



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: Redistricting Comments

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>
To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 2:35 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Jul 5, 2011 at 4:16 PM
Subject: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: Redistricting Comments
To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Alex Woods <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 1:53 PM
Subject: Re: Redistricting Comments
To: "Dai, Cynthia" <[REDACTED]>

Thank you, Commissioner Dai.

On Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 1:43 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>
> Alex--
> Here is a relevant public comment from the mayor of Orange. Mentions Buena Park too.
> --}cyn

>
> ----- Forwarded message -----

> From: Office, Communications <[REDACTED]>
> Date: Thu, May 19, 2011 at 6:34 PM
> Subject: Fwd: Redistricting Comments
> To: Commissioners <[REDACTED]> Daniel Claypool <[REDACTED]> Kirk Miller
<[REDACTED]>

>
> ----- Forwarded message -----

> From: Thank yo <[REDACTED]>
> Date: Mon, May 16, 2011 at 9:25 PM
> Subject: Redistricting Comments
> To: [REDACTED]

>
> ----- Forwarded message -----

> From: Thank yo <[REDACTED]>
> Date: Mon, May 16, 2011 at 9:25 PM
> Subject: Redistricting Comments
> To: [REDACTED]

>
> Please find attached comments regarding redistricting. I testified at the Orange County hearing and was asked to submit written comments as well. Best to you as you finish this process.
> Mayor Carolyn Cavecche, City of Orange

>
>
>
>

>
> --
> Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
> California Citizens Redistricting Commission
> "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
> [REDACTED]

--
Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--
Marian M. Johnston
[REDACTED]



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: REGION 2 - CD DISTRICT OPTIONS (alexwoods.q2@gmail.com)

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>
To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 2:34 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dai, Cynthia** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Jul 5, 2011 at 4:22 PM
Subject: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: REGION 2 - CD DISTRICT OPTIONS ([REDACTED])
To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dai, Cynthia** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Jun 21, 2011 at 9:31 AM
Subject: Re: REGION 2 - CD DISTRICT OPTIONS ([REDACTED])
To: Alex Woods <[REDACTED]>

Fantastic! I'm traveling today too...

--}cyn

On Tue, Jun 21, 2011 at 9:17 AM, Alex Woods <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Thank you Commissioner Dai. I will take a look at these options and see what is possible. I may not get back to you until tomorrow as I am traveling today and have some notes I need to take care of today.

Best,
Alex

On Mon, Jun 20, 2011 at 5:50 PM, <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I've shared [REGION 2 - CD DISTRICT OPTIONS](#)

Message from [REDACTED]

Take a look at what we're thinking about.

--}cyn

Click to open:

[REGION 2 - CD DISTRICT OPTIONS](#)

Google Docs makes it easy to create, store and share online documents, spreadsheets and presentations.

[Logo for Google Docs](#)

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Marian M. Johnston

[REDACTED]





Leitch, Lonn <[redacted]>

Fwd: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: Region 8 notes

Johnston, Marian <[redacted]>
To: "Leitch, Lonn" <[redacted]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 2:34 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[redacted]>
Date: Tue, Jul 5, 2011 at 4:26 PM
Subject: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: Region 8 notes
To: Marian Johnston <[redacted]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[redacted]>
Date: Mon, Jun 27, 2011 at 9:10 PM
Subject: Region 8 notes
To: "Shupe, Christina" <[redacted]>
Cc: Connie Galambos-Malloy <[redacted]> Karin
Mac Donald <[redacted]> Tamina Alon
<[redacted]>

Congressional Districts

Assumptions for current districts

Did not cross GG Bridge, but may need to (COI majority don't cross;
some COI suggests Southern, urbanized Marin could be paired w/SF; also
Marin is left out of Sonoma/Napa/Lake/Mendocino COI)
Do not break Bay Bridge (COI mostly silent - a few negative comments &
one positive)
Do not break San Mateo Bridge (COI silent)
Carquinez Bridge - OK to break (COI for both options)

Benicia-Martinez Bridge - OK to break

COIs split in current districts

N CC split from rest of CC

Population problem that spilled over from the Central Valley - may be
able to pull from Hercules/Pinole instead? Q2 is working on it. This
was the attempt not to split Antioch or other aspects of NE area.
Tried to grab Benicia but that was not working.

Pittsburgh split from Antioch

Both too large to keep them intact...this is where the pivot
happens.This was how we were able to keep them whole.

South Alameda County/Tri-Cities (Fremont, Newark, Union City)
American Canyon split from Napa
Mendocino/Lake/Sonoma/Napa wine-growing region
Santa Rosa (county seat) split from Sonoma (population)
City splits for population: Richmond, Menlo Park - please correct.
San Mateo coast
Valley of the Moon/wine-growing region

Potential population exchanges

Consider removing Western Siskiyou from North Coast district-
conflicting testimony about keeping county whole (with east) or
maintaining split with coast. Removing it may allow keeping other COIs
whole in Bay Area.

Consider creating 2 North Coastal districts: add in Lake & Northern
part of Napa/redistribute Sonoma - Put American Canyon in Napa in a
Coastal district (not with Yuba!) and Santa Rosa (county seat of
Sonoma) in a Sonoma district if possible.

If we cross GG Bridge, including Sausalito/Marin City no further north
than San Rafael in SF-based district (separating urban/suburban from
rural Marin), does that give us flexibility to preserve other COIs?

Tri-City COI

Richmond whole (and in Contra Costa if possible)
San Pablo & El Cerrito whole - with Richmond if possible
Menlo Park whole and with Stanford area COI (Palo Alto, Atherton,
Woodside, East Palo Alto)
East Palo Alto: can we pair this with Redwood City?
San Mateo Coast whole (put Montara with rest of Coast)
West Valley cities: Mountain View, Sunnyvale, Cupertino, Santa Clara
Silicon Valley "Golden Triangle"

Check South Bay Redistricting Coalition map; how does this affect SF (ADs)?

Contra Costa North of San Pablo could go with Vallejo/Benicia
Preserve Eden Area: San Leandro/San Lorenzo/Ashland/Castro Valley
Create East Bay Coast & Tri-Valley Coast N/S districts (to preserve
Tri-Cities & Tri-Valleys COI)

Fix grab of El Cerrito, San Pablo over the East Bay hills; go north

Swap Davis with Lodi?

review public comments from the Bay Area tour--you have permission to
view these as potential options that may produce more compatible
districts with other regions

--}cyn

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Marian M. Johnston

[REDACTED]



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: time line for tomorrow

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 2:33 PM

To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, Jul 5, 2011 at 4:27 PM

Subject: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: time line for tomorrow

To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, Jun 28, 2011 at 11:24 PM

Subject: Re: time line for tomorrow

To: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Peter Yao <[REDACTED]> Michelle DiGuilio

<[REDACTED]> jaime clark <[REDACTED]>

Yes, I sent George an email asking him to refer to the districts by the names in the first drafts.

--}cyn

On Tue, Jun 28, 2011 at 11:17 PM, Karin Mac Donald

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

>
> i will check with tamina. i think jaime is up to speed on her districts, however. tamina will be there on saturday and (depending on how things go sat/sun) on sunday as well. i doubt that she has been able to make much progress on the notes you sent to her today: she was working with commissioners ancheta et al most of the day today (starting at 8am)

> re: george brown - that sounds like its going to be interesting. we will do what we can. i am ccing jaime so she can be prepared. let me suggest that you ask george to EXPLAIN what the difference of the version is he refers to based on the 1st draft maps.

> i will see you tomorrow morning.

>

> good night,

> .

> karin

>

> On Tue, Jun 28, 2011 at 11:08 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>

>> Karin--

>> Yes, we'll try to get to ADs if we can. May I suggest that we start at the north and then do the other regions with Section 5 districts next? Is it possible to conference Tamina in when we do the Bay Area?

>> Also, I can foresee a problem when George is speaking. He refers to districts by # and option #, and we often don't know to what he is referring. You may have to remind us what we're looking at when we get to those Section 5 districts.

>> --}cyn

>>

>> On Tue, Jun 28, 2011 at 10:55 PM, Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>>

>>> hello commissioners,

>>> my apologies for not sending this earlier: i only replied to commissioner diguilio because she sent the document to me.

>>> also, i'm just now back on line: it took forever to check into the hotel - apparently there are a lot of cancelled flights and the airlines booked everyone right here. they were all ahead of me at the check in. sigh.

>>> .

>>> karin

>>>

>>> ----- Forwarded message -----

>>> From: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

>>> Date: Tue, Jun 28, 2011 at 8:46 PM

>>> Subject: time line for tomorrow

>>> To: Michelle DiGuilio <[REDACTED]>

>>>

>>>

>>> Scheduling of Line Drawing Sessions:

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> Northern CA

Southern CA

>>>

>>> ~37 ADs

~ 43 ADs

>>>

>>> ~ 20 SDs

~ 20 SDs

>>>

>>> ~ 25 CDs

~ 28 CDs

>>>

>>> * total = 82 districts

* total = 91 districts

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> June 29th: 9 a.m. - 6 p.m. - Northern California

>>>

>>> 9-12: line drawing; 12-1: lunch; 1-3: line drawing, 3:00-5:00/6:00 business

>>>

>>> Line Drawing Time = 5 hrs. - 30 min. for breaks = 4 ½ hrs

>>>

>>> 4 ½ hrs. = 270 minutes

>>>

>>> ● ~25/26 CDs

>>>

>>> ○ Region 9 (Northern CA - 6 districts) = TIME: 30 minutes

>>>

>>> Stan & Gil

>>>

>>> ○ Region 6 (Central Valley - 8 districts) = TIME: 45 hour max depending on VRA issues and san joaquin county

>>>

>>> Michelle & Gabino

>>>

>>>

>>> ○ Region 5 (SLO/SB/VTA - 3 districts) = TIME: 15 minutes max

>>>

>>> Gabino & Mike

>>>

>>> ○ Region 7 (Santa Clara/Santa Cruz/Monterey/etc. - 4 districts) = TIME: 30

>>>

>>> Angelo & Vince
>>>
>>> o Region 8: (SF/East Bay/Marin/Napa/Sonoma/etc. - 5 districts) = TIME: 30
>>>
>>> Cynthia & Connie
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ● ANY CHANCE WE CAN GET TO SOME OF THE 37 ADS?
>>>
>>> If so,
>>>
>>> (1) how many lets try to do as many of jaime's ads as possible between 2 and 3.
>>>
>>> (2) which ones: everything outside of the bay area.
>>>
>>> and
>>>
>>> (3) at what time? See above
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
>> California Citizens Redistricting Commission
>> "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
>> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
>> [REDACTED]
>

--
Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--
Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--
Marian M. Johnston
[REDACTED]



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: written directions for northern cal CDs

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>
To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 2:34 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Jul 5, 2011 at 4:25 PM
Subject: CRP PRA, 1 Fwd: written directions for northern cal CDs
To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Jun 27, 2011 at 5:07 PM
Subject: Re: written directions for northern cal CDs
To: jaime clark <[REDACTED]>

Connie is going to work on it during the meeting.
--}cyn

On Mon, Jun 27, 2011 at 1:20 PM, jaime clark <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>
> thank you thank you thank you!

>
> On Mon, Jun 27, 2011 at 1:20 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>
>> got it! Connie and I have been strapped for time, but I'll try to pull something together this afternoon for her to review so we can send to Christina.
>> --}cyn

>>
>> On Mon, Jun 27, 2011 at 1:16 PM, jaime clark <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>>
>>> hi commissioner dai!
>>> if you'd like to see visualizations of potential changes to the bay area CDs on wednesday, i need written directions by tonight! this might even be pushing it, as i'm going to be in sacramento and en route from 2pm on tomorrow.
>>> please let me know if i'm missing something and you've announced directions in a public hearing.
>>> thanks! see you soon!
>>> jaime

>>
>>
>> --
>> Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
>> California Citizens Redistricting Commission
>> "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
>> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
>> [REDACTED]
>

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Marian M. Johnston

[REDACTED]





Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: Draft ADs for regions v, vi, vii, viii, & ix

Ontai, Lilbert <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 3:02 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ana Henderson** <[redacted]>
Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 10:10 PM
Subject: Draft ADs for regions v, vi, vii, viii, & ix
To: "Sargis, Janeece" <[redacted]> "Claypool, Daniel" <[redacted]> "Shupe, Christina" <[redacted]>
Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[redacted]> Ana Henderson <[redacted]>

Hello,
Attached are pdfs of draft ADs for regions V, VI, VII, VIII, and IX.
Enjoy!
Ana

--
Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[redacted]

16 attachments

-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailo_slosb_bakers.pdf**
172K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailp_sbwwent_eastvent.pdf**
182K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailn_kings_tulare.pdf**
194K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailm_section2_fresno.pdf**
198K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detaill_westsf_eastsf_detail.pdf**
201K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailk_nsanmateo_westsf_eastsf.pdf**
195K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailj_sanmateo_milpberry_siliconval_sanjose.pdf**
216K

 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detaili_sclaramont_mont_section2.pdf**
192K

 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailh_estanesj_merced.pdf**
231K

 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailg_oakland_ealameda_hayward.pdf**
241K

 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailf_wcontracost_pittsantioch.pdf**
219K


 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detaile_marin_econtracosta_stockton.pdf**
242K


 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detaild_foothills.pdf**
228K


 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailc_wplacernsac_westsac_eldorado_ssacelkgr.pdf**
205K

 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detailb_napalake.pdf**
217K


 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_assembly_detaila_delmendo_yuba_mtcap.pdf**
176K







**Assembly District
Visualization
Detail N:
KINGS, TULARE**



**Assembly District
Visualization
Detail L:
WESTSF, EASTSF detail**

LEGEND:
District
Deviation
% Deviation
% LCVAP
% BCVAP
% ACVAP


WESTSF
4,600
0.99%
9.14%
4.26%
38.32%


EASTSF
4,412
0.95%
13.27%
9.58%
25.36%

OAKLAND
9,079
1.95%
12.73%
30.03%
20.41%

EALAMEDA
11,482
2.47%
7.27%
2.57%
12.6%

10.62%





WESTSF
 4,600
 0.99%
 9.14%
 4.26%
 38.32%

Assembly District Visualization Detail K: NSANMATEO, WESTSF, EASTSF


LEGEND:
 District
 Deviation
 % Deviation
 % LCVAP
 % BCVPAP
 % ACVPAP

EASTSF
 4,412
 0.95%
 13.27%
 9.58%
 25.36%

OAKLAND
 9,079
 1.95%
 12.73%
 30.03%
 20.41%


NSANMATEO
 -4,354
 -0.93%
 13.24%
 2.57%
 19.7%


10.62%



**Assembly District
Visualization
Detail I:
SCLARAMONT, MONT,
SECTION2**


LEGEND:
District
Deviation
% Deviation
% LCVAP
% BCVAP
% ACVAP






**Assembly District
Visualization
Detail H:
ESTANESJ, MERCED**


LEGEND:
District
Deviation
% Deviation
% LCVAP
% BCVP
% ACVP






**Assembly District
Visualization
Detail E:
MARIN, ECONTRACOSTA,
STOCKTON**

LEGEND:
District
Deviation
% Deviation
% LCVAP
% BCVAP
% ACVAP



**Assembly District
Visualization
Detail D:
FOOTHILLS**

LEGEND:
District
Deviation
% Deviation
% LCVAP
% BCVAP
% ACVAP



**Assembly District
Visualization
Detail C:
WPLACERNSAC,
WESTSAC, ELDORADO,
SSACELKGR**

LEGEND:
District
Deviation
% Deviation
% LCVAP
% BCVAP
% ACVAP

NAPALAKE
-4,717
-1.01%
12.05%
2.06%
3.4%

WPLACERNSAC
-4,149
-0.89%
8.48%
3.06%
5.48%


FOOTHILLS
-1,115
-0.24%
12.52%
2.58%
1.58%

WESTSAC
-8,437
-1.81%
14.94%
9.87%
12.26%

ELDORADO
-7,261
-1.56%
9.29%
6.62%
6.63%

SSACELKGR
-2,274
-0.49%
16.85%
16.5%
22.57%

ECONTRACOSTA
2,858
0.61%
17.65%
8.87%
7.3%



Assembly District Visualization Detail B: NAPALAKE

LEGEND:
District
Deviation
% Deviation
% LCVAP
% BCVP
% ACVP

DELMENDO
-7,860
-1.69%
7.95%
1.29%
2.62%

NAPALAKE
-4,717
-1.01%
12.05%
2.06%
3.4%

WPLACERNSAC
-4,149
-0.89%
8.48%
3.06%
5.48%

FOOTHILLS
-1,115
-0.24%
12.52%
2.58%
1.58%


WESTSAC
-8,437
-1.81%
14.94%
9.87%
12.26%

ELDORADO
-7,261
-1.56%
9.29%
6.62%
6.63%

SSACELKGR
-2,274
-0.49%
16.85%
16.5%
22.57%


ECONTRACOSTA
2,858
0.61%
17.65%
8.87%
7.3%

MARIN



**Assembly District
Visualization
Detail A:
DELMENDO, YUBA,
MTCAP**

LEGEND:
District
Deviation
% LCVAP
% BCVAP
% ACVAP





Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Draft CD pdfs for Region IV

Shupe, Christina <[REDACTED]>

Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 11:08 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Molly Casey <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ana Henderson** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 10:55 PM

Subject: Draft CD pdfs for Region IV

To: "Sargis, Janeece" <[REDACTED]> "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]> "Shupe, Christina" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]> "Brown, George H." <[REDACTED]>

"Kolkey, Daniel M." <[REDACTED]> "Scolnick, Kahn A." <[REDACTED]>

<[REDACTED]> "Kahn, Matthew S." <[REDACTED]>

Hello,

Attached are draft CD pdfs for Region IV.

Enjoy!

Ana

--
Christina Shupe
Senior Operations Analyst
Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"
[\(916\) \[REDACTED\]](tel:(916)[REDACTED])

6 attachments

 **060711_regioniv_congressional_iterationI_detail5_eastern_la_county.pdf**
298K


 **060711_regioniv_congressional_iterationI_detail4_southbay_la.pdf**
296K


 **060711_regioniv_congressional_iterationI_detail3_westside_la.pdf**
260K

 **060711_regioniv_congressional_iterationI_detail2_western_la_county.pdf**
242K


 **060711_regioniv_congressional_iterationI_detail1_northern_la.pdf**
245K


 **060711_regioniv_congressional_iterationI.pdf**
272K





06.07.11 Region IV Congressional, Iteration I Detail 1
 -Northern Los Angeles County-







Leitch, Lonn <[redacted]>

Fwd: Draft CD pdfs for Regions V, VI, VII, VIII, IX

Ontai, Lilbert <[redacted]>
To: Lonn Leitch <[redacted]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 3:00 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ana Henderson** <[redacted]>
Date: Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 6:46 AM
Subject: Re: Draft CD pdfs for Regions V, VI, VII, VIII, IX
To: "Shupe, Christina" <[redacted]>
Cc: "Sargis, Janece" <[redacted]> "Claypool, Daniel" <[redacted]>
[redacted] Karin Mac Donald <[redacted]> "Brown, George H." <[redacted]>
<[redacted]> "Kolkey, Daniel M." <[redacted]> "Scolnick, Kahn A." <[redacted]>
<[redacted]> "Kahn, Matthew S." <[redacted]>

Thanks, Christina. We really appreciate your hard work on this...glad to know the multiple emails were ok.
Take care,
Ana
Sent from my Samsung Captivate(tm) on AT&T

"Shupe, Christina" <[redacted]> wrote:

>Thanks Ana!
>
>Sending them in batches worked great. The files are zipped and posted under
>Meeting Handouts for tomorrow's meeting.
>
>--
>Christina Shupe
>Senior Operations Analyst
>Citizens Redistricting Commission
>*"Fair Representation - Democracy at Work!"*
> [916](tel:916) [redacted]
>
>On Tue, Jun 7, 2011 at 12:01 AM, Ana Henderson <[redacted]> wrote:

>> Hello all,
>>
>> Attached please find the draft CD pdfs for Regions V, VI, VII, VIII, and
>> IX.
>>
>> Enjoy!
>> Ana
>>

--
Gil Ontai, Commissioner

California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov





Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Draft CDs pdfs for Regions I, II, and III

Ontai, Lilbert <[REDACTED]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 3:02 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ana Henderson** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 10:42 PM

Subject: Draft CDs pdfs for Regions I, II, and III

To: "Sargis, Janeece" <[REDACTED]> "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]> "Shupe, Christina" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]> "Brown, George H." <[REDACTED]> "Kolkey, Daniel M." <[REDACTED]> "Scolnick, Kahn A." <[REDACTED]>

<[REDACTED]> "Kahn, Matthew S." <[REDACTED]>

Hello,

Attached are the draft CD pdfs for Regions I, II, and III. Again, I will send the CD pdfs in three separate emails due to the large number of attachments.

Thanks,

Ana

--

Gil Ontai, Commissioner

California Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

9 attachments

**060711_I_II_III_congressional_sandiego.pdf**

255K

**060711_I_II_III_congressional_sanbernardino_mono_inyo.pdf**

308K

**060711_I_II_III_congressional_riverside.pdf**

197K

**060711_I_II_III_congressional_orangecounty.pdf**

341K

**060711_I_II_III_congressional_imperialsandiego.pdf**

197K


 **060711_I_II_III_congressional_detail_westrivside.pdf**
300K

 **060711_I_II_III_congressional_detail_sandiego.pdf**
310K


 **060711_I_II_III_congressional_detail_sanbernardino.pdf**
415K

 **060711_I_II_III_congressional_detail_neighborhoods_sandiego.pdf**
228K


06.07.11 Region II Congressional San Bernardino County




66,06.07.11 Region III Congressional Orange County




**06.07.11 Region I Congressional
Imperial and San Diego Counties**



06.07.11 Region II Congressional West Riverside County



06.07.11 Region I Congressional San Diego County




06.07.11 Region I Congressional San Diego County

COASTALSAND
-307
-0.04%
13.99%
2.78%
6.43%

NESAND
-146
-0.02%
15.8%
2.66%
4.74%

MMRB
494
0.07%
9.62%
2.88%
13.21%

CHNC
675
0.1%
21.01%
8.71%
11.74%





Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: draft of regional profile attached

Johnston, Marian <[redacted]>
To: "Leitch, Lonni" <[redacted]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 2:38 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dai, Cynthia** <[redacted]>
Date: Tue, Jul 5, 2011 at 1:56 PM
Subject: Fwd: draft of regional profile attached
To: Marian Johnston <[redacted]>

CRP PRA, 1

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Karin Mac Donald** <[redacted]>
Date: Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 8:36 AM
Subject: Re: draft of regional profile attached
To: "Dai, Cynthia" <[redacted]>
Cc: Michelle DiGuilio <[redacted]>

oh good! i am going to clean this up and submit to staff to send out tonight per our 24-hour rule :)
thanks!!!

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 8:31 AM, Dai, Cynthia <[redacted]> wrote:

Karin--

I think this is very helpful information and will prevent us from having to "perform math in public" as my engineering friends say 😊.

--}cyn

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 2:12 AM, Karin Mac Donald <[redacted]> wrote:

good morning, commissioners
may i bug you for additional feedback on the attached draft? i messed up the table headers when i added page numbers but i think you can see what we're trying to do. is this helpful for l.a.?
i've also developed a 'commission cheat sheet' statewide, which shows all the counties and cities that are smaller than a district (ad, sd, cd), which i'm also attaching. please let me know what you think. i'm planning on doing a report for l.a. like that if you approve. in theory, this shows which cities and counties you might be able to keep whole depending on the district type.
i appreciate your help and please let me know if i should stop sending things your way!
thanks
.
karin

--
Cynthia Dai, Commissioner

California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov



--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California [Citizens Redistricting Commission](#)
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov



--

Marian M. Johnston





Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: Draft SD pdfs for region i, ii, and iii

Ontai, Lilbert <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 3:01 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ana Henderson** <[redacted]>
Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 11:00 PM
Subject: Draft SD pdfs for region i, ii, and iii
To: "Sargis, Janeece" <[redacted]> "Claypool, Daniel" <[redacted]> "Shupe, Christina" <[redacted]>
Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[redacted]> Ana Henderson <[redacted]> "Brown, George H." <[redacted]> "Kolkey, Daniel M." <[redacted]> "Scolnick, Kahn A." <[redacted]> "Kahn, Matthew S." <[redacted]>

Hello,

Attached please find draft SD pdfs for Regions I, II, and III.

Again, I will send the SD pdfs in three separate emails due to file size.

Thanks!
Ana

PS. I know this is out of order...I will forward the CDs for Regions V-IX as soon as possible, but did not want to wait on the SDs in the meantime.

--
Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[redacted]

6 attachments

-  **060711_region_I_II_III_senate_SanDiego.pdf**
211K
-  **060711_region_I_II_III_senate_SanDiego&Imperial.pdf**
168K
-  **060711_region_I_II_III_senate_SanBernardino&Ontario.pdf**
242K
-  **060711_region_I_II_III_senate_RiversideCounty.pdf**
146K

 **060711_region_I_II_III_senate_OrangeCounty.pdf**
287K


 **060711_region_I_II_III_senate_MonolnyoKernSanBAV.pdf**
177K


06.07.11 Region I Senate San Diego County

NSANDSOUTHOC
 3,697
 0.4%
 13%
 3%
 6%


NESAND
 2,009
 0.22%
 13%
 3%
 13%

SANDCND0
 5,561
 0.6%
 17%
 9%
 10%






06.07.11 Region II Senate San Bernardino & Ontario



**06.07.11 Region II Senate
Riverside County**



San Bernardino


Riverside

San Diego

Imperial

Orange

NE SAND





Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Draft SD pdfs for region iv

Ontai, Lilbert <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 3:01 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ana Henderson** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 11:02 PM
Subject: Draft SD pdfs for region iv
To: "Sargis, Janeece" <[REDACTED]> "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]> "Shupe, Christina" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]> "Brown, George H." <[REDACTED]> "Kolkey, Daniel M." <[REDACTED]> "Scolnick, Kahn A." <[REDACTED]> "Kahn, Matthew S." <[REDACTED]>

Hello,

Attached are pdfs of draft SDs for Region IV.


Enjoy!

Ana

--
Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

3 attachments

-  **060711_regioniv_senate_nested_detail2_eastern_la_county.pdf**
1167K
-  **060711_regioniv_senate_nested.pdf**
208K
-  **060711_regioniv_senate_nested_detail1_western_la_county.pdf**
1026K



06.07.11 Region IV Senate, Nested Detail 2 -Eastern Los Angeles County-

06.07.11 Region IV Senate, Nested

Santa Clarita - S. Ventura County
-1,434
-0.15%
14%
4%
9%

San Fernando Valley
-360
-0.04%
43%
6%
10%

San Gabriel Mtn Foothills
1,476
0.16%
23%
7%
10%

West Side - Santa Monica
3,290
0.35%
12%
4%
11%

Inglewood - Harbor City
5
0%
38%
37%
9%

Long Beach
-128
-0.01%
37%
12%
11%

Chino Hills- Yorba Lind - Diamond Bar
-1,610
-0.17%
25%
3%
22%



Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Draft SD pdfs for Regions V, VI, VII, VIII, IX

Ontai, Lilbert <[REDACTED]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 3:00 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Ana Henderson** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Jun 6, 2011 at 11:07 PM
Subject: Draft SD pdfs for Regions V, VI, VII, VIII, IX
To: "Sargis, Janeece" <[REDACTED]> "Claypool, Daniel" <[REDACTED]> "Shupe, Christina" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> Ana Henderson <[REDACTED]> "Brown, George H." <[REDACTED]> "Kolkey, Daniel M." <[REDACTED]> "Scolnick, Kahn A." <[REDACTED]> "Kahn, Matthew S." <[REDACTED]>

Hello all,

Attached are pdfs of draft SDs for Regions V, VI, VII, VIII, and IX.

Enjoy!
Ana

--
Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

11 attachments

-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detailk_sbevent.pdf**
181K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detailj_stanjaquin.pdf**
209K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detaili_centralcoast_kings_tularekern.pdf**
200K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detailh_mercedmont.pdf**
210K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detailg_sanmateo_siliconvsanj.pdf**
214K
-  **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detailf_sfdalycity.pdf**
170K

 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detaila_oaklndrchmond_ealawcontra_haywardmilp.pdf**
216K

 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detaild_nbayecontra.pdf**
219K


 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detailc_saccity_eastsacramento.pdf**
197K


 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detailb_foothills.pdf**
221K

 **060711_region_v_vi_vii_viii_ix_senate_detaila_northcoast_yuba.pdf**
178K

**Senate District
Visualization
Detail K:
SBEVENT**

LEGEND:
Deviation
%Deviation
%LCVAP
%BCVAP
%ACVAP





13.08%
8.88%
9.32%

**Senate District
Visualization
Detail J:
STANJOAQUIN**


LEGEND:
Deviation
%LCVAP
%BCVAP
%ACVAP

STANJOAQUIN
-14,604
-1.57%
23.43%
6.76%
10.07%

FOOTHILLS
10,116
1.09%
20.44%
3.97%
4.56%


**Senate District
Visualization
Detail I:
CENTRALCOAST, KINGS,
TULAREKERN**

LEGEND:
Deviation
%Deviation
%LCVAP
%BCVAP
%ACVAP




**Senate District
Visualization
Detail H:
MERCEDMONT**


LEGEND:
Deviation
%Devlation
%LCVAP
%BCVAP
%ACVAP



Senate District Visualization Detail G: SANMATEO, SILICONVSANJ

LEGEND:
 Deviation
 %Deviation
 %LCVAP
 %BCVAP
 %ACVAP





**Senate District
Visualization
Detail E:
OAKLNDRCHMND,
EALAWCONTRA,
HAYWARDMILP**

LEGEND:
Deviation
%LCVAP
%BCVAP
%ACVAP

OAKLNDRCHMND	
19,356	
2.08%	
12.14%	
23.49%	
18.99%	

EALAWCONTRA	
11,111	
1.19%	
11.49%	
5.68%	
11.65%	

SFDALYCITY	
9,011	
0.97%	
11.13%	
6.83%	
32.07%	


STANJOAQUIN	
-14,604	
-1.57%	
23.43%	
6.76%	
10.07%	

HAYWARDMILP	
-9,520	
-1.02%	
20.3%	
6.82%	
34.22%	

SANMATEO	
-13,582	
-1.46%	
11.93%	
3.59%	
19.1%	


**Senate District
Visualization
Detail D:
NBAYECONTRA**

LEGEND:
Deviation
%Deviation
%LCVAP
%BCVAP
%ACVAP



**Senate District
Visualization
Detail C:
SACCITY, EASTSACRAMENTO**

LEGEND:
Deviation
%Deviation
%LCVAP
%BCVAP
%ACVAP



EASTSACMETRO
-11,411
-1.23%
8.88%
4.84%
6.05%


SACCITY
-10,712
-1.15%
15.84%
12.99%
17.1%


NBAYECONTRA
2,134
0.23%
13.08%
8.88%
9.32%

FOOTHILLS
10,116
1.09%
20.44%
3.97%
4.56%

Senate District Visualization Detail B: FOOTHILLS

LEGEND:
 Deviation
 %Deviation
 %LCVAP
 %BCVAP
 %ACVAP





**Senate District
Visualization
Detail A:
NORTHCOAST, YUBA**

LEGEND:
Deviation
%Deviation
%LCVAP
%BCVAP
%ACVAP



Leitch, Lonn <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: draft 'seeking guidance' for wrap-up document attached

Johnston, Marian <[REDACTED]>
To: "Leitch, Lonn" <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Jul 26, 2011 at 2:38 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Jul 5, 2011 at 2:00 PM
Subject: Fwd: draft 'seeking guidance' for wrap-up document attached
To: Marian Johnston <[REDACTED]>

CRP PRA, 1

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 8:32 AM
Subject: Re: draft 'seeking guidance' for wrap-up document attached
To: Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]>

yes, heard from him. Thx.

On Tue, Apr 26, 2011 at 12:50 AM, Karin Mac Donald

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

>
> hi commissioner dai,
> i just spoke to commissioner ancheta about the memo. he's going to email you.

> .
> karin

>
> On Mon, Apr 25, 2011 at 11:22 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>
>> I have a call with Vince and Kirk at 9am tomorrow. Can you give us some bullets on your concerns so we can discuss?
>> --}cyn

>>
>> On Mon, Apr 25, 2011 at 11:10 PM, Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>>
>>> argh, commissioner dai - i just saw their document! it is factually wrong - it is trying to change the entire process and it has nothing to do with being vra attorneys! they asked for it to be sent out to the chairs without consulting with us first! i am horrified!

>>> .
>>> karin

>>>
>>> On Mon, Apr 25, 2011 at 11:07 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>>>
>>>> I think it's totally fine the way it is. I believe that they were waiting to get the list from you, but went ahead and drafted their advice without it. Perhaps you already shared it with them verbally. I think it wasn't totally clear at the end. Let's coordinate with Kirk so that everything is consistent before we share with the rest of the Commission.

>>>> --}cyn
>>>>

>>>> On Mon, Apr 25, 2011 at 10:36 PM, Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> hi commissioner dai,

>>>> no i did not send this to gibson dunn. i brought this issue up in the meeting with them and they had no feedback, so i am surprised to hear that now they do (and didn't tell us!)

>>>> i'll forward this now but do you have some input on the way this is presented? thanks

>>>> .

>>>> karin

>>>>

>>>> On Mon, Apr 25, 2011 at 7:00 PM, Dai, Cynthia <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> Hi, Karin--

>>>>> Sorry, just getting to this now. Did you send this to Gibson Dunn? My understanding from Kirk is that they will draft some recommended "rules of thumb" on these items for the Commission to consider based on their legal advice. Of course, we will still have the latitude to choose differently after assessing their opinions.

>>>>> --}cyn

>>>>>

>>>>> On Mon, Apr 25, 2011 at 3:55 PM, Karin Mac Donald <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>> hello again, commissioners diguilio and dai,

>>>>>>

>>>>>> attached, in word, is a draft document that outlines the guidance we need to develop visualizations.

>>>>>> i would appreciate any feedback, and edits.

>>>>>> thank you!

>>>>>> best,

>>>>>>

>>>>>> karin

>>>>>>

>>>>>>

>>>>>> --

>>>>>> Cynthia Dai, Commissioner

>>>>>> California Citizens Redistricting Commission

>>>>>> "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

>>>>>> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

>>>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> --

>>>> Cynthia Dai, Commissioner

>>>> California Citizens Redistricting Commission

>>>> "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

>>>> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> --

>>>> Cynthia Dai, Commissioner

>>>> California Citizens Redistricting Commission

>>>> "Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

>>>> www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

>>>> [REDACTED]

>>>>

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Cynthia Dai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]

--

Marian M. Johnston

[REDACTED]





Leitch, Lonni <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: Finalizing Dates for TBD in July

Ontai, Lilbert <[REDACTED]>

Fri, Aug 19, 2011 at 2:57 PM

To: Lonni Leitch <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Karin Mac Donald** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sun, Jun 12, 2011 at 8:54 PM

Subject: Re: Finalizing Dates for TBD in July

To: "Ontai, Lilbert" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Gabino Aguirre <[REDACTED]> "Dr. Gabino Aguirre" <[REDACTED]>

hello commissioner ontai,

thank you for your kind words! i told my team to take a few, well deserved, days off and it looks like they are following orders :). i spent most of saturday sleeping so i'm starting to feel like myself again!

my apologies for the late reply to your email - i was not ignoring you! i have been thinking through the rest of the calendar and i am glad you raised the topic of the tbd dates because it made me realize that there are some issues with the calendar that should be addressed sooner rather than later.

starting with the tbd dates of 7/13 to 7/20: i do not remember that anything specific was planned for those dates - just that they were put in as placeholders in case the crc wanted to meet. given that there is very little time between the 2nd and the 3rd drafts, any input received during that time should really focus on things like 'where' a city/community/neighborhood/etc should optimally be split: the second draft will have different population deviations than the first draft and because of that, many more cities and communities will have to be divided. because these are micro-level decisions and time is too short to visit every area, i would suggest that *if* the crc decides to schedule more input hearings (and i am not sure that there is much value in it at that time in the process), there be 1 or 2 in southern california and 1 or 2 in northern california but not more than that. even with only 2 or 4 additional hearings, we might run out of time on everything else we need to do. i think it would be more beneficial - certainly more equitable since we could not possibly get close enough in terms of driving distance to most californians in this short period of time to make it easy to see the crc in person - to *only* ask for *written* input about where lines might most appropriately be placed within jurisdictions, and reserve the available time to look through the written input and not travel.

on that note, i also think that we should seriously consider setting a deadline for public input that is reasonable given the volume of input we've received. there is no sense in asking for input if nobody has time to read and consider it. so again. looking at the calendar, if the crc decides NOT to hold additional public hearings then i would suggest cutting off public written comments on july 12, the end of the public review period, and using the next 7 days to review and collate comments.

furthermore, looking down the calendar, i noticed 2 line drawing dates on 7/22 and 7/23 - i think the crc should consider pulling those two dates forward to 7/19 and 7/20 for multiple reasons:

one - it will give line drawers the opportunity to implement the changes the crc directs

two - it will leave much needed time to clean up maps, run reports, and make sure there are no last minute problems with the maps for the final release

three - it will provide time to format maps

four - it will provide time to work on the final report

i would also advocate that these two days (7/19 and 7/20) be 'live' line drawing days so that q2 does not have to make any additional changes outside of necessary technical corrections that arise during report running (i.e. a block was found to belong within a city boundary but was excluded in error, etc. etc.), and to 'hunt' for population blocks (see

below), because i would like for the crc to see the maps as finished as possible on those days to avoid surprises. there really is no time in the following week before the final maps are due, for q2 to meet with the crc once more to go over maps because we will be running reports already, and any further changes, even minor ones, would put us back to square one.

please note that the second draft maps will take more time to prepare than the first drafts because of the population deviations. for example for congressional districts, one literally has to 'hunt' for blocks that have a certain total population number in them to get to the deviation. this can cause a lot of shuffling on all borders. this will not be as extreme for the state districts but still pretty time consuming.

so now i'm done with my thoughts about mid/end july. let me go back to june and the beginning of july:

currently, i see that line drawing meetings are scheduled on w 6/29, th 6/30 and fri 7/1 and then during the next week on 7/5, 7/6 and 7/7 - the last day being the 2nd draft release date. none of the last 3 dates will work, partially due to some of the issues explained above (deviations taking more time, etc) but also because, again, we need time to implement changes, run reports, make maps. given that, 7/3 is really the last day on which the crc can give direction to q2 and have it reflected in the 2nd draft maps. hence, i would suggest to move the 7/1 line drawing day to 7/3. this will give q2 time to implement the changes requested on 6/29 and 6/30. final requests can be implemented on 7/4, 7/5 can be used to equalize populations after running reports, and 7/6 will be another long map making day.

i hope all of this makes sense.
please let me know what your thoughts are about this.
thanks and happy sunday evening

.
karin

On Sun, Jun 12, 2011 at 7:26 AM, Ontai, Lilbert <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Karin,

I pray you have had some time to rest. Thank you again and to your great team for putting the maps together on time for the Commission to meet its deadline. It is truly remarkable considering the overwhelming challenges of time constraint and team decision making.

As you know, our Outreach Committee must make a recommendation to the Commission on 6/16/11 on venues for the TBD dates, which are from 7/13 to 7/20. These are "to be determined" dates following the release of the 2nd draft maps. Like the first round, I would like for you to recommend how those dates should look like based on where we will be at that time, the amount of time you will need to follow-up on the linedrawing directions. Granted, this will be your best guess, but it will be very helpful to complete the schedule. Please let me know if you have any questions. Mahalo, Gil

--

Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]

--

Gil Ontai, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission
"Fair Representation -- Democracy at Work"
www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov
[REDACTED]



Leitch, Lonni <[redacted]>

Fwd: Finishing Up Potential VRA Assembly and Senate Districts

1 message

Barabba, Vincent <[redacted]>
To: Lonni Leitch <[redacted]>

Fri, Sep 9, 2011 at 10:59 AM

Forwarded conversation

Subject: **Finishing Up Potential VRA Assembly and Senate Districts**

From: **Ancheta, Angelo** <[redacted]>
Date: Wed, Jun 22, 2011 at 6:34 PM
To: Connie Galambos-Malloy <[redacted]> Vincent Barabba
<[redacted]>
Cc: Karin Mac Donald <[redacted]> Ana Henderson <[redacted]> Michelle DiGuilio <[redacted]>

We'll need to schedule some time to finish up the potential section 2 visualizations (Assembly/Senate) for L.A. County. The following look like possible times:

- Monday, 6/27, 10 am - 4 pm
- Tuesday, 6/28, 10 am - 3 pm

Sunday, 6/26 is not desirable, but Nicole is available if we can't work out another time.

Can you let me know your availability?

Thanks,

Angelo

--
Angelo Ancheta
Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

From: **Barabba, Vincent** <[redacted]>
Date: Wed, Jun 22, 2011 at 8:34 PM
To: "Ancheta, Angelo" <[redacted]>
Cc: Connie Galambos-Malloy <[redacted]> Karin Mac Donald <[redacted]>
<[redacted]> Ana Henderson <[redacted]> Michelle DiGuilio <[redacted]>

< [REDACTED] >

Angelo...et al.

Tuesday..would be best for me. My wife is coming home from a two-week visit to the grandchildren -- grandfather could not go:-(. Would like to spend some time with her on Monday...I'll spend the night in the Bay Area on Monday and be ready early on Tuesday on my way to Sacramento.

Vince

From: **Galambos-Malloy, Connie** < [REDACTED] >
Date: Wed, Jun 22, 2011 at 10:02 PM
To: "Barabba, Vincent" < [REDACTED] >
Cc: "Ancheta, Angelo" < [REDACTED] > Karin Mac Donald
< [REDACTED] > Ana Henderson < [REDACTED] > Michelle DiGuilio
< [REDACTED] >

I could do Tuesday between 9:30/10-12:30. Monday is also not good for me - my 4 year old stepson arrives for a visit so life will be momentary mayhem. May we also consider using some of Thursday, since CRC won't be in session, or will that be cutting it too close?

Connie

--

Connie Galambos Malloy, Commissioner
California Citizens Redistricting Commission

"Fair Representation--Democracy at Work!"

www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

[REDACTED]