

## Post-August Operations Ideas

This document summarizes input from all Commissioners who submitted ideas thus far on what activities the Commission should undertake after the maps are certified. This is intended to provoke thought and enrich our discussion. For each category, I've noted possible time periods.

## Commission Functions

### Short Term (through CY 2012?)

- **Litigation response**—work with in-house and outside counsel and ensure Commission oversight and participation
- **Public Records Act requests**—respond to all requests promptly and accurately
- **Accurate archival**—ensure the integrity and accuracy of our records for history!
  - Create a database of "Historical" districts for each city in California for future map drawing use
  - Accurate attendance records
  - Historical media coverage

### Mid-Term (through FY2012?)

- **Evaluation and assessment**—participation with Irvine Foundation study and others with the possibility of supplementing with our own internal review
  - Process improvement, what worked, what didn't
  - monitoring election dynamics/outcomes based on our maps with the goal for improving citizen participation
  - assessment of regional patterns and issues based on our map configurations
  - assessment and impact of map configurations upon and between minority groups in central LA and San Gabriel mountain region
- **Recommendations for the next Applicant Review Panel**—based on our experiences, what should the next ARP consider?
  - applicant selection process
  - ideal qualities
- **Recommendations to the next Commission**—based on our experiences, how can we prepare the next Commission to be (more) successful?
  - Infrastructure: procedural, staffing/hiring, contracting, litigation prep, etc.
  - Public input: how to improve quality, prevent gaming the system
  - Personal: impacts on work, family, personal life, etc.

### Longer-Term/Ongoing

- **Education and/or advocacy**— of the CRC independent redistricting model to local jurisdictions, other groups, as well as other states
- **Review of future redistricting issues**
  - post census data over/undercount review

- selected racially polarized studies
- prison population study
- **Tool development (Software?)**
  - Identify an improved tool to consolidate the thousands of public inputs into a meaning output for map drawing. Test said tool with our current public input.
  - Improve the current map drawing tools to automatic identification of potential Section 2 districts. Test said tool with 2010 census data.
- **Transition/Training for Next Commission**
  - Outreach for potential applicants
  - Transition in 2019-2020

## Commission Structure

### Advisory Committees

- No need for Outreach or Technical any more; reassign available Commissioners?
- Continued need for Legal, F&A, and Public Information; build on expertise/history
- Potential new committee(s)
  - Evaluation and Outcomes Assessment
  - Constitutional/Statutory Amendments

### Litigation Oversight

- Legal Advisory Committee
  - Commissioner pair with delegated authority for short-term decisions
- 2 from Legal; 1 or 2 from F&A; 1 or 2 from Public Information

### Commission Leadership

- Rotating Chair/Vice Chair
- Management Committee
  - Chair/Vice Chair + Committee Leads?
  - Post-litigation?

### Per Diem Policy

- Need for tighter review due to limited funds, limited assignments
- Review of new activities that may or not qualify, e.g. education/advocacy in other states

## Full Commission Meetings

### Format

- 1-2 days in Sacramento at Commission HQ?
- Videotaped by staff
- Inexpensive transcription

## Frequency

- Monthly during litigation
- Bi-monthly afterward
- Quarterly beginning 2012?