

**Subject:**

**From:** "Linden Curtis" [REDACTED]

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 12:13:48 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Greetings,

I think Temecula (Riverside County) should be included in the so called Perris Con. district, that covers southwest Riverside County...(The PRS Congressional district)

Then Remove and add Corona to the northwestern (Riverside Con. District RVMV)

It would seem to make more sense that way with Temecula in its "home" county Riverside with its twin city Murrieta, instead of separated again in a San Diego County district. That would seem to be an improvement!

Thank you,

*Linden T. Curtis*

*Menifee, CA 92584*

**Subject:** Balkinization of Temecula

**From:** "Boyd Roberts" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 23:06:38 -0700


**To:** <[REDACTED]>

Honorable Citizens:

I know you have a very difficult job and I commend you for your hard work on re-enfranchising California voters, but Temecula belongs in Riverside County not San Diego county. I will not trouble you with the many reasons why this is because I believe that you already know what they are and that you could articulate those reasons better than I.

No, I will write about the one reason of which you may not be aware: Liberty Quarry. Liberty Quarry is one of the single most controversial environmental issues in California today. You have carved out the Quarry site and the most impacted residents in one fell swoop. If you leave Temecula disemboweled and the citizens capacity to seek redress from their elected officials on this issue will be severely weakened. The elected officials in the area will be from San Diego county weighing in on the Riverside County quarry that will sent 70% of its aggregate to San Diego County: talk about moral hazard.

I spoke to your Panel in Norco on May 5, 2011. I suggested at the time that Riverside County shares no communities of interest with San Diego or Orange Counties. I respectfully suggest that that is still the case.

 Primary Capital\_Boyd print (2)

**Subject:** Future for Coachella Valley and Imperial

**From:** Emmanuel Martinez <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 12:17:12 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Dear Commissioners,

The Coachella Valley and Imperial Valley are communities of interest. That is, they are linked in various ways; i.e. demographics, agriculture, Salton Sea, and both are served by the Imperial Irrigation District. Although I am pleased to see the Assembly district include Coachella and Imperial Vallie's, I urge the commission also include Coachella and Imperial Valley together in the Senate and Congressional districts.

Demographically, the subject communities are predominantly Latino. Also, the income of the families residing in these communities are low.

Agriculture is the economic base for both Imperial and Coachella. Many residents living in these communities work in the agriculture industry.

The current issue of the Salton Sea is one that affects both communities as the Salton Sea is a body of water that links both communities. The further deterioration of the Salton Sean will have direct impacts on both the Coachella Valley and Imperial. Nonetheless, the vision to restore the habitat, air quality and water quality, and implement economic development strategies around the Salton Sea would be positive impacts that will be reaped by subject communities.

Furthermore, the IID and and the Coachella Valley Unified School District serve both territories.

Given the common geography, service agencies, demographics and emerging issues, the Coachella and Imperial Valley are much alike and share common interests. I urge to keep these communities together as you plan the future representation for these communities for the next 10 years.

If you should have any questions, please feel free to contact me at your convenience at [REDACTED] office, or [REDACTED] cell.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Emmanuel Martinez  
Council member, City of Coachella

**Subject:** Inland Empire Redistricting Maps

**From:** Gilberto Esquivel <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 21:45:12 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

I have been viewing your latest drawings for our area through your visualization maps. I feel that you have done a very good job of drawing our congressional, senate, and assembly districts that keep our communities of interest together. Riverside, Moreno Valley and Perris for our congressional district, and one assembly district, adding Norco and Corona for our State Senate district and the second assembly district. These communities belong together for multiple reasons such as; sharing educational institutions, medical facilities, cultural activities and the Joint Powers Authority made up of Riverside, Moreno Valley and Perris which is working to develop jobs for our residents. Please keep our communities together and Thanks again for all your hard work.

Sincerely,

Gilberto Esquivel

[REDACTED]

Riverside, Ca 92507

[REDACTED]

**Subject:** Public Comment: 2 - Riverside

**From:** Steve Harding <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 17:22:10 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Steve Harding <[REDACTED]>

Subject: The New City of Jurupa Valley

Message Body:

Good Morning:

The last Congressional map we have seen divides the newly incorporated City into both the Counties of Riverside and San Bernardino. This clearly puts the City at a major disadvantage given the City is entirely within the County of Riverside. We understand that there may be a revised map that corrects this problem by leaving Jurupa Valley entirely within the County of Riverside. We are obviously hopeful that this is true. Is there anyway to get a copy of the current version?

Many Thanks

Steve Harding  
City Manager  
City of Jurupa Valley

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Riverside County Redistricting

**From:** Charlene Stover <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 20:41:09 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

AGNES KENNEDY

[REDACTED]  
HEMET CALIFORNIA  
RESIDENT 16 YRS

RETIRED DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE CONSULTANT 29 YRS. FOR ISSURANCE OF SUBDIVISION REPORTS. WORKED WITH MAJOR DEVELOPERS, CITY UTILITY OFFICES, ATTORNEYS, SUBDIVISION MAPS AND ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS. BOUNDRIES OF CITIES HAS BEEN AN IMPORTANT ISSUE WITH ME FOR MY DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE FILINGS.

I URGE THE COMMISSION TO RETAIN THE DISTRICT OF SAN JACINTO VALLEY TOGETHER. IN RETIRIEING I CHOSED HEMET BECAUSE OF THE SENIOR POPULATION AND THE AGRICULTURE ENVIRONMENT. PLEASE DO NOT MAKE OUR SENIORS FEEL THAT THE ROOTS OF THIS AREA IS TAKEN AWAY.

SINCERELY,

AGNES KENNEDY

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [REDACTED]

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Sunday, July 17, 2011 2:53 PM

**Subject:** Guests

Aggie:

any guests last Friday night? If so, send the names and addresses and I will get letters, membership blanks, and an old newsletter out to them tomorrow.

Your friend who lives in the Village said to tell you hi.--I forgot to say anything. We rode down in the elevator when I went to get the wine from my car. What a charming lady. She really enjoy the lady pilot!!

Thanks--appreciate your help. The Village did an awesome job Friday night especially considering we only reserved for 27 and had around 40!!

charlene

**Subject:** Riverside Districts

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Tue, 19 Jul 2011 12:49:58 EDT

**To:** [REDACTED]

Greetings,

I think Temecula (Riverside County) should be included in the so called Perris Con. district, that covers southwest Riverside County...(The PRS Congressional district)

Then Remove and add Corona to the northwestern (Riverside Con. District RVMV)

It would seem to make more sense that way with Temecula in it's "home" county Riverside with it's twin city Murrieta, instead of separated again in a San Diego County district. That would seem to be an improvement!

Thank you,

Dr. John Manley Luckey  
Temecula /Murrieta Ca.