
5 of those things where I think Moore Park and Simi Valley
6 and all those said "we want to be kept with Ventura
7 County." I don't think it ever occurred to them that
8 they would be put with -- well, with Pacific Palisades
9 and Bel Air. I mean, again, it's Richmond with Napa, it
10 just wasn't on their radar. But Ms. Boyle's question
11 still remains, how do you deal with it?

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
13 Galambos Malloy.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Ms. Boyle, I
15 wanted to see to what extent -- I'm just pausing -

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Little conferences
17 going.

18 MS. MAC DONALD: Sorry, we're thinking through
19 this because I think this can be fixed if you make
20 another decision down south, which is [pause] -

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Mono and Inyo again?

22 MS. MAC DONALD: Almost. Basically, if we could
23 have some flexibility in figuring out whether, you know,
24 the Long Beach District basically should be nested with
25 Orange County as opposed to, and then holding the OCSD

1 line basically on the SD, that might...

2 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: What I was looking
3 at, actually, was the CAPIFR Map submission and the
4 approach that they took, which we still have to do some
5 tweaking up on the north side, but they basically created
6 a coastal district that goes from Malibu, all the way
7 down -- from my map, it appears they include Rolling
8 Hills.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think the problem, if
10 I'm not mistaken, is you isolating the Eastern Ventura
11 County, you have to put it somewhere, so that population
12 pushes to Santa Clarita and I think they're working on
13 how far to go around the whole San Gabriel Mountains to
14 push back. I'm assuming, if I am a good lip reader, no...

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: There's too much population
16 there, basically.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, it just felt
18 like there was more of a link, by the time you get
19 farther down to the beach, that's the disconnect, so if
20 you join these cities that tend to be more inland, I
21 think there's arguably more of a community there.

22 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba
23 and then Commissioner Dai.

24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I'm having a little
25 trouble with this one because we did such a good job of

1 keeping the San Fernando Valley whole and now, all of a
2 sudden, we've got it split up pretty good, it looks like
3 into far ranging areas. And I thought the testimony we
4 got from the people in that area made a pretty compelling
5 case to keep the valley whole, and this one rips it up
6 pretty good, I think.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Could you do something
8 where you do the Eastern Ventura County with the rest of
9 that northern part of the valley, and then kind of split
10 the lower part off and make the exchanges more
11 internally? I know you would still impact - somehow you
12 would have to impact that San Fernando Valley east one.
13 But is there any way, Ms. Boyle, to do kind of partial
14 splits of that area, as opposed to wrapping the entire
15 population all the way around?

16 MS. BOYLE: I'm sorry, partial splits through
17 Ventura County?

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, I'm looking at if
19 you take out of the Eastern Ventura County and try and
20 link it up with a part of the San Fernando Valley, that's
21 been cut off, as well, too, Northridge, Grenada Hills,
22 all of that, to link it up, and then you could take
23 whatever population is left and do the -- I just think
24 the stretch from Moore Park to Bel Air is a real crazy
25 one, so if you can kind of split those up a little bit.

1 I know that Agora Hills, Calabasas, Topanga tends to go
2 with West LA, Calabasas tends to go with the Valley,
3 Agora Hills with -- you know, it's kind of those three
4 communities really go together, but they could go to
5 different places, so...

6 MS. BOYLE: Right. We are still constrained by
7 the math and by geography here, there's no people here,
8 and no people here, and I think when I was trying to get
9 this, because I know that was the direction to have it
10 with Santa Clarita, I ended up having to draw so high up
11 here that I would end up splitting Santa Clarita almost,
12 so we almost had a contiguity issue. This was the last
13 iteration I did and I did it in the middle of the night,
14 so I can't recall exactly why I had to make these
15 decisions, nor do I have notes, but I recall there was an
16 issue with having, once you add this population this way,
17 to remove the over-population, you have to draw so high
18 up here that then it becomes like a nonsensical district
19 configuration.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: The problem is we're stranding
21 the folks at the top, that's really the problem.

22 MS. BOYLE: Yeah. So this possibly could be
23 solved, my thoughts on this were, and it would also fix
24 the OC County boundary with Long Beach, is if I redo this
25 split with Jamie and, instead of giving her the eastern

1 part of this district, I give her down into here, and
2 then we nest what is left. But it is really tearing up
3 this area.

4 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And maybe there's very
5 few choices, but I have to say I'm very concerned about
6 the Eastern Ventura County wrapping so far down and into
7 Western -

8 MS. BOYLE: I am too. And in the other
9 configurations, this was what I considered the least of
10 the evils for Eastern Ventura County. When I was
11 exploring this, it went all the way down to like Hermosa
12 Beach and El Segundo, at one point we were all the way in
13 the Hollywood Hills, so it's not easy. I pulled this
14 down here, so if I pull out San Fernando Valley, then it
15 wraps more this way. If I went this way, then I went up
16 here. But we will keep working on it.

17 MS. MAC DONALD: Can we have a two-second
18 conference here?

19 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sure, because I'll
20 talk to the Commission. So we can put in -- well, we've
21 been given an idea what communities do not go together
22 for this particular SD, so we've got 15 minutes because
23 then, afterwards, we want to talk about some scheduling
24 issues and how what's happening today flows into next
25 week, the ripple effect, because we're getting used to

1 it. So they're making notes as to what to work with
2 Jamie on.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it just seems like we
4 just need a counterclockwise rotation all the way through
5 -- or clockwise, sorry.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: San Fernando with
7 San Bernardino? Is that what I heard?

8 MS. MAC DONALD: We're just talking through the
9 various options.

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, anything that
11 you want to take to us that would assist you in
12 additional direction, that would free you up anywhere?
13 You've got a handle on what we dislike and where we can
14 probably make some corrections.

15 MS. BOYLE: At this time, no, we need to refine
16 this and then perhaps we'll be more ready for additional
17 direction.

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, is there any
19 other districts, continuing on, that we want to
20 highlight? Or do you think it's all affected?

21 MS. BOYLE: I think that we can look at the
22 cluster in LA.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Great.

24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, do we provide
25 direction for that last area? Because I'm concerned

1 that, then, you know, that's going to impact what we do
2 farther down south. What direction do we leave with?

3 MS. MAC DONALD: That's what I was just asking.
4 Yeah, because we basically need to implement this, so if
5 you could give us your preferences, then we're going to
6 just move things around and we really need to get into
7 the same room with the other Mappers and figure out what
8 the boundary handoffs here are, and I would really like
9 to talk about this whole like Long Beach area, Long Beach
10 OC, and OCSD area, just to see if we can get some
11 flexibility on how to do that because I think part of the
12 problem that you had with the SDs down in San Diego was
13 actually driven by that particular hard line.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Di
15 Guilio or Commissioner Dai, want to summarize the
16 instruction that we were providing, or direction, to Q2?

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think Ms. Boyle knows
18 the issues, she recognizes the issues, the disparity. I
19 think if there is a way to fix it, I trust her to give us
20 some options. If she's looked through all the iterations
21 and this is the least harm, you know, what can you do?

22 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: I would like to
23 reserve the right, I mean, after -- I think, again, once
24 we get down into LA proper and see how those
25 configurations that give some direction there, that may

1 also impact or provide some direction on what to do in
2 the north side, so I would say it's definitely not over
3 on that district because we have a number of concerns.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So let's take a
5 look at LA and see if we can move into some direction on
6 this before we conclude at --

7 MS. MAC DONALD: Sounds great.

8 MS. BOYLE: This district here to the north is
9 the San Gabriel-Foothills Senate District, it's a
10 combination of the Burbank, Glendale, and the Pasadena-
11 Rancho Cucamonga District, and there are no splits.
12 Okay, moving south, this is our combination of the
13 Western San Gabriel Valley District and the Covina
14 District, and then it was shifted south to remove
15 Arcadia, I think maybe Duarte, Bradbury, and Monrovia
16 were removed, and South El Monte and unincorporated areas
17 were picked up in exchange, Montebello was reunited, the
18 small portion that had been in the Assembly was removed,
19 East Pasadena was made whole, in the Assembly it was
20 split, and that's the extent. Combining those two areas
21 created a district with a Latino CVAP of 45 percent, and
22 an Asian CVAP of 31 percent. And that is the LACVN
23 Senate District.

24 Moving further south to the LAPRW District,
25 that's for LA, Pico Rivera, Whittier District, it has a

1 Latino CVAP of 53 percent and it includes the Artesia
2 Cerritos configuration. The next district is the
3 combination of the South Gate, so this was blended. You
4 can see, I've put a lot of the Southeast cities in here
5 and then blended it with the Compton-Carson District. So
6 it's the LAWBC District and it was nested, the majority
7 of its nesting partner is the LASGL District. Moving to
8 the Long Beach District, this was the oddball that was
9 nested with Orange County, and if we can implement a
10 northern county, Los Angeles County fix, we will possibly
11 build to hold this line, so we're going to be looking at
12 doing that, that's the priority for the next Senate
13 Visualization.

14 Okay, so I went up and around this district so I
15 could blend this district north with its community of
16 interest in Crenshaw and Leimert Park, and I went around
17 it, which I know is not a preferred configuration. Not
18 having to nest with an Orange County Senate District
19 would allow me to undo this.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Sounds like good
21 direction to me. I see you shaking heads, so you have
22 that option. That might work because we've got Rancho
23 Palo Verdes all the way to Beverly Hills, it looks like,
24 through Dockweiler Beach.

25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can I ask to zoom

1 in on the South LA Districts here, please?

2 MS. BOYLE: Which district, in particular?

3 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The Compton-Carson
4 and the Inglewood Districts.

5 MS. BOYLE: The colored finger seen underneath
6 here is percent Black CVAP.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: And the legend, is
8 that still the same?

9 MS. BOYLE: Let me bring it up. Okay, it's not
10 coming up.

11 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I mean as far as the
12 sequence, on the top we've had Latino CVAP, and then --

13 MS. BOYLE: Yes, it is the same. It should be
14 total deviation, percent deviation, Latino CVAP, Black
15 CVAP, Asian CVAP.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Do you want to pull
17 back a little bit, Commissioner Galambos Malloy? Did you
18 have a question? And then we'll go to Commissioner Di
19 Guilio.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And in this area,
21 you did kind of a hybrid nesting? A blending?

22 MS. BOYLE: Correct. In this case, I blended the
23 Inglewood and the Crenshaw District, and then I unblended
24 from the edges.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think there will be a

1 lot that sounds like it still needs to be worked out from
2 the Orange County-LA and how it pops up all the way here,
3 but I still feel like we need to provide the ability for
4 them to consider something with the airport break, and
5 it's two things, it's the airport break and possibly
6 going south and breaking the API community that we've
7 kept whole in the AD, which is the Gardena-Torrance,
8 right? So it may require a split in Torrance, but I just
9 want to keep our options open here because I don't want
10 us to run into -- we've done it in one district, but
11 maybe we should look at, at least the possibility, to
12 keep giving you permission to look at that as an option.

13 MS. BOYLE: And I also want to point out, even
14 though Westchester looks like a large geographical area,
15 it doesn't actually have a lot of population, so removing
16 it doesn't' actually give you that much wiggle room, but
17 it does make the district look more compact, because then
18 you don't have that behind the airport strip.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Correct.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: And I'd actually
21 just been playing in my mind with more of a kind of east-
22 west configuration, so can we come back to this area? I
23 feel like we're leaving a lot of loopbacks to where we
24 need to answer some additional questions, but did you try
25 a configuration that would have kind of two east-west

1 districts?

2 MS. BOYLE: I didn't, but it's very possible of
3 just turning the population through the yellow districts,
4 we could possibly accomplish something like that, yes.
5 I'm just not as firm on where the breaks would be, based
6 on community of interest testimony, I'm more familiar
7 with the north-south breaks and the east-west breaks, but
8 with guidance I could implement that.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And, Commissioner
10 Galambos Malloy, if that's -- instead of a north-south
11 split and east-west, would those districts then be turned
12 on their side and linked with the beach communities,
13 then? Would it break the peninsula?

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Not necessarily
15 attached, but breaking the Peninsula. I was thinking
16 more of kind of the central area in there.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba
18 and then Commissioner Parvenu.

19 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yes, what led you to
20 choose not to nest in this area?

21 MS. BOYLE: COI testimony. I didn't want to nest
22 north-south, that would have split the community of
23 interest here between Westmount, Florence Firestone, West
24 Vernon. I felt that it created a better district, but
25 that's up to the Commission's discretion.

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner

2 Parvenu. Oh, sorry.

3 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: At some point when you
4 come back, it might be kind of interesting to see what
5 the nested districts look like.

6 MS. BOYLE: September? [Laughter]

7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Or October, either one.

8 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: With the configuration as
9 it exists, and I understand what Commissioner Galambos
10 Malloy is saying, but there is more of an affiliation
11 between that north-south community, actually, in terms of
12 activities, institutions that exist along those north-
13 south corridors, so I don't know if an east-west
14 orientation actually works for me. I think the Compton
15 -- that north-south alignment, what we see here is my
16 preference, in fact. I just wanted to state that.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Blanco.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I have a bit of a concern,
19 and if we could look at the Covina Senate District?

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Can we look at the
21 Covina District, please, that includes Covina.

22 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So, in our first Draft
23 Maps, this area, we had it at 57 percent Latino CVAP and
24 it's now 45. It's not that exact same area, but it was
25 this region. So, I think we're going to need to discuss

1 what we've changed here from our previous map, given also
2 that this is an area where I think we've got expert
3 testimony about polarized voting, or our counsel has
4 advised us that.

5 MS. MAC DONALD: I'm sorry, I think we may not be
6 talking about the same district because we actually think
7 that this is higher now than it was before.

8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So which is the one -- what
9 was our old LACVN?

10 MS. BOYLE: On the first Draft, or last week's
11 Visualization?

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, first draft.

13 MS. BOYLE: Sure, give me a second, let me dig it
14 out. Without opening the map layer, I believe that I
15 nested differently, I believe I nested the San Gabriel -
16 the Western San Gabriel Valley District north to be
17 included in the Foothill District, so Covina was nested
18 to the south, which would have created a district that
19 was over 50 percent, but let me get the lines right now
20 for you.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It's LACVN if you
22 wanted to look on the website. And it was entirely -- it
23 was somewhat of a different configuration. We went from
24 Arcadia, completely south to South Gate, so that was the
25 configuration that we did at the Senate level under

1 LADSG. Then we did LACVN, which went from like Irwindale
2 area, which was kind of at the top of this yellow
3 district, and went all the way down to La Mirada, so that
4 was the configuration that we did before. This doesn't
5 have the numbers on it. So the configuration is a bit
6 different because we had two different districts that
7 split this present Visualization.

8 MS. MAC DONALD: May I also add, in the last
9 iterations, we had three districts that were at 50
10 percent or above, and this one here actually improves the
11 overall percentage.

12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We had four, we had Kings,
13 Covina, we had four with Pomona and Ontario.

14 MS. BOYLE: We still have Pomona and Ontario,
15 it's just not visible on my map, it's part of the San
16 Bernardino cluster.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any other
18 questions about the Senate Districts? Because we'll
19 probably have to, I mean, at least in this area, we'll be
20 coming back to this and tomorrow is where we'll have to
21 end up picking up. But I did want to talk a little bit
22 about where we're at, what the schedule is, what Ms. Mac
23 Donald's thoughts are about next week, and we were going
24 to stop at 6:30 today. Go ahead.

25 MS. MAC DONALD: May I please just bring the OCSD

1 -- "SD," not Senate District, but San Diego line one more
2 time for Senate? And could we please get permission to
3 have some flexibility on that one to be able to improve
4 the Senate Districts?

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: On the Senate
6 District between Orange County and San Diego?

7 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah.

8 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I do believe we gave
9 that earlier.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, okay. I just want to make
11 sure if you hadn't given it, because I wasn't here. I
12 just want to make sure because I think that that's what's
13 creating a lot of issues.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We did give
15 flexibility at the Riverside County and San Diego border
16 at Temecula, and then we looked at South Orange County
17 with San Clemente and San Bernardino, and I had
18 specifically said that they had seen that issue
19 previously, and then if we don't split Dana Point, so...

20 MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
22 Ancheta.

23 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're going to the
24 schedule right after this, right?

25 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Yes.

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So, because Mr. Brown
2 indicated that the Kings County RPP analysis was not
3 really conclusive, I think we should therefore not try to
4 form a Section 2 District at a level at Kings County, so
5 I think the instruction would be to -- I think would be
6 to revert to the previous iteration, which met
7 retrogression standards, but it was not attempting to try
8 to get a Section 2 level. I think that would be the
9 revised instruction. Is that fine with the Commission?

10 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Is that consistent
11 with everybody's understanding based on Mr. Brown's
12 testimony -- or presentation -- today?

13 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: And that will make it look
14 a lot better.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great. Any
16 other questions that you have that you want us to address
17 right now before we get into some scheduling?

18 MS. MAC DONALD: I think we're good. Thank you
19 very much.

20 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, great. So, to
21 summarize where we're at, we do see some concerns with
22 these Senate Districts. I think this is a good
23 opportunity for the Commission to really take a look at
24 all these Visualizations, they've been sent to you,
25 they're posted online, and we can study them. We'll pick

1 back up in this area. We do need to take a look at the
2 Senate Districts, confirm any additional direction, then
3 we need to look at the Congressional in Los Angeles, then
4 we need to go back and take a look at what we rushed a
5 little bit this morning. So, study the Riverside Region,
6 if you're interested, Region 2, the Region 1 areas that
7 we looked at this morning, and Region 3 for Orange
8 County, because we looked at those and we thought that
9 the impact of Los Angeles could force some changes in
10 those areas, so we'll end up likely revisiting those
11 tomorrow.

12 Is that okay? Now, based on where we're at and
13 we finish up, some thoughts have been that our estimate
14 at this point is that we would conclude tomorrow at 3:00,
15 preferably earlier, and then we have two days of Mapping
16 -- well, actually one day of Mapping -- I mean, this is
17 realistically what we'd be looking at, which is not going
18 to work, so I'm asking for your input. So, then you've
19 got to do this consolidation that takes a while, which
20 would be on Monday. Under our prior schedule, had we
21 finished this evening, then you would have gotten that
22 together for us on Monday to commence presentations on
23 Tuesday, so at this point, do you see that we would be
24 pushing next week further out? And what the
25 Commission's thoughts are on where we're at on this

1 schedule, we could address it tomorrow as a business
2 issue when we are finished Mapping. Please chime in if
3 anybody has -- I'm just kind of summarizing, but again,
4 Commissioner Di Guilio.

5 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, well you know, I think
6 we're going places, good places here, but if we have more
7 time, we go better places, obviously, because we can just
8 create. It's a tradeoff, basically, between time and a
9 better map. So at this point, since we're going to be
10 here tomorrow, there's going to be a little bit of
11 Mapping tomorrow night probably going on, and then --
12 tomorrow is Saturday, yeah -- then Sunday all day,
13 basically. But my Nor Cal people have to be done on
14 Sunday because they have to do Visualizations because
15 they have to be sent off, and then they have to be on
16 Tuesday.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: That's still the
18 plan right now.

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, that's the plan right now.
20 So we're just -- I mean, we're just talking through it
21 and then SoCal would basically come up on Wednesday and
22 show you the rest. I mean, I don't know how pretty our
23 maps for the public are going to be formatted, you know,
24 they may be formatted a little nicer by Thursday or
25 Friday because that's really what takes a whole lot of

1 time, aside from doing all these merges and doing
2 contiguity checks. So it's definitely pushing it.

3 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
4 Ancheta.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, you know,
6 Commissioner Di Guilio has given us a fair amount of
7 thought, although she has one line that is just sort of
8 not really to do with second Draft, another is to push
9 all the deadlines back a couple days. We did build some
10 padding in at the end, so if you push things back two
11 days, I think it's feasible, but she has some
12 alternatives.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Yeah, I think the
14 tradeoff here is we can give Q2 a couple more days to try
15 and make the maps look prettier, give them some time to
16 implement what we've done if we want to have a second
17 Draft Map, we can try and give them some more days, which
18 would mean we have to give ourselves two-week notice, and
19 our drop dead date is - is it the 30th, 31st?

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: The 31st.

21 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: The 31st.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So we do technically
23 have two, three days, I mean, you're really pushing it,
24 then, right? So that we could give Q2 extra time to work
25 on this. That's one aspect. So we can move our meetings

1 that are scheduled for Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday
2 out a couple days. The other option, again, is if we
3 feel like we walk away from today and tomorrow feeling
4 like there are still things that we really - it depends
5 on our level of comfort. I have all the confidence in
6 the world that Ms. Boyle can do a lot, I mean, she drew
7 LA three times in a matter of days, it's amazing, but I
8 think it's a matter of whether or not we feel like we
9 need to have an extra chance to review this and to maybe
10 make some additional fine tuning at that point because,
11 if we don't -- if we commit to the second Draft Map, then
12 we won't have that extra chance to get a really good
13 Final Map.

14 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Barabba
15 and then Commissioner Dai.

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: My suggestion is to add
17 the two days and so that we could give them a chance to
18 come in with maps that have a high probability that we
19 would be comfortable with them, rather than miss the
20 opportunity of producing the maps, which we discussed at
21 some length before. And so I would -- my feeling is we
22 should add the two days to our delivery.

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just wanted to throw out one
25 other possibility. What takes Q2 a lot of time is

1 producing and formatting these maps so that we can have
2 PDFs by District for the public. You know, this is
3 something we can do, we can rely on the other folks who
4 have the capability to put the maps out, we can just send
5 out equivalency files and let people go to "Wedraw," I
6 mean, they got it up in a matter of days, it would save
7 Q2 a lot of time and have them focus on Mapping. I just
8 wanted to throw that out as a possibility.

9 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I know it would, I
10 just don't feel -- you're just giving them one option and
11 there's a lot of people that aren't using that site, and
12 that are relying on our site.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's definitely a tradeoff. I
14 mean, I think it could be a possibility where they do
15 just a statewide overview and then, I mean, the *LA Times*
16 site was up in a matter of days and the re-draw, I mean,
17 it was very fast. So...

18 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
19 Galambos Malloy.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: It doesn't sit
21 well with me, I think that we have such a short
22 turnaround time for public comment that, even if it takes
23 a couple days for the other site to go up, that
24 essentially cuts into the average person's ability to
25 access the information and respond to it. I could live

1 with the idea of not having the reports, however, I think
2 we do have our obligation to provide the equivalency
3 files and to provide the PDFs that an average person can
4 go to our website and view.

5 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: One other thought.
6 By sending out equivalency files, we can't be assured
7 that the person at the other end is actually going to do
8 it, you know, whether it be LA Times or whatever website,
9 you just think that they're going to, but we've got the
10 responsibility here. Commissioner Ward, were you in the
11 queue? Okay, so Ms. Mac Donald.

12 MS. MAC DONALD: We have an idea that we haven't
13 tested, and everybody here who is a tech person, what
14 about if we make kml files available and with
15 instructions on how to go to Google, get a free Google
16 account and pull up the maps that way? We were actually
17 thinking about suggesting that to CRC staff anyway. No?

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Let me handle that one.

19 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: We have
20 Commissioners who don't know how to use the Google
21 Calendar yet, so I'm just thinking of the broader public.

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, seriously, and
23 Commissioner Dai will happily testify at how inept I am,
24 but that is putting another layer of responsibility on
25 people who just, you know, are going to have to go

1 through a lot of hoops to get to it.

2 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
3 Barabba.

4 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: You know, the distinction
5 between what we're talking about doing vs. what used to
6 be is significantly improved, no matter how complex we
7 make it because at least we're making it available. In
8 the past, they weren't even made available other than in
9 the print-outs. So, for those people who are really
10 interested in seeing what has happened, most of them
11 would be capable of using these resources, and they then
12 could convey what they see to others, so I wouldn't be
13 too concerned over us not being perfect because we didn't
14 have a lot of time to do everything we needed to do, and
15 it's so much better than what's been done in the past.

16 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
17 Galambos Malloy.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Would it be
19 possible to task our staff with doing some quick phone
20 calls with some of the other kind of interested parties
21 who have actually been processing the equivalency files
22 that we have made available, and just take a straw poll
23 and figure out how they are planning on making the
24 information available? And maybe we find out that that
25 function is going to be happening and that we're able to

1 easily link to it, and I would feel a lot better, but
2 without knowing for sure that the public is going to have
3 that easy mechanism to access the files, I do think that
4 is part of our responsibility as a Commission, even if it
5 means pushing our timeline back a bit.

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Dai.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, I think really
8 it's just so that we have the confidence that they're
9 going to do that, I'm actually very confident they're
10 going to do that. I mean, they did it for the first
11 draft, I have every reason to believe they want to make
12 this information available right away, but it would be
13 great to just check in, you know, I would say *LA Times*,
14 the *Advancement Project*, the Rose Institute, all of them
15 had this information analyzed 50 ways until Sunday,
16 within days.

17 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so we're
18 asking staff to contact the person, hopefully they can
19 reach them tomorrow on a Saturday, and they can report
20 back to us regarding the timeframe it would take for them
21 to put it up on their websites when we provide
22 equivalency files. Is that correct? Okay.

23 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: So then with this,
24 did we make changes to next week's calendar? Can you
25 walk through the days, or are they just as is?

1 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We haven't changed
2 the calendar as of yet, so we can revisit this issue
3 tomorrow, depending on our progress. If we're confident,
4 as we were with Northern California, I really want us to
5 come out with that same type of comfort level for
6 Southern California as we did with Northern California,
7 and I didn't get that impression today from the this
8 morning, and that's why I knew we were going to be
9 working more than likely tomorrow. So, if we feel
10 comfortable, then it will be just a question of Ms.
11 Mac Donald. But at this point, if there are any
12 significant changes, but if there are tomorrow on some
13 Congressional or Senate District lines, it may push back.
14 So, do you want to revisit the Tuesday/Wednesday schedule
15 tomorrow? Or do you want to make a commitment today that
16 you will not be ready on Tuesday? The Commission can
17 also consider, given that we have ongoing business, that
18 we could consider -- maybe for tomorrow, ongoing
19 business, whether we are going to put out a second Draft
20 Map, depending on our progress tomorrow. Commissioner
21 Di Giulio.

22 COMMISSIOERN DI GUILIO: I was just going to ask,
23 too, if we stay with Tuesday, Northern California,
24 Wednesday, Southern California, the other consideration,
25 I think, in talking with Ms. Mac Donald and trying to

1 coordinate this work plan was that, if we want to look at
2 it as the glass half full, we're going to be optimistic
3 that everything, the directions we give and when we come
4 back on those two days to review it, it will be what we
5 feel comfortable with, one of the concerns was, the
6 amount it takes to run all the reports and do all that,
7 is that still an issue for you at all in terms of the
8 timeframe that you have, the ability to do what you need
9 to for the mapping? Or I guess I'm asking, is there
10 anything, some horse trading, in terms of things that
11 give you an opportunity to do as much work as you can
12 with the maps, and it could relieve you of some of the
13 other responsibilities?

14 MS. MAC DONALD: I think one of the issues here
15 is really your comfort level because, basically, as I
16 understand, and I was not here this morning, for example,
17 the So Cal Senate Districts, right? So essentially, if
18 we stick with this particular schedule, then we're going
19 to be here on Wednesday, you're going to see those Senate
20 Districts, and that's a substantial - that's going to be
21 substantial redraw, right? So are you going to be -- you
22 know, it's pretty substantial -- are you going to be --
23 do you feel comfortable being able to just cross your
24 fingers and hope that it's going to be perfect enough to
25 vote on? Or would you like to build in an opportunity to

1 see things? And that would mean we need to push things
2 back. I think with Nor Cal, we're a lot more comfortable
3 at this point.

4 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: I have some thoughts
5 on that, but Commissioner Blanco.

6 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: When I think of all the, no
7 matter how brilliant Q2 is, when I think of all the
8 instructions we've given between yesterday and today, and
9 the fact that we'll be looking at some of those for the
10 revamps for the first time, I just am really concerned
11 that we don't replicate what happened with the first
12 Draft, where -- there are a lot of things that we would
13 have gotten wrong because we kept getting public comment
14 about neighborhoods and communities of interest, etc.
15 etc., but a lot of the things that we got comments were
16 as a result of haste. And that could have been with, I'd
17 say, two or three more days, we would have had a
18 substantially better first Draft. We said that the
19 second Draft is not going to be that kind of Draft like
20 the first one, and so I'm really worried that we're
21 backing ourselves into a similar situation as with the
22 first Draft, where we're going to rush through and then
23 we don't have the same luxury. So, I'm concerned about
24 this. I'm concerned that we did -- at least, I can think
25 about seven or eight major instructions that we gave

1 today that will change things. So, I'm already leaning
2 towards either putting back the release of the second
3 Draft, or even the drastic idea of saying what's more
4 important is a good map, and not having a second Draft,
5 and giving some consideration to when we do the Final
6 Draft and how we structure input around that final Draft,
7 which now we have said that input, the way we've
8 described it, was that it would be very minimal after the
9 Final. I wonder if we don't rethink that about the
10 Final, you know, we blend our two concepts here a little
11 bit.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Just in follow-up, I
13 agree with Commissioner Blanco and I'm leaning in that
14 direction, as well. And one thing to keep in mind is
15 that, as we have drawn these different Visualizations,
16 we've gotten input with each Visualization because the
17 citizens are watching what we are doing and, if we don't
18 come out with a Draft Map, we can be confident that these
19 Visualizations and the instructions that we are giving,
20 are being watched and being commented on, and can assure
21 the public that, even though some of those comments
22 aren't actually on the website, the Commissioners have
23 access, daily access, to everything that is being
24 submitted on a daily basis, and we're reading it. So we
25 do see that citizens are commenting on that. So let's

1 take a look and revisit this issue tomorrow, we can
2 consider where we're at. I think the way that it's going
3 to run is we'll keep on schedule where we're at right
4 now, unless something drastic happens tomorrow that
5 results in major direction that will push you back, then
6 we can revisit the schedule, and then I think next week
7 what ends up happening is that, if we're not comfortable
8 at the presentation stage on Tuesday and Wednesday, if we
9 don't change anything tomorrow, I think that's when we
10 might be addressing this situation where we won't be
11 doing the Draft Map on the 14th. That's how I see it. I
12 think it's a comfort level, I think it's confidence in
13 what we're putting out, and I see that, if we don't push
14 it back for technical reasons tomorrow, based on our
15 direction, then we're going to be pushing it back based
16 on our comfort in seeing the changes that we're giving
17 them directions about because we don't want it to be like
18 the Draft Maps. So I think we might be working into
19 those options for Tuesday and Wednesday if the Commission
20 is agreeable, that's kind of how we're planning the
21 schedule. Ms. Mac Donald.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: I actually wanted to --

23 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Change everything I
24 just said?

25 MS. MAC DONALD: I wanted to go back to something

1 earlier really quickly because we may actually have the
2 interface available on this database website to actually
3 show the maps. We haven't looked at our own website for
4 a while, putting the other hat on, but we actually have a
5 KML interface there, so let us explore that overnight and
6 come back to you tomorrow with a suggestion.

7 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Whatever makes that
8 easier for you, that would also be conducive to the
9 public's ability to see the maps, that would be great.
10 Any -- Commissioner Ontai.

11 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: No.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: It's 6:30!

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And, again, for those
14 that are watching and those in the audience, it is
15 certainly a good point for public comment on how they
16 might see -- what's a good way for us to proceed.
17 Obviously we're facing a clear problem that we don't want
18 to rush and that we'll need at least a couple more days
19 to stretch it out, but again, one consideration I'm
20 looking at is this very significant change in not
21 producing a Draft, but producing some Visualizations, I
22 think it's a very good point for public comment to get
23 some feedback on those options.

24 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner
25 Barabba.

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I was wondering, I know
2 we've been saving a lot of money by meeting here, I'm
3 wondering if it wouldn't be more convenient if we sought
4 the possibility of meeting in Berkeley would be a lot
5 easier for Q2 to get a little extra hours in?

6 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: We have no notice
7 for that right at the moment for the next two weeks.

8 MS. MAC DONALD: I have to say, I drive and they
9 map in the car. And we have a photo backwards in the
10 SUV, we had in Northridge, when I was driving through the
11 night with like four screens glaring behind me.

12 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, any final
13 questions? Commissioner Parvenu.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Just a final statement,
15 thank you, before we officially adjourn. This is a bit
16 off subject, but I want to say, we've had multiple
17 references to the Unity Maps, and for those viewing, I
18 just want to say that, well, today as well as yesterday,
19 that we pay attention to all maps, we're not just putting
20 extra emphasis on the Unity Maps. For example, when I'm
21 looking at mountains, I'm looking at the Sierra Club's
22 maps, when I looked at the San Fernando Valley, I looked
23 at VICA's maps. I look at the Armenian maps that were
24 given to us, East San Fernando Valley, the California
25 Conservation Action Group, along the coast we looked at

1 CAUSE's maps, we looked at the Inland Empire, African
2 American Redistricting Group Map, it's evidenced by the
3 Ebony Triangle that we tried to preserve, and California
4 Institute, and in Santa Clarita, we looked at the
5 Coalition of Suburban Communities, California League of
6 Conservation Voters, People's Advocate, it goes on and on
7 and on, and I won't belabor the point because it's late.
8 I just want to drive the point home that we have looked
9 at an abundant number of maps in making our
10 determinations and involve that in our decision making
11 process, so I want those viewing to know that their
12 efforts have not been in vain. We have studied these
13 maps and we've given them our fullest attention in making
14 these decisions.

15 CHAIRPERSON FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. Seeing
16 nothing further, we will adjourn for this evening. Thank
17 you.

18 (Adjourned at 6:39 p.m.)

19
20
21
22
23
24
25