Feliz!

From: Jon Spinac <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 17:55:46 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Jon Spinac New York, NY

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: Casey Wollenberg <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 18:01:08 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Casey Wollenberg Los Angeles, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: Brian Phillips <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 21:11:06 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

## Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Brian Phillips Sun Valley, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: Dick Lee <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 18:35:30 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

## Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Dick Lee Grand Rapids, MI

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: Ryan Bradley <

**Date:** Sun, 5 Jun 2011 20:50:45 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

## Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Ryan Bradley Greenbelt, MD

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: lori weber <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 20:15:36 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

lori weber johnson city, TN

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Los Feliz!

From: "Wilcox, Rob" <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 21:29:43 -0700

To:

# Forwarded conversation

Subject: East Los Angeles Should Not Be Lumped with the Hollywood Hills, Silver Lake, and Los Feliz!

\_\_\_\_\_

From: Isabella Diaz <

Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 2:31 PM

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

#### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Isabella Diaz Los Angeles, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at <a href="https://www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz">www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-and include a link to this petition.</a>
The petition:

The petition of the pet

Leno Sislin

To:

From: james m nordlund <

Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 5:45 PM

To:

james m nordlund

Fargo, ND

-----

From: Jon Spinac <

Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 5:55 PM To:
Jon Spinac New York, NY
From: Casey Wollenberg < Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 6:01 PM To:
Casey Wollenberg Los Angeles, CA
From: Dick Lee < Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 6:35 PM To:
Dick Lee Grand Rapids, MI
From: <b>lori weber</b> < Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 8:15 PM To:
lori weber johnson city, TN
From: <b>Ryan Bradley</b> < Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 8:50 PM To:
Ryan Bradley Greenbelt, MD
From: <b>Brian Phillips</b> <

Date: Sun, Jun 5, 2011 at 9:11 PM

To:

Brian Phillips Sun Valley, CA

\_\_

Rob Wilcox Director of Communications California Citizens Redistricting Commission "Fair Representation--Democracy At Work!" www.wedrawthelines.ca.gov

Feliz!

From: Isabella Diaz <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 14:31:02 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Isabella Diaz Los Angeles, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: Jameson Wu <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 14:55:30 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Jameson Wu Los Angeles, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: Leno Sislin <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 15:05:24 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Leno Sislin Los Angeles, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: jesus arguelles <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 15:45:51 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

## Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

jesus arguelles Los Angeles, CA 90071, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: saykin foo <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 15:50:49 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

saykin foo los angeles, CA

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: Mike Antone <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 16:55:28 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

### Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

Mike Antone Sacaton, AZ

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.

Feliz!

From: james m nordlund <

Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2011 17:45:32 -0700

To:

Commissioners,

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

## Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps. We've also been told, throughout the process, that the era of odd-shaped, gerrymandered districts, featuring odd pairings of communities, were over.

Then, in your initial draft maps, you proposed a district lumping together the Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silver Lake, and East Los Angeles! To get there, the district lines cross the Los Angeles River and dart around Downtown Los Angeles, making the district as bizarrely shaped as anything the politicians ever drew.

It will be extremely difficult for whomever is in elected in that district to represent those communities. Those communities are as different as can be.

We, the undersigned, strongly urge you to draw more sensible maps. East Los Angeles (and Lincoln Heights, etc.) should be together with other eastside communities so that residents there can elect a repetitive of their choosing.

The communities of Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, and Silver Lake are not "eastside." No portion of those communities are east of Downtown or east of the Los Angeles River. Those communities most logically belong in your Central Los Angeles ("Hancock Park") district or the Westside or even the Burbank district.

The "East Los Angeles" state assembly district, as initiately drafted, is a farce. It makes the district impossible to govern for whomever is elected. It will always result in one half or the other feeling completely left out of the process. It is bizarrely shaped and illogical. Keeping it as drafted will let down EVERYONE in those communities.

james m nordlund Fargo, ND

Note: this email was sent as part of a petition started on Change.org, viewable at www.change.org/petitions/east-los-angeles-should-not-be-lumped-with-the-hollywood-hills-silver-lake-and-los-feliz. To respond, email and include a link to this petition.