

Redistricting Splits

Cities and Counties that need correction in first draft plans.

By Robert M. Neff
June 20, 2011

06.20.11.AL

The following cities and counties were split in the "first draft plans" presented by the California Citizens Redistricting Commission. All of these political units have numbers below the target population for their district. Showing the population of the political unit and which portion is in each district. Districts under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act are marked by "*".

Congressional Districts

COUNTIES

El Dorado – 181,058
FTHLL – 150,330
MTCAP – 30,728

Glenn – 28,122
*YUBA – 18,392
MTCAP – 9,730

Madera – 150,865
*MRCED – 122,415
FTHLL – 28,450

Napa – 136,484
*YUBA – 116,273
YOSON – 20,211

Nevada – 98,764
FTHLL – 14,525
MTCAP – 84,239

Placer – 348,432
FTHLL – 336,238
MTCAP – 12,194

San Joaquin – 685,306
STAN – 188,452
SNJDA – 496,854
YOSON – none

Santa Barbara – 423,895
EVENT – 11 (Islands)
SLOSB – 423,884

Santa Cruz – 262,382
*MONT – 262,382
SNMSC – 29,805

Siskiyou – 44,900
NOCST – 7,401
MTCAP – 37,499

Sonoma – 483,878
NORST – 178,234
*YUBA – 305,644

Tulare – 442,179
FRSNO – 317,577
*KINGS – 34,755
KR – 89,847

Yolo – 200,849
*YUBA – 9,620
YOSON – 142,485
SAC – 48,744

CITIES (with county)

Aliso Viejo (Orange) –
47,823
OCCST – 29,913
STHOC – 17,910

Anaheim (Orange) – 336,265
LHBYL – 213,495
WESTG – 30
STHOC – 122,738

Antioch (Contra Costa) –
102,372
SNJOA – 97,372
COCO – 4,717

Arvin (Kern) – 19,304
*Kings 19,304
KR – zero

Azusa (Los Angeles) –
46,361
COVNA – 46,248
SGMFH – 113

Bakersfield (Kern) – 347,483
KR – 263,859
*Kings – 83,624

Chino (San Bernardino) –
77,983
SGVDB – 621
ONTPM – 77,362

Redistricting Splits

Cities and Counties that need correction in first draft plans.

By Robert M. Neff
June 20, 2011



Chino Hills (San Bernardino) – 74,799
ONTPM – 44,221
SGVDB – 30,578

Chula Vista (San Diego) – 243,916
IMSAN – 114,936
CHNCS – 128,980

Clovis (Fresno) – 95,631
FRSNO – 95,631
*MRCED – zero

Corona (Riverside) – 152,374
PRS – 145,919
RVMVN – 6,455

Downey (Los Angeles) – 111,772
IGWSG – 10,902
DWWTR – 100,870

Duarte (Los Angeles) – 21,321
COVNA – 16,717
SGMFH – 4,604

El Cajon (San Diego) – 99,478
CHNCS – 58,119
NESAN – 41,359

Fontana (San Bernardino) – 196,069
SBRIA – 18,602
ONTPM – 28,894

Fremont (Alameda) – 214,089
FRNWU – 77,271
SANJO – 136,818

Fresno (Fresno) – 494,665
*MRCED – 287,543
FRSNO – 207,122

Fullerton (Orange) – 135,161
LHBYL – 135,161
DWWTR – zero

Glendora (Los Angeles) – 50,073
COVNA – 38,665
SGMFH – 11,408

Hawthorne (Los Angeles) – 84,293
HTGCC – 84,280
IGWSG – 13

Huntington Beach (Orange) – 189,992
OCCST – 184,872
WESTG – 5,120

Inglewood (Los Angeles) – 109,673
IGWSG – 109,387
CRSLP – 286

La Verne (Los Angeles) – 31,063
COVNA – 20,346
SGMFH – 10,717

Laguna Nigual (Orange) – 62,979
OCCST – 30,688
CSTSN – 32,291

Lakewood (Los Angeles) – 80,048
LBPRT – 77,240
LHBYL – 2,808

Lancaster (Los Angeles) – 156,633
KR – 69,789
AUSCV – 86,844

Long Beach (Los Angeles) – 462,257
LBPRT – 455,172
HTGCC – 7,085

Menlo Park (San Mateo) – 32,026
SNMSC – 4,211
SNMAT – 27,815

Monrovia (Los Angeles) – 36,590
COVNA – 23,168
SGMFH – 13,422

Paramount (Los Angeles) – 54,098
LBPRT – 53,371
DWWTR – 727

Pasadena (Los Angeles) – 137,122
SGVDB – 56,864
SGMFH – 80,258

Petaluma (Sonoma) – 57,941
NOCST – 57,939
*YUBA – 2 (disconnected)

Pico Rivera (Los Angeles) – 62,942
DWWTR – 53,387
SGVDB – 9,555

Redlands (San Bernardino) – 68,747
SBRIA – 18,602
INMSB – 50,145

Redistricting Splits

Cities and Counties that need correction in first draft plans.

By Robert M. Neff

June 20, 2011



Redwood City (San Mateo) –
76,815
SNMSC – 63,516

Richmond (Contra Costa) –
103,701
OKLND – 71,205
COCO – 32,496

Sacramento (Sacramento) –
466,488
SAC – 465,874
SACCO – 614

San Buena Ventura
(Ventura) – 106,433
EVENT – 99,813
SLOS B – 6,620

San Dimas (Los Angeles) –
33,371
COVNA – 30,981
SGMFH – 2,390

San Leandro (Alameda) –
84,950
FRNWU – 73,377
OKLND – 11,573

Santa Clara (Santa Clara) –
116,468
SNACL – 622
SANJO – zero
SNMSC – 115,846

Santa Clarita (Los Angeles)
– 176,320
AVSCV – 148,442
SFVWC – 27,878

Simi Valley (Ventura) –
124,237
EVENT – 1,837
AVSCV – 122,400

Stanton (Orange) – 38,186
WESTG – 38,186
LHBYL – 23

Temecula (Riverside) –
100,097
NESAN – 80,386
PRS – 19,711

Whittier (Los Angeles) –
85,331
DWWTR – 85,331
SGVDB – zero
(disconnected)

Redistricting Splits

Cities and Counties that need correction in first draft plans.

By Robert M. Neff

June 20, 2011



Board of Equalization Districts

COUNTIES

San Bernardino – 2,035,210
 ORSD – 672,626
 LA – 888,989
 *EAST – 473,595

Orange – 3,010,232
 ORSD – 2,939,545
 LA – 70,687

CITIES (with county)

Arcadia (Los Angeles) –
 56,364
 LA – 56,141
 *EAST – 223

Buena Park (Orange) – 80,530
 ORSD – 10,325
 LA – 96,447

Burbank (Los Angeles) –
 103,340
 LA – 103,340
 *EAST – zero

Chino Hills (San Bernardino) –
 74,799
 ORSD – 74,799
 LA – zero

La Puente (Los Angeles) –
 39,816
 LA – 39,198
 *EAST – 618

Los Angeles (Los Angeles) –
 3,792,621
 LA – 2,880,024 ~~
 *EAST – 945,704 ~~

Rancho Cucamonga (San
 Bernardino) – 165,269
 LA – 54,362
 ORSD – 110,907


Rowland Heights (Los
 Angeles) – 48,993
 ORSD – 44,508
 LA – 4,485

San Bernardino (San
 Bernardino) – 209,924
 LA – 42,708
 ORSD – 167,216

Redistricting Splits

Cities and Counties that need correction in first draft plans.

By Robert M. Neff
June 20, 2011



State Assembly Districts

COUNTIES

El Dorado - 181,058
FTHLL - 88,755
ELDO - 61,605
MTCAP - 30,698

Monterey - 415,057
*WMONT - 172,200
*MONT - 242,857

Napa - 136,484
MARIN - 20,447
NAPA - 116,037

Nevada - 98,764
MTCAP - 97,639
FTHLL - 1,125

Placer - 348,432
NSAC - 270,122
FTHLL - 64,468
MTCAP - 13,842

Santa Barbara - 423, 895
SBWVE - 219,675
SLOSB - 204,220

Santa Cruz - 262,382
*WMONT - 196,339
*MONT - 66,043

Siskiyou - 44,900
DMNDO - 7,401
MTCAP - 37,499

Solano - 413,344
NAPA - 159,458
ECC - 253,886

Yolo - 200,849
*YUBA - 12,113
ECC - 66,597
WSAC - 122,139

CITIES (with county)

Adelanto (San Bernardino) - 31,765
LAAW - 31,765
MISBK - zero (disconnected)

Anaheim (Orange) - 336,265
ANAFL - 256,406
WESTC - 10,920
DBRYL - 68,939

Arcadia (Los Angeles) - 56,364
LAWSG - 56,348
LACVN - 16

Bakersfield (Kern) - 347,483
BKRFD - 271,293
*KINGS - 76,190

Chula Vista (San Diego) - 243,916
SSAND - 171,185
LMSAND - 72,731

Dana Point (Orange) - 33,351
CSTOC - 11,227
STHOC - 22,124

El Monte (Los Angeles) - 113,475
LAWSG - 61,573
LACVN - 51,902

Escinitas (San Diego) - 59,518
NCSAN - 59,518
CNSAN - zero

Redistricting Splits

Cities and Counties that need correction in first draft plans.

By Robert M. Neff
June 20, 2011



Freemont (Alameda) –
214,0989
MLPTS – 109,609
HYWRD – 104,480

Fullerton (Orange) – 135,161
ANAFL – 135,161
DBRYL – zero

Garden Grove (Orange) –
170,883
WESTC – 118,394
SNANA – 52,489

Glendale (Los Angeles) –
46,361
LASGF – 39,862
LACVN – 6,499

Hesperia (San Bernardino) –
90,173
LAAW – 12,523
MISBK – 77,650

Industry (Los Angeles) – 219
LAPRW – 1
LACVN – 204
DBRYL – 14

Lompoc (Santa Barbara) –
42,434
SBWVE – 15,903
SLOSB – 26,531

Modesto (Stanislaus) –
201,165
*MRCED – 79,079
STNSJ – 122,086

Montebello (Los Angeles) –
62,500
LAPRW – 56,044
LAWSG – 6,456

Orange (Orange) – 136,416
SNANA – 81,617
TUSTO – 54,799

Oxnard (Ventura) – 197,899
SBWVE – 33,408
EVENT – 164,491

Poway (San Diego) – 47,811
NESAN – 47,811
RCHMM – zero

Rancho Cucamonga (San
Bernardino) – 165,269
SBCUC – 134,373
LASGF – 30,896

Redlands (San Bernardino) –
68,747
SBCUC – 68,741
MGOBN – 6

Riverside (Riverside) –
303,871
RIVJV – 114,562
MTRMV – 189,309

San Bernardino (San
Bernardino) – 209,924
RLTFO – 69,034
SBCUC – 140,890

Santa Ana (Orange) – 324,528
SNANA – 320,614
WESTC – 3,914

South San Francisco (San
Mateo) – 63,632
WSFDC – 26,943
NSNMT – 36,689

South Pasadena (Los Angeles)
– 25,619
LAWSG – 11,108
LAGBP – 14,439

Thousand Oaks (Ventura) –
126,683
EVENT – 56,181
LAVSF – 70,502

Tustin (Orange) – 75,540
TUSTO – 75,540
SWANA – zero

Watsonville (Santa Cruz) –
51,199
*WMONT – zero
(disconnected)
*MONT – 51,199

West Covina (Los Angeles) –
106,098
LACVN – 80,438
DBRYL – 25,660

Redistricting Splits

Cities and Counties that need correction in first draft plans.

By Robert M. Neff
June 20, 2011



State Senate Districts

COUNTIES

El Dorado – 181,058
 Footh – 88,755
 ESAC – 61,605
 *YUBA – 30,698

Fresno – 930,450
 *KINGS – 316,021
 Footh – 476,360
 TULKE – 138,069

Kern – 839,631
 KINGS – 340,366
 TULKE – 455,776
 MISKL – 43,489

Monterey – 415,057
 *COAST – 172,200
 *MERCED – 242,857

Napa – 136,484
 NORTH – 20,447
 ESCNT – 116,037

Nevada – 98,764
 *YUBA – 97,639
 Footh – 1,125

Placer – 348,432
 ESAC – 270,122
 Footh – 64,468
 *YUBA – 13,842

San Mateo – 718,451
 MATEO – 583,326
 SF – 135,125

Santa Cruz – 262,382
 *COAST – 196,339
 *MERCED – 66,043

Santa Barbara – 423,895
 EVENT – 218,355
 *COAST – 207,540

Siskiyou – 44,900
 NORTH – 7,401
 *YUBA – 37,499

Sonoma – 843,878
 NORTH – 300,927
 ECONT – 182,951

Stanislaus – 514,453
 *MERCED – 205,174
 STANJ – 309,279

Tulare – 442,179
 *KINGS – 114,442
 TULKE – 327,737

Ventura – 823,318
 EVENT – 733,320
 LASCV – 89,998

Yolo – 200,849
 *YUBA – 12,113
 ECONT – 66,597
 SAC – 122,139

CITIES (with county)

Anaheim (Orange) – 336,265
 DBYLA – 325,345
 WSTAN – 10,920

Azusa (Los Angeles) – 46,361
 LAGSD – 39,862
 LACVN – 6,499

Bakersfield (Kern) – 347,483
 TULKE – 249,277
 *KINGS – 98,206

Chula Vista (San Diego) – 243,916
 CSAND – 171,185
 ISAND – 72,731

Clovis (Fresno) – 95,631
 TULKE – 95,631
 Footh – 2

Culver City (Los Angeles) – 38,883
 LAWSC – 33,173
 LAPVB – 5,710

Dana Point (Orange) – 33,351
 CSTIU – 11,227
 SANOC – 22,124

Escinitas (San Diego) – 59,518
 SANOC – 59,518
 CSAND – zero

Fresno (Fresno) – 494,665
 Footh – 344,840
 *KINGS – 149,825

Redistricting Splits

Cities and Counties that need correction in first draft plans.

By Robert M. Neff
June 20, 2011

Industry (Los Angeles) – 219
LACVN – 205
DBYLA – 14

Lomita (Los Angeles) – 20,256
LAPVB – 20,256
LAWBC – zero

Lompoc (Santa Barbara) –
42,434
EVENT – 12,483
*COAST – 29,851

Modesto (Stanislaus) –
201,165
*MERCDC – 79,079
STNSJ – 122,086

Monrovia (Los Angeles) –
36,590
LAWSG – 1
LASGF – 36,589

Orange (Orange) – 136,416
WSTAN – 81,617
CSTIU – 54,799

Rancho Cucamonga (San
Bernardino) – 165,269
SBBAN – 134,373
LASGF – 30,896

San Bernardino (San
Bernardino) – 209,924
POMSB – 69,034
SBBAN – 140,890

Sacramento (Sacramento) –
466,488
SAC – 428,672
ESAC – 37,813

Shafter (Kern) – 16,988
*KINGS – 19,988
TULKE – zero

South San Francisco (San
Mateo) – 63,632
SF – 26,943
MATEO – 36,689

Thousand Oaks (Ventura) –
126,683
EVENT – 56,181
LASCUC – 70,502

Tustin (Orange) – 75,540
CSTIU – 75,540
WSTAN – zero

Watsonville (Santa Cruz) –
51,199
*COAST – zero
(disconnected)
*MERCDC – 51,199

West Covina (Los Angeles) –
106,098
LACVN – 80,438
DBRYL – 25,660

Board of Equalization Correction

By Robert M. Neff
June 20, 2011

06.20.11.AM

This is a fix of the "first draft" Board of Equalization districts presented by the California Citizen Redistricting Commission.

The following splits are corrected:

Counties - San Bernardino (ORSB) and Orange (LA)

Cities - Arcadia (EAST), Buena Park (LA), Burbank (EAST), Chino Hills (ORSB), La Puente (ORSB), Los Angeles (LA), Rancho Cucamonga (ORSB), Rowland Heights (ORSB), and, San Bernardino (ORSB).

The Statistics:

First Draft WEST (+128,991 or +1.38%)

NHO White 45.92%, Hispanic Origin 25.75%, Black 5.69%, Asian 19.94%
CVAP: NHO White 60.39%, Hispanic Origin 14.50%, Black 6.54%, Asian 16.36%
Target CVAP: NHO White 59.1%, Hispanic Origin 14.75%, Black 6.82%, Asian 17.20%

Corrected WEST (+917 or +0.01%)

NHO White 43.96%, Hispanic Origin 27.52%, Black 5.77%, Asian 20.39%
CVAP: NHO White 58.62%, Hispanic Origin 15.76%, Black 6.74%, Asian 16.98%

First Draft EAST (-33,107 or -0.36%)

NHO White 42.48%, Hispanic Origin 40.16%, Black 5.82%, Asian 9.05%
CVAP: NHO White 58.71%, Hispanic Origin 25.13%, Black 6.33%, Asian 7.54%
Target CVAP: NHO White 60.35%, Hispanic Origin 24.27%, Black 6.31%, Asian 6.68%

Corrected EAST (-9,546 or -0.1%)

NHO White 40.76%, Hispanic Origin 40.25%, Black 5.4%, Asian 10.98%
CVAP: NHO White 56.47%, Hispanic Origin 25.72%, Black 6.01%, Asian 9.34%

First Draft ORSB (-69,642 or -0.75%)

NHO White 43.42%, Hispanic Origin 36.76%, Black 4.57%, Asian 13.31%
CVAP: NHO White 59.6%, Hispanic Origin 22.39%, Black 4.99%, Asian 11.3%

Corrected ORSB (+1,331 or +0.1%)

NHO White 42.13%, Hispanic Origin 40.63%, Black 5.71, Asian 9.46%
CVAP: NHO White 57.35%, Hispanic Origin 26.51%, Black 6.22%, Asian 8.02%

First Draft LA (-25,242 or -0.28%)

NHO White 28.69%, Hispanic Origin 48%, Black 8.7%, Asian 13.08%
CVAP: NHO White 42.0%, Hispanic Origin 32.55%, Black 11.8%, Asian 12.22%

Corrected LA (+7,298 or +0.1%)

NHO White 33.74%, Hispanic Origin 42.06%, Black 7.93, Asian 14.65%
CVAP: NHO White 48.89%, Hispanic Origin 25.82%, Black 10.56%, Asian 13.3%

Board of Equalization Correction

35

By Robert M. Neff
June 20, 2011

How the corrections were made:

WEST was built to run from the San Francisco Bay Area, run down the coast to Ventura and gain a balance of the population from Los Angeles County. WEST sent northern counties (above Sonoma & Solano) to EAST and took Ventura County from LA.

WEST Counties: Marin, Sonoma, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo, San Francisco, Santa Cruz, San Benito, Monterey, San Louis Obispo, Santa Barbara, and, Ventura.

EAST was built to run from the North, down through Sacramento, continuing down the Central Valley to Kern County and reached into Los Angeles County to pick up cities east of Los Angeles (south to Downey). EAST received north counties from WEST and gave mountain and foothill counties (as far north as Nevada County) to ORSD.


EAST Counties: Del Norte, Humboldt, Mendocino, Lake, Trinity, Siskiyou, Modoc, Shasta, Lassen, Plumas, Sierra, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Yuba, Colusa, Napa, Yolo, Sutter, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Mariposa, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and, Kern.

ORSO Starts in San Diego County and moves North up the western edge of California to pick up the mountain and foothill counties near Sacramento; reaches into Los Angeles County (via San Bernardino County) for eastern cities down to Whittier. ORSD gives Orange County to LA and takes mountain and foothill counties North to Nevada County from EAST.

ORSO Counties: San Diego, Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, Inyo, Mono, Tuolumne, Calaveras, Amador, Alpine, El Dorado, Placer, and, Nevada.

LA now represents the entire city of Los Angeles. LA gives Ventura County to WEST and takes Orange County from ORSD. LA also includes all of Long Beach and reaches up to include various gateway cities.

LA Counties: Los Angeles & Orange.



W. King

06.20.11. AR



Good evening, my name is Erika ~~King~~ Culley.

I live in the North Park neighborhood of San Diego, which you placed in the "CNSAN" assembly district and the "CSAND" Senate District.

I would request that you link the "CNSAN" Assembly District with the "LMSAND" district instead of the "SSAND" district for the Senate seat in order to keep more of the San Diego Unified School District and the City of San Diego in the same Senate seat. *AND KEEP THE NORTH PARK AREA UNITED INSTEAD OF DIVIDED. San Diego unifies having a lot of challenges and it's important that we stay united*
This will help create more accountable state government for the people of San Diego by having as much of San Diego as possible in the same Senate District.

Thank you for your time.

Spring Villa Road
Machinist Hall

Amey
Watt

TESTIMONY
At Public Hearing Held By
COMMISSIONERS OF CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
For The State of California
DATE: JUNE 20, 2011 LOCATION: SAN DIEGO

06.20.11.A5

Commissioners,

my name is Arvinder Malhotra.

I am here today to comment on the lines you have drawn for Assembly and Senate maps.

I thank you for drawing lines which keep whole most of our API community in Assembly District RCHMM. However, the City of Poway was excluded and put in Assembly District NESAND. Poway is a suburban community and has nothing in common with the rural communities to the east. I live in Sabre Springs which is in District RCHMM. It is a suburban community contiguous to Poway. My family shops in Poway. The Sikh temple where we worship and socialize is in Poway. My children attend schools in the Poway Unified School District. However, the Poway Unified School District is split from its schools located in Rancho Penasquitos, Carmel Mountain Ranch and Rancho Bernardo. Education is a very important issue in our community. It is important that the Poway Unified School District be in the same district with its schools.

Like others who live in the area, many of our activities take place in District RCHMM, not to the east of Poway. For example, I work in the Mira Mesa area. My wife works in the Kearny Mesa area. There are many South Asian businesses, restaurants, and markets located in Mira Mesa which we patronize.

I also think the Commission's draft Senate district makes very little sense from a communities of interest perspective. The map NESAND includes suburban and urban areas with rural areas to the east.

I request that the Commission:

56

#56

TESTIMONY
At Public Hearing Held By
COMMISSIONERS OF CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
For The State of California
DATE: JUNE 20, 2011 LOCATION: SAN DIEGO

Keep together the communities of Mira Mesa, Rancho Penasquitos, Sorrento Valley, Carmel Valley, Rancho Bernardo, and Kearny Mesa in Assembly District RCHMM.

Move Poway into Assembly District RCHMM.

Redraw the lines for a Senate District that is a north/south urban/suburban district. Such a district should include north communities such as Poway, Mira Mesa, Rancho Penasquitos, Sorrento Valley, Carmel Valley, Rancho Bernardo, Kearny Mesa; central San Diego communities; and southern communities such as east National City, east Chula Vista, Paradise Hills, Bay Terrace and Bonita.

In fact, CAPAFR (Coalition of Asian Pacific Americans for Fair Redistricting) submitted a Senate map with this configuration to you on May 26th.

I understand that moving communities from one district to another as requested will require that some communities be moved to another district. CAPAFR will be submitting response maps to you later this month which will set out the proposed line changes to the Commission's maps based on our community's public testimony.

Thank you.

Milton E. Cyphert Jr.

SPRAYER 61

[REDACTED], Lakeside, CA 92040
[REDACTED]

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

06.20.11.AT

To whom it may concern:

I am a citizen who lives in Lakeside, CA which lies in what we San Diegans affectionately call the "East County" or the "Back Country".

I am a business owner. I sit on the Board of Directors for the Lakeside Chamber of Commerce. And I am the co-founder of the East County Community Action Coalition, which is a group that represents more than 79,000 constituents of the various towns and communities throughout our region.

We, in the East County believe that we are a Community of Interest (COI), as spelled out in your guide and meet those criteria to the letter. And here is why.

The East County is made up of a number of towns and communities which all have similar attributes that gives the East County its special charm and attractiveness for those in San Diego who wish to live close to San Diego, but not have to live in urban or suburban locales.

The East County's communities include El Cajon, La Mesa, Santee, Lakeside, Alpine, Jamul, Descanso, Pine Valley, Campo, Harbison Canyon, Blossom Valley, Ramona, San Diego Country Estates, Dehesa, Julian, Granite Hills, Rancho San Diego, Cuyamaca, Boulevard, Banner and Ynez. *CAEST*

These communities geographically are joined together. The East County is a playground for San Diego, with parks, hiking, horse and bike trails, and scenic corridors for all to enjoy. The Imperial Valley is a desert. And where it's not it has vast farms which produce pollution and dust and also has many industrial and energy plants; nothing similar at all.

We share the strong cultural bonds of rural, equestrian and Western heritage. Imperial County is mostly a farm workers' community, again, nothing similar.

These communities serve as the "bedroom" communities of many of the working class and business owners in San Diego County. I imagine that not too many make the daily commute from Imperial Valley to San Diego for work. There are a few, I know; but not enough to "tie" us together.

The East County communities share common watersheds and have common water districts.

As being one of the highest fire-risk regions in the world, we have special fire fighting concerns and share common resources amongst our East County communities.

And, as a matter of commerce, we in the East County support each others' businesses by with a network of friends and colleagues through various organizations. So as you can see, we are a community of communities out here in the East County.

Milton E. Cyphert Jr.

#61

[REDACTED] Lakeside, CA 92040
[REDACTED]

Diluting the East County by "merging" it with Imperial County, the commission could possibly stand to destroy all that we have done to protect and preserve our unique and cherished way of life by taking away our vote and our voice and giving it to the Imperial Valley.

This would devastate the East County, its heritage, its unique culture and its people.

Please, reconsider the 1st Draft Maps for State Senate and Assembly Districts

SPEAKER # 61

Milton E. Cyphert Jr.

Lakeside, CA 92040

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

To whom it may concern:

I am a citizen who lives in Lakeside, CA which lies in what we San Diegans affectionately call the "East County" or the "Back Country".

I am a business owner. I sit on the Board of Directors for the Lakeside Chamber of Commerce. And I am the co-founder of the East County Community Action Coalition, which is a group that represents more than 79,000 constituents of the various towns and communities throughout our region.

We, in the East County believe that we are a Community of Interest (COI), as spelled out in your guide and meet those criteria to the letter. And here is why.

The East County is made up of a number of towns and communities which all have similar attributes that gives the East County its special charm and attractiveness for those in San Diego who wish to live close to San Diego, but not have to live in urban or suburban locales.

Our communities are geographically joined together. The East County is a playground for San Diego, with parks, hiking, horse and bike trails, and scenic corridors for all to enjoy.

We share the strong cultural bonds of rural, equestrian and Western heritage.

These communities serve as the "bedroom" communities of many of the working class and business owners in San Diego County.

The East County communities share common watersheds and have common water districts.

As being one of the highest fire-risk regions in the world, we have special fire fighting concerns and share common resources amongst our East County communities.

And, as a matter of commerce, we in the East County support each others' businesses by with a network of friends and colleagues through various organizations. So as you can see, we are a community of communities out here in the East County.

Diluting the East County by "merging" it with Imperial County, the commission could possibly stand to destroy all that we have done to protect and preserve our unique and cherished way of life by taking away our vote and our voice and giving it to the Imperial Valley.

This would devastate the East County, its heritage, its unique culture and its people.

Please, reconsider the 1st Draft Maps for State Senate and Assembly Districts

62

06.20.11.AU



Equality California | eqca.org

Database Outline

The database created for EQCA by Redistricting Partners includes the following datasets. Each dataset was imported and ranked based on density within the census block group level. These rankings were then summed and used to create the final ranking, called the LGBT Blend Rank or LGBT COI (Community of Interest). This methodology has been reviewed by the Williams Institute and university researchers from USC and CSU.

Person and Household Datasets

	Level	Datapoints	Denominator	Source
Equality California Donors and Members	Address	358,000	18+ Population	EQCA
National Center for Lesbian Rights Donors	Zip Code	18,838	18+ Population	NCLR
Gay and Lesbian Victory Fund Donors	Zip Code	7,655	18+ Population	VF
National Gay and Lesbian Task Force	Zip Codes	27,080	18+ Population	TF
Domestic Partner Database	Address	80,000	18+ Population	Secretary of State
Donors No on 22	Zip Code	6,399	2001 18+ Pop	Secretary of State
Donors No on Prop 8	Zip Code	47,019	18+ Population	Secretary of State
Same Sex Heads of Household	Census Tract	96,874	Households	US Census American Community Survey
POSSLQ Voters	Census Block	198,230	2 Voter HH	Political Data Inc.
<i>Total Datapoints</i>		840,095		

Election Outcome Datasets

	Level	Denominator	Source
Prop 8 No	Census Block	2008 General Votes Cast	Political Data Inc.
Prop 22 No	Census Block	2000 Primary Votes Cast	Statewide Database




LGBT Community of Interest Map CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Equality California | eqca.org

LGBT Blend Rank

- 90-95th Percentile
- 95-99th Percentile
- 99th Percentile
- Other

Field	Value
Population	1,360,815
pdi_domesticpartner	6,098
Prop 8 No	53%
Yes on Prop 8	47%
Prop 22 No	45%
Yes on Prop 22	55%
Prop 8 Donors	2,293
Prop 22 donors	319
LGBT Organizations	27,931
Men_SSHH	3,178
Women_SSHH	2,312
POSSLQ_Count	14,659






Equality California | eqca.org

LGBT Community of Interest Map SOUTHERN SAN DIEGO

LGBT Blend Rank

- 90-95th Percentile
- 95-99th Percentile
- 99th Percentile
- Other



Field	Value
Population	130,679
pdi_domesticpartner	2,687
No on Prop 8	74%
Yes on Prop 8	26%
No on Prop 22	66%
Yes on Prop 22	34%
Prop 8 Donors	1,111
Prop 22 donors	178
LGBT Organizations	10,600
SSHH Count	2,273
Men_SSHH	1,583
Women_SSHH	691
POSSLQ_Count	4,783



For more on these maps: Equality California ::

San Francisco, CA 94114 :: 4

06.20.11.AY

I am Rionna Jones and previously testified at your May 14 hearing in San Diego, and was number #42. I was later referred to by one of the Commissioners as "one of the blondes" from Imperial County during the regional wrap up meeting on May 19. Clearly my testimony was misinterpreted.

I agree with the Congressional and Senate maps that link Imperial County with San Diego and that was reflected in my earlier testimony.

However, I want to clarify that I did not mention the Assembly district at that time as I believed that the current district qualified as a Voting Rights Act district and would remain the same.

In reviewing the Assembly map labeled IMSAND you have disregarded long standing ties of the Imperial Valley with Eastern Coachella Valley; such as water issues, shared transportation corridors and agricultural relationships which sustain our seasonal economies.

I respectfully request that you make the necessary changes in the Assembly map to reflect the historical ties of our 2 valleys, of Imperial and Eastern Coachella Valleys.

Speaker
69

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Thomas P. Lang, President
Deborah Plummer, Vice President
Jack Queen, Treasurer
Richard Doughty, Director
Jack Dorsee, Director
Anne Feighner, Director
Roxana Foxx, Director

Peter B. Smith
Association Manager



Rancho Santa Fe Association

Post Office [REDACTED] • Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067-0359

75 33

ART JURY

Marjorie Vandenberg, President
Linda Hahn, Vice President
Bruce Hall, Secretary
Mary Van Anda
Bruce Warden

Robert J. Green
Building Commissioner

June 20, 2011

06.20.11. AW

California Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

State Assembly District CRNOSAND & State Senate District CSAND

Dear Commissioners:

The Community of Rancho Santa Fe has been included in the far northeast corner of the proposed districts referenced above. As a community, we are concerned that the proposed boundary lines are dividing our community itself and the districts that provide service to our area as well separating us from our neighbors.

Rancho Santa Fe was organized as the second homeowner's association in California in 1927 and is currently located within the unincorporated area of San Diego County.

Under the current plan our community would be negatively impacted as follows:

1. The proposed boundary lines actually allocate homes within our Association to two different districts.
2. The proposed boundary lines cut through our School District.
3. The proposed boundary lines cut through our Fire District.
4. The proposed boundary lines cut through our Sewer District.
5. Under CRNOSAND we would be the only unincorporated area in the district with about 2% of the district population.
6. Under CSAND the only other small unincorporated area in the district is over 30 miles away in the southern section.
7. Under the proposed boundary we would be surrounded on three sides, north, east and south by District RANCHOBMM. 70% of our western boundary would be the proposed District of NCOASTSAND.
8. Our only common boundary with our district is about 15% of our border.
9. All of the areas bordering us to the north, south and east are unincorporated areas, all outside of our district.
10. CRNOSAND appears to do a very good job of combining coastal cities – we are not a city and we do not have a coast.


We encourage you to consider placing us with our neighbors in RANCHOBMM and NESAN. These are communities that share a common interest and would result in boundaries that just make common sense.

Sincerely,


Peter Smith, General Manager

Attachments: Proposed Districts Maps

California State Senate - CSAND



California State Assembly - CRNOSAND



#75



BILL HORN

CHAIRMAN
SUPERVISOR, FIFTH DISTRICT
SAN DIEGO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

06.20.11. AX

June 20, 2011

California Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for your work on the California Citizens Redistricting Commission.

I have recently had the opportunity to review the proposed redistricting maps for the Senate and Assembly districts in San Diego. After reviewing the maps and meeting with some of my constituents in the San Dieguito area, I share their concern about the potential negative impacts of dividing Rancho Santa Fe from the neighboring unincorporated communities.

I also concerned that Rancho Santa Fe would be the only unincorporated community in what would otherwise be very urban coastal Senate and Assembly districts, notwithstanding a small unincorporated area east of Otay Mesa along the border with Mexico.


Rancho Santa Fe and the neighboring unincorporated communities in the San Dieguito area represent a "community of interest" and should not be separated, but remain in one State Senate and one State Assembly district.

I strongly encourage the Commission to remove Rancho Santa Fe from the CSAND coastal Senate district and the CRONOSAND State Assembly district. Instead, I urge the Commission to include Rancho Santa Fe and the neighboring communities in the NESAN or SANOC State Senate district and in the RANCHOBMM or NCOASTSAND State Assembly district.


Thank you for your consideration of my request and for your service to the citizens of the State of California.

Sincerely,

BILL HORN
Chairman
Supervisor, Fifth District
County of San Diego



SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-2470



TESTIMONY
At Public Hearing Held By
COMMISSIONERS OF CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
For The State of California
DATE: JUNE 20, 2011 LOCATION: SAN DIEGO

06.20.11.AY

91

I want to thank the Commission for including the Southeast Asian community in Assembly District LMSAND. This community of interest is made up of City Heights east including the area between El Cajon Boulevard and Orange Avenue east of Freeway 15, Oak Park, El Cerrito and Redwood Village. This community of interest is cohesive in that they have common interests around language access needs, ethnic markets, culture, small businesses, health and other services.

I request that the Commission:

Keep together City Heights east, Oak Park, El Cerrito and Redwood Village in Assembly District LMSAND and not split it apart.

On the Senate side, this community of interest is included in a rural district to the east – Senate District ISAND. Our communities have nothing in common with these rural areas. Our communities are within the heart of the urban area of San Diego. We share concerns around city issues which establishes a community of interest. We have more in common with communities to the north in the City of San Diego.

I request that the Commission:

Draw an urban district from South County to the north that is between a coastal district and a rural district. Include similar socio-economic communities with City Heights east, Oak Park, El Cerrito and Redwood Village such as East National City, East Chula Vista to the south and Linda Vista, Kearny Mesa, Mira Mesa, Rancho Penasquitos to the north.

A urban district map was submitted to you by CAPAFR (Coalition of Asian Pacific Americans for Fair Redistricting) as Senate District 39 back in May.

CAPAFR will be submitting response maps to you later this month which will propose the line changes to the Commission's maps based, in part, on our community's public testimony.

Thank you.