

Subject: Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

From: "Edward G. Shea" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 8 Jul 2011 23:14:58 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Edward G. Shea <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Keep the city of Rancho Cucamonga in one district

Message Body:

I live in the north west portion of Rancho Cucamonga where you have proposed to place my neighborhood in the San Gabriel mountain district, separating my neighborhood from the bulk of my city, and the county where my interests lie. I would ask that you reconsider this division.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino

From: Walter Hawkins <[REDACTED]>

Date: Sat, 9 Jul 2011 02:14:21 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Walter Hawkins <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Digital Map Releases]

Message Body:

There are many groups and organizations that use the files that you release as drafts besides the LA Times and the Rose Institute. Those of us who are working with community groups utilize this resource even more and our community papers also rely on us for background for their coverage of this important democratic exercise.

The second draft should be released like it was last time in the multiple formats. Some of use ArcGis and the .DBF files are very helpful.

If you don't release a second draft, you really handcuff the public conversation about the new districts. It is more critical now that you release the digital drafts due to the number of section 2 districts that you are proposing. In the San Bernardino and Pomona areas there has not been RPV. This is documented by the actual election outcomes going back to the Honorable Ruben Ayala and to the current seat held by the Honorable Wilmer Amina Carter. All section 2 criteria need to be considered. The current analysis that you have been provide about this region may need some supplemental analyses.

The IEAAAdRC feels that the visualizations are good conceptual models but they do not allow for a detailed analysis down to the street level. Many times the labels cover criticle parts of the maps.

It would have been very helpful to the Commission to have been given density maps that would have provided a better picture about the relationship of the ethnic and racial communities and their actual distributions. An example was included in our June 19th testimony regarding the Ebony Triangle.

Thank you for doing the streaming of the meetings. However, many people are not retired like me and have the time to watch each meeting. Please keep your promise of a second draft and allow us to get more community level feedback to you prior to the release of the final maps.

My phone number is [REDACTED]

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission