


Map
of the
City & County of
San Francisco




Precincts and Legislative Districts
Prepared by the Department of Elections

March 2010



- PRECINCT BOUNDARY
- CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT BOUNDARY
- SENATE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES
- ASSEMBLY DISTRICT BOUNDARIES
- SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES
- HART DISTRICT BOUNDARIES




San Francisco City and County
San Mateo County

San Francisco Unified School District 2011-2012

- SFUSD Child Development Centers (CDC)
 - SFUSD Prekindergarten Sites (PK)
 - Elementary Schools (ES) with Attendance Area
 - Citywide Elementary Schools
 - Middle Schools (MS)
 - High Schools (HS)
 - Charter Schools
- Map Layers
- Elementary Attendance Area
 - Park Area
 - Water Area

Revised 11/19/2010
Produced by the SFUSD Educational Placement Center



A
B
C
D
E
F
G

A
B
C
D
E
F
G

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

1. SFUSD Child Development Centers & PreK Sites

- C3 Argonne PK
- D6 Bryant PK/CDC
- E6 Buena Vista PK
- F7 Burnett PK/CDC
- C6 Carmichael PK
- D6 Chavez PK
- B5 Cnbs PK
- A6 Cooper PK/CDC
- F7 Drew PK/CDC
- G5 Excelsior @ Guadalupe PK/CDC
- E5 Excelsior @ Monroe CDC
- E5 Fairmount PK
- E6 Flynn, Leonard R. CDE
- A6 Garfield CDC
- D4 Grantan PK/CDC
- G7 Harte PK/CDC
- D3 Jefferson CDC
- D2 Jefferson PK
- E5 Kennedy, Kate CDC
- D1 Key, Francis Scott CDC
- D2 King, Starr PK
- D6 Las Americas PK/CDC
- B6 Lao PK
- D5 Mahler PK
- C3 McCoppin, Frank CDC
- G5 Melarene PK/CDC
- C3 Muir PK
- D1 Noriega PK/CDC
- A6 Pater, Jean CDC
- B4 Presidio PK/CDC
- B6 Redding PK/CDC
- F6 Revere PK
- E6 Rodriguez, Zaida T. Annex PK/CDC
- E6 Rodriguez, Zaida T. PK/CDC
- G4 San Miguel PK/CDC
- D5 Sancher PK
- I6 Serra CDC
- E5 Serra Annex PK/CDC
- B5 SF Public Montessori PK
- G4 Sheridan PK
- B6 Spring Valley CDC
- B6 Stockton PK/CDC
- B3 Sutro CDC
- F6 Taylor PK
- B6 Tenderloin PK/CDC
- A5 Yule Elk PK/LDC
- D7 Webster, Daniel CDC
- B5 Weill PK/CBC

2.A. Elementary Schools with Attendance Area

- B2 Alamo
- D5 Alvarado
- E3 Argonne
- D6 Bryant
- F7 Carver, Dr. George W.
- D6 Chavez, Cesar
- A6 Chin, John Yehall
- D4 Clarendon
- G5 Cleveland
- B5 Cobb, Dr. William L.
- F7 Drew, Dr. Charles
- G6 El Dorado
- E2 Feinstein, Dianne
- B6 Flynn, Leonard R.
- A6 Garfield
- F5 Glen Park
- D4 Grantan
- G5 Guadalupe
- G7 Harte, Bret
- F6 Hillcrest
- D3 Jefferson
- D1 Key, Francis Scott
- D7 King, Starr
- C2 Lafayette
- F2 Lakeshore
- B6 Lau, Gordon J
- G4 Longfellow
- F8 Malcolm X Academy
- C3 McCoppin, Frank
- C5 McKinley
- D5 Milik, Harvey
- E4 Miraloma
- F5 Monroe
- D6 Moscone, George R.
- C5 Muir, John
- G4 New Traditions
- G3 Ortega, Jose
- A6 Parker, Jean
- B5 Parks, Rosa
- B3 Peabody, George
- B6 Redding
- D5 Sanchez
- F5 Serra, Junipero
- G3 Sheridan
- A5 Sherman
- F3 Stoa, Cammiadne
- B6 Spring Valley Science School
- E2 Stevenson, Robert L.
- I4 Sunnyside
- E1 Sonset

- B3 Sutro
- F6 Taylor, Edward R.
- B6 Tenderloin Community
- E1 Ullin
- G6 Visitation Valley
- D7 Webster, Daniel
- E3 West Portal
- A6 Wo, Yick

2.B. Citywide Elementary Schools

- F6 Buena Vista (K-5)
- C4 CIS at De Avila (K-5)
- C6 Carmichael, Bessie (K-8)
- B6 Chinese Ed. Center (K-5)
- E5 Fairmount (K-5)
- D2 Lawton (K-8)
- A4 Lilienthal, Claire (3-8)
- B4 Lilienthal, Claire (K-2)
- D6 Marshall, Thurgood (K-8)
- E5 Mission Ed. Center (K-5)
- F6 Revere, Paul (K-8)
- D4 Rooftop (5-8)
- D4 Rooftop (K-4)
- F5 SF Community (K-8)
- B5 SF Public Montessori (K-5)
- D3 Yu, Alice Fong (K-8)

3. Middle Schools

- F3 Aptos
- G4 Denman, James
- D5 Everett
- A6 Francisco
- E2 Giannini, A. P.
- E3 Hoover, Herbert
- F6 King, Dr. Martin L. Jr.
- F5 Link, James
- D6 Mann, Horace
- A5 Marina
- C2 Presidio
- B3 Roosevelt, Theodore
- G6 Visitation Valley

4. High Schools

- E4 Academy of Arts and Sciences
- G4 Balboa
- G6 Burton, Phillip & Sala
- D6 Downtown Continuation

- A5 Galileo Academy of Science and Technology
- D7 International Studies Academy (ISA)
- E1 Independence Continuation
- G5 June Jordan School for Equity
- E2 Lincoln, Abraham
- F2 Lowell
- F6 Marshall, Thurgood
- D5 Mission
- D6 O'Connell, John, School of Technology
- E4 Ruth Asawa San Francisco School of the Arts
- D5 SF International
- C4 Wallenberg, Raoul
- C2 Washington, George
- C5 Wells, Ida B. Continuation

5. Charter Schools

- C5 Creative Arts
- D5 Edison
- B5 Gateway
- G7 KIPP Bayview
- B5 KIPP SF Bay
- A7 Life Learning
- G6 Metropolitan Arts & Technology

Educational Placement Center

San Francisco, CA 94102

www.sfusd.edu/enroll



SFUSD SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Revised 11/19/2010

CCAG, July 23, 2011


The
California National Guard
"Always Ready, Always There"

Directions to Camp Roberts

From the North or South, take Highway 101 to the Camp Roberts exit. Camp Roberts is approximately 9 miles north of You must have valid identification, vehicle registration, and proof of vehicle insurance to enter post.

[Google Map](#)

[Order a Map](#)



Mailing Address:

HQ, Camp Roberts
ATTN: _____

Camp Roberts, CA. 93451-5000

MAP Information

CAMP ROBERTS - DMA Stock No.: V795SCPROBERMIM, NSN: 7643-01-401-6159

FORT HUNTER-LIGGETT - DMA Stock No.: V795SHTLIGMIM, NSN: 7643-01-404-6822

CAMP SAN LUIS OBISPO - DMA Stock No.

[Link to Defense Mapping Agency](#)

[Conditions of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#)
Copyright © 2011 State of California

U.S ARMY GARRISON FORT HUNTER LIGGETT FACTS & FIGURES

SENIOR COMMAND

Installation: Installation Management Command - West Operational: US Army Reserve Command

ACERAGE

Total: 167,683

Used for Training: 165,495

FY2009 Training Activity Totals

	Personnel	Days
U.S. Army	1,450	3,216
U.S. Army Reserve	17,150	397,338
U.S. Air Force	74	1,152
U.S. Navy	3,878	93,564
U.S. Navy Reserve	1,233	28,386
U.S. Marine Corps	2,230	38,800
Air National Guard	338	2,080
Army National Guard	345	4,734
R.O.T.C.	547	1,827
Civilians	241	1,009
Government	455	2,635
Grand Total	27,941	574,741

NEARBY MILITARY INSTALLATIONS

Army Posts

Fort Hunter Liggett - near Jolon

Fort Irwin - north of Barstow

Presidio of Monterey

Sierra Army Depot - Herlong

National Guard

Camp Roberts - north of Paso Robles

Camp San Luis Obispo - near San Luis Obispo

Marine Bases

Camp Pendleton Marine Base - north of Oceanside

Miramar Air Station - San Diego

Barstow Logistics Base

San Diego Recruit Depot

Twentynine Palms Air Ground Combat Center

Air Force Bases

Beale Air Force Base - east of Marysville

Edwards Air Force Base - north of Lancaster

Los Angeles Air Force Base

March Air Reserve Base - Riverside

Travis Air Force Base - east of Fairfield

Vandenberg Air Force Base - Lompoc

Navy Bases

China Lake Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division

Coronado Naval Amphibious Base - San Diego

Coronado Naval Base - San Diego

El Centro Naval Air Facility - west of El Centro

Lemoore Naval Air Station - west of Lemoore

Naval Postgraduate School - Monterey

Naval Surface Warfare Center Corona Divison - Corona

North Island Naval Air Station - San Diego

Port Hueneme Construction Battalion Center

Point Loma Naval Base - San Diego

Point Mugu Naval Air Station - southeast of Port Hueneme

San Diego Anti-Submarine Warfare Training Center

San Diego Naval Station

Seal Beach Naval Weapons Station

Ventura County Naval Base - south of Oxnard

Coast Guard Bases

CG Training Center Petaluma

USCG Sector San Diego

Westside Notes

By Robert M. Neff
July 23, 2011

I have submitted a specific plan for dealing with the Westside of Los Angeles as well as included variations of the plan some half a dozen times when showing larger or statewide redistricting plans. The Commission has found various ways to draw the Westside through the drafts and visualizations. Here are some notes on issues that seem to have come up in these plans.


- 1) It has been the choice of the Commission in every plan to pack the bulk of the Westside into a single district (which I judge as regressive) but usually it has been in a strange shape that is confusing for no purpose.
- 2) Malibu of course should always be included with Pacific Palisades and Santa Monica (and then connected with Brentwood, Topanga, and, West Los Angeles west of the 405 to make the West Section of the Westside). Malibu is NOT just the City of Malibu but runs up the mountains to Mulholland Drive or the ridgeline.
- 3) LAX impacts cities on all sides. There is a citizen advisory commission that includes representation from cities and communities on all sides as far north as Culver City. Dockweiler Beach was a beach community and the streets are still there but the houses were all removed by the airport.
- 4) The "Beach Cities" of Santa Monica Bay run from Santa Monica to Torrance (many do not consider Torrance to be included as it has only a small sliver of beach). They sit on common boards and commissions and are served by the Los Angeles County Department of Beaches. These common services including the bike path stop short of the Palos Verdes Peninsula. The Palos Verdes Peninsula has been more historically been connected to Torrance and San Pedro.
- 5) Many of the African American district lines have included a Westside finger that covers points south of Beverly Hills. If you remember I identified the East Section of the Westside to be Beverly Hills, Westwood, West Hollywood (with Bel Air, UCLA, Cheviot Hills/Rancho Park, Laurel Canyon, West Los Angeles East of 405) and this finger breaks up this very active area. This area is very organized and turns out in larger numbers even in low turnout elections. It will in time overwhelm the Black CVAP at the other end of these districts. If you review my submissions you will see those same districts should instead be drawn East of La Cienega Blvd (though could take in the Crest View neighborhood) when North of the 10. Drawing lines North to Wilshire and beyond follows local district lines and even areas served by local high schools.
- 6) The South Section of the Westside (Culver City, Westchester, Marina Del Rey with Palms, Playa Vista, Playa Del Rey, Mar Vista) has the weakest interconnection but is still more active than other areas of California. This area is also the most open of the three Westside sections to multi ethnic representation (there was a press conference in Culver City on Friday 7/22 saying as much as well as calling for local lines to be drawn into the mid-Wilshire area). It is common for all or parts of this area to be includes with points East when drawing districts.
- 7) Venice can go in many directions but really has three parts. The beach area is most in common with Santa Monica's Ocean Park area, further inland is most like Mar Vista (hard to see any change) and the middle (the canals) is hidden and can go with either section. Usually there is a hard line at Washington to be kept away from the Marina.

Westside Notes


By Robert M. Neff
July 22, 2011


Jewish Surname Registered Voters on and near the Westside.

Note that the highest concentration of Jewish Voters is in the Cheviot Hills/Rancho Park neighborhood ... South of Beverly Hills. The Jewish Community has been migrating away from points further east for generations. The concentrations in the "Miracle Mile" sections of Wilshire have long ago diminished as the Westside and San Fernando Valley Jewish communities have grown. This migration has effected even the traditional Fairfax District.





Showing Current (2001 lines) Congressional Districts.






REDISTRICTING PARTNERS







**REDISTRICTING
PARTNERS**


Field	Value
District	SGVP
Population	702,904
Deviation	-1
% Deviation	-0%
% Asian	35%
% Asian_CVAP	29%
% Black	5%
% Black_CVAP	8%
% Hispanic Origin	27%
% B_LAT_CVAP	23%




Field	Value
District	SGVP
Population	702,906
Deviation	1
% Deviation	0%
% Asian	37%
% Asian_CVAP	31%
% Black	5%
% Black_CVAP	6%
% Hispanic Origin	27%
% B_LAT_CVAP	23%



Field	Value
District	COVNA
Population	782,905
Deviation	0
% Deviation	0%
% Asian	15%
% Asian_CVAP	15%
% Black	3%
% Black_CVAP	4%
% Hispanic Origin	64%
% B_LAT_CVAP	51%




Field	Value
District	COVNA
Population	702,904
Deviation	-1
% Deviation	-0%
% Asian	15%
% Asian_CVAP	15%
% Black	3%
% Black_CVAP	4%
% Hispanic Origin	62%
% B_LAT_CVAP	50%






REDISTRICTING PARTNERS

Field	Value
District	DWWTR
Population	702,906
Deviation	1
% Deviation	0%
% Asian	18%
% Asian_CVAP	18%
% Black	4%
% Black_CVAP	4%
% Hispanic Origin	60%
% B_LAT_CVAP	50%



Field	Value
District	DWWTR
Population	702,905
Deviation	0
% Deviation	0%
% Asian	15%
% Asian_CVAP	14%
% Black	4%
% Black_CVAP	4%
% Hispanic Origin	61%
% B_LAT_CVAP	51%





Population

Does your district meet the "equal population" requirement?

Population: 930,421

① Citizen Voting Age Population


Determine if your district falls under the protection of the federal Voting Rights Act.

- 0.36% Hispanic or Latino
- 11.65% Asian
- 0.64% American Indian/Alaska Native
- 2.87% Black or African American
- 0.13% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Alone
- 76.34% White Alone
- 0.22% Two or More Races

① Ethnicity / Race

- 12.65% Hispanic or Latino
- 57.17% White alone
- 2.38% Black or African American alone
- 14.36% Asian
- 0.45% Some Other Race
- 11.58% Two or More Races

7.23 LASFE



Population

Does your district meet the "equal population" requirement?

Population: 931,242

① Citizen Voting Age Population

Determine if your district falls under the protection of the federal Voting Rights Act.

43.63% Hispanic or Latino

10.32% Asian

0.79% American Indian/Alaska Native

6.40% Black or African American

0.20% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Alone

38.17% White Alone

0.29% Two or More Races

① Ethnicity / Race

62.13% Hispanic or Latino

22.94% White alone

3.74% Black or African American alone

9.16% Asian

0.47% Some Other Race

1.55% Two or More Races



July 21, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814
Fax: (916) 651-5711

**Re: Opposition to Congressional SoCal (Options 1/2/3) ONTPM District Visualization
July 14 - Support for ONTPM Commission Visualization July 8 (attached)**

Commissioners,

On behalf of a combined 248,000 residents in the Cities of Ontario and Upland, we would like to submit a joint public comment to the Commission in support of a Commission Visualization dated July 8 (attached).


Presently, the SoCal Visualizations (Options 1/2/3) dated July 14 make significant shifts from prior versions that had kept the southwest portion of San Bernardino County in a compact and regional district recognizing long established communities of interest among Ontario, Upland, Rancho Cucamonga, Claremont, Montclair, and Pomona.

Upland and Ontario prefer the Commission Visualization dated July 8 that respects these communities of interest, retains perfect deviation, and allows for a Voting Rights Act ("VRA") protected seat based primarily in Rialto and San Bernardino.

By using the July 8 draft, the Commission would also avoid splitting Upland, as the July 14 drafts result in 40% of Upland placed in the San Gabriel Valley (LA County) and 60% placed within a district that spans to San Bernardino and Redlands (San Bernardino County).

The ONTPM Visualization dated July 8 is superior to the currently proposed drafts dated July 14 for the following reasons:

2011.07.08 Congressional Visualizations -ONTPM-



Synopsis of Amended Final Congressional Plan, CD01-CD22
Submitted by California Conservative Action Group
Dated July 22, 2011

Introduction:

On July 16, 2011, CCAG presented its latest Congressional Plan for CD01-CD24. Several commissioners stated that they liked the configuration of many of the districts in the plan, and that it addressed many of the conflicting COI that the Commission had been grappling with, but that the plan had to be rejected on the grounds that the Monterey district crossed the Monterey/San Luis Obispo county line.

The reasons why that line were important were that 1) there had been extensive COI testimony from both Monterey and San Luis Obispo to not cross the line, and 2) the Southern California congressional districts were constructed with that line as the starting point, and the removal of 48,000 people from the San Luis Obispo/Santa Barbara County district would have had ripple effects throughout the remaining 30 districts.

So CCAG went back to the drawing board and has created an amended plan which starts at the Monterey/San Luis Obispo county line and goes north. Thus, we have not included CD23 and CD24 in this plan.

The effect of having to add another 48,000 to the Monterey Section 5 VRA district, created ripple effects in 18 of the 22 remaining districts included in CCAG's July 16th plan, but created opportunities to do some positive changes. CD01, 06, 08, and 12 were left intact from the July 16th submission.

Most of the districts were incrementally changed. However, with the exception of CD05 (City of Sacramento and environs), the rest of Sacramento, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and the Sierra Foothills districts were significantly reconfigured to provide for greater compactness and more balance among the population centers in each district.

Positive Changes:

1) **CD16, San Jose.** Most of San Jose, south of Hwy. 101 and east of Hwy 17, and north of Willow Glen are united in CD16 with East San Jose, Alum Rock, and Evergreen into a compact district which unites all of the COI such as including the Hispanic neighborhoods of East San Jose and Downtown San Jose together, linking Evergreen with Little Saigon, and keeping the LGBT community intact.

2) **CD09, Oakland/Richmond.** The County seat of the City of Martinez is not separated from Contra Costa County, but joined with Crockett, Richmond, Berkeley, and most of Oakland in CD09.

3) **CD07, Vallejo.** Solano County is not split between CD07 and CD03.

4) **CD03, Yuba.** The bulk of Nevada County is now in CD03, including Grass Valley and Nevada City.

5) **CD02, Northeast.** Amador County is intact.

6) **CD20, East Fresno.** The twin cities of Visalia and Tulare are not separated from one another into different Congressional districts, but joined in this district with most of the City of Fresno.

7) **CD22, Bakersfield.** China Lake NWS (which crosses the Kern/San Bernardino County line, and is of two parts in San Bernardino County, Fort Irwin, and Barstow are united in this district. Together, they represent a significant COI of the western Mojave desert.

8) **Section 5 VRA districts.** The Yuba, Monterey, Merced, and Kings Section 5 VRA districts are well above LVAP benchmark and are more compact than in any previous plan or visualization.

Compliance with Criteria 1-5.

1) **Equal Population.** In full compliance. CD05, 07, 13, and 20 have 702,904 people, while the remaining 18 CDs have 702,905 people.

2) **VRA Section 5 Benchmark.** In basic compliance. All four districts are above LVAP benchmark. Yuba is 18.95% vs. 15.48%; Monterey is 48.43% vs. 44.16%, Merced is 53.95% vs. 47.23%, and Kings is 66.81% vs. 65.72% LVAP. Benchmarks for Blacks and Asians were exceeded in the Yuba district, and for Asians in the Monterey district. Combined LVAP, BVAP, and AVAP benchmarks were met in the Yuba, Monterey, and Merced districts, and in the totality of circumstances all four districts are in compliance.

Additionally, CD14 (which includes most of Fremont) is 45.46% AVAP and there is an excellent chance that once the seat becomes open, that a qualified Asian candidate would be elected.

3) **Contiguity.** In full compliance. As before, all districts are contiguous, the Golden Gate and Carquinez straits (at Crockett and Vallejo).

4) **Keeping counties, cities, census places, and COI intact.** In basic compliance. 34 of 50 counties and 1,900 of 1,912 cities and census places are intact.

Santa Clara, Alameda, Sacramento are split into four districts; Contra Costa, Placer, San Joaquin, Fresno, and Tulare are split into three districts; and San Francisco, San Mateo, Sonoma, Tehama, Yolo, Stanislaus, Madera, and Kern are divided into two districts.

San Jose is divided into five districts, and San Francisco Fremont, Oakland, Martinez, Vine Hill, Antioch, Rancho Cordova, Lodi, Oakdale, Fresno, and Bakersfield are divided into two districts each. Lodi and Oakdale have portions of their cities which are not contiguous to one another, San Jose and San Francisco have more population than a congressional district and thus must be split, and Fresno and Bakersfield continue to be split to ensure that Section 5 VRA benchmarks are achieved. The rest of the cities were split to balance for population or to keep districts contiguous.

As in the Congressional plans submitted by CCAG on June 28th and July 16th, none of the 50+ neighborhoods of San Francisco are divided, and similar neighborhoods based on socio-economic class, ethnicity, and current Supervisorial Districts are grouped together between CD08 and CD12. All of

Supervisorial District 11 is included in CD12, while Visitacion Valley and Sunnydale which are in Supervisorial District 10 are kept to CD08.

5) **Compactness.** In basic compliance. The Kings County Section 5 VRA district is more compact than in previous iterations, but is still not very compact since it bypasses Visalia, Tulare, and the bulk of Bakersfield to include heavily Hispanic neighborhoods in East Bakersfield and Bakersfield.

Concluding Remarks.

No Congressional plan is perfect, including the Commission's first draft and subsequent visualizations and the four Northern/Central California plans we submitted on 24 May, 28 June, 16 July, and today.

Not all conflicting COI can be accommodated in one plan, but hopefully in at least one or two of the three plans, all of the valid COI can be successfully addressed.

Fremont continues to be divided both under our plans and the visualizations by Q2. That is driven entirely by the requirements to meet Section 5 VRA benchmarks in the Monterey district. Having said that, we have mitigated their concerns by extracting Newark and joining it in CD13 to the north, which allows another 20% of Fremont residents united with the bulk of Fremont residents in CD14.. Additionally, by reconfiguring CD14, Fremont's concern of being overshadowed by San Jose has been significantly reduced. It is now joined by the smaller communities of Mountain View, Sunnyvale, and Santa Clara, which as an added benefit have substantial Asian populations. Silicon Valley's Golden Triangle between Hwy 101, Hwy 237, and I-880 is kept intact in that district.

The splitting of Oakland is a concern, but keeping it intact will have adverse effects on keeping numerous COIs intact in other Bay Area districts. The reality is that African Americans have left the communities where they have historically settled between 1941 and 1990 as many African Americans joining the middle class have become suburbanites. CD09 as proposed by CCAG is essentially balanced between Whites, Asians, Hispanics, and Blacks using VAP as an indicator. Using CVAP as a measuring stick, Blacks are the second largest minority in the district. Whoever gets elected in that district will do so on the merits of successful coalition building.

Finally, as long as all of the City of Sacramento is contained in one congressional district (which it should be), the configuration of districts in the Sacramento suburbs, and the spillover effects on San Joaquin and the Sierra counties will be felt. The critical issue is balance. Don't have any population center dominate smaller counties in the same district. To a large extent, our plan addresses that concern successfully.


Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "David Salaverry". The signature is stylized and includes a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.


David Salaverry, Chair, CCAG

Attachments: JPG images plus KMZ file,
Population and VAP by Race
Block Equivalency file.


CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps




CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps




CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps




CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps




CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps



CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps




CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps




CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps




CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps




CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps



CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps



CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps



CCAG July 22 Amended Congressional Maps

District	Population	Deviation	% Deviation	18+_Pop	H18+_Pop	% H18+_Pop	NH18+_Blk	% NH18+_Blk	NH18+_Asn	% NH18+_Asn
	21790050	21087145	29.99999289	16315019	6253999	0.383327718	1011967	0.062026713	2044216	0.125296575
CD01	702905	0	0%	549452	78469	14.28%	6668	1.21%	15144	2.76%
CD02	702905	0	0%	543795	57653	10.60%	8530	1.57%	20646	3.80%
CD03	702905	0	0%	538446	102043	18.95%	7998	1.49%	39581	7.35%
CD04	702905	0	0%	516900	75956	14.69%	40606	7.86%	72956	14.11%
CD05	702904	-1	0%	528252	118117	22.36%	59920	11.34%	76715	14.52%
CD06	702905	0	0%	551087	98821	17.93%	10653	1.93%	25147	4.56%
CD07	702904	-1	0%	531518	125626	23.64%	60102	11.31%	73646	13.86%
CD08	702905	0	0%	614216	76762	12.50%	34443	5.61%	185204	30.15%
CD09	702905	0	0%	565220	105196	18.61%	95890	16.97%	117977	20.87%
CD10	702905	0	0%	521748	73709	14.13%	23665	4.54%	76137	14.59%
CD11	702905	0	0%	503235	158112	31.42%	32996	6.56%	67579	13.43%
CD12	702905	0	0%	555163	120790	21.76%	15064	2.71%	179706	32.37%
CD13	702904	-1	0%	530306	143090	26.98%	73822	13.92%	139985	26.40%
CD14	702905	0	0%	544024	89567	16.46%	13725	2.52%	247317	45.46%
CD15	702905	0	0%	544229	74467	13.68%	12031	2.21%	109983	20.21%
CD16	702905	0	0%	527688	184370	34.94%	16476	3.12%	140654	26.65%
CD17	702905	0	0%	509015	246507	48.43%	12458	2.45%	37342	7.34%
CD18	702905	0	0%	512495	161182	31.45%	12688	2.48%	23273	4.54%
CD19	702905	0	0%	480520	259249	53.95%	25822	5.37%	35006	7.29%
CD20	702904	-1	0%	504588	169783	33.65%	18716	3.71%	41100	8.15%
CD21	702905	0	0%	473166	316134	66.81%	21510	4.55%	17185	3.63%
CD22	702905	0	0%	498834	167897	33.66%	24529	4.92%	22583	4.53%



**CALIFORNIA
RESTAURANT
ASSOCIATION**

SAN DIEGO COUNTY CHAPTER

July 20, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Delivered Electronically

RE: Board of Equalization Proposed District Map

Dear Commissioner:

On behalf of the San Diego Chapter of the California Restaurant Association (SDCRA), which represents an industry of nearly 1,500 establishments in San Diego County, I would like to take this opportunity to extend our strong support for the 1st draft Board of Equalization Map.

We base our support on the concept that BOE staff and members must serve a geographic region that shares commonalities including; bordering economies, cultural and ethnic mix. Additionally, SDCRA believes it would be detrimental to fuse communities that have different regional priorities and needs entirely.

Historically, the San Diego region and Orange County have been linked via the BOE District. It is this strong relationship between BOE staff and small business that has allowed our regional economies to grow and prosper. Any division to this relationship will greatly impact small business owners and our emerging economies.

Therefore, on behalf of the SDCRA, we strongly urge that the Citizens Redistricting Commission support the 1st draft Board of Equalization Map.

Sincerely,

Mike Morton Jr,
San Diego Chapter, California Restaurant Association

7.23

SMALL BUSINESS OWNER

On Wed, Jul 20, 2011 at 11:00 AM, Bob Mahan [REDACTED] wrote:

DATE: July 20, 2011
TO: Citizen Redistricting Commission
RE: Board of Equalization District Lines

I maintain businesses in both San Diego and Orange County.

The Citizen Redistricting Commission (CRC) was charged with establishing new district lines that are built around communities of interest and keeping them whole where possible.

The CRC established new district lines in its 1st draft of maps for the Board of Equalization (BOE) that clearly achieved this directive.

San Diego has a unique land of Asian, Latino and minority business communities more closely aligned with that of Orange County, not Central and Northern California. While any business can appeal to any BOE office, it is essential that the BOE staff serving a geographic region identify with that region's unique ethnic mix. That's why we believe we would be better served in a seat that includes Orange County rather than Central or Northern California communities.

For San Diego County to be included with a district that runs from the Mexico border to the Oregon border including rural communities that have different priorities and environmental needs simply means we will be drowned out. Our economic engine will suffer and our needs will go unmet. San Diego County's business model is more closely aligned with Orange County's, therefore, if drawn into a district running to the State of Oregon, we believe our business community will be drowned out and unrepresented.

San Diego and Orange County have traditionally been together in the same BOE seat. Because of that, our small business owners and others who are serviced by the Board of Equalization have become accustomed to the staff in this district's office and likewise the staff has become accustomed to our region's unique business needs. For example, when we talk about San Diego County and coastal environmental needs the staff understands that. To remove this staff and its knowledge and turn it over to a staff representing Redding would be detrimental to our communities, businesses, environmental needs and unique quality of life.

Clearly, the 1st draft of new district lines established for the Board of Equalization protect the Voting Rights Act, keep communities of interest whole including minorities, businesses and the environment, and provide competitive districts that will ensure fair representation for the entire electorate.

I urge you to consider supporting the 1st draft Board of Equalization maps.

Sincerely,
Keep In Touch
Bob Mahan
[REDACTED]
San Diego, CA 92101





7.23

DATE: July 19, 2011
TO: Citizen Redistricting Commission
RE: Board of Equalization District Lines

The Citizen Redistricting Commission (CRC) was charged with establishing new district lines that are built around communities of interest and keeping them whole where possible.

The CRC established new district lines in its 1st draft of maps for the Board of Equalization (BOE) that clearly achieved this directive.

San Diego has a unique land of Asian, Latino and minority business communities more closely aligned with that of Orange County, not Central and Northern California. While any business can appeal to any BOE office, it is essential that the BOE staff serving a geographic region identify with that region's unique ethnic mix. That's why we believe we would be better served in a seat that includes Orange County rather than Central or Northern California communities.

For San Diego County to be included with a district that runs from the Mexico border to the Oregon border including rural communities that have different priorities and environmental needs simply means we will be drowned out. Our economic engine will suffer and our needs will go unmet. San Diego County's business model is more closely aligned with Orange County's, therefore, if drawn into a district running to the State of Oregon, we believe our business community will be drowned out and unrepresented.

San Diego and Orange County have traditionally been together in the same BOE seat. Because of that, our small business owners and others who are serviced by the Board of Equalization have become accustomed to the staff in this district's office and likewise the staff has become accustomed to our region's unique business needs. For example, when we talk about San Diego County and coastal environmental needs the staff understands that. To remove this staff and its knowledge and turn it over to a staff representing Redding would be detrimental to our communities, businesses, environmental needs and unique quality of life.

Clearly, the 1st draft of new district lines established for the Board of Equalization protect the Voting Rights Act, keep communities of interest whole including minorities, businesses and the environment, and provide competitive districts that will ensure fair representation for the entire electorate.

I urge you to consider supporting the 1st draft Board of Equalization maps.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tony Krvaric", written over a horizontal line.

Tony Krvaric
President & CEO

The People's Advocate

With regard to the EVENT Senat Seat, I would like to thank you for listening to the vast majority of public testimony and taking Malibu out of the district, while including portions of Santa Clarita. As you consider final maps I have one important suggestion: Include more of Santa Clarita and less of the 101 corridor in the San Fernando Valley in the Senate Seat.

All this can easily be done by swapping out the City of Reseda (est. 60,000 people) currently in the EVENT SD and putting it in with the LASFE SD. Reseda is a majority Hispanic community and should be put into a district that has a 59% Latino population according to your latest draft map. From there you can take out the City of Granada Hills (est. 60,000 people) from the LASFE SD and put it into the LAAVV SD. Granada Hills is a community of interest with many parts of the LAAVV SD. To make up lost population from the EVENT SD, you can take Valencia, Newhall, Castaic, everything east of the 14 Freeway, from the Santa Clarita Valley, which would equal to approximately 60,000 people, from the LAAVV SD and complete the equal population swap between the three districts.

East Ventura County and Santa Clarita have historically been in the same senate district for the last three decades and are a community of interest. Please link more of Santa Clarita with EVENT as you finish drawing the Senate maps.

Respectfully,




Tim Snipes

People's Advocat



SHAWN NELSON
 SUPERVISOR, FOURTH DISTRICT
 ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
 ORANGE COUNTY HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
 [REDACTED]
 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92701
 [REDACTED]



DATE: July 19, 2011
 TO: Citizen Redistricting Commission
 RE: Board of Equalization District Lines

The Citizen Redistricting Commission (CRC) was charged with establishing new district lines that are built around communities of interest and keeping them whole where possible.

The CRC established new district lines in its 1st draft of maps for the Board of Equalization (BOE) that clearly achieved this directive.

Orange County has a unique land of Asian, Latino and minority business communities more closely aligned with that of San Diego, not Los Angeles. While any business can appeal to any BOE office, it is essential that the BOE staff serving a geographic region identify with that region's unique ethnic mix. That's why we believe we would be better served in a seat that includes San Diego rather than Los Angeles.

For Orange County to be included with Los Angeles simply means we will be drowned out. Our economic engine will suffer and our needs will go unmet. As we have learned, in the current State Legislature Los Angeles always comes first. Orange County's business model is more closely aligned with San Diego's, therefore, if drawn into Los Angeles, we believe our business community will be drowned out and unrepresented.

San Diego and Orange County have traditionally been together in the same BOE seat. Because of that, our small business owners and others who are serviced by the Board of Equalization have become accustomed to the staff in this district's office and likewise the staff has become accustomed to our region's unique business needs. For example, when we talk about South Orange County and coastal environmental needs the staff understands that. To remove this staff and its knowledge and turn it over to a staff representing Los Angeles would be detrimental to our communities, businesses, environmental needs and unique quality of life.

Clearly, the 1st draft of new district lines established for the Board of Equalization protect the Voting Rights Act, keep communities of interest whole including minorities, businesses and the environment, and provide competitive districts that will ensure fair representation for the entire electorate.

I urge you to consider supporting the 1st draft maps.

Sincerely

Supervisor Shawn Nelson
 Fourth District

July 19, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Orange County Legislative Boundaries

Dear Commissioners:

Orange County Business Council represents a large, diverse group of companies dedicated to doing business in Southern California, employing over 2,000,000 worldwide. Our mission is to advance Orange County's economic prosperity while protecting a high quality of life.

Drafting maps to satisfy a vast array of interest and agendas is a difficult task. We would like to commend you on your efforts to date. We view the independent Citizens Redistricting Commission as an important component in the reform process needed to move California away from the extreme partisanship that causes legislative gridlock, detrimental to a vibrant, dynamic economy.

With that said, we do feel many jurisdictional boundaries in the County of Orange have been overlooked in favor of "Communities of Interest" and at the sacrifice of a municipality or constituent service. Although there is legitimate need to acknowledge "COIs" it shouldn't be to the detriment of a community's ability to address their infrastructure and administrative issues at a legislative level.

On behalf of the business community, the following suggestions are offered to improve the service area and representation of all residents of Orange County:

1. Congressional Districts

As has been noted previously, by us as well as others in Orange County, it is unacceptable to divide smaller communities, particularly when those small halves are combined with large, dense urban cities. In particular the cities of Orange and Coto de Caza are split into two different congressional districts which effectively eliminates their representation.

- Coto de Caza should not be split. Its sphere of influence is too small and it should remain with the other canyon and equestrian areas in the county such as Fullerton, Orange Park Acres, Tustin Hills and Orange Hills.
- The City of Orange should not be split as it is too small to survive being divided between two congressional districts. The community's common interests lie with Tustin or Anaheim, not with Santa Ana.
- The overwhelming preference is that Orange be contained within one congressional district. However, if small portions of the city need to be included in another district to satisfy population limits and VRA restrictions, the 57 freeway or Main Street, north to Taft Avenue in Orange would make for a more logical boundary.
- Huntington Beach should be aligned with Seal Beach, Fountain Valley and other Orange County cities, rather than separated from the County and blended with Long Beach.

2. **Assembly and Senate Districts**

Although there are small adjustments that would satisfy community boundaries and common interests, the Commission should be recognized for its balanced approach.

- The City of Costa Mesa should remain intact and be aligned with similar beach communities.
- The Communities of Huntington Beach and Fountain Valley have long been joined aligned in their civic pursuits, challenges and opportunities. The two share a variety of common interests and benefit from contiguous representation. Therefore, the Assembly District currently drawn to include Huntington Beach, Costa Mesa and Irvine (among other areas) should be redrawn to include the entire city of Fountain Valley.

3. **Board of Equalization**

We view with great distress the districts for the Board of Equalization. Despite careful consideration of Communities of Interest and Compactness for the Congressional, Assembly and Senate districts, this same attention does not appear to have been applied to the BOE districts. A district that runs nearly the entire length of the state is neither cost-efficient nor constituent-service oriented. Perhaps it is important to note that existing BOE offices do not constitute a "Community of Interest."

Please consider the establishment of a Southern California District similar to the existing Region 3, uniting, Orange, Riverside and San Diego counties, in lieu of the present map of a vertical district ranging from the Oregon border to the Mexican border.

- All BOE districts should be drawn horizontally, not vertically, to facilitate a BOE member's ability to easily manage an area and remain directly accountable to the people.
- Communities of Interest for the BOE are businesses, and as such linkage between geographic communities are important. Business communities often cluster to share information and systems. For example, the Bio Tech and Bio Med industries in Irvine, South Orange County and the San Diego regions.
- Counties should remain whole and regional areas should remain together, which will allow businesses that operate throughout a county or region to have clear and fair representation.
- Splitting the County of Orange into two districts would deprive the Business Community of Interest of fair representation and could lead to a significant COI being underserved or ignored.
- Adjustments could be made to the newly drawn Los Angeles District, removing Orange County and extending the LA district further North in LA County and/or East to encompass the East Los Angeles Region.

Finally, we support Commissioner Mike Ward's map amendments. He has a thorough understanding of the communities with common character, interests and challenges in Orange County and can provide advice on where adjustments may need to be made to accommodate population limits.

We appreciate the difficult task you are undertaking and the challenges you face balancing competing interests. We appreciate your willingness to consider our comments.

Sincerely,



Kate Klimow
Vice President of Government Relations



Mary Jean Durán
President

Newport Beach, CA 92660

DATE: July 19, 2011
TO: Citizen Redistricting Commission
RE: Board of Equalization District Lines

The Citizen Redistricting Commission (CRC) was charged with establishing new district lines that are built around communities of interest and keeping them whole where possible.

The CRC established new district lines in its first draft of maps for the Board of Equalization (BOE) that clearly achieved this directive.

The Orange County business community has a unique blend of minority owned businesses more closely aligned with that of San Diego, not Los Angeles. While any business can appeal to any BOE office, it is essential that the BOE staff serving a geographic region identify with that region's unique ethnic mix. That's why I believe we would be better served in a seat that includes San Diego rather than Los Angeles.

For Orange County to be included with Los Angeles simply means we will be drowned out. Our economic engine will suffer and our needs will go unmet. As we have learned in the current State Legislature, Los Angeles always comes first. If drawn into Los Angeles, our business community will be drowned out and unrepresented.

San Diego and Orange County have traditionally been together in the same BOE seat. Because of that, our small business owners and others who are serviced by the Board of Equalization have become accustomed to the staff in this district's office and likewise the staff has become accustomed to our region's unique business needs. For example, when we talk about South Orange County and coastal environmental needs the staff understands that. To remove this staff and its knowledge and turn it over to a staff representing Los Angeles would be detrimental to our communities, businesses, environmental needs and unique quality of life.

Clearly, the first draft of new district lines established for the Board of Equalization protect the Voting Rights Act, keep communities of interest whole including minorities, businesses and the environment, and provide competitive districts that will ensure fair representation for the entire electorate.

I urge you to consider supporting the first draft maps.

Sincerely,

Mary Jean Durán
Former Senior Advisor and Director of Inter-Agency Affairs, US Small Business Administration



JANET NGUYEN
SUPERVISOR, FIRST DISTRICT

ORANGE COUNTY HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92702-0687

7-23

July 19, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Board of Equalization District Lines and Little Saigon community

Dear Citizen Redistricting Commissioners:

The Citizen Redistricting Commission (CRC) was charged with establishing new district lines that are built around communities of interest and keeping them whole where possible.

The 1st draft of maps for the BOE is best to protect Orange County's unique business communities. While any business can appeal to any BOE office, it is essential that the BOE staff serving a geographic region identify with that region's unique ethnic mix. That's why we believe we would be better served in a BOE seat that includes San Diego rather than Los Angeles.

For Orange County to be included with Los Angeles County simply means we will be drowned out. Our economic engine will suffer and our needs will go unmet. As we have learned, in the current State Legislature Los Angeles always comes first. Orange County's business model is more closely aligned with San Diego's, therefore, if drawn into Los Angeles, we believe our business community will be drowned out and unrepresented.

San Diego and Orange County have traditionally been together in the same BOE seat. Because of that, our small business owners and others who are serviced by the Board of Equalization have become accustomed to the staff in this district's office and likewise the staff has become accustomed to our region's unique business needs. For example, when we talk about South Orange County and coastal environmental needs the staff understands that. To remove this staff and its knowledge and turn it over to a staff representing Los Angeles would be detrimental to our communities, businesses, environmental needs and unique quality of life.

In addition, the draft maps that protect Little Saigon's community of interest as a whole in Orange County will best serve this unique community. Little Saigon has the most significant concentration of Vietnamese Americans in the United States and the largest number of Vietnamese residents outside of Vietnam. The Vietnamese Americans in Orange County are concentrated within the city limits of Garden Grove, Westminster, Fountain Valley, and part of Santa Ana.

Over the last 30 years, Little Saigon has grown from simply being a few blocks around Bolsa Avenue to being a full-fledged community in the center of Orange County that covers several square miles. Little Saigon is more than just a concentration of population; there are Vietnamese-language newspapers, magazines, radio and television stations all headquartered here in the center of Orange County. Local businesses, including markets

and restaurants are common meeting places that help keep the community together. According to figures from the US Census, you will find continued growth of the Vietnamese American population in this area; growth in the number of immigrants with Vietnam as a Country of Origin; and growth in the number of those who know and can speak the Vietnamese language.

I urge you to consider supporting the 1st BOE draft maps to protect the unique and diverse business community and protect the community of interest for the Little Saigon area by keeping them in Orange County and Central Orange County.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Janet Nguyen". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and "N".

JANET NGUYEN

Supervisor, First District

Orange County Board of Supervisors



BILL CAMPBELL
CHAIRMAN
ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SUPERVISOR, THIRD DISTRICT

ORANGE COUNTY HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
[REDACTED]
SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92701
[REDACTED]

July 21, 2011

SENT VIA EMAIL

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear California Redistricting Commission Members:

The Citizen Redistricting Commission (CRC) was charged with establishing new district lines that are built around communities of interest and keeping them whole where possible.

The CRC established new district lines in its 1st draft of maps for the Board of Equalization (BOE) that clearly achieved this directive.

Orange County has a unique makeup of Asian, Latino and minority business communities more closely aligned with that of San Diego, not Los Angeles. While any business can appeal to any BOE office, it is essential that the BOE staff serving a geographic region identify with that region's unique ethnic mix. That's why I believe Orange County would be better served in a seat that includes San Diego rather than Los Angeles.

Orange County's business model is more closely aligned with San Diego's, therefore, if drawn into Los Angeles, we believe our business community will not be heard and will be unrepresented. Our economic engine will suffer and our needs will go unmet.

San Diego and Orange County have traditionally been together in the same BOE seat. Because of that, our small business owners and others who are serviced by the Board of Equalization have become accustomed to the staff in this district's office and likewise the staff has become accustomed to our region's unique business needs. To remove this staff and its knowledge and turn it over to a staff representing Los Angeles would be detrimental to our communities, businesses, environmental needs and unique quality of life.

Clearly, the 1st draft of new district lines established for the Board of Equalization protects the Voting Rights Act, keeps communities of interest whole including minorities, businesses and the environment, and provides competitive districts that will ensure fair representation for the entire electorate.

I urge you to consider supporting the 1st draft maps.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Bill Campbell".

Bill Campbell
Supervisor, Third District
Chairman, Orange County Board of Supervisors



JOHN M. W. MOORLACH, C.P.A.
ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SUPERVISOR, SECOND DISTRICT

ORANGE COUNTY HALL OF ADMINISTRATION

SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92701

RICK FRANCIS
CHIEF OF STAFF

LINDSAY BRENNAN
POLICY ADVISOR

KATHLEEN MORAN
POLICY ADVISOR

PAMELA NEWCOMB
POLICY ADVISOR

DANIELLE RICHARDS
POLICY ADVISOR

MARGARET CHANG
EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT

July 19, 2011

SENT VIA EMAIL

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Board of Equalization District Lines

Dear Commission Members:

The Citizen Redistricting Commission (CRC) was charged with establishing new district lines that are built around communities of interest and keeping them whole where possible. This was achieved in the 1st draft of maps for the Board of Equalization (BOE).

Orange County has unique communities that I believe are more closely aligned with that of San Diego than Los Angeles.

Orange County's business model is more closely aligned with San Diego's; therefore, if drawn into a district dominated by Los Angeles, as prepared in a subsequent draft, I believe our business community will not be fairly heard.

San Diego and Orange County have traditionally been together in the same BOE seat. Because of that, our small business owners and others who are serviced by the Board of Equalization have become accustomed to the staff in this district's office and likewise the staff has become accustomed to our region's unique business needs. For example, when we talk about South Orange County and coastal environmental needs, the staff understands that. To remove this staff and its historical knowledge of Orange County and turn it over to a staff representing Los Angeles may very well be detrimental to our communities, businesses, environmental needs and unique quality of life.

I urge you to consider supporting the 1st draft maps.

Very truly yours,

John M.W. Moorlach
Supervisor, Second District
Vice-Chairman, Orange County Board of Supervisors

Board of Equalization

Proposed Maps


Supported by

**Greater LA African American Chamber of Commerce
Black Business Association
California Black Chamber of Commerce
California Hispanic Chamber of Commerce
California Taxpayer's Association (Cal Tax)
Korean American Chamber of Commerce**

July 23, 2011




District: 1




Field	Value
District	1
Population	8382383
Deviation	48,794
% Deviation	0.58%
% Black	6.04%
% Asian	20.41%
% Hispanic Origin	23.33%
% CVAP_Asian	16.88%
%VAP_African American	7.88%
%VAP_Hispanic or Latino	13.87%

District: 2



Field	Value
District	2
Population	9230111
Deviation	-74.27%
% Deviation	-8.8%
% Black	6.4%
% Asian	6.2%
% Hispanic Origin	41.72%
% CVAP_Asian	4.97%
VAP_African American	8.78%
VAP_Hispanic or Latino	28.73%


District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
Population	8364238
Deviation	40,740
% Deviation	0.44%
% Black	7.00%
% Asian	13.00%
% Hispanic Origin	47.80%
% CVAP_Asian	12.50%
% CVAP_African American	10.13%
% CVAP_Hispanic or Latino	32.30%

District: 4

Field	Value
District	4
Population	9286327
Deviation	-15.162
% Deviation	-0.16%
% Black	4.74%
% Asian	12.43%
% Hispanic Origin	37.66%
% CVAP Asian	18.89%
VAP_African American	5.16%
VAP_Hispanic or Latino	22.79%






2


3

San Juan

San Juan

San Juan





Coalition of Suburban Communities
for
Fair Representation

July 23, 2011

Dear Commissioners,

I'm Scott Wilk with the Coalition of Suburban Communities for Fair Representation.

This morning I'm requesting adjustments to three Senate districts – LASFE, LAAVV and EVENT. These adjustments could be population neutral, and better reflect community of interest testimony.

Please remove Reseda (est. 60,000 people) from EVENT and place it in LASFE. The reasoning is Reseda is a majority Hispanic community and should be put into a district that has a 59% Latino population according to your latest draft map.

Then remove Granada Hills (est. 60,000 people) from LASFE and put it into LAAVV. Granada Hills is currently in a senate district with Antelope Valley and has a community of interest with many parts of the LAAVV.

To make up the loss of population from the EVENT, move all of Castaic, Valencia, Newhall, and if you need to parts of Saugus from LAAVV into EVENT.

Again, this recommendation would stay with the population deviation and would also acknowledge the cultural, economic and historical ties that bind these communities.

Thank you for your consideration.