Citizens Redistricting Commission  
901 P Street, Suite 154-A  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Fax: (916) 651-5711  

Re: Support for revising ONTPM to be consistent with Commission Visualization #2  
presented June 22nd, June 30th, and July 2nd  

Commissioners,  

On behalf of 163,000 residents of the City of Ontario, we have been tracking the challenging job  
the commissioners have been undertaking on behalf of the citizens of California. As we have  
monitored the deliberations of the commission we respectfully request that the Citizens  
Redistricting Commission (“Commission”) revise Draft 1 lines of the “ONTPM Congressional  
District” and seek to keep the district more compact while respecting established communities of  
interest located west of Interstate 15 as outlined in the Commission Visualization #2.  

We appreciate that the Commission respected Ontario as a centrally-situated community placed  
in the middle of State Assembly and Senate districts. We also appreciate that the Commission  
did not split our city, as was the case for some neighboring cities.  

The new Congressional District, named ONTPM by the Commission, would be a new district for  
the Inland Empire as a result of the significant growth of the region. The two-county region is  
poised to gain significant representation at the State and Federal level which will help amplify  
the priorities of the City of Ontario and the Inland Empire.  

Unfortunately, the new ONTPM Congressional District also divides the cities of Chino Hills to  
the south, and Fontana to the north of the same Congressional District.
On June 24th the Commission was presented with a “visualization” that took into account the significant testimony that was given at the June 19th Public Hearing in San Bernardino. Contained within the “visualizations” were alternatives developed by staff working with a subcommittee.

The ONTPM Visualization #2 is superior to prior drafts for the following reasons:

- The district is compact and contains most of the traditionally West Valley communities that are bordered by Kellogg Hill to the west and Interstate 15 to the east;
- The district respects the established community and business ties that exist among educational institutions at the K-12, community college, and Cal State Pomona sphere;
- The District has zero deviation while containing minimal city splits along highways.

For these reasons, we respectfully request that the Commission revise ONTPM to be consistent with Commission Visualization #2 presented June 22nd, June 30th, and July 2nd that includes the entire Cities of Rancho Cucamonga, Upland, Chino, Montclair, Claremont, and Pomona so this region can be represented by one Member of Congress.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit this comment letter to the Commission and thank you for your service to the State of California.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Paul Leon
Mayor
June 25, 2011

To Cal. Citizens Redistricting Comm.

Dear Sir,

You are pledged to be a non-partisan commission. This is absolutely untrue from what you have drawn up in your draft plan. It is very obvious you are all democrats, trying to replace every republican congressman you can.

Splitting Redlands in half (as also many cities) & taking away from Congressman Jerry Lewis his territory, & changing his area to democratic area, is unfair & dishonest.

Please reconsider your decision and to be fair.

I am writing to formally ask you to give Congressman Jerry Lewis the area back & the people he has always represented, which includes Redlands, Fontana, & Highland.

I have talked to many neighbors & friends, & they feel the same way.

Our State & Country should be represented by the two parties as the Constitution declares.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Bierenbach