



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

EUREKA, CALIFORNIA 95501-1153

June 28, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission  
1130 K Street, Suite 101  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Fax: [REDACTED]

Dear Members of the Redistricting Commission,

I am writing on behalf of the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors to urge you to reconsider your first draft maps so as to maintain two North Coast representatives in both Congress and the State Senate.

Our Board has repeatedly urged the Commission to keep Humboldt linked with other coastal counties through Congressional, State Senate and Assembly districts that recognize our unique, coastal character and protect our shared interests. From the draft maps, it appears that the Commission has heard that concern but has unfortunately addressed it in a manner that ultimately weakens our representation on those important coastal issues.

The North Coast from San Francisco Bay to the Oregon border is currently represented by two Assembly members, two Senators and two Members of Congress, giving our coastline two voices, and two votes, in each house. Under the Commission's proposal, that entire 400-mile stretch of coastline would be represented by only one Senator and one Member of Congress. Thus, our representation on important coastal and fisheries issues would be diminished by half.

The draft maps also appear to have given little consideration to numerous other issues raised in our previous comments. Dividing the wine country of Napa and Eastern Sonoma into a different district might weaken the strength of representation for our own burgeoning wineries and vineyards in Humboldt and neighboring Mendocino, and could impact other areas of specialty agriculture as well. This division would likely also have an impact on how our region is represented on important water issues, particularly in regard to the Eel River.

There is some concern that joining our Northern counties with Marin County and Southern Sonoma would shift both the geographic and population center of the district southward, creating the possibility that the "North end of the North Coast" might find itself fighting for attention with a power base that reflects more urban, Bay Area issues.


We also note the proposed addition of the Western portion of Siskiyou County into our coastal districts. While we take no issue with being joined with Siskiyou, we recognize that the residents of Siskiyou have expressed their strong opposition to having their small, rural population divided in such a way, and we support their wishes to remain a unified county as part of an inland district.

Lastly, we understand that at your June 16<sup>th</sup> meeting the Commission voted not to hold any public input hearings following the release of the second draft maps on July 12<sup>th</sup>. This news is extremely frustrating and disappointing, as our Board has made repeated requests that the Commission hold a hearing here on the North Coast, but have received no response of any kind.

The Commission's apparent single-minded focus on reaching out only to population centers, while ignoring the State's vast and diverse rural areas, creates doubt as to the legitimacy of the result. We strongly encourage the Commission to reconsider this decision, and we once again repeat our request that the Commission schedule a hearing here on the North Coast.

We thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Lovelace", written over a horizontal line.

Mark Lovelace, Chair  
Humboldt County Board of Supervisors

ML:kh

**Subject:** From Boston to DC on Bad Roads

**From:** Carol Taylor <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 28 Jun 2011 16:01:49 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

To the Citizens Redistricting Commission -

I was at the Santa Rosa hearing & while I have not been able to find the transcript I clearly remember elected officials from 3 or 4 counties asking that you give Northern California an \*accessible\* district.

NOBODY said "Please make a district as long as much of the Eastern Seaboard." They did not say "We need to disregard the Redwood Curtain."

The Redwood Curtain is the hilly range that blocks Humboldt County from the southern counties. It is a very tedious and somewhat dangerous drive.

PLEASE use the testimony you heard in Santa Rosa to make sensible districts.

Please show compassion for the folks north of the Redwood Curtain who drove 3-5 hours to speak before you. Surely that is a measure of how important this is to them.

Marin = North BAY

Humboldt = North COAST

These are different worlds - could be different states if they were like the counties back east.

Don't be the last Citizens Commission - which will happen if you proceed with this unworkable plan.

Thank you,  
Carol Taylor

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Humboldt

**From:** Jim Clark <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 28 Jun 2011 17:58:38 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Jim Clark <[REDACTED]>

Subject: North Coast Senate & Congressional Districts

Message Body:

Dear Commissioners,

Thanks for your hard work! I think the basic concept shown by all drafts of the North Coast districts do a good job of including the common interests of our diverse region.

My concern is in the southern portion of the proposed districts where Marin County is included. In my opinion, Marin County would more appropriately be included in the Bay Area District. If the southern boundary at least excluded the urban areas of Marin County, and included Santa Rosa, it would be a great job. I do realize, however, the Santa Rosa citizens may think otherwise.

Most importantly, please keep the proposed North Coast districts coastal.

Thank you,

Jim Clark

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission