

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the Matter of
Full Commission Business Meeting

University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law
Classroom C
3200 Fifth Avenue
Sacramento, California

VOLUME I

Friday, July 22, 2011

9:00 A.M.

Reported by:
Peter Petty

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC
52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

APPEARANCES

Members Present

Lilbert "Gil" Ontai, Chair

Connie Galambos Malloy, Vice Chair

Gabino T. Aguirre

Angelo Ancheta, Chair

Vincent Barabba

Cynthia Dai

Michelle Di Giulio

Stanley Forbes

M. Andre Parvenu

Jeanne Raya

Michael Ward

Jodi Filkins Webber

Peter Yao

Members Absent

Maria Blanco

Staff Present

Dan Claypool

Janece Sargis

Kirk Miller

Marian Johnson

Law Firm Candidates

James Brosnahan, Morrison & Foerster

APPEARANCES (Continued)

Also Present

Public Comment

Unidentified Speaker, on behalf of Frederick Sykes,
former West Covina Planning Commissioner
Bob Gutierrez, Latino Policy Forum
John Sibert, Mayor of Malibu
Nicole Trulijio, on behalf of David Galavis, former
President of the Lincoln Heights Neighborhood Council
Ophelia Hilliard, Region 4, Long Beach
Aron Carr, on behalf of Ryan Correll, Sycamore Square
Neighborhood
Paul Raveling, El Dorado County Citizens for Responsible
Government
Brittany Hernandez, South Bay Committee for Fair
Redistricting
Paul Mitchell, South Bay Committee for Fair
Redistricting
Vincent Stewart, City of Oxnard, Planning Commissioner
Marqueece Harris-Dawson, African American Redistricting
Collaborative
David Salaverry
Rachael O'Brien, California League of Conservation Voters
Education Fund, California League of Conservation Voters
Tyrone Netters, NAACP
Trudy Schaffer, League of Women Voters
Tim Snipes, People's Advocate
Leon Youngblood, LA California
Patrick Kennedy, Sacramento City School Board
Deborah Howard
Ahmet [phon.]

Consultants Present (* via phone)

Tamina Alon, Q2 Data & Research, LLC
Nicole Boyle, Q2 Data & Research, LLC
Jamie Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC
Karin Mac Donald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

I N D E X

	PAGE
Introduction	
Lilbert "Gil" Ontai, Chairperson	5
Public Comment	6
Update re potential post-map litigation attorney	
Connie Galambos Malloy, Commissioner	30
Interview with Morrison & Foerster (open session)	
James Brosnahan, Esq.	44
Closed Session	61
Street and block level line-drawing directions for Q2 on final visualizations (Senate and Assembly)	61
Public Comment	81
Street and block level line-drawing directions for Q2 on final visualizations (Senate and Assembly)	191
Adjournment	276
Certificate of Reporter	277

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JULY 22, 2011

9:07 A.M.

CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Good morning, everyone.

We're going to start our Saturday CRC -- sorry, our Friday meeting -- these days are going so swift, I don't even know if it's morning or afternoon, let alone the days. I think some of you feel the same way. Good morning, everyone. We are here on Friday to start our final session of our line drawing, our Mapping. And this is a crucial two days, we're going to try to revisit these maps, these districts, and approach these districts on a street by street basis, and as narrow as possible make consensus on minimal changes to the districts previously approved.

So, we're going to start off with a Commission discussion on what exactly that means, once we start, but we do have some public testimony that we have lined up. We have about 15, 16, 17 people, Janeece?

MS. SARGIS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, we'll give each speaker a minute and, then, at the end of the session, if the speakers would like to come back in the afternoon, we'll have two minutes for you to join us again, to give us your follow-up speeches if you want. Andre?

COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Should we do roll call?

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes, we're going to do that
2 next. So, before we begin, Janeece, roll call.

3 MS. SARGIS: Commissioner Aguirre - Here;
4 Commissioner Ancheta - Here; Commissioner Barabba - Here;
5 Commissioner Blanco - [Absent]; Commissioner Dai - Here;
6 Commissioner Di Guilio - Here; Commissioner Filkins
7 Webber - Here; Commissioner Forbes - Here; Commissioner
8 Galambos Malloy - Here; Commissioner Ontai - Here;
9 Commissioner Parvenu - Here; Commissioner Raya - Here;
10 Commissioner Ward - Here; Commissioner Yao - Here.

11 A quorum is present.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's jump right into
13 the public testimony.

14 MS. SARGIS: We've got Frederick Sykes, Bob
15 Gutierrez, John Sibert, and Nicole Galaby [sic].
16 Frederick Sykes? And if you could queue up as I call
17 your name so that you're close to the microphone that
18 would be appreciated.

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm actually here on
20 behalf of Frederick Sykes to read the letter that he
21 wrote. He's a former Planning Commissioner in West
22 Covina and his letter reads: "In your Assembly and
23 Senate Plans, you are splitting the City of West Covina.
24 As with every city, we also have a strong desire to keep
25 it whole. At this point, we realize that it's not going

6

1 to be possible, however, as a former Planning
2 Commissioner in West Covina, I want to alert you that
3 your current split is non-contiguous with two separate
4 fingers extending into our city. While doing your live
5 line drawing, I would ask that you rectify this by making
6 the split of West Covina in the Assembly and Senate Plans
7 contiguous. As with your current lines, the split should
8 be identical in both plans to ensure continuity of
9 representation. With the help of Los Angeles
10 Redistricting Center, I would suggest adding Census
11 tracts 407900, 408003, and 408004 to the Covina District
12 and Census Tract 408005 to the Walnut Districts." And
13 here are letters and a map.

14 MS. SARGIS: Bob Gutierrez.

15 MR. GUTIERREZ: Good morning, Bob Gutierrez with
16 the Latino Policy Forum. I just wanted to follow-up on
17 an email I actually sent the Commission yesterday
18 regarding the Southern Region, most notably the EVENT
19 Senate District and the LASF EAST Senate District and
20 talk a little bit about the Ventura area, as well as the
21 areas that surround it. First off, we'd like to thank
22 you for the work that you've done. In regards to the
23 Ventura area, we think that the Commission has done a
24 great job listening to most of our concerns and so forth,
25 as it relates to that general area, so thank you. But in

7

1 addition to that, we wanted to talk about the area that's
2 just south of that particular portion, and that is in the
3 San Fernando Valley. We'd like to see you make an
4 adjustment related to Resinda [sic] [Reseda?] and if
5 Resinda [sic] was able to go into the LASFE District,
6 rather than the EVENT District, that would provide a
7 better opportunity for the Hispanic base in that
8 particular region, and we think that's significant. We
9 also believe that there's particular adjustments that can
10 be made --

11 MS. SARGIS: Time.

12 MR. GUTIERREZ: Thank you.

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Question.

14 MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, sir.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: You mentioned Reseda,
16 correct.

17 MR. GUTIERREZ: Resinda [sic], yes.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Reseda, okay. If Reseda
19 is shifted, where do you -- where would you expect the
20 Commission to make a substitution, then?

21 MR. GUTIERREZ: I was just going to get to that
22 point here and I'll pull that up here in just a second.
23 The population was roughly 60,000 and, basically, if you
24 need adjustments around the City of Granada Hills, as
25 well as for the EVENT District, that would be Valencia

1 and Newhall and everything east of the Highway 14
2 Freeway, I believe, is what information I was provided.
3 So there are certain jurisdictions that are along that
4 corridor, I believe, off of Highway 14 that would allow
5 for there to be a significant makeup in that population
6 base.

7 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I have a
8 general request of all the speakers, particularly where
9 you are giving us very specific feedback, to whatever
10 extent you are able to provide that in writing, as you
11 know, today and tomorrow are our last days of line
12 drawing, our technical team, we told them not to be here
13 until 11:00, so we're taking notes, but if we could have
14 a hard copy or you can send that immediately to us at our
15 email address, that would be much appreciated.

16 MR. GUTIERREZ: Absolutely, we'll do that right
17 after. Thank you.

18 MS. SARGIS: John Sibert.

19 MR. SIBERT: Good morning, Commissioners. My
20 name is John Sibert and I'm the Mayor of Malibu and the
21 President of the Five City Las Virgenes Malibu COI. I've
22 spoken to you twice before, same issues, and that is
23 maintaining the community of interest. I've supplied a
24 letter, a map that we are comfortable with that you've
25 heard before from people yesterday. I flew up today in

1 order to further support that. It's critical for the
2 smaller cities that we maintain our communities of
3 interest because, otherwise, the cities of 10,000 to
4 30,000 that sometimes get used as chips to balance
5 numbers -- and I understand the difficult of that --
6 without having this community of interest with
7 representation in Sacramento, this is the Senate
8 District, we don't have a voice, 13,000 to 20,000 for
9 population cities, it's even more important that the
10 community of interest be maintained, and we've lost it
11 with the Senate District, and we have no real community
12 of interest with South Bay.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Chair, I do have a
14 question.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Please.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Real quick,
17 because we have seen this a number of times and I studied
18 this last night. If I'm correct, Moore Park, Simi
19 Valley, Stevenson Ranch, Porter Ranch, the recommendation
20 is that they be removed from the EVENT District, that's
21 just a rough rough estimate based on all my data, about
22 200,000 people.

23 MR. SIBERT: Right.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, out of
25 everything that I've seen and studied last night that I

1 received yesterday, do you have a recommendation as to
2 where - these 200,000 people you're recommending go into
3 the Lancaster-Santa Clarita Senate District, which means
4 that, then, 200,000 people plus would have to be rotated
5 out from the Victor Valley side. When you take 200,000
6 people off of Victor Valley, do you know what the
7 potential ripple effects would be and for what this
8 Commission would have to consider in where we remove
9 those 200,000 people?

10 MR. SIBERT: I understand exactly what you're
11 dealing with here. We don't have the GIS systems to be
12 able to do the maps that you have, and haven't been able
13 to do that, you know, we're a little city, but this is a
14 map that would be consistent for us; if it doesn't work,
15 that's okay, what is important is that we maintain that
16 community of interest. I mean, I understand the reason
17 we got taken out of that COG district of the Santa Monica
18 Mountains, drainage and all of that, traffic, the reason
19 we got taken out was because of a number of folks in the
20 Valley, businesses particularly who wanted to balance
21 things with Malibu and Palisades, and that just -- that
22 hurts us a lot.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Ward.

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: I just had a quick question
25 for you, sir. I also reviewed these plans last night

1 because we had some speakers that presented them
2 yesterday, and I couldn't help but notice that these maps
3 include incumbent residents' addresses on them, and I'm
4 curious as to whether you can let us know if you
5 considered that information when you put these
6 recommendations together.

7 MR. SIBERT: The actual addresses, you mean?

8 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes, on the map, the houses
9 of the incumbents for the districts listed are --

10 MR. SIBERT: We don't care as a COG, or you got
11 an email from us yesterday, or as a City, whether we are
12 represented by Republicans, Democrats, Independents,
13 that's not the issue for us, this has nothing to do with
14 the politics, this has to do with the community of
15 interest that needs a voice in Sacramento, and we'll lose
16 it this way. That's my concern. And I know it's a tough
17 task, folks, really tough. But please don't split us
18 off.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Let me ask you a question.
20 If we had to make a choice, and I'm looking at the Senate
21 map now, if we had to make a choice of including Malibu
22 with the EVENT district, period, you just being cut off
23 from Santa Monica, and being added to those other cities
24 that surround the mountains, or left where you are, those
25 are my only two choices because that significantly

1 reduces the population --

2 MR. SIBERT: Yeah, we're only 13,000.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Exactly, and that's my
4 point.

5 MR. SIBERT: We're the dollar chip, yeah.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: What's your view on that?

7 MR. SIBERT: Neither one would be great, but
8 we'd be better off working with the COG because we share
9 the transportation corridor, Council of Governments -

10 COMMISSIONER FORBES: So you would be better off
11 to be with the San Fernando Valley District?

12 MR. SIBERT: Not the San Fernando Valley
13 District, but with Calabasas and that group because at
14 least, then, we have a voice that says there are 80,000
15 of us who can be heard.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you.

17 MR. SIBERT: Thanks.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And, Chair?
19 Commissioner Ontai?

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes, Commissioner Di Guilio.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I just realized the
22 previous speaker, Mr. Gutierrez, I realize out of
23 context, but he is from Stockton. I think our kids go to
24 the same preschool. So, I actually finally have someone
25 that I say I can know and I didn't even catch it at the

1 time, which is out of context, so, I only know him from
2 preschool fundraisers.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It better not be more than
4 \$100!

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Sorry, I'm so used to
6 not knowing anybody.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, next speaker.

8 MS. SARGIS: Nicole Trulijio, Ophelia Hilliard,
9 and then we've got Aron Carr.

10 MS. TRULIJIO: Good morning. I was asked today
11 to read a letter on behalf of David Galavis, he is the
12 former President of the Lincoln Heights Neighborhood
13 Council, as well as M. Teresa Villegas, a resident of
14 Lincoln Heights. The letter reads as follows: "Dear
15 Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission: Thank
16 you for your ongoing work to improve California's
17 legislative districts. I believe you have made
18 tremendous progress in keeping our neighborhoods and
19 communities whole and intact. I would like to make a
20 small suggestion relative to your State Assembly Maps in
21 the Downtown Los Angeles Area. As the former President
22 of the Lincoln Heights Neighborhood Council for the City
23 of Los Angeles, we are concerned that your State Assembly
24 boundaries have split our officially recognized Los
25 Angeles Neighborhood. Lincoln Heights is the oldest

1 neighborhood in Los Angeles and a key center for the
2 working class Latino community. We are also concerned
3 that your line splits several other officially recognized
4 Los Angeles neighborhoods in ways that do not make sense.
5 Some of these appear unavoidable. For example, the
6 Commonwealth Neighborhood is divided by Korea Town --

7 MS. SARGIS: Time.

8 MS. TRULIJIO: Thank you.

9 MS. SARGIS: Ophelia --

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Sorry, did you
11 put the streets on here? I haven't read it in detail.
12 Is this your -

13 MS. TRULIJIO: I did not draft the letter.

14 MS. SARGIS: Could you use the microphone,
15 please?

16 MS. TRULIJIO: I'm sorry, Commissioner, I did
17 not draft the letter, but there don't appear to be
18 streets, but rather highways, major highways.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, thank you.

20 MS. TRULIJIO: And neighborhood boundaries.

21 MS. HILLIARD: May I ask a question? What time
22 in the afternoon for the two minutes? I flew in from
23 Long Beach this morning and so what time? I have a
24 return flight in the afternoon.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I think we're probably

15

1 looking at around 5:30, 6:00.

2 MS. HILLIARD: My last flight leaves out at
3 6:40. Okay. I'm Ophelia Hilliard, I live in Region 4,
4 specifically the west side of Long Beach. I've lived
5 there for over 48 years. In the Long Beach meeting, 55
6 people spoke about our area and 41, that's 74.5 percent,
7 gave reasons for keeping the communities of Long Beach,
8 Carson and Compton together. Again, in Culver City, 18
9 people spoke about keeping these communities together,
10 and no one spoke about any connections to South Gate,
11 Walnut Park, Florence Graham, and Lynwood. So I am
12 hoping you will reconsider adding more the north central
13 and west communities of interest in Long Beach as
14 communicated by the Citizens. Please consider this map
15 that keeps our neighbors, communities of interest, and
16 still keeps your Latino VAP numbers over 45 percent. I'm
17 glad that you included one of the ports, but it really
18 should be both ports, in the Compton-Carson, Wilmington,
19 and North Long Beach Area. One of the Commissioners did
20 not understand on Saturday why this is important. If you
21 look at the map of target communities, which is a report
22 that shows the impacts from the ports, Commissioners will
23 see shifts in our travel along the Beach Cities Coast;
24 rather, these large vessels enter through one area which
25 is the breakwater.

16

1 MS. SARGIS: Time.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Now, this is a
3 Congressional concern?

4 MS. HILLIARD: Yes.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Parvenu.

6 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: So let me understand
7 quickly what you are suggesting. You are suggesting that
8 the map that we have that extends further north --

9 MS. HILLIARD: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: -- you are not in
11 agreement with that or --

12 MS. HILLIARD: What our disagreement is, is
13 including the other cities, South Gate, Walnut Park,
14 Lynwood, there was a prior map - the latest map that you
15 have, I don't have it here, I'm sorry -- in Long Beach
16 there was a screen -- but our point is that we are
17 connected along the Alameda Corridor, the 710, the 110,
18 the 405 Freeways in the Alameda Corridor, we're connected
19 that way and, because of our concerns about Port
20 Pollution and all of that, these cities are directly
21 impacted. These other cities, we don't have anything in
22 common, so we're concerned about our information being
23 diluted by cities that don't share our community of
24 interest.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Any questions? Okay. How

1 many more do we have?

2 MS. SARGIS: Okay, so we have Aron Carr, Paul
3 Raveling, and Brittany Hernandez. And please queue up
4 behind the current speaker if you would, please.

5 MR. CARR: Good morning. I'm here to read this
6 letter on behalf of Ryan Correll, he couldn't be here
7 today. "Dear Sirs: I reviewed the Visualization from
8 the July 17th meeting referencing Los Angeles Areas and
9 Senate Districts and have some brief feedback regarding
10 the area in which I live. I have been a resident in the
11 Sycamore Square Neighborhood in Los Angeles for several
12 years and I want to make a recommendation. The border
13 between the Culver City District and Beverly Hills-
14 Hollywood District runs along Olympic Blvd. between
15 Arlington and Rimpau. At Rimpau, the line heads south to
16 12th Street and heads west towards Redondo Blvd. I wish
17 to advocate that the Commission use Olympic to Redondo as
18 the north border. The community to the south of Olympic,
19 West to Rimpau, and East to Redondo is now broken from a
20 continuity of representation. The City Council District
21 Map uses Olympic as the border, the Council District also
22 extends south. The official neighborhood boundary also
23 uses Olympic as the border --

24 MS. SARGIS: Time.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Will you please

18

1 leave that with us, sir?

2 MR. CARR: Yeah.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Because I am
4 familiar with this area, especially --

5 MR. CARR: I left it with this gentleman here.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you.

7 MR. CARR: All right, no problem.

8 MS. SARGIS: Paul Raveling.

9 MR. RAVELING: I'm Paul Raveling, a 20-year
10 resident of El Dorado Hills, a four-year past President
11 of the El Dorado Hills Citizens Alliance, one of the new
12 founding members of the organization just forming is the
13 El Dorado County Citizens for Responsible Government.
14 The mid-July Redistricting Map shows our Assembly
15 District as changing to affiliate us with Placer County
16 and we don't really have anything to do with Placer
17 County. Our affinities are specifically with El Dorado
18 County and Sacramento County, and particularly on the
19 south side. And you should know that El Dorado County
20 Hills and Cameron Park have a total population now of
21 just over 60,000, and we are one-third of the population
22 of all of El Dorado County. We have unusual issues,
23 especially with respect to traffic and road systems
24 because the County traffic counts are showing that we
25 carry 55 percent of all the traffic in the county and we

1 do that on just over 10 percent of its roads. Thank you
2 for the opportunity to speak.

3 MS. SARGIS: Brittany Hernandez, Paul Mitchell,
4 and Vincent Stewart.

5 MS. HERNANDEZ: Hello, my name is Brittany
6 Hernandez and I'm with the South Bay Committee for Fair
7 Redistricting. As you've seen in previous handouts,
8 Latinos vote differently than Whites and Asians in San
9 Jose. You have created a Visualization of SANJO in the
10 Assembly Plan that creates a Latino influence seat, we
11 support that seat, and we are here to show more evidence
12 of the need to protect Latinos in San Jose as you
13 complete Redistricting. Paul Mitchell has prepared a
14 letter that you should have received and he will be
15 presenting next. Thank you.

16 MR. MITCHELL: Hello. Paul Mitchell with South
17 Bay Committee for Fair Redistricting. I wanted to just
18 take a minute to walk you through one of the analyses
19 that I just handed out. This mirrors the type of
20 analysis that was done in Thornburg v. Gingles, so it's
21 been used before and what it shows is that, in San Jose,
22 with regard to Prop. 187, which is a way that your own
23 Counsel used to find racially polarized voting in Los
24 Angeles, there is basically no correlation between Asians
25 and the vote on 187. There is a very strong positive

1 correlation to Whites in Prop. 187, showing that they
2 supported 187; the more Whites in a precinct, the more
3 support for 187. And the last one shows that the more
4 Latinos in a precinct, the less support for 187, and the
5 final shows all three in a very confusing chart
6 [laughter], but you're used to confusing charts, and this
7 is exactly what the use in Thornburg v. Gingles, that
8 along with the HPA analysis that was provided yesterday
9 by one of my staff, that showed that Latinos voted --

10 MS. SARGIS: Time.

11 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you.

12 MS. SARGIS: Vincent Stewart, Marqueece Harris-
13 Dawson, David Salaverry.

14 MR. STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners. My
15 name is Vincent Stewart and I'm a Planning Commissioner
16 for the City of Oxnard, also a member of the Board of
17 Directors of Community Action of Ventura County, the
18 Oxnard Police Chiefs Advisory Board, the Vice Chair for
19 the Black American Political Association of California,
20 BAPAC, and a Secretary for the NAACP Ventura County. In
21 2001, the City of Oxnard, including El Rio, was the only
22 city in Ventura County to be split and what we're asking,
23 and what was probably asked by the other members from
24 Oxnard yesterday, was that we return back to the EVENT
25 Assembly District Visualization of July 16th, thus keeping

1 Oxnard, including El Rio whole. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Aguirre.

3 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Chair, just for self-
4 disclosure, I work with this distinguished gentleman on
5 the Community Action Board in Ventura County. Nice to
6 see you, Vincent.

7 MR. HARRIS-DAWSON: Good morning, everybody.
8 Marqueece Harris-Dawson with the African American
9 Redistricting Collaborative. I have two orders of
10 business today, one is to deliver to you all a petition
11 signed by 85 residents of Westchester, asking to be
12 joined with the South Bay Airport communities, including
13 Gardena, Inglewood, Hawthorne, and Lennox. You all
14 should receive an email with a photocopy of the
15 petitions, either you should already have it, or it
16 should be forthcoming. Second is to just talk about some
17 of the research we did around Hawthorne and Inglewood, we
18 certainly heard people, and wanted to investigate to see
19 what we there. We were surprised by what we found. We
20 found actually that Inglewood and Hawthorne have more in
21 common than almost two other cities in the state; the
22 median income in Inglewood is \$48,000 a year, the median
23 income in Hawthorne is \$42,000 a year. In fact,
24 Inglewood's western border is closer to the Pacific Ocean
25 than Hawthorne's western border is. Also, the racial

1 makeup of each city is about the same, as well. So,
2 we're just concerned that that testimony is not about the
3 beach, but about something else.

4 MS. SARGIS: David Salaverry, Rachael O'Brien,
5 Tyrone Netters, Trudy Schaffer.

6 MR. SALAVERRY: Good morning, Commissioners.
7 Commissioner Yao made a very good suggestion that I
8 believe you should reconsider. Like him, I believe that
9 the Commission should write robust narratives that fully
10 describe the maps and the process that led to them. One
11 paragraph boilerplate summaries will not give the public
12 a clear idea of how or why the lines were drawn as they
13 were. There have been too many contortions along the
14 way, each district now has a history as a pre-first
15 draft, first draft, multiple Visualizations, etc. We are
16 confused -- in some cases, very confused. Litigation
17 risks will lower if the narratives are robust. Trust
18 will return if you explain fully to Californians how and
19 why the lines were drawn. A fully open process requires
20 robust narratives. Thank you.

21 MS. O'BRIEN: Commissioners, Rachael O'Brien on
22 behalf of the California League of Conservation Voters
23 Education Fund and our sister partner, the California
24 League of Conservation Voters. In May, CLCV sent you a
25 letter and provided testimony urging you to ensure that

1 California's sensitive environmental communities have
2 continuous representation in the California State Senate.
3 For example, significant portions of California coastal
4 regions are represented by Senate Districts with odd
5 numbers and thus should be up for election in 2012.
6 Indeed, the entire coastal region from Santa Cruz to
7 Santa Monica or in odd-numbered districts. We urge you
8 to establish a numbering process that will maintain odd
9 Senate District numbers for each of these areas, failing
10 to do so will lead to mass disenfranchisement of voters,
11 under-representation of the coastal communities for a
12 period of two years. Clearly, this cannot have been the
13 intent of the voters in passing Proposition 11. The
14 California League of Conservation Voters urges this
15 Commission to establish -

16 MS. SARGIS: Time.

17 MS. O'BRIEN: Thank you.

18 MR. Good morning, Commissioners on a Saturday
19 -- oh, it is Friday. I do plan on getting in a line.
20 Tyrone Netters here, NAACP. We just want to reiterate
21 the focus in terms of maintaining the African American
22 political representation. We're very cognizant of your
23 task in terms of changing and getting the lines. We
24 think that -- we listened to some of your commentary
25 yesterday and we went and reviewed it and, again, we just

24

1 want to reiterate the importance of maintaining the
2 African American political representation. I think you
3 might take a look on the Congressional level at the
4 IGWSG. Part of the solution might be just to eliminate
5 -- not eliminate, but to take Torrance out of that
6 particular district, I think that that, number-wise,
7 might solve a lot of problems for us. Thank you.

8 MS. SARGIS: Trudy Schaffer, Tim Snipes, Leon
9 Youngblood.

10 MS. SCHAFFER: Good morning, Commissioners.
11 I'm Trudy Schaffer representing the League of Women
12 Voters of California, responding to your discussion
13 yesterday about district numbering. In particular, there
14 was the question of whether it was appropriate for the
15 Commission to take into account the plight of deferred
16 voters, and we believe that it is proper. As we've
17 stated before, we participated in the drafting of Prop.
18 11 and I would point out that there was no change made by
19 Prop. 11 or 20 in the basic Constitutional direction to
20 you about the numbering of districts. I checked with
21 other drafters last night and they confirmed my
22 recollection that there was no discussion of altering
23 that existing language as the drafting was being done.
24 It's your charge to produce maps that allow Californians
25 fair and effective representation and taking deferred

1 voters into consideration is one way in which we feel you
2 should do that. The fact that there is no provision
3 requiring that deferral be taken into consideration does
4 not mean that the Commission should be prohibited from
5 doing that. We've looked at the 1990 numbering of the
6 Senate Districts done by the Special Masters and they are
7 also now in strict consecutive order north to south.

8 Thank you.

9 MR. SNIPES: Good morning, Commissioners. Tim
10 Snipes from the People's Advocate, again. I emailed you
11 yesterday and I'm just here today to confirm that we sent
12 an email yesterday. We continue to believe that more of
13 Santa Clarita should be in the EVENT Senate District.

14 Thank you for listening to the overwhelming testimony of
15 the public over the last several weeks, and we would just
16 encourage you to continue to link more of Santa Clarita
17 with that district. Thank you.

18 MR. YOUNGBLOOD: Good morning. My name is Leon
19 Youngblood from LA, California. I'm here this morning
20 because I just want to voice my concern over the African
21 American political representation across the state. I
22 want to let you know that, from a resident's perspective,
23 the 2, 3, 4 plan -- two State Senate, three
24 Congressional, and four State Assembly Districts, that
25 maintain African American political representation in LA

26

1 is the way to go. San Francisco Bay Area, I believe
2 Richmond needs to be reunited with Oakland at the Senate
3 level. Rialto, Colton, and San Bernardino and its
4 unincorporated neighbors need to be united at every level
5 and you know that, in the final days, you're going to
6 hear a lot of testimony and my voice is just simply one
7 of those voices in all of that testimony. I want to
8 thank you for the work that you're doing, and the time
9 and effort that you're putting into this work. I just
10 want to make sure that we see political and social
11 justice for African Americans and all those that we work
12 with to help advance those goals. Thank you.

13 MS. SARGIS: We have one more speaker. May I
14 have your name, sir?

15 MR. KENNEDY: Good morning. Patrick Kennedy,
16 member of the Sacramento City School Board representing
17 this area. I'm here today to suggest that you have a
18 serious problem with a simple solution you've heard
19 thousands of times, I'm sure. I'm specifically going to
20 direct this towards the Assembly District that represents
21 the northern part of Sacramento. The southern part of
22 that boundary as envisioned right now runs that district
23 along Irvin Way. Irvin Way is directly in the middle of
24 an important historic neighborhood in Sacramento,
25 Hollywood Park. There's a simple solution to this, just

27

1 by moving that line slight to the north to Sutterville,
2 or slightly to the south to Executive Airport, this would
3 put that neighborhood, which has always historically been
4 in one Assembly District and deserves its own
5 representation from one Assembly member into one
6 District. And we, as neighbors of Hollywood Park, urge
7 you and hope that you'll consider this move. Thank you
8 very much.

9 MS. SARGIS: I believe that concludes our
10 public comment.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, thank you very
12 much, all of you, for coming. We appreciate the time and
13 effort that you put into this. I think some of you have
14 come a long distance, so I think what I will entertain,
15 if you would come back immediately after lunch around
16 1:00, we could spend maybe half an hour additional time
17 for public testimony, and then we'll have another hour
18 towards five or six o'clock, as well. So, I'll offer
19 that for those of you who would like to make continued
20 public testimony.

21 All right --

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Excuse me, Chair.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Could we know, please, who
25 has provided us with this document?

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Who gave this to us?

2 MS. O'BRIEN [presumed]: It's not from the
3 California League of Conservation Voters.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Yeah, could somebody
5 speak to this?

6 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I think it was just
7 dropped off.

8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: It has no value to us if we
9 don't know who left it.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: You're right, I just looked
11 at it. There is no reference as to where it came from.

12 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Legally, you have to
13 accept it, right?

14 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm just saying, if there's
15 someone still here who claims it, you know, it would be
16 nice to know who is providing us with this opinion.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, it would be very
18 nice. No one here?

19 MS. O'BRIEN [presumed]: I have no idea who it
20 was, they asked me to drop it off, they could have
21 provided testimony, they couldn't stay here [inaudible].

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, then we'll just take
23 it under advisement. All right, as you know, our
24 schedule has been very fluid and minute-by-minute changes
25 on the specific agenda. So, to bring everybody up to

1 speed, including the viewing public, I'd like for
2 Commissioner Galambos Malloy to bring up some status as
3 to where we are with our agenda for today.

4 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I
5 would characterize them more as refinements. As the
6 Commissioners know, still, our main focus for today's and
7 tomorrow's sessions is around line drawing; however, we
8 are at the same time trying to move forward at a rapid
9 pace to hire a firm to represent the CRC's maps in
10 litigation. Toward that end, we had some discussion
11 yesterday from Commissioners Blanco and Forbes, who had
12 been granted delegated authority to do essentially a
13 first cut of interviews with prospective firms. They
14 came forward with a formal recommendation and have
15 provided some additional information in the form of a
16 memo that should have been shared with the Commission
17 last night, I have not had a chance to check if it's
18 already posted online, but our staff is in the process of
19 posting that.

20 We will, over the coming days of our Business
21 Meetings be interviewing the top candidates, so today we
22 will be joined by Morrison & Foerster, tomorrow by
23 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, and pending the outcomes of
24 those initial interviews, we may extend a third interview
25 for a third firm to join us on Wednesday of the coming

30

1 week. It had been expressed on the part of many
2 Commissioners, an interest as to whether we had the
3 flexibility, given the potential for the interviews to
4 touch upon sensitive and concrete litigation matters,
5 whether we would have the flexibility to conduct all or
6 part of those conversations with prospective firms within
7 closed session. Our Chief Legal Counsel, Mr. Miller, has
8 reviewed this possibility in much detail and I'd like him
9 to weigh in and provide us with his perspective.

10 LEGAL COUNSEL MILLER: Good morning. I
11 received a request to consider the appropriateness of
12 this discussion occurring in private session and
13 understand the potential advantages and the desirability
14 of doing that. In looking at the statute, though, I am
15 reminded of the expression we hear so often, "good enough
16 for Government work," and in this case government work
17 requires the highest standard and the closest and best
18 reading of the statute, without quite the flexibility one
19 might have when advising corporate clients. And without
20 wishing to offer a brief, the heart of the matter goes to
21 this: the statute, the relevant portion of the Bagley-
22 Keene Act contemplates that a Commission can go into
23 closed session with - and the exact words are "its legal
24 counsel." We've done that with the Commission's existing
25 legal counsel, but I believe the best reading of this is

1 that that same opportunity does not apply under the
2 statute to prospective legal counsel. So, it's on that
3 basis that my recommendation to the Commission is that
4 discussions with prospective legal counsel occur as they
5 have in the past, in open session.

6 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Are there
7 any Commissioners who have questions regarding what Mr.
8 Miller has laid out? Commissioner Di Giulio.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Well, I just have a
10 question, I understand what we're trying to do, but my
11 one concern is that, if we're talking about some
12 litigation strategy, I know that Commissioner Blanco and
13 Commissioner Forbes had mentioned these firms and given
14 some specifics about that, but I think it would be really
15 very, not just helpful, but I think necessary for us to
16 understand what we face in litigation, and it's my
17 understanding, I wouldn't think we would ask that in open
18 session in terms of strategy, and I would think that that
19 would be something that we would need to discuss in
20 closed session. And I understand the balancing and
21 trying to keep it as open as possible, but I think there
22 are some frank things that we need to talk about that
23 should be done in closed session, so how do we balance
24 that?

25 LEGAL COUNSEL MILLER: Well, one thing we might

1 consider is, in our unique circumstances, as compared
2 with really any litigation that I've ever encountered,
3 it's very difficult to point to confidential facts in our
4 case. All of our record and all of our documents are
5 public documents; all of the Commission's deliberations
6 have been public deliberations. To the extent that the
7 Commission has previously received legal advice, the
8 Commission has made that available on the record by
9 releasing the written communications from Gibson, Dunn.
10 To the extent the Commission received confidential advice
11 regarding polarized voting, that too has been made
12 public. So, while again I understand the desirability of
13 confidentiality when applicable, in the context of our
14 unique setting of being extraordinarily and unusually
15 transparent about facts and deliberation, when I read the
16 language of this statute, which refers to "its legal
17 counsel," I just am not able to cobble together the facts
18 and the law to reach a result that I can recommend that
19 this be a closed session. Also in that context, I think
20 it is somewhat easier to discuss the litigation.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I guess I'm just
22 asking about -- I understand all the discussion about
23 what we've done, and our documents and all that, I guess
24 my question is speaking directly to the strategy, as how
25 we move forward in our litigation. And that's a

1 different matter in terms of their approach because I
2 think part of what we're trying to decide is their level
3 of competence to give us a good strategy and, in order to
4 know that, I need to know what their strategy is.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: May I
6 suggest something? I was just thinking this through as
7 the incoming Chair for next week. Of course, these are
8 considerations that have been on Commissioner Ontai's
9 mind and my own, one possibility how we might approach it
10 is to move forward with conducting the interviews in open
11 session, you know, over the coming days, however, to
12 reserve some time next week preceding any decision that
13 we might make regarding a firm, to have a bit of time in
14 closed session where we do confer with our counsel, Mr.
15 Miller, regarding specific litigation issues and
16 strategies. You know, we've really reviewed this in much
17 detail, not taking this consideration lightly and I
18 believe that that may be one of the best compromises in a
19 way that respects the intent of the Government Code and
20 also allows us the flexibility to be able to deal with
21 the more sensitive litigation matters that we would need
22 to all be on the same page about before identifying which
23 firm we wanted to bring on board. Commissioner Dai.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Do we need to wait until
25 next week? Or is that a possibility in this session?

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So a couple
2 considerations, one is that we are really down to the
3 wire regarding line drawing, so that's not to say we
4 couldn't have the closed session, but we would need to
5 think about having a closed session later in the evening
6 essentially once we've gotten what we need to done with
7 the line drawing. So that is a possibility, we could do
8 a closed session, you know, perhaps we do it towards the
9 close of Saturday, or once we've actually had the first
10 two interviews already under our belts, we have a chance
11 to confer because, again, we need to make a decision
12 before we go home as to whether we are going to request
13 that a third candidate come join us for an interview next
14 week. Commissioner Yao, then Commissioner Raya.

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: The question is directed at
16 Mr. Miller. Mr. Miller, the open session/closed session
17 is not about interviewing, it's not about any of these
18 things, it's about the subject matter being discussed.
19 If the subject matter that we want to address is about
20 litigation, then that by itself should be sufficient to
21 determine as to whether a closed session is necessary or
22 not. In the event that we're going to talk about
23 subjects that are part of the potential litigation, I
24 don't understand as to why, just because it's an
25 interview, that it be an open session.

1 LEGAL COUNSEL MILLER: You're correct that you
2 can have a closed session with your legal counsel to
3 discuss the threat of litigation, I don't believe what is
4 being contemplated this morning is a closed session with
5 the Commission's legal counsel.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: May I follow that up? I
7 believe we can have a closed session with anybody that
8 are involved in a discussion whether they're staff of
9 potential candidates, or anything, it's the subject
10 matter that puts us into a closed session discussion, and
11 it's not about who is in the meeting.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I'd like to
13 remind the Commission, you know, we asked Mr. Miller as
14 our Chief Legal Counsel to provide his analysis, this is
15 a decision where the Commission is the one in charge
16 here, so we need to -- I'm trying to get a pulse of where
17 folks are at, we need to make a decision because it not
18 just applies to the interview today, but of course, we
19 will move through the same process with any candidates
20 that we consider. Commissioners Raya, Barabba, Forbes
21 and then Aguirre.

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I share that view, that
23 there's -- I do believe there has to be way for us to
24 conduct some of this discussion in closed session. Now,
25 whether we might talk about, you know, where did you go

1 to law school, what is your experience, what kind of
2 cases have you tried, in open session, is there a
3 possible hybrid where we could then go into a closed
4 session, discuss potential litigation strategy? And just
5 one last thought, I know some people are having to leave
6 and will not be here for the entire weekend, some
7 Commissioners, and we might also want to get a read on
8 who is going to be here and when, in making a decision to
9 go along today or tomorrow.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh.
11 Commissioner Barabba.

12 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would just like to
13 reinforce your point that we have some other things that
14 we have to work on today, that I would put at a higher
15 priority than whether we have a private closed session or
16 an open session, and I would suggest we just accept the
17 counsel's recommendation and move on with the meeting.

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
19 Forbes.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would concur with
21 Commissioner Barabba. I think the information we need to
22 determine which counsel we want to hire can all be done
23 in an open session. I think the issue of legal
24 strategies can be discussed after we have selected that
25 counsel.

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
2 Aguirre.

3 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes, and I would agree
4 with Commissioner Yao's position on closed session.
5 Given that the language essentially says that it's for
6 the discussion of actual potential litigation, and in my
7 view, in hiring a law firm, then, you need to delve into
8 some strategic approaches and perspectives, and some of
9 the legal issues that we're going to be contending with,
10 that has to be, I think, information that is confidential
11 to our legal defense and I think it would be -- we could
12 put it at a disadvantage if we started the discussion in
13 public.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, to close
15 this conversation, I would like to invite any other
16 members of the Legal Committee would like to weigh in on
17 this issue. Commissioner Blanco.

18 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: Well, I'm kind of torn
19 here because, you know, I really respect Mr. Miller's
20 opinion. I think we're dealing with -- I can't believe
21 it's the first time this has ever come up, for a public
22 body, but I do believe that this is, the two firms, we've
23 already recommended them, the sub team has recommended
24 them, so they're not just here to present, there's a
25 recommendation that these would be the firms that we

1 would hire. And I do think that part of our decision-
2 making process about who we select should include a
3 discussion with them about their proposed legal strategy,
4 what we think about that, and I do not believe that that
5 should be held in public. I think that that goes to the
6 core of what is protected in terms of legal strategy, and
7 anybody who has ever served in any body, no matter how
8 transparent this Commission has been, knows you don't
9 discuss your legal strategy in public, especially with a
10 room full of people and viewers who threaten to sue us on
11 all grounds. And these are things that we want to be
12 able to discuss with our interviewees about, "What do you
13 think about this claim? What do you think about this?
14 How would you approach that?" I am not prepared to
15 discuss that with the people that have threatened to sue
16 us. So, if we proceed in public, I think it's going to
17 be a very pro forma, it should be, I warn all
18 Commissioners, very superficial conversation about how
19 many cases have you tried and why do you think you're the
20 best served, but I would caution Commissioners not to ask
21 any questions about what your approach and theory and
22 strategy would be about the case because the people who
23 are threatening to sue us are in this room and watching.
24 So, I would really hope that we could craft something
25 where we could have a litigation discussion in a closed

1 session, with two firms that have already been
2 recommended by the Commission's legal subcommittee, that
3 was assigned to do this, and these are the firms we're
4 recommending, and I think the core of what our decision
5 depends on is not how many cases they've tried, or
6 whether they went to law school, or how many awards
7 they've received, but what they think they can do with
8 this case.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Last three
10 comments. Commissioner Filkins Webber, Ancheta, and then
11 Di Guilio.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. I
13 also, I concur with Commissioner Blanco regarding this
14 issue. It does seem a little odd, I don't think it's a
15 matter of first impression, but I also appreciate Mr.
16 Miller's strict interpretation of the statute, and it
17 really does say, you know, the governing body, "the state
18 body's legal counsel," and it goes so far as to even say
19 that the legal counsel then must prepare and submit a
20 memorandum stating the specific reasons and the legal
21 authority for the closed session. So, the manner in
22 which this section is drafted implies that it would be
23 counsel that we've hired. But, what I feel may be a
24 hybrid solution to this, and correct me if I'm wrong, Mr.
25 Miller, but there certainly wouldn't be anything

1 prohibited in any of the statues or Bagley-Keene from the
2 Commission itself discussing legal strategies that
3 Commissioner Forbes and Commissioner Blanco have received
4 in consultation or in interviews with these firms in
5 closed session. This Commission is entitled to do so, to
6 discuss pending litigation or in anticipation of
7 litigation. So, although we may not be permitted to
8 interview potential candidates, or even consult with
9 proposed litigation counsel, am I correct that there
10 wouldn't be any prohibition to the Commission discussing
11 legal strategies and potential defense strategies in
12 closed session, and potentially today?

13 LEGAL COUNSEL MILLER: I agree with your
14 position.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you. So
16 what that likely means is, although we will not be
17 conducting an interview, or a consultation with proposed
18 litigation counsel who has responded to our RFI, we
19 certainly can hear from our fellow Commissioners
20 regarding those defense strategies and that might very
21 well satisfy, I think, the Commissioners that are
22 concerned with hearing the information that they received
23 regarding potential strategies and better evaluate the
24 candidates who have responded to our bid.

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So I think

41

1 Commissioner Ontai and I are approaching the point where
2 we will make a call on this, so if I could encourage
3 Commissioners Ancheta and Di Guilio to please weigh in,
4 but be brief.

5 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I agree there is a
6 certain balancing of interests here. I would suggest,
7 actually, that we conduct an open session for the first
8 half hour or so and simply try to ask questions that the
9 public itself might be interested in. I would suggest,
10 however, that given the balancing that we may want to go
11 into closed session and have Mr. Miller play the lead in
12 that discussion. I would invite proposed counsel to be
13 in that closed session, but have the structure of the
14 discussion focused on litigation strategy. And to the
15 extent the proposed counsel might weigh in on that, with
16 the discussion with Mr. Miller, I think that would comply
17 with the Bagley-Keene Act.

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
19 Di Guilio.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That probably answers
21 my question. I wanted to see if there was a way to solve
22 this issue of keeping it generic here, but I can read
23 their bios without having to be present, what I'd like to
24 do is to ask questions we can't have here, so if that
25 solves it, I'd like to support Commissioner Ancheta's

1 suggestion.

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, thank
3 you for indulging us. I felt like it was important that
4 the full Commission be apprised of the various
5 considerations that go into determining appropriate uses
6 of closed session. Commissioner Ancheta, you were a step
7 ahead of me, that's exactly the proposal I was going to
8 make, which is that, when we invite our firms to join us,
9 that we will conduct about a half hour in open session,
10 that will give the firm the opportunity to do their
11 formal presentation, it would behoove the Commission to
12 please be cognizant of not discussing details regarding
13 potential litigation and strategies in open session, but
14 clearly, there are a number of other things that we could
15 talk about in open session - conflicts, etc. etc. etc.
16 So, this is our plan, we will also arrange on next week's
17 agenda to have some time in closed session, again,
18 regarding potential litigation before we actually make a
19 final determination on the firm or firms to be retained.
20 So, with that, let me run through the other aspects of
21 our agenda. Immediately following, we'll have a total of
22 one hour together with Morrison & Foerster the first half
23 hour in open session, the second half hour in closed
24 session to be facilitated by our Chief Legal Counsel, Mr.
25 Miller, we will then have a half hour closed session with

43

1 our VRA Attorney firm, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, as
2 represented by Mr. George Brown, pursuant to Government
3 Code Section 11126(E)(1) regarding potential litigation,
4 and then we will shift immediately after that into line
5 drawing. We will be joined by Q2 and, as Commissioner
6 Ontai mentioned yesterday, we'd like to commence with the
7 line drawing with a more general discussion of where
8 we're at in the process, what's been happening on the
9 technical side, and how does that interact with the types
10 of conversations and changes in process that we want to
11 use during the coming two days. We will have teams that
12 have both Northern and Southern California capacity
13 because we will need to completely resolve any potential
14 changes before we go home this weekend, because this is
15 really our last shot to get it right. We'll be having
16 Ms. Sargis help us, to keep us on time as we move through
17 the different regions, and so we can talk about that in
18 more detail when we get there.

19 So, with that, do we have our Morrison &
20 Foerster firm? Excellent. So with that, I think we
21 would like to invite you to join us for our half hour
22 together in open session.

23 MR. BROSNAHAN: Good morning. Never hire a
24 lawyer who doesn't know how to use the microphone.
25 [Laughter] I didn't mean it.

1 Let me start briefly, and I really come today
2 to answer any questions that anybody has. I don't mind
3 being on camera. Yesterday I watched your proceedings
4 and I had thought, well, I have experienced everything in
5 life, but watching a group decide, well, "Who are we
6 going to hire," is a new thing for me. Usually the door
7 is closed and people have that conversation privately.
8 But it was very interesting and I would like to do this
9 case.

10 Why do I want to do the case? I think what
11 you're doing, and I have now watched your hearings, I've
12 watched some of the public discussion, I've seen concerns
13 about blocks of communities, about groups, about
14 highways, about transportation, about counties. And
15 there is a longing out there for exactly that kind of
16 citizen participation in government. It's timely, it's
17 important, and it's on the cutting edge. It's not the
18 first, perhaps, in the United States, but it's among the
19 most important in the United States, there's no question
20 about it. And to be a lawyer in that kind of matter is
21 very attractive. That's number one.

22 Number two, I did prepare, and as I understand
23 your procedure, I would be happy to, in the guidance of
24 Mr. Miller, discuss ten points that I have about the
25 analysis of what I think is going to happen. It's not

45

1 magic, it's things that I think will happen, and I could
2 have discussed it in public in a generic kind of way, but
3 I couldn't give you the inside baseball view that I have,
4 whether I'm right or wrong. So I look forward to that
5 part of this presentation.

6 May I introduce myself a little bit less
7 formally than, you know, the paper stuff that we give you
8 and all this stuff? I've been a trial lawyer my whole
9 professional life. I have tried, as you heard yesterday,
10 I think, enormous numbers of cases. I have computed
11 recently that, in my life, I've spent the equivalent of
12 about 11.5 years in trial. And that's the way it is.
13 And it's my natural habitat. I like it there and, as the
14 years have gone by, the more difficult matters are
15 attractive. Why that is, I don't know, and I don't know
16 that I have a good explanation for why it is, but it's
17 true. I've done a number of appeals. I have argued in
18 the U.S. Supreme Court. I obtained an eight to nothing
19 unanimous opinion on behalf of the political parties in
20 California that the Legislature could not tell them when
21 they could meet, where they could meet, how often they
22 could meet, because they have a right of assembly, a
23 political party, under the Constitution of the United
24 States. And so I have done that, I've argued two cases
25 up there, and the other one I lost, so we won't talk

1 about it. I've argued two cases in the California
2 Supreme Court, many cases in the Court of Appeal, in the
3 Ninth Circuit, many many cases going back over all the
4 years. So that's my background.

5 I know that you're concerned about conflicts, I
6 know your definition is as broad as you can imagine it,
7 it is not a technical legal conflict only, but rather
8 will there be something that justifiably could be
9 attacked that your lawyers have done that's a conflict to
10 what you're doing. And I take that in the broadest
11 possible sense. I'm happy to answer questions about it.
12 I'm glad to start by telling you that most of the
13 functions that I've participated in had to do with law
14 one way or the other. I have been in various groups. I
15 noticed one of the Commissioners this morning said, in
16 the interest of disclosure, and it's who I am, and you
17 need to hire who I am, and so I, as the years have gone
18 by, I have honed the ability to tell clients what I think
19 is true; what is true on any given day is interesting,
20 but I try to tell them what's true. I have been
21 President of the Bar Association and, while doing that in
22 1978, I called a Press Conference for the Rights of Gay
23 people, I did that, and I was the Secretary-Treasurer of
24 MALDEF in the early 1980's. In the 1960's, I was on the
25 NAACP Housing Committee in the City of San Francisco.

47

1 You see the kinds of things that I do. I described
2 myself and you have been scrupulous to avoid politics,
3 but in your lawyer you want to make sure that I'm not in
4 charge of the Democratic Party in California; trust me,
5 I'm not. It's just not - it's not correct. I've made
6 contributions on the Democratic side, I have made very
7 few to State, Assembly, or Senate candidates. I'm sure
8 that I have made some, I want to say, and I hope this is
9 right, four years ago, maybe six years ago, in the
10 district I live in, I live in the East Bay, I live in
11 Berkeley, and I have served in various Presidential
12 campaigns which I don't think is - in a very minor way -
13 I was on the Obama Finance Committee when he ran last
14 time, you should know that, I have made contributions to
15 Congresswoman Pelosi's political thing, whatever she has.
16 My firm has no -- correctly reported yesterday -- has no
17 PAC or anything of that kind. We have people in our
18 firm, as I am sure they do at Gibson, who are all kinds,
19 all political parties.

20 As to my law practice, it has nothing to do
21 with any contribution I ever made, you will not find
22 anybody that can claim that I ever pulled a punch for a
23 client, ever. In fact, the thing that I'm most confident
24 about telling you today is that, if I'm your lawyer, I
25 will fight like hell for this Commission and there will

1 be no time when I do anything other than follow the
2 instructions of the collective client.

3 So that's, I think, a fair -- I mean, to go
4 back over the years, I was in every Kennedy campaign,
5 there's a joke in our family that the Kennedys and the
6 Brosnahans are very close, it's just the Kennedys are
7 unaware of it. And so you get -- I am an amateur wannabe
8 and if somebody is going to attack me, that's fine.
9 You've been attacked unfairly, I've read things. So,
10 what have I done on this case? I have watched your
11 videos, not all of them, but a number of them, especially
12 more recent ones where you're getting right down to
13 drawing the lines, I've watched the public comments
14 coming from very interested engaged people who care about
15 their districts, care about their political views, I've
16 seen the transition towards the idea that it's not the
17 Legislature doing it, it's not one of the members of the
18 Legislature, it's not one lawyer sitting in the City of
19 Los Angeles with a lot of maps deciding what it's going
20 to be because I think that was the system, it's an open
21 matter, people know that. It's not that. And that's
22 really interesting.

23 It's certainly -- I've been presenting
24 electronic evidence from Day One, it was new stuff I was
25 doing, it'll be done in this case, all of your maps and

1 everything, that'll be an important part of the case, and
2 I've done all that. I have represented public bodies,
3 the City, City Council of Oakland, the Board of
4 Supervisors of Alameda County, I'm presently representing
5 a public entity in Marin, and I understand how that works
6 and would want to make it work.

7 As to the proposal from the Subcommittee -- and
8 then I'll stop talking, and take questions and try to
9 give you answers -- the proposal is that Gibson and
10 Morrison work together. It not only can be done in my
11 view, but it is a trend. It is most interesting, and as
12 a trial lawyer that tries to watch what's happening,
13 since the OJ trial, that's where I think it started,
14 clients who could do it have put together lead lawyers
15 who, otherwise, are leading on their cases separately,
16 into a team effort. You see it in certain cases. It
17 requires certain skills. First of all is putting aside
18 the ego, this is where I wish I wasn't on camera because
19 maybe 100 people will tell you I have trouble doing that,
20 but I claim, here's what I claim to my client --
21 prospective client -- that I can do that. Why? Because
22 it's in the interest of the client. And when the firm is
23 as capable as Gibson, Dunn and Crutcher, who I've known
24 for years, I've had cases with them, I've had cases
25 against them, that is not only easy, but actually

1 attractive to me, to work with those lawyers. If that is
2 what you decide, that I certainly can do. I've done a
3 great deal of that. Most cases I get, there are lawyers
4 that come with them and I work with those lawyers. I
5 have had cases in which we had lawyers all over the
6 United States. I won't go into all of it on the camera,
7 but trust me, I've had cases where there were lawyers in
8 large law firms, we'd have meetings, we might have 16 law
9 firms represented in the room, "What are we going to do?"
10 "I disagree with that." "Let's do it," and all that. So
11 there's a skill involved there and I would try my best to
12 do them. I think that's probably it. I don't think
13 there's any secret about where these cases might be
14 brought, I would just mention that before the closed
15 session. But, I mean, it is public knowledge that the
16 Chief Justice has been talking to some retired Judges
17 that may be in your audience. And the work that I do,
18 the audience is everything. Who is the Judge? Who are
19 the Judges? It could be Federal District Court,
20 anywhere, I suppose.

21 And I think I'll stop and, in the closed
22 session, we can talk about some other observations I
23 have, and I appreciate your getting to that rule of
24 thumb. So I'm ready to do my best to answer any
25 questions that you have.

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So we have
2 about 15 minutes on the record here before we shift into
3 closed session. Commissioner Filkins Webber.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Thank you very
5 much for being with us today. I do have two questions
6 for you. The first question is that you had stated that
7 you wanted this case.

8 MR. BROSNAHAN: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Tell me why,
10 please.

11 MR. BROSNAHAN: Because it's a cutting edge
12 case, because I believe, and I think you believe, that it
13 involves citizen participation in the dispersion of what
14 I will call political power in the United States, in the
15 Democracy. That's what this is. Because I believe that
16 it represents a reform of the old system. Because it is
17 certainly, at several levels, a Constitutional case and
18 I've been drawn to those kind of cases. Constitutional
19 law, to me, and Philosophy are close cousins and I've had
20 an interest in Philosophy since going to college and all
21 that. To get it right is a challenge. To be here today,
22 frankly, just to ask me any question, that's a challenge,
23 but, you know, you appreciate it. I don't know what
24 you're going to ask me. You might ask me about a case I
25 lost, I don't know, but I like that. I enjoy that

1 challenge. This is not an easy matter, this is a real
2 challenge. It isn't like, you know, a rear-ender or
3 something where "what was the speed of the automobile?"
4 And I have -- I will mention, then I will stop talking --
5 I've tried every kind of case. It would be very hard for
6 you to say, "Well, what about insurance cases for
7 insurance companies?" Yes, I have tried insurance cases
8 for insurance cases. Any cumis counsel? Yes, I've been
9 cumis counsel. I've just tried all kinds of cases, and
10 so there's a skill with that, in my opinion, of going and
11 getting it right, getting it quickly, and it relates to
12 expense. Are we going to send an army of people into the
13 library to study the Voter Rights Act, and so forth? No,
14 we're not going to do that, and we don't need to do that,
15 for reasons I can give you in the closed session.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Have you had
17 experience with, for instance, when you likely represent
18 maybe some larger companies and you have a Board of
19 Directors that you have to deal with, have you dealt with
20 a circumstance similar to this where you've got 14
21 Commissioners here, all of whom may be different
22 philosophies, different views of the entire procedure,
23 how would you handle dealing with a Commission this size?

24 MR. BOSNAHAN: Yes, many Boards -- I find that
25 exhilarating and I'll tell you why. The Boards of big

1 companies that I have represented very often have people
2 who are the head of their own company, they serve on this
3 board for this company, but they are the head of some
4 other company. And you go to those meetings; the
5 questions they ask are terrific, usually, almost always.
6 And it enriches the thought process of what we're going
7 to do. All of a sudden it hits -- they say, "Wow, have
8 you thought about this?" Or, "What about that?" It
9 enriches that kind of thing. I have no problem -- this
10 goes to the ego thing -- I have no problem that an idea
11 comes from somebody else, I don't have a problem with
12 that. It isn't like I, you know, "Everybody get out of
13 the way, I know how to do this." It isn't like that.
14 And I've learned that over the years. Now, if somebody
15 else gives me an idea and it works, I may take credit for
16 it. I'm sort of kidding, but I may receive credit
17 because somebody thinks I thought of it, but I don't take
18 younger lawyers -- I give younger lawyers a lot of
19 credit.

20 MS. FILKINS WEBBER: And one last question.
21 Obviously, given that this is an open public transparent
22 process and your response to our Request for Information,
23 you indicate in your fee arrangement that you have an
24 initial amount authorized pursuant to the agreement shall
25 not exceed \$500,000 through August 15th, 2012, so that's

54

1 your estimate based on the thoughts that you had
2 regarding potential defense strategies, or the type of
3 litigation that we would likely be subject to from, let's
4 say, as we're thinking about a potentially August 1st, you
5 know, over the course of the next year, am I reading this
6 correctly that you're putting in basically a fee cap?

7 MR. BROSNAHAN: Yes, I think you're reading it
8 correctly. I thought that there was somewhere a limit
9 that existed for that amount, I thought there was, and I
10 may be wrong.

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Mr. Miller is
12 probably going to speak.

13 MR. BROSNAHAN: Okay, I thought there was that
14 amount. The thing about the estimate, and cost is very
15 important, I heard the discussion and I couldn't agree
16 more, and you should get lawyers who are going to do the
17 job, but also they're going to not just have armies of
18 people running around talking to each other, and I think
19 we know how to do that -- I think we know how to do that.
20 But the cost, we don't know, I think I can say this in
21 open -- you don't know if it's one case, is it five
22 cases, will they be consolidated, will a Judge say, "All
23 these cases come here," and they're in front of this
24 Judge. That does happen and it's public information,
25 those kinds of things happen. And then it's in one

1 location, will it be in different jurisdictions,
2 different courts within California, those kinds of
3 things. So the cost is, to put it mildly, tricky, but
4 the question you raise is very very important and I think
5 that we did -- on Tuesday, we came with three lawyers,
6 those are the only three that we have, but we were also
7 asked, and I think it's a good question, suppose you have
8 all these cases all over the place, do you have the
9 capacity to do it? Yes, we have the capacity to do it if
10 we have to. There is one other thing I want to say on
11 conflicts, may I come back to -- if I do this, I've done
12 this a couple times before, I will not make any
13 contributions to anybody this year, not only that, but
14 there's a couple of organizations that I will just resign
15 from, I will not -- nobody would be able to say that I'm
16 currently doing anything anywhere, or some organization
17 I'm in is doing something that somehow is inconsistent
18 with what I'm doing as a lawyer, and I don't mind doing
19 it. In fact, not making contributions, maybe that's why
20 I want to do this. [Laughter]

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: What
22 organizations are you thinking you might have to resign
23 from?

24 MR. BROSNAHAN: Well, the only one I'm really
25 on that relates to this at all is the Equal Justice

1 Society and that's in San Francisco and it's a nationwide
2 group. I don't know that it really has anything to do
3 with Voting Rights, I don't think it does, but I wouldn't
4 want someone to discover that somewhere on a committee or
5 something that there's somebody doing something, I
6 wouldn't want that to be true. So that's what I was
7 thinking about. Okay?

8 LEGAL COUNSEL MILLER: I think it might be
9 helpful, I hate to supplement a lawyer's answer, but on
10 the subject of fees, I think we talked about this a bit
11 yesterday, no firm has given us an estimate of fees. The
12 reference that you see to \$500,000 comes from the manner
13 in which we're obligated to contract under State
14 contracting law, which requires us to put some number in
15 the contract. We put that out as a reasonable number.
16 And in this instance, unlike a fixed fee agreement, if
17 that number looks like it's going to be exceeded, the
18 process used is to go back and supplement that with more
19 money, but that's just the unique way in which the State
20 contracts with law firms.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Are there
22 any other Commissioners who would like to ask a question?
23 Commissioner Yao.

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: Sir, the fact that you
25 mentioned that you spent 11 years in the court room

1 scares me a little bit. Could you give me some examples
2 as to what are some of the ways that you can think of
3 that you can resolve the issues for this Commission, the
4 potential issues that are before us, without the lengthy
5 and costly process of going to court?

6 MR. BROSNAHAN: Yes. Well, the starting point
7 would be the Commissioner would want that to happen.
8 That is to say, and I defer to Mr. Brown and others on
9 the law of it all, but suppose there is the position, "Is
10 there legal capacity for the Commission later to say and
11 adjust something?" That would be a sacred Commission
12 action, that's not for the lawyers. But the atmosphere
13 of it is in the courts, as I'm sure you know now, is
14 totally conducive to settlements, it's hard to get to
15 trial if you want to get to trial, you have to go through
16 mandatory settlement. Now, if it's, without going into
17 it too much, the Retired Judges, I think, might
18 appreciate a settlement, a resolution of it. I have
19 settled, yes, directly to your point. I will say, I
20 think I'm pretty good at asking the question and
21 analyzing it with the client, "Is a trial in their
22 interest?" The cases that I've tried, for the most part,
23 couldn't be settled. They couldn't be settled - civil or
24 criminal. We just couldn't do it. And we tried. I
25 don't know, there are very few cases I never tried. And

58

1 so, before there was all the alternate dispute resolution
2 that there is now, I was settling lots and lots of cases
3 on my own, so I think I'm a pretty good settler and would
4 take guidance from the Commission as to what you'd be
5 willing to entertain with regard to it. I might have a
6 recommendation or something, or a thought.

7 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
8 Parvenu, and then we will be transitioning into closed
9 session.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Good morning. I'm truly
11 impressed with your history and your background and the
12 broad range of cases you've tried, and I thank you for
13 being here. Just a quick question. In addition, to
14 yourself, how many staff would you have assigned to work
15 specifically with you on our matters, specifically?

16 MR. BROSNAHAN: The question varies depending
17 on the filing of cases, but the first step is to get up
18 to speed. I've already reread all of the Supreme Court
19 cases on the Voting Rights Act, so I did that myself.
20 George Harris is a partner who came with me on Tuesday,
21 he is a very seasoned -- he taught at this law school and
22 he also taught at Utah, he's taught litigation, he's
23 taught lawyer ethics, he and I have tried a great many
24 cases together -- heavy, serious civil cases, criminal
25 cases; in this city, we tried for five months the case

59

1 against the Raiders, Al Davis, all that stuff. And you
2 know, I won't go into it, but you get the idea. And then
3 Ben Fox, who is younger, although I tend to say everybody
4 is now -- younger. And Ben is a through-going Appellate
5 person. Both George and I have done a lot of Appeals.
6 Ben is really something of a specialist with regard to
7 Appeals and those three people, we will get up to speed
8 -- if we do this, I don't want to presume anything here
9 -- with Mr. Brown. Mr. Brown and I worked together, is
10 that right, Mr. Brown?

11 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: Certainly.

12 MR. BROSNAHAN: See? That's the way it's done.
13 So now we have evidence that Mr. Brown and I worked
14 together. And so, those are the three people, we get up
15 to speed. Now, if it's all over the place, then we would
16 only add someone probably starting with the General
17 Counsel, we now need a fourth person because we're in
18 Court in San Diego, and we're in court in Sacramento on
19 the same day, or something, and we had to -- you know,
20 and it seems reasonable, then we would add another
21 person. We would also have a legal assistant, I talked
22 to yesterday, I won't mention him because he'll want a
23 pay raise or something, he is really super duper, he is
24 among our best -- we have many good ones, but he is among
25 our best and he is trial, he's been there, and I turn to

60

1 him and I say, you know, "So and so, we need this," and
2 there it is. And he's like that. So, that's the group
3 we would start with.

4 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Thank you.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So at this
6 time, I would like to invite our visiting members of the
7 public to excuse themselves. We will be going into
8 closed session regarding potential litigation pursuant to
9 Government Code Section 11126(E)(1). We will go back
10 online in a little bit over an hour, and also invite
11 members of the public to please take all personal
12 belongings with you, our staff will be sweeping to makes
13 sure that we do have the room fully cleared in order that
14 we can move in to our closed session.

15 (Recess to closed session at 10:28 a.m.)

16 (Reconvene open session at 11:52 a.m.)

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, Commissioners.
18 Okay, we'll reconvene our session with the Mappers, but I
19 do want to thank Commissioner Galambos Malloy for taking
20 the lead on the Special Litigation Counsel, that was very
21 helpful. So, let me open up the discussion
22 right now with my Commissioners on how you think the
23 process should entail as we proceed with the mapping?
24 Any ideas on how we should do this? Commissioner Forbes.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, well, my thought

1 was that, to me, a lot of these maps are going to be just
2 fine, so what I would suggest was that the Commissioners
3 should identify those areas on each, both today and
4 tomorrow, that they think we need to look at, whether
5 they're small changes or larger. We should address the
6 small changes and get them out of the way so we can spend
7 the bulk of our time on those districts that are
8 identified as being particularly difficult and which we
9 want to spend really some time on. I mean, we don't need
10 to look at a lot of this because they're basically set,
11 and I would not want to waste any time looking at those,
12 myself. Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Other Commissioners?
14 Commissioner Parvenu?

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I particularly like the
16 angle of going around the perimeter, the periphery of the
17 districts because I did that on my own and I saw a few
18 fine tune adjustments where we split certain historic
19 neighborhoods, or we didn't capture a park, or we split a
20 medical complex, or you know, just little fine tune
21 adjustments around the perimeter. I don't think it would
22 take much time to just sort of comb through and just do a
23 perimeter sweep.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, any others?
25 Commissioner Yao.

1 COMMISSIONER YAO: What I'm offering up is not
2 an absolute rule, but just as a guideline, I think
3 today's so-called fine-tuning, so called street level
4 adjustments, I would like to perhaps suggest that
5 adjustments shall be limited to two adjacent districts
6 and not multiple districts, in other words, if we can
7 balance the population moving residents or streets from
8 one district to the adjacent district, and end up with a
9 set of maps that are, in essence, the same as what was
10 previous, without doing what we previously had done, like
11 rotations, clockwise, counterclockwise, in the spirit of
12 what we're doing that shall be defined as fine tuning.
13 That is the description I'd like to offer up.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Barabba
15 followed by Commissioner Galambos Malloy.

16 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: I would concur with the
17 intent of his, but I don't think you can limit it to two,
18 or you're not going to talk about many changes --

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

20 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Because virtually every
21 change really requires - mostly requires three, so I
22 would say, at a very minimum, it should be about to
23 affect three districts if you're going to make a change.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Galambos
25 Malloy.

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, you
2 know, we've been talking with Q2 about this and trying to
3 figure out something that assures we get through a
4 substantial part of the work and also reserves a
5 significant chunk of time for the areas where there might
6 be more significant changes. One way of approaching it
7 might be that we focused our first pass, again, on those
8 areas where we do have two district swaps, that have been
9 roughly workshopped for population concerns, and we'll be
10 able to approach those fairly quickly. We would need to
11 flag, I think it would be good to have a sense on the
12 part of the Commission as we approached a region, for
13 example, if we start here on Northern California Assembly
14 Districts, even to anticipate what are the hot spots in
15 the region, and then that would allow us to go back and
16 prioritize how, then, once we get through the easy
17 districts, so to speak, how much time we can allot to
18 deal with the areas where there might be more substantial
19 suggestions on how to change them.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Any other comments? Okay,
21 let me ask Q2, Karin, what we want to do is start on the
22 north on the Assembly Districts, and work our way down to
23 the south, is that --

24 MS. MAC DONALD: Sounds good.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: That works with you. Okay,

1 what I want to try to do is to limit the next three hours
2 on the Assembly Maps, and then following that, the next
3 three hours, on the State Maps for a total of six, so if
4 we can stick to that process, that would be very helpful.
5 Commissioner Filkins Webber.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, I do have
7 one question on that process just for the members of the
8 public, we have been working with the interactive
9 database on the website, the Statewide, and we now at
10 this time have three categories of district types, we
11 have "to be presented," we have "current working
12 visualizations, and we have "previously presented." So I
13 think, as Ms. Mac Donald and her team puts up a
14 Visualization, we should be identifying for the members
15 of the public which category or which district type that
16 we're talking about because we do have three sections.
17 So, as we go along, because I'm a little confused whether
18 the "to be presented" is what we're being presented with
19 today, and then how we are working with the other section
20 that says "current working visualizations." So, as far
21 as I interpret that as being working visualizations that
22 we should be talking about, as well, and then making
23 decisions as a Commission as to which ones should be
24 dropping off this interactive map so that we do not
25 confuse the members of the public as to what decisions

65

1 we're making as we go along, especially since we've
2 decided not to put out a Draft Map.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Q2?

4 MS. MAC DONALD: The reason for why we have
5 these three categories up there was because we were
6 trying to figure out -- we were asked by Legal, by CRC
7 Legal staff to archive every map, and so we're trying to
8 figure out how to keep everything on the site in an
9 efficient manner, and also designate the maps that
10 essentially you just saw, that we were working off of, so
11 basically there is the archived ones, so those are --
12 exactly, the ones all the way on the right, the
13 previously ones, and then the current ones were the ones
14 where we had, you know, guidance to make certain changes
15 or basically just making certain adjustments, and then
16 the ones to be presented, those basically have to then
17 move after today because we're going to work off of
18 those, and you have not seen those, so that's why we put
19 them into the "to be presented" category. So those are
20 the ones that we have loaded here today and those are the
21 ones that we're going to work off of.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, so we're going to be
23 looking at the "to be presented" maps. Any comments.
24 Okay, so hopefully we can get this all done, the Assembly
25 and the Senate, today. We're going to try to push this

66

1 set of fast clip. At the end of the day, if we have
2 time, we'd like to go back to the deferral numbering
3 system. I don't know if you're prepared to do that. So
4 I'd like to get some conclusion to that.

5 MS. MAC DONALD: We can do that if you could
6 tell me how you would like to look at it. I mean, would
7 you like to look at it via a map? Or, you know, whatever
8 guidance you can give us so I can have that.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We'll have a discussion on
10 it and then decide at that point.

11 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay. I just want to make
12 sure that I have the files available that you may want to
13 use.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I see. Okay, any other
15 comments on the process on how we're going to do this?

16 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So our
17 thinking is that we would follow a process similar to
18 what Commissioner Ancheta instituted last week, so that
19 would give the regional leads for an area the opportunity
20 to have the floor, describe what we're looking at, and
21 then would open the floor to other Commissioners who
22 would have suggested changes. I would encourage that,
23 for the most part, let's let the regional leads describe
24 the basis for the district, and then the Commissioners,
25 our role is really to suggest whether there are any

1 issues or changes. I think we've done a great job of
2 affirming each other's testimony, but given how tight we
3 are on time, and how much we've been documenting as we've
4 gone along, I think we can focus on changes. And, Ms.
5 Sargis is on deck to help us with timing the two minutes
6 for the regional leads and then the one minute for the
7 Commissioners.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Thoughts? Commissioner
9 Yao? If we have three hours to go through the whole set,
10 including discussion of the serious things, can we forego
11 with the description part of it? I don't think it has
12 changed significantly in the last day or two.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I agree, unless a
14 Commissioner wants to add something new to that, I think
15 we're just repeating a lot of what was said before, so I
16 agree with that. In the interest of time, let's forego
17 that -- again, unless you want to add something on there
18 that's new, that you want to comment on, that's fine.
19 Commissioner Ward.

20 COMMISSIONER WARD: Perhaps, then, what I'll
21 do, I want to make an amendment to the record. I know
22 for a lot of the areas, regions that I was team tasked,
23 I've been reviewing the main theme wrap-ups for those
24 areas, you know, as we go through them, and because those
25 potentially might be used to justify districts, or as we

1 dip into the public input pool to justify those, I wanted
2 to amend the 6:30 eleven [phon.] main theme wrap-up,
3 there was a main theme that stated "keep Rossmoor with
4 Long Beach," and I want to just make sure that gets
5 stricken from each Commissioner's main theme wrap-up as
6 we determined that that was a misinterpretation of public
7 comment. And I just wanted to make sure that, if there
8 is any other changes that anyone is aware of, we can make
9 those now before we start making a final review of the
10 State.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I'm a little at a quandary
12 what that is all about. Do the other Commissioners
13 remember what that is about?

14 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: No, but I don't think we
15 can change the record.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Ward.

17 COMMISSIONER WARD: My point is that, like I
18 said, I know I'm using -- depending on these wrap-ups for
19 a lot of the regions that I don't think -- maybe some
20 Commissioners have been able to read every piece of the
21 input on every single part of the state, but my memory
22 doesn't catalogue them all that well, so I'm depending on
23 a lot of the wrap-ups to help as we go through different
24 regions of the state, referring to them to understand the
25 districts that we've chosen to draw. It just, because of

69

1 the Rossmoor region was one I was tasked to, after review
2 and collaboration with Q2, it was determined that that
3 was a misinterpretation of public input, so we need to
4 make sure that everybody is aware of that because, if
5 anybody else is going to justify or explain districts of
6 Orange County in which Rossmoor is review from Orange
7 County, they could write the bullet that keep Rossmoor
8 with Long Beach is the reason why we did it, because it
9 was input we received, but we didn't, so I want to make
10 sure that every Commissioner has the opportunity to
11 strike that from their wrap-up sheet, so that they don't
12 use that as a justification. The last thing we would
13 want is to have a report that uses information that is
14 not accurate to justify why we did something. And then,
15 I was just curious, since there was no opportunity for a
16 retraction to be made if there was any other areas that
17 Commissioners are aware of, or any other changes to the
18 wrap-ups that anyone is aware of, that we can go ahead
19 and make now before we start getting into the State.
20 Because, again, I know this region, I just am wondering
21 if there are any others that need to be made so we can
22 have a clear record as we go into reviewing the state.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes. Let me get Q2,
24 followed by Marian Johnston.

25 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah, I just wanted to clarify

1 that Commissioner Ward brought this to our attention and
2 there was, indeed, a typo in there. Basically, it was an
3 oversight, and we've had this once before, I think, at
4 the very beginning with a wrap-up, and what we did is
5 basically just issued an amended document, that's it, you
6 know, there's a "not" missing.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, Commissioner Ward, did
8 you see that?

9 MS. MAC DONALD: We haven't issued it, but
10 that's essentially, that's all it is.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, do you want to
12 respond to that?

13 COMMISSIONER WARD: No, as I say, I just wanted
14 to make sure that it got on the record. When you do
15 issue a new one, then let's issue a new one, and then
16 also make sure that if there are any other areas that
17 have not been amended yet that we understand what those
18 areas are before we go in and review these districts.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, Marian Johnston.

20 MS. JOHNSTON: I was going to suggest that you
21 follow that approach, rather than correct a record, the
22 record is what the record is, but if there is a revised
23 one, then both the old one and the revised one should be
24 posted and then you should rely on the most recent one.
25 You can't go back and undo what's been done, but you can

71

1 correct it from now on.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, so it's been
3 corrected. All right, thank you. Any other comments?
4 So we're just going to have to wait --

5 MS. MAC DONALD: Yes, we're working on a
6 technical problem here, so --

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: How much time do you think
8 you need?

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So my
10 question, then, again, because we have limited time with
11 Q2, if Q2 does not need to be involved with the equipment
12 set-up, could we pick up where we left off with the
13 Senate Deferral, knock that out of the way? Ms. Johnston
14 had provided an updated analysis that I think we can have
15 her review. We may be able to get to a decision point
16 even during the break.

17 MS. JOHNSTON: And I did want to correct what I
18 had said yesterday. Commissioner Ancheta was correct
19 that that requirement has always been in the
20 Constitution. I think my confusion yesterday was
21 straightened out when I talked with Ms. Mac Donald this
22 morning, when she numbered the districts starting with
23 the one that was 100 percent number one, that doesn't
24 mean that you have to make it number one, it just means
25 that that's an odd district. And once you identify all

1 the districts that are odd, then you can assign them any
2 odd number that you want, as long as you progress more or
3 less in order down the map. And I gave you all links to
4 the prior Senate and Congressional Maps and they try to
5 follow consecutive order, as closely as possible, but
6 sometimes that's just not possible.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Ancheta, do
8 you want to add on to that? Okay, so comments?
9 Commissioner Dai.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'd like to move that we
11 adopt the geographic numbering scheme that minimizes
12 deferrals.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS MALLOY: Second.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Second.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Who seconded?

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Simultaneous.
17 Commissioner Di Giulio.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Seconded by Commissioner Di
19 Giulio. Janeece, did you get that? Let's repeat the
20 motion, please.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes. I move that we adopt
22 the geographic numbering scheme that minimizes deferral.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: And it was seconded by
24 Commissioner Di Giulio. Any other comments from the
25 Commissioners? Commissioner Blanco, followed by -- did

1 you have your hand up, Mr. Yao? Commissioner Yao, and
2 then Commissioner Raya -- and Commissioner Filkins
3 Webber.

4 COMMISSIONER BLANCO: I just want to repeat my
5 comments from yesterday briefly. I know that
6 Commissioners that want to minimize deferral want to
7 minimize the number of voters that would be deferred in
8 an election, and I understand that. My concern is that
9 the only way to look at the number of deferrals is to
10 actually look at the existing Senate Districts as they're
11 drawn now, and it is my feeling that, given that all our
12 work up to now has been, I think, in a wonderful way,
13 without regard to existing districts, that I am concerned
14 that there will be a misunderstanding that we are for the
15 first time going back and looking at existing districts
16 and making any kind of decision, even if it's for
17 deferral purposes. And my sense is to keep it clean and
18 to keep consistency. I would prefer that we not look at
19 the deferral issue and that we just do, you know, a
20 numbering system. I was concerned even today, there was
21 already an article in the papers saying, "Here's so and
22 so's district, and because this person is not deferred,
23 this person is going to get this number," and I can
24 already see the kind of conversation, and the article
25 actually said something about the fact that we're looking

1 at existing districts where we've never done that before.
2 I'm not saying that Commissioners are doing that, I
3 understand the instinct about deferral, but I think the
4 way to keep this the most clean and to not even go back
5 and look at anything, and have any misunderstanding that
6 we are bringing another district from the past into the
7 present. And I would also like to say that we are -- one
8 of the things we are doing in this process is getting rid
9 of gerrymandering districts from the past and, to the
10 extent we do anything that builds on existing districts,
11 we could be unintentionally perpetuating gerrymandered
12 districts.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Yao.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: Let me defer my comment
15 until later at this point.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Raya.

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I will simply ditto
18 Commissioner Blanco's remarks. The more I thought about
19 this, the more I had a fair amount of concern given how
20 quickly those who have an interest in challenging our
21 process respond to every little thing, no matter how well
22 intentioned, and the potential confusion that it could
23 cause, even among well-intentioned citizens, I would
24 agree to just -- if it's been done every time, just do it
25 without regard to the previous districts.

75

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Commissioner Filkins
2 Webber, followed by Commissioner Barabba.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Although I
4 recognize the concerns of Commissioners Blanco and Raya,
5 the answer actually has been provided by Ms. Schaffer
6 this morning. In particular, what she points out is that
7 the process of minimizing deferral is in no way related
8 to the prohibition against considering the residency of
9 incumbents or candidates, or drawing maps for the
10 purposes of favoring or discriminating an incumbent. In
11 fact, what she says is that it's important for the public
12 to recognize the fact, and to understand that minimizing
13 the number of deferred voters occurs during district
14 numbering after -- after -- the maps are completed and it
15 serves the best interest of voters, not incumbents or
16 candidates. Now, unfortunately what happens is that we
17 had to have this discussion yesterday and the manner in
18 which the media or other commentators, or pundits, are
19 going to extrapolate what they've seen is out of our
20 control. So what we must be conscientious about is
21 recognizing that deferral is in the best interest -- or,
22 recognizing deferral is in the best interest of the
23 voters, so when we're looking at the previous districts,
24 we have to do that in order to minimize the deferral. So
25 we're not looking at incumbency and, again, we've made

1 decisions on these districts before we actually number
2 them, so I don't think this preliminary discussion that
3 we're having regarding numbering is going to -- because
4 we're not going to number them before we vote on them,
5 you know, it's going to come pretty close in time, likely
6 next week when we actually add the numbers. So I'm more
7 concerned about the disenfranchisement of voters and we
8 have to recognize the number of people that will be
9 impacted, again, it's statistical, it's mathematical, and
10 then we add the geography going north to south, as
11 required under the Constitution. So, I'm not concerned,
12 especially and thankfully to Ms. Schaffer as she points
13 it out, for the members of the public.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Barabba.

15 COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Yeah, I would reinforce
16 that point, that we should never find ourselves in the
17 position of not doing the right thing because we're
18 fearful somebody is going to misinterpret our procedures
19 or our intent, and I think the record is abundantly clear
20 that we have never considered the incumbency in any of
21 the lines that we have drawn, and the only reason we look
22 at the district and not the incumbent -- the district and
23 not the incumbent -- is to make sure that we give
24 everybody a fair chance at voting.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Ancheta.

1 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yeah, I agree fully with
2 Commissioner Filkins Webber's reasoning. I just wanted
3 to suggest a minor language change to the motion, simply
4 to say "for purposes of numbering Senate Districts" in
5 the remainder of the language.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Amendment accepted.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Di Guilio.
8 Raise your hands up high so I can see it, and not like
9 that, I can't see your little hands.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I would just say that,
11 again, well, one point is that, again, this is about the
12 people and how to minimize -- we chose deferral because
13 it was supposed to minimize the harm to people, if there
14 is another way to do this, if there is another way to
15 number the system because I don't think it gets any less
16 political than what we've -- I think we've done the least
17 political, and I know we have to compare it to an old
18 district, but it's about who the people are in those
19 districts are, as Commissioner Barabba was saying. So
20 I'm just wondering, if there is something that I'm
21 missing, I'd like to entertain if Commissioner Blanco or
22 Commissioner Raya have another suggestion as to how to
23 number, that would be better for people, I would be
24 curious to know what it is. But it was my understanding
25 that deferrals are the least harmful option out there and

1 the least political.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Yao.

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: We started discussing the
4 three approaches and we got as far as approach number two
5 of the three options that was in the memo. I find that
6 the third option, the hybrid option, has some merits. I
7 don't know whether this Commission is interested in
8 completing that discussion or not. We have a motion
9 before us to vote on the geographical approach. I, for
10 one, would like to perhaps have Ms. Mac Donald present
11 the merits of the third approach before we go into the
12 voting.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Dai,
14 Commissioner Filkins Webber, then Commissioner Aguirre.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think that I made my point
16 pretty clear yesterday, but, you know, sometimes there is
17 some correlation, but Commissioner Barabba said it well,
18 this is about the districts, not about the incumbent,
19 it's about the voters in those districts that we are
20 trying to not disenfranchise. I think it would be a
21 travesty if we spent all the time that we have trying to
22 draw fair and effective districts for voters and then
23 disenfranchise them in the deferral process. I don't
24 think that would be consistent with our mission. The
25 reason I put this motion forward to do geographic

1 approach is that we saw yesterday in the maps would
2 happens with the other two methods and, if anything, I
3 think that looking at the consistency method, or the
4 hybrid consistency method, is more in keeping with -- it
5 could be argued that is really that we're looking at old
6 districts and old numbering schemes. I think going to a
7 purely geographic scheme is very neutral and is more
8 consistent with the way that we've taken this entire
9 redistricting process, which Commissioner Di Giulio has
10 pointed out before is not really redistricting, it's
11 districting, because we did start from scratch. And so
12 that's the reason I put the motion forward.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Filkins
14 Webber.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: We did take a
16 look at what the consequences were of the hybrid
17 yesterday and what that resulted in were at least two
18 districts, as pointed out by Ms. Mac Donald, that have
19 anomalies that would end up having an out of order
20 number, for instance, I think it was WINE, would have
21 wound up, I think, as I understood it, with a different
22 number, I mean farther down the list. And so, the
23 problem was that we still needed to recognize the
24 requirement of the Constitution to be consecutive, so
25 going down from north to south. So I think that what we

1 saw was evidence of an anomaly that we would not be able
2 to be consistent with the Constitution on the consecutive
3 numbering down the State. So that's why I think that we
4 don't need to hear anything further on the hybrid issue
5 and just move forward on the motion presently on the
6 table.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Aguirre.

8 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, I have concerns
9 about the fact that, given that the districts previously
10 from 2001 are not objective districts, those are
11 gerrymandered districts, that I have concerns about
12 continuing working with [quote unquote] "damaged
13 information," so to speak. But the representation and
14 the disenfranchisement argument about the public as a
15 result of us just going strictly geographic is convincing
16 me of that and I'll support the motion.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Any other comments? All
18 right, before we take the vote, I'd like to ask anyone
19 from the public who would like to come up and say
20 something. One -- two. Please come up over here.

21 MS. HOWARD: Hi there, Debra Howard. I just
22 wanted to weigh in about the Business Coalition that
23 supported Prop. 11 from the beginning and also Common
24 Cause, in talking with Trudy Schaffer from League of
25 Women Voters, we all really concurred and kind of went

1 back to the map, and encourage you to pursue the very
2 elegant framework that Q2 prepared for you to minimize
3 the deferrals. I mean, it really is quite lovely --
4 anyways, for someone who doesn't do math in public or
5 otherwise.

6 AHMET [phon.]: My name is Ahmet, and I'm
7 actually speaking on behalf of Mayor Mike Gin, he's the
8 Mayor of Redondo Beach, California, based on a resolution
9 that was recently --

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I'm sorry, what we want you
11 to do is speak to the motion only. Are you talking about
12 something regarding that? Or is it entirely different?

13 AHMET [phon.]: A resolution that he wanted me
14 to speak on.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, could you hold
16 that towards the end of the day, we'll have some public
17 speaking if the public wants to speak on other issues.
18 Okay, any other comments from the public? Otherwise,
19 Janeece, would you call the roll?

20 MS. SARGIS: Yes, and I need a second on the
21 friendly amendment.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Oh, yeah, I approve
23 it.

24 MS. SARGIS: Would you like the motion
25 restated?

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Please.

2 MS. SARGIS: The motion is, for purposes of
3 numbering the Senate Districts, the Commission shall
4 adopt the geographic numbering scheme that minimizes
5 deferral.

6 Commissioner Aguirre - Yes; Commissioner
7 Ancheta - Yes; Commissioner Barabba - Yes; Commissioner
8 Blanco - No; Commissioner Dai - Yes; Commissioner Di
9 Guilio - Yes; Commissioner Filkins Webber - Yes;
10 Commissioner Forbes - Yes; Commissioner Galambos Malloy -
11 Yes; Commissioner Ontai - Yes; Commissioner Parvenu -
12 Yes; Commissioner Raya - Yes; Commissioner Ward - Yes;
13 Commissioner Yao - Yes.

14 13-1, the motion passes.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, great. That's a very
16 important decision we made today. So, Kristian [sic],
17 where are we?

18 MS. MAC DONALD: We're figuring this out with
19 our --

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So it looks like another 10
21 minutes? All right, where are our lunch orders?

22 MS. MAC DONALD: We could - I'm sorry, if I may
23 -- we could start and just run this through the laptop,
24 it's not ideal, but -

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, is that all right

1 with the Commissioners?

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think the
3 only exception to that, Ms. Mac Donald, would be is if
4 your team is available to stay later into the evening,
5 then I'd say, you know, let's take the break, do what it
6 takes to get it set up, but if we're working with a hard
7 7:00 p.m. deadline, I think we need to press forward.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: And the question that --

9 MS. MAC DONALD: We can stay a little longer.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, because I want to
11 make sure that the viewing public can watch us, as well.
12 Okay, we're going to take a break until we get the
13 technical side settled.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: The
15 unfortunate thing of the timing is, under other
16 circumstances, we might have gone back into closed
17 session, but we do need access to the room in order for
18 the tech team to be able to resolve this, so unless we
19 have access to a separate room where we can retire into
20 closed session, we may need to just take a break. Ms.
21 Sargis, do you know if we have access to another -- okay,
22 Commissioners, we have not adjourned, we are not on
23 break. If I could ask everyone to just sit tight, we're
24 trying to resolve a few technical issues and make a call
25 on what we do at this time. So we will resolve this

1 shortly.

2 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: You might take some
3 public comment now.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's do that.
5 Anyone in the public that would like to make - where is
6 that gentleman who was here earlier? He left. Anyone in
7 the public that would like to come up and say a few
8 words? This is a rare opportunity.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay,
10 Commissioners, so we're going to go on break. I ask you
11 to please stay in the general vicinity because we may
12 need to go back into session in short order.

13 (Recess at 12:25 p.m.)

14 (Reconvene at 1:10 p.m.)

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We're live.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I want to first talk
17 about the various comments that we got that we did not
18 accommodate and sort of what our thinking was on them.
19 We heard a request today, I think it was today, about El
20 Dorado Hills, or maybe it was yesterday. El Dorado Hills
21 is in the middle of the Assembly District that we put
22 together with all the Folsom Lake communities, and so
23 that really could not be accommodated.

24 There was a comment about having a number of
25 the large population suburbs of Sacramento put into the

1 Mountain Cap District and that would sort of defeat the
2 whole purpose of the Mountain Cap. The Mountain Cap
3 District was created out of our initial meeting in
4 Redding where the people in the less densely populated
5 areas wanted to have a district that was not controlled
6 by the Sacramento suburbs. And so that's why we created
7 the Mountain Cap, to do what they had wanted us to do.
8 And that would have undercut that completely.

9 A couple of things that we did do as a direct
10 result of public comment recently, this came out of the
11 California Conservative Action Group, talked about
12 including Dixon with the Yolo County District, I'll call
13 it the Yolo County District, and that worked and we were
14 able to keep Fairfield whole by doing that, and that was
15 offered to us by Chris Bowman. They also made a
16 suggestion regarding Lake County and that did not work,
17 we ended up with a district that was misshaped and we had
18 -- Lake County is kept with the coast in the Senate
19 District, but we were unable to accommodate that in the
20 Assembly District.

21 Now, if we go directly to Sacramento, there are
22 a couple of comments to be made there and I wanted to
23 discuss that with Q2.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Go ahead.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: We had a request for --

1 or not a request, it's just something I think we ought to
2 do. The line between East Sacramento and the West
3 Sacramento Districts right now, they have it going, I
4 think it's Ethan Way, it should go down Howe -

5 MS. SARGIS: Time.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I haven't gotten to the
7 rest of it yet.

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I would
9 actually break up his time because he did a two-minute
10 regional overview and now I think he's giving some
11 suggested changes. So re-start the clock.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: So the line there up by
13 the Arden Arcade sign, right there, that line there that
14 actually goes down, I think it's Ethan Way, it should be
15 moved over to the next line there, which is called Howe,
16 that should be able to be done by population because the
17 East Sacramento area is plus 224 people, whereas the West
18 Sacramento basically is at plus 4. So it'll transfer
19 people from East Sacramento to West Sacramento, so that
20 shouldn't be a problem.

21 Then, we had a request, and I asked Q2 to
22 comment on this, a couple of days ago the issue came up
23 as to whether Vineland should be taken back out of the
24 Elk Grove District and we should go back to our -- I
25 believe it was our first draft -- and if Jamie could

1 comment on the -- that did involve a fairly large amount
2 of population, but --

3 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
4 Forbes, if I may, I think it would be easier for us to be
5 able to address these if we took them point by point, so
6 we give Q2 an opportunity to respond.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh, okay.

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And we could
9 get a sense of whether other Commissioners are interested
10 in the proposal?

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Fine. So this line just
12 moves that line over. Howe is more of a major street
13 than is the Ethan Way.

14 MS. CLARK: Okay. That is definitely doable.
15 Right now, this line follows the city boundary of the
16 City of Sacramento.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay, well, in that case,
18 that's all right, then. I didn't realize that was the
19 City boundary, but that's fine, that does not need to be
20 adjusted.

21 Then, if you go down to the Elk Grove, West
22 Sacramento District line, we had had originally a request
23 we include VINEYARD into the Elk Grove District, but then
24 we were not able to the entire -- this is by the API
25 community, however, when we were not able to include all

1 of it because of our decision to include Elk Grove and
2 Lodi into this district, we were requested to reconsider
3 the WINE and go back to our original line which would
4 take VINEYARD out and replace it with the -- this is the
5 way they described it -- with some of the pocket area
6 moving towards Sutterville Road, which is up north on the
7 5. And I don't have that map, the original, so I wanted
8 to ask about if that made any sense or whether that was -
9 - it really was just an exchange of one for the other.

10 MS. CLARK: That's an exchange of one for the
11 other.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay, what would that
13 look like? Do you have that?

14 MS. CLARK: Sure.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So could I
16 get a sense from the Commissioners, do we have a critical
17 mass of Commissioners who are interested in moving
18 forward with --

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Well, I just wanted to
20 show it to them before they make that decision.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay. In
22 general, whenever a Commissioner puts an option on the
23 table, just to take a straw poll and make sure that we're
24 putting time where it's best suited, and if we could ask
25 Q2 at this point, as per Commissioner Filkins Webber's

1 observation, if you could guide us and the public that is
2 viewing online to exactly which file we're using right
3 now, to look at Assembly for Northern California.

4 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay, that's the
5 proposal. And that's a perfectly reasonable proposal.
6 It was originally, VINEYARD was included as an
7 accommodation, but this proposal works and so I would -
8 this is the one I would recommend and I would invite
9 Commission comment.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments? Commissioner
11 Dai.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: This was actually a previous
13 Visualization that we had before, before we tried to help
14 the API community and they informed us that this was
15 actually a better reflection, given that we weren't able
16 to accommodate the entire community. And it's also
17 better for the historic African American community that
18 we also heard about, so it's better for both.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Di Guilio.

20 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I was making sure it
21 was up high enough that time.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes, thank you. Nice and
23 tall.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Thank you. Yes, I
25 think this, we heard a lot about this, and our best

1 intentions may have gone a little awry. My only question
2 is, I think we had made that exchange up north and we had
3 thought that maybe that was more beneficial up north, as
4 well, but I don't think we've heard anything either way,
5 so I'm assuming that if we revert, it's still acceptable.
6 Commissioner Forbes, have you heard anything one way or
7 the other about that?

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, I have not heard a
9 thing.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, give me a sense of all
11 those that want to pass with this -- I mean agree with
12 this change, raise your hands. Okay, great. Let's move
13 on.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And just one more comment
15 to the northern area and then I'll be done. We had --

16 COMMISSIONER GALAMBOS MALLOY: Pause, we have a
17 question from Q2.

18 MS. WOODS: I just wanted to point out for
19 those following along at home, the Visualization to be
20 looking at is under "To be Presented," it is 2011-07-18
21 4:25 p.m., Assembly NORCAL.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Thank you, Alex.

24 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you.

25 I have one additional question because Ms. Kubas is not

1 with us today. Can you describe what our note taking
2 procedure is?

3 MS. MAC DONALD: We're actually not note
4 taking. This is actually what I wanted to say. If you
5 have agreed on this particular change, then we're making
6 the change, which is why we don't have a note taker.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right.

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Which is why
9 we need to pause as we go through our suggestion.

10 MS. MAC DONALD: Correct.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Just one other thing to
12 make the Commissioner aware of. We had some concern
13 that, in one of our districts, we had excluded a
14 Sacramento Airport from Sacramento, and that has been
15 corrected. So the Sacramento Airport is with Sacramento.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Great, that's good.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That concludes --

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Filkins
19 Webber.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, Ms.
21 Woods, what Visualization did you say? July 20th? I'm
22 sorry.

23 MS. WOODS: It's 2011-07-18 4:25 p.m., Assembly
24 NORCAL.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Do you know if

1 there is any difference between that vs. the 2011-07-19
2 11:59 p.m. Q2 Assembly State?

3 MS. MAC DONALD: That is basically just the
4 merged file, and the only thing that happened between the
5 different pieces in the merge file is that there were a
6 couple of very minor city splits cleaned up. And those
7 were basically just city splits that were, you know, that
8 really didn't matter a whole lot, it was basically just a
9 non-contiguous area that didn't have any population.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, is that clear?

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And that concludes
12 Assembly Northern California.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right.

14 MS. MAC DONALD: And it may be -- I'm sorry --
15 if I may?

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Go ahead.

17 MS. MAC DONALD: The Statewide Map may be the
18 better one to use.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's move on to
20 the next.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: If you say
22 that the Statewide version is better for us to use, can
23 you do the same thing for members of the public watching
24 at home, walk them to the file name that we need to be
25 looking at?

1 MS. MAC DONALD: Yes, I'm sorry. When Alex was
2 pulling down the file menu, she actually didn't see that
3 the Statewide Maps were in there, so that's why she gave
4 you the piece.

5 MS. WOODS: So for those following this at
6 home, the file is 2011-07-19 11:59 p.m. Q2 Assembly
7 STATE.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, next district -- next
9 region.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Should we do the Bay Area
11 next?

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's do the Bay Area.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, I just, I'm
14 sorry, I just had one question.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Sure. Commissioner Filkins
16 Webber.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, Commissioner
18 Forbes, at least, when you said "we're done with Assembly
19 in Northern California," can you just confirm that you
20 haven't seen any other detailed request changes for the
21 other Assembly Districts in Northern California before we
22 get to the Bay Area?

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's correct and that's
24 why I identified the ones we had heard and what our
25 response was to them.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Just for the record, could
3 you review one more time what is the final change to this
4 map?

5 MS. CLARK: The final change is that, in this
6 ESAC District, VINEYARD is, again, included in the
7 district. In SACEG, this area of Sacramento along I-5,
8 which is just west of Lemon Hill is, again, included in
9 the district. And here in WSAC, the line at the very
10 northeastern edge of the boundary in Antelope has moved
11 east.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, is that clear,
13 everyone? Let's backtrack very quickly. Could you go
14 through each of the districts in the north and just so
15 that we're clear about the way things are.

16 MS. MAC DONALD: Yes.

17 MS. CLARK: This district, DMNDO is Del Norte,
18 Humboldt, Trinity, Mendocino Counties all whole and
19 Northern Sonoma County, there is a split in the City of
20 Santa Rosa. Moving on to the Marin District, it is the
21 entire County of Marin, and then up the 101 Corridor,
22 Petaluma and Cotati are included in this District, as
23 well as Southern Santa Rosa -- City of Santa Rosa.

24 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: We are doing street
25 level. Can I see what -- are we not doing street level?

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, we are.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Oh, okay, I'd like to
3 see where we are splitting Santa Rosa since we are
4 looking at the street level, just taking our time to fine
5 tune these and what street is it, and make certain we
6 didn't split through any significant areas.

7 MS. CLARK: The split mainly goes east to west
8 on College Avenue. There are dips right here, this is
9 following the --

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: All right, thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners, any other
12 questions on the north? All right, Commissioner Filkins
13 Webber.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: What I did in my
15 region, and I don't know that it was possible for any
16 Commissioner to do this, I literally walked every single
17 line for all of my districts in the region that I was
18 assigned to, so whenever I saw something that looked odd,
19 I had something that literally looked like kind of a zig
20 zag, and confirmed that it was the city boundary, oddly
21 enough. But, for instance, here is a good example, oh, I
22 thought you still had it up there, in Santa Rosa --
23 actually, you do -- over near the tag that says "Napa,"
24 so this is what I've been doing, and this is my point, is
25 for instance, this line splitting the two Assembly

1 Districts shows there's, at least at the street level,
2 Spring Lake Park is that blue little area at the top, the
3 white area to the bottom appears to be the same park,
4 Annadel State Park with this other lake in there, and I
5 looked at these types of details to determine whether
6 these parks, because it is all green on the Statewide --
7 or, on the Interactive Map -- is there any justification
8 for splitting a park, which we know is, you know,
9 obviously it may attract people and it is a social
10 community of interest, technically. So I'm just
11 wondering whether fellow Commissioners did the same thing
12 and this is what I thought we should be looking at when
13 we asked for these details. So, whomever is assigned to
14 a particular region, did everybody else follow the same
15 premise so that we could be, I guess, satisfied that we
16 haven't split colleges and hospital districts, and
17 everything that Commissioner Parvenu had actually
18 mentioned earlier? And in this case, here is an example
19 of a park, there are two separate parks, but they're
20 linked. So, I just want some further clarification
21 before we [quote] "sigh-off" on these districts. Thank
22 you.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments on that,
24 Commissioners. Commissioner Parvenu.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I did exactly that same

97

1 thing and I found trouble spots, and I made a list of all
2 of the trouble spots around a perimeter, so that is
3 precisely what I did, Commissioner Filkins Webber, and I
4 am curious -- and in some cases, for example, where the
5 districts are joined, for example, Commissioner Blanco's
6 area, I was able to do a fix along that line that
7 straightened it out for both districts. So, that was
8 what I thought we would do, as well. For some larger,
9 more rural ones, I know we may not have to exercise that
10 extent of specificity, but for certain urban areas, I
11 would like to take that approach, as well.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, I don't think
13 all the Commissioners took that approach, but I don't see
14 anything wrong with it. All right, Commissioner Dai.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: So it does look like there
16 are a couple of State parks that are split and I just
17 want to verify if they're county lines. So one is
18 Sugarloaf Ridge State Park, Annadel is not split, and
19 Robert Louis Stevenson State Park are the two parks that
20 look like -- but they may be county lines. Is that
21 something we could check really quickly? Because they
22 probably don't have any population.

23 MS. CLARK: Sure, we could check that if you
24 could please specify like where exactly the --

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: So Annadel, if you just go

1 east a little bit, you'll see Sugar Loaf. It's right in
2 that bulge.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: It's right at the very
4 point.

5 MS. CLARK: Right here?

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Grab your pointer. Where
7 is your pointer?

8 MS. CLARK: Okay.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, point it out,
10 Commissioner Dai.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's right here.

12 MS. CLARK: That's not a county line. This is
13 the county line.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, so it seems like you
15 should probably put it in one or the other, it looks like
16 most of the park is here, and there's a little bit just
17 under here. And it probably doesn't have any population.
18 There you go.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

20 MS. MAC DONALD: There's 29 people.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: So I think that's okay in
22 Assembly. I guess they're Rangers?

23 MS. CLARK: So should I move it?

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: It's not going to change

1 the population. It's not going to have any effect on it.

2 MS. MAC DONALD: By 29.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, but deviation lines
4 are still okay. All right --

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: And then, if you go north
6 along the - I'm assuming that's a county line, I just
7 want to verify it, there is Robert Louis Stevenson State
8 Park and that looks like it is right --

9 MS. CLARK: The line here is on the county line
10 all the way up the coast.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, they share a park,
12 then.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: The question is whether
14 we should consider breaking the county line for the sake
15 of keeping the park whole.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments?

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think, given that two
18 counties share the park, I think it's okay.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right? Let's move on.

21 MS. CLARK: Next is the district NAPA, Napa, it
22 includes the City of Rohnert Park and Glen Ellen, this
23 area right here. All of Napa County, all of Lake County,
24 Southern Colusa County, Yolo County with the exception of
25 West Sacramento, and Northern Solano County, including

100

1 Dixon and Hartley, also this area west of the City of
2 Fairfield called Green Valley. Fairfield is whole, as is
3 Vacaville in the ECC District. There are no city splits
4 and the county splits are in Colusa and Solano.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Glad we were able to make
6 Fairfield whole.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: And that really operates
8 as a wine country district, as well as Ag.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, next.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, I have
11 a question. As I'm trying to do this fairly quickly,
12 myself, but we do have another park, I think, Fremont
13 Weir State Park Wildlife Area looks like it might be
14 split.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Could you point that out,
16 Commissioner Filkins Webber?

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Let me just make
18 sure I'm in the right district.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, grab your pointer.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: We're in the NAPA
21 District, is that right?

22 MS. CLARK: Yes.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so where is
24 the 113, it looks like it's over right in here, yeah,
25 right there.

1 MS. CLARK: So this is a county -- what is
2 split here is on the county line.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so same
4 issue as before, even though it's not a 50-50 split,
5 actually, it looks like on the county, most of it is in
6 this county right here. Okay.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's move on.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm just
9 concerned about fights over, you know, a lot of State
10 money issues, maybe one county might be -- or, if we
11 split it, maybe one district might be more inclined to
12 support environmental issues.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Actually, Commissioner,
14 Fremont Weir State Wildlife Area is entirely in Yolo
15 County, at least according to the map I'm looking at.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: According to what?

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: The map I'm looking at,
18 which is the Visualization or the map --

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, so let's move
20 on.

21 MS. CLARK: Next is the Yuba District, it is
22 the entire county of Yuba and Sutter, Northern Colusa
23 County, all of Glen County, all of Tehama County, and
24 Butte County is split for population.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners? Looks all

1 right? Any parks, lakes? All right, let's move on.

2 MS. CLARK: Next is the Mountain Cap District,
3 MTCAP, all of Siskiyou County, Shasta County, Modoc,
4 Lassen, again, Butte County here is split and it keeps
5 going south all the way down to Placer County. Placer
6 County is split. There is a zero population city split
7 in Auburn, this Census Block up here has no population in
8 it, but it is in the City of Auburn. It cannot be
9 included as there is too much population here in North
10 Auburn, the Census place.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners.
12 Commissioner Filkins Webber.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The Lake Oroville
14 State Recreation Area, is that split between two
15 counties? It's off of 162.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Point it out with the
17 pointer.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Isn't that it
19 right there in the blue where the line is right there
20 through the middle of the park, in the water? If that is
21 a county issue, then we'll concur.

22 MS. CLARK: No, this is all in one county.
23 This is Butte County.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: You could move the line
25 if you wanted to, to the shoreline.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Which way? East
2 or west?

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: West. It wouldn't affect
4 any population, but it would put the lake all in one
5 county.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Is that true?

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And just for the
8 record, these are other little State parks, Sycamore
9 Creek Recreation Area and Craig Recreation Area, so
10 putting all three together might be consistent with
11 probably a larger community of interest than those that
12 actually probably recreate in all three of those areas,
13 so I think it might be reasonable to assume there, vs. no
14 parks, really, on this side.

15 MS. CLARK: So what was the -- is there another
16 area that you would like me to move into the Mountain Cap
17 District, or into Yuba?

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Was there a
19 population change?

20 MS. CLARK: In that move, there was no
21 population change.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: It's the same. All right.

23 MS. CLARK: Is there another area that you'd
24 like me to move into the Mountain Cap District?

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: The only possibility, if

1 you look at this map we're looking at now, there is a
2 green area, which I assume is a park up by Yankee Hill,
3 isn't that the county line? Or is that our line?

4 MS. CLARK: Could you repeat that, please?

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Do you see that sign that
6 says "Yankee Hill?"

7 MS. CLARK: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Just to the west of that
9 is a green area which that would imply is a park.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Forbes, have
11 you got your pointer?

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah --

13 MS. CLARK: I see it.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is that the county line,
15 or is that our line?

16 MS. CLARK: That is our line.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay, then I would
18 include that whole park together, I would move the line
19 to the west to run along with the park boundary.

20 MS. CLARK: This is a 40 person switch.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would make that switch.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Is the deviation the same?

23 MS. CLARK: For both districts, the deviation
24 changes by .01 percent.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Is that significant?

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, then let's go ahead
3 and do it. Next district.

4 MS. CLARK: Next district is NSAC. It is West
5 Placer County, including Sheridan, Lincoln, Rocklin,
6 Granite Bay, the Folsom Lake area, El Dorado Hills,
7 Cameron Park, the City of Folsom, and the Census places
8 Orangevale and Fair Oaks, neither of which have any
9 splits.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners. Looks okay?
11 Commissioner Filkins Webber needs a minute or two to run
12 through this --

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I did too. You -- oh,
14 sorry to talk over you.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I'm sorry, what?

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I didn't mean to talk
17 over you. So that line that goes northwest over Fair
18 Oaks and Orangevale, that's the City Boundary? Those are
19 boundaries?

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Use your pointers, please.

21 MS. CLARK: These are the boundaries, yes, the
22 boundaries of the Census places.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, the reason why we
24 have pointers is that all of us can then follow your
25 thinking and it's clear to the Mappers what we're looking

1 at. I know we'll eventually get to it, but it loses
2 time. Okay? Commissioner Filkins Webber.

3 MS. CLARK: NSAC

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, I'll just
5 pose the question again, I can't - far west reservoir - I
6 don't know if there might be some issues where we split a
7 reservoir. Again, this is what I understand, the
8 necessary details, the reservoir is right there between
9 Yuba, I believe, yeah, right in this area. I would defer
10 to Commissioner Forbes if --

11 MS. CLARK: These are all county lines.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, shall we move on?
14 Forbes.

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yes.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's move on.

17 MS. CLARK: Would anybody like to revisit the
18 WSACESAC and SACEG Districts?

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Chair, I think it's
20 maybe helpful to know, I think it's the East Sac where we
21 had heard from Rancho Cordova and they thought that they
22 were split, but I don't believe they are split at all in
23 the AD. They had some concerns on the SD, as well, but
24 they did write out AD, and I don't believe Rancho Cordova
25 was split.

1 MS. CLARK: Rancho Cordova is not split in the
2 ADs.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, just to put that
4 on the record.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Good. Any other comments?
6 All right, let's move on.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I believe that completes
8 Region 9 and I just want to thank Jamie for the really
9 good work she's done on this entire process.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Excellent work, Jamie.

11 MS. CLARK: Thank you.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's move on to
13 the Bay Area.

14 MS. CLARK: Our first district is this ECC
15 District in Solano County, the Cities of Vacaville and
16 Fairfield, and this Delta area of Sacramento County, and
17 into East Contra Costa County, the Oakley, Brentwood
18 area, there is a city split in Pittsburgh.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: So my only comment is, and
21 I'm sorry that Commissioner Blanco has left, but anyone
22 else who knows this area, I believe what I understand
23 about this area is that it's probably a better split to
24 go along the highway because --

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Point it out with your

1 pointer, please.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes. In other words,
3 instead of a north-south line to do more of a line along
4 the highway, because I understand kind of the Bay side is
5 different from the more inland area? Go ahead. Just
6 speak.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, well, I got in
8 trouble last time I spoke. So if it's more of an east-
9 west, where would the shift be in terms of some -- I can
10 see dropping a line and you'd have to make up for it
11 somewhere? I'm trying to follow it a little bit more.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Do you have any
13 suggestions, Commissioner Dai?

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: As I said, to go along the
15 city boundary, and then go along Highway 4 instead.

16 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Would it require a
17 population, a little bit of a shift, if you moved that
18 line down along Highway 4?

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Probably. We'll take a look
20 at it.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Chair?

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Parvenu.

23 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I was thinking something
24 along, if it had to be split, keeping Commissioner Dai's
25 recommendation and mine, but also would it not be better

1 if we had to split the downtown part of Pittsburgh right
2 through there along whatever - Harbor Street, if you zoom
3 in, you'll see it? As opposed to having a zig zag, like
4 bringing that straight -- what is that there? Okay, that
5 doesn't show on my map here. That looks like there's
6 something there, instead of going around and doing all
7 that in there, have a straight line there. Have a
8 straight line here, as opposed to just zigzagging, right
9 through the core of that area by the Harbor for
10 continuity.

11 MS. CLARK: This line will be totally moved, so
12 ideally the split can just be along Highway 4, and all of
13 this area will be included in the ECC District.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Commissioner Dai's
15 recommendation.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Right.

17 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, very good.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Di Guilio.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'm going to just kind
20 of take a step back and I'm curious as to kind of how the
21 process is as we move into some of these areas where,
22 like we can look at -- this is what I was struggling
23 with, I would look at some lines and think, well, should
24 we move this line? And I don't necessarily know. I
25 guess I'm struggling with, if we haven't heard from

1 someone, should we assume that those splits are okay? If
2 we know something about the area, of course, I think we
3 have that ability as Commissioners, but, like something
4 with the downtown or a park, or something, we're kind of
5 assuming that we know where we should make the split.
6 I'm just trying to decide if we have kind of a process or
7 a policy we're going to follow in terms of making
8 decisions based on what we kind of intuitively think vs.
9 what we know vs. what we hear, and how we make those
10 distinctions.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: So here is some public
12 testimony, I can read it.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, yeah, I just
14 didn't know if there was public testimony that went with
15 it, so...

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: What is the public
17 testimony?

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: This is from Mr. Allen
19 Payton, who was here with us last week. [READING:] "I
20 mentioned at last Wednesday's meeting about moving the
21 agricultural area in the Southern part of the PTANT
22 District into the East Alameda District, by doing so, it
23 makes the PTANT District less even, but that allows you
24 to move more of Pittsburgh into the PTANT District from
25 the ECC District, which has 3,253 too much population.

111

1 Or you could move it in with the ECC District, which
2 would make even more sense.”

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So the question to the
4 Mappers is where do we draw that line so that we have the
5 same, equal population more or less, that essentially do
6 not change the deviation?

7 MS. CLARK: I don't know off-hand. You can try
8 it together. I believe that a split along Highway 4
9 should be fine.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Should be what?

11 MS. CLARK: Should be fine, but for population.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: This refers
13 to the email that I had sent out last night. At this
14 point, as we introduce things that we have not considered
15 before, we are essentially doing it together with Q2, so
16 it will take a little bit of time. If this is something
17 the Commission wants to move forward, I will sit here and
18 we will watch the computer churn, which is a perfectly
19 valid undertaking, but it's not as though we can task
20 them with doing this and then send them home and see the
21 results next week. We need to feel comfortable with it
22 and sign off on it while we're still here together.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, it's got to be done
24 today if you want to make that change. Commissioner Di
25 Guilio.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I think that's
2 helpful, Commissioner Dai, when she mentioned that this
3 is a public comment, I think it's helpful as we're
4 documenting, when we're making changes based on public
5 comment vs. our knowledge vs. intuition about these
6 things, so I think that's helpful for the record, as
7 well.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I think that's very
9 helpful, yes. So, Mappers, we'll give you -- oh,
10 Commissioner Raya.

11 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I think it's also useful
12 when we're referencing public comment that there are -- a
13 lot of public comment comes from people who are
14 representing groups or have an identified --

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Request.

16 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- you know, an
17 identifiable group, and I think that should be noted in
18 the record, as well.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Absolutely.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Mr. Allen Payton is part of
21 the CCAG.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: What? CCH?

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: California Conservative
24 Action Group.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, good. Try not to use

1 acronyms.

2 MS. CLARK: Would you like me to make this
3 change?

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can we just
6 get a show of hands from Commissioners to take the pulse
7 on if we have critical mass? Okay.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, please proceed.
9 Thank you, by the way, all of these questions are very
10 helpful.

11 MS. CLARK: So, if the split was just following
12 the freeway, then in this ECC District, there would be
13 1.87 percent deviation, and then the district south of it
14 that it is also affecting, PTANT, there would be a
15 negative 1.37 percent deviation.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Too high.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: It's too high.

18 MS. CLARK: So, if the Commission would like to
19 follow this line, then where should we make up the
20 population? It would be moving population north of
21 Highway 4 in Pittsburgh into PTANT.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Dai.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think I've reached the
24 limit of my knowledge. Commissioner Parvenu, do you have
25 some suggestions? Let's zoom in and see if we can --

114

1 because there is a downtown area that's by the water, so
2 the reference here was to agricultural areas, I don't
3 know if we can tell that from the map. Can we zoom in?

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Zoom in to the street
5 level.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Galambos
7 Malloy, do you have any input?

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I
9 personally do not know downtown Pittsburgh very well, so
10 I wouldn't be the one to give feedback on it.

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I'm just curious
12 just a little bit from driving through from Stockton that
13 I'm just wondering where actually the industry really
14 starts because there's actually quite a bit of industry,
15 at least going probably a little bit further west, I
16 heard agriculture, but I'm also interested in the
17 industry, too.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Parvenu, did
19 you have your hand up?

20 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: No.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, why don't you,
22 to the best of your knowledge, make a judgment, either
23 Commissioner Dai or whoever else is familiar with this
24 area? Or leave it the way it is.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, we can't, we need to

1 adjust it. I would say, just looking at the density,
2 come on, urban planners, help me out here.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Well, somebody has got to
4 know the district, this area.

5 MS. CLARK: So right now, the numbers and the
6 lines that are up are the tracts, or the tract parts, and
7 the number represents the total population of the tract
8 part.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Raya.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Commissioner Dai, remind
11 me, please, are we looking to separate an agricultural
12 area? Is that where this --

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: The comment is about an
14 agricultural area, Mr. Payton had told me he was going to
15 send a comment and he had said something about an
16 industrial area vs. non-industrial, so --

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So, I guess I'm just having
18 a little trouble understanding the urgency of this
19 change.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Di Giulio.

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think one way, if we
22 want to look at trying to separate agriculture from the
23 more built up is to look at our maps and click the
24 satellite link, you can see -- well, I'm assuming that
25 you can see the built out areas, you can see some of the

1 industry along the river, and then I'm assuming what's on
2 the southwest is --

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's try that. Can you do
4 that, Mappers? Satellite -- we're trying to distinguish
5 agricultural from industrial areas.

6 MS. CLARK: No, this computer is not online,
7 but the Commission can do that on their own laptops
8 through the Statewide database.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, Commissioner Dai, are
10 you doing that?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: I am trying to do that, but
12 unfortunately I'm not an expert in this area, so... There
13 is a -- it looks like there is a Black Diamond Mines
14 Regional Reserve that -- of course, that may be in
15 Antioch. There are some other cleanups that we may want
16 to do anyway. There are --

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Di Giulio.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Again, I'm going back,
19 I'm wondering, if we don't have like a complete picture,
20 I think this is where I'm kind of struggling, where I
21 feel like there could be something here we could do, but
22 in the absence of complete information, and being a
23 planner, I feel uncomfortable assuming things. I mean,
24 we can look at things, make some judgment calls, but I'm
25 wondering if we understand there is probably some way we

117

1 can split better, but if we don't have all the
2 information, I don't know if I feel comfortable kind of
3 guessing what that could be, as opposed to maybe leaving
4 it and we do have to make some choices and we don't have
5 all the information, maybe it's better to just --

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, guessing where that
7 line is without any knowledge is the same thing as
8 leaving it to where it is. So --

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: So do you suggest we revert
10 back?

11 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Well, I guess I feel
12 like I think a lot of the agriculture is probably in the
13 --

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: The inland side.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: The inland side, yeah.
16 And you really -- you get into the mountains and the
17 industrial on the rivers, so I guess if you're trying to
18 split agriculture in this line, I don't see where the
19 line necessarily makes that distinction, but maybe it's a
20 group in the City of Pittsburgh that is trying to go with
21 agriculture, and one that's trying not to. But I guess I
22 feel, again, like I'm not getting the whole picture and
23 it's hard -- I'd like to make some accommodation here,
24 but it's a little bit hard without enough information.

25 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, my suggestion would be

1 to try and adhere to the Highway 4 line as possible, but
2 get within our deviation. So, I would just pick a Census
3 tract that, you know, start from one -- probably, I would
4 guess, from the west and then see if we can get back
5 within our deviation because it looks like pretty --

6 MS. CLARK: If this highlighted area, which is
7 Tract level changes, the deviation of PTANT would be
8 negative 0.4 percent and ECC would be .54 percent.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: So that does it.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So that does it. Is that
11 all right? Still a guess, but --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I mean, my knowledge
13 of the area is that the highway is generally a separator
14 and there's a difference on kind of the bayside
15 communities, so I think to the degree we can follow
16 Highway 4, I think that's probably a better split and it
17 also fixes the split in downtown.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: A show of hands, all in
19 favor, raise your hands. Okay, let's move on.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: So as I was looking at the
21 Google Map, I noticed, unless Commissioner Filkins Webber
22 wants to beat me to it, there is a Black Diamond Mines
23 Regional Preserve, which looks like it's actually south
24 of Antioch, and a Contra Loma Regional Park that looks
25 like we're kind of cutting through, and it appears to be

1 right in this area here.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Blow that up, please.

3 MS. CLARK: The area up to the edge of the City
4 of Antioch could be included in PTANT and fall within
5 deviations for both districts.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, it actually looks like
7 -- could you pull the map down a little bit?

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The park, at
9 least I'm looking at the green area on the satellite,
10 comes about right in here, and comes up and comes all the
11 way over here and up here, but then there's a section
12 that's right up in here that is still part of the park,
13 so this is where you have the split. And that's Contra
14 Loma Regional Park that's being separated from the Black
15 Diamond Mines Regional Preserve.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, we need a
17 recommendation. I mean, this is fine, but we're spending
18 a lot of time on it.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: But this is what
20 I thought we were supposed to do, and recognizing that
21 parks --

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I understand, so if we can
23 get a recommendation.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I now have
25 got the map back, so, most of the Black Diamond Mines

120

1 Reserve is in the PTANT District, I believe, and so the
2 suggestion would be -- but then, again, we might be
3 splitting the City of Antioch and that's what we're
4 trying to figure out because I think this is still within
5 the city boundary, and that park is on that side.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Ms. Clark, can you
7 distinguish between the park here? It's right in this,
8 yeah, that corner.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I have a
10 more general question for Q2 because, as we know through
11 the East Bay, I've also noted through other districts
12 issues regarding splits in parks, is it conceivable that
13 we could provide you with general direction around, you
14 know, for example, if a park is split across a county
15 line or a city line, that we could provide you a general
16 rule of thumb to use and that we would not have to review
17 park by park throughout the entire state, because I
18 anticipate this type of change happening more.

19 MS. MAC DONALD: Well, I think that depends on
20 whether you would be comfortable with us doing that after
21 we walk out of here tomorrow, and then you would not see
22 that until you see the final maps.

23 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Is there not also a
24 question about the type of park and in what jurisdiction
25 the park is going to fall?

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So I think that could be a
3 problematic direction.

4 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, I
5 hadn't formulated a direction, I was just, you know,
6 trying to anticipate on the part of the Mappers what
7 types of direction I could and couldn't accommodate.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah. Any comments?
9 Commissioner Filkins Webber.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In a further part
11 in this particular district, here is an example, you have
12 Mount Diablo State Park, then you have Diablo Foothills
13 Regional Park, and directly adjacent to that is Shell
14 Ridge Open Space, and when you're dealing with
15 designations of open space, as I understand it, those can
16 transform relatively quickly vs. State Park designations.
17 So, here is an example where maybe Q2 at a general
18 direction level that Commissioner Galambos Malloy is
19 mentioning may not, you know, I guess they would have to
20 make a decision on their own whether or not this Shell
21 Ridge Open Space Area should be considered protected in
22 the District in its entirety. And a lot of open space
23 areas, for instance, in the area where I live, and every
24 day it's a struggle to try and maintain those open space
25 areas. So, I think the naturalists and those might

1 concur with this. So, I think it might be a little
2 difficult in giving a general direction when we don't
3 have an idea, or Q2 may not know what our direction would
4 be in that regard.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, I want to pick up the
6 pace, so if we can give Q2 some general direction with
7 respect to open space and parks, some criteria in which
8 they can run with it, I think we'll be able to get
9 through this day, otherwise we're going to get bogged
10 down on parks and open space. Commissioner Yao.

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: When it comes to parks and
12 open space, there is the argument saying having two
13 Representatives is better than having a single
14 Representative, so we're probably splitting hairs at this
15 point in terms of which way should the district line be.
16 The district line is mainly more concerned about voters
17 and people than it is about vacant lands, so I don't know
18 whether we're really accomplishing a great deal in the
19 time that we're spending.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Dai.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: There are areas of the state
22 where we've gotten very specific comments about open
23 space and I wonder if the Commission would just feel
24 comfortable, given Commissioner Yao's observation here,
25 just focusing on those areas where we have received

1 significant public comment.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Filkins
3 Webber.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I really don't.
5 Again, I recognize that there are areas in the state
6 where there could be a struggle vs. development in taking
7 open space, so I just -- this is what my understanding
8 was of the detailed level that we needed to get to and I
9 recognize this problem probably in every district,
10 especially in the north, so, again, I'm concerned that I
11 think you could have a situation where two
12 Representatives might differ, and that's not helpful to
13 those that actually use those areas. So I think it's
14 something that we have to do and recognize. I don't know
15 that a general direction will necessarily help. I concur
16 with Commissioner Raya that I don't know that it's -- I
17 think it's necessary for the Commission to make a
18 decision on what districts these go into.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, Commissioner
20 Parvenu.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I've gone ahead and
22 taken a bird's eye view of the rest of the state, the
23 central area and the southern area, and although it's a
24 problem here that's slowing us down here, it doesn't
25 occur - well, it occurs from Kings Canyon and some of

1 these larger ones, but it doesn't occur at a frequency so
2 that every single county, or every single district we
3 have to get bogged down with this. So I think once we
4 resolve this one, we can quickly move on, at least get
5 down to the larger ones like I see Sequoia and Kings
6 Canyon, we may need to discuss, and then we go down to
7 the urban areas, so I think the others are quick fixes,
8 if we could just move along.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's proceed, then,
10 quickly. What do you suggest?

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, Ms. Clark, this park
12 here, were you able to find the boundaries?

13 MS. CLARK: The green lines represent the
14 boundaries.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh, excellent. So it looks
16 like we could probably fix -- just move that line there
17 and make that park whole.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Just follow along the green
19 line. Is that what you're suggesting?

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, does that change
22 deviation?

23 MS. CLARK: So I can't import the green line as
24 a layer onto Maptitude to map directly off of that, and
25 these lines, the blue lines and the numbers, represent

1 Census Blocks, that's the smallest area that we can map
2 with.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: They're all zero population,
4 it looks like.

5 MS. CLARK: Yes.

6 MS. MAC DONALD: What she's trying to say is
7 the Census Blocks, we're not going to split and they have
8 their own boundaries, so they're not square, as you can
9 see, those are wiggly, and since we're using Census
10 Blocks as the smallest unit of analysis to build
11 districts, there will be some splitting of the park
12 boundary.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, I would actually
14 suggest moving the line west because we had talked about
15 our policy of kind of putting users with the open space,
16 and I imagine the users are here, so if we just included
17 that part here, I think that would capture the park.

18 MS. CLARK: Is there any other area I should
19 include?

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: That's essentially the park
21 though, right?

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Sounds good.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Good, all right.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, I have
25 still another question about this district. Underneath

1 where it says PTANT, at the 680, you see all those
2 squiggly lines right here? Can you tell me what's going
3 on right in there because, for instance, this looks like
4 you're splitting a whole neighborhood right there, so I
5 don't know if we're following -- these always concerned
6 me when I was following some of my own region lines. I
7 know the city boundaries are kind of odd.

8 MS. CLARK: Those are the Census place
9 boundaries.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so --

11 MS. CLARK: So, yes, all of these lines are
12 boundaries of the Census places.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, so this is
14 what I was looking at as far as detail. One thing that
15 always concerned me is when one citizen came before us
16 and says, well, their neighbor that lives across the
17 street from them is in an entirely different district,
18 and so this, if you blow this up, this is like a little
19 cul-de-sac area and this is the kind of thing I thought
20 was very odd that I saw in some of my areas and, again, I
21 think this is a detail that we may need to clean up.
22 Again, I have no idea what the fellow Commissioners think
23 of this type of detail, but this is one thing that had
24 concerned me before.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let me ask the Mappers, why

127

1 are we ending up with that little little cul-de-sac?

2 MS. CLARK: This is the Census place boundary
3 line.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I see.

5 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think Commissioner
6 Filkins Webber is correct, I mean, this kind of stuff, if
7 we could clean it up, it can stay within the deviation,
8 and not do any unfortunate splits. I think it would make
9 sense to do that.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Mappers, do you have a
11 recommendation?

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: There are no city splits
13 here, right?

14 MS. CLARK: If we're still talking about this
15 PTANT, or if we're moving on, I guess, to that district,
16 there is the city split in Pittsburgh. I believe there
17 may be a small split in Hercules and Walnut Creek is
18 split, I believe.

19 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So is there
20 a recommendation coming from the Commissioners?

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: With regard to splitting
22 Hercules, it has a small split, is it small enough that
23 we could use a deviation to make Hercules whole?

24 MS. CLARK: I believe so.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I mean, I think that's

1 the purpose of having the deviation -- or, "a purpose."

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So we have
3 a recommendation to make Hercules whole, relying on our
4 deviation. Do we have critical mass of Commissioners?

5 MS. CLARK: It's this small highlighted area
6 here. It's a 163 person move and both districts would
7 fall within the deviation?

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Good. All right.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Please
10 implement that. I had also wondered on this border, with
11 the border on the north side here, as we're looking at
12 the 4, does it - would it have any population impact to
13 use the John Muir Parkway as the border for the district?

14 MS. CLARK: Between WCC and PTANT?

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: But I think
16 similar to other parts of this area, we've heard that the
17 4 is seen as a natural divider, so if it doesn't have
18 much population impact, I would suggest that; but if it
19 does, we can leave it be. I think the priority is to
20 make Hercules whole.

21 MS. CLARK: Hercules is whole now and this is a
22 Zero Population Census Tract.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Great.

24 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Excellent.
25 Can we keep moving through this same district now further

1 south, perhaps?

2 MS. CLARK: Sure. Did the Commission want to
3 look at the PTANT split?

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I have a general question
5 in regard to what Commissioner Filkins Webber raised
6 about the odd little boundaries of the Census tracts and
7 how deeply we want to get involved in trying -- I'm not
8 sure, is it because you want to kind of smooth out the
9 look of it, or --

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, we've
11 received quite a number of comments. If you take one of
12 the main highways, you're going to go out of a district,
13 in a district, out of a district, in a district, and you
14 do that probably for about, I don't have to scale here,
15 but you know, 10 miles. And you go in and out of the
16 district. That's what I'm saying, and even though you
17 take a Census block, I think if we just balanced it, it
18 just seems reasonable to me that we can balance out the
19 population. Because even though we recognize the Census
20 tract as taking that area, it's splitting a neighborhood.

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: In that case, would we be
22 giving direction to follow neighborhood boundaries, major
23 roadways, I mean, I think we need to have some kind of
24 defining element.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, my

1 suggestion is that this is not necessarily a neighborhood
2 that I think could be recognized like they are in more
3 urban areas, it's just looking at a cul-de-sac, for
4 instance, and one Census tract takes half the cul-de-sac.
5 I think anybody who has lived on a cul-de-sac would
6 recognize that that's a neighborhood, it's minutiae and I
7 understand that, but this just looks -- I'm sure we're
8 going to see it elsewhere, as well. I know it's just a
9 matter of picking up Census blocks, but maybe Q2 can
10 explain how we might be able to clean up these things.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Yao.

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: I would just ask the
13 Commission Chair to do a time check. We're addressing
14 the Assembly with a given amount of time and a lot of
15 Assembly Districts in the Southland.

16 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think
17 we're finding attention between levels of detail and
18 certainly this is an important stage in our deliberations
19 and, if the Commission is resisting our efforts to try
20 and move along at a good clip and really take the time to
21 look at this level of detail, I think we can assume that
22 we will be going into Sunday at this point. So that's
23 why we're trying to explore it. There are areas of
24 common themes that are coming up where there might be a
25 direction that we could provide; that might allow us to

131

1 focus on the more unique things that can't be solved by
2 some sort of general direction or rule of thumb.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Yao.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: I don't have any issue with
5 going into Sunday, but I'm just questioning as to
6 adjusting the district line with zero population, I don't
7 know whether that's really where our priority is.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I agree with that.

9 Commissioners? Commissioner Filkins Webber.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I understand. We
11 can provide a general direction because if you get to
12 this district, if you follow the line to the west, for
13 instance, there's again a recreational park that is split
14 into three and possibly four Assembly Districts, so,
15 again, I don't know if we want to instruct Q2 to take a
16 look at these issues, but again it becomes the call of
17 the Commission as to which side, or what Assembly
18 District when you have three or four, for this park to
19 maybe be broken into. Again, that's probably a zero
20 population issue, so here's just another example.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, so I
22 think we have several issues that are on the table, the
23 one that we have been trying to resolve is the issue
24 around the shapes of Census places and the unique forms
25 that that takes in certain districts, and some concern on

1 the part of Commissioners that it creates an odd-looking
2 boundary. Is there a direction we would have in regard
3 to this particular district or, more broadly, that we
4 could provide to Q2? And if not, then we'll move on to
5 the next issue.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I'll make a comment just
7 that, for me, I mean, we get criticized -- on previous
8 maps we've been criticized for odd-looking districts. So
9 it seems to me, and this is an aesthetic thing, but where
10 lines could be straightened out without having any
11 significant population impact or, again, not breaking any
12 county lines, city lines, and that kind of stuff, then I
13 think we should do it.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
15 Parvenu.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Let's just take this
17 little configuration here, when you zoom in and look at
18 the aerial, you'll see that's just a circular
19 neighborhood there, and we can straighten those types of
20 quirky areas out. If you look at the satellite view,
21 you'll see that's a canon circle, and we can do something
22 to get rid of those little nicks like that, and it's easy
23 enough just to fix that because it has minimal population
24 impact.

25 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Chair, may I suggest a

1 direction to Q2, or direction to the Commissioners and
2 Q2, that the responsible Commissioners for the area take
3 that closer look today and determine if there are these
4 little spots that could be adjusted, and we could give
5 that direction to Q2, to make those small adjustments
6 where they don't have a population deviation impact?

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes, I think that would be
8 very wise. Is there agreement? Let's raise hands and
9 see the consensus here. Eight.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So at this
11 point, we're having a lot of conversation on this one
12 topic. If you've spoken before, you'll get 30 seconds.

13 MS. MAC DONALD: Quickly? I think for us to be
14 able to do this, first of all, I need to know when you
15 would want us to do it and, secondly, it would have to be
16 very very specific because we do not want to be in the
17 position of having to make a judgment call because there
18 are a lot of really random issues going on here.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think Commissioner
20 Raya's point is well taken, that the Commissioners are
21 responsible for these areas, and I think we all
22 understand now what we're talking about, to work with you
23 to give you the specific direction and we should delegate
24 that so that the Commissioners are, in fact, setting the
25 line, but we've set the standard for which that line

1 would be set as a full Commission.

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Parvenu,
3 then Dai, and then we will make a call on this and move
4 on.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I know it's time
6 consuming, but I would feel more comfortable if we at
7 least identify these little quirky areas in these
8 districts, so that we are actually the ones giving
9 direction, we can just go quickly through them and say,
10 "That looks odd, take a look at it, fix that if there is
11 no population," so that we at least as a collective body
12 have identified these unusual formations and straighten
13 them out. I just don't feel comfortable -- just wide
14 sweepingly go through and just fix them all -

15 MS. SARGIS: Time.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Mappers, do you have a
17 question?

18 MS. CLARK: I don't have a question, but this
19 is just an example of where Mappers would need very
20 specific direction in that, you know, do you move this
21 Census Block to this area, or, sorry, this Census Block
22 into the East Alameda District, or if you would move
23 these groups of blocks into the PTANT District. I think
24 that probably we would be uncomfortable making those
25 decisions, which would split very small Census places.

135

1 Also, there are issues with just the Census Blocks being
2 shaped not necessarily in straight line sort of - like
3 there is not necessarily a possibility to draw a straight
4 line.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I understand. Okay, the
6 Mappers don't want to make that decision. The motion or
7 the idea on the Commission right now is Commissioner
8 Raya's suggestion that the Commissioners in this
9 district, this area here, make that shot, make that call,
10 and for the Mappers, they need to know that right away.
11 Is that an issue that all of us can agree with?
12 Commissioner Dai.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just want to point out the
14 time that it would have taken us to move these tracts has
15 now been exceeded by the time we've been discussing this
16 in theory, so Commissioner Filkins Webber, do you have a
17 specific suggestion?

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It was my
19 understanding previously that the teams had delegated
20 authority, but if they need specific delegated authority
21 again, I took that upon myself because I had these
22 concerns and, so, obviously I'm repeating my concerns at
23 these other Assembly District levels.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Do you have a specific
25 suggestion for this district?

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, I do.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Please.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Please.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well,
5 Commissioner Galambos Malloy was suggesting something
6 else as to a general direction on this topic.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: You know, I don't think
8 there's time for our Mappers to do this outside of
9 today's session.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: My recommendation
11 is to highlight Census Block 72100 -- I can't read the
12 other number, the four that are within that circle, and
13 extending out to 48182 and putting it into the PTANT
14 District.

15 MS. CLARK: Okay. So these numbers do
16 represent population in the Census Blocks, it's not the
17 Census Block code or the Census Block number, that's 522
18 people moving from ELAM which is this district, to PTANT,
19 and that move would create the Census place split and
20 also both districts would fall within the deviation.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, you
22 said it would create a Census place split?

23 MS. CLARK: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, a Census
25 place split of Walnut Creek right there, or is it some

1 other Census place?

2 MS. CLARK: Yes.

3 COMMISSIONER FLKINS WEBBER: So technically
4 those areas are Walnut Creek?

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Walnut Creek is the city and
6 it's already split, I think.

7 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: May I make a
8 modification to this?

9 MS. CLARK: Yes, that is Walnut Creek.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: That we take those two
11 southeast ones out because that -- I can't read it --
12 this one, yeah, right there, because that follows the
13 street pattern that keeps that neighborhood whole.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, Commissioner
15 Yao.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: The simplest split would
17 leave all these alone and just put this one right here,
18 put the line right across here, okay? And moving 82
19 people as compared to moving hundreds of people to clean
20 up the line.

21 MS. CLARK: That would create a split in the
22 City of -- I'm not sure how to pronounce it -- but that
23 would be a smaller Census place that would be split is
24 the tradeoff.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: I withdraw my offer.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: I would stick with Walnut
2 Creek since it's already split.

3 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So at this
4 time, we're going to have Ms. Sargis really step up her
5 game in terms of timing Commissioners. When you put this
6 issue, which granted is an important issue for the folks
7 in most Census places, but in perspective of how large
8 the state is and how much work we have yet to do across
9 the state, we simply can't afford this level of detail
10 for each Census place within each district. Are there
11 any additional changes to this district? Perhaps if this
12 issue is resolved, then we can zoom back out.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, one more time, what
14 is our solution? Who wants to advance a solution? Last
15 shot.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: I believe we've moved on. I
17 also wanted to bring up --

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Was it clear to the Mapper?
19 Was it clear to you?

20 MS. CLARK: I made that change.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, all right.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: -- that Mount Diablo State
23 Park is only accessible from either Danville or Walnut
24 Creek, so if we can extend the line for Mount Diablo
25 State Park, back out, it's not on the map yet. It's a

1 large area here. I don't know that there's any
2 population there, it says "State Park."

3 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So your
4 suggestion is linking of the park down to one of its
5 major entrances towards the city?

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

7 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Q2, do you
8 have feedback on the population impacts? And,
9 Commissioner Dai, do you have a specific suggestion as to
10 where to link it?

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's blow that up, that
12 section.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Either Danville or Walnut
14 Creek.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think the
16 challenge at this point is that, really, on behalf of the
17 Commissioners, we need to come to the conversation with
18 very specific suggestions, I mean, literally name the
19 street that you're interested in linking something from.
20 That's the level of detail we need because that's how
21 long these experiments are going to take for every single
22 change.

23 MS. CLARK: So the Census tracts out here, if
24 this is the area that we're talking about, this tract has
25 almost 5,600 people, this is 350, this Census Tract,

1 which presumably we would need to add for contiguity, is
2 5,600.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, that's too big.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Too big, way too big.

5 Okay, shall we abandon that idea?

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, so there was one more
7 suggestion. I think this is actually back in the PTANT
8 District, sorry, this was the second part of the email
9 that I just saw. It says [READING:] "Move the area south
10 of Antioch and west of Brentwood near the intersection of
11 Deer Valley and Marsh Creek Roads into the ECC District.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Point that out with your
13 pointer.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: I don't know, we'd have to
15 look at the street level. South of Antioch and west of
16 Brentwood, interaction of Deer Valley and Marsh Creek
17 Roads.

18 MS. SARGIS: Time.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: There's Briones Valley Road
20 right there.

21 MS. CLARK: Here is Deer Valley and here is
22 Marsh Creek, this is the intersection.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: It says "Please move the
24 line just south of Briones Valley Road...", which I see up
25 there, "...or use Marsh Creek Road instead of Chadbourne

141

1 Road as the dividing line.”

2 MS. CLARK: So that would be including these
3 blocks.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: And it says, “There are not
5 many people who live in that area, but they associate
6 more with Antioch and Brentwood than the rest of Contra
7 Costa County.”

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We did that. What’s the
9 deviation.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: It’s 13 people.

11 MS. CLARK: That is 443 people and the
12 deviation for both districts would fall in plus or minus
13 1 percent.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Great.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, is that all right,
16 Commissioners? Show of hands? Again, raise them, don’t
17 be timid. Okay, we’ve got five.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Galambos
19 Malloy, since you did the East County, do you have any
20 other thoughts?

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I had one
22 additional, I have some on the other districts, but on
23 this specific district, let me go back down farther
24 towards the south, go toward the 580 and 680 interchange,
25 just farther south where you see the Pleasanton Ridge

1 State Park Area. It seemed like we just had, oh, a
2 little bit farther south.

3 MS. CLARK: This is the Pleasanton Ridge
4 Regional Park.

5 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Which looks
6 different on the Visualization that I'm looking at
7 online, so the issue is not appearing - you're on the 7-
8 19 11:59 p.m.?

9 MS. CLARK: This is -- it's the most recent, I
10 believe there's only one Statewide Visualization for
11 Assembly Districts.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: All right, I
13 still have the full State one, the Assembly.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Because I'm
16 showing on mine an issue down by Verona on the 680. Yes.
17 North of Sinolet, so just trying to understand what went
18 into this configuration because my understanding from the
19 map is that this is the Pleasanton Ridge Regional Park,
20 and then we have another Woods up here, it just seemed
21 like an unlikely boundary that maybe doesn't have
22 population impacts, but would connect the park with the
23 surrounding area. It's the Census place borders.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Do you want to blow it up,
25 please?

1 MS. CLARK: Yes, that's the Census place
2 border.

3 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, we'll
4 leave well enough alone. Would folks mind if we backed
5 up to the Richmond District, so working on the rest of
6 the East Bay? Okay, so looking at the Berkeley area, I
7 did have some concern around the fact that, with the
8 districts -- the Assembly Districts that converge around
9 Tilden Park, that none of them connect to Berkeley, and
10 that's really seen as a Regional asset, but most of the
11 park facilities are actually located in Berkeley, so I
12 wanted to see if we could move one of those lines,
13 potentially the one -- I believe it's in this area -- to
14 essentially move the border of this Assembly District
15 over more towards San Pablo Dam Road.

16 MS. CLARK: Would you like me to move more area
17 than that?

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: If there's
19 no population impacts, yes.

20 MS. CLARK: This is a five percent impact.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Looks good. Commissioners?
23 Okay, let's move on.

24 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Just to
25 acknowledge that we have gotten some feedback from the

1 public regarding an alternative configuration that would
2 have linked some of the flatlands of Oakland, Berkeley,
3 Emeryville area, that is a configuration we've been able
4 to explore in other districts, but at the Assembly level,
5 we felt like this configuration respected more COIs
6 overall. I did want to clarify whether, along the south
7 border of the Berkeley Richmond based district, this
8 border here, is that that city boundary? Where it
9 doesn't follow the 580 and it dips into the West Oakland
10 neighborhood?

11 MS. CLARK: This is Oakland, this is where
12 Oakland is split.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Gotcha.
14 Okay. We had some population considerations in this
15 area, that's how they played out. There is also an area
16 over by the Rose Garden in Oakland where we had some
17 really funny boundaries, but based on our previous
18 conversation, I'm understanding that's based on Census
19 places because they did not follow roads, and it seemed
20 like an awkward configuration.

21 MS. CLARK: Where is that? Is it this area?

22 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

23 MS. CLARK: Do you have suggestions on where to
24 move the lines?

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: My

1 suggestion might be, as opposed to jutting in and out
2 this way, if we could have more of a clean line going
3 through here. I think when I'm looking at the map, what
4 I see you've attempted to do is to be able to retain on
5 the Oakland side of the district, have more of the lower
6 Diamond District and the upper Diamond District, if I'm
7 interpreting that correctly.

8 MS. CLARK: Moving these blocks that are
9 highlighted will put the district OKLAND 2.99 percent
10 deviation. Would you like me to make that switch?

11 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I think
12 that's a group decision.

13 MS. CLARK: This is also, I should mention,
14 this is the Equality ECA line.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: The line
16 that you chose.

17 MS. CLARK: But --

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, let's
19 go with it and let's just leave it as is. If we go
20 farther south into San Leandro Area, I was interested on
21 the border whether we would be able to look at using
22 Fairmont Drive as a boundary, as opposed to, I believe
23 it's 150, the Fairmont is seen as more of a divider.

24 MS. CLARK: In San Leandro?

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh, on

1 the border between San Leandro and the next district.

2 MS. CLARK: Is it this border here between San
3 Leandro and San Lorenzo?

4 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: No, it's
5 over on the 580 side.

6 MS. CLARK: So here between Castro Valley and
7 San Leandro?

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Uh huh, so
9 right now, this line that comes in, I believe, is on the
10 150, and Fairmont runs here and is farther south.

11 MS. CLARK: So this is 150 up here and it looks
12 like this is Fairmont.

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: You know,
14 it's interesting, I'm still having this -- I have the
15 7-19-2011 11:59 p.m. Q2 Assembly State Map pulled up, and
16 very few, but some of the boundaries are slightly
17 different than what we're seeing on the screen. I don't
18 know if anyone else is having that?

19 MS. CLARK: Is that the Nor Cal or Statewide
20 Map?

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: State.

22 MS. CLARK: It should be the same.

23 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, well,
24 I'm comfortable with the boundary I'm seeing, that's
25 fine. The other remaining issue is that the Bay Fair

1 BART within San Leandro, it appeared on the map that I'm
2 accessing, that it was separated from San Leandro, but I
3 couldn't tell with the high level of detail. It's a
4 little bit south of the Hesperian and Fairmont
5 intersection. Let's see, okay, so the Bay Fair BART is
6 somewhere in here, we don't need to do it right this
7 second, but can you just verify when this is done that
8 the Bay Fair BART is in San Leandro's District? Because
9 it's in San Leandro and it's right on the border
10 somewhere there.

11 MS. CLARK: One moment. This line represents
12 the city boundary. So, if the BART Station is in the
13 City of San Leandro, then it's also in this district.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay,
15 excellent. And the only last observation I had was
16 regarding the Mission Peak Regional Preserve, which is
17 down in South County here. And I think currently it's
18 oriented towards the east, it's not connected over
19 towards Mission. Are we looking at the Fremont area, I
20 believe?

21 MS. CLARK: So is that in the MLPTS District,
22 or are we looking at HWHYWRD right now?

23 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: It's in the
24 farthest south district, although, okay, so it looks on
25 the latest version that it is connected, so I think those

1 are all my observations. Oh, one final one was in also
2 the San Leandro Oakland District, we have the Redwood
3 Regional Park, we have Chabot Regional Park, much of the
4 facilities for Chabot are really, it's oriented more, you
5 know, it's used regionally, but oriented more towards the
6 Bay side, so would it have a population impact if we
7 actually connected Chabot towards San Leandro and Redwood
8 towards Oakland?

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Redwood is here.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah,
11 Redwood is at the intersection and then the Lake Chabot
12 area comes in here.

13 MS. CLARK: That's a 72 percent population
14 shift, both districts will fall within the plus or minus
15 one percent deviation.

16 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY:

17 Commissioners, are we okay with this?

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

19 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: And then I actually found a
21 separate email from Mr. Payton where he did give us the
22 north-south line, and I wonder if we can just go back and
23 check that?

24 MS. CLARK: Sorry, for clarification, did you
25 want to move Lake Chabot into the district OKLAND?

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes, I'd
2 like to keep Lake Chabot with Chabot Regional Park. And
3 then on the northern side of the Redwood Regional Park,
4 the Joaquin Miller looked like it was split.

5 MS. CLARK: I'm going to leave this Census
6 block unincorporated [sic], or else it would split Castro
7 Valley.

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's fine.
9 So the map I'm looking at, this is Redwood, okay, so
10 Joaquin Miller is whole and it's with this district,
11 okay, we're fine. Those are my suggestions.
12 Commissioner Dai.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes, I just wanted to go
14 back and look at the PTENT District one more time and
15 just double-check. The suggestion for the north-south
16 line was actually Loveridge Road as an east-west dividing
17 line, and then Highway 4 as a north-south dividing line,
18 so I just want to see if we ended up close to that.

19 MS. CLARK: So, Loveridge Road being north -

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Loveridge goes this way.
21 And I'll just read this. He said, "Because of the
22 deviation, you can move more of Pittsburgh into the PTANT
23 district from the area north of Highway 4, which is more
24 of the industrial area that has commonality with the rest
25 of the PTANT District, using Loveridge Road as an east-

1 west dividing line and Highway 4 as a north-south
2 dividing line." On my map, it looks like a fairly major
3 road. Auto Center Drive, which is another major road,
4 and it's parallel to it.

5 MS. CLARK: Here is Loveridge Road. That's a
6 bit west of where --

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, it seems he was
8 suggesting the opposite split, he was saying move more of
9 Pittsburgh into the PTANT District, so --

10 MS. CLARK: If we follow Highway 4 all through
11 Pittsburgh, and all of Southern Pittsburgh is in this
12 PTANT District, then this is --

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's not possible to do this,
14 basically? I think he was suggesting this way, but it's
15 not possible, it's the opposite, I think, of what we did
16 -- if I understood that suggestion correctly here. Yeah,
17 let me read it one more time. He said, "Because there
18 are 3,000 more people in the ECC District and we
19 originally were at 800 or so less in the PTANT District,
20 you can move more of Pittsburgh into the PTANT District
21 from the area north of Highway 4, which is more of the
22 industrial area, which has commonality with the rest of
23 the PTANT District, using Loveridge Road as an east-west
24 dividing line and Highway 4 as a north-south dividing
25 line."

1 MS. CLARK: So that idea would be in the PTANT
2 District, the line would come this way, and all of this
3 area of Southern Pittsburgh would be included with ECC?

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think that was his
5 intention, was to swap it the other way.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: What I'm looking
7 at when I focus in, I think it's right around here is
8 where you could catch Loveridge, Loveridge then comes
9 down this way and, actually, you could probably pick it
10 up at this other line that you have. If you zoom in a
11 little bit, I think you can see. Where is Loveridge,
12 again, on this map?

13 MS. CLARK: Loveridge is out here, I believe.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Can you put the
15 streets up? I don't know that it's really going to be a
16 lot of population.

17 MS. CLARK: This is Loveridge.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: So he was suggesting this
19 part has more commonality with the PTANT District.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, so I was
21 thinking maybe this line follows almost as closely to
22 where Loveridge is, if that's Loveridge. This area here,
23 but that might be more than 3,000 people, I think, when I
24 saw those numbers.

25 MS. CLARK: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Maybe --

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, again, he was making this
3 based on a previous map which had a line kind of in the
4 middle, so I think he was suggesting going this way.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Dai, who is
6 this person again that's requesting this?

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Mr. Allen Payton from
8 California Conservative Action Group, they've made a lot
9 of comments about this Highway 4 area.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

11 MS. CLARK: Would the Commission like the split
12 in Pittsburgh to be changed?

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: I want to be consistent with
14 what the public testimony was, so he was, again,
15 suggesting that this industrial area, which is by the
16 waterfront, which is the commercial area, the downtown
17 area, had more commonality with the PTANT District. It
18 was confusing because there were two separate emails.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And was that 15 - is
20 that what you said, Commissioner -- 15,000 people --

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: And then you would move this
22 part back. It's basically a zig instead of a zag.

23 MS. CLARK: So no other whole Census - oh, this
24 entire Census tract can be added and still fall within
25 the deviation. Is that preferable? Or is it preferable

1 to move south by the block level here? As opposed to
2 adding this tract?

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: So the choice is this tract
4 or this tract?

5 MS. CLARK: Yes, part of this tract.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think this is testing the
7 ability of my mind to imagine here, so the line is going
8 to go...?

9 MS. CLARK: So the line could either go - this
10 red part is indicating an area that would move into the
11 ECC District, so this line would either go like this, or
12 the choice could be for the line to go like this.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: Like this, yeah. Do this, I
14 think, south.

15 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Chair, may I make an
16 observation?

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Sure, please.

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Just that I hope that we're
19 going to be able to give as much attention to everybody's
20 maps that have been submitted.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: You know, I
22 think it's the Commissioners' pleasure at this point,
23 certainly we can -- Commissioner Ontai and I can
24 implement whatever system we collectively agree to, but
25 if Commissioners have significant detail level concerns

1 with their maps, and this is the level of detail that
2 they want to proceed, then we can do that, it does mean
3 we'll be here Sunday, that we will probably put in full
4 long days, all three days, and that it will push out our
5 calendar for next week. If we feel like we're giving
6 maybe undue attention to some micro-level detail here, we
7 can implement a very consistent time policy and every
8 district gets treated equally from here on out.
9 Commissioner Raya.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, let me just -- this
11 is the context in which I am saying that. We have
12 received, I don't even know how many, I can't even read
13 them fast enough, right, all these things that keep
14 coming in, some people who have been consistently
15 involved, and I credit them for having done that, but I
16 do think we need to make some kind of a general policy
17 decision about how many times we can continue to revisit
18 areas, especially because, if we're basing it on a piece
19 of comment that's coming in now, and we've put -- not to
20 say that there couldn't be improvements, you know, we
21 could sit here for 100 years and make improvements,
22 that's for sure, but I am concerned that the entire State
23 feel, that all people feel, that they are being heard in
24 these last hours, and that everyone's micro-level changes
25 are going to at least be tried. I mean, we all have a

1 little list from our regions, so I'm just concerned about
2 how this is going to appear.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We have to strike a balance
4 between what Commissioner Raya just stated and a certain
5 degree of specificity within each of our districts, and
6 so we're trying to wrestle with a balance that allows us
7 to meet our deadline. Now, it is true, if we continue
8 this route, it appears that we're going to be here on
9 Sunday, and that's okay if that's what you want to do.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can we move on to San
11 Francisco?

12 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can I say something?

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Sure.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I would not respond if I
15 didn't think this was important because I don't want to
16 get us into the minutiae of responding to every comment,
17 but this one came in just a few minutes ago from Mr.
18 Allen Payton, as well, Commissioner Dai, your friend, it
19 says, "It appears you still have the dividing line along
20 Chadbourne Road, splitting two people's property from
21 their home, which are on either side of the road." I
22 think this is significant enough for us to at least look
23 into. "Please move the road south to Marsh Creek Road
24 and use that as a boundary if you haven't already." I
25 have no idea where this Chadbourne Road is. This is

1 entitled "PTANT vs. ECC," so maybe a Google search?

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Maybe we should send --
3 what's his name again?

4 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Peter Payton - no, Allen
5 Payton.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: We actually had directed Q2
7 to make that change, though. Let's just double-check it
8 really quickly because he probably can't see what we're
9 seeing live here. He had suggested moving the line to
10 Briones Valley Road or Marsh Creek Road, and I believe
11 that we moved those tracks. This is further south, I
12 believe. I'm sorry, Ms. Clark, it's further south. It's
13 that unpopulated area that was close to the intersection
14 of Deer Valley and Marsh Creek Road.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners, you do
16 realize that Commissioner Raya has a point if we are
17 going to go into this detail, and it does allow other
18 Commissioners to do the same in their districts.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: So where is Chadbourne Road?
20 It should be in that area.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: My request
22 for tomorrow on the part of the Commissioners is that
23 much of this homework can be done at home, and it means
24 we'll be up late tonight, but to really go to the street
25 level of detail regarding the questions and concerns that

1 you have, and come with a proposal so we don't have to
2 spend as much time doing it as a group.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, we just fixed it,
4 there's Chadbourne.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Good. Can I say this,
6 in light of what you said, Commissioner Galambos Malloy?
7 I have done that for Los Angeles, the southern part,
8 western part, and San Fernando Valley, so we can move
9 along Los Angeles really quickly. It's a large city and
10 I took the time last night to go through every detail.

11 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you.
12 Commissioner Ancheta.

13 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Just a process question
14 because I was actually working with Ms. Woods because I
15 was trying to explore some proposed changes which are
16 actually framed in terms of blocks and tracts, which of
17 course I can't really deal with on my computer. And I
18 don't know if that's the case for other Commissioners.
19 I'm merely raising the question because of maybe
20 something where we'd have to check in with Q2 to help
21 with that kind of change. If I'm unique, that's fine,
22 it's not a problem.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: You're not because my next
24 request is going to be a census block change in San
25 Francisco, which I tried to bring up on Saturday and was

1 told we could do today.

2 MS. CLARK: But if there are -- and these are
3 fairly recent proposals that have come in, which I think
4 are worth looking at and the reason I was working off
5 line was to make sure I wasn't tying up the Commission as
6 a whole, the time wasn't taken up. But I raise the
7 question simply because it might come up with other
8 Commissioners regarding their regions.

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Thank you.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, is this okay? Let's
11 move on.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, so the suggestion here
13 was to move Census Tract 6026 from WSFDC, while the
14 remainder of 6026 -- move it from NSNMT into WSFDC and
15 for people who want to know what that is, that's
16 Serramonte. This was a suggestion -

17 MS. MAC DONALD: Okay, so do you have the
18 boundaries of the tract? Because that's easier for us to
19 pull up, actually.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: I don't have the boundaries,
21 I just have the tract number, but I know that Ms. Alon
22 had identified this tract before, so I don't know if she
23 passed that information on to Ms. Clark. But it's in
24 Serramonte if that's helpful. This does not affect the
25 deviation. I mean, it's within the deviation. And just

1 acknowledge that we did receive a lot of input about the
2 Assembly line split in San Francisco from -

3 MS. SARGIS: Time.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: New topic.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We're going to try a new
6 approach, all right? We're going to try to allot five
7 minutes per district as we go down, so we're going to try
8 to get as much time as possible from each of the
9 Commissioners and it may vary from one or two minutes, or
10 whatever, but we're not going to exceed five minutes per
11 district. Let's try that out and see where we go with
12 that.

13 MS. CLARK: Could you please repeat the Tract
14 number?

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: Tract 6026.

16 MS. CLARK: And it's in the City of San
17 Francisco?

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, it's in Daly City if
19 Serramonte is Daly City.

20 MS. CLARK: Here is 6026, to move it into...?

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Into WSFDC from NSNMT. And
22 this is to -- that area is primarily Filipino and they
23 just said that better unifies the community there.

24 MS. CLARK: So that's a 3,700 person change and
25 both districts will still fall within the deviation.

160

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: They said 3,742, they said.

2 I didn't have any other changes to the Peninsula
3 Districts.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Any others? All
5 right, let's make that change and move on.

6 MS. CLARK: So are there any other districts in
7 the Peninsula or East Bay that we need to look at?

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: I don't think so.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Looks good.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Were there
11 any in the San Jose area, Commissioner Ancheta?

12 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I don't know if Ms.
13 Woods had completed what I had asked her. She's still
14 working on it, if I could come back? Well, there is one
15 change which the LGBT group suggested, which I -- I'm a
16 little concerned because it seems like a number of the
17 districts are under-populated, so if we move it, I'm
18 concerned about a deviation change, but I can --

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Well, let's go there, then.
20 Your pointer would be helpful.

21 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: So if you could zoom in,
22 this would basically be around the intersection of
23 Highway 880 and Stevens Creek Boulevard.

24 MS. CLARK: What district would that fall into,
25 please?

1 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: It's going to be the
2 intersection of SANJO and SILIV.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Do you have your pointer?

4 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes, so the
5 recommendation is to extend this boundary here -- I'm
6 sorry, where are we -- here, I'm sorry, this again, I'm
7 trying to incorporate or keep together some LGBT
8 neighborhoods.

9 MS. MAC DONALD: We're going to turn on a
10 layer, just one second.

11 MS. CLARK: So these blocks of color are the
12 EQCA boundaries?

13 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Yes, so actually this is
14 from the local group, which I think we extend the
15 boundaries further out to basically run along here if
16 that's right, this area, so it would run along 880 here
17 -- no, you extend out this way, so the boundary would run
18 along 880 to Bascom, and south on Bascom to Stevens
19 Creek, and then go east on Stevens Creek, so it would be
20 here.

21 MS. CLARK: Would you also want to include this
22 area?

23 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I don't see this in the
24 proposal for what they're suggesting. It may cut here,
25 I'm not sure. It seems to be running along 880.

1 MS. CLARK: Would you prefer to include 880 or
2 to just fall south of 880?

3 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Just follow the -- all
4 south, just use that as the north -- diagonal boundary,
5 rather. And within the proposal, there isn't a
6 suggestion for an exchange, so I don't have a particular
7 proposal. It might be within the deviation.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So how do we do that if we
9 don't have an exchange?

10 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Well, if it's within the
11 deviation, you don't have to have an exchange.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, so how's the
13 deviation?

14 MS. CLARK: One moment, please. It will under-
15 populate the SILIV District by 1.36 percent.

16 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Okay, well, I don't have
17 any -- Commissioner Dai, any thoughts? Again, I was only
18 willing to entertain that if it kept us within the
19 deviation range.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think we've got the core
21 area, so we looked at it and it's too high of a
22 deviation.

23 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I'd like to come back to
24 the district because Ms. Woods is working on some
25 revisions, if I could come back when she is done with

1 those?

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, we'll come back.

3 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's move on.

5 MS. CLARK: Are there any other districts in
6 the Bay Area that the Commission would like to look at?
7 Okay, if we could return to perhaps the FOOTHILL
8 District? In Placer County, the City of Auburn and the
9 Census Place, Newcastle, are included. Again, there is a
10 zero population city split for a non-contiguous block in
11 the City of Auburn. El Dorado County is included in this
12 and intact with the exception of El Dorado Hills and
13 Cameron Park. And after that, it's just whole counties
14 including Mono County and the southern border goes to
15 Madera County, which is intact.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Questions.

17 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I'll just say for this
18 area, we had split Lake Tahoe is the one place we'd
19 split, but we figured there wasn't a sensible split
20 between Northern Lake Tahoe, North and South Lake Tahoe,
21 and also I haven't heard anything negative about -- in
22 this case, we have the County of Madera whole, the valley
23 and the Foothills. I also did look in terms of there are
24 of course a lot of national parks down there, but based
25 on -- we just followed the county lines and it didn't

164

1 seem to be anything that was -- any negative feedback or
2 anything that looked like it wasn't acceptable. I also
3 will say, as we go through this area, some of the splits
4 that happen in, let's say, Fresno and Bakersfield, and
5 even in Modesto, well, a lot of those I didn't look at
6 very closely because those are kind of pre-determined
7 based on Section 5 issues. So, we haven't actually
8 really gotten that many comments on those splits, but
9 just to give you a heads up that I don't think we have a
10 lot of wiggle room for line adjustments in those cities.
11 So I think that's it for that one.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Thank you. Commissioners?
13 All right, so looks good? Let's move on.

14 MS. CLARK: I would like to look at the
15 Stockton District, S-T-K-T-N, it includes the entire city
16 of Stockton, unincorporated areas west of Stockton in the
17 Delta area, the City of Tracy is also included, intact.
18 There are no city or census place splits.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I have just a couple
20 of suggestions, one is, if you go up into this area up
21 here, right there, there is a small Lodi Airport -- Air
22 Park -- I think it's in this area; I would suggest that,
23 since Lodi is mostly a 99 community, maybe moving this
24 line away from the 5 and picking up the Airport to put it
25 with Lodi. I don't think there is a lot of population

165

1 there. I could ask Ms. Clark about that.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's check it out.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: To make that easy
4 switch? I would defer to her on how far down that Air
5 Park goes.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Di Guilio,
7 while they are doing that, there was a proposed change
8 from CAPAFR regarding the Hmong community in the Fresno
9 FSEC, too. Did you have a chance to look at that one?

10 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Did that come directly
11 to our email or I was looking at Public Accounts. Oh --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think both. This was a
13 couple days ago.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Well, if you have it
15 handy, when we go back down there, maybe you can bring
16 that up. We'll see how much wiggle room we have with Ms.
17 Clark in terms of adjusting those boundaries.

18 MS. CLARK: So the Air Park is right here. If
19 we don't make any switches, then this STKTN District is
20 under-populated 1.06 percent, which means that we could
21 make the switch by removing some of this area, this gray
22 Census Block is part of the City of Lodi, it's a two-
23 person population would be a split, a City split.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I mean, I am going to
25 go out on a limb here and say it that that would probably

1 be okay, but I guess it would be listed as a split. I
2 think that the point is that this thing on that Lodi,
3 it's really more of a 99 corridor city than a 5 corridor,
4 so I think they'd probably prefer to kind of pick up
5 south and bring that line in from the west, going east.
6 I'm going to take liberties here.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, it's a two-person
8 split, it's probably some kind of outpost.

9 MS. CLARK: I believe it's a water treatment
10 facility, also, right there. Just one moment while I
11 clean up these blocks. So, if we move this highlighted
12 area into the STKTN District, then we'll have a negative
13 .97 percent deviation.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That works.

15 MS. SARGIS: That's five minutes on this
16 district.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. All right, looks
18 good.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think one other
20 thing I'd say is a switch between the southern districts,
21 right - there is another airport right here that's a
22 small, it's the New Jerusalem Airport that's actually run
23 through Tracy and it's on the Manteca side, gosh, I don't
24 know, do you have it there listed?

25 MS. CLARK: What's the name of that Airport,

1 please?

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think it's New
3 Jerusalem, I think that's the name of it. I had it in my
4 notes, but let me see if I can look. It's right on the
5 south side of Cassan [phon.] Road and what's this other
6 road here? Cassan [phon.] Road runs kind of north-south
7 with a slight twist. Let me see if I can see where it is
8 on there.

9 MS. CLARK: What was the name of the road,
10 please?

11 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: It's right between, if
12 you kind of look at -- let's see the 5, hold on, okay so
13 if you look on the 5 -- it's just east of the 5, north of
14 Durham Ferry Road. So it's probably somewhere right
15 about there. I don't know if you can make that swap over
16 there. That's right, try to put it into the Tracy
17 District. Right now, it's with Stanislaus County.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, so are we talking
19 about a new district or the same district, or are we
20 looking at two districts together?

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: No, well, I think we
22 may be under-populated in Stockton, so let me see if
23 we're under here too?

24 MS. CLARK: I believe it's in this highlighted
25 area right about here and with this move, then both

1 districts affected will still fall in the deviation.

2 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

4 COMMISSIOENR DI GIULIO: Okay, and I would just
5 make a note that we did get some public comments again
6 about Lathrope wanting to be in this district, but we
7 made a decision to include more of Metropolitan Stockton
8 instead of picking up another city. And then the
9 district to the south, I don't know if Ms. Clark wants to
10 set it up, but that was the Modesto, the one thing we
11 looked at was the Modesto split and I believe she worked
12 with Commissioner Blanco on leveling that out, she might
13 be able to speak to that better.

14 MS. CLARK: So the STNSJ District is Eastern
15 San Joaquin County, including Lathrope and Manteca, and
16 also eastern Stanislaus County. There is the city split
17 in Modesto. Commissioner Blanco sent me the names of
18 neighborhoods. I believe that all of them are included
19 in this West Modesto and Ceres area, neither of which are
20 split. And then I cleaned up the split in Modesto per
21 Commission direction.

22 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Sounds good, I didn't
23 have any other recommendations here.

24 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Let's move
25 on.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right.

2 MS. CLARK: Next is the MRCEED, Merced Section
3 5 District, it is the entire County of Merced and West
4 Stanislaus County.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, I didn't have
7 any, again, Merced was set, it's along its boundaries,
8 and the City of Modesto, we looked at in terms of a
9 responsible split.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's move on.

11 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Did Mr.
12 Brown have anything to add?

13 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: Based on the benchmark
14 comparative data, the Districts are fine and consistent
15 with what we've talked about.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Thank you. Next.

17 MS. CLARK: Next is the district FSEC2, it is
18 West Sacramento County.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Stanislaus County,
20 sorry.

21 MS. CLARK: Or West Fresno County. And it
22 includes -- there is a city split in the City of Fresno,
23 it's the southern areas of the City of Fresno.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: So I'll go ahead and read
25 the proposed change. It says, "Please include more of

170

1 the Hmong refugee community by including the blocks south
2 of East McKinley Avenue and north of East Kings Canyon
3 Avenue and west of Fowler Avenue.”

4 MS. CLARK: Is that in the City of Fresno?

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: In the FSEC2 District. I
6 believe it is.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Just pull it up so you can
8 get the streets.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: [READING:] “This
10 Visualization continues to split part of the neighborhood
11 bounded by Temperance, Jensen, First and Shields
12 Avenues.”

13 MS. CLARK: So this is Shields Avenue.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay. So it’s within that
15 neighborhood. Blocks south of McKinley. There’s
16 McKinley. North of East Kings Canyon.

17 MS. CLARK: South of McKinley.

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: North of East Kings Canyon
19 Avenue and west of Fowler Avenue.

20 MS. CLARK: So this is East Kings Canyon.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, now we need to find
22 Fowler. So Fowler, we’re looking for north South Street.

23 MS. CLARK: This is Fowler.

24 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, so let me read it one
25 more time. “Include the blocks south of East McKinley

1 Avenue, north of East Kings Canyon Avenue, and west of
2 Fowler Avenue into the FSEC2 District." And then they
3 have a population exchange here. [READING:] "Place
4 block south of West Shields Avenue and north of West
5 Clinton Avenue into the Fresno District to balance
6 population." And they said this maintains the Latino
7 CVAP.

8 MS. CLARK: That's what I was looking at.
9 Where is the -- I'm sorry.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: The exchange is "place
11 blocks south of West Shields Avenue, north of West
12 Clinton Avenue, and between North Marks and North Fruit
13 Avenue into the..."

14 MS. CLARK: So this is Marks and this is
15 Shields --

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, so now we need to find
17 North Fruit and West Clinton. South of Shields, north of
18 West Clinton.

19 MS. CLARK: This is Clinton.

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay --

21 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So four or five
22 blocks?

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, between North Marks
24 and North Fruit. And it says, "While the neighborhood
25 would still be divided, this will help ensure that the

1 Hmong refugee community would still be able to
2 collectively advocate to a single policymaker.

3 MS. CLARK: Okay, I believe that this change
4 will be splitting a COI that we heard public testimony
5 about in Kings County, that hearing, Hanford.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: Do you remember which one?

7 MS. CLARK: Yeah, it was the API COI of South
8 Fresno.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, so this is from
10 CAPAFR, so they said that we did split the Hmong refugee
11 neighborhood, and this was an attempt to make it I guess
12 a more responsible split. Shall I read the whole thing?
13 It's just another paragraph. "CAPAFR Fresno appreciates
14 the efforts the Commission has made to keep the Hmong
15 refugee neighborhood partially in the Latino 50 percent
16 CVAP District. It includes Sanger in the farmland
17 between Fowler and Selma, where many Hmong Farmers lease
18 land; however, this Visualization continues to split part
19 of the neighborhood bounded by Temperance, Jensen, First
20 and Shields Avenue. And then we proposed the Commission
21 unify more of the Hmong refugee neighborhood as listed."
22 So I believe this is probably a refinement to their
23 original testimony since we were not able to keep it
24 completely whole.

25 MS. SARGIS: That's five minutes on this

1 district.

2 MS. CLARK: The LCVAP is still above 50
3 percent.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: And -- oh, Commissioner
5 Yao.

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, if we're finished with
7 the previous comment, the Fresno Airport is separated
8 from the City of Fresno. I don't know whether --

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That was my next
10 thing. I was just waiting for -- I want to wait until
11 Cynthia's --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Oh, I'm done.

13 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Oh, are you done?
14 Okay, well, there you go, as Commissioner Yao said, there
15 is a split right on the border there and I think that -
16 it's right there, it belongs with the City of Fresno,
17 even though there's a big part of Fresno that's still
18 there, the rest of this district goes up into the
19 Foothills and I think more likely that it probably
20 belongs with a more metropolitan part of Fresno.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's redraw the lines and
22 see what we've got.

23 MS. CLARK: Both Districts' deviation falls
24 within the plus or minus one percent and the LCVAP is
25 still above the 50 percent.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Good, very good.

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Thank you,
4 Commissioner Yao.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's move on.

6 MS. CLARK: So this District is East Fresno
7 County, including northern areas of the City of Fresno,
8 the City of Clovis, as well. And then, for population,
9 it moves into Tulare County.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay, there's two
11 things, one is I wanted to recognize we had some comments
12 right here from Orosi & Cutler, it's right here, and I
13 believe they're split from Reed, Lee and Selma over here,
14 just in the ADs. And I don't know if that's a switch,
15 they would like to be together, I don't know if that
16 affects our numbers there, if they have to be split. I
17 don't know if people know this area, if they should be
18 together or if they should be split. But there was a
19 desire to have this community be together.

20 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes. Cutler & Orosi are
21 a three mile separation, they're both very farmworker
22 oriented communities and very similar to Orangegrove,
23 which is also an agricultural community, primarily
24 farmworkers.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And do those two,

1 Cutler and Orosi, I believe, are they together because
2 they wanted to come up here into this district.

3 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes, I think they wanted
4 to be part of the -- would that take them into the FSE2?

5 MS. CLARK: That is -- that would be
6 approximately a 13,000-person switch. I believe you
7 would have to split the City of Dinuba to make up for
8 that and this - oh, I'm sorry, you would have to split
9 West Sacramento County, so it would -- sorry, West Fresno
10 County, it would put Fresno County into three different
11 Assembly Districts, and perhaps would have this FSEC2's
12 LCVAP percent fall below 50 percent.

13 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay, then, this is a
15 reminder then, that for Senate and Assembly, I believe
16 all the Cutler & Orosi, Orangegrove, are all together for
17 the other districts, but I did want to say that we did
18 look at that.

19 The next one would be Woodlake, I believe, it's
20 kind of - yeah, Ms. Clark probably knows. Yeah, this
21 area here wanted to be in the Tulare side, so I don't
22 know if that's --

23 MS. CLARK: Woodlake is included.

24 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Oh, the whole thing
25 is? Okay, I guess I couldn't tell on my map, okay.

1 Okay, I think that's it for this district, yeah.

2 MS. CLARK: Okay, moving on, please, to the
3 Kings District, this is a Section 5 District. It's all
4 of Kings County, Northwest Kern, and then the following
5 I-5 and going up to grab the Farmworker communities in
6 Southeast Bakersfield and just outside of Bakersfield
7 here.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: There it is.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Di Giulio.

10 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And again, actually, I
11 haven't really found too many comments on this one way or
12 the other, but this is one where we already deliberately
13 made choices about where to split, so to meet our Section
14 5.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Commissioner Brown,
16 any comment?

17 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: I believe this district
18 has been stable for quite a few iterations now.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's move on.

20 MS. CLARK: Okay, I guess, again, if we look
21 closely at this district's TLRE, it's all of Inyo County
22 and then a majority of Tulare County, along the 99
23 Corridor, and also incorporates part of northeastern
24 Kern, or I guess Central North Kern.

25 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And this was that we

1 did get a couple of comments on this area right here, I
2 don't know what the population is, but they wanted to be
3 linked with Bakersfield, so I don't know if that's a
4 population shift that can be incorporated into the
5 Bakersfield District.

6 MS. CLARK: Even just moving this area that is
7 currently highlighted puts both districts past the plus
8 or minus one percent deviation.

9 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Chair? Jamie,
11 you might remember this, we had this discussion about
12 this area and it might have been at a time where we saw
13 that there was a population difference between the two
14 districts. Can you refresh my recollection? Do you
15 recall that discussion? We've come back to this area, I
16 think, two times and I think it was more of a population
17 issue.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And I do believe, just
19 as a reminder, this area is similar to the Cutler &
20 Orosi, this is where they would like to be in the Senate
21 and the Congressional, so this would be one of those
22 where we could split the community and have part of it
23 in, or we could leave it together and know that we've
24 made that in the other iterations.

25 MS. CLARK: Yes, and I believe that, since

1 previous versions of this district, the line used to be
2 further south, and I believe it was moved up for
3 population as much as possible, without any Census place
4 splits.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: So, unless someone has
6 a suggestion, I feel for that large it would cause
7 problems with the deviation on both sides. In looking
8 around, I don't see a split that wouldn't affect either a
9 Section 5 county or on the very eastern side there. If
10 you get into Ridgecrest, we could cut out Ridgecrest.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner --

12 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Just kidding.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Of course.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Would it make any sense
15 to add -- because Onyx is on here all by itself -- would
16 it make sense to put that in with these other
17 communities?

18 MS. CLARK: Sure. Let's take a look at that.
19 I believe that that's actually what I moved out per
20 Commission direction. And the District TLRE would fall
21 out of .9 percent deviation. Both of them are within the
22 plus or minus one percent deviation range.

23 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I would say that's a
24 good inclusion, then, to include them with the rest.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Excellent.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: The only thought I had was
2 there's a green space next to that?

3 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: That's actually
4 deceiving because it's actually the tail end of the
5 entire Sequoia National Forest, so even though you only
6 see green, the whole northern part is actually green, as
7 well. So I'm not sure if we want to just include that
8 going north, or yeah.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Well, just to be
10 consistent, we may as well.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I think the one
12 advantage also is that Highway 178 is more together.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And there's
14 another little area showing up right here and then over -
15 but this doesn't have any designation, but the rest of
16 the green flows down this way. And it looks like it's
17 all contiguous.

18 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Ms. Clark, before on
19 that line, were we following the county line, or was it
20 just --

21 MS. CLARK: Sorry, the line that just moved?

22 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: Uh huh.

23 MS. CLARK: No.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, is that
25 acceptable?

1 MS. CLARK: Sorry, Commissioner Filkins Webber,
2 what area were you speaking to?

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: There appears to
4 be more of a green area that extends here, comes around,
5 and comes up right in there, seems to be that area. Let's
6 see, it's probably Census Block -- that one and that one,
7 right -- that would cover it, I don't know how many
8 people are there.

9 MS. CLARK: One moment, please. Including that
10 area would move the deviation to a 1.02 percent.

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, just
12 the green. We probably don't need that, and those are
13 zeros anyway, but...

14 MS. CLARK: Okay, that puts the population at
15 one percent deviation.

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think this part, though,
17 is key. Right?

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yes, and there's
19 zero, if I recall.

20 MS. CLARK: This is 36 and that is 1.01.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, well, this
22 I don't believe is part of the green part. There's a
23 separation, oddly enough, just on the map.

24 MS. CLARK: .96 percent. Is that the change?

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It appears to be

1 consistent for the lines for Sequoia National Forest.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's make the
3 change, then, and move on.

4 MS. CLARK: Could we please move to the San
5 Luis Obispo area? This SOLSB is all of San Luis Obispo
6 County and Northern Santa Barbara County, down the 101,
7 it includes Santa Maria and Orcutt, also Lompoc and
8 Brandenburg Air Force Base. There is a zero population
9 city split in the City of Lompoc, there is this
10 noncontiguous block here. Would you like me to try and
11 include it?

12 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Is there any population
13 there? Probably not.

14 MS. CLARK: Yeah, it's a zero population.

15 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, that would be
16 good.

17 MS. CLARK: Yeah, zero population. So to
18 include it?

19 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.

21 MS. CLARK: Okay, yeah, both districts would
22 fall within their deviation.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, Commissioners.

24 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: It's driven, of course,
25 by the population, the county line with Monterey, which

1 is Section 5. Pretty straightforward, there is no
2 changes besides what Jamie just did, there are no real
3 changes.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's move on.

5 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is this a county line right
6 here?

7 MS. CLARK: No, that is a Census Block line. I
8 previously received direction to include as much of the,
9 I guess, more Santa Barbara oriented area of Los Padres
10 National Forest, which is this green area, into the SBWVE
11 District, and that's just where the Census Blocks fall.

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's make the
14 change and move on.

15 MS. CLARK: Next is the SBWVE District, it's a
16 majority of Santa Barbara County, as well as Northern
17 Ventura County, the 126 corridor is included in this
18 Visualization, the City of Moore Park is not included,
19 and the City of Oxnard is split.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments.

21 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes, we received some
22 testimony earlier, which I can read today, and we've
23 gotten this before about El Rio. So, that they should be
24 with the Oxnard community, the South Oxnard community,
25 and I would agree with that. Given that El Rio has a

1 Mixtecos population that works with -- that goes along
2 with the Oxnard Farmworker community. So, I'm not sure
3 what the population is of that little block right there.

4 MS. CLARK: It's 7,200.

5 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah. I think if you
6 move it to the right a little bit, move the map to the
7 right, I think that if we were to draw the line -- over
8 to the left again, please.

9 MS. CLARK: If it was east and west, then which
10 way?

11 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Move it to the west.
12 There we go. So I think that this is Wells Road right
13 here, this is Rose Avenue right here, within the Oxnard
14 Union High School District, there is a high school right
15 there, El Rio High School, which should probably be over
16 here, so this is largely Farmworker area, agricultural
17 area, actually unpopulated, so if we were to draw a line
18 like here to Vineyard Avenue, this is Wright Road -- I
19 think it's Wright Road, so if you were to kind of
20 continue that, there's hardly any population at all
21 there, so that would incorporate the El Rio area, which
22 if we were to do that, if you move it this way so that I
23 can -- then we could probably pick up the population
24 again -- this is Gonzales Road here, Oxnard High School
25 which is part of this district over here is right here,

1 so if we were to come down Vineyard Avenue, which is
2 right here, and go down right here to about right there,
3 we could probably make up the population there. I'm not
4 sure, it's pretty dense over here, these are large lots
5 there, so I'm not sure whether the population would work
6 out, so you might want to try it, this is the area I'm
7 talking about.

8 MS. CLARK: Yeah, let's try it. So you would
9 like to move this -- should I include this tract in this
10 area?

11 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah. The Airport is
12 right here, so we don't want to include this area because
13 this is part of the Oxnard area here. So I'm looking
14 more at this right here.

15 MS. CLARK: Okay. The SBWVE District is still
16 under-populated by 5,000 people below the ideal value.
17 If we could pick up about a thousand more people?

18 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Commissioner Aguirre,
19 what about the coastal area?

20 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, this area here
21 going down along the beach is very similar to this right
22 here, so this area like this and this are pretty common
23 in terms of income, these are high end homes along the
24 Marina there, so I think if we could pick that up, that
25 might do it.

1 MS. CLARK: Yes, both districts fall within the
2 deviation.

3 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: So I would, to
4 accommodate the El Rio inclusion with this area, I would
5 recommend that we make this move.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right. Comments?
7 Commissioner Di Guilio.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: I was just curious,
9 Commissioner Aguirre, just to make it look maybe a little
10 better, should we -- there is a little bit here, I mean,
11 not that it matters, but I'm just wondering if we want to
12 do a little cleanup? I don't know if that's really an
13 area that belongs with El Rio or if it belongs.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's have a short
15 conversation on that.

16 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, that would be
17 fine.

18 MS. CLARK: So to include this area with El
19 Rio?

20 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, just to clean that
21 up.

22 MS. SARGIS: That's five minutes on this
23 district.

24 MS. CLARK: How's that? Should I also include
25 this area?

1 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: If we can accommodate it
2 for population, I think that would be fine.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Then I just have
4 one other question. If we could just move back west to
5 the change that was recommended by Commissioner Aguirre?
6 Right there at the bottom line, I don't know what the
7 street is, but you're separating according to this map
8 the area called Channel Islands from Channel Islands
9 Harbor, and the Channel Islands Beach, so I don't know if
10 that's important or what population difference there
11 might be if you made that whole.

12 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yeah, there's houses
13 here, of course, that have boat landings, so because
14 they're large homes there, there's not really that much
15 population here, so perhaps if we wanted to keep this
16 together, we could do it like this.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's see the numbers.

18 MS. CLARK: Yes, both districts will fall
19 within the deviation.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Great. Is that all right?
21 Commissioner Yao.

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: Commissioner Aguirre, I
23 think you mentioned that there's an airport right here
24 that should be part of the community down here?

25 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes, yes, so probably

1 should be -- because the flyover, of course, is like
2 here, like this way, you could do this right here. And,
3 of course, there is no population on there, other than a
4 few commercial businesses and the Airport.

5 MS. CLARK: Okay, if there are no further
6 changes to SBWVE, then the EVENT District includes El
7 Rio, Oxnard, Port Huneme, Camarillo, Moore Park, Santa
8 Rosa Valley, Thousand Oaks, Oak Park, and West Lake
9 Village.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right?

11 COMMISSIONER AGUIRRE: Yes.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

13 MS. CLARK: Okay, I believe there are two more,
14 the Monterey Districts and then Southern California.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, good, let's make
16 the change and move on.

17 MS. CLARK: Okay, the WMONT District here
18 includes the Monterey Bay intact. I believe there may be
19 a very small split in Carmel Valley Village, a 46 percent
20 split.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Should that park there be
22 included?

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Please fix the split.

24 MS. CLARK: I did, thanks.

25 COMMISSIONER FORBES: There are two identified

1 parks, there is one, and then the one down here, right
2 there. That should be included in the district. Is
3 there any population?

4 MS. CLARK: I'm going to make the changes and
5 then look at the pending changes to make sure that this
6 isn't going to affect the Fact numbers for this district,
7 as it is a Section 5 District. Okay, so this District is
8 WMONT and MONT with this change can still accommodate the
9 benchmark Latino VAP numbers. Should I make these
10 changes?

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I would.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, yes, let's
13 proceed.

14 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: Can I just ask what the
15 new Latino VAP numbers are in each?

16 MS. CLARK: For WMONT, it is 19.88 percent, and
17 for MONT, it is 61.2 percent.

18 VRA ATTORNEY BROWN: Okay, thanks. And almost
19 exactly the same.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: For consistency, can this
21 park go down with this park here? I don't know what that
22 does. That's a State Park, yeah, that piece right there.

23 MS. CLARK: So this is the Census Block.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: You'd have to add this
25 in, too, you can't make it non-contiguous. I don't think

1 that works as well because you're almost cutting this
2 off, too. I don't think that consolidation works as
3 well, I wouldn't do it.

4 MS. CLARK: So is --

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I think I'd feel more
6 comfortable with the way they are.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I think it's better the
8 way it is now, thank you.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right. Commissioners?
10 All right, let's move on.

11 MS. CLARK: Okay, next is the MONT District,
12 San Benito County, and then the Gilroy through Morgan
13 Hill 101 Corridor, and Watsonville and Santa Cruz County,
14 and then the 101 Corridor from Salinas all the way south
15 to the Monterey San Luis Obispo border.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners? Looks good?

17 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I have no
18 recommendations.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, looks good.
20 Let's move on. Is that it?

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Is
22 Commissioner Ancheta and Ms. Woods, are they ready to
23 show -- or would you need to confer over the break?

24 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I should confer with her
25 first to see what they look like. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's take a 10-
2 minute break and, when we get back, we'll start off with
3 Commissioner Ancheta's revisit to his district.

4 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: At this
5 point I would encourage Commissioners to extend their
6 hotel reservations through Saturday night. We have
7 arranged a plan for Sunday to have Q2's team here with us
8 so that we are able to go to this level of detail with
9 all the districts for all the State.

10 (Recess at 3:50 p.m.)

11 (Reconvene at 4:07 p.m.)

12 *[Reporter's primary recorder data card malfunctions for*
13 *approximately one minute, repaired, but about one minute*
14 *of audio is missing. As observed by Reporter, the Chair*
15 *reconvenes the meeting at 4:07 and introduces*
16 *Commissioner Ancheta]*

17 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: So, basically, these are
18 rather small changes designed to keep particular
19 neighborhoods together, specifically the Evergreen area,
20 the Rose Garden neighborhood, and the City of Santa
21 Clara. Although, I should note for the record that at
22 least the elected officials in the City of Santa Clara
23 don't particularly like going with the Alameda County
24 folks, but we made a decision, I think, which I think is
25 the correct one, to put them in that district.

1 But again, there's some disagreement about
2 where Santa Clara ought to go.

3 But in any case, what the CAPAFR proposal would
4 do is try to keep the city whole and then the city in the
5 district.

6 So, Ms. Woods, I think you missed some
7 highlight where those changes and revisions were. And
8 again, that's sort of the block level.

9 MS. WOODS: So, the blue line is the line of
10 the changed block, so here you can see there's a burgundy
11 line with the changes that extended out here into MLPTS.

12 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: And that would be the
13 Evergreen area.

14 MS. WOODS: And then over here the San Jose
15 district was extended into the Silicon Valley District
16 along the blue line, and then it was pushed back here
17 into San Jose from Silicon Valley.

18 And then up here, this triangle was pushed out
19 the San Jose district into the MLPTS district.

20 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Okay. And, again, for
21 specifics I'd refer you to the e-mail. So, I think these
22 changes were one, to make sure that the population
23 balanced.

24 There was one, I think one small tension
25 between the LGBT proposal, which had the Rose Garden not

1 whole, but had a chunk of it in the San Jose, the SANJO
2 District, I think in terms of just keeping a neighborhood
3 together we -- the recommendation is to keep the
4 neighborhood together rather than split it, which is why
5 the CAPAFR proposal I think ought to be adopted on that
6 angle.

7 There's more to the CAPAFR proposal but it
8 does -- it would affect the Monterey District and I would
9 recommend not pursuing any changes that affect the
10 Monterey District at this point.

11 But again, these are I think small, but helpful
12 changes that affect these two districts.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, so what
14 direction do you want to give the mappers, or what advice
15 would you want to give to Commissioners?

16 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I would recommend
17 incorporating the changes that are on the screen.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: As long as the population
19 equals out.

20 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: I believe they do and
21 Ms. Woods can confirm that.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Or you've got a deviation
23 within that framework. Okay. All right. Is that all
24 right with the Commission?

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can we get

1 some hands from the Commissioners?

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Raise your hands. Five, I
3 need five, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven. All
4 right, let's move forward. Let's make the change and go
5 forward.

6 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Great, thank you.

7 MS. WOODS: I'm going to need a few moments to
8 make those changes.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

10 MS. WOODS: Thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: With that I
13 can provide a brief update on where we're at with
14 scheduling. We have certain districts we need to move
15 through today. We only have Ms. Woods available for
16 today and so both at the Assembly and Senate level we at
17 least need to get through her districts.

18 We have added Sunday to our line-drawing
19 session, so we've extended a day. Q Team has a team in
20 place that will also have Northern and Southern
21 California capacity.

22 We will still be working long days, so long as
23 we're productive, because we do need to wrap up on
24 Sunday. In order to meet our deadlines next week, we
25 would not want to extend this past.

1 Assuming we finish Sunday evening, we will
2 still be able to meet our goals of coming back next week
3 for a business meeting and have in hand our revised maps
4 to review on Thursday afternoon, meaning that we could
5 still move forward with formal consideration of the maps
6 on Friday morning, and a press conference on Friday
7 afternoon.

8 COMMISSIONER RAYA: A question?

9 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Commissioner
10 Raya?

11 COMMISSIONER RAYA: So, are you saying that
12 then we would not meet on Wednesday, we would meet
13 starting Thursday p.m.?

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We will
15 still need a full day's Business meeting, so we'll likely
16 start our Business meeting on Wednesday. Still
17 finalizing the agenda, it may be a whole day and then
18 maybe half-day Wednesday afternoon and then moving into
19 Thursday morning. So, we'll be able to make that call
20 sometime in the next 24 hours. But definitely plan on
21 being here Wednesday night, Thursday night next week,
22 hopefully going home on Friday. And have your hotel
23 extended through Saturday night for this weekend.

24 MS. WOODS: So, those changes are completed.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, and what are the

1 numbers?

2 MS. WOODS: The deviation for the Silicon
3 Valley District is .09 percent. The deviation for the
4 San Jose District is -.34 percent. And the deviation for
5 the MLPTS District is -.96 percent.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, it's good.
7 Commissioners, Ancheta? All right. All right, it looks
8 like we're doing okay. Good.

9 MS. WOODS: Moving to Southern California, I'd
10 like to start in San Bernardino County.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's do that.

12 MS. WOODS: If those Commissioners are
13 available?

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: No.

15 (Laughter)

16 MS. WOODS: The first district is the MISBK
17 District and it includes most of San Bernardino County,
18 it includes some of the mountain communities, like
19 Arrowhead, Big Bear City, Victorville, Apple Valley,
20 Hesperia.

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, I don't think I had any
22 changes to this district. Did you, Commissioner Filkins
23 Webber?

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, I was
25 looking at -- I thought I had some concern, at least from

196

1 a member of the public, regarding Wrightwood.

2 Commissioner Dai, this was like July 10th, 11th e-mails.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah, I don't remember a
4 comment, but I don't --

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And I can't
6 remember --

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think I sent it to you
8 because I wasn't sure exactly what the suggestion was.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I think the
10 suggestion was. Let me just see if I can find it really
11 quick, I apologize. Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: It's considered to be a
13 mountain community.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Wrightwood is
15 part of the Tri-Community, which includes nearby desert
16 communities of Phelan and Pinion Hills are proposed for
17 inclusion in the Assembly District LAAW, so this might
18 have been an old version. So, right now it's with Lytle
19 Creek instead of the community to the north of it, and
20 Phelan.

21 Is that LAW -- or I'm sorry, LAVV just to the
22 north right there? Yeah, okay.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: And it's under-populated, it
24 looks like. Is that correct, Ms. Woods?

25 MS. WOODS: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, if we added Wrightwood?
2 So, the note says, "The folks I know in Wrightwood rarely
3 come to San Bernardino for anything, Victorville,
4 Lancaster, Palmdale, yes, but not San Bernardino."

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And they were
6 recognizing they didn't have a community of interest with
7 the cities that were to the south in the SBCUC, which I
8 think includes Rancho Cucamonga.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Correct.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And again, we can
11 see that transportation comes from the back side on the
12 north side.

13 COMMISSIONER DAI: And Wrightwood's included in
14 several of these notes and they said from Big Bear to
15 Wrightwood, so that is the other -- that's the
16 conflicting community of interest testimony.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, if we --
18 well, because there is a split there with Phelan, even
19 though those two -- I think that came down to a
20 population issue.

21 MS. WOODS: I think we should be able to do
22 this without changing the deviation.

23 COMMISSIONER DAI: Great.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Any parks, open
25 space?

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, the only
2 caveat to this, when I'm looking now just at the map on
3 the website is that by doing that you're not really
4 splitting the Angeles National Forest at all because the
5 lines that we've already created run complete in along
6 the border of the Angeles National Forest.

7 So, technically, by putting Wrightwood there,
8 you might be splitting the Angeles National Forest. You
9 can see the green area there. So, that's the only other
10 caveat that might be inconsistent with what I had been
11 proposing in the north.

12 So, if any other Commissioners have a comment?
13 Again, we have very -- relatively few e-mails on this.
14 Again, it was just one or two.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners? All right,
16 it appears that we have none so we'll just go with this.
17 Okay.

18 MS. WOODS: So, I should make that change?

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's make that change and
20 let's move on.

21 COMMISSIONER YAO: Commissioner Ontai?

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes, Commissioner Yao?

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Adjacent to Wrightwood is
24 Lone Pine, it's very small -- to the east of it, it's a
25 very small -- I would hesitate even to call it a town.

1 Yeah, go towards the east. I'm sorry, go toward the
2 west, it's the other east.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Can you use a pointer?

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: I think right about there is
5 a little town called Lone Pine.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Where is it?

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: I'm sorry --

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: My God, how'd you know it
9 was there?

10 COMMISSIONER YAO: No, not Lone Pine, that's
11 something else.

12 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, Lone Pine's up
13 Highway 395, up in --

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: I got the name wrong. Big
15 Pine.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Big Pine. Oh, are we still
17 in the district?

18 MS. MAC DONALD: This is the part you usually
19 don't see because we have two monitors going because of
20 the tech problems, so this doesn't usually happen on the
21 big screen.

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: Now, Big Pine is the closest
23 city to Wrightwood and that's not close to anybody else.
24 So, if we split out Wrightwood --

25 MS. WOODS: This looks like it's farther north,

1 in Inyo County. So, I'm not -- it could be another Big
2 Pine, but it's not --

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, try Big Pines, put an
4 "s" after the Pine.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Ah, we found the little
6 gem.

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: Okay, Big Pines is really
8 the -- the closest community to it is Wrightwood, so by
9 moving Wrightwood then we basically leave Big Pines,
10 which is it's -- I would classify its community of
11 interest totally separated. So, either we do it together
12 or --

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, it's in a
14 separate county. That's in Los Angeles County.

15 COMMISSIONER YAO: Well, Wrightwood was in --

16 COMMISSIONER DAI: Wrightwood was just added.

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- a separate county as
18 well, so previously.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Wrightwood was just added.

20 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I see.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: Because of Pinion Hills,
23 because probably it makes more sense to add them there
24 than to the rest of Victor Valley.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Is this Wrightwood right

201

1 here?

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yes.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.

4 COMMISSIONER YAO: And where is Big Pine -- Big
5 Pines?

6 MS. WOODS: Google Earth brought me over here,
7 but I think that it's probably this area, this Census
8 Block of 150 people, and then right on the border is a
9 Block with 18, 10, 12, 9, 8 people.

10 COMMISSIONER YAO: So, being such a community
11 and if we're going to make a move, I would suggest at
12 least couple those two small towns together, whichever
13 way -- wherever we put them. It will probably make more
14 sense doing it that way than to leave them totally
15 isolated.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's see how we can
17 configure that.

18 MS. WOODS: So, that wouldn't affect the
19 deviations of LAAVV or LASGF.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, is that
21 acceptable?

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: There's also another
23 community called Mount Baldy.

24 COMMISSIONER YAO: That's way over, yeah.

25 MS. WOODS: We're going to deal with that

1 later.

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right.

4 MS. WOODS: So, LAAVV would come from here into
5 here, down here and over here.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Filkins
7 Webber?

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I don't have any
9 objection, it seems reasonable.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. All right, let's
11 make the change. Next.

12 MS. WOODS: The next district is SBCUC. It
13 includes part of Rancho Cucamonga, part of San
14 Bernardino, Highland, Loma Linda, and part of Mentone.

15 COMMISSIONER DAI: There was a minor change
16 proposed by a member of the public. Okay, instead of --
17 let's see, instead of taking that upper corner there, I'm
18 going to go ahead and --

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: You want to use the
20 pointer?

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: No, I'm going to go --

22 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, there's a member of
23 the public that said instead of taking this notch right
24 here into Rancho Cucamonga, proposed to take the notch
25 from the bottom of the city. And I can't really decide

203

1 as to whether he -- or that individual preferred to be in
2 one district versus the other.

3 Based on my knowledge of Rancho Cucamonga, the
4 bigger lots or the bigger houses are adjacent to the San
5 Antonio Heights up toward the northern part of it and
6 these are similar in size and property type, as compared
7 to all the other foothill cities.

8 So, as I said, I really don't -- don't know
9 that much about the bottom half of the population but, to
10 me, this upper part here makes more sense to me than the
11 other. I'm not really --

12 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioner Filkins Webber,
13 do you have an opinion on that? I believe this person,
14 member of the public who testified indicated it was next
15 to a golf course or something?

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I misplaced
17 the piece of paper that Ms. Woods has now. If you could
18 just -- can you summarize what streets he put on there,
19 again? Because I do concur with Commissioner Yao, but
20 we -- because I'm familiar with the area and when you get
21 closer to the 10 Freeway you have more -- you have
22 entertainment centers, you have the industry, insurance
23 companies and all of that right there in the lower part,
24 when you get closer. And the homes are -- actually,
25 there's not much in the way of homes when you get closer

1 to the 10 because it's mostly industry.

2 So, as you go up, you're actually going up in
3 elevation. When you get past routes -- or Route 66,
4 actually, Foothill Boulevard, then the homes get larger
5 and larger as you get closer to the foothills.

6 So, I think the original basis for our
7 recommendation in this regard was because those hills in
8 that corner go closer to the foothills and so we did it
9 that way.

10 So, can you refresh the Commission's
11 recollection on the gentleman's testimony that he
12 submitted?

13 MS. WOODS: So, his testimony includes this
14 south boundary, which is the Rancho Cucamonga City
15 boundary. And then north it's Foothill Boulevard. And
16 then to the east it's Haven Avenue, which is this street
17 right here.

18 So, this is the area, Foothill, Haven, and then
19 this is the south boundary.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, he's
21 suggesting that you put in the community south of
22 Foothill Boulevard and west of Haven which is,
23 population-wise, I suspect is not really comparable to
24 the recommendation to take out the square at the top,
25 there's higher density in the area that's closer to the

205

1 foothills as far as residential homes.

2 But is that what your -- what his testimony
3 seems to be suggesting?

4 MS. WOODS: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: I think Commissioner Yao
6 brings up a good point which is, you know, all of us get
7 lots of generous advice about moving other people, so we
8 just need to see if we find this testimony compelling.

9 MS. WOODS: The individual states that "The
10 area bordered by Foothill in the north and Haven to the
11 east has about 25,000 people, making it an even
12 exchange."

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And so, just for
14 clarification, they're suggesting that they be put into
15 the LASGF District with Upland, that 25,000 between
16 Foothill and Haven?

17 MS. WOODS: Correct.

18 COMMISSIONER YAO: In other words, this line
19 will go straight up, up along the Upland City line and
20 then this -- instead, we notch this out right here to go
21 with this district.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: And there was additional
23 testimony to put the open -- you know, to put the
24 mountains with this area, too.

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Right, to go straight up

1 here to give Upland --

2 COMMISSIONER DAI: Which we have, yeah.

3 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- its share of the -- of
4 the open space.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, Commissioners
6 want to see that? Raise your hands high.

7 COMMISSIONER YAO: Well, let's -- yeah, let's
8 make a decision on this. I don't have any real basis,
9 other than the fact that I -- my understanding is these
10 homes have more in common with the homes on the other
11 side of Covina as compared to --

12 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT SARGIS: That's five
13 minutes on this district.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: -- as compared to these
15 properties over here.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That is correct.
18 At Foothill and Haven, I actually used to work at Fourth
19 and Haven, with an insurance company, which has the
20 border district.

21 Again, there's a lot of commercial. At
22 Foothill and Haven you've got, you know, almost just a
23 few ways down like Costco.

24 So, the homes in that area are different, but
25 that's not to say that they're -- it does get to be more

207

1 industry to the east. But I concur with Commissioner
2 Yao, it's just so high densely populated with homes in
3 those Foothill Districts that have more of a community
4 interest going to the west than this area of Rancho
5 Cucamonga, which my familiarity with it, I think it would
6 need to be with most of Rancho Cucamonga.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Can I just read you the
8 justification?

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Sure.

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: It says, "Haven Avenue would
11 make an ideal eastern border. To the west of Haven are
12 working class homes, to the east is the
13 commercial/industrial area. Nestled within this area is
14 the Empire Lakes Golf Course, with the company housing
15 that differs from the rest in Southern Rancho Cucamonga."

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, I think let's -- let
17 me show -- Commissioner Raya?

18 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Oh, just before you leave
19 all the planning, if Mt. Baldy is divided in this line,
20 can you move the line to the east just enough to reunite
21 Mt. Baldy? It would be on -- then it would be entirely
22 on the west side of the line. I think it involves -- the
23 line you've drawn is right on the county line, I believe,
24 so -- and I'm sorry, Mt. Baldy is up in the --

25 COMMISSIONER YAO: Foothill.

1 COMMISSIONER RAYA: -- up in the foothills. Go
2 up, straight up.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Use your pointer, please.

4 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'm sorry. Okay, keep
5 going. Keep going and to the -- move to the east a
6 little and it should be coming up right in there
7 somewhere. Keep going north. Oh, no, sorry, you went
8 too far. It's going to be next to the county line. You
9 might have to go a little more north.

10 Shouldn't it be there?

11 COMMISSIONER YAO: It's right there.

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, yeah.

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: Mt. Baldy is right there.

14 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, again, you're
16 suggesting what?

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: If there's -- if it's
18 possible to not divide Mt. Baldy. I don't know if that's
19 possible but --

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And the
21 request was --

22 COMMISSIONER RAYA: That we did have that
23 request.

24 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And their
25 request was that they be oriented more towards the

1 Upland, Claremont, Rancho Cucamonga area.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Show of hands, I
3 need five hands to go and tell the mappers to go and look
4 at that configuration. All right.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yeah.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Please go ahead, let's see
7 how it looks like.

8 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And I'm just curious
9 how -- we're asking for five hands, instead of last week
10 it was nine hands, I'm just curious what the difference
11 is between --

12 COMMISSIONER YAO: Prerogative of the Chair.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We're down to the simple
14 majority.

15 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: The simple majority is
16 still nine.

17 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: That's still
18 nine.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I mean it's not --
20 just last week we made decisions based on nine and this
21 week we're making decisions based on five.

22 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Can we see
23 nine hands, please, for Mt. Baldy?

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Nine hands, please? All
25 right. That means when you take a break you need to be

210

1 here.

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We may start
3 asking for three Democrats, three Republicans, and three
4 decline-to-states.

5 (Laughter)

6 MS. WOODS: Is this a sufficient area or is
7 this too much? It does not affect the deviation for
8 either district. I mean, it doesn't increase the
9 deviation over one percent.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: That's probably more than
11 you need to move it but I don't -- you know.

12 MS. WOODS: Is it just this community right
13 here?

14 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah. Yes.

15 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: They were
16 referring to the population that's located there and then
17 linking that back down to the urbanized area.

18 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: So, there are 218 other
19 people there, is it fine to leave them there? I have no
20 idea. There are some people there.

21 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, it was specifically
22 the community of Mt. Baldy that asked not to be split. I
23 would imagine the other 218 are scattered around the
24 mountains.

25 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Right. Okay.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: That is the weirdest
2 configuration, yet.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: But is there
4 community of interest with Mt. Baldy as far as
5 transportation, and economic, and social interests?

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: The road leading up to Mt.
7 Baldy are both -- are either north of Claremont. It's
8 one of these two roads and then north of Upland, that
9 road right there.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The 218 that are
11 over here, they come --

12 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah, do you know that
13 area?

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: -- come down this
15 way.

16 COMMISSIONER YAO: They're scattered throughout
17 this whole big area right here.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. And we
19 haven't heard from them so --

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: You have family there?

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I have not been
22 over near any of those 218 homes. That's one place I
23 haven't been.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Is that it, is that
25 what you wanted to see? All right, let's make the

1 change, let's move on.

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just to go back,
3 just real quick to this testimony on the Rancho
4 Cucamonga, I see what the gentleman is suggestion, which
5 is just as I had said, is that this area over here where
6 you see zeros, there's no homes. This is all like
7 industry and there's a -- I can't remember the name of
8 the -- anyway, there's an auditorium, and furniture
9 stores, hotels, that nature.

10 So, he's suggesting taking out this area here
11 and putting it to the west.

12 Whereas when you get up here and you see this
13 area where we had blocked it out before, he's suggesting
14 goes over here. This area right here is, I believe,
15 Victoria Gardens, probably. Maybe not. Actually, I
16 think it might be over right there. It's a big, huge
17 shopping area that got built.

18 So, his suggestion is that this population here
19 would go more with the homes that had built in this area
20 versus this area, his familiarity with the community of
21 interest, which is industry, right here.

22 So, he's suggesting just swapping those two out
23 because this would be more familiar with the portion of
24 residential areas and communities in the Northern part of
25 Rancho Cucamonga versus where he lives in here, being

213

1 close to this industry area.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Do you want to see what it
3 looks like?

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, we've seen
5 it in the testimony, so the question is just whether we
6 are going to stick with our -- what we have heard from a
7 foothill community, which we're respecting at this point.

8 COMMISSIONER YAO: I'm open to either, but if I
9 would make a decision, I would stay with the
10 configuration that we have it, now.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Filkins Webber?

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm inclined to
13 state the same.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's move on.

15 MS. WOODS: The next district is PMOVL, it's
16 Pomona, Montclair, Ontario, Chino Hills.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, this is a Section 2
18 district and it hasn't changed in a long time.

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just because
20 we're close to it right now, I did notice some public
21 comments in the last week on Eastvale. And as we have
22 been drawing the district, and I'm only just talking
23 about it because it's close to this area here, we had
24 confirmation from the public back from -- I think as far
25 back as Claremont meetings. So, these lines that have

214

1 been drawn here are consistent with what I understand to
2 be Riverside -- I think that's Riverside County line. Is
3 it?

4 MS. WOODS: This is the Riverside County line
5 right here.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. So, that
7 means that Eastvale, as I understand it, is wholly within
8 Riverside County. So we fully respected the boundaries
9 of Eastvale and what we now understand to be the newest
10 City of Jarupa Valley which is, again, at the Riverside
11 County line, which we're respecting here.

12 Correct, Ms. Woods?

13 MS. WOODS: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Again, just
15 putting it on the record because we saw that, and I think
16 they may have been responding to earlier visualizations.
17 So, and I don't have any proposed changes to POMVL.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay. Mr. Brown, any
19 comments?

20 MR. BROWN: No, nothing further to add.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, Commissioners, looks
22 good? All right, let's move on.

23 MS. WOODS: The next district is RLTF0. It
24 includes Fontana, Bloomington, Colton, part of the City
25 of San Bernardino and Rialto, it also includes Perris.

215

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Again, this is another
2 Section 2 District. It also respects the Ebony Triangle.
3 I believe Commissioner Parvenu looked at a responsible
4 split in San Bernardino. I think there's nothing else
5 here.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Mr. Brown, comments?

7 MR. BROWN: Nothing further on this one,
8 either.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right.

10 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Curiosity, we sort of
11 chip off a piece of the forest there. Is there a --

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Where's your pointer?

13 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, what's actually
14 going on up in there?

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Lytle Creek.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay. Is that a
17 responsible split or configuration?

18 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, actually, quite a few
19 people live there.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, it's where it
21 says 0, 00.

22 MS. WOODS: So, in this green area this is 0,
23 0, 0, 0 and then in this corner there's 16, and right
24 here there's 11.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: I'd defer to the local

1 team to --

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Do you want to try to move
3 it?

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The only
5 hesitation that I might have in that regard is because
6 there is a geographic difference. The foothills start,
7 or they're kind of right up in here, and when the fire
8 took place the access for that was only on this side.
9 Even though it is all green in that area, moving it up --

10 COMMISSIONER DAI: Well, where's the rest of
11 the green right now, it's in the neighboring district,
12 correct?

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, that's
14 Angeles National Forest. There's probably some dividing
15 line between San Bernardino National Forest and Angeles
16 National Forest and I don't know exactly where that
17 division is.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, what is your choice,
19 you want to leave it the way it is?

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: I'd leave it, then.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, those 16 to
22 28 people have more, probably, of a community of interest
23 where that hand is at, going this way, because that's
24 their only access. They have no access going the other
25 direction, there's just nothing there. No roads,

217

1 nothing.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, that makes sense.

3 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, then we'll leave it.

5 Let's move on.

6 MS. WOODS: The next district is RIVJU. It
7 includes the new City of Jarupa Valley, Eastvale, Norco,
8 part of the City of Riverside, Corona, El Cerrito.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments? Commissioner
10 Filkins Webber?

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I was just
12 checking my notes. I don't believe I had any concerns
13 regarding the division of the City of Riverside,
14 primarily because we received confirmation. We did have
15 a slight difference from the draft map configuration and
16 the line where Ms. Woods' hand is at.

17 But in my familiarity with the area, as well as
18 my understanding of the citizens in that area that have
19 been watching us, I don't see that they would have -- we
20 haven't received any comments regarding where this split
21 is at, which is from Mockingbird Reserve, up across the
22 91.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, then let's move
24 on.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And then it

218

1 follows the river, which the river all the way up to the
2 county line is a distinct difference because then you
3 have Mt. Rubidoux right in here. And so there's a
4 distinct difference between the communities from downtown
5 Riverside. So, I don't have any recommended changes.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's leave it. The
7 next district.

8 MS. WOODS: The next district is MTRMV. It
9 includes part of the City of Riverside, Highgrove, Moreno
10 Valley, March Air Reserve Base, Perris, and it splits
11 Mead Valley. And I'd like to point out that it's at one
12 percent.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comment?

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is this a split here? Right
15 here. What is that?

16 MS. WOODS: Ski Land Resort.

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Probably no people. Any
18 opinion which way it goes?

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Filkins Webber?

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I was just trying
21 to figure out if -- no, actually, I don't have any
22 information on that area.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, no change, leave
24 it. The next district.

25 MS. WOODS: The next district is MJOBN. It

1 includes Murrieta, French Valley, Wildomar, Menifee,
2 Meadowbrook, El Sobrante, Woodcrest, Lakeview, Nuevo,
3 Green Acres, Winchester, and it splits the City of Hemet.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments.

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: We did the best we could
6 with that split. Do you have any thoughts on the -- do
7 you want to look at the street level there?

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I think I did. I
9 did look at it and it did not appear to be at least
10 egregious because we were at -- so close, I think, on --
11 were we close on deviation on one or the other in trying
12 to look at that?

13 Plus, we should recognize also, Commissioner
14 Dai and I have been concerned throughout the course of
15 looking at San Jacinto Valley, and Hemet, and we've
16 actually received quite a number of comments keeping the
17 two together there, and actually connecting them with
18 Coachella Valley. So, it's probably the most reasonable
19 population split that you could do.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right. So, no change,
21 let's move on.

22 MS. WOODS: The next district is BBCOH. It
23 includes the other part of Hemet, San Jacinto, Beaumont,
24 Calimesa, Yucaipa, Oak glen, Morongo Valley, Yucca
25 Valley, Joshua Tree, 29 Palms, Homestead Valley, and

1 Cabazon, Whitewater, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Indian
2 Wells and La Quinta.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: A couple of
4 things here in the Cathedral City area, if I recall
5 correctly, when I looked at it, it looked as if there --
6 you can see some of the squiggleness there. And I --
7 this was the one area where I said, oh, goodness, is this
8 really the city boundary or were we doing something for
9 population?

10 And, Ms. Woods, you confirmed that -- I think
11 if anybody is scrolling in and looking at the street
12 level here, it is a weird configuration, but that's the
13 city boundary; correct?

14 MS. WOODS: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: In fact, I think
16 we received some recent public comments concerning some
17 of these areas in the blocked areas, and so I'd like to
18 confirm at least with the members of the public that
19 we're following the Cathedral City city boundary here.
20 So, even though neighborhoods might actually be living
21 across the street from one another, I'm afraid they're in
22 a different city, oddly enough.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: In other words, they're in
24 Palm Springs?

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Correct.

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: No recommendations on this.

2 And there are no splits, correct?

3 MS. WOODS: Right. I think at this level we
4 split the -- we fixed the split at La Quinta by adding --

5 COMMISSIONER DAI: Thousand Palms.

6 MS. WOODS: -- Thousand Palms to the COACH
7 District.

8 COMMISSIONER DAI: And we did have public
9 testimony indicating that Thousand Palms, which is an
10 unincorporated area, is becoming a suburb of Cathedral
11 City.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Correct, and so
13 we wanted to minimize city splits in this configuration.

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: Okay, next.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, no change, let's
16 move on.

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm sorry, there
18 was a couple of other things I just wanted to confirm
19 with Ms. Woods on BBCOH, that's right, the district we're
20 still in; correct?

21 Making sure that the -- I think this district
22 contained the 29 Palms, and we confirmed that the airport
23 is with them?

24 MS. WOODS: Yes, so what I did was I included
25 this area east of Thousand Palms because, if you look,

222

1 the airport's in this area here, and I also included
2 these communities because it was -- looked like sort of a
3 spillover from the actual city of --

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: 29 Palms.

5 MS. WOODS: So, this is -- so, instead of just
6 including the airport, I expanded over here.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Great.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Filkins Webber?

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, I was --
10 that's fine, that seems to be consistent with what I
11 was -- what I had a concern about.

12 The only other question that I had was, let's
13 see if we can find it, the northern part of that district
14 to the west, so moving over. Keep going, I think it's
15 right there. What was going on right in here, which I
16 think was the Highway 38. Yeah, right in there. Was
17 this a population because I think -- or was this a
18 Redlands City issue? Because what we have to recognize,
19 I think, was there some Redlands --

20 COMMISSIONER DAI: Islands.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: -- Islands in the
22 Census Place of Mentone? So, I didn't know what was
23 happening right here and whether we could pull it up to
24 the 38 or not?

25 Because when you zoom in this is a little

1 square and I don't know that I can see anybody living
2 there or that it was consistent with any street.

3 MS. WOODS: So, Mentone is split because this
4 is the Highland Islands.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, Highland
6 Islands.

7 COMMISSIONER DAI: Highland Islands.

8 MS. WOODS: So --

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: Were there also Redlands
10 Islands?

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, once --

12 MS. WOODS: So, this is part of the City of
13 Redlands, this --

14 COMMISSIONER DAI: It is a Redlands Island.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, that's a city boundary
16 line?

17 MS. WOODS: Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Wow. Okay.
19 Well, that explains that. And Redland wants to be whole.

20 Okay, then I wouldn't have any recommendations
21 as long as we're maintaining the City of Redlands border
22 there. I just wanted to have clarification on that,
23 thank you.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, no change, let's
25 move on.

1 MS. WOODS: The next district is the COACH
2 District and it includes Desert Hot Springs, Garnet,
3 Desert Edge, Thousand Palms, Cathedral City, Desert
4 Palms, Indio, Coachella, Oasis, Mecca, and all of
5 Imperial County.

6 COMMISSIONER DAI: I don't think there were any
7 changes to this district.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, I thought I
9 did have -- let me make sure. I'm going to run through
10 my notes just really quick.

11 We already made -- well, there was some, I
12 think, concern. Let me make sure I have this straight,
13 we put Thousand Palms in there so then we didn't -- and
14 we still had the split at Desert Hot Springs, and then we
15 had a little split with La Quinta.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's pull up that section.
17 Let's pull up that section.

18 MS. WOODS: So, by adding Thousand Palms to the
19 COACH District we were able to keep La Quinta intact in
20 BBCOH and Desert Hot Springs intact in COACH.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER DAI: So, everybody's whole.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. And
24 then -- okay, and I think the only other recommendation I
25 had was a neighborhood that was up here, Harvest Moon

1 Road, and that got fixed, correct?

2 MS. WOODS: Correct.

3 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It was an odd
4 situation where Little Harvest Moon Road people were left
5 out and I think there was literally no population around
6 them, so we put them in with Desert Hot Springs.

7 Otherwise, no other recommendations because
8 then we're at the county line, I believe.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Mr. Brown, any comments?

10 MR. BROWN: No, I think you've done a very good
11 job in this area, that you all will recall we concluded
12 it wasn't -- we did not decide to make this a Section 2
13 area. But I don't have any further comments.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, no change, let's
15 move on.

16 MS. WOODS: The next district is ISAND. It
17 includes Idyllwild, and the Lake Riverside, Aguanga, in
18 Riverside County and moves south into Eastern San Diego
19 County, including Borrego Springs, Ramona, San Diego
20 Country Estates, Lakeside, Alpine, Descanso, Crest,
21 Potrero, Camp, Jacumba, and goes all the way down to the
22 California/Mexico border. It also includes El Cajon,
23 Santee, Rancho San Diego.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Now, on this one here you
25 made some changes, right? Or did we make any changes

1 after --

2 MS. WOODS: No changes since --

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: No changes.

4 MS. WOODS: -- since last week.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I think we had some COI
6 testimony recently, a lot from the Filipino American
7 community to look at some street level change down at
8 National City area.

9 So, if you can pull that up, please?

10 MS. WOODS: Sure, so we'd be moving to the
11 SSAND, LMSAND Districts for those.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, just around there.
13 And they do have some street recommendations.

14 Did you receive that from I believe it's the
15 KOPOW, in one of their e-mails they sent the Commission a
16 detailed street.

17 MS. WOODS: I don't personally have that
18 information.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: We've actually received a
21 number of directions from different people and I was just
22 reading another one that came in this afternoon, but I
23 haven't had a chance to compare that with the ones that
24 have come previously. I think this is the same person.

25 But this, I can read this, briefly. This is

227

1 the latest one and I don't know if this is consistent,
2 entirely, with what came before.

3 Keep East Claremont, North Linda Vista -- is
4 this the area you're talking about, Commissioner Ontai?

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: No, no.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: No? Okay.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: No, it's the way in the
8 south end.

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay. Well, that's one.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah.

11 COMMISSIONER RAYA: This is also API community
12 requesting these specific changes.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Well, let's take a look at
14 what's happening on the south end, first, so let's blow
15 up the -- is that National City? Here it is.

16 I think the suggestion being made here and I
17 can give you their map, their file here. Let me see, I
18 have it online on my laptop right now. If you can pull
19 the streets up just about there?

20 Let's see, what I want to do is find Palm
21 Avenue or Eighth Street.

22 MS. MAC DONALD: We have to be careful with
23 this district because it's got 50.93 percent.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I know, they have a map
25 here that says that they will not -- what they're

1 suggesting will not change the 50 percent Latino CVAP.

2 MS. WOODS: So, it's right here, Palm and East
3 Eighth Street.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, could you blow that
5 up? So, here's 5, 805, so I believe they are looking at
6 a population exchange that starts by moving a section
7 here into the next district and exchanging sections over
8 here to make up the difference, and down here in Chula
9 Vista.

10 But the map that I'm looking at on my laptop,
11 it's really doing a lot of block changes. So, it's hard
12 for me to do that on the map. Maybe I should take a
13 minute to show you that and then come back to this later
14 on.

15 Would that be all right with the Commission? I
16 don't want to hold it up, it's -- yeah, let me show you
17 what they have.

18 Let's go on to another district.

19 MS. WOODS: So, were there any changes for
20 ISAND, which was the eastern part of San Diego County and
21 part of Riverside, up to Idyllwild?

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, I believe at the
23 Assembly map level the north side appears to be fine.
24 But, Commissioner Raya, you said there were some changes
25 that --

1 COMMISSIONER RAYA: In the Senate.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Oh, in the Senate?

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, this is the
5 Assembly.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Yeah.

7 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's try another
8 district.

9 MS. WOODS: MURTM, which goes north into -- I'd
10 like to point out that this district was never renamed,
11 so Murrieta is not part of this district. But it goes
12 north into Riverside, including Temecula. It includes
13 Rainbow, Fallbrook, Bonsall, Valley Center, San Marcos,
14 Escondido.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: And it goes all the way
16 down to the city boundary, right?

17 MS. WOODS: Correct.

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, I think that's a good
19 map. Commissioners?

20 All right, let's move on.

21 MS. WOODS: The next district is NCSAN. It
22 includes Camp Pendleton, San Onofre, Oceanside, Vista,
23 Carlsbad, and Encinitas.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: And that's a good map, too,
25 I think it's consistent with the COI that we received.

1 It goes all the way down to the beach cities along the
2 coast and it covers Camp Pendleton, so I think it's a
3 good map.

4 Commissioners? All right, no change, let's
5 move on.

6 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm just curious,
7 Commissioner Ontai, have we looked -- did you have a
8 chance to look closely to those street splits, were they
9 all cities or was there any -- were there any city splits
10 in those areas or were they call clean?

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Are there any splits? I
12 don't think there are. Is there?

13 MS. WOODS: No city splits.

14 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Can I see, can you zoom
16 into Lemon Grove, please? The Lemon Grove area and
17 that's -- Commissioner Ontai, is that an unincorporated
18 area or is that a city?

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Lemon Grove is a -- Lemon
20 Grove is a city.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: It's a city. And its
22 boundaries are intact, it appears.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes, it appears to be
24 intact. It is intact, isn't it?

25 MS. WOODS: Yes.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Just to confirm.

2 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay, thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

4 MS. WOODS: So, the next district is RCHMM and
5 this district starts at the -- at San Pasqual, the north
6 boundary of San Diego, the City of San Diego, Rancho
7 Bernardo, Rancho Santa Fe, Fairbanks Ranch, Poway, Rancho
8 Penasquitos, Carmel Valley, Scripps Ranch, Mira Mesa,
9 Miramar, Tierrasanta, Kearny Mesa, North Claremont,
10 Claremont, Mesa, East Claremont, Mesa West.

11 And that is very consistent with the COI
12 information we received. So, I would -- I would -- yeah,
13 I think that would be a good map.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just have one
15 question, if you could move to the northern part, San
16 Pasqual, and the animal -- the San Diego Wild Animal
17 Park.

18 Can you tell me why this configuration is right
19 in here like this? This is the Wild Animal Park where
20 it's pink.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I believe it's a city
22 boundary.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Is it a city
24 boundary?

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes. Let me confirm that

1 with the mappers.

2 MS. WOODS: It is the city boundary. I'll show
3 you the city boundary of San Diego.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. So, it
5 sounds like for the most part when we hear about
6 complaints about how we've made really funky lines, it
7 really is coming down to these city boundaries. So, I
8 just wanted to make sure we had that on the record. And
9 the animal park is whole and good.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, is that --

11 MS. WOODS: So, the next district is CNSAND.
12 This includes, in the north, Solano Beach, Del Mar, Del
13 Mar Heights, Torrey Pines, La Jolla, La Jolla Village,
14 University City, Pacific Beach, Mission Bay, Ocean Beach,
15 Midway, Mission Valley West, University Heights, Balboa
16 Park, Kensington, Talmadge, and College West.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: And, again, that's a good
18 map, it bring sin a lot of the COI testimony that we
19 received. It covers the area by the LGBT community in
20 San Diego. It captures the central commercial industrial
21 core of San Diego. And a lot of the coastal areas are
22 very sensitive to this district. So, it looks like a
23 good map to me.

24 Commissioners? All right, no change.

25 So, what I'll do is during the ten-minute

1 break, our next ten-minute break, let me show you the map
2 that was sent to us on the last district that we're
3 putting on hold. Let me share that with you, then we can
4 come back to that. At our ten-minute break.

5 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: That's a Senate?

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay.

7 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I'm sorry, what's
8 going on?

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: This is the Assembly
10 district. On the very bottom, the first one we started
11 off with, the second, I think, there was testimony from
12 the Filipino community to make some adjustments in
13 National City to bring the Filipino community into the
14 LMSAND and to make an equal population exchange between
15 Chula Vista and some portions of National City.

16 Now, I have their maps, street maps here, but
17 you don't have it and that's what I want to show you.

18 MS. WOODS: Okay.

19 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay? During our ten-
20 minute break I'll show it to you and then we'll come
21 back. So, in the meantime we can continue.

22 MS. WOODS: So, the next district is STHOC. It
23 includes Dana Point, San Clemente, San Juan Capistrano,
24 Laguna Niguel, Ladera Ranch, Coto de Caza, Mission Viejo,
25 Rancho Santa Margarita, and Aliso Viejo.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Ward?

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, there's no changes
3 and no splits, correct?

4 MS. WOODS: Correct.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Any other comments?

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just have one
7 question. Can you go to the northern -- northeastern
8 portion of the district and I think zoom in there. What
9 happens right there? Even though I know there's no
10 population, but what is that right there?

11 MS. WOODS: The Cleveland National Forest.

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Is that like some
13 boundary that you followed?

14 MS. WOODS: Yeah, I think I was just picking up
15 a tract. I can see --

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, the tracts
17 are what cause some of these claws that we see, Census
18 Tracts?

19 MS. WOODS: Possibly, let me look. So, that
20 can be put with the TUSTO District, if that's what you
21 prefer.

22 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, I was just
23 wondering. You're saying that that's a Census Tract that
24 has a little claw in there. That's all. That's fine.

25 MS. WOODS: I think that this has also been

235

1 included for deviation reasons, since STHOC is -.98
2 percent. And if you move this tract into TUSTO, it goes
3 over one percent.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, comments?
5 Commissioner Yao?

6 COMMISSIONER YAO: All the maps start looking
7 the same to me at this point and I don't remember whether
8 I asked this question before or not.

9 Around Laguna Woods there's a hook to the left,
10 is that the city boundary right there? A little further
11 north, right there.

12 MS. WOODS: Yeah, so those are the -- that's
13 the boundary for Laguna Hills.

14 COMMISSIONER YAO: It looks like Laguna Hills
15 went in after the freeway is established because they
16 look like they took up one lane of the freeway.

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is this area right
18 here -- is this area right here also a city or is that
19 county, unincorporated?

20 MS. WOODS: So, that's the city -- or the
21 Census Place of Laguna Woods.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But is it a city? What
23 I'm getting at is does it make any sense to try and widen
24 that right there?

25 MS. WOODS: You would be splitting the --

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Forget it.

2 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Well, if you -- most -- and
3 I'm sure Commissioners Ward could address this but, you
4 know, all along that corridor, along the freeway is
5 commercial, like strip and industrial. So, I mean if you
6 wanted to do that, I don't think you would necessarily
7 carve out people.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, you do,
9 actually, because there's Leisure World is right there.
10 That's Avenue De La Carlota and there's furniture stores,
11 which is true, but then you get right to Leisure World,
12 which is in Laguna Woods, right at that area right in
13 there.

14 And then when you get closer to El Toro then
15 you have more -- you have the mall and then the medical
16 center.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: I'd point out, look at
19 the deviation, I mean a -.6 here and a +.96 here you
20 could move population out -- this population right here
21 out and put it over here, assuming you're not dividing a
22 city.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: If I'm not
24 mistaken, I think that's Leisure World and they have a
25 closer community of interest on this side of the 5

1 Freeway, because their medical center is down this way,
2 the mall is down this way.

3 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Their only
5 entrance is right here and right here and you cannot go
6 over the freeway right here, it's blocked.

7 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right. I see, okay, so
8 they have to come out down here.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And then the
10 furniture stores are all right here where it says 000,
11 but then this is where the population is at for Leisure
12 World.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, what is --

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Nothing, as is.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, so that's fine. All
17 right, no change, let's move on.

18 MS. WOODS: The next district is TUSTO. It
19 includes Lake Forest, splits the City of Irvine, it
20 includes Tustin, North Tustin, the Anaheim Hills, Villa
21 Park and the City of Orange to the 57.

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: is Anaheim split -- it's
23 only split twice, correct?

24 MS. WOODS: It's split --

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: I couldn't tell if it was

1 split to the Placentia --

2 MS. WOODS: So, the City of Anaheim is split
3 into three districts, TUSTO, SNANA, and ANAFL.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments?

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: Is Anaheim split --
6 Anaheim's split three times then in all -- all three
7 levels, correct?

8 MS. WOODS: I believe so.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, no change. No,
10 change, all right move on.

11 MS. WOODS: The next district is SNANA. It
12 includes part of the City of Santa Ana and the Anaheim
13 flatlands, Central Anaheim. It also includes a portion
14 of Garden Grove and a portion of the City of Orange.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments.

16 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Can you show us Little
17 Saigon on that map?

18 MS. WOODS: I'm not sure I have that layer on
19 this machine at this moment, but it's in this area right
20 here.

21 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Are you satisfied that
22 it's kept whole?

23 MS. WOODS: Yes.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Thank you.

25 MS. WOODS: It's the smaller borders.

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right, I understand.

2 Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Any other comments. All
4 right, no change, let's move on.

5 Commissioner Filkins Webber?

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I just have one
7 question. Is this the City of Orange border right here,
8 south of the 22? Yep. Thank you.

9 MS. WOODS: The next district is WESTC. It
10 includes Seal Beach, Rossmoor, Los Alamitos, Westminster,
11 part of the City of Garden Grove, Fountain Valley, part
12 of the City of Santa Ana, and part of this -- most of the
13 City of Costa Mesa down here.

14 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is this part in here, is
15 that the city boundary right here? This is one of those
16 cases where the freeway goes through districts in and
17 out, in and out, in and out. That's what it is, thank
18 you.

19 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, we
20 didn't have public comment on this district that I wanted
21 to bring to the public's attention, to our attention as a
22 Commission, it would involve a two-way swap between WESTC
23 and CSTOC. It was brought to our attention that with the
24 way that we've created these districts that they're --
25 we've paired some unlikely partners for socioeconomic

240

1 reasons.

2 And the suggestion was made that Westminster
3 and Fountain Valley area was better paired with North
4 Huntington Beach and that Seal Beach and Costa Mesa made
5 more sense oriented towards the Coastal District.

6 We had a public comment that was submitted a
7 few days ago by CAPAFR. It includes a whole bunch of
8 different things, but that one change was the one that
9 jumped out at me that I wanted to suggest for the
10 Commission to consider.

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Is that at the
12 Assembly level?

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Because if you're
15 suggesting putting this into the COAST, Westminster in
16 the COAST, Fountain Valley in the COAST, and Costa Mesa
17 in the COAST then --

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: No, no, no,
19 let me run through it again for you.

20 The summary is bring communities in Northern
21 Huntington Beach adjacent to Westminster and Fountain
22 Valley into WESTCO from TUSTO. Bring Seal Beach and
23 Costa Mesa cities whole into CSTOC District from WESTC to
24 strengthen community of interest already contained in
25 CSTOC.

241

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, you have to
2 do a population rotation because you're taking Seal Beach
3 from WESTC and putting it into the COAST.

4 COMMISSIONER DAI: And they did provide shape
5 files.

6 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Just a note,
7 too, from the Fountain Valley City Council. We had a
8 letter expressing that they were pleased in the
9 Congressional that Fountain Valley was with Huntington
10 Beach and Costa Mesa.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: But they didn't make any
12 remark regarding the Assembly level district?

13 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Not that
14 I've seen.

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Is North Huntington Beach
16 actually a town or is it just a part of Huntington Beach?

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: It's part of
18 Huntington Beach.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER WARD: This is a good conversation
21 because there was some feedback from Costa Mesa Assembly
22 level about being split and I remember last week I was
23 interested in exploring population rotation to handle
24 that due to the size of Costa Mesa and possibly splitting
25 a larger city. And at the time we didn't have the time.

1 And does the Commission have the desire to explore that
2 at this time?

3 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, we do
4 have shape files on this. I think -- I'm trying to eye
5 Q2. I could flag the shape files that we have for them
6 to maybe provide us a sense of what it would entail.

7 I could also forward it -- I mean this was in
8 public comment.

9 COMMISSIONER DAI: I just forwarded it to you.

10 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay, good.

11 COMMISSIONER FORBES: But it does -- I mean it
12 does appear that it would be just a swap because this
13 district here goes all the way down here, and this one
14 comes down and around, so it would just be a trade back
15 and forth, I think.

16 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, it is
17 a two-district swap.

18 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, it's not a three-
19 district swap, there's no rotation in that regard.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: 100,000 people
21 versus 24,000.

22 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, you'd have to just
23 remove the line. But I was thinking of a rotation as
24 being at least a three-district proposition.

25 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Well, if

243

1 the -- if there's enough momentum by the Commission to
2 explore it, we can flag this and have Q2 look into it and
3 we could revisit it a bit later.

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: Can you walk through the
5 proposal again?

6 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay. I
7 think we can e-mail it out to the whole Commission, as
8 well. And it is in our public comment, so you probably
9 have already read it.

10 "Bring communities in Northern Huntington Beach
11 adjacent to Westminster and Fountain Valley into WESTC
12 from CSTOC.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: That's this down here.

14 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: And then
15 they've provided the Shape Files and Block Equivalency
16 file.

17 Bring Seal Beach and Costa Mesa whole into
18 CSTOC District from WESTC to strengthen the coastal
19 community of interest.

20 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: See, I'm afraid
21 that's what I don't understand, putting 109,000 people
22 from Costa Mesa into CSTOC and then adding another 24,000
23 people into CSTOC.

24 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: So, I guess we'll

1 have to look at their files.

2 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yeah, that's
3 what I'm suggesting, we could give -- have Q2 pull up the
4 shape files unless you've -- have you looked at it at
5 all?

6 MS. WOODS: I haven't seen the files, I can try
7 to -- I just received them via e-mail. I could try to
8 download them and load them up right now or we can
9 postpone.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We'll come back and do that
11 later.

12 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Okay.

13 MS. WOODS: Okay. In the current CSTOC
14 District includes Sunset Beach, Huntington Beach, Newport
15 Beach. It splits the City of Irvine. It includes Laguna
16 Beach and Laguna Woods.

17 The next district is ANAFL. It includes
18 Cypress, La Palma, Stanton, Buena Park, part of the City
19 of Anaheim, and the City of Fullerton.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments? Commissioner
21 Ward?

22 COMMISSIONER WARD: It's good. It's good.

23 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: No change, let's move on.

24 MS. WOODS: The next district is DBRYL. It
25 includes La Habra, Brea, Rowland Heights, Diamond Bar,

1 Chino Hills, Yorba Linda, Placentia.

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: I had a question about Cal
3 Poly Pomona in the northwest corner of this district.

4 MS. WOODS: So, it looks like the Cal Poly
5 Pomona is split into two districts.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: We're going to make some
7 changes?

8 MS. WOODS: But this is the city boundaries of
9 Pomona, so it looks like part of the University is in the
10 City of Pomona and the other part is unincorporated area.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, let's pull that section
12 up.

13 MS. WOODS: So, move this part of Cal Poly
14 Pomona into DBRYL?

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: I think yes. Commissioner
16 Forbes?

17 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh, just agree. I mean,
18 since it doesn't cause a split and it puts the college
19 back together it seems like a good thing to do.

20 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Ward?

21 COMMISSIONER WARD: It does cause a split,
22 correct?

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: No, it does not.

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: No, no, then there's no
25 reason to do it, yeah.

1 MS. WOODS: It will split the City of Pomona.

2 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Not if you take the
3 unincorporated part and moving it into Pomona.

4 MS. WOODS: Oh, okay, so we're moving the
5 University into Pomona, not into DBRYL.

6 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Right, so this part goes
7 into Pomona.

8 MS. WOODS: Okay.

9 COMMISSIONER RAYA: But part of what's in -- a
10 little edge of that in the unincorporated area is Mt.
11 SAC, so don't take that because you -- you see on the Mt.
12 San Antonio College.

13 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah, I just noticed
14 that. Right.

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's pull that up, let's
16 see what that looks like.

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: Just one comment, Cal Poly
18 Pomona I think identified with the City of Walnut much
19 more so than the City of Pomona.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Might be.

21 MS. WOODS: So, this puts DBRYL under -- it's
22 the deviation turns into -1.29 percent.

23 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Oh, that much, hum.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Can you try it the other
25 way? I mean it's splitting -- it's technically splitting

247

1 Pomona, but it's really just taking the college out.

2 MS. WOODS: So, I can put this much in, which
3 is 536 people. But if I pick up this purple square right
4 here it creates this configuration.

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: And what's that, what's the
6 numbers come out to?

7 MS. WOODS: The deviations are within the
8 acceptable range.

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, I would recommend
10 this change. I mean if, you know, a college campus isn't
11 a community of interest that's worth keeping whole, to
12 me, that's certainly where a city line split would be
13 allowable is a situation like this, where you've got a
14 college campus being divided.

15 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Question?

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Raya?

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Can you please give us the
18 CVAP, the Latino CVAP in the Pomona District?

19 MS. WOODS: 50.61 percent.

20 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay. And maybe,
21 Commissioner Ward, is Kellogg Farms, I always thought
22 that was part of the college?

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: I don't know.

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Oh, I think it is, at least
25 judging from field trips I took out there many years ago.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, is that what you want?

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm not confident on
3 Kellogg Park, I'm looking, trying to see if we can flesh
4 that out. If it's not part of the campus, I'd hate to
5 take it from its home district.

6 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I'll tell you in one
7 second.

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Two seconds, three seconds,
9 four seconds.

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: Because the rationale for
11 this move is that we're doing a city split, but we're
12 doing it to keep the campus together.

13 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes, that's the idea.

14 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Do we want to pick up
15 those zeros in the southwest corner, yeah, to make it
16 look a little -- yeah, what is that?

17 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay, it appears not to --
18 it appears to be a private enterprise.

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: So, I'd recommend we'd
20 leave Kellogg Park back in and make the pictured swap.

21 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: And can you show the
22 aerial again, please, so we can see the buildings in that
23 layout, if you could do that quickly?

24 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Oh, you know what, I'm
25 sorry, I had the wrong Kellogg Farms, I'm in South

1 Dakota.

2 (Laughter)

3 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Do we go that far? Hold
4 on.

5 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Yeah, a mobile home
6 park.

7 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Michigan, now I'm in
8 Michigan.

9 MS. WOODS: So, this one block of 859 people I
10 believe is in the mobile home park.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Ward, is that
12 change acceptable?

13 COMMISSIONER YAO: Then we'll end up splitting
14 a mobile home park then.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That wouldn't
16 seem right. We did have some testimony from a gentleman
17 who came to us as a representative for, you know, some
18 mobile home parks in California. They're a very large
19 community, I wouldn't recommend a split of a mobile home
20 park.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Oh, I remember that, it was
22 a very passionate guy about that, too.

23 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay. Well, there are --
24 this says Cal Poly College of Agriculture and it does
25 list, you know, the horses at Kellogg Farm, produce at

250

1 Kellogg Farm, so I would certainly think that it is
2 related.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, we've got to make a
4 decision in or out.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The question is
6 that this entire area has to go together or because we
7 were just trying to get this area, so I just want
8 clarification, because this is a -- one Census Block
9 or -- is that what we need to make a decision about
10 because you clicked on this and all these little
11 neighborhoods came up.

12 MS. WOODS: Right, so I can include part of
13 this, but if I go over here it includes this block of 859
14 people because this part of Cal Poly is in the same
15 Census Block as this 859, which goes here, here and here.
16 And I'm not sure if that's the mobile home park or not,
17 but that's the --

18 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Raya?

19 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I would propose that we
20 just go back to the way it was. It just doesn't seem --
21 you know, to keep going farther and a greater incursion
22 into Pomona, I'm not sure it really -- I don't know that
23 it impacts the college to be the way it was. Divided --
24 yeah, divided between two districts. I don't know,
25 that's just my opinion.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And what --
2 Commissioner Raya, for Assembly and -- excuse me, Senate
3 and Congressional, I'm assuming this issue is probably
4 addressed, I'm assuming. Is that correct?

5 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I don't know. Honestly,
6 the issue of the college has -- it's not my area but,
7 also, I didn't -- had never thought about that.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm running it
9 right now.

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right. So,
11 Commissioner Ward, tell us what do you think is the best
12 option here?

13 COMMISSIONER WARD: Well, I was just trying to
14 consider what the Commission's course has been so far in
15 evaluating the parks, and the lakes, and the rest of
16 California and it seems like we've made a concerted
17 effort to try to keep these COIs together under one
18 representative.

19 But in this case, in lakes and parks mobile
20 home parks don't come with them. So, it seems like in
21 this case that extra disenfranchisement doesn't make
22 sense and we'll hope that the College benefits from
23 having two representatives.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, so no change, we'll
25 leave it the way it is.

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Just to make a
2 note it is the same, the borders are the same at the
3 Senate level and the Congressional level. Just to make a
4 note it is the same, the borders are the same at the
5 Senate level and the Congressional level.

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So noted.

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: As far as those
8 squares. And then I just have one question further
9 south, along this border where we were just at, if you
10 can just pan out just a little bit and go back to, I
11 guess -- if you go down into this area going further
12 south there's a square -- I know this might be part of
13 the cleanup issue, but if you pan out and take off,
14 maybe, the Census, you'll see this entire area looks like
15 an island by itself. Not just this one, but this
16 entire -- I don't know what's going on in here. Maybe if
17 you take off the Census numbers you can see what I'm
18 talking about?

19 Again, this just might be a cleanup. Maybe
20 take the streets off, too, so we can see what --

21 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is this, by change, the
22 borders of the City of Industry?

23 COMMISSIONER YAO: Yeah, that's the City of
24 Industry; there are 20 residents in the whole city.

25 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, if you pan

1 out, we have this little square here that's separate from
2 everything, then this looks like another little square
3 and I don't know what's going on there.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Are those --

5 MS. WOODS: So, here's the --

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Go ahead.

7 MS. WOODS: Here, this is actually connected in
8 this square, but this up -- this is all part of the LAPRW
9 District and it's the City of Industry. And I have no
10 idea how this didn't get assigned or maybe it's assigned
11 to a different district, but I'll certainly incorporate
12 that right now.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, everybody
14 understands the history of the City of Industry.

15 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: What is in there?
16 What's in the box?

17 COMMISSIONER YAO: Football stadium.

18 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Buildings.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: I will go back to
20 Commissioner Filkins Webber's and Commissioner Raya, that
21 if we can do something with Cal Poly at another level
22 maybe we can look at that, where we have more
23 flexibility, because it's the same line in all three and
24 maybe we should, you know -- yeah.

25 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Just leave it.

1 COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Yeah, and the same
2 mobile home park in this whole thing, too. Go figure.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, any other comments?
4 Let's move on, so there's no change here, other than
5 those minor adjustments that Alex is doing.

6 MS. WOODS: So, if you want to go back to the
7 CSTOC District, or to San Diego?

8 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's go to --

9 MS. WOODS: I think -- I think maybe going into
10 San Diego. But I can load the layer for the Orange
11 County configuration for CSTOC.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's do that.

13 MS. WOODS: Okay.

14 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: So, Chair?

15 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes?

16 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: Just a time check, where
17 are we, how we're doing?

18 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: I can give
19 an update for what we're thinking for the rest of the
20 day.

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Let's give an update.

22 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, Ms.
23 Woods is only available today. So, what we had thought
24 is that once we are done with her area of the Assembly
25 that we would then move into Southern California Senate

255

1 for non-L.A. areas.

2 And then tomorrow we'll do a heavy push on Los
3 Angeles because we'll have our Los Angeles mapping expert
4 with us. So, we think that would be the most productive
5 use of time at this point.

6 COMMISSIONER ANCHETA: All right. How much is
7 left for this evening, I guess.

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We can get
9 an update from Q2 on that. The one piece that I would
10 like to reserve a bit of time for, once we're done with
11 the mapping, is to go into closed session for a period of
12 time to discuss litigation issues in relation to our
13 selection of a litigation firm.

14 So, we don't need Q2 here for that, that can be
15 at the close of the mapping.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Parvenu.

17 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Just clarification, but
18 we will be completing L.A.'s Assembly this evening,
19 correct?

20 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: No. No, we
21 will be doing it tomorrow.

22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Tomorrow. Assembly and
23 Senate tomorrow, okay.

24 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Yes.

25 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Okay.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Because Alex won't be here
2 tomorrow.

3 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're kind
4 of adjusting as we've gone along because, again, we have
5 been not moving as fast as we had ambitiously planned,
6 which is fine. Though, we're also trying to use the
7 expertise of our mappers at times when they are
8 available.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, so where are we?

10 MS. WOODS: So, if you look at this map, this
11 is the proposed lines. So, you can see that Seal Beach
12 is moved into the Coastal District, as is Costa Mesa, and
13 then this portion of Huntington Beach is moved into
14 WESTC.

15 COMMISSIONER FORBES: A couple of questions,
16 how much -- is Irvine intact?

17 MS. WOODS: Irvine is still split in this
18 visualization.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay. Would it make any
20 sense to split Irvine additionally, like down to the 405,
21 and that's just a number, and pick up more of Huntington
22 Beach?

23 MS. WOODS: There would need to be a population
24 exchange with TUSTO. If it was gaining population, it
25 would need to lose population elsewhere.

1 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Okay, I see what you're
2 saying, okay.

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: I had a suggestion for
4 Costa Mesa as well, it's different from this, though.
5 This, obviously, I would have compactness questions
6 about. It also seems to have a bigger impact on
7 Huntington Beach.

8 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Yeah.

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: The thought that I was
10 suggesting was bringing Costa Mesa down into the Coastal
11 District and then splitting Huntington Beach at its
12 northwest border and adding it to the Seal Beach, WESTC
13 district.

14 So, just doing a two-way population exchange,
15 Huntington Beach, I think about a third of it into Seal
16 Beach, and then drop Costa Mesa down. So, we're still
17 trading a split for a split, but it's a split that makes
18 a lot more sense for those five cities.

19 COMMISSIONER DAI: Question, Commissioner Ward.
20 This looked a little jagged but it does seem to kind of
21 keep the coast together. If you would cut it would
22 you -- are we going to run into a contiguity problem if
23 you --

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: No, we would still have a
25 Coastal District, we'd just -- instead of stopping at

1 Seal Beach, we would extend the border, the Coastal
2 border of WESTC down into Huntington Beach here, and then
3 Costa Mesa could drop down into it. So, we're just doing
4 a Costa Mesa for Huntington, a northwest Huntington Beach
5 exchange.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Do the numbers
7 work out that way, do you know? Because it is -- I don't
8 have a problem with that just knowing the difference
9 between -- I mean the difference between the Coast
10 actually is right in here, from Newport, Newport Coast,
11 the Wetlands, all the way to Laguna, this is an entirely
12 different type of coastal community than what you see in
13 Huntington Beach, much larger beach area and then the
14 Seal Beach area.

15 So, if you're contending that this would be
16 like a beach district and then this would be a beach
17 district, without infringing on what's going on in the
18 Santa Ana, and the South OC and the TUSTO that would be
19 consistent. I think I did see some public input
20 regarding that because there was some concern of being
21 connected, Seal Beach on the coast all the way down,
22 because there is a distinct difference in communities of
23 interest between Seal Beach and the rest of Orange County
24 on the coast.

25 But if the numbers work out, because then this

1 would be consistent with what the CAPAFR is saying, as
2 well, which is keeping Costa Mesa at the coast and then
3 giving -- putting -- making sure Westminster is with most
4 of Huntington Beach, actually, and then you can keep
5 almost -- almost all of Huntington Beach whole, even
6 though you probably couldn't because it's such a large
7 city in -- obviously, just like with Irvine.

8 And then you've maintained this community of
9 interest here consistent with CAPAFR, and then you
10 maintain this community of interest with Costa Mesa
11 towards the coast.

12 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, we have two different
13 proposals here, Commissioner Ward, is that right?

14 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes. Yeah, we have two
15 proposals, I presume that's true.

16 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioners?

17 COMMISSIONER DAI: Is it two or is it three?
18 Do you concur with --

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm not entirely sure what
20 she's -- I'm hoping for two.

21 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: Do you --
22 Commissioner Ward, would you -- how would you feel about
23 what Commissioner Filkins Webber suggested, which sounded
24 like a third, somewhat of a hybrid?

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I think that would

1 require additional population shifts that I --

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I thought I was
3 just repeating what you were -- your thinking, actually,
4 is wanting --

5 COMMISSIONER WARD: That's what I thought, too.

6 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: -- two different
7 Coastal Districts here in Orange County.

8 COMMISSIONER WARD: That's true, that's a fair
9 statement. We already have two and so we would just be
10 changing the border of where those two are separated.
11 Instead of at the northwest border of Huntington -- I
12 don't know if that's Sunset Beach or Huntington Beach,
13 but we would just extent that south, southwardly to pick
14 up more of Huntington Beach just so we could drop Costa
15 Mesa down and make it whole in the South Coast District
16 here.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, I'm not sure, is that
18 what you have in mind, Commissioner Filkins Webber?

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I suspect so if
20 we're just cutting -- there would be a border right here.

21 COMMISSIONER WARD: No, I think the border will
22 incorporate more of Huntington Beach, yeah.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, I guess my
24 question is the border would run to the coast, so you
25 would have a separate Coastal District going up this way

261

1 into Central Westminster area, and then another Coastal
2 District right in here.

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: That's exactly the though.

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay, that's what
5 I was saying. I don't care really where this border is
6 in Huntington Beach so much, but then it keeps this area
7 that CAPAFR's talking about right now --

8 COMMISSIONER WARD: That's right.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: -- Northern
10 Huntington Beach -- you can keep Huntington Beach whole,
11 expect for the split would be right in here all the way
12 to the coast, keeping that separate from this coastal
13 area here.

14 COMMISSIONER WARD: That's the proposal.

15 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That's fine,
16 that's what I thought I was explaining, too.

17 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, so that's
18 acceptable.

19 COMMISSIONER FORBES: Can we get -- can we see
20 something like that?

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, could you make the
22 changes so we can see how it looks like?

23 MS. WOODS: So, I'm removing Costa Mesa into
24 CSTOC and making up the population going this way to the
25 water; is that correct?

1 COMMISSIONER DAI: Yes.

2 MS. WOODS: Okay.

3 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, another
4 schedule update while we're pausing, we have a schedule
5 update virtually every pause. So, tomorrow morning we
6 typically start the day with public comment. We do have
7 some scheduling constraints from the firm that we will be
8 interviewing tomorrow morning. They have an additional
9 partner, in addition to Mr. Brown, who will be joining
10 us, who has a flight schedule.

11 So, the goal is that we could start right at
12 9:00, Commissioners would be on time, and we would
13 immediately go into our interview so we would start, have
14 the open forum like we had this morning, for a half-hour
15 presentation by the firm, and then do a half-hour of
16 closed session to discuss litigation strategies. And
17 then we would reopen, go back into open session and pick
18 up with the public comment.

19 So, not -- it just shifts the times around but,
20 essentially, the 9:00 to 10:30 block will still be our
21 morning business block, then we'd move into line drawing.

22 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Almost there.

23 While Alex is doing that, let me take this
24 moment to read a letter from CAPAFR regarding the San
25 District that we were just looking at.

1 "CAPAFR San Diego County appreciates the
2 efforts that the Commission has made to
3 incorporate the Unity Map in its Assembly
4 visualization. However, a major concern is
5 that this visualization continues to split
6 the Filipino American communities and
7 institutions in East National City from
8 Filipino American communities in Bay
9 Terrace, Bonita, and Eastern Chula Vista.
10 The boundary of SSAND and LMSND in National
11 City, as proposed in the Unity map, unifies
12 this community while maintaining a 50 percent
13 Latino CVAP district. We propose the
14 Commission use the Unity Map boundaries for
15 SSAND and LSAND districts in National City to
16 unify Filipino American communities and
17 institutions in East National City with
18 Filipino American communities in Bay Terrace,
19 Bonita and Eastern Chula Vista. And,
20 additionally, CAPAFR San Diego asked the
21 Commission, in previous testimony to keep
22 communities, such as Mira Mesa, Rancho
23 Penasquitos and Rancho Bernardo in districts
24 with similar communities. With the
25 Commission's visualization of District

1 RCHMM keeps these areas together, it
2 also includes higher income communities,
3 such as Rancho Santa Fe and Fairbanks,
4 which testimony from CAPAFR members
5 has noted is dissimilar to middle class
6 and lower income communities in the
7 northern part of the City of San Diego
8 that define the RCHMM District. Because
9 only small changes can be made, we are
10 proposing moving Rancho Santa Fe and
11 adjacent unincorporated areas north
12 into the MURTM District with similar
13 communities, shifting populations between
14 MURTM, ISND, and RCHMM for population
15 equality.”

16 So, I think on the northern part of that
17 district we've gotten the communities shifted, but the
18 issue remains about whether Rancho Santa Fe and
19 Fairbanks, which is a higher end income, should remain in
20 that district. And they're suggesting that that be moved
21 in a different district.

22 The problem that I'm having with that and I'm
23 really somewhat split on that, is that San Diego has --
24 San Diego County has severe wildfire problems and that
25 Richmond -- excuse me, the Rancho Santa Fe District is on

1 a sloping area that's very prone to wildfires. And so
2 there's a very strong desire to have advocacy for plans
3 to make sure that that wildfire conditions, which comes
4 out every summary, is prevented. So, that's the only
5 concern that I have.

6 I do agree that it is a very high-end income
7 and it differs from the rest of the district, so I could
8 go either way.

9 Commissioner Raya?

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Looking at the current
11 Assembly district that you're referring to, yes, Rancho
12 Santa Fe is in there, but all of the communities, all of
13 the cities or whatever areas that we identified to make
14 changes in the Senate District to protect the API COI are
15 in this Assembly District.

16 The other area that we've heard from the API
17 community is in the southern area with respect to
18 National City and some of the communities to the east,
19 which would be like Bay Terrace, Bonita, and another one
20 that I can't recall at the moment.

21 But that would also require a fairly
22 substantial shift on that side and I don't know whether
23 that's another one to consider.

24 But I -- you know, other than the fact that,
25 yes, they're paired with a couple of some smaller cities

1 that are higher end, they are in the Assembly District
2 together.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, yeah. So, I think I
4 sent over the map, street map suggestions from the
5 Filipino communities to Karin. Did you manage to pull
6 that up and --

7 MS. WOODS: Yeah, I can pull up that letter.
8 Do you want to look back at the OC District that I've
9 just changed before I pull it out?

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yeah, let's finish that
11 out, yeah.

12 MS. WOODS: Thank you. So, this is the current
13 boundary in Huntington Beach.

14 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Comments? Looks good.

15 COMMISSIONER WARD: I think that fixes a
16 compactness issue that we had with the original district,
17 or the original visualization and seems to be consistent
18 with community of interest input.

19 COMMISSIONER DI GUILIO: And could we just look
20 a little closer at both of those? That's all, I'd like
21 to just see, it's just hard to get the detail this
22 distance.

23 So, can you go take the map down so we can take
24 the northern part of it or out? Out, thank you now we
25 can see. So, this does split Huntington Beach, but it's

267

1 a different type of split from where it was before.
2 Okay. And the advantage here is that you have more of a
3 north and south instead of a kind of a coastal/inland; is
4 that correct? Okay.

5 COMMISSIONER RAYA: I have a question. Has
6 this changed Fountain Valley's relationship with Garden
7 Grove or was that always -- that was always together?

8 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: That was
9 always the same, uh-hum.

10 COMMISSIONER RAYA: Okay.

11 COMMISSIONER DAI: Commissioners Ward and
12 Filkins Webber, would you look at the split in Huntington
13 Beach and tell us if it's responsible?

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Can we zoom in,
15 please? And tell me what street that is, or at least
16 starting out right here.

17 MS. WOODS: So, here it's Sea Point Drive, and
18 in here it's -- over here it's Garfield. And this is
19 Ellis Avenue, I believe.

20 COMMISSIONER FORBES: We're pretty close to our
21 deviation limits on both districts, so I don't know how
22 much we can move without going to another district.

23 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Oh, I see where
24 you're at, okay. Yeah, Sea Point Street's probably okay
25 because that's a natural divide, actually, from this

1 other -- where the white area separates the ecological
2 reserve.

3 COMMISSIONER WARD: I have no objection with
4 the boundary.

5 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: It's good? All right,
6 Commissioners. All right, let's make this change and
7 move on. San Diego?

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Hold on.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Did I have a hold on? Did
10 I hear a faint "hold on?"

11 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, if we can
12 just go back to the corner of Sea Side, zoom in right
13 there. Actually, there's that little -- those little
14 streets right there that is complete separated and
15 isolated because there's nothing to the north.

16 And it looks like a number of houses there, if
17 you can tell me what the population would be right in
18 there?

19 MS. WOODS: A hundred and fifty and that would
20 bring CSTOC to 1.02 percent.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. zero
22 population deviation here, right.

23 (Multiple off-record conversations.)

24 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm good with it; I've got
25 no objection with the boundary.

1 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's go for it.

2 MS. WOODS: Okay.

3 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: All right, let's make the
4 change and --

5 MS. WOODS: So, you want me to add this?

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Yes.

7 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: We're
8 counting smiles, not hands, could you just confirm by a
9 raise, a showing of hands, please?

10 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Raise your hands, raise
11 your hands, raise your hands?

12 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And if you wanted
13 to consider balance at the -- do we have any -- what's
14 the population deviation on the South OC, what is that?

15 MS. WOODS: I could -- I could balance the
16 population somewhere else but it would --

17 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, maybe if
18 you can pull back --

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: Commissioner Webber?
20 Commissioner Webber?

21 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: No, let's raise your hand,
22 please.

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Oh, sorry.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Commissioner Ward.

25 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah, I was just -- I'm

270

1 sorry, my comment was the original boundary. If we're
2 moving this to pick that up what is the concern, or
3 what's the concern we're trying to address by --

4 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Zero population
5 deviation, but that's just my personal opinion, which
6 everybody knows what my position is on that, that's all.

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: My understanding was by
8 adjusting this boundary we were increasing and going
9 over.

10 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Right, so we were
11 looking for population. I wanted to see what the other
12 surrounding districts were, if we had an under-population
13 at the -- based on this configuration that Ms. Woods has
14 just done right now, we're now at 1.02 in this district.
15 So, what I was trying to see is if there's other
16 districts where we can balance this, we can bring this
17 deviation down? That's all I'm suggesting.

18 But I need her to pull back on this
19 configuration because we don't have those numbers on our
20 computer.

21 MS. WOODS: I can continue to balance here at
22 the Huntington Beach split with WESTC. The other split
23 is in Irvine, with TUSTO.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: And that Irvine
25 split is consistent with the community of interest

1 testimony we received, so probably picking it up at
2 Ellis. There's not much difference between Golden West
3 and Ellis, and those areas in that little block.

4 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: So, Commissioner Ward?

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Commissioner Ward
6 disagrees with me but --

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: If we want to adjust Ellis,
8 that makes fine sense.

9 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: This is acceptable?

10 COMMISSIONER WARD: As long as we make one of
11 the adjustments, either go back to the original line down
12 at the coast or adjust Ellis, but we do need to adjust
13 something.

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Woods, I
15 would recommend -- go ahead, Commissioner Parvenu.

16 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Well, what about can
17 that be adjusted, to take that out, to account for that
18 strip of a hundred and whatever?

19 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: No, we have to
20 take out from the CSTOC, so everything below. So, in
21 other words where the square is at right at Ellis --

22 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Oh, I see, I see, I see.
23 Yeah.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: -- right in this
25 area.

1 COMMISSIONER PARVENU: Oh, I see.

2 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Ms. Woods, you're
3 talking about balancing --

4 MS. WOODS: Yes.

5 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: -- by cutting off
6 some of this population in here?

7 MS. WOODS: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. That would
9 be -- again, there's not much difference right in -- in
10 these neighborhoods that are in this area.

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: This is an alternative to
12 the other side.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, it's just
14 to balance between these two districts. But we might be
15 getting ourselves into a situation where we're already at
16 .91 in WESTC, so I was trying to see if there was a
17 manageable way to adjust the districts on the south
18 district that we created in Costa Mesa, CS -- or, no,
19 I -- yeah, South OC, at least by my numbers, is under-
20 populated by 4,500, which borders this district.

21 MS. WOODS: I think all the population that
22 borders it is -- are cities that we're currently keeping
23 intact.

24 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. So, the
25 only other option to balance the population, to diminish

1 the population deviation, could possibly be with TUSTO
2 that is 2,813 under, and that would be only at Irvine,
3 since Irvine's already split.

4 Would that be helpful to balance it better?

5 MS. WOODS: That could work. Is there a
6 location?

7 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: I'm looking
8 closer.

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: How about picking up
10 College park off of Culver and Walnut?

11 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Point that out with your
12 pointer.

13 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: You mean
14 taking -- going up Jamboree to the 261, to the 5?

15 COMMISSIONER WARD: That would be great.

16 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Well, actually,
17 we'd have to pull back, I'm sorry. We're taking out of
18 this district. So, we'd have to move the line -- sorry,
19 we have to pull this line back, right?

20 MS. WOODS: Correct.

21 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Okay. Barranca
22 Parkway is right here, Commissioner Ward.

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yeah.

24 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Do you want to see how that
25 looks?

1 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah.

2 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Okay, let's see how that
3 looks.

4 MS. WOODS: So, would you start over here or
5 over here? Can you point out, please?

6 CHAIRPERSON ONTAI: Use your pointer.

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: You can start there and go
8 down towards Warner.

9 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: Yeah, that seems
10 reasonable, right to here, Warner or even El Verte.

11 COMMISSIONER WARD: Okay.

12 MS. WOODS: That brings CSTOC under one
13 percent, just that area. Do you want to include more?

14 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: That looks good,
15 I mean because I don't want to end up with the same
16 problem in TUSTO so -- and what is CSTOC, again?

17 MS. WOODS: It's now .98 percent.

18 COMMISSIONER FILKINS WEBBER: The only other --
19 just again, because I'm "Ms. Zero Population" but, again,
20 this area, this is Harvard, Commissioner Ward, and this
21 is Culver. So, just where you were saying before, this
22 little area right in here to Barranca -- or Barranca,
23 people say it differently, that -- again, no -- not much
24 difference in these communities of interest here, so that
25 might balance it out just a bit more.

275

1 VICE CHAIRPERSON GALAMBOS MALLOY: So, we'd
2 like to just announce that at this point our court
3 reporter will be leaving us for the day, and so this is
4 the point in our video production that we would like to
5 stop and transition to our alternative transcription
6 method.

7 (Off the record at 6:07 p.m.)

8 --oOo--

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24