

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911001	H		no		Davis	Yolo	yes	Davis and nearby communities of winters and woodland - make up economic interest; COI is land between two rivers (Cache and Putah Creeks); 3 AD 2 SD 3 CD - so do not get adequate representation.	keep Yolo county intact; COI between rivers is 12mile wide NS 25mi wide EW; gave pop stats from ReDrawCA.org
40911002	H	ran as Dem for CD 2	no			Shasta	yes	2nd CD (biggest geo CD in state) cuts up Butte and Yolo Cos. 1)draw lines around entire counties 2) proposes CD from coast to Nev draw line at bottom of Humboldt county Glenn Butte Plumas (11 cos); Sent email recently with this proposal had copies.	In CD 2 Butte and Yolo carved up; keep Del Norte and put Humboldt in 2nd district; exclude southern end of district Sutter Yuba Colusa north Yolo to be with Sacramento-based districts; those in Sacramento media market
40911003	H		no			Shasta	yes	counties split by CD line	Siskiyou Shasta Mendocino Lake Humboldt Del Norte Trinity
40911004	H		no			Shasta	yes	boundary from Crescent City to Nevada border then bring down	Del Norte Siskiyou Modoc Humboldt Trinity Shasta Lassen as starting point - all mountainous and all rural but nothing in common with Yolo

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911001	Davis Winters Woodland	rivers bound COI	no	yes	140000 people unique water community - two rivers - land between rely on (cache creek putah creek) - flow to central valley managed by local irrigation district	those water ways same major employer - UCD same agr tomatoes alfalfa almonds and walnuts unique water community
40911002	most of Butte in CD 2 but Oroville (county seat of Butte) is carved out and put in CD 1 far northern Yolo (carved around Woodland) in CD 2 - both are problem for campaigning by county (because voter pamphlet goes out by co)	bottom of Butte to Plumas to be district (formally 2nd)	no	yes	2 separate media areas - Chico Redding; Yuba and Sutter Cos (Marysville Yuba city) in another media market so candidate needs to spend in both - draw district around single media area; eureka is not in CD2 but part of ReddingChico media area	Because Eureka in media market with Redding and Chico best to include Humboldt and DN counties in CD 2; COI for him is media market - cause hes candidate
40911003			no	yes		these counties share recreation biz and recreation suffering because of gas prices; also poverty and unemployment similar across the counties (according to demographics)
40911004			no	yes		logging or mining; water shed Klamath and Trinity River - tribe vs potato farmers - need one rep for both areas to resolve H2O wars mediate between two sides (as work to get votes from both) come up with more moderate solution

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911001	because split up in AD SD and CD has to fight to get any help with water issues		no					yes	written testimony memo to CRC same essentially as spoken testimony; map of Yolo County with community of interest marked in yellow	04.09.11. E 04.09.11. F
40911002	counties cut up between CDs - hard to campaign - voters pamphlet goes out county by county so candidate pays for it to go to parts of county that cant vote for candidate; also better chance ag incumbent if only have to buy in one media market vs 2.		no					yes	email that speaker sent to Commission prior to meeting with his proposal	04.09.11. D
40911003	more political representation for counties depend on recreation biz.		no							
40911004	not natural community to have northern ruralmountainous counties with Sac suburbs		no				voted for commission as redraw should eliminate safe seats			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911005	H		no		Bayside - on coast	Humboldt	yes	make rural districts smaller fewer counties; consider creating AD by county rather than by pop	wants AD 1 Humboldt Del Norte Mendocino counties (as used to be before added more counties because these didnt grow)
40911006	H		no		Montgomery Creek	Shasta	yes	stick to county boundaries- counties have common interests	
40911007	H		no			Shasta 23 yrs (and lived all over and knows upstate CA)	yes	proposed CD SD and 2 AD s - pop totals very close to target pop that he got off website (see his notes - target pops are different); agrees to keep counties intact	proposed distrs CD (12 cos) Del Norte Siski Modoc Humb Trinity Shasta Lassen Plumas Mendo Tehama Glenn Lake; North AD first 8 of CD district; South AD last 4 of CD plus Butte; SD CD plus Butte
40911008	H		no			Shasta	yes	crazy to have district boundaries go from Oregon to Sac; consolidate counties in Northern part	
40911009	H		no		Eureka	Humboldt	yes	how drawn districts makes sense to him except should include Del Norte Co with other coast co; coast district should run from Oregon border down to Santa Rosa (not including Santa Rosa - down to Windsor as is now is good)	Del Norte Humboldt Mendo Sonoma

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911005			no	yes	more than 21 tribes in AD 1 and not represented here	rural coastal district has pristine oceans timber lands dairy products grass-fed beef poster child ecological way of life but current policies (CAL EPA cap and tax-AB 32) hurt that way of life; they are being regulated off their land.
40911006			no	no		
40911007		Rivers Long Pit River in NE Short Smith River in NW headwaters of Russian to mighty Sacramento National Forests Six Rivers Klamath Modoc Shasta Trinity Lassen and Mendocino	no	yes	coast inland exchange recreation (we go coast 2 surf they come here 2 ski) visit each other on single transport network that connects community (his proposed CD 2 CalTrans districts - impt link of federal transport to state admin of highways)	quote water a lot more geog of water makes us a community - rivers mountains with snow pack that feeds rivers and hold timber that is historical basis of economy (see Rivers and Forests listed under streets); recreationtourism impt to new economy
40911008			no	no		
40911009	Oregon border to Windsor is good as is		no	yes	north coast rural folks have same basic concept in their soul but divided by mountains and roads; coast counties share redwoods depend on 101 highway (299 highway just not the same)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911005	preserve rural coastal district which is geographically and politically isolated; make rural districts smaller - like one or two counties - now North rural pops have no voicevoice diluted or marginalized		no			ran for 1st Ass Dist - drove across 55K miles in 7 month period saw first-hand the diversity and similarity of district - campaign talked to 50-60K homes	Commission should have 2-3 rural hearings - hold meeting in smaller towns like Eureka Ukiah or Quincy - Redding is a big city for them			
40911006			no							
40911007			no					yes	handwritten numbers adding up pops of counties proposed for CD SD and 2 AD s in Nor CA.	04.09.11. A
40911008			no							
40911009	coastal issues redwood forests 101 highway		no				Rest of rural areas need a meeting; Commission should visit Eureka			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911010	H	family in CA since late 1700s early 1800s	no		Shingletown 25 yrs - worked for CA department of forestry - Cal Fire now	Shasta	yes		Eastern Shasta Plumas Lassen Modoc - all rural mountain foothill communities
40911011	H		no			Shasta since 1973	yes	keep cities and counties in tact but doesnt matter which counties together - in fact linking by commonalities is problem today with Sacramento politics	
40911012	H		no				no		
40911015	H	(see handout); managed multi-county management - girl scouts camps United Way heavy equipment etc - coast did not share with inland	no		Eureka for 10 yrs	Humboldt	yes	transport eastwest much harder than northsouth	coast (Del Norte Humboldt Mendo)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911010	Shingletown is community	20 miles on highway 44 few miles wide	no	yes	commonalities of rural mount foothill communities pop demographics needs wrt highways water fire protection energy transmiss educ social services all different from needs of southern part of district (Sac area); go to Redding for HC or groceries	ranch land and timber land; retired people and working families that commute to Redding. timber mountain issues - no ties to Yolo Co farm for example.
40911011			no	yes	do not use commonalities to lump people together - thats the problem	
40911012	Redding	Shasta	no	yes	unique issues that do not share with southern citiesareas	many resources water forest recreation
40911015		101 corridor vs I-5 corridor - territorial	no	yes	territorial thing going on - 3 corridors people just do not travel across much	districts should be ag-based economy-based lifestyle-based consider realistic travel patterns for units of service

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911010			no							
40911011			no			draw squares-grid based on pop - quote life aint fair so draw squares				
40911012	common unique issues		no			Nor CA needs more representation;not much in common with people who voter for Feinstein Boxer				
40911015			no					yes	written testimony memo to CRC same essentially as spoken testimony	04.09.11. C

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911016	H		no				no		
40911017	H		no				yes	keep different uses of water together in district to begin to work out problems	
40911018	H	Native American; former field rep for Senator	no				yes	in winter cant get from coast to Trinity Mountriver	
40911018	H	former senate staffer returns	no				no	problem is travel budget for Leg	
40911019	H		no			Shasta (recently) - from Solano Sonoma	yes	group NorCA together and coast	Colusa does not have same apprec for mountains as ShastaTrinity
40911020	H		yes	Senior Congress		Siskiyou	yes	agree keep north tier together; redistrict so not dominate by Sac interests	Siskiyou is big - five North East Counties need more representation (as in health care opps)
40911021	H	formerly in construction in North state	yes	Citizens for Responsible Government			yes	east-west block across state would give us some representation - do not keep it to north-south corridors	
40911022	H	retired fire captain from Cal Fire - been all over state.	no			Tehama	yes	Tehama should be with Sisk Modoc Lassen Shasta Glenn	
40911023	H - end numbered speakers		no				yes	Shasta and northern counties different from Sac	If use I-5 that leaves the district how it is

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911016			no	no		
40911017			no	yes	water media markets (impt for running for office - ability to afford running for office)	
40911018		mountain ranges	no	yes	highways do not run water shed wide	
40911018			no	no		
40911019			no	yes	way feel about land and protecting it	lack of jobs in Shasta
40911020			no	yes	health care needed in north often travel to other states; transp probs - no alternative transp in Siskiyou (one bus no Amtrak anymore) Modoc by itself has good transit system but need one for whole area	
40911021		highway 36 299 - break down along district lines	no	yes		highway 36 299 - break down along district lines so highways are not well developed vary in quality.
40911022		I-5 corridor	no	yes	we are rednecks - lots in common	
40911023	do not lump with Sacramento		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911016			no							
40911017			no							
40911018	keep it to counties and cities but look at geography - use topo map.		no							
40911018			no							
40911019			no							
40911020	redistrict so have real voice in Sac		no							
40911021			no							
40911022			no							
40911023			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011001	H	worked for DOForestry - traveled particularly Nor CA	no			67 years (life) in CA	yes	CD2 is example - he generally favors NS districts as has been historically over EW districts; stick with NS split but keep Sutter and Yuba together and dont split up Butte County (as is now into two different CD)	Sutter and Yuba in separate AD not good - make up of two counties very similar so keep together as much as possible; Butte County itself should not be split up like it is now
41011002	H		no		south of Marysville	Yuba (30 yrs)	yes	dont do Nev to coast because bring in more democratsliberals and upset balance of Ass and Sen; NS at least in the valley area there (not sure about north in mountains) makes the most sense	Sutter and Yuba should be together- communities very similar - same hazards agr interests and way they interact; Nevada Co is almost all in mountains and different
41011003	H		no				yes	NS is good agree with other two	Sutter and Yuba should be one county -
41011004	H		no				yes	Redist should promote controlled growth	Sutter Yuba Colusa Glenn
41011005	H		no			Yuba	yes	keep Yuba and Nevada together take off Lassen make up pop growing toward Lincoln	Yuba remain together
41011006	H		no			Yuba	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011001		disagrees with I-5 as break off point for EW district because I-5 not good for EW travel	no	yes	coast and inland different personalities; wants to be grouped with same personalities interests	coast is in past lumber made coast and inland more similar now coast is tourist vacation econ with some ag but its different type of farming from inland (wine grapes vs ricecattle) - this distinction drives social makeup
41011002			no	yes	because share agr issues if cross mountains at clear lake and go west to coast its a very different world just as going east to Nev Co is also a different world; Sutter and Yuba have similar hazards (like river flood) and way interact is similar.	agricultural area shares issues needs such as water
41011003			no	yes	two counties (joint clubs etc)are so similar should be one county and	
41011004			no	yes		small biz franchise make jobs
41011005			no	yes	difference between Yuba (small town and mt) and Sutter (farming)	Yuba and Nevada share water shedboth had Chinese pop
41011006			no	yes		Yuba is small town has few farms unlike Sutter which has farms

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011001	why doesnt want EW districts		no							
41011002			no							
41011003			no							
41011004			no							
41011005			no							
41011006			no			want competitive district				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011007	H		yes	Sutter County Auditor-Controller		Sutter	no		Sutter
41011008	H		no				yes	keep I-5 corridor; keep Yuba and Sutter together and add Butte	
41011009	H		no				yes	keep Yuba -Sutter together	
41011010	H		no		West Plainfield	Yolo	yes	keep Yolo county together - share air and transp corridor with Solano Co.	
41011011	H		no				yes	supports NS - Yuba Sutter Glenn	keep Yuba and Sutter together
41011012	H		no			Butte	yes	ag. West - East keep NS (Butte with Yuba and Sutter)	
41011013	H		no				yes	Sutter and Yuba very different - ok to share things and be in different district	
41011014	H	cattle ranch	no		Brownsville foothills	Yuba	yes	Sutter Yuba Butte all joined at hip - keep together	
41011015	H		no				yes	NS basis is good keep Sutter Yuba and Butte together	
41011016	H		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011007			no	yes		Sutter is rural but since close to Sac swallowed up into regional context; large develop plan in south Sutter county -split in county of rural and urban interests
41011008			no	yes	work together - i.e. passing sand-bag	
41011009		99-70 highways start with YubaSutter	no	yes	share social and economic clubs	
41011010			no	yes	minority pops in west sac and woodland	water and air quality issues volunteer fire district? Another econjob issue
41011011			no	yes	transportation issues - third river crossing very joined Marysville metro area includes whole area water issues	military base in Sutter?
41011012			no	no		
41011013			no	yes		Sutter is all flat and all agr. At certain pt east in Yuba is all mountains
41011014			no	yes	live in foothills kids go to HS in Marysville	
41011015			no	no		
41011016			no	yes	unity is NS diversity is westeast	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011007			no				transparency and accountability - cant get accountability unless get districts right			
41011008			no			solve govt problems - problem with representation is concentration of pop				
41011009			no							
41011010			no							
41011011			no							
41011012			no			Butte has five reps dont want to be so split up				
41011013			no							
41011014			no							
41011015			no							
41011016			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011017	H		no				no		
41011018	H - after break	.	no				yes	keep Yuba Sutter and Butte together	
41011019	H		no		off highway 70		yes	dont split Yuba and Sutter! thought it was same county for long time	
41011019	H		no				yes	keep Yuba Sutter Butte together	
41011020	H		no		Oroville - since 1963 - south fork of Oroville lake	Butte	yes	keep Yuba Sutter and Butte together	and perhaps add Colusa
41011020	H		no			Yuba	no		
41011021	H		no				yes	reference to I-5 corridor but 99 corridor is the tri-county area	
41011022	H		yes	Sutter County Clerk-Recorder		Sutter	yes	keep Yuba and Sutter together (counterpart in Yuba agrees - they share services.	
41011023	H		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011017	3-4 small towns for each supervisoryal distone in mountains		no	no		
41011018			no	yes	Sutter not all flat..has Sutter- Butte mountains - 2500 ft high	
41011019			no	yes	loves agr area not like people in mountains or like main part of Marysville - lives in rural area cause love it.	
41011019			no	yes	Yuba-Sutter connected - share co agencies non-profit services causes	
41011020			no	yes		peaches almonds rice
41011020	Marysville Yuba city area		no	yes	2 cities have lots in common.	draw lines around population centers - cities in Yuba make up most of it
41011021			no	no		
41011022			no	no		
41011023			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011017			no							
41011018			no							
41011019			no							
41011019			no			spend time here talking to people learning about them				
41011020			no							
41011020			no							
41011021			no							
41011022			no							
41011023			no				delay re video and transcript and transcript should be searchable - Chair said its a cost issue			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011024	H		no				no		Sutter
41011025	H		no				no		
41011025	H	co-owns bookstore with Comm. Forbes	no		Davis - over 30 yrs	Yolo	yes	re-align Davis with Sac and separate from rest of Yolo	
41311001	H	work for labor coalition - SB SLO Ventura	yes	a labor coalition for SB SLO and Ventura Co		SB SLO	yes	county boundaries are 1 COI because its political subdivision; keep SB SLO whole understand Ventura needs to be divided	
41311002	H		no				yes	county boundaries important because county is key administrative subdivision social services social safety net (most vulnerable populations) justice system elections - county govt needs representation	SLO Santa Barbara need to be cohesive
41311003	H		no		SLO	SLO	yes		SLO needs to be cohesive SB too

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011024			no	yes	Sutter has Sikh community (over 10000) - they are politically active - should be here	
41011025			no	no		
41011025			no	yes		Davis more in common with Sac than with ruralagr Woodlands Winters
41311001			no	yes	mostly undeveloped - key point - not a metropolis	1 sector of economy is agriculture - very specific products 5Bill industry
41311002			no	yes	county admin structure	
41311003			no	yes	higher education	both counties higher education in addition to agr.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011024			no				Commissioner Q lang prob again? Speaker no prob didnt get word - leaders dont have lang issue			
41011025			no				ques re coming back here for mtgs? Auburn on May 19			
41011025			no				excited about commission			
41311001			no							
41311002			no							
41311003			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311004	H		no			native SLO Co planning commissioner	yes	concern with two CDs as they stand now	
41311005	H	son is state senator	no				no		
41311006	H	former assembly man for 35th Assembly	no				yes	keep SB Co within AD - not like current 35th district	
41311007	H		no				yes	keep atascadero SLO together if have to divide use cuesta grade - people consider themselves north SLO and south SLO based on this	SLO
41311008	H		no		atascadero	SLO	yes	keep Atas SLO intact	
41311009	H		no		atascadero	SLO (since early 70s)	yes	keep SLO county intact and cities as much as possible - Atasc is geographically large but mostly retired people; leave county alone to maintain competitiveness that now exists	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311004			no	yes		agr - types - what SLO and SB grows (and Monterey) is very different from Fresno Kings Kern - heat sensitive crops- wine grapes; also some manufacturing hidden away; and higher ed binds us together
41311005			no	yes	concern with art community	
41311006			no	no		
41311007	atascadero	if have to divide SLO ns division at mt range - cuesta grade	no	no	SLO has broad range of political viewpoints compared to SB Ventura etc	
41311008			no	yes	?	
41311009			no	yes	Atas has mostly retired people	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311004			no							
41311005	if counties united can continue art programs school cant do - if divided fundraising is difficult		no							
41311006			no				SB Co voters support Prop 11	yes	letter from him and another elected official	
41311007			no							
41311008			no							
41311009			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311010	H	city council man works at Diablo	yes	City Council of Atascadero	atascadero	SLO	yes	keep SLO county whole	
41311011	pass		no				no		
41311012	H		no			SLO	yes	SLO county split in three directions with AD SD CD - need to be kept together - coastal district ok but not narrow strip three counties M SLO SB make perfect CD (check this??)	
41311013	H		no		Atas?		no		
41311014	H		no			SLO	yes	literally disenfranchised because of districts - SLO Co kept together	
41311015	H		no		oxnard born and raised; Grover beach and other small communities (has small biz in one)	SLO county 20 yrs	yes	keep SLO as a whole if have to attach - attach to Northern SB Co. or north to Salinas Valley.	
41311016	pass		no				no		
41311017	pass		no				no		
41311018	H		no				yes	SLO county unique	SLO

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311010			no	yes		economic downturn affecting county - need adequate representation and need everyone in county to work together to deal with economic issues
41311011			no	no		
41311012			no	no		
41311013			no	no		
41311014			no	yes	uniqueness of SLO Co highest of veteran of any county very patriotic and 50s- like	
41311015			no	yes	small and special not affluent	tourism agr - labor force is farm service in hotelsrestaurants govt; small counties without big tax base
41311016			no	yes		
41311017			no	no		
41311018			no	yes		materials on county economics

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311010			no							
41311011			no							
41311012			no							
41311013			no				voters pick rep vs reps pick voters			
41311014			no							
41311015			no							
41311016			no							
41311017			no							
41311018			no					yes	map with colors stats etc	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311019	H		no			SLO co	yes	economic political boundaries need to be maintained in SLO co; districts have not respected SLO co.; put Monterey with SLO or SLO and SB instead of 5 counties	SLO
41311020	H		no			SLO -	yes	stick with county boundaries add southern monterey or norther SB to SLO	SLO
41311021	H		no		templeton (since 1987)	SLO	no		
41311022	H		no		SLO city	SLO - life long - grew up in North now in south	yes	to make AD go north if use cuesta grade go south for CD	
41311023	H		no		ojai	ventura	yes	ojai and valley around it; if have to put with west Ventura and part of SB - tourism in common	
41311024	H		no				yes	keep SLO SB Ventura in tact - 3 counties together or each county alone?	
41311025	H		no		cambria	SLO	yes	keep SLO as heart of districts because of unique wine industry	
41311026	H		no		SLO	SLO	yes	keep SLO in tact (check ?)	
41311027	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311019	lives near SLO city and is in CD with Bakersfield		no	yes	ground to cover by candidates	
41311020			no	yes	expense by candidates (agrees with previous)	look at economic vitality of counties when drawing districts
41311021			no	yes	templeton considered mayberry - different from down below; right now politically divided - if have to divide use grade.	
41311022			no	yes	tied by 101 to Monterey highway 46 to 41 to Fresno; higher ed	education wine
41311023	Ojai several other cities (oxnard is one)		no	yes	natural beauty social events mutual interests	tourism
41311024			no	yes	college students - share socially recreationally academically share - face same struggles	
41311025	edna valley		no	yes		wine industry is really unique
41311026			no	yes		education wine industry tourism
41311027			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311019			no							
41311020			no							
41311021			no							
41311022			no							
41311023			no							
41311024	if split will silence student communities foster lack of civic engagement among students		no							
41311025			no							
41311026			no							
41311027			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311028	pass		no				no		
41311029	pass		no				no		
41311030	H		no		Los Osos	SLO	yes	SLO and SB interests are closer than SLO with Monterey	
41311031	H after break	minister	no		oxnard - 33 years before that Port Huerleme	ventura co redistricting team	yes	keep P H and Oxnard together and not with LA; west Ventura with SB Co	
41311032	H		no				yes		
41311033	H		no		oxnard 15 yrs	ventura	yes	divides Ventura into 3 pieces - gave map - mountains divide - rural suburban and urban - put east county with LA	
41311034	H		yes	CAUSE			yes	cracked into many districts - should be fewer based on pop; keep SB and Western Ventura together; dont exclude people of color like now in 19th	Cause counties
41311035	H		no			SLO	yes	four subareas of SLO Co - questa grade is best divider	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311028			no	no		
41311029			no	no		
41311030			no	yes	keep students in mind environmental concerns more in common with SB than with Monterey	student
41311031	Port Huememe and Oxnard		no	yes	environmental justice - Superfund site; youth violence prevention; faith - churches connected across Ventura SB and SLO	
41311032	Port Huememe and Oxnard El Rio Camarillo and __ acres keep together		no	yes	high latino pop 1st lang is Spanish concern with public transport (buses all over both cities) and environmental justice (toxic sites almond beach - bird wetlands - need to be preserved.	elementary schools and HS very connected between two towns
41311033	Oxnard area PH Oxnard El Rio - Oxnard plain		no	yes	multi-cultural latino - non-english speakers; affordable housing public education environmental justice (Ing terminals fought off?)	were world largest exporter of strawberries large middle class pop - employed at naval base
41311034			no	yes	low-income communities and people of color - committed	high
41311035	coastal communities lost pop pismo beach etc.		no	yes	big retired community - right inbetween SF and LA	tourism has surpassed wine - but connected

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311028			no							
41311029			no							
41311030			no							
41311031			no							
41311032			no							
41311033			no					yes	map	04.13.11. C
41311034			no					yes	PPT with lots of stats and handout with rec for dist	04.13.11. E 04.13.11. F 04.13.11. G 04.13.11. H
41311035			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311036	H		no		santa maria	SB	yes	keep 3 cities together keep SB and V Co together if need SLO co take only towns of _____	
41311037	H		no		santa maria	SB	yes	same 3 cities together SB and V cos together; SB based districts	
41311038	H		no		filmore - santa clara valley	ventura	yes	keeo these communities together with Ventura and Oxnard; cuneo grade if must divide	Ventura
41311039	H		no		oxnard - since 1973	ventura	yes	been gerrymandered into some strange distr - keep west county together and with SB east with LA	Ventura
41311040	H		no		santa maria valley - orcutt	SB Co	yes	very split up and not cohesive representation - made whole into 1 AD SD CD - put SB and most of Ventura together - that would work	
41311041	H		no		20 yr - city of ventura - works with CAUSE	Ventura	yes	keep west Ventura Co whole (city of Ventura) and with SB city? Santa clara valley - similarities between Ventur and SB - eco friendly communities	
41311042	H		no				yes	look at maps CAUSE produced - important re ethnic communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311036	santa maria guadalupe lomdoc		no	yes	lists clubs schools; concern re jobs affordable housing	vandenburg air force base 15 unemployment
41311037			no	yes	biggest pop of SB Co (as said previous person)	socially low economic status
41311038	Fillmore pyru ___ and ___	highway 126 is critical	no	yes	78 hispanic people from these towns go to Ventura and Oxnard to shop etc..NOT LA	agricultural
41311039			no	yes	west county - agr (coastal environmental concerns) vs east county (more in common with LA Co)	
41311040	orcutt?...		no	yes	manufactured home owners COI over 55 fixed income concerned with affordable housing - splintered now in all three juris	
41311041	Ventura		no	yes	32 Latino rest primarily white environmentally friendly and socially conscious keep out Walmart and maintain green belts	
41311042			no	yes	oil spill - still affects beach - concern with coastal environ wine industry tourism - big	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311036			no							
41311037			no							
41311038			no					yes	testimony with map	04.13.11. A 04.13.11. B
41311039			no							
41311040			no					yes	testimony	04.13.11. D
41311041			no							
41311042	nothing like Simi Valley (they earn living in LA)..we learn living in central coast		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311043	H in Spanish - translation summary after		yes	committee el pueblo	santa maria		yes	santa maria	
41311044	H	caregiver	no		santa maria		yes	ventura and SB and oxnard	
41311045	H		no		oxnard	ventura	yes	support CAUSE recommendations	
41311046	H		no				yes	ventura and SB counties kept together and west Ventur Co kept whole as COI	Ventura
41311047	H		no		filmore	ventura	yes	concerned with representation of Filmore community keep santa clara valley together and Ven Co-based districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311043	santa maria		no	yes	culture similar between us and SB co?	concern re ag workers - lack of work and feasible work for hard working people work conditions shortage of child care latino pop
41311044	santa maria		no	yes	threats of loss of senior health care - out of work as caregiver seniors have HC needs - alzheimer dying; performing arts	
41311045	oxnard		no	yes	environment pesticides beaches threatened by developers transport; many racial health disparities want to be together so can elect reps that look like them have same passions etc	blue collar community of color median 56 iK in income residents work in secondary job market 67 commute out of Oxnard
41311046	Santa Paula	Santa Paula	no	yes	reservoirs in Santa Clara Valley watershed many historical sites. Its in a natural state; DOJ lawsuit in Santa Paula in 2001 stipulated facts that voting rac. Polarized diluting hispanic vote.	river is a geographical connection important to econag interests many farmworkers most born in Mexico and earning less than 15Kyear. Minoritieswoman in lower econ ladder
41311047	Filmore		no	yes	school district 86 latino	agr - orchard avacado; poverty level

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311043			no							
41311044	venturaSB Oxnard have same culture art respect for hispanic in community who need help		no							
41311045			no							
41311046			no							
41311047			no				my duty to speak to you because of how felt becoming citizen			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311048	H		no		santa paula (family since 1880s)	ventura	yes	if do not keep V county whole makes sense to bifurcate it and keep west with SB county	Ventura
41311049	H		no		El Rio	ventura	yes	worked in east and west county - cueno grade is the divide Dont combine with LA or Kern? keep a bunch of cities together (whole list - oxnard port hueneme etc..)	
41311050	H in Spanish - translation summary after	field worker single mom active with community org	no		santa paula	ventura	yes	need voice in Sacramento for communities (list) with field labor - not with Simi Valley and NorPark; santa paula filmore ___ and ___ together - all in V and nothing in LA	
41311051	H		no				yes	parkfield (Mont) pas robles san miguel (north SLO Co) - keep northern SLO together (with south Mont?)	south monterey north SLO Co
41311052	H		no				yes	33rd AD is well designed not well designed is 250 long along coast SD should be ? CD should be	
41311053	H		no			SLO	yes	keep SLO Co together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311048	santa paula		no	yes	80 latino	low-income farm workers
41311049	El Rio - sphere of influence of city of oxnard		no	yes	mix of rural ag and urban life over 80 latino low educational attainment	high unemployment - food shelter and clothing education HC access immigrant rights transportation are concerns
41311050			no	yes		field workers rents go higher each year
41311051			no	yes	school districts shopping etc share this area between two counties	
41311052			no	yes	oil on beach - naturally occuring - not from spill - need to drill to take pressure off and provide jobs	
41311053			no	yes		keep agricultural areas together; irrigation issue is big now

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311048			no							
41311049			no							
41311050			no							
41311051			no					yes	testimony	04.13.11.I
41311052			no							
41311053			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311054	H		no			SLO County	yes	distribution of ways of life shown to be north and south - also east ranches very different from coastal communities - please consider Vertical distribution	
41311055	H	vice major of SLO city	yes	SLO City	SLO City	SLO county	yes	dont divide SLO city - dont consider cuesta grade as boundary Get water from ____ lake (controlled by Mont co tho in SLO Co) now creates need for representative for both Monterey and SLO	
41311056	pass		no				no		
41311057	pass		no				no		
41311058	H		no			Ventura	yes	santa clara valley - fillmore santa paula together with El Rio and Oxnard not with Lancasterantelope valley - being with them now has reduced representation	
41311059	pass		no				no		
41311060	pass		no				no		
41311061	H	port huemene council member and work for city of Ventura	yes	Cities of Port Huneme and Ventura	port humeme	Ventura	yes	heritage valley - connects morpork pyru simi valley; west county - PH Oxnard etc - Keep Ventura together but if have to divide divide at Thousand Oaks and put west with SB co which shares similiarities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311054			no	yes		engineering report showed way of living that followed geography
41311055			no	yes		quaga mussel problems with water supply
41311056			no	no		
41311057			no	no		
41311058			no	yes	news shopping health care go to ventura oxnard	migrant worker camps also connects these areas
41311059			no	no		
41311060			no	no		
41311061			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311054			no							
41311055			no							
41311056			no							
41311057			no							
41311058			no							
41311059			no							
41311060			no							
41311061			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311062	H		no		oxnard	Ventura	yes	keep Ventura Co together - have good representatives now but luckydont want to rely on luck. If have to put LA in put with East V Co. Or put V Co with south SB county	
41311063	H	judge	no		SLO city	SLO	yes	dividing line is cuesta grade and coastal range - looked at CD 23 proposed (Cook maps?) - proposed makes sense?? - current 23 is bad (so narrow u can walk across it EWwants nesting to avoid this problem	
41311064	H		no				yes	so as look at combining and splitting pops please look at ethnicity - so latinos not marginalized	
41311065	H		no				yes	proposes SD AD CD - keep SLO together if can - but go north to south - not east to westbut ok to take Kern for more people	
41311066	H		no				yes	natural dividing line for CA between Nor and So - just above SLO; proposal?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311062			no	yes	power plants on coast - 2 in oxnard super fund site low educ attainment youth crime youth despair	immigrant issue language issues
41311063			no	no		
41311064			no	yes		farm workers hotelmotel restaurant service workers - many farm workers drive over mountains from Cambria to get cheaper gas and health care
41311065	5 city area pismo beach los berros arroyo grande		no	yes		environmental issues threat to water - SB oil spill birds with crossed beaks
41311066			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311062			no							
41311063	dont define COI as one thing - wont apply statewide		no							
41311064			no							
41311065	came back after and noted that big of Pismo Beach residents (home owners?) dont actually live there live in hotter areas and come to beach house for cooler weather.		no							
41311066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311067	H		no		Morro Bay	SLO	yes	the way we think around here is NS	
41311068	H		no			SLO	yes	keep SLO co whole if need to connect with SB in south?	
41311069	H		no		SLO city	SLO	yes	keep SLO co whole as much as possible - doesnt like current coastal district because of acrimony between coast and inland	
41411001	H	intimately involved in R in this area for awhile served in Assem..R out of office (81 or 91?);	yes	Kern Co Latino R Task Force			no		Kern
41411002	H	with grouporg	yes	grouporg name?	Bakers	Kern	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311067			no	yes		fisherman and marine interests important COI - spend life in coast though dont live there
41311068			no	yes		
41311069			no	yes	cautions that keeping environmental interests together (as has been called for) sets up war between these people	
41411001			no	no	47 Latino Kern Co none of Sups are Latino till his task force also won in assem and senate for Kern - because proposed districts and CA Supreme Ct adopted them and still in effect today	
41411002			no	yes	Basque restaurants are unique (said as a side note)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311067			no							
41311068			no				want americans to have representation by like-minded people - shes concerned about productive people getting what they deserve			
41311069			no							
41411001			no					yes	submitted statement in writing	
41411002			no					yes	yes submitted from group	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411003	H		no		ridgecrest		no	Edwards and China Lake need to be together in CD; in same congressional district now and want to stay that way - 22 CD; asked later about being lumped with East Kern and Antelope Valley - she was ambivalent	
41411004	H		no		Greater Bakers Metro Area		no		
41411005	H	represents other guy no group - makes point about lots of people without groups not a special interest or minority group	no		Tehachape		yes	not happy with current districts; rather see blocks	
41411006	H		no		Weedpatch		no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411003		highways 395 178	no	yes	Ridgecrest -linked with Kern Co; share with Bakers	US Naval Warfare Center Edwards AFB
41411004	Greater Baker Metro Area; oildale rosedale map of HS district		no	yes	geographic and economic reasons share great natural water resource typically community - families trying to get by - 5 major religions all here	hit hard by 2008 Ressionion
41411005			no	no		
41411006			no	yes	rundown community dirty streets polluted air and water one tiny store; depend on Arvin and Lamont kids go to HS in Arvin	all farm workers only one white family

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411003			no							
41411004			no							
41411005			no							
41411006			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411007	H		no		Weedpatch		yes	keep Weedpatch with Lamont and Arvin? Yes	
41411008	H		no		Lamont		no		
41411009	H		no		Arvin		no		
41411010	H		no		Arvin		no		
41411011	H		no		Weedpatch		no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411007	Weedpatch Lamont Arvin East Bakersfield		no	yes	Community similar to Lamont and Arvin lacks infrastructure streets sidewalks public transportation go to pharmacy in Lamont food and movies in East Bakersfield	
41411008	Lamont		no	yes	majority are spanish-speaking and immigrants migratory - dont get servs; diseases due to use of pesticides also fields are contaminated with pesticides people have no insurance and only one clinic wait all day for appt have to go to ER in Bakerfield	no permanent or stable job there
41411009	Arvin		no	yes	Police Fire Arvin HS services Weedpatch and Lamont no movie theatres cultural centers family activities need better public trans bad water and air	10K before and grew to 1617K residents majority are Latino note our map on screen shows over 19K
41411010	Arvin Lamont Weedpatch Greenfield		no	no	community services but not much	72 agr 60 Latino; small and medium size industries
41411011			no	no	sheriff office ct house mail facilities but lacks a lot of infrastructure lack sidewalks and public lighting many econ and health needs	majority work in Agr - lots of illness and medical care non- existant and most no insurance need more school Need HS and community classes?

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411007			no							
41411008			no							
41411009			no							
41411010			no							
41411011			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411012	H		no		east bakersfield		no	not sure if East Bakers should be with Alvin Lamont Weedpatch because those have worse infrastructure but have a lot in common with those communities;	
41411013	H	united neighbors orgs been hearing from	yes	Dolores Huerta Foundation			no	southwest bakersfield (west of 99 south of Truxton extension) doesnt share much with Lamont Arvin East Bakersfield; CD is 340 miles from Stockton to here; going east of Lamont Arvin is also very different - Tehachapee for ex; we prefer distrs now	
41411014	H	later (also a Greenlining Institute fellow mentions Health City mapping)	yes	Dolores Huerta Foundation Greenlining Institute fellow		Kern	no	provided map - combine farm worker communities - need a representative; (later) bases in East Kern have employees from Sbern and ? not as much in common with farm workers communities; comment re representatives - actually portegese and represent growers	more than workers; east bakersfield would be not well represented if ____; many prisons built - people of color are most of prison pop only one college - her comment re prisons - more in common with where came from?
41411015	H		no		foothills above springville kids in school of porterville	Tulare	no	lumped with Sbern Co now (very gerrymandered) and have nothing in common - and would have more in common with areas around it	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411012		college and nils streets (west boundary?)	no	yes	my community many go to church - on specific holiday? Schools get fogdays when no fog bec fog on west side of city	
41411013	Lamont and Arvin - prefer districts as are now- if put all disadvant communities together would be less well served	58 184 highways	no	yes	need infrastructure improvements (as have heard) go to cathloic church celebrate holidays group from Yuhaqua	19k-25k incomes work in fields and packing plants 75 speak other than English at home
41411014			no	no	farm worker communities in towns been talking about - lots in common with east bakersfield - not with Ridgecrest not with other parts of Bakers	farmworkers need own district - these communities are in bad shape because dont have representation
41411015	springville and porterville		no	yes		depend on agr - ranching and farming - most people are farm workers

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411012			no							
41411013			no							
41411014			no							
41411015			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411016	H		no		bakersfield - recently in southwest area; lives off Stockdale highway		no	urge to use lines to fairly represent people - understand need to break up Bakers (but wants to be together?)	
41411017	H	family originally from and evicted from _____ Indian Reservation relocated to SouthEast Bakers	no				no	dont cut out people at end of Kern- southern point (exactly where?) - dont consider self part of Ventura or LA counties	
41411018	H	work with Kern Co homeless community - biggest concentration SE bakers (for whole county)	no				no		
41411019	H	teaching in arvin HS since 1966	no		arvin		no	Kern Co should be CD - campaign for current CD and didnt get to Nor boundary campaigned in Arvin (and lamont?)	Kern

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411016			no	no	demographic changes seen in SW Bakers- more younger working families now	
41411017		99 west boundary north to Kern River Columbus 178 to comanche road boundary south to Tehachapee mtns comanche and 58 to east Tehachappe mts	no	yes	high teen pregnancy HS drop out rates;	farm workers support rich agr oil production but low-income and unemployment - turn to crime drug grade medium manufacturing - mixed-use zoning creates pollution and unsafe neighborhoods
41411018		east of 99 freeway north to Kern River south east to include Arvin and Lamont	no	no	14001500 homeless census in Co (this ? doesnt include doubled up or couch-surfing?); very diverse - not all men also women and kids veterans need jobs transport substance abuse services	poverty is same as was in Grapes of Wrath
41411019			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411016			no							
41411017			no							
41411018			no							
41411019			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411020	H		yes	works at university of laverne - Bakersfield campus			no	believes her representation is fair and effective - young women in SW	
41411021	H		yes	center for race poverty and environment - work in Kern and Tulare	delano		no	need adjustments in lines - some work some need improvement; may have to cut up counties - will submit a map (chair suggestion)	north? tulare area has something in common with towns heard about in Kern - Arvin Lamont etc
41411022	H	used to work with greater Bakersf central assistance - why uses census tracts	no				no	East Kern Co just west of 14 is west border; tehachape-kern valley gave Census Tract s;	
41411023	H		no		northcentral bakersf		no	need voice for LBGT - would like to be one voice; re CD - repr doesnt visit his area - has maps about being doughnut holed	
41411024	H		no			kern since 1989	no	20th CD - most of talk of tonigh but they do have a voice. - represent county of Kern in solid fashion (kern co is more cohesive than would think - people are from Kern not mountain people vs. ___ people	
41411025	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411020			no	no		
41411021	delano		no	no	changing demographics - Latino - 60?	changes
41411022	metro Bakers is a COI East Kern is COI? Tehachepe-Kern Valley is another one?; weedpatch arvine lamont is another; 4-5K prisoners in Tehachape 10 of CA inmates in Kern Co		no	yes		
41411023			no	no	LGBT community; relocations of services career center for retraining hot food for homeless veteran housing; 49.5 Latino African over 50 (new majority) - not being represented;	
41411024			no	no		
41411025			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411020			no							
41411021			no							
41411022			no							
41411023			no							
41411024			no							
41411025			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411026	H		yes	San Joaquin Valley Black advocacy group - advocates for people of color - work on high speed rail			yes	group income etc for districts; use Census tract income and ethnicity to group people together	
41411027	H		no		Wasco?	Kern	no	Kern County binds people - she does not feel like same as people of 20th district	
41411028	H		no				no	problem is not division but representation - changing districts is probably not solution - solution is to have good county representation	
41411029	H	prof at CSUB	yes	CSUB			no	problem is system of represent kidnapped by colusion of 2 parties; dont break up Bakersfield - keep separate coast on west and mounts on east	Southern San Joaquin Valley is his COI
41411030	H		no				no	rivers are natural borders in several ways	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411026			yes	no	income	
41411027			no	no	not all hispanic but in this area Arvin etc	
41411028			no	no		
41411029			no	yes	cultural zone in southern SJ Valley - uinified cultural and economic zone	poorest area of CA
41411030		Kern River is key in Bakers	no	yes	central bakersfield has needs - use Kern River as border - see real difference on sides of borders	hes a product of Antelope Valley College but left that area for Bakersf (?)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411026		use this criterion - with term limits have opp for more people to get elected and need people of color	no							
41411027			no							
41411028			no							
41411029			no							
41411030			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411031	H	chevron petrol engineer generation-wide young tech professional group - lots of people of color college educated have young children	no		Bakersfield - 6 yrs - in green housing zone		no	southwest Bakers boundaries north to kern river new 58 extension going up to 99 south to ___; keep community together - current districts not representative because white with radical ideology?	later just now got latino elected; CD should be mix of NS??; not well represented now by current legislators; house prisoners but dont go to school with us etc -keep in mind; lots of Edwards workers live in Lancaster
41411032	H		no				no	Kern Co has a divide for long time - do disservice if create District out of whole Kern Co.; have population to have more districts.keep as is (?),mountains separate west east?	
41411033	H		no		Lamont		no	change districts is probably not solution - need fair representation; need better teachers better food for kids in schools	
41411034	H		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411031			no	yes	2nd worst air quality	lots of jobs lost just oil ag and prison
41411032			no	no		
41411033	Lamont		no	yes	problems valley fever cancer children have cancer and have so many needs 110 adults with cancer - probably bad water with arsnic bad sewer systems sts with no names utlity bills so high and no water unemployed inNov Dec and high utlity bills;	parks (or parts?) without lights - been two accidents; valley fever is sporefungus that come into area gets into lungs and causes chronic illness - have hotspots of it - now one in prison in Delano
41411034			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411031			no							
41411032			no							
41411033			no							
41411034			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411035	H	assembly staffer	yes	California State Assembly			no	keep cities county and coi togetherrepresent Ridgecrest and represent it well	
41411036	H - last speakers		no		Arvin - and work in Bakers		no	keep Kern Co together	
41411037	H		no				no	prisons in kern 8K in 2 prisons in Delano - on cecil road and other cities	
41411038	H		no				no	two military bases (china lake edwards) - 1617K jobs; active duty at Edwards; china lake more research few live on base; ridgecrest wouldnt exist without china lake	
41511001	H		no			Tulare	yes	34th AD - rep need pilots license to get around - he describes the whole district - needs to be more compact and not so spread out - try to make district out of communities?	East side of Sierra in an AD and that into Needles ok better if Tulares district went into Kern - keep in valley - agr counties -Tulare Kern Fresno together; CD is good (well represented)
41511002	H		no		Stockton	San Joaquin	yes	keep SJ county whole; none of reps of SJ county dont live in SJ County - currently split 4 AD 2 SD 2 CD; can pick up enough residents for AD in county can pick up nearby counties for SD and CD rather than go to Yolo as is now	keep cities in co together just a few pop centers to pick up pop go south into Stanislaus or north into Sac Co to Galt.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411035			no	no		
41411036			no	no		
41411037			no	no		
41411038			no	no		
41511001			no	no		
41511002	Lodi Stockton Manteca Tracy ..brook?		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411035			no							
41411036			no							
41411037			no							
41411038			no							
41511001			no							
41511002			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511003	H	work for org that provides child care	yes		born in Huron works all over Fresno and SJ valley	Fresno	yes	20th CD	rural Westside Fresno Co - small ag communities should be a COI
41511004	H		yes	APALC - CAPAFR; CAPAFR Fresno	native of Fresno		yes	CAPAFR Fresno finalizing maps for Fresno area VRA district in southern Fresno- will submit shapefile with boundaries	
41511005	H		yes	CAPAFR Fresno			yes	VRA district - Latino and Hmong	
41511006	H		yes	Southeast Asian Education Council - students and community leaders - further education for students			yes	provided map of Fresno - SE	
41511007	H	community activist and real estate broker	no		Fresno - this SE Asian area	Fresno	yes	please do not divide our community - do not dilute our representation	
41511008	H		no	APALC - CAPAFR		Fresno Co	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511003	firebock Mendota	along I-5 - poorest areas	no	yes	poverty child poverty hunger capitol	unemployment rates high 98 Latino in these areas
41511004			no	yes		
41511005			no	yes	30K Hmong 8K Laotian	
41511006		gave exact streets - gateway to southeast Asian community in Fresno	no	yes	Hmong farmers cultural events richness of diversity - 60 of 30k Hmong reside in this area	
41511007		Temperance Avenue	no	yes	new homes being built east to Temperance	Hmong farmers in this area too
41511008			no	yes	stats on API in Fresno County - several tables LEP low educational attainment - API and Latino in Fresno share these characteristics	In tables of each ethnic group in poverty unemployed

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511003			no					yes	statement Google map 2 11x17 maps	
41511004		VRA district in South Fresno - Hmong	yes					yes	written statement	
41511005		VRA district in South Fresno	yes							
41511006			no							
41511007			no							
41511008			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511009	H	just ran 14th SD - cost 8K to pay for ballot statements in all the counties	no				yes	Recommendation Do not have doughnut holes parts of all kinds of cities; start in center of state use rivers as guides dont break up Hmong community just heard about	
41511010	H		yes	El Concilio de Fresno	Fresno	Fresno	yes	provided handout written and map of COI ; if had to expand? Go east - miniwawa Clovis kings canyon avenue - similar area ; his point was to keep whole	
41511011	H		no		Selma	Fresno County	yes	keep Fresno Co one district; Q this would be SD how would you split for AD? Split into West side and East side - northsouth split - 99 corridor	
41511012	H	farm in Tulare county	no		Reedley - near Tulare Co border	Fresno County	yes	Keep Fr Co whole but if split go down into Tulare	
41511013	H		no		Fresno	Fresno	yes	provided community map - content with AD not CD or SD his area shares similarities with Southeast Fresno	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511009			no	no		
41511010	southeast Fresno - his COI is 240K pop	western boundary - Marx ave eastern boundary North boundary	no	yes	recession changing demographics impact	low middle class some pockets of upper class education needs health care needs (travel to ___ for care)
41511011			no	yes	934000 pop of county - make own district. East co water comes from a dam - Kings River vs. canal.	German farmers Japanese American farmers now Hmong farmers; west co agr has different needs from east co agr - west side dependent on h2o from delta canal east side not dep on canal - lack of ag jobs NOT bec of h2o.
41511012		Reedley to Sangor	no	yes		east co water based on snow melt and loosing lots of it now cause Kings River so full
41511013		south of Shaw and west of 99 (see map)	no	yes		quasi-rural - where city meets county

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511009			no							
41511010			no					yes	statement google map (with correction)	
41511011			no							
41511012			no							
41511013			no					yes	statement google map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511014	H		no		Fresno	Fresno	yes	gave out testimony - had one correction re boundary (see street field)	
41511016	H	life-long SJ Valley resident with group	yes	group name?	Fresno	Fresno	yes	disagrees with keep Fresno Co whole	
41511017	H	with same group as 16	yes	group name?	Fresno	Fresno	yes	individual communities stay whole and district allow fair representation	
41511018	H		yes	volunteer - DH Foundation - promote voting - work in Dairy Industry primarily	Hanford	Kings	yes	Re dairy area in Kings? - a different CA tho part of CA	
41511022	H	farmer here in Kings	no				yes	proposes CD and SD groupings for SJ Valley CD 20 is a disaster - huge geographically and poorest areabecause of relationship to water policy - very underrepresented	Tulare Kings and northern Kern have a lot in common - share same problems
41511025	H	family in Valley for 113yrs	no		Reedley	Fresno	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511014		south of Shaw west of Clovis Mayfair district - correct 99 to east side of Hayes or Haste - to include black community	no	yes	low education diverse few grocery stores few parks homeless	The Valley could be a whole economy; but poverty rate is very high and gotten worse
41511016			no	no		
41511017			no	yes	50K live in disadvantaged areas of Fresno Co; gave long list of problems in these communities	
41511018			no	yes	more on working conditions no water workers get sick	major milk producer for country; at dairies - poor working conditions modern form of slavery families dissolve divorce shifts change daily - over worked no breaks
41511022	Fresno Stockton Modesto Turlock		yes	yes		water issues - west (delta restricted not much ground water) vs east
41511025	Fresno Chinatown is very diverse (she lists all different ethnicities) 17 block area of concentration of ethnic minorities because forced to live there.	if use 99 as divider - there is history of that already cause 99 put where people wouldnt fight it	no	yes	API community; history of lawspolicies that have eroded families	agr holds community together -

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511014			no							
41511016			no					yes	color map of valley with pollution sites mapped	
41511017			no					yes	statement	
41511018			no					yes	handwritten presentation and page with stats	
41511022			no							
41511025			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511027	H		no		Hanford	Kings	yes	keep Kings county together - if make Fresno its own please dont put us with Kern Fresno is closer in socioeconomic interest to ours	343 miles - length of AD; Q any shared interests with other counties touching such as SLO? No more in common with Kern than SLO
41511029	H		yes	Latin American league of ____			no		
41511030	H		no			Tulare	yes	COI of Tulare Kern Kings Fresno Counties not S Bernmountain area; good CD districtrepresentation for county split for AD SD - please keep Tulare Co together in one dist	
41611001	H	physician; farmer	no		tracy	san joaquin	yes	SJ County split up into CDs - please make it one district-keep it whole; Q what part take for CD or how to split for AD?; more in common with east of stockton than with west of county (over Altamont pass)	San Joaquin County

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511027			no	yes	153K - we realize its small; later (answers re prisons - adds to our small pop)	
41511029			no	yes		
41511030			no	yes		by joining forces with other three counties able to have more access to water; Q- share interests with county on east? No they are more forestry
41611001			no	yes	use same HC facilities	agriculture

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511027			no							
41511029		give VRA - criterion 2 - great weight as look at Central Valley	yes							
41511030			no							
41611001	current representation (SJ and Stockton split up) is not good for farmers		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611002	H	work in Lodi Manteca Galt - works in medicine - patients from those three cities go to hospital in Stockton	no		northeast Stockton; 4 ADs if drive around 7 miles SD and CD split up too.	san joaquin	yes	keep Stockton and SJ County whole; Q have to split SJ Co into 2 AD how? Keep Stockton in one AD and add Lodi Manteca Galt; Q Does Galt have more in common with those areas than the rest of SJ County? A Yes	SJ County Sacramento Co
41611003	H S	works in medicine	no		Stockton - 50 yrs; CD 18 cuts into CD 11th - Stockton finger	SJ	yes	keep Stockton and SJ County whole; submitted maps - based on where hospitals are	SJ County
41611004	H S	work in lathrop	no		morada	SJ	yes	keep Stockton and SJ County whole; keep Stockton with Lodi provided map of Manteca Unified School District in 11th and 18th CD - use school district lines to make it easier for schools Q Since have to divide county where should it be? A cant answer	SJ County

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611002	Stockton Manteca Lodi Galt (Sac County)		no	yes	in health care patients from Manteca Lodi Galt go to hospital in Stockton	
41611003	Stockton and other cities in Co.		no	yes	use four hospitals (and emergency dispatches to) that offer services that complement each other (neonatal cancer heart specialties etc)	
41611004	Stockton Lodi		no	yes	school districts HS athletic conference health care systems (eg. Dads retirement home and hospital that administers it in different CDs)	ag-based

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611002	Stockton needs representation for its hospital that serves other three cities		no							
41611003	Stockton and Co kept whole in districts because of shared hospital health care region		no					yes	three google maps (one with just pushpins at 4 hospitals one with blue CD 11 and pushpins at 4 hospitals one with red SD 5 pink SD 14 and pushpins at 4 hospitals	04.16.11. A
41611004	school districts and high school athletic conference shouldnt intersect CD lines		no					yes	three google maps (one with blue CD 11 and red Manteca Unified School District one with blue Manteca Unified School District one with points showing locations of schools in Manteca Unified School District)	04.16.11. B

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611005	H	dental student at branch of UOP	no		grew up in morada	SJ	yes	keep SJ County whole and if have to split go east rather than west - more in common with Galt than anything on the west	SJ County
41611006	H	rep various orgs from SJ County - spoke in Hanford too	yes	represents various orgs in SJ County that will be submitting maps; one org is Community Partnership for Families			yes	keep SJ Co whole - run NS rather than west over Altamonte pass; south to Stanislaus or east to Calavares or north to southern part of Sac Co.; Q where cut off Sac County? A dont know road just pull in pop without impacting Sac Co.	SJ Co south Sac County Stanislaus Calaveras
41611007	H S	law student at berkeley	no				yes	keep SJ County whole shows how school district maps in SJ Co cut up by CDs keep school districts in one legislative district	
41611008	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611005	Lodi Stockton Morada Galt (Sac Co)		no	yes	cities are very similar (especially StocktonLodi Morada); UOP is good for community holds health fairs etc.	
41611006			no	yes	media markets run NorthSouth; general services (trash etc) done on county-wide basis; COI of SJ Co with South Sac Co.	
41611007			yes	yes	proposed today for lines school districts hospital service areas agricultural neighborhoods transport corridors; shows map of school districts and how overlaps with CDs; also Galt USD comes down into SJ Co.	agricultural areas
41611008			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611005			no							
41611006	media market so elected rep can community with constituents		no							
41611007	keep SJ County separate from non-ag areas like those over Altamont pass; keep school districts in one legislative district		no					yes	1 Goog map (showing blue CD 11 dividing Manteca Stockton Lodi School Districts 3 maps from SJ County website (School Districts Enterprise Zone 2008 and Impt Farmland 2006); SJ Co Gen Plan(412-76) - copy UCB IGS library - describes region of Co.	04.16.11. C 04.16.11. D
41611008			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611009	H S	labor rep	yes	union rep		SJ Co	yes	Stanislaus County has 3 AD 2 SD 2 CD; SJ Co also split up - no legislators live in SJ County; to get more pop go a little north or a little south	Stanislaus and SJ Counties
41611010	H		no				no		
41611011	H	SJ Co sup	no		Linden	SJ County	yes	Sups resolu to keep SJ Co in 1 CD 1 SD not than 2 AD; 3 diff propls (doesnt advoc any) for AD split Calaver Riv thru Stock to East border of Co; Stock metro area cities in one ag areas in one ; Calaver Riv thru Stock down 99 to S co border	SJ Co Calaveras Amador south Sac Co

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611009		use natural boundaries like rivers and highways	no	yes		CD 11 has wide range of incomes because has Bay Area and Valley
41611010			no	no		
41611011		grantline road galt agricultural areas	no	yes		viable ag biz in SJ Co is a COI in common with ag of Galt (so Sac Co); ag can be put in one area but cant divorce ag from residential totally; agr connects with south Sac Co; east county ag (vineyards) in common with Calaver Amador foothills ag

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611009			no					yes	pg of 6 bullet pts (layout of distr in SJ Stan Co) 2 maps (one of SJ Co and one of a district drawn around Merced with some of Stan Co some of Madera and some of Fresno that would meet fed requirments (Sec 5??) and allow SJ Co to stay whole	04.16.11. E
41611010			no				re legal counsel - still using GDC when doesnt meet law			
41611011			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611012	H	former candidate for Assembly	no			Merced County	yes	slice of southern stan co with westeast Merced; or east Merced Co with areas to east to Mariposa; or add Madera instead - south or east is good dont go north to SJ Co; Q why not Fresno? A just trying to keep counties whole	
41611013	H		no			Merced	yes	also agrees that go south or east from merced rather than north to Stockton; only go north to highway 120; also change SD from going to coastal county (monterey)	Merced Fresno
41611014	pass		no				no		
41611015	H S	sub teacher at Mariposa HS	no		bootjack	mariposa County	yes	foothillsmountain areas in SJ Valley form COI - see map - El Dorado down to nor Tulare Co; to build go to northern foothills via highway 49. Not Mono and Inyo bec cant get there (only road to Mono is thru Yosemite) and MonoInyo ID more with San Bern	proposed 6 whole counties (alpine El Dor Marip Tuol Calav Amador) plus eastern parts of Madera Fresno - added up 2010 county pops
41611016	H	poll worker in CD 9	no		Atwater	Merced Co	yes	draw straight lines Q where? cut off Stockton part of district from us	
41611017	pass		no				no		
41611018	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611012			no	yes	Merced County people are tight knit long time residents different concerns from Stockton Tracy - eg. Merced wants canal and north does not	
41611013		highways 99 120	no	yes	where get medianews is important to relate to districts; now districts connecting to coast (Monterey) and there is no reason for that	Stockton more industrial and urban than Merced
41611014			no	no		
41611015	small towns in foothills	Highway 49 corridor	no	yes	foothill communities sparsely populated snow and not much ag ag wants our water - commonality between mountain areas of El Dorado Co down. If had to build senate then go up to Placer Nevada counties; share political interests	
41611016			no	no		
41611017			no	no		
41611018			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611012			no							
41611013	want news for district (from reps) to be the same		no							
41611014			no							
41611015	COI of foothill towns from El Dorado down to top of Tulare Co; need to be together cause usually just added to districts		no					yes	two maps One of proposed foothill district within state with pops added (6 whole counites eastern part of Madera Fresno) one of highway 49 corridor to east of state	04.16.11. F 04.16.11. G
41611016			no			make straight lines and keep politics out				
41611017			no							
41611018			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611019	H	small biz owner	no			Madera	no	disagrees with madera mariposa foothillmountain community want to keep Madera whole - add Merced and mariposa; Q go south to Fresno? A better to go north than go South	Madera Mariposa Merced Not Fresno
41611020	H	went to LWV workshop in this area	yes	LWV	merced	merced	no	has no map - just wants them to follow criteria and be able to answer yes to following each one	
41611021	H	merced council member	yes	City Council of Merced	merced	merced	yes	keep merced co whole; Q which counties feel affinity with? Co to Nor So but with high speed rail North especially; Q how about gateway to yosem and go up to Mariposa? A diff is they dont have air pollu like we do but do have some similar interests	
41611022	H		no			SJ County	yes	Keep SJ Co whole (now no reps live in co) - to make CD add Gault (similar to Lodi); SJ Co close to having its own SD to make AD s - keep Stockt whole add cities to south (tracymanteca) put Lodi with motherload area; if split Stock use Calav Riv.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611019			no	yes	sparcely populated better way of life;wants to unify flat landers and hill billies	agricultural community tourism fossil beds Yosemite and Sierra
41611020			no	no		
41611021			no	yes	southeast asian - lang barrier - diverse community that needs to be kept together	agricultural interests
41611022			no	yes	Motherlode relates more to Valley than Valley relates to Bay Area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611019	bonds Madera with Merced and Mariposa and NOT with Fresno		no							
41611020		especially important criterion	no				follow criteria you are suppose to follow in priority			
41611021			no							
41611022			no				SJ Co is picture of Gerrymandering with 4 CD			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611023	H	food supply advocate	no		Merced	Merced	yes	would like to see Merced and Stanislaus counties together; be careful of splitting hills and valleys	
41611024	H	student at UC Merced	no		Merced	Merced	yes	Merced will be kept whole (because of VRA) to add go 1) north into Stanis (Modesto) 2) south to city of Madera - Not East or West; keep SJ Co whole	
41611025	H		no			madera	yes	Madera Co- 2 AD 2 SD now - get locked out of decisions no Madera Co resident elected; make Madera Co whole - agrees Merced Madera Mariposa make nice group; everyone wants to go north - we get along great with people in mt on east no prob going east	
41611026	H		no			merced	yes	keep merced whole even tho trying to bail out of VRA add part of Stan Co to get s	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611023			no	no	environ issues 3 irrigation districts that supply farmers generate own electricity; conflicting interests with foothills mountains hillbillies (they are concerned with water and national parks)- different personality valley vs mountain	agr producers in valley famous coop between agr and environmental concerns
41611024		highway 99	no	no	city local govt redev issues in common in small and medium cities. sports leagues schools similarities along 99 NorthSouth; cities more in common than unicorp areas if go EastWest see more differences	
41611025			no	no		
41611026		I-5 and 99	no	yes	consider transportation corridors I-5 99	agrarian communities - commonalities with rest of valley (north south) vs with mountain or urban areas

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611023			no							
41611024			no							
41611025			no							
41611026			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611027	H	represent small biz community	yes	small biz community	modesto	stan co	yes	keep stan co whole; to expand go nor or so - similar interest in so especially merced (more than with SJ Co); specifically re SD -one goes to coast - needs to be north south to pick up ag areas Q which cities identify with more? A Merced city and co.	
41611028	H	health care interpreter speaking on behalf of punjabi Sikh community in Merced	yes	represents Sikh community		Merced	yes	keep Sikh community in one district	
41611029	H - 29 - last given till 30 came back in	was at Hanford mtg represents API community in Valley	yes	API community in valley	reedley	Fresno	no		Fresno

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611027			no	yes		keep in mind economic drivers - Stan Co is ag area primarily
41611028	livingston (2 temples) atwater winton and a temple in Merced		no	yes	keep Sikh population together mostly in Livingston also atwater and winton; about 3500 Sikh in Merced Co. Punjabi speaking; get together from all of these communities to do festivals	
41611029	Kerman		no	yes	prison pop affect on hospital services pollution; environment of desert shared; Punjabi history to preserve; API have been in cities and have moved out for agr; need to represent API	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611027			no							
41611028			no							
41611029			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611030	H S - 30 - last given		no		madera	madera	yes	Madera Co valley comm foothillmountain community; Madera valley area should go with Merced Co Fresno Co valley areas; Madera foothillmt with foothillmt of Fres Marip Tuol Calav; doesnt like SD now that goes to Monterey Co; also wants nesting	
41611031	H -no issued		no			Merced	yes	endorse Merced connected to stanislaus and mariposa counties	Merced Stanislaus Mariposa
42711001	H	engagement in political organizations	yes		Long Beach - epicenter	Los Angeles	yes	lets keep one Long Beach	
42711002	H		no		Long Beach - 9th District	Los Angeles	yes	please allow current district lines as they are now; put North LB in district with Compton Carson (and a few other towns)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611030			no	yes		valley different econ interest (mostly agr) from foothills (tourismhospitality)
41611031	Modesto		no	yes		economic corridor between merced and madera; Fresno State and Stanislaus State go shopping in Modesto
42711001	communities of Long Beach		no	yes	communities of Long Beach (lists several - West East South Naples)	
42711002	Long Beach		no	yes	African-American community in North LB	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611030	get more political participation if group valley with valley and foothills with foothill; stop being connected to coast counties		no					yes	written testimony memo to CRC same essentially as spoken testimony	04.16.11. H
41611031			no							
42711001		consider Section 2 - must keep people together - like rainbow that is LB	no							
42711002		must comply to make sure various groups (lists African-American Latino API) have opp to election of their choice	no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711003	H		yes	North Pedro neighborhood council	Long Beach - North Pedro Neighborhood	Los Angeles	yes	need Ass member to hold LAUSD accountable-use LAUSD district 7 to draw AD (?); Neighborhood councils want to stay together	
42711004	H		no		Carson	Los Angeles	yes	likes current representation	
42711005	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	37thCD is good; to add (its under) extend it into Port	
42711006	H		yes	LB Board of Water Commission	Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	keep representation in tact; what add? Rest of Long Beach	
42711007	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	add Signal Hill and Lakewood	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711003			yes	no		
42711004			no	yes	nearly 50 carson signal hill watts willowbrook have english as second language; shared shopping between carson compton north LB?	
42711005			no	yes	37th CD is a COI by virtue of its similarities	
42711006	Compton Carson Signal Watts Willowbrook most of LB		no	yes	strong ties that bind communities in 37thCD racial diversity family composition medium incomes background .	
42711007			no	yes	love city parks educational system college system symphony theatres beach historic ranches hospitals - a complete city and large; also diverse feel affinity to LA tho border with Orange Co.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711003			no					yes	AD proposal - info and map	
42711004			no							
42711005			no							
42711006			no							
42711007			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711008	H		no		Palos Verdes Estates	Los Angeles	yes	Palos Verdes Estates - keep with list of other cities (Torrance Redono B Lawndale Alondo park Rolling Hills Estates Rollings Hills) entire Palos Verdes peninsula; what add to make up numbers? _____ Manhattan B Hermosa B? I stopped at Redond cause s	spend most time there
42711009	H		no		Long Beach - bixbybee area? In 37 CD	Los Angeles	yes	like current maps -allow representation against LB-Centered model (would affect good diverse representation)	
42711010	H		no		Palos Verdes	Los Angeles	yes	Palos Verdes with Northern coastal cities	
42711011	H		no		Long Beach - in 37 CD	Los Angeles	yes	add port of LB into 37 CD area; port not represented?	
42711012	H		yes	city council - sent letter	Palos Verdes - 42K pop	Los Angeles	yes	PV Peninsula is very gerrymandered - lists all the different places it is linked to in districts; recommends going north to Westchester - rather than going east into Long Beach	
42711013	H		no		Norwalk	Los Angeles	yes	cluster of cities with norwalk - north and east	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711008			no	yes	diverse many language frequent same read same paper Daily Breeze and _____	
42711009			no	no		
42711010			no	no		
42711011			no	no		
42711012			no	no		
42711013			no	yes	language faith culture school districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711008			no							
42711009			no							
42711010			no							
42711011			no			problem with Census collection management (he was a staffer)				
42711012			no					yes	letter from city council	
42711013			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711014	H		no		Paramount (just north of LB)	Los Angeles	yes	Lakewood Compton Willowbrook all form community with LB - keep the larger community together	
42711015	H		no		Huntington Park - incl Verna Commerce Maywood walnut park South Gate	Los Angeles	yes	do not separate these neighborhoods	
42711016	H	second generation resident of Redondo Beach	no		Redondo Beach	Los Angeles	yes	could go north - follow traffic which goes from Redondo north and back	
42711017	H		no		Rigley neighborhood of Long Beach 37th CD	Los Angeles	no		
42711018	H		no		Long Beach (used to list Palos Verdes) - in 37thCD	Los Angeles	yes	include port to build 37th CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711014			no	yes	YMCA LB hospital is crucial HC provider for area Plaze Shopping center entertainment	Boeing
42711015			no	yes	working people come from different countries speak spanish	work in industry here and live here too
42711016	Redondo Beach		no	yes	sandy bottom beach unites community - environmental community of interest with whole bay common movement see in congestion and traffic on street; airport connects communities rather than separates	
42711017			yes	yes	37th CD shares a lot	
42711018			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711014			no							
42711015			no							
42711016			no							
42711017		look at Section 2	no							
42711018			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711019	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	3SD CD AD - not necessarily a bad thing; 54 AD is considered the Long Beach district but its carved out minority groups; disagrees with call for one LB - keep current basically	
42711020	H		no		Carson	Los Angeles	yes	leave 37th CD alone - leave it like it is - if change it (expand it?) somewhere there will be lack of services for some people - rep does good job	
42711021	H		yes	historical society of LB - not talking on its behalf- but it informs her thoughts			yes	LB has more in common with LA County than Orange County please keep with LA vs Orange	
42711022	pass		no				no		
42711023	H	independent filmmaker	no		Los Feliz	Los Angeles	yes	going west Studio City to Sherman Oaks - film-making COI	
42711024	H		no				yes	keep 37th CD and ADD Port please traffic from port comes through his neighborhood and he has no control of that.	
42711025	H		no		East Rancho Dominguez	Los Angeles	yes	keep us in 37 CD - share same concerns with Compton Lynwood other towns in 37th CD; ie leave 37 how is and add Port	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711019			no	no		
42711020			no	no		
42711021			no	yes	LB history shows likes rings on a tree growth of aerospace; share transportation news agencies	
42711022			no	no		
42711023			no	yes	film-making COI	
42711024			yes	no		
42711025			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711019			no							
42711020			no							
42711021			no							
42711022			no							
42711023			no							
42711024			no							
42711025			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711026	H		no		East Rancho Dominguez	Los Angeles	yes	37CD is a COI - are able to get help need from representative	
42711027	H		no		Long Beach - Cambodia town	Los Angeles	no		
42711028	H		no		Long Beach 37 CD 55 AD	Los Angeles	yes	keep 37 CD in current form so south to add port and Lomita; fix AD	
42711029	H		no		Carson	Los Angeles	yes	keep 37CD same and add by going south to San Pedro Willimington and possibly Lomita; those areas have most in common with current district	
42711030	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	look at LB along hydrological lines	
42711031	H		no		lives in Torrance also mentioned Palos Verdes Estates?	Los Angeles	yes	36 and 46th CD; PVE should be split by two zipcodes (90274 90275)	
42711032	pass		no				no		
42711033	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711026			no	yes	medium income homeownership etc similar	
42711027		Anaheim St between ____ Atlanta ; west boundary is 710 freeway	no	yes	Cambodian community	
42711028			no	yes	port is big polutter and affect us who live close to itneed to have representation with port LB is a wonderful diverse community	
42711029			no	no		
42711030			no	yes	all is related to water divide by water lines then	
42711031			no	no		
42711032			no	no		
42711033			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711026			no							
42711027			no							
42711028			no				wanted to be a part of process			
42711029			no							
42711030			no							
42711031			no							
42711032			no							
42711033			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711034	H	40 yrs in education field	yes	Compton City Council	Compton	Los Angeles	yes	maintain 37 CD intact as	
42711035	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	keep 37th CD intact and add Port	
42711036	H		no				yes	East Long Beach - not part of Orange Co so go North rather than east to OC district now grabs whole coast - an abomination. Need to be with LA County	
42711037	H		no		North Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	dont want to be confined to one rep; northwest central LB need to be with similar communities of Compton etc	
42711038	H	pacific islander community - 40 different ethnicities	no				yes	maintain existing boundaries and go south to ocean	
42711039	pass		no				no		
42711040	H	CLU in Thousand Oaks	no				yes	keep East Ventura County together identifies with Ventura Co not LA	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711034			no	yes	extensive transportation network in district and environmental issues that flow from that; communities collaborate; LA? River goes through; celebrations (MLK Day Cinco de Mayo Cambodian New Year)	
42711035			no	yes	very diverse but love each other; airquality is horrific - need help	
42711036		asked border of East LB and he gave Council district s to describe	no	yes	we are Angelenos	
42711037			no	no		
42711038			no	yes	Pacific Islanders - biggest community outside Hawaii	
42711039			no	no		
42711040	simi valley thousand oaks camarillo moorpark newbury park		no	yes	these towns share a lot; Qshare more with West Ventura Co or LA? A West Ventura Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711034			no							
42711035			no							
42711036			no							
42711037			no							
42711038			no							
42711039			no							
42711040			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711041	H	school at CLU too (like 40) and says answers the same basically	no				yes	identifies with Ventura Co not LA	
42711042	pass		no				no		
42711043	pass		no				no		
42711044	H		no				yes	re 46CD - connected by Ocean Blvd. - put strand back	
42711045	H		no		Carson	Los Angeles	yes	37 CD - dont add Orange County. Add willmington parts of San Pedro- submitted map	
42711046	H	Board of Education	yes	Board of Ed	Rigley area and 37th CD	Los Angeles	yes	school district includes 4 cities (avalonsignal hilllongbeach and ?) that are a COI - one of top 20 Sch Dist in world; keep CD 37 add south toward port	
42711047	H		yes	LB USD - third largest SD in CA - largest employer in LB - leader in education			yes	LB USD entirely in LA County - want whole representation in LA county not split and put with OC - primarily concerned with CD	
42711048	H		no		Rigley district of Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	concerned with AD and SD; port included in 37th CD - cant deal with port issues cause in another CD now.and get pushed off by rep	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711041			no	no		
42711042			no	no		
42711043			no	no		
42711044			no	no		
42711045			no	no		
42711046			no	yes	great school district - need to have good representation	
42711047			no	yes	LB USD	LB USD biggest employter in LB
42711048			no	yes	diverse census district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711041			no							
42711042			no							
42711043			no							
42711044			no							
42711045			no					yes	color map of proposed CD 37	
42711046			no							
42711047			no							
42711048			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711049	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	keep 37th CD intact	
42711050	H		yes	LB NAACP President			no		
42711051	H		no		Carson	Los Angeles	yes	support existing maps for state and federal representation	
42711052	H		yes	works for council woman - describes district 50K	Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	likes having 3 AD 3 CD 3 SD representing LB	
42711053	H		no		Torrance	Los Angeles	yes	alondrao park lawndale lomita RPV PVE? torrance keep together	
42711054	H		yes	CSU Long Beach professor			no		
42711055	H		no		Long Beach - 3rd Council District	Los Angeles	yes	agree dont put LB with OC	
42711056	H		yes	mayor of compton	compton	Los Angeles	yes	keep 37th CD intact as much as possible; add wilmington and port area - if not that then add Lynwood; separate LB with Lakewood Xeminal Juanipero (streets); agrees that E LB diff from W LB should go north in LA county but not put with 37th CD.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711049	North West and Central LB in same AD SD CD with rest of 37thCD??		no	yes	diverse community that cooperates; port extension air quality are big issues;	
42711050			no	yes	MLK hospital closure is big issue in 37th CD	
42711051			no	yes	rail system that goes through towns 48 high school grads?	
42711052			no	no		
42711053			no	yes	beach	toyota big employer
42711054			no	yes	K12 pop that will be voting age in next decade; 54? Latino please be aware of this in decisionmaking	
42711055	Long Beach		no	yes	governance family then city governance	
42711056		separate LB with Lakewood Xeminal Juanipero	no	yes	highways impact communities health issues related - 710Alameda corridor; route 47 - as go north turns in Alameda Stthis has become truck route; wind usually blows W-E and bad air will affect LB and Signal Hill; hard to get help on air quality because	(cont from social interest field) of being with OC - 46th AD?

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711049			no							
42711050			no							
42711051			no							
42711052			no							
42711053			no							
42711054			no							
42711055			no				talk about governance			
42711056			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711057	H		no				yes	keep 37th CD as is and add WilmingtonPort area. Will enhance voice - speaking on behalf of homeless and those who dont have a voice; keep 55AD 54 AD keep us in LA keep Carson intact and youll have no trouble; 105 and 710 are natural boundaries.	
42711058	H		no		Manhattan Beach	Los Angeles	yes	provides packet to CRC; 37th CD should end with LB and not go into OC; 53th AD along coast - packet has suggestions on how to change it - get addition population (add rest of playa del rey and westchester all up to 405 take off tip that goes above 10.	
42711059	pass		no				no		
42711060	H		no		PV peninsula Palos Verdes	Los Angeles	yes	PV most in common with south bay beaches area; 46th CD sliver connects us with OC - makes no sense; dont split peninsula keep cities together include cities listed below; no idea what to do with SD25 - a mess.	
42711061	H		no		Rancho Palos Verdes	Los Angeles	yes	agrees with last speaker (60); makes sense to make continuous all cities along Santa Monica Bay	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711057			no	yes	respirtory issues	ports are economic engine
42711058			no	yes	AD 53 joined by environment (air land water) unified by need protect AD53 environ - it affects all LA CO. Also diverse comm socio-economically (homelessSec8 among 1M homes) loss of employ at all levelforeclosures all relig all ethnic 45 lang	
42711059			no	no		
42711060	Palos Verdes Torrance Rodando Hermosa	gives highways to draw CD boundary (LAX on north 405 on east then 110 down to shore)	no	no		
42711061			no	yes		share aerospace and defense industries

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711057			no							
42711058			no					yes	3 page testimony w proposal COI	
42711059			no							
42711060			no							
42711061			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711062	H		no		Inglewood	Los Angeles	no		
42711063	pass		no				no		
42711064	H	retired aerospace engineer	no		rolling hills estates	Los Angeles	yes	peninsula cities together is opportunity for CD SD composition	
42711065	pass		no				no		
42711066	pass		no				no		
42711067	pass		no				no		
42711068	H	CAPAFR	yes	APCON (Asian Pacific Policy Planning Council) of LA Co- lead CAPAFR org for LA South Bay			yes	will submit mapping proposals on may 26	
42711069	H	CAPAFR	yes	affiliated with several Pac Islander and specially Hawaiian org; lists nine types of Pac Isl (see testimony)			yes	Keep Carson whole; speaking about Pac Islander (community faith-based culture) - unique ; Keep together Inglewood Hawthorne and Lennox large Tongon area Tongans in this area share socio-econ status with other minorities in area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711062			no	no		
42711063			no	no		
42711064	Rodondo Torrance Rolling Hills RPV peninsula cities into El Segundo	hawthorne blvd is major arterial	no	yes	beach	aerospace industry dominates peninsula cities my friends and co- workers all live in area
42711065			no	no		
42711066			no	no		
42711067			no	no		
42711068			no	yes	API	
42711069		in ppt and testimony	no	yes	Carson-Torrance area Pac Isl share church school medical housing. InglewoodHawthorneLennox Tongons share struggles with other minorities.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711062			no				current system has failed - rely on impartial lines that GIS will provide (has become more sophisticated)			
42711063			no							
42711064			no							
42711065			no							
42711066			no							
42711067			no							
42711068		VRA important	no					yes	ppt and handout with 6 statements (see below)	
42711069	Tongons in three areas to be able to work as community and in solidarity with other minorities - unified voice of representation		no					yes	statement	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711070	H	CAPAFR - author of Filipinos in Carson and South Bay	yes	Filipino and Carson orgs	carson	Los Angeles	yes	Keep Filipino community (COI) of Carson together in one district	
42711071	H	CAPAFR -LA South Bay re cambodian community of LB	yes	Khmer Girls in Action Director	Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	gives borders of Cambodian area of LB - dont divide the 2 cambodian neighborhoods; map in ppt of cambodian neighborhoods and she gives streets - keep Cambodian community together	
42711072	H	former LAUSD teacher	yes	Japanese historical society of Southern CA and others			yes	Keep Torrance and Gardena together to maintain historical cultural economic and social integrity of Japanese American Community	
42711073	H	CAPAFR- APALC demographer	no				yes	shows tables with demographics for southbay cities and growing API community in Torrance etc particularly Cambodian in Long Beach	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711070			no	yes	Carson Filipino Community - largest API group in Carson - Carson should remain intact - promote cultural heritage and history.	
42711071	LB	gives borders of 2 neighborhoods in 2 maps (part of ppt)	yes	yes	low educ income and LEP	
42711072	Torrance Gardina		no	yes	Japanese community - lives go back forth between two cities; Japanese history in two cities goes back early 1990. estab. cultural institutions places of worship and businesses (eg nursing home for J-Am elderly Japan. Cultural Institute)	
42711073	Torrance Gardena Carson LB; Hawthorne Lennox Inglewood		no	yes	foreign-born pop LEP; talks about LB cambodian pop Tongon pop in North Bay cities and other two just spoken about	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711070	interests better served when united		no					yes	statement	
42711071			no					yes	statement	
42711072			no					yes	statement	
42711073			no					yes	statement	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711074	H		no		Rancho Palos Verdes - 46 CD - which goes into OC	Los Angeles	yes	put cities of peninsula in 36 CD keep Rancho Palos Verdes in it	
42711075	H	born in Culver City lived all over peninsula	no		Palos Verdes	Los Angeles	yes	common community has evolved over time - hes seen it - use that for form AD and SD	
42711076	H		no		Long Beach - bad part	Los Angeles	no		
42711077	H	Dr educator commissioner in Carson	no				yes	keep current CD and add port of LB willmington San Pedro	
42711078	H	Samoan Community	no				yes	carson - maintain city boundaries respect all city boundaries look at school district boundaries - help them have one voice	
42711079	H	Indian Community	no		Cerritos	Los Angeles	yes	cerritos artesia La Palma Cypress Buena Park remain together even though some in LA County and some in OC	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711074			no	yes	these cities work together in disaster prep	
42711075	list of cities in community - coastal cities mostly (marina del ray to gardenahawthorne; go south to san pedro Palos verdes		no	no		
42711076			no	yes	Latino community - CRC should concern self with Latino leaders that can represent; children going wrong way - hes very concerned	
42711077			no	yes	educational system - working hard to improve - help us work collaboratively and let us improve the acad perform of these students	
42711078			no	yes	school districts	
42711079	La Palma Buena Park Cypress Cerritos Artesia is COI of Indian-Americans		no	yes	Indian community restuarants religion edu system art center library	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711074			no							
42711075			no							
42711076			no							
42711077			no							
42711078			no							
42711079			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711080	H		no		Cerritos	Los Angeles	yes	freeways form boundary of _____?	
42711081	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	wants more leadership by Latinos more representation of LB keep together as much as possible	
42711082	H		yes	Compton City govt previously (elected city clerk) now on board of Compton Comm College	Compton	Los Angeles	yes	pick up 54K need for 37thCD in port area to get port rep at table - (Q what issues? A Fed issues like Transportation); for AD52 expand to Lynwood area rosenkrantz central 91 110 on west	
42711083	H	cambodian	no				yes	keep 37th CD how it is concerned with local level	
42711084	H	part of the Spanish pop that is 40 of city of LB	no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	no		
42711085	pass		no				no		
42711086	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711080		boundaries of highways 605 on west 405 to 22 south 91 north 5 east	no	yes	Cerritos is diverse share cultural and economic advanatages - draws traffic from certain cities; speaker discusses shared comm org with other cities and discusses history of the communities and how changed and what has emerged	
42711081			no	yes		impt of economic growth and educ opportunity for Latinos
42711082			no	yes	cities worked together got underground rail below Compton Carson(or Lynwood?) LB - that was an achievement to get it undergrand	
42711083		gave boundaries of Cambodia town (says concentrated in this area) 50 K concentrated in half mile between 10th and Atlantic	no	yes	Cambodian town - in 4 city council districts needs to be kept together	
42711084			no	yes	hispanic pop wants to work with you	
42711085			no	no		
42711086			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711080			no							
42711081			no							
42711082			no							
42711083			no							
42711084			no				help us improve LB USD safety of streets future for kids			
42711085			no							
42711086			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711087	pass		no				no		
42711088	H		no		Cerritos	Los Angeles	yes	see handout for proposal Cerritos with La Palma Cypress and Buena Park	
42711089	pass		no				no		
42711090	H		no		La Palma	Los Angeles	yes	supports proposal of last speaker - 4 cities together in AD; go across county boundaries	
42711091	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	please respect city lines first rather than keeping things together for a specific reason. I am Long beach but not like all Latinos; dont use COI - dont do COI gerrymandering	
42711092	H		no		East Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	Keep city together East Long Beach can move north into Lakewood (cant tell difference) or south into harbor; dont go into OC; pull lower income in with higher income - hes seen this intergration of incomes in East LB	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711087			no	no		
42711088	Vietnamese in Westminster and Garden Grove	provided street boundaries and on handout too - included artesia was oversight on map; Latino pop is north of 91 and asian pop is south of 91	yes	yes	talks about various ethnic pops and neighborhood devel group (West Anaheim Dev.); share shopping senior events social activities newspaper coverage crime prevention library usage park usage and medical care (list taken from handout)	
42711089			no	no		
42711090			no	no		
42711091			no	no		
42711092			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711087			no							
42711088			no					yes	COI attributes and Current and Proposed district	
42711089			no							
42711090			no							
42711091			no							
42711092			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711093	H	ran for 37th CD in 2008 2010	no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	keep city LB together and for CD can add carson compton etc and port of LB not port of LA (that can go in another CD)	
42711094	pass		no				no		
42711095	H	lawyer in LA - 40 yrs	no		Malibu 37 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	describes COI for Malibu - all of Malibu in one district go south into El Segundo or North into Santa Monica Mts; Malibu and Santa Monica in same district (share school districts?) as much as possible	
42711096	pass		no				no		
42711097	pass		no				no		
42711098	pass		no				no		
42711099	H	engineer	no		Cerritos (20 yrs)	Los Angeles	yes	27th SD has part of LB and LB should have own SD and it doesnt help Cerritos to have part of LB; Downey would be good addition; doesnt agree with being with OC cities; she had an OC rep in the past and has better rep now. Q gd fit w Norwalk? Ano	
42711100	pass		no				no		
42711101	H		no		Buena Park	Orange	yes	Buena Park cut by 3 cds; only OC city in districts with LA Co cities want to be with other OC cities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711093			no	no		
42711094			no	no		
42711095	Malibu		no	yes	Malibu shares _____?	
42711096			no	no		
42711097			no	no		
42711098			no	no		
42711099			no	yes	cerritos - 58 asian; schools districts together in same AD SD CD	
42711100			no	no		
42711101			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711093			no				prob is status quo isnt working -	yes	biz card	
42711094			no							
42711095			no					yes	testimony and 3 exhibits	
42711096			no							
42711097			no							
42711098			no							
42711099			no							
42711100			no							
42711101			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711102	H		no		Long Beach - North - little triangle area to right of freeway or river? Has to have flood insurance	Los Angeles	yes	agrees 37 CD should come straight down 52 AD should follow highway 91 and be bordered by 710? Freeway; 3 Senators 3 Assemblymembers is good for LB They are diverse and part of VRA idea is to run own people and thats done.	
42711103	pass		no				no		
42711104	H		no				yes	keep 37th CD intact to add use corridor and look at adding LB zip code 90802 (she believes not in 37 CD)	
42711105	H		no		simi valley	Ventura	yes	East Ventura County is interconnected people lives keep them crossing city lines keep east V Co. together.	
42711106	H		no		simi valley	Ventura	yes	east ventura county connected	
42711107	pass		no				no		
42711108	pass		no				no		
42711109	pass		no				no		
42711110	pass		no				no		
42711111	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711102			no	no		
42711103			no	no		
42711104			no	yes	LB has enjoyed shared community interests among communities in 37 CD (Carson Compton)	airport impt
42711105			no	yes	share shopping local media (Acorn V Co. Star) Q share more with LA or SB? A LA do not identify with north	
42711106	Camarillo Moorpark SimiValley Thous Oaks		no	yes	common geography highways watershed issues local water districts etc.lots of shared interests	
42711107			no	no		
42711108			no	no		
42711109			no	no		
42711110			no	no		
42711111			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711102		VRA says to run people of community for office and thats achieved by having 3 senators 3 congressme mbers	no							
42711103			no							
42711104			no							
42711105			no							
42711106			no							
42711107			no							
42711108			no							
42711109			no							
42711110			no							
42711111			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711112	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	proposes Long Beach Lakewood Signal Hill Bellflower in one district make LB whole again and do it by adding those to district. LA Port to Carson side so Ports divided. Notes that 37th CD rep held rally that generated these comments	
42711113	pass		no				no		
42711114	pass		no				no		
42711115	H		no				yes	kept core of ___D around LB then it would represent itself	
42711116	pass		no				no		
42711117	pass		no				no		
42711118	pass		no				no		
42711119	H		yes	san pedro neighborhood council	Long Beach?		yes	LB should be one community and connected with LA Co. area north and east; beach cities belong together; LA USD needs to be looked at - impt constituent; communities governed under neighborhood council system should be together	
42711120	H		no		Signal Hill council member	Los Angeles	yes	37th CD - like it and like diversity; know need s - dont care where add - wilmington ok.	
42711121	pass		no				no		
42711122	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711112			no	no		
42711113			no	no		
42711114			no	no		
42711115			no	yes	LB USD with Lakewood	
42711116			no	no		
42711117			no	no		
42711118			no	no		
42711119			yes	no		
42711120			no	no		
42711121			no	no		
42711122			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711112			no							
42711113			no							
42711114			no							
42711115			no							
42711116			no							
42711117			no							
42711118			no							
42711119			no							
42711120			no					yes	biz card	
42711121			no							
42711122			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711123	pass		no				no		
42711124	pass		no				no		
42711125	pass		no				no		
42711126	pass		no				no		
42711127	H	founded several non-profits in LB one is LB ICO? Has worked on Census (2000 2010) - Census undercounts Cambodians	no		central Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	37th CD is good if go south to harbor heard that makes CD 14? Asian which would help Cambodians	
42711128	pass		no				no		
42711129	pass		no				no		
42711130	pass		no				no		
42711131	H		yes	mayor of Signal Hill	Signal Hill	Los Angeles	yes	likes all districts - include Port of LB; LA River end in LB Portneed this politically (gave some reasons)	
42711132	pass		no				no		
42711133	pass		no				no		
42711134	pass		no				no		
42711135	pass		no				no		
42711136	pass		no				no		
42711137	pass		no				no		
42711138	pass		no				no		
42711139	pass		no				no		
42711140	pass		no				no		
42711141	pass		no				no		
42711142	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711123			no	no		
42711124			no	no		
42711125			no	no		
42711126			no	no		
42711127			no	yes	Cambodian community bonded because of genocide health care	
42711128			no	no		
42711129			no	no		
42711130			no	no		
42711131			no	no		
42711132			no	no		
42711133			no	no		
42711134			no	no		
42711135			no	no		
42711136			no	no		
42711137			no	no		
42711138			no	no		
42711139			no	no		
42711140			no	no		
42711141			no	no		
42711142			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711123			no							
42711124			no							
42711125			no							
42711126			no							
42711127			no							
42711128			no							
42711129			no							
42711130			no							
42711131			no							
42711132			no							
42711133			no							
42711134			no							
42711135			no							
42711136			no							
42711137			no							
42711138			no							
42711139			no							
42711140			no							
42711141			no							
42711142			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711143	H		yes	Watts Labor Community Action Committee president CEO	Watts	Los Angeles	yes	likes having 3 fed reps in 1 mile sq - its help us in hotbed COI; if 37th CD has to shrink (for compactness) then Watts can come off (its an appendage) but likes rep for 37th CD bec comfortable walking thru watts; OC rep wouldnt be good; dist go N	
42711144	pass		no				no		
42711145	pass		no				no		
42711146	pass		no				no		
42711147	pass		no				no		
42711148	H		no			Orange	yes	re OC explains geographytopyography problems with 42 46 CD; use highways this is understandable to (SoCA) people (more than COI); Q re CD 42? A dont start with 42CD	
42711149	H		no		Bellflower	Los Angeles	yes	CD 34 has bellflower downy and goes up to LA; put bellflower with lakewood cerritos and east LB (?) would be better bellflower not like Cudahy southgate walnut lynwood.	
42711150	pass		no				no		
42711151	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711143			no	yes	environmental impact shared by those on highway corridor; they deserve to benefit from mitigation (trust fund for clean up)	
42711144			no	no		
42711145			no	no		
42711146			no	no		
42711147			no	no		
42711148		divide OC down the 55 - makes lots of sense	no	yes	Hispanic (mostly CD 47) Asian (esp Viet) in CD 40 wealthier and more rural are east of I-55	
42711149			no	yes		bellflower low income like cudahy southgate lynwood but housing prices higher; and bellflower is safer
42711150			no	no		
42711151			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711143			no					yes	map - shows how increase in number of reps has resulted in better representation	
42711144			no							
42711145			no							
42711146			no							
42711147			no							
42711148			no					yes	discuss city and county borders in OC and stats on ethnicity of 6 CDs	
42711149			no							
42711150			no							
42711151			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711152	H		no		San Pedro - leash connecting to LA in city of LA?	Los Angeles	yes	get rid of this lease (city boundary) connecting San Pedro to LA San Pedro is more conservative than LA and needs(?) smaller regions of representation (?)	
42711153	pass		no				no		
42711154	H		no		Whittier	Los Angeles	yes	to keep Whittier area as one AD SD CD (currently split up)	
42811001	H	armeniam-american	no		Sherman Oaks	Ventura	yes	keep communities together (provided list)	
42811002	H	40 year resident of LA	no		USC area	Los Angeles	yes	include USC campus please with USC campus area North University Park Adams and Exposition Park (to the south) Fairmount and Figueroa (to the east)	
42811003	H	student at LA Trade Tech also CSU Dominiguez	no		Compton	Los Angeles	no		
42811004	H	in Spanish	no				yes	keep communities together (see list)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711152			no	no		
42711153			no	no		
42711154			no	yes	schools shopping cultural COI	
42811001	Armenian pop Hollywood Hollywood Hills Sherman Oaks (northeast) Valley Studio City Los Feliz Park Le Brea Westwood (as the southwest border) .. (will give us list)		no	yes	Armenian culture diversity religion work area Armenian church Armenian businesses Armenian schools	Armenian businesses and home to industries where the community works
42811002			yes	yes	Good neighbors schools medical facilities	Working people 32nd Street magnet school elementary school medical facilities
42811003	Willowbrook (East and West) Rancho Dominguez Compton		no	yes	Shares streets after school programs education shopping mall	
42811004	Culver City Los Angeles Line(?) Navera Hills or Madera Hills? Winstor Hills		no	yes	Share same parks and beaches schools public transportation (metro blue and green lines) can communicate different races (Latino African American)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711152			no							
42711153			no							
42711154			no							
42811001	Preserve the social and economic community that has developed		no					yes	List of cities	
42811002	The present districts work they way they are		no			Likes CD 33 current lines				
42811003			no							
42811004			no				Likes current districts the way they are			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811005	H		no		South Los Angeles	Los Angeles	yes	keep these areas together	
42811006	H	advocates for Watts community	no				yes	keep watts in one district	
42811007	H	4th generation angelino	yes	founder Ebony Reperatory Theatre	Los Angeles	Los Angeles	yes	keep community in AD47 together especially arts community	
42811008	H	student at Tray Tech College	no		Compton	Los Angeles	yes	Compton Lakewood Long Beach Rancho Dominguez Paramount	
42811009	H	in spanish 12 year resident	no				yes	keep Hollywood Hollywood Hills West Hollywood and Hancock Park	
42811010	H	born and raised in LA	yes	Wall Las Memorial - AIDS?	NE LA	Los Angeles	yes	dont split up SD 22 (North-East LA Highland Park Pico Union)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811005	Watts South Los Angeles West Adams Harbour (?) Heights		no	yes	Churches parks kids YMCA Expedition Park Figueroa Corridor transportation USC many social needs served by churches homelessness adult care	
42811006	Watts		no	yes	Shared history of industry culture arts institutions arts education	Art community promotes artists and musicians; Watts Towers
42811007			no	yes	Arts community historical arts center	
42811008			no	yes	Transportation Renaissance entertainment hospital shopping schools after school progerams	Shopping transportation
42811009			no	yes	Take families to enjoy Walk of the Stars people have similar work lives; all classes working and living together	Working community of different races and backgroups whoc ontribute to the community. Work in maintenances of hotels restaurants theatres car washes
42811010			no	yes	Gay community and culture	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811005			no							
42811006	CD 35 37 and 39 that is how currently divided; comfortable with districts the way they are		no			Watts is about 40000 people (70 Latino 30 African American)				
42811007	Losing arts places need retention of current districts (SD 10 and AD 47)		no							
42811008	Likes community the way it is; Young daughter testified please dont split up our community I love it the way it is.		no							
42811009			no							
42811010	Gay community and culture needs representation		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811011	H	born and raised in LA 3rd generation Californian part of generation that brought about VRA	yes	Community Coalition	West 92nd St.	Los Angeles	yes	Below 10 freeway to Long Beach	
42811012	H	applied for commission	yes	Board of Neighborhood Commissioners	San Fernando Valley	Los Angeles	yes	San Fernando Valley as whole CD; West Hollywood Beverly Hills and Santa Monica should be kept APART from Tarzana. Keep Tarzana with West San Fernando West Valley to 101 or Thousand Oaks	
42811013	H	speaking on behalf of Watts community	no				no	Watts with South LA areas would be a problem for Watts because of the Watts undercount	
42811014	H	thai community	yes	Thai Community (flanked by Thai Community Leaders			yes	Hollywood Blvd. Normandy to Western Ave.; COI is below Hollywood Hills bounded by 10 and 110 including KoreaTown and Historic Filipino Town	
42811015	H		no				yes	Glassell Park Eco Park Arwada Village Cypress Park Highland Park	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811011			no	yes	Strong tradition of activism center of immigrant rights movement large union membership working class high density of senior citizens	
42811012	Tarzana		no	yes	mountain range cultural community	
42811013			no	yes	Central Ave. Corridor improvement housing project revitalization	
42811014	Watts		no	yes	30000 Thai are living in the community lots of Thai businesses hardworking not familiar with political arena	
42811015			no	yes	Children with special needs school has 99 Latino kids needs basic transportation	Children with special needs school has 99 Latino kids needs basic transportation

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811011			no				Concern that VRA might call for reduction of numbers of African Americans			
42811012			no							
42811013	undercount in census of African Americans and Latinos (rumor that one district standing would be eliminated due to shrinkage in the population)		no							
42811014			no							
42811015			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811016	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	no		
42811017	H		yes	works for El Centro de Pueblo w of la river in downtown. They are a comm service org that serves latinos.	Eco Park Silverlake	Los Angeles	yes	Want to keep together win LA. likes what have now (after asked)	
42811018	H	armenian-american	no		Burbank	Los Angeles	yes	Wants Burbank included in eastern san Fernando valley; Glendale Burbank Sunland Tujunga and part of the valley.	
42811019	H	was born and went to school in LA; Elected city council member for hawthorne.	no		Hawthorne	Los Angeles	yes	boundaries are LAX 105 405 110	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811016	Long Beach		no	yes	Hospital college students safety issues gang problem treatment facilities for addiction	
42811017			no	yes		
42811018			no	yes	diverse highly Latino and Armenian - work well together; Also environmental issues most of us need to use the metrolink for transportation. Pollution from 5 fwy gets stuck in Burbank causing environmental problem.	
42811019			no	yes	Transportation churches parks work opportunities mutual aid schools (5 charter schools) and diversity.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811016			no							
42811017	Pop was split into many districts in order to increase latino reps but want to have together. Keep city of LA intact and the communities win it. Currently 4 ADs 3 SDs and 4 Council districts.		no							
42811018			no							
42811019	Currently have limited resources. Representatives have worked really hard to establish relationships. To start over would be bad so want to keep the same.		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811020	H	student at USC	no				yes	University Parketc - please keep together - mutual reliance on USC in surrounding area; Keep university park harbor heights down to watts and down to mid Wilshire on the transit line connection.	
42811021	H		yes	works for non-profit service agencies			yes	35 CD needs to be maintained	
42811022	H	Past 30 years been working on census. Was former regional director of census in 199091.	yes	Chairman Congress of Racial.Equality of CA sponsors annual MLK parade worked on Census; sponsor annual MLK parade. Also emancipation day of Juneteenth.	works in SouthCentral area	Los Angeles	yes	33 35 37 CD - multi-ethnic competitive COI; Three ethnicities African-American Hispanic nonHispanic white and also asian and others; please hold them together. Airport to coliseum watts tower inglewood lawndale. Hollywood down to the beach.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811020			no	yes	Students use local businesses many dont have cars so take public transport. Live shop and use services locally. Surrounding community aso depends on usc for employment theater programs football games income goes back to community.	Students use local businesses many dont have cars so take public transport. Live shop and use services locally. Surrounding community aso depends on usc for employment theater programs football games income goes back to community.
42811021			no	yes	Have after school programs that help kids and avoid gangs. They are working to have a better community.	
42811022			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811020			no							
42811021	If restructure everything will cause problems cuz ids will have to learn to work with new people. Have been helping teachers etc.		no							
42811022			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811023	H		yes	City Lights - founder - routed in CD 35; Work with obesity and kids at risk. Partner with community to make a positive impact and overcome the things that can happen.			no		
42811024	H	former gang member now grad of USC	yes	Executive Director of Unity One gang prevention or intervention?			yes	CDs 8 10 48 52 33 37 35	
42811025	H		no		Upland	San Bernardino	yes	26 CD - carve out smaller CD for Upland Rancho cucamonga Claremont Montclair San Antonio heights.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811023	Have started inner city marathon south central Inglewood watts etc. have screening of kids becoming the largest in the city of LA in terms of inner city marathon. Inglewood has partnered with them.		no	no		
42811024			no	yes	Improvements in crime decreased murder rate significantly.	
42811025			no	yes		Transit (10 210 57 hwy) hope for expansion of gold line and improve mass transit local economy in common.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811023			no							
42811024			no							
42811025	County line runs between some of the cities but the county line is arbitrary. Local education (Claremont college comm college) and transit makes the co line not make sense.		no				also could add Ontario to add pop			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811026	H	a redistricting expert and he wrote a book about it ; wrote book on Gerrymandering; Been working against gerrymandering for significant part of life consulting in Az and other places.	no				no		
42811027	H	in Spanish	no				yes	Huntington Park Maywood Commerce and Vernon - keep them together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811026			no	no		
42811027			no	yes	Immigrant comm that works very hard to get what didnt have in home countries spanish language very important for comm. Kids go to LASD schools.	Provide many jobs for comm industrial area. Please dont separate. Provide a lot of work to communities and working families.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811026		Wants to talk about VRA was intended to put end to racial Gerrymandering que keep blacks from electing rep of choice. But the pendulum swung too far requiring racial gerrymandering to maximize representation corrected by SCt. In shaw and Johnson.	no							
42811027			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811028	H	has been congressional candidate and hopes to do it again in 2012. CD 33	no				yes	33rd CD - concerned with gerrymandering; Everything south of 10 is intact community but north everthing broken up. Hollywood has two or three cong reps but should have only one. Need communities to north to be whole.	
42811029	H	ran 33 ran for congress in CD 33 and bd of sups 7th	no				yes	48 42 AD uneven width here for AD 47 and 48. They are uneven in terms of width	
42811030	H		yes	LA county in the west side; Santa monica mountains to LAX from beach to downtown LA.	Santa Monica?	Los Angeles	yes	4 mile walk - gave out handout	
42811031	H		no		CD 36	Los Angeles	yes	west side one district and separate from South Bay; CD 36 small spike goes into W LA. Shes so far west that shes close to santa monica.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811028			no	no		
42811029			no	yes		
42811030			yes	yes	Many districts in this area political Yugoslavia. He goes through all the districts that touch here. Let me vote with my neighbors not citizens in far flung area	
42811031			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811028			no				Thinks should start w blank slate.			
42811029	We hope when you draw lines youll keep us intact. Esp the 33 35 37 heavily black and latino need these districts to keep representation for ethnicities.		no							
42811030			no							
42811031	Thinks south bay and west side are very different businesses families etc. her reps think about south bay since 90 of district is there.		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811032	H		yes	la brother crusade org in south la; serve families work on youth services health etc.; Q service area? A central ave (E) Crenshaw (W) adams (N) century blvd (S).			yes	Leave districts intact of reps not decrease reps be representative	
42811033	pass		no				no		
42811034	H	Works on environmental issues with these communities.	no		Burbank	Los Angeles	yes	Griffith Park neighborh Hollywood Hills Los Feliz Silverlake Atwater Village Burbank incl equestrian district that also abutts Griffith park several neighborhoods win (Hollywood Knoll Coanga Terrace Beachwood Canyon Hollywood Land the Oaks)	
42811035	H		yes	Academia Avance - charter school in Highland Park	Highland Park	Los Angeles	no	Please keep highland park with city of la; Keep NE LA communities w LA. COI highland park glassell park cypress park Mount Washington ____ and Lincoln heights	
42811036	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811032			no	yes	families serve are united by struggles poverty lack of ed and skills housing but still are engaged in search for long term viable alternatives. Want to expan opportunities for kids. They are active stakeholders.	
42811033			no	no		
42811034			yes	yes	Similar intersts traffic issues funding for parks if split neighborhoods makes it harder to get funding.	
42811035			no	yes	Academia avance (charter school in hiland park); gold line connection to LA the river and its parks which are new investments.	
42811036			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811032	Need rep to represent their needs; Leave district intact number of reps not decrease and reps be representative.	Census numbers are skewed	no							
42811033			no							
42811034	Please dont start w blank slate because weve built comms and want to see that respect.		no							
42811035	Currently split in SD 22 24 26; Common solutions at fed and state level that are helped by keeping us together wLA dont toss us to the side		no							
42811036			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811037	H	Working past 15 years to bring movie theater to watts; Also ed and training center conf center small bus dev and job creation. Working with reps of 35 37 39 and AD and SD.	yes	representing Watts community	Watts community	Los Angeles	yes	needs CDs intact to implement what been working on 15 years dont break us apart	
42811038	H		no	Latino Coalition			yes	AD 464748; SD 2226; Areas need together a)Downtown LA live staples center b)USCFigueroa corridor c)Harvard heights mid city university park N parts of South LA up 2 Century pico union eco park highland park eagle rock cypress boyle heights	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811037			no	yes		
42811038	also Korea Town; in current district tied to and nothing in common w Alhambra; need to merge communities eg. need to tie Boyle Heights to certain portions of South LA need representation.		no	yes	Latino coalition Mexican maya Aztec sal and guatamaltecós	Imm comm w similarities and common interest in economics; We have the same issues both bad and good kids go to same schools shop at same stores we know each other.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811037	Gong to break ground in next 4 months Need current reps to service over 1 mill people in 5 mmil radius; Several schools three housing projects.Please dont break us apart		no							
42811038	Currently broken up into various districts want to keep them together in same district. African American Korean latino brothers or sisters are all in this area together.		no				Dont care who represents but want to be good at representing the community. Would help their work if they were represented by same person. many supporters in audience stood up			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811039	H		no		Altadena	Los Angeles	yes	pasadena altadena So Pasadena La Canada sierra madre san marino arcadia Monrovia duarte bradbury; incl Glendale Burbank for CD - share the airport. 710 fwy issue So Pasadena Alhambra involv -dont put them together they are bitter enemies.	
42811040	H		no		Pico Union	Los Angeles	yes	Boyle Heights Cypress Highland park eagle rock eco park west lake pico union downtown koreatown (majority latino)northern part of south la harvard heights mid city university park	
42811041	H		yes	central American federation of LA; founded el salvador community corridor			yes	Pico union has a lot of central americans. Wilshire district Harvard heights mid-city universal city northern portion of south LA korea town (70 Latino). Keep all these in same districts AD 46 47 SD 22 27	
42811042	H		yes	Latino Coalition of LA			yes	COI South LA 48th Dist southern should be cut off at 80th street and be connected to 47th	
42811043	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811039	Mt Wilson area include w us cause had station fire and cause Pasadena residents like to recreate there		no	yes	first set of cities all involved in rose parade have Metro gold line 210 foothill fwy unites	
42811040			no	yes	SES school demog social econ development historical relations; Have latino black Korean population. Want to stay together. Share celebrations central American parade. Shop at same places. USC is our community. Comm has to struggle a lot.	
42811041			no	yes	Numbers have gotten high so want to be represented by people who care about their community. Central American parade is largest parade in LA.	
42811042	Pico Union Westlake		no	yes	More central American Needs access to services common community	
42811043			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811039			no							
42811040	we rely on whole community to serve our needs dont cut us up		no							
42811041			no			MB what do you think about CD? Keep with 31				
42811042	More central American		no							
42811043			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811044	H	ran for US Congress in CD 31 this last November	no				yes	CD 31 iurrounded by CD 29odd should push forward and take a little of downtown and cut off some of the area south of downtown; should include Silverlake	
42811045	H		no				no		
42811046	H		no		Eagle Rock	Los Angeles	yes	Western San Gabriel Valley surrounded by San Gabriel mountains LA to west San Bernardino county to the east; COI extends along foothill all the way to San Bernardino County	
42811047	H		yes	Treasurer of Salvadorian Community Corridor (talked most about how this group serves the community)			yes	Keep 46th district the way it is. We are a community.	
42811048	H		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811044	la sale park and eaglerock		no	no		
42811045			no	no		
42811046			no	yes	Access to 5 134 210 101 and 110; hospitals; all religions represented; suburbs of valley should be kept together; diversity; influx of young families; all languages; melting pot of area	Keep resources we have; economic situation is different from eastern valley and cultural differences also; newspapers are different
42811047			no	no		
42811048			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811044			no			CD 31 is confusing				
42811045			no			CD 31 is gerrymandered people dont know what district they are in. Wants geographical redistricting. Thinks we have to put being American first instead of ethnic.				
42811046	Keep resources we have; economic situation is different from eastern valley and cultural differences also; newspapers are different		no							
42811047			no							
42811048			no				Latino community trying to live the American dream			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811049	pass		no				no		
42811050	H		yes	Thai CDC (and lots of other API groups)			yes	Do not separate Thai Town from East Hollywood Business district	
42811051	H		yes	Exec director of Little Toyko Business Center (LTSC)			yes	Little Tokyo (Temple northern boundary submitted map)	
42811052	H		yes	Filipino Workers Center			yes	Historic Filipino Town Hoover on west 101 on north Beverly on South and Glendale or Lucas on Eastthink it should extend to 3rd street on South	
42811053	H		yes	Chinese American Service Center			yes	Chinatown	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811049			no	no		
42811050			no	yes	Thai Town revitalize east Hollywood section; common economic and cultural activities	
42811051			yes	yes	Ethnic neighborhood magnet to encourage businesses repeat tourism historic community business redevelopment area cultural community churches transit issues gentrification	Ethnic neighborhood magnet to encourage businesses repeat tourism historic community business redevelopment area cultural community churches transit issues gentrification
42811052			no	yes	Serves Filipino and Latino communities Filipino cultural institutions gateway community for Filipino American community since first half of 20th century; businesses are Filipino-owned and cater to Filipino community housing projects	Filipino cultural institutions gateway community for Filipino American community since first half of 20th century; businesses are Filipino-owned and cater to Filipino community housing projects
42811053			no	yes	Limited English speaking low income avails of health services at Center	Limited English speaking low income avails of health services at Center

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811049			no							
42811050			no							
42811051			no					yes	Map	
42811052			no					yes	Map	
42811053	Established in 1930s; grandfather and father lived there; concentrated community needs special services and social supports family associations resources to acculturate		no					yes	Map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811054	H		yes	Exec director Korean American Coalition			yes	Korea Town Boundaries of LA Police Olympic Station (larger than current Preserve America boundaries of melrose to north hoover to east 10 fwy to south and polymouth-krenshaw to west)	
42811055	H		yes	Asian Pacific American Legal Center			no		
42811056	H	Thelesian High School football coach	no				yes	Would like to see Downy become a part of Southgates district	
42811057	H		no				yes	Edge of Korea Town historic Filipino Town Macarthur park and Lafayette park	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811054			no	yes	Limited English proficiency split by 5 ADs needs for afterschool services	
42811055			no	no		
42811056	Southgate		no	yes	Play together party together with Cutahay Downy and Linwood. Medical needs met by Downy and Linwood.	Would like to see Downy become a part of Southgates district because they get a lot of funding. Wants schools to benefit from Downy and Linwood. Shopping in all these areas; all within walking or bus distance
42811057			yes	yes	Not far from downtown arenas parks young families immigrant rights multilingual education is important to community;	Upwardly mobile all age groups and nationalities and languages; diversity is cornerstone of community; shared shopping walking and dining; thriving small business base

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811054	Limited English proficiency split by 5 ADs needs for afterschool services		no					yes	Map	
42811055			no					yes	Submitting testimony in writing since shes limited as an org member	
42811056			no							
42811057			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811058	H	Armenian difficult English lived in LA 45 years; Owner of a foundation nonprofit; 90 years old	no				yes	Little Armenia in Hollywood(?) center; do not divide Los Angeles; Keep East Hollywood unified	
42811059	H	Family creates and runs businesses and nonprofits	no				yes	Unify downtown LA; AD with Dodgers Stadium separate from Staples Center LA Live is bad; needs to be unified; Include Dodgers Stadium northward downtown LA southward to USC artsentertainment community	
42811060	H	Associated with 58 (who wanted to tell the Commissioner s he sponsored churches); Helps people out; musician	no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811058			no	yes	Speaking Armenian Armenian community; hungry people Section 8 helped by his foundation; translator hes done a lot of work for the East Hollywood community through his foundation not only the Armenian community	
42811059			no	yes	Unique area of LA heart of LA diversity residential and business industries; local government transit retailwholesale	Unique area of LA heart of LA diversity residential and business industries; local government transit retailwholesale
42811060			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811058			no							
42811059			no							
42811060			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811061	H		no		East Hollywood	Los Angeles	yes	East Hollywood (Thai Town Boyle Heights Silverlake) together; Other communities that are similar Little Armenia Silverlake Boyle Heights Highland Park	
42811062	H	Cook at Universal Studios	no		North Hollywood	Los Angeles	yes	COI Sun Valley Latuna North Hollywood and Burank	
42811063	pass		no				no		
42811064	H		yes	ReDrawCA			no		
42811065	H		no				yes	Westchester Playa Del Rey (holds airport); 65000 people keep us together. One side of 405 fwy to Ocean to north around Jefferson and south border is LAX;	
42811066	H		yes	Community Outreach Director at Korean American Coalition			yes	Greater Korea Town area into one AD (LAPDs Olympic Stadium boundaries)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811061			no	yes	Worries about the funding of the nonprofits in the area if they are broken up and the funding of the HUDSection 8 housing and the jails; family services	
42811062			no	yes	Tourist destinations jobs available metro red line common transit hub linked by 134 and 170 freeway businesses and shopping new car saleslots large number of public parks	
42811063			no	no		
42811064	LA City has already drawn boundaries so look at those boundaries		no	no		
42811065	Include with south but either would work (go northsouth not eastwest); thoroughfare for traffic going northsouth; grocery stores jobsvery few people go eastwest		yes	yes		
42811066			no	yes	Arts and recreation task force lots of Korean community orgs Korea Town is a center for Korean community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811061	In Little Armenia there is a minimum security halfway house for nonviolent drug offenders and another on 11th st and 12th ave; they may not get enough funding if they are separated		no							
42811062	Burbank North Hollywood have similar interests		no							
42811063			no							
42811064			no				Can submit boundary files to staff for neighborhoods			
42811065	Problem only elected official consistent across small community is a council member. 2 AD 2 CD 2SD etc.		no				Neighborhood councils were designed for COIs and we defined our neighborhoods and they are available at laneighborhoods.org			
42811066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811067	H		yes	Outreach Director Korean American Coalition			yes	Greater Korea Town needs to be represented by one not five members	
42811068	H		no				yes	Korea Town; K Town since were not considering race; Boundaries 101 10 Krenshaw and Hoover; Population 250000 (previous speaker answered at the mic)	
42811069	H	senior pastor	no				yes	Greater South LA community (CD 33 35 37; SD 25 26; AD 48 51 52); Keep the districts the way they are	
42811070	pass		no				no		
42811071	pass		no				no		
42811072	H		no				yes	North-East of LA Highland Park Gisel Park Lincoln Heights	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811067			no	yes	Greater Korea Town has specific needs and needs to be kept whole	
42811068			no	yes	Korean businesses and residences Highrise and midrise rental housing; overcrowded units with up to 10 people in one bedrooms; transit traffic and parking issues residential density commercial activity insufficient infrastructure	Shared social and economic interests office and retail restaurants work shop eat
42811069			no	no		
42811070			no	no		
42811071			no	no		
42811072			no	yes	urban suburbs live-in communities little businesses service them; people stay 2-3 generations in same house people want to be around the people who are around where they live; dont connect people across the freeways; respect natural boundaries	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811067	Problem with current representation; tutorial centers had problems; LAPD Olympic Stadium area is divided into 5 AD and 2 SD		no							
42811068	Dismembered unempowered not represented split; Has enough people to be own district;		no							
42811069		VRA came out of the church; 501 would reduce our representation	no							
42811070			no							
42811071			no							
42811072			no					yes	List of cities in her COI	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811073	H	Speaker 93 last night out of time	no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	Long Beach is 500000; East San Gabriel River border with LA south ocean west LA River	
42811074	H		no				yes	El Serino community boyle heights downtown eagle rock glasel park.; Use city of LA boundaries	
42811075	pass		no				no		
42811076	H		no		Del Rey (between Marina del Rey and Culver City)	Los Angeles	yes	Malibu down to El Segundo and parts of Culver City	
42811077	H		no	Not part of an org; working parent			yes	Oil Heights El Sereno Lincoln Heights Artistic District Echo Park Highland Park Silverlake others (left list)	
42811078	pass		no				no		
42811079	pass		no				no		
42811080	H	lived here 3 years.	no				yes	park la brea mid city area; Westwood to bev hills park la brea los feliz Hollywood Hollywood hills	
42811081	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811073			no	yes		
42811074			no	yes		
42811075			no	no		
42811076			no	no		
42811077			no	yes	Affecting classrooms; son sat on classroom on floor	
42811078			no	no		
42811079			no	no		
42811080			no	yes	Work in film industry. Several universities have internships out here. Tvfilm also Sherman oaks studio city.	
42811081			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811073	Convoluted districts; over 19 languages spoken in LA Unified School District; Do not draw boundaries based on ethnicity		no							
42811074	Currently they are with the San Gabriel valley and have no bonds or municipal services or socioeconomic interests in common		no							
42811075			no							
42811076			no			Lines should be drawn based on parties or interest groups; recommends book Gaming the Vote Why Elections Arent Fair and What We Can Do About It				
42811077	Community comes together supports each other		no					yes	List of Cities	
42811078			no							
42811079			no							
42811080			no							
42811081			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811082	H	ran for CD33 primary in 610; wants CRC to forget what everyone has said today. Responsibility is to protect democracy as we know it.	no				no		
42811083	H		no				no		
42811084	pass		no				no		
42811085	pass		no				no		
42811086	pass		no				no		
42811087	pass		no				no		
42811088	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811082			no	no		
42811083			no	no		
42811084			no	no		
42811085			no	no		
42811086			no	no		
42811087			no	no		
42811088			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811082			no			Responsibility is to protect democracy as we know it. Unfair districts with up to 85 reg of one party and thats really bad. Imagine whether the person would be reelected while sitting in a prison that would not be a district not to draw.	all these people have gotten up today saying I want I want I want well I dont give a damn what they want; basically wants competitive districts			
42811083			no			Thinks those wanting less gridlock would be a COI so you could use the DVC score.	presents idea of density-variationcompactness measure. Only based on census data and geography. The findings were high scores equal higher elections. Higher scores equal less partisan bias	yes	has a ppt presentation	
42811084			no							
42811085			no							
42811086			no							
42811087			no							
42811088			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811089	H	minister comm activist former resident of Inglewood.	no				no		
42811090	H		no				yes	10 to imperial over to Figueroa corridor little toward westerner?	
42811091	H		yes	Northeast business association			yes	NE area is highland park etc	
42811092	pass		no				no		
42811093	H	community activist	no				yes	Recommend Inglewood lenox del aire west carson and marina del rey	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811089	Inglewood; Dont divide the city.		no	yes		Good housing stock. Religious groups block clubs small businesses bond comm together. Has LAX econ engine for the city. 110 405 freeway. Residents work in hotels. Have businesses. Cutting this area would be detrimental.
42811090			no	yes	Also active in local churches. Local churches have a lot of activities in the communities especially around holidays. Reach out to kids w incarcerated parents.	Promote education many kids from comm did attend USC and encouraged to move on to law school.
42811091			no	yes		
42811092			no	no		
42811093			yes	yes	Ymca is a center of community; Also does voter ed. Parks are important Churches are in the comm.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811089		win CD35 now rumor that its 40K short according to Census but historically has been undercount	no							
42811090			no							
42811091	Want to keep the district the same. If change have to reinvent the wheel. Satisfied how they are because have friendship with reps in their area.		no							
42811092			no							
42811093	She did not fill out a census form so she is part of the undercount in her area.	dont want to give up one office. is my right to have someone who looks like me represent me	no				FW can you tell me COI that ties Inglewood to Marina del rey? A ymca HS in gardena. Her current districts. The Airport ties them together. Neighborhood block. Polling inspector			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811094	pass		no				no		
42811095	H	quechua native american	no				yes	Lincoln heights; SD24. Recommend combine Lincoln heights with SD22 that represents the rest of LA. He doesnt have common interest w eastern portion of current district.	
42811096	pass		no				no		
42811097	pass		no				no		
42811098	pass		no				no		
42811099	pass		no				no		
42811100	H		no				yes	Topanga canyon	
42811101	H		no		Topanga resident 1977	Los Angeles	yes	Malibu Calabasas pacific palisades also have some common interests	
42811102	pass		no				no		
42811103	pass		no				no		
42811104	pass		no				no		
42811105	pass		no				no		
42811106	pass		no				no		
42811107	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811094			no	no		
42811095			no	no		
42811096			no	no		
42811097			no	no		
42811098			no	no		
42811099			no	no		
42811100			no	yes	Is a state of mind a way of life. Geographically mentally SM mountains parks beaches Community club womens club chamber of commerce historical society (and several other)	Own news paper and own elementary school post office and zip code. Shopping centers coffee shops interested in land use preservation of open space water management. 65 adult pop has college degree.
42811101			no	yes	Malibu Calabasas pacific palisades also have some common interests Yoga classes for senior citizens and preschool. Theater groups.	12K school kids from LA come to do theater classes. Public and private schools. Also public universities comm colleges high schools and middle schools in nearby communities.
42811102			no	no		
42811103			no	no		
42811104			no	no		
42811105			no	no		
42811106			no	no		
42811107			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811094			no							
42811095			no							
42811096			no							
42811097			no							
42811098			no							
42811099			no							
42811100			no							
42811101			no							
42811102			no							
42811103			no							
42811104			no							
42811105			no							
42811106			no							
42811107			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811108	H		no				yes	conservation foundation of santa monica mountains; SM mountains in LA and Ventura county w watersheds as well as Simi Mills and upper LA river systems be kept together. Greater SM mountains COI.	
42811109	pass		no				no		
42811110	H	Crenshaw; Lived there all his life. Hes a letter carrier	no		Crenshaw whole life	Los Angeles	yes	Crenshaw lamer park hyde park exposition and baldwin hills. Hope keep CD35 together Crenshaw	
42811111	H		no				yes	unincorporated area bw la and Compton called willowbrook. Also lived in hawthorn Compton (?); want to keep 37 together.	
42811112	H		yes	watts labor comm action committee exist as result of disparities prior to the 1965 revolt			yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811108			no	yes	Watersheds water quality wildfire transporation corridors scenicrec opps wildlife. For included cities see his written testimony.	
42811109			no	no		
42811110			no	yes	Comm concerns jobs. Schools heath care econ security for family.	
42811111			no	yes	Most important thing in comm is health. Sufer from diabetes high BP pediatric illness. MLK hospital is a MAC provides many services going to be a major hospital. Ward commission post riot was lack of major health care center.	
42811112			no	yes	Watts is hypercenter of highest infant mortality in the US. Now starting to move people from dependency on social services. High incarceration and unemployment poorest education make it difficult to move people off social services.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811108			no					yes	Written testimony	
42811109			no							
42811110			no							
42811111			no							
42811112	Thinks Watts benefits from having two districts.		no			Noted that one of the Commissioners has racially profiled people wo any resistance from rest of commission by asking certain people about if they had attended a particular rally. And you should not let that happen.				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811113	H		no				yes	Proposed creation of central American cultural district. bw 6th and 3rd there are 5K people	
42811114	pass		no				no		
42811115	pass		no				no		
42811116	pass		no				no		
42811117	H		no				yes	Echo park; silverlade Filipino town also boyle heights are linked via schools to echo park area.	
42811118	H		no				yes	Pasadena UCLA work on west side. Main concern with NE part of city. Lots fo districts that dip into east la and into san Gabriel valley. Eagle rock shouldnot be in Pasadena district.	
42811119	pass		no				yes		
42811120	pass		no				no		
42811121	H		no				yes	historic Filipino town. Temple st used to be corridor until freeway came.	
42811122	pass		no				no		
42811123	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811113			no	no		
42811114			no	no		
42811115			no	no		
42811116			no	no		
42811117			no	yes		Is the most diverse little hamlet in LA. Have everything there. State highway 22 cuts comm in half. This is a problem because no govt entity will take responsibility for it.
42811118	Sothern tahanga belongs with Burbankglendale not Lancaster or Simi Valley; Westside shouldnt go to Oxnard either. Monterey park Alhambra		no	no		
42811119			no	no		
42811120			no	no		
42811121			no	yes	Need everything under one roof to make it a new cultural tourism area. CD33 has Bangladeshi community.	
42811122			no	no		
42811123			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811113			no							
42811114			no							
42811115			no							
42811116			no							
42811117	Divided bw several districts. Makes it hard for provision of funding and services;		no							
42811118			no							
42811119			no							
42811120			no							
42811121	Concerned about gerrymandering in CD31 in the past. Look at other boundaries in the city and can make it a lot easier.		no							
42811122			no							
42811123			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811124	H	Pomona works at superior court	no		Pomona		yes	Foothill blv 57 city southern border are where san Bern and LA county meet. Want to be lump w montclair and Ontario. Concerned that if lumped w Claremont will lose funding they need for infrastructure.	
42811125	pass		no				no		
42811126	pass		no				no		
42811127	pass		no				no		
42811128	pass		no				no		
42811129	H	on Neighborhood council neighborhood watch captain and more community stuff that she does.	no		LA born and raised	Los Angeles	yes	Glaso park area; Atwater echo park glaso park cypress park and ???	
42811130	H		no				yes	keep culver city Baldwin vilaage Windsor hills and ??; probably go east to Figueroa and west to marina del rey.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811124			no	yes	Largely working and latino county. Large parole population and transient population. Low income many with cirmnal records.	Concerned to be with diamond bar walnut or Claremont because they are different SES. Claremont is upper class white. Pomona hopstial college city hall FD PD and court.
42811125			no	no		
42811126			no	no		
42811127			no	no		
42811128			no	no		
42811129			yes	yes	Shes seen it look really pretty and look really ugly and now want to make better. She doesnt know who to contact for help because of cutting.	
42811130			no	yes	all small comms surrounded by LA on all sides. Suburban win city. Higher than av income and lower crime rates than surrounding areas.	Was created for people of color who were coming out of poverty but were prevented from living in other mid class areas.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811124	it helps in representation because if lumped in w someplace rich may look at average income and not see that pamona is not well off.		no							
42811125			no							
42811126			no							
42811127			no							
42811128			no							
42811129	Being united will benefit comm.		no							
42811130			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811131	H	downtown la neighborhood council for 5 years	no				yes	Common boundaries Chinatown olvera city west little Tokyo southern boundary is Washington blvd; Historic council neighborhood council. Only about 50K residents. Normal COI are transport corridors esp Figueroa corridor.	
42811132	H		yes	comm legal resource center exec dir.			yes	Talk about crime in LA. Agencies that cover the city and county Gardena Inglewood long beach Rosecranz and central they meet to study crime. Noticed that sherriff not being proactive in giving them data.	
42911001	H		no		Eaglerock Los Angeles		yes	inclusion of federal public lands in CD usually added on at end to make contiguous; not good for those concerned with of lands; eg San Gabriel Mountains Angeles National Forest San Bernardino Mts - get water - COI is those concerned with lands	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811131			yes	yes	Echo park east Hollywood also areas of common interest that should be intact. Instead of transport being boundaries they area actualy the centers of the comms rather than the edges esp Figueroa blvd.	
42811132	Notice CD37 and how they can better serve in areas other than Compton. Fewer crimes solved in Compton.		no	no		
42911001			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811131			no							
42811132			no							
42911001			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911002	H	born in pasadena freq visitor to angeles forest	no				yes	pasadena glendale and La Pinada have a COI based on connection to SG mountains so put these in same district as mountains - water environment recreation fire hazard	
42911003	H	RN	no		Alhambra		yes	communities of SG valley form a COI and need representation	
42911004	H - in Spanish		no		East LA		no		
42911005	H		no				no		
42911006	H		no				yes	keep LA foothills from La Canada to ____ La Verne? Together	
42911007	H		no		La Puente		yes	keep La Puente with Covina West Covina	
42911008	H		no		Linda____?		no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911002			no	no		
42911003			no	yes	great school system health system (hospitals clinics) senior citizens centers want water district shared and kept as one	
42911004	East LA Lincoln Heights .etc		no	yes	bind by celebration of Indendence Mexican Day Gold Line (bus?) highways intersect at E LA two hospitals (need the services of these hospitals - they offer services for un-insured) go to ___ for shopping and special needs	working class
42911005	Pasadena Altadena		no	yes	hard to tell Pasadena and Altadena apart	starting to see loss of services rely on private groups - concerned
42911006			no	yes	recreation environmental issues (water and air) freeway 210	
42911007	Covina West Covina provide services entertainment shopping to La Puente		no	yes	tied to Covina and West Covina	lower class
42911008			no	yes	cultural links	JPL is jewel north of 210 freeway

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911002			no							
42911003	need representation to support those interestsservices		no							
42911004			no							
42911005			no							
42911006	need own district to allow voices to be heard and not drowned out by areas to south		no							
42911007	want to stay together to have voice		no							
42911008			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911009	H		no		Pasadena		yes	keep west san Gabriel valley communities together as much as possible - bordered by SG mtns and Puente Hills	
42911010	H		yes	LCV Environmental Fund			yes	environmental COI in San Gabriel Basin look at this vis--vis your mapping	
42911011	H		yes	Chinese American Citizens Alliance			yes	see handout	
42911012	H	retired fac - UC SD	yes	letter from Mayor of Monterey Park	Monterey Park - highest concentration of Asian-American		yes	support Chinese-American citizens alliance proposal; draw boundaries to include communities around us that share similar needs	
42911013	H		no				yes	supports Chinese-american citizens alliance proposals - need district that doesnt dilute representation of Chinese community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911009			no	yes	geography and diversity (linguistically esp)	diverse economically
42911010	foothills of SG valley and SG Valley itself - has a COI; foothills along I-210 corridor		no	yes	San Gabriel Valley Contamination - shows map; highest amount of superfund sites in any area	
42911011			no	no		
42911012	Monterey Park		no	no		
42911013	Monterey Park		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911009			no							
42911010	Q better to have more reps or one rep? A one rep because otherwise reps spread too thin	yes in Answerwhat did he say?	no					yes	ppt	
42911011			no					yes	several pages	
42911012		yes - need this district to comply Q have asians ran that couldnt win? A; Yes and gave two examples (Mike Tin from South Pasadena..w ill send other one)	no							
42911013			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911014	H		no				yes	unite public land in SG mts with communities that use them the most	
42911015	H	works at big hospital	no		LA (North West) - mount washington		yes	keep these communties together for more effective representation	
42911016	H	works on protection of SG mts	no		Highland Park		yes	unification of COI along SG River and mts use for recreation river follows 605 freeway	
42911017	pass		no				no		
42911018	pass		no				no		
42911019	pass		no				no		
42911020	H		no		diamond bar walnut (where live?)		yes	Walnut currently split; APA population high here; need rep to fight for change and improve schools	north OC and LA Co border
42911021	H		no		walnut		yes	COI out of the cities below - enough for AD Q what about mountain range between brea and chino hills? A; doesnt reduce strength of COI	
42911022	H		yes	Commissioner Rowland Heights	Rowland Heights		yes	SG Valley has economic and social sphere of influence along 57 and I10; doesnt connect o SG area of influence	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911014	Bellmonte . South alonte		no	yes	San Gabriel River - great rec opportunity for communities below and they have no say in how land is managed	
42911015	Boyle Heights lincoln heights echo park (will forward list)	ceasar chavez st	no	yes	health access pollution	
42911016	West Covina Covina La Puente		no	yes		
42911017			no	no		
42911018			no	no		
42911019			no	no		
42911020	rowland heights diamond bar walnut		no	yes	unique educational COI showing API measurement (?) API or APA? community needs to improve school performance	
42911021	walnut Diamond Bar Chino Hills City of Industry Brea Rowland Heights		no	yes	share shopping religious worship live and dine together	
42911022			no	yes	universities hospitals etc. ; chinese-american community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911014	if communities united with mountains they use then will have a say in its management		no							
42911015			no							
42911016	if with land in districts can have a say in how the mt range is managed and use and about its environmental protection.		no							
42911017			no							
42911018			no							
42911019			no							
42911020			no					yes	maps w schools indicated	
42911021			no							
42911022			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911023	H		no		Walnut??		yes	keep Korean communities in tact in districts	
42911024	H		yes	represents chinese-american association			yes	keep communities together in East SG area - Q; like how is now? A together now and like representation	
42911025	H		no		San Gabriel Valley		yes	SG Valley - the way the district is drawn now is good.	
42911026	H		no		chino hills		yes	chino hills brea walnut heights diamond bar form COI	
42911027	H		yes	rowland Hills chinese-american association	rowland heights		yes	stay in district together	
42911028	H		yes	korean community of Inland Empire			yes	Korea Town in LA is split up into districts - dont do this in Inland Empire	
42911029	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911023	fullerton brea diamond bar should be walnut? chino hills rowland heights		no	yes	Korean Community	
42911024	chino chino hills diamond bar rowland heights walnut		no	yes	chinese american community shares across these cities	
42911025	breafullerton rowland heights Chino Hills		no	no		
42911026	Fullerton Brea Rowland Heights Diamond Bar Chino Hills Walnut		no	yes	he works eats shops kids to school in these communities	
42911027	Rowland Heights diamond bar walnut hacienda heights		no	yes	services work of association	
42911028	walnut diamond bar chino hills rowland heights Brea Fullerton (not as much Chinese but many Korean in Fullerton)		no	no		
42911029			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911023			no							
42911024			no							
42911025			no					yes	map proposal	
42911026			no							
42911027			no							
42911028			no							
42911029			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911030	H		no				no		
42911031	H	corporate lawyer	no				yes	SG Valley has largest chinese-american community	
42911032	H		yes	American Charities Business Association			yes	keep AD as one district	
42911033	H		no		Alhambra		yes	49 AD is perfect SD is a nightmare - 49 58 AD would make perfect SD; use Alhambra other? school district boundaries; if 32 CD represent SG valley area would be great	
42911034	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911030	Azuza- Latino Sect 2? Temple City Monterey Park San Merino Arcadia - chinese Sect 2?		no	no		
42911031			no	yes	education system after school programs are good	this area draws businesses lowers unemployment rate
42911032			no	no		
42911033			no	yes	49 AD cities - all belong to YMCA serve on its board	
42911034			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911030		Section 2 districts - mentioned about certain districts - Azuza has potential Sec 2 violation also in SG Valley have chinese-american district	no							
42911031			no							
42911032			no							
42911033			no					yes	school districts	
42911034			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911035	H		yes	Diamond Bar City Council 16 years current former President Chair Vice Chair of whole list of govt organizations (League of Cities etc)	Diamond Bar		yes	Diamond Bar is a main intersection and shares lot w nearby cities in LA SB OC Riverside Counties (Four Corners region where 4 counties come together); 2 major hwys intersect city constantly working with these cities and counties to reach solutions.	
42911036	pass		no				no		
42911037	H		yes	mayor of Diamond Bar and on other govt councilsauthorities			yes	county lines are good BUT drawn 1889 - his COI crosses (blends into) co lines (SE most corner of LA Co - edge of S Ber Co OC ; see city list; Q where go to build SDCD? A use transp corrids	4 counties (LA SB OC Riversdie) area - 4 corners transportation advisorysupported by SCAG 18 yrs)
42911038	H		no		Whittier		yes	Currently Whittier is 3 AD 3 CD 1 SD - would like Whittier to not be divided; Whittier w other LA Co cities of La Mirada La Habra Heights Hacienda Heights Diamond Bar; keep cities and counties in tact	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911035	chino hills walnut Rowland Hts Brea with Diamond Bar in same district so have reps who live there and experience things and represent better; open space is tres hermanos shell oil and boy scout areas		no	yes	share hwys -4 corners transportation policy committee works w SCAG; D Bar shares socialeconomic interests with cities in different counties; wildlife corridor conservation JPA	
42911036			no	no		
42911037	diamond bar shares probs w chino hills (SB Co) Brea Yorba Linda (both in Orange County) Brea is essent downtown of D Bar; also put in district w rowland heights la habra la habra heights whittier hacienda heights	5760 interchange - big fix - need to correct transportation error	yes	yes	trucks Port to IE warehouses go up I-710 605 to 6010 have to fight for transport funds (get short-changed) in Four Corners Transp Area; transportation neighborhood educ economic issues cross county lines need rep to fight across co lines	
42911038			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911035	cities in 4 corners area freq left out of meaningful planning such as planning for hwy 5760 interchange (most congested in nation)		no							
42911036			no							
42911037			no							
42911038			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911039	H		yes	whittier city council member; speaking as private citizen this evening	whittier		yes	Whittier split 3 CDAD; whittier should be together - have one HS district; add Santa Fe Springs La Mirada Le Habra Heights even though runs into OCfollow Wildlife Corridor and get AD from 70s 80s	
42911040	H - 206 on tape		no		Sierra Madre		yes	link Sierra madre with pasadena altadena	
42911041	H		no		vice mayor of San Gabriel		yes	AD 49 is good now. SD 21 doesnt represent West San Gabriel Valley two Senators representing West San Gabriel Valley; CD goes into Burbank and Glendale - not part of West San Gabriel Valley in his viewget map of what the valley is generally	
42911042	H		no		whittier kids went to Le Habra for school		no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911039	Whittier with Santa Fe Springs La Mirada Le Habra Heights Brea (OC)	605 freeway on west all the way to 57 then southeast all the way to Orange Co into 91 fwy - significant wildlife corridor	no	yes	Whittier is COI same newspaper high school district fire depart etc shared; also COI of chino hillspuente hills wildlife corridor	
42911040			no	yes	frequent these cities for shopping restaurants and all hike in mts around there share transport line - Gold line and 210 freeway	support each other socially cultrally economically
42911041			no	no		
42911042	whittier with Le Habra and le Habra Heights		no	yes	police force for area very similar	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911039			no							
42911040			no							
42911041			no							
42911042			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911043	H		yes	promotes Redistricting CA			no		
42911044	H		no			North OC	yes	his community crosses county lines (see cities below) - keep them together (thinks that's way it is now)	
42911045	H - in Spanish		no		Van Nuys		yes	keep these communities together. Q; together now? A yes	
42911046	H - in Spanish		no		Boyle Heights		yes	keep areas together	
42911047	H	professor Cal Poly Pomona	no		Pomona		yes	Pomona with Montclair Ontario Chino ; notes that only one person mentioned Pomona	
42911048	H		no		diamond bar		yes	58 60 AD splits up city of Whittier; concerned with representation of SG Valley - its a big economy and doesnt have representation	
42911049	H		no		La Crescenta		yes	he has proposals for AD SD CD display with three sheets	
42911050	H		no		El Monte SG Valley 85 yrs		yes	keep El Monte and South El Monte together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911043			no	no		
42911044	La Palma Buena Park Artesia Cerritos fullerton anaheim Cypress		no	no		
42911045	Van nuys Panama city Northridge Valley Glenn Lake Balboa .	I-5 101 118 highways	no	yes	share university (CSU Northridge) shopping ed system	
42911046	list?		no	yes	lots given - things share	
42911047			no	yes	university youth sports etc	
42911048			no	yes	education transportation traffic congestion	
42911049			no	no		
42911050			no	yes	do things together in geography schools education .	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911043			no			concerned with special districts - our constituency rights at that levelwants junior commission to look at them				
42911044			no							
42911045			no							
42911046			no							
42911047			no							
42911048	need voice on issues above by SG Valley		no							
42911049			no					yes	brought board with three pages and asked to leave it	
42911050			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911051	H	city council member Ponoma though speaking as private citizen	no		Ponoma		yes	Ponoma with Chino Montclair ontario - ok to cross counties; Q what add? A Claremont though they may not want it	
42911052	H		yes	soccer league - region ____ volunteer coordinator	Montclair		yes	keep together 4 cities	
42911053	H		yes	Boyscounts of America (BSA) - which has been drawing lines for years of councils	La Canada-Flintridge		no		
42911054	H		no				yes	doesnt like idea of foothill district cause not politically competitive draw districts that go NS	
42911055	H		no		West Hollywood		yes	keep two cities together; Q add numbers? A go west	
42911056	H		yes	Los Angeles Chinese-American association - San Gabriel Valley area.			yes	keep AD 60(?) as is	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911051			no	no		
42911052	Pomona Ontario Chino Montclair		no	yes	sees bond in soccor league	
42911053			no	no		
42911054	Altadena South Pasadena Pasadena; Q Alhambra? A no not like South Pasadena		no	yes	strong historical connectioncommon interests rivers through lake	
42911055	Beverly Hills and West Hollywood has a bustling commonality		no	yes	Iranian ___ LBGT	entertainment industries - performing arts visual arts; tourism restaur hotels small biz
42911056			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911051			no							
42911052			no							
42911053			no							
42911054			no							
42911055			no							
42911056			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911057	H	Eugene Lee	yes	APALC CAPAFR			yes	2 COIs presented tonight 1) Temple etc 2) Rowland Heights etc;	
42911058	H	veteran	no				no		
42911059	H	school board member?	no				no		
42911060	H	reading testimony of employee from CSU Fullerton	yes	CAPAFR			yes	COI in East SG Valley	
42911061	H	Dan Ichonose	yes	Demographer at APALC			yes	two distinct COI West SG Valley COI and East SG Valley COI can each be districts tho cant be combined; one (or both?) is enough for an AD	
42911062	pass		no				no		
42911063	pass		no				no		
42911064	H	run vocational college in Ponomia area	no		Ponomia 32 yrs		yes	Ponomia has little in common with Diamond Bar Walnut; please go east and not west east to include Montclair Ontario Chino; Q extend where?	
42911065	pass		no				no		
42911066	pass		no				no		
42911067	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911057			no	yes		
42911058	Alham Mont Park Rosemead San Gabriel San Marino Temple City Arcadia		no	yes	gateway to immigrants LEP; common educational interestsneeds;	
42911059	H Heights Rowland H Diamond Bar Walnut		no	yes	AAPI community similar education aspirations need representation	
42911060	H Hts R Hts Diamond Bar Walnut		no	yes	list	
42911061			no	yes		
42911062			no	no		
42911063			no	no		
42911064			no	yes	Ponoma - 70 Latino; large homeless stepchild of LA because of geography doesnt fit in with LA or Inland Empire; share more with Montclair Ontarion Chino;	extend to working class areas primarily Latino
42911065			no	no		
42911066			no	no		
42911067			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911057	strive to keep these communities together to preserve the voice of asian-americans	Asian-American VRA district in West SG Valley	no			working with African-American and Latino communities - partly why not proposing till May 26		yes	supporting materials - maps will also present map proposal on May 26.	
42911058	community kept in same AD SD CD		no							
42911059			no					yes	handout with details	
42911060			no							
42911061		consider VRA for asian-americans in SG Valley	no					yes	demo stats	
42911062			no							
42911063			no							
42911064			no							
42911065			no							
42911066			no							
42911067			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911068	pass		no				no		
42911069	pass		no				no		
42911070	H	newspaper publisher attorney - serving african-american community	no		Pasadena		yes	create district along 210 corridor to form a diverse multi-ethnic community	
42911071	H	mayor of Pasadena	no		Pasadena		yes	Glendale Burbank and Pasadena in one district	
42911072	H		yes	San Gabriel USD	San Gabriel		yes	make sure given School District is entirely within one AD SD CD; treat small school districts like ours as COI 4.5 miles square	
42911073	H		no				yes	keep SG Valley together and Not with Pasadena Glendale	
42911074	H		no		Monetbello - oldest Armenian community in California (dates back to 1930s) - 1011K armenians		yes	SD stretches to Huntington Park - Montebello and Huntington Park do NOT share interests CD stretches to Pomona (along Higway 65) and Montebello and Pomona dont share interests	
42911075	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911068			no	no		
42911069			no	no		
42911070	Altadena		no	yes	Altadena - ___ African-Americans	
42911071			no	yes	history of long connection share police fire airport	
42911072			no	no		
42911073			no	yes	San Gabriel Valley has common concerns highway congestion rail traffic going through with imports from port stops road traffic.	
42911074	Montebello Pico Rivera Whittier		no	no		
42911075			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911068			no							
42911069			no							
42911070	in Pasadena left out of repretation because clustered in AltadenaPasadena; chinese americans go to Pasadena City College.		no					yes	map and numbers as well	
42911071			no					yes		
42911072			no							
42911073			no							
42911074			no							
42911075			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911076	pass		no				no		
42911077	pass		no				no		
42911078	pass		no				no		
42911079	H		no		Sierra Madre		yes	Seirra Madre is added to add 11K you need to make a district and that hasnt served Sierra Madre well; would like an east-west divide	
42911080	H	student at CSU LA	no		Montclair		yes	montclair claremont La Verne San Dimas together	
42911081	H	Mt Sac now CSU LA	no				yes	area around LA SB OC	
42911082	H		no		Montclair		yes	list of communities together	
42911085	H		yes	SG Valley Council of Governments			yes	SG Valley has 20 of LA Co residents pasadena and pomona are book ends of community 40 of LA Cos incorporated areas also much of unincorporated area; gerrymandered should have 2.4 CDs and have 5 CDs	
42911086	H	mayor	yes	mayor of South Pasadena			yes	put contiguous cities in one district (explains based on history of valley); his town gets lost with big cities good to be with small cities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911076			no	no		
42911077			no	no		
42911078			no	no		
42911079			no	yes	share water with Pasadena and Arcadia school system with pasadena transit defines area - transportation hub along I-210 where regional housing needs assessment - anticipated that growth will follow transportation	
42911080			no	yes	cities share.	
42911081	Brea Chino Hills rowland heights		no	no		
42911082	upland rancho C claremont etc		no	no		
42911085			no	no		
42911086	list		no	yes	Asian-american community has been ___diffused? Politically	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911076			no							
42911077			no							
42911078			no							
42911079			no							
42911080			no							
42911081			no							
42911082			no							
42911085			no							
42911086			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911087	H		no		Covina		yes	include Azuza Irwindale Baldwin Park; no reason to leave Azuza out	
42911088	H		yes	pasaden USD board member	pasadena		yes	burbank glendale pasadena - bridge community; dont split us off with parts of LA that have nothing in common with	
42911091	H		yes	council member? Arcadia	Arcadia		yes	Arcadia cut in half and under 100K pop please keep cities in tact especially if under 100K keep valley in tact	
42911092	H		no				yes	think about city of industry plus . For asian district also makes proposal for adding two Latino communities into CD.	
42911093	H		no		Azusa		yes	keep bedroom communities along foothills __ corridor (arcadia to claremont) together	
42911094	H		no		Glendora		yes	210 corridor; critique of proposal about mts with communities near by	
42911098	H		yes	CA Contract Cities former president	Duarte		yes	I-210 freeway is natural corridor - use it from La C Flintridge to Claremont; advocates for foothill district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911087			no	yes	hike in Monrovia Glendora enjoy foothills enjoy hiking shop in East lake in Covina	
42911088			no	yes	three cities work effectively as group	
42911091			no	yes	share resources police fire water	
42911092			no	no		
42911093	Glendora Azusa Covina (more?)		no	yes	Glendor and Covina share three school districts; cities also share water	
42911094			no	no		
42911098			no	yes	cities towns on these corridor shares interestsconcernsissues; balance between needsissues of communities and forming districts based on race;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911087			no							
42911088			no							
42911091			no							
42911092			no							
42911093			no							
42911094			no							
42911098			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911102	H		no		Hacienda Heights - unincorporated township		yes	combine H Hts with areas with common concernsinterests; take cross roads from 605 and 60 then take 650 south then East to 57; Puente Hills is part of it	
42911105	H		no				yes	five corners of states - use that with computer program; no ethnic districts	
42911106	H		no				no		
42911108	H		no		Claremont		yes	dont cross LASB Co border	
42911109	H - testimony read by 108		no		Claremont		yes	supports long rectangle - foothill district	
42911110	H		no		burbank		yes	keep three cities together if have to add Griffith Park?	
42911112	H		no		La Canada - Flintridge		yes	La Cunata over to Claremont 210 corridor	
42911115	H	has a soccer school	no		West Covina		yes	keep pomona ontario montclair and chino together; well do our part to improve things if you give us this	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911102			no	yes	share police fire school district hikingbeautiful hill area	
42911105			no	no		
42911106	Artesia Cerritos La Palma Cypress (cross county boundaries)		no	yes	coyote problem Asian-Indians (little india)gives stats on other asian groups	
42911108			no	yes	cultural divide with neighboring S Bern county Clarmont residents dont go there go to Pasadena	
42911109	Claremon La Verne Pasadena		no	yes	common interests - university at core of each city highway etc	
42911110	Glendale Burbank Pasadena		no	yes	same interests needs and have cooperated well for a long time	
42911112			no	yes	share suburbs trail system highway 210 hazards; 13 wildlife conservancies in area	
42911115			no	yes	90 hispanic want to improve parks	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911102			no							
42911105			no			use computer program to draw districts				
42911106			no					yes	stats	
42911108			no							
42911109			no							
42911110			no							
42911112			no							
42911115			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911118	H		no		La Cresenta		yes	La Cresenta and La Canada in same district cut off from SG Valley by mountains	
42911119	H		no		Pasadena		yes	gerrymandering in Pasadena explains screwy district boundary (La Canada Montrose swing over to Sbern crossing cos far away) take into consideration COI keep naturally connected cities together; want Pasadena with its neighbors Burbank Arcadia	
42911123	H		no		South Pasadena		no		
42911129	H	asian identify as gay	no		Arcadia	Arcadia	yes	Arcadia with San Gabriel Alhambra; supports APALC proposals	
42911130	H		yes	Greater LA AARP Chinatown chapter	Alhambra		no		
43011001	H		no		westlake village	in LA Co part westlake village is in Ventura county too	yes	view conejo valley (see four cities below) - submitted map with proposed senate district; keep thousand oaksconejo valley with simi valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911118			no	yes	share forest fire water	
42911119			no	no		
42911123			no	no		
42911129			no	yes	Chinese Americans support working together and hopefully gay community as well	
42911130			no	yes	translation transportation transcendence (for seniors)	
43011001	calabas agora thous oaks westlake		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911118			no							
42911119			no							
42911123			no			compactness no slivers etc				
42911129			no							
42911130			no							
43011001			no					yes	senate proposed map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011002	H	insurance agent	no		tarzana		yes	SF Valley as 1CD 20-80K towns not incorp; west valley east valley - separated by 405 freeway; districts on his map handoutexhibits; 31 neighborhood councils in SF Valley - Q are they real neighborhoods?A yes; Dont chop up valley like 10 yrs ago;	
43011003	H		yes	Glendale Unified SD - board member			yes	Glendale Burbank La Crescenta La Canada Pasadena South Pasadena	
43011004	H		yes	president of non-profit that promotes good gov of SF V			yes	Ass members do not live in SF Valley; 1.8 mill people in Valley- make 2 SD and then 4 AD	
43011005	H		yes	valley industry and commerce org - president			yes	SF valley ; has handout with COI - wants them represented; part of SF Valley is LA Valley - bordered on east by mulholland drive and mt on east	
43011006	H	lawyer	no			west SF V	yes	proposing same as 1991 maps; keep Ventura co together - make its own CD separate conejo valley and put with SF Valley - have similarities (he said people said); doesnt include Camarillo	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011002	SF Valley		yes	no		
43011003			no	no		
43011004			no	no		
43011005			no	yes	two univ. major airport etc; if valley was city would be 4th largest city in US	
43011006			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011002	405 is dividing line		no							
43011003			no							
43011004			no							
43011005			no					yes	map	
43011006			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011007	H		yes	Castaic Lake Water District			yes	keep water district in one district	
43011008	H		no				yes	SF Valley is a COI (separated by 405); east Ventura linked to west SF valley; SF Valley once voted to be own city called the Valley; Q what r boundaries of SF V? A county line on west 405 on east	
43011009	H		no		thousand oaks		yes	thousand oaks area is a COI currently has two CDs..no reason for this; san___ mt preserve.	
43011010	H		yes	husband was mayor	thousand oaks		yes	dislikes current CD liked one before thatgoing east on 101more in common with LA County cities of Agoura Hills Calabasas not with Oxnard (mountains r inbetween them and Oxnard)	
43011011	H	writer at home - star trek martian child	no				yes	knows SF Valley 405 is natural dividing line for socialcultural COI; county line is west border should be enough for a district; each side needs its own representation	
43011012	H	former Ass member	no				yes	said in SLO keep SB separate from Ventura; SB was dominating and Oxnard is a big city	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011007	Santa Clarita		no	no		
43011008			no	no		
43011009			no	no		
43011010			no	no		
43011011			no	yes	social and cultural in West side of valley and one on East side of Valley	
43011012			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011007			no					yes	2 pages	
43011008			no					yes	Rosenberg memo	
43011009			no							
43011010			no							
43011011			no					yes	submitted map of library districts	
43011012			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011013	H		yes	Oxnard SD - trustee	Oxnard		yes	Oxnard El Rio Port Huememe must be together - very connected; Oxnard is always being cut up allow it to set its own destiny for once Keep Ventura Co whole and dont include SB Co.	
43011014	H		no			Ventura	yes	district with Santa Clara Valley and Oxnard Port Huememe - a COI - dont cut it up	
43011015	H		no		Santa Clarita Valley		yes	Santa Clarita Valley feels like orphen; add part of Ventura Co.	
43011016	H		no		roseda west SF Valley		yes	connect with west LA county not with Simi Valley and Not with West LA (because requires going through 405Sepulvada Pass.	
43011017	H		no		thousand oaks santa rosa valley (between Th O and Camirrlo)	Ventura Co many yrs before that SF Valley in LA Co	yes	keep camarillo with East Ventura Co and include Santa Clarita Valley	
43011018	H		yes	Valley Cultural Center - non-profit	tarzana		yes	west SF Valley in single district; not cut up like now	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011013		Q is there a divide of Ventura Co.? A not a natural divide - feels connected to east V Co but if had too take Camarillo.	no	no		
43011014			no	yes	Oxnard has 4 HS own airport several big cultural events	Oxnard - economic driver for area ag around employment is high
43011015	Piru Filmore (not Santa Paula which is more coastal)	126 corridor is going to grow	no	no	same water shed same transportation corridor	same industries
43011016	Roseda agoura Hills Calabasas		no	no		
43011017			no	no		
43011018	woodland hills tarzana		no	yes	west SF valley (gives borders) is its own community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011013			no							
43011014			no							
43011015			no					yes		
43011016			no							
43011017			no							
43011018			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011019	H	did census outreach	no		west SF valley used to live in east - talking about east		yes	405 is dividing line east valley north to ___ down 210 to east border where burbank begins south maybe to 101	
43011020	H	spoke night before re SG mountains and users of mts	no				yes	lower-income community in east SF Val with SG Mts (they are users)	
43011021	H		yes	Valley Biz Commerce assoc			yes	CD cuts up Valley but its a COI; west and east valley is a real distinction; if split dont go to Simi Valley -very diff valley	
43011022	H		no		SF V		yes	doesnt know where 405 break comes from here before 405 when 405 built it destroyed .describes valley boundaries and cities from his view	
43011023	H	real estate	no			simi valley	yes	east ventura county should be its own district add Santa Clarita also ok to add westlake village calabassas agoura hills? from LA Co; add filmore and piru camarillo ok too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011019			no	yes	East Valley linguistically isolated lower income	
43011020			no	no		
43011021			no	no		
43011022		Q how split for CD? ASherman Way and Balboa to split valley	no	no		
43011023			no	yes	when look at santa clarita valley like looking in mirror - in different co (LA) but similar economically etc	share industries etc

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011019			no							
43011020			no							
43011021			no					yes	SF V topography with white lines for CD borders	
43011022			no							
43011023			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011024	H	formerly planning commissioner city council now water district	no		Agoura Hills		yes	water district map - describes it and considers area serve as community and others as partners - going across to Ventura to Oak Park Simi Valley	
43011025	H	work in Ventura	no		Agoura Hills		yes	tie communities together along 101 (cross into Ventura) - much more than like LA area	
43011026	H		no		glendale		yes	keep three cities together - from management perspective would be best	
43011027	H	was in Long Beach hearing	no		long beach		yes	need to improve geographic integrity of 37 CD - need to keep Long Beach together	
43011028	H		no		west hills	in SF V since 1997	yes	keep SF V together - too split up; if you had to split then use 405; connect with places on 101 going west	
43011029	H	manufacturing firm manger	no				yes	keep West SF V together	
43011030	H	iranian-american community	no				yes	keep SFValley together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011024			no	no		
43011025			no	no		
43011026	Glendale burbank pasadena		no	yes	share shopping share the airport (all part owners); environmental protection	
43011027			no	no		
43011028			no	no	large persian community in West Valley	
43011029			no	yes	persian community in W SF V beautiful mountain area	
43011030			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011024			no							
43011025			no							
43011026			no							
43011027			no							
43011028			no							
43011029			no							
43011030			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011031	H		no		calabasasa part of W SF Valley		yes	likes 1991 districts - office for CD rep in Woodland Hills near her now have to drive several hrs to get to rep.; go east west; Q where split W SF V? A by cities not streets if have to cut off Northridge ____ which are different from us	
43011032	H		no		west hollywood		yes	dont split up west hollywood	
43011033	H		no		santa Clarita valley		yes	AD SD cut up area castaic lake water service area impt would district along 118 corridor; Q CD? A current CD not horrible	
43011034	H		yes	Walk of Hearts honors teachers throughout LAUSD	West SF Valley		yes	keep valley together (we like East Valley residents) but if have to use 405 or possibly rosco blvd	
43011035	H		yes	on neighborhood council - encino formerly woodland hills	W SF V - Encino		yes	neigh council boundaries are impt - local form of govt lines drew with thoughtunderstood SF Valley when drew	
43011036	H	realtor in simi valley and SF V	no		simi valley		no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011031	lists cities in W SF Valley includes Hidden Hills agoura hills oak park encino . ;		no	yes	The Acorn - main news for W SF Valley	
43011032			no	no		
43011033	santa clarita included - map on back of notes		no	no		
43011034		roscoe blvd possibly	no	no		
43011035			yes	no		
43011036	east V co (incl camarillo and santa rosa valley) and _____ in SF V		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011031			no							
43011032			no							
43011033			no							
43011034			no							
43011035			no							
43011036			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011037	H	realtor	no		simi valley		yes	east ventura county very different from coastal area district with East Ventura santa Clarita valley Casaic (saw on nicoles map)	
43011038	H	armenian-american - works with non-profit that serves Armenian-American community worked on Census to reach out to Arm-Am community	no		brubank formerly of Glendale		yes	burbank Glendale pasadena together - for Armenian -Amer community	
43011039	H		yes	org that serves NE SF V			yes	NE SF V (she gave boundaries) is COI based on economic; now divided CDs should be one CD	
43011040	H		no		north hills		yes	if divide SF V with 405 you divide North Hills keep Valley together	
43011041	H		no		Ventura City		yes	keep Ventura Co together if have to separate then can shave off Oak Park (part of which is in LA Co?)	
43011042	H	doctor iranian community	no		canoga park		yes	dont split SF Valley - because will split up iranian community - very important social network - doctors etc.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011037			no	no		
43011038			no	no		
43011039			no	no		
43011040			no	no		
43011041			no	no		
43011042	rosita encino rosita canoga park west hills Van nuys tarzana		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011037			no							
43011038			no							
43011039			no							
43011040			no							
43011041			no							
43011042			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011043	H	iranian community	no		roseda		yes	Valley area is impt to allow iranians to talk share experiences be a community very active and involved group politically diverse; 405 west together	
43011044	H		no		W SF Val northridge		yes	west SF Valley in tact	
43011045	pass	skip	no				no		
43011046	pass	skip	no				no		
43011047	H	rec assistant knows parks	no				yes	N Holly Studio City Burbank together because around Grittih Park - great park.	
43011048	H		no		31 yrs in region - east ventura and SF V		yes	start at Camarillo and go east to santa Clarita valley not sure but malibu is not connected with us	
43011049	H		no				yes	thousand oaks would like to stay in ventura county; agrees there is an east and west county identity; division is at camarillo below the 23? Grade	
43011050	H		yes	elected school board member	santa clarita		yes	santa clarita city but also unincorp areas around it - might be enough for AD otherwise take grenada hills simi valley area (would take palmdale but heard people ag that)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011043			no	no		
43011044			no	no		
43011045			no	no		
43011046			no	no		
43011047			no	no		
43011048			no	no		
43011049			no	yes	thousand oaks feel most in common with east Ventura County businesses etc; Vent Co is identity for TH Oaks do add Camarilo	
43011050			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011043			no							
43011044			no							
43011045			no							
43011046			no							
43011047			no							
43011048			no							
43011049			no							
43011050			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011051	H		yes	speaking for City Council?	westlake valley 91361 split zip code between ventura and LA Co		yes	keep conejo valley (crosses counties into calababas) in same district	
43011052	H		yes	homeowners association of santa Monica mts			yes	support 1991 district ocean in south .	
43011053	H	c	yes	chamber of commerce santa clarita	santa clarita		yes	possibilites with Antelope Valley or south to Northeast san Fern Valley	
43011054	H		yes	planning commissioner	thousand oaks		yes	keep Ventura together except city of ventura should go with SB Co.; re Camarillo	
43011055	H	mayor of Camarillo	no		camarillo		yes	joined with east Ventura Co - 1 mi to Th O 8 miles to Oxnard	
43011056	H	speaking for west H and Holly	no				yes	west holly holly taluka lake studio city beverly hills together	
43011057	H		yes	work with neighbor councils in west valley also armenian national committee (in west valley branch)	grenada hills		yes	describes West SF V west to Agoura Hills east to 405; describes why east and west valley are very different; keep west SF V together - dont split us up.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011051	gave list of cities		no	no		
43011052			no	no		
43011053			no	no		
43011054			no	no		
43011055			no	yes	share water shed district police fire terrain	
43011056			no	yes	recreational	economic
43011057	listed cities to be together - grenada hills to encinoetc		yes	yes	social economic ties spoke after 58 on two types of Armenians others live east of 405 (sovient era ones)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011051			no							
43011052			no							
43011053			no							
43011054			no							
43011055			no							
43011056			no							
43011057			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011058	H		yes	active in armenian community	grenada hills W SF V		yes	keep W SF V intact; agrees with 405 divider do not? include santa clarita valley; like CD as is now	
43011059	H		yes	mayor malibu	malibiu		no		
43011060	pass		no				no		
43011061	pass		no				no		
43011062	pass		no				no		
43011063	H		no		Las Feliz area of LA		no		
43011064	H		yes	mayor pro tem - santa clarita city			yes	council request city and valley in only one district; realize need more pop for any district - pair us with Northern LA (Lancaster and Palmdale). East Ventura Co is good too water shed issues	
43011065	H		no		santa clarita valley		yes	SC Valley divided into several CD AD SD - please make it one	
43011066	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011058			no	no		
43011059			no	yes	environmental issues come out of region up to calabassas all those other cities affect Santa Monica Bay water flows thru mailbu river	
43011060			no	no		
43011061			no	no		
43011062			no	no		
43011063	Las Feliz West H Holly	robertson sunset strip western northern boundary - Griffith Park southern boundary - Wilshire Blvd	no	yes	entertainment indust toursim	
43011064			no	no		
43011065	ok to add East Vent Co simi valley etc. Talks about how Port Hueneme is deep water port thats products get shipped to Santa Clarita Valley - not sure if he include PH in COI or rec in district	160 118 5 going N	no	yes	transport water shed open space	
43011066			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011058			no							
43011059			no							
43011060			no							
43011061			no							
43011062			no							
43011063	would hurt community to be split up		no							
43011064			no							
43011065			no							
43011066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011067	H		yes	castaic lake water agency board			yes	board voted to request no split to Santa Clar valley specially Castaic Lake Water district - if have to grow move west with flow of water	
43011068	pass		no				no		
43011069	H		yes	burbank vice mayor			yes	keep burbank pasadena glendale together	
43011070	H	former mayor glendale now with Airport. ___?	no				yes	keep three cities together (for CD?) - see AD under cities	
43011071	H		no		santa clarita valley		yes	would like antelop valley but they have enough 126 corridor - with filmore piru 118 corridor is good too and one more proposal? (gave underlying commonalities for the proposals)	
43011072	H		no				no		
43011073	H		yes	city of calabassas Santa Mon Mt conservacy	calabassas		yes	La Virges area entirely with La Virges Water District school is within ___ district (not LAUSD) dont have fire dept (rely on LA Co for that) malibu river water shed; keep us together (4 cities below) Q connect with who? Santa Monica OR W SF V	
43011074	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011067			no	no		
43011068			no	no		
43011069			no	yes	many partnerships between 3 cities	
43011070	for AD glendaleburbank with los feliz atwater eaglerock		no	yes		gave list for 3 cities FAA
43011071			no	no		
43011072			no	no		
43011073	woodland hills calabassas agoura hills westlake village		no	no		
43011074			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011067			no							
43011068			no							
43011069			no							
43011070			no							
43011071			no							
43011072			no							
43011073			no							
43011074			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011075	H		yes	leter from LA Co Sup			yes	SD 23 is good likes the 1991 dist - it kept 3rd Sup district the same.	
43011076	pass		no				no		
43011077	H		yes	calabassas mayor pro temp			yes	start at border and go into county dont cross into Ventura Co more common interests with W SF V than W LA also have common interests with malibu had recommendation for drawing santa monica mts is a COI	
43011078	H		yes	on commission commission	calabassas 33 yr resident		yes	link with cities on west of LA Co and move east to as far as can in W SF Valley - use 405 as benchmark	
43011079	H		no		agoura hills		yes	westlake village area and west valley Conejo valley and west SF Valley along 101	
43011080	H		yes	topanga town council	topanga		yes	communities of Santa Monica Mts - council asked for Top to be with all communities that border it	
43011081	H	army	yes	activist	port Huemene	ventura	yes	east county is totally different from rest of Vent co; conejo valley has integrity with agoura hills	
43011082	H	cook at Marriot Hotel	no		downtown LA		yes	pico union westlake and downtown are one community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011075			no	yes	list of commonalities	
43011076			no	no		
43011077			no	no		
43011078			no	no		
43011079	tarzana	highway 101	no	yes	Santa Monica Mt Reserve Area 101	
43011080	topanga malibu calabassas agoura hills.etc		no	yes	santa monica mts	
43011081			no	no		
43011082			no	yes	discusses social issues -crime	redevelopment going on

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011075			no					yes	letter from Sup and ltr re Santa monica mts (101not staying)	
43011076			no							
43011077			no							
43011078			no							
43011079			no							
43011080			no							
43011081			no							
43011082			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011083	H	retired fire chief Glendale FD	yes	now with another agency which brings 3 cities together (radio?)			yes	3 cities partnerships create need to have one voice in representation - also because they are Independent Citiesie not part of LA. Q what about east along mt range? A thats layout of fire district - again its independent cities. Q re current ADSD	
43011084	H	police officer in Glendale	no				yes	powerful working relationship with Burbank and Pasadena need to be together to cont that	
43011085	H		no		chatsworth		yes	doesnt want to be in CD with Bev Hills or West Holly - that has resulted in no representation for him; has recommendations (ana has)	
43011086	H		no				yes	small towns in LA portion of SF V (Van Nuysetc) - nothing like those parts of the city across the hills	
43011087	pass		no				no		
43011088	H	HS teacher	no		NE SF Valley		yes	advocating for NE SF Valley (also called the east valley) - dont want to be gerrymandered again - detrimental to working class; disagrees that 405 is distinct divider of differ pop (used to be more)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011083	Glendale Burbank Pasadena		no	no		
43011084			no	no		
43011085			no	no		
43011086			no	no		
43011087			no	no		
43011088		gave highway boundaries for better CD and had map	no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011083			no							
43011084			no							
43011085			no							
43011086			no							
43011087			no							
43011088			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011089	H		no				yes	agrees with 88 west of 405 is ok (405 not sacrosanct there has been a spill over); go north to 210 and down to burbank blvd into N Hollywood to get pop for CD	
43011090	H		yes	involved with succession	SF Valley		yes	down 405 to Van Nuys to 44 on east; keep 39th in tact as much as possible. 2001 undid 1991 and disenfranchised latino community	
43011091	H		yes	non-profit to improve life of Latinas in SF Valley	San Gabriel		yes	39 AD stick with - agrees with speaker 88.	
43011092	pass		no				no		
43011093	pass		no				no		
43011094	H		no		burbank		yes	burbank and north holly are the real Holly now - keep them together	
43011095	H		no				yes	santa Suzanna mountains include communities to south (chattsworth __) - map with neighborhood council boundaries; use them (and gave suggestions .)	
43011096	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011089			no	no		
43011090			no	no		
43011091			no	yes	educational attainment and ____	income level
43011092			no	no		
43011093			no	no		
43011094			no	yes	equestrian areas; doesnt feel same connect to pasadena that others talk about - they are wealthier	entertainment agency
43011095			yes	no		
43011096			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011089			no							
43011090			no							
43011091			no							
43011092			no							
43011093			no							
43011094			no							
43011095			no							
43011096			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011097	H		no		Pacoima		yes	39 AD in tact SD maintain too - its a COI problem is 20 CD - move it up to area north of San Fernando City	
43011098	H		no		Northridge		yes	stay in SF V and not be connected with mts like in current AD	
43011099	H	started santa clarita	no		santa clarita		yes	shows map of LA Co - Santa Clarita off by itself.- pair it with north SF V if went to Ventura it would be primarily white and Rep	
43011100	H		no		santa clarita		yes	incl entire S Clar valley in own AD CD SD; go south to add to N. SF V	
43011101	H	valley girl or valley-ite	no				yes	provided map; use neighborhood lines split valley north south if have to?	
43011102	pass		no				no		
43011103	H	teach UCLA	yes	coalition - santa monica mts			yes	1991 23 SD recognized the Santa Monica Mt COI (Ads were nested) ; went a little east of 405	
43011104	pass		no				no		
43011105	pass		no				no		
43011106	H	worked in diversity for years	no		SF V resident 55 yrs		yes	holding SF V in tact	
43011107	H	pastor works for LA Co	no				yes	Santa Clarita area - go east if have to or maybe south to SF Valley not west (Casiac be off with others communities)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011097			no	yes		wealth in North East valley put in CD to protect an incumbantcorrect this please
43011098		405 on east rosco on south corbin on west north city line	no	no		
43011099			no	no		
43011100			no	yes	converg of highways environmental concerns.	
43011101			yes	no		
43011102			no	no		
43011103			no	yes	protect mts is 1 issue in area traffic patterns - roads that link	
43011104			no	no		
43011105			no	no		
43011106		gave boundaries V Co line Muholl Santa Susanna mtg Angele mts	no	yes	knows valley well and diversity all over not clustered like people say	
43011107			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011097			no							
43011098			no							
43011099			no							
43011100			no							
43011101			no							
43011102			no							
43011103			no					yes		
43011104			no							
43011105			no							
43011106			no							
43011107			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011108	pass		no				no		
43011109	H		no				yes	SF V draw lines that reflect barriers to travel choke points bounds of resistance (political?); distinguish between high and low priority boundaries.	
43011110	H	child advocate Glendale Board of Ed member	no				yes	keep 3 cities together; split up between so many reps and are of no interest to them because of that	
43011111	H		no		chattsworth		yes	topanga wants to stay together; chattsworth	
43011112	H	mortgage broker	no				yes	neighborh councils are helpful; use 405 as divider of Valley north and south boundaries; to make CD add to West Valley Calabasa Agoura and Th Oaks	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011108			no	no		
43011109			no	no		
43011110			no	yes	partnerships (between Sch Dist) with Burank and Pasadena has helped students; cities work together as well; Q Altadena schools? A Part of Pasadena Sch Dist;	
43011111			no	yes	ag zoning horses santa Susanna state park open space to protect; chattsworth affinity with winnetka - need to be protected	
43011112			yes	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011108			no							
43011109			no							
43011110			no							
43011111			no							
43011112			no					yes	neighborhood council maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011113	H		no		calabassas		yes	very little in common with east and Valley - we incorporated to avoid the valley; calabassas agoura hills south to beaches because of water issues with Malibu; area concerned with Santa Monica Mts which incl Topanga; not so Th Oks - more w SimiMorpark	
43011114	H	works in Downtown for 25 yrs	no		LA Basin; strong background in Downtown LA		yes	405 south of Holly to LA River together - one big family	
43011115	H		yes	calabassas founder past mayor also works on Santa Monica Mts Conservation			yes	Santa Monic Mts Conservation District be kept together (also the Las Virges school district)	
43011116	pass		no				no		
43011117	H	spoke in Claremont	no				yes	northcoast culture keep DN Hum Mendo and Lake Co together certain district go to sonoma; agrees re greater topanga area; Re Santa Barbar; re malibu	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011113			no	no		
43011114			no	no		
43011115			no	yes	water sheds roads follow water open space climate change roads that transport us or dont; include west SF V??	
43011116			no	no		
43011117			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011113			no							
43011114			no							
43011115			no					yes	water sheds map L V SD map and ___ map Calabasas booklet.	
43011116			no							
43011117			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011118	H		yes	Arleta Neigh Council president			yes	not similar to north holly ____; put with San fernando (area north east of Arleta) ; AD 39 as basis for new CD	
43011119	pass		no				no		
43011120	H	taught in La Canada	no		La Cresenta		yes	fragmented in representation - please resolve	
43011121	pass		no				no		
43011122	pass		no				no		
43011123	H	works for the reporter - news paper	yes	emergency response services	sunland Tujunga		yes	part of SF V though LA didnt realize till Neigh Councils came into existance; need land use and economics ties to form districts not ____ this area with us	
43011124	pass		no				no		
43011125	H		no		Granada Hills		yes	city and valley are worlds apart; SF V doesnt need anyone -big enough; too many reps; keep it to west of the 405 freeway keep W SF V to 1 sd cd 2 ad. Make it easier for businesses	
43011126	pass		no				no		
43011127	H		no		irwindale baldwin park el monte		yes	keep those three communities together (food business etc)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011118			yes	no		
43011119			no	no		
43011120			no	yes	homogeneous commLa Cresenta La Canada and La Cresenta part of Glendale Sch D	
43011121			no	no		
43011122			no	no		
43011123			yes	no		
43011124			no	no		
43011125			no	no		
43011126			no	no		
43011127			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011118			no					yes	info on Arleta - profile	
43011119			no							
43011120			no							
43011121			no							
43011122			no							
43011123			no							
43011124			no							
43011125			no							
43011126			no							
43011127			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011128	H	Generation Y	no				yes	burbank north Holly La Tuna Canyon - cluster these three communities please; Q how far down into N hollywood - Hanson Canyon??	
43011129	H		no				yes	Inland empire community	
50111001	pass		no				no		
50111002	pass		no				no		
50111003	H		yes	association of realtors			yes	keep santa clarita valley together with SF Valley antelope valley	
50111004	H		no		Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	CD with ridgecrest west to city of Baker south to county line; preserve Kern Co build districts around Kern Co and go from there (LOL)	
50111005	H		yes	former sup of Ridgecrest Sch Dist	Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	Indian Wells valley pop; naval air weapons station owns lands	
50111006	H		no		Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	remain integral with county seat - Bakersfield dont let district divide us from Bakers	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011128			no	yes	artist community night life hiking and biking in La Tuna Canyon	
43011129	redlands beaumont calimesa Yucaipa Mentone together - impt to be together; Q; more assoc with cities to east or west of redlands A Probably west		no	yes	education interests white and hispanic	
50111001			no	no		
50111002			no	no		
50111003			no	yes	valley communities tied together through services medical senior housing	realtors work to maintain private land ownership
50111004			no	no		
50111005			no	no		
50111006			no	yes	ridgecrest relays on Kern Co govt services	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011128			no							
43011129			no							
50111001			no							
50111002			no							
50111003			no							
50111004			no							
50111005			no					yes	her letter and sons letter	
50111006			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111007	H	youth	no		antelope valley	Los Angeles	yes	one antelope high school district one college same cultures use same mall listen to unique radio stations unique culture - help us stay that way	
50111008	H	teacher	yes	santa clarita city council person			yes	council request that city of Santa Clar be kept whole in one AD SD CD; share common boundary with unincorporated area of Santa Clar Valley which will GROW Subdivison to be built. Ok to go north south west. (to the various valleys)	
50111009	H		no		santa clarita	Los Angeles	yes	Concerned with Santa Clarita Valley and keeping it whole - we are growing; dont rec Antelope Valley (too big and will take overalso different and mts in between) rather go with Simi Valley Porta Ranch Fillmore In Ventura Co.	
50111010	H		no		santa clarita valley	Los Angeles	yes	keep our valley together because demographics weve had there are same as moorpark thousand oaks - dont want to go north	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111007			no	no		
50111008			no	no		
50111009			no	no		
50111010			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111007			no							
50111008			no							
50111009			no							
50111010			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111011	H		no				yes	S Clar Valley described S Clar Castaic Agua Dulce Acton; keep whole in an AD now 2; communality in this area with piru fillmore porter ranch in Ventura Co	
50111012	H		no		S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	yes	SC Valley includes Val Verde; commonalities with Simi Valley Filmore Piru	
50111013	H		no		S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	yes	keep SC Valley together	
50111014	H		no		S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	yes	watershed is Acton to Castaic; keep us with Castaic if have ok to go into Vent Co.	
50111015	H		no		S Clarita	Los Angeles	yes	invisible line going between acton and palmdale community goes west to santa paula in Vent co.- want oblong egg shape district	
50111016	H		yes	trustee on S Clar college district board - representing them today - has resolution from them	S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	yes	concerned with splitting valley and splitting comm college district - have two campuses; want 1 AD CD; combined with N SF Valley go west to east Vent Co or east to acton?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111011			no	no		
50111012			no	yes	share water etc with Ventur Co areas mentioned	
50111013			no	yes	share churces etc water issues Santa Clarita River water shed	
50111014			no	no		
50111015			no	no		
50111016			no	yes	growth will be along I-5	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111011			no					yes	map and notes	
50111012			no							
50111013			no							
50111014			no							
50111015			no							
50111016			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111017	H		no		S Clarita	Los Angeles	yes	wants AD to include all of SC Valley; ok to include east Ventura (to Camarillo) and south to Grandada Hills Chattsworth; SD with Simi Valley and Thousand Oaks; CD with Antelope Valley ; does not want to be with Burbank or Bakers	
50111018	H		no		S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	yes	geographically separate surrounded by mountains historically geo separate areas politically separate; keep our valley together bec of geographic and demog; ok to put with east Ventur Co??	
50111019	H		no		Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	keep tied in with Bakers and rest of Kern Co	
50111020	H		no		Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	why same district as Bakersfield	
50111021	H	film commissioner	no		Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	keep districts way they are	
50111022	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111017	santa clarita		no	no		
50111018			no	no		
50111019			no	yes	light ag like rest of Kern and water reg regulation by fed govt	
50111020			no	yes	arts council culture tourism connect on Bakers rest of Kern Count 178 corridor includes bakersfield museums and more; nothing in common with Antelope Valley	
50111021			no	no		
50111022			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111017			no							
50111018			no							
50111019			no							
50111020			no							
50111021			no							
50111022			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111023	H		yes	ant vally board of trade and brings letter from another org			yes	gave map to NB arrow head space shows area makes it easy for you give boundaries	
50111024	pass		no				yes		
50111025	pass		no				no		
50111026	H	armenian hiker environmentalist	no		burbank	Los Angeles	yes	puts together armenian communities; AD 44 ? and new AD one should run along foothills to laverne; has SD and CD proposal too gave map	
50111027	H		yes	ridgecrest chamber of commerce	Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	maintain status quo - maintain county line; 22 CD goes into SLO Co not a COI with Ridgecrest as much as rest of Kern	
50111028	H	in navy	yes	china lake alliance; one of largest navy base in world	Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	status quo please	
50111029	H		no		Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	dont feel underrepresented Changing our inclusion with Kern Co would be devastating	
50111030	H		no		Santa Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	yes	Valley acton to piru; keep it whole - dont split us up put us in dist based in Camarillo	
50111031	pass		no				no		
50111032	H	talk show host	no		Lancaster	Los Angeles	yes	one high-desert senate district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111023			no	no		
50111024			no	no		
50111025			no	no		
50111026	Lavern; also list of cities to include G		no	yes	share churches comm org schools roads communities	
50111027			no	yes		major transportation corridors so business 396 14 58
50111028			no	no		
50111029			no	yes		edwards brings lots into Kern Co
50111030			no	yes	water	
50111031			no	no		
50111032	Cal City Mojave Rosemong lancaster palmdate		no	yes	distinct media market	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111023			no					yes	brought topo map to show on back table	
50111024			no							
50111025			no							
50111026			no							
50111027			no							
50111028			no							
50111029			no							
50111030			no							
50111031			no							
50111032			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111033	H		yes	LULAC	Antelope Valley	Los Angeles	yes	keep us together in Ant Valley (not with Victorville SB Co?)	
50111034	H		yes	volunteers (or works?)with school district and other orgs	Lancaster Ant Valley	Los Angeles	yes	current rep of Lancaster doesnt no represent our min interest VRA Sect 2 no vote dilution	
50111035	H		no		juniper hills	Los Angeles	yes	current rep is on other side of mountain want community in one SD	
50111036	pass		no				no		
50111037	H	lockheed test pilot	no		antelope valley OR aerospace Valley	Los Angeles	yes	Edwards AirForce Base approx 13K work on base many live in Lancaster and Palmdale; keep us together as antelope valley or aerospace valley	
50111038	H		yes	LA Co Farm Bureau President			yes	support Ant Valley Board of Trade AD Proposal (as did one before - test pilot)	
50111039	pass		no				no		
50111040	pass		no				no		
50111041	H		yes	represents renewable engery companies			yes	high desert - palmdate to ridgecrest - COI socand Economically	
50111042	H		yes	Ant Valley hisp Chamber of Commerce			yes	keep distr intact - COI is hispan in Ant Valley	
50111043	H		no		Ant Valley	Los Angeles	yes	current CD goes to Ridgecrest support Ant Valley Board of Trade SD and good overlay of CD?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111033			no	no		
50111034			no	no		
50111035			no	no		
50111036			no	no		
50111037			no	no		
50111038			no	yes		ground water issues being taken away from them by LA City and Co
50111039			no	no		
50111040			no	no		
50111041			no	yes		military aerospace renewable eneg leader
50111042			no	no		
50111043			no	yes	underserved in engineering education - have to travel away; even though we are aerospace valley	high tech aerospace - dependent engineering

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111033			no							
50111034		yes	no							
50111035			no							
50111036			no							
50111037			no							
50111038			no							
50111039			no							
50111040			no							
50111041			no							
50111042			no							
50111043			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111044	H	urban and rural planner	no	Juniper Hills Town Council	Juniper Hills unincorporated areas of Ant Valley	Los Angeles	yes	use school districts comm college district; recommends use of five guidelines of Kevin Lynch	
50111045	pass		no				no		
50111046	H		no		Quartz Hill unincorporated area of LA County	Los Angeles	yes	keep Palmdale Lancaster and Santa Clariat intact; population ideal	
50111047	H	geographer	no		antelope valley	Los Angeles	yes	tehacapi mts as a natural divider then ridgecrest stays with Kern; ant valley is distinctly differ ; if need to add go east	
50111048	H	attorney	no		rosamond	Kern	yes	agrees with Ant Valley to have dist go up to Ridgecrest; look at Ant Valley Comm College district cause includes rosemond and other comun in SE Kern	
50111049	H		yes	ant valley conservancy pres	30 yrs in Ant Valley	Los Angeles	yes	keep water shed community in same district (Ridge crest not in it)	
50111050	H		no				yes	CD 22 25 splits Lancasterwants Lancaster totally in CD 25	
50111051	H	worked in testing Edwards	yes	Ant Valley Board of Trade (past president)			yes	2 high dessert AD - got cut off before finished describing	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111044			no	no		
50111045			no	no		
50111046			no	yes	need CSU campus 2nd up on list for it	unemployment high
50111047			no	no		
50111048			no	no		
50111049			no	yes	water shed community	
50111050			no	no		
50111051			no	yes	education water distrib transportation (working on rapid rail and)	active in aerospace national defense (plant 42 edwards)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111044			no							
50111045			no							
50111046		minority district - Latino	no							
50111047			no							
50111048			no							
50111049			no					yes	written presentation from other that was going to read - re lakes?	
50111050			no							
50111051			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111052	H		no		simi valley - 40 yr resident	Ventura	yes	doesnt want to be with SF Valley Nothing in common - all of our services provided by Co COI with nearby towns Simi Valley divided up - not good	
50111053	H		no		says lives across the street from Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	wants to stay with Bakersfield not go with ant valley (tho nice to be wanted); BRAC was nerveracking - got through it by state and feder representation. Dont break us up from rest of Kern	
50111054	H		yes	audobon society	Ridgecrest	kern	yes	keep bird watchers together - keep with rest of Kern county	
50111055	H		no		Ridgecrest	kern	yes	part of Kern Co not bedroom community like Palmdale and Santa Clarita Valley not part of Ant Valley dont care about LA Co care a lot about Kern Co.	
50111056	H		no		Phelan - unincorporated S Bern Co	San Bernardino	yes	submitted proposed dist	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111052			no	no		
50111053			no	no		
50111054			no	yes	keep bird watchers together	
50111055			no	no		
50111056			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111052			no							
50111053			no							
50111054			no							
50111055			no							
50111056			no					yes	proposed district map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111057	H		no		Phelan	San Bernardino	yes	previous speaker submitted map for Victor Valley in your packet; have too much representation now please consolidate AD s (want one rather than 4 AD s); Ant Valley can have AD Victor Valley have one.	
50111058	H		yes	Ant Valley air quality district manager			yes	even tho in LA Co more in common with Kern and San Bern Co; separated from South Coast air quality district; high desert is own COI more impnt than county boundaries	
50111059	H	retired school principal	yes	mayor ridgecrest	Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	connected to Kern want to maintain relationships with Bakersfield;	
50111060	H		yes	chairman planning commission city of Lancaster though speaking as private citizen	Ant Valley since 1968	Los Angeles	yes	re greater Ant Valley - supports Ant Valley Board of Trade proposal	
50111061	H		no		Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	support two current AD SD CD in Kern county - keep us as is	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111057	Victorville Apple Valley Hesperia (support map of 56)		no	no		
50111058			no	no		
50111059			no	yes		Bakers makes sure get back to us
50111060			no	no		
50111061			no	yes	a city in LA Co (not in Ant Valley) tried to dump waste in Kern Co - without regard to military base missions - bec trash farm located in Calif City - created bird problem	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111057			no					yes	ltrs from residents of three towns in SB Co (see cities) that support 56 map	
50111058			no							
50111059			no							
50111060			no							
50111061			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111062	H	retired school admin chamber of commerce	no		Rosamond	Kern	yes	Rosemond always supported by kern county	
50111063	pass		no				no		
50111064	pass		no				no		
50111065	H		no		Agua Dulce	Los Angeles	yes	agua dulce and acton - first to have community standards district; continuous with Santa Clarita; Santa Clara river serves us and north east Vent Co; need to be kept whole	
50111066	pass		no				no		
50111067	H		no		Boron SE corner of Kern (1 hr to go anywhere)	Kern	yes	rather be with someone from Ridgecrest than SB Co; draw squares	
50111068	pass		no				no		
50111069	H		no	Ant Valley BOT member	Ant Valley	Los Angeles	yes	support AVBOT map; centralized SD in high desert 2 AD if Ridgecrest wants to be with E Kern use 58 corridor put wAnt Valley; Q go east if cant go north? A has more in common with us than northkern	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111062			no	yes	water system operational - semi tropic rosemond water bank	
50111063			no	no		
50111064			no	no		
50111065			no	no		
50111066			no	no		
50111067			no	no		
50111068			no	no		
50111069			no	yes	common high desert issues (common water table common air issues infrastructure supports each other travel corridors tie us together)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111062			no							
50111063			no							
50111064			no							
50111065			no							
50111066			no							
50111067		VRA offers protected not privaleged	no							
50111068			no							
50111069			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111070	H		no		Rosamond	Kern	yes	Rosemond SE Kern are PART of Ant Valley (Ant Vall is chevron shape bordered on E by Mojave River co line goes thru it Kern Co diag split by Tehach Mts); Ridgecrest part of Indian Wells Valley can stay in w Bakersfield if want to	
50111071	pass		no				no		
50111072	H		no				yes	take in geography; wants Ant Valley together including outlying unicorp areas (Little Rock Quartz Hill Pear Blossom); up to Rosamond if wants to be with us	
50111073	H		yes	neighborhood council	Leona Valley	Los Angeles	yes	Leona Valley (near Palmdale) part of Ant Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111070			no	no		
50111071			no	no		
50111072			no	no		
50111073	Green Valley Lake Hughes Lake Elizabeth are sister communities		yes	yes	communities listed share the same rural life; no interests in common with Santa Clarita water issue with Santa Clarita (they water our water) and no influence with them?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111070			no							
50111071			no							
50111072		read quote about Sect 2 wants to take minorities into consideration	no							
50111073			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111074	H		yes	MALDEF			yes	MALDEF will suggest state-wide plans for AD SD CD; AD 8 section 2 districts now-should be 9; SD and CD both currently 5 Sect 2 should be 6 in all cases this is the at least - they are looking for more	
50111075	H		no		Quartz Hill Ant Valley	Los Angeles	no		
50111076	pass		no				no		
50111077	pass		no				no		
50111078	H		yes	Santa Clarita Valley Boys and Girls Club director - reads letter from Board of Directors			yes	keep in one district with Ant Valley (COI with two valleys below)	
50111079	H		no				yes	palmdale and lancaster in same district; needs get overlooked as part of large co.	
50111080	pass		no				no		
50111081	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111074			no	no		
50111075			no	yes	great place to live in high desert dont have big city probs fight amongst selves but want to stay together.	
50111076			no	no		
50111077			no	no		
50111078			no	yes	provide safe place for youth in both communities fight for stable and clean water supplies	non-profits like YMCA economic development issues similar economy
50111079			no	yes	climate unique	aerospace industry unique economic hub of Ant Valley - people from mojave come here not from LA
50111080			no	no		
50111081			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111074		litation in 2001 re vote dilution in different specific jurisdictions - still a problem in those areas; Q pls review VRA guidelines A ok	no							
50111075			no							
50111076			no							
50111077			no							
50111078			no							
50111079			no							
50111080			no							
50111081			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111082	H	works for multi media firm	no		thousand oaks	Ventura	yes	keep east Ventura county together	
50111083	H		no		simi valley	Ventura	yes	eastern vent county has three reps..this is good to pick up rep agrees that Santa Clarity Valley would be good.	
50111084	H		no		santa clarita	Los Angeles	yes	14 corridor- drive up and down - kids sports	
50111085	H	city council palmdale	no		Palmdale raised in Boron - Victor Valley	Los Angeles	yes	Ant and Victor Valley share impt of development	
50111086	pass		no				no		
50111087	H		no		santa clarita valley	Los Angeles	yes	keep santa Clar valley and Castaic area intact with Agua Dulce Acton together for AD; to get enough for AD go west to filmore moorpark simi valley and to N SF Valley (Grenada Hills)	
50111088	pass		no				no		
50111089	pass		no				no		
50111090	pass		no				no		
50111091	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111082			no	yes		same economic interests as santa clarita valley - good to add them in this tough economic times
50111083			no	no		
50111084			no	yes	moms driving kids to sports events - across 14 corridor all the time (santa Clarita and Ant Valley); can bring in north SF Valley too - we go there for community involvements with kids	
50111085			no	no		
50111086			no	no		
50111087			no	yes	hunting fishing mt biking etc; museums parks open spaces	
50111088			no	no		
50111089			no	no		
50111090			no	no		
50111091			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111082			no							
50111083			no							
50111084			no							
50111085			no							
50111086			no							
50111087			no					yes	written text and maps	
50111088			no							
50111089			no							
50111090			no							
50111091			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111092	pass		no				no		
50111093	pass		no				no		
50111094	pass		no				no		
50111095	pass		no				no		
50111096	pass		no				no		
50111097	pass		no				no		
50111098	pass		no				no		
50111099	H		yes	College District Dem Club	Antelope Valley	Los Angeles	yes	CD 35 34 of valley in it half of Lancaster is not; 36 AD - demographics have changed paired with Victorville they are conservative - redraw to represent the silent Demographic majority	
50111100	H		no				no		
50111101	H		yes	sierra toyota dealer			yes	business perspective - this area is represented up to Tehachapi down to Acton bordered on south by mts down into Santa Clarita down into northern Valley into eastern Ventura Co ; can look at how aligned on a business level.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111092			no	no		
50111093			no	no		
50111094			no	no		
50111095			no	no		
50111096			no	no		
50111097			no	no		
50111098			no	no		
50111099			no	no		
50111100			no	no		
50111101			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111092			no							
50111093			no							
50111094			no							
50111095			no							
50111096			no							
50111097			no							
50111098			no							
50111099			no							
50111100			no			make playing field level - re parties; something about 1970s district.				
50111101			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511001	H	mayor of chino hills	yes	city of chino hills	chino hills	San Bernardino Co	yes	chino hills and chino make up COI - keep together in same AD and SD (current split in AD and together in SD); keep us in SB Co not with LA Co except ok to be with Diamond Bar because share Asian pop.	
50511002	H		no	Represents 5000 associates volunteers physicians from Pomona Valley Hospital Medical Center - serve cities below; provide health care thru fed safety net program - serve Ponomo Valley; started by community 110 yrs as alt to going to LA for hospital			yes	keep our community together; cities below; boundaries kellog hill to West 15 to East SG Mts to North 91 freeway to South	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511001			no	yes	share same sch distr chamber of comm fire district library system water JPA sewer JPA purchase water from Metropolitan from same agency each have a shopping center across from each other regional planning agency for SB Co.	cont re social No interaction politically or socially with LA Co.
50511002	hospital serves pomona clarmont chino chino hills ontario montclair upland la verne San Dimas Ranco Cucamonga Alta Loma our community		no	yes	where we work (provide h care to P Valley residents- at hospital and often elsewhere in these cities)) nearly 75 live children to school play on sports teams belong to philanthropic orgs diverse ethnic religious cultural community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511001			no							
50511002			no					yes	testimony	05.05.11. A

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511003	H	teacher	no		pomona		yes	boundaries south of SG mountains san jose hwy 57 san antonio river; cities in Ponomo Valley from previous speakers; more in common across county lines; keep ponomo with cities to east (listed below)	
50511004	H S (see extensive report)	Eastvale Riverside County resident	no		Eastvale	Riverside Co	yes	keep Riverside Co intact; in 2001 county balkanized 6 AD 4 SD 4 CD (of which one one of each is within the county); carve out 4.702 AD 2.351 SD 3.115CD; make Riverside as a 5th pt of departure (after 4 Sec. 5 Counties)	River Co
50511005	H		no		Norco speaking on behalf of Pomona		yes	defines Kellogg Hill (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Kellogg_int_12252005.jpg - shows interchange of 57 10 and 71)	
50511006	H		no		san bernardino lives in district 63 - very gerrymandered SB city divided into three AD 5963 and		no	keep SB city in one district add rialto (even tho lower income) and Fontana	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511003	Pomona more in common across county line (cities to east) into montclair chino chino hills ontario clairmont rather than to cities to west in LA Co (over Kellog Hill to Diamond Bar Walnut		no	no	unique traffic problem in Pomona because Pomona Valley monitors the same Air District	
50511004			no	yes	In most districts share with other counties Riverside Co is a smaller portion of the population	
50511005	Ponoma Norco are a COI across Kellogg Hill		no	no	in school days never concerned self beyond to kellog hill; went to Pomona - her community	
50511006	SB Cty Rialto Fontana		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511003			no							
50511004			no					yes	thick bound report with many maps	05.05.11. B
50511005			no							
50511006			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511007	H		no				yes	wife of previous city of SB 310K - no reason to be cut up into 3 AD; keep SB Cty together	
50511008	H	CSU Northridge	no		porter ranch - SF Valley life in Santa Clar SF Vallies - share a lot - also with Conejo Valley		no	S Clar with SF Valley and Conejo Valley in one Senate part of SF valley for __ D	
50511009	H		no		santa clarita Valley		no	simivalley moorpark thousand oaks with Santa Clarita and porter ranch; keep east ventura San Clar Valley and north SF Valley together	
50511010	H	politically active attend city council mtgs in chino	no		chino hills		no	supports mayors comment - keep chino and chino hills together - used to be together what happed? Q Connect with west (Diam Bar) or east (ontario montclair)? A east communs gel well w us but nothing wrong with Diam Bar its similar has Asian pop	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511007			no	yes	SB Cty has majority-minority that needs to be kept together	
50511008			no	no	share a lot (whole listhighways at end)	
50511009			no	yes	share	
50511010			no	yes	implied commonalities between chino and chino hillsnotthing specific see above re similar with towns to east west.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511007			no							
50511008			no							
50511009			no							
50511010			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511011	H		no		ontario		no	keep four cities in SB together (ontario chino montclair pomona) Q what about chino hills? A sure they r a little diff economically but do share sch dist	
50511012	H		no		chino 100 yr old city (like pomona ontario)		no	stay in 61_ D with Chino with Pomona Ontario Montclair can add RC or Upland	
50511013	H		no		unincorp area outside temecula-French Valley	Riverside	no	___ CD goes way up (berman); temecula and marrieta and go outward in county FV with Tem Marr Mennefee; start in SW corner of co and get Tem and Marr grab FV Mennifee then ___ prob not Lake Elsinore; stay in co lines	
50511014	H		no	health care org - talks about Ponom Valley Hospital			no	keep Pomona as cornerstone and with that Inland Empire;	
50511015	H		no		city council mayor of hemet		no	keep hemet and San Jaceno together; from there go menifee paris ___ neuvo winchester lists some places not similar.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511011			no	yes	same economic cultural interests in 4 cities - lots of back forth between cities (live in one work in other similar people)	
50511012			no	yes	share school district with chino hills but resource dist not the same- she filed law suit ridiculed at CH city council; old cities share a lot has minority pop;	
50511013			no	no		
50511014			no	no	all yuppies etc	
50511015	hemet and san jaceno form community socially economically politically		no	no	sch district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511011			no							
50511012			no							
50511013			no							
50511014			no							
50511015			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511016	H		no		simi valley	ventura	yes	group simi valley with other similar towns; all Ven Co in one CD; Simi V Tho Oaks Moorpark Agoura Hills in one AD incl camarrilo to Porter Ranch	
50511017	H		no			ventura	no	lots in common in east Vent Co not with LA?? Or adds west LA?	
50511018	H	citris farmer	no	city council mayor pro-tem for Riverside	Riverside		no	gives city boundaries (rivers); 2 sd 2 AD - no rep live in riverside. Please make city one 1 AD 1 SD	
50511019	H		no		riverside (city council?)		no	keep Riverside in one district; try to keep co in fewer as well; add corona?	
50511020	H		no	works for (govt relations?) Chamber of Commerce riverside city	Riverside		no	keep city and county in tact as much as possible; dessert of Co with city; more in common with SD county (more than LA Co?) go west to rest of county (from city of R) to make AD; add corona get close to 450K; advocating against cross county lines	believes counties are COI because of service providers

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511016			no	yes	tract homes raise family; not so much in common with west venturacoast cities	
50511017			no	no		
50511018	Riverside many neighborhoods (Q pls describe? A diverse lists some and being carved up makes it harder for these neighborhoods. Q what add? A_____		yes	yes		city is financially sound biz renissance
50511019	Riverside		no	no	hwy interchange - hub of transp 4 colleges; health educ transpor safety - keys issues that need representation by one person	
50511020	for SD CD go where? South and east.	91 freeway is their corridor	no	yes	county because of service provisions	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511016			no							
50511017			no							
50511018			no							
50511019			no							
50511020			no					yes	ppt printed	05.05.11. C

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511021	H		no				no	agrees with 19	
50511022	H		no	greater riverside chamber of commerce			no	River Co should have no more than ___ of each Dist; keep intact Riverside county and cities of R Co.; not with SB Orange __ Counties	
50511023	H		no	advocating for Pomona - home of LA Co fair great universities downtown renovation			no	dont fragment Pomona - its created problems not knowing rep etc	
50511024	H		no		Pomona		no	keep Pomona how is now with Montclair Ontario?; keep working class communities together not with rich	
50511025	H		no				no	stay within county borders Inland Empire on some aspects (sportsweather) - most in common with SB not with SD LA OC	
50511026	H		no				no	use toastmasters district 12 (eq fault to .); division A - pomona valley Div B- ___ Div C ___; division D - redlands to blythe;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511021	corona norco eastvale riverside for pop		no	no	norco used to be north corona eastvale got mail from corona - share a lot	
50511022	eg of Co community cochella valley		no	no		
50511023			no	no	Pomona needs to be together for service provision	
50511024			no	no		
50511025			no	no		
50511026			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511021			no							
50511022			no							
50511023			no							
50511024			no							
50511025			no							
50511026			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511027	H		no		riverside		no	Riverside with newly incorp areas uripa north paris valley to south ___ to east; currently not together	
50511028	H		no		Lake Arrowhead		no	San Bernardino Mt communities together ROW school dist divided between 2 Ads; closermore in common to cities in to south (redlands highland?) rather than in north	
50511029	H		no		La Verne pomona valley for 30 yrs		no	keep Ponomo Ont Chino Chino Hills Montclair together	
50511030	H		no		moreno valley for long time		no	support SB Co people; was fued with Riverside getting better but keep separate in districts;	
50511031	H		no		moved from OC 25 yrs ago to Norco (I have copy of statement)		no	interests in common with SB and rural Riv Co represent ok now NOT with OC	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511027	Riverside Paris Marina Valley Uripa Valley		no	no		economics of 4 cities tied together and in competition with Ontario etc
50511028			no	no	rim of world___ fireetc rim of world school dist	
50511029	see above		no	no	live in one city work in other	
50511030			no	no	cochella valley dominates politics but not in same media area so dont get news get news from Chicago	
50511031			no	no	rural ag life share	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511027			no					yes	maps of proposed districts	05.05.11. D
50511028			no							
50511029	bec of demographics		no							
50511030			no							
50511031			no					yes	testimony	05.05.11. E

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511032	H		no				no	current districts jump over mountains; proposes dist (long and narrow as seen on map); Q why left out some that would have lumped in? A didnt see them on my map; Q why so long? A cities at each end are connected county line rep can travel on 15	OC has didnt mind set
50511033	H		no	mayor	Norco		no	enjoy good representation from state fed and county reps - he respects them; please represent city boundaries Riv Co boundary	
50511034	H	geographer GIS	no		moreno valley		no	agree county and city boundaries are imp; keep Morena Valley in one district	
50511035	H		no	teach at Riverside City College - representing students	eastvale		no	keep riverside city together and then Moreno Valley is sphere of influence; put city of R and Moreno Valley together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511032	eastvale (used to be part of Miraloma) miraloma norco corona lake elsinore menifee temecula (Wildamar French Valley too - didnt see on map but would have incl)		no	no		
50511033	Norco Corona Eastvale share school district along hwy 15 corridorecon opport then cities to east of us		no	no		
50511034			no	no		
50511035			no	yes	colleges shopping by students from Riverside college in Moreno Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511032			no							
50511033			no							
50511034			no			start with 8 major metro areas (he lists) because have great pop and therefore more represent; will help avoid Packingcracking most COI will be taken care of		yes	testimony	05.05.11. F
50511035			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511036	H	teacher	no		ontario		yes	chino pomona montclair ontario together; impt especially to keep ontario with ponoma because of leaders in ed system in Pomona.	
50511037	H		no	rep family friends and Latino Board of ____ project?	Riverside City		no	greater Riverside community (lists cities) get two Ads	
50511038	H		no	R Latino Voter Reg Project			no	River Metro area that previous speaker described; current AD to dessert is not good reps dont campaign here very little voting by Latino;	
50511039	pass		no				no		
50511040	H		no	mayor of canyon lake southwest River Co			no	southwest R district	
50511041	H		no			SB Co	no	dont cross into River Co if can because different; 2 AD in west SB Co (northern area fontana etc; other can include chino pomona (exception to not x county border); east AD ____; nest AD in SD; CD in west and east valley; (not chino hills right?)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511036			no	yes	lives revolve across these 4 cities; ponoma teachers have been leaders for teachers in valley and advocate with legislators	
50511037	Riverside city Morena Valley..(get list)		no	yes	lives across these cities	
50511038			no	no		
50511039			no	no		
50511040	canyon lake lake elsinore _____		no	no	listed these for the towns mentioned	
50511041			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511036			no							
50511037			no					yes	maps (same as 27-AGC presentation noted on maps) - thinks about 700K (for CD?)	05.05.11.G
50511038			no			want fair redistricting				
50511039			no							
50511040			no					yes	one page proposing district with pop count and how comply with criteria	05.05.11.H
50511041			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511042	H		no	westside action group - african-american redistricting coalition for IE or SB		SB Co	yes	want 4 AD nested for Sen 3 CD; too many now wanting county in tact	
50511043	H		no		temecula valley		no	want reps on east side of mts in River and NOT with OC	
50511044	H		no		river valley? Own map or map of other??		no	agrees with 44?	
50511045	H		no		moreno valley		no	not with OC or SB Co that is not COIs; moreno valley split into 2-3 of each; use march joint? powers district	
50511046	H		no				yes	support 42	
50511047	H		no	Riverside Board of Supervisors President			no	resolution from Board - hope that wont have to cross county lines; given that AD is big - its simple - give reps chance to focus on issues and areas - use concentrated compact COI; finally giving voice to communities - great	
50511048	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511042	Rialto and City of SB and .. AD		no	no		
50511043			no	no		
50511044	peris		no	no		
50511045	Riverside peris french valley?		no	no		
50511046	SB Rialto ; go west into Fontana to get more pop		no	yes	school district - largest concentration of students outside LA Co and large concentra of minority student need to be together	
50511047			no	no		
50511048			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511042			no					yes	will submit data	
50511043			no							
50511044			no							
50511045			no							
50511046			no							
50511047			no			need R reform at all level county state federal		yes	Riverside Board of Sups resolution	05.05.11.1
50511048			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511049	pass		no				no		
50511050	pass		no				no		
50511051	H		no		Riverside City - 20 yrs before that Cochella V before that Moreno V	Riverside Co 40 yrs	no	moreno valley is divided current CD goes to OC county ; south edge of co banning area east to mts not cochella valley on other side of mts	
50511052	H		no		chino	R Co	no	mont ont chino together can add pomona	
50511053	H		no		Riverside Cty since 2000 and 2 yrs in 80s		no	provided maps; keep certain districts within Rivers Co. 2 AD in Riverside	
50511054	pass		no				no		
50511055	pass - left long handout		no				no		
50511056	pass		no				no		
50511057	pass		no				no		
50511058	H		no	grass roots civic organization	Riverside	Riverside	no	dont want River Co chopped up; like joining with Moreno Valley and March AFB not with Corona (not much in common with)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511049			no	no		
50511050			no	no		
50511051	lists cities		no	yes	community service needs	biz
50511052			no	yes	hispanic montclair Ontario chino; if added chino hills then asians wont get representation; Ch Hills more in common with Diamond Bar etc	
50511053			no	no		
50511054			no	no		
50511055			no	no		
50511056			no	no		
50511057			no	no		
50511058			no	yes	go to Moreno V for shopping etc never with Corona	share March AFB three freeways with Moreno Valley; share freeway with Corona but thats a problem. When March AFB closed joint powers project formed.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511049			no							
50511050			no							
50511051			no					yes	testimony maps (same maps as 27 and 37)	05.05.11.J 05.05.11.K
50511052			no							
50511053		adhere to VRA for Latino community	no					yes	testimony maps (same maps as 273751)	05.05.11.L 05.05.11.P
50511054			no					yes	LWV of Riverside Co memo with testimony	05.05.11.M
50511055			no					yes	testimony re COI many pages with maps and pops	05.05.11.N
50511056			no							
50511057			no							
50511058			no			dont want funny desribed districts - turtle turned upside down				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511059	H		no		River	River	no	impt of keeping all district within reasonable boundaries of River Cty and greater Riverside NOT OC (Madera Ranch - nothing in common with it)	
50511060	H		no		jurupa valley		no	eastvale is more like OC; we are rural types and have working class communities have golf course; please keep our city together in districts	
50511061	H		no	disclosure riverside co republi central comm opened comm to run for state assem- so does have self interest admittedly though speaking as a citizen	Riverside Cty		no	R with woodcrest jurupa valley etc another one in west (lake elsinore); central riverside county seat with moreno valley	
50511062	pass		no				no		
50511063	H		no		jurupa valley		no	COI with moreno Valley (hospital college traffic ct same traffic problems)	
50511064	pass - left handwritten note		no				no		
50511065	pass		no				no		
50511066	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511059			no	no		
50511060	incorporated a few towns to make city of jurupa valley eastvale was a yr ahead of us	gives borders of new city - jurupa valley highways and a river	no	no		
50511061			no	yes	socio-economic similarities in his proposals	
50511062			no	no		
50511063			no	no		
50511064			no	no		
50511065			no	no		
50511066			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511059			no							
50511060			no							
50511061			no							
50511062			no							
50511063			no							
50511064			no					yes	wrote note on back of handout page re non-citizens	05.05.11. O
50511065			no							
50511066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511067	pass		no				no		
50511068	H	election worker in rialto RC	no		rancho cucamonga (lived in Ontario and Upland too)		no	commonalities with ontario upland little bit of fontana (anything north of baseline or foothill she says later - was open area before); seen a lot of growth over years; keep 4 cities together; great area for children safer than LA;	
50511069	pass		no				no		
50511070	pass		no				no		
50511071	pass		no				no		
50511072	pass		no				no		
50511073	H		no	River Comm Colleg board of trustees - just left	Riverside since 1971		no	River City shares similarities with Mareno Valley to be better served represented; Q which town split to get an AD? A dont want to answer not much over; Q what about each as own AD and combining for SD? A disimmilar if go south on River	
50511074	H		no		Riverside since 1951 off and on also lived Imperial co Sonoma Co		no	Riverside jurupa. Pedley; undecided about eastvale but connect it before corona; for moreno valley.?; for east R cohas lots in common with Imperial imperial has as much in common with R Co as SD Co.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511067			no	no		
50511068			no	yes	open area latino (fontana part and RC)	
50511069			no	no		
50511070			no	no		
50511071			no	no		
50511072			no	no		
50511073			no	yes	talks about a school dist; Latino pop	
50511074	little mt area divides us from _____		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511067			no							
50511068			no							
50511069			no							
50511070			no							
50511071			no							
50511072			no							
50511073			no							
50511074			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611000	H	city council member Santa Ana	no	Santa Ana City			no	maps with proposed CD from ___ Cook; OC kept whole (with only exception at south end) Santa Ana kept whole (to allow to elect Latino)	OC is own COI break border once if have to on south end
50611001	H		no	OC Business Council works for			no		
50611002	H		no	Orange City mayor			no	OC little 3 million very dense geographically; Orange is ___ people; keep OC whole; Orange city now has 4 AD please reduce; north OC cities have a lot in common; agrees with Cook proposal that speaker 0 showed.	
50611003	H		no		Laguna Beach		no	provided map (Laguna Beach etc)	
50611004	H		no	Water District of Orange County - provided to water to 6 counties hes elected to a district in water district with 4-5 ADSDCD each			no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611000	Santa Ana		no	yes	county latino voting	
50611001			no	no		
50611002	north cities (Placentia)		no	no		
50611003			no	no	lifestyle and culture - tied to land and sea committed to nature working with biz; Q why? A used whole cities around open space	
50611004	yorba linda le habra		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611000			no					yes	map	05.06.11. A
50611001			no			want compact dist with clearly drawn boundaries.etc.				
50611002			no							
50611003			no					yes	testimony and 2 maps	
50611004			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611005	H		no	OC Asian Pacific Alliance - part of CAPAFR - has handout			no		
50611006	H	acct	no	CAPAFR with handout represent Korean Community		OC	no		
50611007	H	former state Leg for parts of OC; frustrated with SF Bay Area getting more of state	no				no	LA should have 14 CD no more no less keep districts within counties	
50611008	H		no	South Asian Network - CAPAFR with handout			no	map of south asian communities in so LA Co and Nor OC	
50611009	H		no	Vietnamese American Community of Southern CA CAPAFR - has handout			no	see map of Little Saigon Garden Grove Westminister Fountain Valley parts of Santa Ana Midway City and Stanton	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611005			no	no	API community - Korean Chinese	small biz - 63000? Asian-owned biz
50611006	lists cities with most Korean pop and adds cities to make up pop		no	yes		
50611007			no	no		
50611008			no	no		
50611009			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611005			no					yes	CAPAFR handout text and map	
50611006			no					yes	CAPAFR handout text and map	
50611007			no					yes	calculation of of districts for So CA counties	
50611008			no					yes	CAPAFR handout text and map	
50611009			no					yes	CAPAFR handout text and map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611010	H	(see org below)	yes	Santa Ana LULAC President			yes	retain Santa Ana in AD w S Anaheim SW Orange W Tustin; an AD anchored in flats of Anaheim plus portions of stanton and buena park with similar demogr; SD is a central OC district with these two AD nested in it; one CD must incl Anah Santa Ana	
50611011	H		no	Vietnamese-American Community			no	little saigon 9 sq mile highest concentr outside Vietnam;	
50611012	H		no			OC	yes	want max of AD SD CD within OC; anaheim is now 5 AD; AD centered in anaheim and adds part of Stanton etcanother AD and nest into a SD; gave report	
50611013	H		no	Le Habra 61K Latino? - 7 sq mile	le habra	north OC	yes	7 sq mi split up in ; wants La Habra in district with OC rather than LA Co and with other heavily Latino areas.	
50611014	H		no	V ACOC - CAPAFR org - one page no map			yes	keep little saigon together G Grove Westmist and surrounding areas	
50611015	H		no	APALC - provide data to support previous CAPAFR speakers			no	summary of CAPAFR requests ie keep Westminister G Grove Fountain Valley together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611010	Santa Ana flatlands of Anaheim SW Orange W Tustin parts of Stanton and Buena Park	Santa Ana River as boundary (west of river? In particular town?)	no	yes	COI in Central OC Santa Ana is heavily Latino and Span speak (75 of each) immigrants community working class families young population cultural festiv for Latino commun; higher propensity to vote by Latino youth; something re colleg district?;	social interest cont. Buena Park and Stanton is mixed pop of Latino Asian (13 Latino 13 Asian 13 white) we get along well; Anaheim and Santa Ana linked by blue collar immigr pop w high of Sp speakers young aver age common health concerns
50611011		chapman warner beach blvd ____ santa ana	no	no		
50611012			no	yes	working class committee	
50611013			no	yes	Latino	
50611014			no	yes		
50611015			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611010	Latinos need representative from community in congress bec now against immigrants; if split two cites for CD then working class in with affluent district and voice diluted		no					yes	Santa Ana LULAC testimony	
50611011			no							
50611012			no					yes	bound proposal stats and maps	
50611013			no							
50611014			no					yes	CAPAFR handout just text	
50611015			no					yes	CAPAFR demographics supporting proposals	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611016	pass - but CAPAFR left his testimony		no				no		
50611017	H		no	south coast chinese cultural association (SCCCA) - CAPAFR org with 2pg handout	Irvine		no	Dont divide Irvine Irvine with Tustin and North Tustin	
50611018	H		no		santa ana		no	done well having Santa Ana and Anaheim together in CD?; keep that want nesting of 2 AD in SD	
50611019	H		no	Inter Community Network			no	ok to cross county border for Artesia and Cerritos to get Asian pop	
50611020	H		no	mayor of westminister - vietnamese community - handout			yes	VRA possible 2 Latino in OC ___ Asian in OC; dont cut little saigon	
50611021	H		no		westminister - vietnamese community	OC	no	dont cut up little saigon	
50611022	H	vietnamese refugee	no	council member from city of palm valley? Or westminister? Represents Little Saigon			no	keep Little Saigon together; Qwhat add? A go to Fairview in Santa Ana (viet-own biz there too)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611016			no	no		
50611017			no	yes	chinese community interests	
50611018			no	no	largest minority pop in OC Santa Ana diverse and county seat	
50611019	H Heights Rowland H Diamond Bar together as well; dont support GG and West together?		no	yes	Asian pop	
50611020			no	no		
50611021	Westminster Fountain Valley		no	yes	common school district	
50611022	GGrove Westminster and Fountain Valley		no	no	joint biz district between 3 cities over 5000 vietnamese-owned biz	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611016			no					yes	CAPAFR handout just text	
50611017			no					yes	CAPAFR handout text and map	
50611018			no							
50611019			no							
50611020			no					yes	flash drive with maps word docs excel file	
50611021			no							
50611022			no					yes	print out of 20 flash drive material	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611023	H		no	Asian Peach Officers Association			no	keep Little Saigon together (he defines it)	
50611024	H		no	school board in westminister			no	Keep Little Saigon together (definesincld Mid City inside Westminister)	
50611025	H	spoke in Long Beach ran for office but not speaking cause going to run again	no				no	keep Long Beach together	
50611026	H		no	Neighbor Housing Service of OC			no	support sensible comm-based district - current generally do this have them retain intact as much as possible	
50611027	H	actor previous marine corps	no		NW corner of Santa Ana - on Homeowner s Ass		no	maintain santa ana and anaheim together for state separate for congress (to make districts more competitve)	
50611028	H		no	housing council	47th CD north orange co		no	OC is 20 Latino but 13 to more Latino in individual cities	
50611029	H		no		yorba linda 65K pop		no	asian americian community; keep North OC together;	
50611030	H		yes		works in Garden Grove		no	Korean Community Vietnamese Community mix well; dont separate two communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611023			no	yes	splitting up would be like splitting up chinatown in SF; pop culture etc	
50611024	G Grove Westminster		no	no		
50611025		LA River to ___ River on east Ocean on south	no	no		
50611026	lists some		no	no		
50611027			no	no		
50611028			no	no	transport pop economic social needs (have a synergy of all these)	
50611029	chinese-amer concentration Irvine Tustin Newport Beach		no	no		
50611030			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611023			no							
50611024			no							
50611025			no			draw fair and reasonable boundaries		yes		
50611026			no							
50611027			no							
50611028			no							
50611029			no							
50611030			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611031	H	planning commission city of stanton in touch with working class comm in OC	no		born and raised in santa ana last 7 yrs in Stanton		no	keep Santa Ana and Anaheim together and include us in stanton - small city to be split up Go into the 47th	
50611032	H		no		Garden Grove 16 yrs came to america in 95 (from vietnam)		no	2001 redist fragmented Vietname community - no voice in state leg or congress keep us together	
50611033	H		no				no	keep West and GG in same CD	
50611034	H	1975 came to usa	no	Little Saigon communt develop org - founded L S			no	keep little saigon in CD?	
50611035	pass		no				no		
50611036	H	vietnamese refugee	no				no	little saigon has grown; keep GG West and surrounding Viet communities in same districts	
50611037	H	vietnamese community	no				no	dont divide GG and Westm.	
50611038	H	from mexican in 1980s	no		santa ana		yes	keep Santa Ana and Anaheim together (no more borders for him please) - keep Latinos together to elect one of own	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611031			no	no		
50611032			no	no		
50611033			no	yes	demograph house size median income needs re lang and culture of Asian community voice for Asian community	
50611034	GG West part of Santa Ana		no	no		
50611035			no	no		
50611036			no	no		
50611037			no	no		
50611038			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611031			no							
50611032			no							
50611033			no							
50611034			no					yes	AFF map of vietnamese born pop	
50611035			no							
50611036			no							
50611037			no							
50611038			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611039	H		no		Lake Forest - 27 yrs		no		
50611040	H		no		huntington beach		no	doesnt like CD 46 (current district)please keep it in OC; use Santa Ana River and _____ as natural dividers; coastal district seal beach to newport beach to make an AD; bottom line keep huntington beach whole and there are three options	
50611041	H	mayor pro temp	no	placentia city	placentia		yes	keep cities whole for admin purposes; keep county-comingling to mini; keep coastal separate from include (eg. See park system); 4th pt? _____	
50611042	pass		no				no		
50611043	H		no	cerritos safety committee	cerritos		no	keep area together (see cities below)	
50611044	H	1990 from Vietnam	no	Vietnam Association of So CA in westminister			no	wants chance to have viet-amer elected to office	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611039	Lake Forest connected to Irvine not connected to northern SD Co Also more tied to coastal areas (Laguna Newport); camp pendelton is buffer		no	yes	kids go back and forth scores are similar	
50611040			no	no		
50611041			no	no		
50611042			no	no		
50611043	artesia buena park cerritos la palma and cypress cross county line into west anaheim		no	yes	diversity? Coyote problem shared between these communities	
50611044	GG Westminster		no	no	vietnam pops in GG and Westm and growing - need to keep Viet-Am communitie in these two cities together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611039			no							
50611040			no							
50611041			no							
50611042			no							
50611043			no							
50611044			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611045	H		no		simi valley? Grew up in santa clarita valley		no	keep east Ventura with Santa Clarita and not with Topanga and Malibu (beach cities are very different)	
50611046	H		no		Garden Grove		no	keep Viet-Amer community together GG Westm and Fount Valley	
50611047	H		no		La Palma		yes	La Palma Cypress Cerritors Buena Park together; if need more go south to Stanton ____ Seal Beach	
50611048	H	public school teacher	no				no	former rep - supports his proposal?; water district person - only one on South Co - its important; doesnt agree with coastal-inland split because of water shed - rain affects everyone downstream	
50611049	S - passed but left handout	lawyer Santa Ana	no		Santa Ana - 10 yrs	Orange	yes	do not split Santa Ana between AD or SD	
50611049	pass		no				no		
50611050	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611045			no	no		
50611046			no	yes	kids school citizens and voters	
50611047			no	no		
50611048			no	no		
50611049			no	yes	Santa Ana is vibrant diverse low-middle calss predominantly working class strong Hispanic presence substantial new immigrant presence (His Asian) sign of young urban professionals buying homes in the city	
50611049			no	no		
50611050			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611045			no							
50611046			no							
50611047			no					yes	proposed map with COI	
50611048			no							
50611049	differs from much of OC which is more upper inc concentrated upscale white collar and prof workers and biz.		no					yes	testimony described in this record	
50611049			no							
50611050			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611051	H	represent sister and mother	no		Chattsworth		yes	keep Chattsworth with Simi valley etc	
50611052	pass		no				no		
50611053	H		no		Anaheim		no	compact eq pop (dont allow more than 1 deviation); keep nor OC intact and not include with LA or ___ Co; Orange with Anaheim Nor OC would make great AD	
50611054	H		no		laguna hill		no	try to keep south OC together; not like current CDs not with Riverside; impt for south OC to keep deviation low to get equal representation; Q what is So OC? A Laguna Hills Laguna Beach to San Clemente to SD Co border inland up to Lake Forest	
50611055	H	Vietnamese	no				no	please do not split up Viet-Amer (GG and Westm together)	
50611055.5	H	mayor Santa Ana (not supposed to be testimony but talked about OC)	no		Santa Ana		no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611051			no	no		
50611052			no	no		
50611053			no	no		
50611054			no	no		
50611055	GG Westm		no	no	viet radio telev etc	
50611055.5			no	yes	most bus traffic in OC in Santa Ana; OC is not homogenous areas with in OC that have commonalities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611051			no							
50611052			no							
50611053			no							
50611054			no							
50611055			no							
50611055.5			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611056	pass - left after coming up to speak 4 times and each time being asked to wait for something last time for mayor		no				no		
50611057	pass		no				no		
50611058	H		no		Garden Grove works in anaheim		no	anaheim is split up too much split it into working class (vs hills wealthy) keep more ethnic groups in anaheim and Santa Ana together; use hwy 55 as barrier; keeping working class together	
50611059	pass		no				no		
50611060	H		no		anaheim hills		no	districts within city limits and county limits; complains about current AD; have us represented by someone within our local communities and not a broad swath across.	
50611061	pass		no				no		
50611062	pass		no				no		
50611063	H		no		San Clemente - we are OC not San Diego not Riverside		no	san clemente san juan and dana pt in same district have been divided freq but do a lot together (packet handout)	
50611064	pass		no				no		
50611065	pass		no				no		
50611066	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611056			no	no		
50611057			no	no		
50611058			no	no		
50611059			no	no		
50611060			no	no		
50611061			no	no		
50611062			no	no		
50611063			no	yes	camp pendelton divides us (from SD) use I-5 as divider 6 COIs on handout - she mentioned parks and Capistrano USF	
50611064			no	no		
50611065			no	no		
50611066			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611056			no							
50611057			no							
50611058			no							
50611059			no							
50611060			no							
50611061			no							
50611062			no							
50611063			no					yes	two page testimony and 3 maps	
50611064			no							
50611065			no							
50611066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611067	pass		no				no		
50611068	H		no	council member?	costa mesa		no	submits plan for whole state Consistent with 1991 ct plan	
50611069	pass		no				no		
50611070	H	represent self	no		Santa Ana		yes		
50611071	H		no				yes	provide two pager - peunete hills north boundary; Pts out prob with CD 42 (not contiguous because no one drives from on pt to another); later asked to provide more - he said hes trying to print maps.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611067			no	no		
50611068			no	no	No Co ver So Co- main difference is different Water supply; agrees south of Irvine is border between Nor and So	
50611069			no	no		
50611070			no	no		
50611071			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611067			no							
50611068			no					yes	three maps and 2 pages of stats	
50611069			no							
50611070			no			doesnt know the pecking order of COI vs city county that CRC has chosen; but believes COI is more impnt than city boundaries cause cities have lobbyists to protect themselves				
50611071			no					yes	two page testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611072	H		no		Huntington Beach		no	keep H Beach toget; currently gerrymander - connected to Anaheim - not a natural connection lists two together; keep beach cities together (even Seal Beach tho missle between us to costa mesa newport beach ok not up to Cyp); keep GG and Westm toget;	
50611073	pass		no				no		
50611074	H	comm college district board	no		orange		no		
51211001	H	spoke in Norco (4 there)	no		Riverside	Riverside	yes	do not break up desert communities which are a COI possible Imperial too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611072	ok to go to Fountain Valley		no	no		
50611073			no	no		
50611074		le sel in Orange is natural div line for COIs west of newport is COI look at school dist lines (Orange USD incl. Orange Villa Park Anaheim Hills); anaheim hills part of Anaheim but many city council members live in hills so flatlanders are underrep	no	no		
51211001			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611072			no							
50611073			no							
50611074		have several minority groups to protect careful that COI not competing against each other - but thinks you can do it	no							
51211001			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211002	H	mayor catherdal city chochella valleypalm springs biz community	no		Chocella Valley 9 cities and lots of incorporate d area desert hot springs palm springs thousand palms bermuda dunes (santa rosa area) sky valley indio indio hills garnet catherderal city cochellauni corpoted area therma mecca		yes	Keep Riverside Co intact and respect county lines not imperial county - not similar to palm springs not same tourism have with monuments	
51211003	H		no				yes	1 CD 2 AD 1 SD with east Rivers Co and Imperial Co - provides packet	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211002			no	no	water districts? (west of washington one east of washington one); school districts?	cochella valley share manufacturing solar etc - no govt shared with imperial valley
51211003			no	no	commonalities of imperial and cochella valley ..health care	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211002			no							
51211003			no					yes	packet	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211004	H		no	Diamond Bar City Council mayor pro-tempe also League of CA Cities SCAG	diamond bar	LA Co	no	diamond bar chino hills brea lots in common - shop in the others	
51211005	H		no		French Valley between Hemet and Temecula unincorpt Winchester		no	maintain communities of interest and county lines; riverside should have 3 (700K size) districts (based on pop); south and east	
51211006	H		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211004		57 60	no	no	57 60 freeways congested - share massive transport headache with OC; asian high in these cities - asians will have voice in east San Gabriel Valley	
51211005			no	yes	a list () of commonalities in his area; dissimilarities with desert that is over mountain	
51211006			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211004			no							
51211005			no							
51211006			no			dont district politically - reform gerrymandering - get to purity of districts rather than political; goes thru criteria eq pop good vra goodcity county line good dont use COI - that will help you not be political				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211007	H		no		Indio Cochella Valley		no	Cochella Valley in E Rivers Co is interrlated with Imper CoValley - Salton (Q how far? A work with kids all the way to El Centro) Q is Imperial Valley all the way from cochella to Mexican border? A yes agree with that	
51211008	H	retired prof san diego state	no		Palm Desert		no	cochella and imperial valley share geography (saltan trough)	
51211009	H	Rivers Co Supervisor	no	Rivers Co Board of Sups	bermuda dunes	rivers	no	Board of Sups requested that Riverside Co stay in tact Cochella Valley is a COI unique one	
51211010	H		no	Desert Cities United Community of Interest	Rancho Mirage		no	keep Cochella Vally together and dont add in Imperial Co; Q connection to North or West more than Imperial? A yes they are more similar Rivers Co is best but ok to go into south SB Co.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211007			no	yes	chochella valley provide school for Imperial Valley - bussed; two valleys have same needs	agricultural base
51211008			no	no	geography retirement draw ecological disaster of Saltan Sea (now 2 reps need one); water supply geothermal energy balancing old and new economies; health care issues (Q share facilities? A; need more sharing by putting two valleys in one district)	agricultural
51211009			no	no	Ch V transport system . Q geothermal asked of previous A under Saltan Sea - if resolve sea problem will get solar and geothermal energy to pay for it.Q what add to make third SD? A; Imperial Co	Cochella Valley Economic Partnership
51211010			no	no	geographically compact	share tourism solid income bedroom communities high retirement

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211007			no							
51211008			no							
51211009			no							
51211010	very different from Imperial Co and its ag interests - different living standard border issues . (dilute Imperial Valleys interest if put with Cochella V		no			1 vs 5	COI are not ethnicities only shared econ or social interests			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211011	H		no		Palm Springs 20 yrs		no	cochella valley into 1 AD like were in 91 now split in 2 AD; add banning and beaumont to cochella valley to make an AD dont group with Imperial co - different	
51211012	pass		no				no		
51211013	H	city council member Palm Springs	no	palm springs city council			yes	CD 44 is too big geographically - hard for one person to represent; most closely related to Imperial Valley - draw lines from Cochella to Mexican border	
51211014	H		no	city of moreno Valley?	moreno valley		yes	carved up now; keep city of morena valley whole; Q who partner with? A close relationship with Perris	
51211015	H		no		San Fernando Valley - reading letter for her friend		no	East Ventura Co is COI; thou oaks oak park simi valley camarillo agoura hills in one district	
51211016	H		no	catherderal City SCAG			no	washington st as border for ____; banning-beaumont pass is border for ____; will email his comments	
51211017	H		no		Indio		yes	keep cochella valley whole; indio is separated now; stay with West River Co rather than Imperial Valley - go West	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211011			no	yes	_____?	tourism - vs ag of Imperial Valley
51211012			no	no		
51211013			no	yes	share Salton Sea; when big one hits will be unable to get over San Jacinto mts to LA Rivers etc. will be cut off from Riverside;	
51211014			no	yes	with Perris (schools March Reserve Air Base)	
51211015			no	no		
51211016		I 10 hwy 86 boundary is CA-60banning beaumont is where Coch valley ends	no	yes	solar and wind geothermal in imperial valley	three economic groups
51211017		I10 leads us	no	no	rail system being consider	CBAG govt partners CVAG? economic partners

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211011			no					yes	testimony?	
51211012			no							
51211013			no							
51211014			no							
51211015			no					yes	letter	
51211016			no							
51211017			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211018	H	volunteer with LGBT youth	no	LGBT community for Cochella and Imperial Valleys			yes	keep Cochella Valley and Imperial Valley together; 1 cd 2 ad 1 sd	
51211019	H	offered to speak in Eng or Spanish	no				no	East Riverside Co(Cochella Valley pala verde valley (north past Blithe brawley east to colorado river) and Imperial co together	
51211020	H	doctor - ER - Eisenhower Hospital Sr Ass Dean at UC Riverside School of Medicine (new?)	no	health access org - for Cochella Valley (Coachella Valley Health Initiative)	grew up in city of coachella		no	combine E Rivers Co with Imper Co	
51211021	H		yes			Riverside	yes	Ass mem doesnt represent us; Co needs 3 AD - include Imperial Valley in one	
51211022	H		yes		calexico	Imperial	yes	support speakers 3 8 13 20 not 10; Imperial Riverside more similar than San Diego	
51211023	H	law professor for 4 decades till recently	no		La Quinta		no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211018			no	yes	needs of LGBT youth similar (health (AIDS ed treatment) economic ____)	
51211019	Blithe included	I10	no	yes	issues of immigrants brought different ethnicity together; education needs protect water supply (being taken from SD and LA); expand energy fix Salton Sea; Q more on immigrants? A all over these areas if in Imper Valley shop in Indio vs in San Diego	high employment poverty pockets homelessness
51211020			no	yes	Cochella Valley needs more health care capacity in safety net not enough physicaians Imperial Valley has same barriers (if not worse) both different from W Rivers; similar drop out rates; most watched tv station in valleys is Univision; Q re immig?	same socio-economic between two valleys
51211021			no	no		
51211022			no	yes	ethnic groups latinos (not as many in SD)	
51211023			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211018	better to be together in districts to serve LGBT (youth espec)		no							
51211019			no							
51211020			no							
51211021			no							
51211022	latinos need representation		no							
51211023			no			where u have a chance to make competitive districts should do itwill reduce voter apathy				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211024	H	take care of disabled mother not a lot	no			Riverside Co over 20 yrs	no	keep lower inc cities (Cochella La Quinta) together and not with Palm Springs Rancho Mirage	
51211025	pass		no				no		
51211026	pass		no				no		
51211027	pass		no				no		
51211028	H		no		Calimesa		yes	realize that Rivers goes from Color River west to 9 miles from Pac Ocean; Cala Mesa belongs with Yucaipa even thou its another county - beaumont and banning want Cala Mesa but better with Yucaipa; Q to add? A go north west	
51211029	H	renter that was foreclosed on retired social worker speaking on behalf of low income people cause not here	no				no		
51211030	H		no		Indio		yes	reps dont represent her; include Indio in Cochella Valley group of cities (not with San Diego border cities like Calexico)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211024			no	no		home care public services not being supported by current reps
51211025			no	no		
51211026			no	no		
51211027			no	no		
51211028			no	yes	Q what are similiarities? A bedroom communities elderly vs Redlands with more investors?	
51211029			no	no		foreclosure crisis binds all of So Ca and Cochella Valley
51211030			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211024			no				thnx for coming here not all of us have to travel to Sac			
51211025			no							
51211026			no							
51211027			no							
51211028			no							
51211029			no							
51211030			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211031	H	did census PR for three counties (Rivers Imperial ?) - but had to haggle with fed govt to get help with Imperial Co - typical of what goes on in eastern Cochella Valley	no	UFW	cochella valley		no	need Cochella Valley with Imperial Valley	
51211032	H		no		La Quinta		no	agrees with last speaker and gives more detail on what to keep and not	
51211033	H		no		Palm Springs		no	keep comm as desert community (use mt pass at BanningBeaumont as dividing line) - will see growth of Saltan Sea area as gets fixed bus line (sun line) is busy fr Desert Hot Springs down to up from Thermal or Mecca - lots of travel updown this area	
51211034	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211031			no	yes	social needsprobs in east Cochella Valley - people in Rancho Mirage dont see this	
51211032			no	no		
51211033			no	no		
51211034			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211031			no							
51211032			no							
51211033			no							
51211034			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211035	H - testified in Norco	community activist	no	works with hispanic latino voter reg drives	moreno valley		yes	keep Moreno Valley intact with Riverside and Perris	
51211036	H		no	mayor pro-temp of Moreno Valley - very populous city (21st in CA); today speaking as resident	Morena Valley		yes	keep Moreno Valley in tact now cut up need one distr (state level); keep Riverside Co together as much as possible - huge growth; dont put us with city of Rivers tho we r similar because we are growing so can go south and Perris etc	
51211037	H		no		Cochella (office) - Baker Corp - renewable energy applications ; travel through the 3 valleys		no	three valleys together (Coch Pala Verde Imer)	
51211038	H		no		cathedral city		no	2 proposals spoke of tonight EastWest vs NorSou?;geography says link Coch to Imperial Valley - NS vs EW; coch valley ends when dont see scrub and sand; sep from River and W Rive Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211035			no	no	health care recreation facilities transportation in common; March Reserve AFB - Joint Powers shared between these communities	
51211036	add Perris Temecula Winchester San jacinto Murrieta		no	no	transportation public safety long established COIs in Riverside Co WRCA (West River Co Assoc of Govt)	
51211037	3 valleys		no	no	suburban with agric high latino high unemployment	
51211038		hwy 86 corridor unites counties	no	yes	86 corridor unites NS	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211035			no					yes	maps	
51211036			no							
51211037	help to unify the three valleys to address issues and saltan sea		no							
51211038			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211039	H		no	City Commissioner for Indio	Indio		yes	do feel represented as Latino	
51211040	H	retired military flew	no		cochella valley		no		
51211041	H		no	city of San Jacinto vice mayor	San Jacinto		no	got area into one supervisorial district want to be in one state-level distr too (not split like in some proposals from Norco); Q Beaumont or Menifee more similar to? A ?	
51211042	H		no		city of Desert Hot Springs far western edge of cochella valley		no	use geography - stop at mts to get to San Diego and mts to get to _____	
51211043	H	public defender in Indio	no		Palm Springs		no	coche and Imper valleys into one district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211039			no	no		
51211040			no	yes	habitat and species very specific within cochella valley (not Imperial Valley); have own transportation hwy airports; own health care system own education system (college?)	tourism is 1 industry NOT agriculture; agr in Imperial Valley and San Diego
51211041		215 is border	no	yes	utilites school districts all same in that region; history unites this area	citris and ___
51211042			no	no	utilites Imperial District - power for east Coch Valley another one has power for west Coch Valley water districts too desert builders assoc mosquito abatement district - has several representatives	4 prisons 2 in each county - each employ 1000 people
51211043			no	no	moreno valley very different from cochella valley(and Imperial valley) water issue here in coch	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211039			no							
51211040			no							
51211041			no							
51211042			no							
51211043			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211044	H - 44 is last given		yes	city of Cochella mayor pro-temp	cochella - larges city in Coch Valley- 110K residents		no	cochella valley COI with imperial county	
51311001	H		no		ramona (36500 pop approx)	san diego	yes	92065 live in unicorp area (ramona) of San Diego Co 3 AD 2 CD 1 SD; should be one of each	
51311002	H		no		Econdino		yes	current split 2 AD splits up school district people work in one AD and live in another unite Escondido and keep with inland communities	
51311003	pass		no				no		
51311004	H - read letter of friend		no		chattsworth	LA	yes	chattsworth and porter ranch very similar to simi valley moorpark thousand oaks - areas around Santa Susanna mts make a perfect district - ok that crosses counties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211044			no	yes	young minority pop; water rights to Color River - historicly had foresight to get water rights - st 2nd and 4th; Q Native-Amer? A several tribes (mentions 4) - mostly Cueva(?) - agua caliete too (P Sprngs) two tribes (?) have intermarried	agr is key - saving grace - if not for it unemply much worse; renewable energy - wind solar geotherm develop saltan sea - econ green engine to harvest renew energy potent of saltan sea; water is key - have Colorado River water rights- have to fight for
51311001			no	yes		ag fruit trees growing winery
51311002			no	yes	inland communities very different from coastal Encondido relates to inland agr	
51311003			no	no		
51311004			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211044			no							
51311001			no					yes	maps on hard board of existing districts	
51311002			no							
51311003			no							
51311004			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311005	H		no		simi valley grew up in SF Valley (Chattsworth)	Ventura Co	yes	Chattsworth and Porter ranch are like Simi Valley Thou Oaks Moor park - and are not like other SF Valley cities (which are industrial) - please put those cities across co lines into a district	
51311006	pass		no				no		
51311007	pass		no				no		
51311008	H		no		_____near San Marcos	San Diego	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311005			no	no		
51311006			no	no		
51311007			no	no		
51311008			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311005			no							
51311006			no							
51311007			no							
51311008			no			look at pop growth; look at northcounty times - 2 editions inland and coast - shows different communities; something about open primary and none of the above and something about heritage re numbering dont have to start at top even tho count from top				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311009	H		no		hidden meadows		yes	like Escondido but never in district with it - please do that. Q what other areas? A valley center banel similar rural to hidden meadows; she identifies with Escondido San Marcos (where shops) does not identify with Murrieta Temecula	
51311010	H		no				yes	san marcos vista fullbrook oceanside(?) together	
51311011	H		no				yes	keep coastal communities together - oceanside to del mar but not like abomination have now	
51311012	H		no		Fallbrook	San Diego Co	no		
51311013	H		no	member of AAPI group	oceanside	San Diego	yes	oceanside san marcos carlsbad san marcos; keep these north county cities together and NOT with orange county	
51311014	pass		no				no		
51311015	H		no		oceanside		yes	oceanside san marcos carlsbad vista share a lot (tri-city area); not as much in common with Escondido	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311009			no	no		
51311010			no	no		
51311011			no	no		
51311012			no	no		
51311013			no	yes	API - oceanside 23 inc in API Latino increase too white black decreased; Qwhy for COI? Aothers want to answer more than her	
51311014			no	no		
51311015			no	yes	share hospital districts use major hwy corridor overlapping school districts regional planning (San DAG?) is for those areas together separate from Escondido she thinks	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311009			no							
51311010			no							
51311011			no							
51311012			no			bunch of philosophysomething about parents of fallbrook and school				
51311013			no							
51311014			no							
51311015			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311016	H		no		oceanside		yes	make county border (between OC and SD Co) district lines; hwy 78 corridor district; hwy 15 cooridor makes COI that is partially in Riverside Co; Q Camp Pendelton yours or San Clemente in OC? A its mostly ours	
51311017	H		no		poway	San Diego Co	yes	keep Poway intact and add cities below	
51311018	H		no		rancho penasquitos		no		
51311019	H		no		oceanside; lived in area 20 yrs		yes	keep oceanside vista carlsbad and san marcos together	
51311020	H		no		hidden meadows (actually kind of part of escondido)	SD	yes	4 similar cities (oceanside _____)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311016			no	no		
51311017	poway mira mesa ____ ____ranch bernardo		no	yes	Sikh community - professional educated temples in Poway and Escondido other South Asian communities COI	
51311018	Rancho Pach Poway carmel valley ____ scripts ranch		no	yes	APA community - military is economic source for this community also stores; Q what else? A new hwy 56 unites carmel valley and poway	
51311019			no	yes	kids go to school in one or the other one mall in these cities and escondido mall is far away	
51311020			no	yes	CSU San Marcos serve these cities large Latino pop in each cities(38-78)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311016			no							
51311017			no							
51311018			no							
51311019			no							
51311020			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311021	H		no	mayor oceanside San DAG board	oceanside		yes	SAN DAG considers north county Del Mar to Camp Pendleton vista from inland to make north county coastal district; north county inland its own AD	
51311022	H	educator researcher national Latino research Center here on campus	yes		san marcos		yes	78 corridor (san marcos oceanside vista escondido)	
51311023	H		no		Vista		yes	Vista and other cities on hwy 78 make up north county community	
51311024	pass		no				no		
51311025	H		no	Tea Party Patriot	vista		yes	east county (drew district at RAS on Euclid); get 3 AD all within SD Co	
51311026	H		yes	tea party of poway chair	poway		yes	proposed districts (though mentioned labelling problem-same as maps from 25?); carlsbad to del mar east of poway and up to escondido but not including it.	
51311027	H		no				yes	district 66 he calls his proposal hwy 15 etc	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311021			no	no		
51311022			no	yes	Latinos almost 50 of the pop in these cities	san Marcos is now vibrant
51311023			no	yes	diversity Latino is 26 of North County majority of Vista and other cities; low education level among parents	poverty
51311024			no	no		
51311025		l 14 ocean east border of county	no	yes	vista has great social problems not representation	
51311026			no	yes	common demog of educ lang range of inc citizenship; respects criteria outlined in CRC materials	
51311027			no	yes		all rural - farming - land and water issues

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311021			no							
51311022	Latinos have struggled to get adequate representation		no							
51311023			no					yes	testimony	
51311024			no							
51311025			no							
51311026			no					yes	proposed maps and petition of 25 people supporting them (who he asked to stand)	
51311027	deserve to be together and one strong representative		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311028	H	have FAS and cant drive-use public transportation SSDI	no		carlsbad born on _____ Indian reservation		yes	public transit board director must ride public districts	
51311029	H	psychatric nurse	no		vista?		yes	oceanside san marcos vista fallbrook - make one district hwys 78 76 and 5 on coast	
51311030	H		no	San Marcos group concerned with K12 funding	San Marcos		yes	san marcos - needs voice to get better school funding	
51311031	H		no		oceanside		yes	keep cities together (listed below)	
51311032	H		no		vista - live 50 yrs and work as optometrist there		yes	camp pendelton down to fallbrook? East to hwy 15; Q include rainbow? A yes Q why not Carlsbad? A hwy 78 defines area	
51311033	H		no		works in Poway		yes	keep together poway san marcos carmel valley mira mesa (cultural hub for API- where food products are) and _____?; Q pls submit map? A yes will submit tomorrow	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311028			no	yes	lots about bus system in ramona - access to public transit; public	
51311029			no	yes	only one hospital that is overwhelmed by gang-related ER patients	
51311030			no	yes	imbalance in school funding with cities lumped in with; need for remedial eduction; housing problem resulting from school problems? If dont fix schools will get ghettos	
51311031	vista fallbrook oceanside (check list ??) Q what else? A; Carlsbad Bonsel Valley Center	sprinter and coaster for transit hwy 78 and 76	no	no		
51311032			no	no		
51311033			no	yes	API in north city of San Diego carmel Valley San Marcos; care about education; similar econ social interests	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311028			no							
51311029	if together in one district - would get fair allocation of federal and state funds to help health care access issues		no							
51311030			no							
51311031			no							
51311032			no							
51311033			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311034	H		no	volunteer to help API youth prevent gang violence	paradise hills?		yes	paradise hills bay terrace and east national city together - API mostly Filipino	
51311035	H	lawyer	no		san marcos		yes	keep san marcos whole and in district where it has a voice	
51311036	H	analyst for city retired; worked on partnerships worked on census	yes	COPOW? - filipino organization	chula vista - 41 yrs currently in east CV - planned community - ___ Ranch		yes	south bay area of SD county keep east CV bay terrace and east National City and ___? together as much as possible	
51311037	H	teacher	no		mira mesa earlier poway military housing (90s)		yes	COI from speaker 18 mira mesa curney mesa rancho ___ Rancho ___ and ___	
51311038	H		no	Oceanside Chamber of Commerce President	oceanside whole life (7K to 100K)		yes	different from OC go more to south riverside (temecula); Oceanside very much like carlsbad vista (tri-city area all the same)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311034		8th St included in National City	no	yes	API - culture unites etc.	
51311035			no	yes	API is __ of San Marcos (especially filipino) educational hub of north county - colleges school district one of best in county	
51311036			no	no		
51311037			no	yes	filipino americans - culture unites - stores churchestemples socializing centers	
51311038			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311034			no							
51311035			no							
51311036			no							
51311037			no							
51311038			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311039	H		no		North County long time oceanside long time now san marcos	San Diego	no	can deal with co issues?	
51311040	H		no				yes	keep cities and communities together no safe political districts not gerrymandering keep within 1 not 5 america first not ethnic groups	
51311041	pass		no				no		
51311042	H		no		oceanside		yes	agree no gerrymander agree with mayor to keep coastal communities together oceanside carlsbad down to encinitas and would like to include vista and san marcos because share school districts (in tri-city area)	
51311043	H		no		carlsbad taught at CSU SM and other places		yes	oceanside san marcos escondido vista together have large latino community put north carlsbad in even though not high latino.	
51311044	H	latina	no	latino redistricting group		san diego and lived in past in Imperial Co	yes	keep border communities together - extension of ??	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311039			no	no		
51311040			no	no		
51311041			no	no		
51311042			no	no		
51311043			no	no		
51311044			no	yes	latinos and growing diversity of regions	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311039			no							
51311040			no							
51311041			no							
51311042			no							
51311043			no							
51311044		important	no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311045	H	prof CSU SM	no				yes	propose Escon San Marcos Vista oceanside (not carlsbad? Cause not same SES?) would make perfect AD; Q what pop cut out? A hard to say	
51311046	pass		no				no		
51311047	H		no		Encinitas - many yrs		yes	link encinitas with carlsbad __ beach rancho __ millionaires too	
51311048	pass		no				no		
51311049	H	CSU SD faculty	no			SD Co	yes	imperial county has needs we (CSU SD) need to serve so ok to cross border; Q part of Nor Imper Co to cut off? A not much pop above Brawley anyway but below Brawley import to keep with San Diego; Q whole Imper Co? A sure as long as border part w SD	
51311050	H		no	NALEO redistricting coordinator for SD?		San Diego County	yes	respect COI of SD County please; Q border district? A says yes?	
51311051	H		no				yes	poway school district should be kept together in one district (lots of area - she lists communities)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311045			no	yes	share 78 and sprinter; latino community - cultural educational diversity socio-economic status	
51311046			no	no		
51311047			no	yes	encinitas has many communities but we are all the same	
51311048			no	no		
51311049			no	no		
51311050			no	no		
51311051			no	yes	poway school district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311045			no							
51311046			no							
51311047			no							
51311048			no							
51311049			no							
51311050			no							
51311051			no			do 1 vs 5				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311052	H		no	rancho bernardo tea party			yes	why is Rancho Bernardo in 3 CD (its 27K pop)?? Should be in one. Feel excluded by APA community - how they talk about what they want..why?	
51311053	H		no		valley center rural area at north east county		yes	dont use unicorporated as filler; lists communities bonsa.etc	
51311054	pass		no				no		
51311055	H	was on City of SD R Committee in 2001	no				yes	all Imper Co with south San D for CD	
51311056	pass		no				no		
51311057	pass		no				no		
51311058	H		no		culver city	LA co	yes	Westside is very active poltiically - break three ways west of 405 north of 10; one south of 10; one is bordered mulhulland	
51311059	pass		no				no		
51311060	H		no		encinitas		yes	agrees with earlier 2 speakers keep escondido inland keep oceanside coastal; san marcos with escondido; keep oceanside with Camp Pendelton Encinitas Solana Beach	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311052			no	no		
51311053			no	yes	rural agr etc	
51311054			no	no		
51311055			no	no		
51311056			no	no		
51311057			no	no		
51311058			no	yes	Q why around these city centers? A transportation hospitals gang in each area (LOL); tried to draw state lines	
51311059			no	no		
51311060			no	yes	military tie of oceanside and ____; water district shared between oceanside and ____;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311052			no							
51311053			no							
51311054			no							
51311055		VRA districts in Imp Riverside etc	no			glad to have citizens vs politicians drawing lines				
51311056			no							
51311057			no							
51311058			no					yes	maps? Proposal - talks about submitting a state plan	
51311059			no							
51311060			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311061	H		no		Escondido	SD Co	yes	keep us with san Marcos Vista and Oceanside and maybe either Carlsbad or Basal	
51311062	pass		no				no		
51311063	H	prof at CSU SM	no				yes	escondido san marcos vista oceanside and possibly? Carlsbad as COI	
51311064	pass		no				no		
51311065	H		no		escondido all life		yes	inland district vs coastal district for North County; coast camp pend to del mar 15 corridor for inland	
51311066	H		no		encinitas		yes	agree 47 60 funky beach towns belong together; Q what about oceanside? A belongs with 78 corridor BUT with bigger CD and SD all should be together; Qnorth county? A; yes we are not San Diego so North County should be together for bigger distr.s	
51311067	H		no	city of escondido elected official	escondido		yes	keep 4 cities together (see below)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311061			no	yes	Latinos are majority in escondido are not represented not getting elected when run - why need to be together.	
51311062			no	no		
51311063			no	yes	seen great demog and economic changes in this area; big festival across the street - cultural corridor between these areas.	
51311064			no	no		
51311065			no	yes	reinforce 60 - between Escondido and San Marcos affinity for years and years dating across HS same hospitals college districts public cemetary district utilities emerged together;	
51311066	carlsbad encinitas del mar ___ highlands carmel valley fairbanks banch rancho sante fe	pay attention to City of SD neighborhood designations - have strong affinities	yes	yes	funky beach towns work in high tech and _____	
51311067	escondido vista san marcos oceanside		no	yes	water district Latinos	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311061			no							
51311062			no							
51311063			no							
51311064			no							
51311065			no							
51311066			no							
51311067	increase odds for latinos to be elected (shes first elected in Escondido in 100 yrs)		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311068	H		no		escondido		yes	keep escondido intact dont go south to border go east-west (east? or west (?))	
51411001	H		no			mono	yes	trans-sierra AD either side of sierras hwy 80 and hwy	listed eastern sierra mountains counties; handout provided Q Inyo Co? A not so much (more desert) except Bishop is in COI with us
51411002	pass		no				no		
51411003	H		no		El Centro	Imperial	yes	border community of interest nothing in common with Riverside Co except Coachella Valley; imperial relation to border connects it to san diego	
51411004	H		yes	Pastors on Point Redistricting Latino and African-American			no		
51411005	H		yes	org serves international refugees from Africa Caribbean (TACRA)			yes	chula vista san diego East of I15 to el cajon ____	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311068			no	yes	cultural ties that run East-West rather than North-South;	
51411001			no	yes	choose to live in mountains committed to mountain lifestyle	tourist-related economy
51411002			no	no		
51411003			no	yes	go across border a lot for cultural events	
51411004	San Diego - AD 78 79		no	no		
51411005			no	yes	refugees need services that are affected by redistricting	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311068			no							
51411001			no							
51411002			no							
51411003			no							
51411004			no					yes	handout	
51411005			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411006	H		yes	TACRA too - welcome refugees at airport get them into services(training jobs ESL get CA state id etc.) - orient them to the country; Tabernacal African-Carribbean Refugee Association			yes	AD 747576	
51411007	H		yes	TACRA			yes	refugees reside in city heights downtown city of SD along 15 to el cajon down to chula vista ___ to national city	
51411008	H		yes	TACRA (the person which the speakers before say will answer all questions)			yes	as others said understand impt of redistricting for refugee community	
51411009	H		no		simi valley		yes	cities of Vent and LA together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411006			no	yes	counseling job placement health care	
51411007			no	no		
51411008			no	yes	40 of pop of San D	31 of workforce
51411009	Chattsworth Porter Ranch Santa Clarita Simi Valley Thousand Oaks Camarillo Oakpark moorpark??		no	yes	lots in common and NOT with towns as go west to Santa Barbara Solivang	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411006			no							
51411007			no							
51411008			no							
51411009			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411010	H - letter read		no		west hills		yes	westhills porter ranch westake village agoura hills simi valley? thousand oaks??	
51411011	H	sudanese community	no				yes	sudanese live in ___ parts of San Diego	
51411012	H	sudanese - spoke twice before	yes	Nine Cities - services for refugees			yes	city heights north park el cajon	
51411013	H		no		lemon grove		yes	keep assembly district 78 and CD 59 because good for us	
51411014	H		yes	Karen Foundation of San Diego represent people from Burmese			yes	adams ave I 15 university 805 S 38th? - 56th St?	
51411015	H		yes	Karen Foundation of San Diego - Karen is ethnic group from Burma			yes	city heights 30th 61st (same as before); need to be together in district	
51411016	H		yes	somalia community of San Diego - second largest Somalian community in USA (after twin cities Minn.)			yes	mostly live in city heights area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411010			no	no		
51411011			no	yes		unemployment because of lang accent discrimination - need jobs for community
51411012			no	no		
51411013			no	no		
51411014			no	yes	?	
51411015			no	no		
51411016		Q what streets? A _____el cajon blvd 7th st	no	yes	most live under poverty line and all the needs related; like to live together to help each other	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411010			no							
51411011			no							
51411012			no							
51411013			no							
51411014			no							
51411015			no							
51411016			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411017	H		yes	SCAPL - Asian Law org		San Diego	yes	AAPI in south bay (nat cty chula vista bay terrace and) north (rancho panecitas) __ area	
51411018	H	CSU - SD prof	yes	org for health of filipino	chula vista? Or paradise hills?		yes	national city is hub of filipino life	
51411019	H		no				yes	maintain SD and Imperial county in one district; 2 AD ok - like having 2 Ass members Q split Imperial into North and South? A no all of Imperial with	
51411020	H		no		rancho panesquitos		yes	API in __ Rancho PRanch _ Poway carmel Valley together	
51411021	H		no			san diego	yes	linda vista (W of 63 East of) - vietnamese pop; city heights el cerrito __ __ east of 15 north of 94 along el cajon and university	
51411022	H	professor and binational	no				yes	border culture	
51411023	H	worked with UFW	yes	promote hispanic vote and election	calexico		yes	dont change districts(AD SD CD) - they have worked for us like being with san diego more than would like to be with Riverside; if had to take out? A take out of Riverside Co (Mecca for one of the districts)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411017			no	no		
51411018	east Nat Cty Paradise Hills Chula Vista		no	yes	?	
51411019			no	yes	very interconnected - lives go between two counties (gave lots of exs one was boyscouts joint county org)	
51411020			no	yes		
51411021			no	yes	shopping center with Vietnamese owned biz	Vietnamese lower income
51411022			no	no		
51411023			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411017			no							
51411018		Latino VRA?	no							
51411019			no							
51411020	API need voice		no							
51411021			no							
51411022			no							
51411023			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411024	H		yes	vice mayor City of Nat Cty	national city		yes	COI of Nat Cty put with Ch Vista and South Bay communities of San Diego	
51411025	H		yes	APALC - data to support API proposals - re CAPAFR San Diego			yes	API communities (on handout)	
51411026	H	teacher in ___ school district works with filipino community	no		Chula Vista		yes	paradise hills bay terrece chula vista alta vista and ___ - Filipino area; keep Filipino other API community of South County together	
51411027	H		yes	tea party patriots of OC?		Orange County	yes	Orange County as COI OC should have 6.5 AD and only have 5	
51411028	H		yes	something re latino			yes	AAPi paradise hills etc (); Q what add? A some townsarea; ok to split chula vista sn National City? - AAPi live in east Ch Vista and eas Chuia vista	
51411029	H		yes	same as previous?		orange county	yes	talks about capistrano school district; keep school districts together in general	
51411030	H		no		carmel valley		yes	APA; scripps ranch poway ___	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411024	chula vista and south bay communities of San Deigo - Coronado and Imperial Beach could be added for s (after Q)		no	yes	cultural events lower education levels	
51411025			no	yes	common interests in area and divergent interests from other specific areas (Rancho Sante Fe was one)	
51411026			no	yes	filipino - many navy families	
51411027			no	yes	share school district media contiguous area	
51411028		805 is westeast divider of Chula Vista 8th ave (or 805?) in National City	no	no		
51411029			no	no		
51411030	curny mesa (lots listed)		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411024	to get entreprise zone easier with one rep rather than 2		no							
51411025			no							
51411026			no							
51411027			no							
51411028			no							
51411029			no							
51411030			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411031	H		no		island in the hills - tierra sante (official neighborhood of city of San Diego) - 32K pop and split into 3 AD (767778)	San Diego Co	yes	put whole community into one AD and one SD; gave proposal	
51411032	H	phd in planning study demographics	yes	works for non-profit studying demog			yes	proposed maps of swaths of diversitytrapestry (maps posted on internet)	
51411033	H		yes	workers council for 2 counties			yes	border COI	
51411034	H		yes	latino .			yes	2 counties and border community; draw from south of state so we arent left overs	
51411035	H	outreach coordinator for Census-increase count of latino	yes	Latino Redistricting Committee	grewup Calexico lives in San Diego		yes	CD SD join Imperial and San Diego and for AD join Imperial and Riverside; Q how do we nest? A thats more for you than for pop; Q how far go up? A Indiocochema valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411031		gave hwys streets as borders	no	yes	?	
51411032			no	yes	diversity	
51411033			no	yes		unemployment jobs
51411034			no	no		
51411035			no	yes	latino; for CD better to join border counties AD good to join with Cochella valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411031			no							
51411032			no							
51411033			no							
51411034			no							
51411035			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411036	H		no				yes	if dont have San D with Imper Co then lose raceethnic districts or else these communities will be joined with north and not ever get elected.	
51411037	H		no		national city		yes	San Diego Imperial County	
51411038	H		no				no		
51411039	H		no				yes	4 cities stay more with San Diego rather than with Imperial; Q what about other areas inbetween? A 4 cities show area but he means general area	
51411040	H		no		raised in Imperial now in San Diego	San Diego Co	yes	Imperial Co and San Diego Co very intertwined; keep them together - especially the south bay part of San Diego to not dilute LatinoLatina vote.	
51411041	H		yes	San Diego Latino Redistricting Committee			yes	keep San Diego Imperial Co together for minority empowerment	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411036			no	no		
51411037			no	yes	share community colleges state colleges boy scouts; also need two counties linked to ever get Latinos elected	
51411038			no	yes	minority retirees paid off home bought in 60s and minority in own neighborhood as others are naturalized citizens	
51411039	lakeside santee el cajon _____		no	no		
51411040			no	no		
51411041			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411036			no							
51411037			no							
51411038			no			something about federal VRA and 1 person1 vote				
51411039			no							
51411040			no							
51411041		some of your ques answered by looking at VRA	no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411042	H		no		Imperial (city of)s	Imperial Co.	yes	two counties inter-connected - keep together when draw maps	
51411043	H		no		city of Imperial	Imperial Co	yes	Imperial Co depends on San Diego Co - keep together	
51411044	H		yes	works w filipino youth in nationality city paradise hills bay terrace	grew up paradise hills		yes	keep API together in three areas (NC PH BT)	
51411045	H		no				yes	re city heights and oak park - large vietnamese pop; existing AD - Viet community in 2 please put in 1 AD	
51411046	H		yes	COPA			yes	national city is hub of filipino keep API together in BT PH CV and NC?	
51411047	H		yes	pastors on point united Af-amer latino redistricting committee			yes	proposed maps will be submitted but wants to make a point; looked at AD 78 79 and reconfigured	
51411048	H	Product of imperial valley city planning active advocate for communities	no				yes	SD 40 stay as is; connect 77 79 and 80?; keep SD and Imp Cos together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411042			no	yes	work in one live in other recreate in other; historical link; Imper Co work play depends on San Diego Co.	
51411043			no	yes	go to San Diego for better medical care	
51411044			no	yes	Filipino cultural festivals	
51411045		gave boundaries hwys and streets	no	yes	cultural events etc	
51411046			no	yes	?	
51411047			no	yes	collective desire to improve life ethnic groups livework well together	
51411048			no	yes	strong family ties across mexican border and county border; medical services survival needs	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411042			no							
51411043			no							
51411044			no							
51411045			no							
51411046			no							
51411047			no							
51411048			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411049	H		no				yes	move northern boundary of 78 to La Jolla area include UC; chula vista with south bay; then those two could be SD; wants CD to be more central San Diego	
51411050	H		no				yes	keep chula vista united with historical barrio district	
51411051	H		yes	Latino Redistricting Committee	sherman heights (one of 5 parts of) historic barrio district		yes	keep historic barrio district intact and be united with chula vista and imperial valley	
51411052	H		yes	Pan Pacific Law Enforcement Association (API Police Officer association; Lao American Coalition (advocacy for Lao community) one of co-founders of each		SD co - over 30 yrs	yes	two temples located in different AD (one in AD76 one in AD 78 please put in same AD (something like 78 now)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411049			no	no		
51411050			no	no		
51411051			no	yes	cultural events	
51411052	mid-city and city heights area from email this area in one district Chollas View Neighborhood consisting of E of Freeway 805 S of Freeway 8 and N of Market St	gave district s and will email them	no	yes	2 temples serve as centers of social cultural relig gatherings of Lao community Eng and non- Eng speaking; if neighborhood described in one district puts Lao with Southeast Asian and E African Refugees w whom share common interests	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411049			no							
51411050		respect VRA	no							
51411051			no							
51411052	do not divide the refugees and immigrant community of interest in city of San Diego		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411053	H		no				yes	support of Latino Redistricting Committee plan - keep boundaries that work for us take into consideration local redistricting groups	
51411054	pass		no				no		
51411057	pass		no				no		
51411058	H		no		chula vista on 805		yes	he has more in common with west chula vista than east	
51411059	pass		no				no		
51411060	pass		no				no		
51411061	H		no		Coronado	SD Co	yes	recommends how to change 53 CD and make it a strict coastal district	
51411062	pass		no				no		
51411063	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411053			no	yes	Imper Valley and San Diego interconnected chicano movement evolved etc	
51411054			no	no		
51411057			no	no		
51411058			no	no		
51411059			no	no		
51411060			no	no		
51411061			no	no		
51411062			no	no		
51411063			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411053			no							
51411054			no							
51411057			no							
51411058		ask for VRA to be honored that gains latino made as community are respected and allowed to advance.; realign 78 AD and 79 AD - allow latino to maintain electibility (in 78?)	no							
51411059			no							
51411060			no							
51411061			no							
51411062			no							
51411063			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411064	H		no		Del Saro community of San Diego		yes	traffic congestion where 128 and 5 merge; all in AD would be great	
51411065	H		yes	east county community action org			yes	east county do NOT lump us in with Imperial Co.	
51411066	pass		no				no		
51411067	pass		no				no		
51411068	pass		no				no		
51411069	H		no				yes	Ventura Co camirillo Thou Oaks moorpark simi valley very similar and if need more include santa clarita not with Oxnard and coastal area of Ventura; San Diego Co. consider economic drivers	
51411070	H		no		Lemon Grove		yes	lemon grove - consider factors below when draw lines	
51911001	H		yes	group in SJ Co private sector hospitals etc		San Joaquin	yes	brought maps to show you	
51911002	H	with speaker 1 presenting the maps w ppt	yes			San Joaquin	yes	all of east SJ Co in one AD rest of co goes into AD with CC Co; next slide is CD proposal but out of time	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411064		gave borders	no	yes	CSU SDiego is a hub	
51411065	gave list (lakeside alpine decanso credit el cajon el mesa		no	yes	equestrian rural	
51411066			no	no		
51411067			no	no		
51411068			no	no		
51411069			no	no		consider economic drivers - military in San Diego
51411070			no	yes	multi-ethnic no majority large immigrant pops struggling middle class	
51911001			no	no		
51911002			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411064			no							
51411065			no							
51411066			no							
51411067			no							
51411068			no							
51411069			no							
51411070			no							
51911001			no					yes	ppt with maps of proposed districts	05.19.11. A
51911002			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911003	H		yes	Sac City speaking on behalf of city manager	Sac	Sac	yes	city should be in one CD	
51911004	H		no		North Auburn	Placer	yes	AD 4 excludes Auburn Auburn in AD 3 with Nevada CityGrass Valley which dominates; AD line should be county line; submits maps all 3 distr along county lines ADplacereldorado counties intact take out Apline Co keep sch sup districts in tact	continued SD 7 counties on nevada border (Modoc - Mono) plus plumas and yuba CDModoc Lassen Plumas Sierra Placer El Dorado and part of Butte
51911005	H	census supervisor in El Dorado	yes	vice chair of group of sierra club	cameron park near Shingle Springs	el dorado	yes	mountain redistricting plan submitting next week; el dorado hills cameron park shingle springs in with rancho cordova; rochlinroseville similar to citris heights orangevale	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911003			no	yes	vibrant collection of cohesive neighborhoods community orgs meet with city regularly; big challenges ahead- flood protection transpor system - best served by single voice in Congress	
51911004			no	no		
51911005	cameron park shingle springs ___ hills; separate from Roseville area and LincolnRochlin??		no	yes	widespread COI of in Sierra (So LT to chico) tourism agric wine grapes apples	distinct rural area in eastern Placer see soc interest is very diff from more suburban area in western Placer and western El Dorado; eg disagree over water - build auburn damn (congressmember for Auburn against)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911003			no					yes	letter from city manager	05.19.11. B
51911004			no					yes	proposed three districts and handwritten note explanations	05.19.11. C
51911005			no					yes	Mountain Redistricting Plan map of 5 proposed AD	05.19.11. D

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911006	H	lawyer used to be Public Defender for Yolo Co	no		west sac office in davis previous public defender for Yolo in Woodland	Yolo	yes	include Yolo Co as part of I-80 corridor (rather than I-5 corridor) pair with Solano Co with which have historic ties; mistake to go north and pair with Colusa Glenn Butte Yuba	
51911007	pass		no				no		
51911008	pass		no				no		
51911009	H	commissioner on adult and aging commission Sac Co	yes	representing AAPI community in Sac (not Commission above); council of asian pacific islanders together for advocacy and leadership CAPITAL - CAPAFR			yes	elk grove and west sac in same district for API community	
51911010	H		yes	filipino org - active in census - CAPAFR			yes	south sac and elk grove filipino community - keep those together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911006			no	yes	public defender offices both statutory vs north counties have contract public defenders; also UCD (biggest employer in county) partly in Solano Co. Davis borders Solano Co	
51911007			no	no		
51911008			no	no		
51911009			no	yes	chinese hmong laotion vietnamese(one morein order of size)	
51911010			no	yes	filipino community - one big neighborhood use same social and commercial services	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911006			no							
51911007			no							
51911008			no							
51911009			no					yes	testimony w 2 thematic maps	05.19.11. E
51911010			no					yes	testimony	05.19.11. F

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911011	H		yes	APALC reading ARI testimony; ARI provides naturalization services ESL classes employment services to any low-income LEP - CAPAFR			yes	ARI South Sacramento office serves southeast asian pop 2nd office serves downtown and West Sac northern office in Citrus Heights and serves North NatomaNorth Highlands (see SEAsian Latino and Slavic)	
51911012	H		yes	TOFA (sac co - for Tongan community) CAPITAL (see 9 above) - CAPAFR			yes	elk grovesouth sacnorth natomasnorth point area west sac pacific islander; west sac more associated with Sac city than with rest of Yolo because of services and Asian culture	
51911013	pass		no				no		
51911014	pass		no				no		
51911015	H		no		nevada city	nevada	yes	current districts have northsouth orientation - rural - please keep the same change as little as possible if not broke dont fix it do 3 or less	
51911016	H		no		grass valley - history in gold mining home of Draft Horse Classic.	nevada co	yes	satisfied with current lines going northsouth wants small deviations so that rural areas not represented less	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911011	little saigon in Sacramento	city designates Little Saigon as Stockton Blvd from Fruitridge to Florin Blvds	no	yes	southeast asian pop faces barriers to accessing reources ARI provide service to reduce those barriers (eg ESL classes); ARI also helps immigrants to become citizens	
51911012			no	yes	lots of needs health etc	
51911013			no	no		
51911014			no	no		
51911015			no	yes		share similar economies within current districts would not with coastal districts
51911016			no	yes		rural areas together

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911011			no					yes	testimony with 1 thematic map; read by other from APALC	05.19.11.G
51911012			no					yes	testimony with 1 thematic map	05.19.11.H
51911013			no							
51911014			no							
51911015			no					yes	testimony using form	05.19.11.I
51911016			no					yes	testimony using form	05.19.11.J

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911017	pass		no				no		
51911018	H		no		truckee	nevada	yes	in AD 3 4 - confusing for small town; more in common with AD 4 towns; please moving AD 3 into AD 4; also split in El Dorado and Placer	
51911019	H	insurance?	no			Nevada	yes	central eastern and coastal CA very different; draw district on NS basis.	
51911020	H		no		grass valley	nevada	yes	dont lump rural in with urban and coastal areasno mega district please; makes no sense to draw eastwest district; keep northsouth lines	
51911021	H		yes	Lake TahoeSouthshore Chamber of Commerces			yes	areas around Lake Tahoe Basin remain as one unit with Truckee	El Dorado Placer
51911022	H		no			Nevada County - rural area	yes	mega district is bad idea current districts work well - northsouth is good - cant see any other way to do it; urge 2 or less deviation	
51911023	pass		no				no		
51911024	H	property owner?	no				no	keep rural rural and keep representatives that understand our community and not be dominated by urban area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911017			no	no		
51911018			no	yes	social too?	skiing etc in common with other areas of AD 4
51911019			no	no		
51911020			no	no		
51911021			no	yes	challenges - snow removal; communities with no infrastructure (eg no broadband); big project ___?	
51911022			no	yes	yes	yes
51911023			no	no		
51911024			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911017			no							
51911018			no							
51911019			no							
51911020			no					yes	testimony using form	05.19.11.K
51911021			no							
51911022			no					yes	testimony using form	05.19.11.L
51911023			no							
51911024			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911025	H	doctor - retired psychologist	yes	environmental org	diamond springs	el dorado county	yes	keep together sierra foothills as COI; should trump county boundaries; keep from high density border cities; wants to sierra foothills district; keep together Auburn Chico Grass Valley Mammoth Lakes Nevada City Oroville Placerville South Lake Tahoe	11 counties represented by county seats on his list of cities
51911026	H	retired air force officer retired lawyer	no		Rochlin		no		
51911027	pass		no				no		
51911028	pass		no				no		
51911029	H		no				yes	location location location 3 most important things; wants compactness to limit travel times	
51911030	H		yes	El Dorado Co Board of Supervisors President			yes	placer and el dorado for AD - tahoe basin; tahoe basin currently in AD 4 should be in own district but need more population - go west?	
51911031	H	contractor biz owner	no		placerville	el dorado	yes	NS line keep counties east of it (foothillmt culture) together	
51911032	H		yes	city of auburn city clerk	auburn	placer	yes	coast to inland do all districts have some of everything and so are the same	
51911033	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911025	bridgeport markleyville sonora san andreas Jackson Placerville auburn downey quincy Susansville nevada city		no	yes	history rural low density rural character businesses ecosystem	
51911026			no	no		
51911027			no	no		
51911028			no	no		
51911029			no	no		
51911030			no	yes	riverswater sheds (areas carved out by rivers) roads define communities; connect historical culture of 2 counties	historic trading commerce
51911031			no	no		
51911032			no	no		
51911033			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911025			no					yes	testimony with handwritten notes	05.19.11. M
51911026			no			keep bias out.				
51911027			no							
51911028			no							
51911029			no			you will be judge on ___ criteria				
51911030			yes							
51911031			no					yes	testimony	05.19.11. N
51911032			no			visualize bar codes across state.; coast to inland				
51911033			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911034	H		yes	Nevada County? board of supervisors	Truckee		yes	nevada county in AD 4; recommends Truckee with Placer Co??	
51911035	H	retired architect and engineer	yes	league of Placer County tax payers -reduce taxes and lawsregulations			yes	share with other counties that are similar	
51911036	H		yes	Lincoln City Councilman	Lincoln	Placer	yes	keep similar interests together of Placer El Dorado and Nevada Counties	
51911037	pass		no				no		
51911038	pass		no				no		
51911039	pass		no				no		
51911040	H		no		Diamond Springs	El Dorado Co	yes	dont want to be with Rancho Cordova - keep rural communities together - we love being rural	
51911041	H		no		Somerset southeast of Placerville	El Dorado	yes	keep NorthSouth districts;	
51911042	H		yes	Auburn City Council	Auburn	Placer Co	yes	keep Placer Co whole - 330 K we are a COI; take 130K from El Dorado Q where A east to Placerville; Q sierra district? A no not an isolated sierra district not good - wants what he said (above)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911034			no	yes	Q state of Lake Tahoe? A hornets nest but one state rep would help	
51911035			no	no		
51911036			no	yes	placer co transportation planning agency six county council of govt - blueprint for ____	
51911037			no	no		
51911038			no	no		
51911039			no	no		
51911040			no	no		
51911041			no	no		
51911042			no	yes	Auburn State Recreation Area - runs __ to Folsom;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911034			no							
51911035			no			follow rules compact as much as possible				
51911036			no							
51911037			no							
51911038			no							
51911039			no							
51911040			no							
51911041	keep rural areas together to keep voice		no							
51911042	keeping placer whole to allow accountability.		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911043	H	retired military real estate	no		___ area of Nevada Co	Nevada Co	yes	keep rural in tact something about exception of Truckee?	
51911044	pass		no				no		
51911045	H		no				yes	actually likes having two reps but did work hard to get Prop 11 passed; after 91 almost had parity in Legislature lasted one year (1995); he wants the state fixed as a whole	
51911046	H		no				no		
51911047	H		yes	city of lincoln mayor pro tem	Placer		yes	like having 2 Senators one has feel for urban areas one for rural areas; describes two main areas of county; do not do super district that goes up north?; Q if propose to split Placer where do you go? A Lincoln can go with either	
51911048	H - read letter of friend		no		Woodland	Yolo	yes	I -80 corridor linking Fairfield with Yolo county cities on I-80 - likes east-west orientation	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911043			no	no		
51911044			no	no		
51911045			no	no		
51911046			no	no		
51911047			no	no		
51911048			no	yes	water; I-80	growing viticulture

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911043			no							
51911044			no							
51911045			no							
51911046			no			be apolitical no agenda blank slate; draw straight line eg. place grid for 40 spaces and adjust pop				
51911047			no							
51911048			no					yes	testimony	05.19.11. O

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911049	H		yes	Sac Hispanic Ch of Commerce ____	rochlin roseville biz owner	Placer	yes	weve been underrepresented because of our growth; fortunate to be represented by two senators who both care - please dont reduce that to one; no east-west district - Humboldt too far too hard to get there	
51911050	H		yes	Peoples Advocate - 15 yrs on redistr reform			yes	?? Spirit of law	
51911053	H		yes	town of Loomis councilman	Loomis	Placer	yes	Placer El Dorado Nevada Cos similar small town rural; these 3 together at least could go up to sierra; Q what about AD? A could do Placer and Nevada (hwy 80) or Placer and El Dorado (hwy 50); Q Granite Bay? A used to be part of Loomisrural2	comes back later dont go south to get population
51911054	H		no		grass valley	Nevada	yes	we are linked to Placer because only method of escape path to Roseville and Sacramento auburn is larger and has shopping and medical we do think alike nevada and Placer belong together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911049			no	no		
51911050			no	no		
51911053			no	yes	we think differently from urban areas	
51911054			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911049			no							
51911050			no							
51911053			no							
51911054			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911055	H		no		Granite Bay	Placer	yes	terribly gerrymandered districts - and wants nesting for more communication between reps; AD her neighborhood is lopped off and stuck with citris heights and fair Oaks (shes at end of Folsom); dont keep the same at all	
52011001	H	retired county sup Sonoma	no				yes	do as little as humanly possible to change districts for Sonoma County; districts have served us well (he describes them)	Sonoma
52011002	H		yes	Redwood Empire Fair appt by Governor to serve on	Willits (says on handout)	Mendocino	yes	keep Lake and Mendocino County together (because of college district)	
52011003	H		yes	sonoma county board of sups president			yes	likes sharing districts w neighboring counties sonoma lake mendo napa share wine sonoma and Humbo DN share fishing; has worked well for us to split county. Q where? A how is now good; s will tell you; Q all levels? A yes	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911055			no	no		
52011001	realizes that Davis needs to expand because of viticulture?		no	no		
52011002	eureka crescent city fort bragg college of redwoods.		no	yes	Fair is very important College of Redwoods coast guard serves coastal counties - need to stay together	
52011003			no	yes	agr wine natural resources coastal issues share with neighboring counties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911055			no			thinks nesting and compactness should be more important				
52011001			no							
52011002			no					yes	info about Medicino-Lake Community college district and Redwood Community College District and Division 8 of Coast Guard	
52011003			no					yes	letter from Sonoma County Board of Sups	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011004	H	pomo tribal nation	yes	tribal council mendo county grand jury third term			yes	AD SD CD del norte - sonoma good for tribesmentions VRA	
52011005	H	news biz	no		Willits	Mendocino	no		
52011006	H		no	no group	Santa Rosa	Sonoma	yes	submitted maps for AD SD CD; advocating to keep Sonoma County as whole as possible;havent had representation cause split; combine with Mendocino and Napa - wine areas	
52011007	H		no			Sonoma - 20 yrs	yes	Marin and Sonoma share most common interests; should be with each other cant if Marin with East Bay or SF	
52011008	H		no		San Rafael	Marin	yes	want Marin with Sonoma not with SF; CD with two SD with two plus napa AD Marin plus Sonoma up to Santa Rosa	
52011009	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011004			no	no		
52011005			no	no	news describes north coast papers and where cover and that hearings werent in coast media.	
52011006			no	yes	different from Bay Area not like Marin; wineries more rural etc	
52011007			no	yes	suburan and rural outside activities	
52011008			no	yes	common lifestyles recreationoutside activities viticulture	econ biz interest along 101 corridor agriculture
52011009			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011004			no							
52011005			no				no transcripts or minutes or FF on video	yes	testimony says that already sent emails about NS coast is cohesive unit and EW district would not be good for north coast left copy of emailed comment	
52011006			no					yes	testimony and maps	
52011007			no							
52011008			no							
52011009			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011010	H		no		Novato formally Mill Valley	Marin	yes	AD all of Marin linked with Southern Sonom incl all of Santa Rosa SD all Marin Sonoma Napa CD all Marin and Sonoma marin not with SF	
52011011	H		no			Marin - 40 yrs	yes	Marin and Sonoma are 2 members of same family go over GGB and flow into Sonoma; keep Marin and Sonoma together dont dilute North Bay with other counties; Q what cut to make AD A if have to cut below Santa Rosa but hate to do it	
52011012	H - will submit specifics by email		no		Santa Rosa	Sonoma	no		
52011013	H	co-own manufacturing company in Sonoma	no			Sonoma	yes	Sonoma and marin are very different more commonality in northern neighbors than with marin; submits maps that meet 5 and 1 person for CD; our maps try 2 keep Santa Rosa and urban areas intact and 2 keep rural areas in tact	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011010			no	yes	worlds apart from SF lots of agriculture environmental concerns share with Sonoma	
52011011			no	yes	common belief in rural living education and family life	
52011012			no	yes	culture shock when moved from SF all walks of life in Santa RosaSonoma; keep Sonoma county whole	
52011013			no	yes		look at economic development reports for Marin and Sonoma

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011010			no							
52011011			no							
52011012			no					yes	maps (same as 6)	
52011013			no					yes	testimony and maps and also same maps as 6 and 12	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011014	H		yes	LWV Mendo member	little river	mendocino	yes	North coast is rural isolated area linked by hwy 1; historically DN Co to Mendo - NS - League concerned with westeast idea - bec cant travel	
52011015	H		yes	LWV of Mendo	fort bragg	mendocino	yes	keep DN H M and Trinity and Lake for AD fight over Sonoma; will bring AD up to pop need	
52011016	H		no			Mendocino	yes	audobon map - where birds live - DN H M T S L Co include DN in SD as much as Sonoma as possible; for AD stay same; for CD less of Yolo more of Sonoma.	
52011017	H	school principal	yes	LWV of Mendo	Little River	Mendocino	yes	put DN in SD keep current AD; keep NS orientation.	
52011018	H	own few acres of grapes in Yountville	no			Napa	yes	need to stay same; napa stay in one district napa needs to stay with Yolo Sonoma Lake and other wine areas; southern border of county should be border of district - not with Solano Co there (north Solano near Yolo ok)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011014			no	no		
52011015			no	yes		share economies fishing (salmon) recreation sports agriculture viticulture
52011016			no	no		
52011017			no	yes	colleges bind the counties (he lists); coast guard serves coast - unified help for recent tsunami	
52011018		I-80	no	yes	united through wine industry and tourist industry	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011014			no					yes	map	
52011015			no					yes	proposed district and pop counts	
52011016			no					yes	Californias important bird areas	
52011017			no							
52011018			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011019	H		no			Mendocino	yes	proposed district describes counties for each AD (one AD del norte Siskiyou trinity Mendocino lake; other AD Shasta lassen Tehama glenn) and nested in SD	
52011020	pass		no				no		
52011021	H		no			Napa	yes	join with Yolo sonoma lake and mendo and napa should remain intact (agrees with 19)	
52011022	H		no			Napa - 55 years	yes	napa with yolo lake sutter glenn colusa and parts of Sonoma - wine areas	
52011023	H	junior Marin Academy - map on Calitics.com	no				yes	Marin and Sonoma together not Marin with SF	
52011024	H		no			napa	yes	preserve city and county lines to have greater accountability; respect transport and biz interest Santa Rosa San Rafael Petaluma along 101; SD 2 should not incl Davis Fairfield? And 80 corridor stop SD __ at GGB and not include SF	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011019			no	no		
52011020			no	no		
52011021			no	yes	rural agriculture area	
52011022			no	yes		old days prunes cattle; now Wine
52011023			no	yes	marin and sonoma alike marin not like SF; marin is 85? rural?; small towns - like Sonoma SF is 100 urban - bad to put marin with it	
52011024			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011019			no					yes	testimony map petition	
52011020			no							
52011021			no							
52011022			no							
52011023			no					yes	testimony and mpa	
52011024			no					yes	testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011025	H		no		greater santa rosa area	sonoma	yes	North Bay is COI lines begin at GGB move North no further east than Napa up to Mendo Lake; SF is not part of COI nor is East Bay industrial communities of I-80 corridor; written statement	
52011026	H	fire fighter EMT all over Bay Area	no		Sebastapol	Sonoma	yes	nothing in common with SF; core is 101 corridor thru marin and sonoma; dont get rid fo CD 6; change as little as possible. Q where stop in Sonoma? A incl Santa Rosa cut out Bodega and other coastal areas and put them with northern coastal counties.	
52011027	pass		yes				no		
52011028	H		no			Napa	yes	Napa in common with Yolo Lake Sonoma NOT solano	
52011029	H	retired small biz owner	no			Napa - 63 years	yes	with Lake Glenn Colusa Yolo and Sutter; Q any of Sonoma A rural part town of Sonoma which is closest to Napa	
52011030	H	teacher FA have vinyard	no			Napa	yes	want to be grouped with other agric interested areas; Mendo Lake Sonoma and Yolo would be good - more common interests	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011025			no	no		
52011026			no	no		
52011027			no	no		
52011028			no	no		
52011029			no	yes	rural more than Solano; proud of current tourism but also of heritageprune pickers	
52011030			no	yes	agriculture	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011025			no							
52011026			no							
52011027			no							
52011028			no							
52011029			no							
52011030			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011031	H	small fruit orchard lives on	no		Novato	Marin	yes	keep Marin and Sonoma together - they have a COI; two counties make close to CD	
52011032	H	self-employed ___ cleaner?	no			Marin	yes	marin and sonoma unified district separate from SF	
52011033	pass		no				no		
52011034	H		no		Mill Valley	Marin	yes	dont throw Marin under the bus the way people here want to doesnt say what hes referring to	
52011035	H	clinical pyschologist	no			practice in Marin and Sonoma	yes	districts are schizophrenic - dont make sense cant tell what district in; Marin and Sonoma together; Q where split Sonoma? A above the city boundary of Santa Rosa	
52011036	pass		no				no		
52011037	H		no		SF 10 yrs Larkspur last 6	Marin	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011031			no	yes	rural agric suburan thousands of wineries more families and children than SF 101 is connector natural social and economic boundaries to separate from SF and East Bay	
52011032			no	no		
52011033			no	no		
52011034			no	no		
52011035			no	no		
52011036			no	no		
52011037			no	yes	care about grass fires mt tam watershed 101 corridor; not all the things in SF; SD should not go over GGB	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011031			no							
52011032			no							
52011033			no							
52011034			no			highest priority is to reduce as much as possible overlapping district that led to back room politics				
52011035			no							
52011036			no							
52011037			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011038	H		yes	Humboldt Co Board of Sups President		Humboldt	yes	keep north coast counties link in all three districts; letter from Arcata City council submitted tonight; Q DN Co in same district? A yes issues that divide us from inland exist for over 100 yrs.	
52011039	H		no		pt reyes station west marin isolated from 101 corridor	Marin	yes	identify with Sonoma county	
52011040	H		no		Windsor	Sonoma	yes	Sonoma County in one district; dont divide anymore and keep away from Marin and SF; very different life from both SF and Marin; impt to keep wine areas together (lists counties lake napa mendo?)	
52011041	H		no		Santa Rosa larkfield area	Sonoma	yes	hates SD thats like worm and goes through larkfield; compact districts please	
52011042	H		no		Sausalito	Marin	yes	SD 3 problem AD and CD are fine; marin sonoma Mendocino and Humboldt together - makes perfect SD	
52011043	H		no			Marin biz in Sonoma	yes	please make SD marin sonoma and Napa AD up to highway 12	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011038			no	yes	self identify as north coast or redwood region dont share interests with inland north CA; unique range of issue fishing cruise lines etc; 1853 Humb Co exists because didnt want to be governed by people on other side of mountains.	
52011039			no	yes	rural lifestyle	rural agri latino workforce shop in petaluma Santa Rosa Fairfax and San Rafael
52011040			no	yes	different from Marin - more rural dont commute to SF in same way; share wine with other counties	
52011041			no	no		
52011042			no	yes	SMART etc	
52011043			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011038			no					yes	County of Humboldt and City of Arcata letters	
52011039			no							
52011040			no					yes	list current districts for Sonoma County also same maps as 6 12 13	
52011041			no							
52011042			no					yes	ppt about how redistricting affects North Bay	
52011043			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011044	H	teach? at CSU Sonoma supervises teachers	no		Valley of Moon area		yes	keep Sonoma together dont separate at hwy 12; napa lake mendo sonoma	
52011045	H		yes	represents Napa Valley wine industry Napa County Board of Sups			yes	keep Napa whole and with sonoma mendo lake	napa mendo lake sonoma - premium wine grape growing
52011046	H		no		Santa Rosa	Sonoma	yes	AD7 is very gerrymandered urban part of Santa Rosa put with Napa and with Vallejo-Santa Rosa nothing in common with Vallejo; Sonoma Co as one AD or split and put with wine country	
52011047	H		yes	sausalito city council member			yes	SD 3 should begin at GGB marin in COI w sonoma mendocino and humboldt	
52011048	H		yes	Flood mitigation league of Ross Valley	san anselmo	marin	yes	respect land mass contiguity water issues- flood drainage	
52011049	H	radio talk show host media consultant	no			Marin	no		
52011050	H	teacher	no				yes	keep marin and sonoma together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011044		Valley of Moon santa rosa city line to ___ line thru Sonoma to 80	no	yes	commonalities of schools around Sonoma County and neighboring counties	
52011045			no	yes	premium wine group growing	
52011046			no	yes		
52011047			no	yes	coast	
52011048			no	yes	flood drainage	agricultural - equestrian industry
52011049			no	yes	media landscape - Marin doesnt use SF media	
52011050			no	yes	SMART 101 corridor concern with environment similar environment (eg redwoods) same water shed; education system same in Marin and Sonoma very different from SF	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011044			no							
52011045			no					yes	Napa Board of Sups resolution	
52011046		Vallejo - filipin and Af-am pop vote diluted being with Napa Santa Rosa	no							
52011047			no							
52011048			no							
52011049			no							
52011050			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011051	H		no		penngrove	South Sonoma Co	yes	AD 6 all of marin and southern slice of Sonoma - so we are ignored	
52011052	H		no		San Anselmo work in Novato	Marin	yes	Marin and Sonoma	
52011053	pass		no				no		
52011054	H		no				no		
52011055	H		yes	Lake Co Board of Sup member - 150 yrs ago today separated from Napa County; resolution from Board has been sent		Lake	yes	remain in same CD; lake napa mendo sonoma and yolo in one district; North sonoma only?	
52011056	H		no				no		
52011057	H		yes	Mendo Co Board of Sups Chair MCOG - transportation authority			yes	link with napa sonoma	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011051			no	yes	ground water sent to Marin we are running out	
52011052			no	yes	common way of life hospitals schools etc	
52011053			no	no		
52011054			no	yes		north bay biz journal north bay biz (magazine) north bay leadership council - none serve SF or East bay
52011055			no	yes		premium wine grapes geothermal energy water rights (lake county (cache creek drains into) is headwaters to yolo counties russian eel river start in Lake
52011056			no	no		
52011057			no	yes	services to seniors	fishing tourism harbor concerns (with Hand DN Cos)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011051			no							
52011052			no							
52011053			no							
52011054			no							
52011055			no							
52011056			no			draw competitive districts				
52011057			no				echo Humboldt Sup that not having hearing on coast for Region IX is problem	yes	letter from Mendo Board of Sups	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011058	H		no			North Marin	yes	keep CD 6 all Marin and Sonoma SD Marin Sonoma Napa AD Marin and Sonoma up to healdsburg? Q still need to reduce A likes firefighters idea of carve out coast of Sonoma	
52011059	H		no		Novato	Marin	yes	stop at GGB blend Marin and Sonoma Counties	
52011060	H		no		San Rafael	Marin	yes	SF vs Marin country lifestyle; stop at GGB	
52011061	H - came to Redding		no				yes	NS vs EW districts; advocate for EW district - 19 was interesting	
52011062	pass		no				no		
52011063	H		no		Santa Rosa	Sonoma	yes	keep sonoma co whole as much as can sonoma with marin instead of with Napa santa Rosa should with marin rather than Napa some sonoma towns (glenn ellen?) with napa; look at tourism and wine	
52011064	H	home care provider SEIU member	no		__ Hot Springs	Sonoma	yes	go north and east and marin and solano and a little bit of Yolo	
52011065	home care		no		Sonoma (town) - lived in Marin before	Sonoma	yes	Marin and Sonoma tied together	
52011066	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011058			no	yes	quality of life issues - commute from Sonoma through Marin;	
52011059			no	yes	lifestyles etc	tourism wine and food
52011060			no	no		
52011061			no	no		
52011062			no	no		
52011063			no	no		
52011064			no	no		
52011065			no	yes	homeless same funding for health care tied 101 corridor is key	
52011066			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011058			no							
52011059			no			wants citizen-based process				
52011060			no							
52011061			no							
52011062			no							
52011063			no			dont let special interests influence process				
52011064			no							
52011065			no							
52011066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011067	H - extended remarks handed out; will present state plan on Tuesday		no		San Francisco	San Francisco	yes	nesting can be done - will show that; AD 6 could go up and include Santa Rosa and incl rural areas around russian river other AD go into napa; talks about senate and how must stop at GGB to protect SF representation	
52011068	H		no				no		
52011069	H		no			Sonoma	yes	all of Marin and Sonoma for SD and all of Napa (cities of Napa and american canyon) and Mendocino Lake	
52011070	H		no		Sebastapol	Sonoma	yes	keep sonoma county whole and with counties that share interests (rural agr etc) and NOT grouped in with Marin Marin more like SF	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011067			no	no		
52011068			no	no		
52011069			no	yes	family is COI - lives all over Marin and Sonoma	
52011070			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011067			no					yes	ltr and maps of districts from different eras	
52011068			no				video turnaround is too slow and window too small. no transcripts inline to process review updated copy of comment database furnished to public every 48 hrs			
52011069			no							
52011070			no					yes	maps same as 6 12 13 40	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011071	H		no				no		
52011072	pass		no				no		
52011073	H		no		sebastapol family has 5 generations	sonoma	yes	AD 6 - marin and a little part of Sonoma; would like to keep Sonoma whole describes how Sonoma split up; provides map wants CD from Petaluma north	
52011074	pass		no				no		
52011075	H		no		Santa Rosa since 2002	Sonoma	yes	keep coast line in district; sonoma and marin lots more in common than marin does with SF; if have split Sonoma to keep 101 corridor and coast together put town of Sonoma with Napa healdsburg? with Napa	
52011076	pass		no				no		
52011077	pass		no				no		
52011078	pass		no				no		
52011079	pass		no				no		
52011080	pass		no				no		
52011081	pass		no				no		
52011082	pass		no				no		
52011083	pass		no				no		
52011084	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011071			no	no		
52011072			no	no		
52011073			no	no		
52011074			no	no		
52011075			no	no		
52011076			no	no		
52011077			no	no		
52011078			no	no		
52011079			no	no		
52011080			no	no		
52011081			no	no		
52011082			no	no		
52011083			no	no		
52011084			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011071			no			use hexagon based on pop with max number of sides touching - to avoid gerrymandering - people closest to each other have more in common				
52011072			no							
52011073			no					yes	maps same as 6 12 13 40 70	
52011074			no							
52011075			no							
52011076			no							
52011077			no							
52011078			no							
52011079			no							
52011080			no							
52011081			no							
52011082			no							
52011083			no							
52011084			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011085	H		no			marin 23 yrs	yes	huge difference between marin and sf lots in common with Sonoma rural water transport flood issues wildland fires; dont divide Marin - if divide us become inconsequential with issues; Q where go? A prob Napa but key is more in common with Sono	
52011086	pass		no				no		
52011087	pass		no				no		
52011088	pass		no				no		
52011089	H		no			Marin	yes	Marin and Sonoma work together - SMART transport similar economies share water; dont divide Marin?	
52011090	H		no		tiburon	marin	yes	San Rafael Bridge should be barrier dont go east to east bay - nothing in common over there; put marin and sonoma together	
52011091	pass		no				no		
52011092	pass		no				no		
52011093	pass		no				no		
52011094	pass		no				no		
52011095	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011085			no	no		
52011086			no	no		
52011087			no	no		
52011088			no	no		
52011089			no	no		
52011090			no	yes	marin and sonoma share recreation issues GGNRA wine country face similar issues	
52011091			no	no		
52011092			no	no		
52011093			no	no		
52011094			no	no		
52011095			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011085			no							
52011086			no							
52011087			no							
52011088			no							
52011089			no							
52011090			no							
52011091			no							
52011092			no							
52011093			no							
52011094			no							
52011095			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011096	H	13 yr old - Sonoma Day School - going to Branson or MA grandparents in Marin	no			Sonoma	yes	Marin and Sonoma share a lot - I didnt know for years where border was; sonoma should be split in north northern parts are different.	
52111001	H		no		Livermore	Alameda	no		
52111002	H		no		Pleasanton and biz there	Alameda	yes	pleasanton shares various things with cities listed below	
52111003	H		no		Fremont	Alameda	yes	Freemont Newark Hayward San Leandro union city milpitas together - keep CD together look at 1991 lines; Q along hwy 83? A yes	
52111004	H		no		Fairfield	Solano	yes	Co west boundary mountains south by __ suisan bay and east by; currently split up keep Solano Co intact and put with (similar counties) Napa and Yolo	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011096			no	no		
52111001			no	no		
52111002	livermore dublin san ramon pleasanton Tri-Valley Area	western boundary of valley south border of valley east boundary is Altamonte Hills	no	yes	transportation fire	
52111003			no	yes		economies need to be together
52111004			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011096			no							
52111001			no			congress low approval non-competitive districts; must maximize competitive to improve govt; whole state is a COI		yes	several pages explaining his position (not numbers or maps)	
52111002			no					yes	2 page testimony and google maps showing 4 cities together	
52111003			no							
52111004			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111005	H	realtor - sell communities not houses	yes	association of realtors			yes	area where look for home - ended up in Black Hawk; its a COI then and more so now	
52111006	H	doctor	yes	bio-tech company owner (Hayward)	Berkeley	Alameda	yes	Berkeley not like cities on other side of hills so shouldnt be with them. His COI is children of CA	
52111007	H		yes	San Mateo County Board of Sups President - submitted proposal		San Mateo	yes	SD - want one that will allow someone from county to get elected central San Mateo County SD; Q problem with coast district? A no just not a lot of pop - most on bay side; Q which way add? A see submitted proposal	
52111008	pass		no				no		
52111009	H		yes	(testifying on behalf of) East Bay Asian Voter Education Consortium (lists groups in it - on handout its 10 groups) working with CAPAFR			yes	API most rapidly growing pop in AL Co 3 concentrations 1)Oakland Alameda Berkeley2) 3) Fremont Newark	
52111010	H		yes	with CAPAFR	Oakland	Alameda	yes	keep Oakland china town whole (8th and webster sts)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111005			no	no		
52111006			no	no		
52111007			no	no		
52111008			no	no		
52111009			no	no		
52111010			no	yes	API need services and so need fair and adequate representation	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111005			no							
52111006			no			fair districts equal population				
52111007			no					yes	ppt with maps	
52111008			no							
52111009			no					yes	testimony with 2 maps	
52111010			no					yes	testimony with 1 map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111011	H		yes	FAJ (Filipino Advocates for Justice) - part of CAPAFR; shes Executive Director	mostly berkeley and oakland over 35 yrs	AL Co - 35 yrs	yes	keep Union City in tact for all district levels Hayward San Lorenzo San Leandro	
52111012	H		no			Alameda - almost 30 yrs	yes	keep Union City in tact Castro Valley and Hayward with it need more take San Leandro San Lorenzo Ashland Cherryland	
52111013	H		yes	Chair of Bay Area Chapter of statewide org (APAPA) to promote API at all levels of govt - CAPAFR	Fremont	Alameda	yes	see maphandout Fremont Newark Milpitas and the Berryessa part of San Jose together; Q cross county cause COI stronger? A yes	
52111014	H		yes	Asian Law Caucas - CAPAFR	San Francisco - 7 yrs	San Francisco	yes	Chinatown in SF and immediate area where API live; Visitation Valley Excelsior Bayview - respect this please-keep together	
52111015	H		yes	Asian Pacific American Community Center (APACC); In SF(Visitacion Valley) part of CAPAFR			yes	API in San Francisco; Visitation Valley and Chinatown in same district Q but not contiguous? A right but currently VV cut off of district with Chinatown would like to see together.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111011			no	yes	API and Latino	
52111012			no	yes	API need services	
52111013			no	yes	API shopping etc;	
52111014			no	no		
52111015		Q where is Chinatown A kerney to powell california to broadway chinatown	no	yes	language services are critical help new immig with housing low per capita income	economic issues shared

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111011			no					yes	testimony with map	
52111012			no					yes	testimony only	
52111013			no					yes	testimony with map	
52111014			no					yes	testimony with map	
52111015			no					yes	testimony with map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111016	H		yes	Gay Asian Pacific Alliance (GAPA) - with CAPAFR	San Francisco - 6 years	San Francisco	yes	tenderloin soma are home to many API LGBT; they access services for LGBT and attend community-bldg events in upper market castro and Haight-Ashbury; respect geographic integrity of both API LGBT communities (and end the eastwest divide?)	
52111017	H		yes	Pilipino Bayanihan Resource Center (PBRC)	Daly City	San Mateo	yes	Daly City - largest Filipino pop outside of philipines (and in US) Daly City pop is 13 Filipino 12 Asian; AD currently splits Daily City in 2 and it needs to stay together; Q ask re linking Daly City with south parts of SF (says this in handout)	
52111018	H	teacher volunteer	no		Concord 10CD 11AD 7 SD	Contra Costa	yes	now have 2 CDs; please put Concord in one CD	
52111019	H		no		Clayton (east of Walnut Creek) - very small town	Contra Costa	yes	to do anything go to Lamorinda walnut creek danville alamo	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111016		5th to Van Ness Market to Post South of Market 5th to South Van Ness	no	yes	language issues need services	
52111017	Daly City South San Francisco Broadmoor Colma; also remain connected w other Asian-Americans in Sunset Richmon (says in handout)		no	yes	history of immigration cultural; current AD does not respect Daly City cause splits it;	
52111018			no	yes	increasing diversity in Concord	
52111019			no	yes	same type of living and shopping	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111016			no					yes	testimony with map	
52111017			no					yes	testimony with map	
52111018			no							
52111019			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111020	H		no		Diablo Valley (WC Orinda Lafayette San Ramon Clayton) Q Concord? A yes kind of part of D Valley	Contra Costa	yes	natural boundary between berkeley area and diable valley - should be in separate districts;	
52111021	H		no		Martinez county seat works in Walnut Creek	Contra Costa	yes	I - 680 corridor from Martinez to Walnut Creek - her COI - east to hwy 4; richmond in I-80 district	
52111022	H		no		Danville	Contra Costa	yes	Keep San Ramon Valley together (Walnut Creek down to Dublin) join with Lamorinda;	
52111023	H		no		10CD 7 AD 15 SD	Contra Costa	yes	CD 10 - with part of Solano - they have nothing to do with us; her COI - west border is East Bay hills Bay on north San Joaquin Valley on east.	
52111024	H		no				yes	keep San Ramon Valley and Walnut Creek area in same CD; CD 10 CD 11 is confusing should not be with San Joaquin area.(as in CD 10)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111020			no	yes	different climates	
52111021			no	no		
52111022			no	yes		similar economic interests - commuters shop in other areas
52111023			no	no		
52111024			no	yes	rural san joaquin Valley nothing to do with San Ramon Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111020			no							
52111021			no							
52111022			no							
52111023			no							
52111024			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111025	H		no		Lafayette	Contra Costa	yes	history of forming CC AL counties - based on ridgelines rivers bay; use NS ridgeline to draw districts one to water (nw to Bay?) one down to Pleasanton; Q what about co lines? A important generally but in this one case ridgeline takes priority	
52111026	H		no		Oakland 25 yrs		yes	district lines respect on city and county boundaries; respect transport corridor and natural geographic boundaries; Oakland Piedmont Alameda and Emeryville together rather than Oakland with Orinda Moraga	
52111027	H		no		Glenview district part of Oakland	Alameda	yes	COI is her neighborhood	
52111028	H		no		Oakland - 51 yrs	Alameda	yes	2 decades ago - judges - nesting - easier to understand who legislator is; expensive for ROV to print ballots - would be more efficient to use city and county lines	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111025			no	no		
52111026			no	no		
52111027		between hwy 13 and 580 - mid hills	yes	yes	similar needs values concerns housing	
52111028			no	yes	diverse city - live harmoniously	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111025			no					yes	small paper with notes	
52111026			no							
52111027			no			draw the lines to benefit all Californians				
52111028			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111029	H		no	speaks about special interests influencing process	Oakland	Alameda	no		
52111030	H		yes	representing Alice B. Toklas LGBT Democratic Club on board of directors	concord		yes	being part of SD 3 has helped LGBT in SF and Marin; Marin stay with SF so that LGBT community in marin has voice	
52111031	H		no				yes	City of Alameda with Oakland rather than Fremont	
52111032	H		no				no		
52111033	H		no		walnut creek - 20 yrs CD 10 AD 15	Contra Costa	yes	COI is Lamorinda Walnut Creek San Ramon Valley (along 24 and 680; CD 10 includes rural areas (like Dixon) which dont have anything to do with; AD 15 includes part of Sacramento - not good either	
52111034	H		no		Martinez	Contra Costa	yes	urge to keep COI based on job centers ..?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111029			no	no		
52111030			no	no		
52111031			no	no		
52111032			no	no		
52111033			no	no		
52111034			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111029			no					yes	testimony	
52111030			no					yes	testimony	
52111031			no							
52111032			no			fair compact districts that reflect community with all its differences not have those differences parceled out.		yes	testimony	
52111033			no							
52111034			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111035	H		no		Pleasanton - 41 yrs	Alameda	yes	Pleasanton has been gerrymandered; hard to know who her rep is; Dublin san ramon pleasanton livermore is greater San Ramon Valley - 580680 corridor dont cut off at san ramon Co line	
52111036	H		no		CD 7 SD 7 AD 11		yes	diablo valley and san ramon valley on 680 corridor is COI keep CC Co together as COI	
52111037	H	ran for CD 13 so knows how district constructed and how it confuses people	no		lives in CD 13 unincorporated Alameda Co	Alameda	yes	alameda fits better with Oak Berk; ___with san Lorenzo San RamonCherryland and ___; Newark Fremont; Pleasanton with Livermore Dublin Sinol	
52111038	H		no		Lamorinda	Contra Costa	yes	with some bayside communities in some districts; need to be with Dublin and San Ramon Valley; not with towns over berkeley oakland hills	
52111039	H		no		Berkeley	Alameda	yes	remove east Oakland communities from communities on 680 corridor; alameda co should be separated from Western and Eastern hubs	
52111040	pass - then came back - made 43		no				no		
52111041	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111035			no	no		
52111036			no	no		
52111037			no	no		
52111038			no	yes		commute first to sf then to walnut creek same for shopping and recreation
52111039			no	no		
52111040			no	no		
52111041			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111035			no							
52111036			no							
52111037			no							
52111038			no							
52111039			no							
52111040			no							
52111041			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111042	H		no		Camarillo	Ventura	yes	East Ventura should link to west side of LA Co (Westlake Village etc)	
52111043	H - 40 who missed slot was given 43 cause no 43 - statement in Chinese		no		San Francisco work in Sunset live in another area	San Francisco	yes	dont split up San Francisco; being treated unfairly - sunset with other specific areas in SF	
52111044	H		no		San Pablo	Contra Costa	yes	bad district that San Pablo County in has Solano Co part which has nothing in common with west and east CC Co; AD 1 that San Pablo is in is good.	
52111045	H		yes	AC Transit District - at large measure			yes	special district 1 which is west of ridgeline and west of CC Co	
52111046	pass		no				no		
52111047	H	immigrant to bay area	no				yes	CD 7 - Contra Costa to Solano (to Vacaville but cuts off cities on way there); keep CC Co together in one CD as much as possible	
52111048	pass? Janeece marked as speaking but KMD and I had as a pass		no				no		
52111049	H - had handout		yes	Tri-Valley City Councils	Pleasanton (part of tri-valley communities)	Alameda	yes	respect tri-valley communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111042		hwy 23 34 and interstate ____ corridors	no	yes	east ventura different from coastal part of Ventura	
52111043			no	no		
52111044			no	no		
52111045			no	yes	COI based on transportation and what willing to pay for; use to see where boundaries are	
52111046			no	no		
52111047			no	no		
52111048			no	no		
52111049	Pleasanton Livermore Dublin San Ramon Danville		no	yes	media delivery area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111042			no							
52111043			no					yes	testimony in Chinese	
52111044			no							
52111045			no							
52111046			no							
52111047			no							
52111048			no							
52111049			no					yes	Tri-Valley City Councils (ltr petition map)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111050	H		no		Livermore (tri valley area)	Alameda	yes	Tri Valley area - Livermore Dublin Pleasanton; put this with Tracy (area that people move to cause less expensive)	
52111051	H		no		El Sobrante	Contra Costa	yes	Hercules Pinole close to El Sobrante are similar please keep with El Sobrante	
52111052	H	was on AC Transit Board	no				no		
52111053	pass		no				no		
52111054	H		no		Orinda	Contra Costa	yes	include transportation corridors areas of similar interests and geographic barriers (oakland hills); lamorinda with _____ - will email her testimony	
52111055	H		no		Concord	Contra Costa	yes	maintain integrity of county lines- CD	
52111056	H		no		unicorporated area near Pleasanton Bart	Contra Costa	yes	Lamorinda is a COI; towns on 680 corridor are similar should be together (Lamorinda with San Ramon and Danville); berkeley hills and Caldecott tunnel are natural boundary	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111050			no	no		
52111051			no	no		
52111052			no	no		
52111053			no	no		
52111054			no	no		
52111055			no	yes	history of CCAL Co - before gold rush were together being separate makes sense historic basis of difference of opinion	
52111056	Lamorinda cities with San Ramon and Danville		no	yes	Bart rail hwy 680	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111050			no							
52111051			no							
52111052			no			wants nesting to make it easier to see who represents you? Submitted maps?				
52111053			no							
52111054			no							
52111055			no							
52111056			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111057	H		no		San Ramon	south Contra Costa	yes	San Ramon Valley Tri-Valley Lamorinda - keep that area compact all similar	
52111058	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	yes	keep us (mentally ill) together if can - Tenderloin and East Oakland	
52111059	H - read others letter		no		Folsom	Sacramento	yes	Folsom Dam - unique area that should be recognized in drawing lines in 3 counties	
52111060	H		no				no		
52111061	H		no		Fremont	Alameda	yes	geographic features impt; Area in hills (Mission Hills) should be___?	
52111062	H		no		Pleasanton tri-valley	Alameda	yes	CD lists cities to add to Pleasanton(lamorinda clayton brentwood byron but not Sinol); AD proposal too; keep pleasanton whole? Keep cities whole	
52111063	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	no		
52111064	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111057	San Ramon Valley wDanville Alamo Walnut Creek Dublin Livermore Pleasanton	580 and 680 defines	no	yes	bonded by civic and cultural attractions retail dining; live work play worship	
52111058			no	yes	people labelled mental goals is to stay out of institutions but lots are homeless - need better housing	
52111059			no	no		
52111060			no	no		
52111061			no	no		
52111062			no	yes	share common resources media	
52111063			no	no		
52111064			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111057			no							
52111058			no							
52111059			no							
52111060			no			allow us to be enfranchised be fair				
52111061			no							
52111062			no							
52111063			no			supports the two party system??		yes	testimony	
52111064			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111065	H		no		Oakland 4th generation biz in Oakland now lives in NorthBeach ? (since 1986?)		yes	SF should be one CD; in sunset line goes down street - feels bad for them	
52111066	H - in chinese		no		Sunset District SF		yes	professional scammer in neighborhood affected by representation at highest level	
52111067	H - translator of Chinese		no				yes	CD 6 CD 7 - Pelosi and Spier (who also represents San Mateo) - makes it hard to get representation in Sunset I dont belong with San Mateo I belong with SF; make SF whole in CD	
52111068	pass		no				no		
52111069	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	yes	keep melting pot going rather than salad bowl of micro-cultures; Berk-Oak Hills boundary down to water. One group one objective	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111065			no	no		
52111066			no	no		
52111067			no	no		
52111068			no	no		
52111069			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111065			no							
52111066			no					yes	testimony in Chinese	
52111067			no					yes	testimony with a google map	
52111068			no							
52111069			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111070	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	no		
52111071	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	yes	dont take district over hills to Lamorinda - to suburbs; Q to make SD go north or south; A north	
52111072	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	yes	all of Oakland in same Assembly District Dublin and Livermore removed from SD with urban Oakland; CD - Alameda not with Oakland and should be.	
52111073	H		no		San Francisco		yes	parents in SF in different district	
52111074	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111070			no	no		
52111071			no	no		
52111072			no	no		
52111073			no	yes	minority in SF cause straight property -owner; leave special interest out	
52111074			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111070			no			return to common sense drawing of districts - use geographical boundaries hills bay cities and communities; ignore calls for racial balkanization; Q county boundary over communities? A yes if just based on race no if based on ruralurban economics				
52111071			no							
52111072			no							
52111073			no							
52111074			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111075	H		yes	Tri- Valley Coaltion (see handout)	Diablo	Contra Costa	yes	unite Diablo ValleyTri-Valley Area; ok to cross county line; free pleasanton from 3 counties; map shows cities to keep together for ADCDSD; petition says ok to add similar towns such as walnut creek Lamorinda by going north along 680	
52111076	pass		no				no		
52111077	pass		no				no		
52111078	H		no				yes	Danville includes Alamo Diablo Blackhawk-Camino Tassajara (school district includes this_); proposes CD and AD with cities	
52111079	H		no		Oakland (downtown 11 yrs)	Alameda	yes	keep cities and county boundaries as much as possible to avoid confusion	
52111080	H	Democratic party delegate	no		Oakland; in Oakland for 46 of her 52 yrs rest in San Leandro	Alameda	yes	respect diversity - draw lines for good rather than special interests	
52111081	pass		no				no		
52111082	H		no		Fremont	Alameda	yes	diversity of Fremont - different ethnicities religions; combine Fremont with Hayward Union City Newark Milipitas	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111075	from map Castle Hill Alamo Diablo Danville Blackhawk Camino-Tassajara San Ramon Norris Canyon Dublin Pleasanton Livermore;		no	yes	shopping area; mayors and councils work together on issues for greater good of area (from testimony)	economic area - this area work together for economic growth (says testimony)
52111076			no	no		
52111077			no	no		
52111078			no	no		
52111079			no	no		
52111080			no	no		
52111081			no	no		
52111082			no	yes	depend on health care shopping	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111075			no					yes	testimony map and petition	
52111076			no							
52111077			no							
52111078			no							
52111079			no							
52111080			no							
52111081			no							
52111082			no					yes	2 testimonies (from 83? from 84 with map of Columbia U CD 14) - joint submission between 82 83 84	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111083	pass - but S? - joint-submitter of folder left by 82 84 in folder testimony by Hayward resident who did not speak)		no				no		
52111084	H		no	submitting Columbia University map that combines 5 cities (Milipitas Fremont Newark Union City Hayward) just like did in 1991	Fremont	Alameda	no		
52111085	H		yes	UAW Fremont (person with them)			yes	san leandro newark fremont union city hayward part of milipitas? Not livermore and not pleasanton	
52111086	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111083			no	no		
52111084			no	no		
52111085			no	no		
52111086			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111083			no					yes	See abovebelow; testimony of one who didnt speak Hayward resident please keep Hayward w Fremont Union City Newark bec similar needs re econ devel schools social services; if w affluent Pleasant Liverm Dublin Hayward needs will be overlooked	
52111084			no					yes	2 testimonies (from 83? from 84 with map of Columbia U CD 14) - joint submission between 82 83 84	
52111085			no							
52111086			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111087	H		no				yes	CDs in southern california - 4 impt military facilities; dont put Ridgecrest ChinaLake with Antelope Valley	
52111088	H		no				yes	want Oakland to have its own representative (noone elected to Assembly? who lives in Oakland); odd and even number districts issuevoting for 2 versus none	
52111089	H		no		Berkeley several generations ; now lives in Oakland	Alameda	yes	a previous speaker captured how he wants to see Oakland	
52111090	H		no		Livermore 32 yrs	Alameda	yes	Livermore been gerrymandered in CD 10; best thing is to keep cities of tri-valley together (lists 4 or 5? san ramon Dublin pleasanton livermore)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111087			no	no		
52111088			no	no		
52111089			no	yes	low-income communities of color face disparities (health jobs) need to work as coalition to meet needs	
52111090			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111087			no							
52111088			no							
52111089			no							
52111090			no					yes	testimony with map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111091	H		no		Lafayette	Contra Costa	yes	925 area code	
52111092	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	yes	Oakland community is Oakland urge to keep Oakland whole if cant look at city council districts - rather than demographics (common interests between different demograp; use West CC School District lines for Richmond; communities around Folsom Dam together	
52111093	pass		no				no		
52111094	H		no		Moraga	Contra Costa	yes	danville san ramon not like Lamorinda	
52111095	H	retired federal agent	no		vacaville	Solano	yes	CD 7 - look at changing this way dont let incumbants keep winning	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111091			no	no		
52111092			no	no		
52111093			no	no		
52111094			no	no		
52111095			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111091			no			transparency numbering nesting helps people understand open-minded about cross-county especially when following transportation barriers (or corridors?)				
52111092			no							
52111093			no							
52111094			no							
52111095			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111096	H		no		west oakland	alameda	yes	strong geographic identity and historical identity of west oakland (describes history ethnic groups - eg italian portugese); West Oakland should remain intact all of Oakland should remain intact. Q go where? A north2 Berkeley2 flat (downtown) or 2	
52211001	H S		no				yes	Mont with SLO and not with Santa Cruz	Monterey
52211002	H S		no				yes	three counties (Mont SLO northern Santa Barbara Co) together and not with Santa Cruz	
52211003	H S		no				yes	agrees with last speaker Monterey Co paired with SLO Co and further south; Mont Co couldnt be more different than Santa Cruz Codont pair with it; Q how far down in Santa Barbara Co? A go down 101 and look at agricultural communities	Monterey SLO counties
52211004	H		no				yes	keep Monterey Co whole not w Santa Cruz add SLO and Santa Maria city in SB Co	
52211005	H S		no		Monterey - 27 yrs	Monterey	yes	Monterey more in common with south SLO Co based on military; keep Monterey SLO together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111096			no	no		
52211001			no	no		
52211002			no	no		
52211003			no	yes	share water issue - salinas river basin - without that 2 counties would be dust bowl physically and economically	share wine industry recreation opportunities salinas river water shed key to economies of 2 counties
52211004			no	no		
52211005			no	yes	share military facilities interests	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111096			no							
52211001			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. A
52211002			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. B
52211003			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. C
52211004			no							
52211005			no					yes	handwritten testimony	05.22.11. D

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211006	H S		no			Monterey	yes	Monterey and SLO Co and town of Santa Maria (of Santa Barbara Co) to make a coastal district; all Monterey in one district; nothing in common with Santa Cruz Co; Q draw line at Santa Maria for SB Co? A Yes include Santa Maria; Q San Benito?? A??	Monterey SLO and part of Santa Barbara
52211007	H S		no				yes	Monterey and SLO and SB Co	
52211008	H S	Navy Veteran	no		Monterey Valley	Monterey	yes	Combine Monterey with SLO Co	
52211009	H		no			Santa Clara	no		
52211010	pass		no				no		
52211011	H		no		unicorporated Co (island in San Jose?)	Santa Clara Co	yes	gave his CD AD SD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211006			no	yes	share 101 coast historical bldgs hiking trails big sur coastline superior retirement living; nothing in common with Santa Cruz Co	
52211007			no	no		
52211008			no	yes	help students going to school cause cheaper to live in SLO Co; geographically connected Salinas River hwy 1 101 coast and valley same infrastructure?	
52211009			no	no		
52211010			no	no		
52211011			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211006			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. E
52211007			no					yes	handwritten testimony	05.22.11. F
52211008			no					yes	handwritten testimony	05.22.11. G
52211009			no			want fair districts and dont want alphabet groups running show. Dont divide us by race ethnicity how much have. Race ethnicity not a good criteria				
52211010			no							
52211011			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211012	H S		no				yes	county grouping including San Benito (heard tail end) based on Latino ability to get elected??; Monterey San Benito Santa Cruz nothing in common with SLO and the 101 Monterey corridor see testimony	
52211013	H		yes	NALEO Ed Fund			no		
52211014	H S	former mayor city council member (City of Watsonville); currently (for 34 yrs) a secondary public school educator several other affiliations	no		Watsonville 20 yrs	Santa Cruz	yes	Monterey Santa Cruz San Benito together; need new SD with these three like current CD 17; Q how far up in S Cruz? A into Scotts Valley to Greenfield Capitola Aptos Aromas Hollister in S Benito	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211012			no	no		
52211013			no	no		
52211014			no	yes	diversity among three co.s is great and want own district; Begonia Festival?	economy of Watsonville Martinellis lite manufacturing some tech

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211012			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11.H
52211013		notes that in a Section 5 county	yes	Monterey	significant to hold hearing there		thanks and look forward to seeing maps			
52211014			no					yes	testimony 2 maps (current CD 17 suggested SD 15)	05.22.11.I

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211015	H	served at Fort Ord scholar of region	no		Santa Cruz Seabride neighborhood?	Santa Cruz	yes	Recs re Salinas Valley; S Cruz and Monterey and not SLO and not Palo Alto go E to pick up pop; expand AD 27 28 and nest them and CD 17 in one SD; keep tog ag areas around Salinas Watsonville Hollister Gilroy (rest of So S Clara Co) - 101 corridor	
52211016	H		no		live in Gilroy work in Monterey Co	Santa Clara	yes	Gilroy Morgan Hill and San Martin very similar family oriented communities - currently gerrymandered (especially Morgan Hill and she describes her district as well)	
52211017	H	former elementary teacher parent grandparent	no		Sunnyvale 42 years	Santa Clara	no		
52211018	H		no		Sunnyvale 52 years	Santa Clara	yes	his AD SD CD should include cities of sunnyvale santa clara saratoga cupertino los gatos Qneed more go where? A South to Saritoga	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211015			no	yes	multiple overlapping COIs each need a voice not swallowed by far away counties	rural communities of Salinas Vall more in common w Western part of Merced Co than with SLO
52211016			no	no		
52211017			no	no		
52211018			no	yes	shares roads schools retail centers houses of faith	COI is Silicon Valley

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211015		recognizes that CRC needs to meet this requirement qualifies recomm with that.	yes	Monterey	just reference to VRA in this area					
52211016			no			fairness not based on raceethnicity religion language or how much earn				
52211017			no			wants fair lines compact with smallest possible perimeter 1 deviation				
52211018			no			draw fairly and not based on what groups want (listed MALDEF NALEO Greenlining Institute CAUSE) we are Californians first dont draw based on race religion language wealth				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211019	H		no		Morgan Hill Gilroy over 30 yrs in south S Clara Co	Santa Clara	yes	south Santa Clara County in one district (Morgan Hill San Martin Gilroy); we are geographically together - can understand why not in same CD.	
52211020	H		no		Santa Cruz Mts Loma Prieta Mt	Santa Cruz County but address in Los Gatos and schools in Santa Clara Co too	yes	CD14 - most gerrymandered district disenfranchises me as a voter; what does Palo Alto have in common w Boulder Creek	
52211021	H S		no		Marina	Monterey	yes	SD 15 proposal Santa Cruz Monterey San Benito and then can take predominately agricultural parts of Santa Clara (such as Gilroy) parts of Kings and Fresno	
52211022	H S	teacher - 4th grade Spanish-speaking	no		Salinas (previously lived in Watsonville in scruz co and worked in Las Lomas in Mont Co)	Monterey	yes	wants SD to be nested with 2 current AD; Monterey Santa Cruz San Benito together; doesnt want to be with Merced Fresno Modesto SLO or Santa Barbara	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211019			no	yes	family-oriented mardi gras in Morgan Hill Garlic Festival	share economics - agriculture (garlic especially)
52211020			no	no		
52211021			no	yes	three counties have vision of being intergated area.	core is agriculture hospitality tourism and higher education; UCSC CSU Mont Bay Cal Poly SLO now all in SD 15 - so cut SD 15 at SLO Co line and have one advocate for Cal Poly SLO and one for other two
52211022			no	yes	never go to Merced Fresno SLO for a class; travel often to S Cruz Monterey Penisula to see family shop	coastal agriculture using local water to grow up to three crops in one field not same as ag in Merced

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211019			no			fairness not special interests				
52211020			no			dont use race ethnicity voter demographics to draw lines draw lines to reflect communities that comprise districts				
52211021			no			good to have two advocates for central coast or for agricultural area		yes	testimony proposal for SD 15 w map and stats	05.22.11.J
52211022			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11.K

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211023	H	served at Fort Ord former military	no		Monterey Peninsula	Monterey	yes	keep Mont SLO Cos together (military and agr families kids to CSU CalPoly); S Cruz Co more BerkSF than like Mont; CD 17 not include S Cruz city or any part of S Cruz Co; CD 17 SD 15 AD 27 should be Monterey south to SLO not incl Santa Cruz	
52211024	H S	stay at home mom	no		Hollister raised in S Cruz Co husband works in Monterey Co	San Benito	yes	Santa Cruz San Benito Monterey	
52211025	H		no			Monterey	yes	keep current AD s; does not like SD 12 15 reps live away and dont rep our interests; please nest AD into SD	
52211026	H S		no		Carmel Valley	Monterey	yes	SD 15 dont have representation with it; want it to be centered in mont co include all of Mont Co santa cruz Co san benito Co south santa clara Co incl Morgan Hill	
52211027	H		no				yes	Mont SLO Santa Maria	
52211028	H		no				yes	S Cruz Mont S Benito So S Clara	
52211029	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211023			no	yes	military interests with Mont and SLO Santa Cruz Co is nuclear free zone very unfriendly to military; communist party member on city council of S Cruz	
52211024			no	yes	share sports school compete in same leagues share education school districts overlap share charities -eg. Jacobs Heart Uway has umbrella	share economy residents of S Benito mostly work in S Clara S Cruz Monterey Co.s
52211025			no	yes	media markets develop organically and show where COI are	
52211026			no	no		
52211027			no	no		
52211028			no	no		
52211029			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211023			no							
52211024	S Benito smaller but interests are entwined with S Cruz and Mont and dont feel lost by being rep with them		no					yes	testimony	05.22.11.L
52211025			no							
52211026			no					yes	testimony with RedrawCA maps	05.22.11.M
52211027			no							
52211028			no							
52211029			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211030	H S		no		Hollister	San Benito	yes	S Cruz S Benito Mont	
52211031	H		no			Monterey	yes	keep Mont whole add SLO and Santa Maria	
52211032	H S		no			Monterey	yes	Mont SLO 246 around Santa Ynez (santa Barbara co)	
52211033	H S	mother military wife	no		CD 17 SD 15 AD 27	Monterey	yes	Monterey down to Santa Maria	
52211034	H		no		since 97 Monterey and Pacific Grove husband native of Salinas	Monterey	yes	Monterey pair up with SLO	
52211035	H		no			Monterey	yes	Mont should be whole go south into SLO S Barbara not Santa Cruz	
52211036	H S		no		Bonny Doon	Santa Cruz	yes	re military	
52211037	H S		no		Salinas 13 yrs	Monterey	yes	current CDs ADs represent her county(or area?) well - SD does not; interests not with Merced Madera or Stanislaus; please put in SD with Santa Cruz (south) Santa Clara San Benito	
52211038	H S		no		Salinas	Monterey	yes	Mont not with SLO	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211030			no	no		
52211031			no	no		
52211032			no	no		
52211033			no	yes		keep economic area in tact from Monterey to Santa Maria
52211034			no	yes	military university	agriculture in Monterey and SLO
52211035			no	no		
52211036			no	no		
52211037			no	no		
52211038			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211030			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. N
52211031			no							
52211032			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. O
52211033			no			fair and just decisions please		yes	testimony	05.22.11. P
52211034	need strong voice for ag in two counties		no							
52211035			no							
52211036			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. Q
52211037			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. R
52211038			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. S

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211039	H S	Mont Co Supervisor federal VRA plaintiff against City of Salinas in 1987 member of NALEO and lots of other orgs (read them all)	yes	Mont Co Board of Supervisors	East Salinas	Monterey	yes	keep Monterey intact and dont delete voting strength of Latinos in Monterey County; put with Santa Cruz San Benito with whom have much in common.	
52211040	H S	LGBT community	no		Hollister	San Benito	yes	SLO Merced Santa Barbara should not be in same district with San Benito Monterey and Santa Cruz. San Benito in COI with Monterey and Santa Cruz.	
52211041	H - came back after 44		no		Malibu	Los Angeles	yes	dont identify with East Ventura Co; dont like to go over the hill go to S Monica or LA to shop; put with Pacific Palisades S Monica go east; Q where add people? Why not commun on other side of Santa Monica mts? A its cultural rather than proximity	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211039			no	no		
52211040			no	yes		landuse and water issues in Salinas Valley are different from S Joaquin Valley coastal range and other regions
52211041			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211039		comply with VRA to allow Latinos to elect candidate of choice	no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. T
52211040			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. U 05.22.11. V
52211041			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211042	H S		no		Prunedale	Monterey	yes	Santa Cruz over to Morgan Hill catching ag areas (hollister prunedale paso robles); do not include South San Jose or go north to Palo Alto etc;	
52211043	H		no		Monterey	Monterey	yes	Include Monterey with SLO take in Vandenberg AFB in Santa Barbara Co; feel no closeness to Santa Cruz Co (not only nuclear free zone also handing out pot at city council mtg)	
52211044	H		no				no		
52211045	H		no			Santa Clara	no		
52211046	pass		no				no		
52211047	H		no		Salinas	Monterey	yes	AD 27 - agree with last speaker?; dont dilute voting strength of minorities they need to be able to elect candidate of choice have lots of needs and need to be represented; monterey S Benito together	
52211048	H		no		Seaside	Monterey	yes	Mont not with SLO or Santa Cruz Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211042			no	no		
52211043			no	yes	share with SLO Coa; military agriculture water wine corridor 101 corridor big sur everyone goes to CalPoly	
52211044			no	no		
52211045			no	no		
52211046			no	no		
52211047			no	no		
52211048			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211042			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. W
52211043			no							
52211044			no							
52211045			no			fairness				
52211046			no							
52211047		impt to elect candidate of choice where he lives is diverse and had many needs and need to be represented	no							
52211048			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211049	H S - longer version handed in than speaking notes		yes	LWV of Monterey and Santa Cruz			yes	AD 27 want to see all of Santa Cruz and coastal areas of Monterey Co (its already like this); for SD 15 want Monterey Santa Cruz and San Benito (three counties in AMBAG- Association of Mont Bay Area Govts); Q other AD? A out of my LWV jurisdiction	
52211050	pass		no				no		
52211051	H		yes	many hats parent President of Santa Cruz Co Board of Education	Rio Del Moore	Santa Cruz	yes	many school districts	
52211052	H S		yes	member of AMBAG mayor of Watsonville	Watsonville Pajaro Valley	Santa Cruz	yes	COI with San Benito and Monterey Co	
52211053	pass		no				no		
52211054	H		no				no		
52211055	H S		no				yes	COI is tri-county of S Cruz Mont S Benito; go from So S Clara Co (border or take towns?) to Paso Robles in SLO Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211049			no	no		
52211050			no	no		
52211051			no	no		
52211052			no	yes	city is 83 Latino (mostly mexican-american); north Monterey shares a zip code with us; water shed shared with Monterey; AD 27 28 nested into new SD??; agriculture; Q what is Salinas Valley? A	
52211053			no	no		
52211054			no	no		
52211055			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211049			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. X
52211050			no							
52211051			no							
52211052		section 5	yes	Monterey	must be reviewed			yes	business card	05.22.11. Y
52211053			no							
52211054			no							
52211055			no					yes	testimony	05.22.11. Z

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211056	H	co-founded CA Forward	no				yes	agrees with using 3 county area of AMBAG; also look at history (1991 good 2001 not well served by)	
52211057	H		no			Monterey	yes	Mont S Cruz S Benito	
52211058	H		no				yes	Mont NOT with SLO but with San Benito and with Freedom Watsonville (both in S Cruz Co)	
52211086	H - signed up for high number because wanted to be last		no				yes	agrees with 12335; from Mont Co go South	
52311001	H		no		Foster City	San Mateo 48 yrs	yes	county border doesnt reflect county.	
52311002	H S		no				no		
52311003	H	technical writer	no		Livermore 8 yrs Hayward before that	Alameda	yes	CD 10 - octopus; want Livermore with Pleaston Dublin San Ramon and Sunol - areas around 580680 intersection.	
52311004	H S		yes	Center for Employment Training (CET) CEO serve low-incom people in San Jose area			yes	keep San Jose (their service areas) as one community in one CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211056			no	no		
52211057			no	no		
52211058			no	no		
52211086			no	no		
52311001			no	no		
52311002			no	no		
52311003	Tri-valley area Livermore Pleasanton Dublin Danville San Ramon Sunol Q why Sunol? A it could go to Fremont but wants it lots friends live there. Q where go to add pop? A not over east bay hills to haywardOak..	Continued Go north to Alamo Concord or east to Tracy (which is similar - Tracy Hills) not northeast to Brentwood - too rural	no	yes	share a lot of life between cities fire district shared between Livermore Pleasanton;	
52311004			no	yes	san jose area has long had concern for needs of community and continues to do so; resources are slim now. Q how funded? A federal	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211056			no							
52211057			no							
52211058			no							
52211086			no							
52311001			no							
52311002			no					yes	RedrawCA maps	05.23.11. A
52311003			no							
52311004			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11. B

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311005	H		no		Redwood City	San Mateo	yes	wants district around San Mateo Co.; Q where split for AD? A doesnt want to split Co but if had to from San Mateo city to ocean.	
52311006	H		no		Redwood City	San Mateo	yes	Redwood City is her COI	
52311007	H S		yes	Asian Law Alliance part of CAPAFR	San Jose 26 yrs	Santa Clara	yes	keep AAPI community together - was cut in 4 by 2001 redistricting; provided map	
52311008	H S		yes	with CAPAFR - has handout	Cupertino born raised and lives there now	Santa Clara	yes	talks about Taiwanese pop and also Little Saigon	
52311009	H S	filipino-american	no		Berryessa area NE San Jose with 100K residents	Santa Clara	yes	Berryessa divided into 4 AD and totally disenfranchised API community; Berryessa Milpitas Fremont make a COI please make into one AD; Q cross co line? A yes bec community go across for shopping etc; Q Berryessa U SD is neighborhood? A yes	
52311010	H S		yes	Berryessa Neighborhood Association	Berryessa area	Santa Clara	yes	Berryessa USD - has map of school district city council district map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311005			no	no		
52311006			no	no		
52311007	Berryessa etc		no	yes	AAPI make up over 34 of S Clara County; have common needs	
52311008			no	yes	largest Taiwanese pop and now Taiwanese biz district	
52311009		boundaries of Berryessa city of Milpitas on north alviso mckee rd Mt. Hamilton	yes	no		
52311010			yes	yes	festivals API community needs voice keep together to get pop for AD go to Milpitas and Freemont	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311005			no							
52311006			no							
52311007			no					yes	CAPAFR testimony with 2 maps	05.23.11. C
52311008			no					yes	CAPAFR testimony and map	05.23.11. D
52311009			no					yes	CAPAFR testimony	05.23.11. E
52311010			no					yes	map of Berryessa High School District San Jose City Council Districts	05.23.11. F

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311011	H S		no		Berryessa area	Santa Clara	yes	Fremont - north San Jose over 50 Asian which is unusual (state is 15); now voting rights been diluted please correct that; Q which way to go to add? A go north to Union City to get more API if go south get Latino	
52311012	H		yes	Berryessa School District Superintendent	Berryessa area	Santa Clara	yes	10 mile sq area cut up into so many districts; share districts with other interests and dont get voice; Q as well as going N for pop try W toward Sunnyvale Santa Clara	
52311013	pass		no				no		
52311014	H		no		Campbell	Santa Clara	yes	Q what want? A dont break up areas in Campbell	
52311015	H S		no		Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	yes	Mt View Sunnyvale Los Altos one AD?; Berryessa together in one AD?; SD proposed CD proposed? COI is Silicon Valley	
52311016	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	1.city county boundaries 2.natural geographic boundaries 3. draw regardless of raceethnicity	
52311017	H		no				yes	CD 14 is laughably gerrymandered; draw district not like that	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311011			yes	yes	Asian pop and all minorities together is COI which transcens county borders; wetlands mountains corridor flea market	
52311012			yes	no		
52311013			no	no		
52311014			no	no		
52311015			no	no		
52311016			no	no		
52311017			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311011			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11. G
52311012			no							
52311013			no							
52311014			no			fair for everyone				
52311015			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11. H
52311016			no							
52311017			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311018	H S		yes	VVO (Vietnames Voluntary Organization)	Evergreen area of San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	boundaries are Story rd to N King Rd to E Capitol Exp to S Senter Rd To W; Evergreen area with Tully Road area - please keep together for Vietnamese	
52311019	H S		no		Evergreen live and work (real estate)	Santa Clara	yes	Evergreen used to be rural and now urban please put with Downtown San Jose and use Evergreen Sch District lines	
52311020	H S		yes	Japanese American non-profit	Santa Clara	Santa Clara	yes	Contiguous cities of Silicon Valley San Jose Sunnyvale Cupertino Mt View Q go which direction to add pop A north or to Campbell.	
52311021	H		no		Los Gatos	Santa Clara	yes	want to be part of community (now vote with S Cruz Co) and vote with community - please redraw	
52311022	H S		no		Cambrian Park (live work in 24 hrs a day) neighborhood of San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	Cambrian Park is split up now; keep Cambrian Park together with Saratoga Los Gatos and Campbell rather than with rest of S Jose.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311018		see geographic comment	yes	no		
52311019			yes	no		
52311020			no	no	good school districts high-tech companies transport (101) shopping along El Camino Real in Sunnyvale or Asian Plaza off Wolf Rd in Cupertino	
52311021			no	no		
52311022			yes	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311018			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11.I
52311019			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11.J
52311020			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11.K
52311021			no							
52311022			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11.L

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311023	H		no		Los Gatos	Santa Clara	no		
52311024	H		no		Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	yes	CD 15 doesnt make sense; need fair districts equal pop etc	
52311025	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	agree with 15 16 23 - do away with gerrymandering	
52311026	pass		no				no		
52311027	H		no		Sunnyvale SD 14	Santa Clara	yes	agree with 15; fair open transparent based on constitution so daughter will feel like her votes count	
52311028	H		no		Mountain View	Santa Clara	yes	clean up districts please (raised to not look at race - surprise to hear that discussed here)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311023			no	no		
52311024			no	no		
52311025			no	no		
52311026			no	no		
52311027			no	no		
52311028			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311023		Does not believe VRA requires race to be taken into consideration in redistricting	no							
52311024			no							
52311025			no							
52311026			no							
52311027			no							
52311028			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311029	H	family from India grew up all over	no	dont draw lines based on ethnicity - I dont need Indian to represent me I need an American to represent me; VRA doesnt say you should discriminate based on raceethnicity	from all over world		no		
52311030	H		no		Gilroy 7 yrs	Santa Clara	yes	gave her districts; wants Gilroy Morgan Hill and San Martin in same CD	
52311031	H	engineer	no		Santa Clara valley	Santa Clara	yes	Saratoga Los Gatos Sunnyvale Cupertino Campbell western San Jose that borders on Los Gators; western portion of 88017 is part of his COI	
52311032	H S	professor	no				yes	Sunnyvale Cupertino Santa Clara Mt View together (submits map) - API communities	
52311033	H S		no		Milipitas	Santa Clara	yes	Milipitas with Fremont and Berryessa in a single AD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311029			no	no		
52311030			no	yes	share commonalities families horses large farms	
52311031		natural boundary on hwy 880 hwy 17	no	no		
52311032			no	no		
52311033			no	yes	Filipino pop but also diverse and we all workliveshopfamilyfriends in all 3 areas	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311029			no							
52311030			no							
52311031			no							
52311032			no					yes	CAPAFR testimony and two maps	05.23.11. M
52311033			no					yes	CAPAFR testimony	05.23.11. N

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311034	H S		yes	Asian Amer for Community Involvement (AACI) - service HHS needs (lists services) in San Jose area			yes	Asian American community should be viewed as COI and not be split up	
52311035	H S (presents state-wide Assembly Plan)		no				yes	map that doesnt cross city and county lines as much as possible 1100 of 1 deviation - please use something to this standard; value neutral turned off race politics; use only clear communities	
52311036	H		yes	UAW Numi Job Placement Project			yes	keep CD 13 in tact; absorb Milpitas if need to not Pleasanton Livermore; Q if have to drop? A north of San Leandro before Oakland	
52311037	H	youth organizer	no				yes	Fremont Newark Union City (tri-city area - gateway to Silicon Valley); then add Milpitas Berryessa for Suburban Silicon Valley District	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311034			no	no		
52311035			no	no		
52311036			no	no		
52311037			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311034			no					yes	CAPAFR testimony	05.23.11. O
52311035			no					yes	Starting Assembly Plan	05.23.11. P
52311036			no							
52311037			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311038	H		no		western San Jose Saratoga area	Santa Clara	yes	this area is nothing in common with Centralurban San Jose; nor with Gilroy; keep western San Jose Campbell Los Gatos Saratoga together have most in common; wants better looking districts	
52311039	H		yes	APALC presenting state plan			no		
52311040	H		no		Mt View and now Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	yes	separate Bay Area from Central Valley (800 mi stretch in center of state)	
52311041	H		no		Montara unincorporated San Mateo Co	San Mateo	yes	CD 12 AD 21; dont divide by race ethnicity religion have; would like Half Moon Bay in district and be fair	
52311042	H		yes	Silicon Valley Leadership Group speaking on behalf of			yes	please consider Silicon Valley a COI and kept in one CD	
52311043	H		no				yes	keep cites in tact use natural and geographic boundaries	
52311044	pass		no				no		
52311045	H S	worked in high tech for.	no		Mountain View	Santa Clara	yes	Pacifica down to Capitola and Aptos - make a CD; green bluepink purple on map; says how to add in various areas	
52311046	H S		no				yes	keep our little district united - zip code area 95120	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311038		Q 88017? A yes not Cupertino	no	no		
52311039			no	no		
52311040			no	no		
52311041			no	no		
52311042	San Mateo Co Santa Clara Co Scotts valley (S Cruz) Alameda Co part (Fremont? Etc?..)		no	no		area of economic vitality
52311043			no	no		
52311044			no	no		
52311045		hwy 880 hwy 17	no	no		
52311046			no	yes	diverse 30 national ethnic groups	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311038			no							
52311039			no							
52311040			no							
52311041			no							
52311042			no							
52311043			no							
52311044			no							
52311045			no					yes	map of proposed CDs	05.23.11. Q
52311046			no					yes	demographics of zip code 95120	05.23.11. R

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311047	H	retired from Hollister City Govt service	yes	LULAC national vice president - cover 5 states	Hollister	San Benito	yes	keep us with similar areas	similar to Monterey (city?) Salinas areas
52311048	H - in Spanish		no		Hollister		yes	indigenous Johaquin community be in or with Monterey	
52311049	pass		no				no		
52311050	pass		no				no		
52311051	H S		no		Alamden Valley neighborhood of San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	Alamden Valley in only 1 CD 1 AD 1 SD;	
52311052	H - spoke in Salinas		no				no		
52311053	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	use natural boundaries mts rivers even freeways	
52311054	pass		no				no		
52311055	H		no		Campbell 23 yrs	Santa Clara Co 55 yrs	yes	COI is around Campbell and Campbell School District west san Jose los gatos saratoga	
52311056	H		no		Cambrian area	Santa Clara	yes	Saratoga Campbell Los Gatos with us rather than with San Jose; dont separate people by race	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311047			no	yes	large Latino farmworker communities	
52311048			no	no		
52311049			no	no		
52311050			no	no		
52311051			yes	no		
52311052			no	no		
52311053			no	no		
52311054			no	no		
52311055			no	yes	same education level	
52311056			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311047			no							
52311048			no							
52311049			no							
52311050			no							
52311051			no					yes	redistricting issues affecting Almaden Valley in south San Jose	05.23.11. S
52311052			no			special interest group - have own goals missions etc; looked at 12 CBO listed on CRC website - each one side of political spectrum.				
52311053			no							
52311054			no							
52311055			no							
52311056			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311057	H		no				no		
52311058	pass		no				no		
52311059	H		yes	NALEO			no		
52311060	H S		yes	tech industry org			yes	see Silicon Valley as COI impt of high tech industry	
52311061	H	CRLA lawyer	no		Morgan Hill 30 yrs - before that Gilroy	southern Santa Clara Co	yes	divided every which way and not represented by the same person as Gilroy in any of three; keep together Gilroy San Martin Morgan Hill COI	
52311063	H		yes	on a school board	san jose (district 8)	Santa Clara	yes	talks about city council districts and Latinos; create districts for latinos - big part of area they are not at meeting	
52311064	H		no				yes	look at why counties drawn (geographic); take hypen out of america all americans	
52311065	pass		no				no		
52311066	H		yes	silicon valley democratic club?	San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	give 2 proposed AD - Latino pop - will get effective representation dont dilute latino voting blocks	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311057			no	no		
52311058			no	no		
52311059			no	no		
52311060			no	no		
52311061			no	yes	diverse county - 5 languages for ballot materials	
52311063			no	no		
52311064			no	no		
52311065			no	no		
52311066			no	yes	transportation corridor similar incomes	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311057			no			re alphabet groups funded by Soros				
52311058			no							
52311059			no							
52311060			no					yes	testimonyletter to commission	05.23.11. T
52311061			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11. U
52311063			no					yes		
52311064			no							
52311065			no							
52311066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311067	H		yes	Sunnyvale City Council member	Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	yes	any city of less than 400K should not be split - this is the case with Sunnyvale; a co district (dont include S Jose) with Morgan Hill Santa Clara Palo Alto Mt View Los Altos.; also west valley communities that work together	
52311068	pass		no				no		
52311069	H	from India 26 yrs ago	no		Fremont 26 yrs	Alameda	yes	CD 13 has held on to Fremont Newark Union City; Columbia U says keep it same; please keep it the same; if need to add go to Milpitas Dublin Castro Valley are ok but NOT livermore pleasanton	
52311070	H		no		Milpitas	Santa Clara	yes	respect city lines of Milpitas; align with Fremont Union City Newark. not with Cupertino or Campbell	
52311071	H		no				no		
52311072	H - after 74		no				yes	CD 11 - sprawls SJoag Valley to East Bay down to Morgan Hill another district is bad too - for fairness	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311067			no	yes	VTA - transportation authority - contiguous with SClara Co share water shed public safety services community college district	
52311068			no	no		
52311069			no	no		
52311070			no	no		
52311071			no	no		
52311072			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311067			no							
52311068			no							
52311069			no							
52311070			no							
52311071			no			racists talk about dividing by race				
52311072			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311073	H		no		Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	no		
52311074	H		no		Menlo Park CD14 SD 11 AD 21	San Mateo	no	dont split Campbell	
52311075	H		no		San Jose (1 mile from here)	Santa Clara	yes	dont take hwys as such a strong boundary - sometimes they arent especially in small parts of S Clara Valley	
52311076	H		no				yes	AD 21 bad - split in two pieces not contiguous; start at GGB and go south	
52311077	H	was on recreation commission	no		Cupertino since 1987	Santa Clara	yes	COI is West Valley Cupertino Los Gatos Saratoga Almaden Valley; Q who add for AD? A west of 280 (Los Altos Los Altos Hills) or South of S Clara Co.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311073			no	no		
52311074			no	no		
52311075			yes	no		
52311076			no	no		
52311077			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311073			no				opinion on process NALEO MALDEF etc do they really represent the people? No reason to draw around racial groups - these orgs just want political power			
52311074			no			please dont put emphasis on testimony by union thugs and race pimps; be representative and fair				
52311075			no					yes	map of Strong Neighborhood Initiative Areas	05.23.11. V
52311076			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11. W
52311077			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311078	H		no				no		
52311079	H		no				no		
52311080	H		yes	Menlo Park City Council member	Menlo Park	San Mateo	yes	COI of suburban communities that does cross county lines Menlo Park Palo Alto Atherton Woodside Stanford Portola Valley Ladera Los Altos Los Altos Hills North Silicon Valley; could also add Mt View Cupertino along 280 if needed	
52311081	H		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311078			no	no		
52311079			no	no		
52311080			no	yes	280 hwy ties together suburban communities similar demog income tech suburbs of Silicon Valley	
52311081			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311078			no			criticism of selection process lack of transparency; use common sense.				
52311079			no			lines drawn irregardless of race ethnicity go back to drawing board common sense natural boundary lines based upon geography; CD 11 makes no sense - eg of what not to do;				
52311080			no					yes	map with line drawn	05.23.11. X
52311081			no			no group should have special representation; SCOTUS cases dont trump constitution if not rightly decided				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311082	H		yes	South Bay Labor Council		San Benito	yes	Silicon Valley is set of cohesive COIs incl S Clara Co parts San Mateo County;Barryessa is a COI should be one AD; West valley (Sunnyvale is heart) - 1 AD East San Jose - 1 AD Q what is East San Jose? AAD 23 boundaries defines it well	
52311083	H		no		San Jose 3 yrs (before Mexico)	Santa Clara	no		
52311102	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	describes San Jose and Santa Clara Co (north county west county east county south county) Q how divide S Jose? A 680280 boundary; S Clara County is COI	
61611001	H - first 107 are purple	spoke at LA City Hall	yes	Exec Director Academia Advance			yes	keep Northeast LA with LA - you did this and stick with it	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311082			no	no		
52311083			no	no		
52311102			no	no		
61611001			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311082			no							
52311083			no			draw blocks like states in US	thanks for coming not good outreachdont see neighbors; dont be corrupt (lve seen lots of corruption hear and in mexico)			
52311102			no					yes	testimony	05.23.11. Y
61611001		need to focus on VRA now (did COI pop contiguity)	no			good job - did listen to people; good first pass adjust and hold fast				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611002	H S		yes	represent former mayor City of Monrovia			yes	good that didnt split Monrovia in AD dont separate Monrovia from Pasadena in AD	
61611003	H S		yes	CA NAACP President National Board member			yes	mostly acceptable in AD SD BOE concerns re CD; want multi-cultural districts instead of bi-cultural district.; to add more ethnicities Davis district - leave Koreatown; Waters district add cities on south or west.	
61611004	H	environmentalist	no		Manhattan Beach	Los Angeles	yes	SD - LAPVB Palos Verdes up coast then goes to Beverly HillsW Holly - one hour drive any time of day; rec cut out Beverly Hills and West Hollywood and include Century City Westwood Brentwood - make more contiguous - ex of general pt	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611002	AD Monrovia Pasadena Altadena Duarte Bradbury; dont split SGV cities in CD currently split 6 cities.	start with Pasa Alta and go East along I-210 minimally include 5 cities listed ideally stop at co border line	no	yes	Monrovia Pasadena share health center air support for police dept jail job training program newspaper; 4 cities (first 4 listed above) are African American community of upper SGV; Burbank Pasadena Glendale airport COI only applies to CD;	
61611003			no	yes		
61611004			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611002			no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. A
61611003			no			need to have economic viability - can have rich and poor districts; ex took airport out of Waters district.		yes	testimony	06.16.11. B
61611004			no			make districts rounder (see ex above) so that easier to get to meetings for district				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611005	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	re CD; ignoring conflicting set of public testimony i.e. keep LB whole vs. split LB.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611005			no	yes	advocacy groups serving PI separated	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611005		possibly violations - Samoan-American and other API communities in Carson Long Beach; In CD API in LB separated from Compton Carson etc	no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611006	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	concern re CD s that incl Carson Compton Watts Willowbrook and then those that incl Long Beach Cerritos Bellflower Lakewood Paramount	
61611007	H S		no		Harbor City	Los Angeles	yes	CD omitted 3000 people from South Bay district - doesnt make sense Put all of 90710 within South Bay CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611006			yes	yes		
61611007		Harbor Freeway is natural boundary; Q boundaries of area that was cut out? A N by Sepulveda E by Harbor Fwy W by strip of county (not sure if st or abandoned railroad right of way) S by Lomita Blvd	no	yes	vital diverse community (rainbow coalition) - get along well	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611006		violation by separating Af Am communities of Long Beach from Carson Compton; had heard testimony re not splitting similar communities LB espec North LB from Carson Compton WattsWillow brook neighborhoods	no							
61611007			no					yes	testimony maps	06.16.11.C

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611008	H S (list of concerns and alt maps)		yes	Pres Community Coalition in South Los Angeles representing AARC tonight (coalition of groups)	South Los Angeles Westmont Census Place	Los Angeles	yes	draft does alot of what AARC suggest except a few; re curr CD 33 35 37 re InglewoodSouthgate District - airport Westchest and Marina DR out so transp (105) corridor econ engine of dist remov placed with coastal dist; also concern w N LB	
61611009	H S (testimony maps of draft SD and nested SD)	environmentalist	yes	there as individ but Chair of water qual control district for LA and Ventura Co also on Boards of LConservVoters Heal-the-Bay			yes	AD s that are good Westside Santa Monica and ThousOaksSMmts; please nest into SD draft SD (LASClaritaVal) ignores 70 of contig Westside Santa Monica AD instead capped on far N by Santa Clarita AD; S Clar 2 ADs agree this is awkward to be toget	
61611010	H		yes	Valley Industry Commerce Association (VICA) President			yes	Thnk CRC for keep SFV communs whole - maps r great; few tweaks reduce neighborhood splits put small Val areas (3 of dist) back in w Val districts; will prov options for clean up that dont change dev.s or affect CVAPof the majmin district.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611008			no	yes	by pushing district east pits minority groups against each other unnecessarily	
61611009			no	yes	2 AD respect COI (environmental coastal transportation corridors critical COI for communities in around S Monica Mts National Recreation Area)	
61611010		will provide draft maps overlying neighborhood boundaries; Q what neighborhood boundaries use? A LA neighborhood council boundaries which split official LA communities ; e.g. N Holly is commun and split into 3 Neigh Councils	yes	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611008		pits minority group ag each other and advantage a group not protected by VRA	no					yes	memo and maps	06.16.11. D
61611009			no					yes	testimony proposed AD SD	06.16.11. E
61611010			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611011	H		yes	CEO of Greater SFV Chamber of Commerce member of SFV Redistricting Coalition			yes	happy that respected most SFV communities; CD Studio City Sher Oaks cut into West Side Studio City Taluca Lake with East LA; Granada Hills cut in half for AD and SD; Rosita (hub of west valley) cut up in CD should have one unified CD.	
61611012	H	business consultant	yes	formerly pres Inglewood COC currently on LA Co ROV advisory committee	Hawthorne 30 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	concern with CDs not reflecting multi-cultural coalition call coastal district Ivory District;	
61611013	H		yes	former mayor of Culver City			no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611011		Want district wholly win SFV or district where majority of voters are SFV residents	no	yes		
61611012	Westchester Hawthorne Gardena Inglewood Playa del Ray El Segundo Lawndale		no	yes	multi-cultural coalition in cities listed above; collaborate on many projects some with LAX as apex others with Loyola Maramount ; attribute success to diversity	empowered communities resulted in improvements in employment transportation economic development education
61611013			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611011			no							
61611012			no			look at how assets (education employment environment) divided up across districts				
61611013			no				problem with website confusing hard to find submitted maps - not attached and have to go to groups website to find them. Lettering so big that blacks out Culver City on some maps espec true on CD map			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611014	H		yes	represents neighbors work on election board 30 yrs	Hollywood area lower hills	Los Angeles	yes	keep Hollywood all together - want to be with East Holly always been with Los Feliz and now Silver Lake is included; in past been with West Holly - work well together jobs there now (Kodak Hollywood Highland).	
61611015	H		no		Rancho Palos Verdes 35 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	appreciate that RPV put back in Beach Cities District; however dont go to LAX but distr goes beyond that; would like to see places she does businesshas friends (Lawndale Hawthorne and Gardena) added	
61611016	H S		yes	speaking on behalf of Granada Hills COC and Granada Hills North Neighborhood Council	Grenada Hills area of SFV	Los Angeles	yes	AD map SE boundary - line going up is Balboa Blvd to right is the 5; boundary splits 2 communs of G Hills G Hills neighb council; move boundary south to 5 to 405;add LA reservoir area 2-3000 pop to Santa Clarita AD;put back toget 2 comm of G Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611014			yes	yes	not one ethnicity - mixed group of people all together	
61611015			no	yes		
61611016			yes	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611014			no							
61611015			no							
61611016			no					yes	testimony map	06.16.11. F

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611017	H S		yes	HOPE (Hispanas Organized for Political Equality) Exec Director	Pico UnionKorea town area	Los Angeles	yes	draft maps would decrease Latinas political progress and other people of color facing economic disparities; Latinas 18 of state pop and growing; ex 1)Pico Union (Latino) with affluent Beverly Hills and Pacific Palisades yet share nothing w them	(cont) 2) Southgate with Inglewood would diminish political progress of Latinas and Af-am and pit them against each other rather than creating fair and equal represent for both
61611018	H S	retired missile engineer 38 yrs	no		Torrance	Los Angeles	yes	SD LAPVB South Bay with Beverly Hills West Hollywood but those 2 not part of South Bay aerospace industry ; Carson and Gardena are part of South Bay - put them in LAPVB and take out BH WH	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611017			no	yes		
61611018		North border of LAPVB SD should be Santa Monica Blvd	no	yes	won cold war proud of their work Japanese-Americans that returned from WWII went to school became engineers in aerospace industry bought homes in Torrance Carson Gardena and always considered selves part of South Bay	South bay is historic center of aerospace industry whose employees live in Carson Gardena Torrance Palos Verdes Beach Cities Santa Monica.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611017		comply with VRA to fairly represent all communs and make sure growing Latino pop is not marginalized nor positioned against other communities	no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. G
61611018	want State Senator that knows and represents south bay - that is 100 south bay; hard economic times for Aerospace Industr lack of employment - need good representation in Sacramento		no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. H

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611019	H		no		Torrance (whole life - 54 yrs total in TorranceGardena area)	Los Angeles	yes	AD SD covering Torrance Gardena; asked at Long Beach hearing for two cities to be toget 2 respect Japanese community there for over 100 yrs; Harbor Gateway NS corrid run EW betw Normandy and Vermont r w Gardena in draft - pls keep it that way	(cont) Gardena friends colleagues support draft maps.
61611020	H		no				no		
61611021	H		yes	Korean-American Coalition Exec Director part of CAPAFR			yes	thank you for keeping Koreatown together as we requested; but also want Thai town Chinatown Historic Filipinotown were not taken care of as well- concerned that these communities were not kept together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611019	Gardena south of Rosencrantz Torrance - greatest Japan- Amer pop in South bay		no	yes	life continuous across two cities cross borders on daily basis	business owners in one live in other
61611020			no	no		
61611021			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611019			no							
61611020			no				your methodology needs to be more clear why made decision how weighed testimony - have openness BUT not transparency			
61611021			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611022	H		yes	Exec director Thai Community Development Center			yes	AD draft splits Thaitown-pls reverse keep Thaitown whole win East Hollywood Biz Improvement District (boundaries subm at earlier mtg);SD keeps all 5 API ethnic neighborh.s whole togeth; for AD try at least 2 API ethnic neighborh.s in a district.	
61611023	H		no				yes	need North LB Carson Compton together; do not put North and South LB together - more affluent parts of LB historically commandeered majority funding	
61611024	H S (testimony maps)		yes	SOHA (Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association)			yes	pleased that Sherman Oaks kept whole in AD SD; boundary of both distr at Mulholland Drive is right answer; concerned that CD draft split Sher Oaks into NS at Ventura Blvd - southern 12 of Sherman Oaks separated from SFV COI puts with 17 mile wide dst	(Cont) for Western SFVCalabasas CD include all of Sherman Oaks move southern boundary from Ventura Blvd to Mulholland Drive; Q what take out to balance? A will think about and send in email marked 24 to link to testim

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611022		Thaitown is on Hollywood Blvd between Western Normandy; draft map uses Holly Blvd as boundary and therefore splits Thaitown into North of Holly Blvd and South of Holly Blvd; draft cuts Chinatown into 3 ADs - CAPAFR submitted boundaries of Chinatown	yes	yes		
61611023			no	yes	share common problems (lack of Ed resources and gang violence)	economically challenged
61611024		Sherman Oaks bounded by Mulholland on S 405 fwy on W Oxnard St on N and Coldwater Canyon on E	no	yes	SOHA formed in 60s - has history; several 1000 members collaborate well together on local projects for COI of SFV 500 at community mtgs	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611022		follow law which means prioritize VRA	no							
61611023		Section 2 protects this area	no							
61611024	ADSD boundaries in draft allow united voice in state government		no					yes	testimony letter alt maps	06.16.11.I 06.16.11.J

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611025	H		yes	Greater West Valley Alliance represent Woodland Hills area	Woodland Hills area	Los Angeles	yes	dont use Ventura Blvd as divider tears Woodland Hills in two; instead use Mulholland Ridge (higher than Mulh Driv); our community is val dont dislike comun on other side of mountain (like Malibu) but they are really a mountain away from us	(cont) support Conservancy and last speakers idea of using Mulholland Drive rather than Ventura Blvd.
61611026	H		yes	Woodland Hills Neighborhood Council	Woodland Hills area	Los Angeles	yes	West SF Valley boundaries are Mullholland Ridge on South 405 on East	
61611027	H		yes	Woodland Hills Homeowners Assoc	Woodland Hills area	Los Angeles	yes	agrees with previous speakers; keep west SFV cities intact as well as whole west SFV; use VICA maps	
61611028	H S		no		Compton	Los Angeles	yes	CD dislikes HTGCC map; disenfranchise Af-Am community; wants San Pedro in it	
61611029	H		no				no		
61611030	H S (testimony)		no		Culver City 60 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	Culver City should stay in AD w other areas that also surround Inglewood Oil Company fields	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611025			no	yes		
61611026			no	yes		keep W SFV together to maintain its economic viability
61611027			no	yes		
61611028			no	yes		
61611029			no	no		
61611030			no	yes	COI of bordering oil fields problems that creates	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611025			no							
61611026			no							
61611027			no							
61611028			no					yes	testimony on form from outside group	06.16.11.L
61611029			no			wants hwys on map to get idea where they are; compactness measure for each map				
61611030	need to be together to deal with oil fields		no					yes	testimony	06.16.11.M

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611031	H		yes	Americans for Democratic Action Board member	Culver City	Los Angeles	yes	resolved that CRC should comply with VRA; concern with CD IGWSG - east to Bell which has no commonalities (and sets up undesirable black - brown clash) CD West LA to Downtown - take out east area put in Santa Monica Venice maybe beach cities south	
61611032	H		no				yes	her neighborhood split; when draw lines break communities up	
61611033	H S (map showing population exchange)		yes	Beachwood Canyon Neighborhood Assoc.(BCNA)			yes	Santa Monica Mountains have a COI (e.g.. aircraft and helicopter problems); dont separate east from west in CD; East Downtown LA (nothing in common w us) should be with East LA CD Los Feliz w west LA CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611031			no	yes		
61611032			yes	yes		
61611033			no	yes	homogenous area common geography (e.g. aircraft problems) and political issues	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611031			no							
61611032			no							
61611033			no					yes	map of suggested CD s map showing pop exchange	06.16.11. N

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611034	H S (same as 33)		yes	President Civic Association part of Coalition of 3 neighborhoods east of Hollywood freeway; founder vice president of BCNA (see speaker 33)			yes	all want be in SM mt CD; propose pop exchang(see handout) Los Feliz Griffith Park w Westside (their CD) Hollywood in Westside CD to Downtown CD (unites Hollywood) E part of Downt LA goes E LA CD so Silverlake area (E Holly fwy) Chinatown intact	
61611035	H S		no		Huntington Park	Los Angeles	yes	CD keep southeast together Walnut Park Southgate Huntington Park Maywood Bell Cudahy Vernon sometimes Bell Gardens Latino COI in same school districts; lots shared associations/agencies; one big family football rivalries all poor	
61611036	H		yes	Long Beach Democratic Club	North Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	ADSD North LB w Carson Compton not w Belmont Shore Naples; College Sq neighborh (backyard is Compton College) go into Compton/Carson districts (everything west of LA River Q southern boundary? A Del Amo; at least move 11K (triangle W of river)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611034			yes	yes		
61611035			no	yes		
61611036			yes	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611034			no					yes	map of suggested CD s map showing pop exchange	06.16.11. O
61611035			no					yes	testimony w old map	06.16.11. P
61611036			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611037	H S		no		North Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	ADSD same prev N LB w Compton Carson Paramount not w Belmont Shore Bixby (greater LB). Draft distr split COIs. at least move 11K (triangle W of river)	
61611038	H		no				yes	echo previous 2 speakers for AD SD none of Paramount w LB or voice lost instead w N Compton N Carson; many socio-economic problems. Q swap Lynwood for Paramount? A Sure	
61611039	H		no		North Long Beach 16 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	repeats college patterns that make community; also Af-am Latino API working families; everything west of LA River in CarsonCompton distr; unites historic neighborhoods	
61611040	H		no		North Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	AD SD also N LB with CarsonCompton; N LB nothing in common w areas to east or even downtown LB; over 50 Latino 20 Af-Am much more like Carson Compton	
61611041	H	pastor	yes	PTA	North Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	youth in N LB identify w Carson Compton; not in Signal Hill Belmont Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611037			no	yes		Econ issues the same among the areas and go to college in that area (CSU Dominguez Hills Compton College) vs in Long Beach.
61611038			no	yes		
61611039			yes	yes		
61611040			no	yes		
61611041			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611037			no					yes	suggested AD SD map map of city council district with 2010 ethnicity breakdown	06.16.11. Q 06.16.11. R
61611038			no							
61611039			no							
61611040			no							
61611041			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611042	H S		yes	Latino Coalition Pico Union Neighborhood Council			yes	propose AD48 SD26;draw majority black Latino Asian districts - comply w VRA; Latino Coalition sensitive to needs of Afr-Am and As-Am (notes in particular Korean). Q CD? A havent had a chance will submit in 72 hrs	
61611043	H S		yes	NW San Pedro Neighborhood Council Board member	San Pedro Neighborhood	Los Angeles	yes	draft breaks San Pedro into 3 AD s (LAPVBLAIHGLAWBC) but all of San Pedro wants to be together so propose put all of SP in LAIHGw western side of Port of LA and Harbor CityHarbor Gateway neighborhood councils - these all part of LA City	
61611044	H S		no		Playa del Rey 17 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	ok w CD SD incl Playa del Rey (PDR); not ok w AD PDR is too small part of it playa vista and portion wetlands separated from PDR; strong affinities w beach cities south El Segundo Redondo B Torrance. Move north bound N like w current AD 53.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611042		In letter describes 4 COI w streets	no	yes		
61611043	(geographic comment cont) add Lawndale Del Rey to LAPVB - South Bay aerospace community; West Carson into LAWBC to reunite it wCarson		yes	yes		
61611044			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611042			no					yes	Press Release re Press Conf on 615 letter to commission map proposed AD 48 map proposed SD 26	06.16.11. U 06.16.11. T 06.16.11. V 06.16.11. W (in same order as descriptio n)
61611043			no					yes	pop of San Pedro in 3 draft AD s and what to swap w	06.16.11. S
61611044			no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. AV

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611045	H S		no		Westchester	Los Angeles	yes	like prev. pleased w CDSD; Westchester needs to be w rest of west LA City area (Playa Vista Marina del Rey) my COI (where I do everything) local paper serves these areas;	
61611046	H		no		South LA	Los Angeles	yes	For Watts CD Figueroa corridor needs to be included stretching CD from Inglewood to Southgate is not good (nothing in common); go west w this one	
61611047	H		no				yes	good that Afr-Am not packed in 50 distrs; dont disassemble existing COI (affected by pollution jobs etc esp LAX); revisit shape; Put Inglewood district back closer to original form and take in more of Port; put North LB w Carson Commerce	
61611048	H		yes	AARC consultant for	downtown LA 5 yrs till recently	Los Angeles	yes	dont want unnecessary clashes instead of traditional majority districts; VRA issue; Inglewood district goes too far east; coastal district also needs to be changed; LAX areas can be swapped w Southgate	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611045			no	yes		
61611046			no	yes		
61611047			no	yes		
61611048			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611045			no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. AW
61611046			no							
61611047			no							
61611048			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611049	H	former	yes	former community leader (neighborhood council)	south LA for 48 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	worked w airport on noise reduction; taking LA port out of district bad as a major employer for Af-Am; pits brown black ag each.Qwhat r LAX areas? AWestchester El Segundo; Qexpl ethnic conflict?Anot good Inglewood Southgate in the same distr	
61611050	H	speaks on behalf of CD 35	no				yes	CD draft took assets of a community away from it Westchester El Segundo Loyola Marymount Otis Parsons other U.s (Pepperdine) to a different district; railroad down Crenshaw to LAX - need LAX incl in area	
61611051	H S		no		Santa Clarita Valley 16 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	please be aware another speaker from Santa Clarita Valley pretends to not be partisan but is heavily involved w Republicans and is proposing safe seats for him and other Republicans	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611049			yes	yes		
61611050			no	yes		
61611051			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611049			no							
61611050			no							
61611051			no					yes	testimony documentation about another speaker being partisan	06.16.11. X

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611052	H		yes	NALEO			yes	90 of growth in CA was in Latino pop but districts do not reflect that; need to draw 50 CVAP districts not cut down on of districts from last time instead reflect Latino pop growth increase ability to become electedLatino political progress	
61611053	H		yes	MALDEF			yes	drafts not compl VRA;CRC AD 13 Latino opportun districts vs MALDEF 18; SD draft elim 2 of 7 opport distrs; dismantl Sec. 2 distr of Santa Ana Anaheim; CRC method is preserve coast and Long Beach city overly foc on COI concentr like-mind packs distrs	(geog comment cont) CD CRC 6 opp dist one married w large Af-am vs. MALDEF 10 opp distr
61611054	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	LBPRT CD on does part of it keep Carson Compton w LB but add LB Port because COI based on pollution along Alameda corridor port ships fuel types - worked so hard to form alliances to work on these issues	
61611055	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	Compton Carson in w Ports of LA LB traffic pollution affects all of us on 710 corridor - need one rep to deal w this.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611052			no	yes		
61611053			no	yes		
61611054			no	yes		
61611055			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611052			no							
61611053			no							
61611054			no							
61611055			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611056	H S		yes	Save LA River Open Space	Studio City	Los Angeles	yes	Studio City is small and unicorp so please keep it intact also should not be w Santa Clarita Lake Castaic. Problem w LASCV SD ADs around SM mts; to fix nest the 2 AD.s Thou Oaks-SM Mt West Side-Santa Monica	
61611057	pass		no				no		
61611058	H		yes	Committee of Young Professional Recent Graduates	Torrance	Los Angeles	yes	respect VRA espec for S LA; likes her districts for Torrance	
61611059	H S		yes	Mayor of Carson	Carson	Los Angeles	yes	multi-ethnic city council - want CRC to consider holistic multi-ethnic approach to districts; Carson Wilmington area in particular; School districts really impt and great tool for drawing that people will not criticize	
61611060	H		yes	Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council Latino group etc	San Pedro heart of Port of LA	Los Angeles	yes	draft separates SP from harbor in all three maps so SP has no voice. Take out B Hills W Hollywood etc bring in Hawthorne Lynwood Gardena Willmington.SP is tied to harbor economic harbor is our bread and butter 90 of biz in SP relate 2 harbor.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611056			no	yes		
61611057			no	no		
61611058			no	yes		
61611059			no	yes		
61611060			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611056			no					yes	memo from org w maps	06.16.11. Y
61611057			no							
61611058			no							
61611059			no					yes	resolution of city council of Carson adopted same day (June 16 2011)	06.16.11. Z
61611060			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611061	H S		no		East LA	Los Angeles	yes	East LA should not be lumped w Hollywood Hills Silver Lake Los Feliz; East LA (Lincoln Heights etc) should be with other eastside communities east of LA River	
61611062	H		no		East LA	Los Angeles	yes	same as previous speaker; East LA should not be lumped w Hollywood Hills Silver Lake Los Feliz; breaking up Latino vote	
61611063	H S		no		Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	210 corridor cities have to stay together	
61611064	H S		no				yes	Pasadena Altadena have to be together (Altadena is bedroom community of Pasadena Pasadena has all the services); no to foothill dist	
61611065	H		no		Altadena	Los Angeles	yes	Pasadena Altadena have to be together; w draft have to leave district to get through district; dont draw racialethnic districts	
61611066	H		no		Altadena	Los Angeles	yes	Pasadena needs to stay together as is heart of the whole area; unite all the 210 corridor cities; use 14 corridor for mt district and Acton aqua dolce go in the mt district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611061			no	yes		
61611062			no	yes		
61611063			no	yes		
61611064			no	yes		
61611065			no	yes		
61611066			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611061			no					yes	petition w printed list of signatories	06.16.11. AA
61611062			no							
61611063			no					yes	proposed grouping for 2 AD nested into SD and CD	06.16.11. AB
61611064			no					yes	same proposal as 63 w maps of 2 AD and CD	06.16.11. AC
61611065			no							
61611066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611067	H		no		Altadena	Los Angeles	yes	Do not put Alhambra with Pasadena; 210134 hwys are good dividers; do not draw Asian district	
61611068	H		no				yes	re CD called SFVWC Unite Reseda and put back in this district; 405 should be boundary	
61611069	pass		no				no		
61611070	pass		no				no		
61611071	pass		no				no		
61611072	pass		no				no		
61611073	pass		no				no		
61611074	H S		no		Pacific Palisades	Los Angeles	yes	nest Thousand Oaks AD and LASCV AD to make LASCV SD	
61611075	H	foster care rep	no		South LA	Los Angeles	yes	Do not divide South Bay cities and south LA as that will dilute resources	
61611076	H		yes	Lawndale City Council	Lawndale	Los Angeles	yes	In CD Lawndale w LAX (South Bay Workforce Investment Board); Westchester w Gardena Hawthorne.	
61611077	H		no		Inglewood	Los Angeles	yes	make CD of Inglewood Hawthorne Gardena El Segundo and it will circle Inglewood. Has not been in Southgate wince 1969	
61611078	H		no		Inglewood	Los Angeles	yes	Inglewood works w other South Bay cities but not with this particular configuration	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611067			no	yes		
61611068			no	yes		
61611069			no	no		
61611070			no	no		
61611071			no	no		
61611072			no	no		
61611073			no	no		
61611074			no	yes		
61611075			no	yes		
61611076			no	yes		
61611077			no	yes		
61611078			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611067			no							
61611068			no							
61611069			no							
61611070			no							
61611071			no							
61611072			no							
61611073			no							
61611074			no					yes	testimony maps	06.16.11. AD
61611075			no							
61611076			no							
61611077			no							
61611078			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611079	H		no		Watts	Los Angeles	yes	Does not like the CD which pushed its boundaries east; eastern border should be Alameda corridor	
61611080	H		no		Jefferson Park area	Los Angeles	yes	use AARC proposed district	
61611081	H		no				yes	does not like SD or CD w San Marino and South Gate which have nothing to do w each other; South Gate should be w 710 corridor	
61611082	H		yes	South Bay Workforce Investment Board			yes	Inglewood w Lawndale Hawthorne	
61611083	H S		no		Rolling Hills Estates	Los Angeles	yes	thnx for Palos Verdes Peninsula cities w beach cities to North; CD w Torrance Hermosa Beach is good but disappointed that Lawndale and Hawthorne are taken out of the district (were in June 2 version) and replaced w Venice Santa Monica.	(geogr comment cont) Lawndale is heart of South Bay but have nothing in common w Santa Monica or Venice. Swap out SM Venice w Lawndale Hawth and then add the 12K in the Harbor Gateway south of 405 and all of Gardena
61611084	H		no				yes	Palos Verdes Peninsula Palos Verdes Estates Rancho Palos Verdes should be together (COI based on emergency services planning); also add Gardena and Lawndale	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611079			no	yes		
61611080			no	yes		
61611081			no	yes		
61611082			no	yes	Inglewood is one stop center for transportation education public service	
61611083			no	yes		
61611084			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611079			no							
61611080			no							
61611081			no							
61611082			no							
61611083			no					yes	testimony proposal submitted May 22 how amended proposal wrt June 10 draft including pop stats	06.16.11. AE
61611084			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611085	H		no				yes	Venice Santa Monica do not want to be w Palos Verdes and vise versa.	
61611086	H		no		Gardena	Los Angeles	yes	COI boundaries are west of Harbor freeway and North of 405	
61611087	H		no		Signal Hill	Los Angeles	yes	Cambodian community formerly from LB (now Signal Hill LB Carson Compton); COI formed around disasters in SE Asia w fundraising cultural events; keep cultural ethnic COIs together	
61611088	H		no				yes	Cambodian economic cultural COI many seniors (Compton Carson w LB Signal Hill)	
61611089	H	Cambodian genocide survivor from 1975	no		Signal Hill	Los Angeles	yes	keep Cambodian community together; LB w Signal Hill	
61611090	pass		no				no		
61611091	H		no		Pico Union	Los Angeles	yes	WLADT district has nothing in common from W to E; drafts do not do justice to Latino community	
61611092	pass		no				no		
61611093	pass		no				no		
61611094	H S		yes	City of Malibu city council member	Malibu	Los Angeles	yes	keep current Malibu district - dont like draft	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611085			no	yes		
61611086			no	yes		
61611087			no	yes		
61611088			no	yes		
61611089			no	yes		
61611090			no	no		
61611091			no	yes		
61611092			no	no		
61611093			no	no		
61611094			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611085			no							
61611086			no							
61611087			no							
61611088			no							
61611089			no							
61611090			no							
61611091			no							
61611092			no							
61611093			no							
61611094			no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. AF

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611095	H S		yes	City of Malibu mayor pro-tem	Malibu	Los Angeles	yes	Granada Hills Mountain Pacific Palisades SD does not work	
61611096	H		no				yes	El Segundo Westchester are excluded from CD that needs to be fixed	
61611097	H S		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	put Carson Compton and LB together (an educational COI); not fixing will disenfranchise	
61611098	H		no		Westchester	Los Angeles	yes	re CD 35 36 LAX is largest employer so dividing COI if LAX not with Westchester; Westchester is not a beach cities community.	
61611099	H S		no		West SF Valley	Los Angeles	yes	CD draft splits 8 SFV Neighborh (North Hills N Hollywood Northridge Reseda Sherman Oaks Studio City Valley Glenn and Van Nuys; suggested revisions reduces to 4 splits (Studio City North Hills Lake Balboa Lake View Terrace) 3 for VRA.	
61611100	H S		no				yes	proposes way to fix CD s around Westside	
61611101	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611095			no	yes		
61611096			no	yes		
61611097			no	yes		
61611098			no	yes		
61611099			no	yes		
61611100			no	yes		
61611101			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611095			no					yes	testimony letter from Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation w maps	06.16.11. AH 06.16.11. AG
61611096			no							
61611097			no					yes	letter talking pts w appeal from Congressman to write read letter at hearing	06.16.11. AI
61611098			no							
61611099			no					yes	draft CD and suggested revision	06.16.11. AK
61611100			no					yes	suggested corrections to CD around Westside	06.16.11. AL
61611101			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611102	H		no				yes	traditional Jewish communities in are greater Westwood area including Pico Robertson Beverly Hills Fairfax Belair; west of 405 is different from east of 405	
61611103	H		yes	City of Inglewood Mayor	Inglewood	Los Angeles	yes	Inglewood should be w Westchester Hawthorne Gardena Lawndale. LAX is the South Bay economic engine; also traffic and environmental issues	
61611104	H		no				yes	South Bay cities have nothing in common w South Gate. This sends a bad signal to black community to put them together	
61611105	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	Put together Carson Compton LB and LB Port	
61611106	H		no				yes	LB should be w Carson Compton; Gardena Lawndale should not be with Compton	
61611107	pass		no				no		
61711001	H		yes	Alhambra City Council member San Gabriel Council of Govts		Los Angeles	yes	likes AD SD CD; but please look at if can split less cities its hard on cities to have split representation at state and federal level	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611102			no	yes		
61611103			no	yes		
61611104			no	yes		
61611105			no	yes		
61611106			no	yes		
61611107			no	no		
61711001	Q which? A off top of head Monrovia Duarte		no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611102			no							
61611103			no							
61611104			no							
61611105			no							
61611106			no							
61611107			no							
61711001			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711002	H		no				yes	like SD cause keeps together Pico Union Echo Park etc; but not together in CD please use Latino Coalition for that.	
61711003	H		yes	citizens for San Gabriel Mountains		Los Angeles	yes	CD is good unites mts with many of people who use it; but notice that mts cross county line; also users are in S Bern Co - please know that; dont need it in AD SD cause federal lands	
61711004	H		yes	also Citizens of San Gabriel Mts		Los Angeles	yes	please recognize S Bern Co users of mts - put Rancho Cucamonga in SG Mt CDprovide map showing how this is easy.	
61711005	H		no		Westlake community in City of LA	Los Angeles	yes	dont feel represented well in CD for LA center; MALDEF has map to help; pls dont put us with Beverly Hills	
61711006	H		yes	Latino Coalition of Los Angeles a collaborative		Los Angeles	yes	SD good grouping Pico Union Westlake etc.; please consider map submitted last nite for AD; asked for CD last nite - his did maps all night - likes current districts.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711002			no	yes		
61711003			no	yes		
61711004			no	yes		
61711005			no	yes		
61711006			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711002			no							
61711003			no							
61711004			no							
61711005			no							
61711006			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711007	H		yes	CHURLA work w low inc in Pico Union area		Los Angeles	yes	re look at maps and prioritize VRA Latino not well represented in AD SD and even lose a Latino CD; doesnt like district that goes to Pacific Palisades; also AD that goes from Pico Union really far	
61711008	H		no		Highland Park near San Gabriel Mts	Los Angeles	yes	thank you for SG Mt district extend to east San Bernardino Co rancho cucamonga also extend north	
61711009	H		no		South Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	South Pasadena put with low-income area in SE LA; against race-based districts; group with Pasadena etc - similar communities	
61711010	H	avid hiker	no		Downey SG mountains	Los Angeles	yes	concern w Ontario CD; dont live there but visit it frequently	
61711011	H	armenian family	no		Sierra Madre	Los Angeles	yes	keep together cities below. Draft maps seem to recognize various ethnic groups too heavily	
61711012	H		no		Altadena	Los Angeles	yes	SG mt AD SD CD not covering her COI - she has maps Pasadena as hub and includes surrounding cities - she doesnt know anything about the cities along the forest	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711007			no	yes	impt political issues like immigration reform people in district on opposite sides	
61711008			no	yes		
61711009			no	yes		
61711010			no	yes		
61711011	Sierra Madre Monrovia Duarte Arcadia Bradberry		no	yes		
61711012	Altadena Pasadena (as hub)		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711007		prioritize section 2	no							
61711008			no							
61711009			no							
61711010			no							
61711011			no							
61711012			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711013	H S		no		Arcadia	Los Angeles	yes	whole in SG mt district between Sierr Madre and Monrovia need to be with other foothill cities	
61711014	H		no		Arcadia	Los Angeles	yes	SG foothill towns arent a COI; more commonalities with cities closer to him.	
61711015	H		yes	Duarte Mayor Pro Temp	Duarte	Los Angeles	yes	commend for draft however split cities which is burden for cities to serve its residents especially split in Duarte	
61711016	H S	mom nurse	no		Pasadena 37 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	has map for AD SD CD; keep cities below together goes into what cities share (schools church girl scouts sports leagues library system and all together ethnically diverse)	
61711017	H S		no		Pasadena 37 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	history of Pasa and S Pasa and greater Pasadena area - how grew - railways came thru center to meet their need - cities below are COI and should be kept together. Share School District churches - provide alternative showing this	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711013			no	no		
61711014	Arcadia Altadena Pasadena Monrovia Sierre Madre		no	no		
61711015	Monrovia Duarte etc		no	no		
61711016	Pasadena La Canada Sierra Madre Altadena South Pasadena Arcadia		no	no		
61711017	Pasadena S Pasadena Altadena Sierra Madre La Canada-Flintridge Arcadia are COI; also mentions Monrovia San Marino Glendale in history		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711013			no					yes	petition w maps	
61711014			no							
61711015			no							
61711016			no					yes		
61711017			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711018	H		no		San Marino 14000 pop small bedroom community near Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	go to Pasadena Altadena to needs (like market) share school districts college districts human society; San Marino Pasadena are joined at hip must be same district - Hacienda Heights Diamond Bar have nothing in common with us.	
61711019	H S		no		grew up in Playa Del Rey now in Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	CD draft does not reflect her COI (described below); maps favor uninhabited national forest; cities below share boy scouts fire police library college districts shopping centers - Altadena is mostly Af-Am but all one community	
61711020	H		no		Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	good job in some of areas but this is opp for Pasadena San Marino S Pasadena and some other community to be brought together	
61711021	H S (map of neighborhood Council area)		no		Boyle Heights	Los Angeles	yes	low inc community of 150 residents but split in AD draft; active neighborhood council; voice is only resource (COI of common issues challenges security education)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711018	San Marino Pasadena Glendale La Canada-Flintridge etc		no	no		
61711019	Pasadena is hub with spokes Altadena Sierra Madre La Canada San Marino S Pasadena		no	no		
61711020			no	no		
61711021			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711018			no							
61711019			no					yes		
61711020			no							
61711021			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711022	H S		yes	SG Valley Economic Partnership			yes	CD generally good but pls connect foothill towns by move south bound of foothill district to 210 fwy move south boundary of Covina district to 10 fwy; AD good cause 3 SGV AD s but keep cities win one distr if can - SGV COG said similar thing;	(cont) TY for nesting but each SD mostly outside Val so nest on EastWest corridor to make at least one SD w majority of pop in Val
61711023	H		no		San Marino	Los Angeles	yes	Pasadena must be w San Marino; San Marino is BR community that depends on Pasadena for everything (shop schools restaurants etc); San Marino w places like Monterey Park that have nothing in common w - you ignored COI	
61711024	H S		no		Whittier	Los Angeles	yes	Good that Whittier is whole; should not be in district w. Montebello and Bellflower - this are a totally different COI and will dominateundermine Whittier voice	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711022			no	no		
61711023			no	no		
61711024			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711022			no					yes		
61711023			no							
61711024			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711025	H S		yes	Whittier City Council	Whittier	Los Angeles	yes	Good that Whittier is whole; AD is good (w La Habra LH Heights etc) but should not be nested with northern AD for SD - instead go east for SD; CD is not ok COI that is in AD is not in CD Whittier should be w La Habra La Habra Heights etc	
61711026	H S (maps)		no				yes	rather than draft SG mt SD wants nesting of 2 draft SG mt AD s - submits maps	
61711027	H S		no		AltadenaPasadena area	Los Angeles	yes	draft for S. Pasadena does not incl water distr or school dist - hard for state legislator; Altadena w Upland Santa Clarita is not a COI Altadena voice is diluted	
61711028	H		no		San Marino	Los Angeles	yes	COI is w Pasadena where everything is for San Marino; have nothing to do w Diamond Bar	
61711029	H S		no		Altadena	Los Angeles	yes	propose divide SG mt BY Angeles Crest highway (instead of joining along it) segment by putting w adjacent communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711025			no	no		
61711026			no	no		
61711027			no	no		
61711028			no	no		
61711029			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711025			no					yes		
61711026			no					yes		
61711027			no					yes		
61711028			no							
61711029			no					yes	map showing CRC proposal and citizen proposal for SG mt CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711030	H	public school teacher	no		Carson	Los Angeles	yes	west Carson should be w Carson in same AD; ; keep API communities together in AD SD; put small pieces of west LB in w Gardena Wilmington	
61711031	H S (ltr from Mayor opposing CD)		yes	Diamond Bar City Council member	Diamond Bar	Los Angeles	yes	likes AD SD w Diamond Bar; does not like draft CD - East SGV-Diamond Bar - cities that have nothing in common will be in conflict; works w four corners areas- use that for CD	
61711032	H		no				yes	Carson should be w Wilmington San Pedro (which is in 3 different AD s); Carson should not be w Compton and South LA; for CD Carson should not be w LB	
61711033	H		no				yes	Keep southeast cities together in all districts Maywood South Gate Cudahy Vernon Bell Gardens Bell Huntington Park	
61711034	H		yes	former member Yorba Linda City Council	Yorba Linda	Orange	yes	Orange and Tustin should be w Yorba Linda in one AD	
61711035	H		no		Diamond Bar	Los Angeles	yes	Good job but concern w SD in 4 corner area (suburban housing school districts are COI)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711030			no	no		
61711031			no	no		
61711032			no	no		
61711033			no	no		
61711034			no	no		
61711035			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711030			no							
61711031			no					yes		
61711032			no							
61711033			no							
61711034			no							
61711035			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711036	H S		no		San Marino	Los Angeles	yes	San Marino should not be in CD w Diamond Bar; draw CD on COI as in submitted map Burbank to Arcadia and incl. Monrovia	
61711037	H S (petition signed by 73)		no		Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	CD keep Pasadena whole w Altadena which is a COI; AD s keep S Pasadena whole w Pasadena Altadena. Pasadena Altadena tightly intergrated (schools shopping etc); draft AD dilutes Afr-Amer voice of area	
61711038	H S		yes	City of South Gate mayor	South Gate	Los Angeles	yes	re SD put South Gate w Arcadia San Marino Alhambra which is not good because Downey Lynwood Paramount LA USD are school districts for their kids; instead break at Alameda Corridor and incl S Bell Bell Gardens Cudahy w South Gate	
61711039	H S		yes	City of South Pasadena council member	South Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	keep South Pasadena whole prefer to be put in North w Pasa San Marino Alhambra	
61711040	H		no		Norwalk	Los Angeles	yes	dont put downtown LA in Pico Rivera AD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711036			no	no		
61711037			no	no		
61711038		railroad tracks divide LA south cities from other end	no	no		
61711039			no	no		
61711040			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711036			no					yes	map for proposed CD	
61711037			no					yes	petition signed by 73	
61711038			no					yes		
61711039			no					yes	testimony maps	
61711040			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711041	H		no		South El Monte	Los Angeles	yes	in CD AD drafts linked to cities that have no COI; for CD El Monte S El Monte West Covina La Puente; for AD do not take out of SGV	
61711042	H S		no		South Whittier	Los Angeles	yes	put LA county cities together and not w OC cities	
61711043	H		no		Cerritos 40 yr	Los Angeles	yes	in SD Cerritos Artesia should be w other LA Co cities not in OC	
61711044	H		yes	La Habra Heights City Council member	La Habra Heights	Los Angeles	yes	small BR community should be w Whittier Le Habra; our interests run East not to SGV; AD is ok except S El Monte Montebello; SD not ok because w SGV better to put w Diamond Bar Yorba Linda; for CD prefer being w Whittier or Le Habra	
61711045	H S (map alternative)		no		Gardena 60 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	2 AD s elongated - each one not COI - Inglewood w Harbor City Willowbrook Lynwood on N w Wilmington San Pedro on S; solution (see map) is divide each and make N AD and S AD (would be very racially integrated)	
61711046	H		yes	Sierra Club	SF Valley	Los Angeles	yes	use MALDEF maps as starting pts in So CAL	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711041			no	no		
61711042			no	no		
61711043			no	no		
61711044			no	no		
61711045			no	no		
61711046			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711041			no							
61711042			no					yes		
61711043			no							
61711044			no							
61711045			no					yes		
61711046			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711047	H S		yes	Chinese American Citizens Alliance CAPAFR SG Valley	Monterey Park 36 yrs	Los Angeles	yes	SGV AD is good (lots of cities together that wanted) but slight adjust to put CVAP over 50 for Sec 2 AD; for AD SD incl Hacienda Hts Rowland Hts Diamond Bar Walnut; for SD join east SGV west SGV like in CD	
61711048	H		no		Downey	Los Angeles	yes	draft CD is not good; keep Downey whole and w Paramount Bell Flower Norwalk	
61711049	H S		yes	Glendale City Council member	Glendale	Los Angeles	yes	SD CD are good but put Pasadena and Altadena in AD	
61711050	H		no		La Crescenta	Los Angeles	yes	good that CD keeps unincorporated LaCr w incorporated LaCr but AD splits it would like to see it together like in CD; like being w La Canada; agree that mountain district should be vertical chunks not long EW district	
61711051	H		yes	Crescenta Valley Town Council member - unincorporated areas of La Crescenta-Montrose	La Crescenta	Los Angeles	yes	like that unincorp LaCr-Mont kept together in drafts; please also keep La Canada w unincorp La Crescenta-Montrose (share emergency response resources)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711047			no	no		
61711048			no	no		
61711049			no	no		
61711050			no	no		
61711051			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711047			no					yes		
61711048			no							
61711049			no					yes		
61711050			no							
61711051			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711052	H		no		Bell Gardens	Los Angeles	yes	does not like draft CD that splits up southeast cities; keep southeast cities together including Cudahy Bell Bell Gardens Lynwood Maywood Commerce; cities have good partnership working w eachother; 710 fwy makes a transportation COI	
61711053	H		yes	Downey City Council member	Downey	Los Angeles	yes	draft CD splits Downey put Artesia into district and keep Downey whole	
61711054	H		yes	APALC			yes	Like draft CD for Diamond Bar to Arcadia unified voice for Asian-Americans immigrant COI and same media markets	
61711055	H S (map)		yes	Chinese American Citizens Alliance			yes	AD is good; CD like cause joins east SGV west SGV; for SD (see map) take CD and add Chino Hills Brea Fullerton	
61711056	H S		no		Monterey Park	Los Angeles	yes	support Chinese-American alliance SD that joins east west SGV; doesnt like SD draft and details why	
61711057	H		no				yes	drafts violate VRA Sec.2 particular in LA So CA- supports Chinese-Amerc Citizens Alliance alternative maps - their 29 SD works	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711052			no	no		
61711053			no	no		
61711054			no	no		
61711055			no	no		
61711056			no	no		
61711057			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711052			no							
61711053			no							
61711054			no							
61711055			no					yes		
61711056			no					yes		
61711057			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711058	H		no				yes	AD w La Palma Artesia Cypress Cerritos would like more of Buena Park Lakewood in that AD if can (maybe cannot bec of Sec 2); nest that AD w AD (Buena Park Fullerton Artesia) so have SD running along hwy 91; CD is improveme follows travel pattern	
61711059	H		no		northeast LA	Los Angeles	yes	good to have northeast LA COI (lang minority) together	
61711060	pass		no				no		
61711061	H		yes	City of Commerce Mayor	Commerce	Los Angeles	yes	Commerce should be w southeast cities	
61711062	H		no				yes	split cities in mts are a problem	
61711063	H		no		Pico Union neighborhood of LA City	Los Angeles	yes	COIs in area based on SES which are disenfranchised by draft - do not put in district w Beverly Hills and Pacific Palisades. Draw opportunity districts for Latinos	
61711064	H		no				yes	Put all of Thai Town and Chinatown into Downtown AD; Cerritos - west OC district is good	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711058			no	no		
61711059			no	no		
61711060			no	no		
61711061			no	no		
61711062			no	no		
61711063			no	no		
61711064			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711058			no							
61711059			no							
61711060			no							
61711061			no							
61711062			no							
61711063			no							
61711064			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711065	H		no				yes	Do not split Pasadena in CD; for AD put Pasadena w South Pasadena Altadena East Pasadena Sierra Madre	
61711066	H S (letter)		yes	Pasadena City Council member	Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	Keep Pasadena together; move southern portion in SGV foothills; move Upland from SGV into foothill; move portion of Chino Hills to foothill district.	
61711067	H		no		Pico Union	Los Angeles	yes	re Pico Union SD do not split Boyle Heights; supports Latino Coalition proposal which respects COI of same SES same public transportation statefederal resource needs	
61711068	H		yes	Latino Coalition			yes	draft SD ok; for CD use Latino Coalition proposal; for AD look at Coalition proposal; need to put COIs (educational - LAUSD San Luis FD same work entertainment) in same AD	
61711069	H		no				yes	Hancock Park w wrong areas physical barriers and gated communties	
61711070	H		no		Pico Union	Los Angeles	yes	SD almost ok ok if put all of Boyle Heights in it; see Latino Coalition map for AD and for boundaries	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711065			no	no		
61711066			no	no		
61711067			no	no		
61711068			no	no		
61711069			no	no		
61711070			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711065			no							
61711066			no					yes		
61711067			no							
61711068			no							
61711069			no							
61711070			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711071	H S		no				yes	supports immigrant community maps; maps should reflect 90 Latino growth; look also at Chinese Amer Citz Alliance map; cerritos Artesia should not be w OC; W LB and E LB should be separated.	
61711072	H		no		San Pedro city of LAPort of LA	Los Angeles	yes	good to separ San Pedro LBLB Port;but concern that AD SD CD use 110 (Harbor fwy) as border split LA City communs SP Wilmington Harbor City Harbor Gateway Harbor Gateway N; put togeth w Carson to expand go W to Palos Verdes along waterline	
61711073	H		no		Cerritos	Los Angeles	yes	AD is good; but CD split Cerritor please do not; for SD put Cerritos w Buena Park not Orange	
61711074	H S		no				yes	draft AD East LA and Boyle Heights should not be w Los Feliz Atwater (geographically separated culturaleconomically different); look at pushing SE boundary westward.	
61711075	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711071			no	no		
61711072			no	yes	COI of communities w same city council rep water district etc	
61711073			no	no		
61711074			no	no		
61711075			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711071			no					yes		
61711072			no							
61711073			no							
61711074			no					yes		
61711075			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711076	H		no		Cerritos	Los Angeles	yes	Cerritos should be w Buena Park La Palma Fullerton Brea in AD; Asian-American area; CAPAFR maps are good	
61711077	pass		no				no		
61711078	H		no		Pasadena SGV lifetime resident	Los Angeles	yes	do not split Pasadena from Altadena	
61711079	H S		yes	Former City Council member	South Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	in CD South Pasadena should be with Pasadena (common history etc)	
61711080	pass		no				no		
61711081	pass		no				no		
61711082	H S		yes	LA City Council Member Chief of Staff	Los Angeles	Los Angeles	yes	oppose CD draft boundaries separates pico union westlake (COI of immigrants low income challenges like inadeq pub transpaffordable housing - share also w areas to east); dont share interests w affluent communities (palisades rodeo drive)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711076			no	no		
61711077			no	no		
61711078			no	no		
61711079			no	no		
61711080			no	no		
61711081			no	no		
61711082			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711076			no							
61711077			no							
61711078			no							
61711079			no					yes		
61711080			no							
61711081			no							
61711082			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711083	H		yes	Cudahy City Council member	Cudahy	Los Angeles	yes	AD SD is good; CD (Ingle-SG) not good; cause no COI w anything W of Alameda corridor like Inglewood; commonalities (eg 710 pollution) w southeast cities like Maywood Commerce Vernon H Park Bell Gardens Bell - go N instead of W.	
61711084	H		no		Whittier (unincorporated South)	Los Angeles	yes	good to have whittier unincorp south Whittier in same districts add Sante Fe Springs into LACVN SD (where dine shop cultural events part of specific Rio Honda College District area); keep Cerritos Artesia in same LA Co district	
61711085	pass		no				no		
61711086	pass		no				no		
61711087	pass		no				no		
61711088	pass		no				no		
61711089	pass		no				no		
61711090	H		no		East LA	Los Angeles	yes	glad East LA kept whole in AD SD CD; lost Latino CDs not in line w 90 growth or VRA; SD for East LA w Temple City Arcadia San Marino (nothing in common SES w those);instead grp East LA w Boyle Hts El Sereno Lincoln Hts (so Lat represented)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711083			no	no		
61711084			no	no		
61711085			no	no		
61711086			no	no		
61711087			no	no		
61711088			no	no		
61711089			no	no		
61711090			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711083			no							
61711084			no							
61711085			no							
61711086			no							
61711087			no							
61711088			no							
61711089			no							
61711090			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711091	H		no		El Monte	Los Angeles	yes	keep El Monte whole in AD and recognize environmental COI of SG Mt	
61711092	H		no		South Pasadena	Los Angeles	yes	keep South Pasadena whole and in CD put S Pasa back in w SG Valley	
61711093	S		no				yes		
61711124	S		no				yes		
61711125	S		no				yes		
61711128	S		no				yes		
61711135	S		no				yes		
61711142	S		no				yes		
61711171	S		no				yes		
61811001	H		no		southern anaheim	Orange	yes	problem with AD SD CD of southern anaheim (flatlands) - more in common with Santa Ana than with Anaheim Hills - more working class and lower income than anaheim hills	
61811002	H		no		live and work in Anaheim	Orange	yes	keep flatlands of anaheim and santa ana together- concerned with AD SD CD; include northwest Orange with Santa Ana; Westminster Garden Grove	
61811003	H		yes	service org		Orange	yes	flatlands of Anaheim with Santa Ana also include other lower income areas like SW orange	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711091			no	no		
61711092			no	no		
61711093			no	no		
61711124			no	no		
61711125			no	no		
61711128			no	no		
61711135			no	no		
61711142			no	no		
61711171			no	no		
61811001			no	yes		
61811002	Anaheim Santa Ana Orange Fullerton	boundaries of areas mentioned west 57 and ; SW Orange is west of 55	no	yes		
61811003			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711091			no							
61711092			no							
61711093			no					yes		
61711124			no					yes		
61711125			no					yes		
61711128			no					yes		
61711135			no					yes		
61711142			no					yes		
61711171			no					yes		
61811001			no							
61811002			no							
61811003			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811004	H		yes	Fullerton College Student Trustee represents 22000 students		Orange	yes	consider students; south Fullerton with anaheim and keep all S Fullerton together; dont put Chino Hills in SD - not a COI with us - no students from Chino Hills at college	
61811005	H		yes	LULAC		Orange	yes	keep Santa Ana and flatlands of Anaheim add in in south fullerton (south of chapman incl Fullerton College) east G Grove SW Orange incl Chapman college; concern that with AD SD CD dont have SA with Flat Anaheim	
61811006	H		no			Orange	yes	by separate Santa Ana Anaheim together in CD to allow woman to be elected to Congress	
61811007	H		no			Orange	yes	two problems with CD 1) separation of SA and Ana - not cause Latino but because communities are the same 2) GG and West w SA - pits Latino and Asian must compete for congress representation; gives alternative	
61811008	H		yes	LULAC		Orange	yes	same as previous flat anaheim santa ana S Fullerton (S of Chapman) and part of GG	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811004			no	yes		
61811005			no	yes		
61811006			no	yes		
61811007			no	yes		
61811008			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811004			no							
61811005			no							
61811006			no							
61811007			no							
61811008			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811009	H		yes	community development in Santa Ana and all of OC works now at Del High center	Santa Ana - live and work	Orange	yes	santa ana anaheim (S of 91 W of 57) add parts of cities like previous	
61811010	H		no			Orange	yes	concern with Latino representation in AD SD CD; something about Latinos of votin age making difference in last ten years	
61811011	H		yes	serves victims of crimes - talks about crime in different cities	Santa Ana (lives and was raised in)	Orange	yes	same as previous keep flat Ana with SA	
61811012	H S (thematic maps - income)		yes	service org		Orange	yes	same as previous flat Ana with SA	
61811013	H S (thematic map of Latinos and of speaking Eng - both from MALDEF)		yes	same org as 12 - more on their demographic data changes over time in OC		Orange	yes	impt to capture trends in maps too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811009			no	yes		
61811010			no	yes		
61811011			no	yes		
61811012			no	yes		
61811013			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811009			no							
61811010			no							
61811011			no							
61811012			no					yes	thematic maps	
61811013			no					yes	thematic maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811014	H S		yes	Mana de Orange City - Latino use	lives in Santa Ana; raised in Anaheim strip where working class family settled at turn of century - has official name La Colonia	Orange	no		
61811015	H		no		Anaheim west of 57 West Central Anaheim	Orange	yes	West Central Anaheim (flatlands) shouldnt be with more affluent areas (as is in current maps) should with SA	
61811016	H		yes	rep of non-profit that does community organizing affordable housing development community renewal victims of domestic violence		Orange	yes	echo previous speakers - need to keep these similar areas together to have voice in Sac which need to develop affordable housing etc	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811014			no	no		
61811015			no	yes		
61811016			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811014			no							
61811015			no							
61811016			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811017	H		yes	Santa Ana City Council		Orange	yes	same as previous comments - discusses how past AD SD CD have been good for this area - overlapping districts that provide our collective voice	
61811018	H	attorney	yes	part of legal team of LULAC	Santa Ana (live work)	Orange	yes	keep SA and Ana together (doesnt say part of Ana)	
61811019	H S (maps and data)		yes	LULAC president (spoke in Santa Ana)		Orange	yes	COI overlaps city boundaries and more imp't to keep COI together than city	
61811020	H		yes	LULAC rep		Orange	yes	LULAC defined COI in court in 90s; will sue; also drew lines for LA and for OC. Latinos need to be represented	
61811021	H	GI	yes	American GI forum President		Orange	no		
61811022	H		no			Orange	yes	Transportation COI Central Anaheim Santa Ana West part of Orange Garden Grove	
61811023	H		yes	Santa Ana School Board		Orange	yes	Santa Ana w Central and West areas of Anaheim; VRA was violated no Latino effective districts drawn. LULAC 147 maps should be used	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811017			no	yes	share public safety (Homeland Security defined area includes these areas) and many other interests	
61811018			no	yes	share high teen pregnancy rate - needs legislative attention	
61811019			no	yes		
61811020			no	yes		
61811021			no	no		
61811022			no	yes		
61811023			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811017			no							
61811018			no							
61811019			no							
61811020			no							
61811021			no				must have Lao Hmong Vietnamese translator for a meeting in OC; these are common languages in OC			
61811022			no							
61811023			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811024	H S (testimony)		yes	Anaheim School District rep		Orange	yes	respect boundaries of school districts (SA has school COI with Ana) and same as previous speakers	
61811025	H - in Spanish	parent	no			Orange	yes	continue to join SA and Ana as have been now. Separation could be a disaster affect children culture history.	
61811026	H		yes	president of a committee (DELAC)	Anaheim	Orange	yes	keep Ana and SA together for students and their education	
61811027	H S		no			Orange	yes	draft CD 47 - splits Latino community; bring West Ana together with SA - multi-generational homes and work in hospitality in Anaheim (Disneyland); also SD 24 same thing.	
61811028	H		yes	Mayor City of Tustin also on boards of Transportation agencies - representing all of OC with three positions	Tustin	Orange	yes	Assoc of CA Cities proposed maps to help you - within 3 deviation - AD SD; want your work to be credible	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811024			no	yes		
61811025			no	yes		
61811026			no	yes		
61811027			no	yes		
61811028			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811024			no							
61811025			no							
61811026			no							
61811027			no					yes		
61811028			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811029	H		no			Orange	yes	references work of Association of CA Cities that 28 spoke about - elected officials worked hard on it (AD SD only) within 97; in draft seems to split more cities than necessary; also have north and south OC together and thats impossible to represent	
61811030	H S (map of proposed CD s)		yes	OC water district on Board of Directors	costa mesa	Orange	yes	watergeographic considerations drove their map and their map also respects Asian communities and Latino communities (flat Ana with SA)	
61811031	H		no		Irvine	Orange	yes	re importance of Irvine support Water District map of CD s	
61811032	H	adopted asian child represents parents of Asian-american children	no		Garden Grove	Orange	yes	Little Saigon is center of Asian community; Asians and Latinos each need own representatio	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811029			no	yes		
61811030			no	yes		
61811031			no	yes		
61811032			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811029			no							
61811030			no					yes	map of proposed CDs	
61811031			no							
61811032			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811033	H	CSU Fullerton alum org Owner of small biz in G Grove	yes	Vietnamese-American COC	live and work in Little Saigon lives specifically in Fountain Valley	Orange	yes	praise that Little Saigon kept together in maps - please continue that. Q care about who else in district? A concern only with being together	
61811034	H		yes	Vietnamese American COC small biz owner (inherited from parents) in Westminster; little Saigon is over 2000 businesses and has grown into other cities from one mile strip in Westminster		Orange	yes	appreciate AD SD CD draft that keep Little Saigon together - please continue	
61811035	H	talks about Little Saigon Vietnamese-American community	no		Little Saigon	Orange	yes	keep Little Saigon together for Vietnames COI	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811033			no	yes		
61811034			no	yes		
61811035			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811033			no							
61811034			no							
61811035			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811036	H	speaking on behalf of Vietnamese family	no		Garden Grove	Orange	yes	Little Saigon biggest Vietnamese community in nation - in GG Westm north FV west SA (Qwhere? A1st st) ; asks that dont cut upneed voice for Vietnamese in Ass Sen Cong.	
61811037	H		yes	City of Westminister city council		Orange	yes	keep Little Saigon together (Westm G Grove FV); 24 405 fwys G Grove School District; Q please submit a map showing us what we left out A ok later	
61811038	H		yes	Westminister School Board member		Orange	yes	Little Saigon together (GG Westm FV)	
61811039	H		no		Garden Grove	Orange	yes	thanks for work to keep our vietnames community together; SD CD maps combine Vietnames Latino; better if Latinos Santa Ana with other Latino area Q where? A Euclid between 68th and 69th is border	
61811040	H	latino community	no		former resident of Santa Ana	Orange	yes	AD SD CD called SNNA - keeps Santa Ana together brings together large Vietnamese community; failed to nest correct AD s in SD; different COIs for Asian and Latino in terms of culture and language.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811036			no	yes		
61811037			no	yes		
61811038			no	yes		
61811039			no	yes		
61811040			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811036			no							
61811037			no							
61811038			no							
61811039			no							
61811040			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811041	H		no		Santa Ana	Orange	yes	Split SA along Asian and Latino lines; keep SA w Ana	
61811042	H		no			Orange	yes	keep Westminister G Grove together; others ask for Ana with SA rather than w GG - we agree	
61811043	H S (letter)		yes	City of Santa Ana council member - but represent all of OC		Orange	yes	SA flat Ana together; Q Orange and GG split? A if have to add those to keep flat Ana and SA together then thats fine.	
61811044	H		no	Le Habra City Council	Le Habra (northern rim of OC)	Orange	yes	first draft allows competition minority COULD get elected; concern that SD and AD in LA; keep W Anaheim and SA together cause thats what inspired her to run for office	
61811045	H		no		Santa Ana	Orange	yes	dont fail us - protect minorities as per VRA; Ana w SA	
61811046	H	works with Latino and Asian pops in OC	no		Garden Grove	Orange	yes	West St W is one E is other - Latino and Asian communities; Resort area is not a divider its the hub of our area (where people work) a community Center; Ana w GG; Ana is different from Ana Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811041			no	yes		
61811042			no	yes		
61811043			no	yes		
61811044			no	yes		
61811045			no	yes		
61811046			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811041			no							
61811042			no							
61811043			no					yes	letter	
61811044			no							
61811045			no							
61811046			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811047	H		yes	city of Santa Ana (not part of Assoc of CA Cities)		Orange	yes	likes santa ana-based districts; keep SA whole look at 55 and 91 fwys; put SA with Ana and GG (issues are foreclosure rates and lack of open space). VRA Sec. 2 applies here	
61811048	H		no	LULAC of Santa Ana		Orange	yes	Districts should reflect growth in Latino Community; Latinos are 44 of OC pop; see SA LULAC map	
61811049	H S	grad student at CSU Long Beach	yes		Altadena	Los Angeles	yes	San Gabriel mt district not good; should be La Canada Altadena Pasadena So Pasadena Sierre Madre Arcadia	
61811050	H S (maps addendum to testimony in Whittier - he was 29)		no				yes	see maps for testimony night before	
61811051	H		no				yes	SG mt AD; same as 49 does not like	
61811052	H		no			Orange	yes	Ana GG SA together or violate VRA; maps submitted by the cities violate VRA	
61811053	H		no			Orange	yes	Fullerton Artesia Cerritos La Palma Buena Park Cypress for As-Am COI	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811047			no	yes		
61811048			no	yes		
61811049			no	yes		
61811050			no	yes		
61811051			no	yes		
61811052			no	yes		
61811053			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811047			no							
61811048			no							
61811049			no							
61811050			no					yes	addendum to testimony in whittier - was 29 there	
61811051			no							
61811052			no							
61811053			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811054	H S (big map)		no				yes	Brea La Mirada Fullerton Buena Park Cerritos Cypress together; AD should be 22 fwy area south incl Stanton GG Westm FV (COI of different religions culture); also AD with Anaheim Orange	
61811055	H	Korean Community	no	Korean Business Association		Orange	yes	dont put Cerritos with Garden Grove; put cerritos with La Palma Artesia Buena Park Fullerton - all signif Korean pop	
61811056	H		yes	city council member La Palma	La Palma 20 yrs	Orange	yes	concerns and comments CD La Palma and one other OC city with bunch of cities in LA County); put us with other North OC cities Cypress Bueana Park Fullerton Los Alimtos	
61811057	H	Asian Community	no			Orange	yes	make Asian district - keep Vietnames and Korean together	
61811058	H		yes	vietnamese org		Orange	yes	keep Little Saigon together	
61811059	H S (list of mobile home parks in OC)	speaking for people who live in mobile home parks	no			Orange	yes	mobile home parks do not have representatives - please take us into consideration	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811054			no	yes		
61811055			no	yes		
61811056			no	yes		
61811057			no	yes		
61811058			no	yes		
61811059			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811054			no					yes	big board map	
61811055			no							
61811056			no							
61811057			no							
61811058			no							
61811059			no					yes	list of mobile home parks in OC	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811060	H		no		Brea	Orange	yes	Armenian Community wronged in draft maps want 3 cities (Montebello Whittier Pico) together - they are in AD CD but not SD. Also Crescenta Valley; take La Habra out	
61811061	H		no	La Habra City Council member	La Habra	Orange	yes	concern with AD and SD that combine with LA cities	
61811062	H S (testimony)		no		works Huntington Beach - private therapy practice; does pro-bono work in flatlands of Anaheims - supported by NIMH	Orange	yes	concerned re anaheim - keep CD 47 as is; no SA w beach cities - left remarks	
61811063	pass		no				no		
61811064	H		no	Dana Point City Council	Dana Point	Orange	yes	Dana Point is split in draft; please make whole in AD SD and put with other south county cities (shares schools hwy water So County Ch of Commerce); Laguna Woods into Coastal	
61811065	H S (poem read)		no		Buena Park		no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811060			no	yes		
61811061			no	yes		
61811062			no	yes		
61811063			no	no		
61811064			no	yes		
61811065			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811060			no							
61811061			no							
61811062			no					yes	testimony	
61811063			no							
61811064			no							
61811065			no					yes	poem	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811066	H		no		La Palma		yes	support AD (OC west) Cerritos La Palma Cypress Buena Park - please keep this going and resist those who dont like it; CD that has La Palma with Long Beach - not good - put us with other OC cities	
61811067	H		no		anaheim	Orange	yes	how could you separate SA and flat Ana - its clear they are one community - connect with bridge of GG; COI is education foreclosures poverty English lang learners; draft is not compliant with VRA	
61811068	H - in Spanish		no		Santa Ana	Orange	yes	Santa Ana and Anaheim face similar probs (eg home burgleries) and east GG too.	
61811069	H	kids in Santa Ana schools	no		Santa Ana	Orange	yes	keep SA with Ana and east GG - is a COI	
61811070	H		no			Orange	yes	SA Ana east GG (COI w similarities same issues same problems - like home security)	
61811071	H S (maps)		no			Orange	yes	also want Ana with SA; to do this AD based in SA AD based in Anaheim then nest them into a SD; describes what bridge is between SA Ana (east GG and SW Orange and gives streets - small immigrant area)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811066			no	yes		
61811067			no	yes		
61811068			no	yes		
61811069			no	yes		
61811070			no	yes		
61811071			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811066			no							
61811067			no							
61811068			no							
61811069			no							
61811070			no							
61811071			no					yes	maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811072	H		no		Anaheim	Orange	yes	flat Ana SA and E GG kept together in all 3 district types. (COI is immigrant and income lack of parks housing open space); Also mentions Anaheim resort triangle	
61811073	H		yes	mayor of Huntington Beach		Orange	yes	oppose our CD; share water and school districts with neighbors integrating police fire; attend each others fairs parades festivals; support Water District map proposal for CD	
61811074	H		no	mayor of Orange		Orange	yes	Do not use so much of Orange as bridge between SA Ana use only west of 57 (same as river) called platinum triangle east GG; does not like water district map	
61811075	H		yes	OC Communities Organized for Responsible Development a community organizer	Santa Ana	Orange	yes	same grouping as other with SA Ana GG together in all districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811072			no	yes		
61811073			no	yes		
61811074			no	yes		
61811075			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811072			no							
61811073			no							
61811074			no							
61811075			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811076	H		no			Orange	yes	concern with separation of Asian community talks about Shaun Duane map that complies with VRA; westminister w Huntington Beach Fountain Valley; Costa mesa w Sunset Beach	
61811078	H		yes	City of La Palma Transportation Agency	La Palma	Orange	yes	doesnt like being in CD with 90 LA cities esp Long Beach; put in proposed Loma Linda CD or Le Habra CD	
61811079	H		no			Orange	yes	CD with Huntington Beach Fountain Valley Westminister; concern with Oceanview School District (work closely with Huntington Beach Unified School District FV school district) and federal funding	
61811080	H		no		Dana Point	Orange	yes	our little city split in half for AD SD - please dont do this; pull Dana Pt in So OC AD which is 2 under and it wont affect deviation much.	
61811081	H		yes	Planned Parenthood		Orange	yes	SA flat Ana (share problems like high teen birth rate - wants same config of others west of 57 S of 91 each GG south Fuller SW orange	
61811082	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811076			no	yes		
61811078			no	yes		
61811079			no	yes		
61811080			no	yes		
61811081			no	yes		
61811082			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811076			no							
61811078			no							
61811079			no							
61811080			no							
61811081			no							
61811082			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811083	H		no		Diamond Bar	Los Angeles	yes	like AD and SD for her town doesnt like CD	
61811084	pass		no				no		
61811085	H		yes	Anaheim City Council Anaheim part of Ass of CA Cities and worked on their maps for OC	Anaheim	Orange	yes	our maps respect city lines like Dana Point; and county lines (there is an Orange Curtain); agree with mayor of Orange that bridge is west of SA River and East GG	
61811086	H		no		unincorporated part of West OC Rossmore (county may merge Rossmore Los Alimitos and Seal Beach into SuperCity)	Orange	yes	small bedroom community nothing in common with Long Beach or port.	
61811087	H		yes	on LACO as well as other orgs		Orange	yes	speaking about CD draft - support CD proposed by water district	
61811088	H		no			Orange	yes	disagree with splitting Irvine wants CD split at Costa Mesa coastal district	
61811089	pass		no				no		
61811090	H		yes	letter from council woman Seal Beach		Orange	yes	Seal Beach Huntington Beach with similar communities ones linked with now are NOT similar	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811083			no	yes		
61811084			no	no		
61811085			no	yes		
61811086			no	yes		
61811087			no	yes		
61811088			no	yes		
61811089			no	no		
61811090			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811083			no							
61811084			no							
61811085			no							
61811086			no							
61811087			no							
61811088			no							
61811089			no							
61811090			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811091	pass		no				no		
61811092	pass		no				no		
61811093	pass		no				no		
61811094	pass		no				no		
61811095	pass		no				no		
61811096	pass		no				no		
61811097	H		no				yes	one letter re Diamond Bar Yorba Linda Norwalk districts Le Habra drawn out of those and concern with Le Habras representation; also a letter from Orange council member concern with splitting OC into two or more CDs	
61811104	H		no			Orange	yes	concern w Diamond BarWalnutLe Habra district - instead want to rotate around and have a North Co OC Highlands district (with Ana Hills) and So OC highlands district	
61811105	H S (maps)		no			Orange	yes	like previous has alternative to draft moving around various parts of OC - did population exchanges	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811091			no	no		
61811092			no	no		
61811093			no	no		
61811094			no	no		
61811095			no	no		
61811096			no	no		
61811097			no	yes		
61811104			no	yes		
61811105			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811091			no							
61811092			no							
61811093			no							
61811094			no							
61811095			no							
61811096			no							
61811097			no							
61811104			no							
61811105			no					yes	maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811106	H		no		Garden Grove city	Orange	yes	Cerritos Artesia with N OC (Cypress La Palma Buena Park) rather than central OC; happy that GG westm and FV together for Vietnamese but please add west SA; need to unite Latinos in SA and part of Ana for VRA district	
61811107	pass		no				no		
61811108	H		no			Orange	yes	pleased with Westminister district in draft; talks about congresswoman having been to vietnam and established that connection - doesnt want to start over on that	
61811109	H	testified at Oakland	yes	young professionals for better government founder			yes	org submitted SD map before now submitting AD map (taking into acct coastalenvironmental issues)	
61811110	H		no				yes	submit proposalplease consider	
61811114	H		no				yes	its political	
61811115	H S (testimony maps)		no		San Clemente	Orange	yes	San Clemente should be with Dana Pt San Juan; CD stuck with San Diego	
61811116	H		no		North OC	Orange	yes	55 freeway separate Ana and SA - a Mason-Dixon line; anaheim hills has nothing to do with us	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811106			no	yes		
61811107			no	no		
61811108			no	yes		
61811109			no	yes		
61811110			no	yes		
61811114			no	no		
61811115			no	yes		
61811116			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811106			no							
61811107			no							
61811108			no							
61811109			no							
61811110			no							
61811114			no							
61811115			no							
61811116			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811120	H S (maps)		no			Orange	yes	maps of AD proposals and maps showing how put COIs together like split GG - east is Latino and west is Asian; pitting latino ag. Vietnamese and latino or vietn ag Indian in Cerritos etc	
61811123	H		yes	CCAG			no		
61911001	H		no		Bloomington	San Bernardino	yes	AD s drafts look like old one	
61911002	H		no				yes	BOE draft - East district - S Bern should be w Rivers Imperial OC (because of water similarities recreation etc; do not split S Bern	
61911003	H		no				yes	CD called SBRIA - problem to split Fontana and other cities; school districts are split share freeway repair problems	
61911004	H		no				yes	AD proposal of Rancho C with Fontana Bloomington and half of Rialto; this is a watershed COI also a COI based on shared police and other services	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811120			no	yes		
61811123			no	no		
61911001			no	no		
61911002			no	yes		
61911003			no	yes		
61911004			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811120			no					yes	maps	
61811123			no				process issues instructions RPV biz mtg transcripts COI database			
61911001			no							
61911002			no							
61911003			no							
61911004			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911005	H		no		Chino Hills	San Bernardino	yes	for draft AD CD include Chino Hills and 12 of Chino; Chino Hills Chino Pomona other Pomona Valley towns are COI; this COI should not be with LA	
61911006	H		no		Riverside	Riverside	yes	wants Perris in CD instead of Norco; importance of 215 corridor	
61911007	H		no		Ontario	San Bernardino	yes	AD CD SD for western S Bern Co has Upland Chino Hills cut out of S Bern Co.; put pomona back in with LA districts	
61911008	H		yes	Inland Action advocacy org for biz leaders economic development based in Redlands - presented 0 deviation maps for Inland Empire	Redlands	San Bernardino	yes	please look at our maps - 0 deviation plan for AD SD CD	
61911009	H		yes	Inland Empire Economic Partnership (submits maps w Inland Action)	Riverside	Riverside	yes	CD draft displace IE residents such as Upland Chino Hills Temecula; their maps (speaker 8) cut down on city splits and create VRA seat around Fontana	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911005			no	yes		
61911006			no	yes		
61911007			no	yes		
61911008			no	yes		
61911009			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911005			no							
61911006			no							
61911007			no							
61911008			no							
61911009			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911010	H		yes	Inland Action rep and University of Redlands rep			yes	Chino Hills and Upland back in IE dont split Redlands in CD	
61911011	H		yes	Inland Action President			yes	propose maps that are better CD draft splits us in agregious fashion their CD proposal keep Redlands whole; their AD proposal keeps Rivers whole uses major freeways and splits fewer cities	
61911012	H		yes	Inland Action			yes	used draft maps to make IE maps better based on knowledge of IE and looked at complying with VRA especially in CD; and put people back in IE. Please look at. Q Equivalency Files? A will get from RedrawCA	
61911013	H		yes	represent 46 hospitals in two IE counties	Redlands	San Bernardino	yes	drafts hard to understand far flung; wants IE in tact in AD SD CD (because of growth and health care needs)- likes Inland Action maps; keep Redlands whole	
61911014	H		yes	mayor city of redlands	Redlands	San Bernardino	yes	CD splits Redlands does disservice to residents - provided comment; keep Redlands whole	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911010			no	yes		
61911011			no	yes		
61911012			no	yes		
61911013			no	yes		
61911014			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911010			no							
61911011			no							
61911012			no							
61911013			no							
61911014			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911015	H		no				yes	supports Inland Action maps its vital to region to keep Upland and Ranch C together	
61911016	H		yes	Mayor of Chino Hills Four Corners Coalition			yes	CD draft (of four corners area) divides chino hills oppose it; support AD SD in four-corner area; four-corner area has lots of local districts in common; Q in your map chino hills is split? A yes	
61911017	H S (document describing Four Corners area)		yes	Four Corners Coalition - cross county lines parts have more in common with each other than with parts of own county			yes	cross county lines Chino Hills w Diamond Bar w Brea	
61911018	H		no		San Bernardino	San Bernardino	yes	list of cities that are COI (Colton Bloomington San Bernardino Highland etc); also draft has too many districts for one county	
61911019	H		yes	4-3-21 Coalition			yes	no connection between Rancho C and Redlands geographically or community wise school shopping etc. Rancho C needs to go in another district to its west	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911015			no	yes		
61911016			no	yes		
61911017			no	yes		
61911018			no	yes		
61911019			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911015			no							
61911016			no							
61911017			no							
61911018			no							
61911019			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911020	H		yes	Coalition 4-3-21 - number of districts S Bern Co should have;			yes	want S Bern Co in tact want one district for 100 representation of entire co.	
61911021	H		no		Rancho Cucamonga	San Bernardino	yes	Fontana Rialto with Rancho C. NOT redlands; AD Rancho C with Fontana cause school districts need to be together.	
61911022	H		yes	LatinoLatina Roundtable			yes	Ranch C and Chino Hills removed from OntarioPomona AD and Fontana should be added. Revisit whole plan to include income and ethnic issues; do not reduced Latino representation	
61911023	H		yes	city manager	Moreno Valley	Riverside	yes	Moreno Valley intact in all three draft districts which is great - support first draft maps Dont change	
61911024	H		no		Redlands	San Bernardino	yes	reject draft maps in entirety - violate all criteria - fundamentally flawed cant be worked with.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911020			no	yes		
61911021			no	yes		
61911022			no	yes		
61911023			no	yes		
61911024			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911020			no							
61911021			no							
61911022			no							
61911023			no							
61911024			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911025	H		no		Rancho Cucamonga	San Bernardino	yes	AD draft cuts part RC out want it in tact. Also split Redlands want rest of Rancho Cuc back get rid of part of Redlands (which is also split so make it whole again too); CD makes sense RC w communs to south - RC shares HS district with Ontario	
61911026	H		no				yes	AD SBCUCuse dev.s to keep more cities toget; support 4321 concept dont use S Bern Co as a filler; doesnt like Redlands being split; natural COI around I15 highway and lists cities to put together (Redlands Loma Linda Highland Mentone Yucaipa)	
61911027	H - in Spanish		no		Moreno Valley	Riverside	yes	re CD Riverside Moreno Valley Perris is COI (family educational family assistance and hospital programs)); also March AFB should be with it	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911025			no	yes		
61911026			no	yes		
61911027			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911025			no							
61911026			no							
61911027			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911028	H		no		Riverside (prior to that Moreno Valley)	Riverside	yes	good draft AD SD close to ours; concern w CD - left out city of Perris (put it back in with Rivers Mor Vall for several reas - Joint Authority for March) - Q what take out if put Perris in? A submitted better CD that meets pop reqtakes Norco out	
61911029	H		no				no		
61911030	H		yes	4-3-21 coalition			yes	keep S Bern School District in tact and other school districts - has concerns re education	
61911031	H		no				yes	happy to be back in CD AD SD as you drew it. However want San Bern intact - do not split at waterman ave.	
61911032	H		no		desert	Riverside County	yes	look at my proposalpackage as 3 in Palm Springs; eastern Coachella Valley and Imperial together for all maps	
61911033	H		no			San Bernardino	no		
61911034	H		yes	Monday Morning Group (non-partisan advocacy)	Riverside	Riverside	yes	likes maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911028			no	yes		
61911029			no	no		
61911030			no	yes		
61911031			no	yes		
61911032			no	yes		
61911033			no	no		
61911034			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911028			no							
61911029			no			Section 5 is a historical accident - messes up map drawing				
61911030			no							
61911031			no							
61911032			no							
61911033			no							
61911034			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911035	H		yes	Monday Morning Group	Riverside	Riverside	yes	group supports the maps; if changes keep us with rest of IE NOT LA NOT OC	
61911036	pass		no				no		
61911037	H		no		Pico Union	Los Angeles	yes	must comply with VRA; should not be w Beverly Hills	
61911038	H		no		Montclair	San Bernardino	yes	like being with Ontario and Pomona as in CD draft remove R C and Chino Hills and put in Fontana; montclair should not be with dissimilar interests and SES.	
61911039	H		no		Pomona	Los Angeles	yes	upset with lack of representation for Latino in CD s; Pomona Montclair Chino Ontario Fontana is COI AD is good SD is good except too big; for CD Chino should be in there with Pomona Valley	
61911040	H		yes	LWV of Riverside		Riverside	yes	like and support maps especially re Norco Jurupa Valley Riverside Moreno Valley etc.; like nesting	
61911041	H		no		San Bernardino	San Bernardino	yes	Pomona Upland Chino Hills San Bernardino Redlands together; dont divide by race and income dont use freeways which are proxies for that; submitted maps that improve	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911035			no	yes		
61911036			no	no		
61911037			no	yes		
61911038			no	yes		
61911039			no	yes		
61911040			no	yes		
61911041			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911035			no							
61911036			no							
61911037			no							
61911038			no							
61911039			no							
61911040			no							
61911041			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911042	H		no		Riverside	Riverside	yes	thanks for keeping Riversid Moreno Valley whole add Perris March AFB Mead Valley Good Hope	
61911043	H		no				yes	Water transportation COIs watershed of Santa Ana River 215 freeway.	
61911044	H		no		Crestline	San Bernardino	yes	Crestline Lake Arrowhead Running Springs together	
61911045	H	professor	no				yes	likes that Rivers wMoreno Val in AD SD CD; take out Norco Corona from CD put in Perris (school district has Perris and Moreno Valley)	
61911046	H		yes	IEAAC			yes	put unincorporated areas in one district; thanks for paying atten to Ebony Tri; make focus the 1 district that is totally in San Bern incl Font.; RLTF0 district tract 27 is part of Rialto 29 blocks1460 residents left out - see last 2pg of submission	
61911047	H		no		Moreno Valley	Riverside	yes	very excited to be kept in tact; AD SD are very good; CD should include Perris (Perris residents shop in Moreno Valley); take corona and norco out of CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911042			no	yes		
61911043			no	yes		
61911044			no	yes		
61911045			no	yes		
61911046			no	yes		
61911047			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911042			no							
61911043			no							
61911044			no							
61911045			no							
61911046			no							
61911047			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911048	H		no		chino Hills - neophyte - young town	San Bernardino	yes	chino hills is a family works together studies together stays together and vise versa	
61911049	H		yes	member 4-3-21 coalition	Redlands own biz in San Bern	San Bernardino	yes	supports 4321 principle; keep S Bern Co together; also please dont split Redlands in half; Colton and Grand Terrace together.	
61911050	H		no				yes	how define COI? How is pinhole of Cochella Valley a COI how is Perris not with Rivers Moreno Valley?	
61911051	H		yes	Hemet City Council			yes	HemetSan Jacinto good in AD SD; not in CD - take out of Cochella district put in another (replace Corona); put HemetSan Jacinto Valley with similar areas	
61911052	H		no		Upland	San Bernardino	yes	Upland should stay with S Bern Co in AD SD and CD rather than with LA County; possibly move Chino Chino Hills to be Diamond Bar	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911048			no	yes		
61911049			no	yes		
61911050			no	yes		
61911051			no	yes		
61911052			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911048			no							
61911049			no							
61911050			no							
61911051			no							
61911052			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911053	H		no		Upland	San Bernardino	yes	as wife just said made Upland an appendage of LA Co district and takes away its voice nothing in common w Glen Burb; need to put Upland w S Bern Co (esp w Montclair Rancho C) Chino Hills go with Diamond Bar Yorba Linda; keep CD s win co.s	
61911054	H		no		San Bernardino	San Bernardino	yes	Highland Redlands and San Bernardino City together because form a COI (lists shared projects especially transportation cultural ties too)	
61911055	H		no		Crestline	San Bernardino	yes	District called SBCUC splits Crestline off from other mt communities but they are all a COI; make mountain dist all the way to Big Bear	
61911056	H		no				yes	Running Springs Lake Arrowhead Crestline are COI; want to be with unincorporated area of S Bern Co (high desert) rather than cities	
61911057	H		yes	Riverside Black Chamber of Commerce - June tenth Committee	Riverside	Riverside	yes	salutes maps thanks for meeting many more would be here but will send comments other ways	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911053			no	yes		
61911054			no	yes		
61911055			no	yes		
61911056			no	yes		
61911057			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911053			no							
61911054			no							
61911055			no							
61911056			no							
61911057			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911058	H		no		Crestline	San Bernardino	yes	Crestline Lake Arrowhead Running Springs are COI (common school districts etc); need voice of people on Mt. - small communs wsmall voices so need to be together.	
61911059	H		no		Cedar Pines Park - on mountain	San Bernardino	yes	agrees w previous speaker; dont split up families of communities	
61911060	H		no		Redlands	San Bernardino	yes	dont want Redlands split - dont want two Congressmembers consider proposal of 1 for CD	
61911061	H		no		Apple Valley	San Bernardino	yes	also likes proposal of speaker 1 happy to have Crestline and other high dessert areas with us in high dessert rather than being with Lancaster Palmdale; Oak Hills and Hesperia should be w mt district - drop mono inyo	
61911062	H		yes	Chamber of Commerce Riverside			yes	spoke on May 5 proposing nesting; support draft maps even though Riverside city split; mayor of Riverside has no problems; we believe you did your job. Q ok with way split city of Riverside? A yes Jackson street etcits fine.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911058			no	yes		
61911059			no	yes		
61911060	rialto colton muskland redlands half of Fontana etc together in 1 proposal for CD	united by 215-210	no	yes		
61911061			no	yes		
61911062			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911058			no							
61911059			no							
61911060			no							
61911061			no							
61911062			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911063	H		yes	arrowhead arms neighborhood association president	arrowhead arms neighborhood - 750 residents county island around city of San Bern	San Bernardino	yes	like others want to be in tactwe have 2 SD for our 750 residents; if any way to keep communities together please do it.	
61911064	H		no		Lake Arrowhead	San Bernardino	yes	want to be with other mountain areas like Crestline Cedar Pines Park Running Springs - wildlife wildfire area - face same issues want one AD and SD	
61911065	H		no		Ontario	San Bernardino	yes	talked 3 times to you wow you listened to us Not perfect but suggest two areas to fix Rancho Cucha with Redlands splitting of Redlands.	
61911066	H		no		Eastern Cochella	Riverside	yes	concern with splits; Eastern Cochella different from Western Coch (working class vs snowbirdsvacationers); please re-connect Eastern Cochella (Indio for ex) to Imperial economy. Q which cities w Imperial? A no answer - recogn this main artery	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911063			yes	yes		
61911064			no	yes		
61911065			no	yes		
61911066			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911063			no							
61911064			no							
61911065			no							
61911066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911067	H		no		San Bernardino	San Bernardino	yes	San Bernardino doesnt have parts dont have neighborhood names - its a valley that needs to be together; pair with Redlands but NOT w Rancho C	
61911068	H		no		Rialto	San Bernardino	yes	supports 4-3-21 plan	
61911069	H		no		La Quinta	Riverside	yes	Coachella Imperial area (asked earlier speaker) Indio Coachella to Calexico on border	
61911070	pass		no				no		
61911071	pass		no				no		
61911072	H		yes	S Bern NAACP			yes	supports recommendation earlier; Rialto with San Bern also add Colton Muscoy Bloomington Grand Terrace; put Fontana in another AD	
61911073	H		no		Crestline	San Bernardino	yes	Crestline and Lake Arrowhead is one mountain top - one COI so must be together. Only 2 ways to get their thru Running Springs or 138; share emergency services	
61911074	H	HS teacher	no		Riverside	Riverside	yes	fully support AD and SD you drew with CD just add Perris	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911067			no	yes		
61911068			no	yes		
61911069			no	yes		
61911070			no	no		
61911071			no	no		
61911072			no	yes		
61911073			no	yes		
61911074			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911067			no							
61911068			no							
61911069			no				complaint about this mtg being in Fathers Day and so far from Cochella Valley - no time to get to it and get a good number especially after mass.			
61911070			no							
61911071			no							
61911072			no							
61911073			no							
61911074			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911075	H		no		Crestline	San Bernardino	yes	echo 73 re mt COI (emergency services etc)	
61911076	H		no		Crestline	San Bernardino	yes	echo 73 75 re mt COI (emergency services etc)	
61911077	H		no		Perris	Riverside	yes	AD and SD great just concern with CD; Mead Valley Good Hope are part of Perris (same zip sch dist) so put all three with Rivers Moreno Vall in CD please; Q what take out? A Corona Norco maybe Eastvale	
61911078	H		no		Perris	Riverside	yes	Perris Rivers Moreno Val are a family - do not break us up - one family like 3 muskateers	
61911079	H - in Spanish		yes	ExecDirector of non-profit			yes	keep Rivers Moreno Val and Perris together (work with farmworkers affected by NAFTA in difficult situations so this grouping is impt)	
61911080	H		no		Crestline 40 yrs	San Bernardino	yes	cant imagine being separate from other mt areas Cedar Park Running Springs Lake Arrowhead	
61911081	H		no		Crestline over 37 yrs	San Bernardino	yes	mt communities have strong COI (also mentions emergency services); need to be with Running Springs and Lake Arrowhead and not necessarily Big Bear	
61911082	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911075			no	yes		
61911076			no	yes		
61911077			no	yes		
61911078			no	yes		
61911079			no	yes		
61911080			no	yes		
61911081			no	yes		
61911082			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911075			no							
61911076			no							
61911077			no							
61911078			no							
61911079			no							
61911080			no							
61911081			no							
61911082			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911083	H		no		Upland	San Bernardino	yes	need to be with S Bern (where sphere of influence is) and NOT with LA Co	
61911084	H		no		mt communities	San Bernardino	yes	CalTrans Distr same for mt communities economy is tied to their common roads; definitely include Big Bear with mt communities too	
61911085	H		yes		Redlands	San Bernardino	yes	keep Redlands whole wLoma Linda San Bern city Highland	
61911086	pass		no				no		
61911087	pass		no				no		
61911088	pass		no				no		
61911089	pass		no				no		
61911090	H	on radio	no				no		
61911091	H		no		Cedar Pines Park	San Bernardino	yes	mt communities are different from rest of So CA- flatlanders - we love it up there - shovel snow etc.; same water districts same school districts	
61911092	pass		no				no		
61911093	H		no		Redlands	San Bernardino	yes	draft CD splits Redlands removes conservative CD and makes safe district for liberal Bacca who doesnt represent us - does not like CD	
61911094	pass		no				no		
61911095	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911083			no	yes		
61911084			no	yes		
61911085			no	yes		
61911086			no	no		
61911087			no	no		
61911088			no	no		
61911089			no	no		
61911090			no	no		
61911091			no	yes		
61911092			no	no		
61911093			no	yes		
61911094			no	no		
61911095			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911083			no							
61911084			no							
61911085			no							
61911086			no							
61911087			no							
61911088			no							
61911089			no							
61911090			no			got to work together - born in USA w freedom				
61911091			no							
61911092			no							
61911093			no							
61911094			no							
61911095			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911096	pass		no				no		
61911097	H		yes	group of companies (restaurants shops etc)			yes	support SD. s proposed; keep Pomona Ontario Chino together in ADSD	
61911098	H		no				yes	happy with Pomona chino ontario montclair district - keep that area (Pomona Valley) together	
61911099	H		no		Moreno Valley	Riverside	yes	happy that Moreno Valley in tact; did research for March JPA - real community the five areas - keep together (Rivers MV Perris Mead valley Good Hope)	
61911100	pass		no				no		
61911101	H		no		Crestline	San Bernardino	yes	must be with mt communities which share services Will be disenfranchised if divided	
61911102	H		no		Lake Arrowhead	San Bernardino	yes	Crestline wLake Arrowhead Running Springs (same water district fire recreation community hospital district school district - well defined community - keep together	
61911103	H		no		Moreno Valley	Riverside	yes	Perris w Moreno Valley Riverside in CD; three are a COI; happy w AD SD let down by CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911096			no	no		
61911097			no	yes		
61911098			no	yes		
61911099			no	yes		
61911100			no	no		
61911101			no	yes		
61911102			no	yes		
61911103			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911096			no							
61911097			no							
61911098			no							
61911099			no							
61911100			no							
61911101			no							
61911102			no							
61911103			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911104	H - Spanish Speaker interpreter spoke only (after pre-reviewing testimony with him)		no		perris 23 yrs	Riverside	yes	happy with AD SD not happy w CD; Perris w Moreno Valley	
61911105	H - Spanish Speaker interpreter spoke only (after pre-reviewing testimony with him)		no				yes	perris Moreno Valley Riverside together	
61911106	pass		no				no		
61911107	H - Spanish speaker with same interpreter presenting		no		Perris	Riverside	yes	same re Perris Rivers MV; perris nothing in common with other cities	
61911108	H - Spanish again with same interpretor speaker did speak a little Spanish at first in mic		no		Perris	Riverside	yes	joining Perris Rivers MV	
61911109	H - Spanish speaker - interpreter spoke only		no		Perris	Riverside	yes	same as other two AD SD good CD must have us with Rivers MV	
61911110	H		no		Perris	Riverside	yes	same as other three AD SD good CD must have us with Rivers MV	
61911111	H		no				yes	keep Redlands together; keep cities together	
61911112	H		no		Riverside	Riverside	yes	generally happy espec eliminating OC from us support adding Perris to CD(less in common with Corona and Norco)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911104			no	yes		
61911105			no	yes		
61911106			no	no		
61911107			no	yes		
61911108			no	yes		
61911109			no	yes		
61911110			no	yes		
61911111			no	yes		
61911112			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911104			no							
61911105			no							
61911106			no							
61911107			no							
61911108			no							
61911109			no							
61911110			no							
61911111			no							
61911112			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911113	H		yes	on a transportation board	Riverside	Riverside	yes	support Perris with Rivers Mareno Valley in CD- especially transportation issues working on together such as metrolink)	
61911114	H		no		Riverside	Riverside	yes	same re three cities (riverside MV Perris) needed in CD	
61911115	H		no		Moreno Valley	Riverside	yes	same as previous for CD include Perris and possibly Mead Valley and Good Hope March ARB; not as much in common w Corona Norco; confused re AD not sure if meant to include Mead Valley Good Hope but should	
61911116	H		yes	Ridgecrest COC	Ridgecrest	Kern	yes	fully support maps- happy all in Kern County	
61911117	pass		no				no		
61911118	pass		no				no		
61911119	pass		no				no		
61911120	pass		no				no		
61911121	H		no		Moreno Valley	Riverside	yes	8 communities put with other part of Riverside Co please put them together (Moreno Val Rivers Norco Corona Mead Valley Eastvale) so that Af-Am pop maximized in CD - Comply w VRA	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911113			no	yes		
61911114			no	yes		
61911115			no	yes		
61911116			no	yes		
61911117			no	no		
61911118			no	no		
61911119			no	no		
61911120			no	no		
61911121			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911113			no							
61911114			no							
61911115			no							
61911116			no							
61911117			no							
61911118			no							
61911119			no							
61911120			no							
61911121			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911122	H	physician	no		Redlands	San Bernardino	yes	need to link cities in S Bern Co (Redlands Highland Loma Linda S Bern) in all three districts; do not use hwys as borders as that cuts communities that live on both sides; agrees re Rivers MV Perris too based on work in that area	
61911123	H - speaks in Spanish and English		no		Riverside	Riverside	yes	adhere to VRA for Latino low income communities - dont ignore Section 2 of VRA	
61911124	pass		no				no		
61911125	pass		yes				no		
61911126	pass		no				no		
61911127	H		no		Mt community	San Bernardino	yes	put Crestline back with rest of us	
61911128	pass		no				no		
61911129	pass		no				no		
61911130	pass		no				no		
62011001	H S		no		Calexico	Imperial	yes	coachella valley should be with imperial because of COI around Salton Sea cleanup health education (CSUUC) cultural and natural resources enterprise zones shared water ways canals. Nothing in common w S Diego but border so no Imperial w SD.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911122			no	yes		
61911123			no	yes		
61911124			no	no		
61911125			no	no		
61911126			no	no		
61911127			no	yes		
61911128			no	no		
61911129			no	no		
61911130			no	no		
62011001			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911122			no							
61911123			no							
61911124			no							
61911125			no							
61911126			no							
61911127			no							
61911128			no							
61911129			no							
61911130			no							
62011001			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011002	H S		no			Imperial	yes	draft AD SD CD do not have imperial w Coachella Valley and that is the COI (cultural economies linked restoring Salton Sea natural resources renewable energy potential)	
62011003	H S		yes	Imperial County Board of Supervisors Chair		Imperial	yes	COI is w Coachella Valley (Indio Mecca Thermal La Quinta Oasis) based on Salton Sea issues desert renewable energy (all major employers for both Imperial and Coachella Valley). Align with COI except ok to have south SD areas close to border w Imp.	
62011004	pass		no				no		
62011005	H S		yes	SCAPAL part of CAPAFR		San Diego	yes	good to have north SD area in one district; in draft AD problem with Poway Linda Vista and South Bay draft SD also a problem CAPAFR will submit new maps; please follow VRA	
62011006	H S		no		National City	San Diego	yes	AD draft for South Bay not good; in Chula Vista map Filipino community is divided; SD draft for east Chula Vista east National City is not good; do not prioritize nesting	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011002			no	yes		
62011003			no	yes		
62011004			no	no		
62011005			no	yes		
62011006			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011002			no					yes		
62011003			no					yes		
62011004			no							
62011005			no					yes		
62011006			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011007	H S (testimony)		no		Mira Mesa	San Diego	yes	good to have API community together; Poway should be in RCHMM; look at CAPAFR maps	
62011008	H S		no				yes	good that Linda Vista whole but in wrong AD SD cause w wealthy communties; put LV in RCHMM because its urban not coastal and has Vietnamese and Hmong; CAPAFR will present maps	
62011009	H S		yes	director of Pacific American Charter School	Linda Vista	San Diego	yes	LV needs to be in RCHMM for AD and moved in SD too; should not be w wealthy communities of La Jolla	
62011010	H		no			Imperial	yes	CD of Imperial w SD is ok; AD SD Imperial should be with border areas of SD not w east SD (nothing in common w El Cahon)	
62011011	pass		no				no		
62011012	H S		no			San Diego	yes	draw NS districts for API community; for Filpino district - Bay Terrace; for ADSD have suburban w urban areas but not w east.	
62011013	H		no		Talmadge	San Diego	yes	CD fine; draft AD SD separate Kensington Talmadge so divides community; Kensington w Talmadge is one neighborhood and impt to be together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011007			no	yes		
62011008			no	yes		
62011009			no	yes		
62011010			no	yes		
62011011			no	no		
62011012			no	yes		
62011013			yes	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011007			no					yes		
62011008			no					yes		
62011009			no					yes		
62011010			no							
62011011			no							
62011012			no					yes		
62011013			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011014	H S		no	Rancho Sante Fe Homeowners Assoc	Rancho Sante Fe	San Diego	yes	AD CNSAN has RSF w downtown but it should be w north county communities; submitted maps to fix	
62011015	H	immigration consultant	yes	Calexico City Coucil member	Calexico	Imperial	yes	Imperial w east Coachella Valley; farm workers follow the work into Coachella Valley; for low income people all 3 levels of districts should include eastern Coachella	
62011016	H		no			Imperial	yes	impt to have Imperial w eastern Coachella Valley does not matter what else is in district. COI is based on desert similar economic needs Salton Sea pollution water renewable energy develop agricultural regiona airquality; south SD is not agricu	
62011017	H		yes	Calexico City Coucil member	Calexico	Imperial	yes	Imperial w eastern Coachella Valley because lots of commonalities (mainly agric); if Imperial in w SD in AD then its voice muted based on social economic ethnic racial differences.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011014			no	yes		
62011015			no	yes		
62011016	eastern Coachella Valley (Indio Thermal Mecca La Quinta Visa Santa Rosa)		no	yes		
62011017			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011014			no					yes		
62011015			no							
62011016			no							
62011017			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011018	H		yes	Calexico School Board member	Calexico	Imperial	yes	Imperial must be w east Coachella vs w SD; impoverished ESL communities students w non English-speaking parents	
62011019	H		no			Imperial	yes	do not put with SD which is far away; put Imperial w Coachella Valley	
62011020	H S		no	Chair of a Filipino org	Chula Vista	San Diego	yes	Bay Terrace Alta Vista w paradise Hills Bonita east Chula Vista and east National City	
62011021	H S		no		City Heights San Diego City	San Diego	yes	City Height is diverse community of 30 languages 100 dialects at 80515 intersection; like ADSD draft; in one map piece of City Heights separated and that is fixed in submitted maps	
62011022	H		no				yes	eastern Coachella Valley w Imperial not SD w Imperial; low income senior pop cant go to SD as its too expensive	
62011023	H	Filipino-American	no		Oak Park San Diego City	San Diego	yes	API COI of urban San Diego City Heights Oak Park and Paradise Hills in AD LMSAND; nest LMSAND SNSAND to make SD - unite urban parts along hwy I -8 El Cajon University Ave	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011018			no	yes		
62011019			no	yes		
62011020			no	yes		
62011021			yes	yes		
62011022			no	yes		
62011023			yes	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011018			no							
62011019			no							
62011020			no					yes		
62011021			no					yes		
62011022			no							
62011023			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011024	H		no		El Cajon east SD county area	San Diego	yes	El Cajon in east county should not be connected to Imperial; Alpine Rancho San Diego Julian Warner Spings Santee together w us go north along east border (share fire danger state park forest tribal lds) - current AD 77 is good and reflect our COI	
62011025	H		no		Lake Marina Village area east SD County	San Diego	yes	Lake Marina Campo connect w El Cajon Alpine Santee Rancho SD Jamul Pine Valley; share forest state park use borders of AD 77	
62011026	H S		no		Santee east SD County	San Diego	yes	do not include east County w Imperial Co (now is in AD SD ISAND); instead put in area east of I15 - wildfire protection area; likes CD NESAN - use that to guide AD SD.	
62011027	H S		no		Alpine	San Diego	yes	El Cajon through forest tribal lands (recreational outdoors); Ramona Poway can be included; same type of fire ara	
62011028	H		no				yes	Barrio district does not need to be split link Pt Loma w Barrio Logan	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011024			no	yes		
62011025			no	yes		
62011026			no	yes		
62011027			no	yes		
62011028			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011024			no							
62011025			no							
62011026			no					yes		
62011027			no					yes		
62011028			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011029	H		no				yes	Kensington Talmadge together w normal heights etc; in SD put w uptown district; nothing in common w Imperial Co	
62011030	H		no				yes	use CD draft as model to draw area in AD SD need to have east SD County separate from imperial; all the way up to Julian	
62011031	H		no		Rancho Penesquitas San Diego City	San Diego	yes	Rancho Penesquitas with Escondido Poway	
62011032	H S		yes	Filipino-Amer org			yes	COI submitted on May 14 not reflected in draft South Bay AD not goodeastern Chula Vista split Eastlake neighborhood split (Chula Vista APIs employed there so dilutes voting power; east National City split hospital there employs serves Filip-Ams)	(cont.) see new CAPAFR maps
62011033	H S	fire chief	yes	Rancho Sante Fe FD Fire Chief	Rancho Sante Fe	San Diego	yes	Fire district is COI Ranch Sante FeFairbanks Ranch incl in coastal but should be w inland where have same fire threat; incl western portion of FD w inland portion in RCHMM	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011029			no	yes		
62011030			no	yes		
62011031			no	yes		
62011032			yes	yes		
62011033			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011029			no							
62011030			no							
62011031			no							
62011032			no					yes		
62011033			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011034	H - 4 on May 14		yes	Collaborative of Pastors on Point Af-Amer org Latino Redistr group African immigrant org			yes	pastors Latinos etc submitted maps that were disregarded in drafts - concern w AD 78	
62011035	H S (report map)		no		Culver City	Los Angeles	yes	City County Splits report; BOE split 2 counties 8-9 cities - redid so no cities or counties violated stuck close to CVAP for Sect 5 districts did adjustments w in LA County; will provide equivalency files	
62011036	H S		no		Rolando Village in College Area of San Diego City	San Diego	yes	reconsider ISAND map that links college area to east SD Co and to Imperial Co; nest LMSAND AD w CNSAN AD to make Sen Distr w urban SD - keep urban SD together	(Cont) we rely on underfunded city services (all in SDUSD) city needs its own unified voice in Sac)
62011037	H		no		University City neighborhood of San Diego City	San Diego	yes	I-5 used as border and splits University in ADSD; put both sides of UCSD campus in same district so have unified voice in Sacramento put all of campus in CNSAN AD CSAND SD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011034			no	yes		
62011035			no	yes		
62011036			no	yes		
62011037		University City is triangle between hwys 5 805 52; just inland of La Jolla; contains east campus and med center	yes	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011034			no							
62011035			no							
62011036			no					yes		
62011037			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011038	H		no		City Heights neighborhood San Diego City	San Diego	yes	LMSAND AD is good - like current; but please change ISAND SD; nest CNSAN w LMSAND for SD; keep City Heights w rest of SD City - City needs voice in Sac	
62011039	pass		no				no		
62011040	H		yes	non-profit in Imperial serves disadvantaged Imperial county (including border region) and Coachella residents		Imperial	yes	Imperial should be with low inc commun.s in (east) Coachella Valley to have a voice for farmworker commun.s; COI based on poor quality life (high unemployment poor health lack of affordable housing lowest performing education poor air water quality)	(cont) to have fairjust representation need to be combined not anymore w affluent communities
62011041	H		no				yes	keep east SD Co together Jamul Julian Santee El Cajon	
62011042	H		no		Downtown San Diego	San Diego	yes	keep as much as possible of SD city united so that one rep	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011038		City Heights area is east of 15 north of 94 south of 8	yes	yes		
62011039			no	no		
62011040	Indio Thermal Mecca Coachella Catherderal City Blythe Palm Desert		no	yes		
62011041			no	yes		
62011042			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011038			no							
62011039			no							
62011040			no							
62011041			no							
62011042			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011043	H		no				no	(process comment)	
62011044	H	pastor	yes	pastor on point; collaborative Afr-Amer w Latino pop	San Diego 57 yrs	San Diego	yes	May 14 submitted AD proposal (Pastors on Pt Latino Redist org third org) Latino African immigrants; concerned that you ignored that; Sherman Heights Golden Hills excluded; exclude areas north of I-8	
62011045	H	from Sudan	no		City Heights 12 yrs; represents refugee community in City Heights	San Diego	yes	keep city heights intact; another community member submitted map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011043			no	no		
62011044			no	yes		
62011045			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011043		VRA is big problem - groups threatening to sue - RPV and inline process review needed asap - why taking so long?	no				concerned w lawsuit wants CRC to draw lines instead of cts			
62011044			no							
62011045			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011046	H S		no			San Diego 13 yrs in county	yes	AD RCHMM most of API but excludes Poway (put in NESAN); Poway connect to RCHMM cities not to east; PUSD split cause schls in Carmel Mountain Ranch Rancho Pensaq Rancho Bernardo; SD NESAN is urbansuburban rural; June 24 CAPAFR will submit fix	
62011047	H S		no				yes	Poway needs to be in AD RCHMM to reflect COI; school district is split; SD NESAN puts urban w suburban which makes no sense	
62011048	H S		no		represents Border Patrol in Imperial Co	Imperial	yes	re border in Imperial County draw ADSD like CD	
62011049	H S		no	represents Cambodian-Amer community	City Heights	San Diego	yes	keep together cambodianAPI community in City Heights - 45th 47th street; has nothing in common w rural communities	
62011050	H		no		Golden Hills	San Diego	yes	Golden Hills should be w Hillcrest City Heights Rolling Hills	
62011051	H		no		Normal Heights City of San Diego	San Diego	yes	Do not connect to Imperial co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011046	Sikh community is mostly in Poway Mira Mesa Rancho Penasquitos Sabor Springs Rancho Bernardo		no	yes		
62011047			no	yes		
62011048			no	yes		
62011049			no	yes		
62011050			no	yes		
62011051			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011046			no					yes		
62011047			no					yes		
62011048			no					yes		
62011049			no					yes		
62011050			no							
62011051			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011052	pass		no				no		
62011053	pass		no				no		
62011054	H S		no		North Park	San Diego	yes	problem w AD SD CNSAN should be w LMSAND not SSAND; CNSAN is not good for North Park as splits school district	
62011055	H		no		Sherman Heights	San Diego	yes	Sherman Heights should be w Barrio Logan	
62011056	H S		no				yes	Poway should be w rest of API communitysikh (same school district importance of education); similar to Mira Mesa Kerney Mesa Rancho Penesquitas Rancho Bernardo Sorrento Valley Paradise Hills	
62011057	H		yes	Latinos in Action representative	City Heights San Diego City	San Diego	yes	keep Latino communities together	
62011058	H	UCSD undergrad on student govt	no				yes	AD s split the UCSD undergrad community; live off campus in UTC area and in different AD than campus itself; move AD boundary to 805 fwy takes in 25000 people w same demographics	
62011059	H	UCSD undergrad SD local	no				yes	same as 58 - move AD boundary to 805 so undergrad together and have voice	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011052			no	no		
62011053			no	no		
62011054			no	yes		
62011055			no	yes		
62011056			no	yes		
62011057			no	yes		
62011058			no	yes		
62011059			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011052			no							
62011053			no							
62011054			no					yes		
62011055			no							
62011056			no					yes		
62011057			no							
62011058			no							
62011059			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011060	H	UCSD undergrad	no				yes	move boundary so UCSD with UTC area	
62011061	H S		yes	East County Action Coalition	Lakeside east SD County	San Diego	yes	east SD County is a COI (recreation area equestrian BR communities for City fire risk watershed shared) if merge w Imperial Co would devastate east Co.	
62011062	H S		yes	represents LGBT community in SD	College Area (34 yrs) City of SD	San Diego	yes	LGBT meets definition of COI but hard to know where live cause not in Census; first draft did good job uniting LGBT in CNSAN except Tamladge College West communities - fix by nesting LMSAN w CNSAN	
62011063	H		no		Point Loma	San Diego	yes	coastal areas in SD should be nested with college areas in LMSAND	
62011064	H		no				yes	SD s should be nested w common SES; look at topography not freeways; take south orange from san diego and put in Del mar and Solana Beach; Santee goes into NE San Deigo in college area intact	
62011065	H		yes	Calexico city Council member	Calexico	Imperial	yes	Imperial should be w east Coachella	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011060			no	yes		
62011061			no	yes		
62011062			no	yes		
62011063			no	yes		
62011064			no	yes		
62011065			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011060			no							
62011061			no					yes		
62011062			no					yes		
62011063			no							
62011064			no							
62011065			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011066	H		yes	Latino Redistr Group of San Diego			yes	keep historic Latino areas together; also in OrangeSan Diego area; refer to previous testimony	
62011067	H		no				yes	City Heights needs to be united; Oceanside Escondido together w other Latino areas - will submit testimony	
62011068	pass		no				no		
62011069	H S		no			Imperial	yes	Imperial Valley w eastern Coachella; water agricultural COI also historical ties of both valleys	
62011070	H		no	Oceanside City Council	Oceanside	San Diego	yes	Oceanside should not be w OC in AD SD as nothing in common w OC (different jobs media) orients itself toward San Diego	
62011071	H		no		Lemon Grove	San Diego	yes	Lemon Grove is part of Afr-Amer community needs to be with other Afr-Amer neighborhoods in AD SD; maximize Afr-Amer in districts especially in LMSAND	
62011072	H		no		Imperial Valley	Imperial	yes	Imperial Valley needs good representation; wants to be w east Coachella because share unemployment pollution poverty	
62011073	pass		no				no		
62011074	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011066			no	yes		
62011067			no	yes		
62011068			no	no		
62011069			no	yes		
62011070			no	yes		
62011071			yes	yes		
62011072			no	yes		
62011073			no	no		
62011074			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011066			no							
62011067			no							
62011068			no							
62011069			no					yes		
62011070			no							
62011071			no							
62011072			no							
62011073			no							
62011074			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011075	H S		no	Rancho Sante Fe Assoc. homeowners association since 1927	Rancho Sante Fe	San Diego	yes	Rancho Sante Fe should not be in AD SD of draft maps; only unincorporated area in AD AD border cuts through school districts fire sewer ambulance districts	
62011076	H		no				yes	Rancho Sante Fe Fire District in 2 districts in AD SD draft maps; should not be in Ocean or city districts; would be good to be in RCHMM	
62011077	H		no				yes	AD SD lines not good for UCSD undergrads; move line from 5 to 805 to unite UCSD community	
62011078	H		no		El Cajon	San Diego	yes	District should go northeast; city heights should be reunited; barrio logan w sherman heights. Hwys 1578 more in common than OC	
62011079	pass		no				no		
62011080	H		no				yes	Navajo area at hwys 8 15; Terra Santa from Navajo area is good - keep that way	
62011081	H		no				yes	keep UCSD area together by moving border from 5 to 805	
62011082	H		no				yes	north Claremont split from south Claremont Bay Park should be together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011075			no	yes		
62011076			no	yes		
62011077			no	yes		
62011078			no	yes		
62011079			no	no		
62011080			no	yes		
62011081			no	yes		
62011082			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011075			no					yes		
62011076			no							
62011077			no							
62011078			no							
62011079			no							
62011080			no							
62011081			no							
62011082			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011083	H		no				yes	Normal Heights Logan Heights and Sherman heights should be together; Chicano Park is cut out of Barrio Logan historic area; look at 8th district council map to see where community is; VRA district at Logan Heights Fire Station.	
62011084	pass		no				no		
62011085	H		no				yes	Do not nest in south san diego area; do not connect east San diego w imperial; ok to put paradise hills w national city; imperial should be w border area that goes up west side of San Diego; dont split Barrio Logan; do not put OceansideEscondido w OC	
62011086	H		no				yes	unify Barrio Logan w Sherman Heights; nest LMSAND CNSAND	
62011087	pass		no				no		
62011088	H		no		Golden Hill	San Diego	yes	Oceanside should not be w OC; CSAND w Linda Vista other low-inc communities makes no sense. No SD w imperial; VRA district for SD is big issue - CAPAFR has proposal for that - follow it.	
62011089	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011083			no	yes		
62011084			no	no		
62011085			no	yes		
62011086			no	yes		
62011087			no	no		
62011088			no	yes		
62011089			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011083			no							
62011084			no							
62011085			no							
62011086			no							
62011087			no							
62011088			no							
62011089			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011090	pass		yes				no		
62011091	H S	Cambodian interpreter	no		City Heights	San Diego	yes	SE Asian community should be together in LMSAND; put with north San Diego communities and not with rural communities	
62011092	H		no				yes	east county AD dont put east county w Imperial County; put w northeast El Cajon - this is a shopping and recreation COI	
62011093	H		no				yes	Coachella Valley w Imperial in AD (agriculture Salton Sea renewable energy); imperial has nothing to do w San Diego nothing in common w country clubs	
62011094	H		no	City of Coachella Mayor	Coachella City	Riverside	yes	concern w all draft districts; 98 Latino community median age 24 yrssimilar to Imperial Co; poor community; Palm Desert 89 white same as Rancho Mirage Indian wells; West Imperial East Coach together; tourism Riverside only 1Bill vs 5Billyr agr.	
62011095	pass		no				no		
62011096	pass		no				no		
62011097	H		no	San Diego Imperial Labor Council		San Diego	yes	two letters about border area COI	
62011098	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011090			no	no		
62011091			no	yes		
62011092			no	yes		
62011093			no	yes		
62011094			no	yes		
62011095			no	no		
62011096			no	no		
62011097			no	yes		
62011098			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011090			no							
62011091			no					yes		
62011092			no							
62011093			no							
62011094			no							
62011095			no							
62011096			no							
62011097			no							
62011098			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011099	pass		no				no		
62011100	H		no		Carlsbad	San Diego	yes	fix UCSD area	
62011101	H		no				yes	east Coachella Val should be VRA district w Imperial and along the border; redo the nesting	
62011102	H		no		Chula Vista	San Diego	yes	largest Filipino community in continental US keep it together	
62011103	pass		no				yes		
62011104	H		no		Rancho Santa Fe	San Diego	yes	for North County Oceanside down to the coast;keep UCSD together to hwy 805 add in Kearny mesa.	
62211001	H		no		simi valley	ventura	yes	Simi Valley should not be with Santa Clarita. Santa Clarita is 3 freeways and over 40 minutes from Simi Valley. There is no COI for Simi Valley with Santa Clarita Lancaster. Fillmore and Santa Paula might be better with SCL because they are connected.	
62211002	H		no			Ventura	yes	Santa Catalina should be with Long Beach. People move to Simi Valley to get out of SFV. Officials would have to travel very far based on this draft it is one of the biggest districts in the state. dont separate Ventura county.	Ventura Long Beach

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011099			no	no		
62011100			no	yes		
62011101			no	yes		
62011102			no	yes		
62011103			no	no		
62011104			no	yes		
62211001	Simi Valley Santa Clarita Lancaster Santa Paula Fillmore		no	no		
62211002	Simi Valley Santa Catalina Long Beach		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011099			no							
62011100			no							
62011101			no							
62011102			no							
62011103			no							
62011104			no							
62211001			no							
62211002			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211003	H		no		Thousand Oaks	Ventura	yes	Want East county cities Simi Valley Thousand Oaks West Lake Village Oak Park Moor Park together in the CD AD and SD. Separate from SFV (dont want to be with Calabasas Woodland Hills Northridge Rolling Hills etc).	Ventura
62211004	H S		yes	Las Virgines Homeowners Federation President	Las Virgines		yes	LASCV district is barely contiguous the connection is artificial and seperates COIs. COIs are eastwest bound to coast NOT NS. Santa Clarita and Las Virgines should not be together. S Clarita should be with Antelope Valley (Lancaster Palmdale).	
62211005	H S		no				yes	SD should be combined AD 41 and 42 (Santa Monica Mountains Bay Las Virgines Malibu). 405 and 401 and PCH. Built around backbone of Santa Monica Mtns.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211003	Simi Valley Thousand Oaks West Lake Village Oak Park Moor Park Northridge WoodlandHills Calabasas Rolling Hills		no	yes	safer in Ventura share community sports etc.	In their small business they serve customers from Oak Park Thousand Oaks (previously mentioned COI) (not Conoga Park Northridge Calabasas) NOT from SFV. Sales tax is cheaper in Ventura.
62211004	Santa Clarita Las Virgines Lancaster Palmdale Antelope Valley		no	no		
62211005	Las Virgines Malibu Santa Monica Mountains		no	yes	public education institutions	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211003			no							
62211004			no					yes	long comment with map on three sheet of taped together paper - from Las Virgines Homeowners Federation	
62211005			no					yes	map with comment taped to it from Las Virgines Homeowners Federation	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211006	H S		no	Las Virgines Homeowners Federation delegate			yes	CDs do not work because they split up Santa Monica Mountains Recreation Area. It splits this area 3 ways. Keep Santa Monica Mtns Recreation Area intact. Las Virgines is a distinct area including Agoura Hills Calabasas etc.	
62211007	H S		no		Malibu		yes	Do not put Gorman Santa Clarita Chatsworth to Malibu district. Take lower part of LASVC and combine with LAVSF and LAVS. They share fire protection etc.	
62211008	H		no				yes	Simi Valley and Moor Park in Ventura county CD and the AD with Santa Clarita Valley.	Ventura
62211009	H S		no	City Council for city of Simi Valley	Simi Valley		yes	Keep Simi Valley intact and with all the communities in Ventura county. Boundaries for Simi Valleys CD are bad it splits Simi Valley divides neighborhood.	Ventura
62211010	H S		yes	Latino Policy Forum			yes	Malibu has different issues than Santa Clarita. N. Ventura is more agricultural than Malibu. COIs in North Ventura county with Santa Clarita not Malibu.	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211006	Las Virgines Agoura Hills Calabasas		no	no		
62211007	Gorman Santa Clarita Chatsworth Malibu		no	no		
62211008	Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Clarita		no	no		
62211009	Simi Valley		no	no		
62211010	Santa Clarita Malibu		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211006			no						map with comment taped to it from Las Virgines Homeowners Federation	
62211007			no					yes	2 letters with maps attached	
62211008			no							
62211009			no					yes	1 letter from City of Simi Valley	
62211010			no					yes	3 maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211011	H		yes	California League of Conservation Voters Vice President			yes	Westside Santa Monica AD - keep Ballona wetlands in one district. LASCV SD - keep Santa Monica Mountain Conservancy and wetlands together. Thanks for numbering odd SDs North to South. Keep odd SDs odd. Wetlands boundaries are Marina del Rey and LAX.	
62211012	H		yes	Ventura County Board of Education	Simi Valley	Ventura	yes	AD should be all of eastern Ventura county (include Camarillo Casa Conejo Thousand Oaks Moor Park Simi Valley. SD should be with Santa Clarita not Santa Barbara (group w other inland valley towns) CD-Simi ValleyMpark should be with rest of Ventura.	Ventura
62211013	H		no		Thousand Oaks		yes	CD - Moor Park Simi Valley and T. Oaks should be together. Combine Ventura with Santa Barbara for CD. SD -do not split Thousand Oaks. You could make an E county seat and W county seat if you have to split T. Oaks. Oxnard can be sepearted from others	Ventura Santa Barbara

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211011			no	no		
62211012	Camarillo Casa Conejo Thousand Oaks Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Clarita Santa Barbara		no	yes		
62211013	Simi Valley Moor Park Thousand Oaks		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211011			no							
62211012			no							
62211013			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211014	H		no		Santa Rosa Valley	Ventura	yes	Keep Simi Valley and Moor Park with East Ventura County not with Lancaster. East Ventura County with Santa Clarita AD. Keep inland suburbia cities together and coastal cities together. East Ventura can go with Santa Barbara.	Ventura Santa Barbara
62211015	H		yes	California Lutheran University President	Thousand Oaks		yes	AD - Thousand Oaks should be unified. Oxnard should be unified. SD - inland areas with Santa Clarita makes more sense than with coastal. Thousand Oaks not similar to Santa Barbara. CD - Simi Valley and Moor Park together with Ventura.	Ventura Santa Barbara
62211016	H S		no		Thousand Oaks	Ventura	yes	Thousand Oaks Moor Park and Simi Valley are a COI. 101 and 23 Freeways bind these three. Do not make Thousand Oaks part of LA County. Do not split Thousand Oaks.	Ventura
62211017	H		no			Ventura	yes	LA and Ventura do not have anything in common. Do not put Ventura county with LA in CD.	Ventura LA

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211014	Lancaster Simi Valley Moor Park		no	no		
62211015	Thousand Oaks Oxnard Moor Park Santa Barbara		no	no		
62211016	Thousand Oaks Moor Park Simi Valley		no	yes	equestrian firefighting	
62211017			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211014			no							
62211015			no							
62211016			no					yes	1 testimony 1 map	
62211017			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211018	H		no		Canejo	Ventura	yes	do not split Thousand Oaks in AD. Thousand Oaks shares interest with Simi Valley. Use county line as boundary.	
62211019	H		no		Camarillo		yes	CD - Simi Valley and Moor Park should not be with Lancaster. Ventura should be with coastal Santa Barbara.	Ventura Santa Barbara
62211020	H		no				yes	CD - Simi Valley and Moor Park are linked. Move Ventura into district with Santa Barbara. Let Simi Valley and Moor Park stay with East Ventura county.	Ventura Santa Barbara
62211021	H		no		Malibu		yes	SD - Malibu and Thousand Oaks do not have common interest. Coastal communities are COI do not have things in common with inland communities. PCH is deadly and shared interest of the coastal communities not inland communities.	
62211022	H		no		Oxnard		yes	Simi Valley should be kept with San Fernando Valley. Keep Oxnard whole in AD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211018	Thousand Oaks Simi Valley		no	yes	share schools	
62211019	Simi Valley Moor Park Lancaster		no	no		
62211020	Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Barbara		no	yes	Simi Valley and Moor Park are linked by geographic area and roads that go to and from them.	
62211021	Thousand Oaks Malibu	PCH	no	no		
62211022			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211018			no							
62211019			no							
62211020			no							
62211021			no							
62211022			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211023	H S		yes	Chairmen of Board Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce			yes	SD - Santa Clarita AD with Eastern Ventura County AD (including Simi Valley Moor Park Camarillo). CD - excludes Newhall. Put Newhall with West Santa Clarita.	
62211024	H S		no				yes	Keep Oxnard whole in AD. dont use NS lines. dont put Oxnard with Simi Valley (because Oxnard has a lot of immigrants). Unify Thousand Oaks in AD. Apply EW demarcation for East Ventura County. Same problem in CDs.	Ventura
62211025	H		no		Simi Valley		yes	CD - Do not put Simi Valley and Moor Park with Victorville (too far away). No COI of Simi Valley with Victorville or Lake LA.	
62211026	H		no	City Council of Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	yes	Keep Santa Barbara county whole including longpoke	Santa Barbara
62211027	H		no		San Buenaventura		yes	Keep Simi Valley with rest of Ventura county.	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211023			no	no		
62211024	Oxnard Simi Valley Thousand Oaks		no	no		
62211025	Simi Valley Moor Park Victorville Lake LA		no	no		
62211026	Longpoke Santa Barbara		no	no		
62211027	Simi Valley		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211023			no					yes	1 letter from Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce	
62211024			no					yes	1 testimony (2 copies)	
62211025			no							
62211026			no							
62211027			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211028	H S		yes	Supervisors office of LA County			yes	respect intergrity of 101 corridor Santa Monica Bay and Westside region. SD Santa Clarita does not utilize good principals needs to be corrected. 2 AD - Thousand Oaks and Santa Monica are logical starting points	
62211029	H S		no				yes	SD - LASCV you should nest Thousand Oaks and Westside Santa Monica district together w. Malibu AD. Keep mountain community intact. Include WSFV into Thousand Oaks along 101 corridor. NS is not a COI. Santa Clarita has more in common with Antelope Valley.	
62211030	H S		yes	Studio City Residents			yes	Studio City should be whole with San Fernando Valley not with Santa Clarita. Studio City belongs to Santa Monica going to the West. Nest Studio City in with Malibu and West Santa Monica Mountains. Clean up Studio City boundaries	
62211031	H S		no		Pacific Palisades		yes	Santa Clarita should NOT be with Santa Monica Mtns. Nest ADs LAVSF and LAWSC.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211028	Santa Clarita Santa Monica Thousand Oaks		no	no		
62211029	Thousand Oaks Antelope Valley Santa Clarita Malibu		no	no		
62211030	Studio City		no	no		
62211031	Santa Clarita		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211028			no					yes	1 letter from LA Board of Supervisors	
62211029			no					yes	1 letter	
62211030			no					yes	Blue folder - Studio City Residents Association	
62211031			no					yes	1 testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211032	H		no		Moor Park		yes	SD - Simi Valley up to Santa Barbara is bad Moor Park should be part of Santa Clarita. AD - Simi Valley and Thousand Oaks should be together. CD - Simi Valley and Moor Park should be part of inland communities. Ventura city with Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara
62211033	H		no		Simi Valley	Ventura	yes	SD - does not nest the right districts together. Inland seats need to be with inland coastal need to be with coastal. CD - Simi Valley and Moor Park should not be with Lancaster Ventura should be with Santa Barbara. Keep Simi Valley intact in general.	
62211034	H		no		Thousand Oaks		yes	United county is important. Thousand Oaks Camarillo do not have anything to do with San Fernando Valley. CD - Simi Valley Moor Park should be with E. Ventura Santa Barbara with Ventura City	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211032	Ventura Simi Valley Moor Park Thousand Oaks		no	no		
62211033	Simi Valley Moor Park Lancaster Ventura Santa Barbara		no	no		
62211034	Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Barbara Ventura Thousand Oaks Camarillo		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211032			no							
62211033			no							
62211034			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211035	H		yes	Moor Park Chamber of Commerce President			yes	AD - keep E. Ventura County together dont split Thousand Oaks. SD - Simi Valley Moor Park need to be nested keep Coast with Coast and Inland with Inland. CD - remove Ventura.	Ventura
62211036	H		no		Santa Paula		yes	Keep Oxnard intact keep Thousand Oaks intact and should be with E. Ventura county. Include Simi Valley and Moor Park with Santa Clarita in SD.	
62211037	H		no		Simi Valley		yes	Simi Valley is not related to Oxnard or anything west of it or any coastal. Thousand Oaks should be with Simi Valley. Agricultural and Coastal do not have anything in common with Simi Valley. Inland cities have more in common with Santa Clarita.	
62211038	H S		yes	Sycamore Canyon Village Homeowners Association Board of Directors	Simi Valley		yes	CD - divides neighborhoods. Not good. Residents living on same street will be in different districts. Keep Simi Valley intact and in Ventura county.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211035	Thousand Oaks Simi Valley Moor Park Ventura		no	no		
62211036	Thousand Oaks Oxnard Simi Valley Moor Park		no	no		
62211037	Simi Valley Thousand Oaks Oxnard		no	no		
62211038	Simi Valley		yes	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211035			no							
62211036			no							
62211037			no							
62211038			no					yes	Sycamore Canyon Village map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211039	H		no		Somas		yes	dont break up Ventura county CD - Ventura city with Santa Barbara. Put Coast with coast inland with inland for SD. AD - Woodranch should not be cut in two take out Oxnard and put with Santa Barbara.	Ventura
62211040	H S		no	Oxnard School District Trustee			yes	Keep Thousand Oaks whole in AD. Simi Valley has more in common with Santa Clarita and LA. EVENT district should follow CD pattern.	
62211041	H		no		Thousand Oaks		yes	Santa Clarita should not be included with Agoura Hills Westlake Village Thousand Oaks. CD - should be from Thousand Oaks to Woodland Hills because of high tech areas and environmental reason of Santa Monica Range.	
62211042	H		no		Thousand Oaks West Lake Village		yes	Thousand Oaks should be put in all three districts that go EAST along 101. T.Oaks has most in common with those in Canejo Valley. AD and SD ok. Santa Clarita is too far away to be w. T.Oaks.CD-put T.Oaks with Woodland Hills West Valley Agoura Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211039	Ventura Santa Barbara Woodranch Oxnard		no	no		
62211040	Santa Clarita Thousand Oaks Simi Valley		no	no		
62211041	Santa Clarita Agoura Hills Westlake Village Thousand Oaks Woodland Hills		no	no		
62211042	Thousand Oaks Santa Clarita Woodland Hills West Valley Agoura Hills		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211039			no							
62211040			no					yes	1 letter from Oxnard School District	
62211041			no							
62211042			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211043	H		no		Border Ranch	West San Fernando Valley	yes	Unite WSF valley communities that are split. Dont split Reseda and Northridge and put with West Valley. SFValley Redistricting Collaborative has good proposal.	
62211044	H		yes	LA City Board of Neighborhood Commissioners			yes	keep Tarzana whole. CD - West Valley district. West Valley communities should swap with East Valley communities (E and S of 405) to make a more unified West Valley district. Keep Reseda whole.	
62211045	H S		yes	San Fernando Valley Redistricting Coalition			yes	CD - Reunify Northridge Reseda Lake Balboa and Sherman Oaks into West SFV district. Reunify N. Hollywood Van Nuys in ESFV. All of Studio City in WLA. Shadow Hills Tohunga into Foothill D. Swap pop. Between valley districts. Section 2 district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211043	Reseda Northridge		no	no		
62211044	Tarzana Reseda		no	no		
62211045	Northridge Reseda Lake Balboa Sherman Oaks North Hollywood Van Nuys Studio City Shado Hills Tohunga		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211043			no							
62211044			no							
62211045			no					yes	5 large CD maps from VICA	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211046	H S		no	San Fernanado Valley Redistricting Coalition			yes	ADs - Reunify Canoga Park Valley Glen and Van Nuys in WSFV. West Hills and Studio City into Ventura. Granada Hills w. Santa Clarita. N. Hollywood in ESFV. Split Northhills into Santa Clarita and ESFV. Likes SDs- Teluca Lake should be nested.	
62211047	H		no		West San Fernando Valley		yes	Reunify Reseda and Northridge in WSFV. Valley communities should be kept whole and either in East or West Valley	
62211048	H		no	Valley Industry and Commerce Association			yes	Neighborhood vs. Community maps. Use neighborhood council lines when cities need to split. Some cities do not have neighborhood councils. Neighborhood council lines are inaccurate in many cases and leave out pieces of communities.	
62211049	H S		no		Reseda		yes	Reseda is considered hub of WSFV. Do not split Reseda in CD. Keep Reseda in WSFV. Good job keeping other WSFV communities together. Dont split Northridge.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211046	Canoga Park Valley Glen Van Nuys West Hills Studio City Ventura Santa Clarita Granada Hills Northhills Teluca Lake.		no	no		
62211047	Reseda Northridge		no	no		
62211048			no	no		
62211049	Norhtridge Reseda		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211046			no					yes	6 large AD maps from VICA	
62211047			no							
62211048			no			Neighborhood vs. Community maps. Use neighborhood council lines when cities need to split. Some cities do not have neighborhood councils.				
62211049			no					yes	photo of Reseda sign	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211050	H S		no		Canejo Valley	Ventura	yes	AD and SD LASCV - OxnardThousand Oaks is COI. Bond is strong with E and S to the Ocean. Coastal and Inland are distinct. SD - OxnardThousand Oaks Unity map is better. Dont split Thousand Oaks dont put with Santa Clarita	Ventura
62211051	H S		no		Thousand Oaks		yes	SD - LASCV Keep Thousand Oaks together do not link with Santa Clarita. Link Santa Clarita with Palmdale Lancaster. Thousand Oaks is better connected to Malibu. Extend SD along 405 including Santa Monica Bay area. SD is bad Malibu w. Santa Monica Bay	
62211052	H S		yes	CAUSE			yes	AD - EVENT. Keep Oxnard whole. Keep Thousand Oaks whole. Moor Park into SBWV. LOOK AT CAUSE MAP	
62211053	H		no				yes	CD - South Asian population in SFV. Keep Tarzana Reseda along 405 intact in CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211050	Oxnard Thousand Oaks		no	yes	Hiking in Santa Monica Mountains. Oxnard and Thousand Oaks work together.	
62211051	Thousand Oaks Santa Clarita Palmdale Lancaster Thousand Oaks Malibu		no	no		
62211052	Oxnard Thousand Oaks Moor Park		no	no		
62211053	Tarzana Reseda		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211050			no					yes	1 testimony	
62211051			no					yes	1 testimony	
62211052			no					yes	USB stick with nothing on it 4 maps on 2 pages	
62211053			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211054	H S		no	Clergy United for Economic Justice Ventura County	Thousand Oaks		yes	Do not divide Oxnard. Simi Valley and Oxnard should be separate in AD. SBVENT unity map keep everything whole	
62211055	H S		no	member of CLUEJ Mexican American Bar Assoc.			yes	EVENT AD-keep Oxnard and Port Hueneme together with Camarillo and Thousand Oaks (SBVENT). COI with Oxnard and Port Hueneme. Simi Valley is distinct and should not be with them. 126 splits city of Ventura	
62211056	H S		yes	Ventura County supervisor	Oxnard	Ventura	yes	Oxnard has been split historically where their representation is marginal. Keep Oxnard whole. AD - keep Oxnard and Port Hueneme together. Likes OxnardThousand Oaks unity make from CAUSE	
62211057	H		no		Chatsworth		yes	SD - Chatsworth Malibu is not good. Include upper Chatsworth with Santa Clarita with Simi Valley and E Ventura C. Reincorporate Reseda and Northridge into WSFV. ResedaNorthridge in West SFV for CD.	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211054	Oxnard Simi Valley		no	no		
62211055	Onard Port Hueneme Simi Valley Camarillo Thousand Oaks Ventura		no	no		
62211056	Oxnard Port Hueneme		no	no		
62211057	Chatsworth Malibu Santa Clarita Simi Valley Reseda Norhridge		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211054			no					yes	1 testimony 4 maps	
62211055			no					yes	4 maps on 2 pages	
62211056			no					yes	2 letters - Board of Supervisors Ventura County 1 other 4 maps	
62211057			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211058	H		no		Simi Valley		yes	SD - Simi Valley has nothing in common with Santa Barbara. Keep inland with inland and coastal with coastal.	Santa Barbara
62211059	H		no		Oak Park	Ventura	yes	Eastern Ventura County communities should be kept together. Oak Park bw Westlake Village and Thousand Oaks Ventura County all in one AD. SD - should be all inland together. Nest EVENT AD with Santa Clarita AD for SD. Share housing land use patterns	Ventura
62211060	H		no				yes	CD - dont split Northridge and Reseda. Swap with Studio City and Sherman Oaks	
62211061	H		no		Agoura Hills		no	Agoura Hills has COI with West Valley communities. Communities between Westlake Village and 405 freeway. Move Sherman Oaks and Studio City into East Valley.	
62211062	H		yes	Camarillo Chamber of Commerce			yes	Keep Camarillo whole with East. SD - Heritage Valley and Santa Clarita River Valley dont end at LA line.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211058	Simi Valley		no	no		
62211059	Oak Park Westlake Village and Thousand Oaks Santa Clarita		no	no		
62211060	Northridge Reseda Studio City Sherman Oaks		no	no		
62211061	Agoura Hills Sudio City Westlake Village Sherman Oaks		no	no		
62211062	Camarillo Heritage Valley Santa Clarita		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211058			no							
62211059			no							
62211060			no							
62211061			no							
62211062			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211063	H		yes	Mayor of Thousand Oaks			yes	AD - Thousand Oaks should remain part of Ventura County. Supports CAUSE proposal. SD - Simi Valley and Moor Park should be part of northern LA county. Thousand Oaks should NOT be w. LA county. CD-Northern county has more in common with Santa Clarita good	
62211064	H		yes	Mayor pro tem of Thousand Oaks		Ventura	yes	CD is good. Thousand Oaks should remain whole with Ventura county it will get swallowed by LA. Thousand Oaks are in COI with Ventura (public services) NOT with Valley. Would rather be split with Ventura than whole with LA.	
62211065	H		yes	Greater Conejo Valley Chamber of Commerce			yes	Thousand Oaks should remain part of Ventura County NOT with LA. SD - Santa Clarita should not be with Thousand Oaks.	Ventura
62211066	H		no		Simi Valley		yes	AD - keep Oxnard intact dont let EVENT hit ocean. SD - nest NWSFV and Santa Clarita with EVENT. Put in Woodranch and take out Piru.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211063	Thousand Oaks Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Clarita		no	no		
62211064	Thousand Oaks Ventura LA		no	no		
62211065	Thousand Oaks Santa Clarita LA		no	no		
62211066	Woodranch Piru Oxnard Santa Clarita		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211063			no							
62211064			no							
62211065			no							
62211066			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211067	H S		yes	CAUSE			yes	Oxnard Port Hueneme El Rio Santa Clara Valley are COI. AD is bad because it splits Oxnard and doesnt align with W and E Ventura county COIs. Other districts ok because they keep COI together.	
62211068	H		yes	Mayor of Malibu			yes	SD - Malibu shares school district with Santa Monica. 101 405 PCH are transit corridors. (COI) Nest ADs and keep spereate from Santa Clarita. SD is bad.	
62211069	H		no		Studio City		yes	SD - Dont divide between neighborhood council lines use them as demarcations. Sherman Oaks Teluca Lake Universal City are in COI with Studio City.	
62211070	H		yes	Topanga Town Council	Topanga		yes	SD - there is no connection between Topanga and Santa Clarita	
62211072	S		no				no		
62211073	H S		no		Topanga		yes	Keep Topanga with Pacific Palisades and Santa Monica. Topanga is NOT a COI with Santa Clarita. Do not include Gorman.	
62211074	H S		no		Studio City		yes	keep Sudio City whole (speaker 30 and 69 make same points)	
62211075	H S		no		Studio City		yes	support speaker 74 and 30	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211067	Port Hurneme Oxnard Ek Rio Santa Clara Valley		no	no		
62211068	Malibu Santa Monica Santa Clarita		no	no		
62211069	Sherman Oaks Teluca Lake Universal City Studio City.		no	no		
62211070	Topanga Santa Clarita		no	no		
62211072			no	no		
62211073	Topanga Pacific Palisades Santa Monica Santa Clarita Gorman		no	no		
62211074	Studio City		no	no		
62211075			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211067			no					yes	1 letter - CAUSE 3 pages of maps	
62211068			no							
62211069			no							
62211070			no							
62211072			no					yes	1 letter	
62211073			no					yes	mapquest directions to Gorman CA	
62211074			no					yes	1 letter	
62211075			no					yes	1 letter	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211076	H S		no				yes	Ojai Valley in favor of EVENT CD if lines exlude Simi Valley and Moor Park. Dont split Ojai Valley from North Ojai Valley (Thatcher school and Upper Orange Rose).	
62211077	H		no				yes	dont split Ojai keep whole from summit to Casitas Springs. EVENT and SLOSB boundary cuts off a neighborhood. Keep Ojai valley whole.	
62211078	H		no		Ojai		yes	happy with AD - SBWVENT and SD. Keep Ojai with West Ventura and Santa Barbara. Ojai is similar to SB.	Ventura Santa Barbara
62211079	H S		no			Ventura	yes	CD and SD are good. Put Thousand Oaks in and get Simi Valley out in AD. Oxnard Port Hueneme and Santa Clara Valley are COI. Santa Paula was a section 2.	
62211080	H		no		Santa Paula		yes	AD - ideally would include all of Santa Barbara and and exclude Simi Valley Moor Park and Thousand Oaks. Keep Oxnard whole. dont include Santa Paula Piru with Ventura in SD.	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211076	Simi Valley Moor Park Ojai		no	no		
62211077	Ojai		no	no		
62211078			no	no		
62211079	Thousand Oaks Simi Valley Oxnard Port Hueneme Santa Paula Santa Clara Valley		no	no		
62211080	Oxnard Santa Paula Piru Thousand Oaks		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211076			no						1 letter 3 maps	
62211077			no							
62211078			no							
62211079			no					yes	1 letter 1 court document	
62211080			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211082	H		no		Channel Island Services		yes	AD - dont use NS line of Ventura Blvd. to split Oxnard and Port Hueneme. Use Gonzalez EW line and put North Oxnard with Santa Barbara.	
62211083	H		no	League of United Latin American Citizens Oxnard Council	Port Hueneme		yes	AD - Do not split Oxnard. Do not include Simi Valley. Oxnard makes more sense with Piru Santa Clarita Santa Paula Fillmore.	
62211084	H S		no		Oxnard		yes	Oxnard Camarillo Port Hueneme Fillmore are a COI (western Ventura). Do not include Oxnard with LA county. Like SD and CD. Fix AD	
62211085	H S		no		Oxnard	Ventura	yes	CD and SD are good. AD - keep Oxnard whole (with Santa Clara Valley) and NOT with Simi Valley. Oxnard with Port Hueneme and Santa Clara Valley are COI	
62211086	H S		no	CAUSE			yes	likes SD and CD. AD - keep Oxnard whole. Oxnard and Port Hueneme are a COI. Supports CAUSE map which does not split Ventura	
62211087	H S		no		Oxnard		yes	AD - supports CAUSE map. Oxnard Thousand Oaks Unity Plan.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211082	Oxnard Port Hueneme		no	no		
62211083	Oxnard Simi Valley Piru Santa Clarita Santa Paula Fillmore.		no	no		
62211084	Oxnard Camarillo Port Hueneme Fillmore		no	no		
62211085	Oxnard Port Hueneme Simi Valley		no	no		
62211086	Oxnard and Port Hueneme		no	no		
62211087	Oxnard Thousand Oaks		no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211082			no							
62211083			no							
62211084			no					yes	1 testimony (3 copies)	
62211085			no					yes	hand written letter	
62211086			no					yes	1 letter 1 report 4 maps	
62211087			no					yes	1 letter 1 map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211088	H S		no		Oxnard		yes	COI is Oxnard Fillmore Piru Port Hueneme. Supports Oxnard Thousand Oaks Unity Map - CAUSE map	
62211089	H S		no				yes	Keep Conejo Valley Thousand Oaks area whole and with Simi Valley. Keep Oxnard whole with its COI including El Rio Port Hueneme (in West Ventura)	
62211090	H		no	pass			no		
62211092	H		no				yes	Keep Oxnard whole.	
62211093	H S		no		Santa Paula		yes	support CAUSEs map that keeps Oxnard whole. Dont disconnect Santa Clara valley (Piru Santa Paula Fillmore) - it needs to be connected to city of Ventura.	
62211097	H		no		Thousand Oaks		yes	AD - Camarillo Moor Park Thousand Oaks (keep whole) are a COI. CD - Thousand Oaks and Simi Valley and Moor Park should be together.	
62211099	S		no				no		
62211100	S		no				no		
62211101	S		no				no		
62211105	S		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211088	Oxnard Fillmore Piru Port Hueneme Thousand Oaks		no	no		
62211089	Oxnard Thousand Oaks Conejo Valley Port Hueneme El Rio		no	no		
62211090			no	no		
62211092	Oxnard		no	no		
62211093	Oxnard Ventura Piru Santa Paula Fillmore		no	no		
62211097	Thousand Oaks Moor Park Camarillo Simi Valley		no	no		
62211099			no	no		
62211100			no	no		
62211101			no	no		
62211105			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211088			no					yes	1 map	
62211089			no					yes	4 maps 1 letter	
62211090			no							
62211092			no				applauds citizen participation			
62211093			no					yes	1 map	
62211097			no							
62211099			no					yes	1 map	
62211100			no					yes	hand written notes	
62211101			no					yes	1 map 1 letter (2 copies)	
62211105			no					yes	1 letter - Lompc Valley Chamber of Commerce	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211109	S		no				no		
62211110	S		no				no		
62211113	S		no				no		
62211114	S		no				no		
62211136	S		no				no		
62211140	S		no				no		
62211150	S		no				no		
62311001	H S		no		Ridgecrest		yes	Like all 3 districts. Thanks for keeping Ridgecrest within Kern county lines and with China Lake Air Station. We want these first lines to be the final lines.	
62311002	H		yes	Nissei Farmers League			yes	San Joaquin Valley is distinct. Extending valley lines into Foothill regions and into Bay Area is bad. SJV has own air district. Keep Merced intact in San Joaquin Valley. San Joaquin is heavy agricultural.	
62311003	H S		yes	Merced County Supervisor			yes	StanislausMerced County SD should not extend to San Jose Santa Cruz. Drive over a mountain range different water needs is why MRCD district is bad. Previous plan was better. Salinas should not be with central valleythis district.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211109			no	no		
62211110			no	no		
62211113			no	no		
62211114			no	no		
62211136			no	no		
62211140			no	no		
62211150			no	no		
62311001			no	no		
62311002			no	no		
62311003			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211109			no					yes	hand written notes	
62211110			no					yes	1 testimony 1 map 1 book passage	
62211113			no					yes	1 letter 3 maps	
62211114			no					yes	hand written testimony	
62211136			no					yes	1 testimony	
62211140			no					yes	1 letter - City of Lompoc	
62211150			no					yes	1 testimony	
62311001			no					yes	4 letters	
62311002			no							
62311003			no					yes	1 map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311004	H		yes	California Latino Water Coalition			yes	opposes Merced SD and Foothill SD. Latino farmworkers will lack voice in Sacramento with 1st draft lines. Sacto foothills and Bay Area dont understand issues of Latinos in the valley. High unemployment.	
62311005	H S		no	Merced County Farm Bureau			yes	Salinas Silicon Valley and San Joaquin Valley are not COI. San Joaquin is agricultural has unique burdens. Current SD is bad. One rep cannot serve both San Joaquin and Salinas. No Salinas in this district.	
62311006	H		no				yes	Foothill SD- Madera is a straddle county (mountain and valley). Bulk of population lives in valley not in foothills. Split county is ok. Madera and Chowchilla goes best with Merced or Fresno.	
62311007	H S		yes	Mexican American Political Association (MAPA) San Jaoquin valley			yes	Foothills SD - splits westside of Fresno county. Not good because farmerworkers are COI across the county. See map.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311004			no	no		
62311005			no	no		
62311006			no	no		
62311007			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311004			no							
62311005			no					yes	1 letter - Merced County Farm Bureau	
62311006			no							
62311007			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311008	H S		yes	El Concilio de Fresno			yes	AD Fresno 2 (western)- good map. Area for removal in Fresno North boundary - Kings Canyon Rd. East - Armstrong St South - California Ave. West - Minniwawa. Removes Sunnyside. (see map)	
62311009	H		yes	Madera County Farm Bureau			yes	Foothill and MRCD SDs- Flatlands of Madera not in common w. Foothills like Placer etc. Foothills are white and affluent not like valley floor. Madera 54 Latino 24 unemployed. Madera with Fresno and Merced. CD Split is ok valley has agriculture interests	
62311010	H		yes	Madera Unified School District			yes	Madera shares concerns with Merced and Fresno not with Foothill region and Silicon Valley. Madera has high Latino pop. Who are employed by agriculture. Valley floor are poorer communities no COI with Foothills.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311008			no	no		
62311009			no	no		
62311010			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311008			no					yes	1 letter - El Concilio de Fresno 1 map	
62311009			no							
62311010			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311011	H		no			Merced	yes	bad air in Merced. MercedMonterey SD - bad pollutionstrict regulation. MercedMonterey need own rep. Monterey Santa Cruz Santa Clara should not be w. Central Valley. Central Valley Stanislaus Fresno Merced Madera ok Salinas ok if needed for VRA.	
62311012	H S		yes	Lompoc City Council	Lompoc	Santa Barbara	yes	Dont split Longpoc in AD or SD. Longpoc is NOT a correctional facility. Do not split Longpoc is 40000 people. Look into Ojai valley if needed or San Inez.	
62311013	H S		yes	UC Merced			yes	San Joaquin Valley - keep Valley together	
62311014	H S		yes	Merced County Supervisor			yes	SD - is not good for Merced. Merced is aligned with Central Valley NOT coastal counties. Merced should not be with Monterey Santa Cruz Santa Clara. Make district NS along 99	
62311015	H		no				yes	Merced and Foothill SD - Do not include Merced with Coast. Do not include Merced and Fresno with Foothills.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311011			no	no		
62311012			no	no		
62311013			no	no		
62311014			no	no		
62311015			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311011			no							
62311012			no					yes	1 letter - City of Lompoc	
62311013			no					yes	1 letter	
62311014			no					yes	1 letter - Merced County	
62311015			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311016	H S		yes	Merced County Chamber of Commerce			yes	Merced SD - Merced is agricultural Scilicon Valley is tech. Merced should be entirely in Central Valley NOT with Scilicon Valley. Merced should be with 99 corridor (Stanislaus Madera). Nothing in common with coast.	
62311017	H S		yes	Tuolomne County Board of Supervisors			yes	Foothill district in AD SD CD. The district is too big for one rep to drive through. Stanislaus was historically part of Tuolomne. Our interest are EW. Modesto. Align Foothills with Central Valley.	
62311018	H		yes	Tuolomne Board of Supervisor			yes	Foothill SD CD and AD - Boundaries should be pulled in from north and south and expanded to the West. The population mostly comes from the N and S in this district. Tuolomne has COI with Stanislaus. Receive medical community college through EW.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311016			no	no		
62311017			no	no		
62311018			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311016			no						1 letter	
62311017			no					yes	7 letters - Fluetsch and Busby Insurance Merced County Sheriff City of Merced Tuolumne County Board of Supervisors Tuolumne County Chamber of Commerce EL Dorado Board of Supervisors	
62311018			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311019	H S		no		San Louis Obispo		yes	CD - Bakersfield should not be split. Fix claw in Bakersfield. No more ethnic gerrymandering. Lets end safe districts.	
62311020	H S		yes	Communities for New California			yes	support Maldef plan. Fresno AD1 and 2 - Move Sunnyside from Fresno 2 to Fresno 1. Sunnyside is unincorporated and should be with Old Fig Garden.	
62311021	H		no		Fowler		yes	AD SD and CD are good so far. Foothills should not be drawn into Central Valley districts. Fowler should be out of Foothills in SD.	
62311022	H S		yes	Dolores Huerta Foundation Farmworker Membership	Bakersfield		yes	CD KINGS - Clovis out East Porterville Orange Cove East Orosey. Support Maldef map but include Shafter. AD - split Bakersfield on 99 north to Bernard St. Dont split Arden.	
62311023	H		yes	Dolores Huerta Foundation United Farm Workers of America			yes	Support Maldef proposal for SD. KINGS AD and CD - Keeping farmworkers together is imporant. Farmworkers that work in Salinas come to San Joaquin Kettlemen City and go to the coast coast and central valley more in common than you think.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311019			no	no		
62311020			no	no		
62311021			no	no		
62311022			no	no		
62311023			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311019			no					yes	1 letter 2 maps	
62311020			no					yes	1 letter	
62311021			no							
62311022			no					yes	3 maps	
62311023			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311025	H		yes	Fresno Center for New American CAPAFR Fresno.			yes	Lao Hmong are drawn in different districts in Fresno split. South East Asian community share poverty and unemployment with Latino pop. South East Asian community is COI with Latinos in VRA district.	
62311026	H		yes	CAPAFR			yes	South East Asian community is split twice in AD SD split 3 times. We know the neighborhood is large but if it must be divided include area immediately south of Sunnyside. SE Asian COI boundaries FSEC2 N-Shields S-41 E-Temperence Jensen. See Map.	
62311027	H		no		Fresno		yes	Fresno API is split into 3 SD. Keep API together as much as possible in SD. AD - include Sunnyside whole in FSEC2. Swap out sunnyside with FSEC1 as Latino community suggests	
62311028	H		yes	CAPAFR	Fresno		yes	SE Asian pop is mostly in SE Fresno. Farmer COIs should be is FSEC2.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311025			no	no		
62311026			no	no		
62311027			no	no		
62311028			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311025			no							
62311026			no					yes		
62311027			no							
62311028			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311029	H		no			Fresno	yes	CD - Freeway 41 should be district divide would keep old neighborhoods together. Divides Fresno in half. 5 CDs in Fresno makes the election administration costs higher unnecessarily and means less polling places.	
62311030	H S		no				yes	Consider place based communities in the Merced SD. MercedMont SD is bad. King City and Hollister Santa Clara residents should not be part of Central Valley place based district.	
62311031	H S		no				yes	San Joaquin COI - largest agricultural are in USA can be recognized from space Keep San Joaquin together. Do not include Santa Cruz and Santa Clara with San Joaquin. Should not lose population in Valley and gain in Santa Clara.	
62311032	H		no				yes	CDs in general - population standard is too tight this isnt what the US law says. We think it should not be held to one person but could be higher like 1.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311029			no	no		
62311030			no	no		
62311031			no	no		
62311032			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311029			no							
62311030			no					yes	1 letter	
62311031			no					yes	1 letter	
62311032			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311033	H		no				yes	Doesnt support Maldef. Dont use raceethnicity in drawing lines. Other race based organizations are just going after tax payer money.	
62311034	H		yes	Veterans Action Alliance			yes	300000 veterans in Central Valley. Fresno CD - use 41 as dividing line. Make only 4 CDs NOT 5 it is bad for election administration costs too much.	
62311035	H		yes	Former Merced County Supervisor			yes	Merced Stanislaus Fresno and Central Valley communities are COI distinct from urban or bedroom communities. Nest Merced AD with FSEC2. SD that goes from Fresno to Placer is bad.	
62311037	H		no		Fresno		yes	Kings and Fresno AD are good keep as majority Latino CVAP. Please create one Latino majority CD. 90 of growth was Latino but no additional seats were drawn. Make Kings AD a Section 2. Support MaldefNaleo plan. CRC are dilution Latino strength.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311033			no	no		
62311034			no	no		
62311035			no	no		
62311037			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311033			no							
62311034			no							
62311035			no							
62311037			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311038	H		no		Fresno		yes	CD current divider is through 40 streets - too confusing. instead use hwy 41 as the divider. Simplify and reduce number of CDs so that Fresno doesnt have so many reps.	
62311039	H		yes	China Lake Alliance			yes	Keep Kern in one district for AD SD CD. Most people at the Naval base live in Kern. COI with Mojave airport.	
62311040	H		yes	Tuolomne County Republican Central Committee		Tuolomne	yes	dont draw Nevada county to Fresno it is too far and that gives rural communities less of a voice. Tuolomne should go with Merced or Stanislaus. Draw in blocks not so great a distance across.	
62311041	H		no			Stanislaus	yes	Traffic flow is EW. A NS district that focuses on Sacramento bedroom community would be a loss of representation.	
62311043	H		no		Fresno		yes	CD - Freeway 41 should be dividing line. Limit CDs Fresno is needlessly divided and 5 CDs is too many. It is too expensive for election administration.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311038			no	no		
62311039			no	no		
62311040			no	no		
62311041			no	no		
62311043			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311038			no							
62311039			no							
62311040			no							
62311041			no							
62311043			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311044	H		no				yes	CD - Hwy 41 is good divider for Fresno. It is totally logical and 41 as divider makes it easy for citizens to know who their representative is.	
62311045	H		yes	MAPA			yes	CD is bad. Latino growth is not reflected the CDs dilute Latino districts. Consider Maldef and Naleos congressional maps.	
62311046	H		yes	Fresno Redistricting Coalition	Fresno		yes	explains previously submitted maps.	
62311047	H		no				yes	CD - Inyo Mono San bernadino district is not compact enough. Mono should be with Foothills. Glen and Siskiyou counties should not be split. Imperial San Diego CD is not in the spirit of CRC guidelines. Fresno - split into less CDs.	
62311049	H		no				yes	reconsider SDs especially Foothill and Merced districts. Central Valley should not be with Coastal areas like San Jose and Santa Cruz.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311044			no	no		
62311045			no	no		
62311046			no	no		
62311047			no	no		
62311049			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311044			no							
62311045			no							
62311046			no							
62311047			no							
62311049			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311051	H		no				yes	good CDs in central valley they will be competitive. AD KingsKern and Bakersfield CD are ethnically gerrymandered. It is better if AD . Madera county is 2 counties; lowland and highland. Match Stanislaus lines with existing lines.	
62311054	H		no		Fresno		yes	CD - split Fresno EW down 41. Merced going to the coast is a bad .	
62311055	H		no		Fresno		yes	CD - draw divider for Fresno at 41 5 CDs in Fresno is bad.	
62311056	H		no			Placer	yes	CD - linking Placer to Fresno is bad. Depending on where rep. is from they might be biased. Keep Northern CA away from Central Valley.	
62311058	H		no		Clovis friends in Auberry		yes	NS district is bad going all the way to Placer is too far. NS districts mean too much travel time for representatives.	
62311059	H		no		Modesto		yes	SD - Santa Clara County has no similarity to Modesto. Do not include Modesto with Santa Clara County	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311051			no	no		
62311054			no	no		
62311055			no	no		
62311056			no	no		
62311058			no	no		
62311059			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311051			no							
62311054			no							
62311055			no							
62311056			no							
62311058			no							
62311059			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311060	H		yes	Modesto City Council	Modesto		yes	Central Valley concerned with agriculture unemployment foreclosure rates. Since the population is heavily centered on the west side the Central Valley will loose their voice. Hard for a rep to represent tech and agriculture in same district.	
62311062	H		no		Atwater		yes	CD - Merced. Central Valley has high unemployment and foreclosure rate. Nothing in common with Bay Area and Santa Clara. COI is with 99 NOT 101. Go up NS not EW. The district as is is too big	
62311063	H		no		Merced		yes	MercedFoothill SD - housing problems extreme foreclosures low property taxes people leaving empty houses. Need representative from Central Valley. Central Valley is distinct from Placer Calavaras Santa Clara.	
62311064	H		no		Fresno		yes	Take piece from Squaw Valley and put back into Fresno in order to have 4 CDs not 5. 99 split in Fresno is good.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311060			no	no		
62311062			no	no		
62311063			no	no		
62311064			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311060			no							
62311062			no							
62311063			no							
62311064			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311065	H		no				yes	Good job CRC. You are doing a fair job in the Valley. Good job placing SW Fresno into a new CD and keeping cities whole. Stay strong and focused.	
62311069	H		no			Madera	yes	Central Valley should not include Santa Cruz Santa Clara San Jose. Madera is part of San Joaquin Valley base should be with Central Valley.	
62311070	H		no			Fresno	yes	KINGS CD - thank you for excluding Fresno to preserve rural community voice. Clean up rural areas around northern Kings. KINGS AD - Oakland Ave. in Fresno and north of Lemoore are areas of farming concern some of the farmers were divided in this AD.	
62311071	H		no				yes	Supports what speakers 38 47 44 and 43 said. Make less than 5 CDs in Fresno. Use 41 not 99. 41 is city divider 99 is more rural.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311065			no	no		
62311069			no	no		
62311070			no	no		
62311071			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311065			no							
62311069			no							
62311070			no							
62311071			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311073	H		no		Merced		yes	Merced Tuolumne Stanislaus Mariposa - should not be with coastal in SD and CD. Trying to connect Placer and Fresno in the same district is a bad idea.	
62311075	H		yes	Merced County GIS			yes	SD MERCEDMONT - Stanislaus Madera Merced work together in San Joaquin Valley. Rural and Agricultural in nature. COIs along 99 and 15. Merced has nothing in comment with Santa Cruz and Monterey need senators from Merced region.	
62311076	H		no				yes	Supports Maldef and Naleo plans.	
62311077	H		yes	Ridgecrest Chamber of Commerce	Kings		yes	Look at Kern curl.	
62311078	H		no		Fresno		yes	Curl into Kings county could be fixed.	
62311079	H		no		Fresno		yes	If you use 41 as the divider it will disenfranchise minorities. The way the city is split right now is okay.	
62311080	H		no				yes	41 is most appropriate place to divide Fresno.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311073			no	no		
62311075			no	no		
62311076			no	no		
62311077			no	no		
62311078			no	no		
62311079			no	no		
62311080			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311073			no							
62311075			no							
62311076			no							
62311077			no							
62311078			no							
62311079			no							
62311080			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411001	H S		no		Turlock		yes	Foothill SD-Foothills are different from flatlands. Proximity matters as do EW transit corridors. Lodi should not be in Northbay Contra Costa. Unite San Joaquin County and get pop from Stanislaus.Drop San Jose and Santa Cruz add flatlands on 99	
62411002	H S		yes	Turlock Chamber of Commerce			yes	Merced SD - high unemployment in interior counties should not be with coast. Drop Santa Cruz and add Madera and Fresno. Need reps that represent inland and not coastal.	
62411003	H		yes	Turlock Chamber of Commerce			yes	Merced SD - Santa Clara Monterey and San Benito are not part of San Joaquin Valley. San Joaquin has distinct needs water agriculture. Coast and inland needs are different and contradictory. We need San Joaquin district.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411001			no	no		
62411002			no	no		
62411003			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411001			no					yes	testimony	06.24.11. A
62411002			no					yes	testimony	06.24.11. B
62411003			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411004	H		yes	Mayor of Ceres			yes	Merced SD - air quality needs different in San Jaoquin. Limit split that has Lodi coming into district. There are two VRA counties because of gerrymandering. Draw Salinas and Watsonville along 101 into San Jose.	
62411005	H S		no		Lake Comanche	Amador	yes	supports FoothillsMotherload maps (all). Foothill residents do not go to flatlands. Watershed is not a COI because they produce the water but the East Bay drinks it. Please preserve these districts	
62411006	H		no				yes	AD StocktonTracy - Lodi does not go with Bay Area. Pair with Sockton and Galt. Since Lodi is same as Davis add Davis to Yolo and Lodi to Sac district.	
62411007	H		no		Turlock		yes	AD West Stanislaus - Thanks for putting my community with N. Modesto and not with S. Modesto and Foothills. Keep 1st draft maps same.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411004			no	no		
62411005			no	no		
62411006			no	no		
62411007			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411004			no							
62411005			no					yes	print out from Union Democrat	06.24.11. C
62411006			no							
62411007			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411008	H		no		Stockton Lathrop		yes	Stockton Tracy AD - Tracy and Stockton are similar. Try to put Lathrop with Tracy and Stockton. Swap Lathrop with unincorporated areas E of 99.	
62411009	H		no				yes	Likes 1st draft maps. Good to keep poor and more diverse areas separate from the affluent. The difference between the two is obvious on her drive to work.	
62411010	H		no		Escalon		yes	Thank you for keeping Sierra communities and Valley communities separate in first draft. Valley is agriculture and dairy and Sierra is recreation and tourism.	
62411011	H		no		Atwater	Merced	yes	AD West Stanislaus - Turlock separate from Merced is good. Have son in special ed in merced but dont want to move to get better services. So worked to improve services in Merced.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411008			no	no		
62411009			no	no		
62411010			no	no		
62411011			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411008			no							
62411009			no							
62411010			no							
62411011			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411013	H		no		Turlock		yes	Merced SD - Turlock could be in either district. We are agricultural based economy in Turlock and we dont have anything in common with Silicon Valley and San Jose. Create Central Valley seat for this area. Presently it is too diverse.	
62411014	H S		yes	Lathrop City Council Member			yes	Stockton AD should include Lathrop. Doesnt want to be on fringes of Stanislaus county which will dilute Lathrop voice. A lot in common with Stockton and Tracy not Manteca.	
62411015	H		no				yes	Merced SD - Santa Cruz Monterey and San Jose should not be with Merced. Maybe drop nesting idea in areas where VRA comes into play. Region needs a leader who will not beholden to the two area.	
62411016	H		yes	VP of Modesto City Schools			yes	Merced SD - Likes idea of nesting but not nesting in this SD. Mixing urban and rural 101 and 99 bad. Consider nesting with Fresno AD. San Benito and Salinas could be nested with San Jose.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411013			no	no		
62411014			no	no		
62411015			no	no		
62411016			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411013			no							
62411014			no					yes	letter from City of Lathrop	06.24.11. D
62411015			no							
62411016			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411017	H S		no				yes	Merced SD. Central Valley is unique with water education economy etc. Different from Santa Clara Monterey. Should be included with Merced Madera San Joaquin. Combine Merced AD with Fresno AD. Likes map - 200110601q2sdmerced	
62411018	H		yes	Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors			yes	San Joaquin SD makes sense. Put Lodi in take out pop from Stanislaus and portion into Merced. Foothill SD - Madera and Fresno dont belong with Placer and El Dorado. Merced SD - hard to represent areas with opposing interests (rural vs. urban)	
62411019	H S		yes	Chief Executive Office of Stanislaus			yes	Merced SD - Split through center of Stanislaus is bad and dilutes Stanislaus polical power. Central Valley is COI work together on issues and lobby together in DC.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411017			no	no		
62411018			no	no		
62411019			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411017			no					yes	testimony	06.24.11. E
62411018			no							
62411019			no					yes	letter from Stanislaus County	06.24.11. F

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411020	H S		no		Lodi		yes	CD is good with San Joaquin whole. Stockton AD - Lodi is part of Central Valley. Part of 99 corridor NOT part of I-80. Not same demographic as Napa. Switch Davis with Lodi. Davis with Solano Lodi with Central Valley and Gault.	
62411021	H S		yes	Peoples Advocate			yes	So Cal SD maps - We think you can fix coastal COI farmworker issues by reneating Ads (which are good). AD in ventura is good but nesting is bad. Nest LASCV with EVENT. SLOSB and SBWVE together.	
62411022	H S		no		Davis		yes	ECC AD - Davis should not be on Sac side of river. Has COI with Yolo county. Lodi and Galt dont belong with West. Switch Davis with LodiGalt. Then put W Sac. Into ECC and move Oakleyto east of river. Sac river is natural boundary. Davis is agricultural.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411020			no	no		
62411021			no	no		
62411022			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411020			no					yes	landscape notes	06.24.11.G
62411021			no					yes	AD and SD maps	06.24.11.H
62411022			no					yes	testimony and map	06.24.11.I

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411023	H S		yes	Tuolumne County Supervisor		Tuolumne	yes	Proposed map is about geographic commonality but people might not be similar. West Tuolumne county is COI with Stanislaus. There is watershed that runs through and with population on opposite sides will create competition	
62411024	H		no		Stockton		yes	Having a district that isn't spread out is important. San Joaquin AD - Stockton Tracy Lathrop together. The corridor moves people and product to Port of Stockton railroad airport.	
62411025	H		yes	Tracy Planning Commissioner			yes	San Joaquin CD - Include Tracy and Manteca exclude Antioch Oakley and Brentwood. Tracy not with Modesto with Stockton. Library newspapers etc. shared with Stockton.	
62411026	H		yes	Yolo County Supervisor			yes	ECC AD - on the way here passed through 3 proposed Ads. Many divisions of Yolo County in every district. Yolo County is a COI. Cooperation across county.	
62411027	H S		no		Lathrop		yes	keep Lathrop as part of Stockton AD. Everything passes through Lathrop.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411023			no	no		
62411024			no	no		
62411025			no	no		
62411026			no	no		
62411027			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411023			no					yes	letter	06.24.11.J
62411024			no							
62411025			no							
62411026			no							
62411027			no					yes	map	06.24.11.K

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411028	H		no				yes	CD - does not want to be with Merced and Fresno. Wants to stay in San Joaquin. Tracy and Manteca should be in CD. United county for better Latino representation.	
62411029	H		yes	Ceres City Council Member			yes	Merced SD - splits a lot if you must split Stanislaus for VRA in other county split in way that makes sense for Latinos and all residents. Ceres want to be with Modesto Patterson Turlock Merced not San Jose. District down 99. Salina San Benito ok.	
62411030	H		no		Lodi		no	Northbay CC SD and AD - these will be bad for Lodi. Wants to be in San Joaquin Valley San Joaquin should have its own AD and SD. If have to split off a Stanislaus city should be Tracy because they work in the Bay Area.	
62411031	H S		yes	City Council of City of Newman			yes	Merced SD - Urbanized and Agricultural areas are totally different. Move SD more inline with Central Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411028			no	no		
62411029			no	no		
62411030			no	no		
62411031			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411028			no							
62411029			no							
62411030			no							
62411031			no					yes	testimony	06.24.11.L

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411032	H		yes	Newman City Planner			yes	Merced SD would not be good for Newmans representation. Remove Bay Area portion and include Ag parts of Madera and West Stanislaus county	
62411033	H		no		Manteca		yes	CD - San Joaquin county is COI - Tracy Stockton Manteca Lathrop	
62411034	H		yes	Lodi Chamber of Commerce			yes	Northbay CC SD - this district is bad for Lodi (erases identity of Lodi). 1st draft puts Lodi with larger Eastern cities not with Central Valley.	
62411035	H		yes	San Joaquin County Consitutional Redistricting Council	Lodi		yes	Keep San Joaquin together. No COI with counties North and West of Lodi. Submitted map.	
62411036	H		yes	San Joaquin County Citizens for Constitutional Redistricting			yes	Do not sacrifice real COIs for maybe fabricated COIs. Link local foothills to local valleys. Not COI with Placer. Calaveras Tuolumne county people do not commute to Fresno.	
62411038	H		no			Tuolumne	yes	All 3 Foothill districts - districts are too long with population at N and S ends. Move West instead.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411032			no	no		
62411033			no	no		
62411034			no	no		
62411035			no	no		
62411036			no	no		
62411038			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411032			no							
62411033			no							
62411034			no							
62411035			no							
62411036			no							
62411038			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411039	H		no		Tracy		yes	CD - Tracy and Manteca should be with Stockton. Medical Association and patient referrals come to Stockton. Need rep who will improve health programs in the area.	
62411040	H S		no				yes	San Joaquin is diverse. Lodi Unified School District - it is in Stockton and Lodi better to have only one rep. Remove Bay Area make San Joaquin Valley whole. Have Agricultural tourism pollution issues.	
62411041	H		no		Tracy		yes	Thank you for putting Tracy with Stockton. Lathrop should be in Western AD too. Tracy should be with Stockton in CD not with Modesto. Antioch should not be with Stockton.	
62411042	H		no		Ceres		yes	Ceres should be with San Joaquin and with Modesto. Not in Merced SD.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411039			no	no		
62411040			no	no		
62411041			no	no		
62411042			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411039			no							
62411040			no					yes	letter	06.24.11. M
62411041			no							
62411042			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411043	H		no		Stockton		yes	San Joaquin County has been hit hard by recession. Need congressman to represent Sjoaquin county. Include Tracy Manteca Redmond and Escalon to make CD. Only 29k short of having own SanJ congressperson.	
62411044	H		no				yes	Tracy is integral part of Stockton. CD - Tracy should be with Stockton. Shared COI with Stockton not with Modesto. Preference is CD.	
62411045	H S		no		Modesto		yes	Thank you for taking out Stockton finger and putting countaies together. Wants Stanislaus intact with Merced. Education interests. If Stanislaus must be splitW and S ModestoCeres NewmanGraysonWestleyPatterson together linked down i-5 (see exhibit)	
62411046	H		yes	Yosemite Community College District			yes	AD - Keep Latino communities together like Ceres and Modesto. Likes maps they unite Latinos is South Modesto. Unite west of 99 communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411043			no	no		
62411044			no	no		
62411045			no	no		
62411046			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411043			no							
62411044			no							
62411045			no					yes	letter	06.24.11. N
62411046			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411047	H S		yes	Mayor of Paterson letter read	Ceres		yes	AD - Patterson Ceres Newman Westley Grayson Crows Landing are not as well represented. West side of Stanislaus is Latino and shares COI with Modesto. Want to see Ceres and Modesto in AD	
62411048	H		no				yes	African American and Latino communities have been building bridges for years. Glad that draft maps bring together similar communities. Need culturally competent representatives.	
62411049	H		no			Yolo	yes	Yolo county general plan is a demonstration of COI. Yolo is agricultural. Not a bedroom community of Sacramento. Supports Lodi out of Contra Costa.	
62411050	H		yes	Mayor of Galt	Galt	Sacramento	yes	AD and SD - Galt has things in common with Lodi southern most town in Sac. County. Concerned about Galts boundaries. If Galt expands the city could be divided into several districts. Share fire depart. and transit w Elk Grove. School District w Lodi.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411047			no	no		
62411048			no	no		
62411049			no	no		
62411050			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411047			no					yes	letter from City of Patterson	06.24.11. O
62411048			no							
62411049			no							
62411050			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411051	H		no		Stockton		no	all three districts in San Joaquin - unite San Joaquin to better serve COI. Dont split Lodi Manteca from Tracy.	
62411052	H		yes	San Joaquin County Supervisor			no	ECC AD - Lodi has strong COI with Valley and San Joaquin County communities through agriculture.	
62411053	H		no		Galt		yes	Lodi and Galt should stay together with Sacramento maybe.	
62411054	H		no		Lodi		yes	Lodi AD - has worked and lived in Stockton. There is no COI along hwy 12. Lodi should be with Stockton not with Fairfield Vacaville.	
62411055	H		no				yes	Keep Stockton in one CD. Manteca Tracy with Stockton in CD. Sikh community resides in Stockton Manteca Lodi and Tracy. Keep them together in CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411051			no	no		
62411052			no	no		
62411053			no	no		
62411054			no	no		
62411055			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411051			no							
62411052			no							
62411053			no							
62411054			no							
62411055			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411056	H		no				yes	San Joaquin CD - place include Tracy and Manteca with San Joaquin CD. Keep Stockton whole and with San Joaquin County. Antioch is connected to Stockton by 2 lane road but connected to Bay Area by 4 lane hwy so better to put Anitoch with Bay Area.	
62411057	H		no		Lodi		yes	Include Tracy Manteca in same CD as Lodi and Stockton. Sikhs come to Stockton from Tracy and Manteca to worship at oldest Sikh temple in US in Stockton. Sikh communitis should be together in CD centered around Stockton. No connection with Modesto or Stan	
62411058	H		no		Tracy		yes	Tracy and Stockton medical services part of San Joaquin Valley. Legal system connected to Stockton. No connection to Modesto. Newspaper is Stockton Record education system in Stockton.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411056			no	no		
62411057			no	no		
62411058			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411056			no							
62411057			no							
62411058			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411059	H S		no		Tracy		yes	Keep Tracy and Manteca together with Stockton for CD. Not connected education-wise to Modesto everything goes through Stockton. Mountain House H.S. students go to Tracy School District Mountain House is with Stockton Tracy should too.	
62411061	H S		yes	Citizens for Conservative Action		Contra Costa	yes	need summary list and transcripts of COI testimony. Pay attention to all COI testimony and realize all is backed with legal action.	
62411062	H		yes	Stockton Unified School District Boardmember			yes	AD - bring Lodi into Stockton district. Works with law enforcement hospitals economic development agencies. Suggest taking out Lathrop or Manteca which are not in district. Part of Lodi is ok if it cant be kept whole.	
62411063	H		no		Stockton		yes	Tracy gives medical assistance to Stockton residence. Put Lodi with Stockton. Supports San Joaquin as its own CD then one SD but not more than two Ads in the county.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411059			no	no		
62411061			no	no		
62411062			no	no		
62411063			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411059			no					yes	letter	06.24.11. P
62411061			no					yes	article	06.24.11. Q
62411062			no							
62411063			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411064	H		yes	Stockton Unified Trustee.			yes	CD goes too far to Merced and Fresno counties. Wants to be with neighbors in San Joaquin county more than with other hispanics two counties away. Does not want to be with Merced and Bay Area for CD. Not more than 2 Ads for the county.	
62411071	H		no		Stockton		yes	Wants Lodi as part of Stockton. Stockton kids go to Lodi schools. Two Lodi high schools in Stockton proper and elementary schools. Keep San Joaquin intact. The Altamont is big dividing line.	
62411073	H		no			Southern San Joaquin	yes	had rep from Stanislaus county and they tried to work together but it does not work San Joaquin needs own rep. Tracy Manteca Escalon Ripon should be in San Joaquin CD. Your current map is outside the COI and makes is difficult to be represented	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411064			no	no		
62411071			no	no		
62411073			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411064			no							
62411071			no							
62411073			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411074	H S		yes	Sierra Club	Cameron Park	El Dorado	yes	Sierra Club likes 80 percent of lines. Switch nesting to have Southern Sac and Northern Sac for SD. Ads-Shasta w Redding Lassen Modock MTNCAP needs more than a sliver of Tahoe basin. Foothills is too long. Galt and Lodi are more like Sjoaquin than Sac.	
62411076	H		yes	San Joaquin Farm Bureau			yes	CD - drop Antioch and add Tracy Manteca Escalon Ripon. Does not want Stockton finger. Stanislaus w Foothills. AD ECC- no justification for splitting Lodi off of San Joaquin. Keep San Joaquin whole. Put E Stanislaus with Foothill. Bring Lodi back.	
62411078	H		yes	Mayor of Lodi	Lodi		yes	AD and SD- Lodi is a part of San Joaquin Valley not Bay Area. Napa and Bay Area reps would be bad for Lodi. Lodi has agricultural interests.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411074			no	no		
62411076			no	no		
62411078			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411074			no					yes	handout with maps	06.24.11. R
62411076			no							
62411078			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411081	H		yes	former Mayor of Patterson			yes	Merced AD - approves because it links Newman Ceres and other like communities keeps minorities together. Thank you for getting rid of Stockton finger. Nothing in common with East Modesto or East Stanislaus.	
62411082	H		no			Merced	yes	happy with first draft map. SE Asian community has more in common with Stanislaus than with Stockton	
62411086	H		no		Tracy		yes	Keep San Joaquin together in CD SD AD- we have everything in common. Diversity Education Medical. Include Lodi Manteca Escalon etc.	
62411088	H		no		Oakley		yes	ECC AD - Oakley is part of Bay Area. Links are transit public safety hospitals educations. Connected to Martinez Antioch. Oakley should be kept with CC not paired with cities across the river.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411081			no	no		
62411082			no	no		
62411086			no	no		
62411088			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411081			no							
62411082			no							
62411086			no							
62411088			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411089	H		yes	Oakley Mayor			yes	SD - first map is not compact. Oakley should be in AD and SD with majority of Contra Costa county. They have a big stake in Hwy 4 going East. Put American Canyon in ECONT district and take Oakley out.	
62411091	H		no				yes	keep San Joaquin whole in all three districts. 2 Ads is okay. School medical tranport in common.	
62411092	H		no		Stockton		yes	Stockton residents are San Joaquin people. Keep San Joaquin whole. Tax themselves to provide tranportation. If Lodi was in another district their rep might not push what they would want. Asian population prefers to stay in Stockton.	
62411093	H S		yes	CA State Conference of NAACP			yes	Presents alternative maps for CD in Southern CA.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411089			no	no		
62411091			no	no		
62411092			no	no		
62411093			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411089			no							
62411091			no							
62411092			no							
62411093			no					yes	map with CVAP numbers	06.24.11. U

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411095	H S		yes	NAACP Stockton Branch Secretary on behalf of President			yes	Does not want voting strength of minorities diluted just to have San Joaquin county reps. Important to be with neighbors but not at the expense of African American community. San Joaquin should be its own CD. Preserve city and county boundaries	
62411096	H		no		Lodi		yes	Keep San Joaquin county together. Lodi does not have much in common with Yolo or Bay Area. Keep Lodi with San Joaquin in CD and SD. Agrees with other speakers. SJ has sufficient population centers to make this work.	
62411099	H		no		Ceres		yes	Latino COI - Ceres Paterson Grayson Crows Landing Newman. Drink same water watch Spanish News from Modesto. Keep this COI with Modesto.	
62411101	H		no			Merced	yes	Merced and Stanislaus should be together. Over a million dollars in agriculture business. Need reps for water for Agriculture and that know about school situation. Foreclosures on farms and houses.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411095			no	no		
62411096			no	no		
62411099			no	no		
62411101			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411095			no					yes	letter from NAACP	06.24.11. V
62411096			no							
62411099			no							
62411101			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411102	H		no		Manteca		yes	Keep San Joaquin county together. Manteca has more in Stockton than Modesto. Escalon Tracy Ripon should be included. Antioch does not belong in San Joaquin CD.	
62411103	H		yes	Contra Costa Citizens Redistricting Taskforce	Antioch		yes	Thank you for keeping AntiochDiscovery Bay and Oakley together in CD.Ok with being part of San Joaquin county district.Access to river water is important issue. Mountainhouse should be with them too.People drive west on 4 to work or on 680580 to Silicon	
62411104	H		yes	Lodi Grape Grower Association			yes	Agriculture is COI with Victor Lockford Clements and should be with Lodi. Keep San Joaquin county Agriculture whole keep Lodi with SJ county. If not for SD Lodi and Galt have commonality with N SJ county and Southern Sac county.	
62411105	H		no		Lockford		yes	San Joaquin is currently respresented by people who do not reside there. Worried that it will be the same this time around.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411102			no	no		
62411103			no	no		
62411104			no	no		
62411105			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411102			no							
62411103			no							
62411104			no							
62411105			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511001	H		no		AD 28		yes	need nearby areas together for community organizingcampaigning; Watsonville Gilroy Salinas should not be w S Jose; Gilroy Morgan Hill Salinas Watsonville should be in a new AD; now Morgan Hill is w Stanislaus	
62511002	H		no		Morgan Hill	Santa Clara	yes	Great that Morgan Hill San Martin Gilroy not split; for CD keep S Jose w Morgan Hill do not include AL Co look at South Bay Committee for Fair Redistr (SBCFR) map	
62511003	H		no		San Jose 30 yrs	Santa Clara	yes	draft for what is AD 23 would break up central east SJ into 3 AD s split Latino community; Q where? A Mayberry Rd on N S to Capitol expressway; incorporate foothills on E; keep downtown intact	
62511004	H		yes	Center for Employment Training President CEO serve mostly E SJ			yes	SBCFR map is better for AD keep Alum Rock in w east SJ; keep CD win SJ; agree w 3 re Mayberry Rd as N border of east SJ	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511001			no	yes		
62511002			no	yes		
62511003			no	yes		
62511004			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511001			no							
62511002			no							
62511003			no							
62511004			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511005	H S		yes	South Bay Committee for Fair Redistricting (SBCFR) Redistricting Partners helps coalition of groups draw maps			yes	started w Sec 5 protected dist MONT has to maintain 44 CVAP; 1)urban Lat AD in SANJO 2)unify LGBT comm in SANJO 3)protect As-Am comm in Milp in SD when nest SANJO w SILIV 4)reduce city splits to 1 (E Foothills - CAPAFR says necessary)	
62511006	H		yes	Dolores Huerta Foundation La Raza Roundtable LULAC district 14			yes	agrees with speaker 3 re boundaries for SJ district; support MALDEF map too	
62511007	H S		yes	SBCFR part of	east SJ	Santa Clara	yes	E SJ AD keep whole because made a lot of progress working together - and will increase Latino of AD to 30	
62511008	H		yes	La Raza Roundtable of S Jose La Raza Lawyers of S Clara			yes	CD is horrific as puts San Jose in an AL Co district and it might be the only CD that can elect Latino; AD had diluted Latino - SBCFR map shows are that need to be included - Eastside Union HS district incl Downtown to define E SJ Mayberry on N	(cont) Mayberry Rd to not go into Berryessa; CD AD divide Latino low-inc community into at least 4 districts

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511005			no	yes		
62511006			no	yes		
62511007			no	yes		
62511008			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511005			no					yes		
62511006			no							
62511007			no					yes		
62511008			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511009	H		yes	Santa Clara County Board of Supervisors member		Santa Clara	yes	use McKee Rd to keep Berryessa whole try to keep same boundaries as current AD 23	
62511010	H S	SJSU student	no				yes	opposes CD SANJO which splits SJSU campus SJSU is a COI; need to look at neighborhood maps	
62511011	H		yes	Sunnyvale City Council member and past mayor	Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	yes	Milpitas-Berryessa AD goes too far south instead draw line at Mayberry; look at MALDEF CAPAFR AARC unity map. Silicon Valley includes Sunnyvale Cupertino - they are very connected and must be together	
62511012	H S	public interest lawyer	yes	Law Foundation of Silicon Valley	Downtown San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	east side of San Jose must stay together (might be Sec 2 violation re dilution of Latino voting block) w centraldowntown San Jose (LGBT community); echoes concern of SBCFR - consider their proposed maps.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511009			no	yes		
62511010			yes	yes		
62511011			no	yes		
62511012			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511009			no							
62511010			no					yes		
62511011			no							
62511012			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511013	H	political activist on volunteer basis former community organizer	no		Eastside San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	do not split eastside San Jose which has history of political struggle for Latinos and is working class lower income level than surrounding areas and currently has a level of political cohesion. Current AD is best but SBCFR is best compromise.	(cont) use SBCFR map which takes 22 latino in draft to 31 Latino (currently AD is 34 Latino).
62511014	H S		no				yes	concerned re AD s; LGBT community in downtown S Jose is one of densest in state but split into 3 AD s should not be; passed out data on LGBT households showing its a COI as defined by Prop 11 should be in one AD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511013			no	yes		
62511014			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511013			no							
62511014			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511015	H	voting rights attorney	no				no	(see VRA fields)	
62511016	H		yes	County of Santa Clara employee	San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	SBCFR is good plan; would like AD 23 28 maintained and nest them in SD	
62511017	H		yes	representing Silicon Valley Democratic Forum SBCFR	San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	keep east San Jose with central San Jose; have cohesive set of communities; draft would split Latino voting bloc	
62511018	H		yes	La Raza Lawyers of Santa Clara County member of SBCFR			yes	east San Jose is social economic political COI should not be split; long history of Latino leadership	
62511019	H		no		Alum Rock San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	need Alum Rock w east San Jose in AD SD CD or will be disenfranchised	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511015			no	no		
62511016			no	yes		
62511017			no	yes		
62511018			no	yes		
62511019			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511015		concern re SD s and intent requirement	yes	Monterey Kings Merced	not numerical retrogression problem but maps by group show CVAP can go way up - so may be evidence of discrimination					
62511016			no							
62511017			no							
62511018			no							
62511019			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511020	H S		no			San Benito	yes	re SD AD San Benito rural agricultural has nothing in common with Monterey. Ok to have S Benito w. Gilroy Morgan Hill and Salinas but not ok to be with Watsonville.	
62511021	H S		no		east San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	keep east San Jose together	
62511022	H S		no			Santa Clara	yes	east San Jose is split into 3 AD s and splits up a Latino COI; recommends keeping AD 23 boundaries w minor adjustments nest w AD 28 for SD.	
62511024	H		no		downtown San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	keep both east San Jose downtown San Jose together; would help state county city; giving us one voice would help us to get better schools jobs	
62511025	H S	school district trustee	no			Santa Clara	yes	oppose draft maps; keep AD 23 boundaries as is or w minor adjustments because its a COI based on income poverty level educational need cultural activities employment needs transport corridor runs thru; nest AD 23 AD 28 for SD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511020			no	yes		
62511021			no	yes		
62511022			no	yes		
62511024			no	yes		
62511025			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511020			no					yes		
62511021			no					yes		
62511022			no					yes		
62511024			no							
62511025			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511026	H		no		downtown San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	keep east S Jose together SJSU together; keep AD 23 boundaries as is; concern w split of east SJ from central SJ Montgomery St to King Rd Hedding St to Tulley Rd; diverse multicultural community strengthened by being toget; better 1 rep than 2or3	
62511027	H S		yes	Fremont City Council member	Fremont	Alameda	yes	Keep Fremont Newark Union City together in a district anchored in AL Co. COI of history media etc. Provided alt CD map to FRNWUC CD which has lots of other benefits re San Leandro Richmond whole parts of Tri-Valley w SANJO.	
62511028	H		no		Livermore	Alameda	yes	speaker and others in Livermoreeast AL Co support the Fremont proposal. Do not want to lump in Hayward Fremont rather want to be part of an inland district.	
62511029	H		no		Fremont	Alameda	yes	for CD keep Fremont Newark Union City whole in Alameda County	
62511030	H		yes	India Community Center founder	Fremont	Alameda	yes	for CD do not split Fremont from tri-cities as that will disenfranchise the southasian community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511026			no	yes		
62511027			no	yes		
62511028			no	yes		
62511029			no	yes		
62511030			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511026			no							
62511027			no					yes		
62511028			no							
62511029			no							
62511030			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511031	H		no				yes	for CD keep tri-cities area (Fremont Newark Union City) in tact	
62511032	H		no				yes	for CD keep tri-cities area (Fremont Newark Union City) in tact; this is important for the many non-profits in the area	
62511033	H		yes	Newark City Council member	Newark	Alameda	yes	TY for keeping Newark whole; want CD of tri-cities; COI Newark has a public safety COI with Fremont and Union City; Newark U City fire services are contracted out to Alameda County; also police dispatch in three cities is coordinated.	
62511034	H		yes	Fremont Numi Plant Union rep when plant closed and 5000 layed off yr ago			yes	Keep Fremont Union City Newark together in CD; the Fremont proposal puts everyone into Alameda County; keep tri-cities as one economic entity	
62511035	H		yes	S Clara County Dept of Community Services retired			yes	keep east San Jose together; join San Jose with AD 28 to make new SD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511031			no	yes		
62511032			no	yes		
62511033			no	yes		
62511034			no	yes		
62511035			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511031			no							
62511032			no							
62511033			no							
62511034			no							
62511035			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511036	H		yes	Union Sanitary District elected to Board of Directors currently President			yes	tri-cities are covered by one waste water district (Union Sanitary District); keep three cities together in CD; first Asian woman elected in area wants to continue that progress	
62511037	H		yes	Santa Clara County Water District			yes	for east San Jose keep roughly the boundaries of AD 23	
62511038	H		no				yes	keep eastside San Jose together; COI based on recession impact foreclosure political disenfranchisement; Also east San Jose is COI with rest of San Jose and east San Jose has no COI with Livermore; supports SBCFR maps	
62511039	H S		no				yes	keep Sunnyvale Cupertino Santa Clara Saratoga Campbell Burbank Cambrian Park Los Gatoes and San Jose together in CD; most importantly keep east San Jose with San Jose.	
62511040	H		no				yes	does not like Central Coast Monterey SD because it is not compact	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511036			no	yes		
62511037			no	yes		
62511038		east S Jose boundaries 101 Tulley Rd Mayberry foothills. Hwys 101 87 85 are downtown S Jose.	no	yes		
62511039			no	yes		
62511040			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511036			no							
62511037			no							
62511038			no							
62511039			no					yes		
62511040			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511041	H		yes	Berryessa Union School District Board member	San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	keep east San Jose together and with the rest of San Jose	
62511042	H		yes	Fremont Planning Commission commissioner	Fremont	Alameda	yes	keep tri-cities area (Fremont Newark Union City) together and in Alameda Co; keep Fremont whole	
62511043	H		yes	City of Watsonville mayor	Watsonville	Santa Cruz	yes	for AD Watsonville Gilroy and Morgan Hill together; area west of 101 is not a COI nest variations of current AD 23 and AD 28.	
62511044	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	marriage equality silicon valley (speaker 14); LGBT community diluted in draft AD; keep LGBT community together in SANJO	
62511045	H		no				yes	keep eastside San Jose together and connect to downtown San Jose; eastside San Jose has COI based on small businesses and low SES that needs representation and does not have a COI with Livermore.	
62511046	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	opposed Merced SD because it goes into Alum Rock in San Jose and splits a COI in San Jose; big difference between Silicon Valley and Central Valley; keep east San Jose whole.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511041			no	yes		
62511042			no	yes		
62511043			no	yes		
62511044			no	yes		
62511045			no	yes		
62511046			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511041			no							
62511042			no							
62511043			no							
62511044			no							
62511045			no							
62511046			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511047	H		yes	Fremont Planning Commissioner	tri-cities 26 yrs	Alameda	yes	presents map of CD with tri-cities whole that preserves Tri-City COI and keeps Richmond intact and splits Oakland	
62511048	H		no		Newark	Alameda	yes	supports map of CD with tri-cities whole that preserves Tri-City COI and keeps Richmond intact and splits Oakland	
62511049	H		yes	CCAG			yes	re CD FRNWU - CCAG supports tri-cities is working with them to make sure CD does not go over hills between Tri-Valley and Tri-cities	
62511050	H	represents Vietnamese Community	no				yes	Thanks for keeping Berryessa whole please also keep Evergreen whole and keep it with Little Saigon; boundaries are Story Rd King Rd Center Rd and Capital. In draft Evergreen split into 3 districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511047			no	yes		
62511048			no	yes		
62511049			no	yes		
62511050			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511047			no							
62511048			no							
62511049			no							
62511050			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511051	H		no				yes	wants a CD of Milpitas north San Jose Alum Rock to keep Berryessa together going down to Morgan Hill and Gilroy. Have nothing to do with Newark and Fremont so keep out Alameda County from this CD. Use Eastside Union School District as boundary line.	
62511054	H		yes	Story Rd Business Association	Morgan Hill	Santa Clara	yes	Morgan Hill has nothing in common with Merced and shouldnt be in Merced SD. Morgan Hill is urban not ruralagricultural	
62511055	H		no				yes	SBCFR map is good; protect COI of eastside San Jose and do not split it especially not into 3 AD s where all 3 reps could potentially live outside of Santa Clara County	
62511057	H		yes	Gilroy City Council SBCFR			yes	important to keep east S Jose and downtown S Jose together.	
62511058	H		yes	Berryessa Community Council			yes	Berryessa must be with Milpitas; look at Berryessa Union School District for boundaries	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511051			no	yes		
62511054			no	yes		
62511055			no	yes		
62511057			no	yes		
62511058			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511051			no							
62511054			no							
62511055			no							
62511057			no							
62511058			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511059	H S		yes	Silicon Valley Leadership Council			yes	for CD look at tech industry as a COI use northern border of S Clara County; include Golden Triangle (101880237) which has 2000 high-tech employers and over 100000 employees	
62511060	H S	former member of Richmond City Council	no				yes	put Richmond back in CD with Contra Costa County	
62511061	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	Keep current AD s as much as possible and nest AD 23 AD 28 for a SD; largest portion of SD should be in Santa Clara County	
62511062	H S		yes	San Jose Silicon Valley COC			yes	San Jose high tech industry is a COI; draft CD is not good because in with FremontNewark; keep CD entirely in Santa Clara County	
62511063	H S		yes	Technet representative			yes	keep Silicon Valley intact which is Santa Clara County and southern San Mateo County; keep Golden Triangle with downtown San Jose	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511059			no	yes		
62511060			no	yes		
62511061			no	yes		
62511062			no	yes		
62511063			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511059			no					yes		
62511060			no					yes		
62511061			no							
62511062			no					yes		
62511063			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511064	H S		yes	CAPAFR			yes	Fremont should be with Milpitas; east San Jose should be whole; Evergreen neighborhood should be whole and w Little Saigon; Alum Rock should be wBerryessa; City Council Districts 3578 should be together	(cont) CAPAFR maps will be submitted next week
62511065	H		no				yes	good that Berryessa is whole in draft maps; put Little Saigon Evergreen downtown S Jose in one district; keep east S Jose together; Cupertino Mt View Sunnyvale Santa Clara should be together (have common school district).	
62511066	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	keep east San Jose together; has COI of working class families; supports SBCFR map	
62511069	H		no		east San Jose resident	Santa Clara	yes	current boundaries of AD 23 are good because have east S Jose with downtown S Jose; ok to go to Milpitas and Fremont with AD	
62511070	H		no		east San Jose resident	Santa Clara	yes	SJSU is divided; 9th Julian is in different district from 5th Keyes; unite Evergreen neighborhood; supports maps of South Bay Labor Council	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511064			yes	yes		
62511065			no	yes		
62511066			no	yes		
62511069			no	yes		
62511070			yes	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511064			no					yes		
62511065			no							
62511066			no							
62511069			no							
62511070			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511071	H		no		San Leandro	Alameda	yes	S Leandro should not be with Oakland or Alameda it should be with Eden Area (Castro Valley etc). In draft SD S Leandro is split from Eden area and draft CD is also not good for S Leandro	
62511072	H		no				yes	keep east S Jose Alum Rock area together rather than in Merced SD. A mountain range (Mt Hamilton) divides Alum Rock from the rest of the Merced SD.	
62511073	H S		no		San Francisco	San Francisco	yes	do not split Siskiyou; 8 counties in north state make up a perfect CD and another CD can be built down from Modoc. Do not split small counties.	
62511074	H S		yes	Silicon Valley Latino Democratic Forum			yes	keep AD 23 AD 28 as they are and nest them for a new SD which will unite S Clara S Benito Monterey Counties; AD 23 AD 28 are a COI based on SES inc povert level transport corridor runs thru; recent history related to electing Latinos	(cont) submitted electronic maps will submit data.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511071			no	yes		
62511072			no	yes		
62511073			no	yes		
62511074			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511071			no							
62511072			no							
62511073			no					yes		
62511074			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511075	H		yes	Vietnamese Voluntary Foundation	Evergreen neighborhood of San Jose 22 yrs	Santa Clara	yes	draft splits evergreen into 3 ADs and from Little Saigon but all must be together! Little Saigon is Story Rd to N King Rd to E Capitol Expressway to S Center Rd to W. Evergreen is defined well by school district boundaries.	
62511076	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	east San Jose is working class community business and schools COI; draft AD dismantles political leadership of east San Jose - maintain AD 23 as is.	
62511077	H		no		ShastaHanchett neighborhood of San Jose (west side of San Jose)	Santa Clara	yes	CD SNACL combines his neighborhood with Gilroy Morgan Hill have nothing in common; put eastside S Jose w downtown S Jose run that district to south county to pick up Gilroy Morgan Hill; put his neighborhood into a westside district (see cities)	
62511078	S		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511075			yes	yes		
62511076			no	yes		
62511077	ShastaHanchett should go with west S Jose Alamaden Blossom Valley neighborhoods and with cities of Cupertino Campbell Los Gatos Saratoga and Santa Clara.		yes	yes		
62511078			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511075			no							
62511076			no							
62511077			no							
62511078			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511081	H S		yes	Japanese Community Center Director	Santa Clara 37 years	Santa Clara	yes	COI of Santa Clara Cupertino Sunnyvale Mountain View split by draft; they share sch distr transport corridor (101 to 280 El Camino Real) shopping areas major high tech companies (Google Apple etc); keep 4 cities together	(cont) Good to have Berryessa with Milipitas but need Evergreen neighborhood whole not split into 3 AD
62511082	H		no		San Jose	Santa Clara	yes	Latino dilution in east San Jose; need a measure for dilution to show with maps so that can correct it can use simple standard deviation coloring of maps - could be core of Sec 2 challenge	
62511083	H	teacher substitute currently w Alum Rock School District does youth advising	no				yes	not much improvement in youth situation gang prevention in eastside Alum Rock despite talk about it	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511081			yes	yes		
62511082			no	yes		
62511083			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511081			no					yes		
62511082			no			creat a dilution index to review maps				
62511083			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511084	H		yes	Sunny Hills Neighborhood Association President	Milipitas Sunny Hills neighborhood	Santa Clara	yes	Milpitas is part of Santa Clara County; in AD draft it is with mostly Alameda County district and that reduces representation; put with Santa Clara County districts in all district levels draw boundary at county line	
62511085	H		no		Montara coastside	San Mateo	yes	south San Mateo and Santa Clara AD s Menlo Park and East San Jose cut out finger consolidate w Santa Clara district to remove discontinuity - cut coast at Half Moon Bay but should be kept together.	
62511086	H		no		Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	yes	move Sunnyvale which is contiguous to S Clara and Cupertino back into Silicon Valley as its a Silicon Valley community. Hwy 85 N boundary of Sunny E boundary of Mt View is boundary between Silicon Val mid-peninsula cities	(cont) Sunnyvale is very different from Mt. View it does not have the same standard of living

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511084			yes	yes		
62511085			no	yes		
62511086			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511084			no							
62511085			no							
62511086			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511087	H		yes	CCAG			yes	east S Jose (defined as S of Milpitas down to bottom of EvergreenCoyote Valley east of 101) needs to be whole; its 336K and should not be in 3 AD; CD boundary on S Clara St splits downtown down middle; AD boundary on San Fernando St splits SJSU.	
62511088	H		no				yes	Sunnyvale Cupertino Santa Clara and Mountain View in one AD because share business and community interests	
62511089	H S		yes	Tri-County Association of Latino Elected Officials			yes	support draft SD 15; keep Hollister Salinas Valley w Watsonville in Senate; Scotts Valley Davenport are in CD 14 now but make current CD 17 part of CD 27 28; to have fair electoral opportunit do not draw lines that dilute minority voting strength	
62511090	H	will serve on SF Redistricting Task Force	no		San Francisco	San Francisco	yes	SF AD split is not logical; use oshanessy twin peaks and Stanyon St for AD boundary as now roughly exists. Use 19th Ave for CD boundary and go as far into SF as need to get pop	(cont) nesting is good and do it with correspon numbers (SD s 110 are in BOED 1) to make it easy to understand

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511087			no	yes		
62511088			no	yes		
62511089			no	yes		
62511090			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511087			no							
62511088			no							
62511089			no					yes		
62511090			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62511091	H		yes	Contra Costa Redistricting Task Force CCAG			yes	4800 people split off of Antioch on its west side west of Summersville Rd; put those back and keep Antioch east CC Co in a San Joaquin district; move unincorporated areas out by Terminals and hwy 12 into Solano-Yolo district	(cont) look at original CCAG maps for east central S Jose and tri-cities area; use hills between valley and S Clara Co as boundaries
62711001	H		yes	Greenlining Institute but speaking as Fremont resident	Fremont	Alameda	yes	want Fremont to remain whole (asian area) rather than split into 2 AD 2 CD with Newark and Union City or w Newark and Milpitas; East Palo Alto with CD AD with Redwood City as opposed to what its with in draft	
62711002	H		no		Richmond	Contra Costa	yes	keep Richmond whole at state and federal level (Richmond is COI)	
62711003	H S		yes	city council Menlo Park	Menlo Park	San Mateo	yes	concern that city is split into 2 CD; COI with Menlo Park PA EPA Atherton; MP EPA in N S Clara Co CD Redwood City in S Mateo Co CD - perfect pop exchange	
62711004	H		no				yes	likes CCAG maps though not affiliated with them; COI in San Mateo County; keep job engine going in san jose	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62511091			no	yes		
62711001			no	yes		
62711002			no	yes		
62711003			no	yes		
62711004			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62511091			no							
62711001			no							
62711002			no							
62711003			no					yes	letters and map	06.27.11. A
62711004			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711005	H		yes	represents 5 trade orgs - napa wine		Napa	yes	unify Napa County american canyon must be with Napa County	
62711006	H S		yes	wine growers of napa county		Napa	yes	need 4 wine growing counties (Napa Lake Mendocino Sonoma) together and american canyon with napa (big part of wine industry in American Canyon)	
62711007	H S		yes	Napa County BofSups		Napa	yes	propose Wine Coastal District - rearranged three draft CD - its a compromise for us has a lots of improvements; similarities greater than differences	
62711008	H S	spoke on June 9	yes	American Canyon City Council brought quorum of city council including mayor vice mayor		Napa	yes	keep american canyon whole	
62711009	H S		yes	East Bay Asian Voter Education Consortium (EBAVEC) part of CAPAFR			yes	3 API concentrations in Alameda Co Fremont Newark whole together w nearby areas in S Clara Co; oakland alameda berkeley one AD; ashland cherryland san leandro San Lorenzo Hayward Union City for another AD; CAPAFR will submit new AD SD	(cont.) Q what about Fremont issue? A their proposal ok for CD for AD we want to be w Berryessa

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711005			no	yes		
62711006			no	yes		
62711007			no	yes		
62711008			no	yes		
62711009			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711005			no							
62711006			no					yes	letter	06.27.11. B
62711007			no					yes	maps using redrawca.org and explanation of how changed 3 CD	06.27.11. C
62711008			no					yes	City of American Canyon opposition packet of letters City of American Canyon petition wsignatures; letter w exhibits from City of Napa resident	06.27.11. D 06.27.11. E 06.27.11. F
62711009			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11. G

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711010	H S	civil rights lawyers worked with Lawyers Committee Disability Rights CA Chinese for Affirmative Action	yes	participated in CAPAFR map development process			yes	TY for keeping Oakland Chinatown whole; in AD Oakland should be kept with Alameda and Berkeley rather than San Leandro and areas to south; in three cities COI of similar SES education among AAPI Latino and African American pops - underserved groups	
62711011	H S	letter read by another	no			Alameda 30 yrs	yes	S Leandro S Lornezo Ashland Cherryland Castro Valley Hayward Union City are COI (share school districts religious institutions ethnic shopping center and cultural activities lots of LEP that needs help accessing vital govt services)	
62711012	H S		yes	Executive Director Filipino Advocates for Justice (FAJ) part of CAPAFR		Alameda 35 years	yes	Union City San Leandro San Lorenzo Cherryland Ashland Castro Valley Hayward in same AD SD CD (all under certain pop except Hayward and should be kept whole); As-Am in Central AL CO share a lot.	
62711013	H S		yes	Asian Pacific Islander American Public Affairs Association (APAPA)	Fremont 30 years	Alameda	yes	Fremont should be whole with Newark Milipitas and Berryessas area to keep API community together in AD SD CD; large AAPI pops w commonalities and ties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711010			no	yes		
62711011			no	yes		
62711012			no	yes		
62711013			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711010			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11.H
62711011			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11.I
62711012			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11.J
62711013			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11.K

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711014	H S		yes	Asian Law Caucas part of CAPAFR			yes	unite LGBT in eastern SF AD (Haight-Ashbury Twin Peaks Diamond Hts in EastSFAD); unite As-Am immig COI by Outer Mission Crocker Amazon w Excelsior Vistition Valley in East SF AD; remove from that AD pacific heights presidio marina	(cont) TY for preserving COI in Viz Valley Excels Mission greater Chinatown areas and for keeping Daly City whole.
62711015	H		yes	representing Latinos in northerh Monterey Co			yes	concern with number and not being allowed to vote in 2012 if in northern monterey	
62711016	H S - came back about 852pm	high school student	no			Marin	yes	keep marin with most of Sonoma; doesnt want to be with Del Norte; at end response to marin doesnt have wine - but do share dairy farming w Sonoma (coast Bodega to Marin) also Napa w coast is good for more pop add Yolo (connect is UCD viticulture)	
62711017	pass		no				no		
62711018	H		no				yes	does not like Santa Rosa and Napa out of CD and Marin instead; dont share economic social interest w Marin dllutes wine industry and ; restor SR and Napa back in Coastal CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711014			no	yes		
62711015			no	yes		
62711016			no	yes		
62711017			no	yes		
62711018			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711014			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11.L
62711015			no							
62711016			no					yes	testimony map	06.27.11.M
62711017			no							
62711018			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711019	H S		no			Mendicino	yes	proposed Yuba CD bad road to travel if go normal way go thru 6 other CDs; border divides huge economic entity in wine industry.	
62711020	H		yes	LGBT SF Community	San Francisco	San Francisco	yes	eastern SF district depends on our State Senator. If it gets an even number wont have representation for 2 year - please keep SF odd; also keep east SF together and for that see letters from 5 grps (SF log cabin reps ABToklas LGBT Demo Club etc)	(cont) next speaker 21 submits the letter by the 5 groups
62711021	H S		yes	SF Board of Sups represents Castro area		San Francisco	yes	same as previous give SD in SF an odd number keep east SF together to elect Assembly from LGBT community (disagree with CAPAFR; dont take Pac Hts Laurel Hts Marina out of EastSF AD; add in Diamond Hts TwinPeaks Cole Valley Haight-Ash Glen Park	
62711022	H S		yes	RAS client		San Mateo	yes	do not split zip codes Zip codes are COI	
62711023	H		no		Redwood City	San Mateo	yes	use zip codes	
62711024	H S		yes	on Cloverdale city council	Cloverdale	Sonoma	yes	keep Sonoma intact and within one coastal district; need Santa Rosa in too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711019			no	yes		
62711020			yes	yes		
62711021			no	yes		
62711022			no	yes		
62711023			no	no		
62711024			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711019			no					yes	long memo	06.27.11. N
62711020			no							
62711021			no					yes	ltr from speaker and resolution from 5 LGBT groups	06.27.11. O 06.27.11. P
62711022			no					yes	CD proposals from RedrawCA	06.27.11. Q
62711023			no							
62711024			no					yes	ltr from speaker	06.27.11. R

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711025	H S		yes	City council Dana Point	Dana Point	San Diego	yes	keep Dana Point whole and with South OC district; put some North OC cities in w LA	
62711026	H S		yes	Dana Point City Manager	Dana Point	San Diego	yes	echos last speaker keep Dana Point whole add back in Monarch Beach (tells history of this) and there is COI of northern areas w LA	
62711027	H S		no		Santa Rosa	Sonoma	yes	Santa Rosa has to be with rest of Sonoma keep it in coastal district and take out Marin which has less in common with coast counties mendo humboldt DN	
62711028	H S - comes back at 856pm		yes	CCAG; Log Cabin republicans SF		San Francisco	yes	re CCAG maps - has hot spot; also echoes re LGBT communities in SF; comes back at end Californians need to understand that half do not get to elect Senator in 2012 - nothing can be done about that - make this clear to public	(also at end) explains method for LGBT data provided
62711029	H		yes	CCAG	Lafayette	Contra Costa	yes	hot spots marin with American canyon lists lots of other city splits and other problems revised Assembly plan addresses all of these issues	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711025			no	yes		
62711026			no	yes		
62711027			no	yes		
62711028			no	yes		
62711029			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711025			no					yes	ltr from City of DP w resolution ltr from DP Chamber of Commerce	06.27.11. S 06.27.11. T
62711026			no					yes	ltr from City of DP w resolution ltr from DP Chamber of Commerce	06.27.11. S 06.27.11. T
62711027			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11. U
62711028			no					yes	ltr w map about LGBT community	06.27.11. V
62711029			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711030	H		yes	CCAG	Walnut Creek	Contra Costa	yes	hot spots of SD plan- lots of same ones with AD plan so lists others in SD plan - revised SD plan addresses all these successfully	
62711031	H S		yes	CCAG	San Ramon	Contra Costa	yes	hot spots of CD plan; GGB to oregon border and excludes Santa Rosa; Yuba Co with Valley of Moon; Santa Clara San Mateo Tri-Valley area divided Fremont with divided Downtown San Jose	
62711032	H S		yes	CCAG	Oakland	Alameda	yes	violation of criterion 3 4 5 - our maps are better for underrepresented groups and majority of district to elect rep that represents them	
62711033	H		no		San Ramon Valley	Contra Costa	yes	tri-valley has COI with Contra Costa - please listen to us	
62711034	H		no		San Ramon	Contra Costa	yes	do not go over oaklandberkeley hills want to be with other CCC cities those that read CC Times etc	
62711035	H		no				yes	keep San Ramon Valley together - like last two speakers	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711030			no	yes		
62711031			no	yes		
62711032			no	yes		
62711033			no	yes		
62711034			no	yes		
62711035			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711030			no							
62711031			no					yes	memo form completed	06.27.11. W
62711032			no					yes	memo form completed	06.27.11. X
62711033			no							
62711034			no							
62711035			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711036	H S - came back at 846pm		no		San Ramon	Contra Costa	yes	Tri-Valley area San Ramon Danville Dublin Pleasanton Livermore - 5 city councils meet every few months bring new biz to area has letters from each major;	(comes back at end) Tri-Valley connected to Lamorinda by 68024 but nothing in common between Orinda and Albany
62711037	H S		yes	CCAG	pleasant hill	contra costa	yes	likes first draft CCC for AD SD does not like CD; CD tri-valley does not belong with Fremont dont put parts of S Joaquin Co with CCC.	
62711038	H		yes	realtor org - re San Ramon Valley			yes	wants 24 corridor district; do not put San Ramon Valley w Fremont	
62711039	H		no		Berkeley	Alameda	yes	supports CCAG CD maps	
62711040	H		yes	SF Log Cabin Republicans President			yes	proposed AD in SF; upper market LGBT community in with Mission which is less than 3 same sex unmarried households; unusual to collaborate w ABToklas group - but agree on this	
62711041	H		no		walnut creek	Contra Costa	yes	tri-valley should NOT be with Fremont - use Oakland hills as border between Fremont and Tri-valley area - supports letter of 5 tri-valley cities	
62711042	H S		yes	city council cotati	cotati	Sonoma	yes	Yuba CD keep Cotati whole with nearby similar communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711036			no	yes		
62711037			no	yes		
62711038			no	yes		
62711039			no	no		
62711040			yes	yes		
62711041			no	yes		
62711042			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711036			no					yes	letter signed by 5 mayors letter from Danville mayor letter from San Ramon business person	06.27.11. Y 06.27.11. Z 06.27.11. AA
62711037			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11. AB
62711038			no							
62711039			no							
62711040			no							
62711041			no							
62711042			no					yes	memo with small map at bottom	06.27.11. AC

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711043	H		no			Siskiyou County	yes	considering adding good portion of county to Del Norte - that is great injustice to residents of Siskiyou County; to keep similar communities together use western border of Siski Co as border of district	
62711044	H S - came back at 844pm		no		Sausalito	Marin	yes	thanks for not jumping GGB; agree that American Canyon should be back with Napa; proposes maps that also put Santa Rosa back in with Sonoma; comes back at end please consider nesting	
62711045	H		no		Diablo valley	Contra Costa	yes	likes CCAG maps	
62711046	H - came back at 849pm		yes	CCAG	Berkeley	Alameda	yes	our plans are for diverse districts fair districts not for lilywhite countryclub districts; came back at endLamorinda nothing to do with Albany; Albany is across st from him Lamor is far-believes current rep wants to fund raise in Lamorinda	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711043			no	yes		
62711044			no	yes		
62711045			no	no		
62711046			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711043			no							
62711044			no					yes	suggested changes to AD draft	06.27.11. AD
62711045			no							
62711046			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711047	H		yes	city council american canyon	american canyon (AC)	Napa	yes	economic interests that AC represents for Napa Count - huge support base of wine industry truck route through hwy 29; Assembly member in past got them to resolve difference cause all (Napa County) in same district	
62711048	H		yes	Napa County Board of Sups	American Canyon	Napa	yes	AC has had identity crisis because lumped in w Vallejo; 1992 incorporated but still had identity crisis. What CRC has done rallied Napa Co to recognize importance of AC to county	
62711049	H S		no		Castro neighborhood San Francisco	San Francisco	yes	concern with numbering LGBT of Haight Glen Park in district w LGBT	
62711050	H		yes	SF Board of Sups represents southeast SF (diverse area)	San Francisco	San Francisco	yes	needs partner in Sac	
62711051	H S		no		East Bay		yes	natural boundary of ridgelines on west and one east of San Ramon Valley Tri-Valley Diablo Valley; dont have much in common with either side.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711047			no	yes		
62711048			no	yes		
62711049			yes	yes		
62711050			yes	yes		
62711051			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711047			no							
62711048			no							
62711049			no					yes	ltr from SF City Attorney	06.27.11. AE
62711050			no							
62711051			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11. AF

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711052	H	retired social worker	no		Albany	Alameda	yes	draft AD removes Lamorinda from current 14th AD and puts in hwy 80 cities; but want Lamorinda with us share alot (see COI);extend AD more into OaklandAfr-Amer commun relies on ethnic-based services in Oakland; want 2 or more Assemblymembers for Oakland.	(cont) hwy 80 cities placed with in draft Hercules Port Costa Rodeo Crockett Pinole; Lamorinda is Lafayette Moraga Orinda and Canyon
62711053	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	yes	put Lamorinda back with us in our AD and balance by taking out 5 hwy 80 cities (see speaker 52) Lamorinda has lots in common with us as opposed to I-80 cities; move southern border of West COCO AD in Oakland from lincoln ave to park blvd	
62711054	H		no		American Canyon (AC)	Napa	yes	history of AC long time establishing identity with Napa County; do not take that away.	
62711055	H S - came back at 850pm		no		south San Mateo county	San Mateo	yes	you fixed a few things; need to fix othersmove line at Stanford; and in SF keep together except at border with san mateo countynot anywhere else in SF with San Mateo county	(comes back at end) does not understand SD going SF down to S Mateo will look at it send email tomorrow

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711052	extend AD 14 more into Oakland pick up Piedmont and hills but want farther into urban Oakland		no	yes	AD 14 shares with Lamorinda inc ed level intellectual cultural pursuits (UCB Berkeley Rep) recreational (Sierra Club members Tilden Park) media markets (SF Chronicle NYTimes) med care (Alta Bates Childrens Summit Hosp)	
62711053			no	yes		
62711054			no	yes		
62711055			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711052			no							
62711053			no							
62711054			no							
62711055			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11. AG

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711056	H		no		American Canyon	Napa	yes	diverse community - had to fight for everything (education cityhood zipcode); split us off from Napa affects schools badly; American Canyon is gateway to Napa valley	
62711057	H		yes	city of american canyon mayor	american canyon	Napa	yes	1103 signatures on petition - supervisor provided maps and he lists where to find pop (part of Santa Rosa etc)	
62711058	H	Search Rescue team	no		Yountville area	Napa	yes	double whammy - take AC out ; impt to keep napa county together; napa lake mendo - when doing SAR cant tell difference.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711056			no	yes		
62711057			no	yes		
62711058			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711056			no							
62711057			no							
62711058			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711059	H S		yes	American Canyon Chamber of Commerce	American Canyon	Napa	yes	keep us with Napa in AD SD CD	
62711060	H		yes	American Cancer Society legislative advocate	American Canyon	Napa	yes	American Canyon is with areas that vote against ACS issues in Sac; Napa County reps vote for ACS causes	
62711061	H S		no		American Canyon	Napa	yes	American Canyon with Napa	
62711062	H		no		American Canyon	Napa	yes	keep with Napa Cp	
62711063	H		no		American Canyon 15 yr resident 15 yrs old	Napa	yes	keep AC with Napa Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711059			no	yes		
62711060			no	yes		
62711061			no	yes		
62711062			no	yes		
62711063			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711059			no					yes	ltrs from COC and various businesses in American Canyon	06.27.11. AH 06.27.11. AI 06.27.11. AJ 06.27.11. AK 06.27.11. AL 06.27.11. AM 06.27.11. AN 06.27.11. AO 06.27.11. AP
62711060			no							
62711061			no					yes	testimony	06.27.11. AQ
62711062			no							
62711063			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711064	H - came back at 848	not American Canyon resident - spoke Saturday	no		San Francisco	San Francisco	yes	thinks should totally (not mostly) nest AD in SD and SD in BOE; ok to keep Sunset etc in San Mateo district; use O-shannasy as demarcation between West East SF (agree with LGBT on this)	(back at end) re CD s Marin most of Sonoma one CD; another CD of Del Norte Humboldt Mendocino Lake Napa maybe Trinity - fix the concern of North Coast people.
62711065	H		no		American Canyon	Napa	yes	ditto keep AC with Napa County	
62711066	H		no			Napa	yes	about wine industry farm workers - housing problem for farm workers; need to keep AC with Napa and Napa with other wine-growing regions.	
62711067	pass		no				no		
62711068	pass		no				no		
62711069	H S		yes	Black American Political Action Committee (BAPAC) of Contra Costa County	born raised in North Richmond	Contra Costa	yes	CD cutting richmond in half violates criteria; while sharing some socioeconomic interests richmond competes with oakland berkeley alameda emeryville for resources - better to have own congressional rep than 8 of district with those cities to south	half with AL Co cities to South half with central eastern CCCo

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711064			no	yes		
62711065			no	yes		
62711066			no	yes		
62711067			no	no		
62711068			no	no		
62711069			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711064			no							
62711065			no							
62711066			no							
62711067			no							
62711068			no							
62711069			no					yes	letter opposing CD draft	06.27.11. AR

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711070	H S (maps narrative equivalency files)		yes	Sierra Club			yes	Monterey Bay Area provided AD maps; will submit south CA maps by COB tomorrow	
62711071	H		no		American Canyon	Napa	yes	ditto of other AC residents	
62711072	H	worked on Census Complete Count Committee for SF	yes	Commission on Status of Women works at Equality California	Castro District San Francisco	San Francisco	yes	supports LGBT proposal - will work on providing CRC data on same sex households	
62711073	H - came back at 849pm		no		Pleasanton	Alameda	yes	AD SD are great for Tri-Valley area CD is problem; agree 33-37 41 45 re keeping 5 cities together; ag fremont coalition map disagr(they dont speak for me); give about five suggests for what to move around specific (no hardcopy but will send email)	(comes back at end) keeping San Joaquin whole move Tracy Manteca out of Stanislaus back in San Joaquin

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711070			no	yes		
62711071			no	yes		
62711072			yes	yes		
62711073	what to move in CD s Antioch Brentwood into COCO move Alamo San Ramon Danville Blackhawk into FRNWU; Newark half of Fremont into SANJO; southern end of SANJO into Santa Clara district; move southeast rural parts of	Santa Clara Co (possibly Gilroy) into STANI; Tracy Manteca	no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711070			no					yes	notes from line drawer w revised proposed regional (Monterey SF Bay Area) maps and w CVAP stats	06.27.11. AS
62711071			no							
62711072			no							
62711073			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711075	H		no		Benecia	Solano	yes	re CD north and south of co are very different (explains how state has split Co in many ways); Benecia should be with Bay Area crocket rodeo also; all do not belong with central valley very differ from nor co in terms of transp infrastr needs	(Cont) representation on ABAG and MTC very different than Nor county; Q have maps of districts that show how state splits up Solano County? A she will submit
62711076	S	speaker 109 San Jose June 25	no		Montara	San Mateo	yes	4 concerns 1)Menlo Park EPA not in SNMSC CD 2)do not split S Mateo Coast from Pacifica to S Cruz Co line 3)do not split downtown S Jose do not extend AD from S Jose to Monterey Co while bypass Morgan HillGilroy 4)Marin wOreg border NapaCo split	
62711077	H	fire fighter in SF african-american gay community	no		AD 13		yes	look at ltr from LGBT groups keep SD odd number; AD move norther border to Arguello; Haight Glen Park Laurel Hts Diamond Hts Twin peaks Nob Hill Presidio should be included in LGBT district; lots of LBGT in Laurel Hts	(cont) try to maintain close to current AD shape to allow LGBT to get elected

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711075			no	yes		
62711076			no	yes		
62711077			yes	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711075			no							
62711076			no					yes	memo w 4 concerns and maps	06.27.11. AT
62711077			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711078	H		no		San Rafael	Marin	yes	re CDnothing in common with Humboldt Del Norte; Marin should be with most of Sonoma; COI of Marin Sonoma-lives revolve betweencommerce events sports comp; people commute from Sonoma to Marin move to Sonoma from Marin cause more affordable.	
62711082	H		yes		Russian Hill San Francisco		yes	quote City Atty letter LGBT - same as others SD odd and for AD incl Diamond HtsGlen Park Haight Laurel Hts in ESF AD - charts of data provided from 28.	
62711083	H		yes	SF Pride Board member LGBT community	San Francisco		yes	about same letter SD odd number	
62711084	H - came back at 842pm		yes	vice mayor but not speaking for city but for self	San Pablo	Contra Costa	yes	glad to be same CD (Miller) but Richmond split off need it with us; strong connect with Richmond; help each other out many collaborations e.g. violence prev; CD rep gets grant for all ;also share firepolice communicat; we are COI low-inc Latino	(comes back at end) do not split Latino COI in San Pablo Richmond - cultural ties festivals; also work on 23rd St runs through both cities generally a COI of West CoCo

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711078			no	yes		
62711082			yes	yes		
62711083			no	yes		
62711084			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711078			no							
62711082			no							
62711083			no							
62711084			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711087	H		no		Fremont	Alameda	yes	share sanitary water volunteer public safety hosp Ohlone College in tri-city area dont split also dont split San Jose City	
62711089	H		yes	former president of Oceanview Elementary PTA in Albany CA	Albany	Alameda	yes	Albany shops north Richmond Pinole; but for educational discussions look to east to Lamorinda - similar education levels of children - support Lamorinda with us.	
62811001	pass		no				no		
62811002	H		no		West Sacramento	Yolo	yes	CD s are good; AD s problem that West Sac in Yolo-Solano area and should be in greater Sac area	
62811003	pass		no				no		
62811004	H S		no		Sacramento	Sacramento	yes	oppose WSAC AD SD because split off some neighborhoods (Elmhurst) from City of Sac that have nothing to do w Roseville; North Highlands Foothill Farms into Roseville AD put rest of Sac back in WSAC AD	
62811005	H		no				yes	same as 4 re Sacramento; North Highlands Foothill Farms are split in WSAC	
62811006	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711087			no	yes		
62711089			no	yes		
62811001			no	no		
62811002			no	yes		
62811003			no	no		
62811004			yes	yes		
62811005			no	yes		
62811006			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711087			no							
62711089			no							
62811001			no							
62811002			no							
62811003			no							
62811004			no					yes		
62811005			no							
62811006			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811007	H S		no				yes	re BOED; Ventura with Central Valley SFV with LA; letters of Support - LA Afric-Amer COC	
62811008	H		no				yes	Citris Hts united and put El Dorado Hills back up to do this for AD then nest for SD	
62811009	pass		no				no		
62811010	H		no		Galt	San Joaquin	yes	wants Galt Lodi with Sac District not with Solano says how to exchange pop	
62811016	H S		no		Davis	Yolo	yes	Davis with YoloSolano district (1-80 corridor district) and gives swap suggestion re Galt - hwy 99 corridor	
62811018	H S		yes	speaking on behalf of Asian group			yes	South Sac Elk Grove together - keep together put together in CD; do not put Davis with Sacramento (UCD is agr focus school and doesnt below with urban area)	
62811019	H S		yes	Chinese-American organization			yes	thank you for North Natomas North Highlands together - immigrant API community here; keep together please	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811007			no	yes		
62811008			no	yes		
62811009			no	no		
62811010			no	yes		
62811016			no	yes		
62811018			no	yes		
62811019			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811007			no					yes		
62811008			no							
62811009			no							
62811010			no							
62811016			no					yes		
62811018			no					yes		
62811019			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811020	H S		yes	CAPAFR	Davis	Yolo	yes	history Asian-American representation that comes out of redistricting; object to Yolo County division Davis with Sac should be West Sac only with Sac	
62811021	H		no		Davis since birth	Yolo	yes	disagrees with Commissioner Forbes that Davis is BR community of Sacramento and lots of his Davis neighbors agree	
62811022	H S		yes	NALEO			yes	glad taking VRA more seriously; report on discrimination toward Latino back to 19th Century SES of latinos in CA - many low income lack of health care LEP etc.	
62811023	H		yes	NALEO			yes	adds comments about certain regions	
62811024	H S		yes	Equality California LGBT group			yes	submits maps	
62811025	H		yes	Equality California LGBT org			yes	describes how built dataset bec sex orientation not in Census voting records (prop 8 opposers donors to no on Prop 8) same sex households from Census member of gr- rank each Census Block Group in state - to get pop density of LBGT in different regions	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811020			no	yes		
62811021			no	yes		
62811022			no	yes		
62811023			no	yes		
62811024			no	yes		
62811025			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811020			no					yes		
62811021			no							
62811022			no					yes		
62811023			no							
62811024			no					yes		
62811025			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811027	H S		no		Lodi resident letter read	San Joaquin	yes	do not put Lodi with Solano - we are different socially economically politically	
62811028	H		no		West Sacramento	Yolo	yes	AD s and CD s of West Sacramento AD ok CD is not because with Davis and should be with Sac and NOT with Davis	
62811029	H		yes	Davis city council	Davis letter read for city council member cause at city council meeting	Yolo	yes	put Davis with rest of Yolo and w Solano - COI on I-80 transportation corridor (links Davis to bay area as does train) and agricultural areas	
62811030	H S		no		Carson resident ltr read for	Los Angeles	yes	CRC pays too much attention to our COI - re Ports - people work there and dont live there	
62811031	H S		yes	Flood Control Board for Sacramento	Sacramento	Sacramento	yes	do not split Sac because will make flood control hard - federal level	
62811032	H		no		West Sacramento	Yolo	yes	pleased w CD AD should have W Sac w Sac and not w Davis	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811027			no	yes		
62811028			no	yes		
62811029			no	yes		
62811030			no	yes		
62811031			no	yes		
62811032			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811027			no					yes		
62811028			no							
62811029			no							
62811030			no					yes		
62811031			no					yes		
62811032			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811033	H		yes	Davis Unified School District	Davis	Yolo	yes	in favor of Lodi Galt back with hwy 99 corridor; Davis with Solano; Davis and Solano are true COI (because of university); cant get to Sac from Davis certain time of day - shop in Vacaville instead;	(Cont) Q what about those that live in Davis and commute to Sac? A there is a relationship but historically and because of UCD the relationship is more toward east
62811034	H S		yes	lots of orgs	Davis	Yolo	yes	reunite Yolo County (COI agr connection to land values way of life flood control)	
62811037	H		yes	API org CAPITAL - part of CAPAFR reach out to lots of other communities LGBT Slavic pop			yes	keep South Sacramento Elk Grove together at Florin	
62811038	H		no		Sacramento River Delta		yes	like ECC AD (with Davis and Sac together in another AD) because its a delta-interested district; if take GaltLodi out and put with Central Valley then can take more of Delta to south	
62811039	H S (maps diagrams)		yes	??			yes	keep cities and counties together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811033			no	yes		
62811034			no	yes		
62811037			no	yes		
62811038			no	yes		
62811039			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811033			no							
62811034			no					yes		
62811037			no							
62811038			no							
62811039			no			look at cities intact - I did it better; also keep communities intact		yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811040	H		no				yes	object to way Yolo County divided - Yolo has unity of purpose (sustainable agriculture land and waterway preservations balance economics and way of life); each pop center has own role; shattering into 9 districts makes it hard for us to move forward.	
62811041	H		no		UCD	Yolo	yes	Yolo split 3 ways for each AD SD CD - so totally of 9 partial districts - COI of Yolo is agriculture (UCD is ag school) and recently wine institute and commonalities with SolanoNapa	
62811042	H S		yes	APALC CAPAFR			yes	discussions with public to develop own proposals and then re June 10 drafts and developed response (w AARC MALDEF) based on drafts - providing CDs to CRC today - not racial gerrymandering	
62811043	H S		yes	Asian American Institute			yes	like SGVDB in San Gabriel area concern with Inglewood and Port of LA districts; agree re SAC; has comment re Sunnyvale	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811040			no	yes		
62811041			no	yes		
62811042			no	yes		
62811043			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811040			no							
62811041			no							
62811042			no					yes		
62811043			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811044	H		no		Placerville	El Dorado	yes	want county to remain whole; wanted Tahoe Basin to be not only together but also with three counties; concern re El Dorado Hills being separated outand that distr goes too far south into Central Valley	
62811045	H S		yes	Yolo County BOSups			yes	mistake to split in all three levels (COI of careful land use air water quality etc); Davis Enterprise article Daily Democrat article (keep Woodland with Davis)	
62811046	H S		yes	City of Woodland letter from Mayor read; reader is UCD student represents a dept at UCD	Woodland	Yolo	yes	oppose separating county of Yolo - county is COI (land use preservation etc)	
62811047	H		yes	Dana Point City Council	Dana Point	Orange	yes	small coastal city; must be kept whole and keep with So OC district; cities in south OC use OC county services NOT SD Co services; some north OC cities DO use LA Co services	
62811048	H		yes	Dana Point City Manager	Dana Point	Orange	yes	ditto 47 please google Data Point and see how impt it is to CA and shouldnt be marginalized in Sac	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811044			no	yes		
62811045			no	yes		
62811046			no	yes		
62811047			no	yes		
62811048			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811044			no							
62811045			no					yes		
62811046			no					yes		
62811047			no							
62811048			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811049	H S		yes	AARC			yes	drafts and june 24 visualizations still dont reflect Afr-Am COI and do not promote ability to elect; Section 2 packing violation is likely; some areas to link Compton Carson Signal Hill	
62811050	H S		yes	MALDEF			yes	submitting 3 updated statewide maps; worked with communities and with other groups; VRA compliant and respect COI even more within VRA context; maps with AARC CAPAFR - unity maps for AD nested for SD new CD	
62811051	H		no		Elk Grove work in West Sac	Sacramento	yes	Happy that Elk Grove is not split and is currently But Galt must be with us. Good to be with Sac and with West Sac but not good to be with Davis instead of with GALT Consider a hwy corridor district	
62811052	H		no				no	(see non-COI district comment)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811049			no	yes		
62811050			no	yes		
62811051			no	yes		
62811052			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811049			no					yes		
62811050			no					yes		
62811051			no							
62811052			no			use nesting for BOE either 20 AD or 10 SD to make one BOE so borders align - this helps to lessen voter confusion				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811053	H		yes	Bird Cage Neighborhood Association in Citris Hts Board Member	Citris Hts	Sacramento	yes	Citris Hits wants to be with Rancho Cordova rather than with Folsom and Elk Grove. Put Folsom with south Placer County - COI of Folsom Dam area strong very different from Citris Hts.	
62811054	H S	WWII veteran	yes	Veterans Board provides services to veterans	Davis	Yolo	yes	provide services to veterans all over Co (Woodland Davis Winters Esparto West Sacramento); draft would require org to go to 9 districts for services for veterans; each one is less than 20 Yolo County residents	
62811055	H S		no			Siskiyou	yes	no justification for splitting Siskiyou County and putting west with coastal district; Reasoning was about American Indian community but they do not want this. County should be with Shasta Modoc	
62811056	H S		no		Coachella Valley	Riverside	yes	happy to have desert communities together good to have two reps for Salton Sea to get it cleaned up - 50 letter into you about this; dont want Coachella and Indio with Imperial County; finger up to grab a city looks like favoring	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811053			yes	yes		
62811054			no	yes		
62811055			no	yes		
62811056			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811053			no							
62811054			no					yes		
62811055			no					yes		
62811056			no					yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811057	H		no		Davis	Yolo	yes	Yolo Co is a historical COI - keep it together.	
62811058	H		no		Citris Heights	Sacramento	yes	do not want to be divided and keep us part of Sac- love CD and like nestingmake sure we are together	
62811059	H S		yes	City of Sacramento Redistricting group tonight on behalf of River Park Neighborhood Association (RPNA)		Sacramento	yes	east Sac College Park .all with River Park; support map from speaker 4	
62811060	H		yes	Yolo Co Board of Sups member		Yolo	yes	Board voted (4-0 one didnt vote cause had conflict of interest) to opposed drafts; Sacramento is a different world from Yolo County share interest in ag open space - unique area of state.	
62811061	H		no		Loomis near Granite Bay	Placer	yes	do not divide Citris Hts from Sac Co and put with us. Unite Citris Hts and put El Dorado with us and Lake area.	
62811062	H		no		Rocklin	Placer	yes	re El Dorado El Dorado Hills	
62811063	H		no		Lincoln	Placer	yes	like because center of new AD; should have extended to El Dorado Hills to east.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811057			no	yes		
62811058			no	yes		
62811059			no	yes		
62811060			no	yes		
62811061			no	yes		
62811062			no	yes		
62811063			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811057			no							
62811058			no							
62811059			no					yes		
62811060			no							
62811061			no							
62811062			no							
62811063			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811065	H S		no				yes	Yolo County COI - collaboration nurtures small business; divided into 9 districts is wrong putting any of us with Sac is wrong	
62811066	H S		yes	County Clerk Siskiyou County	Yreka	Siskiyou	yes	keep Siskiyou County whole - its a COI - transportation county-wide newspaper community college district school districts; Q if keep whole what about being with coast? A	
62811067	H		no		West Sacramento	Sacramento	yes	good when incorporated; keep us with Sac and not w Davis-suggest Davis with Solano Co	
62811068	H S		no			Yolo	yes	AD s keep Yolo County COI whole - agr-based community; not as many commute to Sac from Davis as people think - its not a BR community to Sac; UCD and Davis valuable part of Yolo COI	
62811069	H S		no				yes	ditto to 68 and especially remove Davis from Sac District	
62811070	H S		no				yes	ditto on Yolo comments	
62811071	H		no				yes	keep Yolo whole and Davis Woodland together w common representation	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811065			no	yes		
62811066			no	yes		
62811067			no	yes		
62811068			no	yes		
62811069			no	yes		
62811070			no	yes		
62811071			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811065			no					yes		
62811066			no					yes		
62811067			no							
62811068			no					yes		
62811069			no					yes		
62811070			no					yes		
62811071			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811073	H		yes	non-profit childrens therapy centers in Yolo (all towns)	Davis	Yolo	yes	keep Yolo County together - we need the county pop to donate and fund our services so that we can continue to not deny any child.	
62811074	H		no		Sac River Delta Clarksburg	Yolo	yes	lives in in primary zone of Delta- we live water - lives revolve around water; likes that kept part of Delta together - Davis is urban	
62811075	H	yolo hikers	no		Davis	Yolo	yes	ditto to all davis residents - work in Sac but I am a Yolo County resident	
62811076	H		yes	??			yes	Yolo-Solano region has strong COI - water management etc; keep Davis and UCD with Yolo-Solano	
62811077	H S	works with non-profits	no		Davis	Yolo	yes	non-profits need to work with legislators so do not make them work with nine; non-pofits are critical to county and provide services at lower cost than govt agencies	
62811078	H		no		Davis	Yolo	yes	do not split Yolo into 9 districts; example of COI in action - Yolo County Climate Change Project - collaboration of academia government etc and state and congressional legislators have all provided needed support to project	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811073			no	yes		
62811074			no	yes		
62811075			no	yes		
62811076			no	yes		
62811077			no	yes		
62811078			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811073			no							
62811074			no							
62811075			no							
62811076			no							
62811077			no					yes		
62811078			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811079	H		no		Fairfield	Solano	yes	Want Lodi with us more than Davis - do not change districts keeping Davis out of our district	
62811080	H		no		Vacaville	Solano	yes	ditto to last speaker and support draft maps re Yolo Solano	
62811081	H S		no			Butte	yes	Butte with YubaSutter district (COI of fruit and nuts rice farming - versus alfalafa timber in other district its with now); take out Shasta to put Butte in Q what about numbers? A just telling you COI and what fits better	
62811082	H		no		Davis	Yolo	yes	corridor community - common to commute out of county; county has been split and that violates what CRC should do; keep border at west of Yolo Causeway	
62811083	H		yes	CCAG			no		
62811084	H		no				yes	AD - not good to have Davis and West Sac with Delta area dilutes Latino pop if put them with Delta rather than with Sac	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811079			no	yes		
62811080			no	yes		
62811081			no	yes		
62811082			no	yes		
62811083			no	no		
62811084			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811079			no							
62811080			no							
62811081			no					yes		
62811082			no							
62811083			no			not been critical thinking debate instead it has been grow-with-the-flow				
62811084			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811085	H		no		Clarksberg primary region of Delta	Yolo	yes	agree with where Davis placed	
62811086	H S		yes	letter from former Sacramento city council woman			yes	Oak Park neighborhood of Sac has been split - diverse community - mostly people of color; extend NE boundary of South Sac from Broadway to hwy 50 or use Enterprise Zone.	
62811087	H S		yes	remarks of Assemblywoman Yolo area			yes	Yolo should be with Solano Napa ag wine etc. Keep Yolo in odd number SD	
62811088	H S	small biz owner Gulf War veteran	no		Suisun City	Solano	yes	Solano County does not love Davis; differences we do ag they do ag science; more state govt workers live in Davis than in other towns; easier for them to get here than us - see how many are here	
62811089	H		no		Vacaville	Solano	yes	likes draft agrees w 85 etc	
62811090	H		yes	San Juan School District			yes	School District is big part of EDCO but school district split around citris heights; keep Citris Hts together; does not see right to have sliver of El Dorado and El Dorado Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811085			no	yes		
62811086			yes	yes		
62811087			no	yes		
62811088			no	yes		
62811089			no	yes		
62811090			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811085			no							
62811086			no					yes		
62811087			no					yes		
62811088			no					yes		
62811089			no							
62811090			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811092	H		no		Antioch	Contra Costa	yes	AD Antioch Oakley btu Antioch Oakley and Brentwood are a COI (share economic development); put Oakley back in Antioch district and give suggested exchange	
62811093	H		yes	Yolo County controller			yes	Yolo County COI UCD is all about ag; Yuba County District can allow Yolo to be whole	
62811095	H S	speaker 74 in Stockton June 24	no		Cameron Park	El Dorado	yes	passes out maps (done with Maptitude) that solve about seven problems discussed tonight re North CA (Yolo Yuba Butte Siskiyou etc) with letter deviations; suggest create N Sac Co and S Sac Co district	
62811097	H		no		Citris Heights	Sacramento	yes	leave Citris Heights whole	
62811098	H		yes	San Juan School Distirict Board member		Sacramento	yes	Citris Heights should be kept intact - would help us; take out El Dorado Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811092			no	yes		
62811093			no	yes		
62811095			no	yes		
62811097			no	yes		
62811098			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811092			no							
62811093			no							
62811095			no					yes	more on pop exchanges-followup to June 24	
62811097			no							
62811098			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811099	H S (map of Delta)		no		West Plainfield rural area of Yolo County near a part of UCD campus (which spans Yolo and Solano)	Yolo	yes	importance of UCD to ag and to Delta water shed. Move UCD into AD and SD with Delta	
62811100	H		no		Davis	Yolo	yes	speaking as Yolo Co resident worked long to bring county together - cohesion is key more than whether with west or east; interests align more with West but still must not have 9 districts we get left out in redistricting but our numbers are less than	(cont) one whole AD SD CD
62811101	H		no			Sonoma	yes	Sonoma COIs 1)Coast 2)GrapesWine Industry; how keep together Del Norte Humboldt Mendo Lake and Napa and part (250K) of Sonoma - get from North; Southern Sonoma with Marin for another district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811099			no	yes		
62811100			no	yes		
62811101			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811099			no					yes		
62811100			no							
62811101			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811104	H		yes	Yolo County Planning Commission		Yolo	yes	keep Yolo County whole - in the dirt ag community and small growth cities support that - Galt and Lodi in same situation	
62811107	H		yes	Davis School Board	Davis	Yolo	yes	keep Yolo whole because health social services provided through County - elder services children services through county; example all HIV funding to county cut off; Q What about West Sac? A they access county services too	
62811108	H		no		Tahoe Park City of Sacramento	Sacramento	yes	AD SD drafts split communities and splits Latinos; this area has strong ties to Sac not to El Dorado area	
62811109	H S (two packets)		no				yes	AD on westside - suggests how to group like areas together in west; CD plan that corrects county city splits in CRC draft	
62811110	pass		no				no		
62811111	H		no				no		
62811112	H		no				yes	politics maps coastal districts	
62811113	pass		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811104			no	yes		
62811107			no	yes		
62811108			yes	yes		
62811109			no	yes		
62811110			no	no		
62811111			no	no		
62811112			no	yes		
62811113			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811104			no							
62811107			no							
62811108			no							
62811109			no					yes		
62811110			no							
62811111			no							
62811112			no							
62811113			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811114	H		no		Phelan	San Bernardino	yes	took our suggestions from Lancaster except our community took off from where want to be; our COI is with Victor Valley (Victorville Apple Valley Hesperia) not with PalmdaleLancaster (lists common share resources in Victor Valley not with LA Co AntelV	
62811118	H		no		Sacramento	Sacramento	yes	do not carve up Sacramento	
62811121	H		no			Monterey	yes	VRA important for Monterey - Latinos Ag - does not have maps just dropped in	
62811122	H S		no		Vacaville	Solano	yes	concern with Latino voters in Solano Co kept together in next ten yrs; sent email on may 23; wants Dixon with Vacaville?	
4.13.11.A	S - speaker 041311038		no				no	written testimony memo to CRC same essentially as spoken testimony	
4.13.11.B	S - speaker 041311038		no				no	handdrawn map of Santa Clara Valley	
4.13.11.C	S - speaker 041311033		no				no	map of Ventura county with boundary around area	
4.13.11.D	S - speaker 041311040		no				no	community of interest testimony	
4.13.11.E	S - speaker 041311034		no				no	CAUSE ppt	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811114			no	yes		
62811118			no	yes		
62811121			no	yes		
62811122			no	yes		
4.13.11.A			no	no		
4.13.11.B			no	no		
4.13.11.C			no	no		
4.13.11.D			no	no		
4.13.11.E			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811114			no							
62811118			no							
62811121			no							
62811122			no					yes		
4.13.11.A			no							
4.13.11.B			no							
4.13.11.C			no							
4.13.11.D			no							
4.13.11.E			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
4.13.11.F	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	Maps Proposed Assembly Districts in Santa Barbara Ventura Counties (nested in proposed Senate and with Latino Majority in one AD) and current Assembly districts that disenfranchise Santa Maria and Oxnard	
4.13.11.G	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	Map County Populations with Hispanic and Hispanic VAP	
4.13.11.H	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	Maps Proposed Senate District in Santa Barbara Ventura Counties (which breaks at grade in Ventura) and current Senate District that is a distinct racial gerrymander	
4.13.11.I	S - speaker 041311051		no				no	written testimony memo to CRC same essentially as spoken testimony	
4.13.11.J	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	SLO County Dept of Ag 2009 Annual Report	
4.13.11.K	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	SLO County Dept of Ag Press Release April 1 2011 re Crop Statistics for 2010	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
4.13.11.F			no	no		
4.13.11.G			no	no		
4.13.11.H			no	no		
4.13.11.I			no	no		
4.13.11.J			no	no		
4.13.11.K			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
4.13.11.F			no							
4.13.11.G			no							
4.13.11.H			no							
4.13.11.I			no							
4.13.11.J			no							
4.13.11.K			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
4.13.11.L	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	Paso Robles Wine Country Alliance - Executive Summary of Report on the Economic Impact of Wine and Grapes in the Paso Robles AVA and Greater San Luis Obispo County 2007	
4.13.11.M	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	Paso Robles Wine Country Alliance - Reference list for Report on the Economic Impact of Wine and Grapes in the Paso Robles AVA and Greater San Luis Obispo County 2007	
4.13.11.N	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	San Luis Obispo County Clusters of Opportunity Economic Strategy by Economic Vitality Corp of SLO Co November 2010	
4.13.11.O	S - speaker 0413110__		no				no	USDA 2007 Census of Agriculture data on San Luis Obispo County	
4.13.11.P	S - speaker 0413110__		no				yes	SLO County Dept of Planning and Building - Rural Land Use Category Map - noting that 65 of SLO County is farmland	
4.14.11.A	S - speaker 041411014		no				no	written testimony memo to CRC - essentially same as spoken testimony map with boundaries of proposed district?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
4.13.11.L			no	no		
4.13.11.M			no	no		
4.13.11.N			no	no		
4.13.11.O			no	no		
4.13.11.P			no	no		
4.14.11.A			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
4.13.11.L			no							
4.13.11.M			no							
4.13.11.N			no							
4.13.11.O			no							
4.13.11.P			no							
4.14.11.A			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
4.14.11.B			no				no	written testimony memo to CRC - essentially same as spoken testimony	
4.14.11.C	S - speaker 041411002		no				no	written testimony memo to CRC - essentially same as spoken testimony map of COI with boundaries	
4.14.11.D	S - speaker 041411005		no				no	map of Kern High School District Boundaries	
4.14.11.E	S - speaker 041411013		no				no	Dolores Huerta Foundation 1 pg description of Lamont and Weedpatch and 1pg description of Arvin	
4.14.11.F	S - speaker 041411013		no				no	Dolores Huerta Foundation Community Needs Assessment Report September 2009	
4.14.11.G	S - speaker 041411017		no				no	written testimony memo to CRC - essentially same as spoken testimony	
4.14.11.H - duplicate of 04.14.11.G	S - speaker 041411017		no				no	duplicate of 04.14.11.G	
4.14.11.I	S - speaker 041411017??		no				no	map with boundary highlighted	
4.14.11.J	S - speaker 041411017??		no				no	map with boundary highlighted	
4.14.11.K	S - speaker 041411023		no				no	map with highlighted boundary	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
4.14.11.B			no	no		
4.14.11.C			no	no		
4.14.11.D			no	no		
4.14.11.E			no	no		
4.14.11.F			no	no		
4.14.11.G			no	no		
4.14.11.H - duplicate of 04.14.11.G			no	no		
4.14.11.I			no	no		
4.14.11.J			no	no		
4.14.11.K			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
4.14.11.B			no							
4.14.11.C			no							
4.14.11.D			no							
4.14.11.E			no							
4.14.11.F			no							
4.14.11.G			no							
4.14.11.H - duplicate of 04.14.11.G			no							
4.14.11.I			no							
4.14.11.J			no							
4.14.11.K			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
4.14.11.L	S - speaker 041411032		no				no	Southwest Bakersfield Community of Interest - includes map common interests description list of boundaries	
4.16.11.A	S	speaker 041611003	no				yes	three google maps (one with just pushpins at 4 hospitals one with blue CD 11 and pushpins at 4 hospitals one with red SD 5 pink SD 14 and pushpins at 4 hospitals)	SJ County
4.16.11.B	S	speaker 041611004	no				yes	three google maps (one with blue CD 11 and red Manteca Unified School District one with blue Manteca Unified School District one with points showing locations of schools in Manteca Unified School District)	
4.16.11.C	S	speaker 041611007	no				yes	1 Goog map (showing blue CD 11 dividing Manteca Stockton Lodi School Districts 3 maps from SJ County website (School Districts Enterprise Zone 2008 and Impt Farmland 2006)	
4.16.11.D	S	speaker 041611007	no				yes	SJ Co Gen Plan(412-76) - copy UCB IGS library - describes region of Co.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
4.14.11.L			no	no		
4.16.11.A	around Stockton Lodi		no	no		
4.16.11.B			no	no		
4.16.11.C			no	no		
4.16.11.D			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
4.14.11.L			no							
4.16.11.A			no							
4.16.11.B			no							
4.16.11.C			no							
4.16.11.D			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
4.16.11.E	S	speaker 041611009	no				yes	pg of 6 bullet pts (layout of distr in SJ Stan Co) 2 maps (one of SJ Co and one of a district drawn around Merced with some of Stan Co some of Madera and some of Fresno that would meet fed requirments (Sec 5??) and allow SJ Co to stay whole	
4.16.11.F	S	speaker 041611015	no				yes	map of proposed foothill district within state with pops added (6 whole counites eastern part of Madera Fresno)	
4.16.11.G	S	speaker 041611015	no				yes	map of highway 49 corridor to east of state	
4.16.11.H	S	speaker 041611030	no				yes	written testimony memo to CRC same essentially as spoken testimony - see above	
409110xx	H	Native American school teacher	no			Shasta	yes		
409110xx	H		no		Redding area (30 yrs)	Shasta	yes	Del Norte Humboldt Mendo grouped not with eastern counties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
4.16.11.E			no	no		
4.16.11.F			no	no		
4.16.11.G			no	no		
4.16.11.H			no	no		
409110xx	Redding has rancheria Native Americans spread out all over Hoopa Burney Covola (Mendo co)		no	yes	Native American - do not lump in with all brown	
409110xx			no	yes	people do not go mountain to coast-bad roads foggyruggedrather go to SoCA for coast; very little in co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
4.16.11.E			no							
4.16.11.F			no							
4.16.11.G			no							
4.16.11.H			no							
409110xx			no			what is def of minority? Native Am are very different	here first of the earth			
409110xx	mountain area little in common with coastal		no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
409110xx	H		no		been in Tehama 44 yrs	Tehama	no		
409110xx	H		no				no		
409110xx	H		no				no		
409110xx	H		no				yes	keep coast inland together in Dist - even tho roads bad - hard only on representative	
409110xx	H		no				yes	keep rural together	
409110xx	H		no				yes	not trying to make easier for politicians	
409110xx	H		no				yes	hard to get to Del Norte no matter what	
409110xx	H		no				yes	it matters if politician wants to go to see people in district	
409110xx	H		no				yes	if cross-state with district travel harder than if up 101 corridor	
409110xx	H		no				no		
409110xx	H		no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
409110xx			no	yes	44 yrs ago were Democratic county; demog have changed and will change; cant say we are conservative or liberal	
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
409110xx	keep counties together cause political bodies - but other wise hard job for you.		no			will try to respect each other whatever difference and diversity where we live				
409110xx			no				great staff - flyers advertising signs			
409110xx			no			current CD - Southern part of dist doesnt represent rural Nor Co - but has more population				
409110xx			no							
409110xx			no							
409110xx			no							
409110xx			no							
409110xx			no							
409110xx			no							
409110xx			no				politicians can fix roads-you guys made it there			
409110xx			no			overlap CDADSD - corollary that dist radiate out from there				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
409110xx	H		no				no		
409110xx	H		no				yes	why is Modoc with Placer? Southern part of CD has more voters than northern. If run from Modoc wont get votes	
409110xx	H		no				no		
41011001 - return	H	return of speaker 1	no				no		
41011008 - return	H	return of speaker 8	no				no		
41011008 - return	H	return of speaker 8	no				no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	no		
409110xx			no	yes	from coast and our backyardrecreation area is east	count Native Americans-so could add numbers
41011001 - return			no	yes	how different from metropolitan - drive 20 mile to take kids to school dont cry when electricity off etc	
41011008 - return		80 corridor going east move to Nevada city on 49 corridor	no	yes	foothill dwellers above fog and below snow; if Grass Valley was connected to us they would be herecant say that we want to be with them	
41011008 - return			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
409110xx			no			CRC should go to Coast - they do have different interests				
409110xx			no							
409110xx			no							
41011001 - return			no			do you know what its like to live in rural counties				
41011008 - return			no				Asian community not outgoing (like us) but if you go to them they speak clearly have strong family culture which he likes			
41011008 - return			no				reduce level of language of outreach materials - they just had general plan process and people are exhausted - dont feel bad about turn out			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011008 - return	H	return of speaker 8	no				yes	Yuba county foothills very different from grass valley Nevada city foothills	
41011014 - return	H		no		Brownsville returns	Yuba	yes	lives pretty far up in Yuba county and is tied to Yuba-Sutter - bec of HS district that goes up to Plumas county - bus kids down and sports play Yuba City	
41011021 - return	H	return re 99 corridor	no				yes	add to 3 county Glenn and Colusa get close to assembly district and these 5 are like counties - rural	Yuba Sutter Butte Colusa Glenn
41011021 - return	H	return of speakers 21	no		Yuba city?	Sutter co?	no		
410110xx	H	return	no				no		
410110xx	H	returnee	no				yes	response to west-east ns issue -harder with adding DN	Yuba Sutter separate AD but same SD

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011008 - return			no	yes	Yuba foothills pop is older average than MarysvilleYuba city - connection with Nevada county has not surfaced with any social club	
41011014 - return			no	no		
41011021 - return		99 corridor - tri-county	no	no		
41011021 - return			no	yes	Sikh festival - well over 100K people - some in Yuba too mostly agricultural	
410110xx			no	yes	Yuba shares clubs health facilities etc cause Yuba cannot do it on own	farming in Sutter is dominant some in Yuba Co but different type
410110xx			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011008 - return			no							
41011014 - return			no							
41011021 - return			no							
41011021 - return			no				Sikhs speak Eng fluently			
410110xx	see agriculture in five counties and see where ____ are?		no							
410110xx			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
410110xx	H	return	no				no		
410110xx	H	return	no				no		
410110xx	H	return	no		weetville?		yes	NS connection is dominantl-5 corridor strong connection	dont link to Nevada county
41511031 - last issued	H - with ppt re mathematics of compactness		no				no		
41511032 - but had no	H		no		Hanford	kings	yes	not a lot of people in East Kings Co. - high mountains (in answer to Comm DeGuillo re east Kings co)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
410110xx	Olivehurst - very much Yuba county		no	yes	have Hispanic chamber of commerce as well as standard? Chamber of commerce	
410110xx			no	no		
410110xx			no	no		
41511031 - last issued			no	no		
41511032 - but had no			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
410110xx			yes	Hispanic community - migrant workers Hmong population - large pop at school - Hmong are farmers	Hmong very much part of community very respectful blend in well cause of it being an agricultural area	Q why not here? A They dont speak English - as school teacher she needed to translate				
410110xx			no			why Hisp and Hmong not here - not active lang barrier				
410110xx			no							
41511031 - last issued			no				use mathematics to draw maps- espec for criterion of compactness (not using circles)	yes	diagrams - proposal	
41511032 - but had no			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511033 - no	H	retired Sheriff of Kings Co	no				no		Kern dominates Kings in terms of representation; Central valley issues not always heard across state bec dominated by big metro areas- LA Bay Area
41511034 - no	H	we are farm dairy people nothing to do with other side of mts to west or each - bread basket of world	no				no		
42911018 - took turn at end	H - had passed but took turn at end		yes	mayor of Rosemead	Rosemead		yes	include Rosemead with other cities in SG Valley that represent his community (Asian); deliver a map that represents his community	
42911019 - took turn at end	H - had passed but took turn at end	former Senate staffer - drive over 2 hrs in district	no				yes	never again draw district like SD 29? several proposals a)Arcadia is Asian belongs with Monterey Park etc b)foothills c)	
42911036 - took turn at end	H - had passed but took turn at end	council member Rosemead	no		Rosemead		yes	several cities should be together (about 6); need to have own voice	
42911064A	H	city council member Montclair	no		Montclair		yes	Montclair residents go west not east; add three cities (see below)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511033 - no			no	yes	3 prisons in Kings Co. - 2 in Corcoran 1 in Averal? Coalinga has 2-3 prison 50 miles from here in Fresno; prisons affect other things in county - get priority in health care system	state jobs for communities but also have people move in to work there; jobs helpful because depressed community.
41511034 - no			no	no		
42911018 - took turn at end	Montery park Alhambra San Gabriel Arcadia Temple City - along I10		no	yes	majority asian pop	
42911019 - took turn at end			no	no		
42911036 - took turn at end	Arcadia Temple City San Gabriel Rosemeand Alhambra South Pasadena		no	yes	cities look the same hard to tell difference; Q what are common concerns? A Asian community	
42911064A	Montclairponoma claremont upland		no	yes	spheres of influence	if added with economically disadvantaged cities economic interests will be lost

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511033 - no			no							
41511034 - no			no				support commission - long said to get rid of gerrymandering			
42911018 - took turn at end			no							
42911019 - took turn at end			no							
42911036 - took turn at end			no							
42911064A			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611066 (050611067?)	S - passed but left handout	former Ass candidate planning commissioner for Garden Grove	yes	city of garden grove vietnamese community			yes	do not split up Little Saigon (G Grove Westm and parts of Santa Ana)	
51211022 - return	H - came back		no		imperial valley - calexico		no		
51211042 - one who mentioned prisons came back to answer Commissione r Q re prisons	Answer quest from Commissioner re prisons		no				no		
51311042 - return	H - return to answer question re oceanside		no				no		
51311069 - but no issued	H - wasnt planning to speak but disturbed by things being said		no				yes	reflection on tonight rich communities want to be together but same people dont like Latinos wanting to be together Need Latino representation - a voice	
51411055, 051411056	H - another speaker read letters from 55 56 who had left		no		sherman community		yes	55 maintain Latino communities; 56 support Latino Redistricting Committee	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611066 (050611067?)			no	yes	Little Saigon is thriving economic zone that spans three cities and froms a continous neighborhood community has balance harmony continuity unity; worked hard over decades to build	
51211022 - return			no	yes	geothermal solar potential; need assisted living in imperial	
51211042 - one who mentioned prisons came back to answer Commissioner Q re prisons			no	no		
51311042 - return			no	no		
51311069 - but no issued			no	no		
51411055, 051411056			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611066 (050611067?)	if split would disrupt the harmony		no					yes	testimony described in this record	
51211022 - return			no							
51211042 - one who mentioned prisons came back to answer Commissioner Q re prisons			no							
51311042 - return			no							
51311069 - but no issued			no							
51411055, 051411056			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411063 - returned	H	worked at educational cultural center until 1986	no				yes	bring Lemon Grove Spring Valley and area where the ed cultural center is together	
51411071 - last issued	H		yes	latino redistricting committee			no		
51411072 - but no issued	H	worked at college?	no				yes	keep API communities together (he describes where those are)	
51911005 returns	H		no				yes	pop increase in northern districts less pop in Bay Area - will be taking pop from areas like Amador Co; combine SD to North consider divide placer county northsouth (west of newcastle) vs eastwest	
51911018 returns	H		no		Truckee	Nevada	yes	move truckee south into AD 4; for SD Nevada Co with lake tahoe basin (El Dorado and Placer) - Q go south? A no go North	
51911030 returns	H		no			El Dorado	yes	keep AD4 Placer and El Dorado (notes difference in supervisors testimony); Q go south for SD? A yes	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411063 - returned			no	yes	African-American communitis must be kept together	
51411071 - last issued			no	no		
51411072 - but no issued			no	yes	API communities must be together so that they will have representation and be engagedinvolved	
51911005 returns			no	no		
51911018 returns			no	no		
51911030 returns			no	no		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411063 - returned			no							
51411071 - last issued			no			respect our wishes				
51411072 - but no issued			no							
51911005 returns			no							
51911018 returns			no							
51911030 returns			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911034 return	H		no			Nevada Co	yes	west county is agricultural and share interests within current AD; consider moving eastern Nevada County to AD 4 - interests (lake transportation all special districts) mean a lot 2 us and need cohesive level of representation to fight for these interests	
51911051 - last given	H	political and environ activist in Yolo for years	no				yes	link along water sheds that go into the delta	
51911052- assigned on the spot	H		yes	colfax mayor; Sierra Economic Development Corporation	colfax	Placer	yes	likes how is now (2 Ass member and 2 Senators); against drawing lines straight across the state; understand similarities with each other and how support each other	
51911052 - returns	H		yes	Sac Div League of CA Cities		Placer	yes	go west north (Butte Sierra Yuba - agr smaller communities) and not south to metro SacDavis; metro Sac almost to metro SF stay same rural above going north and west	
616110w1	pass		no				no		
616110w2	H - 1st white number		no		West Valley	Los Angeles	yes	Reseda needs to be unified w West Valley; Reseda has nothing in common w LA	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911034 return			no	no		
51911051 - last given			no	yes	share water rights; air quality farming	
51911052- assigned on the spot			no	no		
51911052 - returns			no	no		
616110w1			no	no		
616110w2			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911034 return			no							
51911051 - last given	need voice to protect those rights		no							
51911052- assigned on the spot			no							
51911052 - returns			no							
616110w1			no							
616110w2			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
616110w3	pass		no				no		
616110w4	H		no		Westchester	Los Angeles	yes	likes draft district	
616110w5	H		no				yes	Inglewood Lawndale Gardena Westchester Hawthorne are a religious COI and need a common rep to solve the problems of the contiguous community	
616110w6	H		no		Inglewood	Los Angeles	yes	CD for African-Americans is diluted; do not put Inglewood w South Gate; preserve current district	
616110w7	pass		no				no		
616110w8	H		no				yes	put Reseda Northridge are part of SF Valley so put back in SFV district	
61611g12	H - lowest green number but spoke at end		no		Hawthorne	Los Angeles	yes	just found out will get many more comments want something different from previous speakers for Hawthorne - want to be w beach city communities where have community commitment ties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
616110w3			no	no		
616110w4			no	yes		
616110w5			no	yes		
616110w6			no	yes		
616110w7			no	no		
616110w8			no	yes		
61611g12			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
616110w3			no							
616110w4			no							
616110w5			no							
616110w6			no							
616110w7			no							
616110w8			no							
61611g12			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611g13	H S - 1st green number		no		Compton	Los Angeles	yes	(from hardcopy) use AARC map for Compton Carson LB Signal Hill (COI based family friends shopping Alameda Corridor across cities;similar economics race culture size activities education); different from West AthensTorrance joined w in HTGCC	
61611g18	S		no				yes	draft divides Chinatown into 3 AD which will ensure will not have fair representation;put Chinatown in 1 AD; boundaries of LA City Historic Cultural Neighborhood Council best capture Chinatown. High percentage linguistically isolated and monoling Chinese	(Cont) so Chinatown is central core of social support
61611g23	H		no				yes	CD w harbor want Port of LA w San Pedro in same CD; describes 3 census tracts in Port; HQ for harbor in SP - dont want different Congressman than Port itself when need federal funding; move 800-2000 people 16000 that work at harbor live in SP	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611g13	said at hearing Compton Carson LB Signal Hill together		no	yes		
61611g18			no	yes		
61611g23			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611g13			no					yes	testimony (completed form outside group include draw map)	06.16.11. BA
61611g18			no					yes	testimony in form from outside org	06.16.11. BN
61611g23			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611g25	H		no				yes	North Coast draft SD is improvement over current; draft CD is improvement cause current extends to far to south east; disagrees w incumbent because new CD makes sense; concern w splitting S-Asian pop in Fremont is this bec VRA in Monterey Merced?	
61611g35	H		no		lived in LA Riverside Reseda Westchester	Los Angeles	yes	Reseda is part of West Valley; Westchester is part of Beach Cities; can carve LAX out to Inglewood Westchester out to west side; wants more competitive districts to not get ignored	
61611w13	H		no		Pico Union area	Los Angeles	yes	draft map does not represent Latino or reflect growth does not comply with VRA; for AD reccom (see strts);SD is good; CD not bec connects Latinos commun.s with Beverly Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611g25			no	yes		
61611g35			no	yes		
61611w13	COI is Rampart Village Westlake Koreatown Pico Union University Park South LA Rancho Salvador corridor etc	propose for AD on N Sepulveda to Wilton Place along 101 fwy on S Arlington to Florence Ave on W Wilton Place to Arlington Blvd and on E 210 to city of Huntington Park	no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611g25			no							
61611g35			no							
61611w13			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w16	H		no		Woodland Hills West SFV	Los Angeles	yes	concern re SD LASCV all over to beach canyon country desert etc; likes Mulholland boundary on S; does not want to be w Brentwood Bel-Air arm that shoots out is Latino but divides us up; pls respect W Val - real thing from 405 west; Simi Val ok	
61611w18	H		no		Inglewood 30 hrs	Los Angeles	yes	always been part of South Bay until saw draft map; will resubmit what things should be done; does not know anything about area to East of 110 or even closer	
61611w19	H	mixed ethnicity - drawing district based on ethnicity is insult to him	no				yes	Reseda Lake Balboa need to be in West SFV district rather than w areas on east of 405	
61611w20	H		yes	City of Inglewood City Council member	Inglewood	Los Angeles	yes	Inglewood Westchester Playa del Ray (all affect by LAX); unfair to link Inglewood w area to east	
61611w22	H		yes	Concerned Citizens of Compton	Compton	Los Angeles	yes	LB Carson Compton in same CD (COI based on transportation railway)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w16			no	yes		
61611w18			no	yes		
61611w19			no	yes		
61611w20			no	yes		
61611w22			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w16			no							
61611w18			no							
61611w19			no							
61611w20			no							
61611w22			no							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w23	H		no				yes	Same economic challenges for LB Carson Compton Signal Hill Watts Willowbrook	
61611w25	H S		yes	Coalition of Suburban Communities for Fair Representation			yes	AD (SCV -WSFV) is near perfect - salute you - move Aqua Dolce from Ant Val seat into it oppose Santa Clarita Valley-Malibu Senate Seat; instead support nesting S Clarita Val - W SFV AD w east Ventura Co AD (like CD); historically E Vent w SCV in SD	
61611w27	S		yes	Canterbury Knolls Block Club in S LA	Canterbury Knolls Los Angeles	Los Angeles	yes	draft AD Vermont Sq-Florence AD Firestone CD Ingle-Southgate diminish our communities political economic educational voice worked hard to establish	
61611w28	H S	school psychologist - LAUSD	no		Topanga	Los Angeles	yes	opposed to putting us w Santa Clarita Valley; instead keep school district-based communities (where parents active for special needs children) together; SD ignores school community especially by going into SCV which has different concerns	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w23			no	yes		
61611w25			no	yes	E Vent SCV tied together thru SG mt S Clarita Woodlands Wildlife corridor	E Vent SCV 118 corridor serves job centers share biotech aerospace defense film industries
61611w27			no	yes		
61611w28			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w23			no							
61611w25			no					yes	testimony re support oppose map	06.16.11. AM
61611w27			no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. AN
61611w28			no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. AO

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w32	H		no		Long Beach	Los Angeles	yes	LB Carson Compton area - setback in recent gains in education w 2 CD s w 9 different school districts that is too many for 2 congress members - do not let children suffer.	(handwritten on hardcopy) wanted and hoped to get based on overwhelming supportCompton Carson LB and Port of LA.
61611w33	H	part of minority group - psychologically pained; shes bipolar paranoid schizophrenic	no				no		
61611w34	S		no				yes	came up to say would like to submit comments in writing (Ms. Slaughter)	
61611w37	S		no				yes	does not like CD 36 for members of union - alienates members and citizens in Port of LA	
61611w38	H S		no		Lawndale	Los Angeles	yes	consider South Bay region (see cities column - taken from hardcopy) as a COI keep region intact; especially Redondo Beach w Lawndale	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w32			no	yes		
61611w33			no	no		
61611w34			no	yes		
61611w37			no	yes		
61611w38	from hardcopy Beach Cities (Manhattan Beach Hermosa Beach Redondo Beach) El Segundo the PV Peninsula Inglewood Hawthorne Gardena Carson Lawndale Harbor City Torrance Lomita and San Pedro		no	yes		South Bay widely regarded as economic COI given presence of aerospace industry formation of South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce (SBACC)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w32			no					yes	testimony (typed and handwritten)	06.16.11. AP
61611w33			no			include component for psychologically pained and how will suffer from being isolated				
61611w34			no					yes	testimony - Ms. Slaughter?	06.16.11. AQ
61611w37			no					yes	Letter from International Longshore and Warehouse Union	06.16.11. AR
61611w38			no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. AS

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w39	H	Persian community	no		Reseda	Los Angeles	yes	Reseda into 2 CD in draft; want Reseda whole; please put all of Reseda in West Valley district Valley Glen Van Nuys in East Valley district	
61611w42	H		no		La Crescenta	Los Angeles	yes	La Canada-Flintridge Foothill Blvd and 2 fwy go through share 91214 zip code also connected to BurbankGlendale area - keep together	
61611w43	H S (maps for AD SD CD)		no		Wilmington LA Harbor area	Los Angeles	yes	LA Harbor Area Wilmington San Pedro Harbor City lower Harbor Gateway; keep them whole and together and w communities of common interest	
61611w44	S		yes	Thai-American Chamber of Commerce of California Secretary-General			yes	from written submission for Thai-American community SD is good but split in AD; make small change on Hollywood Blvd and all of Thai Town can be in one AD	
61611w51	H S		no		Reseda	Los Angeles	yes	know as hub of West SFV; draft split Reseda down middle please correct and keep it together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w39			no	yes		
61611w42			no	yes		
61611w43			no	yes		
61611w44			no	yes		
61611w51			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w39			no							
61611w42			no							
61611w43			no					yes	proposed changes to AD SD CD around Palos Verdes Beach Cities	06.16.11. AT
61611w44			no					yes	letter and Thai Town Information Guide	06.16.11. AU 06.16.11. AJ
61611w51			no					yes	1989 news article about Reseda as pursuing lost popularity hoping to be hub of West Valley	06.16.11. AX

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w58	H		no		Topanga	Los Angeles	yes	finished reading school psychologist testimony(speaker w28); objects to same district; Topanga should not be considered part of SFV	
61611w59	H		yes	HS district Board member	Santa Clarita	Los Angeles	yes	like AD draft; for SD draft take New Hall school district away from Santa Clarita maybe because of ethnicity but need community over ethnicity	
61611w66	H		yes	City Council	Gardena	Los Angeles	yes	April 28 ltr CD 35 AD 51- dont change; if split us up from rest of area (Inglewood Hawthorne Lawndale) then split up council of govt water district environment services center workforce center sanitation district etc	
61611w79	S		no		South LA Inglewood	Los Angeles	yes	give LAX Westchester Marina Del Rey back to Inglewood CD (IGWSG)	
61611w81	H		no		Del Rey City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles	yes	want to stay whole whole in CD now split along Centinela (our main st) for AD and SD shouldnt be	
61611w82	S		no		Lives in LA works in Inglewood	Los Angeles	yes	put LAX back in AD CD with Inglewood; have formed coalitions to work on airport related issues	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w58			no	yes		
61611w59			no	yes		
61611w66			no	yes		
61611w79			no	yes		
61611w81		south of Washington-Butte Blvd east of Marina Del Rey north of Jefferson west of culver city	no	yes		
61611w82			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w58			no							
61611w59			no							
61611w66			no							
61611w79			no					yes	testimony in form from outside org	06.16.11. CB
61611w81			no							
61611w82			no					yes	testimony (completed form from outside org)	06.16.11. AY

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w88	S		no				yes	Describes Mid-City Jewish community states that draft maps dilute this COI by adding in neighborhoods not connected like Venice Marina Del Rey Westchester Santa Monica	
61611w89	H S - two speakers afterwards so time is guess	office at UCLA; spoke at April 28 mtg re compactness scores	no				no	(see non COI comment)	
61611w95	S		yes	Hatzolah Emergency Medical Rescue			yes	Los Angeles Orthodox community in Los Angeles Basin; very interdependent and lives that resolve around area only; please keep in one ADone SD one CD	
61611w96	H S (map w boundaries)		yes	Del Rey Homeowners Neighbors Association president	Del Rey City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles	yes	Del Rey is COI; been split in AD CD and want to stay whole; draft CD is good (keeps DR intact incld MDR and LAX) please pattern AD SD after that; traffic goes through us so imp't that kept together whichever way go (with Santa Monica or Westchester)	glad dropped from 3 to 2 in AD SD but want to be one of each which ever way go; Q preference? A more in common w Westchester than Santa Monica

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w88	Beverly Hills Westwood Beverly-Fairfax Pico- Robertson Hancock Park Beverlywood Bel Air		yes	yes		
61611w89			no	no		
61611w95			no	yes		
61611w96			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w88			no					yes	testimony	06.16.11. BB
61611w89			no			wants density-variationcompactness scores so citizens can better judge plans - did them wout trying did well - wants these to be published for public access		yes	ppt re density-variationcompactness (DVC) scores	06.16.11. BC
61611w95			no					yes	letter from Hatzolah Emergency Medical Rescue re Orthodox community incl map of where live	06.16.11. BD
61611w96			no					yes	letter from Del Rey Homeowners and Neighbors Assoc maps of boundaries of Del Rey	06.16.11. BE

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711090 - not signed up	H		no		American Canyon	Napa	yes	AC issues been covered; object to CD of Napa to Central Valley do not want Napa Lake County to be with Colusa Glenn County - impossible to get to nothing in common - profound geographic divide	
62711091 - not signed up	H		no		Oakland	Alameda	yes	re AD 16 ADdraft that splits Oakland pulls in S Leandro w S Oak!; keep Oakland in tact;S Leandro (friends) not want to be w Oakland cause will dominate their interests will be ignored instead be with Eaton area wwhich interests more aligned.	(cont) Q Eaton area? A S Leandro S Lorenzo Ashland Hayward - Central Alameda)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711090 - not signed up			no	yes		
62711091 - not signed up			no	yes		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711090 - not signed up			no							
62711091 - not signed up			no							