

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Sherman Oaks Congressional District

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 14:28:27 -0700

From: Harry N. Hirschensohn <[REDACTED]>

To: [REDACTED]

Honorable Redistricting Commission:

I grew up in Sherman Oaks and moved to my current residence 34 years ago. Sherman Oaks is close-knit community and should not be bifurcated by Ventura Boulevard! Sherman Oaks includes the flats (residences and business and hospital, etc) and runs from about Magnolia Boulevard on the north all the way to Mulholland Drive to the south. There is no way that the area south of Ventura Boulevard should be included with areas "over the hill" as we have different demographics and needs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Harry and Barbara Hirschensohn

[REDACTED]
Sherman Oaks, CA 91423

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Daniel & Bridget Shycoff <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2011 04:41:32 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Daniel & Bridget Shycoff <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Ventura Blvd boundary

Message Body:

It has come to our attention that your commission has drawn a boundary line along Ventura Blvd in Studio City. For many reasons, we suggest that this line move to Mulholland Dr, which is a more natural boundary than Ventura Blvd. We have lived South of Ventura Blvd for over thirty five yeras and feel a connection to the Studio City area, not to the Los Angeles area on the other side of the hill. Please consider making this correction or send us a note detailing why you want to disenfranchise us.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Philip M. Saeta" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2011 05:15:11 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Philip M. Saeta <[REDACTED]>

Subject: South Pasadena

Message Body:

Your tentative map puts South Pasadena with communities it has nothing in common with (Vernon, etc) and divorces it from San Marino and Pasadena which it has something in common with. It has a small voting population and I would hope you could make a shift to re-align South Pasadena.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Gabriel Godinez <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2011 03:39:55 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Gabriel Godinez <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 1st map

Message Body:

Good evening commissioners,

In regards to the 1st map that has been released. I would like to point out Some basic issues, long beach wants to be in one assembly district and it seems like it would be possible. The L.A. county line goes in a north east direction so the cities should be put into L.A. county and withing the city limits as you have put most of the cities. The cities in the south east boarder of L.A. county need to have districts that go in a north east direction. Having said that, the cities of Bellflower,Lakewood,Cerritos,La Mirada,Norwalk, and Santa Fe Springs all have long standing economic interest. Bellflower and Lakewood share a school district. Cerritos is in the ABC school district and i truly believe that if you put Bellflower,Lakewood, Norwalk, Cerritos, La Mirada,Santa Fe Springs then these cities will maintain there long and prosperous common economic interest. Additionally, Cerritos was put into an Orange County District. Lets look at the problems that can arise from this.!

Cerritos is Under contract from the L.A. County Sheriff and L.A. County Fire Department. The cities to the south that are in Orange County are cities that are run by individual Police Dept of there own. Lets imagine the headache that would be cause when it comes time for Cerritos to have a major Law enforcement issue, at least two agencies will have to work together and i can only imagine who would take the lead on a big investigation. The L.A. county cities are mostly L.A. county sheriff. What about the difference of county taxes. That is another issue in itself. There is a proposal in the works to run a transit train threw Bellflower,Lakewood,Cerritos so this would make more Economic sense. That is another reason why i ask the commissioners to put Bellflower,Lakewood, Norwalk, Cerritos, La Mirada,Santa Fe Springs together.

Additional, since Long beach assembly district is just south of the above mentioned area then Long Beach and the above cities could be put into a Sen!

ate district as well. if you would need additional population !

you coul

d add from Downey but keep the south gate district together with paramount to the south and Bell gardens to the east or maybe even Pico Rivera and to commerce or Boyle heights to the north. These two districts are different in economic diversity. The proof is in the long standing to community, education,public safety,way of life. I thank the commission for your time and i will be making up a map of the above mentioned districts and will be sure to hand them to the commission either in email or in person. Thank you once again.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Redistricting of Sherman Oaks

From: "Freed, Barbara" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 08:19:39 -0700

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

I have lived in Sherman Oaks for 35 years, it has always been a community and redistricting would change the community and our real estate prices. Don't we have enough problems facing the community. This is not for the benefit of Sherman Oaks.

Barbara Freed

Confidentiality Notice: This message and any attachments hereto may contain confidential and privileged communications or information and/or attorney client communications or work-product protected by law. The information contained herein is transmitted for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient or designated agent of the recipient of such information, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, copying or retention of this e-mail or the information contained herein is strictly prohibited and may subject you to penalties under federal and/or state law. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete this e-mail.

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Lorraine Geittmann <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 19:48:17 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Lorraine Geittmann <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 53rd Assembly District and 36 Congressional and 28dth Senatorial

Message Body:

I have looked at the map for the 53rd Assembly District. I think you did a much better job on the 36th Congressional and especially the 28th Senatorial.

I believe that San Pedro, Lawndale and Lomita should not be in the 53rd Assembly District and that the district lines should move further north. The line for these districts on the southeast needs to be Westerb Avenue on the east separating off San Pedro, then removing Lomita which is an inland city as is Lawndale. San Pedro has more in common with Wilmington, Long Beach and Harbor City.

I would put all the Santa Monica Bay cities in the same Senate, Congressional and Assembly District. What they have in common are Airports...LAX, Torrance and Santa Monica. Redondo Beach and the Marina del Rey both have small craft harbors. All of the area along the Santa Monica Bay have ocean issues in common.

Lawndale and Lomita are inland cities with no common interests with the beach cities along the Santa Monica Bay.

Lomita and San Pedro as well as Harbor City are in the Los Angeles Unified Sfhool District. The Palos Verdes Peninsula as well as all the beach cities, have their own smaller school districts. For example, Santa Monica school district includes Malibu.

Santa Monica, Redondo Beach, Torrance and the Palos Verdes Peninsula have their own libraries. The rest of the area is served by LA City or LA County.

Lawndale is part of the Centinela Valley Union High School District which includes Hawthorne and Lennox.

I have served in many commlunity organizations regarding recreation and park, health and library issues. My experience is that my city, Redondo Beach, has more common with Santa Monica than Lawndale or Lomita. We have almost nothing in common with San Pedro.

Please consider these issues when you draw permanent lines.

Thank you for your hard and difficult work.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Judith Riley <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 16:42:39 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Judith Riley <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley Redistricting (e.g., Aqua Dulce Assembly Seat)

Message Body:

We live south of Lyons Avenue in Santa Clarita, Los Angeles County. We work, volunteer, shop, raise our family, and live in Santa Clarita.

The CRC has split our community in the Assembly, Senate and Congressional Districts. It isn't logical to split any community, much less one as close-knit as Santa Clarita.

Please add Aqua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District and include ALL of our community in other redistricting plans.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Jeanne Lacombe <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 21:24:26 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Jeanne Lacombe <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Proposed changes

Message Body:

The proposed changes for the Rancho Palos Verdes area are great! Much better than before.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Teri Knafla <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 22:31:22 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Teri Knafla <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Please add Aqua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District

Message Body:

The CRC has split our community in the Assembly, Senate and Congressional District.
Please add Aqua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

From: Don Linden <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 08:34:35 -0700 (PDT)
To: [REDACTED]

Dear Sirs/Madams - As a longtime resident of Sherman Oaks and a supporter of the community I can state unequivocally that the concept of a separation is a bad one. This is a solid based single community that has been this way for many years. To violate that united aura would be a true sin. Please do not even put this idea forth for consideration.
Respectfully,

Don Linden

*Realtor / E-Pro / CMIS / BMA / CMMS
Relocation Specialist / Seniors Real Estate Specialist*

"Thank you for thinking of me when referring your family, friends and business associates. It is greatly appreciated"

Want to search my listings? Use the link below.....

Want to find a home? Use the link below to search like a pro.....

Prudential California Realty, Sherman Oaks

[REDACTED] (direct / voicemail) [REDACTED] (cell)
[REDACTED] (fax)

[REDACTED] (website)

[REDACTED] (e-mail)

[http://\[REDACTED\]](http://[REDACTED]) (blog)

[http://\[REDACTED\]](http://[REDACTED]) (Follow me on Twitter)

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Ellen Bagelman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 15:43:53 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ellen Bagelman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: East San Fernando Valley/West San Fernando Valley

Message Body:

In reviewing the proposed boundaries separating the East San Fernando Valley and West San Fernando Valley districts, I cannot help but believe that more review needs to be conducted.

1. The 405 freeway is a natural boundary. Many current city departments utilize this boundary, and as a result we ask that it become the dividing line.
2. The newly named community of Lake Balboa has more in common and works closely to the south with Encino, to the north with Northridge, and to the west with Reseda. Our common issues and similar communities would dislike being disassembled and re-aligned with neighborhoods with little in common.
3. In general, the proposed districts again feel gerrymandered and based on "other" factors. We believe that communities, which are comprised of contiguous neighborhoods, should be preserved.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my opinion.

Ellen Bagelman

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Julian Boykin <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 21:04:58 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Julian Boykin <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Proposed separation of Santa Clarita Valley

Message Body:

I would like to object to the proposed separation of the Southern part of the Santa Clarita Valley being merged in with the San Fernando Valley. I believe that it should remain intact as it currently is.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Gladys Azenzer <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2011 01:30:56 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Gladys Azenzer <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Splitting Sherman Oaks into two districts

Message Body:

Splitting a homogeneous community in two does not make sense. The boundary lines for Sherman Oaks were draw intelligently. Dividing the district at Ventura Blvd. does not make sense. Our community goes from Burbank Blvd. to Mulholland Drive. I urge you to refrain from dividing the "baby" in half.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Harry Knafla <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 22:32:20 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Harry Knafla <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Please add Aqua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District

Message Body:

The CRC has split our community in the Assembly, Senate and Congressional District.
Please add Aqua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Victor Flores <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2011 01:49:05 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Victor Flores <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Proposed West L.A.-Downtown L.A. Congressional District

Message Body:

As a life long resident of Echo Park Los Angeles, the proposed draft of this congressional district is out of tune with the community. I do not see how in the world Malibu and Beverly Hills can be in the same community of interest with Echo Park and Westlake. I feel that there is a complete ignorance of the communities demographics, political interests, and the historical nature of these neighborhoods that have been existent longer than century. As a citizen, I would advise that you all maintain the communities of interest that are already established in Los Angeles

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Don't divide Sherman Oaks

From: Jason Popeski <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 22:13:21 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

Hello,

It is my understanding that the Redistricting Commission's new draft maps have divided Sherman Oaks into two districts at Ventura Blvd.

I am writing to strongly urge you to reconsider this division. I have been involved in the Sherman Oaks community for almost 10 years as well as a member of the homeowners association. I not only have many friends and close contact with people both north and south of Ventura Blvd, but I also have worked with many of these people on local political issues. Sherman Oaks as a whole has a strong community of interest and is a very close-knit neighborhood. This division will not serve the community well and should be avoided.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Jason Popeski

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Voter <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 10:40:41 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml—

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Paul Carreiro <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 07:06:47 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Paul Carreiro <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Recommendation for Congressional District for Beach Cities

Message Body:

Greetings,

I simply want to voice my support for the individual comments submitted by Tiffany J. Balonek and Eugene L. Starr both dated 24 May 2011, both titled "Congressional District for the Palos Verdes Peninsula Cities". As they both have described, the South Bay (defined by their proposed Congressional boundaries) is truly a community onto itself. They eloquently described the common themes of lifestyle, pride of community, family and industry that bond us together. One community, made up of multiple cities and a diverse people.

I was born and raised in the South Bay. As a resident of El Camino Village, a small piece of unincorporated LA County nestled between Torrance, Gardena, Hawthorne and Lawndale, its troubling to be 'lined out' of the South Bay community we identify so heavily with. As such I fully support the Congressional boundaries suggested by Tiffany and Eugene in their comments which includes the Western half of Gardena (and El Camino Village) in with the South Bay district lines. I hope you will reconsider the draft boundaries to include this change.

Respectfully submitted for your consideration.

Paul Carreiro

El Camino Village

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml—

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: George Alexander <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 13:49:49 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: George Alexander <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Delineation of proposed new districts

Message Body:

The maps are useless -- some districts are not completely enclosed by lines; lack numerical designations [am I looking at the 23rd or 25th AD?] and street identifications. How am I supposed to know what's being proposed for my corner of Studio City?

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "L.Lund" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 15:52:25 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: L.Lund <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Loss of representation for our coastal communities

Message Body:

To: The citizens of redistricting
The coastal citizens have lost

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "L. Lund" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 15:57:11 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: L. Lund <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Coastal communities have lost representation

Message Body:

As a concerned citizen I would like to keep my district 46. The orange county representatives care about pollution,docks, wild life in our ocean. The inland communities are concerned about inland issues. Leave our congressman where he is or appoint a congressman who lives near the ocean.

Sincerely, Lucille Lund

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Judith Neal <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 16:19:43 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Judith Neal <[REDACTED]>
Subject: SGV Foothill Mtns

Message Body:

I was under the impression that we were not going to be crossing county lines and that the diversity of population, not diversity of ethnic race were to be the guidelines drawing lines. Once again that is not the case. Shame on you and your commission. The maps are so hard to read I can't determine if cities are also being split. You've got to do a much better job.

J Neal, San Dimas, CA

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Carol Pickle <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 18:07:22 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Carol Pickle <[REDACTED]>
Subject: San Gabriel Valley

Message Body:

In our area, with the new districts, we would lose 2 Republican congressmen. How can this be fair to those of us in the minority (i.e. Republicans)? We will lose any voice. In addition, Pasadena will be in two separate districts based on a freeway???

Once again, splitting cities should be avoided.

Thanks

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Proposed Redistricting of California Congressional District 27

From: Gregory Dobie <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 11:38:59 -0700 (PDT)

To: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Sherman Oaks, CA 91401

6-14-11

Greetings:

I live in California Congressional District 27, in the Sherman Oaks neighborhood of the city of Los Angeles. I have never liked the district lines, because most of Sherman Oaks and the neighboring community of Van Nuys are excluded in a clearly gerrymandered way. Just north and east of my home is Congressional District 28, but then north and east of it is Congressional District 27 again. The district lines do not reflect neighborhoods or geography and are incomprehensible to the average person.

I was hoping for new Congressional District 27 lines that would more sensibly represent this area of the San Fernando Valley. The proposed district lines, however, commit the same mistakes as the existing lines. Again, I am left on the very edge of the district, with much of the community that I am closest to (Van Nuys) excluded from the district. Congressional District 28 is again north of my home, but then Congressional District 27 picks up again on the other side. Instead of being a clearly defined central district in the San Fernando Valley, the proposed Congressional District 27 extends past Calabasa to the west and to Santa Clarita to the north, two areas I have never even been to and which I hardly consider part of my neighborhood.

I ask the commission to re-examine its work and to draw district lines that reflect the truth of people's lives--their communities, their neighborhoods, area geography, etc. Where do people live? Where do they shop? Where do they work? Where do they go to school? Where do they worship? Who are their neighbors? Residents of a particular district should be able to expect their Congressional member to represent their interests, and this clear link is broken when district lines divide residents into incomprehensible groupings that don't reflect the reality of the residents' lives.

Sincerely,

Gregory A. Dobie

[REDACTED]

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: joyce brody <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 20:03:29 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: joyce brody <[REDACTED]>

Subject: how to get detailed maps of districts

Message Body:

I would like to see the proposed districts in my community. How can I comment if I can't see the map with streets on it? I have gone to the map site and see the jig saw puzzle but there seems to be no ability to see each individual district with some

major streets , at least.

is that available somehow ?

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Kathryn Dunn <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 20:12:07 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kathryn Dunn <[REDACTED]>

Subject: maps

Message Body:

I am astounded at the poor quality of maps you have generated. They are not usable by any newspaper in the state. Please improve them immediately.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Joan P Curd <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 20:52:55 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Joan P Curd <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Redistricting

Message Body:

I would like the CRC to include Agua Dulce in our district. They are part of our community.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Lois Woodruff <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 21:22:39 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Lois Woodruff <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley Assembly Seat -Aqua Dulce

Message Body:

The CRC has split our community in the Assembly, Senate and Congressional District.

Please add Aqua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Richard Stark <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 21:33:00 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Richard Stark <[REDACTED]>

Subject: US Congressional Districts 36 & 46

Message Body:

For many years the Palos Verdes Peninsula (PVP) was part of what is now District 36. In the last legislative redistricting a classical gerrymandering was done to shift PVP to District 46, making it more solidly Republican and the 36th more solidly Democratic.

Most all of our interests are more closely allied to the South Bay than to Orange County. Not correcting this situation and returning PVP to the 36th district makes a travesty of the stated purpose of the Redistricting Commission.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Patricia A. Kelly" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 22:22:34 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Patricia A. Kelly <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley Assembly Seat-Agua Dulce

Message Body:

The CRC has split our community in the Assembly, Senate and Congressional District. Please add Agua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District and Newhall to the 25th Congressional District

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Patty Paul <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 23:40:18 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Patty Paul <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Studio City, CA

Message Body:

Good afternoon Commissioners - I notice that your re-districting map has separated my community, Studio City, in half, with one half in one area and the other half in another area.. Studio City is an organized community.. we have an active residents association and a neighborhood council. We have neighborhood watch areas set up and they have been established for many years. I am already in another city council persons district (offset from the rest of Studio City) and find it impossible to get any attention or any assistance from the local city councilman or his staff.. they focus on the big established neighborhoods, not us small ones that have been gerrymandered over the years into his district. I personally know how hard it is to work with government when your community, your school area, work area have been split.. please reconsider and leave the studio city boundaries intact for purposes of re-districting.. or you will be making our problems worse not better.. I beseech you to consider this request. best, patty paul

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml—

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "L. Hamilton" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 00:28:03 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: L. Hamilton <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Altadena redistricting

Message Body:

I am a Pasadena resident, and I am writing to request that Altadena be included in the Pasadena assembly district.

Altadena is a community that has many common interests with Pasadena. It shares a school district, with 6 PUSD schools in the district (and 3 charter schools).

Also, there is a sizable African-American population in West Altadena that is interwoven with the African American community in Northwest Pasadena.

Splitting the districts splits this community in two. Part of the goal of redistricting was to keep "communities of interest" together, not split them apart.

Please reconsider adding Altadena to the Assembly District map.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Christine Rowe <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 00:31:02 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Christine Rowe <[REDACTED]>

Subject: First Draft of maps - Los Angeles County

Message Body:

June 14, 2011

Dear Commissioners,

I was very disappointed to see that my original comments dated May 15, 2011 are still not posted on your site. I was also disappointed to see the first draft of your maps. It appears to me as if the commission actually does not want the representatives to be accessible to their constituents.

I know that there were comments - particularly by VICA, Valley Vote et al, that stated that the San Fernando Valley should be seen as a separate "Community of Interest". This is important to residents in particular of the City of Los Angeles at the far west side that may need to plan to leave for a trip to downtown that will take two hours.

We have asked for a complete Congressional district to be in the San Fernando Valley. You have drawn a Congressional map that is called West San Fernando Valley - but you have failed to take into account the natural land barriers of rugged mountain terrain of the Santa Susana Mountain range. You have placed the Santa Clarita area with W. San Fernando rather than in a district that it is in closer proximity to it via the freeways - the East San Fernando Valley Congressional district.

A more representative district for the West San Fernando Valley would be to include the area west of the 405 in the West San Fernando Valley Congressional District.

There is absolutely no excuse to not take the West San Fernando Valley Congressional District that you have designed, and add the same area west of the 405 freeway to that district for a State Senate District wholly within the West San Fernando Valley, and possibly include the communities of Agoura Hills and Westlake Village that are still within Los Angeles County, and still have freeway access.

There is no excuse for not putting communities by the ocean into districts that they are more contiguous with - preferably with a district that includes Malibu or Oxnard. As the current State Senate District is drawn - and I have had to estimate those key cities - the southwest portion is near Point Mugu Naval Base. The northern most point is near Lebec. The district includes the community of West Hills.

I have used GOOGLE maps to plot a route from that southwest corner to generate the distance from the southwest corner to the northern boundary.

http://maps.google.com/maps?f=d&source=s_d&saddr=Pt.+Mugu,+Pacific+Coast+Hwy,,+California&daddr=West+Hills,+CA+to:Santa+Clarita,+CA+to:Lebec,+CA&geocode=FfXlCAIdgKXm-Cm_kB9AD7PCgDFHI6QGalVG_Q%3BFc70CQIdir3t-CmjVyKjg53CgDHP51XcQvrPPg%3BFXDGDAIdBi_v-CknGNwsM4bCgDFI1gVz4I9KVg%3BFSykEwIdTUTq-ClxKd0o8e_pgDFsBdnKLfeczw&hl=en&mra=ls&sll=34.746306,-118.764064&sspn=2.270228,3.510132&ie=UTF8&z=9&dirflg=d

That total distance is about 112 miles, and it would minimally take about 2 ½ hours. However the reality is - there is usually gridlock on the 101 freeway - one of the most busy freeways in the world. Traffic usually bottlenecks between Thousand Oaks and Woodland Hills. To go north into West Hills would require surface streets. The distance from Pt. Mugu to West Hills would be about 37 miles and take about 56 minutes - at a

minimum.

The next step of that trip - West Hills to Santa Clarita is about 2.5 miles - and would take an estimated 42 minutes. The 118 freeway and the 405 freeways also have gridlock - and those times are very unlikely.

The final trip from Santa Clarita to Lebec is about another 47.6 miles - and about another 54 minutes. All of this assumes favorable driving conditions.

How are the representatives of our elected officials expected to drive these distances and represent close to a million people?

Finally, you have included the community of West Hills in the State Assembly District that includes the northern portion of the State Senate District. Those distances that I have quoted between West Hills and Lebec would be the same distances for the Assembly member's representatives to travel.

West Hills and the communities around it including Woodland Hills, Bell Canyon, and Chatsworth should be included in the West San Fernando Valley Assembly District.

Currently West Hills is divided and has two Assembly members - one has an office in Van Nuys, another has an office in Westlake Village. These offices in the Valley enable our elected officials to be more accessible to our communities.

I hope that you will consider the needs of all California residents not only in terms of ethnic diversity, minority populations, communities of interest, but also in terms of geographic boundaries and traffic patterns.

Sincerely,

Christine Rowe

West Hills, CA

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Bernard Bregman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 00:56:37 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Bernard Bregman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: San Fernando Valley

Message Body:

I have seen in the newspapers that Brad Shermans district will be split up . I know thatthe charter for the commission is to keep ethnic districts contiguous. I feel that the Jewish community is being discriminated against in this redistricting. Brad Sherman has been an advocate for Jewish causes and I want to see that my interests are preserved. Please take note of the fact that there are 3 synagauges and two Jewish day schools within 2 miles of my home. You should look at the distribution of religious institutions to see if minorities are being served. The valley has a large Jewish constuency and need to be represented in Congress.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Deborah Moorehead <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 01:09:53 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Deborah Moorehead <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley Assembly Seat - Aqua Dulce

Message Body:

The CRC has split our community in the Assembly, Senate and Congressional District.

Please add Aqua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Eileen Zierhut <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 02:35:12 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Eileen Zierhut <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley Assembly Seat - Aqua Dulce

Message Body:

The CRC has split our community in the Assembly, Senate and Congressional District.

Please add Aqua Dulce to the SCV Assembly District

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Kim Mischook <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 04:05:07 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kim Mischook <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Altadena

Message Body:

I would like to request that you keep Altadena & Pasadena together in one assembly district. Thank you very much.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

—Proposed Redistricting of California Congressional District 27.eml

Proposed Redistricting of California Congressional District 27.eml

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

—Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

— Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml —

Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Ellen Bagelman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 15:45:21 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ellen Bagelman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: East San Fernando Valley/West San Fernando Valley

Message Body:

In reviewing the proposed boundaries separating the East San Fernando Valley and West San Fernando Valley districts, I cannot help but believe that more review needs to be conducted.

1. The 405 freeway is a natural boundary. Many current city departments utilize this boundary, and as a result we ask that it become the dividing line.
2. The newly named community of Lake Balboa has more in common and works closely to the south with Encino, to the north with Northridge, and to the west with Reseda. Our common issues and similar communities would dislike being disassembled and re-aligned with neighborhoods with little in common.
3. In general, the proposed districts again feel gerrymandered and based on "other" factors. We believe that communities, which are comprised of contiguous neighborhoods, should be preserved.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my opinion.

Ellen Bagelman

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Ellen Bagelman <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 15:45:21 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ellen Bagelman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: East San Fernando Valley/West San Fernando Valley

Message Body:

In reviewing the proposed boundaries separating the East San Fernando Valley and West San Fernando Valley districts, I cannot help but believe that more review needs to be conducted.

1. The 405 freeway is a natural boundary. Many current city departments utilize this boundary, and as a result we ask that it become the dividing line.
2. The newly named community of Lake Balboa has more in common and works closely to the south with Encino, to the north with Northridge, and to the west with Reseda. Our common issues and similar communities would dislike being disassembled and re-aligned with neighborhoods with little in common.
3. In general, the proposed districts again feel gerrymandered and based on "other" factors. We believe that communities, which are comprised of contiguous neighborhoods, should be preserved.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my opinion.

Ellen Bagelman

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Peter Riley <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 16:37:42 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Peter Riley <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

Please add Newhall back to the Santa Clarita Valley Congressional District. It does not make any sense for it to be part of the San Fernando Valley.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission