

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: John Duncan <[REDACTED]>

Date: 6/27/2011 9:04 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: John Duncan <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Ynez Valley / Lompoc Boundary Line

Message Body:

The boundary line currently proposed for both the State Senate and Assembly districts cuts Lompoc in half and puts the Santa Valley in the North. It would be better to not divide Lompoc's minority population and incorporate Santa Ynez, Solvang, Buellton, Los Olivos and Los Alamos in the southern district (SBEVENT / SBWENT). The Valley is connected more to Santa Barbara than Lompoc or Santa Maria or Carmel (in the proposed Senate district).

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: Cynthia Webster <[REDACTED]>

Date: 6/27/2011 2:16 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Cynthia Webster <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Lompoc Voters Split

Message Body:

The proposed split of voters in the city of Lompoc makes no sense. We are not even a city of 50,000 people. Lompoc needs to be represented by one assemblyman and one state senator. Our small city needs to be united, not divided. I am sincerely asking that you NOT split Lompoc's representation!!! Thank you,

Cynthia Webster

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: Joan Davidson <[REDACTED]>

Date: 6/27/2011 9:51 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Joan Davidson <[REDACTED]>

Subject: state and assembly district lines

Message Body:

The boundary line currently proposed for both the State Senate and Assembly districts cuts Lompoc in half and puts the Santa Ynez Valley in the North. It would be better to place all of Lompoc in the North and incorporate Santa Ynez, Solvang, Buellton, Los Olivos and Los Alamos in the southern district (SBEVENT / SBWENT). The Valley is connected more to Santa Barbara than Lompoc or Santa Maria or Carmel (as is shown in the proposed Senate district).

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Political redistricting lines

From: Myron Webster <[REDACTED]>

Date: 6/27/2011 2:13 PM

To: [REDACTED]

I appreciate the efforts that the commission has done in drawing the lines for redistricting in California, however, I do not believe that any community should be split into different districts (for state and national offices) except for the mega-metropolitan areas. I am specifically referring to Lompoc. I hope that you take this view into consideration before making the final decision is given.

Sincerely,

Myron Webster

[REDACTED]
Lompoc, California

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: David Corman <[REDACTED]>

Date: 6/27/2011 11:16 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: David Corman <[REDACTED]>

Subject: 1st Draft Map dividing Lompoc

Message Body:

Good Morning,

Please do not divide our city. I was under the impression that the Citizens Redistricting Commission was going to preserve "community of interest." The current 1st Draft does not maintain the integrity of our community. Please restore my faith in the Citizens Redistricting Commission by keeping The Lompoc Valley, which includes the city of Lompoc, Mesa Oaks, Mission Hills and Vandenberg Village, as one contiguous political entity.

Thank you.

David Corman

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 5 - Santa Barbara

From: George Work <[REDACTED]>

Date: 6/27/2011 10:57 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: George Work <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Lompoc

Message Body:

What compelled the commission to split Lompoc in half? So we go from a small voice to silence? I request that the commission take a better look at what was drawn. I do request a note as to the thinking process of splitting my town in half.

George Work

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission