### BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF

FULL COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING

# UNIVERSITY OF THE PACIFIC MCGEORGE SCHOOL OF LAW

CLASSROOM C

3200 FIFTH AVENUE

SACRAMENTO, CA

VOLUME II

FRIDAY, JULY 15, 2011

1:54 P.M.

TIFFANY C. KRAFT, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 12277

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC (415) 457-4417

#### APPEARANCES

### Members Present

Chair Angelo Ancheta

Gabino Aguirre

Vincent Barabba

Maria Blanco

Cynthia Dai

Michelle DiGuilio

Jodie Filkins-Webber

Stanley Forbes

Michelle Galambos-Malloy

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai

Jeanne Raya

Peter Yao

#### Staff Present

Dan Claypool, Executive Director

Kirk Miller, Legal Counsel

Janeece Sargis, Administrative Assistant

# Representing Q2

Nicole Boyle

Karin MacDonald

Alex Woods

# INDEX

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (LA County Congressional Districts)	2
3.	Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Orange County Congressional Districts)	38
4.	Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (Orange County Senate Districts)	8 0
5.	Direction to Q2 for Line Drawing (LA County Congressional Districts)	135
6.	Public Comment	168
Adjournment		172
Certificate of Reporter		173

1 2

3

4

#### PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're back from lunch. This is a meeting of the Citizens Redistricting Commission.

5 What I've asked Q2 to do right now is give us 6 more of an overview of the L.A. County/Orange County and I 7 think Riverside and the other locations that may flow from 8 these multiple options we're exploring. So I'd like to 9 get back to Ms. Woods' districts. But in order to get a 10 good sense of the various options and where we can go with 11 specific districts, I think it's better to get an overview 12 up front. And then so maybe, Ms. Boyle, if you could sort 13 of walk us through each of the options that are being 14 developed and implications for all the counties. And 15 we're basically dealing with three sets, three options.

COMMISSIONER WARD: Chair, can I make an 17 objection for the record?

18

16

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sure.

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: I think I just object to 20 going into L.A. and looking at the flow of things, because 21 I feel strongly that that's the way Orange County has been 22 examined throughout the process. And when we do that, we 23 make decisions about Orange County specific around what we 24 would like to happen in L.A.

25

So my objection and plea would be to continue to

focus on Orange County as a county for the first time, make some of the tough decisions that need to be made there, and see how that flows into L.A. and if that's what decision we might want to weigh there, instead of continue to go into L.A. and look at the broad process and then to have that help drive what we do in Orange County. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me explain my rational for doing it this way.

10 Largely focusing on the fact that given the 11 ranking of criteria and the population being first and publishing quality and then Voting Right Act compliance 12 13 being second, given several of the Los Angeles districts 14 are, among other things, potential Section 2 directs, that 15 given the ranking of priority, I think it's essential to 16 look at those two districts, because basically outrank 17 other criteria, which of course are important. But these 18 are going to have to dictate a lot of the shapes of 19 various districts, both those districts as well as those 20 in surrounding areas. That's my rational for exploring it 21 this way.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Okay. So Ms. Boyle.

MS. BOYLE: So here we are in L.A. This is Congressional Option 3. We are starting with 3, because this is the Congressional option you just saw for Orange 1 County. In this option, we have five districts with a Latino CVAP greater than 50 percent. Those are the 2 3 districts in yellow.

And the concept on this map was the Latino 5th 4 5 district with Latino CVAP greater than 50 percent is drawn independent of the Compton-Carson COI. In this case, you 7 have two separate districts. Instead of having Compton-Carson combined as the Latino 52 percent CVAP district.

10 Option 1 and 2 draw this particular district on 11 top of this district and that then raises the numbers in 12 the adjacent districts.

Would you like to look at Option 2 and then 1? 13 14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Before we do that, do the 15 Commissioners have any questions about what Ms. Boyle has 16 just stated?

17

6

8

9

Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: This may be 19 repetitive, but I need to hear it again to make sure I'm 20 understanding how this works.

21 So you've set up the options so that, for 22 example, Option 2 in L.A. works with Option 2 in Orange 23 County.

24 My question is: If I, as a Commissioner, feel 25 like, say, you know, not a matching pair of numbers are 1 the ones that work, what is the potential for us being 2 able to work around the edges and integrate them? So say 3 that I liked 2 in one and 3 in the other, are they 4 completely incompatible on their face?

MS. BOYLE: The Congressional options for L.A. or L.A. related to Orange?

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: L.A. related to Orange.

9 MS. BOYLE: They're dependent. What's changed 10 between the options are which cities I have in my 11 districts in Orange County and which cities Alex has. 12 Three is very independent. It's a completely different 13 concept. But Option 1 and 2 could maybe be rearranged 14 within itself if you like one option. But you think we 15 should look at them. It's hard for me to answer that.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Just for clarification, 17 this is Option 3?

18

5

6

7

8

MS. BOYLE: Three.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And we were looking at 20 Option 3 with Ms. Woods earlier. So this is sort of --21 part of the reason that certain of the Orange County 22 districts were drawn that way were to conform with this 23 set of districts.

24 25 MS. BOYLE: Correct.

Just to bring you back to the first draft map,

1 this area here belonged in L.A. This was in an L.A. district, this entire four corners area of L.A. So that's 2 3 one of the significant changes, is that now she has this in her district. So to make up for that exchange, I need 4 to pick up population from Orange. 5 6 So in this case, I've picked up La Habra and 7 Buena Park and La Palma. 8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner 9 Filkins-Webber. 10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I have a question on that particular point. 11 As I understand it, based on the 12 13 visualizations -- and correct me if I'm wrong. In all 14 three of these options, part of what I'm looking at is to 15 push -- but I'll certainly leave that up to you, Ms. 16 Boyle -- is that we're going back to the slivers on the 17 coast at Rancho Palos Verdes, Manhattan Beach, El Segundo. 18 And each of these options in this district almost looks 19 the same in all three options. 20 And I see the push coming into Orange County from 21 this incredibly sized district of WLADT, which we've 22 received a lot of testimony about. 23 So I just want in an overall picture that --24 before we talk about these districts individually, am I 25 correct that this is also a change from the prior

visualizations that are impacting this Orange County line
 for population purposes.

MS. BOYLE: Are you asking if this district is driving this cluster over here?

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: It appears that way based on what we saw last week and the push of the population from west to east, pushing into Orange County, because we had a line on those earlier Los Angeles visualizations that when I was studying it last night, I thought that's where part of the push would be into Orange County.

MS. BOYLE: I think part of the push was caused by picking back up this area, which I think in previous iterations was not -- correct me if I'm wrong --

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Those areas right 16 there impacted quite a bit for Riverside. But those areas 17 were corrected on the options we saw last week and we were 18 still able to preserve the Orange County line.

So I'm trying to understand through all of these options what we've now done is picked up Buena Park, and we never have before.

22 So just as an overview, it appears that this 23 district on the coast, the way that I was seeing the way 24 the population is shifting west to east is what's causing 25 the encroachment into Orange County and all of these

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 options. Just as a general overview, that's where I thought I understood the association. 2 3 MS. BOYLE: There's two things. This district 4 here was created. So this is significantly different than the visualization you saw last week. 5 6 And two, also I stopped at the OC border here. Ι 7 believe this continued, that we didn't hold this OC border 8 line. So I think that's why I needed to pick up 9 population from the other side of the OC border. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So --11 MS. BOYLE: Is that consistent with last week's visualization. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Why don't you go ahead and 14 give us the other options and let us know what the 15 differences are. 16 MS. BOYLE: Sure. So this is Option 2. 17 COMMISSIONER YAO: That was three. MS. BOYLE: We're going backwards. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: The first one we saw was 19 20 three. 21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I don't recall from 3 --22 both ports were in 3, and both ports are in 2 now? MS. BOYLE: Yes. So the difference in this 23 24 iteration is both the ports are in the same district, in the Long Beach district. And in this case, Compton-Carson 25

1 is 50 percent Latino CVAP. And you can see now that this border has changed. 2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So we have an additional 3 majority Latino seat; is that correct? One more than 4 5 Option 3? MS. BOYLE: No. We have one less. I mean, 6 7 depends on how you count this. In this iteration, 8 Compton-Carson is the fifth seat. In Option, 3 it is not. 9 There is five independent of the Compton-Carson district. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. We're just doing an 11 overview. We're trying to get a sense of the differences. COMMISSIONER PARVENU: In this option, it looks 12 like that the coastal is not a sliver. It looks like that 13 14 one picks up El Segundo and it goes -- it looks like --15 where is Westchester in this? 16 MS. BOYLE: It's right here. Just a moment. 17 Westchester is here. 18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That would give double 19 congressional representation to the airport, which I'm not 20 objecting to. 21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: With regard to the 22 airport -- and I would like those who live in L.A. to 23 weigh in on this point. 24 My understanding of where this boundary is, as I zoomed in on it on Google Earth, is that it follows the 1, 25

1 which at this point in Westchester is actually Lincoln. Meaning that functionally the airport is not with 2 3 Inglewood. That really all you're looking at on that 4 eastern side is maybe a runway, but certainly not any 5 substantial portion of the airport. So I just wanted to 6 acknowledge that. I think in that case, I think I do have 7 some concerns about this iteration.

8 And then the second piece is that I've been 9 attempting to do some additional research to understand 10 that west side, the Dockweiler Beach -- I'm constantly 11 mispronouncing that. In my research, I feel like I'm understanding it more now as a functional bridge that both 12 on foot traffic and road traffic connects the beach city. 13 14 So I think before I just understood it as just a piece of 15 land, but it --

> ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Time.

17 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: -- would be useful 18 to have more context there.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Just as reminder, we're not 20 trying to look at each district in and of themselves. 21 We're trying to get an overview what the differences are.

Commissioner Yao.

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Chair, I know we asked Q2 to 24 provide options. But what's making it difficult for me is that the baseline from last time is no longer any part of 25

16

the visualization that we're looking at. And all of a sudden, we're only looking at options.

So not having seen, for example, this particular visualization before, it just looks brand-new. I can't tie the decisions that we had made previous in previous sessions on the congressional district with the picture that I'm looking at right now. That's part of my difficulty in terms of having to determine what I'm facing today.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is the concern relative to 11 the previous visualization or to the first draft map? Or 12 what is the missing reference point?

COMMISSIONER YAO: The missing reference point is all of a sudden the airport is no longer in any of the east/west districts. Okay. So this is a brand-new visualization we have never discussed or gave direction to. So -- and then both options have basically the same scenario.

So I find myself in a very difficult situation in order to bridge what we did previously to what we are about to do today.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I'm not sure if that's 23 entirely true.

But Commissioner DiGuilio and then, Ms. Boyle,you can address the question.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just very briefly, to clarify that, we gave explicit directions last time the airport can be removed. We said there are no hard lines any longer. We had to keep all options available. Part of this was a release.

We've also had other testimony that we've heard that Inglewood would like to be linked with the airport but still have the coastal communities. So the issues of economy and pollution don't just stay -- don't just go east/west. They go north/south and all over. This is an opportunity to look at different things.

12 So again I think issue is we gave explicit 13 directions at the airport is not a locked piece. And this 14 is what happens when you try to adjust the population 15 around all the central area of L.A. It's a rotating shift 16 around a set piece in the middle.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Boyle, do you have 18 anything to add -- or do you want to address Commissioner 19 Yao's question?

MS. BOYLE: Sure. I recall receiving direction to look at splitting the airport, if it would work. I was asked to explore options. I was asked to address a concern by Commissioner Parvenu regarding third seat, that could be potential African American seat, that he felt that the African Americans had been condensed in two

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 districts, and that was inappropriate. And I was asked to 2 look at creating a third seat and what the impact of that 3 would be.

Is that incorrect?

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I don't think that's incorrect.

Commissioner Yao.

4

5

6

7

24

25

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is there any opportunity to go 9 back and look at the base line? When I voted for the 10 option, I interpret that it is an option. And now when 11 the baseline disappears, the option appears to be the new 12 baseline. And I think that's why I'm having problems.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, I don't think it is a 14 problem putting an extra layer in there if you want to see 15 what the previous baseline was. That's not too hard. I 16 don't want to confuse the issues regarding the current 17 visualization. We still have one more option to review.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The one thing that I think has changed, since the last visualization, there was direction to do -- to include a Section 2 district in the Compton area. And that was different than the first one. So once we added that extra piece, other changes had to take place; right? That's again a driver here.

> CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's correct. COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That's what's driving

all these different things. It wasn't all of a sudden a big shift to do different things. It was to add that piece of the puzzle that had to be put down, and a lot of different changes flow from that.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Parvenu.

COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I want to say this, too.

7 I didn't specify African American seat, but look 8 at other configuration so it's a central portion of Los 9 Angeles that would be an equal population configuration to 10 have an additional district in the central and the core of 11 Los Angeles. And you know, that was a who runs for that office, whatever his or her ethnic background is, it's not 12 13 the focus. It's that more concentrated representation in 14 central Los Angeles.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Boyle, again, if it's 16 not too much if we can put the original layer on. But it 17 may be cleaner -- is that what we're looking at in terms 18 of the lines and the colors?

MS. BOYLE: This is last week's congressional option.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Again, this is simply to 22 refresh our memories regarding the previous configuration. 23 And I think there may be too many lines. We tried to 24 layer both of them at this point.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

MS. BOYLE: Pardon me?

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: If you tried to layer any of the options on top of last week, would that be too hard to look at, given the number of changes? This is merely for reference.

COMMISSIONER DAI: Just to refer the Commission's memory and that for the benefits of the public, there were several issues that we brought up last week. And Ms. Boyle has attempted to correct them. So obviously they're going to look different this week.

10 One of the things that we expressed was the fact the Malibu district went too far into downtown. We were 11 concerned about how much of L.A. County was spilling into 12 Orange County down, you know, into Rossmoor and Cypress 13 14 and Buena Park. So you know we went through a process of 15 relaxing constraints to allow Ms. Boyle to see how she 16 could address that. And that's what she's showing us 17 today.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So does everyone 19 need to spend any time looking at this? Because we need 20 to take it off screen in order to look at Option 1.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: After we see all 22 of them, you'd like feedback from the Commission as far as 23 which one we'd like to explore further? Or at this point 24 you'd want us to go back and look at the Orange County 25 considerations?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Both. 1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Okay. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We have to get to both. 4 But we have some time crush regarding Ms. Woods's 5 availability. I think to the extent we can state a 6 preference for one, two, or three, we can start working 7 with that. If we need to have some additional discussion 8 to reach that point, that's fine. But I want to get back 9 to Orange County. Go ahead. 10 MS. BOYLE: So are we still on Option 3 or Option 2? 11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're on Option 1 now. 12 13 MS. BOYLE: Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: If you give us orally what 15 some of the changes or differences are. 16 MS. BOYLE: This was a real rotation in all three 17 of these options. All of the districts except for the two 18 most northern districts slightly changed to allow for 19 this. 20 Again, here we have the Compton-Carson district as the fifth district with the Latino CVAP number greater 21 22 than 50 percent. 23 Let me put up Option 2. It's more similar. 2.4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu. 25 COMMISSIONER PAVENU: I can tell you now that

1 Option 1 would not be my preferred option because of the 74 percent Latino CVAP for that downtown district. I 2 3 think that's definitely over-concentration. So I'm immediately eliminating Option 1. 4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. 5 MS. BOYLE: Would you like to pull back and put 6 7 Option 2? 8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Just to reinforce this. So 9 downtown has I think over 74 percent. 10 MS. BOYLE: Yes. This is the first iteration. 11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: How many Section 2 Latino 12 districts are there? 13 MS. BOYLE: This cluster in south L.A., there's 14 five not counting the San Fernando Valley. 15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So six with San Fernando 16 and seven with Covina? 17 MS. BOYLE: I think I was counting Covina. Let 18 me highlight them. So there are six counties in San Fernando Valley. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So again we're currently looking at Option 1; correct? 21 MS. BOYLE: Correct. 22 23 This is Option 1. Option 2 draws this out so it 24 as not as concentrated. 25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Ms. Boyle, the

total number of Latino seats is the same in Option 3; it's a different configuration of what the seats are?

MS. BOYLE: Correct. Option 3 is independent of 4 the Carson-Compton COI. It's drawn right next to it and 5 includes more of Long Beach.

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Thank you.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do we have any support for this particular option? There is a very high Latino CVAP in the downtown district. So certainly there was a 10 concentration issue there.

11 Should we talk this off the table at this point? 12 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I think it depends, as 13 we've been saying along, with the totality of what other 14 people are thinking about the other congressional 15 districts surrounding it in terms of accommodating other 16 COI. I mean, that's -- that's what we've sort of been 17 saying back and forth.

18 In general, I agree. I just don't know. I think 19 we're looking at two more options. And I don't know which 20 one accommodates the most, you know, testimony and 21 consideration, besides that one consideration.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So again, Commissioners, 23 you do have this on your computers if you're looking at them.

MS. BOYLE: This is the most compact

24

1

2

3

6

8

9

1 configuration which usually results in this district in this area having a very high Latino CVAP. 2 3 Would you like to see Option 2? CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is Option 1? 4 5 MS. BOYLE: This is Option 1. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's go to Option 2. 7 We'll have the same types of highlighting. MS. BOYLE: Just a moment, please. 8 9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Chair, can we assume 10 Option 1 -- we'll move on to other discussions, as 11 Commissioner Blanco said. We're not going to disregard anything. We're just going to keep moving on? 12 13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct. 14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: We don't want to have to 15 eliminate something and have to go back. 16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct. So this one the 17 downtown area has about a 60 percent Latino CVAP. The 18 Compton district is majority Latino, 50.15 percent. And 19 the surrounding districts are -- well, the potential 20 Section 2 districts are 50, 59, and 51. So let's go to 21 see --22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can you pull up so I can 23 see where that Long Beach line is down by San Pedro, 24 please? 25 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment, please. So San Pedro

1 is split. That was a trade off in this map. 2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's pull back out again 3 and go to Option 3. MS. BOYLE: In this case, the Latino CVAP greater 4 than 50 percent districts are in yellow. 5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So for these, the Latino 6 7 CVAPs are closer to the 50 percent. They're all basically 8 within the low 50s. And I'm not sure what the label is on 9 one of them, though. 10 MS. BOYLE: Covina? CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes. Is that --11 12 MS. BOYLE: It's 50 percent. I'm not sure of the 13 exact amount. 14 COMMISSIONER YAO: What's the number for the 15 equivalent downtown district? MS. BOYLE: The downtown district in this 16 17 iteration is 54 percent. 18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I also have a 19 question. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Filkins-Webber and then 21 Commissioner Barabba. COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Is Seal Beach 22 23 split? 24 MS. BOYLE: Just a minute. 25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let Ms. Boyle do some

1 cleanup first.

2 MS. BOYLE: No Seal Beach is not included. We 3 stopped at the OC/Long Beach County. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Long Beach is really split and it doesn't have the harbor; is that correct? 6 7 MS. BOYLE: Correct. It does not have the 8 harbor. 9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Part of it though. 10 MS. BOYLE: Let me double-check that. COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: It has the Long 11 12 Beach. It should have the Long Beach. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: One person at a time, 14 please. 15 MS. BOYLE: Just a minute. 16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I see. It has a piece of 17 it. 18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's the Long Beach city 19 line. MS. BOYLE: Yes. It is Long Beach. And Long 20 Beach is only split twice in this iteration. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba and 22 23 then Commissioner Galambos-Malloy. 24 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The other advantage of 25 this one that I see with Long Beach is that it does

include the corridor of -- the transportation corridor relative to people's concerns about the traffic coming out of the harbor.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Galambos-Malloy and then Parvenu and Dai and Yao.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: So for having looked at all of them right now, I think my inclination of where I would like to start as a baseline is actually with this visualization, not that I think any of them are perfect. I think they're definitely closer than we were last week.

But what I see reflected in this visualization is actually much of the rationale that we talked through in constructing our assembly districts yesterday, but from a larger geographic framework, which I think, you know, is a really accurate interpretation of the COI.

17 I did feel uncomfortable about the level of 18 Latino concentration in the visualization we had just 19 looked at. My preference would be if there is a way of 20 having the same number of Latino seats across the L.A. 21 region, but in doing so in a way that also preserves some 22 of the competitiveness or opportunity to compete in the 23 historically African American areas of Los Angeles, then I 24 would be really interested in exploring that further. So 25 that's my two cents.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think it was -- short term memory. I think it was Parvenu and then Dai and then Yao.

4 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree. My preference 5 would lean towards this visualization. We have had 6 testimony from the residents of San Pedro that they are 7 residentially linked -- would like to be linked with the 8 Palos Verdes and the South Bay group. It looks like the 9 port of L.A. is there tied to the town or village of San 10 And it looks like we -- at least on the Long Beach Pedro. 11 eastern side before entering Seal Beach, we preserve the integrity of the county line, at least in that area before 12 we go up to Buena Park, which has similarities with 13 Cerritos and La Mirada. So overall, my leaning would be 14 15 towards this iteration.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao and then 17 Dai and Webber.

18 COMMISSIONER YAO: Commissioner Dai is first. 19 COMMISSIONER DAI: You always skip over me. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: It's not intentional. 20 21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can we just put up Option 1 22 I know that has a concentration problem. again? But 23 given that all of these -- if I understand Ms. Boyle, all 24 of these options have these same number of Latino majority 25 districts; is that correct?

1 2

MS. BOYLE: Correct. 1 COMMISSIONER DAI: This one is a lot more 2 3 compact. I think it respects a couple of other COIs 4 I think it's better along the Orange County better. 5 border. I think it has the Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights. It has Hacienda Heights, which we've never been able to 6 7 fully respect. I think it keeps the Monterey 8 Park/Alhambra area better. It keeps the southeast cities 9 a lot of those together. It still has most of the 10 Compton-Carson COI. 11 I mean, I think if we're looking at the same number of districts, I think that where -- the better that 12 13 we can support it with the community of interest 14 testimony, I think that will be strong. It's also much 15 more compact if we just look at it. So that's my thought 16 at this point. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao. 18 COMMISSIONER YAO: I'd like to flash through the 19 three options, but take a look at the AVAP for the two 20 pockets in San Gabriel Valleys, the Diamond Bar also and 21 also for the Pasadena and San Gabriel Valley area and see 22 how it impacts the AVAP. 23 MS. BOYLE: This is Option 1. The Asian CVAP in 24 the San Gabriel Valley Foothill district is 31.45 percent. 25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

1 MS. BOYLE: For the Diamond Bar district, that's a So Cal district. At this time, I don't have Option 1. 2 3 Just a moment. Alex is going to look that up for you, 4 Commissioner. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're short-handed in So 5 6 Cal. This is a non-L.A. County, southern California. 7 MS. BOYLE: Would we like to go on? 8 COMMISSIONER YAO: Why don't you go on to the 9 next option and focus on ---10 MS. BOYLE: This is Option 1. 11 This is Option 2. And I do have boundaries for 12 this area. 13 So in this iteration for Alex in Option 2, the 14 Diamond Bar district, Chino Hills, Placentia has an Asian 15 CVAP of 24 percent. And the San Gabriel Valley still has 16 a 31.62 percent. 17 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay. And for Option 3? 18 MS. BOYLE: Just a moment. 19 So Option 3, San Gabriel Valley has a 31.23 20 percent CVAP -- Asian CVAP. And Diamond Bar district --21 just a moment, please. 22 Option 3 for the Diamond Bar district is 19 23 percent Asian CVAP. 24 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you. 25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins-Webber 1 and Barabba and then Forbes.

2 MS. BOYLE: Option 1 has a 24 percent Latino CVAP 3 in this area.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Filkins-Webber and Parvenu 5 and Forbes.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I apologize. I concur with what Commissioner Yao said earlier. Switching between these option is very difficult.

9 We were at Option 3 before Commissioner Dai
10 looked or commented on Option 2. But --

11

6

7

8

COMMISSIONER DAI: Option 1.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Whatever. At this 13 point, it's really ridiculous to switch back and forth.

But we were looking at Option 3 beforehand. And I just want the Commission to recognize quite a number of community interests -- community of interest testimony that is being sacrificed. We've got Hawthorne that's not in the South Bay. When we are looking at Option 3, it likely will split Dana Point at the bottom because I've looked at that and it will push into Orange County.

21 We have a situation of Buena Park where we've 22 never put Buena Park in with Los Angeles. So we're 23 splitting up that community of interest. We have a 24 district that runs from Florence-Graham all the way down 25 to Seal Beach. I just see a multitude of issues here and

the airport -- the district in Santa Monica --1 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Time. 2 3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- down to Rancho 4 Palos Verdes is another one going from Malibu all the way 5 down. There's numerous issues with all of these options. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: 6 Thank you. 7 Commissioner Barabba. 8 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I was leaning towards 3 9 until I started to look at some of those districts. 10 Option 1, I think Commissioner Dai's point is 11 much more compact. And the only problem that we raised is 12 the issue in downtown. But I would imagine you would be 13 able to switch some Hispanic/Latino population between the 14 districts around it. Is there like a 50 I think? So you 15 might be able to reduce the Option 1. Option 1 is much 16 more compact in its appearance. I think it treats the 17 harbors and everything a lot better, and we don't have it 18 going down to Seal Beach. 19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: What are we looking at 20 currently, Ms. Boyle? 21 MS. BOYLE: This is Option 1. 22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is Option 1. 23 So I've got -- can I move on to the next? 24 Commissioner Forbes and then Commissioner DiGuilio. 25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think that Commissioner

Barabba said is correct. My concern is if I'm a litigant and I look at this map, I'm going say, excuse me. We do have a 74 percent. Why would you create a 60 district. That's how I would view this in this heavy concentration. We have five districts right now, but I --

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Six.

COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would create one more then. I would say why can't you shift that 74 percent down to 50 and move that 24 percent into some other district, which would give me yet another district. And that's my concern with that heavy concentration.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So Commissioner DiGuilio and then Galambos-Malloy.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I guess there's two things. One is a comment and one is a question.

When you look at that very long coastal district, I think we have to remember a couple things. Part of it is a result of the center trying to work around the Section 2 issues. And to keep from isolating the northern part of that, you link it with a coastal. And we've heard over and over that particularly in congressional at the federal level that there is a coastal community that is very strong.

If you look from the start of the northern
California all the way down with the exception of the Bay

6

Area and Ventura County, we have very long coastal districts and congressional districts. And I think there is an aspect that looks a little long and out of place, but I think we have to put it on the record there is a 4 5 context for why that is. And also it does preserve the Santa Monica mountains and the Santa Monica Bay, which 7 we've heard a lot of testimony about.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: You know, I do understand that there could be an argument made for other 12 options regarding the compactness.

13 My concern around us using that as a starting 14 point is that compactness comes fairly far down our list 15 of criteria. So I think it's a consideration, but my 16 perspective is that I need to have met the four criteria 17 or five that come above that.

18 So if there is an option that meets community of 19 interest -- and I'm by no means saying any of these 20 options are perfect. I'm trying to identify what's the 21 base we start from.

22 If I'm looking at various options, I want to make 23 sure I have met the cities, counties, neighborhoods of 24 interest and COI, and whether or not that turns out to be 25 compact is -- it's not irrelevant, but it's a lesser

9 10 11

1

2

3

1 consideration. I think we just need to remind ourselves of that. 2

> CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

2.4

25

So Commissioner Ward and then Commissioner And then I want to take a straw vote. Blanco.

COMMISSIONER WARD: I wanted to start off with 7 repeating my objection that, both the off line and on, I've made urgent appeals to go and consider Orange County for the first time first and then see how its affect is on L.A., because making decisions in L.A. has -- and seeing 11 how that drives in orange County has made Orange County an afterthought. And we've continued to move into L.A. and 12 start started to make decisions there and throw Orange 14 County into whatever happens, happens.

15 And I do want to say that that coastal district 16 to me is an issue because we're not trying to meet COI. 17 We're respecting them. And -- local COI. And there is --18 even with the meeting COI standard, Rowland Hills has 19 nothing to do with Malibu. I mean, that district is not 20 compact. It respects -- maybe portions of communities of 21 interest along the district, but certainly not as a 22 district as a whole. And Latino CVAP and the 74 percent 23 is certainly a concern.

> CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco. COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'll pass for now.

1 2

3

4

5

19

22

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao, and we're going to take -- I don't have a clear sense of any consensus here certainly. But maybe we can try to eliminate one.

Commissioner Yao.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: I support -- Option 1 appears 7 to be the best of all even, though with the 74 percent the 8 fact is we have two or three different options. And we 9 have not been able to increase the number of Latino 10 districts. So it's not the fact that the 71 percent is an 11 issue. It's just a fact that we have made a lot of different attempts. And the totality of the situation is 12 we came out with basically identical results and with the 13 14 compact districts with the fact that it impact the Asian 15 districts, the lease, I think are clear priority items.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me ask between options, 17 are we looking between 1and 3? Or is anyone supporting 18 Option 2 at this point?

COMMISSIONER WARD: Two.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Ward would 21 support Option 2.

And Commissioner Barabba?

23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I have a technical
24 question to ask.
25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Go ahead.

1 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Is it possible that we lose is, it the concentration within Option 1 of downtown? 2 3 MS. BOYLE: I believe so. COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Thank you. 4 5 MS. BOYLE: I believe it could be done within these two districts. It might involve an additional city 6 7 split or other splits of other cities. And I might -- I 8 was trying to keep this COI together in this iteration. 9 But if I draw through that, yes, I can more evenly 10 distribute the numbers. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Who supports Option 1? COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can I ask? 12 13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco, you 14 support 1 and 2? 15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I want to say something 16 about 3. I wanted to express that the concern I have with 17 the Option 3 is something that goes back to our conversation of the last few days. It's the one where we 18 19 really do minimize the -- it's a hard to see from here. 20 Can you show us the Latino CVAP in the Compton district in 21 Option 3? 22 MS. BOYLE: Yes. Option 3, the Compton district, 23 has a Latino CVAP of 34 percent. 24 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: This is precisely what our 25 counsel has advised us not to do. He said you may not

1 have to draw a majority, but given the racially polarized, 2 voting particularly right here, if you minimize the Latino 3 CVAP in this particular district, you are very close to 4 violation of Section 2. So I can't vote for this option.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me just complete the straw vote. I don't think we have even a nine person. I want to see where we're at.

5

6

7

25

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Commissioner 9 Ancheta, I have one thought that is not one of these three 10 options, but I wanted it to not disappear would be that 11 what if we looked at Option 3, but within Option 3, we're 12 looking at ways to increase the Latino CVAP within the 13 Compton district?

I would be interested in Commissioner Blanco and other's responses to that. Because again I'm trying to think of not necessarily that one of these options is perfect, but it's a closer starting point to something we can feel comfortable with. If it's the only way folks would consider it is to increase Latino CVAP, I'm definitely interested in that as well.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So -22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can we get an answer
23 from Ms. Boyle if that is a possibility or what would
24 result?

MS. BOYLE: So we could raise the CVAP number on

1 the Compton-Carson district, but all of these adjacent districts are fairly low. So that might bring this one 2 3 below 50 percent, but it would still be in the high 40s. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: 4 Okay. 5 MS. BOYLE: I'd have to add Lynwood, and it would 6 require a split of Downey, right. If I move in Lynwood, 7 I'm starting to develop a contiguity issue with this district. So I'll have to push it out here into Downey. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: In Option 1, it's 10 50 percent. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me do a straw vote. We may be at a problem point, because I don't know if we have 12 13 a solid majority to move ahead. Let's just do this. 14 Option 1, raise your hand. 15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: When with we say yes, 16 are we saying that's a starting point? 17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah. It's not like we 18 can't make some adjustments here. There are some 19 significant differences obviously between the options. Ι 20 need to get a sense of where we can at least start. 21 So I've got -- Mr. Parvenu, is your hand up? 22 Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah. 24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner 25 DiGuilio, are you putting your hands to your forehead?

1 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: If we have to start somewhere, I guess I'll start there. 2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Including myself, nine. 3 Others? Well, Option 2. 4 Two. 5 Option 3, okay. So I would propose for right now in order to get 6 7 back to Orange County and to get Ms. Woods work completed 8 as best we can today that we proceed with Option 1, with 9 the note we'll go back obviously to these districts and 10 make some adjustments. But we need to be able to work 11 through Orange County with some sense of we're not going 12 to make major revisions based on surrounding counties. 13 Okay. 14 So I don't know if for Ms. Woods how -- which 15 option does that turn into your --16 MS. WOODS: I don't know if it's online yet. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That is an issue then. 18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: It's an issue for who? 19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: The problem is Ms. Woods 20 has one available the aligns with Option 1. However, it's 21 not posted at this point. That's an issue. 22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: She has it on her 23 computer. 2.4 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: They can capture --25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: They can capture if they're 1 watching online. I think we can go forward. We have to 2 make sure that does get posted fairly quickly. This can 3 be sent over to staff. I don't know that it will be 4 available on the interactive tool immediately. But I 5 think those watching can certainly view on the screen.

So you do have Option 1 on your computer at least. Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

25

Do you want to take a break to make sure that you at least we can start the process of getting that set of files uploaded?

11 So what we know at this point is that the file --12 the KMZ file, which is the Google Earth compatible file, 13 is available on the CRC website. So if you're using 14 Google Earth, you can take that file, load it into Google, 15 and it will appear as a layer. It is not yet loaded on 16 the interactive tool. Okay.

So we will try to proceed and be deliberative about describing what's going on as we go forward. We'll have to do this with the -- on screen. Those of you that have access to Google Earth, you can rely on that tool.

21 The KMZ file is available, but the interactive 22 tool is not available yet.

23 Do you need to take a break to load these files 24 up?

MS. WOODS: I can just switch over right now.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

MS. MACDONALD: My apologies. There is a lot of technical things going on. And I'm checking with making sure the visualizations is on the database. I'm sorry about the multiple balls up in the air here.

COMMISSIONER BARABBA: You're forgiven.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So just members of the public, to explain the difference, we have two tools available as well those watching on video. You'll be able to see on video what we're doing. Again, the quality may not be ideal. But you at least have a description of what we're doing and visual reference.

13 If you access to the program Google Earth, which 14 is downloadable for free, you can load a .KMZ file, which 15 will be available for Option 1 So Cal Congressional on the 16 Commission's website. And that will load into Google 17 Earth.

18 What is not available quite yet but hopefully 19 available soon is the interactive tool available on the 20 statewide database, which is zoomable Google Maps.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Can I ask a 22 process point here?

I have some level of concern that our conversation regarding which option to move forward with in L.A. was done without having reviewed Option 1 in Orange County. Am I correct in that's my assessment of where we're at? Essentially now we've said we're going to move forward with exploring Option 1 in L.A., which now dictates that we're somewhat locked into Option 1 in Orange County. We've done so without seeing Option 1 in Orange County. Is that true?

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I don't think we're
8 necessarily locked in. We have to look at Option 1 in
9 Orange County at this point. We need to start someplace,
0 because if we're using the priorities of Section 2
1 compliance over others, we need to -- it's a chicken and
2 egg. We have to start somewhere. If we start with one
3 option and we choose another with the Section 2 districts,
4 we're stuck again.

15 So I don't think it necessarily locks us in. It 16 certainly is moving us in a certain direction. But I 17 think if we say this just doesn't work and would work with 18 Option 3, having seen Option 3 or Option 2, we can revert 19 back. I think Commissioners are open to doing that.

20 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I'd just like to 21 reserve that right.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Certainly didn't mean to say we're lock it all in. We have to start someplace in order to get going.

COMMISSIONER DAI: I think that many of us looked

1 at the outline for Orange County and took that into consideration. Part of the reason I was going for Option 2 3 1 is that I think it's better for Orange County, too. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Again, the 4 5 challenge, of course, is all these pieces are -- affect 6 each other. So we're trying to make sure we can -- as 7 best we can make appropriate decisions for the region. 8 But we have -- when you have multiple options, you have to 9 think them all through. 10 Ms. Woods, are you ready? MS. WOODS: Yes. So CSTSN is the same district 11 we looked at when we were looking at Option 3. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Those instructions were a 14 small swap for that district. That would still apply for 15 this option. 16 Just as a general question, how different are the 17 Orange County districts from option to option? 18 MS. WOODS: Option 1 and Option 2 are very 19 similar. 20 With Option 1, I take Cypress and part of Buena Park into the LBPRT, which I do not do in Option 2. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think --22 23 COMMISSIONER WARD: It's important to note this 24 option brings Long Beach in. And the other option did not 25 do that.

1 Again, not only in a number of ways respected Orange County better, but it certainly didn't go into Long 2 Beach and bring all of that in. 3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is similar Options 1 4 5 and 2 have this configuration. 6 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: How can Long Beach be in 7 Congressional when Congressional -- L.A. County there is a 8 border. Oh. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is actually not a 10 district -- this is not one of your districts then, in 11 other words. The purple --MS. WOODS: 12 True. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- is not -- Ms. Woods, is 14 this outside your district? 15 MS. WOODS: No. Still is -- it's just the LBPRT 16 district was unfinished. So it needs to go south into 17 Huntington Beach in order to reach equal populations. 18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: If you click on it 19 on the statewide database, Option 1 is underpopulated by 20 268,000 people at LBPRT. It was unfinished on the 21 Congressional L.A. Option 1 we looked at earlier. 22 She's up the additional population into Orange 23 County that we did not see when we looked at the 24 Congressional L.A. Option 1. 25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: We need to go back and

see what those three options -- what happens to the -- if 1 Long Beach and all three of those was unfinished, we need 2 3 to know --MS. WOODS: Long Beach and Option 1 and Option 2 4 5 is joined with Orange County. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Expect maybe a change of 6 7 votes based on that district. 8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: This is a 9 significant consideration. 10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: There is a significant 11 difference in the deviation. 12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: We need to may be qo back. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's okay. This is what 15 we're trying to work through. 16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: This would be helpful if we 17 could have it pointed out. Because I think we're focusing 18 on certain things and we didn't see -- at least I didn't see that deviation issue. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So let's do this, Ms. 21 Woods. Why don't you go through Orange County's 1, 2, and 22 3 so we're clear how they align and try to keep in memory 23 here recollection of the Los Angeles districts. 24 MS. WOODS: So this LBPRT district includes Huntington Beach, Seal Beach, Los Alamitos, and Cypress 25

1 and splits Buena Park.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: How does that look under Option 2 if you can pull that up? So --

MS. WOODS: So under Option 2, it includes Huntington Beach, Sunset Beach, Seal Beach, Los Alamitos, Long Beach, Hawaiian Gardens, Signal Hill. And I believe it stretches further over here.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Give us Option 3.

9 MS. WOODS: In Option 3, this is Seal -- this is 10 a hard border between L.A. and Orange County. And this is 11 an OCCST district. And it includes Laguna Beach, Laguna 12 Niguel -- or it will split Laguna Niguel, Costa Mesa, 13 Fountain Valley, Westminster, Midway City. It splits 14 Garden Grove. And this is the Little Saigon here.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is basically what we 16 looked at before lunch; correct?

17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MS. WOODS: Correct.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I suspect there is a 19 reconsideration on options based on -- is there anything 20 else we might want to look at at the borders either --21 because I get a sense of Option 3 is becoming more 22 population at this point. But it might be good to look at 23 some others if there is some shifts in our other So Cal 24 districts.

25

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Are there any

1 shifts farther north on the Orange County line we should be aware of? 2

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Why don't you give us a 4 panorama for this district. So we're still on Option 3. And again, Option 3 is available on all sources online. 5

MS. WOODS: So in Option 3, Buena Park, La Palma, La Habra qo into L.A. for this district.

And then Brea, Fullerton, Placentia, Anaheim are joined with Chino Hills and Diamond Bar.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Are there any other 11 impacted areas, for example, Riverside that need to be 12 looked at?

13 MS. WOODS: The border between Riverside and 14 Orange County is intact, with the exception of -- or this is San Bernardino. So Chino Hills. We're crossing the 15 San Bernardino border for Chino Hills. 16

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. And the remainder of 18 San Bernardino is pretty stable?

3

6

7

8

9

19

MS. WOODS: Correct.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Is the push then since 21 in Option 3 the push is not across the L.A./Orange and the 22 south? The push is across the boundary to the north?

23 MS. WOODS: Yeah. It's this Buena Park, La 24 Habra, and then this is where the population --25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The push goes the

1 northern part of Orange, L.A. and then into actually even 2 in L.A./Orange east as well, too. So our choices are 3 population push in the north or down in the south on the 4 coast.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any other comments at this 6 point?

I think we can maybe move for reconsideration of the options. Possibly. Possibly not.

9

7

8

Okay. Commissioner Ward.

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'll just comment that 11 obviously this iteration does a lot more to respect --12 again not very well -- but does more to respect the input 13 from the communities of Orange County.

14 And again to be a broken record, as I reported 15 when we went into L.A. and we're making decisions based 16 off of it, we've been explain unintended consequences in 17 Orange County, it's never gotten to be taken on its own 18 It's always been an afterthought to L.A. and San merits. Diego. I think we should make the hard decisions here and 19 20 then move into L.A. so we can adequately address again the 21 sixth biggest county in the nation's unique issues.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Which is, of course, adjacent to the largest county in the nation.

23 24

25

22

Commissioner DiGuilio.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just want refresh one

more thing. I thought there was also this issue that the second criteria is the VRA issue and the Compton does not -- I understand our counsel says that even though it has the boundary on the Orange/L.A. that Compton does not -- we are at risk here.

And so you know -- God, this is just a continual balancing act. But I know the COIs, and I know some of the things we'd like to have hard lines. But I guess I, again, am just as a regular citizen, not as a lawyer, trying to just see that -- I can't go against the VRA issue if it's the second one. We've done it everywhere else in the state and I have to be consistent.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I think I take a 16 different perspective on how I would approach this.

As I understand the counsel that we've been given, it has been that there is evidence of racially polarized voting within L.A. County.

But really the Commission has some flexibility in how we decide to apply and interpret the protection that we need to have for minority groups. If we're looking at visualizations that across the board provide an equal number of Latino districts, I think one of our driving considerations then needs to be if those things are equal, 1 what are the other trade-offs that we're looking at when 2 you look at the remaining criteria that we have to deal 3 with as a Commission. We were not told absolutely that we 4 have to draw a Section 2 Latino district in Compton 5 Carson.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai and then Commissioner Blanco.

COMMISSIONER DAI: Again, I wasn't making an argument based on that.

I think if you just look at communities of interest that I still think Option 1 is better, with the exception of the fact that we have to go across the Long Beach border there, which, you know, is a little offensive.

But if you look at all the other communities of interest though, they are better respected, including in Orange County. This incarnation La Habra is sucked back in here. Buena Park is sucked back here and without Artesia, Cerritos and La Palma.

I think if you look back at Option 1, it's actually -- with the exception of that one district, it's better for every other district community of interest.

And I do believe that we could -- I don't know if we need to muck with the downtown district. This is where it would be interesting to get some -- another look at

6

7

8

this by Mr. Brown, because it's very high Latino CVAP. But it's because we actually have the southeast cities together, which we've not been able to do in any other incarnation. So given that it's not in line, none of the 4 5 other ways --

> ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Time.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco.

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So I listened very carefully to counsel, and I agree we weren't mandated to draw something that was over 50 percent of this area. But what we were told is not do something that would substantially reduce the Latino CVAP in this area.

And this district in Option 3 is down at 34 percent, whereas we know because now we have seen the other possibilities of a 50 percent and another one, which was slightly higher than this, but I believe under 50. I'm not sure.

So we now know that there is -- we know the polarized voting. We know the possibility. If we go with one that is substantially lower and we've actually put divided up areas in here and then extended into other areas, basically create that dilution, I'm concerned --I'm not advocating we have to go 50 percent. I'm saying to go from where we know there is a compact community of 25 interest and in Section 2 district that we know is there

1

2

3

6

and is possible to go down to 34 is very problematic. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner 3 Galambos-Malloy, and I'm going to -- again, just given a 4 lot of shaking heads, I want to just confirm that we have 5 the same starting point. 6 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I would agree with 7 the comments that Commissioner Blanco has made. I think, 8 again, we have some flexibility how we move forward as a 9 Commission, whether it's 50 percent or 30 percent or maybe 10 40 percent. I think we have some flexibility to revisit. 11 Still, when I look at the big picture and I look 12 at the impacts, now as we move down the Orange County, I 13 would still work towards Option 3. However, if we end up 14 with Option 1, I'm happy to work with it. But I think, in 15 either case, there are substantial changes that need to be 16 made to the iterations that we're viewing here. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Let's revisit this 18 question again. We've had a lot of nodding heads. 19 Option 1? 20 COMMISSIONER WARD: Are you talking about L.A.? CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, either. They're 21 22 basically the same options. 23 So Option 1? Four. Sorry. More hands. Seven. 24 Option 2? 25 And Option 3? I have a bunch of not sures.

Let's do this again. I'm getting a bunch of --1 it's fine. 2 3 Do you want to take a break and think about this? Do you want to do that? 4 5 I want to make sure we're moving forward with a significant number of Commissioners. We'll take a break. 6 7 It's a lot to process. 8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm rotating maps. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think people want to look at the maps on their screen. This is important. We're 10 11 behind. We have to get this settled down. So let's take a five-minute break then. 12 13 (Whereupon a recess was taken.) 14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you. We're back from 15 break. 16 Ms. MacDonald, let's again summarize the 17 differences, and then I want to take a straw vote on 18 moving forward. 19 MS. MACDONALD: So really the one big difference 20 in these three options in really how these three options 21 came about was in two options, the Compton-Carson COI is 22 basically used to create one of the VRA districts. And in 23 one option, the Compton-Carson COI is left intact to draw 24 the VRA district. And those are basically the big 25 distinctions between those three options in Los Angeles.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

MS. MACDONALD: In a nutshell.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any further clarification at this point? We just have to go forward. We're running short on time for today. Ms. Woods has to finish up her districts. Okay.

> Option 1, raise your hand high, please. Eleven. Option 2: One.

Option 3: One.

1

2

7

8

9

21

10 Commissioner Filkins-Webber, are you abstaining? 11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I'm abstaining. I 12 can't agree with any of these options.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's fine. That's okay. 14 Again, I think we are trying to move forward. We can make 15 changes as we're going district by district. That's not 16 precluded at all. We have to get something going so we 17 can figure out how we're moving forward with these 18 obviously interlocking districts.

Ms. Woods, let's keep going. That's where we were.

MS. WOODS: So this is Option 1.

The WSTCST district would split Laguna Niguel, includes Laguna Hills -- includes Aliso Viejo, Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Fountain Valley, Midway City, Westminster, Garden Grove, Stanton, and includes the

1 community of interest of Little Saigon. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Comments around the 2 district? 3 This is a summary of what's the basis for this 4 5 type of -- and you don't have to agree with it. We need to summarize what's the attributes of the district. 6 7 Commissioner Forbes or anybody who wants to 8 summarize very quickly what's going on here. 9 Commissioner Dai. 10 COMMISSIONER DAI: So this keeps the Little 11 Saigon community of interest intact. And it has rest of the coast going north I guess a little bit into Laguna for 12 13 population. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner 15 Filkins-Webber. 16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Obviously, this 17 district also respects Irvine's input that they do not wish to be on a coastal district. But it also conflicts 18 19 with Aliso Viejo who does not consider themselves part of 20 the coastal. They consider them part of south OC. 21 I'm a little troubled by the Laguna Niguel all 22 the way to Stanton. But that's all I can comment on at 23 this time, because obviously it's in consideration of 24 other input that we've received regarding individuals who 25 do not wish to be with other individuals, which I still

1 have trouble with in this entire process.

6

7

8

18

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: I would wonder why you can't 3 make that a coastal district instead of -- go up and grab 4 Westminster and Garden Grove down into Laguna beach 5 coastal district.

Again, I don't know of any reason to do that other than -- I agree, COI that says we don't want to be with these people --

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Commissioner Ward, are you 10 suggesting that -- I'll call it the purple finger or green 11 finger for lack -- that those are reversed? The green 12 stays on the coast?

COMMISSIONER WARD: Right. The idea would be just extend the northwestern border of the coastal district up the coast until we reach our population and fill north from there in the LBRT to make compact districts.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Guilio.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think what 20 Commissioner Forbes is asking and I'm wondering -- because 21 it seems like the one that's the most out of place is the 22 Garden Grove, Westminster. So do they have more in common 23 with the way it's configured now down to Newport or do 24 they have more in common with Long Beach? Because if we 25 do the coastal district, as Commissioner Forbes is stating

or suggesting, like Laguna Niguel up through Huntington Beach, maybe we could -- I'm not sure what the population is, but somehow that Garden Grove area will have to be either the northern part of Long Beach with Cypress and 4 5 Stanton and Rossmoor maybe we do that and northern Long Beach and have a long coastal.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: An Orange County coastal. 8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: An Orange and L.A. coastal. But then you have the ports, the L.A. and Long 9 10 Beach port, possibly going all the way down to Laguna 11 Niquel.

12

20

21

1

2

3

6

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Forbes.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Again, just for thought --14 I agree. I think the part that strikes me to be the most 15 out of place is the Garden Grove, Westminster part of the 16 coast. I mean, if this is the configuration we're going 17 to have, I would think a completely coastal district would 18 be better. But I don't know how that balances by 19 population.

> I'd like to get Commissioner Ward's comment. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DiGuilio.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I was just going to ask, 23 Ms. Woods, what the population -- I do think there is some 24 things in common between Cypress, Stanton, Rossmoor with 25 maybe the Westminster, Garden Grove. If we did kind of a

cluster there in that green part, how much into Long Beach would you have to go to get population?

Or maybe the reverse is saying, what is like the 4 coastal part of west CST that we would have to be replacing? Am I making sense? I need Commissioner Ontai's marker.

7 I guess I'm trying to find a home. I guess what 8 Commissioner Ward is saying that top bowl of the green 9 doesn't fit with the coastal. I'm trying to find a home 10 for it. I want to see what the population is between the 11 top bowl and the bottom and see how much we have to switch 12 the purple and green finger.

13 MS. WOODS: So this area, Garden Grove, is about 14 170,000. It's split, but it's not split by that much. 15 Westminster is 89,000. Fountain Valley is 55,000.

16 And then over here, this purple finger is Laguna 17 Niquel, which will be split, which will be about 30,000 18 people once we split Laguna Niguel.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm sorry. It wasn't 20 that purple finger. If you we go back -- let me see if I 21 can use the pointer.

22 What I was saying is this section right here is 23 the part we're trying to match up. It either goes here 24 right now or I'm just looking at the idea of connecting it 25 with witness Cypress in this area here, maybe dropping the

1 2

3

5

line. And how far into maybe northern Long Beach would you have to go? So what you have left is a coast. Or maybe if you don't go all the way up, you can still keep part of the harbor with Long Beach. 4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

I'm trying to find a way to keep the ports with Long Beach, but to keep this area maybe like here centered more. I don't know if that's what people would like to explore.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba and 10 Commissioner Blanco.

11 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: One of the approaches would be to take the west CST district, drop it into 12 13 Huntington Beach, which is tied to Long Beach right now, 14 and then take Garden Grove, a portion of it, an equal 15 portion of it, and move it into the LBPRT. That way 16 you've got Long Beach PRT including Seal Beach and all 17 that area there, but then you've got a nicer coastal 18 district and then Garden Grove and Long Beach.

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: Is there any way to work 20 in -- we have received input as late as even this morning 21 on Villa Park. Could we drop that out of SNORN? We could 22 add some of west CST into blue, or into SNORN.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can you give 24 Commissioner Ward the pointer for me while he's talking 25 in?

COMMISSIONER WARD: So the concern would be responding to the COI testimony from Villa Park and Orange Hills and putting them with what they identify is their sister cities. I can't see what is right above that yet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

25

But so then maybe you want to drop that down. So let's make -- we're going to make a rotation, right? So having Huntington Beach and Costa Mesa be the north border of the coastal district here.

9 I guess going with a counter clockwise rotation 10 of population, we could put Anaheim Hills. I don't know 11 if we need to. But let's see what we end up with a clock-wise rotation. 12

Alex, if you have an opinion on this --14 MS. WOODS: If you move Orange and Villa Park 15 into the purple many district, that would be 140,000 16 people. Where would the extra 140,000 people -- you're 17 suggesting Anaheim Hills going -- it would not be enough population here in the Anaheim Hills to move 140,000 18 19 people to LHBYL. So you would have to also add additional 20 population probably to the --

21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Green district. Can you 22 go down south so we can see what that is?

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: How about an Irvine split 24 on -- and Irvine split here?

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Are you looking that up

1 right now? Is that a suggestion to look at something? COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes, please. We don't have 2 3 to -- I mean, your choice.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: You're saying as part the large rotation, you're looking at Irvine as a split. Okay.

7 So Commissioner Blanco and then Commissioner Yao. 8 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I was just going to say I 9 know we're dealing with different numbers, way bigger 10 numbers. But in a sense, what we're doing here kind of 11 tracks with what the Assembly districts did in this area. 12 I mean, obviously we're dealing with bigger populations 13 but where we put the Villa Park and Anaheim Hills and what 14 we moved and what was coastal and the split that we had 15 done in Irvine.

16 In some ways, we had a similar configuration. 17 But obviously, it won't work because the numbers. But that's kind of what we had -- the communities that we had 18 19 put together in an Assembly in a sense.

20

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao.

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: Could you shrink the pictures 22 so I can see both the coastal districts?

23 This is what I have in mind. Combine these two 24 districts, okay, instead of dividing up like this. Divide 25 it up like this.

4

5

COMMISSIONER FORBES: Exactly.

2 COMMISSIONER YAO: So you basically have the same 3 population to work with. And you have an inland district. You have a coastal district. 4

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think that's consistent with Commissioner Barabba's earlier suggestion as well.

COMMISSIONER YAO: See this district right here? These two districts, combine that. And then somehow make the population distribution work out so that half of it is on the inland side and the other half is on the coastal side.

12

13

16

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's a suggestion. Commissioner Filkins-Webber and Di Guilio.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I was confused. Ι 15 was following Commissioner Barabba there and then I was trying to follow everybody else's suggestion. And now 17 Commissioner Yao has added something else in there.

18 So if Commissioner Barabba -- I was trying to 19 follow you there. If you could repeat.

20 I like the idea of the Huntington Beach more with 21 Newport Beach if we separate it at Seal Beach. There is 22 somewhat of a divide there. And then if you're adding the 23 population to the purple, LBPRT, it was my understanding 24 you were looking at the Westminster, Garden Grove. COMMISSIONER BARABBA: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I also do have some 1 concerns about Aliso Viejo. But again, one other driving 2 3 factor here is the fact that the city of Irvine is so large in the middle of this entire district. So if we 4 5 needed to consider a split.

But I was trying to see where this larger circle was going, because I think Villa Park does go with the north Tustin area as well.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Di Guilio.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Maybe can we start with the coastal district first and maybe address Villa Park, 12 if that's okay. Can we go back?

13 What I liked about Commissioner Barabba's -- the 14 difference between Commissioner Barabba's and Commissioner 15 Yao's, Yao had a long coastal that went all the way -- it 16 took the green and went all the way up around in here. Ι 17 like Commissioner Barabba's better, more like the cut 18 here. Because then you could get Huntington Beach down here and Garden Grove over here. 19

20 The thing is I like the ports with the Long Beach 21 area, because the same issue I had with the first option 22 for L.A. Congressional was it had the cut here. So it had 23 the ports here going all the way up the coast with Malibu. 24 I didn't think Malibu should have a say in these ports as 25 much as I don't think -- I shouldn't say say. It's too

6

7

8

9

10

much of a stretch for me. I prefer to have these ports 1 more localized. Maybe we can focus on Commissioner 2 3 Barabba's split around here, which would put Garden Grove in this area with some of its other partners and have a 4 5 more intact coastal district. Then we could go up to 6 blue. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Any further 8 comments? 9 We have couple of things on the table here. We have three proposed changes, I think at least three. 10 11 Commissioner Raya. COMMISSIONER RAYA: Question. I'm not clear on 12 13 Villa Park and Orange. Isn't Villa Park one of those 14 places it's inside something else? 15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Orange. 16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: That's why I'm not clear. 17 Whoever was suggesting what to do with those, could you 18 tell me again, please? 19 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Oh, I was just -- there was 20 testimony that Commissioner Ward referred to. And then 21 there was testimony from the Mayor of Orange saying that east of the -- south of the 57 -- she mentioned the 57, 22 23 that that really belongs with a whole other community, 24 Tustin, et cetera. So that was the -- we've got the 25 Mayor, but we also had some things from Villa Park that

were sort of different saying keep us with Tustin.

1

8

9

10

COMMISSIONER RAYA: But you're not talking about 2 going somewhere with all of Orange; right? 3

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: What was suggested in some 4 5 of these was that Orange -- divide Orange at the 57. 6 That's where they felt it was different and that that 7 would keep Villa Park and sort of that part of Orange that they feel is more equestrian and less urban was the way people described it, to keep that with what is here the STHOC district.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Just one point of 11 clarification on that. What will happen -- this is just 12 13 maybe a lack of familiarity.

14 I recognize what she said if the city of Orange 15 were to be split. You'll see the two freeways. The 57 is 16 to the west; 55 is to the east. If you cut at the 57, 17 then you're going to create a non-contiguous district between Anaheim and Santa Ana. 18

19 So what is -- she further clarified this in 20 further testimony that the difference in Orange is 21 actually at the 55 going east, which is what Villa Park 22 surrounds. So from 55 to the east is more like north 23 Tustin. And the area between the 55 and 57 is similar to 24 Anaheim actually and the flat area, because Villa Park is 25 up in the hills and is very similar to Anaheim Hills.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Ward, and then we have to more forward with one of the choices.

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: I just want to find out if 4 we're missing anything.

Alex, when you drew these districts the way you did, can you tell us if we're missing anything out of west CST? What rationale did you use for connecting Garden Grove with Laguna beach?

9 MS. WOODS: I was directed -- or there was 10 comments at the last hearing about how Little Saigon 11 didn't want to be with the Santa Ana Anaheim district. So 12 that's something I was really looking into and was trying 13 to ensure that that COI was being respected.

And when Long Beach was added to Orange County, since we didn't have a lot of testimony linking the two, I linked it to Huntington Beach and linked Fountain Valley, Costa Mesa with Garden Grove.

And I'm going to put up the border of Little Saigon right now just so you can see, because depending on what the population looks like if you do do that split, it might actually split that community of interest. But that's something that I'd have to look at.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.
 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I think the discussion
 about Villa Park is really independent of the discussion

1 2

5

6

7

about Huntington Beach and Garden Grove. They seem to be separate discussions. I'm not sure you have to tie to them all at the same time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

And the other thing I couldn't recall when we had the Assembly session -- I think it was both people from Little Saigon as well as Huntington Beach said if you were going to extend the area within Little Saigon, the Huntington Beach people felt pretty comfortable with that.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So we have a couple 10 of things on the table. We have again the as-is. We have 11 Commissioner Barabba's suggestion with Commissioner Yao's 12 suggestion and then Commissioner Ward, which is a larger 13 multi-district switch.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: I withdraw the Yao suggestion.15 I like the Barabba's suggestion better.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco, you 17 want to say something --

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I'm going to sound like a 19 broken record as we're looking at this and making the 20 adjustments. I don't want to forget the overwhelming 21 community of interest testimony of Santa Ana with Anaheim 22 with the flats. And we have a lot, a lot of testimony. 23 And we kept it together in the Assembly district as a 24 Section 2 district. And I would urge us to not split a 25 Section 2 district, even though it's not Section 2 in

1 terms of CVAP, that if it's together in an Assembly 2 district, that means it was compact enough. If it's 3 Section 2, it was compact enough to be a Section 2. And I 4 would urge us not to divide it here.

5 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I wouldn't divide it, I 6 don't think.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So do you have a proposal? Because you stated this before, but do you have a proposal that would realign the districts, Commissioner Blanco?

11 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I would try and do the line 12 of demarcation for the top part of SN Orange and try 13 and -- on the north and on the south as much as possible 14 use the boundaries that we used.

I know that you can't do it east and west because we've got bigger populations. But to use the boundaries that we used for the Assembly in terms of trying to figure out where that community of interest is.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Is that 20 consistent -- it's not fully consistent with the Barabba 21 proposal. Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just putting it on the 23 record. That's all.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: At this point, I sense 25 there is support for Commissioner Barabba's proposed

7 8 9

switch in the Garden Grove, Westminster, Huntington Beach 1 alignment. Is that correct? 2 3 Mr. Yao. COMMISSIONER WARD: Alignment with what? 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba, why 6 don't you lay it out again? 7 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would suggest you take a 8 significant portion of Huntington Beach and include it 9 with this green area. And then take Garden Grove, an 10 equal amount out of what you've brought up into here, out of Garden Grove and move it over here. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Leave Westminster out of 12 the rotation? 13 14 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Actually, Westminster is 15 now right in there. It stays in there. 16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: It stays green. 17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It stays green. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sorry. I may have 18 19 misstated. 20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Can I make the suggestion we also include Seal Beach. It would make no sense in the 21 22 green. 23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Then you're going to eat 24 up a lot more population. 25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: There's only about 24,000

people in Seal Beach. But you take more of the green.

2 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: There's like 189,000. If 3 you take all this out --

COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah.

1

4

5

6

7

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DiGuilio and then Ward. And then I'm going to push forward. I see some puzzled looks still.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm looking at Ms. 9 Woods, and I'm wondering if she could tell us based on 10 this idea of rotating these two approximately where those 11 splits could go if we're switching kind of the Garden 12 Grove, Westminster with Huntington Beach. Is it an even 13 swap for that or kind of where those lines would have to 14 be.

I think if we knew -- I know this is just kind of off the fly. Let's say we're trying to go underneath the blue square to try not to split it.

MS. WOODS: Looking at it, if you move Garden Grove into LBPRT, you would have to move most of Huntington Beach into WSTCST. And I think Westminster might need to be split between those two districts.

22 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Would Westminster have to 23 be split?

MS. WOODS: For population.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can you take Westminster

1 with Garden Grove and split Huntington Beach instead? MS. WOODS: I think because Huntington Beach is 2 3 190,000. Garden Grove is 170,000. And then Westminster is 90,000. 4 5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Oh, it's 90. I'm sorry. 6 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: You could just split 7 Huntington Beach, too; right? 8 MS. WOODS: Yeah. You could split Huntington 9 Beach. 10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: What about splitting Garden 11 Grove north of whatever that freeway is there, north of That lets you keep all of Huntington Beach. And 12 Saigon? 13 you can put Westminster and a good chunk of Garden Grove 14 into what would be -- the I'll call it the Signal Hill 15 district to identify it. And that way all of Huntington 16 Beach and Seal Beach can be in the coast. So all the 17 Orange coast is together, except for at the very end. And you take enough of Garden Grove and 18 19 Westminster to balance it, staying north of that freeway. 20 So Little Saigon is unaffected. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Barabba, is that what 22 you --23 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: You really have to have 24 the detailed map to figure out the best way of doing that. 25 And I think we should leave it up to the line guards to

come up with the most reasonable approach, but following
 the general direction that was given.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Filkins-Webber and then Yao and then Ward. I want to move this ahead.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I would recommend that as well. And given -- I concur. I think I can follow Commissioner Forbes and Commissioner Ward are assigned to this, Ms. Woods can work with them if nobody has any major objection to Commissioner Barabba and Commissioner Forbes's kind of semi-direction.

I mean, it goes together, is what I mean. I don't get it until we see it. But Commissioner Forbes, I get it a little bit, to keep Seal Beach down and to move Garden Grove into the purple. And blue still it looks like may stay the same -- or the SNORN will stay the same. I see the rotation. And Commissioner Forbes is on this team, so it might be good.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's keep this very short.
19 No more than 30 seconds. We do need to move forward.
20 We're running out of time.

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think we previously agree on 22 the fact we're not going to leave here with just a 23 visualization. So I think we need to get things pinned 24 down to a point where the next time we see it, we're not 25 going to have any issues with it. I don't think we can

3

4

1 afford to say okay --

COMMISSIONER FORBES: I agree.

COMMISSIONER YAO: -- let's go and give instructions and see what it looks like the next time. 4

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Ward first. 6 Sorry.

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: I was just -- I put the 8 initial proposal out as well that is contrary to what Mr. 9 Barabba's idea. And that was the idea of going back to or 10 what we had already drawn in our first draft, which 11 received no problems at least from the coastal districts. In other words, add Huntington Beach to the coastal 12 13 district. Not bring Huntington Beach back up into 14 Westminster and Midway City and Garden Grove.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Raya.

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I was wondering what's going 17 to happen to Stanton and if you're making some other 18 change over here on the east side after all this, whether 19 Stanton might go into the SNORN.

20 21

15

2

3

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: SNORN.

Commissioner Aquirre.

22 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Leaving the blue district 23 by itself, isn't that a simple swap with the green, the 24 west CST and the LBPTR, the purple? Isn't it just a swap? In that little corner where it says Westminster on the 25

1 left side, if you kind of use that as a quide to go down to capture that population and make it green and then on 2 3 the purple, you extend it to the right to catch the brown area, I presume is Garden Grove and Stanton, and then it 4 5 would just be a straight swap that would not touch the 6 blue and then we leave it up to our line drawer to work 7 out the population figures so that -- I think the 8 concept -- that's the concept that we're trying to work 9 with. 10 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: So we're leaving the blue 11 as is? I'm hearing two distinct things, so I really want clarity, because I'm not in favor of leaving the blue as 12 it is. 13 14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Changing the blue, to me, 15 is a separate thing. 16 But see if this is clear. I hope it is. 17 Huntington Beach, Sunset Beach, and Seal Beach go 18 become green. You then expand the purple into the Westminster, Stanton, Garden Grove as needed, leaving 19 20 Little Saigon intact. That's it. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is that the general 22 understanding? Commissioner Barabba nods his head. That 23 is what is on the table then. 24 Commissioner Ward has a different one, but I want

to take these one by one.

Commissioner DiGuilio.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can Garden Grove qo into 2 the blue? 3

Stay with me for a second.

Garden Grove go in the blue at all?

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: We, it can.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I don't want to throw a 8 wrench in here, but I think we can do this.

9 We're talking about this. I think Commissioner 10 Blanco and Commissioner Ward is adding this to go in 11 purple. If you do that, you can take Laguna Niguel, which 12 is in the bottom of the purple, 60-something-thousand, 13 push it into green -- into green. You can still extend -this would still be green, just like Commissioner Forbes 14 15 said. And this would continue to come down over here. 16 But then you're using Garden Grove to repopulate here. 17 You're taking this into purple and putting Laguna Niguel 18 on the bottom into the green so you have integrity of the 19 green and it pushes up here to capture that.

20

1

4

5

6

Ms. Woods, am I way over the top here?

21 MS. WOODS: Orange is 136,000 people. And Laguna 22 Niguel we're splitting in this visualization, and it's 23 30,000 people.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: But Orange is so 25 scattered. It goes from the Orange Crush interchange all

the way throughout parts of Villa Park. There would be a split of Orange here again that the Mayor of Orange said was agreeable.

COMMISSIONER FORBES: Also Commissioner DiGulio's 4 comment he's in addition picking up population out of Garden Grove. You might be losing 160,000 -- whatever the number you just said. I can't remember. And you pick it up by using Garden Grove as the source of additional people.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That's what I'm saying. 11 That's the replacement there. And it's a blue/purple, 12 green/purple.

13 And I'm trying to actually not to confuse you, 14 but I'm trying to address both these birds with this stone 15 by doing this part in a section of Orange that responsible 16 split and putting into purple and moving it through Laguna 17 Niquel. We may have to do something else down there, too. 18 And then to keep the integrity of the coastal, maybe 19 Huntington Beach into Seal Beach. And then having more of 20 this Long Beach area and keeping part of it as Long Beach, 21 L.A., and part of it as Orange County here.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Aquirre and 23 then Dai.

24 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Now, Santa Ana is down in 25 the bottom corner of that blue district; correct? And

10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

1 then Anaheim flats is in the blue, up toward the top on the west side of 57; is that correct? 2 3 So that's the connection that Commissioner Blanco 4 is concerned with that you need to maintain that COI 5 together? COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: 6 Yeah. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct. 8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: What I'm proposing keeps 9 that together. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai. 11 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'm just going to offer, if necessary, Ms. Woods, that Anaheim Hills if it needed to 12 be could be rotated into the yellow and Buena Park could 13 14 be rotated back down. Just if you need the population 15 because you said Laguna Niguel wasn't enough. 16 MS. WOODS: So to confirm the responsible split 17 for Orange, is that the 57 or the 55? 18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Commissioner Blanco, the 19 responsible split at Orange? 20 COMMISSIONER DAI: It was at the 57. 21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: But recognize when 22 you go down the 57, you're going to have a little tiny, 23 tiny corner. This is the same issue we had at the 24 Assembly. So we have to be conscientious. I think you're 25 cutting out far more population. You have to have this

little tiny sliver like you did in order to make Santa Ana
 and Anaheim contiguous.

This district respects a greater portion of Orange. So all my recommendation was is if we didn't have to take as much population, we can split it at the 55 because the Mayor already spoke about this before and concurred that there's -- if you have to take less population, the more reasonable split would be at the 55 going to the east.

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Commissioner Ward, can I 11 get your opinion on that?

12 COMMISSIONER WARD: I believe Commissioner 13 Filkins-Webber has adequately repeated the mayor's 14 testimony.

15

25

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So two questions.

One, Ms. Woods, do you understand where the directions are? And does our note taker also have a general sense of where these are?

Before I even pose a question, there's a lot of -- we have to clarify whether the Q2 is clear on what's coming forward here.

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: Is Fountain Valley -23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sorry. Hold on one second.
24 I want to make sure.

Do you know where we are at this point?

1 MS. WOODS: I do. Our note taker may need 2 clarification. 3 And I have an additional question as well. 4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Why don't you raise your 5 additional question? 6 MS. WOODS: My additional guestion is in 7 addition, you know, if we add Orange to STHOC, we're going 8 to have to remove population. And that is in Laguna 9 Niguel. And where else would we take that population 10 from? COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I believe it was the 11 northern part of Anaheim Hills you could add to the blue 12 13 and --14 COMMISSIONER DAI: To the yellow. 15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Sorry. To the yellow. 16 And then you could take Buena Park --17 MS. WOODS: If there is additional population 18 after that that is needed, where would that be? 19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: To be taken out? Irvine 20 maybe. 21 MS. WOODS: Okay. 22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Let me just put it this 23 way. I would suggest based on the other directions that 24 we've given in the past that maybe you could follow some 25 of those areas of splits.

1 I think if we -- my approach to this is if this concept is agreeable to people in terms of the benefits to 2 3 the blue district, to the coastal district, and to some of those -- the Garden Grove area, if you understand what 4 5 we're trying to do, then I would assume that at this point 6 Q2 is more than capable of kind of balancing out those 7 areas around there. 8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Can we first get a sense --9 before you answer -- the Commission. We do need to get 10 more specific. At least in terms of the basic concept, is 11 there sufficient support among the Commissioners? We need 12 to get more specific directions, however. Just in terms 13 of the basic concept of this rotation. Do we have 14 sufficient support? We may have some dissent. 15 I think we have nods. Give me some signals here, 16 folks. Okay. We've got that to go forward. 17 Again, I think we need to just -- can someone summarize this so it's clear to the note taker and to Ms. 18 19 Woods. I think Ms. Woods has it, but --20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Do you want me to try 21 again? 22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Just a basic summary. 23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So --2.4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Fifteen seconds. 25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: This Villa Park area and

to the 55 -- did we say? Was that it? Split in Orange into -- I'm sorry Kyle purple -- and the bottom population of Laguna Niguel into green, if additional population, it would be the top, which would be Anaheim hills, up and 4 5 around.

1

2

3

25

And then on the coastal district, the idea is to 6 7 pick up Huntington Beach and even up into Seal Beach into 8 the coastal district, while allowing for Garden Grove to 9 re-populate the blue and the remainder -- forgive me the 10 names -- Westminster will go back up here. This exchange 11 will take place in Villa Park here and back into Long 12 Beach.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Just Westminster 14 and Stanton. Because I saw Kyle kind of looking.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I don't think we have a 16 cut.

17 I think the only other thing I would add is 18 Commissioner Blanco did mention of the integrity of Santa 19 Ana, Anaheim. I'm assuming -- have you looked closely, 20 Commissioner Blanco, at the split? If it maintains the integrity of what we've done in the Assembly I guess. 21

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I haven't seen if it tracks 22 23 the Assembly division, which is what I would think we 24 would want to do.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: But Anaheim is not split

1 though, is it? I don't think that's a problem. It's the northern boundary, is it Anaheim? 2 3 MS. WOODS: Anaheim is split just because of the shape of the city. It's split from the Anaheim Hills by 4 5 the flats. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think again we could 7 still make some street level adjustments. 8 I think at this point, we've gotten four 9 districts stabilized at this point given this rotation. 10 And again, we're going to go up into -- I think it's LHPYL as needed. 11 So can we move on? 12 We have four districts. We've stabilized --13 14 given the set of direction what those four districts will 15 look like. 16 Can we get a time check here? Because we said --17 I know we're behind. How are we in terms of other 18 districts for Congressional and then going into Senate? 19 We're okay? 20 MS. WOODS: Because we covered all of those, we 21 have just LHBYL to cover. 22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We may need to develop the 23 narratives, but I think at this point we'd like to move 24 forward with the districts. We can get the narratives 25 covered.

1 Okay. Commissioner Dai, I'm suggesting we not go through the narratives. We can put those in place at some 2 3 point. Let's talk about LHBYL. 4 5 MS. WOODS: So this district includes Buena Park, 6 Fullerton, Placentia, Yorba Linda, Brea, La Habra, La 7 Habra Heights, Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, Diamond 8 Bar, Walnut, and Chino Hills. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And some minor adjustments at the southern border as needed to address the other 10 11 previous rotation. 12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: That was going to be my 13 question. So if moving some of Anaheim Hills would take 14 care of your deficit there, or is there too much? 15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. Woods? 16 MS. WOODS: I think that's about 100,000 people 17 in the Anaheim Hills. 18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So we're moving all of 19 Anaheim Hills? 20 MS. WOODS: Not necessarily. 21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think it was to say if 22 you needed additional population, there may be some split 23 to remove the population in purple and add it to yellow. 24 And then you would have an over-populated yellow, but you 25 could take Buena Park and put it with some of its brethren 1 with Cypress and Los Alamitos. That's where the rotation would go. 2

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Discussion on the district or comments on the district?

5 Commissioner Galambos-Malloy and then Dai. COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: As Commissioner 7 Araya and I have been leads on the San Gabriel Valley area, and one of the things that we have been struggling with up on the north side was the connection of the heavily API COI in Alhambra at the Congressional level and really a preference on the part of many that it be joined over here with Hacienda Heights and Rowland Heights. 12

The truth is with our Latino Section 2 district 13 14 there in Covina, that's really not looking possible. 15 We've tried a number of different configurations. But in 16 this district, what we've done is we've been able to 17 preserve some of that COI, albeit in a separate 18 Congressional district. And I think we've also managed 19 to -- even though we're crossing county lines, we have 20 enough of a cluster on each side of this county lines that it kind of equalizes the political power there. 21

22 23 24

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai. COMMISSIONER DAI: I was going to say, this is

actually the first time we've been able to get Hacienda 25 Heights in as part of the Diamond Bar community of

interest. That is very nice and complete. We also have the north Orange County in there as well. So it's a good four corners district I think.

1

2

3

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any additional comments? 5 Okay.

6 So we're good, subject to the previous 7 instruction on adjacent districts. Okay. So we're done 8 with the, Ms. Woods, Congressional. Because of Ms. Woods 9 can't be here tomorrow, we have to switch over in order to 10 make sure we cover our Senate district. I'd like to go 11 over to the Senate districts at this point.

12 My understanding is there are fewer impacts 13 between the counties and other -- in other words, your 14 districts are at least in this sense more stable than the 15 Congressional; is that correct?

MS. WOODS: I think the boundaries are pretty set, unless the Commission decides to change them.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's true. If we change 19 them, then it will be different. That goes without 20 saying.

21 Well, let me ask a question, because what we've 22 tried to do -- and again I'm not trying to necessarily 23 dictate where we start. If it helps to have a quick 24 overview so we know how the region as a whole may 25 interconnect, would that be more useful at this point? Or

1 should we just go into these districts? In other words, would it be helpful to look as 2 3 well at Ms. Boyle's districts in order to best cover Ms. Woods districts? 4 MS. MACDONALD: Well, we've been trying to figure 5 this out how to do this, because Alex obviously has to 6 7 leave and cannot be here tomorrow. So I've actually kind 8 of prepared a bit of an overview of how these districts 9 factor in with each other. And then perhaps we could take 10 a look, because this is what you will see here on the So 11 Cal Senate option is quite a bit different from last week. And you know, as you know, there is trade-offs no 12 13 matter what you do. So you know this way we basically fix 14 some things and then undid some other things. 15 So you will just have to see what you think once 16 you see the various options. But you know, they do fit in 17 with each other. So I would just say maybe we just start. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Go ahead. We eagerly await 18 19 the presentation. 20 MS. MACDONALD: Just one second. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do you want to take a short 22 break? MS. MACDONALD: Yeah. 23 Maybe like three minutes. 24 Five? Two-and-a-half minutes? 25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So this will be more of a

1 comfort break for those of you who need to go.

2

3

4

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. We're back from break.

5 What we're going to be doing is going into the 6 Senate districts. This largely to address scheduling 7 constraints because Ms. Woods is unable to join us 8 tomorrow. So we will still get to the Congressionals 9 obviously for other parts of southern California. But in 10 order to complete Ms. Woods' work today, we're going to go 11 into the Senate districts. Ms. MacDonald will cover --12 give us an overview.

Commissioner Yao, you brought up a point regarding the rotation to make sure we did have some clarity regarding what the rotation would be.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: My suggestion to the Chair was 17 to try to capture the direction on paper so that we have 18 some -- have that document be available before we leave 19 this week on what we did in the Orange County shift so 20 that when we see it again a week from now that we don't 21 get surprised and thinking we're looking at something the 22 first time.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Now, obviously we have a note taker who does do a pretty quick turn around. She's in the next day or two. 1 COMMISSIONER YAO: What I'm looking at is taking an existing map, circle an area saying we're moving 70,000 2 3 people from this district to this district. We're moving 30,000 from this district to this district, to capture 4 5 that as a document as compared to a visualization 6 direction when we leave this meeting this week. This is 7 my thought on it.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And Kyle, will your notes 9 be able to capture that sort of, or Ms. MacDonald?

10 MS. KUBAS: Do you need population numbers that 11 are being moved? Because currently I only have city 12 names?

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: The more information the 14 better. But let's just leave it at the city level there.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So the notes will be 16 publicly available to Commissioners within a day or two 17 after they're taken.

18 MS. MACDONALD: They actually are very, very 19 detailed. I don't know if you've had a chance to take a 20 look. I think they really capture a lot of the 21 conversation. And we try to obviously turn them around --22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Again --MS. MACDONALD: Kyle does. She does a phenomenal 23 24 job. 25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: They're very, very

thorough. So I'm sorry. Let's focus here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

So Ms. MacDonald, we're going to go look at the Senate for southern California. Why don't you give us sort of a big picture view so we can understand how all of the pieces fit together?

MS. MACDONALD: This is the Senate. Obviously, in southern California, we deal with more nesting than we do in northern California. Depends on how you nest, this affects the entire picture. So we did some variation on what you saw last week.

11 And let me just point out what we did. So last 12 week, we nested an Orange seat with an L.A. seat, as you 13 remember. And this week, we nested an Orange seat with a 14 San Diego seat. And we realize that neither of them are 15 ideal. But if you have an odd number, then you have to 16 make one or the other choice. And then, you know, once 17 you make that choice, of course, there are a lot of 18 repercussions that happen so you'll be able to see some of 19 that.

And by putting Orange with San Diego and putting Imperial with San Diego, this is also a big one, we were able to hold the San Diego, Riverside line. This is what we're going to show you today. You're going to see a different view and you can compare to next week.

And then once Ms. Boyle shows you her districts,

you see how this factors into what she's done. It really 1 2 is one big picture that kind of ripples around the entire 3 area. Alex is going to go through district by district 4 5 and we can talk about the details is that okay. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yes. Let's proceed. 7 MS. WOODS: So we're going to start with the 8 POMSB district. And this is a district that has --9 includes the Pomona Valley, Fontana, Rialto, Bloomington, Colton, Grand Terrace, Muscoy, and part of San Bernardino. 10 MS. MACDONALD: Section 2 have that been nested. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And presumably the 13 narratives for each would be put together, as other 14 districts. 15 Any comments? Very good. 16 Next. 17 MS. WOODS: The next district is SBBAN. And this 18 is a district that starts in Pinon Hills and includes 19 Wrightwood, Rancho Cucamunga, the mountain areas of 20 Crestline, Lake Arrowhead, Big Bear Lake, Big Bear City, 21 Loma Linda, Redlands, Mentone, Yucapia, and moves south 22 into Banning, Beaumont, San Jacinto, Hemet and Menifee. 23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Narrative? 24 COMMISSIONER DAI: It puts -- the Commissioner 25 Filkins-Webber, do you want to take this one?

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yeah. This, for the record, is what we're looking at when we're nesting. Because RVNV is a nest of those two Assembly districts that have remained consistent. We're also recognizing the nesting of Coachella Valley in the CCHTM. So unlike what we have done, as Ms. MacDonald had pointed out, as far as blending, this is what we're -- the resulting factor is of nesting when we're following that.

9 The difficulty is when we are nesting two 10 Assemblies, you can't nest and blend. More likely, I 11 think Ms. MacDonald can probably say that. If we could 12 blend a little bit more, then I would have some other 13 recommendations regarding Menifee to Rancho Cucamonga. 14 But if we were to remain consistent with nesting, this is 15 the result of that.

I do have one question, because it looks like Big Bear might be split. And I just want to make sure. I don't know if that's a population issue or a cleanup issue later.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Just a comment. I've 21 raised this before, which is that because nesting is among 22 the lowest requirements, if it's possible to address a 23 split, city/county/neighborhood/communities of interest, 24 and of course maintain population and equality, we should 25 try to do that generally before we nest.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

MS. MACDONALD: These are actually first nested 1 and then blended. So some cleanup is probably possible --2 3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. MS. MACDONALD: -- on some of these. 4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Barabba. 5 6 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: It looks like you 7 separated Big Bear from Big Bear Lake. Is that -- that 8 shouldn't be a big tough one to change; right? 9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yeah. 10 MS. WOODS: So the Census place of Big Bear Lake 11 is included, but the actual lake is not. So this is something we'll definitely look into. 12 13 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I doubt there's anybody 14 under water there, so you don't have to worry about the 15 count. 16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Can you pull back 17 out and let me know if Loma Linda is Redlands or --COMMISSIONER DAI: 18 Yes. It has several different communities of interest. And it has the Big 19 20 Bear mountain area, San Bernardino Valley the Beaumont, 21 Banning area and San Jacinto in it. 22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: We do have quite a 23 flow actually of environmental differences here, but yet 24 consistency among the population when you're looking at 25 the mountainous areas and concern in the Foothills over in 1 Rancho Cucamonga all the way through Crestline and Wrightwood actually as well as the high desert -- I mean 2 3 the low desert areas. High desert up in Wrightwood and Phelan area, but recognizing additional desert concerns on 4 the lower end at Pinon and Beaumont. 5

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: That would be the only thing 7 if we wanted to try to correct maybe I'm assuming that Victorville area is Victor Valley area is actually being 8 9 nested I'm assuming with the rest of the high desert 10 there. I don't know if it's -- may not be possible to --11 may just be a population thing they couldn't be included there. I don't know if there's much blending we could do. 12 13 It's sparsely populated.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any further comments on the Senate district? 15

16

Commissioner Raya.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Looking online and looking there looks different. Is Loma Linda whole in this 18 district? 19

20

MS. WOODS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: The statewide 21 22 database puts a line through Loma Linda. I was concerned 23 about that as well.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Can we confirm that the --25 what is on line is consistent with this?

1 MS. MACDONALD: I was just discussing this with Commissioner Barabba over the break. There have been a 3 bit of a label -- it's a labelling issue more than anything else. So it is the same thing. 4

5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So the district lines themselves are consistent? 6

> MS. MACDONALD: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: The only thing 9 that concerned me about this district, I think it's a very 10 clean district based on how I know the area. It was 11 really when we got over to the west side and how -- where Upland is located. And I know this is a challenge with 12 13 dealing with at multiple levels. We usually end up with 14 an orphan or two on either on either side of the L.A. 15 County border. So I recognize that our team really did 16 attempt to deal with it. But I think this is the best 17 case scenario.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: This is a pure nesting. This 19 is exactly what was left over in the Assembly, too.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: And recognizing the 21 Section 2.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Next district.

23 MS. WOODS: So the next district is RIVMV. And 24 this district includes the Jurupa Valley, the city of 25 Riverside, the city of Eastvail, Norco, Corona, El

2

7

Cerrito, Home Gardens, and the city of Moreno Valley, March Air Reserve Base, Mead Valley and Perris.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: And the community of interest testimony that supported the Assembly supported the Senate district since it's a perfect nesting. Looks beautiful.

MS. WOODS: In this configuration, we do split Mead Valley.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just for reference, is 10 there -- maybe Commission Balco, Filkins-Webber -- I know 11 mix you up -- just as a reminder, the center of the purple 12 it's a mountain range there or something the hills; isn't 13 that right?

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yes. There is a 15 distinct difference. In the purple section, there are 16 mountains right there where it says Lake Mathews. That 17 actually goes into a higher level. It's a very limited 18 population. There is quite a few homes and large ranches, 19 large populations up there. But it does go up to an 20 elevation.

And so there is foothills I guess is what you would call them, not really mountains. That's where you see the area in the 15 freeway south where it says Temescal Valley, the freeway is a good indicator that you have mountains on either side. You have the mountains

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

that separate it from Orange County on the west and then you have foothills that separate it between Good Hope. That's why you don't see any cities where it says Temescal Valley and Good Hope. That's why this district does have this V shape just similar to our Congressional district had that V shape where the freeways come together at the 15 and the 215 -- actually the 79 from San Jacinto. That again is a geographic distinction between these areas.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Even the yellow horseshoe aspect I assume was part of that because it was going over the mountains.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: The yellow horseshoe aspect is consistent with the community of interest Riverside, Moreno Valley and their borders. But the El Sobrante where you see that there are mountains and there's -- all the way over to March Air Force Base. There isn't much in the way of major traffic there.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That's the question I have. 19 Again, the two districts are sort of split. But Assembly 20 districts are divided from the middle of the horseshoe. 21 When you create the horseshoe, it looks like there is a 22 compactness issue. But I think given the topography --

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Correct. There is 24 a distinct geographic divide at right where it says Lake 25 Mathews. There is a foothills district. The foothills

1

2

3

4

5

6

similar to Anaheim Hills, as this Commission had driven down the 91 when they went into Santa Ana, the hills right there at the top of the purple, there are hills right there. And that's La Sierra. Beautiful view right over the valley of that area. So there is a geographic basis for this.

7

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Very good.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: We're not skipping over densely populated areas to get to another area.

10

8

9

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Excellent.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: One final comment is that 12 consistent with what we've done before, we put the city of 13 Riverside back together.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Very good. Okay. Next 15 district.

16 MS. WOODS: So the next district is the Coachella 17 Valley and Palo Verde Valley. It's CCHTM. So it starts at the Riverside border with Arizona and moves west and 18 19 also includes some southwest parts of the Riverside 20 County, including Temecula, Murrietta, Wildomar, Temescal 21 Valley, Meadowbrook, French Valley, Winchester, Green 22 Acres. And by doing this by nesting in the county of 23 Imperial with San Diego, we were able to nest these two 24 Assembly districts in Riverside and keep the Coachella 25 Valley whole.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: This keeps the Coachella Valley whole. The only question I would have, 2 3 Ms. Woods, is you mentioned there is intermingling of the nesting and the blending. What impact would it have or 4 5 how could you blend? Maybe you couldn't, as far as 6 putting Menifee in here. Have you explored that? Because 7 those are some distinct communities there that might blend 8 better with the purple Coach, but what impact does it 9 have? Or do you have difficulty getting population if you 10 blend it anywhere else?

11 MS. WOODS: So you would want to know if you added Menifee from this district? 12

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Yeah. What happens?

15 MS. WOODS: What happens? You would look around 16 the rest of this green district, and it looks like there 17 is not a significant amount of population that's equal to that of Menifee. There is Valley Vista, Winchester, 18 19 Idyllwild. You'd have to go into the Coachella Valley I 20 think in order to balance removing Menifee from that 21 district.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I appreciate that 23 discussion. Because what this then does, we're not 24 skipping over any areas to get to other areas. What we 25 are actually doing is respecting Coachella Valley.

13

Because if we included Menifee into this district, we
 would have to split Coachella.

So just for the record, Menifee is with San Jacinto and Hemet, which is considered the San Jacinto Valley, respects that COI, even though we recognize there is a balance here. So I think that this is the best choice and option for these communities.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: Again, as consistent, again we 9 had split it in the Assembly. Coachella Valley, I think 10 split the Census place of Desert Hot Springs. It's been 11 put back together. And finally, we were able to get 12 Temecula back into Riverside County.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any additional comments? Okay. Great. Next district.

15 MS. WOODS: The next district is ISAND. It's a 16 border district that includes Imperial County and the 17 southern part of San Diego County along the border. It 18 includes Campo Boulevard, Patrero, and moves west to 19 Imperial Beach, includes the city of San Diego south of 20 Chula Vista. It includes all of Chula Vista, Bonita, Bay 21 Terraces, Paradise Hills, National City, La Presa, and 22 also includes Logan Heights, Barrio-Logan, Shelltown, 23 Sherman Heights. And these areas of the city of San Diego 24 that were included in the Assembly district.

25

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Ontai.

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I do have problems with this 1 map. The serious problem with this is that it splits the 2 3 API community. I like what's happening on ISAND, because it does respect the Latino 50 percent CVAP. It also 4 5 respects the east county cities. But it totally disrupts 6 the effort to keep the API communities together. I wonder, Ms. Woods, if you could show the 7 8 CAPAFR, the original CAPAFR map for the site. Do you have 9 that? 10 MS. MACDONALD: We'll look for it. And it's also possible obviously to make some changes there. 11 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah. Take a look at that. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: At this level, we don't 14 have a Section 2 district; correct? There is no 15 50 percent. 16 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: No. There is no Section 2. 17 But it does give the Latino community, the ISAND district, 18 a large number. 19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: .4 to 6 percent Latino 20 CVAP. 21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Ontai, I'm 22 curious which API community has been split. 23 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Under the CAPAFR map, it 24 brings together the API community all the way from

25 Peñasquitos Canyon, Mira Mesa, all the way down to Chula

1 Vista.

MS. MACDONALD: We only have the CAPAFR Assembly 2 3 map. COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: CAPAFR didn't submit --4 5 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I do have their map here. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: They did submit some Senate 7 district state map. 8 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: I have a very serious 9 problem with this. I cannot support this. 10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Commissioner Ontai, can you 11 tell me where the API community is that was cut out? COMMISSIONER ONTAI: If you go all the way up --12 13 Ms. Woods, if you go up to the northern part --14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Chair, may I ask a 15 question? Can you show the Asian population on that map? 16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So let's let the mappers 17 pull up the appropriate layer. 18 Commissioner Ontai, you want to keep talking? COMMISSIONER ONTAI: If the API community would 19 20 start from Rancho Peñasquitos further up point -- so if 21 you're up further, Rancho Peñasquitos is right above Mira 22 It would be all of that, going all the way down to Mesa. 23 Chula Vista. All of this area right here is where the 24 concentration of the API community is. 25 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: May I ask a

1 question, Commissioner Ontai.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner 3 Galambos-Malloy and then Commissioner DiGuilio. 4 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: When you reviewed 5 the CAPAFR Senate proposal, did you feel like their 6 proposal for this area addressed your concerns? And if 7 so, I'm wondering if our mappers have the ability to 8 overlay -- or so that we can view what the CAPAFR version 9 of this area looks like. 10 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: That's what they're trying 11 to do; right? CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. MacDonald, why don't 12 13 you describe what's going on? 14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Commissioner Ancheta, they 15 can do that. I just want to make an observation. The 16 reason they're able to do that in the CAPAFR, if you back 17 up, it does east Coachella, not all of Riverside. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Right. Ms. MacDonald was 18 19 about to say that, I believe. 20 MS. MACDONALD: Yes. Exactly. That's basically 21 the difference, is that the CAPAFR map goes into 22 Coachella. That's basically the difference. They drew 23 the district with Coachella and Imperial and San Diego. 24 So thank you, Commissioner Blanco. 25 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DiGuilio.

1 2

3

4

5

6

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So I guess I'm just trying to see if we can find a way to move forward. I hear Commissioner Ontai's concern here.

So if we've kind of made this decision that, you know, Imperial is not going to go the way CAPAFR had it going, then that changes all of this.

So I also just want to make sure that we are balancing the API community's testimony with the area as well too and see if we can balance all this and these together. And if this isn't acceptable to Commissioner Ontai, if he has some suggestions based on maybe this as a starting point, how to address his concerns.

Because I think based on the area, we said it kind of bisects -- dissects right in between a couple districts. So we'd have to make some big rotations. So I'd be curious to hear if he has a solution to this.

17COMMISSIONER ONTAL:Yeah.The solution is the18CAPAFR map.You can pull that up and explain that.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We have a significant 20 difference between the maps. So let's zoom out and we can 21 highlight what the difference -- I don't think we have the 22 layer. But we need to look at the Coachella and Imperial 23 area to see what the difference between what the CAPAFR 24 proposal is and what the current configuration -- where 25 the current visualization is. COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That shows the densities; am I correct, in red? What we're looking at on the screen?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MS. WOODS: It's the Asian CVAP.

COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That population with CVAP, but not population density. That is a difference. We'd see residential locations with the population with the CVAP.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Would someone who has a 10 pointer and the CAPAFR map in front of them, can you 11 highlight --

MS. WOODS: The CAPAFR map goes here along the Coachella Valley and includes most of the Coachella Valley. I think it's split. And that includes Imperial County and then goes south into San Diego. And I think it splits -- looks like it splits -- potentially splits Chula Vista and goes north up here. So similar to the our Assembly where we submit Chula Vista.

19 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: That's basically their line. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So Commissioner Ontai 21 suggested that we would -- an alternative to this district 22 would be those -- that configuration, is that what's on 23 the table? It's a proposal.

24 Commissioner Galambos-Malloy and then 25 Filkins-Webber.

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Well, again, given that we're trying to think regionally about how all these 2 3 districts impact each other, I understand there would be a 4 desire on Commissioner Ontai's part to adopt it wholesale, 5 CAPAFR's version. But I think we do have some important 6 considerations as we look out towards Imperial and 7 Coachella Valley that this would impact. I'm curious if 8 you could hone in perhaps on some of the key areas of 9 dispute that you would have. And perhaps there is a way 10 of adapting some of those areas without having to think 11 about literally throwing out multiple districts here and 12 replacing them.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Before addressing that, if Ms. MacDonald, you wanted to add something?

15 MS. MACDONALD: What we could do is if the 16 Commission wants to look at that is, for example, take 17 Imperial Beach out and maybe some La Presa out there. Ι 18 don't know. And that would allow us to go further north, 19 Commissioner Ontai. Maybe we could look at it like that 20 perhaps.

21 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Yeah. Again, the 22 concentration of the API community starts from Peñasquitos 23 all the way down to Chula Vista. Along there.

24 Right now, the current map splits it going east 25 to west. And it essentially bisects the communities.

13

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So Commissioner Filkins-Webber, then DiGuilio.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: My recommendation, 4 looking at the priorities and the pre-draft maps and the considerable work that Ms. Woods has done respecting county lines and trying to recognize that Temecula had been touched out quite a number of times, which could be impacted on this, we've split Coachella Valley at the Assembly level. We've taken a look at maintaining them again through the nesting at the Riverside County line.

At no time do I recall us receiving any input on the ISAND district in our draft map that anyone thought it was I guess at the Senate level grossly egregious to communities of interest.

15 So I think for the benefit of all of a larger 16 COI, there may be a better plan to nest the districts or 17 do a better blending north and south, as Commissioner Ontai has pointed out. I'm looking at the Assembly level. 18 19 So taking it from the Poway district that was above and 20 kind of blending it into the other Assembly level that's 21 below. And then you can still keep this district and 22 respect the county lines that we've seen previously. So 23 it might be a difference in blending --

> ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Time. COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: -- rather than

1 crossing county lines again.

2

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. DiGuilio.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm hearing Commissioner 4 Ontai's point.

I feel as if these two API communities are so far 5 6 apart, there's just no legitimate way to have to connect 7 them without just slaughtering the COIs in between. And I 8 just although -- I think it's very important that they're 9 kept together in their respective geographic locations. Ι 10 think that's very important. And we've done that. But to 11 try to connect them simply for the fact they're the API community, I think that treads dangerously on doing 12 13 something for one ethnic group. And unless we're directed 14 to do so for VRA issues -- if we were, then that would be 15 another issue. But I don't see that occurring in this 16 situation. So I think keeping them together, but in their 17 separate districts, is very legitimate.

18

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: I agree with Commissioner 20 DiGuilio. In all of the testimony we had from CAFAFR, 21 there was acknowledgements these were actually separate 22 communities that were separated by quite some distance.

23 So while I can appreciate that they drew a 24 district that included both of these separate communities 25 in a single Senate district, they started with a totally

different assumption on the adjacent districts. We have made a different decision in terms of keeping Coachella 3 Valley whole. So it's not going to be possible for us to just adopt their map. It's going to be incompatible with 4 5 our map.

> CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao.

COMMISSIONER YAO: The distance that we're talking about is fewer than ten miles just based on the scale at the bottom of the page. And if we look at the Coachella Valley and we look at the width of the district, we're talking about hundreds of miles. I don't know what the issue is about distance.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me chime in myself.

14 I think again to the extent we may have higher 15 ranking criteria, I think if this community is not a 16 contiguous community -- in other words, it's really two 17 populations that isn't necessarily contiguous, that if the 18 other interests that we're trying to assert, which include 19 maintaining cities, counties, communities of interest and 20 their integrity, that that might trump this one.

21 But I think certainly it would be ideal to try to 22 link those communities up. I have less trouble with that 23 if, again, we're maintaining other higher rank priorities 24 given the criteria.

25

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Commissioner Blanco.

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: You know, I'm concerned that Commissioner Ontai feels strongly. And I don't want to -- I think that's legitimate.

I do want to say that in this visualization in this South Bay, we have kept together where we had problems before.

7 The Filipino community, which is in East National 8 City and Bonita and in east Chula Vista is all united in 9 this core area. And then on the top part where we heard a 10 lot of south Asian testimony, it seems like they're 11 together there. I understand the concern. But I just wanted for the record to know that those communities that 12 13 testified about being very connected up in the north are 14 connected in this map. And then the Filipino community 15 that testified strongly on the south, they are together in 16 this map.

17

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Ontai.

18 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Well, Commissioner Blanco is 19 absolutely right. And that's the kind of testimony we had 20 from San Diego. It was repeated speakers that came up 21 that voiced their concern in a north to south orientation. 22 And it fairly follows the CAPAFR map. So I will not be 23 supporting this map here.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So just for clarity, you 25 mean this particular district or the Senate map?

1 2

3

4

5

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: The Senate map. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The entire Senate map? Because of this one district? This one area?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: The entire Senate map, unless we somehow address some modification of that.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Further comments at this point?

9 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would just ask 10 Commissioner Ontai, other than the CAPAFR map, do you have 11 any other thoughts as to how to approach this? Can we tie 12 them by going through the Sierra Santa, make a U or swing 13 around through the Rancho San Diego area to hook those two 14 up and shave off that edge and try to make it up? I'm 15 just open to suggestions. I would like to see what your 16 thoughts are, other than the CAPAFR map.

17 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Again, I don't know. I'd18 have to ask the mappers to look at some solutions.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So my sense is Commissioner 20 Ontai is open to some variations that don't necessarily 21 have to look exactly like the CAPAFR map, as long as we 22 can try to work a district that could include these two 23 communities. I don't know if you can do it. But that 24 seems to be the tone of your remarks, Commissioner Ontai. 25 We don't have to do -- COMMISSIONER ONTAI: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: -- full CAPAFR proposal. Ms. MacDonald, do you want to add something? MS. MACDONALD: We could try a coastal district that basically puts the API together along the coast. Do an inverse district so it would -- I don't know that's going to work. But we could look into it. Basically, an inverse district. I don't know.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Raya and then 10 Yao and then DiGuilio.

COMMISSIONER RAYA: As Commissioner Ontai's 11 partner in crime here, I was going to suggest that maybe 12 13 he and I could take some time this evening and explore this a little bit. And if we can -- I know the problem is 14 15 the odd numbers. That's what made it so difficult even 16 when we were first working on it. I appreciate very much 17 how difficult it is to make it balance out. But maybe he 18 and I, if that's acceptable to Commissioner Ontai, we 19 could spend time together --

20

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Sure.

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- if we could come up with 22 something, we can send that to Q2.

23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think we'll get some 24 comment on that specifically.

But Commissioner Yao and then DeGulio and then

Galambos-Malloy.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

COMMISSIONER YAO: We tried to use the I-15 as the adjustment line. The bubble on the upper right-hand 4 side, move that in with the ISAND district and then the population I would imagine is heavier toward the coast. Make that into the coastal district. Somehow make that adjustment seems to satisfy both community of interest.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: DiGuilio, Galambos-Malloy 8 9 and then Filkins-Webber.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm just very concerned that we are trying to do something. We worked very hard in working on all these together. And now we are saying we're going to change all of this up for one community because one Commissioner is putting a line in the sand.

15 I you think it has to be more than just the API 16 community being linked. We have to have legitimate 17 reasons. That may be not be the only one. There could be 18 other things going on here.

19 But to throw this down and to have everything 20 change that we're not going to see it until next week, 21 because we're trying to do one thing, I have a real 22 problem with that. And I'm not ready for us to move on 23 from this discussion unless we have some type of vote on 24 this.

And if a Commissioner wants to throw it all the

Senate districts because of this without anything other than simply to connect two distant areas in a highly urbanized dense area, I don't know where to begin in terms of -- I just don't know where to begin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: All right. Commissioner Galambos-Malloy and then Filkins-Webber.

7 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I'm not necessarily concerned about the idea that we would hesitate to look at changes because it made one specific impact. I think that we're here to balance the 11 interest of the whole state. And if one community is really getting the short end of the stick, I'm really open 12 13 to hearing that, even at this point in the game.

14 The thing I think we need to be willing to do, 15 which I'm struggling with now, is that at this stage in 16 the game, we need to not just come in blocking, but be 17 willing, particularly as regional leads or Commissioners that are familiar with the local area, to say, here's my 18 19 suggestions on how to move us forward in this area. 20 Because again I think we've had many iterations to express 21 concerns.

22 An example would be the South Alameda issues 23 that, of course, I had staunch concerns about two or three 24 districts there. And Commissioner Dai and I as regional 25 leads and, you know, we've been working to try to figure

1 out, are there solutions that address it? And so I'm not concerned that someone brings up one issue. But I also 2 want to see us, as Commissioners, be willing to step up 3 and play a leadership role on a very tight timeline to 4 5 address it and not use it as a threat to block the full 6 Commission's process.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner Filkins-Webber.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Concur with 10 Commissioner DiGuilio and Galambos-Malloy.

I'm a staunch believer what follows criticism 12 should be a constructive solution, especially -- so to the 13 extent in which Commissioner Ontai and Commissioner Raya 14 can work out these issues, I would still just put on the 15 record that it should not impact all of the other 16 excellent work that we have done at the other county 17 borders, in particular, Riverside. There is sufficient 18 population here. But to work it out likely may be through 19 a blending between these areas, if that's entirely 20 possible.

21 I'm hesitant to the extent of any ripple effects 22 occurring anywhere else so that it does not set us back.

23 So again, if we are respecting a community of 24 interest at other levels in our maps at an Assembly level 25 or at a Congressional level, we have the recognize, as we

11

7

have done throughout the state, that on other occasion the 1 2 community of interest may very well split on other 3 district maps. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu and 4 5 Commissioner Yao. 6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree with all the 7 comments that were made before me. 8 My greatest concern, as we all know, time is of 9 the essence. And we are just beginning with the 10 Senatorial districts right now. This is very troubling to 11 me. I had made a request earlier for our line 12 13 drawers, if you can, please. I see we have an Asian CVAP. 14 But to give me a broader view of what's happening in this 15 region and give me greater context. 16 Can you please put up a population distribution 17 map shows the densities and take off the other stuff so we 18 can get an idea locally what's going on here as we've done 19 with the Latino population, the African American 20 population, I'm requesting that for the Asian population. 21 If we can put this in some sort of local and regional 22 perspective. 23 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think that is up. The 24 densities are not that high. They're not that dark. Ιs 25 that correct? I believe we have the numbers.

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So that's the best we 2 can -- that's the CVAP. We don't have a residential 3 distribution for densities for population? How the 4 population is spread? 5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: You want population 6 density? Okay. 7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's what I requested 8 initially. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I'm sorry. 10 Commissioner Yao, you want to comment? 11 They'll have to pull that up. 12 COMMISSIONER YAO: The changes -- maybe it's a point of clarification with Commissioner Ontai. 13 The 14 changes we're talking about is between the two yellow 15 districts; is that correct? We're not going into the blue 16 section, are we? 17 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Well, if I'm reading that right, that's the concentration of the Asian communities 18 19 right here, there to there. 20 COMMISSIONER YAO: So if we just make the population swap between the CSAND and the lower right-hand 21 district -- I can't read the name -- would that take care 22 23 of the bulk of the issues that you're concerned with? 2.4 COMMISSIONER ONTAL: If we what? 25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Does somebody have a pointer?

1 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Yes. 2 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Point it out again. 3 COMMISSIONER YAO: In other words, we're talking 4 about a population change from this district to this 5 district; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

COMMISSIONER YAO: Are we going beyond that? COMMISSIONER ONTAI: The idea is to somehow connect these two communities together.

COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: These two areas.

COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: The north/south orientation. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. MacDonald, go ahead.

MS. MACDONALD: Commissioner Yao had an idea earlier about following using the 15 basically as a dividing line. And we might be able to look at whether we could do that.

But I mean, you'd have to be okay with essentially drawing a very long district that goes really north/south and also connects the border area. And then that would split basically the Latino communities into one district and the API communities into the other district. And we're just discussing it. We have not looked at whether this works. And we're not actually sure whether 1 that would help us, whether we would be able to keep this 2 particular area up here together and how much of that we 3 would have to split.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. MacDonald, can you give 5 me a time check? It's five minutes to 5:00 and just to 6 give the number of districts that we still have to cover. 7 How are we doing?

8 MS. MACDONALD: I suppose we have six or seven 9 more districts. We could up to Orange if you want to and 10 work our way down.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I just want to get a sense 12 of where we're at.

13

Commissioner Aguirre.

COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Just a very quick comment 14 15 that I think -- I don't want to speak for Commissioner 16 Ontai, but I think the Commission may have misunderstood 17 that he was going to -- that he would be in opposition to 18 all of the state maps, all of the state maps, all of the 19 Senate districts that we would be drawing. I think my 20 understanding from his statement was that he did not like 21 this district the way it was and he would not accept it. 22 Again, I'm not SPEAKING for Commissioner Ontai.

And secondly, there is a willingness I heard a willingness on the part of the two leads to try to work it out. So I think we should follow up with it. COMMISSIONER ONTAI: That is correct. That's my position.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So in opposition to this 4 particular district, maybe the adjacent one offers some 5 affects, there but not to the full Senate map; is that 6 correct?

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: That's correct.

7

8

9

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Thank you for the clarification. That's very good.

Well, at this point, does the Commission feel that Commissioners Raya and Ontai can try to look at some configuration -- work with Q2 on some alternative configurations at this point? I mean, obviously depends on how significant the changes are what the tolerance level will be.

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think it's a matter of our 17 taking a look at whether we can support a change in 18 compliance with all the standards that we've set for 19 creating districts. And I think Commissioner Ontai and I 20 can do that.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner22 DeGuilio and then Dai.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Mr. Lee had sent an e-mail clarifying that -- it sounds like they prefer the unity map of San Diego, not the original CAPAFR map that

Mr. Ontai is referring to. I don't recall you off of top 1 of my head how those differ. 2 3 MS. MACDONALD: Actually, Ms. Boyle just sent us the layer, so we just added it. So maybe we could take a 4 5 look at it. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Oh, please. 7 Just for reference, if you're looking at this 8 online, it's referred to it as the MALDEF. And it's the 9 latest version of the MALDEF. But it is actually unity 10 Senate map. But it's labeled as a MALDEF map. 11 MS. MACDONALD: Just one second. We're going to add this. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We're just waiting for the 14 layer to load up. 15 MS. WOODS: Chair, I do have it up on the screen. 16 But it's also one that splits the Coachella Valley. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So they're similar, but not 18 exactly the same, but similar to the original CAPAFR. 19 MS. MACDONALD: We can look at the Assembly if 20 you wish. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let's take a look. There 22 may be a solution within the San Diego area. 23 MS. WOODS: This is the district from the unity 24 map. In this configuration, it is important to confirm 25 that the south San Diego district does go into Coachella

Valley.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

So this southern district would have to pick up population somewhere if you were to draw a configuration like this. So the south district would either have to pick up population in east San Diego County or would have to go -- you know, include Imperial, go along the border, and go north from Coronado into these beach communities.

8 But this basically splits east Chula Vista, 9 Bonita, Bay Terraces, Valencia Park, College West, 10 Kensington, Kensington, Talmadge, Del Cerro, Linda Vista, Balboa Park, and then goes north into the Miramar, Scripps Ranch, Carmel Valley, Poway, Rancho Bernardo, and San Pasquel.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do the commissioners feel comfortable with allowing Commissioners Raya and Ontai to explore some solutions, but impose some general constraints regarding how far they can implement any possible changes? You might want to articulate what the constraints would be.

20

Commissioner Dai.

COMMISSIONER DAI: I recommend they not affect other districts outside of San Diego. So to keep the line 23 we currently have so we can discuss the OC districts.

> CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Other comments? This is again a proposal to allow them to look at

changes, but to limit it to San Diego County -- districts
 within San Diego County.

Mr. Ontai

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Well, we'll look at that. But you know, I -- we'll just look at it.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Again, I think Commissioner Filkins-Webber -- let me finish what I was going to say.

9 I believe it's the Commission's direction that 10 you can look at it, but I think the constraint would be 11 that's the limitation. And to go beyond that would not be 12 consistent with Commission direction. I think that's 13 correct.

14

3

4

5

6

7

8

So Commissioner Filkins-Webber, that's it. Okay.

I think that would be where we're going with that. And hopefully we can get a report back and hopefully a solution on this. Okay. So let's keep going.
We have about an hour or so.

MS. WOODS: The next district is CSAND. And it includes Coronado, Balboa Park, Mission Beach, Pacific Beach, Clairmont, La Jolla. It goes east to College West, Del Cerro, Talmadge, Kensington, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, Spring Valley, El Cajon, Sierra Senta, and then goes north along the coast to include La Jolla, La Jolla Village, University City, Torrey Pines, Del Mar, Solano Beach and Fairbanks Ranch.

COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Again, my concern is it splits the communities.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Questions? 4 Okay. Let's 5 move on.

The next district is NESAN. 6 MS. WOODS: And this 7 district is the northeast part of San Diego County. Ιt 8 includes Scripps Ranch, Mira Mesa, Miramar, Carmel Valley, 9 Carmel Mountain, Black Mountain Ranch, Rancho Bernardo, 10 San Pasqual, Santee, Winter Gardens, Rancho San Diego, 11 Crest, Harbinson, Alpine, Pine Valley, San Diego Country 12 Estates, Ramona, Julian, San Marcos, Escondido, Valley 13 Center, Hidden Meadows, Bonsall, Fallbrook, and Rainbow. 14 It respects the Riverside/San Diego County line.

15 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Again, it splits the API 16 communities, but it does respect the east county cities.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Further comments on the 18 district? Okay. And again, it's subject to some possible 19 modification based on Commissioner Ontai's explorations. 20 Next.

The next district is SANOC. And this 21 MS. WOODS: 22 is the district that crosses into Orange County. Ιt 23 starts at Encinitas, Carlsbad, Oceanside, Vista, Camp 24 Pendleton, San Onofre, San Clemente, Dana Point, San Juan Capistrano, Laguna Niguel, Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, 25

1

2

1 Mission Viejo, Los Flores, Ladera Ranch, Cota de Caza, Rancho Santa Margarita. 2

3 COMMISSIONER ONTAI: Again, it covers the coastal areas, especially where Camp Pendleton is similar to the 4 Assembly maps. It's good. 5

6 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Comments on the Orange 7 County? Anything to add?

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: The populations -- center the 9 bulk of the population is in Orange County; right?

10 MS. WOODS: Well, it's pretty split, because the 11 Encinitas, Carlsbad, Vista, Oceanside, Camp Pendleton is 12 an Assembly district.

> CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is a nested district. MS. WOODS: Yes. Correct.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So again because we have 16 odd numbers, San Diego, we have to go across county lines.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I would just add to 18 that for the public, we do recognize the testimony from Oceanside. We're talking 900,000 people that we have to 19 20 put together, so which is really hard.

21 So I certainly -- one good thing about this on 22 the south Orange County side is that the community of 23 interest there, I think that might go better together and 24 the population is denser there, rather than considering to go up the beach any further. I think that we can respect 25

13

this.

1

6

And again, for the public's purposes, I think 2 3 they recognize that we have to move into other counties when we have odd districts in such an enormous Senate 4 district. 5

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. DiGuilio.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think just as a 8 remainder we were able to respect the Orange San Diego 9 line in the Assembly. I think that's because they are 10 smaller populations, as Commissioner Filkins-Webber 11 mentioned. But both in Congressional and Senate where we have such large numbers, an increase in San Diego Count's 12 13 population is just going to have to come out somewhere.

14 COMMISSIONER RAYA: We also have a huge military 15 installation that we can't do anything about.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We can't move Camp 16 17 Pendleton.

So Commissioner Galambos-Malloy.

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I think I said 19 20 this earlier in reference to a different district, but I 21 think the up-side, even though we did have to cross the 22 county border, is that both counties are going to have 23 significant sway with their political representation here. 24 So I think given what we had to work with, I think this is 25 a pretty good solution.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Let's go to the next 2 district.

MS. WOODS: The next district is CSTIV. And this 3 4 includes part of Long Beach, Los Alamitos, Rossmoor, Seal 5 Beach, Sunset Beach, Huntington Beach, Newport Beach. Ιt 6 splits Irvine, and it goes down to Laguna Beach, also 7 includes Westminster, Garden Grove, Fountain Valley and includes the COI of Little Saigon. 8

9 10

11

COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is Dana Point split? MS. WOODS: I don't believe so.

COMMISSIONER FORBES: Just want to be sure.

12 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Can you tell more 13 about Long Beach, how much of Long Beach and which 14 portions?

15 MS. WOODS: We'll look at the splits report. So 16 it's this area right here.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DiGuilio and 18 then Commissioner Yao.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Belmont Shores and a lot 19 20 of that down there, I do think there is some links with 21 the beach communities at the south probably, more so than 22 in some ways other parts of Long Beach. But I'll let 23 other people make more comments.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Yao. 25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is Long Beach split more than

1 into two senatorial districts? Looks like could be three. MS. WOODS: It is three, I believe. We're still 2 3 looking up the splits report. It's three.

COMMISSIONER YAO: Is that an issue with anybody here? It seems like for a city to be split into three Senatorial districts is probably a little excessive.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Can you show where the splits are?

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I think we 10 certainly have COI to justify a two-way split. We heard a 11 tail of two cities in Long Beach. However, I agree with Commissioner Yao. If there was a way of reducing the 12 splits to two versus three, that would be preferable. 13

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can you just move --15 Because it seems like that one corner thank you. 16 population there was some justification for splitting 17 that. So I'm looking at right where the splits has that. So I didn't know that could be addressed. 18

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. MacDonald.

20 MS. MACDONALD: I think the Los Angeles 21 discussion is probably going to impact this particular 22 area. So before we spend too much time on that particular 23 area, I would say let's wait until we see the whole 24 district and then we can clean this up probably. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So let's go

25

19

4

5

6

7

1 Commissioner Filkins-Webber.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I have a question. 2 3 I guess refresh my recollection. Why are we 4 pushing so far across the L.A. County line with these 5 districts when I'm looking that the L.A. County and Orange 6 County line was respected at the Assembly level. So where is this coming from that we have to encroach so much into 7 8 Los Angeles for this district? 9 MS. WOODS: I think this was something that was 10 perhaps driven by the Los Angeles districts. 11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: So you're saying because of some blending issues in Los Angeles and they're 12 taking -- well, I don't know. But you're saying due to 13 14 Los Angeles, we're encroaching into L.A. County to get 15 some L.A. County residents into this Orange County 16 district --17 MS. WOODS: Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Is this a blending 19 or nesting in Orange County? What's going on here? 20 MS. WOODS: It's blended. 21 MS. MACDONALD: Again, some of these borders, 22 they're still in flux. So perhaps we could --23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: If they're in flux, 24 I'm looking at the Assembly districts and working from 25 there. Because I have a lot of trouble with the DBYLA in

looking at this as a whole, because we have significant testimony regarding -- well, maybe not enough. But I mean there is a distinct difference between north Orange County and south Orange County. We recognize that we have to 4 5 move into south Orange County from San Diego. But there may very well be a better way of blending or nesting to 7 maintain that community of interest.

8 And for instance, what I'm looking at is the 9 Assembly district of us TUSTO which makes up the largest 10 portion of the DBYLA. If we're going the nest into Orange 11 County or do any blending, it might be more consistent with the Assembly district CSTOC and then looking at a 12 blending of or nesting of West C with Santa Ana and then 13 14 the blending to the north of ANAFL with the Diamond Bar.

15 And this is a better rotation. And it's more 16 consistent than what I'm seeing here, because again we're 17 talking about large populations, that's for sure. But you 18 can actually still create compact districts that would be 19 more respectful of communities of interest because I think 20 you still can do that even though you're talking about 21 very large populations right.

22

25

1

2

3

6

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Time.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I can't see Lake 24 Forest going to Walnut. It's just incredible.

MS. MACDONALD: Could I just say, I think there

is a La Habra issue basically that went toward L.A. and that drove some of this as well. So basically La Habra was needed for Section 2. And this is definitely --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I'm not a mathematician, but even with the blending, I just -- I'm looking at Assembly districts where we respected the line here. And I just don't see how we then have to encroach on Orange County to take population, which is exactly what we saw at the Congressional level. When you're talking about large districts like this, I just don't see that it's adequate or fair representation for Orange County again.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me ask a question for 14 Ms. MacDonald. Are there any additional Orange County 15 districts that aren't impacted by L.A. that we can look at? Are we now at the point where --

17 MS. MACDONALD: We're at that point. But there 18 is a couple of trade-offs here. I guess, I mean, the 19 nesting issues is also whether you put north Orange 20 County, the AD, with Tustin or put it with the Anaheim 21 district. There is some trading off here going on as 22 well. So perhaps we should look at it that way.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I had done the 24 circle, and I don't know if Kyle got it. But I had 25 identified all the Assembly districts around that I

1 thought were consistent with communities of interest 2 testimony, while still respecting the more compact 3 districts here. And I can walk through again.

4

5

6

7

8

25

But I don't know where the blending -- how this works. I'm just looking at gross Assembly districts and where they would closely align and still respect Orange County as a whole, which it's not, you know, likely again at the Congressional level.

9 So I'm just trying to respect the Assembly 10 districts that we have had before. So I can walk through 11 it one more time if you want to make sure that Kyle got 12 it.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Maybe you want to use a 14 pointer just so --

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: She has the 16 Assembly districts up. Are those the label for Assembly? 17 They're both; correct?

MS. WOODS: I can remove the Senate boundaries. COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I'm looking at my computer and the rest of the Commission can follow what I was thinking. I'm just going -- wherever the blending and the nesting occurs, if we are assumed nesting, I'm looking at TUSTO would be more compact and better with CSTOC to the coast because it's south Orange County.

Then we would be looking at SNANA that would be

1 blend with the WESTC district.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Then you get compact again at ANAFL and blend that, which is a northern Orange County area. It's 4 considered north Orange County, and you blend that with the DBRYL or nest it together. Because then you have all north Orange County together. You have central Orange County at the Santa Ana/Westminster area together. Then you've got what's left of Orange County, which is frankly the Irvine. And Irvine gets to be whole here through the coast. And then we have the southern portion that goes with San Diego.

It just seems like a better blending/nesting of these communities of interest and keeps the areas compact.

14 Again, I have no idea what's going on at the L.A. 15 I just don't see how that population can be border. 16 encroaching when we actually worked out these Assembly 17 districts for Orange County.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Dai and then DiGuilio. 19

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just want to point out the 21 reason it's not perfect and it's blended is because we had 22 we kept the Riverside County line hard line, and so we did 23 something different there from the Assemblies. That's 24 why, because if you remember, our Assembly district for 25 east county in San Diego went up into Riverside. And we

1 asked them to blend that out. So they did. But that's 2 why there is a little different extra population push into 3 south OC that is different from our Assembly district. 4 That's why it has to be blended.

But I think it's helpful to look at again what the groupings are so that can guide how it's blended.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Did you have any objection to the grouping that I --

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think it's good to keep 10 Irvine whole. I think that makes sense.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DiGuilio.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I like that -- we're all 13 visual people, especially at this hour.

14 It helps to see what Commissioner Filkins-Webber 15 I like this as a starting. I'm wondering if was saying. 16 the Seal Beach all the way wrap up to Anaheim, if that 17 is -- if we couldn't again wrap -- yeah, blend that a little bit. I don't -- it's kind of hard because you do 18 19 have the coastal aspect and way inland. I don't know if 20 Commissioner Filkins-Webber thinks that's okay or if there 21 is like a Costa Mesa/Huntington Beach swap out. If I 22 don't know, I just kind of look at that and think if I 23 didn't know I'd think there's maybe --

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: It's tough. And I 25 think it's because I'm looking at Irvine whole, because I

11

5

6

7

1 think we split them at the other levels, number one. Number two, if we had time and could work on some 2 3 other better blending. But like I said, that's just a gross visualization as to where I see the communities of 4 5 interest for the entirety of Orange County, given the 6 southern aspect had to go to San Diego. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So Ms. MacDonald and Ms. 8 Woods, how do you see this type of configuration 9 intersecting with what we -- what you're proposing also in 10 Los Angeles County? MS. MACDONALD: I think L.A. still needs La Habra 11 for Section 2. So that's basically one of the big 12 13 differences here. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: How big? 15 MS. MACDONALD: And then there is also -- this 16 little big differences is also that little slice there in 17 L.A. -- at Long Beach. I'm sorry. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is it your sense though 19 that -- understanding La Habra might have to go somewhere 20 else, can these two be reconciled? Or are we doing too 21 much? La Habra is too big of a population to --MS. MACDONALD: I don't know. We'd have to take 22 23 a look at it. 24 Could we perhaps bring that back while I look at 25 it with Ms. Boyle tonight? Since that is bordering --

1 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Sure. Commissioner Ward. 2 COMMISSIONER WARD: In the Senate plan, is Laguna 3 4 Niguel split and the coastal OC district? 5 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: This is the original 6 proposal visualization. Let's go back. 7 COMMISSIONER WARD: Should be the same in both, 8 yeah. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: If you can pull back to the 10 original -- well, that's still the original. Put back the 11 Senate layer err. 12 MS. WOODS: It doesn't look split. 13 COMMISSIONER WARD: Do you know what the splits 14 are on the southern border? On the way the database 15 projects is, it appears to be several. I'm just wondering 16 how we can minimize that. 17 MS. WOODS: I'm not aware of any splits. 18 COMMISSIONER WARD: No splits in Mission Viejo? MS. WOODS: I don't believe so. 19 20 COMMISSIONER WARD: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So Ms. MacDonald, at this 21 22 point, again just being mindful of Ms. Woods' time 23 today -- and we obviously would have to go into L.A. to 24 look at how all this fits together, is there any 25 additional work that we should look at with Ms. Woods?

MS. MACDONALD: We can do the nesting rotation, 1 most definitely. And then I think we should look at the 2 3 border issues with Ms. Boyle tomorrow and then basically figure out -- I mean, there is basically a Long Beach 4 5 versus La Habra trade-off. And we can work that out 6 tomorrow.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So we've about -- this is good. We want to make sure Ms. Woods' work was covered. So we still have a half hour or so.

10 MS. MACDONALD: This is more going to be a 11 blending probably than a perfect nesting. But that's 12 fine.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Should we -- just for 14 productivity, should we -- we have some questions. The 15 question being should we switch over to Congressional at 16 this point just to get some work done before adjourning? 17 Does that make sense?

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Back to L.A. 18 19 Congressional?

20 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Back to L.A. My sense is that the Q2 team needs to work on some of the Senate 21 22 districts tonight to kind of work these rotations through. 23 And then we would have to -- again, we're trying to make 24 sure Ms. Woods' work is covered. And Ms. Boyle will be 25 with us tomorrow.

7

8

1 Would it make sense to go to the L.A. Congressionals to get work done before we adjourn. That's 2 3 the question. MS. WOODS: One point I'm not sure I made is that 4 5 there is an additional district in San Bernardino that's 6 going to be covered tomorrow with Jaime in Senate. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Do you want to -- does that 8 impact what you need to cover or --9 MS. WOODS: No. I'm just saying that there is 10 one more district in Region 2, but it's being addressed 11 tomorrow when Jaime presents her Senate. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. She'll be here. 12 MS. MACDONALD: She'll be there in the afternoon. 13 14 This is one of the handoff areas. So basically that's 15 where some of the population from some of Ms. Woods' area 16 went into Ms. Clarks' area. So she's going to cover that 17 tomorrow. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DiGuilio. 19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I know this nesting idea 20 is there's going to be to have some manipulation based on what we're doing. I would like to officially suggest one 21 22 more thing to consider, is to do a little bit of maybe 23 population shift with Huntington Beach maybe up with its

25 area and would get rid of the two long blue tail and the

northern neighbors and Costa Mesa over with the Irvine

long purple. And I think it would allow two access points in Orange County to the coast. It would be the purple running down to the coast and the blue more straight down to the coast. So I don't know -- it's one of those things 4 as you blend to take into consideration also. That's all.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Are the commissioners okay Is that clear to the note taker? with that?

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: It was meant to kind of include Huntington Beach with Seal Beach and Rossmoor. It's not actually Cypress, but it's a way to have two access points to the beach. So you have to cluster purple down to the beach to Huntington Beach and the trade off would be Costa Mesa. I'm not sure what the population is, but something along that, to have kind of two diagonal accesses in Orange County to the beach.

But again, this is -- I know there is a lot of issues with the blend that will go on. It was just one thing I thought of as a consideration as they're doing this as a possibility.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Comments on this particular point? I think there is a lack of clarity. Can you use a 22 pointer?

23 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So I quess what I'm 24 suggesting is there is some false dichotomy this is a 25 coastal district. It's not really. It's half coastal --

1

2

3

5

6

1 so my suggestion was to maybe do like a line down here and 2 then take this part and make it blue and make this part 3 and make it purple. To the purple would look like this, 4 something like this. And this coastal access kind of 5 grouping here, and then the blue would kind of be like a 6 very long and goes up here like this.

MS. WOODS: The population of Huntington Beach is 189,000. The population of Costa Mesa is 109,000.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: 109 and?

MS. WOODS: 190. Doing that, we would split Huntington Beach.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Well -- and again, I 12 13 don't know if this is an easy swap. But I figure when 14 you're doing the blending with the other issues -- and 15 maybe that's -- I don't want to do it simply because it 16 would look nicer. I thought there was also some 17 similarities between Huntington Beach and Seal Beach in 18 that area and also you keep the Irvine. It was just a 19 suggestion I thought I would through out and 20 consideration.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I would concur with 22 that. I think Commissioner Ward was saying Costa Mesa 23 wanted to be in a coastal district, I think when we were 24 looking at some other level of maps and district or 25 Assembly.

9

7

1 So even though we might -- again, Huntington Beach is 190,000 people. We've never split that before. 2 3 We are keeping Irvine whole here. So might be some 4 consideration. There is a potential difference in 5 communities of interest when you get down to Newport Coast 6 versus Huntington Beach. So if there was a dividing line 7 between these two districts by adding Costa Mesa in, Costa 8 Mesa can finally be coastal. And if we had to split 9 Huntington Beach, there might be a reasonable split that 10 Commissioner Ward can recommend there. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner 12 Barabba, did you have anything? 13 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: No. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That was captured? We got 15 that in the notes? Okay. Lots of instructions there. 16 So thank you, Alex. 17 MS. WOODS: Thank you. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So Ms. Boyle, we're 19 switching over now to the Congressionals. And again for 20 the members of the public, we're doing this because there is some additional work that will need to be done on the 21 22 Senate districts this evening by Q2. And we do have again 23 the number of -- well, we've already been looking at some 24 of the Congressional districts. So we'll try to pick up 25 where we left off earlier this afternoon and probably go

1 maybe for a half hour or so and do some public comment and 2 then adjourn.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23

2.4

25

Just as a preview for tomorrow what we're going to be doing is continue with the southern California Congressionals in the morning. Then we'll go the Senate and then Board of Equalization -- or no. Northern California -- I'm sorry. The northern California Senate we have to finish up. So we're basically doing a lot of the Senate will be tomorrow. We've only done a few districts actually for San Diego and Riverside and San Bernardino.

MS. MACDONALD: Correct. So what we'll do tomorrow morning is we'll start wherever we stop today. And then I think go into the Senate district for Los Angeles, and then wrap everything up.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: We'll wrap up and 17 transition to the Senate for the northern California.

MS. MACDONALD: And then we finish up -basically we'll finish up with southern California and Los
Angeles. And then move back into northern California and
finish up where we left off and do the Board of
Equalization.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

MS. MACDONALD: If that is okay.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That sounds like a plan.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Just quickly, I don't 2 know if there is some Commissioners that maybe wanted to 3 just quickly re-visit anything in northern California. I 4 know maybe there is some Congressional discussion or even 5 Assembly. Based on our time, but do we have some other 6 options in northern California just to look at?

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Maybe there is simply
8 cleanup. We'll have to do that after we're complete with
9 the other districts.

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Do I need to raise the 11 issue with Yolo County?

12 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Yeah. I think you should13 bring it up tomorrow.

Again, we have to cover the districts we haven't covered first before we can go back to some earlier work.

MS. MACDONALD: I think my point was only start with southern California and then go to northern California so I know where my people have to be.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Part of this is which 20 mappers are coming in and when is the issue.

Go ahead.

21

22 MS. BOYLE: Which option do we want to start with 23 in Congress?

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think we're on Option 1, 25 are we not?

MS. BOYLE: Give me one moment. 1 This is Option 1. This is the visualization that 2 3 that is the correct label with the deviation for this 4 district. And then Alex's visualization for this area you 5 saw that it extends much further into Orange County then 6 you previously saw on the visualization that I showed you. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. 8 MS. BOYLE: I left it to Alex to draw this 9 district since I had no idea. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: If you could put the mike 11 closer. MS. BOYLE: Sure. So this district goes -- in 12 this visualization, it's not finished. It continues into 13 14 Alex's region. She developed her option for this this 15 morning. 16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So we'll again look 17 at that in Ms. Woods' analysis. 18 MS. BOYLE: Do you just want to continue west to 19 the additional districts we didn't already cover? Like 20 the IGWSGF? 21 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So let's set up a plan 22 here, because we did talk about some of the core L.A. 23 districts. I think we raised some concerns about 24 over-concentration within the downtown district. That's 25 something with I think we agree that we should try to

1 address that.

6

14

Just as an overview, are there some general concerns -- are there general concerns regarding this configuration that we need to flush out before we just kind of go district by district?

Commissioner Filkins-Webber.

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Before we go
8 district by district? Because I have I guess district by
9 district concerns.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. I think one of the 11 overarching ones was simply the over-concentration in 12 downtown. That affects other adjacent districts 13 obviously.

Commissioner Blanco and then Galambos-Malloy.

15 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I had expressed my view 16 that given that every -- that that district that appears 17 to be -- it doesn't appear to be. It 74 percent. That's 18 high.

Also contains very strong community of interest testimony that we got and that given that all the other visualizations, usually when you are concerned about this, you're concerned that there may be over-concentration that leads to the dilution of adjacent district and therefore you have fewer Section 2 districts.

25

In this case, all of the visualizations,

1 regardless of the concentration of this one district, have the same number of Section 2 districts. So I felt, on 2 3 balance, that we might have other issues with this area that we want to deal with. But that was not the 4 5 overriding issue in my -- from my point of view.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think that's a good summary of the packing concern is over-concentrating in one when you could have two. That's again much more difficult, simply because we haven't looked at the adjacent districts.

11 Commissioner Parvenu and then Commissioner Yao, do you have your hand up? 12

Parvenu and then Galambos-Malloy.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I agree with Commissioner 15 Blanco about that district with the high concentration. 16 That happens to be where the residents live. It's very 17 strong community of interest testimony there. So I'm resolved to live with the fact that that number is there, 18 19 specifically since, as Commissioner Blanco says, there's 20 surrounding districts. Obviously, Section 2. I'm 21 comfortable with Compton being Section 2. I think we 22 addressed Mr. Brown's concerns with that regard.

23 One question I do have, though, is that if there 24 is no population at the Los Angeles port, I don't know 25 what the numbers are. No one obviously lives there.

13

6

7

8

9

Could we leaving Long Beach's port with Long Beach, could we extend that down to the oceans, the port to deal with that north/south.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

4 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I think we'll hold that for 5 when we get to the districts.

I want to just look at some broad stroke ones. We can certainly go to that one first if we want to.

I just want to get some broad stroke comment before we get to a district. We'll hold that certainly.

10 Sorry. Galambos-Malloy and then DiGuilio and 11 Yao.

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I believe to a 12 13 certain extent minor districts specific to, but just a 14 general concern about whether the Congressional level it 15 made sense to split off the port and San Pedro from each 16 other. I do think that if they were split from each 17 other, but at least we had the port connected on the north/south corridor with some of the communities most 18 19 impacted by the port activities, you know, that's 20 something that I would definitely entertain.

21 On the west side on thing Inglewood district, I 22 do have some concerns that at the Congressional level 23 specifically, which is where we see the federal issues 24 regarding air quality, traffic control, et cetera, related 25 to the airport as being most significant that we have not 1 linked Westchester with Inglewood and have been looking at 2 increasingly at the coastal district and trying to get a 3 handle on Dockweiler Beach and really thinking of maybe 4 the Dockweiler Beach side as really being more of a 5 coastal connector and Westchester going more with the east 6 side. So just exploring how this whole kind of coastal 7 area with the ports and the airport is connected.

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Time.

9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DiGuilio and 10 then Yao and then Barabba and Filkins-Webber.

8

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think again some of 12 mine have just been raised.

I agree with the attempt to see if we can explore some type of way to link the north/south district with the ports. I think we've tried that before. And I'd like to explore that and include that with the San Pedro as well too.

But I will say also since the issue was raised, I have a real problem with connecting via just a small strip of Dockweiler area. But that just doesn't sit well for me.

I have to say when I was at home on Saturday looking at that, when you're kind of away from the situation, you just look at how that looks, it looked really problematic. And so that's just my concern with 1 that area. But again, I'm willing to explore it. It 2 doesn't sit well with me.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Mr. Yao.

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

COMMISSIONER YAO: Two points. One is on the 74 percent. Can we get a reading from Mr. George Crown making sure it is not a packing issue? I want to put this legal matter to bed so we don't have to again discuss it tomorrow when we re-visit that particular region.

9 Number two is on the LAX, if we're going to
10 exclude that from the district, since we're not going to
11 re-visit the Assembly map, can we do the same thing on the
12 Assembly map so the minimum we are consistent?

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: No because it's COI.

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Can you repeat the 15 last --

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: The last remark has to do with 17 the excluding the LAX from the -- whatever that district 18 is to the right where Inglewood in there and other cities 19 are. And if we're going to do that, my recollection is 20 that we have the LAX on the last approved Assembly submap. If that's the case -- if that's not the case, it's not an 21 22 issue. But if it is the case, we need to be consistent. 23 Either have it in as part of the district associated with 24 Inglewood or not have it as part of the district 25 associated with Inglewood.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Galambos-Malloy addressed that point.

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Well, I think if 4 Commissioner Yao's issue is consistency, given that my focus is on these issues connected to the airport are federally governed, therefore federal is really the most important connector, then, you know, if it needs to be that way at the Assembly, I think that's the way we did it. Maybe at the Senate we look at other configurations.

10 There's something else on the west side though --11 I just thought it was important to note that both in the African American redistricting collaborative submissions 12 13 and in the MALDEF submissions they actually do connect the 14 airport with their respective versions of Inglewood 15 district over here on the west side.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.

17 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: The notion that one 18 community in Inglewood has access to one Congressperson 19 relative to Los Angeles airport and that having any affect 20 on what goes on at the Los Angeles airport I think is somewhat of a stretch, because the Los Angeles airport not 21 22 only affects Inglewood, it affects a lot of places. And it is a federal issue. 23

24 So as much as people might like to say because my 25 Congressman and I have are in the same district relative

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1 to the airport, I think that's kind of naive relative to what we have here. 2

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu. I'm Forgive me. Filkins-Webber and then Parvenu. sorry.

COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I just want to go back and just recognize -- I think that we have recognized the community of interest of Compton and Carson at the Assembly level and more than likely we're going to do so at the Senate level. So I do think this is impacting a number of other communities of interest, as I stated earlier in the day as we were looking at other options --I won't reiterate that here.

I will say one thing. If we do consider putting 14 the airport with Inglewood, we might be able to respect The Mayor Pro Tem or the council member came Hawthorne. up two times this week. And that community of interest to 17 the South Bay is not being respected at any level.

18 So what we might do, because of the population 19 squeeze, if we push Inglewood and push Westchester -- I 20 don't know what the population is -- into Inglewood, as 21 Commissioner Malloy is suggesting, we might be able to rework the coast a little bit. I'm so troubled about 22 23 Rancho Palos Verdes going to Largemont in Hancock Park. 24 And there is plenty of restaurants there and they do not 25 combine.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: 1 Time. 2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: Sorry. I just had 3 to put it out there. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I haven't heard that one 4 5 before. 6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I looked it up on 7 the statewide data. 8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Which criteria was that? 9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner 10 Parvenu. 11 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Currently, getting back to the airport scenario, currently this version shows the 12 13 split at the airport along Sepulveda Boulevard, which 14 becomes PCH right there at the airport. Somewhere the 15 name changes. 16 But one approach could be to move that line, 17 since there is no population in the airport itself, to the 18 west along Pershing Drive, which blends north and south, 19 so that sliver along is not divided along Vista Del Mar, which is on the east side of that beach area. If you zoom 20 21 in, you can see it a little more clearly. 22 That first line at those north and south is Vista 23 Del Mar. The second line, right there where the 24 Westchester boundary is Pershing Drive. So that gives you 25 a bit of a more width there. So it's not just the beach

1 itself. There is a cliff there that separates the airport 2 area from --

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: Time.

COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That's a consideration.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So why don't we go back? Let's just pick a district then, shall we, and discuss it?

8 Actually, do we need to go through the core areas 9 at this point one by one? Do we have enough narrative to 10 go forward?

11 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I'm comfortable 12 moving forward with them. I feel like if there are any 13 adjacent districts where we have to refine around the 14 edges and it connects with downtown, we can take it with 15 that. But I think the core, in my view, is fairly solid 16 because of Section 2 considerations.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: And again, we've done this 18 before where we documented the communities of interest and 19 the Section 2 considerations, for those writing the 20 narrative, re-identify the particular cities and 21 communities of interest.

22

3

4

5

6

7

Commissioner DiGuilio.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just want to follow up because we have talked about if -- one option if we want to explore Hawthorne with the southern part. And this is

just totally rough. My numbers could be off. You could split -- you have three districts. You have thing Inglewood, Culver City and the Long Beach one. So you 4 could kind of split the longer coastal one like El Segundo and probably maybe -- I don't know if it would be with Inglewood or without -- and go south and then Culver City with all that with Beverly Hills and Malibu.

I mean, there is going to be trades-offs, right. If you want to split half and half, you can do that. But you can have South Bay with Hawthorne and Torrance and all 11 that. And then -- but you have to have a really big one 12 somehow up there, too; right? I mean --

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: I've got some puzzled looks I'm seeing. We're referring to the IGWSG district.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The thing is if you try 16 to do a southern district with the Hawthorne down with 17 your population base, you're going to have to split into 18 your Culver City one as well too district, right. Because 19 otherwise, you're isolating your top northern population. 20 And then you might also have to merge the top of your 21 Culver City with the top and then your bottom of Culver 22 City district with Inglewood. You're going to have to 23 cross some boundaries there between those districts in 24 order to do the South Bay.

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. Commissioner

1 Galambos-Malloy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I agree with being cautious with this approach, although it's definitely one of the ones I've considered.

We've also -- we had South Bay testimony that's been significant. And largely it seems like from reading with detail a reaction to last week's map. So I just wanted to acknowledge that, that it was more of a reaction towards being paired with some of the cities farther east.

10 But we have been getting COI testimony as well 11 that really decouples the South Bay and the beach cities as being unique communities of interest and not 12 13 necessarily being lumped together from people like who 14 have been former Mayor of some of the beach cities saying, 15 you know, the beach cities like Manhattan Beach and all 16 the coastal areas really do not have the same concerns as 17 Gardena, Hawthorne, Lawndale, Inglewood, Lenex. And so, 18 you know, thinking of the concerns at a Congressional 19 level, I don't know this is an exact coastal district that 20 makes sense, but some sort of coastal district here makes 21 sense to me.

22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. So in terms of 23 Commissioners DiGuilio's suggestion, do folks generally 24 understand what the --

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Could you explain?

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: So if we're trying to look at the south, I'm just roughly guessing for population

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Hold on a second. Ms. Boyle, could you zoom in on the district? COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Well, I have to go to the top. Maybe you could take off this label though maybe a little bit. Move it over.

And help me out, Ms. Boyle. If we kind of do a south -- we've had different testimony. There is some 11 this considers the South Bay. We've heard the straight line from here down. We have conflicting COI. 12

13 So this does a straight line. But to accommodate 14 the other one would be -- I'm assuming you can't go all 15 the way up to Inglewood. You have to break off somewhere 16 here and do this, right? And then you probably have a 17 middle district, which would be Inglewood over here and 18 probably cut off this district somewhere. And because 19 this population has to re-populate this that you've broken 20 off from the bottom. So you have three like this.

21 So that's what I'm thinking intuitively by 22 looking at the numbers. I'm not sure how people feel, 23 because as I understood it, we will some distinction 24 between here, here, and here. But if you're okay with 25 doing this and okay with doing this, and that works,

that's something to explore. 1

2

3

COMMISSIONER DAI: Like it.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner, we have a 4 couple off mike, "I like it."

5 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Let me just make mention, my one concern, I see that's -- my one concern is 6 7 that, you know, this is a federal issue as well, too. And 8 we've had a lot of COI about Santa Monica, the Santa 9 Monica Bay, and this whole COI, right. We were slammed a 10 couple days ago about this long stretch. So you're 11 breaking up that COI.

So there is trade-offs both ways. Because you're 12 13 putting it here, here, and here. Not all the way up to 14 Santa Monica from the -- what do they call the Reyes --15 Those are points of consideration. down.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner 17 Galambos-Malloy and then Filkins-Webber.

18 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: A comment about 19 the coastal district from the perspective of environmental 20 planning. I see the coastal district differently as I 21 would prioritize thinking about it potentially at the 22 Senate level because they're really governed by the 23 Coastal Conservancy and the Coastal Commission. So I 24 think unlike an airport, it really is more of a state 25 governed issue. That's just one point.

The other is I'm interested in Commissioner DiGuilio's suggestion. And I think one piece of information that would help me understand it more is I think we would have a solid base when it came to COI testify we've received for the different neighborhood groupings and city groupings.

7 One of my considerations though is also would we 8 still be able to preserve this sense of several districts 9 again where African Americans would have a decent chance 10 to compete in their districts. So I don't know to what 11 extent we can look at some rough numbers of what our 12 percentages would look like at in alternative 13 configuration, but that would be helpful.

14 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco. I 15 missed you. I'm sorry. Filkins-Webber.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: I have concerns --17 well, I recognize Commissioner Galambos-Malloy's points 18 there and I'm really struggling to balance all of these 19 issues. But the African American community said they 20 don't want a Section 2 here.

21 So I'm just looking at a broader range of trying 22 to balance these interests. And so if we take a look at 23 the community of interest and put the airport back with 24 Inglewood, I don't agree.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

I think the Commission concurred on this last

1 week they don't like this Dockweiler beach issue. Santa Monica does not want to be with Rowland Hills and Palos 2 3 Verdes estates.

So I think Commissioner DiGuilio's recommendation 4 5 does quite a number of things in respecting communities of 6 interest at all levels here. It puts -- makes Miracle 7 Mile in the Century City area we talked about before. Ιt 8 gives Inglewood the airport, as they had discussed. Ιt 9 gives Hawthorne the South Bay as they desire. And I think 10 that that is more respectful of this entire area, while 11 still accommodating what the African American community 12 has asked for with the airport, with Inglewood.

> CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco. COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I pass. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Parvenu.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: One -- I'm hearing what's 17 being discussed.

18 One last comment about the configuration I'm 19 seeing before me is that it is a long stretch of coast. 20 That is correct. As a result of those communities being 21 coastal communities of cities is that, again, looking at 22 it environmentally, you're looking at the Santa Monica 23 Mountain Range area. There's a huge space. We have 24 testimony from the Sierra Club and from the Santa Monica 25 Mountains Conservancy and others. We have Heal the Bay.

13

14

We have obvious organizations from Malibu on down south, all the way even to Rowland Hills actually that are in the Coastal Commission.

4 You have some continuity in terms of 5 organizations that are addressing those various 6 oceanic-oriented concerns at those communities are 7 involved with. And you do have a transportation corridor there. So I would say that in favor of -- if there is any justification for that --

10

11

12

13

17

comment.

8

9

1

2

3

COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- that would be it. CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: That will be it. Commissioner Yao.

Time.

14 And then just as a time check, we've got about 15 15 minutes -- little over 15 minutes to work on what we can 16 today. And then we'll take a stop for Q2 and take public

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS:

18 COMMISSIONER YAO: In addressing the Mayor from 19 Hawthorne, the nine e-mails that we received this morning 20 when they said they wanted to be part of the South Bay cities, majority of them identified specifically Manhattan 21 22 Beach, El Segundo, Redando Beach as the prominent city. 23 They want to be part of those beach cities.

24 But if you take a look broader look, the Council 25 Government, the South Bay Council of Government, that

listed is quite extensive. It includes everything from Inglewood all the way down to Rowland Hill as far as north/south is concerned. And from the east/west, it goes 4 all the way from Manhattan Beach to Gardena. So they're 5 definitely part of that Council of Government, even though they suggest that we separate them from "the beach 7 cities."

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Blanco.

9 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: That was going to be my earlier point. It wasn't to the proposal that Commissioner DiGuilio made.

I was going to point out that in this current 12 13 configuration that we're looking at, Hawthorne is with 14 Gardena, Torrance. In the testimony here, I know that 15 they've talked about this broader South Bay. But a lot of 16 the testimony we heard was a lot of it was about being 17 with Torrance. And it was also El Segundo. But it was 18 aerospace. But it was some of it was, you know, from Hawthorne down. And some of that is in this 19 20 configuration. That was going to be my earlier 21 observation. 22 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. 23 Commissioner Galambos-Malloy. 24 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: I just wanted to

request, given that we're getting close to time, if we are

10 11

25

1

2

3

6

1 going to consider something along the lines of what 2 Commissioner DiGuilio proposed, would it be possible for 3 Q2 to bring back some hard data on what that proposal 4 would look like by the time we resume the conversation 5 tomorrow morning?

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. MacDonald, did you catch that or Ms. Boyle? In other words, can we get --

6

7

8 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Even a rough cut. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Given what Commissioner 10 DiGuilio has proposed as a set of rotations, can we get 11 that hard data put together by tomorrow morning, 12 basically?

MS. MACDONALD: I think we need to clarify some things, because there's some potential COI trades-offs here. So I mean, there is some very complicated issues that were just raised.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Can we -- and you know, 18 maybe we should just take a step back and do a couple 19 things.

One is I'd like to get Ms. Boyle's thoughts about what we're proposing and the pluses and minuses. And just kind of the general idea about this where there splits would land.

But I think to maybe address CommissionerFilkins-Webber's question maybe we could just simply do

1 some -- look at the African American population, look at 2 the Latino, if that's what you want to look at to see 3 where that falls out, as I understand it, if you're 4 looking for the implications on particular groups. But I 5 think I would imagine beyond doing that, running reports, 6 the Q2 is going to be doing mapping.

Those are the two suggestions, is to get Ms. Boyle's thoughts on the first idea of these three types of districts. And the second one is if we want to follow up with Commissioner Galambos-Malloy's request for more information.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So first, are you clear on what we need to clarify? This is unclear to a lot of people what the actual proposal is. We have to clarify that before Ms. Boyle can actually give her reactions and sort of think through. For moving forward, she can do another visualization.

> Let's again one more time try to go through it. Commissioner Dai.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can I try to paraphrase and 21 then Commissioner DiGuilio can see if I have it?

Basically, we're looking at three districts and basically redistributing the population in those three districts just within those three districts. It shouldn't affect the lines on anything else. It's the coastal

7

district, the Mid City district, and then the Inglewood
 Hawthorne district.

3 And I believe Commissioner DiGuilio's suggesting 4 there is a South Bay one that starts at the bottom there, 5 right, and goes up as far as it needs to to get 6 population. And then there's kind of an Inglewood --7 yeah. There is another airport district. And then 8 hopefully again this is where we want to see where the 9 splits would be, because we know there's some COIs, some 10 west side cities. There's the Jewish community. There's 11 the COI. So there are obviously some lines to work 12 around. But to take the population in those three districts and redistribute them. 13

14 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Just to 15 acknowledge in thinking this through, I know that the 16 Commission -- we had received and received a couple of 17 times a submission from the African American Redistricting 18 Collaborative, which submits an option very close to what 19 is being described.

There are certainly refinements. But the way they started it, it essentially starts down in San Pedro. And where there are lines in are El Segundo. I think Torrance is oriented towards the south. So I think there is definitely some refinement around the edges, particularly as you get up towards Marina Del Ray area. But it is a fairly close base to what is being discussed and might also get at our guestions around the CVAP numbers for various populations. But I --

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I would say with that map -- I just to clarify, I'm just proposing this because I saw the discussion where it was going, so I saw a way. I don't necessarily agree or disagree. I don't really know enough how it would look.

9 But having said that, I think the only difference between what I'm suggesting and ARC's map is I would not 10 11 go up and connect -- pull Santa Monica down, Dockweiler Bay. Would be simply start from the bottom, 935,000 12 13 people up, where does that line -- and just for now, just 14 a rough estimate. Where does that line -- and then you 15 start from there and do your 935,000

> MS. BOYLE: 702.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: 702 and 702. We can adjust those lines. That's just what I was saying. 18

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: These maps leave out the Santa 20 Monica mountains.

21 MS. MACDONALD: We think some of that might have 22 been in last week's visualization. So we're just going to 23 pull this up.

24 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay. While we're waiting 25 are, we checked with Mr. Brown regarding Commissioner

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1 Yao's question regarding the downtown district. And his response is, "74 percent seems too high to me, assuming alternatives exist that would lower that number," which I think is doable. That is his response. 4

COMMISSIONER DAI: Chair, I wonder if you could tell him the reason for that concentration is the southeast cities, which is a very strong COI.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Is that in your submittal 9 to Mr. Brown?

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: So it was an attempt to 11 actually put all seven southeast cities together, which 12 had been the request.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So maybe Mr. Miller, if you 14 could send an e-mail to Mr. Brown.

COMMISSIONER DAI: And it's extremely --

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: It's very compact.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's a compact area on the 18 map. We had divided them up in the assembly to bring the over-concentration down. But now we've drawn several 19 20 districts around it that are also Section 2.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS-WEBBER: And maybe one 22 further point for Mr. Miller is that it's not decreasing 23 the number of Section 2s in this area, if that's his 24 concern is that we have over-concentrated a district to 25 the sacrifice of another district.

2 3

5

6

7

15

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Filling in more of a complete picture for Mr. Brown to analyze. Okay. I'm 2 3 sure he'll appreciate the extra information.

Ms. Boyle.

MS. BOYLE: What you are looking at is last week's Congressional visualization. I think it actually does what Commissioner DiGuilio was proposing. Just a moment.

9 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: As you move 10 further east into what used to be a Compton-Carson/Long 11 Beach district, I think there is a fairly dramatic difference from what we had been discussing here today. 12

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I think that's exactly why 14 we explored other configurations, ones that we saw before. 15 And there was a concern about certain linkages there, 16 particularly with Crenshaw and the Mid City district being 17 connected with the Malibu cities. I think that was one of 18 the initial concerns.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Well, again, we have 20 something to work with. I guess the question is whether 21 we still want to have Q2 work on this tonight. But let's 22 take some more comments.

Commissioner Barabba and Commissioner Yao. COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would think if they go with the suggestion by Commissioner DiGuilio, you would

1

4

5

6

7

8

23

24

1 have less ripple affect on the other districts rather than 2 this one, because this one would conflict with other 3 districts that we've agreed to. Whereas, you stay with 4 the three, you make three out of the existing three, you 5 run into less problems.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Because you already have a set boundary on the west of the ocean at the east. What you're doing is reconfiguring those three based simply on because it's Congressional population.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

MS. BOYLE: You realize it would look a lot like this. The boundary with Long Beach is going to change between Palos Verdes and Long Beach.

13 But what you're proposing is very similar to 14 It may move further south and go more north, the this. 15 middle district there, because this western boundary is 16 different. But this is the concept you're explaining. 17 And no matter -- I can shift it further south, but you're 18 still going to have one district with a very high number 19 here and the other two quite low. And combining this COI 20 and --

COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: Actually --

COMMISSIONER DAI: Just to clarify, part of this was in response to the testimony that we've gotten from the Mayor of Hawthorne wants to be part of the south. So I believe you have Hawthorne right now with Inglewood. So 1 that would be a difference.

2

3

MS. BOYLE: The boundaries are the same on the north. We haven't changed our external Congressional boundaries. So there is not enough population to go for 4 5 this district and as I keep going further south. So I 6 don't know that you can move Hawthorne out, without still 7 drawing up this way.

8 You can see right here, this is a complete 9 district. There is no population from Long Beach in here. 10 So this isn't a complete district. If you pull in 11 Hawthorne, it's over-populated. You still have to come down to El Segundo and Manhattan. 12

I'll redo the visualization like this based on 13 14 the ones that we have now. I'm more than happy to do it. 15 But it's sounding very similar to what you're describing.

16 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Let me interject here. 17 Just could you clarify -- repeat the point regarding the 18 various CVAP percentages?

19 MS. BOYLE: You can see when we basically took 20 the center of this COI here, there was two COIs really. There is one in centered around Crenshaw and Culver City, 21 22 and then there's the Inglewood, Gardena, Hawthorne that we 23 heard so much testimony about in Culver City.

24 So moving Hawthorne out breaks that up. And 25 you've separated parts here from Culver City, parts north of here that kind of belong with parts south. You're going through two activities here potentially.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner DiGuilio and 4 then Galambos-Malloy.

MS. BOYLE: Perhaps I should redraw this on the current lines and we can discuss it tomorrow.

COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Time out.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I quess I understood 9 what you're saying by kind of showing us what it might 10 look like. But I still feel like I'd feel more comfortable if we went back to the other map, the map that we're dealing with with the Congressional one.

And then because again I want to make sure -there is a couple concerns I have. One is we have the tendency the last thing we've heard is the most important thing in our head. And I know the Mayor of Hawthorne was here and he wants to be part of the beach communities. And I'm willing to explore that. But he's not exactly -if you do that and do beaches, you're breaking up a population base that we need to some degree, unless you can take from there and go all the way south. I'm not sure can you go that high.

I'm also concerned about breaking up some coastal 24 communities. I don't think you go all the way up to Santa 25 Monica, but the Reyes down. I mean, we've had a lot of

1

2

3

5

6

strong testimony about the coastal communities, too. This -- they're different COIs that we're dealing with. And I just want to consider all of them, but I just don't want it to be the last one that we heard and the last one 4 5 we saw in the e-mails we consider the most important. We 6 have to balance from the very first input hearing to now.

1

2

3

16

7 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Ms. MacDonald and Ms. 8 Boyle, in terms of what you're going to be doing tonight, obviously, you're going to be working on the Senate 9 10 districts and at least map out an alternative 11 visualization being suggested by Commissioner DiGuilio. 12 Timewise, you can get that all together?

13 MS. MACDONALD: We're not going to be able to get 14 this into the KMZ, because that's just not going to be 15 possible.

> CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Okay.

17 MS. MACDONALD: We're starting tomorrow at 9:30 18 in the morning. That's just not going to work.

19 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: So let's go to Commissioner 20 Galambos-Malloy, Barabba, Blanco.

21 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS-MALLOY: So my comment is 22 as we're looking down at this area, we're hemmed in on two 23 sides by water. And we are really trying to balance a 24 number of different communities of interest, number of 25 different minority populations. And I would implore the

Commission, given how late we are in the game, that we should be open to certain things. For example, in other parts of the state, we have crossed bridges where there has been a strong rationale looking at the big picture to 4 5 do so.

6 And I think we need to keep an open mind about 7 how we approach Dockweiler Beach. If there is so much 8 concern about the coastal communities, as I understand it, 9 that area and particularly with the suggestion that 10 Commissioner Parvenu has laid out, really functions as the local connector between the coastal communities, both by vehicle traffic, both by foot, et cetera.

So given that we are really hemmed in in population here, I think we need to be open to that and we need to be open to it now, because we won't have time to come back to it later. And it would give Ms. Boyle more flexibility for us.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Commissioner Barabba.

COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I was just wondering if we could -- instead of having the KMZ file, just see what it would look like without going through all the detail of loading it up on the statewide database.

23 MS. BOYLE: I'm happy. I can develop the KMZ 24 My concern is and what I have no control over is file. 25 how quickly they're available in the interface on the

1

2

statewide database. I can publish the KMZs and they can be sent to CRC staff. What I'm concerned about is them being available for the public.

COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I guess the question I'm raising is if we just wanted to see the impact, if it wasn't available for the public at the moment we see it, that would not be the first time that happened. But it would give us an indication of whether it's worth pursuing.

10 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Correct. It's not --11 hasn't been unusual for us to look at something on the 12 screen. And I think the file would be available as a 13 downloadable file to Google Earth. It's the interactive 14 interface won't, because it takes longer to get that into 15 the system. Probably would not be available at that time.

But again if you're following along, you can look at it, I think is what's going on.

So Commissioner DiGuilio, and then we're going to -- in other words, I think at this point, you're able to -- you can develop that and let's see what you can do. And Commissioner DiGuilio and then public comment.

COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The only thing I would say, I understand I keep an open mind about Dockweiler Bay. It's not that. It's you still would be connecting the top to the north. You still have to do that. I feel

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1 like it's either kind of an all or nothing. If you want to go east and west, you do it all the way. That's why 2 3 that rotation came into play. If you move this line over just for a Dockweiler Bay connection, all you're doing is 4 you're just having -- still connecting the top with south. 5 6 You have to -- in this configuration, it's not whether 7 it's Dockweiler Bay or not. It's an all or nothing 8 because you can't isolate the top. You'd still be 9 connecting it, anyway. You just can't isolate the top 10 unless you do a three-way east to west split.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you. So let's close 12 discussion at this point. And what we'll do tomorrow is 13 simply pick up where we left off again. We have an 14 existing visualization, which we can look at obviously and 15 have looked at. And then we will have the suggestion of 16 Commission DiGuilio available as a visualization. I think 17 at that point we'll have to pick one. Okay.

So are there any individuals who would like to comment? We have a few minutes. We're scheduled to go to 6:30. You can have two minutes. We're especially generous. Two minutes.

MR. BONAVICH: Hi. My name is Nick Bonavich.I'm here representing VICA.

And I'm just passing out some maps of State Senate districts in the San Fernando Valley. And 1 basically, we just wanted to make sure that the 2 neighborhoods in the San Fernando Valley in the west side 3 were unified. So we just made a few changes to what you 4 currently are looking at.

We made Santa Monica whole and the Pacific Palisades for the west side of Santa Monica district and removed Studio City and Universal City and put it in San Fernando Valley east district.

5

6

7

8

15

19

9 And then for the EVENT district, we added Sherman 10 Oaks to that. And then of course removed the Santa Monica 11 and Pacific Palisades portion to put in the west side 12 district.

So please take a look at the maps. And thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you very much.

MS. WOODS: Hi. My name is Ronnie Woods, and I've spoken to you a few times from Los Angeles. Spent Way to much time here in Sacramento with you. No offense.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: As we do.

20 MS. WOODS: No sympathy. I know. No traffic. 21 So that's good.

I just wanted to express my support of what Commissioner DiGuilio just said about making sure that the decisions you make are informed by folks' public input throughout the entire process. I know you had tons and

tons of public hearings and went to many of hearings that were southern California. And I just hope their opinions and their voices have as much value as well as the maps 4 that were submitted earlier in the process and these 5 updated maps when you guys make these final decisions. So just want to put it out there. Thank you for saying that point.

8

1

2

3

6

7

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Thank you.

9 MS. LINNICK: Hi. Erica Teasley Linnick. I have 10 testimony from Alice Huffman, and I'll summarize it and 11 then hand it out to you. She basically says that in each of the Inglewood districts you have removed the airport. 12 13 We know how important it is and how vital it is to 14 Congressional districts to take the airport out of the 15 district of Westchester and Inglewood is nonsensical for 16 environmental and economical reasons. Westchester and 17 Inglewood have the charge for the entire region in 18 securing resources for mitigation of pollutants from jet fuel, noise that have ruined homes as well traffic in the 19 20 northeast and the south.

21 She has said that despite clear testimony from 22 the city of Torrance, which has little interest in being grouped with African American and Latino communities south of L.A., each of these options have been put -- you've had 25 Torrance in each of the Inglewood districts. Doing this

dilutes African American and Latino representation and disrespects the wishes of Torrance. Given the connections between the Asian populations and Torrance and Gardena, you could split -- do the Gardena split at Rosecrans and that would make sense.

In each case, picking up population in the areas east of the 110 highway is a feasible alternative for areas specifically of south of Vernon, west of Alameda are acceptable options to make up for the Torrance population shift.

11 It also kind of -- it seems like, you know, as you're going through that you're kind of struggling with 12 13 the airport issue and the thin nature of the connector by 14 Dockweiler Beach. And you know, I'm a little frustrated 15 at your frustration around that because, you know, I think 16 it's been done before. It makes perfect sense. The wav 17 that the traffic comes and somebody said there's traffic 18 north/south the way the airport goes. But all the planes that fly into LAX, they all come -- they approach from the 19 20 east and go over those communities. So it makes sense 21 that Inglewood is connected to the airport.

And I would again have you look at the ARC maps for sort of a solution if terms of what you do with the coast and with the port and with the airport.

CHAIRPERSON ANCHETA: Any further comments?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

1

Okay.

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So again just as a reminder, we will be convening our meeting tomorrow at 9:00, proceeding until 4:00. We have a hard shut down at 4:00 because our court reporter transcriber must wrap up at 4:00.

For purposes of public comment, I'm going to 6 7 limit simply to 25 minutes and only the first 15 speakers 8 will be allowed to speak in the morning. If we have any 9 remaining time at the end of the day, we can accommodate 10 that. But I will not go beyond 9:30 for purposes of 11 completing our work. And we will have lunch here. It 12 will be a half hour lunch. Those of you who are sitting in are at home, it will be a short lunch for us. We'll 13 14 have food brought up. That will be a short period. We're 15 going to try to finish everything by 4:00.

16Thank you very much. We're adjourned for the17time.

(Thereupon the California Redistricting Council meeting adjourned at 6:23 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, TIFFANY C. KRAFT, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter of the State of California, and Registered
4	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify:
5	That I am a disinterested person herein; that the
6	foregoing hearing was reported in shorthand by me,
7	Tiffany C. Kraft, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the
8	State of California, and thereafter transcribed into
9	typewriting.
10	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
11	attorney for any of the parties to said hearing nor in any
12	way interested in the outcome of said hearing.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	this 26th day of July, 2011.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	TIFFANY C. KRAFT, CSR
23	Certified Shorthand Reporter
24	License No. 12277
25	