

Subject: Westchester

From: nora nazeley <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 12:30 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Good afternoon.

For the past decades, Westchester has been a misfit amongst communities of Inglewood, Compton, Lennox, Gardena, South Central, West Athens, Westmont and Florence-Graham in the 35th Congressional District. Earlier this year it was determined that Westchester/Playa shares much more with the South Bay/Beach Cities and they should be included in the same congressional district, rather than with the current members of the 35th. Now the latest discussion of having Westchester separated from the South Bay cities and lumped back in with cities including Inglewood, etc is very disappointing. Westchester, Playa del Rey, and Playa Vista share many community interests and goals with the South Bay/Beach Cities, which is why these cities should stay together.

I bought a home in Westchester with my husband last year, and we are about to start our own family. Finding out that Westchester might not be lumped with the South Bay cities makes me seriously wonder about the future of Westchester.

Nora Gorman

Subject: "LAMWS" districting

From: Ariella Ives <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:12 PM

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Members of the Commission,

I am a resident of the Pico-Robertson neighborhood of Los Angeles, but I have a strong connection to the Fairfax/Hancock Park neighborhood as well. My children attend school in Hancock Park, I shop several times a week on Fairfax and participate in community activities at schools on Beverly Boulevard and La Brea Boulevard. It is obvious to me that these two neighborhoods, Pico-Robertson and Fairfax/Hancock Park, constitute a single, integrated community of interest (COI).

Thank you for putting some of the Pico-Robertson neighborhood into the "LAMWS" district; however, I am requesting that the Pico-Robertson and Beverlywood neighborhoods not be divided in half, with only part of those neighborhoods being integrated into the "LAMWS" district. All of Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood should be in the "LAMWS" district, along with Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park and Beverly Hills. Uniting our community in a single district will not weaken the representation of any other minority group or community of interest.

Ariella Ives

From: Susie Abramson <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 8:50 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

To whom it may concern,

I live in the Hancock Park area. The Fairfax/Hancock Park neighborhood and Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood neighborhood make up a single community-of-interest with many shared institutions. I personally live in one area and shop in many stores in the other, including Judaica book stores, clothing stores, and kosher food markets. My children's doctors are also in this neighborhood. In addition, I attend parenting classes at Aleinu Family Resource Center located at [REDACTED]

Please unite our community into a single district and please do not divide Pico/Robertson/Beverlywood in half. Please put them in the "LAMWS" district along with Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park and Beverly Hills.

Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Subject: District lines

From: Chana Ganzburg <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 10:52 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Hello dear friends,

We (Mendel and Anna) live in Hancock Park.

The Fairfax/Hancock Park neighborhood and Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood neighborhood constitute a single, integrated community-of-interest (COI) with many shared institutions. The only way that the Orthodox community will have a voice in the Assembly is if Fairfax/Hancock Park and Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood are all in the "LAMWS" district. Mendel works in Pico- Robertson area in Jewish orthodox school for girls, and shops in the same

neighborhood. On winter time Mendel attends nearby synagogue. Anna shops in judaica and other stores there, too.

Uniting our community in a single district will not weaken the representation of any other minority group or community of interest.

Thank for putting some of Pico-Robertson into the "LAMWS" district, but please not divide Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood in half. All of Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood should be in the "LAMWS" district, along with Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park and Beverly Hills

Thank you so much for your time and efforts,

Sincerely Mendel and Anna Ganzburg.

Subject: EVENT - State Senate District

From: b r <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 10:28 PM

To: <[REDACTED]>

July 25, 2011

RE: EVENT – State Senate District

Dear Commissioners:

Thank you for your dedication to completing this enormous redistricting task.

My name is Barbara Robbin. I live in Studio City. My community of interest includes: Studio City, Sherman Oaks, Encino, Tarzana, Woodland Hills, Calabasas, Agoura Hills, Topanga, the communities along the Ventura Blvd. corridor and the 101 freeway corridor, and BOTH sides of the Santa Monica Mountains including Santa Monica, Brentwood, and Pacific Palisades. This mountains and coastal district is defined by key transportation corridors of PCH, the 405 and the 101. Cross mountain roads provide access for both our residents, commuters and the many people who come to visit the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area and Santa Monica Bay.

All these cities and communities serve as gateways to the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area and our beaches. Many locally elected officials and residents, homeowner and neighborhood council leaders have testified at your hearings and sent in letters in support of this united community of interest. The Supervisor who represents this area in LA County, Zev Yaroslavsky, also has sent letters restating this strong connection between the Westside, coast, mountain cities, and the SF Valley.

Several of the most recent maps on the Commission's website dramatically changed our community of interest. Please do NOT exclude Studio City and Sherman Oaks from the proposed Senate District called EVENT simply because Studio City and Sherman Oaks are east of the 405 freeway. We share the 101 freeway and Ventura Blvd. corridor with Tarzana, Encino, Woodland Hills, Agoura Hills, but have been placed in the district called LASFE with which we have no community of interest. We do not share the same roads, schools, religious institutions, County Supervisors, or cultural or civic organizations as do the other communities in the most recently drawn LASFE. Please recognize that these are two distinct areas by keeping them in separate districts so that an elected official can better represent their interests. The south San Fernando Valley communities should be in one Senate District.

Your consideration of the above described perspective is very much appreciated.

Sincerely,

Barbara Robbin

[REDACTED]
Studio City, California 91604

[REDACTED]

Subject: From a citizen Clarisse Schlesinger

From: "Mrs. Clarisse Schlesinger" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 7:25 PM

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Clarisse Schlesinger. I live with my husband Fred and my children at 1165 South Rodeo Drive, LA, 90035. We have lived at this address for over 25 years. I would like to be included in the group of citizens respectfully requesting that our neighborhoods and community not be divided in half with the new re-districting.

I live in the Pico Robertson area and I frequent many of the establishments in this extended neighborhood. However, as a member of the orthodox Jewish community I have many ties to the Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park neighborhoods. I work on Third Street and Formosa and my son attends school in that neighborhood. I shop at the various kosher markets on 'both sides of town' as we colloquially refer to our neighborhoods. I use the libraries on Gardner, Robertson and the BHPL. I attempt to play tennis at all the parks and have given my children lessons at both Poinsettia Park as well as Roxbury and La Cienega Parks.

My married daughter lives on Poinsettia between Third and Beverly so in addition to everything else, I consider myself as part of both neighborhoods (hopefully my son in law agrees). My local hospital is Cedars and all my doctors are in that vicinity. My family and I frequent synagogues in both neighborhoods (we stay with our children when the Sabbath is involved although my daughter, son in law, and son have walked the five miles that separate us (Sabbath observers do not drive on Saturday).

I can state that I have groups of friends in both neighborhoods and have attended celebrations in synagogues, halls, and hotels on 'both sides of town'. In addition there are many occasions where I attend special lectures ranging from religion to community safety. These issues affect our community in both these neighborhoods.

In addition, I was hoping that the Beverlywood neighborhood also not be divided in half. Uniting our community will not have an adverse affect on any other group. Please place all of Pico-Robertson into the LAMWS district along with Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park and Beverly Hills.

As one who does scheduling for a school with 100 employees and approximately 375 students, I cannot even begin to imagine how daunting your task is to divide up an entire state with over 37 million people. Unbelievable!! We have a great state. I love it and I'm from NY.

I hope that I have given you some additional insight into our wonderful, God fearing, hopefully law abiding, community.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Clarisse Schlesinger

Subject: Keep District 36, the South Bay, Contiguous

From: Sandra Gyetvay <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 6:59 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Please choose Option 1.2 for District 36, keeping the South Bay community in a contiguous district so that we have a representative who is truly of the South Bay.

Thank you,
Sandra Gyetvay
Torrance, CA

[REDACTED]

Subject: La Habra Redistricting

From: Jill Villalobos <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:43 PM

To: [REDACTED]

July 25, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Ste. 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Commission Members:

As a resident and business owner in the City of La Habra, located in Orange County, I am strongly opposed to the Commission's plans to place La Habra in Senate and Assembly Districts comprised solely of Los Angeles County cities. La Habra does not share with Los Angeles County communities the same community identity, history, characteristics and relationships, which directly correlate to our vision for the future of La Habra. Rather, is more closely aligned with north Orange County cities, which rely on Orange County-based educational institutions, the Orange County Transportation Authority, the Municipal Water District of Orange County and many other organizations.

Specifically, the City of La Habra should be placed in the DB-YL (Diamond Bar-Yorba Linda) Senate District and either the DBRYL (Diamond Bar Yorba Linda) or ANAFULL (Anaheim Fullerton) Assembly District. The present map visualizations place La Habra in the Los Angeles-Covina Senate District and the Whittier-Norwalk Assembly District. To be the only Orange County city in districts with all Los Angeles County cities is unacceptable as it will compromise La Habra's representation in public affairs at the State Legislative level.

La Habra needs to be represented by elected officials that understand and share our local vision, goals and objectives. Our success and future is tied to the north Orange County region, and collectively, we need to be represented in Sacramento, not as an afterthought or island at the tail end of a Los Angeles County-dominated district.

I urge you to reconsider the placement of La Habra in the proposed district and realign the City with its other north Orange County communities of interest.

Sincerely,

Jill Villalobos
TLC by Jill Marie

[REDACTED]
La Habra, CA

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Laura Mulrenan <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 7:13 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Laura Mulrenan <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Valley Village

Message Body:

Valley Village district should remain the same boundaries as it has been. We are a very close knit community. Our children attend the same schools and play at the same park. We see our neighbors at local businesses and have the same concerns for our community. The re-districting as proposed would essentially cut a line through a neighborhood. It would divide a school and hurt a very active and involved community.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Gail Schneider <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 8:51 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Gail Schneider <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting of Valley Village

Message Body:

As a current Valley Village homeowner, I am very upset about what is going on with the way the lines are being drawn. This is a detriment to our community and should be re-considered prior to the final map coming out. I do not quite understand why the lines are being drawn this way and our community is being cut. I hope you will fix this issue before the deadline.

Thank you

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Gail Schneider <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:00 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Gail Schneider <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting of Valley Village

Message Body:

I have submitted several e-mails and have yet to have a response from someone. I am not understanding why the Valley Village lines are not being drawn along the 170 freeway just at Valley Village Park??? Can someone please get back to me to explain. I would really appreciate it.

Thank you!

Gail Schneider

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Michael Colasuonno <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:20 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Michael Colasuonno <[REDACTED]>

Subject: valley village congressional district

Message Body:

Please keep Valley Village in one single congressional district. The new plan is illogical and ill conceived.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Greg Raizk <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:23 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Greg Raizk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting.

Message Body:

I am outraged this this redistricting commission would even consider removing El Segundo from the beachcities. It needs to remain included as we have much in common. We can't relate to lennox, or inglewood. There is no commonality

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: "Ann E. Clary" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:49 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ann E. Clary <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Congressional District for Pasadena, CA

Message Body:

Dear Commissioners:

After viewing the most recent "visualization" of the Congressional District for Pasadaena, CA, I am respectfully requesting that you place Pasadena completely in one district. Unlike many of the surrounding areas, Pasadena has a strong sense of community. Pasadena is one of the oldest incorporated cities in the State of California and boasts numerous organizations and institutions that help to meld a diverse citizenry into a civic community that is somewhat unique in California. From the Rose Parade and Rose Bowl to the California Institute of Technology and the Norton Simon, Pasadena and its citizens support many prominent organizations. Please keep us together in a congresssinal district! Respectfully submitted, Ann Clary

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Joyce Burrell Garcia <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 10:17 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Joyce Burrell Garcia <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting

Message Body:

I wish to urge you to insure that representation for the African American community is not destroyed through redistricting. We are depending upon your sensitivity to the needs of African Americans in Los Angeles. Thank you.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: redistricting

From: shulamith klagsbrun <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 8:40 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

CC: Shulamith Gruman <[REDACTED]>

To the Members of the Commission:

I would like to add my voice to those who are respectfully requesting that the Hancock Park, Beverly-Fairfax neighborhood be joined to that of the entire Pico Robertson . These neighborhoods function as one. We work, shop and socialize with each other without regard to technical boundaries. On the Sabbath, for example, it is quite common for members of one community to walk the distance to pray and visit with members of the other. Our schools service children from each neighborhood. We support and are serviced by the same social service agencies such as Tomchei Shabbos,(help for needy families) Hatzalah, (a volunteer first responder and ambulance service) which responds to all callers in each neighborhood regardless of religious affiliation, mental health/ welfare organizations, Bible classes, exercise classes and restaurants just to mention some of the broad spectrum of interaction between all the above mentioned neighborhoods.

We respectfully request that all of the neighborhoods listed above be districted as part of LAMWS.

Thank you for your consideration which means so much to our communities, which in essence, function as one.

Sincerely,

Rabbi Pinchos and Shulamith Gruman

Subject: redistricting

From: Kristy Knowlton <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:52 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

To Whom it May Concern,

Please keep the South Bay together, from Westchester to Palos Verdes with straight lines. Keep our community together. Do not divide our neighborhoods.

Thank you,

Mr. & Mrs. James Knowlton & Family

Subject: redistricting

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 11:11 PM

To: [REDACTED]

to whom it may concern, i am an active member of the Los Angeles Jewish community and have lived in Beverlywood for the last 30 years. One of my daughters attened Yeshivat Yavneh in Hancock Park and my family has been involved with numerous organizations and events in the Beverly/LaBrea area. I have attended synagogues in the Fairfax area and I graduated Fairfax High School. I frequent restaurants and retail stores in the Fairfax area along with Pico/Robertson. The orthodox community is relatively small but growing to a point where the geographic separation of these two communities is diminished. We need to be represented as one community. Sincerely, Emanuel Gottlieb

Subject: Hawthorne and proposed Congressional Redistricting; 7/9/11 Maps

From: Chris Call <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:11 AM

To: [REDACTED]

CC: [REDACTED]

Dear Reader/To whom it may concern:

I'm writing in regard to proposed redistricting boundaries that pertain to the City of Hawthorne. It is my belief that Hawthorne's identity is more closely associated with its western and southern neighbors.

As a personal example of this, I live in Hawthorne and I work in Hermosa Beach, my daughter plays softball in Del Aire, and our family doctors are all in Torrance. Thursday night I dined with a friend in Manhattan Beach. I do grocery shopping in Redondo Beach, and Manhattan Beach. I recently visited friends in Del Aire. Since I spend so much of my time in other South Bay cities, it makes sense to me that we would share common congressional representation.

Josephine Camisciano-Call
Hawthorne (Bodger Park)

Subject: Hawthorne Re-Districting to South Bay Cities

From: "Rosalinda Martinez" [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 10:17 AM

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Re-Districting Commission,

I urge you to consider the City of Hawthorne to be a part of the South Bay Cities. It is vital that we continue to work with the South Bay as we have for the past 20 years.

Thank you,

Rosalinda Martinez

Subject: KEEP SOUTH BAY TOGETHER from Westchester to Palos Verdes with straight lines.

From: "Steven D. Snyder" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:06 AM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Keep the Southbay together. I will be united people and voting against you if you don't keep them together.
Use good judgement and common sense.

STEVE SNYDER

Subject: Keep the South Bay whole from Westchester to Palos Verdes!

From: mike cowan <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:40 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Keep the South Bay intact as a voting district. This is why we voted for redistricting. End the Gerrymandered South Bay and stop incorporating incompatible areas into our community. We demand Representation in the Federal Congress! Keep the South Bay whole from Westchester to Palos Verdes!

Mike Cowan
Lomita

Subject: Keep the South Bay whole from Westchester to Palos Verdes!

From: Mike Cowan <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:40 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Keep the South Bay intact as a voting district. This is why we voted for redistricting. End the Gerrymandered South Bay and stop incorporating incompatible areas into our community. We demand Representation in the Federal Congress!

Mike Cowan
Lomita

Subject: Keep the South Bay whole from Westchester to Palos Verdes!

From: Mike Cowan <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:41 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Keep the South Bay intact as a voting district. This is why we voted for redistricting. End the Gerrymandered South Bay and stop incorporating incompatible areas into our community. We demand Representation in the Federal Congress!

Mike Cowan
Lomita

Subject: Please Keep Our South Bay Cities Together

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 9:47 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Commission:

Please hear us.....it is imperative that you act responsibly in the formation of our South Bay Districts. Keep the South Bay together. Stop gerrymandering!!!

This district should be compact. It should include areas south of the 105 and west of the 110. We need to be in a district with common interest! This area described is a community of interest!!!

Sincerely,

Patti LaGrelus

Please keep our South Bay Community together.

Subject: Please keep our South Bay Community together.

From: P Courtland <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:29 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Commission Members,

Thank you for hearing us. Please keep our South Bay Community of Interest whole for Congress. Please put everything South of 105 and west of the 110 -- Option 1.2 -- in the same district. Our South Bay community deserves to be represented by someone we choose -- not someone else. Thank you. Registered voter. Patricia Courtland

Subject: Protect South LA - Don't Divide my Community

From: magg <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:43 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Disgraceful what is happening. Surely we don't need another civil war for this country to retain equalities!
We have done this once.

Maggie Wells

Subject: Protect South LA - Don't Divide my Community

From: Patrick McAuley <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:30 AM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Dear CRC, Your redistricting plans are unfair. I will not accept a reduction in African American political representation at any level -- Assembly, Senate or Congress. Please sustain fair political representation for all groups at the State Assembly and Senate levels.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message is intended to be viewed only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited without my prior permission. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee of agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, or if you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by return email and delete the original message and any copies of it from your computer system.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

Subject: Protect South LA--Don't divide my community

From: "Pennix, Loretha" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 8:18 AM

To: <[REDACTED]>

As a Los Angeles resident, I stand against the diminishment of African American voting rights.

Loretha Pennix

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Judi Hironymous <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 5:59 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Judi Hironymous <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting of Wiseburn in Hawthorne

Message Body:

Being a homeowner in the Wiseburn District of Hawthorne I would ask that the redistricting commission place the city in coastal South Bay rather than inland districts. Thanks.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Carol Hunt <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 7:43 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Carol Hunt <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Palos Verdes Peninsula

Message Body:

Everytime we look at the ideas for our district, they get worse. The South Bay is an area that has been known as the South Bay for 50 years. It is Torrance, Palos Verdes, Redondo Beach, Hermosa Beach, Manhattan Beach, Hawthorne and Lawndale. To again make a "strip" that goes to Malibu (an hour away) is not a logical district. We will not be represented with this division, and that is not democracy.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Teri Sandburg <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:09 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Teri Sandburg <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting the city of Downey

Message Body:

As a Downey resident I object to the redistricting plans that are being proposed!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

From: d sims <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 7:24 AM

To: [REDACTED]

PLEASE DO NOT DIVIDE OUR COMMUNITIES!!!

I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT THE FAIRFAX/HANCOCK PARK AND THE PICO/ROBERTSON-BEVERLYWOOD DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES BE PLACED IN THE SAME LAMWS DISTRICT.

THESE AREAS REPRESENT OUR JEWISH COMMUNITY OF LOS ANGELES & TO DIVIDE THEM WOULD BE CONTER-PRODUCTIVE.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP ON THIS,

**BART SIMS
BEVERLYWOOD(LOS ANGELES), CALIFORNIA**

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Laura McMillan <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:44 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Laura McMillan <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley Senate District

Message Body:

On July 8th, the Commission reviewed a visualization of an Antelope Valley/Santa Clarita Valley/Northeast San Fernando Valley Senate District.

This visualization does not reflect community of interest testimony.

This visualization does not reflect the direction the Commission gave to the line drawers.

Please connect the Santa Clarita Valley with East Ventura County. These communities are similar and have been together in a State Senate district since 1982.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Don McMillan <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:44 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Don McMillan <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Santa Clarita Valley

Message Body:

On July 8th, the Commission reviewed a visualization of an Antelope Valley/Santa Clarita Valley/Northeast San Fernando Valley Senate District.

This visualization does not reflect community of interest testimony.

This visualization does not reflect the direction the Commission gave to the line drawers.

Please connect the Santa Clarita Valley with East Ventura County. These communities are similar and have been together in a State Senate district since 1982.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: jennifer ellenburg <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:43 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: jennifer ellenburg <[REDACTED]>

Subject: valley village district

Message Body:

Please do not change the boarders of Valley Village, CA 91607

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: John Heaner <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:46 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: John Heaner <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Valley Village

Message Body:

Please reconsider the Congressional boundaries in Valley Village: We are a strong, emerging community -- with a single elementary school. That school is the first and most important hub for our community.

We have strong community organizations, and one of the best grassroots Fourth of July parades anywhere.

Valley Village is united! Please keep us that way.

Sincerely,

John Heaner

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Kathy Leader <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:53 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Kathy Leader <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting WLA and the Veterans

Message Body:

Keep the VA in our district- we have worked diligently with them to improve, maintain and protect the contiguous land and its use.

2. Many of our programs and governance is done within our zip code area to strengthen our input as a community

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Redistricting

From: Helen Prignano <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 8:15 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Redistricting Commission

You were created to set fair, reasonable boundaries that did not favor one party or the other. Enough of the gerrymandering!! I work and live in Torrance which has been and should logically be in the 36th District for Congress and the Assembly.

We need you to put Torrance back in the 36th Congressional Assembly District. The South Bay should be Westchester South only.

El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne and Gardena belong in the same district. This is our community. Our personal lives, business interest, economic activities and every thing else are intertwined with our neighbors and community.

Please do your job properly by giving us a district that represents our South Bay Community. **NO MORE** Gerrymandering! We in the South Bay ask that you keep us together.

Sincerely,

H. Prignano

Subject: re-districting

From: "Pattyl A. Aposhian" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 9:15 AM

To: <[REDACTED]>

Dear Re-Districting Committee,

As a small business owner in the Jewelry District of Los Angeles, I have taken great pride in the unity that the Downtown district represents. This new plan to divide Downtown LA is not only a bad business model but it takes away from the success of the business owners who support one another with various methods to promote business relations.

Please allow this email to note that Downtown LA remain as a contiguous area.

Pattyl Hermine Aposhian
Aposhian Jewelry Inc.

Subject: redistricting

From: BARBARA OHARA [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 9:00 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Please reconsider putting Hawthorne in with south central. We prefer to be linked with the South Bay. I have lived and worked in city of Hawthorne, ElSegundo and the South Bay. That is 62 years. My husband came to Hawthorne 55yrs ago. We do all of our business and leisure in the South Bay.

We have always felt left out of community.

We are fearful in downgrading property values by putting us in the district where we have no activities. Our representation is very poor.

Please reconsider draw the district lines to inclkud us with the South Bay.

Barbara and David O'Hara

Subject: South Bay Cities have common interests

From: Alvin Fletcher <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 10:12 AM

To: "Office, Communications" <[REDACTED]>

Dear Sir,

I'm pleased to hear that you are reconsidering keeping the south bay together from Westchester to Palos Verdes. Thank you for hearing us. Please put everything south of the 105 and west of 110, option 1.2, in the same district. Our South Bay community deserves to be represented by someone we choose.

A hopeful voter

Alvin J. Fletcher :o)

Subject: Southern California South Bay

From: Marc Danziger <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 7:59 AM

To: [REDACTED]

I want to add my voice as a voter and long-term resident of Torrance to keeping the beach cities together as a community of interest.

We have unique issues in our economy, environment, and community politics. Assuring that our interests in these issues are heard requires that the South Bay remain a single congressional district, and also that the State Assembly and Senate seats attempt to connect these communities of interest as well.

Thank you for your hard work on this.

Marc Danziger
Torrance, CA

Subject: Be Fair

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 7:09 AM

To: [REDACTED]

I live and own a non profit business in the heart of South Central Los Angeles, I hope that you will be a equally as fair in meeting our needs and dividing or submerging my district equally . I need to know that you have considered our voices in making your stand

Deborah Bell-Holt

Subject: (no subject)

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 3:31 PM

To: [REDACTED]

To Whom It May Concern:

The Black Community must not be sacrificed! South Central should not be included with The City Torrance and Torrance must not be included in Black districts.

We deserve representation.

**Thank you,
Gretchen J. Morris**

Subject: Alternative Proposal for LAMWS

From: Wayne Sachs <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 12:11 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Honorable Members of the California Redistricting Commission:

For the past eleven years, I have lived in the Fairfax/Hancock Park neighborhood, near the intersection of Beverly Blvd and La Brea. Before that, I lived in the Pico-Robertson area for six years. I am intimately familiar with both areas. I am also familiar with the politics of these communities. For example, I was elected to the Mid-City West Community Council and served as Vice Chairman of the Board.

Although we live in one of these areas, we patronize kosher restaurants, kosher markets and Jewish bookstores in the other area. We sometimes attend religious services in the other area and have many friends there. The classmates of our children live in both areas.

Based on our knowledge and experience, it is clear that the Fairfax/Hancock Park neighborhood and Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood neighborhood constitute a single, integrated community-of-interest (COI) with many shared institutions. The only way that the Orthodox community will have a voice in the Assembly is if Fairfax/Hancock Park and Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood are all in the "LAMWS" district.

While we appreciate your recent attempt to adjust the LAMWS boundary, the result is negative because it chops the Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood in half. All of Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood should be in the "LAMWS" district, along with Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park and Beverly Hills.

Based on data provided in the Alternative Proposal for LAMWS (submitted by Agudath Israel of California), it is clear that uniting our community in a single district will not weaken the representation of any other minority group or community of interest.

We recognize that you have a very difficult task and we appreciate your efforts. Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

Wayne Sachs

[REDACTED]
Los Angeles, CA 90036

Subject:

From: "Dan Katz" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 12:57 PM

To: <[REDACTED]>

Good afternoon. I am a Jewish and Orthodox resident of Hancock Park, Los Angeles.

The Hancock Park neighborhood and the Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood neighborhood constitute a single, integrated community-of-interest with many shared institutions (essentially we are one community that is spread between the two geographically close neighborhoods. We send our children to school, shop and participate in community activities in both of these areas and they essentially are considered to be one and the same for purposes of our community.

In order for our community to be properly represented in the Assembly, Hancock Park and Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood should be in the same LAMWS district. Uniting our community in a single district will not weaken the representation of any other minority group or community of interest.

I appreciate that part of Pico-Roberston has been placed into the LAMWS and thank you for that. That said, please do not divide the Pico-Roberston/Beverlywood area in half. I request that all of Pico-Robertson/Beverlywood should be in the "LAMWS" district, along with Beverly-Fairfax, Hancock Park and Beverly Hills.

I would be glad to provide you further information regarding these matters or to discuss the same personally and can be reached at the contact information below.

Many thanks,

Dan

 SILVERFREEDMAN <small>A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION</small>	 R B	 Do Vc:
Daniel J. Katz Attorney at Law	[REDACTED] Los Angeles, CA 90067	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] tel. [REDACTED] Fax [REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Dir Fax [REDACTED]

The following disclosure is required by U.S. Dept. of Treasury Circular 230: To the extent this e-mail message may be considered to contain an opinion on one or more federal tax issues, such opinion was neither written to be used, nor can be used, for the purpose of avoiding penalties. If you desire a written opinion on a federal tax issue addressed above upon which you may rely for the purpose of avoiding penalties, please contact us.

This message and its contents are confidential and may contain privileged information intended only for the addressee or the intended recipient ("Recipient"). If you are not the Recipient, you may not use, copy or

disclose to anyone any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail, delete this message and any attachments, and destroy any hard copies printed. Thank you.

Subject:

From: "Gary F." [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 2:53 PM

To: [REDACTED]

South Central has different interests from Torrance, Westchester and Hawthorne. We should not be forced to lose "Black" districts in the redistricting process.

The Black Community must not be sacrificed! The City of Torrance has no similar voting interests as South Central and must not be included in Black districts.

Latinos do not need 50% districts.

Gary A. Farwell

Attorney at Law

[REDACTED]
Los Angeles, CA 90045

phone [REDACTED]

fax [REDACTED]

Subject: districts

From: Sally Palmerino <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 3:26 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Please do not separate our district from Playa Del Rey and other beach cities. We should be in the same district with the South Bay cities and beach cities because we share same interests and concerns; more so than with cities to the East.

Thank you,
Sally Palmerino

Subject: keep south bay together

From: diana cauble <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 3:40 PM

To: [REDACTED]

i support Option 1.2.

i had hopes you folks would do a better job than the state legislature. but i fear you have lost sight of keeping like communitites together.

diana cauble

torrance

Subject: Keep South LA Together

From: Jimmie Gray <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 3:06 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

You must keep communities of like interest together. We need to be able to vote for someone we can collectively work with and for.

Keep communities together.

Jimmie Woods Gray

Sent from my iPhone

Subject: Protect South LA - Don't Divide my Community

From: <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 2:38 PM

To: [REDACTED]

I will **not accept** a reduction in political representation at any level -- Assembly, Senate or Congress. Protect your political representation at the State Assembly and Senate levels, but especially your Congressional district if you live in the 33rd, 35th or 37th district.

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Maribel Garcia <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 12:42 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Maribel Garcia <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Valley Village congratinal map

Message Body:

Please do not change the bondaries of our district. Would you please kindly consider moving back the boundary on the east side to the 170 fwy.

The residents on the west side of the fwy would like to remain part of our existing community.

Thank you,
Maribel

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Mark Goldenberg <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 12:51 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Mark Goldenberg <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Valley Village, Please don't cut it in two!!!

Message Body:

Please don't slice up my town , Valley Village!!!!

Please Please Please

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Sheba Meymandi <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 1:13 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Sheba Meymandi <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Valley Village new boundaries

Message Body:

The new map of Valley Village makes no coherent sense. It should follow the 170 freeway. Please reconsider!!!!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: CD version 1.2

From: "[REDACTED]"

Date: 7/25/2011 8:02 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Dear committee members:

I certainly hope you are listening to us citizens of the South Bay district that currently includes the Wiseburn area. We want to stay in the same area as before. We are South Bay people! We want out property values, business opportunities, and associations with South Bay activities to remain the same. Please vote in our favor.

Beverly Godwin

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Susan Kovinsky <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 4:30 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Susan Kovinsky <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting of Valley Village

Message Body:

What is wrong with you people? You have been notified by several people that live in Valley Village and explained to you that the boundary of it is the 170 freeway. It's really simple and the affected people are minimal. So why do you insist on cutting it up further and making at minimum one school split into to two different districts? Whatever your intentions - they are wrong and the constituents of the area told you so. Don't act like politicians and not hear them...

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: Paula Humerick <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 4:37 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Paula Humerick <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Congressional Boundary for Valley Village, CA

Message Body:

This latest attempt to redraw the congressional district is even worse than previously proposed. Now, we are split from our neighbors, our school all in the name of "equal representation"? How can this be? Try again and keep our neighborhood in one, unified district. Please.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

From: chaya lebovics <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 5:20 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: chaya lebovics <[REDACTED]>

Subject: voting distict lines

Message Body:

please do not divide Pico Robertson community into two districts. thank you c.lebovics

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Redistricting in the South Bay

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 5:30 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Ladies and Gentlemen of the commission,

When you are putting together the new districts please put Torrance back in the Beach Cities Assembly District and put the Beach Cities Congressional District back together starting with Westchester and ending with PV or San Pedro. The Congressional District should include Westchester, El Segundo, Hawthorne, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, all of Torrance, the Pales Verdes Peninsula, Lomita, Harbor City, San Pedro and as much of Wilmington as possible. Please do not connect bits and pieces of the district with tiny strips. We do not belong with Santa Monica or Malibu.

Kindest regards,

Barbara Ferraro

[REDACTED]
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

Subject: Redistricting in the Sunland/Tujunga area

From: "maggie seitz" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 1:30 PM

To: <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Rural Foothill Communities of Interest Assembly District

Dear Commissioners:

The rural Foothills Area and neighbors of Sunland-Tujunga have submitted comments and maps for Congress, Senate and Assembly. We are also asking for a rural foothills 'Communities of Interest' (COI) Assembly District along the San Gabriel Mountain's Foothills from Kagel Canyon to La Canada Flintridge, including Glendale and Burbank, with whom we share many things including the Verdugo Mountain range and the Wildlife Corridor known as the Rim of the Valley.

We are happy that you heard us regarding the Congress and the Senate, but see no evidence that the Commission is doing so with the Assembly District on this website. We find we are still in the East San Fernando Valley District, with whom we do not share common interest. The SFV is "big city" and we are "rural" communities who wish to continue to work together with our neighbors to stay that way.

We are asking you to give this your utmost attention per the motion and letter submitted by the Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council, as well as letters from the other communities of Kagel Canyon, Lake View View Terrace, Shadow Hills, La Tuna Canyon, Sunland-Tujunga, La Crescenta, Montros, La Canada Flintridge, Glendale and Burbank

Sincerely,

Maggie and Don Seitz

Residents of Tujunga for over 30 years.

Subject: redistricting Westchester

From: Kay Yang-Stayner <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 3:48 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Redistricting Committee,

I have been a member of the Westchester Community for over 30 years, and was very surprised and saddened to hear that the Redistricting Committee voted to have Westchester put into it's prior district which included the cities of Compton, Lennox, and Inglewood under Representative Maxine Waters. The Westchester Community has very little in common with these other communities, and it appears that this action occurred only for political purposes. Please reconsider the action taken, and perform the functions that the voters requested of you, to draw district lines fairly and honestly. The Westchester Community belongs with Playa del Rey, Playa Vista, and the South Bay, whose values of commitment to family, community, and service it shares.

Kay Yang-Stayner

Subject: Redistricting

From: "George Ottiniano" [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 12:01 PM

To: <[REDACTED]>

Gentlemen:

- Put Torrance back in the 36th Congressional District (and Assembly District)
- The South Bay should be Westchester south only

El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills, San Pedro, Hawthorne, and Gardena belong in the same district.

This is our community. Our personal lives, business interests, economic activity and everything else are intertwined with our neighbors and our community.

The Commission is waiting for public comment. Let's tell them exactly what we think. Let them know that you are strongly opposed to this gerrymandering and want a district with commonality.

We in the South Bay ask that you keep us together. This is very important to us. It's not about party or ideology. It's about our neighbors and having a representative who lives in our community and can actually represent us.

Thank you for your support.

George Ottiniano

[REDACTED]

Torrance CA, 90505

Subject: REDISTRICTING

From: "Baer, Carol" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 4:53 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Our community is Westchester/Playa del Rey/Playa Vista and we need to be aligned with the South Bay Cities. We do not share much in common with the communities east (Inglewood, Compton, Lennox, etc.). We share LAX issues and coastal and environmental issues with the South Bay. Westchester has been in the wrong district for years and it is time to change that and put our community with the communities that share a common interest where we can work together on our common issues.

Thank you,

Carol Baer

Subject: Redistricting

From: Fabian Wesson <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 5:27 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Please do not include the city of Torrance with South Los Angeles in the redistricting effort.

Thank you,
Fabian Wesson

Subject: Redistricting

From: Fabian Wesson <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 5:29 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

Please reconsider the current plan. The African American community deserves to be represented by the elected officials that reflect the correct demographics. The plan to include the city of Torrance must not be allowed to happen!

Fabian Wesson

[REDACTED]

Subject: redistricting

From: [REDACTED]

Date: 7/25/2011 6:02 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Do not take South Central out of the black community
Jeannie Washington

Subject: representation

From: "Joe Martelli" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 2:50 PM

To: [REDACTED]

"Thank you for hearing us -- please keep our South Bay Community of Interest whole for Congress -- please put everything south of the 105 and west of the 110 -- Option 1.2 -- in the same district. Our South Bay community deserves to be represented by someone we choose -- not someone else."

Thankyou,
Joe Martelli

Subject: support for CD version 1.2 for our community...

From: Antonio Carranza <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 4:45 PM

To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>

KEEP WISEBURN/DEL-AIRE/HAWTHORNE IN SOUTH BAY!!!!

Don't move our happy community out of our district! Everything we do is in South Bay!!!