Keep Torrance in the 36 congressional district (and assembly district).

Howard Little,
Torrance resident for 43 years.
Dear Redistrictors

Please do not recreate the same strange districts we had before! My husband and I are strongly opposed to weird district shapes! Please put Torrance back in the 36th Congressional District and make the South Bay from Westchester to the south---- only! El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne, and Gardena----all belong in the same district.

We in the South Bay ask that you keep us together. This is very important to us. It's not about party or ideology. It's about our neighbors and having a representative who lives in our community and can actually represent us.

Sincerely,

krista allen
and maher sesi

redondo beach, ca
Put Torrance back in the 36th District. Your proposal in splitting the congruity of the south bay communities.
Dear Redistricting Committee,

I am a resident and business owner in the South Bay area of Los Angeles. I live in Torrance, and my business is in Hawthorne. I understand that the committee is planning to change the boundaries of CA-36, and I wish to express my opinion about the areas that should be part of this district. The “South Bay” is a community – everyone here refers to it as such, and it consists of the following cities:

    Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Redondo Beach, Hermosa Beach, Manhattan Beach, El Segundo, Gardena and Hawthorne.

The residents and businesses in our community share common interests, economic concerns and personal lives, and therefore we should be represented by someone who lives in our community and can represent us as our neighbor. Please note:

    Torrance is at the center of the South Bay, and it makes no sense for it to be excluded! Keep Torrance in the district.

    By no means, should CA-36 extend north beyond Westchester!! The district should be from south of Westchester only.

Thank you for doing the right thing,

    Cindi Krippendorf

    Torrance, CA  90505
Subject: 36th District Boundaries
From: Fred Hauer
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 07:42:04 -0700
To: Rita < "Fred S. Hauer" < Brian Hauer
     Michelle Hauer <

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Redistricting Commission,

I understand you have become quite creative, indeed excessively so, in forming boundaries of the 36th district. Are you trying to demonstrate that you can gerrymander as well as the best of them? Well, please stop that immediately.

The cites south of LAX; namely, El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne, and Gardena belong in the new 36th Congressional and Assembly districts. Please make it so without further ado..

Regards,

Fred Hauer
To the Commission,

Please keep our Beach Cities together. Torrance should be in our 36th district as well as Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills and from Westchester south. This only makes sense.

Thank you,
Valerie Pierce
Hermosa Beach
I am appalled that you plan to remove Torrance from the 36th Congressional District. Torrance is part of the beach community and should not be separated from the rest of the south bay. Presently, we do not get fair representation and with your new redistricting it will be worst. Just look at the last elections between Hahn and Craig. Craig won the beach cities and Hahn won Carson, Wilmington and Harbor City. Two of the communities are in the City of LA and all are service by the LAUSD. They hardly have any thing in common with the beach cities yet because your gerrymandering they select their own candidate that surely do not represent my view. I will do anything within my power to present this from happening.

Robert R. Heredia
Subject: 
From: "David Peterson" >
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 06:58:18 -0700
To: <>

Dear Sir,

The current lines drawn for the 36th district should include the areas with like interests, eliminating Torrance from the district does not accomplish that goal. We should be included with our neighbors and friends in Redondo, Manhattan, Hermosa and RPV. We voted for this group to draw districts that make sense please honor that commission.

David E. Peterson
Creative Pathways, Inc.
Put TORRANCE BACK IN THE 36 District.
Howard Little,
Torrance Resident for 43 Years.
To whom it may concern,

I am an El Segundo resident and concerned about the latest proposed district lines. I would like to see Playa del Rey, Westchester through El Segundo, the Beach Cities down into Palos Verdes, Torrance and Lomita to be included as the nucleus of new districts in what are now the US Representative CA-36, State Senate CA-28, and State Assembly CA-53. These cities represent similar demographics and are all part of the South Bay Community. The South Bay should not be split into different districts as the residents of this area have similar concerns. South Bay citizens need to have appropriate representation in both the US House and the California State Assembly to properly support the needs of our community.

Sincerely,

Judy Doukakis
El Segundo Resident
Subject: City of HAWTHORNE = Beach Boys
From: Frank Di Pasquale <redacted>
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 00:26:03 -0700 (PDT)
To: <redacted>

HAWTHORNE; home of the Beach Boys. There is even a state historical marker to memorialize Hawthorne as the heart of surf music. I have lived in Hawthorne 51 years and we have always been considered part of South Bay along with all the other beach cities. PLEASE KEEP HAWTHORNE's HISTORICAL STATUS AND CONNECTION WITH BEACH COMMUNITY

Frank Di Pasquale,
Hawthorne, CA

Sent from Yahoo! Mail on Android
July 18, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County: Altadena & Pasadena
Attention: Jeanne Raya & Connie Galambos Malloy

Dear Honorable Members of the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

The undersigned persons have the following COI's in common:
341 Ana Carbajal, Pasadena 91103
340 Israel D. Carbajal, Pasadena 91103

1) City of Pasadena,
2) Pasadena Unified School District or
3) Washington Elementary School in Pasadena Unified School District
4) Pasadena City College &
5) Zip Code 91103

Enclosed is a MAP for the boundaries of the ALL the Elementary Schools in Pasadena Unified School District. As published by Pasadena Unified School District on December, 2010.
Each Elementary School is its own COI at the most fundamental level: the neighborhood!

City boundaries should be respected.

Unified School districts should be respected.

Zip Codes are the domain of the United States Postal Service, a GSE. They are a Federal sanction of sub-units of geography. Therefore well under your jurisdiction to determine for your consideration.

In testimony COI’s are give the same weight as county lines.

Sincerely,

Martin A. C. Enriquez*Marquez

CC: Astrid Garcia( )
   Spanish speakers, Neighborhood School, Community College

Equality California( ) over lapping COI in Zip Code 91103

Enclosures:
   1) Pasadena Unified School District,
      Map of Elementary School Boundaries, December 2010
Spanish Speakers, ethnic voting bloc, PUSD, 91103 zip code,
Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814
Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME: Ana Carabajal

ZIP CODE 91103

CITY OF RESIDENCE Pasadena

NEIGHBORHOOD Wasaden

SCHOOL _______________

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Pasadena

COMMUNITY COLLEGE PCC

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIOECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking
PLACE OF WORSHIP: Pasadena, St. Philip the Apostle
Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association

SÁNCHEZ

SIGNATURE Ana Carabajal

DATE 7-17-11

CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN
Citizens Redistricting Commission
1130 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

Region IV, Los Angeles County

NAME: Israel D. Carbajel

ZIP CODE 91103

CITY OF RESIDENCE Pasadena

NEIGHBORHOOD School: Washington

UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT Pasadena

COMMUNITY COLLEGE RCC

LANGUAGE SPOKEN, GEOGRAPHY, SCIENTIFIC, CULTURAL, SOCIO-ECONOMIC, PLACE OF WORSHIP, PHILOSOPHICAL, RECREATIONAL:

Spanish Speaking,
PLACE OF WORSHIP: Pasadena, St Philips the Apostle
Jefferson Park Neighborhood Association

St. Andrews

SIGNATURE

DATE 07-17-2011

CHICANO, HISPANIC, LATINO, MEXICAN AMERICAN
Consolidation of Loma Alta to Altadena and Franklin
Consolidation of Burbank to Longfellow and Webster
Consolidation of
Loma Alta to Altadena and Franklin

Consolidation of
Burbank to Longfellow and Webster

Source:
Pasadena U.S.D.
Davis Demographics & Planning, Inc.
December, 2010
Consolidation of
Loma Alta to Altadena and Franklin
Consolidation of
Burbank to Longfellow and Webster
Heed the good counsel of Pasadena Mayor Bill Bogaard of June 14, 2011.

In June 1992, Mr. Bill Bogaard was the Chairman of Pasadena’s Redistricting Task Force. The report was made after extensive input from all stakeholders of Pasadena. The Redistricting Task Force corrected a one hundred year plus (100 year plus) situation in which African Americans were contravened as a matter of local regulations from electing Councilmember of their choice in District 1. Pasadena had a two tier process, if a person did not win a majority of the vote in their Council District in the primary, then the City as a whole would choose between the top two contenders. This would nullify the choice of the residents of District 1. It was only in 1991 that the residents of District 1 of Northwest Pasadena elected an African American, despite being the majority.

I do not understand why the drawing of the lines in West Covina should determine if Pasadena is split in the Northwest. Thus, once again creating a situation that is preventable from occurring: the disenfranchisement of Chicano, Hispanic and Latino (CHL) and the vibrant African American community of Pasadena’s Northwest (North of Colorado Blvd. and West of Lake Avenue).

The original plan to link Pasadena with beautiful downtown Burbank and the fair city of Glendale would have resulted in bisecting Pasadena from Altadena. Altadena and Pasadena are nearly seamless from Devil’s Gate to Lake Avenue. Result: disenfranchisement of the African American Communities of Altadena and of Pasadena by fragmenting them into two separate Assembly districts where they would be under 10% in each district. The two communities are only a block apart. As I said the city line with the county line is indistinguishable. Whether the CRC intended the circumstance to occur out of ignorance or malice is for others to determine.

I have a modicum of knowledge of the area. I was Pasadena raised and La Canada-Flintridge educated. I often traversed the few blocks from my home to across Altadena to La Canada-Flintridge. The extremes from poverty to opulence were breath taking. For over four decades I have lived in Pasadena. My grandparents: Luciano Enriquez, Ramona Rodriguez de Enriquez, Luis Marquez and Agripina Carbajal de Marquez lived their long lives here. Ramona passed away on December 19, 2007, four months shy of her hundredth (100th) birthday. Their progeny is found throughout all of Pasadena and the San Gabriel Valley. Mexican Americans in Pasadena celebrated their centennial in 2010.

Additionally, I created redistricting plans (plural) for the Pasadena Area Community College District in 1991-1992. In those studies and the last twenty years, I have witness the continual fragment of
the African American and Chicano, Hispanic and Latino (CHL) between Pasadena and Altadena by Assembly and Congressional Districts to their determent.

Surely, any demographer worth their salt and a iota of knowledge of the Voting Rights Act would recognize that within a two mile radius of Sacred Heart Church (2889 North Lincoln Avenue, Altadena CA 91001) the VRA had them in mind. PCC cut Altadena from Pasadena in Trustee Districts (north and south) and split Altadena (east and west by Lake Avenue) to create ONE (1) district in Pasadena’s Northwest to satisfy the most minimal attempt at “minority representation” on its Board of Trustees. An intolerable record of indifference to maintain their incumbency and a callous display of raw power without any redeeming qualities exercised by both Democrats and Republicans alike.

Pasadena City is far from an ideal shangri-la but it is light years away in creating an outlet for its populace to select its leaders vis-à-vis the PCC Board of Trustees. In Pasadena there are four individuals of seven Council members who are not of Euro-American descent. Three of those are VRA districts in the Northwest, were some CRC visualizations zigzag through. Those wiggly lines would upset the modus vivendi.

Additionally, Pasadena should follow the 710 freeway to Montebello. This would become a safety value. As the lines are currently drawn, the small cities of San Gabriel have created a firewall around the core of downtown Los Angeles putting pressure to the coast: Inglewood and Long Beach and inland to Orange County. Over concentration of VRA electors is unhealthy and detrimental.

An Assembly district based on the Pasadena Area Community College District going south to Alhambra Unified and Montebello Unified School District and picking up zip code 90022 (County area) would de-concentrate the LA core.

La Canada Unified School District could easily be linked with the other Foothill communities south of the High Desert of Los Angeles County to La Verne and Claremont and beyond to as far as Rancho Cucamonga, as some visualization have Pasadena-Altadena linked to. My state senator is the Honorable Carol Liu, before that was my Assembly person. She followed in the path of the Honorable Jack Scott, the Chancellor of ALL California’s Community Colleges. He had been the superb Superintendent of Pasadena City College, the pearl of the community of colleges. An all-ethnically inclusive district would be beneficial to all of Los Angeles County and have pacific waves spreading harmony to both sides of downtown LA.

If one were to observe the Los Angeles County Supervisorial Districts which have pass mustard (Garza v. County of Los Angeles), but are less than pluperfect; solutions would come to mind such as the 710 Altadena-Pasadena, South Pasadena, Alhambra to Montebello. Again all PACCD cities included with the addition of Duarte, Monrovia and Azusa. There would be no need to mention Gingles.
Again, I implore CRC and supportive staff to heed Mayor Bogaard, he knows better than most.

Respectfully,

Martin Arturo Carlos Enriquez*Marquez
Tuesday, July 19, 2011

CC: AARC, Equality California, NALEO
Heed the good counsel of Pasadena Mayor Bill Bogaard of June 14, 2011
February 9, 1992

Mr. Martin A.C. Enriquez-Marquez
Shenman Oaks, CA 91412

Dear Mr. Marquez:

I am in receipt of the materials that you mailed to the Pasadena Area Community College District Board. I have tried many times to notify you of that fact by phone, but you obviously keep a very busy schedule!

I have reviewed your maps and would offer the following comments:

1. You have done a good job in terms of minority representation in Districts 3 and 4. As consultants to the Board, we discussed the approach that you have used; but the Board seemed to prefer a district design that would create one clearly minority district, rather than creating two minority influence districts (both of which could end in electing a non-minority representative).

2. There is a slight problem of non-contiguity in District 3.

3. Deviations in total population are greater than we generally like to see, but could be adjusted at the block level.

Although somewhat lacking in compactness and contiguity, your effort is one of the better Citizen Plans that we have reviewed in many jurisdictions. I would congratulate you on your effort. It is a pity that the plan was not made available earlier in the public process for review by the Board. I would, however, invite you to meet with me and my colleague, Dr. Armando Navarro of the Institute of Social Justice, so that we can discuss your views.

Dr. Goldmann noted that you had categorized Dr. Heslop as "a conservative." I will only note that Dr. Heslop has been a leader in the effort to achieve minority representation; and I know that Dr. Navarro and Dr. Richard Santillan of Cal Poly Pomona would be among the first to agree with me.

With every good wish.

Sincerely,

Florence Adams

FA:cb
cc: Dr. William Goldmann
     Dr. Alan Heslop

Claremont, CA 91711
Martin—

Thanks for your latest letter as well as your intense involvement in tracking the redistricting process. I'm pleased you are comfortable with the outcome.

Rick
June 1

Dear [Name],

I was certainly impressed with the extent of your involvement in our districting studies over the last year. I want to thank you for your concern and commitment to the future of [District].

Best regards,

Bill
M.A.C. Maestro Enriquez-Mendez
Pasadena, California 91106
### Pasadena Area Community College District Adopted Plan Summary Sheet:
#### Total and Voting Age Population by Ethnic Breakdown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Number</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Hispanic Origin</th>
<th>Hispanic Origin %</th>
<th>NH White</th>
<th>NH White %</th>
<th>NH Black</th>
<th>NH Black %</th>
<th>NH Am. Ind.</th>
<th>NH Am. Ind. %</th>
<th>NH Asian</th>
<th>NH Asian %</th>
<th>NH Other</th>
<th>NH Other %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>53824</td>
<td>4923</td>
<td>9.15%</td>
<td>32751</td>
<td>60.86%</td>
<td>11984</td>
<td>22.27%</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>3942</td>
<td>7.32%</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>54298</td>
<td>7051</td>
<td>12.99%</td>
<td>39056</td>
<td>71.94%</td>
<td>3959</td>
<td>7.29%</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
<td>3954</td>
<td>7.26%</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>54190</td>
<td>23491</td>
<td>43.35%</td>
<td>8298</td>
<td>15.31%</td>
<td>19781</td>
<td>36.50%</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>2253</td>
<td>4.16%</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54109</td>
<td>11115</td>
<td>20.54%</td>
<td>31788</td>
<td>58.75%</td>
<td>4313</td>
<td>7.97%</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>6545</td>
<td>12.10%</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>54408</td>
<td>6707</td>
<td>12.33%</td>
<td>33822</td>
<td>62.16%</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>1.71%</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>12764</td>
<td>23.46%</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>54226</td>
<td>21930</td>
<td>40.44%</td>
<td>19429</td>
<td>35.83%</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>0.69%</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.27%</td>
<td>12263</td>
<td>22.61%</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>53548</td>
<td>5836</td>
<td>10.90%</td>
<td>35013</td>
<td>65.39%</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.27%</td>
<td>12095</td>
<td>22.59%</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>376593</strong></td>
<td><strong>81053</strong></td>
<td><strong>21.41%</strong></td>
<td><strong>200157</strong></td>
<td><strong>52.87%</strong></td>
<td><strong>41722</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.02%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1128</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.30%</strong></td>
<td><strong>53816</strong></td>
<td><strong>14.21%</strong></td>
<td><strong>717</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.19%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Number</th>
<th>Total 18+ Population</th>
<th>Hispanic 18+</th>
<th>Hispanic 18+ %</th>
<th>NH White 18+</th>
<th>NH White 18+ %</th>
<th>NH Black 18+</th>
<th>NH Black 18+ %</th>
<th>NH Am. Ind. 18+</th>
<th>NH Am. Ind. 18+ %</th>
<th>NH Asian 18+</th>
<th>NH Asian 18+ %</th>
<th>NH Other 18+</th>
<th>NH Other 18+ %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41397</td>
<td>3319</td>
<td>8.02%</td>
<td>26647</td>
<td>64.37%</td>
<td>8608</td>
<td>20.79%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>2688</td>
<td>6.49%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42726</td>
<td>5003</td>
<td>11.71%</td>
<td>31682</td>
<td>74.15%</td>
<td>2897</td>
<td>6.78%</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
<td>2958</td>
<td>6.92%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37775</td>
<td>14818</td>
<td>39.23%</td>
<td>7173</td>
<td>18.99%</td>
<td>13726</td>
<td>36.33%</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.39%</td>
<td>1814</td>
<td>4.80%</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45166</td>
<td>8144</td>
<td>18.03%</td>
<td>26099</td>
<td>62.21%</td>
<td>3263</td>
<td>7.22%</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.40%</td>
<td>5390</td>
<td>11.93%</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>42331</td>
<td>4896</td>
<td>11.57%</td>
<td>27703</td>
<td>65.44%</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>8866</td>
<td>20.89%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>39604</td>
<td>14343</td>
<td>36.22%</td>
<td>15958</td>
<td>40.29%</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.58%</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>8910</td>
<td>22.50%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>41966</td>
<td>4303</td>
<td>10.25%</td>
<td>29151</td>
<td>69.46%</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>0.66%</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>8090</td>
<td>19.28%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>290965</strong></td>
<td><strong>54826</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.84%</strong></td>
<td><strong>166413</strong></td>
<td><strong>57.19%</strong></td>
<td><strong>29707</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.21%</strong></td>
<td><strong>876</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.30%</strong></td>
<td><strong>38736</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.31%</strong></td>
<td><strong>407</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.14%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### District Deviations

**Ideal District:** 54,085

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>53824</td>
<td>54288</td>
<td>54190</td>
<td>54109</td>
<td>54408</td>
<td>54226</td>
<td>53648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>-261</td>
<td>+203</td>
<td>+105</td>
<td>+24</td>
<td>+323</td>
<td>+141</td>
<td>-537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>-0.48%</td>
<td>+0.38%</td>
<td>+0.19%</td>
<td>+0.04%</td>
<td>+0.60%</td>
<td>+0.26%</td>
<td>-0.99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** "NH" = "Not of Hispanic Origin"
February 12, 1992

Martin A.C. Enríquez*Marquez
Sherman Oaks, CA 91413

Dear Martin:

Thank you for your kind letter of February 5.

You certainly spent an enormous amount of time and creative energy in coming up with your plans.

Considering that the redistricting plan is scheduled to last for ten years, it is something well worth attention.

It is my opinion that in your latest plans 4614 sticks out in a way that is generally thought to be too much like a gerrymander even though I know that you sought fairness. Although the demographers seemed to find no fault with Area One being transformed into a very "white" one, I was not comfortable with that aspect. I know that there would be Asians in that area, and although this can certainly change, Asians seem to identify with "whites." The Asians seem to be an allied economic group.

The main benefit, as I saw it, of further consideration of your plan, was that sometimes a plan in itself may not be what a decision maker wants, but a dramatic plan would, at least theoretically, have in it the germs of another adoptable plan.

I'll give you the benefit of my experience in offering you a tiny bit of wisdom. Many boards have a sort of built-in hostility to bold initiatives which are sprung on them -- they like to be able to work things through in their minds before a meeting. Sometimes this can even be a plus as I've seen a staunch, honest conservative in effect say "I can't go for that plan, but here's a way in which it could work."
As I said before, working on your own with a difficult problem, you do rate an A for effort.

Sincerely,

WALTER T. SHATFORD II

WTS/yl

P.S. In regard to the use of your surnames, I think the Board was a little confused. The persons from National Geographic, with whom you had met and conferred, kept calling you "Mr. Marquez" and since you didn't request otherwise (and probably because you were engrossed in the really important issues), I think there was a feeling that this was probably a shorthand use agreeable to you. I'm confident that no offense was meant. Incidentally, I'm interested in the asterisk being used in the place of a hyphen. My interest may be a product of my ignorance. Some lawyers use all lower case letters such as in robert e. wallach (an excellent lawyer), the once indicted buddy of Ed. Meese. There also was the somewhat figure of fun in the 30's in the Education of H*Y*M*A*N K*A*P*L*A*N who wrote his name that way in his adult self improvement class.
Thank you for the opportunity to present my views and our plan to you.

As you consider options for the future, please consider the following factors in the region:

- The proposed landfill's potential impacts on the environment and community health.
- The economic benefits and drawbacks of the project.
- The need for public input and transparency throughout the planning process.

I believe that a comprehensive evaluation of these factors will lead to a decision that is suitable for our community.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Redacted]

Date: [Redacted]
Subject: Keep Torrance in District 36!
From: Allan & Jessi Kung <[redacted]>
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 07:47:08 -0700
To: [redacted]

Dear Sir,
As resident of Torrance, California I am writing you to insist that Torrance remain in district 36. Torrance is a part of the South Bay and It doesn't make sense that you would remove our city from this district. Does the suggested boundaries represent the interests of the people of the South Bay or the politicians?
This is our community! Our lives our intertwined with our neighbors. My husband works in El Segundo and his orthopedic doctor is in Manhattan Beach. My daughter attended an SAT prep class in Hermosa Beach and the church we attend is in Redondo Beach. We in the South Bay ask that you keep us together and allow us to have a representative who lives in our community and can actually represent us.
Sincerely,
Jessica Perreira-Kung
Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Florence Chapgier <...
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 07:53:36 +0000
To: 

From: Florence Chapgier <...
Subject: Brentwood Lines

Message Body:
I live in Brentwood and am very active both at BCC and my HOA, in Mandeville Canyon.

I am astounded that the VA could disappear from our Brentwood district. I have been involved with the veterans for more than fifteen years, and I feel they are like my children. I know so many who volunteered too.

The veterans move our heart, even more, by the way they have been let down by previous government.

If the VA disappear from our area, I have a friend at the NY Times who will write an article about this,

Most sincerely

Flo Chaogier

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
From: Brian Scott Crowley <brian.s.crowley@gmail.com>

Subject: El Segundo cut off by new assembly district line

Message Body:
I want to file my objections to the prototype Assembly district boundaries that both cut El Segundo off from its neighbors to the south, and attach it to communities to the north and east. As a former planning commissioner in the City of El Segundo (1992-2001), and commission Chairman for 4 1/2 of those years, I want to point out that El Segundo is physically divided from the communities to the north and east by the barriers presented by LAX and the 405 freeway; it basically shares very little business relationship to those communities due to these barriers. El Segundo has a natural commercial partnership with Manhattan Beach to the south, since the shopping districts of south El Segundo and northern Manhattan Beach are immediately adjacent; El Segundo restaurants and shops (even the restaurants of Downtown El Segundo) depend heavily on Manhattan Beach customers, and El Segundans also look south to go shopping, not north. We don't see many customers in town from north of the airport; they typically look to the north to go shopping. We have traditionally been associated with Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach and Redondo Beach in one Assembly district, which is important because these areas largely share the same values and concerns for development, business, traffic management, schools, and housing. To associate El Segundo with the communities to the north and east would leave it as a small, detached orphan community in a district where the other areas have other values and concerns because they have a very different problem set than El Segundo. There is a common misperception (which has been used by some to erroneously assume strong ties between El Segundo with Westchester) that El Segundo depends heavily on LAX for its livelihood; the fact is that the economic engine in El Segundo is driven by the presence of Los Angeles Air Force Base (located in El Segundo on El Segundo Boulevard), which results in the heavy presence of the aerospace business in the town. There is virtually no aerospace business north of the airport, but there are aerospace major facilities in the beach communities to the south. Hotels in El Segundo are not here because of their proximity to the airport; they are here because of their proximity to business destinations in El Segundo and the communities to the south.

Please find a way to change the assembly district lines to attach the small community of El Segundo to its partners to the south. Since El Segundo is relatively small in population, it should not be too hard to balance the district sizes by moving some unincorporated county areas in the northeast portion of the Beach Cities district into the neighboring district to the north.

I do care about this, because as a former Planning Commissioner, I know that communities that are physically divided by barriers like freeways (or a major airport) do not function as well as communities that remain undivided; I have heard it discussed many times at statewide Planning Commissioner conventions. This planning principle is contained in the El Segundo Zoning code, wherein residential zones are restricted to one contiguous portion of town; this averts the tendency among divided population areas to argue with each other over which area gets which benefits from the city. The principle applies to larger entities like assembly districts; I am concerned that El Segundo would be a totally ignored portion of an assembly district that attaches us to communities from which we are isolated.
Please contact me if you have any questions or need any further information about what I've said. I could perhaps arrange to meet with your members or representatives if necessary. It is essential for El Segundo to have adequate representation in the CA Assembly by remaining in the same district for the next ten years as its partner cities to the south.

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles
From: Joel and Eleanor Pelcyger <[email protected]>
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 14:55:38 +0000
To: [REDACTED]

From: Joel and Eleanor Pelcyger <[email protected]>
Subject: Brentwood

Message Body:
We have lived in Brentwood for more than 30 years. We have never thought of ourselves as anything BUT Brentwood residents. It is absurd to try to draw a line down the middle of our neighborhood and try to call it a different Congressional District and we will resist that option vigorously.

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
Subject: Redistricting parts of Brentwood in Los Angeles

I am opposed to redistricting parts of Brentwood in Los Angeles.

1. Keep the VA in our district - we have worked diligently with them to improve, maintain and protect the contiguous land and its use.
2. Many of our programs and governance is done within our zip code area to strengthen our input as a community

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles
From: Bernard Schiffer <mailto:bernard.schiffer@....>
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 15:37:15 +0000
To: [email protected]

From: Bernard Schiffer <mailto:bernard.schiffer@....>
Subject: redistiriting

Message Body:
Please Keep Brentwood and the V A in one district

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
From: Nancy Jaffe  
Subject: keep Brentwood district together  

Message Body:
Please keep Brentwood district together, including the VA which we have long supported and worked with, and the southeast part of Brentwood, including many apartment dwellings.

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles
From: Berti [redacted]
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 16:02:48 +0000
To: [redacted]

From: Berti [redacted]
Subject: don't break up Brentwood!!!!!!

Message Body:
We are a wonderful united community and I think it's really mean of you to try to separate us. We love our VA and want that to stay in our district!!! We work well together and support each other in all of 90049!! I may live in the "slums of Brentwood" but I still have a major voice and love living here and am part of the rich - they do listen to us little folks and we want to stay united. We have FUN together!!!!!! Thank you for listening.
In joy,
Berti

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles
From: Charles Lagreco <Charles Lagreco >
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 16:08:02 +0000
To: 

From: Charles Lagreco <Charles Lagreco >
Subject: congressional district boundary

Message Body:
Brentwood Glen is located in a pocket between the 405 Freeway and the VA. It is an active member of the Brentwood community and our interests and concerns are directly tied to this community. Please do not further isolate our neighborhood by cutting it off from the rest of Brentwood. Re-aligning this small section of the district would not otherwise impact on overall planning. CALagreco Prof. of Community Design USC

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles
From: Veronika Bisani <veronika.bisani@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, 19 Jul 2011 16:26:57 +0000
To: citizensredistricting@california.gov

From: Veronika Bisani <veronika.bisani@gmail.com>
Subject: PLEASE CHANGE THE LATEST CONGRESSIONAL MAP BOUNDARY WHICH DEVIDES THE COMMUNITY OF VALLEY VILLAGE CALIFORNIA

Message Body:
PLEASE CHANGE THE LATEST CONGRESSIONAL MAP BOUNDARY WHICH DEVIDES THE COMMUNITY OF VALLEY VILLAGE CALIFORNIA.

I HAVE LIVED IN VALLEY VILLAGE FOR THE LAST 21 YEARS.

--
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission
Commissioners:

When you Commissioners were picked, many of us in Los Angeles (and many in the media) were concerned that none of you lived in the City of Los Angeles. We were told not to worry, that you understood the region and would draw fair maps.

However, you have continually placed the Silver Lake hills (south 90039), a hilly community with houses priced from $1 million to $10 million dollars, in with the East LA district. This is not fair to Silver Lake OR East LA.

It makes much better sense to draw the Silver Lake 90039 (aka Moreno Highlands) neighborhood in with the rest of 90039, which you place in the Glendale-Burbank-Los Feliz-Hollywood Hills district. That way, you don't split a zip code (90039) and you don't split the Hollywood Hills/Los Feliz/Silver Lake hills HILLS.

An easy way to do this would be to simply cut out the southernmost portion of the current Glendale-Burbank district, the flatlands near the 101 Freeway and Santa Monica Boulevard. Those can easily go into the East LA district, and with their Central American expat population, would be a good logical fit too.

Thanks for listening.