

Michelle's directions to line drawers re nor. cal/central valley/central coast

CA 2023 ASSEMB.	District Name	Details about the District	** Technical Notes **	*** Fiscal notes ***
page 12 (sec. 5)	RIVMERCED	Turlock was not split but Modesto was split at the 132 and 99; northern track of Modesto has Asian VAP but not Black VAP and in order to increase BVAP ans AVAP you would have to do a curl to erab that population	Need further research as to CAPIFER's proposal and we need to do outreach to Merced and Stockton's API community to see about their preference; Direct Q2 to look at surname API communities in this district as well as into stockton/SJ Co. Also ask for population shifts from Q2 in the numbers of BVAP and AVAP.	Question: how do we take into account population shifts in an area (i.e. if there are no ethnic populations left in the community how can you avoid retrogression? - Stan's question); ALSO: look into surname data as to the types of API communities to see where they reside stockton/ SJ Co. Also ask for population shifts from Q2 in Merced versus in San Joaquin and Stanislaus
page 14 (sec. 5)	RIVKINGS	all of Kings Co intact, into Kern Co - Kern curl reflects same directional curl as SD & CD; also grabs Weedpatch, Lamont and other COI testimony		
page 13 (sec. 5)	SLCLARAWMONT	Western Monterey and entire Santa Cruz county by not Pajaro; in Santa Clara County it includes Gilroy, San Martin and Morzan hill		
page 13 (sec. 5)	RIVIMONT	eastern monterey including Watsonville		
page 6 (sec. 5)	RIXYUBA	Shasta, Tehama, Colusa, Sutter, Yuba and Northern Yolo County split includes cities of Esparto and northern unincorporated parts of the County	Question: Butte was not able to be included in the AD but is able to be with Sutter/Yuba Colusa in SD & CD (check on this!)	
CV - Fresno (sec. 2)	RVISSECTION2	only split is Fresno which includes the southern half of Fresno along the 50 corridor and Selma, Reedley, Sutter, Granger Cove.		
SENATE				
page 13 (Sec. 5)	MERCEDMONT	splits the city of Modesto, does not include the city of Turlock (dissimilar to AD); flatland of Madera Co, W. of 99 Fresno Co. ; into San Benito; and into Monterey along the 101; Salida (N. of Modesto)	Q: where to split modesto; also should be include Salida - which would not be with Modesto - or should Salida be included but parts of south modesto would need to be "shaved off"	
page 13 (sec. 5)	KINGS	split of Fresno and Bakersfield as well as some aspect of the "Kern Curl"	Q2: look at option to decrease the Kings "finger" northests finger where the 41 and 99 area to look at reducing the finger as well as the "slip" from Madera to even out population and compactness of districts; to look at a slightly higher deviation to get to a more compact place	
page 16 (sec. 5)	MONTEREY	goes south into VTA co "grabbing" Santa Clara Valley communities; does not include Santa Cruz Co or Santa Clara	need to push this district north into the Monterey Bay area into Santa Cruz Co., while keeping Ventura, Co intact and not splitting the Gjai Valley or include Santa Clara Valley; from Q2: simply cut off Via Co and go north or do they go all the way to just south of Santa Cruz to keep inline with Monterey not wanting to be with city of Santa Cruz?	
page 18 (sec. 5)	YUBA	split in Yolo similar to AD (just Northern Co); includes the Lake Tahoe area; split in Placer and El Dorado were to incorporate the Lake Tahoe basin;	Myres (south of Tahoe) should be kept in the district alone 50	
CONGRSS.				
page 20 (sec. 5)	MERCED	entire Merced, flatland of Madera; along 99 corridor and southern cities of Fresno;	can you eliminate the "finger" and push it back up into Merced? and give some of the finger to the Tulare or Fresno district	
page 20 (sec. 5)	KINGS	western Fresno Co., west of the 99 with a couple of eastern 99; all of Kings; some Tulare in the southwest; includes the Kern Curl again;	potential Sec. 2 with the LCYAP of 49.22%	also needs to be reviewed by GD for Sec. 2; ACS data and RPV (?) data to be looked at
page 20 (sec. 5)	MONTEREY	Monterey, San Benito and parts of Santa Cruz (notes: Scotts Valley went into the Silicon Valley)		
page 23 (sec. 5)	YUBA	Santa rosa, Rohnert Park, Cotati, Napa, Sonoma together in this district; American Canyon not included (?); Northern Yolo Co. split a bit different than AD & SD) a little further north in the split; in order to maintain the foothills district of the sierras then it needed everything up north and then back down south again.	Consider Yolo Co in this district instead of the part of Sonoma district (which includes Santa Rosa); also considered Butte back in instead of Santa Rosa which would move Napa/Yolo into the Sac. county area of districts - but this was not accepted; ULTIMATELY: take Sonoma Co out of this district (particularly Santa Rosa) and look into routing Yolo in its entirety into this district	
Misc. Assembly				
page 6	RIXDELMEENDO	Del Norte, Humboldt, Trinity, Mendocino and Sonoma split; included cities: cloverdale, healdsburg, Sebastipool, rohnert part, petaluma		
page 6	RIXMTCAP	Mountain Cap district; Counties split were Placer and Nevada to be able to include Lake Tahoe area	** Note: Sonoma has 2 splits and 3 districts for AD (see if this is fair based on other district splits)	
page 7	NAPALAKE	intact Napa and Lake and east of 99 in Sonoma County split (Windsor, Santa Rosa, Glenn Allen)		
page 8	MARIN	Marin Co, S. Sonoma Co. (cities of Sonoma, Txxx) , SE tracts of Solano Co. (includes, Benita, Vallejo, Green Valley and split of Fairfield for non. deviation)	Travis airfield base with Fairfield (Stan); Vallejo identifies with East Bay (Maria) - not so much with NAPA - the bridge is not a barrier; look into exchanging Napa with the split of Fairfield; look into written public comment about Solano Co. as mentioned by Cynthia;	
page 8	WPLACERNASAC	splits the city of Auburn; city of Folsom and included; split cities include Citrus Heights	check on the Auburn split into foothill district; leaves Citrus Heights as a split in Sac. Co.	
page 8	RVIELDORADO	Western Sac. Co. and very east part of El Dorado Co; small finger of Sac. split; Wilton included and other eastern Sac. Co non-		
page 8	RVIWESTSAC	West Sac. a bit of eastern yolo Co including Davis; and some of another N.W. counties	need to include UC Davis in this district; Dixon with Yacaville	
page 8	RVISSACELKGR	South Sac. city and Elk Grove including Hmzone community;	you could cross the 1-5 down into Isleton and into Walnut grove, too to get population to move the southern line northward;	
page 8	ECONTRACOSTA		work on the Pittsburg and Antioch and that corridor of the 4; make room for lodi and gait in Stockton district; look into taking out betbel island and oakley, knighting; also look into taking manteca out of the stockton district and into southern SJ Co.	
page 10	RVIESTANESJ	1/2 of Stans. and into southern SJ CO.; if Manteca goes into Puroke then there's too much in the surale;	Lodi and Stockton together - try to keep Galt with Lodi, too; look into Tracy with Stockton and allow Manteca to go with South Co. and Stan./Modesto; see if you can do something with do not go into Tracy and over the altarnout pass (mitchell).	
page 11	EALAMEDA	Walnut Creek is split (only city); includes LaMirinda; TRI-Valley area; and into Simol.		
page 11	WCONTRACOST	Oakland split to Carkenize bridge; and into Alameda split ; includes Emmeryville and Piedmont and Oakland Hills is where the split is;	look into taking out the Oakland Hills and bringing the finger back into the northern part of oakland; look into combining emmeryville into the Oakland district; choice of Emmeryville and Piedmont into Oakland - NEED TO GET PUBLIC TESTIMONY HERE to follow-up on Connie (preference Emmeryville;	
page 11	OAKLAND	takes the rest of Oakland and down into San Leandro; includes Rockledge	Benicia and Vallejo are very different (Maria); Benitia (with Berkeley) is identifies with east and Vallejo with West Contra Costa (Maria and Cynthia); Benitia into Stockton area and vallejo into the east bay area (oakland, etc) if pop needs to be shifted around;	
page 11	PITTSANTIOCH	northern Contra Costa up to the bridge and into the eastern bay area alone the 4; cuts off Discovery Bay Byron, Brentwood area	try to keep San Leandro together, especially if Vallejo is pushed south into the northern Oakland/east bay and then everything gets pushed south and maybe picking up the rest of San Leandro; NOTE: where is a good split for San Leandro? (need COI here);	
page 11	HAYWARD	Castro Valley, split of San Leandro on the north (about 23,000 (non based) and split of Fremont to the south.		
page 11	MILPBERRY	Milpitas down to Berryessa (based on street boundaries given in COI testimony)		
page 12	SANJOSE	northern split of San Jose was to incorporate the Berryessa area's COI	maybe clean-up the southern San Jose split; need to clean up the western boundary of the district to allow for transportation between northern and southern parts of the western monterey district;	
page 13	RVIIMONT	discussed under section 5.	is there some way to pick up the Cupertino pop (5,000) somewhere - maybe the southern San Jose district	
page 12	SILICONVAL	split in cupertino (pop. based 4,000 in blue SILICONVAL and 5000 in yellow (SSANMATRO)		

CA area	District Name	Details about the District	** Technical Notes **	*** Issue notes ***
page 12	SSANMATEO	northern cities in this district were kept whole; exception of Half Moon Bay	clean up Half Moon Bay and Cupertino splits	
page 11	NSANMATEO	includes a small section of southern SF	Cal Institute and Cal Conservation League have other ways to split SF that might filter into San Mateo in a better way; looking into city of SF planning data on neighborhoods;	
page 11	2 SF areas		for SF: Angelo and Cynthia could look at SF equivalency files to determine the boundary options for SF to give to Q2	
page 9	FOOTHILLS	Central Placer & El Dorado and Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mono, Mariposa, Madera	can you put 14,000 of cameron park back into FOOTHILLS district and do something with the 43,000 in madera city (?) area - south/west part of RVPRESNO district - that could be included in the valley district	
page 14	RVPRESNO	Nor. Kern - east of 99, Tulare Co, small northern Kern County communities		
page 14	RVPULARE	remainder of kern co, west of 1-5, remainder of bakersfield and ricecrest included	look into the MGNQ/INYO/HIGH DESERT district that has impacts on the eastern part of this district (see Karin's direction)	
page 14	RVBKAKERS		can you keep Lompoc whole by shifting Santa Ynez and Bulletin south?; can you split the correctional facility instead of a city?	
page 15	RVSLOS	splits the city of Lompoc and southern boundary in north of soleta	NEED feedback from VTA cities as to where the split should happen; either through Onard with Fort Huemene and El Rio into E. Vta Co. or Santa Paula/Pillmore/Piru into E. Vta. Co.	
page 15	RVSWEVENT	Problem area for the stills that might be required		
CONGRESS				
page 22	NORTHCOST	North Coast Counties, including Marin and split at Sonoma Co.		
page 22	RXIMTCAP	Placer/El Dorado split is for Tahoe; Grass Valley and Nevada city together.		
page 23	RXIVBA	already discussed it in Sec. 5		
page 23	SOLANO SACRAMENTO	Solano and a bit of southern Yolo Co a touch of Napa, and into Sac. Co. with Walnut Grove, Isleton and Pittsburg in Contra Costa	problem of Pittsburg (50,000+) in this district and out of Contra Costa - no real place to make up the population difference; take from Oakly	
page 21	SAN JOAQUIN	has lodi, stockton, down until mantecca (not sure about lathrop) plus eastern contra costa (no rail)	Not much to do except to take from Discovery Bay, Oakly, etc. some pop on western boundary may change.	
page 21	STANSILAUS	all Stan. Co. and parts of So. SJ CO including Tracy, (modesto not split for CD like before)	el cerito in contra costa so maybe they can get richmond in (marin)	
page 25	CONTRA COASTA	San Ramon valley north through lamirinda north and west over through hercules and to top of oakland area	clean up eastern valley end of the district (comic)	
page 19	OAKLAND	balance of oakland	** ISSUE of Alameda being an east-west district (which allows for oakland to be kept whole and counties to be more intact) - CAN SOLICIT input from these areas here; Issue of keeping Newark and Fremont and Union City together? (Connie's question); should we look at rotating the district from tri-county up north and then push south from hercules/bridge area and work down through oakland (but would be split - pain issue) and split alameda County around Fremont)	
page 19	ALAMEDA	Fremont finger - split on the western edge of the city of fremont on the other side of newark		
page 25	SAN JOSE	(good from Amado)		
page 20	MONTEREY	(good to go)		
page 25	SANMATEO	(good from Vinco)		
page 25	SAN FRANCISCO	northern San Mateo and a bit into south SF		
page 21	FOOTHILLS	mostly good - details need to be worked out the usual foothill counties	Cynthia and Angelo will continue to work on these areas with mappers	
page 20	FRESNO	rest of freso along 99 (outside of Merced sec. 5) and the cities of visalia and tulare		
page 20	TULARE			
page 20	KINGS (sec. 5)			
page 24	SLOS	SLO, SB and Ojai	put Ojai with SB or take a part of western VTA city to be SB	
page 24	EASTVENT	over populated by ~110,000	take off Simi Valley (based on population) with Santa Clarita	
SENATE				
page 18	NORTHCOST	part of Solano Co and not Marin		
page 18	YUBA - sec. 5	Butte is included with colusa /glenn buddies on this one (with a large percentage of the pop)		
		REMEMBER: that nesting is a place to start but then mappers can clean up the lines and the pain of nesting		
		NESTING ADs: Solano and Marin AD together, Cent. sac. & El Dorado; West Sac & SACELGR; Stockton and Stanislaus; foothill with eastern foothills;		
		Nesting ADs: richmond & oakland; pitburg/contra costa and east alameda; west alameda/hayward & milpitas and berryessa; 2 SFs together; san mateo county together; 7coast 2 districts and 2 inland districts together - issues of sec 5?;		

	AD (1): Sec. 5 benchmark	AD (1): Sec. 5 visualization #1	SD: Sec. 5 benchmark	SD:Sec. 5 visualization	CD: Sec. 5 benchmark	CD: Sec. 5 visualization
Merced		0.3%				
LVAP	47.03%	48.49				
BVAP	6.21 %	3.24				
AVAP	11.49%	6.85				
Kings		0.26%				
LVAP	63.39%	63.64				
BVAP	6.77 %	7.33				
AVAP	3.85%	3.85				
Monterey (W)		0.64%				
LVAP	19.86	22.92				
BVAP	2.32	2.28				
AVAP	7.76	7				
Monterey (E)		-0.07%				
LVAP	60.93	60.53				
BVAP	2.19	2.3				
AVAP	10.91	12.9				
Yuba		0.21%				
LVAP	11.72	17.72				
BVAP	2.16	1.46				
AVAP	3.37	5.5				
Merced		-1.52%				
LVAP	53.48	57.43				
BVAP	3.14	3.27				
AVAP	5.64	5.6				
Monterey		0.01%				
LVAP						
BVAP						
AVAP						
Yuba				0.33%		

	AD (1): Sec. 5 benchmark	AD (1): Sec. 5 visualization #1	SD: Sec. 5 benchmark	SD:Sec. 5 visulalization	CD: Sec. 5 benchmark	CD: Sec. 5 visualization
LVAP			13.41	14.4		
BVAP			1.48	1.66		
AVAP			4.785	4.11		
Merced (pg 20)						
LVAP					47.23	52.87
BVAP					5.92	6.19
AVAP					9.54	8.64
Kings (pg 20)						
LVAP					65.72	65.83
BVAP					6.95	5.39
AVAP					5.41	3.99
Monterey (pg 20)						
LVAP					44.16	
BVAP					2.5	
AVAP					6.5	
Yuba						
LVAP					15.48	23.87
BVAP					1.41	1.91
AVAP					4.57	5.62

	AD (1): Sec. 5 benchmark	AD (1): Sec. 5 visualization #1	SD: Sec. 5 benchmark	SD:Sec. 5 visulalization	CD: Sec. 5 benchmark	CD: Sec. 5 visualization

