

**Subject:** Re: Divide Sherman Oaks?

**From:** <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Fri, 17 Jun 2011 5:21:52 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

Sherman Oaks, CA is a close-knit neighborhood and has a strong community of interest. Our community should not be divided. The southern border of our Congressional District should be Mulholland Drive, not Ventura Blvd.

Sincerely,  
Erin Culling  
Sherman Oaks, CA

**Subject:** Comment on South Pasadena

**From:** Garrett Schneider <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 16 Jun 2011 22:09:08 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Dear Commissioners-

As a long time resident of South Pasadena, I would like to express my dismay at the proposal to place South Pasadena in a different congressional district from Pasadena. That South Pasadena and Pasadena are a community of interest should be apparent from the name itself--SOUTH Pasadena. Historically, culturally, and economically, South Pasadena and Pasadena are cousin cities and thus belong together within the same congressional district.

Sincerely,  
Garrett Schneider

--  
Garrett Andrew Schneider, MA

**Subject:** Fwd: Emergency Appeal from ACT -- Help Fix the Reapportionment Mess -- Keep our Communities United

**From:** Frank Huttinger <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 16 Jun 2011 19:40:44 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

I have been advised that the new Districts proposed by the Citizens Reapportionment Commission dismember our communities of Pasadena, South Pasadena and Altadena.

The proposed Assembly Districts split Altadena away from Pasadena, placing Altadena in a "Foothill" district that stretches out to Upland in San Bernardino County, and splits South Pasadena in half.

The proposed Congressional districts slice Pasadena in half, roughly along the 210 Freeway, with the southern half included in a district that goes from Arcadia down to La Habra Heights and then over to Diamond Bar, Whittier, and Chino Hills. There could be no better example of a gerrymandered district!

Our foothill communities of the San Gabriel Valley have a century old common interest and political integrity that should not be dismantled as proposed.

Frank Huttinger

[REDACTED]  
Pasadena, CA 91105

**Subject:** West Valley Redistricting

**From:** Daniel Man <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 16 Jun 2011 23:44:32 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Hi, My name is Daniel Mansouri. I am a long time San Fernando Valley resident and a senior at California State University, Northridge. As a current student, I feel connected to the Northridge community through friends and colleagues. I've learned that Northridge is one of the many historic communities within the City of Los Angeles. After looking at the proposed map I'm happy about a few things.

First of all, thanks for including Calabasas in the West Valley. I appreciate that you've respected the spirit of our communities here. I believe that there is fair representation for the most part; however, I am concerned that parts of the city of Northridge are being chunked off from the city proper, with Reseda being split completely in half. I believe these are integral parts of the Valley and should not be so easily dismissed as small unimportant locales. They are traditional communities that are elements of the West Valley. Otherwise, I appreciate your hard work in making the redistricting process fair.

Thank you,  
Daniel Mansouri

**Subject:** Del Rey Neighborhood of Los Angeles

**From:** Elizabeth Pollock <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 16 Jun 2011 23:51:25 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

At this evening's meeting of the Citizens' Redistricting Commission, my one minute of commentary (White #96) failed to mention that within the City of Los Angeles, the neighborhoods of Palms, Mar Vista and Del Rey are all regulated by the same Community Plan. Therefore, in drawing districts, that community of interest should also be considered. Playa Vista is not in the same plan with Del Rey.

Best regards,

Elizabeth A. Pollock  
President  
Del Rey Homeowners & Neighbors Association

**Subject:** PASADENA & ALTADENA TOGETHER

**From:** Barbara Bair <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 16 Jun 2011 19:28:14 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Please have one congressional district for Altadena and Pasadena.

Thank you.

Barbara Bair

**Subject:** Reapportionment issue

**From:** "Ed Washatka" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 16 Jun 2011 21:14:36 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Greetings:

The purpose of this email is to urge you to reconsider the boundaries that have been drawn for the new congressional and state assembly and senatorial districts for the Pasadena, Altadena, and South Pasadena areas.

The proposed Assembly Districts splits Altadena away from Pasadena and combines it with communities in San Bernardino County. And also splits South Pasadena in half. The proposed Congressional districts slices Pasadena in half.

It is critically important that these communities remain in tact for district representation so that constituent interests are best served.

I urge you to reconsider. We need your help to keep our communities together!

Regards,

Ed Washatka

[REDACTED]  
Pasadena, CA. 91104

Home [REDACTED]

**Subject:** draft proposal

**From:** Marvin Schachter <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 16 Jun 2011 22:10:02 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

The proposal to divide the city of Pasadena ,cutting off Altadena as well , violates both the clear intent of Proposition 11 and common sense. These 3 areas clearly share an historic and continuing community of interests:economic, cultural,organizational, shopping, religious- and a single school district

As people who campaigned actively for Proposition 11, we cannot understand how such an unreasonable suggestion has been tabled.

We urge quick reconsideration.

Marvin Schachter  
Esther A. Schachter

**Subject:** KEEP SANTA CLARITA TOGETHER IN ALL MAPS

**From:** "Curt & Lori O'Bryan" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Thu, 16 Jun 2011 21:46:36 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

KEEP SANTA CLARITA TOGETHER AS A CITY IN ALL THE DISTRICT LINES YOU DRAW.

IT MAKES NO SENSE TO KEEP US WHOLE IN TWO OUT OF THREE MAPS. WE HAVE THE SAME ISSUES, AS A WHOLE CITY IN ALL THREE DISTRICTS: SENATE, CONGRESSIO9NAL AND ASSEMBLY.

- WE ARE SURROUNDED BY A MOUNTAIN RANGE WHICH SEPARATES US FROM OTHER VALLEYS.
- WE SHARE THE SAME WEATHER WHICH PRESENTS DIFFERENT PROBLEMS THAN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY(COLDER AND WETTER IN WINTER, HOTTER IN SUMMER)
- WE SHARE THE SAME SCHOOL DISTRICTS
- WE SHARE THE SAME JUNIOR COLLEGE WHICH HAS BUILDINGS IN TWO PLACES IN TOWN
- WE SHARE A LOCAL SUPERIOR COURT SYSTEM
- WE SHARE THE SAME LOCAL RADIO STATION AND COMMUNITY TELEVISION STATION
- WE SHARE THE SAME SHOPPING AREAS
- WE SHARE THE SAME FAMILY VALUES AS SHOWN BY OUR SCHOOL DISTRICTS GOOD SCORES.
- WE SHARE THE SAME COMMUNITY SPORTS CENTER, AND AQUATIC CENTER
- WE SHARE MULTIPLE WATER COMPANIES WHO USE THE SAME WATER SUPPLIER (CASTAIC),
- WE SHARE THE SAME ELECTRIC COMPANY
- WE SHARE THE SAME GEOLOGY, AND/OR EARTHQUAKE ISSUES
- WE SHARE THE SAME CABLE TELEVISION COMPANY

**SEPARATING SOUTH SANTA CLARITA FROM THE REST OF SANTA CLARITA IN THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT MAP *IS WRONG!!!! DON'T DO IT!!!***

**PLEASE KEEP US TOGETHER SO WE CAN WORK TOGETHER FOR OUR VALLEY'S NEEDS INSTEAD OF TAKING AWAY OUR VOICE.**

Sincerely,

Lori O'Bryan

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED], SANTA CLARITA, CA (**POTENTIALLY ISOLATED FROM REPRESENTATION WITH THE REST OF MY CITY IN YOUR PROPOSED CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT MAP**)

(661) [REDACTED]