

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: Ron Fink <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 17:18:08 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ron Fink <[REDACTED]>

Subject: City of Lompoc - split districts

Message Body:

I strongly urge your commission to reconsider the proposed split of Lompoc. Having one representative creates a sense of ownership for the issues that impact our city.

I also request that the public record be corrected to reflect that the population of Lompoc is 42,434 (2010 census); that the surrounding communities to the north (Vandenberg Village, Mesa Oaks and Mission Hills) share a common interest with the city of Lompoc and should be included in the same district and that the City of Lompoc is not a correctional facility.

I take great exception to referring to Lompoc as "correctional facility", while it's true that a federal correctional facility is located within the city limits, it is in a rural area, approximately two-miles away from the edge of the city to the northwest as a quick check of a Google Earth map will show.

The remainder of Lompoc, the area that is being divided for the state assembly and senate districts is all within the heart of the community.

Having two representatives in each elective body would be unique to Lompoc as indicated in the remarks attributed to Ms. Clark and should be avoided.

Excerpt from your meeting of June 1, 2001 that prompted these comments (starting with page 212):

"MS. CLARK: Santa Maria, Orcutt, Los Alamos, Santa Ynez, Vandenberg Air Force Base, and just this northern area of Lompoc and Buellton. Lompoc is the only city split.

COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: And what's -- it's a very small split, right, what's the population?

MS. CLARK: The total population of Lompoc is 41,000. This area is a correctional facility.

COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: -- is there any way to keep Lompoc whole and pick up some of Santa Ynez into this southern district or not?

MS. CLARK: I'll look into it.

COMMISSIONER DI GIULIO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BARABBA: Did I hear you correctly that the part that's split is the correctional facility?

MS. CLARK: And also this north area of the City of Lompoc."

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: Ashley <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 18:18:43 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ashley <[REDACTED]>

Subject: City of Lompoc

Message Body:

THE CITY OF LOMPOC IS NOT SIMPLY A FEDERAL INSTITUTE POPULATION. WE ARE A VIABLE, ATTRACTIVE, SIZABLE COMMUNITY THAT SHOULD BE KEPT WHOLE AT ALL COSTS. DO NOT SPLIT THE CITY OF LOMPOC INTO TWO DIFFERENT DISTRICTS FOR BOTH THE SENATE AND ASSEMBLY BOUNDARIES. EVEN CONSIDERING THIS OPTION IS LUDICROUS. WITH SUCH AN ISOLATED GEOGRAPHY, IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT THE CITIZENS OF LOMPOC ARE REPRESENTED WITHIN THE SAME DISTRICT. TO DIVIDE THIS POPULATION WOULD BE TO LIMIT THIER VOICE AND PLACE CONSTITUENTS WITH THE SAME ISSUES AND CONCERNS UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF DIFFERENT REPRESENTATIVEs. I WOULD KINDLY ASK THAT YOU PLEASE RECONSIDER THE PROPOSED DISTRICT BOUNDARIES AS THEY STAND TODAY, JUNE 15TH 2011. KINDEST REGARDS.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara
From: Voter <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 10:46:43 -0700
To: [REDACTED]

—Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara
From: Terence Dressler <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 14:47:27 +0000
To: [REDACTED]

From: Terence Dressler <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Draft Maps

Message Body:

I am writing to commend your commission on the excellent job you did designing the redistricting maps. The choices you made for Santa Barbara County show both fairness and wisdom. It is absolutely appropriate for the 35th State Assembly District and 19th State Senate District to retain the cohesiveness of the coastal communities, which have so much in common culturally, economically, and politically. Your maps demonstrate your knowledge that, aside from being located in the same county, the northern and southern portions of Santa Barbara County have nothing in common culturally, economically, and politically. Also, it is entirely appropriate that the 35th Assembly District and 19th Senate District have very similar boundaries. Finally, your reorganization of the 23rd and 24th Congressional Districts is the best design that could have reasonably been achieved. Once again, I commend you on your efforts. Great job!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara
From: "Paul G. Rosso" <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 16:04:20 +0000
To: [REDACTED]

From: Paul G. Rosso <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Lompoc and Central Coast Districts

Message Body:

My opinion is that the Congressional District for the Central Coast called SLOSB is very good. The Assembly District based on the map is confusing; either Lompoc is in TWO Assembly districts or in either the so called 'SLOSB' district or the 'SBWVEN' district. It should be entirely in the SLOSB Assembly District. This issue can easily be resolved. The really poor district is the Senate District, which is called 'CENTRAL COAST'. It includes the 'SLOSB' Assembly district and the further north coastal assembly district which runs from the SLO - Monterey County line to Morgan Hill, Santa Cruz and Gilroy.

That district has nothing in common with us and dilutes our vote. The SLOSB and SBWVEN Assembly districts must be combined to form a reasonable Senate District. This would reduce the length of Senate District from 250 miles to 100 miles and a two hour drive end to end not a 4 hour drive end to end as now constituted.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: "Eileen S. Anthony" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 14 Jun 2011 22:49:24 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Eileen S. Anthony <[REDACTED]>

Subject: District 3

Message Body:

Isla Vista must be removed from District 3 in order for those of us in the Santa Ynez Valley and North County to NOT be disenfranchised in every election! Isla Vista should be included with the city of Santa Barbara, physically closer and certainly more in line politically with this transient college-student, voter-fraud-laden area. Otherwise, the wishes and preferences of citizens in the SYV and North County are almost always defeated which equals no representation!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: Rosie Chandler <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 04:00:49 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Rosie Chandler <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keeping a city together

Message Body:

Your first draft splits Lompoc, a city of 40,000 into various halves (?), a totally unacceptable map; we are of one and the same mind, and need to be in the same district(s)-----PLEASE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: Debra Jewell <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2011 05:44:28 +0000
To: [REDACTED]

From: Debra Jewell <[REDACTED]>
Subject: City of Lompoc has been split in half

Message Body:

I am against splitting up Lompoc into different districts. Our town is small - lived here most of my life. What if it was your town. This is important and should be corrected.

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

— Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml —

Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

— Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml —

Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

— Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml —

Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml

— Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml —

Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara.eml