Here is the map from the IEAARC

--
Erica Teasley Linnick, Esq.
Coordinator
African American Redistricting Collaborative (AARC)
Los Angeles CA 90044
(ph)

2010723_IEAARC_CD_SBcounty(2).pdf
223K
Move Upland and Rancho Cucamonga from SB to ONTPM 209,941 people.

Move Part of Fontana, remainder of Rialto, and all of Bloomington from ONTPM to SB
Switch of 209, 491 people
Subject: Public Comment: 2 - San Bernardino
From: Philip Southard <PhilipSouthard@citizenscommission.ca.gov>
Date: Thu, 28 Jul 2011 23:35:06 +0000
To: 

From: Philip Southard <PhilipSouthard@citizenscommission.ca.gov>
Subject: Rancho Cucamonga Assembly District

Message Body:
Dear Commissioners,

I believe there has been a glaring oversight in drawing the boundaries for Rancho Cucamonga's assembly district.

I live in Rancho Cucamonga west of Haven Avenue and north of Banyon, which splits me and my neighbors from the rest of our city.

We would be better served by an assemblymember with ties to the entire city, not just a small portion of it.

I hope that you will see this as well and choose to keep the entire city of Rancho Cucamonga in one Assembly district.

Thank you,

Philip Southard

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
you can not split the city of rialto and you can not take colton out of the 43 congressional district the cities of colton rialto bloomington grand terrace san bernardino need to stay in the same congressional seat they are all in the common for all aspects of like schools income level and most of all what the needs are. the 4-3-2-1 map sent in i hope you will take out look and reconsider the idea of it san bernardino county is one of the largest in the united states and it has been the least represented by state and federal help you can keep the county in whole in tact and full representation in tact. little bits and pieces of my county have belonged in other neighboring counties and the small pockets of san bernardino county have not been addressed on any politicians list of importance for the majority of their voters are in the LA and Riverside Counties i know if we had had a 100% this last two years our economy wouldnt of suuffered as badly as it has there is no excuse why !

we can have 4-3-2-1 we deserve full representation

--
Subject: Redistricting statements

From: [redacted]

Date: Thu, 28 Jul 2011 19:49:33 EDT

To: [redacted]

July 28, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814
Fax: (916) [redacted]

To the California Redistricting Commission:

I am a resident of Colton and I am opposed to the way the SB and ONTPM Congressional Districts were recently mapped. There are two horizontal maps placed on top of each other, cutting cities in half and grouping regions of the county that simply do not belong with each other.

I took one look at the final congressional maps and I was shocked to see Colton placed in a congressional district that starts in Mentone and ends far away at the end of the county map in Upland.

Colton deserves strong representation in a Congressional district with the mid-valley cities of San Bernardino County—San Bernardino, Rialto, Fontana, and Bloomington.

The First Draft is more appropriate than the drastic changes made in the final draft. The First Draft needs to come back in order to preserve the community interest of Colton and other cities in San Bernardino County.

Sincerely,

Frank Navarro
Planning Commissioner
Colton, CA 92324

First do what is necessary, then do what is possible, and before long you will find yourself doing the impossible.

St. Francis of Assisi
July 28, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814
Fax: (916) 651-5711

RE: SB and ONTPM Congressional Districts

To the California Citizens Redistricting Commission

As a Colton City Council Member representing the best interest of our citizens, I am deeply disturbed by the boundaries of the SB Congressional District which spans from one corner of the county to the other. Furthermore, I am also concerned about other issues of contiguity as the SB Congressional map approaches Rancho Cucamonga through Fontana.

Colton, Rancho Cucamonga, and Redlands are not just a strange mix of cities in a district, but are worlds apart, socioeconomically, politically, demographically, and have completely different city issues.

It is unfortunate that the City of Colton became part of the leftovers in the Congressional district mapping.

Please consider making changes to the SB and ONTPM Congressional Districts in San Bernardino County. I trust the Citizens Redistricting Commission will take equitable and fair actions to remedy the City of Colton’s concerns.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

David Toro
Council Member, District 1
City of Colton

cc: Members of the Colton City Council
    Rod Foster, Colton City Manager
July 28, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814
Fax: (916) 651-5711

RE: SB and ONTPM Congressional Districts

To the California Citizens Redistricting Commission

I am a life-long resident and Councilman of the City of Colton and have been disturbed by the boundaries of the SB Congressional District which spans from one corner of the county to the other. There are also issues of contiguity as the SB Congressional map approaches Rancho Cucamonga through Fontana.

Colton, Rancho Cucamonga, and Redlands are not just a strange mix of cities in a district, but are worlds apart, socioeconomically, politically, demographically, and have completely different city issues.

It is unfortunate that the City of Colton became part of the leftovers in the Congressional district mapping.

Please consider making changes to the SB and ONTPM Congressional Districts in San Bernardino County. I trust the Citizens Redistricting Commission will take equitable and fair actions to remedy the City of Colton’s concerns.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Frank Gonzales
Council Member, District 2
City of Colton

cc: Members of the Colton City Council
Rod Foster, Colton City Manager