

Subject:

From: pauline corse <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 12:18:06 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

I am a retired teacher who lives in Chino Hills and plan to spend the rest of my life here. It is therefore important to me that my electoral districts be drawn in a way that keeps the boundaries in this area where I can get services and social activities with other retirees. I think the draft maps do this well. We in my area of Chino Hills have common interests with the other cities you propose linking us with. Please keep this configuration.

Pauline Corse

[REDACTED]
Chino Hills, 91709

.

Subject: REDISTRICTING

From: peggy bayne <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 16:58:49 -0600

To: <[REDACTED]>

I would like to propose that the redistricting lines include the areas of Kagel Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills, La Tuna Canyon, Sunland, Tujunga, La Crescenta, Montrose, La Canada-Flintridge, Glendale and Burbank.

1. These communities, Kagel Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon are all located in the foothills of the San Gabriel and/or Verdugo Mountains and share the desire to retain low density housing, to protect environmental, wildlife, trees and flora of our open spaces with their many opportunities for passive recreation including equestrian interests, to protect the Angeles National Forest and Rim of the Valley Trail. The communities of Sunland-Tujunga, La Crescenta, La Canada-Flintridge and Montrose are low-density suburban and also located between the mountain ranges of the San Gabriels and Verdugos. Glendale and Burbank have a mix of rural and low-density suburban housing, are located at the base of the Verdugo Mountains and serve as our primary hub of shopping, business and entertainment interests.

2. These communities share the same watershed and have joined together to create natural water retention, purification and ground-water aquifer recharge facilities.

3. The 210 Freeway is the common connecting transportation corridor to these communities.

4. For a district to present few conflicting interests for its elected representatives would make it far easier for them to serve their constituents well. The current version of the redistrict map does reflect serious conflicting interests. The above-mentioned communities share many common bonds and would best reflect a unified mind-set.

5. Two or more of the aforementioned communities have historically partnered frequently to stand up against attacks upon us and our lifestyles.

Thank you.

Peggy Bayne

[REDACTED]
Shadow Hills, CA 91040
[REDACTED]

Subject: Reasonableness for the central San Gabriel Valley

From: "John Aguinaga" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 14:52:03 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

To whom it may concern:

It has been brought to my attention that my city stands to be divided by a district line of representation.

It stands to be a great travesty to separate a small city by giving it different representation.

I have most of my relatives in town, and how ridiculous to have my family ,in the same town, have varying representatives.

To the northwest, are many Asian settlement cities, for instance, Monterey Park, San Gabriel, Temple City, Arcadia, and Alhambra.

My city has few similarities with these cities. We have more in common with Latino areas such as, Monrovia, Irwindale, Duarte, Baldwin Park,

Azusa, West Covina , and La Puente. A district that seeks to be more enfranchising to all, should conflate areas that are more comprising of

similar frame of mind, culture, and ethnicities.

My community has been forced to vote for representatives that do not engage the very community they reside in .This is due to other areas

of greater campaign donation , that can influence the representative easily. Therefore , it leaves the smaller Latino areas disenfranchised.

Asian representatives do not fully benefit Latino areas, please keep this district contiguous to cities of same beneficial interest,

Thank You,

John

Subject: public comments on draft map

From: "Brian J. Hayes" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 09:41:36 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

I wish to point out two issues related to the proposed congressional district map for the communities near where I live. One concern is in regards to the San Gabriel Mountains-Foothill district. It was my impression that the former district boundaries (CA-26) carved a long, narrow swath and encompassed communities that were far distant from one another and had little in common. It appears as though instead of making logical adjustments, you've made that district even wider. Not only are Burbank and Upland an hour (or two in traffic) apart, their issues and concerns seem to be a mismatch. Burbank is more diverse demographically, and is home to large entertainment industry companies. Upland is a residential community located in a different county (San Bernadino) without a significant business base. I don't see how one person can effectively represent such a broad and diverse region.

My second concern is in regards to the placement of South Pasadena. Although I currently live in neighboring Arcadia, I have previously been a longtime S. Pasadena resident. It is a mismatch to include S. Pasadena, a middle-to-upper class, predominantly white and Asian community with the mostly Hispanic, working class communities to the south and west of it. If there is one issue that S. Pasadena residents fiercely defend, it is opposition to the 710 freeway extension. Past Congressional representatives have supported this cause. Under the draft district plan, the Representative would be answering to interests along the 710 corridor who are strongly in favor of completing the 710 extension. S. Pasadena relate to Pasadena, and I would urge you to keep the two sister cities united under the district map.

Thank you for considering my concerns.

Sincerely,

Brian Hayes

[REDACTED]
Arcadia, CA 91006

Subject: san pedro

From: Peter Warren <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 07:29:51 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

Commissioners,

First, thank you for removing the barbell district, which had me and thousands of others in a strip that ran through Long Beach and Pedro to create a safe GOP district for Dana Rohrabacher that included OC and the PV Peninsula. That gerrymander was horrid.

Second, please keep all of San Pedro together with the Port of Los Angeles for Assembly and Senate districts.'

Peter M. Warren

Member, Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council.

Subject: redistricting

From: [REDACTED]

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 20:02:34 EDT

To: [REDACTED]

Please do not divide up the Santa Monica Mountains Recreational Area into different congressional districts.

Please do not couple the Agoura area with an area in the Antelope Valley. This makes no sense. The needs of each are completely different.

Michael Hart

[REDACTED]

Agoura, CA 91301

[REDACTED]

Subject: redistricting

From: Donald Dunham <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 18:12:22 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

To: Citizens Redistricting Commission

I live in the Shadow Hills area of Sunland, CA and wish to express my views with regards to the upcoming redistricting. Our neighborhood is in what is known as the foothills of the San Fernando Valley. Our neighborhood, as well as those of Kagel Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon share many common interests. Most of us living here share the desire to retain low density housing, to protect the environment, help protect wildlife, trees and flora of our open spaces. This is where we conduct our recreation including our equestrian lifestyle. We also want to protect the Angeles National Forest and Rim of the Valley Trail. All of the above neighborhoods have a mix of rural and low-density suburban housing and all are located at the base of the Verdugo Mountains and serve as our primary hub of shopping, business and entertainment interests.

Our communities have joined together in the past to create natural water retention, purification and ground-water aquifer recharge facilities. Flat land areas of the Valley have other interests, important to them, but different from ours.

A district of neighborhoods with common interests would make it far easier for its elected representatives to serve them. The current version of the redistrict map would lump together neighborhoods of widely diverging and conflicting interests. Please don't make the mistake of lumping Shadow Hills and Lakeview Terrace with North Hollywood and Pacoima and Reseda.

Thank you for time and consideration in reading this.

DONALD DUNHAM

Shadow Hills, CA

Subject: redistricting

From: Donald Dunham <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 18:12:22 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

To: Citizens Redistricting Commission

I live in the Shadow Hills area of Sunland, CA and wish to express my views with regards to the upcoming redistricting. Our neighborhood is in what is known as the foothills of the San Fernando Valley. Our neighborhood, as well as those of Kagel Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon share many common interests. Most of us living here share the desire to retain low density housing, to protect the environment, help protect wildlife, trees and flora of our open spaces. This is where we conduct our recreation including our equestrian lifestyle. We also want to protect the Angeles National Forest and Rim of the Valley Trail. All of the above neighborhoods have a mix of rural and low-density suburban housing and all are located at the base of the Verdugo Mountains and serve as our primary hub of shopping, business and entertainment interests.

Our communities have joined together in the past to create natural water retention, purification and ground-water aquifer recharge facilities. Flat land areas of the Valley have other interests, important to them, but different from ours.

A district of neighborhoods with common interests would make it far easier for its elected representatives to serve them. The current version of the redistrict map would lump together neighborhoods of widely diverging and conflicting interests. Please don't make the mistake of lumping Shadow Hills and Lakeview Terrace with North Hollywood and Pacoima and Reseda.

Thank you for time and consideration in reading this.

DONALD DUNHAM

Shadow Hills, CA

Subject: [REDACTED]
From: Farley Olander <[REDACTED]>
Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 13:39:11 -0700 (PDT)
To: [REDACTED]

June 20, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Expand Ontario Congressional District North To Include the San Gabriel Mountains

Dear Citizens Redistricting Commission:

I would like to support a redistricting effort that would place the federal public lands in the San Gabriel Mountains in the same Congressional Districts as communities to their south,. Residents of these communities are the most frequent visitors to these public lands and they have the highest stake in the management of the San Gabriels, including fire and fuels management. I live in the proposed new Ontario Congressional district and regularly hike in the San Gabriel Mountains above Rancho Cucamonga.

Although 75% the San Gabriel Mountain range is in LA County, the other 25% is actually to the east in the San Bernardino National Forest. I live in Rancho Cucamonga and look north from my front window into this section of the San Gabriels every day, which forms the striking scenic backdrop for all the cities of the proposed Ontario Congressional District. . Like the Pasadena area to the west, Rancho Cucamonga is located near one of the major gateways to the San Gabriels. Mt. Baldy Road provides access to Mt. Baldy Village, its ski area, and many well used San Gabriel Mountain trails where I hike.

It doesn't make sense to have the San Gabriel Mountains in the same Congressional district as Mono and Inyo County rather than in the same district as Rancho Cucamonga and other cities in the proposed Ontario District which have extensive geographic, economic and recreational connections to the range. Please include the East San Gabriel Mountains in the Ontario Congressional district. This can readily be accomplished by extending the proposed Ontario Congressional District north from Rancho Cucamonga to include the San Bernardino Forest section of the San Gabriel Mountains. The expanded boundaries would be the LA County line on the west, the national forest boundary on the north, and I-15 on the east. With this adjustment, the San Gabriel Mountains will be in two logically designed Congressional Districts which connect highly populated foothill communities with their magnificent public lands to the north.

Sincerely,

Farley Olander



Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701

Subject: Comments on Redistricting

From: "William" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 11:17:07 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

Gentlemen:

I presume to offer my comments about redistricting as I was selected to serve on your committee but a serious accident prevented my continuing with the procedure. I have been a resident of the area since 1964, served on many volunteer committees, was a member of the Planning Commission, South Pasadena, am a registered professional engineer (ret.) and emeritus, Cal Poly Pomona.

My remarks are directed at the proposed redistricting of the area including LaCanada-Flintridge, Western Pasadena, South Pasadena and San Marino.

These are contiguous bedroom communities of common social, ethnic, and economic interests. They often come together and act as one when common interests are involved. They have supported charities, social interests and athletics. Their schools compete in many common areas-their students are well known to each other. For many years San Marino and South Pasadena shared the same high school. They have been supporters of the Rose Parade from almost its inception.

Your guidelines state that the new boundaries would largely be based on "communities of interest," defined as "a contiguous population which share common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair addressed. This is a district which sees itself as an entity and which would be diminished by representation." The area which I discuss could be used as an example of that definition.

I urge that you carefully reconsider the proposed redistricting of the area which I have addressed.

Sincerely,

William F. Girouard PE Ph.D

Subject: Do not change our boundaries

From: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 20:31:34 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

I live in Sherman Oaks and am writing to ask you to retain existing districts. PLEASE DO NOT DIVIDE UP SHERMAN OAKS. It makes no sense. Thanks!

Kathi Flood

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: California Citizens Redistricting Commission

From: Vikki or Nils Brink <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 16:48:35 -0700

To: <[REDACTED]>

To the Redistricting Commission:

Please consider my wish and keep these cities in the same district: These are the cities that would be good to stay together since their life styles are close if not the same.

Kagel Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills, La Tuna Canyon, Sunland, Tujunga, La Crescenta, Montrose, La Canada-Flintridge, Glendale and Burbank.

The communities of Kagel Canyon, Lake View Terrace, Shadow Hills and La Tuna Canyon are all located in the foothills of the San Gabriel and/or Verdugo Mountains and share the desire to retain low density housing, to protect environmental, wildlife, trees and flora of our open spaces with their many opportunities for passive recreation including equestrian interests, to protect the Angeles National Forest and Rim of the Valley Trail. The communities of Sunland-Tujunga, La Crescenta, La Canada-Flintridge and Montrose are low-density suburban and also located between the mountain ranges of the San Gabriel Valley and Verdugo Hills. Glendale and Burbank have a mix of rural and low-density suburban housing, are located at the base of the Verdugo Mountains and serve as our primary hub of shopping, business and entertainment interests.

As you can see all these cities have the same things in common. When communities share the same watershed and have joined together to create natural water retention, purification and ground-water aquifer recharge facilities then you should understand that harmony will be a reward. The conflicts will be few because the district lives under the same interests. This would make the elected representatives job much easier to help their constituents.

Thank you

Vikki Brink

FTDNC Equestrian Committee Chair

Business owner

Lake View Terrace Stakeholder

[REDACTED]

Tag Line: Don't look down on anyone unless it is to help them up.

Subject: Pomona Valley

From: Melody Redmond Kelly <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2011 15:39:22 -0700

To: [REDACTED]

CC: Anna Soto [REDACTED]

Dear CRC,

Thank you for all of your hard work!

The Pomona Valley Map is perfect as it is.

Please let it remain so!

Thank you,

Melody Kelly

[REDACTED]
Ontario, CA 91761
[REDACTED]