

Subject: Public Comment: 6 - Tuolumne

From: "Randell A. Hanvelt" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 21 Jul 2011 18:25:00 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Randell A. Hanvelt <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

I am disappointed that the commission has decided not to issue a second round of maps. This diversion from announced process means that in fact there will be a final round of maps with out any adjustment. The public unfortunately does not get a second look. That being the case, we must make do as best we can with the process you have given us.

I spoke before you in Fresno and I sent you an electronic message on June 27. I sincerely hope that you will take into account the real needs of the Rural Sierra Counties.

1. Your initial proposal generated districts for Assembly, Senate and Congress that were unmanageable. Given that condition alone, we would receive a whole lot less representation than we currently have and certainly less than we deserve. Small communities must be aligned with the larger communities where common everyday interests will improve our representation and let our thoughts and ideas be heard.
2. As I said when I talked and in my previous message, our communities of "common interest" are aligned much more East-West than North-South. These commonalities of interest include education, health, transportation, economic development, water, tourism, recreation, supply routes and others.

We know we are small and largely inconsequential in the big picture but we hope we will not be ignored. Regardless of what you ultimately do, the major population centers will be represented. Their sheer numbers require that. It is the smaller communities that are the challenge. You need to focus on those because they deserve to be heard and not ignored or disregarded. I believe that is what our Country has always strived for - looking out for the little guy.

I look forward to your consideration of our interests and needs in Tuolumne County.

Randy Hanvelt
Supervisor District #2
County of Tuolumne
[REDACTED]

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 6 - Tuolumne

From: "Sasha J. Farkas" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 22 Jul 2011 05:09:07 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Sasha J. Farkas <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting

Message Body:

After reviewing the most recent visualization that includes Tuolumne County I feel that the first draft maps make more sense for Tuolumne County and the other counties in the district. The current visualizations have districts that would be near impossible to cover during winter months, small portions of counties that would be better suited in other districts and the assembly, senate and congressional districts have significantly different boundaries. Once again I feel the first draft of maps made much more sense for common interests of the foothills.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission